

HOUSE / SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE MINUTES - 1967-1982 2774

Subject: HB 60

#	Description
1	Discussion - no cb
2	Discussion - no cb
3	Discussion - Have backup ie. 52.7
4	Discussion - backup
5	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
6	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
7	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
8	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
9	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
10	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
11	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
12	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
13	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
14	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
15	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
16	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
17	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
18	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
19	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
20	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
21	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
22	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
23	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
24	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
25	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
26	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
27	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
28	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
29	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
30	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
31	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
32	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
33	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
34	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
35	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
36	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
37	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
38	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
39	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
40	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
41	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
42	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
43	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
44	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
45	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
46	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
47	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
48	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
49	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
50	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
51	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
52	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
53	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
54	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
55	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
56	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
57	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
58	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
59	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
60	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
61	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
62	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
63	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
64	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
65	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
66	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
67	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
68	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
69	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
70	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
71	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
72	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
73	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
74	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
75	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
76	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
77	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
78	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
79	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
80	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
81	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
82	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
83	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
84	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
85	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
86	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
87	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
88	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
89	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
90	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
91	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
92	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
93	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
94	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
95	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
96	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
97	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
98	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
99	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%
100	Discussion - 100% 100% 100%

Subject: H B 60

#	Description
---	-------------

	Verbal record adopted the three sections
--	--

1	Honorable Sec 164 - St Treasures
---	----------------------------------

	Verbal record - no objection
--	------------------------------

2	Honorable Sec 165
---	-------------------

	Verbal record - no objection - Discussion
--	---

	Verbal record
--	---------------

	Verbal record to go. Sec 165 - Moved 4/165-80
--	---

	No objection Adopted
--	----------------------

3	Honorable Sec 166 - Nominations of Anchorage
---	--

	Verbal record - no objection
--	------------------------------

	Side 1
--	--------

	Verbal record - Alternative to Street Crime program
--	---

	Verbal record
--	---------------

	Verbal record - No objection
--	------------------------------

	Verbal record
--	---------------

	Verbal record
--	---------------

	Verbal record
--	---------------

	Verbal record 157.3
--	---------------------

Subject: HB 60

#	Description
1	Amended HB 60 - Public Defense
2	Relly Re proposed - shift all in contract at travel
3	to house committee
4	Machine 200.3 read - Question - Adopted
5	Amended HB 60 - Title of Baltimore House
6	Machine 200.3 read - Question - Adopted
7	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted
8	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted
9	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted
10	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted
11	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted
12	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted
13	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted
14	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted
15	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted
16	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted
17	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted
18	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted
19	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted
20	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted
21	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted
22	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted
23	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted
24	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted
25	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted
26	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted
27	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted
28	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted
29	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted
30	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted
31	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted
32	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted
33	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted
34	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted
35	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted
36	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted
37	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted
38	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted
39	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted
40	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted
41	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted
42	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted
43	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted
44	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted
45	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted
46	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted
47	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted
48	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted
49	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted
50	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted
51	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted
52	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted
53	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted
54	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted
55	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted
56	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted
57	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted
58	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted
59	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted
60	Amended HB 60 - Question - Adopted

Page: 1

[illegible]

Subject: HB 600

#	Description
1	House - HB 600 - Housekeeping - Adopted
2	Nebraska Sec 189 - 9 other sections as same ^{Gov House}
	Adopted p 20 Sec 189 - marine time
	p 21 Sec 190 - fuel costs
	Sec 191 - Post Rd Hwy
	Sec 192 - Sec discrimination suit
	p 22 Sec 200 - Arctic ~~Winter~~ ^{Winter} ~~Winter~~
	Sec 204 - Em Housing
	Sec 205 - Pors L4RR
	Sec 206 - printing
	Sec 207 - postage
	Sec 208 - fuel costs
	Sec 209 - Hwy Work Cap Fund
	Letter: Sec 209 - Request to raise to \$557.7 (Add \$10)
	Adopted
	Nebraska House all these Secs - Adopted
	FCC 80-11 Side 2
	Records 23 - 25 - Bureau 311111
	Adopted 23 - 25 - 1000 to 1000
	Adopted
	Adopted

