

HOUSE/SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE MINUTES - 1967-1982 2816

SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE

March 20, 1981

8:40 a.m.

(Tape SFC, # 18, Side 1, 073 - end)

(Tape SFC, # 18, Side 2, 000 - 195)

CALL TO ORDER

Co-chairman Bennett called the meeting to order at 8:40 a.m.

PRESENT

All members were present with the exception of Senators Stimson and Sackett. Senator Eliason arrived at 8:45 a.m. Also in attendance were Dr. Kerry Romesburg; Executive Director of Commission on Post-secondary Education; Alison Elgee, Legislative Finance Fiscal Analyst; Administrative Assistant to Senator Bennett, Judy Johnston; Administrative Assistant to Senator Dankworth, Kathy Martinson; and a member of the press, Joe LaRocca.

BILL ASSIGNMENT

Co-chairman Bennett assigned SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION NO. 16 (Relating to annexation to the city of Nome) to Senator Ferguson.

DR. KERRY ROMESBURG, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, ALASKA COMMISSION ON POST-SECONDARY EDUCATION

UNIVERSITY OF ALASKA BUDGET REVIEW

Dr. Romesburg informed the committee that the Commission was charged with the review of the University of Alaska budget. At the end of last legislative session one position was allowed for this purpose. Since this is the first year, only a cursory review was conducted. He distributed a copy of the Commission's recommendations to the members (appended to the end of these minutes), and stated that on the whole the Commission strongly supports the University of Alaska budget. He thought that the University was "making great gains" in the State, partly reflected by the fact that many more students receiving State loans are planning to attend college in Alaska because of the available programs and the stature of the institution. He proceeded to outline the Commission's recommendations.

1. Regents and Statewide Administration - Dr. Romesburg stated that the request for an Assistant to the Academic Vice-President was supported very strongly by the Commission. That assistant would review academic programs on a statewide level, and pay particular attention to low productivity or duplicate programs. The Commission did a review of programs one year ago, and this review was not conclusive due to lack

of data and no systematic review within the University itself.

2. Civil Engineering Program - Dr. Romesburg stated that at the time the budget came in this program was disapproved until the Regents had taken their position on it. Since that time, the Commission has been told that the Regents will make that report available during their March 28 meeting. The Commission's reluctance with the Engineering program was simply that there were a lot of questions within the university system itself and among the Board of Regents as to this program, and the Commission felt that to add it in the budget at the time would be premature.
3. Chukchi Community College - Dr. Romesburg stated that the current University request is for \$884,700 to re-establish Chukchi Community College. The Commission felt that this was not an appropriate thing to do, and recommends against it. Upon reviewing Chukchi along with the other community colleges, the Commission held hearings. It was found that Kotzebue has at the current time services that cover two of the three principal areas that would be addressed by the Chukchi Community College. Adult services on a community education basis are being offered through the school district, and the vocational programs are going to be offered through the new vocational center being established in Kotzebue (allowed in last year's budget). The only courses not being planned at this time are courses of academic transfer. Apparently Northwest Community College is offering some of those. But, according to the testimony, there are only about 50-90 students per year who would be interested in some type of academic transfer course. To re-establish Chukchi Community College and compete with the school district for the vocational students and for community service continuing education type programs, the Commission felt was unwarranted. Instead, the Commission recommends that the Legislature consider having the academic courses handled through Northwest, through an extension center, or directly by the school district.

Senator Ferguson suggested that the Commission forget about the Northwest Community College providing services because they are not doing it now. He said that they had started doing it and he put a stop to it as he did not want anything run for Kotzebue from Nome. Dr. Romesburg stated that he would pass that opinion on to the Commission.

4. Telecommunications - Dr. Romesburg stated that the Commission is very strongly supportive of this program. This is an area that will do nothing but grow, and has tremendous potential for reaching rural Alaska to distribute the University's expertise to areas that heretofore have not been reached. He stated that the Governor had cut this request, but the Commission supports the \$364,000 request for

telecommunications and for the instructional administrative audio conferencing.

5. Capital Requests (Particularly Equipment) - Dr. Romesburg stated that as the Commission went around the State they heard time and again that there are problems with instructional equipment. For instance, most of the equipment at Kenai Community College in the Oil Technology Program has been donated by the industry and is outmoded. Also for instance, there are oscilloscopes around the State that were acquired as Army surplus and are truly outmoded. So, he said that there is a real need for instructional and replacement equipment throughout the State, and the Commission strongly supports appropriation of additional funding in this area of the University budget.
6. Short Term Needs in Various Communities - Dr. Romesburg stated that this recommendation was not in the above mentioned hand-out. He said that as the Commission went around the State they heard a number of times that the University was not responsive to meeting short-term needs. This was not always the fault of the University; in fact it may not be the fault of the University at all in many of the cases. He described such instances, saying that by the time funding and approval are acquired by the University, the need has passed. He stated that the Commission has experienced this problem too in handling federal grants to the university system. He requested that some consideration be given for changing the way the University must get approvals through the Legislature for receiving "non General Fund sources". He stated that in the past the Legislature has attached to the appropriations bill one section saying that the Board of Regents may authorize the receipt and expenditure of "non General Fund monies". He said that this was done for years and years and then was changed a few years ago when there were some problems in the University system. He thought that the fiscal problems within the University have been addressed and largely corrected. Now they would like to see some flexibility within the system to receive and expend these types of resources.
7. Research Money - The University gets a great deal of research money. Small amounts are received from the State Departments of Education, and Health and Social Services, but much of the money is federal. Dr. Romesburg said that the federal year is August through September instead of June to July. He stated that the Commission would like to see the Legislature (for "non General Fund sources" only) key some language to say that when the University receives money under a grant, that money is authorized for expenditure during the life of the grant. Dr. Romesburg stated that many times there is money remaining for the project at the end of a year. To continue a successful project requires going through the RP cycle which takes months to obtain authorization.

Senator Sturgulewski stated that because these RP's come through the Legislative Budget and Audit Committee, she had been collecting pertinent information and would be happy to make a recommendation to Co-chairman Bennett. She added that though what Dr. Romesburg said was true, it is the one way the Legislature has of exercising control in this area. She asked Dr. Romesburg to send her a letter high-lighting his concerns before she made her recommendation to Co-chairman Bennett.

Dr. Romesburg gave a summary of the above recommendations. He thanked the committee and stated he was available to answer any questions the committee might have.

Co-chairman Dankworth asked Dr. Romesburg for a description of the Commission and how they had reviewed the University budget. Dr. Romesburg named the 13 members of the Commission:

1. Two members from the Legislature - Senator Stimson and Representative Buchholdt.
2. Two members from the Board of Regents - John Shively and Mildred Banfield.
3. One member from the Board of Trustees of either Sheldon Jackson or Alaska Pacific on a four-year cycle - present Lee Demert from Sheldon Jackson.
4. One member from the State Board of Education - Thelma Langdon.
5. One member from the State Vocational Council - Walt Ward.
6. One member from the Community College Advisory Committees - currently the chairman of the Advisory Council for Northwest Community College in Nome, Blanche Walters.
7. The remaining members are public members appointed by the Governor.

Senator Dankworth asked how many meetings were held and whether or not all members of the Commission participated in the recommendations given to the Senate Finance Committee on this day. Dr. Romesburg stated that in terms of the University Budget, there was a subcommittee meeting which looked at the budget, then the staff went through the budget with recommendations. Then there was a full Commission meeting where the budget was discussed in detail. They asked the University for input before the recommendations were formulated. He added that this was the first year the Commission has been charged with this responsibility, so in future years, the University budget will be dealt with in much more detail.

Co-chairman Dankworth asked Dr. Romesburg's opinion on the one appropriation level budget concept. Dr. Romesburg responded that

the Commission has not looked at "lump sum" budgeting at all as they did not even know it was a consideration until very recently.

Senator Sturgulewski asked Dr. Romesburg if he was referring to the Governor's budget or the University's budget. She asked if he would recommend re-establishing the cuts made by the Governor. Dr. Romesburg stated that the Commission was supporting the budget as submitted to the Governor. He stated that he could not comment on the Governor's budget as yet, except for the areas he had recommended earlier in the meeting. Senator Sturgulewski stated that the Board of Regents were going to provide a priority list of the items that have been deleted by the Governor. She asked if the Commission could respond. Dr. Romesburg stated that they would like to respond; however, he said the Governor's recommendation was more like a "lump sum" approach with a "top line" and recommendations on the additional "add-ons". He said that after the Board of Regents and the University make their report, then the Commission will be able to respond to the question. Senator Sturgulewski noted that it would be a very short period of time to work with, but she would like a report from the Commission.

Co-chairman Bennett asked Dr. Romesburg to respond to the question of the Legislature cutting the University of Alaska budget back to only a 10% increase. Dr. Romesburg stated he did not know what would happen; he did say that it would allow the Legislature to look at University priorities within a 10% "cap". He added that he thought there was a real danger in choosing any kind of percentage because the University is a growth industry and is experiencing great growth right now. If a "cap" is mandated, and if its not high enough to accommodate growth and things like replacement equipment, services will be cut off that the University could otherwise provide.

Senator Ferguson asked Dr. Romesburg if the Commission had to go along with the Governor's recommendation. Dr. Romesburg replied not in every case. Senator Ferguson asked if these things would set limits from the Post-secondary Commission and Dr. Romesburg replied yes. Senator Ferguson stated that the Governor had stated his top priority this session is to limit spending, and asked Dr. Romesburg how he thought the Legislature was going to limit spending by approving everything everybody wants. Dr. Romesburg stated that he did not think the Legislature could limit spending.

Further discussion ensued on this subject along with the "lump sum" figure idea. There were also comments on the system the Legislature uses to set budget figures. Senator Sturgulewski requested that the Board of Regents also appear before the Senate Finance Committee. Co-chairman Bennett stated that the Board would be before the committee on the morning of March 26.

Co-chairman Bennett thanked Dr. Romesburg for his testimony. He then requested that Alison Elgee, Legislative Finance Fiscal

Analyst on the University budget come before the committee to present an overview of the budget.

ALISON ELGEE, LEGISLATIVE FINANCE FISCAL ANALYST, UA BUDGET

Ms. Elgee stated that University staff had prepared an amendment to the form passed out to the committee the previous day showing the dollar impact at a 10% increase for each one of these line items per Senator Ferguson's request (appended to the end of these minutes). She stated that there was also a column showing the percentage increase of the continuation budget over FY 81 to show where the budget exceeded the 10% and where the University would actually have to cut below continuation.

Ms. Elgee commented on some of things she had passed out earlier when the statewide administration was present before the committee to discuss the University budget. One of these papers was a priority list established by the Board of Regents for the Capital Budget request (appended to the end of these minutes). This list shows the actual request by the University, and the amount funded in the Governor's budget appears here. Also, the Governor has shown the request for future fiscal years. The list is in the priority order established by the University. The fiscal year 1983 column totals more than \$120 million in general funds. The University request this year was cut back to less than \$6 million by the Governor, so she stated that just showing that amount in the FY 83 column should not reflect that the Governor would submit that amount for the university budget in 1983.

Senator Ferguson asked Ms. Elgee what would happen if the budget were funded at 10% and each program above that dealt with separately. Ms. Elgee stated that she had asked the Board of Regents to come back next week with a list starting at "ground zero" so that the Senate Finance Committee could "draw the line" at 10% and know what priorities were included in that 10%. That would also allow them the opportunity to re-prioritize if there are things currently funded in the Governor's level that they would want to give up in lieu of something else.

Senator Ferguson asked what the difference was between 10% and the Governor's figure - in dollar amounts. Ms. Elgee stated that the difference would be about \$350,000 - \$400,000.

Ms. Elgee then commented on some information provided by the Office of Institutional Planning (appended to the end of these minutes). She stated that she had had a number of questions pertaining to the number of Alaskans attending the University of Alaska. She pointed out that the first sheet showed the make-up of the new student population; out of 3,379 new students, 3,083 were Alaskans. On the back of the page, the degrees the University has been issuing over the last several years are shown. The other two pages are the summary of their enrollments for the last three years. The University has based a good number of their requests on increased enrollment in the institution, so

Ms. Elgee had provided these enrollment statistics for the four-year campuses and for the community colleges.

Ms. Elgee pointed to an error on the proposed budget amendments she had distributed earlier (appended to the end of these minutes). She stated that items # 12 and 13 were revised programs that were requested and approved this fiscal year and should not be showing up on the list as budget amendments. Since preparing the list, she had learned that the Governor had disapproved the amendments she had listed as # 3 (UAF athletics), and # 8 (UAF intercollegiate athletics). She stated that amendment # 14 was not generated by the University, but was part of the capital request the university submitted originally in the building renovations and repair capital budget. She said that since amending his budget the Governor has decided to include this request for \$350,000 for renovation of the Moore Hall Dormitory.

Ms. Elgee stated that she had also distributed an Overview of Programs and Expenditures, which had been prepared by the House Research Agency. This was quite a detailed review and Ms. Elgee wanted to point out that this review was available.

In reference to Senator Sturgulewski's earlier questions regarding the various alternatives to appropriation levels, Ms. Elgee distributed three different appropriation formats. She stated that she did not include a breakdown of the method of appropriation in the past, which was one appropriation for every community college (that would amount to 19 appropriations). (These three alternatives are appended to the end of these minutes.) Ms. Elgee proceeded to describe each alternative, and then moved on to the one appropriation level concept. Senator Sturgulewski stated that though she was in favor of cutting down from the 27 levels used in the past, she was not sure that limiting it to only one level would be appropriate.

BEGIN SIDE 2, Tape SFC 81, Tap : # 18, 000 - 195

Further discussion ensued on the various levels of appropriation. Ms. Elgee commented on the organization of the short-form budget. She said that one of the things the University did this year to reduce the number of their allocations was to treat their auxiliary services differently. She stated that in preparing the short-form budget there was such a difference between what the University requested and what the Governor approved that she had made analyst comments on the request that were not approved by the Governor, so that a full picture would be available to the committee.

Co-chairman Dankworth pointed to a December, 1980 letter from Jerry Reinwand, Budget Review Committee to President Barton, regarding the FY 81 budget. He quoted, "Last year there was a general understanding that if the Governor approved the University's entire General Fund request of \$89.4 million for FY 81, the University would hold the line regarding the FY 82

submittal to inflation increases plus must do programs for which the need could be conclusively documented. According to the BRC, calculations of budgetary increase tied directly to inflation would amount to approximately 8.6% over the FY 81 General Fund appropriation level, inclusive of HOUSE BILL NO. 60 Operating item." Co-chairman Dankworth went on to quote from a letter dated December 19, 1979, "The Committee will not support a large increase in the University's budget in FY 82. Growth and programs for FY 82 is expected to be funded via internal resource allocations resulting from your ongoing review of operations and programs. Next year we will consider budget increases only for salaries, not facilities coming on line or for extraordinary and well documented inflationary increases above the average inflation rate. Funding growth by increasing fees is of course always a possibility." Co-chairman Dankworth went on to say that even with all these commitments, the University has still come back with the present FY 82 budget request. He said that it appeared to him that the 10% increase was very close to what had been agreed to in the past.

Co-chairman Bennett stated that if there was nothing further at this time on the University budget, he would like to call the committees' attention to a document distributed by Director of Legislative Finance Jay Hogan. It was a listing of CIP and Revised Program positions in the State agencies.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 9:30 a.m.

(Tape SFC 81, # 18, Side 1, 073 - end)
(Tape SFC 81, # 18, Side 2, 000 - 195)

①

ALASKA COMMISSION ON POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION
RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE
UNIVERSITY OF ALASKA FY82 BUDGET REQUEST

I. Regents and Statewide Administration

A. Brief Mission Statement

The University has adopted the multiple goals of accessibility, excellence, and accountability. Accessibility is concerned with providing postsecondary education to all the citizens of Alaska who possess the ability and the motivation to benefit from higher education. Excellence can be judged by the qualities of students, faculty, administration, facilities and the educational environment. Accountability addresses the concern of the University to respond to the needs of the State and relates to both the setting of priorities among competing goals and achievement of goals as efficiently as quality and service considerations permit.

B. Evaluation of Programs

A significant number of the component increments address the goal of accountability in program and budget control. In particular, the effectiveness of management in the accomplishment of institutional goals is reflected in the request.

C. Recommendations

Component: Staff and Support

Title: Program Review/Evaluation - Assistant to the Academic Vice President (\$100.0 Thousand)

The commission endorses the systematic review of academic programs, with particular attention being given to those programs of study which appear to be duplicative or show evidence of persistent low productivity. The review of academic programs will provide for quality control and lead to reduction/elimination or expansion of current academic programs.

Recommendation 1: strongly endorse

II. Organized Research

A. Brief Mission Statement

Research is conducted principally through 14 research institutes which conduct research in fields such as the physical and biological environment, the seas, fisheries, farming, forestry, mining, health, social and economic trends of the State, problems of merging cultures, and technological developments that have application in the Arctic region.

November, 1980

B. Evaluation of Programs

The component increments represent a wide spectrum of research endeavors affecting 13 research institutes and request activities ranging from the publishing of Alaska Tidelines to the chartering of a sea vessel for the expansion of oceanographic knowledge.

C. Recommendations

The component increments are consistent with the mission of organized research and can serve to benefit the citizens of Alaska.

III. UA Fairbanks

A. Brief Mission Statement

The primary mission of UAF is to provide a broad range of baccalaureate and graduate degree programs in the arts and sciences and the professions, including selected doctoral programs. UAF has the University's only agricultural and mineral industry schools, and its school of education emphasizes the preparation of teachers for rural Alaska.

B. Evaluation of Programs

Top priority is given to the strengthening of the Alaska Native Language Program, a new degree program which has crucial "start-up" program development needs. Also, the statewide X-CED Program has received special attention because federal funds currently supporting regional instructional operations will expire on October 1, 1981. Other component increments reflect response to anticipated enrollment growth throughout the campus and across programs.

