

HOUSE/SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE MINUTES - 1967-1982 2835

SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE

April 29, 1982

9:10 a.m.

SFC-82 #23, Side 2 (185-550)

CALL TO ORDER

Co-chairman Don Bennett convened the meeting at approximately 9:10 a.m.

PRESENT

All committee members were present. Also attending were Ron Lehr, Director of the Division of Budget and Management; Joseph K. Donohue, Deputy Commissioner of Taxation, Department of Revenue; administrative assistant to Senate Finance, Judy Johnston; and representatives from the press.

HB 666

Co-chairman Bennett announced that the meeting was convened to hear public testimony from anyone wishing to testify on the state budget--CSHB 666 (Fin.) am (ACT APPROPRIATING FOR THE OPERATING EXPENSES OF THE STATE GOVERNMENT). The Co-chairman stated that the present hearing represents the second opportunity given the public to appear, express opinions, and provide input and direction to the state budget; it represents a "last shot" for the private sector to appear and be heard. The Co-chairman expressed his hope that Senate Finance would be in a position, next week, to close the budget and move it from committee.

The Co-chairman next opened the meeting to public testimony. No one appeared to testify.

HB 101

In light of the fact that no one appeared to testify on the budget, Co-chairman Dankworth moved that CS for HB 101 (2d Finance) (ACT RELATING TO THE MOTOR FUEL TAX (AS 43.40) be brought on for discussion. Senator Ferguson renewed his previous motion to delete the contents of CS for HB 101 (2d Finance) and insert instead the language of SCS for HB 101 (Finance) (ACT MAKING TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS RELATED TO STATE TAXATION). No objection to the motion having been raised, the SCS for HB 101 (Finance) was adopted. Senator Sackett moved to amend the title of the SCS, deleting "technical" from title language. He advised that the amendment was necessary since Sec. 8 of the draft SCS makes substantive rather than technical changes in tax law. No objection to the amendment having been raised, it was adopted.

Senator Scurgulewski asked if the SCS required a new fiscal note, and Senator Sackett responded, "No, with the changes made, the impact will remain the same."

At this time an updated draft of the proposed SCS for HB 101 (Finance) (ACT RELATING TO STATE TAXATION) was distributed to committee members for review. Senator Sturgulewski asked that someone speak to differences between the two drafts before committee. Joe Donohue, Deputy Commissioner of the Department of Revenue, appeared, advising that the updated draft reorganizes the prior draft to bring it into compliance with drafting rules. New Sections 7 and 8 were added by the legislative attorney. These are technical, conforming amendments without substantive effect. Everything else is as proposed yesterday with minor technical changes.

Senator Sackett advised that the title on the updated draft is correct. Senator Ferguson moved that SCS for CS for HB 101 (Finance) (ACT RELATING TO STATE TAXATION) pass from committee with individual recommendations. Senators Stimson and Sturgulewski objected. Senator Sturgulewski raised questions concerning a sectional and cost analysis of the bill. Joe Donohue advised that the department had not prepared a sectional analysis of the legislation, but it had attempted to determine the fiscal impact. Department records are not kept in a manner which allowed revenue personnel to accurately access the financial impact of the bill. Citing problems with DCS and operations beyond the three mile limit, Mr. Donohue suggested that fiscal impact be addressed in future years.

Senator Stimson asked if there would be an attempt made to amend the bill when it reached the Rules Committee. Co-chairman Dankworth responded that he had no plans to do so. Individuals who offered amendments yesterday have withdrawn them. Co-chairman Dankworth advised that if the amendments were submitted to Rules for consideration, he would request that the bill be returned to Finance.

Senators Sturgulewski and Stimson withdrew their objection to passage of the proposed SCS for CS for HB 101 (Finance).

Senator Ferguson renewed his motion to pass SCS for CS for HB 101 (Finance) from Committee with individual recommendations. No objection having been raised, SCS for CS for HB 101 (Finance) passed from committee--all members present signing do pass with the exception of Senator Stimson who signed no recommendation

Senator Sturgulewski requested that Milt Barker be asked to appear before committee to present a status of appropriations and revenues to the present time. Co-chairman Bennett responded that he would ask Mr. Barker to speak at tomorrow's meeting.

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further action to come before committee at this time, the meeting was adjourned at approximately 9:20 a.m.

SFC-82 #23, Side 2 (185-550)

SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE

April 30, 1982

9:10 a.m.

(Tape SFC 82, # 24, Side 1, 000-817)

CALL TO ORDER

Co-chairman Bennett called the meeting to order at 9:10 a.m.

PRESENT

All members of the committee were present with the exception of Senator Stimson. Also in attendance were Milt Barker of Legislative Finance; Senator Ray; Art Snowden II, Administrative Director of the Alaska Court System; John Pugh, Division of Family and Youth Services, DHSS; Kermit Humphries, Division of Adult Corrections, DHSS; and members of the press including Patty Ginzburg and Bill White.

SB 121

Co-chairman Bennett stated the first order of business was consideration of SB 121 (AN ACT AUTHORIZING CREDITED SERVICE UNDER THE PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM FOR CERTAIN FIREMEN), and asked that Senator Ray testify on the legislation.

Senator Ray stated that he supported the original provisions of the bill, but did not support the changes in the Committee Substitute work drafts - specifically the National Guard retirement provision. He requested that the Senate Finance Committee remove that portion from his bill.

Senator Sturgulewski asked Senator Eliason if the National Guard retirement provision was in both draft work copies of Committee Substitute for SB 121 (Finance) in the file. Senator Eliason responded that one draft (dated 4/23/82) contained the provision, while the other (dated 4/28/82) did not.

Co-chairman Bennett stated the committee would consider SB 121 at a later time, probably in the afternoon, and the bill was returned to the file.

MILT BARKER - REVENUE PROJECTION PRESENTATION

Co-chairman Bennett then requested that Milt Barker, Legislative Finance Fiscal Analyst, come forward for his revenue projection presentation.

Milt Barker distributed a memo and tables to the members (appended to the end of these minutes). He stated the first table provided an overview of all the appropriations that, to his knowledge, were under consideration. The second table showed the

amounts that would be available, based on the Department of Revenue's estimates from March of this year - broken out by probabilities. The third table showed the status of various legislation with fiscal impact - he had included all the legislation reported out of the finance committees as a way to judge what was likely to move. The last table compared the House and Senate proposals for loan appropriations.

Co-chairman Dankworth asked if the tariff settlements had been taken into consideration, and Mr. Barker responded that they had not. He added that if the settlement with British Petroleum were consummated there would be about \$35 million additional per year, and if all the oil companies signed on there would be about \$200 million additional per year. Further discussion ensued concerning the estimates. Mr. Barker stated they were based on three scenarios. The "high" scenario was based on the expectation for the demand for oil to increase in the future and Opec being able to keep a floor on their prices. The "medium" scenario was based on the expectation that the demand for oil would continue to fall. And the "low" scenario was based on the expectation that the bottom would fall out of the market. He said the "medium" scenario was the one that seemed to be right on target at this time.

Questions were raised concerning the relationship with the Permanent Fund. Mr. Barker stated he had not included the \$1.8 billion for the Permanent Fund, and that figure would have to be subtracted from the "medium" figure - about \$100 million additional would go to the Permanent Fund above the 25%.

It was concluded that the budget would be approximately balanced if the tariff settlement is made. Senator Sturgulewski asked if the tables allowed for any additional fiscal notes that might be passed from this point on. Mr. Barker responded yes, that the fiscal notes were probably in excess of what would pass. They were listed under the General Appropriations Act and are in the bill at this point. Even less likely to pass was a substantial portion of the \$117.5 million listed under "other new legislation". Co-chairman Bennett asked for a copy of the fiscal note for the Permanent Fund bill before the Senate at this time; he said he thought the implementation of it would not start until 1984, so it wouldn't have a bearing on the FY 83 budget. There was discussion concerning loans, and on the \$1000 per capita payment method for the Permanent Fund.

HB 590

Co-chairman Bennett stated the next order of business was consideration of Committee Substitute for Sponsor Substitute for HB 590 (Rules) amended (AN ACT RELATING TO SUPERIOR COURT JUDGES AND SUPERIOR COURT FACILITIES; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE), and requested that Senator Sturgulewski present the legislation.

Senator Sturgulewski stated there were a number of people to speak on the bill as well as all the fiscal notes in the file. She said the committee should have something specific before it to consider. She moved and asked unanimous consent that Senate Committee Substitute for Committee Substitute for Sponsor Substitute for HB 590 (Finance) (SAME TITLE) be adopted for consideration (dated 4/28/82). There being no objection, it was so ordered.

Senator Sturgulewski stated SCS CS SS HB 590 (Finance) looked more complicated than it really was. She said Sections 1 and 3 should be considered together. They would come into play if the Supreme Court has not deleted District Judgeships in Wrangell. Section 2 would come into play if the Supreme Court has, by the time the bill passes, deleted that judgeship. The contents of Section 4 had already passed the House in SB 693 (allowing jurisdiction over the construction to be extended from 1982 to 1984. She said there should be some comment as to the need for Section 5. The remaining sections were merely the effective dates. She requested that Art Snowden, Administrative Director of the Alaska Court System, come forward to speak to the bill.

Mr. Snowden stated the bill would basically create two new Superior Court judgeships, and upgrade another. The two new judgeships would be in Palmer and Barrow. The upgraded judgeship would be in Wrangell. He said Palmer (and the Matanuska Valley) has a population of about 25,000 people. There has never been a judge there and now the population base is such that a judge is warranted. He said the judge in Barrow is critically needed. For example, there were 25 full felony jury trials in Barrow last year. They have a higher case load filing than Nome or Kotzebue. The Wrangell judgeship was added by the Legislature, and the cost would be \$22,000. He said the Court System had asked for an amendment to show that this was not a new judgeship, that it was just an upgrade, and that the position couldn't be filled until there was a resignation at the District Court level. He stated he was informed that the District Judge there was prepared to resign in the event this legislation passed. He said that Section 5 was inserted by the House, and would empower the Court System to enter into negotiations in Palmer and Barrow and elsewhere to procure necessary lease space for court space for the judgeships in the future.

Co-chairman Dankworth asked what the case load was in Wrangell. Mr. Snowden stated the load was not terribly high; the major justification was that the cost would be so low and the people there seemed to want the upgrade.

Senator Sturgulewski stated that along with the increase in judges, there would also be probation officers and clerks. She said when the bill passed the House, there was a fiscal note in the file dated 3/30/82 for \$374.0. Mr. Snowden stated that was correct, and added that the fiscal note was for 10 months since there would be no judge for a portion of the year. He said the House voted on the fiscal notes separately - they did pass the

\$374.0 fiscal note, though they turned down a fiscal note for the Parole and Probation Division. Senator Sturgulewski then requested that representatives from the departments speak to the other fiscal notes in the file. She first asked Mr. Pugh to come forward.

Mr. Pugh, representing the Division of Family and Youth Services in DHSS, said that in anticipation of a Superior Court judge in Barrow last year, Senator Ferguson was able to add a Probation Officer as well as a Juvenile Intake Officer in the Barrow area. The major problem there was that over the last 3 years there was the addition of a Social Worker III as well as the Probation Officer, while there was no clerical support. Consequently, the fiscal note added a Clerk Typist III in Barrow. The same situation existed in the Wrangell office where there is only a half time Clerk Typist there. If the judgeship is upgraded, they felt there would be an increase in caseload, warranting upgrading the Clerk Typist to full-time. Senator Sturgulewski confirmed that he was speaking to the fiscal note in the file dated 4/29/82 for \$46.0 would cover the cost of the Clerk Typist III in Barrow as well as the Clerk Typist upgrade to full-time in Wrangell.

Mr. Kermit Humphries of the Adult Corrections office, DHSS, came forward to also speak to the fiscal note dated 4/30/82 for \$253.3. He spoke to the dramatic increase in the felony level. He said that presently there is a Probation Officer and a Clerk Typist in the Palmer area and that would not be effected. There is no Probation Officer in Barrow (currently being served by Fairbanks), or Wrangell (currently being served by Ketchikan). The fiscal note would cover a Probation Officer III in Barrow, a Probation Officer III in Wrangell, a Clerk Typist III in Barrow, and a Clerk Typist III in Wrangell.

Co-chairman Dankworth was opposed to adding that number of positions, further commenting on everyone getting on the "bandwagon". He stated he might make a motion to delete this fiscal note. He wondered why Probation Officer III's were needed rather than I's or II's. Senator Sturgulewski agreed somewhat, though she acknowledged that with the new and upgraded judgeships there would be attendant costs. A Department of Law representative was present to speak on the final fiscal note.

Co-chairman Bennett pointed out that it was close to the 10:00 a.m. floor session. He said the meeting would recess at this time, and the committee would meet again at 2:00 p.m. for further consideration of bills.

RECESS

The meeting recessed at 9:55 a.m.

(Tape SFC 82, # 24, Side 1, 000-817)

STATE OF ALASKA

THE LEGISLATURE

BUDGET AND AUDIT COMMITTEE

FINANCE DIVISION
POUCH WF-STATE CAPITOL
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99811
PHONE: (907) 465-3795

April 29, 1982

MEMORANDUM

TO: Honorable Arliss Sturgulewski
Chairman - Budget & Audit Committee

FROM: Milt Barker^{MB}, Fiscal Analyst
Legislative Finance Division

SUBJECT: 1982 Session Appropriations

Attached are tables showing:

- a) possible 1982 session appropriations; in addition to the amounts shown, \$209.2 million was appropriated in the 1981 session for FY 83;
- b) March 1982 Department of Revenue estimates of liquid general funds available for new appropriations this session; this amount is net of the \$209.2 million already appropriated for FY 83 and net of the \$1.8 billion appropriated last session to the permanent fund; February 1982 legislative finance estimates of the amount available are:

high	\$2563.9 million
medium	1855.9 million
low	1448.5 million
- c) legislation with fiscal impact; also attached is a list of individual bills which can be reviewed to better determine which are likely to pass;
- d) loan program cash appropriations; not included is the House's intent to appropriate up to the equivalent of \$105 million in cash from illiquid assets to AHFC; the House and/or Senate may also appropriate \$108 million in illiquid assets to AIDA for multi-family housing.

POSSIBLE 1982 SESSION CASH APPROPRIATIONS
(\$ Millions)

	<u>Governor Original</u>	<u>Governor Amended</u>	<u>House</u>	<u>Senate</u>
<u>General Appropriations Act</u>				
Operating	1813.0	1650.4	1650.4	1650.4
Capital	787.6			
Permanent Fund Dividends	150.4	150.4		
Loans	392.6			
Debt Service	141.7			
Fiscal Notes		118.7	118.7	118.7
Debt Service		143.9	143.9	143.9
Permanent Fund Dividends			150.4	150.4
Capital (HCS SB 150 Rules am H)		329.9	329.9	329.9
Loans		311.0	205.4	311.0
Chapter Laws		33.9	33.9	33.9
Federal Contingency			20.0	
Subtotal	3285.3	2738.2	2652.6	2738.2
Other New Legislation		117.5	117.5	117.5
TOTAL	3285.3	2855.7	2770.1	2855.7

PREPARED BY:
Milt Barker
Legislative Finance
4-29-82

PROBABLE AND IMPROBABLE GENERAL FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR APPROPRIATION FOR FY 83
(\$ Billions)

0 %-tile	.06
10 %-tile	.72
20 %-tile	1.00
30 %-tile	1.21
40 %-tile	1.39
50 %-tile	1.54
60 %-tile	1.69
70 %-tile	1.84
80 %-tile	2.06
90 %-tile	2.37
100 %-tile	3.58

Key: HOW TO READ THIS TABLE

There is a 40% chance that total liquid general funds available for FY 83 will be less than \$1.39 billion.

Source: "Petroleum Production Revenue Forecast", Department of Revenue, March 1982

Prepared by:

LEGISLATIVE Finance
M. Barker
4-5-82

LEGISLATION WITH FISCAL IMPACT
(\$ Millions)
As of April 23, 1982, with Adjustments

	<u>Chapter Laws</u>	<u>Passed House & Senate</u>	<u>Passed House</u>	<u>Passed Senate</u>	<u>Reported Out of House Finance</u>	<u>Reported Out of Senate Finance</u>	<u>Total</u>
Special Appropriations	25.4	28.7	7.9	4.6	61.8	1.0	129.4
Fiscal Notes	--	--	34.1	14.0	16.0	--	64.1
Supplementals	<u>8.5</u>	<u>--</u>	<u>13.5</u>	<u>--</u>	<u>--</u>	<u>--</u>	<u>22.0</u>
Total	33.9	28.7	55.5	18.6	77.8	1.0	215.5

Excludes SB 322, the House loans bill, and SB 887, the Senate municipal assistance bill.

PREPARED BY:

Milt Barker
Legislative Finance
4-26-82

LOAN PROGRAM CASH APPROPRIATIONS

(\$ Millions)

	<u>FY 82</u>	<u>House</u> HCS CS SB 322 (Finance)	<u>Senate</u> SCS CS HB 666 (Finance)
<u>AHFC</u>			
Special Mortgage Purchase Program	222.0	-	105.0
Mobile Home Program	18.0	45.0	-
Rural Owner-Occupied	20.0	-	-
Rural Non-Owner-Occupied	5.0	-	-
Home Ownership Program	-	-	80.0
C&RA Non-Conforming Housing Loans	40.0	36.0 + 9.0	25.5
C&RA Senior Citizens Housing	-	10.0	-
Agricultural Loans	14.0	10.0	10.05
AIDA	8.0	-	-
Scholarship Loans - General Funds	48.8	52.0	51.1
Federal Funds	-	1.0	6.4
Rural Electrification Loans	6.5 - 6.5	-	-
<u>Division of Business Loans</u>			
Commercial fishing	4.5	8.0	9.4
Fishermans Mortgage and Note	1.8	2.0	1.8
Fisheries enhancement	8.0	17.0	10.0
Child care facilities	.2	.4	.2
Residential care facilities	.1	-	.08
Alternative technology and energy	5.5 - 1.25	4.0	4.0
Residential energy conservation	5.5 - 1.25	4.5	4.5
Bulk fuel	1.0	1.0	1.0
Historical districts	.2	.5	.5
Mining	20.0	15.0	7.5

SPECIAL OR NEW PROGRAM APPROPRIATIONS

NUMBER	ABBREVIATED TITLE	GENERAL FUND	ALL FUNDS	CURRENT STATUS	SPONSOR/REQUESTER
~~HB 24~~	~~APPROPS PERMANENT FUND/DEPT OF REVENUES/HB642; ED~~	~~\$430,092,100~~	~~\$430,092,100~~	~~FAILED (H) ON RECONS~~	~~RANDOLPH~~
HB 126	SPEC APPROP/AGRICULTURAL DEV/NENANA-TOTCHAKET; ED	\$10,050,000	\$10,050,000	IN (H) RULES	MOSS
HB 136	APPROP/DEPT NAT RES/MUNI GRANT/SEWARD; ED	\$4,054,000	\$4,054,000	CHAPTER 0008 SLA 82	FULLER
~~HB 244~~	~~SPEC APPROP/HOSPITAL EXPAN/PETERSBURG/WRANGELL; ED~~	~~\$13,600,000~~	~~\$13,600,000~~	~~IN (H) FINANCE~~	~~HAUGEN~~
~~HB 271~~	~~SPEC APPROP/ROAD RECONST/SE COMM; ED~~	~~\$7,400,000~~	~~\$7,400,000~~	~~IN (H) FINANCE~~	~~HAUGEN~~
~~HB 286~~	~~SPEC APPROP/GRANT/NENANA/FERRY CONSTRUCT; ED~~	~~\$8,600,000~~	~~\$8,600,000~~	~~IN (H) FINANCE~~	~~ROGERS~~
HB 333	SPEC APPROP/DEVELOPMENT/NENANA-TOTCHAKET AREA; ED	\$27,355,255	\$27,355,255	IN (H) RULES	MOSS
HB 344	SPEC APPROP/DEPT PUB SAFETY/FINGERPRINT SYSTEM; ED	\$2,718,200	\$2,718,200	IN (S) STATE AFFA	ANDERSON
HB 348	SPEC APPROP/DEPT PUB SAFETY/AVALANCHE WARNING SYSTEM; E	\$446,000	\$446,000	AWAITING CONC/RECD	MILLER
~~HB 349~~	~~SPEC APPROP/HOMER/HOSPITAL EXPANSION/IMPROVE; ED~~	~~\$4,000,000~~	~~\$4,000,000~~	~~IN (H) FINANCE~~	~~MALONE~~
HB 365	SPEC APPROP/CORDOVA/FEASIBILITY STUDY/HOSPITAL CARE; E	\$650,000	\$650,000	IN (H) RULES	CATO
HB 370	SPEC APPROP/DEPT C&RA/AK NAT FNDTN 1981 SUMMER PRGM; E	\$109,215	\$109,215	IN (H) RULES	MOSS
HB 453	SPEC APPROP/AK SEAFOOD MARKETING INSTITUTE; ED	\$3,000,000	\$3,000,000	FREE CONFCOMM APPTD	RESOURCES
HB 457	SPEC APPROP/CHENEGA VILLAGE RELOCATION; ED	\$225,000	\$225,000	IN (S) FINANCE	CATO
HB 465	SPEC APPROP/VISION/HEARING SCREENING PRGM; ED	\$276,295	\$276,295	IN (H) RULES	ZHAROFF
HB 501	SPEC APPROP/DEPT ED/UNIV WITHIN WALLS PRGM; ED	\$1,330,000	\$1,330,000	IN (H) RULES	FULLER
HB 549	SPEC APPROP/ADMIN/COST ANALYSIS/HEALTH COMMUN; ED	\$130,000	\$130,000	IN (S) FINANCE	FULLER
~~HB 625~~	~~SPEC APPROP/DOTPF/CONST/SCHL MAINTENANCE BLDG/SITKA; E~~	~~\$1,317,250~~	~~\$1,317,250~~	~~IN (H) HESS~~	~~GRUSSENDORF~~
HB 626	SPEC APPROP/DOTPF/SCHOOL GYM RENOVATION/SITKA; ED	\$1,859,165	\$1,859,165	IN (H) HESS	GRUSSENDORF
HB 628	SPEC APPROP/DOTPF/CONST/DOCK/WHSE/YAKUTAT; ED	\$4,800,000	\$4,800,000	IN (H) FINANCE	GRUSSENDORF
HB 629	SPEC APPROP/DOTPF/RDWAY/STARRIGAVAN BAY/KATILIAN RVR; ED	\$51,102,900	\$51,102,900	IN (H) TRANSPORTA	GRUSSENDORF
HB 631	SPEC APPROP/DILLINGHAM/DOCK & HARBOR IMPROVEMENTS; ED	\$12,000,000	\$12,000,000	IN (H) FINANCE	CHUCKWUK
HB 645	SPEC APPROP/DEPT/NAT RES/EVALUATE/AK AGRICULTURE; ED	\$1,200,000	\$1,200,000	IN (H) RESOURCES	MOSS
HB 646	SPEC APPROP/SCHOLARSHIP REVOLVING LOAN FUND; ED	\$7,500,000	\$7,500,000	IN (H) FINANCE	O'CONNELL
~~HB 671~~	~~SPEC APPROP/STUDENT RESIDENTIAL COMPLEX/U OF AK/ANCH; E~~	~~\$15,000,000~~	~~\$15,000,000~~	~~IN (H) HESS~~	~~MARTIN~~