Subject: AB 60

#	Description
24	Meeting re. FCC 80-11 - House & Sec 177 - Senate for Div. of Bus. Inv.
25	When Sec. 177 of 1974 was passed, it was in terms of 100,000 400,000 in FY 80. It has
26	Meeting. Some example of how up processing backlog was used. Cited as rate as example of reason for backlog. State going to be direct owner or secondary owner? + how much to involve banks - All this has direct bearing on # of positions we need.
27	Meeting. Could not in FY re. # of positions after loan programs have been started.
28	Meeting. Discussing bank participation.
29	Meeting. Answer to Helman - "No we are not adequately staffed to handle at this time."
30	Meeting. Further discussion of a schedule.
31	Meeting. Discussed the situation at request of Mackney. Using 1 1/2 positions out of the 2 1/2 day (10 hrs from time it is turned in till some of the 2 inching positions are filled in.
32	Meeting. Discussion of the situation.
33	Meeting. Discussion of the situation.
34	Meeting. Discussion of the situation.
35	Meeting. Discussion of the situation.
36	Meeting. Discussion of the situation.
37	Meeting. Discussion of the situation.
38	Meeting. Discussion of the situation.
39	Meeting. Discussion of the situation.
40	Meeting. Discussion of the situation.
41	Meeting. Discussion of the situation.
42	Meeting. Discussion of the situation.
43	Meeting. Discussion of the situation.
44	Meeting. Discussion of the situation.
45	Meeting. Discussion of the situation.
46	Meeting. Discussion of the situation.
47	Meeting. Discussion of the situation.
48	Meeting. Discussion of the situation.
49	Meeting. Discussion of the situation.
50	Meeting. Discussion of the situation.

Description

increase to 192.

Beg. #: 664Time: 6:00 pmEnd #: FCC 80-13 Side 2 #674Page: 1General Subject: HR 60Present: Rep. Meekins, Montgomery, Duncan
Sen. Harkin, Harkin, RostenkoStaff: John
WendallStaff: Conroy Riska

Nancy Harvey

LFD Staff: E Lindstrom, A Elger, Higgins

Budget - Budget - John

Log #

Description

664 Staff to order

671 Lohr: Sec 162 was expanded to 9 sections
36.5672 HR 670 - to be added to 18.2690 Meekins Moved suggested (Lohr) figures
T = 8250.7664 Meekins Sec 162-164Meekins 162 - St. Kitts, St. E/16.50680 Meekins Moved Sec 162 - AdditionMeekins Sec 162 - AdditionMeekins Sec 162 - Addition

Subject: #B 60

Description

1. *Estuaries* - Adopted2. *Estuaries* - Adopted3. *Estuaries* - Adopted4. *Estuaries* - Adopted5. *Estuaries* - Adopted6. *Estuaries* - Adopted7. *Estuaries* - Adopted8. *Estuaries* - Adopted9. *Estuaries* - Adopted10. *Estuaries* - Adopted11. *Estuaries* - Adopted12. *Estuaries* - Adopted13. *Estuaries* - Adopted14. *Estuaries* - Adopted15. *Estuaries* - Adopted

Subject: HB 60

Description

HB 60: Why go to legislature for aid? Discussion

Amend: Develop state-to-home market first

Amend to Montgomery is current leg Council has not approved"

HB 60: No 21 adoption

Montgomery: Objected — Adopted

HB 61: Amend 21

Emend. amend Sec 212 213 218. be and to appropriated to
to expenses of LAH? — Adopted? leg Council

HB 62: Sec 221 — Amend — adopted

HB 63: Sec 222

Amend: adopted origin and language

Ltr: 46 ch, because 2 sub

HB 64: Sec 224 — Amend — Adopted

HB 65: Sec 225 Amend — Adopted

HB 66: Sec 226 — Amend

HB 67: Sec 227 — Amend — Adopted

Subject:

43 60

9 1/2

Description

to #1: REC 1

RECORDER LOG

Date: 4-27-80

Page: 6

Subject: RE

g #

Description

17

17

17

17

17

Subject:

१३३

Description

Subject:

[illegible]

Subject: HB CC

Log #	Description
123	Meeting. 4/1/86 - Adopted NAT RES
124	Meeting. 4/2/86 DAR - Div of Parks FY81 - Adopted
125	Meeting. 4/3/86 DAR - Div of Fish - Adopted
126	Meeting. 4/4/86 306.0
127	Meeting. 4/5/86 FY81 - Adopted
128	Meeting. 4/6/86 FY81 - Adopted
129	Meeting. 4/7/86 DAR (not on sheet) Adopted
130	Meeting. 4/8/86 ✓ FY81 - Adopted DNR (765.0 + 1.0)
EDUCATION	
131	Meeting. 4/9/86 ^{200.0} taken care of? ^{Fees should be} 110.0 ^{1/2}
132	Meeting. 4/10/86 taken care of in 4 budget
133	Meeting. 4/11/86 taken care of in 4 budget