C. Recommendation

Component: Administration and Plant

Title: Admissions and Records - Evaluations and Degree Certification
(\$63.5 Thousand)

One position under this component will have complete responsibility for transfer evaluations. It is evident that there are problems concerning the transfer of courses from the community colleges to the Fairbanks campus. A planning assumption of the Division of Community Colleges, Rural Education and Extension states that "more formal transfer agreements between and among the state's two- and four-year colleges will need to be pursued." This position could be instrumental in effecting such an agreement.

Recommendation 2: strongly endorse

IV. UA Anchorage

A. Brief Mission Statement

The mission at UAA revolves around programs appropriate to its urban environment such as business and public administration,

criminal justice, social work, education, engineering, and the health sciences. UAA has the University's only school of nursing, the only baccalaureate degree program in social work and the only organized research programs in alcohol and drug addiction and criminal justice.

B. Evaluation of Programs

The School of Education student credit hour production during the Summer Session is approximately the same as the regular academic year semesters and a high priority request is to provide for adequate funding for a faculty pool and support services in the Summer. Three full-time faculty positions and support are requested for the implementation of a baccalaureate degree program in Civil Engineering. Also, additional faculty positions and support are requested for both the College of Arts and Sciences and the College of Business and Public Administration. Library acquisitions are of very high priority in Academic Support.

C. Recommendations

Component: Instruction

Title: Civil Engineering Program - Faculty and Support

UAA is requesting three full-time nine-month faculty positions, one full-time 12-month laboratory technician position, one clerk specialist position, and supporting travel, contractual services commodities and basic office equipment in support of a Civil Engineering program. Since the Board of Regents, at its September meeting, recommended a study of six months' duration concerning the engineering programs at both the Fairbanks and Anchorage campuses, it would be inappropriate to approve the request.

Recommendation 3: disapprove until Regent position is finalized
(\$226.0 Thousand)

Component: Administration and Plant

Title: Admissions Recruitment Program - Counselor

This increment will provide for carefully planned development of a student contact and recruiting program. As mentioned before, the commission is cognizant of the transfer problems that have yet to be solved between the community colleges and the University campuses. It is hoped that this position will specifically address articulation of transfer students if that activity is not being performed by another position.

Recommendation 4: endorse with specific attention to articulation
of transfer students. (\$55.3 Thousand)

V. UA Juneau

A. Brief Mission Statement

UAJ has Southeast Alaska region-wide responsibility for offering courses and programs at the upper division and graduate level, and at

all levels in the northern third of Southeast Alaska. The campus offers associate and baccalaureate programs in the liberal arts and sciences and provides master's degree programs in education, public administration, business administration and fisheries.

B. Evaluation of Programs

Along with a request to enhance the outreach effort by providing for instructional travel necessary for 17 three-credit courses in villages and cities in the region, a major emphasis is the continued development of the fisheries program. The restoration of a boat for fisheries technology instruction, the request for a full-time program/curriculum materials developer in fisheries technology, and the relocation of instructional equipment of the Marine and Technology Center are of high priority. Additional faculty and support services are requested across programs due to anticipated enrollment growth.

C. Recommendation

None

VI. Community Colleges - Statewide

A. Brief Mission Statement

Each of the community colleges offers academic, vocational, developmental and community service programs to its service area in response to identified educational and vocational needs. A fundamental mission of the community colleges is to train Alaska citizens for the work force.

B. Evaluation of Programs

Because of the varied missions of the community colleges (due to the disparate needs and interests of their constituencies), the requested component increments represent a panoply of activities, all of which should serve to strengthen their particular programs. For instance, a high priority for Kenai Peninsula Community College may be petroleum extension service while a village coordinator is important to Kodiak Community College and non-credit-hour support is crucial for the operation at Mat-Su Community College. The reestablishment of Chukchi Community College in Kotzebue, however, is inappropriate.

C. Recommendations

Component: Instruction

Title: Chukchi Community College (\$884.7 Thousand)

The Board of Regents is requesting the reestablishment of Chukchi Community College. At present, the community service function for

the area is being provided by the community schools program and, with the completion of the new technical center, facilities for the offering of vocational/technical courses will be available. Since there are no more than seven thousand people in the Nana Region and, according to testimony at the community college hearings, there will be no more than 50 high school graduates next year--and very few of those, if any, will desire a transfer program--there is no need for a separate comprehensive community college in Kotzebue. The fact remains, however, that there is a need for academic transfer courses to be offered to the residents of the Nana Region. These may be offered either through the extension services of Northwest Community College, through Rural Education, or through the efforts of the local school district. If one of these options is employed, a funding core must remain in one of the entities mentioned.

Recommendation 5: disapprove

Component: Academic Support

Title: Telecommunications - Course Production

Title: Instructional and Administrative Audio Conferencing

Title: Computer-Based Instructional Development (\$364.6 Thousand)

A basic problem for many residents of Alaska is access to a community college. Because of the large land mass, distances between villages, and rugged and often expensive traveling conditions, delivery of educational services is extremely difficult. These requests will increase the potential for students throughout the State to gain access to postsecondary instruction.

Recommendation 6: strongly endorse

VII. Capital Budget Request

The public hearings and campus visitations for the Legislative Interim Committee on Community Colleges have revealed that much of the vocational-technical instructional equipment is either inadequate, non-functional and/or obsolete. This prohibits the community colleges from properly fulfilling a vital part of their mission to those students that are seeking job-related skills and employers that are in need of those skills.

Recommendation 7: It is recommended that community college equipment requests be acted upon with favor. In particular, the Commission strongly endorses Project Title: "Instructional and Instructional Support Equipment," Agency Priority 82-6 and supports full funding of the request.

UNIVERSITY OF ALASKA
FY 82 OPERATING BUDGET REQUEST

General Fund and
General Fund Match Only

	FY 81 Auth.	FY 82 Contin.	FY 82 Cont. Increase Over FY 81	FY 82 Increments	FY 82 Total Request	% Increase FY 82 over FY 81	Gov's Rec.	\$ Increase Gov. Rec. Over FY 81	Sen. Ferguson Request*	% Increase Over FY 81
									FY 82 Amt.	
Regents & Statewide Admin.	\$ 8833.2	\$ 9603.3	8.7	\$ 679.5	\$ 10282.8	16.4%	\$ 9945.8	12.6%	\$ 9716.5	10%
Organized Research	11349.7	12642.2	11.4	906.6	13548.8	19.4	12835.7	13.1	12484.7	10
UA/Fairbanks	33597.8	36653.6	9.1	2459.9	39113.5	16.4	36983.6	10.1	36957.6	10
UA/Anchorage	15050.1	16826.5	11.8	1597.8	18424.3	22.4	17534.6	16.5	16555.1	10
UA/Juneau	5697.9	6249.6	9.7	762.9	7012.5	23.1	6547.3	14.9	6267.7	10
Comm. Coll, Rural Ed. & Ext.	32764.4	35694.7	8.9	3107.5	38802.2	18.4	36612.4	11.7	36040.8	10
CC-Statewide	2257.5	2448.0	8.4	584.2	3032.2	34.3	2607.6	15.5	2483.2	10
Anchorage Community Coll.	12679.2	13594.6	7.2	801.3	14395.9	13.5	13839.6	9.2	13947.1	10
Kenai Peninsula C.C.	1886.6	2033.9	7.8	196.3	2230.2	18.2	2056.8	9.0	2075.3	10
Ketchikan C.C.	1069.0	1199.1	12.2	139.3	1338.4	25.2	1250.5	17.0	1175.9	10
Kodiak C.C.	1169.7	1338.5	14.4	63.2	1401.7	19.8	1379.8	18.0	1286.7	10
Kuskokwim C.C.	2836.9	2765.2	(2.5)	----	2765.2	(2.5)	2765.2	(2.5)	3120.6	10
Mat-Su C.C.	923.8	1032.1	11.7	198.2	1230.3	33.2	1161.2	25.7	1016.2	10
Northwest C.C.	873.4	1106.0	26.6	187.2	1293.2	48.1	1106.0	26.6	960.7	10
Pr. William Sound C.C.	436.8	567.1	29.8	231.1	798.0	82.7	676.9	55.0	480.5	10
Sitka C.C.	717.6	812.3	13.2	59.5	871.8	21.5	812.3	13.2	789.3	10
Tanana Valley C.C.	3137.0	3382.8	7.8	201.3	3584.1	14.2	3407.6	8.6	3450.7	10
Rural Education	2068.7	2364.1	14.3	174.1	2538.2	2.7	2407.9	16.4	2275.6	10
Coop. Extension Svc.	2708.2	3051.0	12.7	271.8	3322.8	22.7	3141.0	16.0	2979.0	10
TOTAL UNIVERSITY OF ALASKA	107293.1	117669.9	9.7	9514.2	127184.1	18.5	120459.4	12.3	118022.4	10
Chukchi C.C.	4.6	5.1		666.3	671.4	--	671.4	--	5.1	10
					Total		121130.8		118027.5	

* Senator Frank R. Ferguson requested, during a meeting of the Senate Finance Committee on March 19, 1981, that this information be presented.

-225-

3/20/81

AGENCY SUBMISSION				PROPOSED FUNDING YEAR <u>1982</u>		GOVERNOR'S RECOMMENDATION Please Do Not Write In This Area			
AGENCY PRIORITY	OBJ. NO(S)	PROJECT TYPE	PROJECT TITLE AND LOCATION	AGENCY REQUEST	FUNDING SOURCE	GOVERNOR'S FUNDING YEAR <u>82</u>	GOVERNOR'S FUNDING YEAR <u>83</u>	GOVERNOR'S FUNDING YEAR _____	GOV'S PRIORITY
82-1		C	Handicapped Barriers Removal (Anchorage, Fairbanks, Juneau) ULTIMATE ANNUAL OPERATING COST = <u>-0-</u> EXPECTED YEAR = FY _____	2,724,400	FED. RCPTS. GEN FUND G.O. BONDS TOTAL	500.0	2224.2		82-1 83-
82-2		E	UAF Fire Pumper (Fairbanks) ULTIMATE ANNUAL OPERATING COST = <u>-0-</u> EXPECTED YEAR = _____	120,000	FED. RCPTS. GEN FUND G.O. BONDS TOTAL	120.0			82-2
82-3		I	UAF Water Treatment Facility- Phase II (Fairbanks) ULTIMATE ANNUAL OPERATING COST = <u>-0-</u> EXPECTED YEAR = FY _____	650,000	FED. RECPTS. GEN FUND G.O. BONDS TOTAL	650.0			82-3
82-4		C	IMS Seward Dock Repairs and Renovations (Seward) ULTIMATE ANNUAL OPERATING COST = <u>3.0</u> EXPECTED YEAR = FY <u>81</u>	564,000	FED. RECPTS. GEN FUND G.O. BONDS TOTAL	564.0			82-4
82-5		C	UAA Completion of HOF/Campus Spine (Anchorage) ULTIMATE ANNUAL OPERATING COST = <u>-0-</u> EXPECTED YEAR = FY _____	280,000	FED. RECPTS. GEN FUND G.O. BONDS TOTAL	280.0			82-5

-226-

3/20/81

PROJECT TYPE CODES			
C	Blgd. Construction	I	Land
I	Other Improvement	P	Professional Svcs.
E	Equipment	O	Other

34 PROPOSED SIX-YEAR
CAPITAL PROGRAM

CATEGORY Education
AGENCY University of Alaska
PROGRAM _____

Page _____ of _____
Revised Date _____

FY 82
00030

AGENCY SUBMISSION

PROPOSED FUNDING YEAR 1982

GOVERNOR'S RECOMMENDATION

Please Do Not Write In This Area

AGENCY PRIORITY	OBJ. NO(S)	PROJECT TYPE	PROJECT TITLE AND LOCATION	AGENCY REQUEST	FUNDING SOURCE	GOVERNOR'S FUNDING YEAR <u>82</u>	GOVERNOR'S FUNDING YEAR <u>83</u>	GOVERNOR'S FUNDING YEAR <u>84</u>	GOV'S PRIORITY
82-6		E	Instructional & Instructional Support Equipment (EGREE) (STATEWIDE) ULTIMATE ANNUAL OPERATING COST = <u>69.1</u> EXPECTED YEAR = FY <u>82</u>	2,783,000	FED. RCPTS. GEN FUND G.O. BONDS TOTAL	500.0	2000.0	2500.0	82-6 83-2 84-1
82-7		E	Instructional & Instructional Support Equipment (Fairbanks) CONSIDERED IN 82-6 STATEWIDE ULTIMATE ANNUAL OPERATING COST = <u>-0-</u> EXPECTED YEAR = _____	~~1,418,200~~	~~FED. RCPTS.~~ ~~GEN FUND~~ ~~G.O. BONDS~~ ~~TOTAL~~				82-6
82-8		O	Working Capital Fund ULTIMATE ANNUAL OPERATING COST = <u>-0-</u> EXPECTED YEAR = FY _____	2,500,000	FED. RECPTS. GEN FUND G.O. BONDS TOTAL	2,500.0			82-7
82-9		E	Computer and Records Management Equipment (Statewide) ULTIMATE ANNUAL OPERATING COST = <u>7.0</u> EXPECTED YEAR = FY <u>82</u>	518,100	FED. RECPTS. GEN FUND G.O. BONDS TOTAL		518.1		83-3
82-10		E	On-Line Student Records System (Statewide) ULTIMATE ANNUAL OPERATING COST = <u>-0-</u> EXPECTED YEAR = FY _____	600,000	FED. RECPTS. GEN FUND G.O. BONDS TOTAL		600.0		83-4

PROJECT TYPE CODES

C Bldg. Construction	L Land
I Other Improvement	P Professional Svcs.
E Equipment	O Other

CATEGORY EducationAGENCY University of Alaska

PROGRAM _____

 Page _____ of _____
 Revised Date _____

FY 82

00031

 34 PROPOSED SIX-YEAR
CAPITAL PROGRAM

-227-

3/20/81

AGENCY SUBMISSION

PROPOSED FUNDING YEAR 1902GOVERNOR'S RECOMMENDATION
Please Do Not Write In This Area

AGENCY PRIORITY	OBJ. NOISE	PROJECT TYPE	PROJECT TITLE AND LOCATION	AGENCY REQUEST	FUNDING SOURCE	GOVERNOR'S FUNDING YEAR <u>82</u>	GOVERNOR'S FUNDING YEAR <u>83</u>	GOVERNOR'S FUNDING YEAR _____	GOV'S PRIORITY
82-11		L	Land Acquisition-Grasle Property (Juneau) ULTIMATE ANNUAL OPERATING COST = <u>-0-</u> EXPECTED YEAR = FY _____	400,000	FED. RCPTS. GEN FUND G.O. BONDS TOTAL		400.0		83-5
82-12		E	UAF Instructional/Plant Equipment (Juneau) CONSIDERED IN 82-6 STATEWIDE ULTIMATE ANNUAL OPERATING COST = <u>-0-</u> EXPECTED YEAR = _____	470,000	FED. RCPTS. GEN FUND G.O. BONDS TOTAL				82-
82-13		C	Coal Handling Facility and Hose Drying Facility (Fairbanks) ULTIMATE ANNUAL OPERATING COST = <u>-0-</u> EXPECTED YEAR = FY _____	965,000	FED. RECPTS. GEN FUND G.O. BONDS TOTAL	65.0	900.0		82-8 83-6
82-14		C	Building Repair/Renovation (Statewide) ULTIMATE ANNUAL OPERATING COST = <u>-0-</u> EXPECTED YEAR = FY _____	4,465,600	FED. RECPTS. GEN FUND G.O. BONDS TOTAL	300.0	4165.6		82-9 83-7
82-15		C	Statewide Administration Building (Fairbanks) ULTIMATE ANNUAL OPERATING COST = <u>280.0</u> EXPECTED YEAR = FY <u>84</u>	9,780,000	FED. RECPTS. GEN FUND G.O. BONDS TOTAL		9,780.0		83-8

PROJECT TYPE CODES

C Bldg. Construction L Land
I Other Improvement P Professional Svcs.
E Equipment O Other

CATEGORY EducationAGENCY University of Alaska

PROGRAM _____

Page _____ of _____

Revised Date _____

FY 82

00032

34 PROPOSED SIX-YEAR
CAPITAL PROGRAM

-228-

3/20/81

AGENCY SUBMISSION

PROPOSED FUNDING YEAR 1982

GOVERNOR'S RECOMMENDATION

Please Do Not Write In This Area

AGENCY PRIORITY	OBJ. NO(S)	PROJECT TYPE	PROJECT TITLE AND LOCATION	AGENCY REQUEST	FUNDING SOURCE	GOVERNOR'S FUNDING YEAR <u>82</u>	GOVERNOR'S FUNDING YEAR <u>83</u>	GOVERNOR'S FUNDING YEAR	GOV'S PRIORITY
82-16		C	UAF Marine Technology Core Building ULTIMATE ANNUAL OPERATING COST = <u>-0-</u> EXPECTED YEAR = FY _____	5,395,000	FED. RECPTS. GEN FUND G.O. BONDS TOTAL		5,395.0		83-9
82-17		C	UAF - Elvey Building Addition for Research (Fairbanks) ULTIMATE ANNUAL OPERATING COST = <u>400.0</u> EXPECTED YEAR = <u>82</u>	17,660,000	FED. RECPTS. GEN FUND G.O. BONDS TOTAL		17,660.0		83-10
82-18		E	UAA Instructional Equipment (Anchorage) CONSIDERED IN 82-6 STATEWIDE ULTIMATE ANNUAL OPERATING COST = <u>55.8</u> EXPECTED YEAR = FY <u>82</u>	825,600	FED. RECPTS. GEN FUND G.O. BONDS TOTAL				82-6
82-19		E	UAF Research Equipment (Fairbanks) ULTIMATE ANNUAL OPERATING COST = <u>-0-</u> EXPECTED YEAR = FY _____	1,065,500	FED. RECPTS. GEN FUND G.O. BONDS TOTAL		1,065.5		83-11
82-20		E	Mobile Classrooms (Statewide) ULTIMATE ANNUAL OPERATING COST = <u>33.2</u> EXPECTED YEAR = FY <u>82</u>	312,200	FED. RECPTS. GEN FUND G.O. BONDS TOTAL		312.2		83-12