SPECIAL OR NEW PROGRAM APPROPRIATIONS

NUMBER	ABBREVIATED TITLE	GENERAL FUND	ALL FUNDS	CURRENT STATUS	SPONSOR/REQUESTER
~~HB 733~~	~~SPEC APPROP/LWR KALSKAG/EQUIPMENT/CONST FIRE STATION; E~~	~~\$262,000~~	~~\$262,000~~	~~IN (H) C&RA~~	~~VASKA~~
HB 734	SPEC APPROP/BETHEL/CONST PUB UTILITIES MAINTENANCE; ED	\$1,200,000	\$1,200,000	IN (H) C&RA	VASKA
HB 735	SPEC APPROP/CHEFORNAK/ELECTRICAL POWER/HUD HOUSING; ED	\$114,000	\$114,000	IN (H) C&RA	VASKA
HB 736	SPEC APPROP/DOTPF/RIVERFRONT&IMPROVEMENTS/CHEFORNAK; E	\$400,000	\$400,000	IN (H) TRANSPORTA	VASKA
HB 737	SPEC APPROP/AKOLMIUT/CONST BUNKERS&OUTHUSES; ED	\$31,600	\$31,600	IN (H) C&RA	VASKA
HB 738	SPEC APPROP/KWETHLUK/CONST EXAM ROOM/HLTH CNTR; ED	\$132,000	\$132,000	IN (H) HESS	VASKA
~~HB 739~~	~~SPEC APPROP/NAPAKIAK/PURCHASE/SANITATION VEHICLE; ED~~	~~\$75,000~~	~~\$75,000~~	~~IN (H) C&RA~~	~~VASKA~~
HB 749	SPEC APPROP/DOTPF/GIRDWOOD-ALYESKA; ED	\$1,675,000	\$1,675,000	IN (H) RULES	BYLSMA
~~HB 750~~	~~SPEC APPROP/DEPT NAT RES/REPAIR/PERSEVERANCE TRAIL; ED~~	~~\$400,000~~	~~\$400,000~~	~~IN (H) TRANSPORTA~~	~~MILLER~~
HB 751	APPROP/GRANT/SENIOR CITIZENS HOME/BARROW; ED	\$1,650,000	\$1,650,000	IN (H) RULES	ADAMS
~~HB 760~~	~~SPEC APPROP/DEPT/MILITARY AFFAIRS/NATL CD ARMORY; ED~~	~~\$1,800,000~~	~~\$1,800,000~~	~~IN (H) STATE AFFA~~	~~MOSS~~
HB 763	APPROP/AK COURT SYSTEM; ED	\$40,609,000	\$40,609,000	IN (H) FINANCE	GOVERNOR
HB 765	SPEC APPROP/KETCHIKAN/CONST ELEM SCHOOL; ED	\$10,000,000	\$10,000,000	IN (H) HESS	GARDINER
HB 769	SPEC APPROP/DOTPF/DEV&CONST FACILITIES/COAL; ED	\$200,000,000	\$200,000,000	IN (H) TRANSPORTA	METCALFE
HB 775	SPEC APPROP/AK VETERANS LOAN FUND/DEPT/COM&ECON DEV; E	\$80,000,000	\$80,000,000	IN (H) STATE AFFA	STATE AFFAIRS
HB 777	SPEC APPROP/GRANT/ANIAK/ATHLETIC FLD/CITY PARK; ED	\$180,000	\$180,000	IN (H) C&RA	VASKA
HB 778	SPEC APPROP/ANIAK/WASTE HEAT UTILIZATION GREENHOUSE; E	\$500,000	\$500,000	IN (H) RESOURCES	VASKA
HB 779	SPEC APPROP/GRANT/ANIAK/DAY CARE CENTER; ED	\$290,000	\$290,000	IN (H) HESS	VASKA
HB 780	SPEC APPROP/GRANT/ANIAK/REPLAT; ED	\$40,000	\$40,000	IN (H) C&RA	VASKA
HB 781	SPEC APPROP/GRANT/ANIAK/EMERGENCY DIKE EXTENSION; ED	\$200,000	\$200,000	IN (H) C&RA	VASKA
HB 782	SPEC APPROP/GRANT/ANIAK/MORGAN'S ROAD IMPROVEMENTS; ED	\$270,000	\$270,000	IN (H) TRANSPORTA	VASKA
HB 783	SPEC APPROP/GRANT/ANIAK/LANDFILL MAINTENANCE EQUIP; ED	\$165,000	\$165,000	IN (H) C&RA	VASKA
HB 784	SPEC APPROP/GRANT/BETHEL/ROAD IMPROVEMENTS; ED	\$600,000	\$600,000	IN (H) TRANSPORTA	VASKA
~~HB 785~~	~~SPEC APPROP/GRANT/ATHAULTLUK/FIRE PROTECTION; ED~~	~~\$55,000~~	~~\$55,000~~	~~IN (H) C&RA~~	~~VASKA~~
~~HB 786~~	~~SPEC APPROP/GRANT/ANIAK/WATER & SEWER SYSTEM; ED~~	~~\$407,000~~	~~\$407,000~~	~~IN (H) C&RA~~	~~VASKA~~

LOAN PROGRAM CASH APPROPRIATIONS

(\$ Millions)

House
HCS CS
SB 322
(Finance)

Senate
SCS CS
HB 666
(Finance)

FY 82

AHFC

Special Mortgage Purchase Program	222.0	-	105.0
Mobile Home Program	18.0	45.0	-
Rural Owner-Occupied	20.0	-	-
Rural Non-Owner-Occupied	5.0	-	-
Home Ownership Program	-	-	80.0
C&RA Non-Conforming Housing Loans	40.0	36.0 + 9.0	25.5
C&RA Senior Citizens Housing	-	10.0	-
Agricultural Loans	14.0	10.0	10.05
AIDA	8.0	-	-
Scholarship Loans - General Funds	48.8	52.0	51.1
Federal Funds	-	1.0	6.4
Rural Electrification Loans	6.5 - 6.5	-	-

Division of Business Loans

Commercial fishing	4.5	8.0	9.4
Fishermans Mortgage and Note	1.8	2.0	1.8
Fisheries enhancement	8.0	17.0	10.0
Child care facilities	.2	.4	.2
Residential care facilities	.1	-	.08
Alternative technology and energy	5.5 - 1.25	4.0	4.0
Residential energy conservation	5.5 - 1.25	4.5	4.5
Bulk fuel	1.0	1.0	1.0
Historical districts	.2	.5	.5
Mining	20.0	15.0	7.5

SPECIAL OR NEW PROGRAM APPROPRIATIONS

NUMBER	ABBREVIATED TITLE	GENERAL FUND	ALL FUNDS	CURRENT STATUS	SPONSOR/REQUESTER
~~HB 24~~	~~APPROPS/PERMANENT FUND/DEPT OF REVENUES/HB642; ED~~	~~\$430,092,100~~	~~\$430,092,100~~	~~FAILED (H) ON RECONS~~	~~RANDOLPH~~
HB 126	SPEC APPROP/AGRICULTURAL DEV/NENANA-TOTCHAKET; ED	\$10,050,000	\$10,050,000	IN (H) RULES	MOSS
HB 136	APPROP/DEPT NAT RES/MUNI GRANT/SEWARD; ED	\$4,054,000	\$4,054,000	CHAPTER 0008 SLA 82	FULLER
~~HB 244~~	~~SPEC APPROP/HOSPITAL EXPAN/PETERSBURG/WRANGELL; ED~~	~~\$13,600,000~~	~~\$13,600,000~~	~~IN (H) FINANCE~~	~~HAUGEN~~
~~HB 273~~	~~SPEC APPROP/ROAD RECONST/SE COMM; ED~~	~~\$7,400,000~~	~~\$7,400,000~~	~~IN (H) FINANCE~~	~~HAUGEN~~
~~HB 284~~	~~SPEC APPROP/GRANT/NENANA/FERRY CONSTRUCT; ED~~	~~\$8,600,000~~	~~\$8,600,000~~	~~IN (H) FINANCE~~	~~ROGERS~~
HB 333	SPEC APPROP/DEVELOPMENT/NENANA-TOTCHAKET AREA; ED	\$27,355,255	\$27,355,255	IN (H) RULES	MOSS
HB 344	SPEC APPROP/DEPT PUB SAFETY/FINGERPRINT SYSTEM; ED	\$2,718,200	\$2,718,200	IN (S) STATE AFFA	ANDERSON
HB 348	SPEC APPROP/DEPT PUB SAFETY/AVALANCHE WARNING SYSTEM; E	\$446,000	\$446,000	AWAITING CONC/RECD	MILLER
~~HB 349~~	~~SPEC APPROP/HOMER/HOSPITAL EXPANSION/IMPROVE; ED~~	~~\$4,000,000~~	~~\$4,000,000~~	~~IN (H) FINANCE~~	~~MALONE~~
HB 365	SPEC APPROP/CORDOVA/FEASIBILITY STUDY/HOSPITAL CARE; E	\$650,000	\$650,000	IN (H) RULES	CATO
HB 370	SPEC APPROP/DEPT C&RA/AK NAT FNDTN 1981 SUMMER PRGM; E	\$109,215	\$109,215	IN (H) RULES	MOSS
HB 453	SPEC APPROP/AK SEAFOOD MARKETING INSTITUTE; ED	\$3,000,000	\$3,000,000	FREE CONFCOMM APPTD	RESOURCES
HB 457	SPEC APPROP/CHENEGA VILLAGE RELOCATION; ED	\$225,000	\$225,000	IN (S) FINANCE	CATO
HB 465	SPEC APPROP/VISION/HEARING SCREENING PRGM; ED	\$276,295	\$276,295	IN (H) RULES	ZHAROFF
HB 501	SPEC APPROP/DEPT ED/UNIV WITHIN WALLS PRGM; ED	\$1,330,000	\$1,330,000	IN (H) RULES	FULLER
HB 549	SPEC APPROP/ADMIN/COST ANALYSIS/HEALTH COMMUN; ED	\$130,000	\$130,000	IN (S) FINANCE	FULLER
~~HB 625~~	~~SPEC APPROP/DOTPF/CONST/SCHL MAINTENANCE BLDG/SITKA; E~~	~~\$1,317,250~~	~~\$1,317,250~~	~~IN (H) HESS~~	~~GRUSSENDORF~~
HB 626	SPEC APPROP/DOTPF/SCHOOL GYM RENOVATION/SITKA; ED	\$1,859,165	\$1,859,165	IN (H) HESS	GRUSSENDORF
HB 628	SPEC APPROP/DOTPF/CONST/DOCK/WHSE/YAKUTAT; ED	\$4,800,000	\$4,800,000	IN (H) FINANCE	GRUSSENDORF
HB 629	SPEC APPROP/DOTPF/RDWAY/STARRIGAVAN BAY/KATLIAN RVR; ED	\$51,102,900	\$51,102,900	IN (H) TRANSPORTA	GRUSSENDORF
HB 631	SPEC APPROP/DILLINGHAM/DOCK & HARBOR IMPROVEMENTS; ED	\$12,000,000	\$12,000,000	IN (H) FINANCE	CHUCKWUK
HB 645	SPEC APPROP/DEPT/NAT RES/EVALUATE/AK AGRICULTURE; ED	\$1,200,000	\$1,200,000	IN (H) RESOURCES	MOSS
HB 646	SPEC APPROP/SCHOLARSHIP REVOLVING LOAN FUND; ED	\$7,500,000	\$7,500,000	IN (H) FINANCE	O'CONNELL
~~HB 671~~	~~SPEC APPROP/STUDENT RESIDENTIAL COMPLEX/U OF AK/ANCH, E~~	~~\$15,000,000~~	~~\$15,000,000~~	~~IN (H) HESS~~	~~MARTIN~~

SPECIAL OR NEW PROGRAM APPROPRIATIONS

NUMBER	ABBREVIATED TITLE	GENERAL FUND	ALL FUNDS	CURRENT STATUS	SPONSOR/REQUESTER
~~HB 676~~	~~SPEC APPROP/DOTPF/WILLOW CREEK RD/USER FACILITIES; ED~~	~~\$3,935,000~~	~~\$3,935,000~~	~~IN (H) FINANCE~~	~~GOTTEN~~
HB 682	SPEC/APPROP/FBKS NORTH STAR BOROUGH SCHL DIST; ED	\$3,351,090	\$3,351,090	IN (H) HESS	MOSS
HB 686	APPROP ADJUST/AID TO FAMILIES W/DEPENDENT CHILDREN	\$000	\$000	IN (H) FINANCE	GOVERNOR
HB 690	SPEC APPROP/DOTPF/CONSTR/PED-BICYCLE PATH/KODIAK; ED	\$201,500	\$201,500	IN (H) TRANSPORTA	ZHAROFF
HB 691	SPEC APPROP/DOTPF/CONSTR/PIONEERS' HOME/KODIAK; ED	\$7,000,000	\$7,000,000	IN (H) STATE AFFA	ZHAROFF
~~HB 692~~	~~SPEC APPROP/DOTPF/CONSTR/SENIOR CITIZEN CNTR/KODIAK; E~~	~~\$3,000,000~~	~~\$3,000,000~~	~~IN (H) STATE AFFA~~	~~ZHAROFF~~
HB 696	SPEC APPROP/VOCATIONAL ED & EMPLOYMENT TRAINING; ED	\$380,000	\$380,000	IN (S) HESS	SUTCLIFFE
HB 699	SPEC APPROP/UNIV OF AK/CAREAGE HOUSE/STUDENT HOUSING; E	\$2,200,000	\$2,200,000	IN (H) RULES	MARTIN
~~HB 700~~	~~SPEC APPROP/FBK N. STAR BOROUGH/HOSP EXPANS/IMPROV; ED~~	~~\$20,000,000~~	~~\$20,000,000~~	~~IN (H) FINANCE~~	~~BETTISNORTH~~
HB 702	SPEC APPROP/DEPT/C&RA/SUPPL HSNG GRANT FUND; ED	\$16,000,000	\$16,000,000	IN (H) RULES	DUNCAN
~~HB 707~~	~~SPEC APPROP/KODIAK/PORT FACLT/ TERRACING/PILLAR MIN; E~~	~~\$1,000,000~~	~~\$1,000,000~~	~~IN (H) FINANCE~~	~~ZHAROFF~~
HB 710	SPEC APPROP/ESTAB PUBLIC DEFENDER OFCS/KODIAK/DLHM; ED	\$378,200	\$378,200	IN (H) STATE AFFA	ZHAROFF
HB 712	SPEC APPROP/DOTPF/AIRPORT RELOCATION/TOKSOOK BAY; ED	\$3,500,000	\$3,500,000	IN (H) TRANSPORTA	VASKA
HB 713	SPEC APPROP/AKIACHAK/CONST MULTI-PURPOSE FACILITY; ED	\$6,000,000	\$6,000,000	IN (H) C&RA	VASKA
HB 714	SPEC APPROP/AKIACHAK/CONST/SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL; ED	\$500,000	\$500,000	IN (H) C&RA	VASKA
HB 715	SPEC APPROP/AKIACHAK/CONST/CITY DOCK; ED	\$650,000	\$650,000	IN (H) TRANSPORTA	VASKA
HB 716	SPEC APPROP/AKIACHAK/DREDGE THE AKIACHAK SLOUGH; ED	\$400,000	\$400,000	IN (H) TRANSPORTA	VASKA
HB 717	SPEC APPROP/AKIACHAK/WATER&SEWER LINE/HIGH SCHOOL; ED	\$350,000	\$350,000	IN (H) C&RA	VASKA
HB 719	SPEC APPROP/DOTPF/CONST STATE OFC BLDG/KODIAK	\$2,800,000	\$2,800,000	IN (H) STATE AFFA	ZHAROFF
HB 727	SPEC APPROP/CHEFORNAK/CONST BOARDWALK/WASTE DISPOSAL; E	\$100,000	\$100,000	IN (H) TRANSPORTA	VASKA
HB 728	SPEC APPROP/BETHEL/HARBOR CONSTRUCTION; ED	\$1,428,500	\$1,428,500	IN (H) TRANSPORTA	VASKA
HB 729	SPEC APPROP/BETHEL/EROSION CONTROL; ED	\$5,056,000	\$5,056,000	IN (H) TRANSPORTA	VASKA
HB 730	SPEC APPROP/MEKORYUK/SLAUGHTERHOUSE CONST; ED	\$250,000	\$250,000	IN (H) C&RA	VASKA
HB 731	SPEC APPROP/BETHEL/RECREATION CNTR CONST; ED	\$8,200,000	\$8,200,000	IN (H) C&RA	VASKA
~~HB 732~~	~~SPEC APPROP/BETHEL/CONST MUNICIPAL PARKS; ED~~	~~\$100,000~~	~~\$100,000~~	~~IN (H) C&RA~~	~~VASKA~~

SPECIAL OR NEW PROGRAM APPROPRIATIONS

NUMBER	ABBREVIATED TITLE	GENERAL FUND	ALL FUNDS	CURRENT STATUS	SPONSOR/REQUESTER
~~HB 733~~	~~SPEC APPROP/LNR KALSKAG/EQUIPMENT/CONST FIRE STATION; E~~	~~\$262,000~~	~~\$262,000~~	~~IN (H) C&RA~~	~~VASKA~~
HB 734	SPEC APPROP/BETHEL/CONST PUB UTILITIES MAINTENANCE; ED	\$1,200,000	\$1,200,000	IN (H) C&RA	VASKA
HB 735	SPEC APPROP/CHEFORNAK/ELECTRICAL POWER/HUD HOUSING; ED	\$114,000	\$114,000	IN (H) C&RA	VASKA
HB 736	SPEC APPROP/DOTPF/RIVERFRONT IMPROVEMENTS/CHEFORNAK; E	\$400,000	\$400,000	IN (H) TRANSPORTA	VASKA
HB 737	SPEC APPROP/AKOLMIUT/CONST BUNKERS & OUTHOUSES; ED	\$31,600	\$31,600	IN (H) C&RA	VASKA
HB 738	SPEC APPROP/KWETHLUK/CONST EXAM ROOM/HLTH CNTR; ED	\$132,000	\$132,000	IN (H) HESS	VASKA
~~HB 739~~	~~SPEC APPROP/NAPAKIAK/PURCHASE/SANITATION VEHICLE; ED~~	~~\$75,000~~	~~\$75,000~~	~~IN (H) C&RA~~	~~VASKA~~
HB 749	SPEC APPROP/DOTPF/GIRDWOOD-ALYESKA; ED	\$1,675,000	\$1,675,000	IN (H) RULES	BYLSMA
~~HB 750~~	~~SPEC APPROP/DEPT/NAT RES/REPAIR/PERSEVERANCE TRAIL; ED~~	~~\$400,000~~	~~\$400,000~~	~~IN (H) TRANSPORTA~~	~~MILLER~~
HB 751	APPROP/GRANT/SENIOR CITIZENS HOME/BARROW; ED	\$1,650,000	\$1,650,000	IN (H) RULES	ADAMS
~~HB 760~~	~~SPEC APPROP/DEPT/MILITARY AFFAIRS/NATL GD ARMORY; ED~~	~~\$1,800,000~~	~~\$1,800,000~~	~~IN (H) STATE AFFA~~	~~MOSS~~
HB 763	APPROP/AK COURT SYSTEM; ED	\$40,609,000	\$40,609,000	IN (H) FINANCE	GOVERNOR
HB 765	SPEC APPROP/KETCHIKAN/CONST ELEM SCHOOL; ED	\$10,000,000	\$10,000,000	IN (H) HESS	GARDINER
HB 769	SPEC APPROP/DOTPF/DEV&CONST FACILITIES/COAL; ED	\$200,000,000	\$200,000,000	IN (H) TRANSPORTA	METCALFE
HB 775	SPEC APPROP/AK VETERANS LOAN FUND/DEPT/COM&ECON DEV; E	\$80,000,000	\$80,000,000	IN (H) STATE AFFA	STATE AFFAIRS
HB 777	SPEC APPROP/GRANT/ANIAK/ATHLETIC FLD/CITY PARK; ED	\$180,000	\$180,000	IN (H) C&RA	VASKA
HB 778	SPEC APPROP/ANIAK/WASTE HEAT UTILIZATION GREENHOUSE; E	\$500,000	\$500,000	IN (H) RESOURCES	VASKA
HB 779	SPEC APPROP/GRANT/ANIAK/DAY CARE CENTER; ED	\$290,000	\$290,000	IN (H) HESS	VASKA
HB 780	SPEC APPROP/GRANT/ANIAK/REPLAT; ED	\$40,000	\$40,000	IN (H) C&RA	VASKA
HB 781	SPEC APPROP/GRANT/ANIAK/EMERGENCY DIKE EXTENSION; ED	\$200,000	\$200,000	IN (H) C&RA	VASKA
HB 782	SPEC APPROP/GRANT/ANIAK/MORGAN'S ROAD IMPROVEMENTS; ED	\$270,000	\$270,000	IN (H) TRANSPORTA	VASKA
HB 783	SPEC APPROP/GRANT/ANIAK/LANDFILL MAINTENANCE EQUIP; ED	\$165,000	\$165,000	IN (H) C&RA	VASKA
HB 784	SPEC APPROP/GRANT/BETHEL/ROAD IMPROVEMENTS; ED	\$600,000	\$600,000	IN (H) TRANSPORTA	VASKA
~~HB 785~~	~~SPEC APPROP/GRANT/ATNAUTLUAK/FIRE PROTECTION; ED~~	~~\$55,000~~	~~\$55,000~~	~~IN (H) C&RA~~	~~VASKA~~
~~HB 786~~	~~SPEC APPROP/GRANT/ANIAK/WATER & SEWER SYSTEM; ED~~	~~\$407,000~~	~~\$407,000~~	~~IN (H) C&RA~~	~~VASKA~~