File #: FCC 80-13
Side 1

RECORDER LOG

Date: 4-27-80

Page: 11

Subject: HE KC

Pg #	Description
	[Faint handwritten notes]
	[Faint handwritten notes]
	[Faint handwritten notes]
	[Faint handwritten notes]
	[Faint handwritten notes]
	[Faint handwritten notes]
	[Faint handwritten notes]
	[Faint handwritten notes]
	[Faint handwritten notes]
	[Faint handwritten notes]
	[Faint handwritten notes]
	[Faint handwritten notes]
	[Faint handwritten notes]
	[Faint handwritten notes]
	[Faint handwritten notes]
	[Faint handwritten notes]
	[Faint handwritten notes]
	[Faint handwritten notes]
	[Faint handwritten notes]
	[Faint handwritten notes]
	[Faint handwritten notes]
	[Faint handwritten notes]
	[Faint handwritten notes]
	[Faint handwritten notes]
	[Faint handwritten notes]
	[Faint handwritten notes]

no #1: FCC 80-12
Side 1

RECORDER LOG

Date: 4-27-80

Page: 12

Subject: AB 60

#	Description
	... -T -YK-C 4/... of their ...
	... cable mod
	... include ...
	
	
	
	
	
	
	
	
	
	
	
	
	
	
	
	
	
	
	
	
	
	
	

Subject:

Q #	Description
	1. 1/2" x 1/2" x 1/2" - 1/2" x 1/2" x 1/2" - 1/2" x 1/2" x 1/2"
	2. 1/2" x 1/2" x 1/2" - 1/2" x 1/2" x 1/2" - 1/2" x 1/2" x 1/2"
	3. 1/2" x 1/2" x 1/2" - 1/2" x 1/2" x 1/2" - 1/2" x 1/2" x 1/2"
	4. 1/2" x 1/2" x 1/2" - 1/2" x 1/2" x 1/2" - 1/2" x 1/2" x 1/2"
	5. 1/2" x 1/2" x 1/2" - 1/2" x 1/2" x 1/2" - 1/2" x 1/2" x 1/2"
	6. 1/2" x 1/2" x 1/2" - 1/2" x 1/2" x 1/2" - 1/2" x 1/2" x 1/2"
	7. 1/2" x 1/2" x 1/2" - 1/2" x 1/2" x 1/2" - 1/2" x 1/2" x 1/2"
	8. 1/2" x 1/2" x 1/2" - 1/2" x 1/2" x 1/2" - 1/2" x 1/2" x 1/2"
	9. 1/2" x 1/2" x 1/2" - 1/2" x 1/2" x 1/2" - 1/2" x 1/2" x 1/2"
	10. 1/2" x 1/2" x 1/2" - 1/2" x 1/2" x 1/2" - 1/2" x 1/2" x 1/2"

Subject:

FD 60

27

Description

Subject:

FB LC

Description

Received from [illegible] dated 2/28/80

Accepted

Received from [illegible] dated 3/1/80

Received from [illegible] dated 3/1/80

Received from [illegible] dated 3/1/80

Received from [illegible] dated 3/1/80

Received from [illegible] dated 3/1/80

Received from [illegible] dated 3/1/80

Received from [illegible] dated 3/1/80

Received from [illegible] dated 3/1/80

Received from [illegible] dated 3/1/80

1. English
 2. French
 3. German
 4. Italian
 5. Spanish
 6. Portuguese
 7. Dutch
 8. Swedish
 9. Norwegian
 10. Danish
 11. Polish
 12. Czech
 13. Slovak
 14. Hungarian
 15. Russian
 16. Ukrainian
 17. Belarusian
 18. Armenian
 19. Georgian
 20. Abkhazian
 21. Ossetian
 22. Ingush
 23. Chechen
 24. Dagestan
 25. Ingush
 26. Chechen
 27. Dagestan
 28. Ingush
 29. Chechen
 30. Dagestan
 31. Ingush
 32. Chechen
 33. Dagestan
 34. Ingush
 35. Chechen
 36. Dagestan
 37. Ingush
 38. Chechen
 39. Dagestan
 40. Ingush
 41. Chechen
 42. Dagestan
 43. Ingush
 44. Chechen
 45. Dagestan
 46. Ingush
 47. Chechen
 48. Dagestan
 49. Ingush
 50. Chechen
 51. Dagestan
 52. Ingush
 53. Chechen
 54. Dagestan
 55. Ingush
 56. Chechen
 57. Dagestan
 58. Ingush
 59. Chechen
 60. Dagestan
 61. Ingush
 62. Chechen
 63. Dagestan
 64. Ingush
 65. Chechen
 66. Dagestan
 67. Ingush
 68. Chechen
 69. Dagestan
 70. Ingush
 71. Chechen
 72. Dagestan
 73. Ingush
 74. Chechen
 75. Dagestan
 76. Ingush
 77. Chechen
 78. Dagestan
 79. Ingush
 80. Chechen
 81. Dagestan
 82. Ingush
 83. Chechen
 84. Dagestan
 85. Ingush
 86. Chechen
 87. Dagestan
 88. Ingush
 89. Chechen
 90. Dagestan
 91. Ingush
 92. Chechen
 93. Dagestan
 94. Ingush
 95. Chechen
 96. Dagestan
 97. Ingush
 98. Chechen
 99. Dagestan
 100. Ingush
 101. Chechen
 102. Dagestan
 103. Ingush
 104. Chechen
 105. Dagestan
 106. Ingush
 107. Chechen
 108. Dagestan
 109. Ingush
 110. Chechen
 111. Dagestan
 112. Ingush
 113. Chechen
 114. Dagestan
 115. Ingush
 116. Chechen
 117. Dagestan
 118. Ingush
 119. Chechen
 120. Dagestan
 121. Ingush
 122. Chechen
 123. Dagestan
 124. Ingush
 125. Chechen
 126. Dagestan
 127. Ingush
 128. Chechen
 129. Dagestan
 130. Ingush
 131. Chechen
 132. Dagestan
 133. Ingush
 134. Chechen
 135. Dagestan
 136. Ingush
 137. Chechen
 138. Dagestan
 139. Ingush
 140. Chechen
 141. Dagestan
 142. Ingush
 143. Chechen
 144. Dagestan
 145. Ingush
 146. Chechen
 147. Dagestan
 148. Ingush
 149. Chechen
 150. Dagestan
 151. Ingush
 152. Chechen
 153. Dagestan
 154. Ingush
 155. Chechen
 156. Dagestan
 157. Ingush
 158. Chechen
 159. Dagestan
 160. Ingush
 161. Chechen
 162. Dagestan
 163. Ingush
 164. Chechen
 165. Dagestan
 166. Ingush
 167. Chechen
 168. Dagestan
 169. Ingush
 170. Chechen
 171. Dagestan
 172. Ingush
 173. Chechen
 174. Dagestan
 175. Ingush
 176. Chechen
 177. Dagestan
 178. Ingush
 179. Chechen
 180. Dagestan
 181. Ingush
 182. Chechen
 183. Dagestan
 184. Ingush
 185. Chechen
 186. Dagestan
 187. Ingush
 188. Chechen
 189. Dagestan
 190. Ingush
 191. Chechen
 192. Dagestan
 193. Ingush
 194. Chechen
 195. Dagestan
 196. Ingush
 197. Chechen
 198. Dagestan
 199. Ingush
 200. Chechen
 201. Dagestan
 202. Ingush
 203. Chechen
 204. Dagestan
 205. Ingush
 206. Chechen
 207. Dagestan
 208. Ingush
 209. Chechen
 210. Dagestan
 211. Ingush
 212. Chechen
 213. Dagestan
 214. Ingush
 215. Chechen
 216. Dagestan
 217. Ingush
 218. Chechen
 219. Dagestan
 220. Ingush
 221. Chechen
 222. Dagestan
 223. Ingush
 224. Chechen
 225. Dagestan
 226. Ingush
 227. Chechen
 228. Dagestan
 229. Ingush
 230. Chechen
 231. Dagestan
 232. Ingush
 233. Chechen
 234. Dagestan
 235. Ingush
 236. Chechen
 237. Dagestan
 238. Ingush
 239. Chechen
 240. Dagestan
 241. Ingush
 242. Chechen
 243. Dagestan
 244. Ingush
 245. Chechen
 246. Dagestan
 247. Ingush

Approved as of 6:00pm 4/27/80

Governors request 23,632.8

House requests 17,645.5

Senate requests 8,964.5

Total 50,242.8

000

Time:

Page:

①

ral Subject:

HB 60

resent:

Duncan, Meekins, Montgomery,
Hackney, Holman, Kentula

WALLY HARVEY, JOHN CRANDALL, JAY MCGAN
DANA REED, ELMER

Log #

Description

201 CALL TO ORDER 11:20 AM

36 MECKINS - GO THRU CAPITAL NUMBERS 1ST - PROCEDURE
- AGREE ON ~~FOR~~ STATEWIDE LIST
- 194.5
- AIRPORTS
- OPERATING (ABOUT 75 MIL.)

118 MECKINS - READ FROM CURRENT STATEWIDE LIST
Gf. 68,479.4, 7,000.0 APPC funds / TOTAL 68,479.4

195 BASEL OF HATCHERY COULD BE FUNDED WITH APPC FUNDS
PUT IT IN NRMEL - 875.0 (OVERRUN)

REMARKS: M.V.C. -

221 REMARKS: RENEWABLE RES FUNDS 7,875.0
NOW TOT 69,354.4

REMARKS: M.V.C. ~~for~~ for ADOPTION OF THOSE FUNDS
KENT: obj - then w/drew.