PROJECT TYPE CODES

C Bldg. Construction
I Other Improvement
E Equipment
L Land
P Professional Svcs.
O Other

CATEGORY Education

AGENCY University of Alaska

PROGRAM _____

Page _____ of _____

Revised Date _____

FY 82

CC033

PROPOSED SIX--YEAR
CAPITAL PROGRAM

34

229

3/20/81

AGENCY SUBMISSION

PROPOSED FUNDING YEAR 1982

GOVERNOR'S RECOMMENDATION

Please Do Not Write In This Area

AGENCY PRIORITY	OBJ. NOISE	PROJECT TYPE	PROJECT TITLE AND LOCATION	AGENCY REQUEST	FUNDING SOURCE	GOVERNOR'S FUNDING YEAR <u>82</u>	GOVERNOR'S FUNDING YEAR <u>83</u>	GOVERNOR'S FUNDING YEAR _____	GOV'S PRIORITY
82-21		E	Marine Training Vessel (Valdez) ULTIMATE ANNUAL OPERATING COST = <u>7.2</u> EXPECTED YEAR = FY <u>82</u>	84,700	FED. RCPTS. GEN FUND G.O. BONDS TOTAL		84.7		83-12
82-22		E	Fisheries Saltwater System- Phase 2 (Juneau) ULTIMATE ANNUAL OPERATING COST = <u>-0-</u> EXPECTED YEAR = _____	200,000	FED. RCPTS. GEN FUND G.O. BONDS TOTAL		200.0		83-14
82-23		E	Administrative Equipment (Statewide) ULTIMATE ANNUAL OPERATING COST = <u>10.3</u> EXPECTED YEAR = FY <u>82</u>	178,100	FED. RECPTS. GEN FUND G.O. BONDS TOTAL		178.1		83-15
82-24		E	UAA Physical Plant/Administrative Equipment (Anchorage) ULTIMATE ANNUAL OPERATING COST = <u>-0-</u> EXPECTED YEAR = FY _____	210,800	FED. RECPTS. GEN FUND G.O. BONDS TOTAL		210.8		83-16
82-25		I	Roads, Streets, Parking (Statewide) ULTIMATE ANNUAL OPERATING COST = <u>-0-</u> EXPECTED YEAR = FY _____	3,404,000	FED. RECPTS. GEN FUND G.O. BONDS TOTAL		3404.0		83-17

PROJECT TYPE CODES

C. Bldg. Construction L. Land
I. Other Improvement P. Professional Svcs.
E. Equipment O. Other

CATEGORY EducationAGENCY University of Alaska

PROGRAM _____

Page _____ of _____

Revised Date _____

FY 82

00034

34 PROPOSED SIX-YEAR
CAPITAL PROGRAM

-230-

3/20/81

AGENCY SUBMISSION

PROPOSED FUNDING YEAR 1982

GOVERNOR'S RECOMMENDATION

Please Do Not Write In This Area

AGENCY PRIORITY	OBJ. NO(S)	PROJECT TYPE	PROJECT TITLE AND LOCATION	AGENCY REQUEST	FUNDING SOURCE	GOVERNOR'S FUNDING YEAR <u>82</u>	GOVERNOR'S FUNDING YEAR <u>83</u>	GOVERNOR'S FUNDING YEAR _____	GOV'S PRIORITY
82-26		C	CCREE Office Building ULTIMATE ANNUAL OPERATING COST = <u>75.0</u> EXPECTED YEAR = FY <u>84</u>	4,300,000	FED. RECPTS. GEN FUND G.O. BONDS TOTAL		4300.0		83-18
82-27		C	Galena Rural Education Center (Galena) ULTIMATE ANNUAL OPERATING COST = <u>15.2</u> EXPECTED YEAR = <u>82</u>	1,430,000	FED. RECPTS. GEN FUND G.O. BONDS TOTAL		1430.0		83-19
82-28		C	UAA Classroom Building (Anchorage) ULTIMATE ANNUAL OPERATING COST = <u>502.0</u> EXPECTED YEAR = FY <u>85</u>	13,800,000	FED. RECPTS. GEN FUND G.O. BONDS TOTAL		13800.0		83-20
82-29		I	Electrical Feeder Upgrade (Fairbanks) ULTIMATE ANNUAL OPERATING COST = <u>-0-</u> EXPECTED YEAR = FY _____	200,000	FED. RECPTS. GEN FUND G.O. BONDS TOTAL		200.0		83-21
82-30		E,P	UAF-Administrative Services-Computerized Registration Assessment (Fairbanks) ULTIMATE ANNUAL OPERATING COST = <u>9.0</u> EXPECTED YEAR = FY <u>82</u>	81,000	FED. RECPTS. GEN FUND G.O. BONDS TOTAL		81.0		83-22

PROJECT TYPE CODES

C Bldg. Construction	L Land
I Other Improvement	P Professional Svcs.
E Equipment	O Other

CATEGORY EducationAGENCY University of Alaska

PROGRAM _____

Page _____ of _____

Revised Date _____

FY 82

00035

34 PROPOSED SIX-YEAR
CAPITAL PROGRAM



OFFICE OF

INSTITUTIONAL PLANNING

University of Alaska

Statewide System of Higher Education

VOL. II NO. 1

SEPTEMBER, 1980

ALASKANS REPRESENT 91 PER CENT OF NEW STUDENTS AT U OF A

A recent sample survey of 3,379 newly-enrolled students, University of Alaska, fall, 1979 revealed that 3,083 or 91.2 per cent of the students were Alaska residents. Non-Alaskans totaled 277 or 8.2 per cent from 41 other states, 18 or .5 per cent from foreign countries and one new student from Guam. Of the non-Alaskan students, 215 or 72.6 per cent were enrolled at U of A, Fairbanks. With the exception of U of A, Anchorage and the Anchorage Community College, all institutions in the Statewide System responded to the survey. Selected state-of-residence data:

STUDENT LEVEL - FIRST-TIME STUDENTS ONLY

	All New Students		Undergraduates		Graduate Students		Unclassified Students	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
TOTALS	3,379	100.0	1,718	100.0	68	100.0	1,593	100.0
Alaska	3,083	91.2	1,484	86.4	21	30.9	1,578	99.0
California	58	1.7	49	2.8	7	10.3	2	0.1
Washington	31	0.9	20	1.2	9	13.2	2	0.1
Oregon	22	0.7	16	0.9	2	2.9	4	0.3
Foreign Countries	18	0.5	8	0.5	6	8.8	4	0.3
New York	12	0.4	11	0.6	1	1.5	-	-
Illinois	10	0.3	10	0.6	-	-	-	-
New Jersey	10	0.3	9	0.5	1	1.5	-	-
All Other States	135	4.0	111	6.5	21	30.9	3	0.2

Individuals interested in these data on an institution-by-institution basis within the University system should contact the Office of Institutional Planning, One Bunnell Building, University of Alaska, Fairbanks, Alaska 99701, phone (907) 479-7958.

1979-80 DEGREES TOTAL RECORD 1,029

A record 1,029 earned degrees were conferred throughout the University of Alaska Statewide System of Higher Education during the July 1, 1979-June 30, 1980 period. The number of degree recipients approached the 1,000 level several times during the mid-seventies, declined dramatically in 1977-78 and had increased slightly in 1978-79. This year's increase of 127 awards was 14 per cent above the 1978-79 output--clearly the largest numerical and percentage gains in recent times. Women not only earned a record 54 per cent of the degrees, but for the first time in the University's history outnumbered male recipients at all degree levels. Seven-year summary data:

	<u>All Earned</u> <u>Degrees</u>	<u>Associate</u>	<u>Bachelor's</u>	<u>Master's</u>	<u>Doctor's</u>
1979-80	1,029	437	410	182	-
Men	477	218	176	83	-
Women	552	219	234	99	-
1978-79	902	367	362	168	5
Men	465	191	177	92	5
Women	437	176	185	76	-
1977-78	874	356	352	163	3
Men	426	177	158	89	2
Women	448	179	194	74	1
1976-77	997	374	423	195	5
Men	500	203	191	101	5
Women	497	171	232	94	-
1975-76	954	335	405	206	8
Men	516	191	209	109	7
Women	438	144	196	97	1
1974-75	999	297	470	222	10
Men	565	171	259	125	10
Women	434	126	211	97	-
1973-74	991	289	494	198	10
Men	601	173	295	125	8
Women	390	116	199	73	2

In addition, the University's community colleges awarded 725 certificates (444 GED, 95 ABE, 186 Others), down slightly from the 896 reported last year. Men continued to earn slightly more than one-half of the various certificates. The two-year summary data:

	<u>All Earned</u> <u>Degrees</u>	<u>GED</u>	<u>ABE</u>	<u>Others</u>
1979-80	725	444	95	186
Men	373	253	43	77
Women	352	191	52	109
1978-79	896	473	50	373
Men	465	240	21	204
Women	431	233	29	169

Additional details by institution, field of study, level of award, race and sex of recipient are contained in a forthcoming issue of the Office of Institutional Planning Research Report Series. Interested individuals should request Research Report 81-01 entitled "Degrees and Other Formal Awards, University of Alaska, 1979-80".

SUMMARY OF CLOSING FALL SEMESTER CREDIT AND NONCREDIT ENROLLMENT
AND CREDIT HOUR DATA BY INSTITUTION 1978-80

	GRAND TOTAL CR HR/CONT HR WORKLOAD	DEGREE CREDIT TOTALS			NONCREDIT TOTALS			
		Head- count	Credit Hours	FTE (1) Enroll- ment	Head- count	Contact Hours (2)		
						Total	ABE	Other
TOTAL STATEWIDE								
1980	174,488	25,935	157,241	10,579	14,990 ⁽⁴⁾	17,247	5,452	11,795
1979	160,041	24,348	142,612	9,616	6,783	17,429	10,212	7,217
1978	162,314	25,880	140,711	9,494	(3)	21,603	13,543	8,060
Total-Senior Colleges								
1980	82,705	10,438	78,641	5,337	9,134	4,064	200	3,864
1979	73,093	9,884	71,091	4,843	2,022	2,002	134	1,868
1978	67,690	10,150	65,728	4,489	(3)	1,962	-	1,962
UA-Anchorage								
1980	24,644	3,398	24,241	1,660	469	403	-	403
1979	21,761	3,144	21,543	1,476	481	218	-	218
1978	19,623	3,805	18,917	1,317	(3)	706	-	706
UA-Fairbanks								
1980	47,127	4,938	45,109	3,047	1,938	2,018	-	2,018
1979	41,756	4,596	40,557	2,753	1,138	1,199	-	1,199
1978	39,823	4,503	39,088	2,645	910	735	-	735
UA-Juneau								
1980	10,934	2,102	9,291	630	6,727 ⁽⁴⁾	1,643 ⁽⁴⁾	200	1,443
1979	9,576	2,144	8,991	614	403	585	134	451
1978	8,244	1,842	7,723	527	522	521	-	521
Total-Community Colleges								
1980	86,411	14,048	73,505	4,902	5,300	12,906	5,252	7,654
1979	83,862	13,680	68,645	4,577	4,654	15,217	10,078	5,139
1978	91,882	14,882	72,362	4,825	(3)	19,520	13,543	5,977
Anchorage CC								
1980	50,779	7,381	45,517	3,034	893	5,262	3,653	1,609
1979	52,067	7,336	42,121	2,808	1,336	9,946	8,543	1,403
1978	57,201	8,306	45,857	3,057	(3)	11,344	9,518	1,826
Chukchi CC								
1980	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1979	924	172	764	51	25	160	160	-
1978	481	143	431	29	9	50	50	-
Kenai Peninsula CC								
1980	6,611	1,044	6,360	424	192	251	159	92
1979	6,498	969	5,934	396	449	564	255	309
1978	6,153	1,134	5,703	380	336	450	187	263
Ketchikan CC								
1980	2,751	500	2,338	156	420	413	13	400
1979	2,674	626	2,425	162	169	249	47	202
1978	2,266	485	1,902	127	177	364	119	245
Kodiak CC								
1980	3,057	514	1,754	117	371	1,303	979	324
1979	2,871	557	1,782	119	475	1,089	369	720
1978	2,301	538	1,823	122	132	478	254	224

Office of Institutional Planning
University of Alaska
February 11, 1980

SUMMARY OF CLOSING FALL SEMESTER CREDIT AND NONCREDIT ENROLLMENT
AND CREDIT HOUR DATA BY INSTITUTION 1978-80

	GRAND TOTAL CR HR/CONT HR WORKLOAD	DEGREE CREDIT TOTALS			Head- count	NONCREDIT TOTALS		
		Head- count	Credit Hours	FTE (1) Enroll- ment		Head- count	Contact Hours (2) Total	ABE Others
Kuskokwim CC								
1980	2,978	670	2,544	170	483	434	179	255
1979	2,662	545	1,892	126	286	770	292	478
1978	6,730	537	2,806	187	386	3,924	3,072	852
Matanuska-Susitna CC								
1980	3,008	480	2,366	158	434	642	42	600
1979	2,775	416	2,265	151	185	510	30	480
1978	2,230	355	1,763	118	259	467	-	467
Northwest CC								
1980	729	232	729	49	-	-	-	-
1979	726	134	726	48	-	-	-	-
1978	1,347	278	1,347	90	-	-	-	-
Prince William Sound CC								
1980	3,011	408	908	61	487	2,103	227	1,876
1979	1,635	297	984	66	164	651	300	351
1978	1,290	330	861	57	270	429	177	252
Sitka CC								
1980	2,195	578	1,811	121	209	388	-	388
1979	1,356	455	1,272	85	58	84	82	2
1978	1,694	419	1,489	99	70	205	166	39
Tanana Valley CC								
1980	11,288	2,241	9,178	612	1,811	2,110	-	2,110
1979	9,674	2,173	8,480	565	1,507	1,194	-	1,194
1978	10,189	2,357	8,380	559	1,479	1,809	-	1,809
Total-Rural Education								
1980	5,372	1,449	5,095 ⁽⁵⁾	340	556	277	-	277
1979	3,086	784	2,876 ⁽⁵⁾	196	107	210	-	210
1978	2,742	848	2,621 ⁽⁵⁾	180	57	121	-	121

1. A full-time equivalent student (FTE) is computed by dividing the number of undergraduate credit hours by 15 and the number of graduate credit hours by 12 and adding the two results.
2. The number of contact hours is computed by taking the total number of classroom hours and dividing by 15.
3. Data not available. Anchorage Community College did not report.
4. Includes 5,155 students (516 contact hours) reported in a lecture series on Alaska Native Arts and Artifacts.
5. Upper-division and graduate hours for community colleges are offered in conjunction with a sponsoring senior institution and are totaled in Rural Education.

1. Regents & Statewide Admin.
2. Organized Research
3. University of Alaska, Fairbanks
4. University of Alaska, Anchorage
5. University of Alaska, Juneau
6. Community Colleges
 - a. CC-Statewide Admin.
 - b. Anch. C.C.
 - c. Chuckchi C.C.
 - d. Kenai Penin. C.C.
 - e. Ketchikan C.C.
 - f. Kodiak C.C.
 - g. Kuskokwim C.C.
 - h. Mat-su C.C.
 - i. Northwest C.C.
 - j. Prince Wm. Sd. Comm. College
 - k. Sitka C.C.
 - i. Tanana C.C.
7. Rural Education
8. Cooperative Extension

1. Regents & Statewide Admin.
2. Organized Research
3. University of Alaska, Fairbanks
4. University of Alaska, Anchorage
5. University of Alaska, Juneau
6. Community College - Statewide Admin.
7. Southeastern Community Colleges
 - a. Ketchikan C.C.
 - b. Sitka C.C.
8. Southcentral Community Colleges
 - a. Mat-Su C.C.
 - b. Prince William Sound C.C.
 - c. Kenai C.C.
 - d. Anch. C.C.
9. Western Community Colleges
 - a. Kodiak C.C.
 - b. Kuskokwim C.C.
10. Northern Community Colleges
 - a. Northwestern Comm. C.
 - b. Tanana Valley C.C.
 - c. Chuckchi C.C.
11. Rural Education
12. Cooperative Extension

1. Regents & Statewide Admin.
2. Organized Research
3. University of Alaska, Fairbanks
4. University of Alaska, Anchorage
5. University of Alaska, Juneau
6. Community Colleges, Rural Education & Cooperative Extension

U of A

Proposed Budget Amendments

(1)	1. Auxiliary Services (Fbx/Anch)	\$1,714.6	
(1)	2. Reindeer Research	407.4	G.F.
(2)	3. UAF Athletics Amendment	100.0	G.F.
(1)	4. ACCFT Amendment	982.5	G.F.
(2)	5. Volcano Research	27.0	G.F.
	6. Prince William Sound C.C.	424.0	
	7. UAA - Academic Spt./Admin & Plant	55.0	
(2)	8. UAF - Intercollegiate Athletics	\$161.0	116.0 G.F. (161)
	9. Comm. Colleges - Auxiliary Svcs.	65.0	
	10. Anchorage Community College	200.0	
	11. UAF - Public Service	100.1	
	12. Comm. Colleges, Rural Ed., Coop. Ext.	R.P.	121.0 ✓
	13. UAF - Public Service (KUAC)	R.P.	100.1 ✓
(3)	14. UAF - Moore Hall Dormitory	350.0	G.F.