SPECIAL OR NEW PROGRAM APPROPRIATIONS

NUMBER	ABBREVIATED TITLE	GENERAL FUND	ALL FUNDS	CURRENT STATUS	SPONSOR/REQUESTER
~~HB 787~~	~~SPEC APPROP/GRANT/AKIAK/MAINTENANCE/UNPAVED RDS; ED~~	~~\$1,000,000~~	~~\$1,000,000~~	~~IN (H) TRANSPORTA~~	~~VASKA~~
HB 789	SPEC APPROP/HEALTH PROMOTION ACCT/DEPT/HESS; ED	\$6,000,000	\$6,000,000	IN (H) HESS	BUCHHOLDT
HB 797	SPEC APPROP/DEPT/HESS/CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES; ED	\$11,000,000	\$11,000,000	IN (H) HESS	BETTISNORTH
~~HB 801~~	~~SPEC APPROP/GRANT/KODIAK/DAY CARE CENTER; ED~~	~~\$750,000~~	~~\$750,000~~	~~IN (H) FINANCE~~	~~ZHAROFF~~
HB 804	SPEC APPROP/CORDOVA/BERING RVR COAL FIELD PORT; ED	\$465,500	\$465,500	IN (H) RULES	CATO
~~HB 809~~	~~SPEC APPROP/OF C OF GOV/CMEN/STATUS ON MEN; ED~~	~~\$80,000~~	~~\$80,000~~	~~IN (H) STATE AFFA~~	~~BEIRNE~~
HB 812	SPEC APPROP/DEPT/EDUCATION/FRESHMEN/MT EDGE CUMBE HS; E	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	IN (S) HESS	ADAMS
~~HB 813~~	~~SPEC APPROP/OF AK/DORMITORY CONST/KUSKOKWIM CC; ED~~	~~\$1,344,000~~	~~\$1,344,000~~	~~IN (H) HESS~~	~~VASKA~~
HB 814	SPEC APPROP/DEPT/C&RA/GRANT/YUKON-KUSKOWIM OIL/GAS; ED	\$200,200	\$200,200	IN (H) C&RA	VASKA
HB 815	SPEC APPROP/DEPT/HESS/GRANT/YUKON-KUSKOKWIM CORP; ED	\$710,700	\$710,700	IN (H) HESS	VASKA
HB 816	SPEC APPROP/DEPT/HESS/GRANT/YUKON-KUSKOKWIM HLTH; ED	\$250,000	\$250,000	IN (H) HESS	VASKA
HB 817	SPEC APPROP/GRANT/TULUKSAK/FIRE PROTECTION EQUIP; ED	\$55,000	\$55,000	IN (H) C&RA	VASKA
HB 818	SPEC APPROP/GRANT/AKIAK/RIVER BANK PROTECTION; ED	\$200,000	\$200,000	IN (H) TRANSPORTA	VASKA
HB 819	SPEC APPROP/DOTPF/CONST/FIRE STATION/BETHEL; ED	\$1,225,000	\$1,225,000	IN (H) TRANSPORTA	VASKA
HB 820	SPEC APPROP/DOTPF/FIRE TRUCK/BETHEL AIRPORT; ED	\$225,000	\$225,000	IN (H) TRANSPORTA	VASKA
HB 821	SPEC APPROP/DEPT/HESS/BETHEL/PREMATERNAL HOME; ED	\$75,000	\$75,000	IN (H) HESS	VASKA
HB 822	SPEC APPROP/GRANT/BETHEL/PHILLIPS ALCOHOLISM CNTR; ED	\$350,000	\$350,000	IN (H) HESS	VASKA
HB 823	SPEC APPROP/GRANT/BETHEL/CENTENNIAL CENTER; ED	\$4,900,000	\$4,900,000	IN (H) C&RA	VASKA
HB 825	SPEC APPROP/DEPT/COM&ECON/GRANT/VILLAGE CNCL/FISHING; E	\$250,000	\$250,000	IN (H) RESOURCES	VASKA
HB 826	SPEC APPROP/GRANT/EEK/FIRE PROTECTION EQUIPMENT; ED	\$55,000	\$55,000	IN (H) C&RA	VASKA
HB 827	SPEC APPROP/DEPT/COM&ECON/GRANT/ENERGY VILLAGE PRGM; E	\$138,000	\$138,000	IN (H) RESOURCES	VASKA
HB 828	SPEC APPROP/DOTPF/CONST/GRADE SCHL/LWR KALS KAG; ED	\$230,000	\$230,000	IN (H) HESS	VASKA
HB 829	SPEC APPROP/GRANT/KALS KAG/CONST/CITY OFFICE COMPLEX; ED	\$200,000	\$200,000	IN (H) C&RA	VASKA
HB 830	SPEC APPROP/GRANT/KALS KAG/DEVELOP/RECREATIONAL AREA; ED	\$25,000	\$25,000	IN (H) C&RA	VASKA
~~HB 839~~	~~SPEC APPROP/AIRPORT FACILITIES; ED~~	~~\$50,000,000~~	~~\$50,000,000~~	~~IN (H) FINANCE~~	~~ADAMS~~

SPECIAL OR NEW PROGRAM APPROPRIATIONS

NUMBER	ABBREVIATED TITLE	GENERAL FUND	ALL FUNDS	CURRENT STATUS	SPONSOR/REQUESTER
~~HB 840~~	~~SPEC APPROP/WATER & SEWER/MAINTENANCE; ED~~	~~\$25,000,000~~	~~\$25,000,000~~	~~IN (H) FINANCE~~	~~ADAMS~~
HB 841	SPEC APPROP/DOTPF/PIONEERS' HOME/JUNEAU; ED	\$5,070,000	\$5,070,000	IN (H) HESS	DUNCAN
HB 865	SPEC APPROP/DEPT/ADMIN/ARCTIC RESEARCH LABORATORY; ED	\$8,000,000	\$8,000,000	IN (H) RESOURCES	ADAMS
HB 867	SPEC APPROP/UNIV OF AK/SALARIES/FY83; ED	\$7,759,400	\$9,846,900	IN (H) HESS	MEEKINS
HB 872	SPEC APPROP/FEDERAL BUDGET IMPACT FUND; ED	\$20,000,000	\$20,000,000	FAILED (H) ON RECONS	FINANCE
HB 875	APPROPS/STATE LOAN/GRANT PROGRAMS	\$399,130,000	\$399,130,000	IN (H) LOANS	MEEKINS
~~HB 880~~	~~SPEC APPROP/UNIV OF AK/REINDEER FEASIBILITY STUDY; ED~~	~~\$260,000~~	~~\$260,000~~	~~IN (H) RESOURCES~~	~~VASKA~~
SB 103	APPROP/EMERGENCY EXP ACCT/FISHERY PRODUCT LN FND; ED	\$170,000	\$170,000	AWAITING CONC/RECD	KERTTULA
SB 150	APPROP/CAPITAL PROJECTS; ED	\$3,800,000	\$3,800,000	PASSED (H)-RECON.	NTCE BENNETT
SB 162	SPEC APPROP/DEPT COM/AK AGRIC CNCL/GRAIN MKTG SYSTEM	\$8,500,000	\$8,500,000	CHAPTER 0010 SLA 82	FAHRENKAMP
SB 203	APPROP/DEPT EDUCATION; ED	\$12,834,754	\$12,834,754	CHAPTER 0009 SLA 82	GOVERNOR
SB 205	SPEC APPROP/FAIRBANKS/ANCH/NORDIC SKI CLUBS; ED	\$35,000	\$35,000	IN (H) RULES	FAHRENKAMP
~~SB 215~~	~~SPEC APPROP/UNIV OF AK/MEDICAL RESEARCH; ED~~	~~\$271,147~~	~~\$271,147~~	~~IN (S) FINANCE~~	~~COLLETTA~~
~~SB 222~~	~~MAKE APPROP/STATE LOAN/GRANT PROGRAMS; ED~~	~~\$205,400,000~~	~~\$215,386,100~~	~~CONF CMTE APPTD~~	~~GOVERNOR~~
~~SB 430~~	~~SPEC APPROP/PORT HEIDEN/EROSION CONTROL; ED~~	~~\$100,000~~	~~\$100,000~~	~~IN (S) FINANCE~~	~~HOHMAN~~
SB 465	SPEC APPROP/ANIAK/CONST/DIKE EXTENSION; ED	\$200,000	\$200,000	IN (S) FINANCE	HOHMAN
SB 466	SPEC APPROP/ANIAK/CONSTRUCTION OF A SLOUGH BRIDGE; ED	\$50,000	\$50,000	IN (S) FINANCE	HOHMAN
~~SB 485~~	~~SPEC APPROP/ANIAK/IMPROVEMENTS/MORGAN'S RD; ED~~	~~\$100,000~~	~~\$100,000~~	~~IN (S) FINANCE~~	~~HOHMAN~~
SB 489	SPEC APPROP/BD OF REGENTS/U OF AK/GEN ENDOWMENT; ED	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	RET (S) RULES	PARR
~~SB 608~~	~~SPEC APPROP/AK POWER AUTHORITY/SUSITNA RVR HYDRO; ED~~	~~\$1,000,000,000~~	~~\$1,000,000,000~~	~~IN (S) FINANCE~~	~~KERTTULA~~
SB 614	SPEC APPROP/RURAL ELECTRIFICATION REVOLVING LN FUND; E	\$50,000,000	\$50,000,000	IN (S) LABOR & CO	KERTTULA
SB 616	SPEC APPROP/U OF AK/ANCHORAGE/CONST/SCHOOL/MEDICINE; E	\$30,000,000	\$30,000,000	IN (S) FINANCE	KERTTULA
SB 617	APPROPS/U OF AK/CAPITAL PROJECTS; ED	\$8,800,000	\$8,800,000	IN (S) FINANCE	KERTTULA
SB 618	SPEC APPROP/U OF AK/ARTS & SCIENCES FACILITY/ANCH; ED	\$30,000,000	\$30,000,000	IN (S) HESS	KERTTULA
~~SB 619~~	~~SPEC APPROP/U OF AK/SCHOOL OF LAW/LIBRARY/ANCHORAGE; E~~	~~\$20,000,000~~	~~\$20,000,000~~	~~IN (S) HESS~~	~~KERTTULA~~

-226-

4/30/82

SUMMARY OF LEGISLATION WITH 2ND-YEAR FISCAL IMPACT
SPECIAL OR NEW PROGRAM APPROPRIATIONS

04/23/82

PAGE 6

NUMBER	ABBREVIATED TITLE	GENERAL FUND	ALL FUNDS	CURRENT STATUS	SPONSOR/REQUESTER
~~SB 622~~	~~SPEC APPROP/UNIV OF AK/STUDENT RESIDENTIAL/ANCHORAGE; ED~~	~~\$15,000,000~~	~~\$15,000,000~~	~~IN (S) HESS~~	~~BRADLEY~~
SB 636	SPEC APPROP/DOTPF/STUDY/GRAVINA ISLAND CROSSING; ED	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	IN (S) TRANSPORTA	ZIEGLER
SB 640	SPEC APPROP/DRUG ABUSE GRANT PROGRAM	\$10,000,000	\$10,000,000	IN (S) HESS	COLLETTA
SB 644	SPEC APPROP/DPT/REVENUE/ED ENDOWMENT&AK PERM FUND; ED	\$500,000,000	\$500,000,000	IN (S) HESS	COLLETTA
SB 657	SPEC APPROP/UNIV OF AK/LAND/ANCHORAGE CAMPUS; ED	\$11,500,000	\$11,500,000	IN (S) FINANCE	STURGULEWSKI
SB 660	SPEC APPROP/DEPT HLTH&SS/JORDAN CREEK BIRTH CNTR; ED	\$51,000	\$51,000	IN (S) FINANCE	RAY
SB 672	SPEC APPROP/DEPT C&RA/MUNICIPALITIES; ED	\$1,273,101	\$1,273,101	IN (S) FINANCE	SACKETT
SB 674	SPEC APPROP/VISION & HEARING SCREENING PRGM; ED	\$276,295	\$276,295	IN (S) HESS	STIMSON
SB 675	SPEC APPROP/LEGIS FINANCE/BRISTOL BAY SALMON QUALITY; E	\$266,150	\$266,150	IN (S) RESOURCES	HOHMAN
SB 676	SPEC APPROP/DILLINGHAM/DUST SUPPRESSION; ED	\$70,000	\$70,000	IN (S) FINANCE	HOHMAN
SB 678	SPEC APPROP/LEGIS FIN/BRISTOL BAY GROUND&SHELL FISH; E	\$441,800	\$441,800	IN (S) RESOURCES	HOHMAN
SB 679	SPEC APPROP/DILLINGHAM/SIDEWALKS & BICYCLE PATHS; ED	\$500,000	\$500,000	IN (S) FINANCE	HOHMAN
SB 680	SPEC APPROP/DILLINGHAM/EROSION CONTROL OF THE BEACH; E	\$5,000,000	\$5,000,000	IN (S) FINANCE	HOHMAN
SB 682	SPEC APPROP/TEMPERATE SOCIAL ACTIVITIES REV LN FND; ED	\$15,000,000	\$15,000,000	IN (S) HESS	HOHMAN
SB 683	SPEC APPROP/DOTPF/W NORTHERN LIGHTS BLVD/ANCH; ED	\$18,840,000	\$18,840,000	IN (S) TRANSPORTA	DANKWORTH
SB 688	SPEC APPROP/DEPT/PUB SAFETY/FINGERPRINT SYSTEM; ED	\$4,282,900	\$4,282,900	IN (S) FINANCE	RODEY
SB 691	SPEC APPROP/FBKS H. STAR BOROUGH/FBKS MEMORIAL HOSP; E	\$20,000,000	\$20,000,000	IN (S) FINANCE	FAHRENKAMP
SB 695	SPEC APPROP/UNIV OF AK/N ENGINEERING RESEARCH FAC/FBKS	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	IN (S) FINANCE	PARR
SB 703	SPEC APPROP/DEPT/FISH&GAME/SHELLFISH/BERING SEA; ED	\$2,500,000	\$2,500,000	IN (S) RESOURCES	BRADLEY
SB 705	SPEC APPROP/DEPT/MILITARY AFFAIRS/CONST MEMORIAL; ED	\$500,000	\$500,000	IN (S) FINANCE	BRADLEY
SB 706	SPEC APPROP/DEPT/NAT RES/CONST/CARETAKER FACILITY; ED	\$200,000	\$200,000	IN (S) FINANCE	BRADLEY
SB 708	SPEC APPROP/DEPT/HESS/EMERGENCY MEDICAL EVACUATION; ED	\$500,000	\$500,000	IN (S) HESS	BRADLEY
SB 711	SPEC APPROP/DEPT/REVENUE/MENTAL HEALTH FUND; ED	\$84,295,000	\$84,295,000	IN (S) RESOURCES	HESS
SB 718	SPEC APPROP/SCHOLARSHIP REVOLVING LOAN FUND; ED	\$25,000,000	\$25,000,000	IN (S) HESS	STIMSON
~~SB 721~~	~~SPEC APPROP/DEPT/ED/PURCHASE OF COMPUTERS/GOHL DIST; ED~~	~~\$1,275,000~~	~~\$1,275,000~~	~~IN (S) FINANCE~~	~~STIMSON~~

SPECIAL OR NEW PROGRAM APPROPRIATIONS

NUMBER	ABBREVIATED TITLE	GENERAL FUND	ALL FUNDS	CURRENT STATUS	SPONSOR/REQUESTER
~~SB 722~~	~~SPEC APPROP/DEPT/ED/COMPUTER NETWORK STUDY; ED~~	~~\$50,000~~	~~\$50,000~~	~~IN (S) FINANCE~~	~~STIMSON~~
~~SB 728~~	~~SPEC APPROP/DOTPF/GIRDWOOD ALYESKA PATHWAY; ED~~	~~\$1,675,000~~	~~\$1,675,000~~	~~IN (S) FINANCE~~	~~COLLETTA~~
SB 737	SPEC APPROP/BIRTH DEFECTS/FEDERAL BUDGET IMPACT FND; E	\$20,100,000	\$20,100,000	FIN. CS ADOPTED (H)	PARR
~~SB 718~~	~~SPEC APPROP/DEPT/NAT RES/REPAIR/PERSEVERANCE TRAIL; ED~~	~~\$400,000~~	~~\$400,000~~	~~IN (S) FINANCE~~	~~RAY~~
~~SB 742~~	~~SPEC APPROP/DEPT/COM&ECON DEV/HOME IMPROVE/ENERGY; ED~~	~~\$25,000,000~~	~~\$25,000,000~~	~~IN (S) LABOR & CO~~	~~DANKWORTH~~
SB 744	APPROP/OPERATION EXP/STATE GOVERNMENT; ED	\$8,631,400	\$8,631,400	FIN CS ADOPTED (H)	GOVERNOR
SB 746	SPEC APPROP/DPT/COM&ECON/FILM/AK MINING/ARCTIC GAMES; E	\$176,000	\$176,000	IN (H) RULES	RESOURCES
~~SB 758~~	~~SPEC APPROP/DOTPF/STUDY/RAILROAD/BE LONG/SEWARD HOME; E~~	~~\$400,000~~	~~\$400,000~~	~~IN (S) TRANSPORTA~~	~~FERGUSON~~
~~SB 764~~	~~SPEC APPROP/DOTPF/PIONEERS' HOME/JUNEAU; ED~~	~~\$5,070,000~~	~~\$5,070,000~~	~~IN (S) HESS~~	~~RAY~~
SB 772	SPEC APPROP/DEPT/NAT RES/CONST PLANT QUARANTINE; ED	\$400,000	\$400,000	IN (H) FINANCE	KERTTULA
~~SB 770~~	~~SPEC APPROP/DEPT/VETERANS HOME IMPROVE/LOAN FUND; ED~~	~~\$10,000,000~~	~~\$10,000,000~~	~~IN (S) LABOR & CO~~	~~BRADLEY~~
SB 788	SPEC APPROP/DEPT/REVENUE/FISHERMEN'S GEAR DAMAGE; ED	\$1,100,000	\$1,100,000	IN (S) RESOURCES	STIMSON
SB 791	SPEC APPROP/LAA/LEGIS INFO OFCS/TELECONFERENCE CNTRS; E	\$1,308,330	\$1,308,330	IN (S) STATE AFFA	FERGUSON
SB 793	APPROPS/DEPT/TRANS/ACCESS, HWY&HARBOR IMPROV/WHITTIER; E	\$60,100,000	\$60,100,000	IN (S) TRANSPORTA	FISCHER
SB 808	SPEC APPROP/DEPT/ED/BUREAU/INDIAN AFFAIRS/ED; ED	\$35,000,000	\$35,000,000	IN (S) HESS	FERGUSON
SB 809	SPEC APPROP/CITIES/REAAS/PUB BROADCAST/NATIVES; ED	\$41,587,500	\$41,587,500	IN (S) C&RA	FERGUSON
SB 837	SPEC APPROP/GRANT/NENANA/DOCK FACILITIES; ED	\$1,800,000	\$1,800,000	IN (S) FINANCE	TRANSPORTATION
SB 838	SPEC APPROP/GRANT/RIVER BANK STABIL/DOCK CONST; ED	\$22,207,000	\$22,207,000	IN (S) FINANCE	TRANSPORTATION
SB 839	SPEC APPROP/GRANT/BETHEL/COMMUN REC CENTER; ED	\$8,200,000	\$8,200,000	IN (S) FINANCE	TRANSPORTATION
SB 840	SPEC APPROP/GRANT/CORDOVA/BERING RIVER COAL FIELD; ED	\$465,500	\$465,500	IN (S) FINANCE	RESOURCES
~~SB 866~~	~~SPEC APPROP/SA CMTE/CONTRACTS/STATE SVCS; ED~~	~~\$125,000~~	~~\$125,000~~	~~IN (S) FINANCE~~	~~STATE AFFAIRS~~
~~SB 887~~	~~SPEC APPROP/REVENUE SHARING/ASSISTANCE/MUNIS; ED~~	~~\$127,021,300~~	~~\$127,021,300~~	~~IN (H) FINANCE~~	~~FINANCE~~
TOTAL SPECIAL OR NEW PROGRAM APPROPRIATIONS		\$4,044,468,247	\$4,056,541,847		

-227-

4/30/82

BOND MEASURES

NUMBER	ABBREVIATED TITLE	GENERAL FUND	ALL FUNDS	CURRENT STATUS	SPONSOR/REQUESTER
HB 160	PROVIDE G.O. BONDS/ENERGY RELATED PROJECTS; ED	\$321,409,600	\$321,409,600	IN (H) RESOURCES	ZHARDET
HB 768	PROV G.O. BONDS/CONST FACILITIES/SHIPMENT OF COAL; ED	\$200,000,000	\$200,000,000	IN (H) TRANSPORTA	METCALFE
HB 799	PROV/G.O. BONDS/TRANSPORTATION CONSTRUCTION; ED	\$189,950,000	\$189,950,000	IN (H) TRANSPORTA	METCALFE
HB 851	PROVIDE GO BOND/\$5,174,000,000/SUSITNA RVR HYDRO; ED	\$5,174,000,000	\$5,174,000,000	IN (H) RESOURCES	ROGERS
HB 878	PROVIDE G.O. BONDS/UNIV/AK/DORM FACILITIES; ED	\$43,000,000	\$43,000,000	IN (H) FINANCE	HESS
SB 137	ISSUE REV BONDS/AK MUNI BOND BANK AUTH; ED	\$000	\$20,000,000	CHAPTER 0050 SLA 81	RULES
SB 596	PROVIDE G.O. BONDS/LOANS/HOUSING FOR VETERANS; ED	\$115,000,000	\$115,000,000	IN (S) LABOR & CO	COLLETTA
SB 600	PROVIDE G.O. BONDS/LOANS/HOUSING FOR VETERANS; ED	\$182,000,000	\$182,000,000	IN (S) LABOR & CO	BRADLEY
SB 857	PROVIDE/G.O. BONDS/AGRICULTURAL DEV. FACILITIES; ED	\$800,000,000	\$800,000,000	IN (S) RESOURCES	STATE AFFAIRS
SB 888	PROVIDE G.O. BONDS/CONST/LOW-INCOME HOUSING; ED	\$17,000,000	\$17,000,000	IN (S) LABOR & CO	FINANCE
SB 889	PROVIDE G.O. BONDS/CONST/WATER & SEWER; ED	\$121,000,000	\$121,000,000	IN (S) RESOURCES	FINANCE
SB 890	PROVIDE G.O. BONDS/CONST/AIRPORT/PORT/TRSP; ED	\$1,323,000,000	\$1,323,000,000	IN (S) TRANSPORTA	FINANCE
SB 891	PROVIDE G.O. BONDS/CONST/HOSPITAL FACILITIES; ED	\$38,000,000	\$38,000,000	IN (S) HESS	FINANCE
SB 892	PROVIDE G.O. BONDS/CONST/COURT/CORRECTIONS/MILITARY; E	\$110,000,000	\$110,000,000	IN (S) STATE AFFA	FINANCE
SB 893	PROVIDE G.O. BONDS/U OF AK/CONST/PROJECTS; ED	\$232,000,000	\$232,000,000	IN (S) HESS	FINANCE
TOTAL BOND MEASURES		\$8,866,359,600	\$8,886,359,600		

FISCAL NOTES

NOTE: THE AMOUNTS SHOWN FOR THESE MEASURES ARE TAKEN FROM THE FISCAL NOTE, AS REVISED, WHICH ACCOMPANIES THE MEASURE AS REPORTED OUT BY EITHER THE HOUSE OR SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE.