Side 1

General Subject:

Log #	Description
256	HOTTMAN: REU. RES. FUNDS - NEXT ORDER OF BUS.?
270	MEEKINS: WE ARE PRETTY CLOSE.
303	LAST PAGE OF COMPUTER RUN 4/24/80 TARGET TOR OF 500
349	KERTMAA - ~~ON~~ ON AIRPORT ROADS.
371	HOTTMAN - SENATE 194.5 - THAT'S OUR FIGURE. - 50. TOTAL IS 500.5
388	MEEKINS - THAT'S WITHIN TOLERANCE. - ~~2.4 mil~~ 1.2 ADD FOR AIRPORTS. 501.7 TOTAL
	HOTTMAN — $\begin{matrix} 51.2 \\ 194.5 \end{matrix}$) HOTTMAN ASKING FOR AGREEMENT TO ARRIVE AT 501.7.
460	MEEKINS - THINK WE CAN AGREE TO 194.5 AND THE OTHER IF ITS KEPT THERE.
475	HOTTMAN - MOTIONS LATER TODAY FOR 500.5 THEN DEAL W/ CHAIRMAN'S REQ. FOR 1.2 mil.
488	MEEKINS = $\begin{matrix} 194.5 \text{ HOUSE} \\ 194.5 \text{ Senate} \end{matrix}$) SUGGESTED HOTTMAN = MUC. MEEKINS = NO (✓) ✓ 3,795.9 HOUSE 8,375.3 SENATE PORTS & AIRPORTS LATER 50 mil. list avail. ASAP.

General Subject: PC on H/B CO

Log #	Description
542	MEEKINS - G's ON OPERATING BOST. 63 mil NOT counting add-ons (ABOUT 10 mil.) SO ABOUT 70 mil. HOUSE = ORIG. STARTED WITH 40 - TOO LOW, ^{BUT 70} TOO HIGH 1. APPROP. PROBLEM 2. TOTAL LIMITS PROB.
580	HOTTMAN = WE SHOULD DECIDE IF WE'LL SUPPORT '81 OPERATING
632	MEEKINS - G.2 REV. SHARING "HOLD" FIGURE. MEEKINS ASKED TO HAVE IT CALCULATED IN. RECESS - ~~4~~ 4 pm - 6 pm. HOTTMAN = WILL CONSIDER THESE AFTER RECESS.
	RECESS 12 NOON TAPE 14 Side 1 (000-665) - RECESS 12-30 "4" Side 2 (000- Present Senators: Nockney, Nohman, Kerttula Representatives: Duncan, Montgomery, MEEKINS Others: Jay Nogan, Jerry Schiltz, Bob Schroeder, Alison Elgee, Elmer Lindstrom, Garney Peska, Nancy Harvey, Bob Grogan, CALLED TO ORDER. 5:05 pm

14
Side 2

RECORDER LOG

Date: 4-28

Page: (4)

Subject:

Log #	Description
13	MEEKINS - 1 st TOPIC - 194.5 ~~WE ONLY HAD~~
38	HOTTMAN - OUR LIST WILL BE READY IN MNG.
47	MEEKINS - OK, HOLD THAT - ARRC FUNDS THEN - '
92	MEEKINS - AIRPORTS - 38,097.0 (WITH ^{1.2} ~~1.2~~ [SACKETT'S]) 47,433,400 - TOTAL PKG. - INCLUDES EVERYTHING EXCEPT HOTTMAN'S ADDN.
115	DUNCAN - HAD ADD - S.E. LEFT OUT - AIRPORT ^{RUNWAY} LIGHTING 143.0 ea HOONAH - AIRPORT TERM STORAGE - HAINES, SKAGWAY - EMERG MED, ETC. 1,200.00 - AIRPORT 5,000.00 ADD BACK IN 678,700 TOTAL ALSO AIRPORT ^{AIR/GROUND} RADIO - 2,000.00 ea. comm community
168	HOTTMAN - 1,016,4 (REFERRED TO MEMO: w/ PROJECTS)
186	MEEKINS - EXPLAINED NECESSITY OF 1.3 FOR SPECIFIC COMMUNITIES FOR ABOVE LISTED AIRPORT PROTECTS.
291	HOTTMAN - THAT WOULD TAKE US 3 mil. OVER BGET.
299	MEEKINS - MORE WORK ON AIRPORTS TO KEEP w/in LIMITS DELAY EN THAT SO TO PORTS

Gen Subject: HB 60

Log #	Description
317	HOTTMAN - WE'VE ASKED PRES. TO MEET W/SPEAKER ON PORT LIST. AMOUNTS IN: - CASH CATEGORY - BOND PROJECTS (TO BE READY FOR SUMMER '81)
383	MEEKINS - MOTION BEFORE US TO ACCEPT TULLON'S GROUND-FISH LIST - ARRL \$ KERTTILA - SECONDED MOTION MEEKINS - NO OBJ., SO ORDERED. 15,172,500.
437	MEEKINS - OPERATING BUDG 73,789,100 IS PRES. TOTAL HOUSE WANTS LOWER LIMIT - ASKED TO AGREE W/SEN. TO LOWER THE TOTAL
437	HOTTMAN - ASKED FOR SOLELY FY 81 PROJECTS ELMER - NOT AVAIL. NOW. HOTTMAN - I THINK FIG. SHOULD BE 73,789,100 MINUS 81 PROJECTS. MEEKINS - AGREE
460	DUNCAN - ASKED DEFINITION OF "SOLELY '81"
500	KERTTILA - ASKED WHAT MEEKINS WANTED TO CUT. MEEKINS - 13 mil. / 30 or 35 mil.
548	MEEKINS - THE PROB. IS TOTAL AMOUNT WE HAVE. - LOAN PROG. & OPERATING BUDGT WILL ^{BE} HIKING BY END OF SESSION - WE'RE 100 MIL. OVER.