- (1) Approved by the Governor and transmitted to the legislature
- (2) Turned down by Governor
- (3) Approved by the Governor

SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE

March 24, 1981

8:40 a.m.

SFC-81, #18, Side 2 (195-end)

SFC-81, #19, Side 1 (000-437)

CALL TO ORDER

Co-chairman Don Bennett convened the meeting at approximately 8:40 a.m.

PRESENT

All committee members were present with the exception of Senator Ferguson. Also attending were Senators Gilman, Mulcahy, and Parr; Palmer McCarter, Director of Local Government Assistance for the Department of Community and Regional Affairs; Ginny Chitwood, Executive Director of the Alaska Municipal League; Don Berry representing the Municipality of Anchorage; Fiscal Analysts Milt Barker, Jerry Schilz, and Elmer Lindstrom; representatives of the press including Joe LaRocca; and later in the meeting, following a brief recess, representatives speaking in support of funding for cultural facilities: Sid Wright, Petersburg; Carmen Gintoli, Kenai; Sue Dreydoppel, Bethel; George Krusz, Kodiak; Mike Tavolerio, Saxmon; Jane Stewart, Juneau.

SENATE BILL 168

Co-chairman Bennett moved that SB 168 (ACT ESTABLISHING A SPECIAL MUNICIPAL AID PROGRAM) be brought on for discussion. Senator Sturgulewski advised of a proposed Finance Committee Substitute for SB 168 (ACT RELATING TO STATE ASSISTANCE FOR CAPITAL PROJECTS), adding that it includes the following minor changes which were not incorporated in the Committee Substitute emanating from Senate Community and Regional Affairs.

1. A community, when it applies, must provide the Department of Community and Regional Affairs or the Department of Administration with a copy of the resolution or ordinance authorizing the community to apply for and expend requested funding.
2. The resolution must supply the dollar amounts for projects rather than merely a listing of the projects.
3. Eligible entities in unincorporated communities include federally chartered entities. This will take care of the IRA's etc.
4. Identifies and clarifies responsibility for ownership, maintenance, and operation of facilities. This applies only to unincorporated communities.

The Finance Committee Substitute allows grants to all incorporated communities of 25 or more people, and unincorporated communities of that size which apply for such grants. It does not provide entitlement for unincorporated communities of less than 25 people. Grant funding may be used only for public facilities or equipment.

Senator Sturgulewski directed committee attention to two fiscal notes, one from Community and Regional Affairs, the other from Administration, which accompanied the bill. She stated that grants to organized cities and boroughs will be processed through Administration, while grants to unincorporated communities will be handled through the Department of Community and Regional Affairs.

Mr. Palmer McCarter, Director of Local Government Assistance for the Department of Community and Regional Affairs, next appeared before committee, advising that while he had not reviewed the Finance Committee Substitute, the Department supports the municipal aid bill. He further advised of support for changes in the bill previously outlined by Senator Sturgulewski.

Mr. McCarter directed committee attention to an amended version of Community and Regional Affairs' fiscal note, remarking that amendment merely corrected a typographical error--the dollar amounts have not changed. The Department is requesting five new positions to administer grants to unincorporated communities. These positions will terminate June 30, 1983.

Ginny Chitwood, Executive Director of the Alaska Municipal League, next appeared before committee voicing support for SB 168 per written statement on behalf of the League (copy attached). Mrs. Chitwood concluded her remarks advising, "We understand that this is a one-shot grant."

Senator Stimson raised questions concerning the definition of "public facility," asking if the legislation provides for or restricts school construction. Senator Dankworth responded that for the purposes of the present legislation the communities themselves would "have to come to grips" with whether grant funding is used for schools. This represents a decision which must be made at the municipal level. Residents of the community will have to go to their local government if they want funding used for a new school, a golf course, whatever. Community decisions will be made at the municipal level. The pressure for one project over another will be brought to bear there.

Senator Parr briefly appeared before committee alluding to problems resulting from the fact that different communities and boroughs have different powers. He mentioned specifically the North Slope Borough, and specific exemptions from requirements contained in Title 29. Senator Sturgulewski agreed, advising that Anchorage and Sitka have greater power than the Kenai Borough where the City of Soldotna has the ability to operate a number of facilities but the borough does not. She suggested

situations might arise where a city might circumvent the vote of residents of a borough, municipalities might find themselves in areas where they are not authorized to be. They might be in a position to operate and maintain a facility but have not been granted the power to do so. Individual municipalities will have to resolve this problem themselves, depending upon local power structure. Senator Parr advised that he himself had no suggestions for solving such problems; he merely wished to highlight the fact that second class cities and boroughs might find the bill "much more difficult to work with than first class." Senator Gilman advised that he requested a legal opinion from the legislature's legal staff regarding service areas. It appears that grant funding could be spent in a service area, thus providing a partial answer to the above problem.

Senator Eliason advised of his concern that the bill provides no easily defined line between municipal and state facilities. If schools come within the parameters of the grants would highways and airports also? Senator Dankworth stated that CSSB 168 (Finance) does not represent the state capital budget. The state would still have to address highway and airport needs. He added that following passage of HB 60 (last session's capital bill), many of the projects funded were not wanted by the municipalities designated to receive them. Following enactment of CSSB 168 (Finance), the legislature will continue to fund a state capital budget; municipal projects will simply be eliminated from it.

Advising that he didn't wish to be "checkmated" at a later date, Senator Eliason expressed his concern that he might request highway work for his district and be told that Sitka has already received its entitlement under the above legislation and must accomplish the road work with that funding. Senator Dankworth allowed that while the above legislation might appear to "checkmate" some projects, it would also provide for a more equitable distribution of surplus funds.

Senator Sackett asked what projects the subject bill would cover and what projects it would not. What will the state capital budget fund and what will it not. Senator Dankworth responded that the grants would fund cultural facilities, municipal roads, civic centers, football fields, etc.--anything considered to be a capital project within a municipality. Senator Sackett then asked "what should legislators not now ask for?" Senator Dankworth suggested that harbors, dams, highways, etc. should remain state capital projects, but municipal projects such as humane shelters, etc. should be funded through municipal grants. He added that the above legislation "does not eliminate anyone, it just lets them make the decision." He concluded his remarks advising that there is no clear dividing line.

A brief discussion followed concerning the use of recent census figures for municipal grant funding. Mr. McCarter concluded the discussion stating that Community and Regional Affairs will use U.S. Census figures as a base for "what a community might come

and ask for." A community will be allowed to take that number and "show what changes have occurred" since the census was taken.

Senator Dankworth moved that Committee Substitute for SB 168 (Finance) pass from committee with individual recommendations. No objection having been raised, the Committee Substitute passed from committee--all members present signing do pass with the exception of Senator Sackett who signed do not pass.

SENATE BILL 244

Co-chairman Bennett next moved that SB 244 (AN ACT PROVIDING FOR FORGIVENESS OF CERTAIN LOANS AUTHORIZED BY LAW AND BY THE ALASKA POWER AUTHORITY FOR POWER PROJECTS AND REDESIGNATING THE LOANS AS GRANTS FOR POWER PROJECTS) be brought on for discussion.

Senator Dankworth advised of a Finance Committee Substitute for SB 244 (ACT SUSPENDING THE PRINCIPAL AND INTEREST DUE ON CERTAIN LOANS AUTHORIZED BY LAW AND BY THE ALASKA POWER AUTHORITY FOR POWER PROJECTS, AND REDESIGNATING THE LOANS AS GRANTS FOR POWER PROJECTS). He stated that when the bill was previously before Committee, questions arose concerning interest rates and repayment of previously-made hydroelectric loans. He advised that no payments will be made on the above loans to be redesignated as grants unless the \$5 billion appropriation to the power project development fund has not been made by 1986. If such appropriation has not been made, the projects included within CSSB 244 (Finance) revert to loans repayable at their original rates of interest and extended in term an amount of time equal to the length of time of the payment suspension. The subject legislation would not become law unless SB 25 also passes.

Senator Sackett moved that Committee Substitute for SB 244 (Finance) pass from committee with individual recommendations. No objection having been raised, the Committee Substitute thus passed from committee--all members present signing do pass.

RECESS, 9:15 a.m.

RECONVENE, 9:35 a.m.

SENATE BILL 263

Upon reconvening, Co-chairman Bennett moved that SB 263 (ACT MAKING A SPECIAL APPROPRIATION TO THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT FOR CULTURAL FACILITIES) be brought up for discussion purposes only. He advised that the committee would not act on the bill at this time, but would provide individuals present from communities throughout the state to speak concerning local needs for cultural centers.

Mr. Sid Wright first appeared, advising that he is principal of the Petersburg High School during the winter and a fisherman during the summer. He advised that the idea for cultural

facilities started in 1974 when many communities found themselves in need of museums, theaters, convention centers, etc. In 1976 the legislature appropriated \$100 thousand for planning. Communities had to demonstrate that their residents were "behind the city council," had to dedicate land for the facility, and had to vote to accept funding. In 1979 the legislature passed "enabling legislation." Funding for planning has been appropriated but not funding for construction. The need for these facilities has not diminished. Mr. Wright stressed the need for facilities which provide a democratic forum in addition to a center for the arts.

(Change Tape, SFC-81, #19, Side 1 (000))

Carmen Gintoli next appeared, speaking on behalf of the City of Kenai. He spoke in favor of the above legislation, advising of local need for a facility housing a theater for the arts and a convention center. The voters have voted to maintain and operate the facility at a cost of \$150 thousand a year. The city council has approved the project and set aside property for the facility. The city has seen an 18% increase per year in attendance at cultural activities now being held in the local gym. Needed cultural facilities will improve the quality of Alaskan life.

Senator Dankworth advised that the committee had earlier passed legislation for municipal funding of \$1,000 per capita. Would Kenai be willing to apply such funding toward a cultural facility? Mr. Gintoli responded that while Kenai itself has a population of approximately 4,500, it serves an area of approximately 10,000. He advised that, based on the past vote, if Kenai received grant funding, some of it would be spent on the cultural facility. Mr. Wright interjected that of Petersburg's top priorities (a hospital and cultural facility) the proposed municipal grant would fund approximately 1/4 of the amount needed for the facilities.

Sue Dreydoppel next appeared before committee, speaking to the need for a new museum facility in Bethel. She displayed a box of damaged artifacts and advised that approximately one-fourth of Bethel's collection was destroyed in a recent fire. She stressed the vulnerability and fragility of many Alaskan artifacts and the need to render them special care. She urged support for cultural facility funding.

George Krusz next appeared, advising that as Executive Director of the Kodiak Chamber of Commerce he urged support of cultural facility funding. Such centers will be "economic bonanzas" for Alaska, permitting local communities to sponsor cultural events and "hold conventions." He stressed the fact that such centers would provide a better quality of life in individual communities, and when the quality of life improves, economic development, jobs, and new residents follow. Responding to a question from Senator Dankworth concerning the fact that Kenai has committed to maintain a cultural facility, while Kodiak has not yet submitted plans, Mr. Krusz advised that support for the facility is based

on the fact that while Proposition E failed at the statewide level, it passed in Kodiak with 60% in favor.

Senator Dankworth expressed concern that facilities might be constructed without consulting local residents, yet these same residents will "be expected to pick up the bills." Senator Mulcahy advised that SB 263 funds the act which calls for communities to vote on the issue. The above bill applies to communities which have plans and are "all ready to go." Senator Sturgulewski added that the legislation also requires a local match of between 10 and 30%. The community is involved. The legislation does not represent "an out and out grant."

Mike Tavolerio of Saxmon next appeared, stressing the "need to utilize Alaska's greatest renewable resource--people." The request for cultural facility funding represents a "grass roots" movement for legislative assistance in improving the quality of Alaskan life.

Jane Stewart of Juneau briefly appeared, advising that the oil companies in Alaska have a strong record of support for the arts. She suggested a portion of the state's oil revenues also be devoted to support of these same arts.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at approximately 10:00 a.m.

SFC-81, #18, Side 2 (195-end)
SFC-81, #19, Side 2 (000-437)

TELEPHONES
(907) 586-1325
586-6526

Alaska
MUNICIPAL

League

204 N. FRANKLIN ST.
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99801

March 24, 1981

to: Senate Finance Committee
from: Ginny Chitwood, AML Executive Director
re: SB 168 - Special Municipal Aid Program

Alaska Municipal League urges your favorable consideration of SB 168. Local governments throughout the State believe that an infusion of capital money into the local market will help solve their two biggest problems: high unemployment and lack of economic development.

Municipalities have a good record of getting capital grant money "out on the street". Even though the 1980 construction season was half over before they received the HB 60 money, most of it has either been spent or is under contract for expenditure during this upcoming construction season.

The large amount of funding provided by SB 168 will continue the economic benefits started by the HB 60 grants. In addition, AML endorses the policy included in SB 168 of local project decisions being made at the local level.

SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE

March 25, 1981

8:40 a.m.

(Tape SFC 81, # 19, Side 1, 442 - 708)

CALL TO ORDER

Co-chairman Dankworth called the meeting to order at 8:40 a.m.

PRESENT

All members were present with the exception of Senators Eliason and Ferguson. Co-chairman Bennett arrived at 8:55 a.m. Also in attendance were Administrative Assistant to Senator Bennett, Judy Johnston; Administrative Assistant to Senator Dankworth, Kathy Martinson; Senator Fahrenkamp; and a member of the press, Patty Ginzburg.

SPONSOR SUBSTITUTE FOR SENATE BILL NO. 36

Co-chairman Dankworth requested that Senator Stimson (to whom the bill had been assigned) present SSSB No. 36 (An Act establishing the Citizens' Advisory Commission on Federal Management Areas in Alaska; and providing for an effective date) to the committee. Senator Stimson stated that the concept is one of creating a commission that would spend time reviewing federal regulations and guidelines on federal lands, as well as the effect on surrounding private properties. He said that there have been some examples of abuses. He said that when he reviewed the legislation he had reservations about the whole concept, so he held a subcommittee meeting. Senator Fahrenkamp brought the Mayor and a member of the City Council of Skagway, as well as other concerned citizens to discuss the problems that can develop when federal park lands are designated for an area and begin to impact the surrounding community and private property owners. After that meeting Senator Stimson began to see the value of this type of commission in terms of providing an awareness and understanding of the federal regulations being implemented, as well as providing the State with some understanding of problems taking place. He requested that Senator Fahrenkamp step forward and describe the proposed commission to the members.

Senator Fahrenkamp stated that a great deal of thought had been given to this legislation in committee. She stated that there had been many comments regarding the composition of the Commission. Some wanted to reduce the number of members from the Governor's Office, and increase appointments from other areas of the community. After checking with the Governor's Office and other legal persons, they felt it advisable to retain the eight members from the Governor's Office. With the intent of the legislation, they felt interested people could form sub-groups around the 31 areas to be impacted under the Alaska lands bill.

As this transition period of federal regulation begins, she felt it was essential for groups to closely monitor the management. She stated that her interest in this issue increased greatly when she learned of the Jackson Hole, Wyoming airport and federal park situation, and began to relate it to things that were happening in Alaska. She said that within the Alaska Lands bill there is a Council made up of bureaucrats; however the Commission proposed in SSSB 36 would have no legal. It could work as a "de-fusing" unit, from "both sides of the fence" to keep the transition smoother. It could also recommend to the Attorney General when it feels that the Park Service or BLM is overstepping legal authorities in actions within or near federal parks. She said that the Resources Committee had heard "horror stories" and had seen films regarding happenings nationwide. Through this Commission, the expertise could be hired to learn just how far federal agencies can legally go without overstepping their boundaries of authority. She qualified that she was not in favor of commissions for every small purpose, but thought it was imperative in this case to create this Commission to assist the various communities. This Commission would work between the Council, State agencies and the Attorney General's Office. It would have a 1988 "Sunset" date in case it wasn't working for Alaska. She stated that she would be happy to answer questions the members might have.

Senator Dankworth commented on the existence of the D-2 Council, and on provisions in Title 38 that are intended to deal with problems such as the ones for which this Commission would be created. He wondered if the impetus for this proposed legislation was not somewhat of an over-reaction. Senator Fahrenkamp responded that the Alaska Lands Council was essential and had a definite role to play; she thought Alaska was very fortunate to have the Council. But, she thought this Commission was needed also as the Council was made up of bureaucrats. She felt that the people of the State needed to feel that someone was "on their side", to act as a "de-fusing" agent. She said after conversing with the Governor's Office, they could also see the need. She pointed to some situations in Alaska right now that could be alleviated before citizens get involved in major legal problems and/or are jailed, etc. She gave an example of a citizen not knowing whether the Park Service could condemn and claim their land simply because the citizen wanted to build a fence around a garden spot. These people need someone to go to for advice on their rights.

Senator Dankworth stated that in looking at the legislation, he did not see any "teeth" in it. He thought it looked more or less like a "forum". Senator Fahrenkamp confirmed that the Commission would have no legal status, but that the "teeth" would come from the fact that the State is interested enough to support such a commission. She stated that just the introduction of this legislation had helped to alleviate the situation in Skagway. It would be very useful as an arbitration agent; she said that it has worked successfully in another state in the union. She

thought it was worth a try as she felt that only "the tip of the iceberg" was visible on this issue as of yet.

Co-chairman Dankworth stated that possibly these monies could be used for legal services necessary as a result of some of these problems. There was further discussion. Co-chairman Dankworth thanked Senator Fahrenkamp for her testimony, and stated that he would like to hold the bill for one day.

Senator Sturgulewski wished to make clear to the committee that there were amendments to the legislation (SSSB 36) from the Resources Committee. They would change the duties and provide for "clean-up" of the original legislation. Senator Stimson stated that it was his understanding that the bill actually before the committee was SSSB 36 with the amendments from the Senate Resources Committee. Further brief positive comments were made on the amendments by Senator Sturgulewski.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 8:55 a.m.