A LISTING OF THESE AMOUNTS WILL BE REVIEWED BY THE FREE CONFERENCE COMMITTEE ON THE BUDGET FOR INCLUSION IN THE NEW-LEGISLATION SECTION OF THE GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT.

NUMBER	ABBREVIATED TITLE	GENERAL FUND	ALL FUNDS	CURRENT STATUS	SPONSOR/REQUESTER
~~HB 287~~	~~RE/DISPLACED HOMEMAKERS; ED~~	~~\$000~~	~~\$000~~	~~CC REPORT ADPTD (S)~~	~~CLOCKSON~~
HB 313	RE/AK FISHERIES CNTR/APPROPS TO CNTR; ED	\$418,200	\$418,200	IN (S) RESOURCES	GARDINER
HB 318	RE/CONTROL/BEE DISEASE	\$15,100	\$15,100	IN (S) RULES	MALONE
HB 321	PROV/STATE AID/MEALS/STUDENTS	\$4,437,900	\$4,437,900	IN (H) RULES	CARNEY
~~HB 357~~	~~RE/ADULT PUBLIC ASSISTANCE~~	~~\$000~~	~~\$000~~	~~IN (S) HECG~~	~~GOVERNOR~~
HB 412	RE/DENTAL DISEASE PREVENTION PRGM/SCHOOLS; ED	\$000	\$000	IN (H) RULES	BUCHHOLDT
HB 421	RE/EXEMPTION/RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY/PROPERTY TAX	\$000	\$000	IN (S) FINANCE	MALONE
HB 451	ESTAB/PRISONER TREATMENT PRGM; ED	\$000	\$000	IN (H) RULES	CLOCKSON
HB 472	RE/MAX AMTS/FISHERIES ENHANCEMENT LOAN PRGM	\$000	\$000	POSTPND 04/26/82(S)	RESOURCES
HB 487	RE/AK HOUSING FIN CORP/AK IND DEVELOPMENT AUTH	\$000	\$000	IN (H) LOANS	GOVERNOR
~~HB 524~~	~~RE/SMALL LOANS; ED~~	~~\$000~~	~~\$000~~	~~IN (S) RULES~~	~~LABOR & COMMERCE~~
HB 586	RE/PERMITS/OVERWEIGHT & OVERSIZE VEHICLES; ED	\$706,300	\$1,378,300	IN (S) FINANCE	ROGERS
HB 590	RE/SUPERIOR COURT JUDGES/FACILITIES; ED	\$519,500	\$519,500	IN (S) FINANCE	HAUGEN
~~HB 600~~	~~ESTAB UNIFORM PROCEDURES/NON PROFIT ORGANIZATION~~	~~\$000~~	~~\$000~~	~~IN (S) FINANCE~~	~~WEEKINS~~
HB 624	RE/JUDICIAL RETENTION ELECTIONS; ED	\$66,000	\$66,000	IN (H) RULES	GRUSSENDORF
HB 635	RE/IMPLEMENTS OF HUSBANDRY/HIGHWAY	\$35,000	\$35,000	IN (S) STATE AFFA	FANNING
~~HB 639~~	~~RE/SUPPLEMENTAL EMPLOYEE BENEFITS; ED~~	~~\$000~~	~~\$35,000~~	~~IN (H) RULES~~	~~RANDOLPH~~
HB 648	TRANSFER FUNCTIONS/DOTPF TO DEPT/ADMIN; ED	\$2,722,900	\$2,722,900	IN (H) RULES	TRANSPORTATION
HB 649	RE/REGIONS/DOTPF/DUTIES OF DIRECTORS; ED	\$9,720,000	\$9,720,000	IN (S) TRANSPORTA	TRANSPORTATION
~~HB 650~~	~~RE/LEGIS ADDITIONS/CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJ/GOVERNOR~~	~~\$000~~	~~\$000~~	~~IN (H) RULES~~	~~TRANSPORTATION~~
HB 651	RE/FERRY&FERRY TERMINALS/ESTAB AK MARINE HWY AUTH	\$2,230,300	\$2,230,300	IN (S) STATE AFFA	TRANSPORTATION

FISCAL NOTES

NOTE: THE AMOUNTS SHOWN FOR THESE MEASURES ARE TAKEN FROM THE FISCAL NOTE, AS REVISED, WHICH ACCOMPANIES THE MEASURE AS REPORTED OUT BY EITHER THE HOUSE OR SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE.

A LISTING OF THESE AMOUNTS WILL BE REVIEWED BY THE FREE CONFERENCE COMMITTEE ON THE BUDGET FOR INCLUSION IN THE NEW-LEGISLATION SECTION OF THE GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT.

NUMBER	ABBREVIATED TITLE	GENERAL FUND	ALL FUNDS	CURRENT STATUS	SPONSOR/REQUESTER
~~HB 2~~	~~RE/LAND; ED~~	~~\$000~~	~~\$000~~	~~IN (S) RESOURCES~~	~~BEIRNE~~
~~HB 9~~	~~RE/ENERGY~~	~~\$1,268,400~~	~~\$1,268,400~~	~~WITHDRAWN BY SPONSOR ROGERS~~	
HB 23	PROVIDE/PERMANENT FUND DIVIDENDS; ED	\$1,781,200	\$1,781,200	RET (H) RULES	RANDOLPH
HB 37	REPEAL ALL STATE TAXES/EXCEPT OIL/GAS CORP INC TAX; ED	\$24,100	\$24,100	IN (H) RULES	RANDOLPH
HB 41	RE/HEALTH/RESIDENTS OF STATE	\$2,162,500	\$13,794,300	IN (S) FINANCE	BUCHHOLDT
~~HB 54~~	~~RE/SALARIES/GMSNRS/OIL/GAS CONSERV GMSN; ED~~	~~\$000~~	~~\$000~~	~~IN (H) RULES~~	~~GOVERNOR~~
HB 93	MAKE TECH AM/STATE TAXATION; ED	\$000	\$000	RET (H) RULES	GOVERNOR
HB 101	RE/MOTOR FUEL TAX; ED	\$000	\$000	IN (S) FINANCE	ZHAROFF
HB 114	RE/OFFICE ALCOHOLISM/DRUG ABUSE/DEPT H&SS/COMBINE BDS	\$000	\$000	IN (S) HESS	GOVERNOR
HB 121	RE/PUB EMPLOYEES/TEACHERS/NATL GD/BENEFITS; ED	\$85,855,900	\$95,310,000	POSTPONED INDEF (H)	DUNCAN
HB 137	REPEAL/TAX CREDIT/POLITICAL CONTRIBUTIONS; ED	\$000	\$000	IN (S) STATE AFFA	HAYES
~~HB 146~~	~~RE/FMT PROCEDURES/PUBLIC CONTRACTS~~	~~\$000~~	~~\$000~~	~~IN (S) FINANCE~~	~~BROWN~~
HB 151	RE/STATE TRUST FUNDS; ED	\$50,000	\$50,000	IN (H) RULES	MALONE
~~HB 159~~	~~RE/WORKERS' COMPENSATION; ED~~	~~\$000~~	~~\$317,900~~	~~IN (S) LABOR & CO~~	~~LEGIS. COUNCIL~~
~~HB 165~~	~~CREATE PUB UTILITY REVOLV LOAN FUND; ED~~	~~\$000~~	~~\$000~~	~~RET (H) RULES~~	~~HURLBERT~~
HB 174	RE/AID TO FAMILIES/DEPEND CHILDREN; ED	\$3,021,400	\$6,176,400	IN (S) RULES	CLOCKSTN
HB 176	RE/TEMPORARY RELIEF ASSISTANCE; ED	\$600,000	\$600,000	IN (S) RULES	CLOCKSTN
HB 180	REVISE DRUG LAWS/AM CRIMINAL LAWS; ED	\$6,614,200	\$6,614,200	RET (H) RULES	GOVERNOR
~~HB 187~~	~~RE/COMPUTATION OF TAX PENALTIES; ED~~	~~\$000~~	~~\$000~~	~~AWAITING CONC/REC'D MONTGOMERY~~	
HB 194	RE/PRISONER EMPLOY/CORRECT INDUST; ED	\$355,500	\$355,500	IN (S) FINANCE	GOVERNOR
~~HB 237~~	~~AM AK AGRICULTURAL LOAN ACT; ED~~	~~\$000~~	~~\$000~~	~~CHAPTER 0007 SLA 82 H055~~	

FISCAL NOTES

NOTE: THE AMOUNTS SHOWN FOR THESE MEASURES ARE TAKEN FROM THE FISCAL NOTE, AS REVISED, WHICH ACCOMPANIES THE MEASURE AS REPORTED OUT BY EITHER THE HOUSE OR SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE.

A LISTING OF THESE AMOUNTS WILL BE REVIEWED BY THE FREE CONFERENCE COMMITTEE ON THE BUDGET FOR INCLUSION IN THE NEW-LEGISLATION SECTION OF THE GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT.

NUMBER	ABBREVIATED TITLE	GENERAL FUND	ALL FUNDS	CURRENT STATUS	SPONSOR/REQUESTER
~~HB 652~~	~~AUTH TRANS TO MUNIS/DOTPF/MAINT STATE HWYS; ED~~	~~0000~~	~~0000~~	~~IN (H) RULES~~	~~TRANSPORTATION~~
HB 654	RE/DOTPF/ESTAB BOARD/TRSP/DOTPF; ED	\$216,700	\$216,700	IN (S) STATE AFFA	TRANSPORTATION
~~HB 675~~	~~RE/LIMIT/NUMBERS OF STATE EMPLOYEES; ED~~	~~0000~~	~~0000~~	~~IN (S) STATE AFFA~~	~~COTTEN~~
HB 680	REQ/LICENSES/DRIVING WHILE INTOXICATED	\$13,600	\$13,600	IN (H) RULES	ABOOD
~~HB 681~~	~~RAISE LIMIT/TECHNOLOGY & ENERGY REVOLVING LOAN FUND~~	~~0000~~	~~0000~~	~~IN (H) RULES~~	~~HURLBERT~~
HB 684	RE/COMPENSATION/CLASSIFICATION/PUBLIC OFFICERS; ED	9000	9000	IN (S) FINANCE	GOVERNOR
HB 694	RE/AK MUNICIPAL BOND BANK AUTHORITY; ED	0000	9000	IN (S) LABOR & CO	GOVERNOR
HB 704	CONTINUE/REAL ESTATE COMMISSION	9000	6000	IN (H) RULES	MARTIN
HB 709	RE/SCHOLARSHIP LOANS; ED	9000	9000	FAILED (H) ON RECONS	BEIRNE
~~HB 756~~	~~RE/FIRE SUPPRESSION FUND; ED~~	~~0000~~	~~0000~~	~~IN (S) RULES~~	~~GOVERNOR~~
HB 757	RE/UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE; ED	\$25,000	\$160,400	IN (H) RULES	GOVERNOR
HB 800	RE/RESIDENCY/FISHING/HUNTING/TRAPPING LICENSE	\$43,300	\$43,300	IN (H) RULES	HAYES
HB 835	RE/HEALTH & SAFETY	\$19,700	\$31,000	IN (S) LABOR & CO	HAYES
~~HB 836~~	~~REPEAL/STATE PROM/ART IN PUBLIC PLACES~~	~~0000~~	~~0000~~	~~IN (H) RULES~~	~~CUDDY~~
~~HB 838~~	~~RE/TAX/ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES; ED~~	~~0000~~	~~0000~~	~~FAILED (H) ON RECONS~~	~~ADAMS~~
HB 844	RE/FINANCING/RURAL HEALTH FACILITIES	\$31,500	\$31,500	IN (S) HESS	HAUGEN
~~HB 853~~	~~RE/PERMANENT FUND DIVIDENDS; ED~~	~~0000~~	~~0000~~	~~IN (H) RULES~~	~~HAYES~~
HB 862	MAKE/REPEAL OF AS 39.35.545/RETROACTIVE/7-1-68; ED	\$36,000	\$44,000	IN (H) RULES	RANDOLPH
HB 866	ESTAB/SPECIAL INVESTMENT TAX CREDIT	\$14,000,000	9000	PASSED (H) ON RECONS	HAYES
~~HB 868~~	~~LAPSE APPROPRIATIONS/SILVER ANNIVERSARY GMSN; ED~~	~~\$14,000,000~~	~~0000~~	~~IN (H) RULES~~	~~COTTEN~~
HB 869	RE/CRIME VICTIM COMPENSATION; ED	\$199,800	\$199,800	IN (H) RULES	HALFORD

FISCAL NOTES

NOTE: THE AMOUNTS SHOWN FOR THESE MEASURES ARE TAKEN FROM THE FISCAL NOTE, AS REVISED, WHICH ACCOMPANIES THE MEASURE AS REPORTED OUT BY EITHER THE HOUSE OR SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE.

A LISTING OF THESE AMOUNTS WILL BE REVIEWED BY THE FREE CONFERENCE COMMITTEE ON THE BUDGET FOR INCLUSION IN THE NEW-LEGISLATION SECTION OF THE GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT.

NUMBER	ABBREVIATED TITLE	GENERAL FUND	ALL FUNDS	CURRENT STATUS	SPONSOR/REQUESTER
~~HB 888~~	~~RE/SALE/ROYALTY OIL/AK/TESORO AK PETRO CO; ED~~	~~\$000~~	~~\$000~~	~~IN (S) RESOURCES~~	~~GOVERNOR~~
HCR 23	RE/INFO/SALMON STOCKS/ARCTIC-YUKON-KUSKOKWIM AREA	\$100,000	\$100,000	IN (S) RULES	VASKA
HCR 55	RE/CREATION/JOINT COMMITTEE ON CRIME	\$1,600	\$1,600	IN (S) STATE AFFA	ANDERSON
~~HCR 56~~	~~RE/LEGISLATURE/TELECOMMUNICATIONS~~	~~\$000~~	~~\$000~~	~~IN (H) RULES~~	~~LABOR & COMMERCE~~
HJR 17	AM US CONSTITUTION/RE: FED APPROP VS. REVENUES	\$000	\$000	SIGNED BY GOVERNOR	BEIRNE
HJR 41	AM AK CONSTITUTION/DEFINE/APPROPRIATIONS	\$000	\$000	IN (S) JUDICIARY	BEIRNE
HJR 71	AM AK CONSTITUTION/RE: G. O. BONDS/VETERANS HSG	\$000	\$000	IN (S) FINANCE	COTTEN
SB 17	RE/EXEC BUDGET ACT/ST AGENCY PRGMS; ED	\$000	\$000	CHAPTER 0002 SLA 82	DANKWORTH
~~SB 37~~	~~RE/INT'L AIRPORT REVENUE BONDS; ED~~	~~\$000~~	~~\$000~~	~~CHAPTER 0011 SLA 82~~	~~FAHRENKAMP~~
SB 57	RE/TRANSPORTATION SAFETY; ED	\$21,300	\$21,300	CHAPTER 0003 SLA 82	RAY
~~SB 64~~	~~RE/LABOR RELATIONS/SCHOOL BRDS/PUB EMPLOYER/EMPLOYEES~~	~~\$000~~	~~\$000~~	~~IN (H) HESS~~	~~RAY~~
SB 69	MAKE DRIVER IMPROVE COURSE MANDATORY/DEMERIT POINTS	\$27,300	\$27,300	IN (H) RULES	STIMSON
SB 101	RE/TRS/AUTH REGS BY AK TEACHERS' RETIRE BOARD	\$144,800	\$152,800	IN (H) RULES	HESS
~~SB 119~~	~~RE/HOUSING/TEACHERS/PUBLIC SCHOOLS~~	~~\$000~~	~~\$000~~	~~IN (H) RULES~~	~~STIMSON~~
~~SB 145~~	~~PROVIDE FREE HUNTING/FISHING LICENSES/DISABLED VETS; ED~~	~~\$000~~	~~\$000~~	~~CHAPTER 0021 SLA 82~~	~~BRADLEY~~
SB 146	RE/VETERANS' BURIAL ALLOWANCES	\$157,600	\$157,600	IN (H) FINANCE	BRADLEY
SB 238	RE/NURSING; ED	\$10,500	\$10,500	CHAPTER 0014 SLA 82	GOVERNOR
~~SB 343~~	~~RE/APPROP/IMPROV/FAIRBANKS INT'L AIRPORT; ED~~	~~\$000~~	~~\$000~~	~~CHAPTER 0012 SLA 82~~	~~GOVERNOR~~
SB 365	RE/SPECIAL EDUCATION; ED	\$10,704,400	\$10,704,400	IN (H) RULES	STIMSON
~~SB 488~~	~~ESTABLISH/ENDOWMENT/PHYSICAL SCIENCES/U OF A; ED~~	~~\$000~~	~~\$000~~	~~RET (S) RULES~~	~~PARR~~
~~SB 517~~	~~EXTEND/REPAYMENT/LOANS/CHILD CARE FACILITIES; ED~~	~~\$000~~	~~\$000~~	~~IN (H) FINANCE~~	~~PARR~~

FISCAL NOTES

NOTE: THE AMOUNTS SHOWN FOR THESE MEASURES ARE TAKEN FROM THE FISCAL NOTE, AS REVISED, WHICH ACCOMPANIES THE MEASURE AS REPORTED OUT BY EITHER THE HOUSE OR SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE.

A LISTING OF THESE AMOUNTS WILL BE REVIEWED BY THE FREE CONFERENCE COMMITTEE ON THE BUDGET FOR INCLUSION IN THE NEW-LEGISLATION SECTION OF THE GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT.

NUMBER	ABBREVIATED TITLE	GENERAL FUND	ALL FUNDS	CURRENT STATUS	SPONSOR/REQUESTER
~~SB 548~~	~~RE/MEDICAL INDEMNITY CORP OF AK (AS 21-88)~~	~~\$000~~	~~\$000~~	~~IN (H) RULES~~	~~COLLETTA~~
SB 552	EXEMPT/CHILD CARE WORKERS/AK WAGE/HOUR ACT	\$000	\$000	IN (H) RULES	MULCAHY
SB 559	RE/STATE GRANTS; ED	\$000	\$000	CHAPTER 0004 SLA 82 FINANCE	
SB 593	RE/AHFC/BONDS/AHFC; ED	\$000	\$000	FREE CONFCOMM APPTD FINANCE	
SB 612	RE/INCREASE CORPORATE INCOME TAX/SHARED/MUNIS	\$000	\$000	IN (H) FINANCE	DANKWORTH
SB 649	RE/STATE SUPPORT/EDUCATION; ED	\$000	\$000	IN (H) HESS	GOVERNOR
SB 658	INCREASE FEES FOR COMMERCIAL FISHING LICENSE; ED	\$000	\$000	IN (H) FINANCE	LABOR & COMMERCE
SB 659	DEFINE 'CAPITAL OUTLAY'/EXECUTIVE BUDGET ACT; ED	\$000	\$000	IN (H) FINANCE	DANKWORTH
~~SB 693~~	~~CHANGE/DATE/LAW/COURT AUTHORITY/COURT FACILITIES; ED~~	~~\$000~~	~~\$000~~	~~IN (H) JUDICIARY~~	~~JUDICIARY~~
SB 732	RE/MINERAL EXTRACTION/STATE LAND; ED	\$47,900	\$47,900	IN (H) FINANCE	RESOURCES
SB 735	RE/ORGANIZATION GRANTS/ASSISTANCE/MUNIS; ED	\$405,000	\$405,000	IN (H) FINANCE	STURGULEWSKI
~~SB 748~~	~~RE/INTEREST ON STATE BONDS AND NOTES; ED~~	~~\$000~~	~~\$000~~	~~IN (H) FINANCE~~	~~BANKING~~
SB 769	RE/POWER DEVELOPMENT FUND/FED POWER PROJECT; ED	\$000	\$000	PASSED(H)-RECON.NTCE	GILMAN
SB 826	RE/PRELIMINARY WORK/SUSITNA RIVER	\$000	\$000	IN (H) RESOURCES	GOVERNOR
SB 830	EXTEND TIME/MUNIS/CH 60 SLA 81; ED	\$000	\$000	IN (H) FINANCE	FINANCE
~~SB 836~~	~~EXTEND APPROPRIATIONS/ENTITLEMENTS/MUNIS; ED~~	~~\$000~~	~~\$000~~	~~IN (H) FINANCE~~	~~FINANCE~~
SB 842	PROVIDE PERM FUND DIVIDENDS; ED	\$2,264,500	\$2,264,500	IN (H) FINANCE	GOVERNOR
SB 843	RE/SURFACE COAL MINING/UNDERGROUND COAL MINING; ED	\$161,900	\$563,900	PASSED(H)-RECON.NTCE	GOVERNOR
~~SB 856~~	~~RE/INTEREST/DELINQUENT TAXES; ED~~	~~\$000~~	~~\$000~~	~~IN (H) FINANCE~~	~~LOAA~~
~~SB 880~~	~~RE/SALE/ROYALTY OIL/AK/BOYAN LTD; ED~~	~~\$000~~	~~\$000~~	~~IN (S) JUDICIARY~~	~~GOVERNOR~~
~~SB 881~~	~~RE/SALE/ROYALTY OIL/AK/TESORO AK PETROLEUM CO; ED~~	~~\$000~~	~~\$000~~	~~IN (S) JUDICIARY~~	~~GOVERNOR~~

FISCAL NOTES

NOTE: THE AMOUNTS SHOWN FOR THESE MEASURES ARE TAKEN FROM THE FISCAL NOTE, AS REVISED, WHICH ACCOMPANIES THE MEASURE AS REPORTED OUT BY EITHER THE HOUSE OR SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE.