14 -
Side 2

RECORDER LOG

Date: 4-28

Page: 6

Subject:

Pg #	Description
636	HOFFMAN - MOVED FIG. OF RURAL AIRPORTS 50,678.0 - INCLUDES S.E. 5 ONES KERTTULA - SECONDED MOTION MEEKINS - NO OBJ SO ORDERED.
661	HEFFMAN - MOTION - 6f MONIES 66,680,200 for OPERATING BUDGET
	DULCAN - OBJ MEEKINS - INCL. REV. SHARING?
	HOFFMAN - THATS WHAT WE'VE ACCEPTED SO FAR - COULD REDUCE FROM THAT.
689	MEEKINS - PROPOSED: 65m. LIM - OPERATING 35 STATEWIDE REV. SHARING HAS TO BE w/in 35
707	KERTTULA - MOVED 5 min REC.
	RECESS 6:05 p.m.
709	CALLED TO ORDER 6:25 MEEKINS - AMENDMENT TO MOTION
	65 m limit 35 m 60V (incl REV. SHARING) 15 m. ea side
727	HEFFMAN - OBJ.
732	KERT 1st motion 65 AMENDMENT - ...

HB60

Subject:

log #	Description
8	KERTTULA - THINK THAT IS SOLUTION
43	MEEKINS - HS/SEN EA. HAVE TO CUT
98	DISCUSSED DIFF. MEANS OF CUTTING ^{OPERATING BUDG.} - BY PAGE, BY ITEM, ETC.
140	HOTTMAN - SUGGESTED GO THRU BY '81 PROJECTS.
175	MEEKINS - " ADJOURN FOR TODAY.
196	" - FURTHER DISCUSSED ^{POSS.} PROCESS FOR CUTTING.
260	JAY HOGAN - POINTED TO DIFFULTY OF SIMPLY REMOVING '81 PROJECTS. SHOULD BE IDENTIFIED AS " '80 AND '81"
274	MEEKINS - 1ST WE DISCUSSED PROJECTS BY MERIT NOW WE NEED A LIMIT.
311	HOTTMAN - WHAT CEILING?
	MEEKINS - 65 mil.
	HOTTMAN - PRESENTLY ITS 66 EXCLUSIVE OF REV. SHARP.
362	KERTTULA - Q = HOW MUCH OF 8.4 m. SHOULD COME OUT OF STATEWIDE/GOV.?
380	MEEKINS - 6 mil.
	KERTTULA - ^{LEAVES} 1.2 mil. EA FOR HS/SEN. TO CUT.
452	DISCUSSED NEXT MEETING TIME.
	~~ADJOURN~~ 4
	RECESS - UNTIL 9:30 pm TONIGHT.

General Subject:

HF 60

Log #

Description

HOUSE RECESS

494 Recall 9:45 P.M.

500 Operating Budget

538 meekins 35

Proposal 15 } millions

15

65 }

545 Hohman same # are both 81+80. 77 dif.

Projects are 81 or mixed 80/81.

Total \$17.7 million in operating budget

566 meekins Proposal -/Hohman comments: go back to capital budget & be consistent. Disc.

599 Duncan 73 million in op. bud. now

65 million - meekins proposal

Senate rec. going back to Cap. Budget

620 Further Disc. on proposals

Tape Change 80 #15 SIDE 2

601 Continuation of House/Senate proposals Disc.

56 meekins questioned break-out Gov/House/Senate

Crandall estimated Gov. 34 m.

House 19.7 million

Sen 20.8 million

607 Recess 10:15 PM / Recall 10:25

General Subject:

HB 60

Log #	Description
210	Hohman sug. deleting 34 million + include priorities of that in '81 Budget. would reduce funding for bill. so moved. Meekins obj.
239	Meekins \$34/m. is some of most needed stuff some '80 approp in there having timing problems not good on merits.
267	Kert. moved addition of \$6 m. + 3-3 motion fails
280	Hohman \$4.2 mil. cuts in operating +1/5 ea.
313	Meekins question anything left out of \$73 million? Revenue Sharing Sec. 6708.9
340	(Sec) Sec. 23(s) \$15.0 Sec (S) 63/49(H) Sec 116(s) 88.0 Sec 171(s)/103(H) 271.4 Sec 125 (H) 95.0 + add ^{last night} on's 500.0 H+55 Nenana School District 220.0 (s)
350	Meekins House accepts Sen. proposal \$4.2 reduction starting at \$73.0 + ignore
407	Hohman - Nenana School
410	Meekins - How to treat Revenue Sharing Gov bill at \$22 million