(Tape SFC 81, # 19, Side 1, 442 - 708)

sf0326811000

SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE

March 26, 1981

10:00 a.m.

SFC-81, #19, Side 2 (000-787)

CALL TO ORDER

Co-chairman Don Bennett convened the meeting at approximately 10:00 a.m.

PRESENT

All committee members were present with the exception of Senators Sackett and Ferguson. Also attending were Sharon Traylor, Director, Division of Business Loans; John Sims, Director, Office of Minerals Development; Guy A. Van Doren, Administrative Assistant to the Joint Committee on Constitutional Convention; and administrative assistants to Senate Finance Kathy Martinson and Judy Johnston.

BILL ASSIGNMENT

Co-chairman Bennett made the following bill assignments:

SCR 18 - Stimson
SB 127 - Sackett
SB 261 - Bennett
Sb 282 - Sturgulewski
Sb 322 - Sackett

SPONSOR SUBSTITUTE FOR SENATE BILL NO. 36

Co-chairman Bennett moved that Sponsor Substitute for Senate Bill 36 (ACT ESTABLISHING THE CITIZENS' ADVISORY COMMISSION ON FEDERAL MANAGEMENT AREAS IN ALASKA) be brought on for discussion. Advising of his concern that the Citizens' Advisory Commission might "overlap" with the D-2 Council, Co-chairman Dankworth stated that he had asked that the bill be held following the previous day's discussion in order to incorporate Resource Committee Amendments within a Finance Committee Substitute. He alluded to concern regarding the "amount of money to set up the commission," adding that he felt the commission "does not have enough teeth." The Finance Committee Substitute contains only the changes made in the resource committee, making the committee substitute a better bill than the original.

Co-chairman Dankworth moved that Committee Substitute for SB 36 (Finance) pass from committee with individual recommendations. No objection having been raised, CSSB 36 (Finance) passed from committee--Senators Stimson, Sturgulewski, and Bennett signing do pass, Senators Eliason and Dankworth signing no recommendation.

SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION NO. 9

Co-chairman Bennett announced that SCR 9 (ESTABLISHING AS A JOINT COMMITTEE OF THE TWELFTH LEGISLATURE A COMMITTEE OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES AND SENATE CONCERNED WITH THE ORGANIZATION AND PROCEDURES OF A STATE CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION) would next be brought on for discussion. Senator Eliason advised that Guy Van Doren, Administrative Assistant to the Joint Constitutional Convention Committee, was present to testify concerning the legislation.

Mr. Van Doren stated that he was before committee at the request of Representative Brian Rogers who was unable to attend the meeting. He directed committee attention to a memorandum from himself to Senator Rodey giving background information concerning a possible call for a constitutional convention. He further directed committee attention to a bound volume containing transcripts of hearings held in New York, Virginia, and Houston by the Joint Constitutional Convention Committee.

He advised that November 1982, the public will vote on whether or not a convention should be called and stressed the need for pre-planning. Work accomplished by the committee to this date will be applicable to future constitutional convention calls. The committee has contracted preparation of a Citizen's Guide to the Alaska Constitution which will be helpful to the public as well as those in government and will be useful whether or not the convention is actually called. The committee is also preparing a brochure which explains the constitution, constitutional revision, the constitutional convention and what it would hope to accomplish.

Mr. Van Doren concluded his remarks directing committee attention to the schedule of activities to be undertaken by the committee, leading up to a vote on the convention question (copy attached to these minutes).

Referring to the fiscal note of \$96,880, Co-chairman Dankworth raised questions concerning the \$10,000 designated for travel. Mr. Van Doren responded that travel funding would be used to conduct public hearings throughout the state. The committee hopes to meet in 10 or 15 communities around the state, identify issues to be examined if there is a convention, and disseminate information relating to the convention.

Responding to a question from Senator Dankworth concerning whether members of the committee and staff are currently "working," Mr. Van Doren responded, "No, the committee dissolved at the beginning of the session. These people would have to be appointed by the president of the Senate and speaker of the House as well as for the other branches of government."

Senator Sturgulewski expressed concern regarding the legislation, advising that "it is one thing to anticipate some problems, it is another to go whipping about the state." She expressed concern

that the public will be "all whipped up to vote 'yes'" concerning the constitutional convention question. She concluded her remarks advising, "I'm nervous about it."

Co-chairman Dankworth agreed with Senator Sturgulewski's sentiments, advising that he is concerned by interim committees. He stressed the need to be certain such committees are necessary when funded. Committees should not be set up just for the purpose of keeping legislative staff working.

Responding to a question from Senator Dankworth concerning where the bulk of the interim work was or will be accomplished, Mr. Van Doren stated that most of the work was accomplished in Juneau in conjunction with the state archives although approximately three weeks were spent researching documents at the University of Alaska, Fairbanks--the site of the original constitutional convention. Mr. Van Doren advised that future interim work could be based wherever the legislature designates.

Responding to a question from Senator Dankworth concerning preparation of the fiscal note, Mr. Van Doren advised that he prepared the note at the request of Representative Rogers.

Referring to the background information citing the following issues as possible areas of constitutional consideration:

1. Election of judges
2. Election of Attorney General
3. Length of session
4. Unicameral legislature

as well as new issues such as:

1. Right-to-life vs. abortion choice
2. Capital move
3. Land distribution
4. Limitations on taxes and expenditures

Senator Sturgulewski expressed concern that the committee, committee staff, and the importance of the issues to be discussed will have profound impact upon whether or not the convention is called. She added that while staff work to date has been interesting, the contemplated voter's pamphlet poses potential for abuse. Mr. Van Doren responded that he had been instructed by the committee to be "very careful about expressing an opinion regarding the constitutional convention" when drafting the brochure. The brochure would be similar to that used by Hawaii and basically sets forth the constitution--what it is, what it is not, and how it can be changed. A lot of people don't understand

the difference between the "fundamental law of the land versus statutory law."

Senator Stimson expressed agreement with Senator Sturgulewski's foregoing concerns, advising that he felt the approach used to inform the voters might generate "unrealistic and very arbitrary reactions to the issues." He further advised, "It's kind of a mixed bag because we don't want our electorate not to be informed, but there is a delicate balance between informing and tipping the scale on a vote." He added that while he saw merit to preparing for the convention if one is to be held, he does not feel it necessary to move further forward with committee work. He concluded by advising that he is not in favor of a constitutional convention.

Senator Eliason advised that as an elected official he feels he should do his best to inform the public. Some of the issues to be placed before a constitutional convention have never come before the people, giving them a chance to "break into our club."

Senator Stimson agreed that the public should be informed, but expressed concern that given the emotional quality of an issue like the capital move, the merits of an issue might be lost to the emotional aspect of the issue.

Co-chairman Dankworth expressed opposition to the legislation, advising that it represents one more study committee. Senator Sturgulewski advised that she could support an interim committee if its tasks were more carefully defined. She stated that she would like to "hear from Representative Rogers" concerning the resolution.

Co-chairman Dankworth moved that the resolution be held in committee for later consideration. No objection having been voiced, the resolution was held in committee.

SENATE BILL 214

Co-chairman Bennett moved that SB 214 (ACT MAKING A SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATION TO THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT FOR THE MINING LOAN FUND) be brought on for consideration, advising that Sharon Traylor and John Sims were present to testify concerning the bill.

Co-chairman Bennett advised that of the \$10 million allocated in the budget last year, close to \$9 million has been committed, and over \$4 million in applied-for loans are being held in abeyance.

Responding to a question from Co-chairman Dankworth concerning the size and type of loans made, Sharon Traylor responded that of the nine loans made to date, most of them are small--\$50,000 to \$200,000--for equipment and working capital for placer mining operations. One large loan was made to Usibelli Coal Mine for equipment. Loans are made at 10% and can be up to 15 years in term. Responding to a question from Senator Sturgulewski

concerning the loan maximum of \$5 million, Sharon Traylor advised that the loan to Usibelli was for \$4.6 million.

Responding to questions from Senator Sturgulewski concerning whether the program is adequately structured to handle requests, and possible interest by large outside firms in the program, Sharon Traylor responded that department staff is currently able to handle requests from smaller placer mining operations. Mr. Sims advised of certain criticisms and misconceptions by some elements of the placer mining industry. He stated that at a recent meeting of the "board" in Fairbanks, he advised that the program could be improved if the industry would make the department aware of problems it perceives. One suggestion Mr. Sims mentioned was need for mining loans to be regarded as seasonal loans like those for agriculture and fishing. At present, loans are written with the proviso that interest is payable monthly from the date of closing. Recognition of placer mining as a seasonal industry would permit repayment of interest on an annual or quarterly basis.

The office has received a total of 300 inquiries. Although the office has not received that many proposals, this is a young program which "is tending to catch on."

Responding to a question from Senator Dankworth concerning why Usibelli didn't go through AIDA rather than applying for a mining loan, Sharon Traylor stated that the mining loan program predated AIDA. Usibelli was one of the first to apply. The company sought funding where it was most readily available. Now, the company could apply and be serviced through AIDA; it was a matter of expediency at the time.

Responding to a question from Senator Dankworth concerning department personnel charged with responsibility for administering the program's nine loans, Sharon Traylor responded that at this point no one is assigned to the program wholly. Don Hoover works mining loans, but that is not his entire job. The department added no extra staff to make the nine loans.

Responding to a question from Senator Stimson concerning whether designating mining loans as seasonal requires legislation, Sharon Traylor advised that this could be accomplished through regulation. Responding to further questions concerning why this was not accomplished before, Sharon Traylor advised that Mr. Sims is new to the program; the program was established before he "came on;" Mr. Sims' suggestions are new to the department and the program. Co-chairman Bennett stated that this is the first year of the program. He further testified to its success, and the need to help miners "gear up" for the season opening in April.

Senator Sturgulewski stated that she was prepared to support passage of the legislation but wished to advise that Legislative Budget and Audit is "looking at the multiplicity of loans." When the mining program was set up, it was to meet a need and AIDA was

not yet established. There is now need to explore whether AIDA could accomplish this function. She further asked if mining loans are made strictly on the basis of credit and collateral and represent "good loans"--the kind of loans that banks would make. Sharon Traylor responded affirmatively. When asked by Senator Sturgulewski to estimate the delinquency rate, Sharon Traylor responded, "no more than 4%." This equates with the rate on normal business loans.

Responding to a question from Senator Stimson concerning the need for another \$10 million for FY 81, Sharon Traylor responded that right now the program needs another \$4 million. The program is gaining popularity, and it hasn't even "tapped Southeast." Applications are coming from Southeast and it is conceivable the program will need this much by the end of the year. Co-chairman Bennett stated that he had met with miners in the interior who advised of their understanding that the fund was out of money. Many miners failed to bother to apply, given the paperwork involved. There are many who will apply once they know that money is available.

Senator Eliason advised that he believes the state made a mistake last year in placing fishing and tourism loans in a "state run corporation." This creates a burden on people borrowing money and "feeds the fires of inflation." He expressed a desire to keep mining loans within the Department of Commerce.

Senator Sturgulewski moved that SB 214 pass from committee with individual recommendations. No objection having been raised, SB 214 passed from Senate Finance--Senators Dankworth, Sturgulewski, Eliason, and Bennett signing do pass, Senator Stimson signing no recommendation.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at approximately 10:50 a.m.

SFC-81, #19, Side 2 (000-787)

FLOW CHART FOR WORK TO BE COMPLETED
FOR JOINT COMMITTEE ON CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION

1981 Session

1. Adopt enabling and procedures act.
2. Continue Joint Committee (adopt resolution).
3. Complete Citizen's Guide.
4. Complete brochure.
5. Conduct public opinion survey.
6. Yupik translation (Phases I & II).
7. Possible pre-information work by League of Women Voters.

1981 Interim

1. Publish "Citizen's Guide" and brochure.
2. Public information begins - Committee and League of Women Voters.
3. Convention site survey.
4. Committee public hearings.
5. Prepare constitutional amendment package(?).
6. Solicit public papers and print same.
7. Yupik Translation completed (Phases II & III).

1982 Session

1. Contingent appropriations for convention.
(a) Planning and election
2. Adoption of amendment package (?).
3. Convention logistics planning begins.

1982 Interim

1. Convention logistics planning.
2. Public information program.
(a) begin drafting materials
(b) committee hearings.
3. If affirmative vote, begin choosing preparatory staff.

November 1982

Vote by the people.

1983

1. Appropriation for convention.
2. Convention drafting manual completed.
3. Temporary rules preparation.
4. Election of Delegates (May).
5. Delegate studies materials ready.
6. Convention logistics completed.
7. Solicit and prepare lists of staff and consultants available for convention to use.

SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE

March 27, 1981

9:10 a.m.

(Tape SFC 81, # 20, Side 1, 000 - end)

(Tape SFC 81, # 20, Side 2, 000 - 145)

CALL TO ORDER

Co-chairman Bennett called the meeting to order at 9:10 a.m.

PRESENT

All members were present with the exception of Senator Sackett. Also in attendance were Alison Eljee, Legislative Finance Fiscal Analyst; Administrative Assistant to Senator Bennett, Judy Johnston; Administrative Assistant to Senator Dankworth, Kathy Martinson; University of Alaska Board of Regents members Ed Rasmuson, Sam Kito, Don Abel and Jeff Cook; and members of the press Joe LaRocca and David Ramsuer.

BILL ASSIGNMENTS

Co-chairman Bennett made the following bill assignments:

SB 9	-	Dankworth
SB 16	-	Dankworth
SB 34	-	Dankworth
SB 87	-	Dankworth
SB 307	-	Bennett

UNIVERSITY OF ALASKA BOARD OF REGENTS - UA BUDGET OVERVIEW

Co-chairman Bennett stated that the committee would next hear from members of the University of Alaska Board of Regents and requested that Mr. Sam Kito and Mr. Ed Rasmuson make their UA budget overview presentation to the committee.

MR. KITO AND MR. RASMUSON, UA BOARD OF REGENTS

Mr. Rasmuson stated that they were present to answer any questions the committee members might have. Co-chairman Bennett stated that it would be best to make a statement on the budget and its presentation, relating testimony to the request for testimony from Senators Dankworth and Ferguson pertaining to the 10% increase in the budget. Mr. Rasmuson stated that he was not aware of that request, but then stated that he would address the question.

Mr. Rasmuson described the budget process from the point of view of the Board of Regents. Using the community colleges as an example, he said that a preliminary budget is first taken to the local policy advisory commission for approval. Following that

the budget is reviewed by the Chancellor, and then it goes to Mr. Kito's committee for extensive hearings. After that time the budget is submitted to the Statewide Financing Office where priorities are set; then it is sent on to the Governor's Office during November.

This year's budget request amounted to approximately 18%, according to Mr. Rasmuson. He said there were a number of "new starts" in the budget which he thought were critical. He said he understood some of the senators wanted to cut the budget request back to the 10% level. He thought that was the Legislature's decision; however, he said that over the past five years they had got their "act together". He thought that the University's direction had been established to cover needs in the State of Alaska.

Mr. Kito stated that the Board of Regents had worked with the Budget Review Committee to set priorities within the system to a "cap" of 12%. In the past year they had also reviewed the Policy Advisory Council authorities, and last month the councils were authorized more independent management. Mr. Kito went on to cite problems with regard to budget cuts proposed by the Reagan administration. He thought that the shortfall in those funds would be about \$20 million. If that program reduces by that amount, and if the "cap" is set at 10% or 12%, there will be difficulty with the cost of doing business since the inflation rate is 12% to 14%. Mr. Kito stated that "we have agreed to the Administration's cap, and that is what we submitted". But, he said that they would be observing the negotiations as the budget process proceeds between the Legislature and the Administration, to find out if there is "any flexibility for increasing the budget". If that is not possible, Mr. Kito stated that with the increased authority given the policy advisory committees, the University will have to collectively stay "very close" to the priorities they had outlined. He said that lobbying by individual pressure groups within the university system was discouraged as the Board was responsible for the integrity of the total budget. Mr. Kito made allusions to the less efficient way the budget had been prepared 5-6 years ago - he said that ultimately, the prioritizing was done by the Administration and the Legislature, not the University of Alaska. So, he stated, if the University does submit a budget with a "cap" to the Legislature, then it is responsible for trying to preserve what is set by the Board as well as by the various components of the university system. Failure to do that, he said, would be a movement back to a "wish list" without priorities, and he did not think that would be best for education in Alaska. Instead the Board would like to see the University keep within the limitations of the Administration and provide the Legislature with a priority list, so that expansion and continuation levels can be considered more realistically and accurately.

Mr. Rasmuson made a comment about the difficulty the University has when the Legislature rather than the university sets priorities. The result is that the University must develop

programs it had not envisioned, while other programs long in planning must be set aside.

Senator Sturgulewski stated she was not sure she understood Mr. Kito correctly. She asked if he was saying the Board of Regents was acquiescing to the Governor's budget and was not supporting the University budget as submitted to the Governor. Mr. Kito stated that they had operated within the limitation of a "cap", and he felt they were in concert in their submission to the Governor with the amount of money he agreed with. Senator Sturgulewski noted that the University request had been \$127 million (18% increase), and the Governor's figure was \$120 million (12% increase). She asked if the Board was supporting only the 12% increase at \$120 million. Mr. Kito stated that there had been discussion as to what "that number was supposed to be" when they had talked with the Governor the previous year when the Governor authorized the University to have a 17% increase. It had been his interpretation that "it" was 12%, but some others thought it was 18%. Mr. Kito thought they would have to enter into additional discussions with the Governor. Senator Sturgulewski noted that there was a difference of about \$7 million. Mr. Kito stated that he understood that, but that in his mind, the "cap" had been set at 12%, and he thought the University would have to prioritize back to 12%. He said that when they had finished the budget, they had indicated that the Governor would give the University 12% though they had submitted 18%.

Mr. Rasmuson stated that the difference between 12% and 18% were shown by the priorities established by the individual campuses. He added that it was up to the Legislature to decide whether or not these requests had that much validity.