A LISTING OF THESE AMOUNTS WILL BE REVIEWED BY THE FREE CONFERENCE COMMITTEE ON THE BUDGET FOR INCLUSION IN THE NEW-LEGISLATION SECTION OF THE GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT.

<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>ABBREVIATED TITLE</u>	<u>GENERAL FUND</u>	<u>ALL FUNDS</u>	<u>CURRENT STATUS</u>	<u>SPONSOR/REQUESTER</u>
SCR 44	EXTEND/LIFE/BLUE RIBBON CMSN/STATE PERSONNEL ACT	\$100,000	\$100,000	IN (H) FINANCE	LEGIS. COUNCIL
~~SJR 39~~	~~AM AK CONSTITUTION/RE: O.G. INDEBTNESS/HOUSING~~	~~\$000~~	~~\$000~~	~~IN (S) RULES~~	~~RODEY~~
~~SJR 61~~	~~AM AK CONSTITUTION/RE: APPROPRIATION INVESTMENT FUND~~	~~\$000~~	~~\$000~~	~~IN (S) JUDICIARY~~	~~DANKWORTH~~
TOTAL FISCAL NOTES		\$137,336,800	\$163,167,300		

R01-33F-3047

SUMMARY OF LEGISLATION WITH 2ND-YEAR FISCAL IMPACT
MIXED APPROPRIATIONS

04/23/82

PAGE

4/30/82

<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>ABBREVIATED TITLE</u>	<u>GENERAL FUND</u>	<u>ALL FUNDS</u>	<u>CURRENT STATUS</u>	<u>SPONSOR/REQUESTER</u>
	TOTAL MIXED APPROPRIATIONS	\$000	\$000		

SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATIONS

NUMBER	ABBREVIATED TITLE	GENERAL FUND	ALL FUNDS	CURRENT STATUS	SPONSOR/REQUESTER
HB 18	SUPPL APPROP/DEPT PUBLIC SAFETY/AVALANCHE WARN SYS; ED	\$130,000	\$130,000	AWAITING CONC/RECD	MILLER
HB 29	SUPPL APPROP/DOTPF/FUNDING FY82; ED	\$825,000	\$825,000	CONF CMTE APPTD	MILLER
HB 201	SUPPL APPROP/LEGISLATURE; ED	\$650,000	\$650,000	CHAPTER 0018 SLA 82	FINANCE
~~HB 358~~	~~SUPPL APPROP/DEPT WESS & LABOR/HIGH TRANS ELDERLY; ED~~	~~\$144,500~~	~~\$917,600~~	~~POSTPONED INDEF (H)~~	~~GOVERNOR~~
~~HB 643~~	~~REPEAL/AMEND 1981 APPROP/LEGIS FINANCE/BRISTOL BAY; E~~	~~0000~~	~~0000~~	~~IN (S) FINANCE~~	~~CHUCKWUK~~
HB 685	APPROP/OFFICE/GOV/LAA/SALARIES&BENEFITS/FY 82&83; ED	\$12,505,200	\$16,569,000	IN (S) FINANCE	GOVERNOR
~~HB 706~~	~~SUPPL APPROP/DEPT/GIRA/DAY CARE ASSISTANCE PRGM; ED~~	~~\$1,300,000~~	~~\$1,300,000~~	~~IN (H) GIRA~~	~~ROGERS~~
HB 708	SUPPL APPROP/NEW CAPITAL SITE PLANNING CMSN; ED	\$810,700	\$810,700	CHAPTER 0013 SLA 82	GOVERNOR
SB 629	SUPPL APPROP/SCHOLARSHIP REVOLVING LOAN FUND; ED	\$7,000,000	\$7,000,000	CHAPTER 0006 SLA 82	STIMSON
~~SB 665~~	~~SUPPL APPROP/DEPT COMECON DEV/WEATHERIZATION; ED~~	~~\$1,500,000~~	~~\$1,500,000~~	~~IN (S) FINANCE~~	~~FERGUSON~~
~~SB 670~~	~~SUPPL APPROP/OFG OF GOV/LAA/SALARIES&BENEFITS/1982; E~~	~~\$14,239,600~~	~~\$17,224,700~~	~~IN (S) FINANCE~~	~~GOVERNOR~~
~~SB 739~~	~~SUPPL APPROP/AK JUDICIAL COUNCIL; ED~~	~~\$51,118~~	~~\$51,118~~	~~IN (S) FINANCE~~	~~GOVERNOR~~
~~SB 894~~	~~SUPPL APPROP/ST CMSN/HUMAN RIGHTS; ED~~	~~\$97,200~~	~~\$97,200~~	~~IN (S) FINANCE~~	~~GOVERNOR~~
~~SB 895~~	~~SUPPL APPROP/DEPT/PUBLIC SAFETY/SALARIES; ED~~	~~\$2,734,700~~	~~\$2,734,700~~	~~IN (S) FINANCE~~	~~GOVERNOR~~
TOTAL SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATIONS		\$41,988,018	\$49,810,018		

sf0430821410

SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE

April 30, 1982

2:10 p.m.

(Tape SFC 82, # 24, Side 2, 000-end)

(Tape SFC 82, # 25, Side 1, 000-768)

CALL TO ORDER

Co-chairman Bennett called the meeting to order at 2:10 p.m.

PRESENT

All members of the committee were present with the exception of Senators Stimson and Sackett. Also in attendance were Judy Johnston, Administrative Assistant to Co-chairman Bennett; Dick Logan, Director of Habitat, Department of Fish and Game; Ron Lorenzen, Deputy Attorney General; Reed Stoops, Director of Division of Resources and Development, DNR; Art Snowden, Administrative Director, Alaska Court System; Charles Campbell of Division of Adult Corrections; Mike Stark of the Criminal Division, Department of Law; Wally Roman, Division of Corrections; Pat Anderson of the Municipality of Anchorage; and members of the press including John Matthews and Bill White.

HB 590

Co-chairman Bennett stated the first order of business was consideration of Senate Committee Substitute for Committee Substitute for Sponsor Substitute for HB 590 (Finance) (AN ACT RELATING TO SUPERIOR COURT JUDGES AND SUPERIOR COURT FACILITIES; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE).

Senator Sturgulewski stated that during the morning meeting there had been testimony on three of the four fiscal notes, adding that Ron Lorenzen, Deputy Attorney General, was present to testify on the Department of Law fiscal note dated April 8, 1982 calling for \$424.1 in FY 83.

Mr. Lorenzen stated the fiscal note was based on the following staffing pattern: No additional staff in the Wrangell Office; a Senior Attorney and a Secretary in the Palmer Office; and two attorneys (one new and one transfer of existing position in Fairbanks) and a Secretary in the Barrow Office. He stated the fiscal note was prepared on a full-year basis, so if there was a delay, about \$21.0 per month could be deducted.

At this time, Senator Sturgulewski reviewed the four fiscal notes that were accompanying the bill. They were: 1) fiscal note dated 3/30/82 for \$374.2; 2) fiscal note dated 4/8/82 for \$424.1; 3) fiscal note dated 4/29/82 for \$46.0; and 4) fiscal note dated 4/30/82 for \$253.3. The Division of Retirement

portion for \$91.6 was included in the fiscal note dated 3/30/82 for \$374.2. The total was \$1,097,600.

Discussion ensued on the fiscal notes. Senator Eliason requested that the legislation not be moved from committee until he had a chance to review it further. He added that he had the Department of Law budget and he wanted to make sure the requested positions in the fiscal notes were actually needed.

Senator Sturgulewski referred to the Letter of Intent in the file stating that the Superior Court judgeship in Wrangell would not be filled until the Supreme Court eliminates the Wrangell-Petersburg district court judgeship. She moved and asked unanimous consent that the committee rescind its earlier action of adopting SCS CS SS HB 590 (Finance), and then moved that the committee adopt a new version of SCS CS SS HB 590 (Finance) along with the above Letter of Intent. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

Discussion ensued on such subjects as the authority for lease space, and the judicial retirement system. Mr. Snowden stated that the retirement request might not be as high as in the current fiscal note, adding that the Court System would furnish the committee with a revised fiscal note if that was the case.

Senator Sturgulewski moved and asked unanimous consent that the bill be held in committee until the following Monday so that members (including Senator Eliason) would have time to review the bill, the fiscal notes with the added positions, and the budget. There being no objection, it was so ordered, and the bill was returned to the file.

HB 194

Co-chairman Bennett stated the next bill for consideration was Committee Substitute for HB 194 (HESS) am (AN ACT RELATING TO PRISONER EMPLOYMENT AND CORRECTIONAL INDUSTRIES; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE), and requested that Senator Ferguson present the legislation to the committee.

Senator Ferguson stated that Mike Stark of the Department of Law Criminal Division, and Charles Campbell of the HESS Division of Corrections were present to testify before the committee.

Mr. Campbell stated he wished to speak on the bill generally, and then Mike Stark and Wally Roman (a corrections industries manager) would speak to the technical, fiscal and legal questions. He said there was a serious problem of prison overcrowding. With new medium security beds arriving, they are in reasonable control of the overcrowding problem; however, the "idleness" problem is still very severe. He said the bill now before the committee related to prisoner employment, and gave his support for its passage. Senator Ferguson asked him if he supported the other bill version in the file, Senate Committee

Substitute for CS for HB 194 (Judiciary) (SAME TITLE), and Mr. Campbell responded yes.

Mr. Stark of the Department of Law, and Wally Roman of the Division of Corrections, came forward to testify. Mr. Stark stated that SCS CS HB 194 (Jud) was a comprehensive prisoner employment bill. The principal part of the bill dealt with correction industries, and Mr. Roman could speak to that portion. He said that the state was presently involved in a large class-action lawsuit in which the majority of the prisoners in Alaska (as well as Alaska prisoners in the federal system) have filed suit against the Department of Health and Social Services, the Division of Corrections, and the Parole Board. One of the allegations in the lawsuit was the enforced "idleness" of the prisoners. There is an existing statute that would be repealed and re-enacted on the first page of this bill (AS 33.32.025), which prohibits prisoners from being required to work except in personal housekeeping around their personal living area. So, there was not only the problem of prisoner idleness, but the Division of Corrections was limited in how they can require prisoners to work. He said there were some jobs in the institutions for about 25-30% of the prisoner population (maintenance, laundry, kitchen, etc.). This bill would repeal the prohibition against prisoner employment and would allow Commissioner of DHSS to require prisoners to work, and would expand areas in which prisoner employment could occur.

Co-chairman Bennett asked who was handling the class-action suit on behalf of the prisoners, and Mr. Stark responded the principal attorney was Tim Sterns (Anchorage private practice), Charlene Lichman (private practice), and William Walker (private practice backed by ACLU).

Discussion ensued on such subjects as previous attempts at passing similar legislation by Senator Dankworth, wages that would be offered the prisoners, types of productive employment, and whether or not prisoner employment would compete with private industry.

BEGIN NEW TAPE, SFC 82, # 25, Side 1, 000-768

Co-chairman Dankworth raised questions concerning the fiscal note dated 4/13/82 for \$355.5. He asked specifically if the requested funding was realistic for FY 83 since the program was still in the planning stages. Mr. Roman provided a breakdown of the fiscal note for the committee. He said that under Personal Services, they were requesting a Production Manager; Travel was for the Board for public hearings; Contractual was for specialized services not available from state agencies (telephone, postage, heat, electricity); Commodities was for raw materials to create the products; \$150.0 for Equipment was for beginning the program; and \$33.0 in Grants and Claims was for payment of inmate prisoners.

Senator Ferguson moved and asked unanimous consent that Senate Committee Substitute for Committee Substitute for HB 194 (HESS) amended be passed from committee with individual recommendations. There being no objection, it was so ordered, and the committee report was signed as follows: Senators Sturgulewski, Ferguson and Dankworth signed "do pass", and Senators Eliason and Bennett signed "no recommendation".

SB 794

Co-chairman Bennett stated the next order of business was consideration of SB 794 (AN ACT ESTABLISHING CERTAIN AREAS AS UNITS OF THE STATE MARINE PARK SYSTEM; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE), and requested that Senator Dankworth present the legislation to the committee.

Co-chairman Dankworth referred to Committee Substitute for SB 794 (Finance) (AN ACT RELATING TO MANAGEMENT OF STATE LAND FOR MARINE PARKS AND GAME SANCTUARIES; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE) in the file. He stated he thought the program would be an excellent one, and gave a short history, adding that there was a map of marine parks in Alaska in the file. He requested that Reed Stoops, Director of Division of Resources and Development come forward to testify to the committee. Senator Sturgulewski noted that the version before the committee had been recommended by DNR as well as the Senate Resources Committee.

Mr. Stoops stated that DNR strongly supported the legislation. He said it was an idea that had been around for about four or five years. The State of Washington and British Columbia have similar systems. It has been thought desirable to extend that system through Southeast Alaska and also Prince William Sound. The purpose was to provide some opportunities to develop recreational areas for the benefit of state residents and to help promote tourism. The sites would be small, and the current resources would be maintained. He discussed the various sites found on the map in the file, as well as the basic differences between the Senate Resources and Senate Finance versions of the bill. Discussion arose concerning Horseshoe Bay and LaTouche Island. Mr. Stoops discussed the types of facilities that would be found in the areas. He said on the study sites, DNR would be holding hearings this coming summer and fall on the remainder of the State entitlement from the National Forest. During that process, they intend to consult with the public regarding existing state selections and whether they feel those should be incorporated into this program or not. If they do, DNR must return to the Legislature next year and thereafter every two years with recommendations. At that time, appropriations for facilities could be considered.

Co-chairman Bennett asked if any inventory had been done on the Walrus Island State Game Sanctuary as regards state oil and gas lease sales. Mr. Stoops responded there were past oil and gas lease sales in that general area, and there would probably be future sales - one is scheduled for 1984. However, the

Department of Fish and Game has worked with the local people to excise a fairly small area as a walrus haul-out area. The Division of Minerals and Energy Development felt it was so small (about 3 square miles) and not in a strategic location to be suitable for any facilities located there in association with an oil and gas lease sale.

Mr. Dick Logan, Director of Division of Habitat, Department of Fish and Game, came forward and explained the three square miles and how it related to the walrus. Discussion continued on the legislation; Co-chairman Bennett stated he was reluctant to lock these areas up until it was known what was there. Mr. Logan stated that the Department of Fish and Game strongly supported this particular area as it was an opportunity to increase the walrus population for the use of the people there.

Co-chairman Dankworth stated that there were a couple more areas he would like to add between Whittier and Seward. Mr. Stoops stated that DNR would make further recommendations to the legislature next year. Senator Eliason raised questions about how those areas are evaluated. Co-chairman Dankworth commented on land such as this not being used in the past, and said he wanted these areas in the Prince William Sound to be enjoyed by the people. Mr. Stoops stated that the minimum facilities would be anchor buoys and picnic tables, and they hoped the private sector would build lodges, etc.

Co-chairman Dankworth moved and asked unanimous consent that Committee Substitute for SB 794 (Finance) be moved from committee with individual recommendations. There being no objection, it was so ordered, and the committee report was signed "do pass" by all members present.

HB 146

Co-chairman Bennett stated the next bill to be considered was Committee Substitute for Sponsor Substitute for HB 146 (Finance) (AN ACT RELATING TO PAYMENT PROCEDURES ON CERTAIN PUBLIC CONTRACTS), and noted that also in the file was Senate Committee Substitute for Committee Substitute for Sponsor Substitute for HB 146 (Finance) (SAME TITLE).

Senator Ferguson moved and asked unanimous consent that SCS CS SS HB 146 (Finance) be amended in the following way: Page 1, line 28 - after the period, add "(c) of this section does not apply to political subdivisions with a population of 500 or less." There being no objection, it was so ordered.

Senator Ferguson moved and asked unanimous consent that SCS CS SS HB 146 (Finance) be moved from committee with individual recommendations. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

Since Pat Anderson, a representative of the Municipality of Anchorage, wished to testify in opposition to the bill, Co-chairman Dankworth moved that the committee rescind its action in

CORRECTION

**THIS DOCUMENT
HAS BEEN REPHOTOGRAPHED
TO ASSURE LEGIBILITY**

Department of Fish and Game has worked with the local people to excise a fairly small area as a walrus haul-out area. The Division of Minerals and Energy Development felt it was so small (about 3 square miles) and not in a strategic location to be suitable for any facilities located there in association with an oil and gas lease sale.

Mr. Dick Logan, Director of Division of Habitat, Department of Fish and Game, came forward and explained the three square miles and how it related to the walrus. Discussion continued on the legislation; Co-chairman Bennett stated he was reluctant to lock these areas up until it was known what was there. Mr. Logan stated that the Department of Fish and Game strongly supported this particular area as it was an opportunity to increase the walrus population for the use of the people there.

Co-chairman Dankworth stated that there were a couple more areas he would like to add between Whittier and Seward. Mr. Stoops stated that DNR would make further recommendations to the legislature next year. Senator Eliason raised questions about how those areas are evaluated. Co-chairman Dankworth commented on land such as this not being used in the past, and said he wanted these areas in the Prince William Sound to be enjoyed by the people. Mr. Stoops stated that the minimum facilities would be anchor buoys and picnic tables, and they hoped the private sector would build lodges, etc.

Co-chairman Dankworth moved and asked unanimous consent that Committee Substitute for SB 794 (Finance) be moved from committee with individual recommendations. There being no objection, it was so ordered, and the committee report was signed "do pass" by all members present.

HB 146

Co-chairman Bennett stated the next bill to be considered was Committee Substitute for Sponsor Substitute for HB 146 (Finance) (AN ACT RELATING TO PAYMENT PROCEDURES ON CERTAIN PUBLIC CONTRACTS), and noted that also in the file was Senate Committee Substitute for Committee Substitute for Sponsor Substitute for HB 146 (Finance) (SAME TITLE).

Senator Ferguson moved and asked unanimous consent that SCS CS SS HB 146 (Finance) be amended in the following way: Page 1, line 28 - after the period, add "(c) of this section does not apply to political subdivisions with a population of 500 or less." There being no objection, it was so ordered.

Senator Ferguson moved and asked unanimous consent that SCS CS SS HB 146 (Finance) be moved from committee with individual recommendations. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

Since Pat Anderson, a representative of the Municipality of Anchorage, wished to testify in opposition to the bill, Co-chairman Dankworth moved that the committee rescind its action in

passing the bill. There being no objection, it was so ordered, and the bill was still before the committee.

Mr. Anderson stated that the Municipality of Anchorage objected to the inclusion of political subdivisions (municipalities) in the section requiring the payment of interest on retainage for two reasons: 1) the payment of interest on retainage section would defeat the real purpose of retainage - which was to insure the billing is proper and correct and that liens are released prior to full payment and that the job was performed according to contract standards, and 2) a rough estimate of the monetary impact was about \$100,000 for the Municipality of Anchorage, and as well there would be a substantial impact administratively and legally.

Senator Ferguson again moved and asked unanimous consent that SC5 CS SS HB 146 (finance) be passed from committee with individual recommendations. There being no objection, it was so ordered, and the committee report was signed "do pass" by all members present, with the exception of Senator Sturgulewski who signed "no recommendation".

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 3:30 p.m.

(Tape SFC 82, # 24, Side 2, 000-end)
(Tape SFC 82, # 25, Side 1, 000-768)

SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE

May 3, 1982

8:40 a.m.

SFC-82 #25, Side 1 (768-end)

SFC-82 #25, Side 2 (000-583)

CALL TO ORDER

Co-chairman Don Bennett convened the meeting at approximately 8:40 a.m.

PRESENT

All committee members were present. Also attending were Paul Arnoldt, Director, Division of Retirement and Benefits, Department of Administration; Bob Dupere; and administrative assistants to Senate Finance Judy Johnston, Paul Pesika, and David Rogers.

SB 121

Co-chairman Bennett moved that SB 121 (ACT AUTHORIZING CREDITED SERVICE UNDER THE PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM FOR CERTAIN FIREMEN) be brought on for discussion.

Senator Eliason advised that representatives from the Division of Retirement were present to testify on the legislation. He further directed committee attention to two proposed Committee Substitutes for SB 121 (Finance)--one dated 4/23/82 and another dated 4/28/82. The earlier draft incorporates three retirement systems, including the National Guard. The fiscal note prepared by the Division of Retirement estimates the cost of including the National Guard at approximately \$2.2 million a year. The Department would like to see guard retirement covered in a separate bill. The draft dated 4/28/82 incorporates Senator Ray's retirement bill (SB 121) as well as clean up language submitted by the Governor (SB 827). The Governor's bill (SB 827) is currently in the Senate Labor and Commerce Committee. Senator Mulcahy (Chairman of Labor and Commerce) supports "tacking on" to Senator Ray's bill provisions contained in the Governor's legislation.

Paul Arnoldt, Director of Retirement Benefits, appeared before committee, advising that, to his knowledge, the bill has support from all involved, IEA, APEA, etc.

In response to a question from Senator Sackett concerning a sectional analysis of the proposed substitute, Senator Eliason directed committee attention to the Governor's transmittal letter of March 2, 1982. The letter pertains to retirement for all employees within the bill with the exception of firemen. The Governor's bill is identical to the proposed committee substitute including clean up provisions for national guard coverage.

Senator Sturgulewski raised questions concerning prior review of the legislation and learned from Senator Eliason that the bill was previously before the State Affairs Committee where public hearings were had.

Referring to language dealing with termination, Page 4, Line 6, Senator Stimson asked if the membership account includes accrued interest. Mr. Arnoldt responded that it does--it always has.