General Subject:

HB 60

Log #

Description

- 481 Hohman to \$73 million,
add 200.0 for Nenana
- 495 Revenue Sharing figure considered
- 500 Meekins - listed in House figure is \$6.7
in Revenue Sharing - should be split
between H/S or put in Gov.
Disc. - statewide priority
- 611 Meekins #'s 21/13/40 = if you cut
equally it's Sen #14/Hou. #9, - Not equitable
- 612 Meekins House # (-) Rev. ~~Self~~ Sharing is \$12.3 mil.
Gov # (+) " " " 40.7 mil.
Sen. \$20.0 mil. ~~32~~
- 673 Recess 11:05 ~~am~~ ^{pm} Recall (4/29/80 - 12:05 am
- 675 Hohman \$4.2 cuts in Senate budget
- 694 Meekins = calculated \$76.4 million
- 703 ~~Recess~~ Explanation of total
Hohman = moved to adopt 76.4 budget
Meekins obj
- 724 Montgomery questioned dif. between
cap + op procedures.
- Type Change 80-16 Side 1
- 701 Duncan = rec. giving staff time to arrive
at total figures

General Subject:

H.B. 60

Log #	Description
026	Disc. among House Members
040	Hohman comments
080	Moved to Adjourn to 6 PM
	Duncan / ~~meekins~~ objects
096	meekins - appearing to be deadlocked
110	Kertula commented
119	Discussion
176	Gou's Figure Discussed
203	~~meekins~~
224	Hohman agree on Cap ; Harbor Projects ; Airports. if we go with 15/15/3.5 (inc. Rev. Sharing) - we need time to come up w/figures.
247	~~meekins~~ Disc. on meeting time
289	Kertula moved 3.5 m. Gou inc 6.7 m Rev. Sharing 15 m H 15 m S. meet at 9:pm 4/29 w/that info. prepared. motion carried 6 in favor
306	Adjourn 12:25 a.m.

General Subject:

HB 60

~~FINANCIAL~~

Log #	Description
CC1	CALLED REAGAN TO ORDER. 9-35 PM.
10	HECHMARR - BRILL UP CREATING BUDGETS (INTRODUCED LISTS)
98	MEERKINS - COMMENT ON LIST UPDATE -
132	HECHMARR - MOVED ADOPTION OF SENATE LIST FOR OPERATING PROJECTS.
98	MEERKINS - COMMENT ABOUT HOUSE BUDGET W/O EXPLANATION
203	HECHMARR - HOUSE READS CAL # FOR CUTS
	CUTS 12, 17, 19, 100 ADDS 2, 3, 32, 34, 59, 61 EX 36.9 HE / SENATE AGREE ON ADDS, CUTS
253	CEALOMALL - PASSES CUT BILL TO SEN. (CONFERENCE W/ HE AND SEN. ITEMS DESIGNATED. (ONE
272	MEERKINS - HE ACCEPTS ^{SEN} LIST FOR 3 MIL STATEWIDE - HE / SEN SHARE 50/50 HAVE PRCP. W/ THAT. (MAY BE PROPER, ETC.)
	HECHMARR - PUT IN CUT'S LIST
280	HECHMARR - COMMENT ON PROCE.
340	HECHMARR - G. CL. SB'S TO PECKA
381	HECHMARR - DECISION TO ACCEPT 15 MIL PRG HANDMADE TO SC/SC SHARE. HB 206 SB 210
	SEN 124 HB - (108, 300)
	SEN HB 60 SEC 210 SC 1, 712, 500
	SEN 23 8, 900 00
	SEN - 126 HFEQ. 190, 400
	SEN 229 CAP RISE. 500, 000
	SEN 145 200

General Subject:

Log #	Description
440	MEERKIN'S - AMEND AMENDMENT TO CORRECT HS. PORTION CHARGES - (1) SEC. 39 (pg 6) SHOULD HAVE, HOWEVER, DESIGNATION 1485 HC IT. 192.8 INSTEAD OF 345.
449	DELETED MOTION - MEERKIN'S CONT'D W/AMENDMENT (2) pg. 14, SEC. 99, SHOULD READ 70.0 W/HC DESIGNATION. (3) pg. 24, SEC. 176, REPEAL (SHOULD HAVE HS DESIGNATION) (4) pg. 31, SEC. 238, HS 366.7 SHOULD BE 276.7 (5) DELETE - pg. 31, SEC. 261 HS. 215.0 MOVE 81 APPROP TO '81 BDLT REDUCED BY 40.0 - ~~TO BE~~ 175.0) ~~AS A/PROPOSED TO BE DELETED~~ ~~REPEAL~~
567	MEERKIN'S - HS PORTION / SEC. PORTION FIGURES (? YEAR, 2016 HCHMNL)
585	RECESS:
610	HCHMNL - ADJUTANT X CALL OF THE CHAIR MEERKIN'S - (CB) - THERE WAS NO MOTION 11:05 pm.