Senator Sturgulewski stated that she had figures run, but would prefer not to distribute them at this time since they were not checked. However, the budget as presented was on a continuation basis, and if talk turned to a maintenance basis (which takes into account new people, etc.), the budget increase request comes to 17%. It seemed to her that considering the new people, funding at 12% would cut the level of service provided to the students. She asked if UA growth could be accommodated with only a 12% increase. Mr. Kito responded that the Board had concluded if the budget was funded at 12%, there could not be many "new starts" unless cutbacks were made in other services. More money will be needed in order to increase student enrollment.

Senator Sturgulewski stated that it appeared to her that the University would have to cut back on other services with only a 12% increase, not even counting new students. Mr. Kito agreed that no expansion would be possible. He noted that whenever there is a budget "cap", something must always be deleted in order to add something else. The Board is not involved in what must be deleted until after the budget process is completed. He stated a preference for a lump sum figure (one appropriation level) awarded the University, and compared funding appropriated

to the Department of Education for the 51 school districts in the State. Use of these funds as well as new programs are not perused by the Legislature or the Administration. He stated that as the University shows more financial accountability, it is more deserving of a single appropriation budget concept. He stated the desire to avoid confrontation with the Legislature, but he also stated that he could not say the Board would "collectively" accept whatever the Legislature says.

Co-chairman Bennett asked if what Mr. Kito was saying was that Legislative "add-ons" would interfere with the University "cap". Mr. Rasmuson said that was correct. He said that last year some new funding was not accepted. Co-chairman Bennett asked if it was not correct that the "cap" was set by the Governor. Mr. Kito said that was the "first cap", as the Governor had stated that he would veto anything over a certain dollar figure. So, unless the Governor changes his "cap", the Board knows what the University has to operate within.

In reference to a lump sum appropriation to the University, Senator Eliason asked Mr. Kito if they would have enough flexibility to do with 12% what they had originally planned to do with 18%. Mr. Kito responded that he did not think there would be that much flexibility. He felt that the budgetary process would allow them to make decisions as they proceeded, and integrate changes into the planning process. He felt that the university system would be less "politicized" than it is at present with a lump sum budget concept. Mr. Rasmuson stated that the Board needs the Legislature's support for the integrity of the budget, and reiterated the Board's negative feelings about having the University budget re-prioritized by private interest groups.

Senator Sturgulewski expressed a need for further explanation on the different "cap" levels. She stated that she was "really confused", and that she was getting "mixed messages". She stated that the Senate Finance Committee had had Dr. Barton and his staff present to the committee what she felt was a good, creditable job of outlining the University budget - on the basis of an 18.5% increase. She said that she "thought" she was hearing Regent Kito tell the committee that the University can live within the 12.5% Governor's figure. With that, and then in looking at some of the programs that had been prioritized to show differences between the 12.5% figure and the 18% figure, she had become very confused. She asked if the Board was supporting the 12.5% increase or the 18.5% increase. Further, she asked what quality of education the University would provide if the Legislature funds only at the 12.5% Governor's "cap". Mr. Rasmuson stated that he personally supported the 18.5% figure, but he added that he thought Mr. Kito was talking about what would happen if the Governor were to veto an 18.5% increase. Mr. Kito stated that the Governor's history was there to observe. Senator Sturgulewski reminded Mr. Kito that the Board was presently dealing with the Senate Finance Committee. She asked him again what quality of education the University could provide if only

the 12.5% increase was funded, considering only programs already instituted and facilities already built. Mr. Kito responded that the same quality of education could not be provided at the 12.5% level as would be provided at the 18.5% level.

In regard to the lump sum appropriation concept, Senator Eliason asked the following questions:

1. Would the University submit requests for supplementals?
2. Would the University reject any additional Legislative increases?

Mr. Kito responded that he thought that would have to be a collective decision of the entire board. However, he thought that any additions to a lump sum would have to be considered because whenever there is an addition, its generally a "new start". The University tries to operate with the amount of money it intends to get at the end of the budgetary process with the Administration and the Legislature. He added that the only supplementals being supported this year are the result of a "mix-up". There is a supplemental for the sports program in Anchorage, a negotiated increase with the community college faculty, and one for unplanned utility costs at UA Fairbanks. These were not the result of mismanagement, he said, but rather they were unforeseen costs.

Mr. Rasmuson responded that he did not like supplementals personally. He stated that as far as he was concerned the lump sum would be "it", though he would have to talk with the other Regents before an official commitment from the Board could be given.

Co-chairman Bennett asked if the engineering program in Anchorage would come in as a supplemental. Mr. Rasmuson responded that it would not; it is already in the budget for FY 82. Mr. Kito stated that they "did not decide to do it", but it was added in the budget in the "anticipation that it was going to be reviewed and it would be accepted". Mr. Rasmuson added that UAA had prioritized the engineering program as one of their major items, so their increase for FY 82 requests additional faculty for the school of engineering.

Co-chairman Bennett asked how the Kotzebue situation related to the budget. Mr. Rasmuson stated that the Board had passed a motion the previous day to "basically take us out of Kotzebue". Co-chairman Bennett asked if then the committee should be deleting something from the budget. Mr. Rasmuson stated that it is in the budget right now for Chuckchi Community College, and that "yes" something should be deleted.

Senator Sturgulewski noted that it was her understanding that dollars would still have to be added to the Governor's budget for the UAA engineering program. She pointed to #33 of the Increment Priority List, which showed a request for \$226,000 for civil engineering faculty and support. Mr. Rasmuson stated "you are answering your own question"; he said if they prioritized the

engineering program at 13% or 14% and had something else prioritized at 8% or 9% and cut it off at 12%, "the engineering would not go". But with a lump sum as well as a decision in favor of engineering, something else would have to be deleted. So, he said the quality of education would suffer if they get less than what they ask for; but on the same token, there is only an "infinite" amount of money to be allocated, and "you know, we can't come in wishing for everything". Senator Sturgulewski stated that it seemed as though if the Legislature sets a "cap", then there would really be no definite assurance that the engineering program would be developed. She asked if that was correct. Mr. Rasmuson stated "yes", and that if it was below the 18% (he said he didn't know where it would fall in on a "cap" figure and would have to ask the Administration), it could very well be that not everything is funded.

Co-chairman Bennett stated that two more Regents would like to testify before the committee, and asked Mr. Jeff Cook and Mr. Don Abel to come forward.

MR. COOK AND MR. ABEL, UA BOARD OF REGENTS

Mr. Cook thanked the committee for the opportunity to come before the committee. He stated that he served as Vice-President and Mr. Abel served as Treasurer of the Board. He stated that he would like to make a statement in response to Senator Sturgulewski's questions and concerns. He stated that as far as the Board of Regents was concerned, and as far as he was concerned, the position was that the Board submitted a budget everyone worked very hard on, and that they stand behind the budget request 100%. He stated that they did not know what would happen, but a continuation budget would take at least a 17% increase to maintain the programs they have. The UA "cannot get by with less than that". He stated that there have been a number of changes in the last year and the Board is not very happy about some of them, but now it is necessary to maintain the budget increase. He stated that he thought the Administration was aware of that. He stated that there was a great increased enrollment throughout the system; the University is growing faster than they could have dreamed. The dorms, classrooms and parking facilities are full to capacity in Fairbanks. He stated that Mr. Abel could speak for the increase in the Juneau system. He stated that the UA product and management has improved, and that they have improved their involvement as Regents. He said that there needed to be a commitment from the Board and the State for support to the development of the UA system. He asked Mr. Abel to speak to the committee concerning the increased enrollment and demand on the Juneau campus.

Mr. Abel stated that he thought the investment of public money in education was one of the most important and lasting investments that could be made. He said that was why he was on the Board of Regents. He stated that Juneau is doing "incredible" for a small campus. The community and students are behind it. He stated that he thought at the "very least" the budget should be

supported as it was requested. In response to Senator Sturgulewski's questions, he responded that "yes, we would be hurt" if the budget is dropped back to a 12% increase. He touched upon the percentages of increase in student enrollment statewide, and reiterated his support of the development of the University system for the future. He stated again that the UA should "at the minimum" get what they asked for.

Mr. Rasmuson stated that by cutting the budget back, there would be more and more pressure groups from Anchorage, Juneau and Fairbanks lobbying individually for re-establishment of budget priorities and/or appropriations.

Mr. Abel stated that if the "cap" is set back at a 12% increase, the "University is not going to die, it is just going to suffer a little more, and it is just not going to be able to deliver as well, but we will still be around."

Co-chairman Dankworth stated that he appreciated the comments of the Regents, and that he felt they had done a "great" job particularly in the last two years. However, he wished to clarify some things that had been brought up by Senator Sturgulewski. He said that the answers she received implied that an 18% to 20% increase every year would only keep the University on a maintenance level. He said that in 1977, the Operating Budget was \$58 million, and five years later it is set at \$127 million. He said that the student increase would account for some of that. Last year there was a 20.2% increase not counting a \$30 million appropriation in HOUSE BILL NO. 60 to one institution. He said that there are other things growing in the State besides the University of Alaska, and added that he thought much of UA development was not related to basic needs - to illustrate this he pointed to the "olympic size swimming pools, ice rinks, and beautiful buildings". Then every year he said the University comes back and says "if we don't get this money, this student up at the community college won't have a chair to sit in." He said that he was a strong supporter of the UA, the community colleges, and education. But he was very alarmed to learn that even if no new positions, no new travel and only a 9% increase in commodities and contractual services are added to the Operating Budget for the entire State, that budget would still be increased by 14%. He said that the size of State government will have tripled in three years if someone doesn't say "stop". He said it was his understanding that when the 20% increase was made the previous year, it was agreed that the University would not come back for another 20% the next year. But now, he said the University was back with another 20% increase with the reasoning that the students would suffer if that 20% was not approved. He stated that he wanted the University to grow in proportion to the rest of the State, but that it was competing with the transportation, energy and medical infra-structure. He said that he thought the Governor had exercised good judgment in trying to hold the UA budget down to as low a level as possible and still offer an increase. He stated that he thought it was the policy of the Senate Finance Committee as well as the House Finance

Committee to slow down the growth of State government, and he thought the University should follow suit. He stated that he and Senator Ferguson had asked previously what would occur if the budget were cut back to 10% in light of that philosophy. He concluded by saying that he personally thought the Governor's 12% increase offer was within reason.

Mr. Rasmuson stated that "not tongue in cheek" was why legislators are elected, and why "the buck stops there". He stated that he realized the Board "can't be all things to all people". But, the University is charged to provide quality higher education on the post-secondary basis in the State of Alaska. And, he said, to "put it in a nutshell", to be set at a 10% budget increase level with present inflation, the University would be basically on a maintenance basis of last year. At the 18.5% level there would be a lot of "new starts". He stated that in 1976 and 1977, the University budget was increased 1% and 2% respectively. So since that time the University has tried to catch up. He stated that he appreciated Senator Dankworth's concern that there is only so much money to go around, and that is why they tried to put their budget together so that the Legislature could see what different percentages would mean to the University system in terms of priorities.

Senator Sturgulewski passed out a sheet prepared by staff showing maintenance level that would take in new programs that were started a year ago, new positions, and inflation. She said that it shows a budget of \$125 + million. New programs would be identified at \$1.5 million. The total for a maintenance budget would be a 17% increase. She said that the figures had not been checked in detail. (This sheet is appended to the end of these minutes.)

Mr. Cook stated that they appreciated Co-chairman Dankworth's concerns. However, the unexpected increased enrollment, the large and unyielding personnel portion of their budget, and the add-ons mandate the budget they have presented.

BEGIN SIDE 2, Tape SFC 81, # 20, 000 - 145

Senator Ferguson commented on the Kotzebue Community College and the Chuckchi Community College. He stated that he planned to put the money that had been budgeted into DOE for the Northwest Arctic Regional School District to operate the community college. He said that last year the Board had voted to take out Chuckchi and had the Governor veto the bill; he hoped they would not be in the same position this year. He stated that he felt the system would be better run by the school district. He added that he did not believe anything should be run out of Nome Community College into Kotzebue, and he hoped the Board would agree with that.

Senator Stimson related his reaction to the "lump sum concept", or the single appropriation level. He said that he had grave concerns about it, and supposed it was because he has noted more and more internal political problems within the University that

he doesn't understand. As a result, he found himself anxious about the disservice that may occur to one area over another with a single appropriation. He pointed to the House Research Agency document on the University, and stated that it appeared that the community colleges have been providing a tremendous service in the University system. He stated that he had "real concerns" about whether community college budgets have been dealt with in a proportionate way. He made reference to the study made by the Post-Secondary Education Commission and the recommendation that the community college system have a separate president who would report directly to the Board of Regents. He asked what the Board's reaction would be to that proposal.

Mr. Rasmuson stated that he was personally against the idea. Mr. Kito stated that "anyone knows" that it's less expensive if the first two years are split off from the second two years. It costs more money to educate in the upper divisions; it costs less money to run a community college than it costs to run a four-year institution. He also said that it costs less money to run a system in Kenai than it does in Anchorage. And, for that matter, he said the cost of education based on instruction between Bethel and Anchorage is very similar. He said that he was an "outgrowth" of the community college, and has never graduated from a four-year institution, so he was very sensitive to the needs of the community colleges in the vocational/technical areas. However, he felt that "you cannot look at a budget in dollars and cents and say that you want the same proportionate amount of money that you get at a four-year institution". Mr. Rasmuson stated that the Post-Secondary Education Commission had gone on record saying they opposed that recommendation.

Co-chairman Bennett stated that the meeting must adjourn as the floor session was about to begin. He thanked the Regents for their testimony.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 10:00 a.m.

(Tape SFC 81, # 20, Side 1, 000 - end)
(Tape SFC 81, # 20, Side 2, 000 - 145)

UNIVERSITY OF ALASKA

FY 82 BUDGET REQUEST

MAINTENANCE LEVEL

MAINTENANCE
% INCREASE
OVER FY 81
AUTH

	<u>FY 81 AUTH</u>	<u>FY 82 MAINT LEVEL</u>	<u>PLUS NEW PROGRAMS</u>	<u>FY 82 REQUEST</u>	
Statewide Administration	\$ 8,833.2	\$ 10,032.8	\$ 250.0	\$ 10,282.8	13.6%
Organized Research	11,349.7	13,181.4	367.4	13,548.8	16.1
UA/Fairbanks	33,597.8	39,049.5	64.0	39,113.5	16.2
UA/Anchorage	15,050.1	18,119.6	304.7	18,424.3	20.4
UA/Juneau	5,697.9	5,815.9	196.6	7,012.5	19.6
Community Colleges, Rural Education & Extension*	<u>32,764.4</u>	<u>38,343.6</u>	<u>458.6</u>	<u>38,802.2</u>	<u>17.0</u>
Total	<u>\$107,293.1</u>	<u>\$125,542.8</u>	<u>\$ 1,641.3</u>	<u>\$127,184.1</u>	<u>17.0</u>

*Doesn't Include Chukchi

Comm. Coll. - Statewide	\$ 2,257.5	\$ 2,948.4	\$ 83.8	\$ 3,032.2	30.6%
Anchorage Community College	12,679.2	14,322.9	73.0	14,395.9	13.0
Kenai Penin. Comm. Coll.	1,886.6	2,170.0	60.2	2,230.2	15.0
Ketchikan Community College	1,069.0	1,338.4	-0-	1,338.4	25.2
Kodiak Community College	1,169.7	1,401.7	-0-	1,401.7	19.8
Kuskokwim Community College	2,836.9	2,765.2	-0-	2,765.2	(2.6)
Mat-Su Community College	923.8	1,230.3	-0-	1,230.3	33.2
Northwest Community College	873.4	1,293.2	-0-	1,293.2	48.1
Prince Wm. Sd. Comm. Coll.	436.8	749.6	48.6	798.2	71.6
Sitka Community College	717.6	871.8	-0-	871.8	21.5
Tanana Valley Comm. Coll.	3,137.0	3,584.1	-0-	3,584.1	14.2
Rural Education	2,068.7	2,527.0	11.2	2,538.2	22.2
Cooperative Extension Svc.	<u>2,708.1</u>	<u>3,141.0</u>	<u>181.8</u>	<u>3,322.8</u>	<u>16.0</u>
Total	<u>\$ 32,764.4</u>	<u>\$ 38,343.6</u>	<u>\$ 458.6</u>	<u>\$ 38,802.2</u>	<u>17.0</u>

"New Service" Increments

Statewide Administration

150.0	Contract Termination Costs
100.0	Program Review/Evaluation
<u>250.0</u>	

Organized Research

80.2	Forest Management Research - Fbks Faculty & Support (AES)
62.5	Cooperative Research - State Priorities (IMS)
83.0	Visiting Professorships & Consultantships (DPP)
73.0	Research - Coal Conversion - Assist. Professor (MIRL)
68.7	Seafood Science Research - Faculty & Support (ASG)
<u>367.4</u>	

UA/Fairbanks

64.0	Management - Foreign Faculty Exchange
------	---------------------------------------

UA/Anchorage

42.8	Bilingual Rural Education - Associate Professor
35.8	Physical Chemistry - Assistant Professor
226.1	Civil Engineering Program - Faculty & Support
<u>304.7</u>	

UA/Juneau

20.0	Relocation of Instructional Equipment to Marine & Tech. Center
100.0	Construction Technology - Program Support
51.6	Forest Products Technology - Program Support & Faculty
25.0	Cooperative Education - Program Support
<u>196.6</u>	

Community Colleges, Rural Education & Extension

Statewide Administration

23.4	Public Information Office
60.4	Institutional Studies Office
<u>83.8</u>	

Anchorage Community College

73.0	Learning Resource Center - Director of Developmental Studies Prog.
------	--

Kenai Peninsula Community College

21.4	Machine Technology - Instructional Labor Pool & Support
<u>38.8</u>	Instructor, Computer Science
60.2	

Prince William Sound Community College

48.6	Instructor, Office Occupations
------	--------------------------------

Rural Education

11.2	Fisheries Education, Bristol Bay
------	----------------------------------

Cooperative Extension Service

48.0	Energy Engineering Specialist
61.5	Fisheries Education, Bristol Bay
34.2	4-H Youth Paraprofessional, Bethel District
<u>38.1</u>	Extension Home Economist Educator - Nome
181.8	

FY 82 OPERATING BUDGET REQUEST
CRITERIA FOR STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION OF
BUDGET INCREMENTS

1. All budget increments required for the maintenance of new facilities coming on-line received the highest priority.
2. In general, priorities of the chancellors were maintained.
3. Balanced distribution of resources was maintained among the various major administrative units. However, in some instances, greater emphasis was given to developing campuses.
4. Budget increments to correct deficiencies in current programs received higher ranking than budget increments for new programs.