Referring to Page 2, Section 6, Senator Sturgulewski raised questions concerning elimination of the supplemental contributions program for death and survivor benefits. Mr. Arnoldt advised that the 1% survivor contribution is being eliminated and replaced with benefits identical to PERS joint survivor options. Problems have arisen whereby individuals who have not elected to contribute an additional percentage for survivor benefits arrive at retirement without this benefit and put tremendous pressure on the boards for open enrollments. The Division has thus decided to set up joint survivor options identical to those of PERS. This makes survivor benefits available to all members of the system, and makes death benefits available to all members while employed.

Referring to the Duplicate Benefits Section on Page 10, Senator Dankworth raised questions concerning its meaning. Mr. Arnoldt explained that under this section one could not draw a disability benefit and a retirement benefit at the same time. An individual could, however, draw one's own retirement and a survivor benefit from one's spouse.

Co-chairman Dankworth asked if the proposed committee substitute addresses inequities caused by situations whereby a retired teacher elected to the legislature continues to receive benefits under teachers' retirement without forfeiting legislative retirement, while a former state employee must choose between the two. Mr. Arnoldt explained that a member of the legislature falls under PERS, while teachers fall under TRS and thus continue to draw teachers' retirement while accruing service under PERS. A former state employee covered under PERS cannot receive retirement while accruing additional service as a member of the legislature. A member of PERS who elects to accrue legislative credit must give up his retirement payments. Mr. Arnoldt advised that the issue of inequity raised by Co-chairman Dankworth was well taken in that an individual coming to PERS from any other system has no problem. The inequity exists only for former PERS members.

Referring to Section 7, dealing with individuals who re-enter PERS and pay off prior indebtedness, Senator Sturgulewski asked if the proposed committee substitute makes changes to current requirements. She further asked for an explanation of the computation of interest. Mr. Arnoldt responded that if at the time of retirement an indebtedness is owing (i.e. an individual who wishes to bring in five years of military service), the actuary looks at the individual's life expectancy, and the amount

of the debt, and amortizes the debt over the life expectancy, resulting in an actuarial deduction. Included in this amount would be interest assumptions and other actuarial factors. Actuarial factors are adjusted as the experience of the fund changes over time. The bill contains no changes relating to individuals coming back into the system.

Referring to three sections dealing with extending medical insurance to spouses and dependent children of retired members, Senator Sturgulewski asked how this could be done without increasing the fiscal note. Mr. Arnoldt advised that coverage has been extended to dependents since 1975.

Senator Eliason moved to adopt CS for SB 121 (Finance) (ACT RELATING TO TEACHERS', JUDICIAL, NATIONAL GUARD, AND PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEMS; AND TO GROUP INSURANCE FOR PUBLIC EMPLOYEES AND PERSONS RETIRED UNDER THOSE RETIREMENT SYSTEMS; CREATING THE PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' AND TEACHERS' DISABILITY REVIEW BOARD) (draft dated 4/26/82) together with the fiscal note of \$29.7. Upon a show of hands CS for SB 121 (Finance) was adopted.

Senator Stimson asked that Senator Eliason explain differences between the adopted committee substitute and the committee substitute dated 4/26/82. Senator Eliason responded that when Senator Ray testified on the bill, he suggested that the legislation not include changes in national guard retirement which, in effect, tied it to pioneer bonuses. Last year pioneer benefits were increased 150%. If tied to such benefits guard retirement would cost approximately \$2.2 million a year. Senator Ray further recommended that if the committee wished to take the above action, it do so in separate legislation. Senator Eliason advised that he was requested to prepare two versions of the bill for committee consideration. The \$2.2 million fiscal note attached to the work draft dated 4/26/82 precludes its adoption--it's too expensive.

Co-chairman Dankworth again stressed inequities involved when individuals from other retirement systems serve in the legislature and accrue time under PERS, while former PERS members cannot do so. He stressed the unfairness of the situation. Mr. Arnoldt responded, "In all honesty, if I were designing these systems from scratch, I would have it such that if you were . . . accruing service under any of them, that you wouldn't draw a benefit under any of them." Co-chairman Bennett attested to the fact that the inequities of the above situation have become an important factor to those considering running for office. Individuals who have retired from the system must give up retirement pay and end up working for 25% of pay. Once retired from the system, one pays quite a penalty to come back in when elected to office.

Co-chairman Dankworth attested to further inequities when the state "goes outside" to hire retired military personnel. These individuals receive federal retirement while accruing state retirement. Alaskans who have served under PERS and retired,

however, cannot receive retirement pay while accruing service. Mr. Arnoldt responded that a former PERS member in the above situation may sign a waiver, waiving the right to accrue service as a legislator and continue to receive retirement benefits.

Senator Stimson asked if the adopted committee substitute contains substantive changes. Mr. Arnoldt advised that two areas contain provisions which have "more than housekeeping" impact. Provisions eliminating the TRS supplemental contribution and establishing joint survivor options is a substantial change in coverage. The net effect dollarwise, however, is "a push." Establishment of a Disability Review Board for the two retirement systems (TRS and PERS) represents another change beyond the the scope of housekeeping. Approximately \$10,000 will be required to reimburse members of the board. The original provisions of SB 121, inclusion of firemen in retirement programs, represent a third area of substantive change.

Responding to a question from Senator Stimson relating to provisions for firemen contained in the bill, Mr. Arnoldt advised that the bill provides that those who were firemen in participating political subdivisions after January 1, 1961, may have their time credited under the retirement system if they are also vested under the retirement system. The foregoing is Senator Ray's proposal; it is similar to considerations made for peace officers.

Senator Eliason moved that CS for SB 121 (Finance) pass from committee with individual recommendation. No objection having been raised, the bill with the adopted fiscal note passed from committee--Senators Sackett, Sturgulewski, Stimson, Eliason, and Bennett signing do pass, Senators Ferguson and Dankworth signing no recommendation.

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further matters to come before committee at this time, the meeting was adjourned at approximately 9:25 a.m.

SFC-82 #25, Side 1 (768-end)
SFC-82 #25, Side 2 (000-583)

SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE

May 4, 1982

9:05 a.m.

(Tape SFC 82, # 26, Side 1, 000-end)

(Tape SFC 82, # 26, Side 2, 000-051)

CALL TO ORDER

Co-chairman Bennett called the meeting to order at 9:05 a.m.

PRESENT

All members of the committee were present. Also in attendance were Joe Donohue, Deputy Commissioner of Department of Revenue; Paula Ziegler, President of the League of Women Voters of Alaska; Ron Lorenzen, Deputy Attorney General; and Walt Jones, Division of Corrections.

BILL ASSIGNMENTS

Co-chairman Bennett assigned SB 705 and SB 706 to Senator Eliason.

SB 741

Co-chairman Bennett stated the next order of business was consideration of SB 741 (AN ACT RELATING TO CHILD SUPPORT ENFORCEMENT), and requested Senator Eliason to present the legislation to the committee. It was noted that Committee Substitute for SB 741 (Finance) (AN ACT RELATING TO CHILD SUPPORT ENFORCEMENT; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE) was in the file.

Senator Sackett questioned Section 8, asking how it related to a constitutional repeal. Senator Eliason responded that a bill was passed regarding Permanent Fund distributions in which the Child Enforcement Agency was no longer prohibited from making use of the distribution checks. Co-chairman Bennett asked for testimony from anyone present.

Joe Donohue, Deputy Commissioner of Revenue, came forward to make comments on CS SB 741 (Finance). He said the primary concern the department had with the bill was the mandatory nature of the fees as well as the penalties and interest which were in Section 4 of the bill. He said the Regional Representative of the Child Support Enforcement Office stated clearly that interest and fees of this sort would be pre-empted by a federal 10% fee on arrearages. Therefore, he recommended that Section 4 language (which was now mandatory) be made discretionary subject to the limitations of federal law, by substituting "shall" with "may", and by inserting "to the extent permitted by federal law". Discussion ensued, and it was concluded that this amendment would

delete the real "crux" of the bill, and the current law uses the word "may" already. Discussion and questioning ensued on various sections of the bill. Senator Eliason noted discontent with the fact that the testimony against the bill from the Regional Representative of the Office of Child Support Enforcement was put in the file only minutes before the meeting began.

Paula Ziegler, President of the League of Women Voters in Alaska, came forward to testify in support of CS SB 741 (Finance). She said the League urged the committee to pass the bill, stating that the main intent of the bill was to increase incentives for child support payments from the non-custodial parent. To the parent who is caring for children after a divorce, these payments are crucial to composing a financial foundation for the single parent unit. Though these payments constitute a legal debt, their collection is a problem. For example, in a 1980 study, the Alaska Commission on the Status of Women reported that only 44% of the families due child support were receiving any payments at all. Interest and/or penalty payments serve as a standard remedy for late payments of debt in our society, and she stated it seemed only fair and logical to place an interest charge and penalty on the unpaid debt of the non-custodial parent. She pointed out that if the custodial parent (usually a woman) doesn't receive the payments on time, she must pay interest and penalties on any late payments she might make on her own bills. She concluded by saying that though she had focused on the interest/penalty portion of the bill, the League of Women Voters supported all sections of the bill.

Co-chairman Dankworth stated he had a philosophical problem with the bill. He said that though he did not particularly object to it, he did not think that adding 10% would have much effect on collection of late payments. Senator Eliason commented on the standard practice of collecting interest on late payments and how that should be used in this instance also. He suggested letting the program go about 18 months to see whether or not the program was having an effect on the child support payments being made. Senator Sturgulewski agreed with Senator Eliason, adding that since the program could easily become part of the existing computer system it would not require much in terms of additional personnel, etc. Senator Sackett agreed with Senator Eliason in terms of a \$-0- fiscal note for the Department of Revenue, noting that some departments couldn't be trusted to submit "honest" fiscal notes. He recommended a \$-0- fiscal note. Senator Eliason stated that was also his recommendation. He asked that the bill be held in committee to consider the 10% figure as well as the suggested language by the Department of Revenue. In response to Co-chairman Dankworth, Senator Eliason stated that the penalties would be returned to the General Fund, while the interest would go to the child. There being no objection to the bill being held in committee, it was returned to the file.

HB 590

The next order of business was consideration of Senate Committee Substitute for Committee Substitute for Sponsor Substitute for HB 590 (Finance) (AN ACT RELATING TO SUPERIOR COURT JUDGES AND SUPERIOR COURT FACILITIES; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE). Senator Sturgulewski stated that though the bill itself seemed to meet with no opposition, there had been a great deal of discussion during previous meetings on the fiscal notes. She stated that there were revised fiscal notes in the file, and she reviewed each of them for the committee members. They were: 1) Department of Law, May 8, 1982, for \$337.6; 2) Division of Corrections, May 3, 1982, for \$150.1; 3) Division of Family and Youth Services, May 3, 1982, for \$32.2; and 4) Alaska Court System, May 4, 1982, for \$329.7.

Senator Sturgulewski moved and asked unanimous consent that the four above fiscal notes be adopted for consideration. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

Mr. Ron Lorenzen, Deputy Attorney General, stepped forward and responded to questions from Senator Sackett regarding the use of word processors rather than typewriters, and whether or not telephone costs would be reduced. He said the original fiscal note had been reduced so that the funding was based on 9 months for the Barrow Office, and 10 months for the Palmer Office. Co-chairman Dankworth again commented on all the departments getting on the "bandwagon" with this legislation.

Senator Sturgulewski asked for testimony on the fiscal note dated May 3, 1982 for \$150.1. Mr. Walt Jones from the Division of Corrections, stepped forward and stated that the fiscal note had been reduced to that figure from \$253.03. He stated that his review of the workloads in Wrangell, Palmer and Barrow all justified the \$150.1 figure.

BEGIN SIDE 2, SFC 82, # 26, 000-051

Senator Sturgulewski moved and asked unanimous consent that the four fiscal notes discussed during this meeting be adopted. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

Senator Sturgulewski moved and asked unanimous consent that Senate Committee Substitute for Committee Substitute for Sponsor Substitute for HB 590 (Finance) be passed from committee with individual recommendations. There being no objection, it was so ordered. The Committee Report was signed as follows: Senators Ferguson, Sackett, Eliason, Sturgulewski and Bennett signed "do pass", and Senators Stimson and Dankworth signed "no recommendation".

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 10:00 a.m.

(Tape SFC 82, # 26, Side 1, 000-end)
(Tape SFC 82, # 26, Side 2, 000-051)

SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE
May 5, 1982
9:07 a.m.

SFC-82 #26, Side 2 (053-118)

CALL TO ORDER

Co-chairman Don Bennett convened the meeting at approximately 9:07 a.m.

PRESENT

Attending were Co-chairman Bennett, Senators Eliason, Sackett, and Ferguson. Also present were Fiscal Analyst Garrey Peska and administrative assistant to Senate Finance Judy Johnston.

SB 741

Co-chairman Bennett moved that SB 741 (ACT RELATING TO CHILD SUPPORT ENFORCEMENT) be brought on for discussion.

Senator Eliason directed committee attention to a proposed CS for SB 741 (Finance) (ACT RELATING TO CHILD SUPPORT ENFORCEMENT; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE) dated 5/5/82. He advised that new language included in the substitute was highlighted in yellow. The language allows the state to effect penalty and interest consistent with federal law upon support arrearages. He further advised of difficulty involved in "coming up" with a penalty rate. The bill provides for assessment of a lesser penalty in the instance of a parent who has paid regularly in the past but somehow misses or is late with a payment. The interest rate is tied to Department of Revenue delinquent tax rates which are currently 8%, but which will rise to 12% by the end of the session.

Senator Eliason moved that CS for SB 741 (Finance) (5/5/82) pass from committee with individual recommendations and a zero fiscal note. No objection having been raised, the bill passed from Senate Finance--all members present signing do pass.

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further matters to come before committee at this time, the meeting was adjourned at approximately 9:10 a.m.

SFC-82 #26, Side 2 (053-118)

SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE

May 6, 1982

9:10 a.m.

SFC-B2 #26, Side 2 (118-426)

CALL TO ORDER

Co-chairman Don Bennett convened the meeting at approximately 9:10 a.m.

PRESENT

All committee members were present with the exception of Senator Stimson who arrived shortly after the meeting began, and Senators Sturgulewski and Eliason who did not attend. Also present were Senator Charlie Parr; Rod Betit, Director of the Division of Public Assistance for the Department of Health & Social Services; Robert Ogden, Assistant Director, Division of Public Assistance, Department of Health & Social Services; David M. Davidson, Medical Assistant/Program Officer, Division of Public Assistance; Edward Zeine, Administrator, Cordova Community Hospital and Long Term Care Facility; Dennis DeWitt, President, Alaska State Hospital Association; administrative assistant to Senate Finance Judy Johnston, and representatives from the press.

BILL ASSIGNMENT

Co-chairman Bennett made the following bill assignments:

SCR 45 - Sturgulewski
HB 344 - Dankworth
HB 608 - Ferguson

SB 817

Co-chairman Bennett moved that SB 817 (ACT RELATING TO MEDICAL ASSISTANCE FOR NEEDY PERSONS) be brought on for discussion.

Senator Ferguson moved for adoption of a proposed CS for SB 817 (Finance) (same title), advising that the substitute differs from the HESS version in that it deletes the words "prescribed drugs" from Page 1, Line 25. This change was made because the Pharmaceutical Association preferred to remain under the state funded General Relief Medical Program rather than being transferred to the federally funded Medicaid Program. Upon a show of hands the CS for SB 817 (Finance) was adopted.

Rod Betit from the Department of Health & Social Services appeared to testify in support of the legislation. He advised that the bill deals with expected funding problems in General Relief Medical and Medicaid during FY 83. Between the two programs the Department expects a shortfall in state funding in excess of \$4 million. The Department has, thus, sought to better

arrange its dollars in order to at least take care of children currently covered under General Relief Medical.

In the event that SB 817 does not pass, the Department has published proposed regulations which will become effective July 1, 1982. Under the Medicaid Program these regulations would limit the rates of reimbursement to providers and would somewhat limit access to certain services. The regulatory process is the only alternative the Department has since the Legislature controls who is covered and what services are to be offered.

Under the General Relief Medical Program, eligibility limits are currently so low that adjusting them lower would make no significant difference in the number of people eligible and the volume of service offered. Consequently, the Department has proposed emergency regulations which reduce the program to an emergency-only level.

The above-mentioned regulations represent a "worst case" situation should SB 817 not pass and Department projections for FY 82 and 83 continue to look as grim as currently projected in terms of funding shortfalls.

SB 817 gives the Department greater flexibility in dealing with FY 83 funding, assuming that both programs are appropriated in one sum rather than separately. The bill would:

1. Move children out of General Relief Medical and place them under Medicaid where current General Relief services plus early treatment and development programs will be available.
2. Move a number of services from General Relief Medical which can be reimbursed through Medicaid. Some of these optional services the legislature has not previously elected to receive under Medicaid. They have, thus, been covered under General Relief Medical. One such service is pharmacy. Mr. Betit advised of his understanding that there is a desire to keep pharmacy under General Relief rather than transfer it to Medicaid. The Department supports the bill strongly even if Pharmacy remains under General Relief; such an arrangement, however, will reduce Department spending capability under Medicaid by approximately \$1 million.
3. Allow the Department, through impact of a single appropriation, to deal with other problems currently existing in Medicaid which are not covered in the Governor's budget. One such problem is the Indian Health Service's decision not to cover transportation for Medicaid or General Relief eligible natives. This will have an impact on state funding of approximately \$500,000. The state must also cover the Medicaid Penalty. The federal government has changed the rate of reimbursement for all states under the Medicaid Program. Alaska has dropped from 50% reimbursement to 48%-- this represents \$1 million which cannot be picked up in

general funds in the Governor's budget. A single appropriation would allow the Department to more effectively deal with funding problems.

The contemplated transfer of services would leave only single adults and couples in the General Relief Program on an emergency basis.

Responding to a request for an explanation of the fiscal notes attached to the bill, Mr. Betit advised that Attachment B sets forth a comparison of request levels for FY 83 for General Relief Medical and Medicaid, less budget reductions built into the Governor's budget. Catastrophic illness funding was not considered in the transfer from General Relief Medical to Medicaid. The 52.8 represents a transfer of services from General Relief Medical to Medicaid (all items from General Relief which could be covered under Medicaid with the exception of pharmacy items). The bill adds coverage for pregnant women, currently not covered under Medicaid, but covered to some extent under low qualifying limits in General Relief. In the process of transferring General Relief services, additional people are "picked up," resulting in a cost of approximately 243.0. Other adjustments cover Indian Health Service Clinics (all federal money). General Relief Medical children transferred to Medicaid will cost 3.3 million (this includes approximately 700 additional children not eligible under General Relief). Medicaid would thus not only cover more children, but it would also cover a wider range of services. The administrative cost (state funding) is 160.0 to accommodate additional cases generated by transfer adjustments. It would cost approximately 1.5 million to transfer pharmaceutical coverage from General Relief to Medicaid.

Senator Charlie Parr next appeared before committee advising that during the past year, the HESS committee devoted its interim work to health programs. It seems to make good sense to transfer services from General Relief Medical to Medicaid, since the transfer results in delivery of more medical assistance to people for the same amount of money.

He further noted that objections from pharmacists to the transfer to Medicaid were brought before the Senate HESS Committee. Objection seemed to center on the amount of paperwork required under a federal program. After discussion with Department personnel, Senate HESS decided to transfer pharmaceutical coverage from General Relief to Medicaid.

Senator Parr stressed the fact that the Department is going to face years when it will not have enough money. The bill, on Page 2, describes an order of priority for services. By this listing the legislature is effectively telling the Department which services should be dropped first when funding becomes tight. Some services are mandated by federal law and have thus not been included in the priority list.

At this time Co-chairman Bennett asked if anyone present had traveled from out of town to testify concerning the bill. No one appeared. He next asked if anyone wished to testify in opposition to the bill. Again no one came forward.

Senator Ferguson moved that CS for SB 817 (Finance) pass from committee with individual recommendations. No objection was raised. CS for SB 817 (Finance) thus passed from committee--all members present signing do pass.

ADJOURNMENT

There being nothing further to come before committee at this time, the meeting was adjourned at approximately 9:25 a.m.

SFC-82 #26, Side 2 (118-426)

SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE

May 7, 1982

8:50 a.m.

(Tape SFC 92, # 27, Side 1, 000-147)

CALL TO ORDER

Co-chairman Dankworth called the meeting to order at 8:50 a.m.

PRESENT

All members were present with the exception of Co-chairman Bennett and Senators Sackett and Ferguson. Also in attendance was Judy Johnston, Administrative Assistant to Co-chairman Bennett, and Legislative Finance Fiscal Analysts Alison Elgee and Garrey Peska.

SB 320

Co-chairman Dankworth stated the first order of business was consideration of Sponsor Substitute for SB 320 (AN ACT RELATING TO TRAINING FOR CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES OF BOROUGH OR CITY SCHOOL DISTRICTS OR REGIONAL EDUCATIONAL ATTENDANCE AREAS; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE). Senator Sturgulewski noted that the members would also find in the file Committee Substitute for Sponsor Substitute for SB 320 (HESS) (AN ACT RELATING TO TRAINING FOR EMPLOYEES OF BOROUGH OR CITY SCHOOL DISTRICTS OR REGIONAL EDUCATIONAL ATTENDANCE AREAS; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE).

Senator Sturgulewski stated the prime sponsor was Senator Stimson, and it was her understanding there would be a \$-0- fiscal note on the bill. She then moved and asked unanimous consent that Committee Substitute for Sponsor Substitute for SB 320 (HESS) be moved from committee with individual recommendations, with a \$-0- fiscal note. There being no objection, it was so ordered, and the committee report was signed "do pass" by all members present.

SB 719

The next order of business was consideration of SB 719 (AN ACT PROVIDING THAT THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION CONDUCT A COMPUTER NETWORK STUDY; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE). Senator Sturgulewski asked Senator Stimson if the fiscal note in the file for \$50.0 could be passed with SB 719 so that it wouldn't be necessary to pass the companion bill SB 722 (AN ACT MAKING A SPECIAL APPROPRIATION TO THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION FOR A COMPUTER NETWORK STUDY; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE). Senator Stimson responded the action would eliminate the necessity to pass SB 722.

Senator Sturgulewski moved and asked unanimous consent that SB 719, and the fiscal note for \$50.0, be passed from committee with individual recommendations. There being no objection, it was so ordered, and the committee report was signed "do pass" by all members present.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 9:00 a.m.