INCREMENT PRIORITY LIST

Priorities		MAU	BRU	Title	Increment Cost		Percent Above Contin.	Cumulative		G
State-wide					GF/GFM	Total		GF/GFM	Total	
1	1a	Org Res		Computer Maintenance Service Agreement (GI)	58.6	58.6				✓
2	1a	UAF		Debt Service - Heating Plant	133.1	133.1				✓
3	1a	UAA		Classroom/Office Buildings - Operating & Maintenance Costs	152.9	152.9				✓
4	1	UAA		Classroom Rental Costs	65.0	65.0				✓
5	1b	UAA		Reduction - Debt Service	(19.4)	(19.4)				✓
6	1	UAJ		Relocation of Instructional Equipment to Marine & Technology Center	20.0	20.0				✓
7	2	UAJ		New Facilities Operating Costs - Fisheries Building/Bill Ray Center	88.6	88.6				✓
8	3	UAJ		Saltwater System - Maintenance & Operations	10.0	10.0	.5			✓
9	1a	ACC		Operational Costs - New Aviation Complex	190.0	190.0				✓
10	1b	PWSCC		New Facility Coming On-Line	59.8	59.8				✓
11	1c	MSCC		New Facility Coming On-Line	37.8	37.8				✓
12	1d	KPCC		New Facilities - Contractual Services	13.3	13.3				✓
13	1e	KPCC		Utilities - New Facility	9.6	9.6				✓
14	1f	RE		Dillingham - Building Maintenance	22.9	22.9				✓
15	1g	RE		Fort Yukon - Building Maintenance	20.9	20.9				✓
16	1	SW Admin		Contract Termination Costs	150.0	150.0				✓

INCREMENT PRIORITY LIST

Priorities		MAU	BRU	Title	Increment Cost		Percent Above Contin.	Cumulative		G
State-wide					GF/GFM	Total		GF/GFM	Total	
17	71	UAF		Library - Rare Books, Archives & Manuscripts (Curator)	55.7	55.7				✓
18	1	UAF		Alaska Native Language Program	35.5	35.5	1.0			✓
19	18	CES		Information Production & Support	90.0	90.0				✓
20	18a	CC Admin		Computer Based Instructional Development	101.3	101.3				✓
21	1	Org Res		Forest Management Research - Fairbanks Faculty & Support (AES)	80.2	80.2				✓
22	2	UAA		Education - Summer Session - Faculty & Support	80.2	80.2				✓
23	4	UAJ		Additional Instructional Travel for Outreach Prog.	23.7	23.7				✓
24	19	ACC		Student Financial Aid	22.0	22.0				✓
25	20	KoCC		Village Coordinator, Half-time	23.9	23.9				✓
26	21	KetCC		Student Services Director	51.4	51.4				✓
27	22	PWSCC		Extension Facility Rental	50.0	50.0				✓
28	2	UAF		Education - Teacher Training	22.2	22.2				✓
29	2	Org Res		Marine Educational Periodical - Editor & Support (ASG)	54.7	54.7	1.5			✓
30	3	UAA		Recruitment & Relocation Funds	75.0	75.0				✓
31	5	UAJ		Construction Technology - Program Support	100.0	100.0				✓
32	2	SW Admin		Facilities Planning & Construction	248.2	248.2				✓
33	4	UAA		Civil Engineering Program - Faculty & Support	226.1	226.1	2.0			✓
34	5	UAA		Physical Chemistry - Assistant Professor	35.8	35.8				✓

-271-

3/27/81

STATE OF OHIO FY 82

INCREMENT PRIORITY LIST

Priorities		BRU	Title	Increment Cost		Percent Above Contin.	Cumulative		G
State-wide	MAU			GF/GFM	Total		GF/GFM	Total	
35	23	CC Admin	Accountant	48.3	48.3				✓
36	6	UAJ	Library Books	40.4	40.4				✓
37	24	ACC	Computer Information System Equipment Maintenance	33.0	33.0				✓
38	25	CC Admin	Non-Instructional Labor Pool - Peak Workload Periods	10.0	10.0				✓
39	2a	UAF	Purchasing - Deputy Director of Purchases	55.8	55.8				✓
40	6	UAA	Fiscal Analyst	42.5	42.5				✓
41	26	KoCC	Media Clerk (Full-time/9 months)	14.2	14.2				✓
42	27	TVCC	Registration Clerk	24.8	24.8				✓
43	3	Org Res	Cooperative Research - State Priorities (IMS)	62.5	62.5				✓
44	3	UAF	Environmental Sciences - Shipboard Laboratory Course	27.7	27.7				✓
45	7	UAA	Arts & Sciences Programs - Support Costs	50.0	50.0				✓
46	7	UAJ	Restore Boat for Fisheries Technology Instruction, Maintenance & Operation	15.0	15.0				✓
47	28	KPCC	Office Occupations - Classroom Support	25.5	25.5				✓
48	53	TVCC	Welding Instructor	49.4	49.4				✓
49	31	KPCC	Machine Technology - Instructional Labor Pool & Support	21.4	27.5				✓
50	32	KoCC	Planning Council Travel	3.2	3.2				✓
51	8	UAA	Arts & Sciences - Faculty Secretary	23.5	23.5	2.5			✓

-272-

3/27/81

INCREMENT PRIORITY LIST

Priorities State- wide	MAU	BRU	Title	Increment Cost		Percent Above Contin.	Cumulative		G
				GF/GFM	Total		GF/GFM	Total	
52	8	UAJ	Center for Education Rehabilitation Studies - Program Support	10.5	10.5				
53	30	MSCC	Social & Math Science Instructors	91.3	95.0				✓
54	4	UAF	English Composition	35.2	35.2				
55	4	Org Res	Permafrost & Sea-Ice Research - Faculty & Support (GI)	66.6	66.6				
56	9	UAA	Arts & Sciences Programs - Support Costs	50.0	50.0				
57	10	UAA	Affirmative Action Office - Secretary	10.8	10.8				
58	33	CC Admin	Public Information Office	23.4	23.4				
59	5	UAF	Equal Opportunity and Affirmative Action	48.0	48.0				
60	5	Org Res	Climatic Chamber Repairs (IAB)	28.0	28.0				
61	34	TVCC	Personnel Technician	34.2	34.2				
62	35	CES	Energy Engineering Specialist	48.0	48.0				
63	36	KPCC	Energy Program	7.6	7.6				
64	37	CC Admin	Computer Output Microfiche Upgrade	8.2	8.2				
65	6	UAF	Management - Asst. Prof. Economics	9.8	9.8				
66	11	UAA	Bilingual Rural Education - Assoc. Prof.	42.8	42.8				
67	9	UAJ	Assoc. Prof. - Biometrics Department	47.7	48.3	3.0			

STATEWIDE FY 82

INCREMENT PRIORITY LIST

Priorities		BRU	Title	Increment Cost		Percent Above Contin.	Cumulative		G
State-wide	MAU			GF/GFM	Total		GF/GFM	Total	
68	25a	CC Admin	Instructional and Administrative Audio Conferencing	150.5	150.5				
69	6	Org Res	Ecological/Meteorological Equipment Package (IAB)	23.0	23.0				
70	12	UAA	School of Education - Administrative Secretary	25.1	25.1				
71	38	SCC	Business Office Accounts Clerk	23.6	23.6				
72	39	MSCC	Faculty Secretary & Instructional Supplies	31.7	31.7				
73	40	NWCC	Library/Media/Learning Center Expansion Accreditation Needs	45.8	45.8				
74	41	ACC	Eagle River - Support Services	30.5	30.5				
75	7	UAF	Agriculture & Land Resource Management - Natural Resources Management	58.6	58.6				
76	8	UAF	Admissions & Records - Evaluations & Degree Certification	63.5	63.5				
77	42	ACC	Media Services - Graphic Artist	41.7	41.7				
78	43	ACC	Teachers - Aviation Maintenance	72.4	72.4				
79	44	TVCC	English for Speakers of Other Languages	32.3	32.3	3.5			
80	7	Org Res	Expansion - Technology Transfer Program - Faculty & Support (IWR)	53.5	53.5				
81	13	UAA	Business & Public Admin. - Assoc. Prof.	44.7	44.7				
82	45	PWSCC	Instructor - Office Occupations	48.6	54.6				
83	46	MSCC	Catalog & Advertising	7.0	7.0				

-274-

3/27/81

3/27/81

STATEWIDE FY 82
INCREMENT PRIORITY LIST

Priorities		BRU	Title	Increment Cost		Percent Above Contin.	Cumulative		G
State-wide	MAU			GF/GFM	Total		GF/GFM	Total	
84	47	CES	Fisheries Education - Bristol Bay	61.5	61.5				
85	9	UAF	Library - Labor Pool Library Hours	72.9	72.9				
86	9a	UAF	Education - Faculty Pool	282.2	282.2	4.0			
87	10	UAF	Media Services - Essential Instructional Svc Needs	30.5	30.5				
88	48	KPCC	Electronics Instructor	34.3	37.9				
89	49	PWSCC	Registration Clerk	24.1	24.1				
90	50	RE	Instructional Aides	49.4	49.4				
91	11	UAF	Environmental Sciences - Instructional Support	36.6	36.6				
92	14	UAA	Business & Public Admin. - Assoc. Prof.	50.1	50.1				
93	10	UAJ	Assoc. Professor - Speech Department	48.8	49.6				
94	8	Org Res	Arctic Health Research Programs - Associate Professor & Clerical Support (WAMI)	41.6	74.2				
95	51	TVCC	Para-Professional Counseling	46.0	51.4				
96	52	ACC	Public Relations - Outreach Recruitment & Marketing	34.0	34.0				
97	29	TVCC	Faculty Travel	14.6	14.6				
98	12	UAF	Safety & Security - Fire Protection	43.4	43.4				
99	54	KPCC	Theatrical Arts - Instructional Labor Pool & Support	35.2	39.7				

-275-

3/27/81

STATEWIDE FY 82

INCREMENT PRIORITY LIST

Priorities		BRU	Title	Increment Cost		Percent Above Contin.	Cumulative		G
State-wide	MAU			GF/GFM	Total		GF/GFM	Total	
100	13	UAF	Cross-Cultural Education Development Program Maintenance	76.9	76.9	4.5			
101	14	UAF	Alaska Native Studies	45.8	45.8				
102	15	UAA	Sociology Department - Assistant Professor	35.8	35.8				
103	16	UAA	History Department - Assistant Professor	35.8	35.8				
104	9	Org Res	Census Center - Assoc. Prof. & Support (ISER)	42.6	42.6				
105	55	NWCC	Personal & Vocational Counseling	51.9	51.9				
106	56	KPCC	Library Periodicals	5.6	5.6				
107	57	MSCC	Counseling Center	30.4	30.4				
108	3	SW Admin	Communications Technician/CAC Expenses	78.8	78.8				
109	11	UAJ	Forest Products Technology - Program Support & Faculty	51.6	52.4				
110	59	ACC	Part-time Instruction - Off Campus	50.0	50.0				
111	15	UAF	Agricultural & Land Resources Management - Omnibus Admin. Support	68.7	68.7				
112	16	UAF	Environmental Sciences - Geology/Geophysics Program	22.4	22.4				
113	17	UAF	Physical Plant Maintenance of Emergency Vehicles	36.5	36.5				
114	60	ACC	Part-time Instruction/Vocational Programs	60.0	60.0	5.0			

-276-

3/27/81

STATE FY 82

INCREMENT PRIORITY LIST

	Priorities		Title	Increment Cost		Percent Above Contin.	Cumulative		G
	State-wide	MAU		GF/GFM	Total		GF/GFM	Total	
	115	11	Org Res	Visiting Professorships & Consultantships (DPP)	83.0	83.0			
	116	39a	CC Admin	Telecommunications Course Production	110.8	110.8			
	117	64	KetCC	Curriculum Development	51.4	51.4			
	118	61	SCC	Outreach Part-time Instruction Support	35.9	38.3			
	119	62	CES	4-H & Youth Paraprofessional - Bethel District	34.2	34.2			
	120	63	CC Admin	Personal Secretary - Vice Chancellor	27.2	27.2			
-277-	121	9b	UAF	Administrative Services - Property Control	59.1	59.1			
	122	12	Org Res	Graduate Fellowships in Resource Problems (DPP)	61.0	61.0			
	123	17	UAA	Political Science Department - Asst. Prof.	35.8	35.8			
	124	18	UAA	Accounting Office Secretary	25.1	25.1			
	125	19	UAA	Elevator Maintenance	25.0	25.0			
	126	65	ACC	Equipment Replacement - Vehicles	20.0	20.0			
	127	12	UAJ	Fisheries Technology - Faculty & Program Support	46.8	47.4	5.5		
3/27/81	128	66	PWSCC	Welding Instructor	48.6	54.6			
	129	67	ACC	Telephone Operators/Dispatcher	39.2	39.2			
	130	18	UAF	Library Services	55.5	55.5			
	131	19	UAF	Management - Business Administration (Public Management Emphasis)	50.2	50.2			

INCREMENT PRIORITY LIST

Priorities				Increment Cost		Percent	Cumulative		G
State-wide	MAU	BRU	Title	GF/GFM	Total	Above Contin.	GF/GFM	Total	
132	20	UAF	Engineering - Instruction Support	18.1	18.1				
133	21	UAF	Public Affairs - Information Production	60.5	60.5				
134	4	SW Admin	Increased Program Support	47.4	47.4				
135	5	SW Admin	Program/Budget Analyst	55.1	55.1				
136	13	Org Res	Research - Coal Conversion - Assist. Prof. (MIRL)	73.0	73.0				
137	20	UAA	Library Acquisitions	50.0	50.0				
138	21	UAA	Library Acquisitions	50.0	50.0				
139	22	UAA	Library Acquisitions	50.0	50.0	6.0			
140	23	UAA	Library Data Base Search	13.8	13.8				
141	13	UAJ	English Faculty	39.0	39.6				
142	68	RE	Clerk Typist - Dean's Office	20.8	20.8				
143	69	KetCC	Career Education Materials	17.6	17.6				
144	70	RE	Dean's Office - Rent	28.5	28.5				
145	22	UAF	Relocation & Recruitment	115.0	115.0				
146	23	UAF	Management - Foreign Faculty Exchange	64.0	64.0				
147	24	UAA	Admissions & Records - Clerical Staff & Support	64.9	64.9				
148	71	KoCC	Summer School Program	21.9	27.9				
149	25	UAA	Physical Plant Grounds Staff	24.6	24.6				

-278-

3/27/81

INCREMENT PRIORITY LIST

Priorities State- wide	MAU	BRU	Title	Increment Cost		Percent Above Contin.	Cumulative		G
				GF/GFM	Total		GF/GFM	Total	
150	72	KPCC	Trade & Industrial Equipment Replacement	5.0	5.0				
151	73	KetCC	Labor Pool - Part-time Academic Instruction	9.9	14.7				
152	14	UAJ	Health Sciences Labor Pool - Emergency Medical Training	18.2	19.0				
153	15	UAJ	Physical Plant - Secretary Support	21.6	21.6				
154	16	UAJ	Physical Plant - Mail Courier	15.6	15.6				
155	24	UAF	Mineral Industry - Petroleum Engineer	63.0	63.0				
156	25	UAF	Student Affairs - Financial Aid	26.7	26.7	6.5			
157	26	UAF	Elementary Education Instruction	42.1	42.1				
158	27	UAF	Environmental Sciences - Geology/Geophysics Program	11.6	11.6				
159	29	UAF	Fine Arts Theater & Native Arts Center	45.3	45.3				
160	26	UAA	Personnel Office - Staff Development Program Support	33.2	33.2				
161	74	KetCC	Venting System Installation	9.0	9.0				
162	75	RE	Shared Facilities & Communications Adak/Aleutians	7.8	7.8				
163	76	ACC	Department of Public Safety Officers	103.5	103.5				
164	17	UAJ	Career Counselor	37.4	37.4				
165	14	Org Res	Division of Life Sciences - Research on Biological Management	20.0	20.0				

-279-

3/27/81

INCREMENT PRIORITY LIST

Priorities		MAU	BRU	Title	Increment Cost		Percent Above Contin.	Cumulative		G
State- wide					GF/GFM	Total		GF/GFM	Total	
166	16	Org Res		Current Research Profile Printing (AEIDC)	15.0	15.0				
167	6	SW Admin		Program Review/Evaluation	100.0	100.0				
168	30	UAF		Admissions & Records - Clerks	53.3	53.3				
169	31	UAF		KUAC-TV Programming	11.9	11.9				
170	32	UAF		Chancellor's Office - Commencement, Faculty Evaluations, Assembly, Special Events, etc.	27.5	27.5				
171	77	NWCC		Instructor - General Studies/Basic Skills	49.0	51.5				
172	18	UAJ		Technical Services Librarian	19.9	19.9	7.0			
173	27	UAA		Physical Plant Custodial Staff	44.8	44.8				
174	28	UAA		Library - WLN Acquisitions Subsystem	52.6	52.6				
175	78	NWCC		Clerical Support - Word Processing	40.5	40.5				
176	19	UAJ		University Relations & Student Marketing - Program Support	23.0	23.0				
177	34	UAF		Speech & Drama - Assistant Professor	34.9	34.9				
178	17	Org Res		Cross-Cultural Doctoral Research Training - Associate Professor (CCCS)	44.8	44.8				
179	35	UAF		Library - Rare Books, Polar Regional Collection	64.1	64.1				
180	40	UAF		Mechanical Engineering - Asst. Prof.	35.9	35.9				
181	20	UAJ		Cooperative Education - Program Support	25.0	25.0				