(Tape SFC 82, # 27, Side 1, 000-147)

SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE
May 10, 1982
9:05 a.m.

SFC-82 #27, Side 1 (147-558)

CALL TO ORDER

Co-chairman Dankworth convened the meeting at approximately 9:05 a.m.

PRESENT

All committee members were present with the exception of Co-chairman Bennett and Senator Eliason. Also attending were Commission of Natural Resources, John Katz; Richard Aks, Deputy Commissioner, Department of Community & Regional Affairs; Linda Luther, Director, Employment Training & Assistance, Community & Regional Affairs; Tom Koester from the Department of Law; Fiscal Analysts Elmer Lindstrom and Garrey Peska; administrative assistants to Senate Finance, David Rogers and Judy Johnston; and representatives of the press.

SB 835

Co-chairman Dankworth moved that SB 835 (ACT ESTABLISHING A NATIONAL PETROLEUM RESERVE, ALASKA, TRUST FUND ACCOUNT AND PROVIDING FOR USES OF THE MONEY PLACED IN THE ACCOUNT) be brought on for discussion.

Senator Ferguson directed committee attention to a proposed CS for SB 835 (Finance) (ACT ESTABLISHING A NATIONAL PETROLEUM RESERVE, ALASKA, SPECIAL REVENUE FUND) and advised that John Katz, Commissioner of Natural Resources was present to testify on the legislation.

Commissioner Katz stated that the Department of Natural Resources has no "institutional position" on the bill. He advised that he was before committee to provide a federal legislative history. Previous petroleum reserve legislation would have provided the State no revenues from oil exploration and development in the reserve. A number of options were pending when federal legislation was adopted. Alaska's congressional delegation and the State administration advocated the 90/10 revenue sharing formula applied to public domain lands. Another possibility was 75% to the federal government and 25% to the local government. This is the formula for activity within National Wildlife Refuges. Under D-2 legislation the petroleum reserve would have been classified as a refuge and the above formula applied. A 50/50 revenue sharing formula was derived from the BLM Organic Act and applies to revenue in the Lower 48 States. A fourth option, which many at the federal level supported, was that the State of Alaska receive no revenue from the reserve on the theory that the state already has sufficient revenues. The impetus for

inclusion of the 50/50 formula eventually enacted came from the national administration. The administration incorporated this formula together with general language requiring that an unspecified portion of revenues be used to ameliorate the impact on local government.

Mr. Katz advised that he reviewed both the original bill and the proposed CS for SB 835 (Finance) and from a technician's perspective found both bills within the parameters of federal legislative intent.

Senator Sturgulewski advised of difficulties involved in attempting to set up criteria relating to handling the 50/50 split. This matter is best left to future legislative action.

Senator Ferguson moved that CS for SB 835 (Finance) pass from committee with individual recommendations. No objection having been raised, the bill passed from committee--all members present signing do pass.

HB 509

Co-chairman Dankworth moved that CS for HB 509 (Finance) (efd failed) (ACT RELATING TO ANALYSIS OF EMPLOYMENT AND UNEMPLOYMENT IN THE STATE) be brought on for discussion purposes only, advising that no committee action would be taken at this time.

Senator Ferguson directed committee attention to a proposed SCS for CS for HB 509 (Finance) (ACT ESTABLISHING A LOCAL EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING PROGRAM), advising that representatives from the Department of Community and Regional Affairs were present to testify concerning the legislation.

Richard Aks, Deputy Commissioner of Community and Regional Affairs, and Linda Luther, Director of Community Employment and Training Assistance for C & RA, appeared before committee. Mr. Aks advised that the proposed substitute establishes a local employment training program designed to meet local needs for skilled workers to assist the economic growth of the state and provide incentives for employers to hire residents. The bill incorporates the best of the federal CETA program, eliminates CETA's restrictive and over-regulated administrative structure, and emphasizes local control of the program. Both classroom and on-the-job training would be available as well as a program specifically designed for youth.

Drafters of the proposed substitute conferred with the department in preparation of the bill and incorporated a number of department suggestions--statutory clarifications, reference to requests for proposals, and definitions. The Department is supportive of the bill because it will:

1. Provide the state an opportunity to address local employment and training needs.

2. Demonstrate that alternatives to federal programs can supplement existing federal programs to round out the state's employment training system.

Voicing support for continuation of the CETA program based on state training needs, Senator Sturgulewski set forth three policy areas requiring further discussion:

Under Section 1, relating to employment training efforts at the local level, Senator Sturgulewski suggested adding "and educational programs" after "local efforts" on Page 1, Line 11. Such an addition would ensure that each provider does not start its own school and duplicate existing programs at the University or other educational level.

In Section 2, Page 2, it appears that local training programs are geared more to native non-profits than municipalities. Use of a population figure of 50,000 or more limits qualification to the Fairbanks North Star Borough. This narrows the program, and some municipal programs would not be able to continue operation.

Page 2 (Lines 15 through Section (b)--local employment and training councils) does not provide for municipal programs not currently having this type of council.

Referring to a number of cuts in critical CETA positions, Senator Stimson asked if positions contemplated under the proposed substitute program would fill these jobs. Linda Luther advised that she did not envision a replacement of public service positions under the proposed bill. She further stated that the goal of the legislation is to train people to fill positions. Rather than replacing the CETA program, the legislation offers a better alternative in preparing workers to move into full time unsubsidized positions. It increases self-sufficiency at the local level rather than continuing to fund positions simply for the sake of maintaining them.

Attesting to the fact that some villages would be losing key people through CETA cutbacks, Senator Ferguson advised that the subject legislation does not address this issue. It represents instead a training program. Eliminated CETA positions are perhaps best addressed through increased revenue sharing designated for that purpose. Richard Aks advised that the Governor has proposed raising the entitlement under revenue sharing to \$100.0 in an attempt to continue the administrative structure and retain "human capacity in those communities" The Administration's legislation is currently in Senate and House C & RA committees.

Referring to the fact that under the proposed substitute only the Municipality of Anchorage and the North Star Borough would qualify for training programs, Senator Sturgulewski asked if the North Star Borough has the "power" to run a manpower program. Mr. Aks advised that the original version of HB 509 as well as a

previous committee substitute contained amendments to Title 29 to give municipalities power to establish local employment training programs. It was perhaps a drafting oversight that the amendment was not included in the SCS for CSHB 509 (Finance). If this amendment was included, a municipality might not have to go to the voters prior to establishing its training programs, otherwise it appears that voter approval would be necessary.

Senator Sturgulewski asked if under the proposed substitute, entities like the Kenai Peninsula Borough which now offer programs would be precluded from doing so except through non-profit Native groups. Mr. Aks advised that the foregoing appears to be the case. Senator Sturgulewski asked that she be provided a list of areas currently providing training programs. Mr. Aks advised that there are currently two prime sponsors of such programs--the Municipality of Anchorage and the State of Alaska. He advised that he would provide a list of state grant programs.

Co-chairman Dankworth advised that SCS for CSHB 509 (Finance) would be held for further discussion at tomorrow's meeting.

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further matters to come before committee at this time, the meeting was adjourned at approximately 9:25 a.m.

SFC-82 #27, Side 1 (147-558)

SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE

May 11, 1982

9:10 a.m.

Tape, SFC 82, # 27, Side 2, 000-703)

CALL TO ORDER

Co-chairman Bennett called the meeting to order at 9:10 a.m.

PRESENT

All members of the committee were present with the exception of Senator Ferguson. Also in attendance were Representatives Anderson and Clocksin; Senator Fischer; and members of the press including Patty Ginzburg, Todd Hardesty, Jean Kizer and Bill White.

HB 344

Co-chairman Bennett stated the first order of business was consideration of Committee Substitute for HB 344 (Finance) (AN ACT MAKING A SPECIAL APPROPRIATION TO THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY FOR A COMPUTERIZED FINGERPRINT SYSTEM; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE). Co-chairman Dankworth requested that Representative Anderson come forward as one of the original sponsors of the bill.

Representative Anderson stated he would be happy to answer any questions the members might have. Co-chairman Dankworth stated that originally the program was to be funded at \$4 million, and then the House reduced it to \$2.7, and then Co-chairman Dankworth had put \$1.7 into the budget in case the bill didn't move. He had been told that \$1.7 would do nothing more than buy the "hardware", so if the committee wanted to commit itself to the program, it should be funded at \$2.7. Representative Anderson agreed. He stated that the main computer system would be in Anchorage, with sub-systems in Juneau and Fairbanks. He said the program had the support of the Alaska Association of Chiefs of Police, the Alaska Peace Officers Association, and individual police employee associations throughout the state.

Co-chairman Dankworth stated he would remove the \$1.7 million from the budget, so that this bill could be moved to the floor for action by the entire Senate body. Senator Eliason raised questions about support from Department of Public Safety. Co-chairman Dankworth responded that he understood that Commissioner Nix of Public Safety was in support of the program as long as they didn't have to take the funding out of their operating budget.

Questions were raised as to the locations of the computer systems (terminals) and who would have access to them. Co-chairman

Dankworth said that though places like Seward and Valdez wouldn't have a terminal, they would have access to the ones in Anchorage, Juneau and Fairbanks. Representative Anderson said that the access would be by mail, telephone, handcarry, etc. He said that the cost of the program would include such things as training, installation, purchase of equipment, etc. Senator Eliason stated he would like to see the entire state become part of the system. Representative Anderson responded that they hoped to expand the system to that capability in the future.

Co-chairman Dankworth moved and asked unanimous consent that Committee Substitute for HB 344 (Finance) be passed from committee with individual recommendations, with no fiscal note. There being no objection, it was so ordered, and the committee report was signed "do pass" by all members present.

HB 366

The next order of business was consideration of HB 366 (ef'd failed) (AN ACT MAKING A SPECIAL APPROPRIATION TO THE ALASKA STATE HOUSING AUTHORITY FOR PURCHASERS OF HOUSES BUILT UNDER THE BARTLETT ACT HOUSING PROGRAM). Senator Sackett stated that Representative Clocksin was present to testify on the bill.

Representative Clocksin voiced his support of HB 366. He said in 1969 and 1970, a number of houses were built in various rural communities in Alaska through the Bartlett Act Housing Program (a federal program). The houses were funded by federal money through the Department of Housing and Urban Development; however, the houses were constructed by and under the supervision of the Alaska State Housing Authority. Right after those houses were completed, they began to fall apart. The residents of those houses filed suit against ASHA, alleging that they were poorly designed and poorly constructed, and therefore, they hadn't received the bargain that was intended. In 1981 the last of those lawsuits was finally settled. During that period of time, some people continued to make payments on those houses even though they were sub-standard. The agreement was that the federal government (not the state) would pay to construct new, quality houses, to replace the houses constructed in 1969 and 1970. In addition, ASHA agreed to forgive the debt owed to the State of Alaska by the residents, and agreed to give title to the old houses to those residents. That agreement did not resolve the question of payments that had been made by those rural residents for those houses to the State of Alaska. This bill would appropriate \$239,382 to ASHA to pay refunds to those people. He said the amount on the bill was so specific because that was exactly the amount of money those people paid to ASHA on those houses that were later determined to be substandard. He said there were 282 families involved in 16 villages throughout the state and there was no dispute as to the people involved or the amounts of money each was to be refunded. He mentioned that the effective date had failed in the house, and there was a lapse date of June 30, 1982. He recommended that the Senate Finance

Committee amend that date to June 30, 1983 if action was taken on the bill.

Senator Sackett moved and asked unanimous consent that HB 364 (efd failed) with the lapse date amended to read June 30, 1982, be passed from committee with individual recommendations. There being no objection, it was so ordered, and all those present signed "do pass" with the exception of Senator Dankworth who signed "no recommendation".

HB 643

The next order of business was consideration of Committee Substitute for HB 643 (Finance) amended (AN ACT REPEALING AND AMENDING CERTAIN APPROPRIATIONS MADE IN 1981 REAPPROPRIATING A 1980 APPROPRIATION FOR THE KASAAN DOCK; MAKING AN APPROPRIATION TO THE LEGISLATIVE FINANCE DIVISION FOR A BRISTOL BAY DEVELOPMENT STUDY; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE).

Co-chairman Dankworth asked if all members had a copy of the work draft for Senate Committee Substitute for Committee Substitute for HB 643 (Finance) (AN ACT REPEALING, AMENDING, MAKING, AND EXTENDING LAPSE DATES ON APPROPRIATIONS; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE) dated May 10, 1982. He stated he would like to give the members a day or two to view the bill and then bring questions to the committee. He pointed out that there was a sectional analysis in the file also. He said that basically this bill was a vehicle for legislators to re-allocate past appropriations in their districts. He said there would be no cost to this bill - it would have a 5-0- fiscal note. He said in his own judgement it was a "healthy" process to re-allocate funding for those projects that weren't working out in the various districts. He said it had taken about two months to draft the bill, and again stated he would leave it "on the desk" at least through the next day so that everyone would have a chance to look through it. He said he would be glad to have the legislators responsible for the various sections of the bill available to testify before the committee. He said a great deal of the hydro-electric projects originally found in SB 608 would be found in this bill.

Senator Sturgulewski stated that work draft SCS CS HB 643 (finance) dated 5/10/82 was not available earlier for perusal, so she was starting from "ground zero". She requested that the Legislative Finance Division check the technical aspects of the bill. She asked if that had already been done. Co-chairman Dankworth responded he could not answer that question, but that his Assistant Max Gifford had been working with Legislative Finance in formulating the bill. Therefore, he assumed the technical aspects had already been checked. Senator Sturgulewski maintained her request.

Referring to a letter dated May 5, 1982 from the Governor, Senator Sturgulewski brought up the question of specific non-grants language in the budget. The Governor had stated that the

legislative add-ons would be subject to competitive bidding. She noted that there were a lot of directed grants in the bill before the committee, and since there were firm instructions not to put those items in the budget she wondered if there was an agreement with the Governor on this subject. Co-chairman Dankworth responded there was no agreement. He said all items in the bill would be subject to the same conditions the Governor had always imposed, so the individual legislators would be responsible for discussing their items with the Governor with respect to possible veto.

Senator Sturgulewski asked if any of the funding in the bill for hydroelectric projects would be "new money". Co-chairman Dankworth responded all the dollars were re-allocated old dollars. Senator Sturgulewski stated she would be interested in testimony on the hydro items. Co-chairman Dankworth stated he would have people present to testify at the next meeting. He pointed out at that time that the City of Sitka decided not to accept a \$60 million grant for the Green Lake project, and instead opted to borrow \$15 million from the state so they could be the owners of Green Lake. So the balance of the \$60 million grant was re-allocated to other projects listed throughout the bill.

Co-chairman Dankworth moved and asked unanimous consent that SCS CS HB 643 (Finance) (work draft dated 5/10/82) be adopted for consideration. Senator Stimson objected, stating he was not prepared for the committee to adopt the work draft at that time especially since Co-chairman Dankworth had stated he wanted the members to have a day or two to review the bill. Co-chairman Dankworth stated he wanted to move the bill along, and he was prepared to make a motion to that effect in a day or two. Senator Sturgulewski renewed her request to have Legislative Finance check the technical aspects of the bill. Senator Stimson asked what the total of the bill was, and Co-chairman Dankworth responded \$152,775,300, with \$80 million of that amount for hydro. Co-chairman Bennett stated the bill would be taken up again the following day, and the bill was returned to the file.

SB 866

Co-chairman Bennett stated the next order of business was consideration of SB 866 (AN ACT MAKING A SPECIAL APPROPRIATION TO THE SENATE STATE AFFAIRS COMMITTEE FOR A STUDY OF THE POTENTIAL FOR CONTRACTING FOR STATE SERVICES; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE), and stated that Senator Fischer was present to testify on the legislation.

Senator Fischer explained that the bill related to work done by the State Affairs Committee staff during the session, and related to SCR 50 which was passed by the Senate two weeks earlier. SCR 50 established a policy to have the state contract out for state services to the people of Alaska whenever feasible, cost effective, etc. The study by State Affairs Committee staff looked at several departments and wrote a report. Essentially,

they found that in three departments (DOTPF, HESS, and F & G) there could be "massive" cost savings and/or elimination of state positions through the contracting out of state services. SB 866 would propose to contract an interim study through the State Affairs Committee to further investigate and make recommendations to the next legislature.

Co-chairman Dankworth questioned the procedure for contracting for the study. Senator Fischer stated the \$125.0 on the bill would cover a number of services available to conclude the study. Co-chairman Dankworth was concerned that the money would be used to just keep legislative staff on during the interim. Senator Fischer stated the purpose of the study was not to keep staff on during the interim. Co-chairman Dankworth asked if the contract work would be put out on bid, and Senator Fischer responded affirmatively, adding that he would follow all the proper legislative procedures.

Senator Sackett moved and asked unanimous consent that SB 866 be moved from committee with individual recommendations. There being no objection, it was so ordered, and the committee report was signed "do pass" by Senators Stimson and Eliason, and "no recommendation" by Senators Sackett, Dankworth and Bennett.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 9:45 a.m.

(Tape SFC 82, # 27, Side 2, 000-703)

SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE

May 12, 1982

9:15 a.m.

SFC-82 #28, Side 1 (000-802)

CALL TO ORDER

Co-chairman Don Bennett convened the meeting at approximately 9:15 a.m.

PRESENT

All committee members were present. Also attending were Senator Pat Rodey; Kurt Ozinich, from the Senate Advisory Council; Richard Aks, Deputy Commissioner, Department of Community & Regional Affairs; Linda Luther, Director, Employment Training & Assistance, Community & Regional Affairs; John Bates, Deputy Commissioner of Department of Transportation and Public Facilities; Steve Hole from the Department of Education; Dennis DeWitt, representing the Alaska State Hospital Association; fiscal analysts Elmer Lindstrom, Bob Schroeder, Garrey Peska, and Alison Elgee; Administrative Assistants to Senate Finance Sandy Nusbaum, Judy Johnston, Paul Pesika, and David Rogers; and representatives from the press.

Hb 509

Co-chairman Bennett moved that CS for HB 509 (Finance) (afd failed) (ACT RELATING TO ANALYSIS OF EMPLOYMENT AND UNEMPLOYMENT IN THE STATE) be brought on for discussion.

Senator Ferguson directed committee attention to a proposed SCS for CS for HB 509 (Finance) (ACT ESTABLISHING A LOCAL EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING PROGRAM) dated 5/11/82, and a new Fiscal Note dated 5/12/82. He advised that Senator Rodey was present to testify on the proposed substitute.

Senator Rodey appeared before committee saying that the intended program is especially important to his constituents in Anchorage. The bill would initiate a pilot program operated at the local level, providing training in service trades. The service area is the easiest area for which to train people. It is also the area with the most jobs available. The contemplated training program will not go on indefinitely; it is, however, a worthwhile pilot program. Senator Rodey concluded his remarks advising that unemployment has been "outstripping employment" in Anchorage. Over the past year unemployment claims have increased by 25%.

Referring to hearings held last fall concerning unemployment and training, Senator Stimson asked if the proposed substitute meets needs expressed at the hearings. Senator Rodey stated that it does in that it is essentially a pilot program, operated at the local level, with evaluation requirements.

Senator Eliason asked why Southeast Alaska is not included in the proposed substitute. Senator Ferguson responded, "because of the money factor."

Senator Sturgulewski distributed an amendment, advising that she felt it proper to discuss in committee rather than on the floor of the Senate. She advised of concern that decreases in federal funding will eliminate valuable positions and on-the-job training. She stressed need to "get people trained and out into the job market, and advised that the proposed bill leaves gaps in needed training. For example,

1. The Matanuska-Susitna borough which was previously under the state program, would not be allowed to participate given current language in the bill.
2. The Kenai Peninsula would be in the same situation.
3. The Fairbanks North Star Borough would qualify according to population criteria, but perhaps lack authority to conduct programs.
4. Numerous communities listed as grantees will not be able to continue programs.

Senator Sturgulewski again stressed need for these training programs, emphasizing that the proposed legislation should represent a grant program. As such, it would be administered through Community & Regional Affairs and available to all boroughs.

Senator Sturgulewski conducted a section by section application of her proposed amendments.

Upon conclusion of her review, she advised that the amendments are based on memoranda and information recently received from the CETA Division and would be better incorporated in a revised Senate Finance Committee Substitute rather than left in amendment form. She summarized the intent of the amendment as establishment of a grant program (administered by Community & Regional Affairs) which includes a component requiring evaluation. It would allow all boroughs to participate, not just those with populations of 50,000 or more.

Responding to a question from Senator Dankworth concerning the population limitation, Senator Sturgulewski advised that Anchorage would not be affected since it is currently a grantee. Fairbanks, however, operated a program in the past under the state grant. The proposed pilot program constitutes a new program thereby raising the question of "power." The proposed CS would definitely not allow boroughs other than Anchorage or Fairbanks to participate.

Senator Stimson advised that he liked the grant approach but was not certain of its implications. He asked that the Department

react to the suggested amendment. Richard Aks, Deputy Director of Community & Regional Affairs, and Linda Luther, Director, CETA Division, Community & Regional Affairs, appeared before committee.

Senator Stimson asked Mr. Aks and Ms. Luther questions concerning the most effective method of "carrying out" a state employment training program. Mr. Aks advised of department concern for coordination of state programs with existing federal programs. The approach suggested by Senator Sturgulewski would facilitate that coordination and provide greater accountability for funds. Linda Luther advised that there are two sets of federal moneys deriving from the federal CETA program: one for prime sponsors and the other for Native American grantees. The Municipality of Anchorage qualifies as a prime sponsor, and by default, the State operates the federal program for the rest of Alaska. Under federal law a city must have a population of 100,000 or more to qualify as a prime sponsor. In FY 82 the above prime sponsors received slightly more than \$6 million to operate federal CETA programs. This amount is supplemented in Alaska by the Native American Grantee Program which covers the entire State. She stated that she was unable to advise of the amount received for FY 82 under this program, but noted that past funding has been comparable to that received by prime sponsors.

Richard Aks noted that the Governor included \$1 million in his budget for state employment training programs. The Department would have implemented these programs without legislation. C & RA supplied both House and Senate Finance Committees with general guidelines of where state funds could best supplement federal training efforts.