STATEWIDE FY 82
INCREMENT PRIORITY LIST

Priorities		BRU	Title	Increment Cost		Percent Above Contin.	Cumulative		G
State- wide	MAU			GF/GFM	Total		GF/GFM	Total	
182	29	UAA	Faculty Governance - Program Support	22.6	22.6				
183	79	RE	Fisheries Education - Bristol Bay	11.2	11.2				
184	80	RE	Agricultural Instruction, Fort Yukon, Nenana	12.6	15.6				
185	81	KPCC	Instructor - Computer Science	38.8	42.4				
186	82	CC Admin	Affirmative Action Office	44.1	44.1				
187	21	UAJ	Faculty Development & Training	5.8	5.8				
188	83	CES	Extension Home Economist/Educator - Nome	38.1	38.1				
189	30	UAA	Admissions Recruitment Program - Counselor	55.3	55.3	7.5			
190	19	Org Res	Seafood Science Research-Faculty & Support (ASG)	68.7	137.4				
191	31	UAA	Arts & Sciences - Faculty Clerical Staff	23.4	23.4				
192	22	UAJ	Administrative Services - Training, Equipment, and Support	7.5	7.5				
193	97	ACC	Learning Resource Center - Director of Develop- mental Studies Program	73.0	73.0				
194	109	ACC	Police Academy	32.0	32.0				
195	23	UAJ	Travel/Recreation Management - Faculty & Program Support	46.8	46.8				
196	41	UAF	Mineral Industry - Mining Engineering	52.5	52.5				

-281-

3/27/81

INCREMENT PRIORITY LIST

Priorities		BRU	Title	Increment Cost		Percent Above Contin.	Cumulative		G
State- wide	MAU			GF/GFM	Total		GF/GFM	Total	
197	63	UAF	Cross-Cultural Education Development Program Improvement	307.7	307.7				
198	20	Org Res	Fisheries Oceanography - Associate Professor (IMS)	29.8	29.8	8.0			
199	132	CC Admin	Institutional Studies Office	60.4	60.4	8.09			

SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE

March 31, 1981

8:40 a.m.

SFC-81, #21, Side 1, (000-end)

SFC-81, #21, Side 2, (000-631)

CALL TO ORDER

Co-chairman Bennett convened the meeting at approximately 8:40 a.m.

PRESENT

All committee members were present. Also attending were Fiscal Analyst Alison Elgee; representatives from the student body of the University of Alaska John Herring and Jason Kuehn; representatives from the press including Joe LaRocca; and administrative assistant to the Finance Committee Judy Johnston.

BILL ASSIGNMENTS

Co-chairman Bennett made the following bill assignments:

SB 236 - Sturgulewski

SB 258 - Sackett

UNIVERSITY OF ALASKA - JOHN HERRING

Mr. Herring appeared before committee, advising that he is the legislative affairs chairperson for the Anchorage Community College student body. He stated that the operating budget for ACC has been "cut too severely" and stressed need for vocational/technical, and academic courses. The Board of Regents has not supplied equipment and teachers for the applied science and aviation facilities in Anchorage. The Senate must set University priorities "straight" and override a possible Governor's veto in University cuts.

He further voiced support for funding for handicapped barrier removal, advising that if the University fails to effect barrier removal, the only recourse is through the courts.

Mr. Herring expressed dismay at last week's appearance before committee by the Board of Regents, terming it a "disgrace to the Board of Regents," and something which "should never have happened." He stated that as a student of ACC, he wished to apologize for the Board.

When questioned concerning the applied science and aviation facilities, Mr. Herring stated that the aviation building was scheduled to open in 1982. The Governor has "put that back to 1985." The applied science building was due to open this summer. It has been "put back to '83."

UNIVERSITY OF ALASKA - JASON KUEHN

Jason Kuenn next appeared before committee, advising that he is the President of the student body for the University of Alaska, Fairbanks. He also represents students at Tanana Valley Community College.

He stated that prior regents' testimony is not representative of the University, the students, or necessarily all of the regents.

Mr. Kuenn advised that he had talked with Dr. Barton concerning a new budget process. Next year President Barton hopes to present a budget relating to programs. Fairbanks will also "try something new" which may eventually be applied statewide in taking 5% of the University's continuation budget and reallocating it where needed. This approach provides for re-evaluation of funding and where it is going. Dr. Barton is working very hard and doing his best for the University. The University needs the full request of the Board of Regents in order to grow, given the 12% enrollment increase last fall and 6% increase this spring. The University needs increases in its budget to meet growing demand in the areas of agriculture, petroleum, and native studies.

When questioned by Senator Stimson concerning student involvement in the budget process, Mr. Kuehn stated that he was not totally satisfied with the amount of student involvement, advising that he is working toward and would like to see students involved at local/lower budgetary levels. He is working to have students involved on individual campuses and has had cooperation from President Barton. Student academic priorities correspond quite well with those of the Board of Regents and University in most areas with the exception of recreational needs. Such needs are important, especially at the resident campus at Fairbanks.

Responding to a question from Senator Sturgulewski concerning a single University appropriation, Mr. Kuehn stated, "I don't have any problems with single appropriations." He added that he believes a reduction to six appropriations would be appropriate, given University improvements over last year. The University lapses only 1%. Six appropriations would provide greater flexibility on individual campuses.

UNIVERSITY OF ALASKA - OPERATING BUDGET MARK-UP

Legislative Finance Fiscal Analyst Alison Elgee appeared before committee to answer questions relating to budget mark-up. She distributed copies of an increment priority listing from the University as well as Form 6 which describes the priorities. The University has not included Chukchi Community College in its priority listing. Mrs. Elgee stated that the committee might wish to work from these documents rather than the shortform since they contain the University's statewide prioritization.

The above documents do not include budget amendments. These should be taken up separately as additions to the Governor's budget. The largest budget amendment, 1982.5 funds the community college teachers' contract; another for \$407.0 funds organized research. A capital budget amendment for \$350 funds repairs to Moore Hall (This funding was originally requested by the University, deleted by the Governor, and is now being reinstated by the Governor as an addition). Other amendments relate to program receipts.

Responding to a question from Co-chairman Bennett concerning correspondence dated February 16, 1981, Mrs. Elgee stated that the correspondence sets forth University capital projects for FY 82 according to how quickly they can be accomplished. Those listed in Group I the University could immediately "go ahead with." Those listed in Group II would take less than six months but would require some planning. Those included in Group III require longer than six months to accomplish.

Co-chairman Bennett announced that the floor was open to motion concerning the approach the committee should take when working through the University budgets. Senator Sturgulewski moved that the committee commence with the Board of Regents' budget (an 18.5% increase) and trim from there. Senator Dankworth objected, placing instead a motion to work from the Governor's budget (a 12% increase) and add projects. Senator Sturgulewski stressed the need to begin with "the total package and set some priorities." She advised of her feeling that the Board of Regents and President Barton have attempted to "do a good job" in producing the current budget. She advised she would like to see the committee "start with the total . . . and work down." Senator Ferguson moved that the committee begin budget deliberations from a 10% increase level. Senator Dankworth responded to Senator Sturgulewski's prior statement, advising that he didn't feel he had the expertise to look at the Regents' budget and begin eliminating funding. On the other hand the Governor's "budget people" have reviewed the University request and pared it down. He further advised of his tendency to think that the University should be held to its original agreement and be willing to accept the 12% increase the Governor has allowed. He added that he couldn't "see giving them (the University) 20% last year," then starting from 18% this year and cutting the budget back. He again stated his preference for "starting at the Governor's level."

Senator Sturgulewski advised that it is interesting to note that the Governor's overall budget is up 23.5%. Some budget areas have increased more than others. The 18.5% increase for the University is high overall, but there is little more important investment than the educational dollar since the University produces job-qualified individuals. The state is "getting something for its dollar," especially in the area of aviation technology and computer science. There is need for growth. The Board of Regents presented the committee an overview of its budget, the Governor has not come before committee to explain how

he arrived at his 12% budget. Senator Stimson voiced agreement with Senator Sturgulewski, advising that he felt the committee should begin with the Board of Regents' budget and work from there. Senator Eliason attested to conflicting testimony from members of the Board of Regents concerning the percentage of actual budget increase--one said 12%, another 18%. Senator Sturgulewski advised that a letter of clarification will be forthcoming.

Senator Sturgulewski again moved for acceptance of the Board of Regents' budget as a base for dealing with the University. Upon a show of hands, however, her motion failed.

Senator Dankworth moved for acceptance of the Governor's 12% budget. Upon a show of hands his motion also failed.

Senator Ferguson moved for acceptance of a 10% budget as base for University budget deliberations. Upon a show of hands the motion carried--Senators Dankworth, Ferguson, Eliason, and Bennett in favor, Senators Stimson and Sturgulewski against.

Alison Elgee advised that 9.7% represents the continuation level for the University. She added that 10% includes funding for items 1, 2, and 3, on the University's Increment Priority List. Commencing with item 4, the committee adopted, denied, or held for later consideration, the following priority items:

4. UAA, CLASSROOM RENTAL COSTS - 65.0

UAA previously used classroom space at a local Junior High School. This space is no longer available. Funding would provide replacement space. Upon a show of hands, the above funding was INCLUDED in the University operating budget.

5. UAA, REDUCTION, DEBT SERVICE - (19.4)

Upon a show of hands, the above funding was INCLUDED in the University operating budget.

6. UAJ, RELOCATION OF INSTRUCTIONAL EQUIPMENT TO MARINE & TECHNOLOGY CENTER - 20.0

Juneau's program in marine technology now operates in the area of the high school. The program is being moved to what was formerly the Northern Commercial Company property. Professional movers are needed to move sensitive equipment. Upon a show of hands, the above funding was INCLUDED in the University operating budget.

7. UAJ, NEW FACILITIES OPERATING COSTS, FISHERIES BUILDING, BILL RAY CENTER - 88.6

Operating and maintenance costs for project funded last session and scheduled to be operational in FY 82. Upon a

show of hands, the above funding was INCLUDED in the University operating budget.

8. UAJ, SALTWATER SYSTEM, MAINTENANCE AND OPERATION - 10.0

Funding would provide maintenance and repair costs for saltwater system used in the fisheries program. Upon a show of hands, the funding was INCLUDED in the University operating budget.

9. ACC, OPERATIONAL COSTS, NEW AVIATION COMPLEX - 190.0

Funding provides for utility and janitorial costs at the Anchorage aviation complex opening this summer. Upon a show of hands, the funding was INCLUDED in the University operating budget.

10. PRINCE WILLIAM SOUND CC, NEW FACILITY - 59.8

Lease funding for classroom space. Co-chairman Dankworth asked if the facility is currently operating. Mrs. Elgee responded that the facility is not presently operating but the program is. Senator Dankworth asked that the above item be HELD FOR LATER CONSIDERATION pending receipt of additional information.

11. MAT-SU CC, NEW FACILITY - 37.8

Funding requested to cover utilities and maintenance costs for additional classrooms constructed through G.O. bonds. Upon a show of hands, the funding was INCLUDED in the operating budget.

12. KENAI PENINSULA CC, NEW FACILITY - 13.3

Alison Elgee advised that she had questions concerning the above funding and has requested additional information from the University. NO ACTION WAS TAKEN ON THIS FUNDING.

13. KENAI PENINSULA CC, UTILITIES - 9.6

As with the prior item, Mrs. Elgee advised of unanswered questions relating to the funding. NO ACTION WAS TAKEN ON THIS ITEM.

14. RURAL EDUCATION, DILLINGHAM, BUILDING MAINTENANCE - 22.9

Funding provides maintenance and operating costs for classroom facility. Upon a show of hands, the above funding was INCLUDED in the operating budget.

15. RURAL EDUCATION, FT. YUKON, BUILDING MAINTENANCE - 20.9

Funding provides maintenance for new rural education facility. Upon a show of hands, the above funding was INCLUDED in the operating budget.

16. STATEWIDE ADMINISTRATION CONTRACT TERMINATION COSTS - 150.0

The University currently has contractual arrangements for computer programming of its financial program. It hopes to perform this in house in the future and needs funding to hire and train personnel. Funding will continue next year, but after that it will be "a wash with contractual costs." Senator Sturgulewski advised of her understanding there would be a substantial savings if this function is performed in house. Alison Elgee stated that the need for data processing expands so rapidly, the budget will most likely not reflect the savings. Upon a show of hands, the above funding was INCLUDED in the University operating budget.

17. UAF, LIBRARY, RARE BOOKS - 55.7

Funding would provide for curator at the Fairbanks campus to catalog rare books and manuscripts not currently accessible to the public. Upon a show of hands, the above funding was INCLUDED in the University operating budget.

18. UAF, ALASKA NATIVE LANGUAGE PROGRAM - 273.8

To the above program (originally funded at 35.5) Senator Ferguson added the following funding:

Two full-time professors	\$ 75,000
One full-time secretary	21,000
Two Student Assistants	2,400
Hardware	9,800
Travel	7,500
Native Studies Library Collection	2,000
Festival of Native Arts	55,000
Student Assistants	1,600
Recruitment	12,000
Computer Terminals	<u>2,000</u>
TOTAL	\$238,300

No objection to the above funding having been voiced, it was INCLUDED in the University Operating Budget.

19. COOPERATIVE EXTENSION SERVICE, INFORMATION PRODUCTION & SUPPORT - 90.0

Funding covers increasing support costs for above unit. This area has been "hit" by inflation, especially with regard to printing costs for pamphlets. Upon a show of hands, the

above funding was INCLUDED in the University operating budget.

20. COMMUNITY COLLEGE ADMINISTRATION, COMPUTER BASED INSTRUCTIONAL DEVELOPMENT - 101.3.

Last year, the legislature funded (in SB 165) start-up costs for an instructional television system. The above funding provides additional computer programming for the system. Upon a show of hands, the above funding was INCLUDED in the University operating budget.

21. ORGANIZED RESEARCH, FOREST MANAGEMENT RESEARCH - 80.2

Funding would provide additional personnel to work in the area of development of multiple use of forest land. Questions arose concerning the program, and this item was HELD FOR CONSIDERATION AT A LATER TIME.

22. UAA, EDUCATION, SUMMER SESSION - 80.2

Funding would provide faculty and support for the summer session. Upon a show of hands, the above funding was INCLUDED in the University operating budget.

23. UAJ, INSTRUCTIONAL TRAVEL FOR OUTREACH PROGRAM - 23.7

Funding would provide travel relating to the offering of senior college courses in outlying areas. Upon a show of hands, the above funding was INCLUDED in the University operating budget.

24. ACC, STUDENT FINANCIAL AID - 22.0

Funding would provide additional financial assistance to eligible students. Upon a show of hands, the above funding was INCLUDED in the University operating budget.

25. KODIAK CC, VILLAGE COORDINATOR - 23.9

Funding would provide for half-time coordinator of college offerings in several villages outside Kodiak. Upon a show of hands, the above funding was INCLUDED in the University operating budget.

26. KETCHIKAN CC, STUDENT SERVICES DIRECTOR - 51.4

Funding would provide full-time professional staff members to oversee student services activities. Upon a show of hands, the above funding failed to gain approval and WAS NOT INCLUDED in the University operating budget.

27. PRINCE WILLIAM SOUND CC, EXTENSION FACILITY RENTAL - 50.0

Funding will provide space for extension centers in Cordova and Copper Center. Programs have previously been "operated" in both locations, but previously used space is no longer available. Upon a show of hands, the above funding was INCLUDED in the University operating budget.

28. UAF, EDUCATION, TEACHER TRAINING - 22.2

Funding will provide additional teacher in-service-training for teaching in rural areas. Upon a show of hands, the above funding was INCLUDED in the University operating budget.

29. ORGANIZED RESEARCH, MARINE EDUCATION PERIODICAL - 54.7

Funding would provide personnel for publication of "Alaska Tideline" which will otherwise be discontinued due to loss of federal funds. Upon a show of hands, funding for the above item was HELD FOR LATER CONSIDERATION.

30. UAA, RECRUITMENT & RELOCATION FUNDS - 75.0

Funding would permit the University to recruit and relocate personnel for UAA. Upon a show of hands, the above item was HELD FOR LATER CONSIDERATION.

31. UAJ, CONSTRUCTION TECHNOLOGY - 100.0

Funding would provide materials and contractual services for construction of condominium units to house eight students. Construction would be accomplished through the University's construction technology program. Questions arose concerning University-provided student housing, and Senator Eliason requested that the above item be HELD FOR LATER CONSIDERATION.

At this time Mrs. Elgee advised that the committee had "almost reached an additional 2%, and that University funding was at approximately 11.5%.

32. SW ADMIN., FACILITIES PLANNING & CONSTRUCTION - 248.2

Funding would provide for transfer of four positions from G.O. Bond and capital improvement funding to general fund. All positions are for facility planners at various campuses (Fairbanks, Anchorage, and Juneau). Upon a show of hands, the above funding was INCLUDED in the University operating budget.

33. UAA, CIVIL ENGINEERING PROGRAM - 226.1

Funding would provide one-half the faculty needed for the new four year civil engineering program at UAA. Upon a show of hands, the above funding was INCLUDED in the University operating budget.