Advising of his understanding that Southeast would not be included in the model program, Senator Stimson asked if there was need for training in this area. Mr. Aks responded that the federal government had identified needs statewide. He stressed need to preserve local initiative in providing training, stating "If there is an entity out there that wants to provide it, they ought to be allowed to seek funding to do so." Senator Eliason again questioned need in Southeast. Linda Luther advised that recent attendance at a Tlingit-Haida Council meeting indicated support for state assistance in federal training efforts. Council members also noted a great need in Southeast.

Senator Sackett asked if additional administrative costs would be associated with the pilot program. Mr. Aks responded that the Department could "do it" with a minimal amount of new administration.

Senator Ferguson stated that the pilot program should go forward. If it doesn't turn out as expected, it won't be funded next year. It's not an ongoing program.

Senator Sturgulewski moved for adoption of her proposed amendments to SCS for CSHB 509 (Finance). Senator Ferguson

objected. Co-chairman Dankworth advised of need to review the amendments, asking if the bill could be held until the next meeting.

Senator Sackett advised of need to place pilot projects for employment and training in areas where need is greatest. He added that the revised Fiscal Note increases funding to Anchorage which has relatively low unemployment while funding nothing for Bethel where unemployment has risen to 70%. He strongly suggested that senators wishing to add funding in their areas obtain funding from the general fund rather than taking it from areas where the need is greater.

Co-chairman Bennett advised that the bill would be held until a later meeting.

HB 643

Co-chairman Bennett moved that CSHB 643 (Finance) am (ACT REPEALING AND AMENDING CERTAIN APPROPRIATIONS MADE IN 1981 REAPPROPRIATING A 1980 APPROPRIATION FOR THE KASAAN DOCK; MAKING AN APPROPRIATION TO THE LEGISLATIVE FINANCE DIVISION FOR A BRISTOL BAY DEVELOPMENT STUDY) be brought on for discussion.

Co-chairman Dankworth advised of a proposed SCS for CSHB 643 (Finance) (AN ACT REPEALING, AMENDING, MAKING, AND EXTENDING LAPSE DATES ON APPROPRIATIONS). He stated it was not his intention to move the bill at the present meeting. He was, instead, offering it for comment and review. He urged committee members to investigate reappropriations for their individual districts in order to ensure that funding amounts and bill language is correct. He moved that the bill be held one more legislative day for continued member review.

Senator Sturgulewski stressed need for Legislative Finance review of the legislation. She noted examples where appropriations appear to have lapsed. In reality, however, portions of the appropriations have been spent. Reallocation of the initial appropriation results in an overexpenditure of funds. She cited Section 241, a \$45 million appropriation for Green Lake as an example. Approximately \$84,844 of this amount has been spent. The proposed SCS repeals and reappropriates the entire \$45 million. Elmer Lindstrom appeared before committee, citing the same figures offered by Senator Sturgulewski. He said that repeal of the \$45 million appropriation will result in an overexpenditure of the \$84,844 which has already been spent. He stressed need to leave sufficient funding in the appropriation to cover expenditures. Co-chairman Dankworth testified that situations described above would be covered by \$15 million available for loans under the Alaska Power Authority. Senator Eliason questioned expenditure of funds by Green Lake, advising that \$84,844 worth of work has not yet been performed. Senator Sturgulewski advised that her concern relates to the State's books and what they show. As a further example, she pointed to a \$3.4 million appropriation for the Bethel Jail which under the

proposed SCS could result in an overexpenditure of \$967,000. She added that additional problem areas in a bill of this magnitude might result in numerous, serious audit exceptions. She stressed need for legislative finance review of the legislation for technical problems. Referring to the Bethel Jail situation, Senator Sackett advised of two appropriations: a bond issue for both Bethel and Nome, and a \$3 million cash appropriation. Since the above-mentioned funding was dedicated to these projects, the Department of Health & Social Services has advised that it is not possible to build two jails for the authorized funding. One jail is now planned. Expenditures will be covered by bonds.

Senator Sturgulewski again stressed need to review technical aspects of the proposed SCS in order to avoid possible overexpenditures. Co-chairman Dankworth attested to the fact that the bill is still in draft stage and technical corrections could indeed be made. Co-chairman Bennett requested that Jay Hogan review the legislation prior to the next committee meeting.

Co-chairman Dankworth advised that he wished to make clear the fact that reappropriation legislation is prepared every year. He added that it was incumbent upon each legislator to review his own projects.

Advising that passage of the legislation is ultimately the responsibility of individual Finance Committee Members, Senator Stimson raised questions concerning Section 44 (\$420,000 Lake & Peninsula REAA's for budget overruns). Should this funding be processed through Budget & Audit? In Section 109 (Appropriation to DDE for Kuspuk REAA/White Alice Vocational program), how do these funds differ from foundation funding which should cover this type of program. In Sections 156 and 157, Senator Stimson raised questions concerning school construction which might not be in compliance with the Hootch decision. He raised further questions concerning Section 243 (\$200,000 for West Creek) advising of his understanding that funding relates to a federal lobbying effort for putting a power line through the Chilkoot Trail. He requested further information on the project.

Co-chairman Dankworth suggested that Senator Stimson contact his office for the information. He added that in the event his office is unable to satisfy inquiries, it would direct questions to individual Senators responsible for the project.

Senator Sturgulewski raised questions concerning power project funding contained in the legislation. Senator Dankworth advised that energy project reductions amount to approximately \$91 million. He offered to provide a list of such projects. Senator Sturgulewski attested to confusion since energy funding has been placed in both operating and capital budgets as well as SCS for CSHB 643 (Finance) and G.O. Bonds.

BILL ASSIGNMENTS

Co-chairman Bennett made the following bill assignments:

HB 695 - Stimson
HB 696 - Stimson
HB 844 - Eliason
HB 875 - Sackett

ADJOURNMENT

There being nothing further to come before the meeting at this time, the meeting was adjourned at approximately 10:00 a.m.

SFC-82 #28, Side 1 (000-802)

SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE

May 13, 1982

9:13 a.m.

SFC-82, #23, Side 2 (000-717)

CALL TO ORDER

Co-chairman Don Bennett convened the meeting at approximately 9:13 a.m.

PRESENT

All committee members were present. Also attending were Senator Brad Bradley; Steve Hole, representing the Dept. of Education; Kurt Dzinich of the Senate Advisory Agency; Fiscal Analysts Alisen Elgee, Alison Farnan, and Bob Schroeder; administrative assistant to Senate Finance Judy Johnston; and representatives from the press.

SB 705

Co-chairman Bennett moved that SB 705 (AN ACT MAKING A SPECIAL APPROPRIATION TO THE DEPARTMENT OF MILITARY AFFAIRS FOR CONSTRUCTION OF THE ALASKAN SERVICEMEN'S MEMORIAL AT VETERANS LAKE) be brought on for discussion, advising that Senator Bradley was present to testify concerning the legislation. Senator Bradley stated that SB 704 contains authority for naming the wayside at Byers Lake in Denali State Park the Alaska Veteran's Memorial as well as authority for construction of a ranger/caretaker facility which the park needs since the closest park ranger is located at Palmer.

SB 706

SB 706 (ACT MAKING A SPECIAL APPROPRIATION TO THE DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES FOR CONSTRUCTION OF A CARETAKER FACILITY FOR THE ALASKAN SERVICEMEN'S MEMORIAL AT VETERANS LAKE IN DENALI STATE PARK) appropriates \$200,000 for the above mentioned ranger/caretaker facility. Funding would provide for well drilling, the facility itself, and a power plant (KW diesel).

SB 705 represents Phase II of legislation authored last year by Senator Parr. A proposed memorial was selected from statewide competition. Senator Bradley distributed a handout depicting the proposed memorial which would consist of an Eskimo Scout flanked by bronze plaques representing the five other branches of service. A flag pole stands behind the scout and in front of the bronze plaques. The memorial can be completed for approximately \$300,000.

Senator Bradley stated: "It's a shame . . . that this state has one memorial, and that's a flag pole in Anchorage on the park strip . . . with a small plaque at the base . . . as a memorial

to all of those who have given their lives, been missing in action, or even served in all the past wars in this state." Most states have over 50 or 60 memorials. Alaska is the only state which has only one.

Senator Bradley advised that opposition to the renaming of Byers Lake as Veterans Lake led him to withdraw companion legislation, SB 707.

The proposed park ranger will provide maintenance, supervision, dispense tourist information, and guard against vandalism. Senator Eliason asked if the park remains open year round. Senator Bradley responded that it does, but in the winter it is generally snowed in and receives little use. The ranger would be in residence approximately six months, primarily during the summer unless winter residence is warranted.

Referring to revisions in appropriation amounts contained in the Senate State Affairs version of SB 705 and SB 706 reducing funding to \$500,000, Senator Stimson asked why funding had been adjusted downward. Senator Bradley responded that more recent estimates indicate construction costs will be less than originally anticipated. When asked what would happen should SB 704 and 705 pass but not SB 706, Senator Bradley answered that chances of vandalism would increase.

Co-chairman Dankworth moved that CS for SB 705 (State Affairs) (ACT MAKING A SPECIAL APPROPRIATION TO THE DEPARTMENT OF MILITARY AFFAIRS FOR CONSTRUCTION OF THE ALASKA VETERANS MEMORIAL AT BYERS LAKE IN DENALI STATE PARK) pass from committee with individual recommendations. No objection was raised. Senator Sackett noted that the file for SB 705 (an appropriation bill) also contains a fiscal note. Co-chairman Dankworth moved that the fiscal note be stricken. No objection having been raised, the fiscal note dated March 18, 1982, was stricken. CS for SB 705 (State Affairs) then passed from committee--Senators Stimson, Sturgulewski, Eliason, and Dankworth signing do pass; Senators Sackett and Ferguson signing no recommendation; and Senator Bennett signing as Chairman.

Co-chairman Dankworth moved that CS for SB 706 (State Affairs) (ACT MAKING A SPECIAL APPROPRIATION TO THE DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES FOR CONSTRUCTION OF A PERMANENT PARK RANGER AND CARETAKER FACILITY FOR THE ALASKA VETERANS MEMORIAL AT BYERS LAKE IN DENALI STATE PARK) pass from committee with individual recommendations. No objection having been raised, the bill passed from Senate Finance--Senators Stimson, Sturgulewski, Eliason, and Dankworth signing do pass; Senators Sackett, Ferguson, and Bennett signing no recommendation.

HB 643

Co-chairman Bennett moved that CS for HB 643 (Finance) am (ACT REPEALING AND AMENDING CERTAIN APPROPRIATIONS MADE IN 1981 REAPPROPRIATING A 1930 APPROPRIATION FOR THE KASAAN DOCK; MAKING

AN APPROPRIATION TO THE LEGISLATIVE FINANCE DIVISION FOR A BRISTOL BAY DEVELOPMENT STUDY) be brought on for discussion, directing committee attention to a proposed SCS for CS for HB 643 (Finance) (ACT REPEALING, AMENDING, MAKING, AND EXTENDING LAPSE DATES ON APPROPRIATIONS).

Noting that every Finance Committee must put together reallocation legislation, Co-chairman Dankworth refuted press comments criticizing the manner in which the bill was constructed. He stressed need to be able to rework preliminary drafts of legislation with staff personnel prior to presenting a working draft for public comment. He concluded his remarks advising that he would no longer respond to member and press criticism--"the bill is here. If you want to work on it, work on it. Change it if you will. But don't leave the impression that there was any wrong doing on my part in drafting it."

Senator Sackett commented that he had served on the finance committee for fourteen years. Every year, there has been a reallocation bill. In previous years, the bills have been smaller, but the same process was followed for their construction as for construction of current legislation. The current work draft is not the first such piece of legislation to be slapped down before committee for review. In concluding remarks he advised: "If the system is incorrect--then we're all doing it wrong with our individual work draft copies that we bring before this committee."

Advising that he was angry over accusation made concerning construction of the proposed SCS, Co-chairman Dankworth said he was preparing legislation which would extract hydroelectric projects from the bill and place them in SB 608.

Citing her concern for hydro funding located in the operating and capital budgets as well as SCS for CS HB 643 (Finance) and the bonds, Senator Sturgulewski advised that her staff representative requested funding information from Co-chairman Dankworth's office. She stressed the importance of power funding, adding that she would take responsibility for inconvenience caused by the request.

Referring to other sections of the proposed SCS, Senator Sturgulewski voiced need for Legislative Finance to "take a close look" at the legislation due to the magnitude of the bill. Given the difficulties inherent in add/delete legislation, she stressed the advisability of checking for technical accuracy. Additionally, she voiced concern that committee members were told to "keep down the operating budget," while many operational dollars are included in the proposed substitute.

Senator Stimson acknowledged that he had been critical of construction of the proposed SCS. He denied using the bill as a political platform, advising that he is aware that his candidacy for Lt. Governor tends to erode his credibility. He noted reason for concern, however, since the proposed bill contains 276

sections and was presented at a time when the session is about to adjourn. He noted a "sense of panic" because committee members do not have time to develop an understanding of the full implications of the bill. He voiced his feeling that no one legislator should have complete latitude to make decisions regarding what is eliminated from past appropriations and what should be included when the funding is reappropriated. He further advised that many sections of the bill require "full blown public hearing" which time does not permit. Senator Stimson voiced frustration based on his attempts to limit the operating budget, particularly with regard to grants, when the proposed bill contains approximately \$3.5 million in operating grants for Education alone.

Referring to statements regarding past precedent, Senator Stimson said that one of the commitments made when the current coalition was formed was a break with the past--"we were going to do things differently; we were going to be open." He noted further frustration in that the mere size of the reappropriation bill requires "an extraordinary amount of openness." The contrary has been true--preparation of the bill was accomplished under an extraordinary amount of "closeness." In closing, he alluded to legal problems inherent in the bill as well the fact that many special interests appear to be served.

In rebuttal, Senator Dankworth stated that the bill has been before committee for three days. He asked dissenting committee members what they had done in that time frame in the way of constructive work on the bill. He advised that he would not attempt to move the bill from committee. In closing he stated, "It's nice not to contribute--just criticize!"

Senator Sturgulewski responded that she had raised technical questions, she had legislative finance fiscal analysts review the bill in a constructive approach to resolving substantive technical problems, and she had available for committee review memoranda dealing with these problems. She noted that she had not merely raised the issue of technical problems, she had actively worked to correct these problems. She noted that changes are significant enough that the amendment process may not be practical. She suggested that needed amendments at least be laid out for committee review, noting that some changes in the bill would require appropriation of additional funds since in some instances funds have been lapsed and reappropriated when in fact there were no funds to lapse since expenditures had already been made.

Senator Stimson added that he has his staff working on the bill with the intention of providing constructive direction and identifying problem areas to ensure that the bill will move forward.

Co-chairman Dankworth advised that he had no problem with committee members correcting and changing the bill. The current version has not yet been adopted by committee as the working

draft. He suggested possible addition of severability language to the bill, but advised that he did not intend to move or carry the bill.

Co-chairman Bennett attested to the fact that legislation drafted by an individual legislator represents a working document. For that reason these documents are not circulated among colleagues or given to the press. Once introduced, the document becomes public. He refuted insinuations that something "may have been wrong" in the manner in which the SCS for HB 643 was constructed and presented. Methods used in preparing and presenting the bill followed traditional legislative practice. The bill was introduced, laid upon the table, and placed before committee for acceptance, amendment, rejection, or whatever is appropriate. If sufficient votes are available to make alterations to the bill, and the alterations are substantiative, a new SCS will be drafted. He suggested that continued discussion at the present time would not be productive, and directed committee members to be prepared to address the bill at a 9:00 a.m. meeting, Saturday morning. He further directed committee members to closely review the \$150 million bill because of its significant impact on the state, and the legislature's responsibility for realignment of funds not being used for the purposes for which they were originally appropriated.

Senator Sackett suggested that members with amendments to offer present them in written form for review by committee at the Saturday meeting. He stressed the fact that the Administration also has amendments to the bill and asked that representatives from the Administration be apprised of the Saturday hearing.

ADJOURNMENT

Co-chairman Bennett adjourned the meeting at approximately 9:50 a.m.

SFC-82, #28, Side 2 (000-717)

SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE

May 14, 1982

8:40 a.m.

(Tape SFC 82, # 29, Side 1, 000-end)

(Tape SFC 82, # 29, Side 2, 000-199)

CALL TO ORDER

Co-chairman Bennett called the meeting to order at 8:50 a.m.

PRESENT

All members of the committee were present. Also in attendance were Judy Johnston, Administrative Assistant to Co-chairman Bennett; Mike Scott, Administrative Assistant to Senator Ferguson; Dennis Dewitt, Executive Director of the Alaska Hospital Association; Rick Robertson, Assistant Attorney General; Jim Baldwin, Assistant Attorney General; Representative Sutcliffe; and members of the press including Todd Hardesty.

HB 876

The first bill for consideration was Committee Substitute for HB 876 (SA) amended (AN ACT PROVIDING FOR A FEDERAL BUDGET IMPACT FUND; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE).

Senator Sackett referred to the work draft in the file, Senate Committee Substitute for Committee Substitute for HB 876 (Finance) (SAME TITLE), and requested Mike Scott, Administrative Assistant to Senator Ferguson, to come forward and testify to the difference between the proposed draft and the version that had come from the House.

Mike Scott stated there were two changes made to the House version. They were: 1) page 1, line 26 - the words "deemed appropriate" were inserted to take care of anything the Governor's Office thought appropriate to be expended from the fund; and 2) page 2 - the portion put in by the House, dealing with the Budget and Audit Committee reviewing the expenditures from the fund after being compiled by the Governor's Office, was deleted. Mr. Scott stated this version would speed up the process by taking it out of Community and Regional Affairs and putting it directly in the Governor's Office. This way, there would only be one place for state and non-state agencies to submit their requests.

Senator Sturgulewski recommended adding "as provided by AS 37.05.317" to the end of the sentence in Section 5. Mr. Scott stated that statute reference would be appropriate.

Senator Stimson asked about the termination date. Mr. Scott responded that this was a special Act, designed to meet the needs

that occur from the time the legislature adjourns until it convenes again next January of 1983. The intent was not for this to be a long-range on-going program. The situation was that at this point in time there wasn't accurate information as to the exact nature of the federal budget cuts, so this was a way to fund those programs that they just didn't have enough information on now. Senator Stimson asked about the costs. Mr. Scott stated the original Senate version recommended \$30 million, while the original House version recommended \$20 million. The projections he had for the shortfall were about \$70 million, and knowing \$70 million was far too much to ask for, they decided to put \$20 million on the Senate Finance Committee Substitute now before the committee.

Co-chairman Dankworth asked about the fiscal note, and Senator Sackett noted that a new fiscal note would have to be adopted by the committee for \$20 million. Co-chairman Dankworth asked if this version had been discussed with the Governor, and if he agreed this was the way to go about resolving the problem. Senator Sackett responded yes.

Senator Sackett moved and asked unanimous consent that Senate Committee Substitute for Committee Substitute for HB 876 (Finance), with the amendment proposed by Senator Sturgulewski above, with a fiscal note for \$20 million, be passed from committee with individual recommendations. There being no objection, it was so ordered, and the committee report was signed "do pass" by all members present with the exception of Co-chairmen Dankworth and Bennett who signed "no recommendation".

HB 844

Co-chairman Bennett stated the next order of business was consideration of Committee Substitute for HB 844 (HESS) am (AN ACT RELATING TO THE FINANCING OF RURAL HEALTH FACILITY IMPROVEMENTS AND MAINTENANCE), and requested that Senator Eliason present the legislation to the committee members. Senator Eliason referred to Senate Committee Substitute for Committee Substitute for HB 844 (HESS) (AN ACT RELATING TO THE FINANCING OF HEALTH FACILITY IMPROVEMENTS AND MAINTENANCE) in the file, and stated that Dennis Dewitt, Executive Director of the Alaska Hospital Association, was present to testify before the committee on that version.

Mr. Dewitt came forward and stated he supported SCS CS HB 844 (HESS). He said the purpose of the bill was to create a process for reviewing the condition of existing facilities in order to prioritize existing needs in terms of capital funding. The State Health Coordinating Council would make recommendations to the Legislature, the Commissioner of Health and Social Services, and the Governor. He explained how the Commissioner of Health and Social Services could re-prioritize the list. He recommended the following amendment to the bill:

page 3, line 17: change "three" to "four"

page 3, line 20: change (C) to read "health facilities in communities of over 4,500"

page 3, between lines 20 and 21: add "(D) health facilities in communities of under 4,500"

Senator Sturgulewski voiced support of the bill. Discussion ensued on subjects such as the relationship to revenue sharing.

Senator Eliason moved and asked unanimous consent that the above amendment to the bill be adopted. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

Senator Eliason moved and asked unanimous consent that Senate Committee Substitute for Committee Substitute for HB 844 (HESS) as amended be moved from committee with individual recommendations. There being no objection, it was so ordered, and the committee report was signed "do pass" by all members present with the exception of Senator Ferguson, who signed "no recommendation".

HB 608

Co-chairman Bennett stated the next bill for consideration was Committee Substitute for HB 608 (Finance) amended (AN ACT ESTABLISHING UNIFORM ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES FOR GRANTS TO AND CONTRACTS WITH NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS), and requested Senator Ferguson to present the legislation to the committee.

Senator Ferguson referred to SCS CS HB 608 (C&RA) (AN ACT ESTABLISHING PROCEDURES FOR STATE GRANTS TO AND CONTRACTS WITH NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS, MUNICIPALITIES, UNINCORPORATED COMMUNITIES, AND NAMED RECIPIENTS; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE) as well as the other version in the file, SCS CS HB 608 (Finance) (AN ACT ESTABLISHING PROCEDURES FOR STATE GRANTS AND CONTRACTS; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE). He stated that the C&RA version had set the figure in Section 1 at \$100.0. He said he didn't agree with that amount, and added that a few years earlier Senator Eliason had a bill for grants to municipalities set at \$400.0, and he felt that was the right figure for the competitive bidding. He said that in preparing the Finance SCS (dated 5/14/82) he had added Section 2 on contracts. He said representatives from the Department of Law were present to discuss the bill with the committee.

Mr. Rick Robertson, Assistant Attorney General, stated he was present to testify on Section 2 of SCS CS HB 608 (Finance). He said that Jim Baldwin, Assistant Attorney General, was also present to answer any questions directed to other sections of the bill. He said that Section 2 was designed to address a problem that had been with the Department of Law for some time. There had been efforts by the Department of Law to contract out for the delivery of certain services. Those efforts had been met with