Tape #: 200-80-16

RECORDER LOG

Date: 7 30 80Beg. #: Side 2
1 (226)Time: 2:10am

End #: _____

Page: 1General Subject: CC on HB60Present: Senators Buckley, Lehman, Cortella, Sacchi,
Sen. Duncan, Deekins, MontgomeryAlso Ron Lehr, Sister Linda's House, Scott,
Tony White, Bob Grogan, Jay Hegan
John Cranford

Senators Dinner Day

Log #

Description

16

Call to order 2:10am

Close to approval on operating budget
for both sides

16A

Review of new list - no surprises

16B

Lehman questions re. add-ons

Deekins right on \$15 million

Make no deductions or adjustments since 9/11

last night - there are some corrections

Review the other side grants. \$215,000 current
figure

16C

CEPH oversight committees have been

put up - \$105,000 funding through

the committee

16D

Review of the other side grants

General Subject: CC 20113 CC

Log #	Description
190	Blackney question re. 3rd page add-ons "I believe added on at the end" "This represents base house list - will add on 135 9 for Senate."
192	Johnson question re. holding of specific add-on "End of CC-80" "16" "Area of CC-80" "17" "Side 1"
193	Johnson question re. 1st funding
194	Johnson question re. add-ons
195	Johnson statement re. 1st funding
196	Johnson statement re. 1st funding and availability "on one side to cut & replace projects where that revenue is needed"
197	Johnson - question re. revenue source projects re. 1st "Johnson & Johnson's priorities"
198	Johnson - new commitments in some of those re "house leadership"
199	Johnson - question re. select committee to conduct "motion to select capital subject to conditions"
200	Johnson - question re. select committee to conduct "commitment to select subject to conditions"
201	Johnson - question re. select committee to conduct "commitment to select subject to conditions"

General Subject: FIC on H.B.C.

Log #	Description
103	Wickins could not agree to deletion of 3, 4, & 5.
100	Wickins could considering amendment to amendment 3/11/80:
	(1) No intention to adopt operating in condition Amend - to delete items 3, 4, & 5 from add-ons Amendment in amendment - delete only item 3
101	Habman come to office for consultation w/ staff. Left at 8:35am Call back to order 8:40am
101	Wickins withdrew amend to amend.
102	Wickins motion move us to strike items 3, 4, & 5 add-ons Gen. Gov. Leg's Council w/ Gen. Gov. 3 members for 3 representatives against
100	Amendment motion to accept add-on list & motion 1st & 2nd. House of Representatives & 3rd motion (motion) House of Representatives 3rd motion (motion) House of Representatives motion (motion) House of Representatives

Subject: WCC on 1/15/80

Pg #	Description
408	1. Backing modern trailers
	2. Modern trailers - some modern
	3. Modern trailers - some modern
409	4. Modern trailers - some modern construction, 300 ft.
	5. Modern trailers - some modern
410	6. Modern trailers - some modern
	Total \$50,000.00
	7. Modern trailers - some modern
	8. Modern trailers - some modern
411	9. Modern trailers - some modern
	10. Modern trailers - some modern
	11. Modern trailers - some modern
	12. Modern trailers - some modern
	13. Modern trailers - some modern
	14. Modern trailers - some modern
	15. Modern trailers - some modern
	16. Modern trailers - some modern
	17. Modern trailers - some modern
412	18. Modern trailers - some modern
413	19. Modern trailers - some modern
	20. Modern trailers - some modern
	21. Modern trailers - some modern
414	22. Modern trailers - some modern
	23. Modern trailers - some modern
	24. Modern trailers - some modern

General Subject: FCC on NIB & C

Pg #	Description
	Initial to management
24	Don't believe we should need \$7 million in which might be used for ports or roads - need to develop village or town to receive funding.
25	Johnson - major problem would be export on complete record on a list
	Dispute as to what gets used to fund major roads - discussed houses - limited DOT ability to construct. This would provide return to flexibility on part of DOT because of need to upgrade airport facilities & construction needs relating to these projects
26	William believe there is more flexibility than needed due to lack of competence at DOT
27	William - need to rework language - do not have faith in DOT - plus lump sum assigned to area of state (100) is not desirable.
28	Brian on case of 15 am
	William on 20 am
29	Johnson village also included --- Listing to go up out on 20 committee considering
	William on 20 am
	William on 20 am

General Subject: 1500 on N/B 60

Log #	Description
707	17 Dec 1980 - Summit San. House, project on merit the going - that due to inflation & other considerations might not want to live down there. But believe villages should be listed and provided. Could we list out 22 communities but as all others subject to adjustment? (see memo 11-30-80 "1" Side 2)
708	Shuman - 1980 - from San. House (Ferguson & Andersen) statement re resurfacing a gravel to 9 million cost re resurfacing.
711	Shuman - perhaps intent stating that these 7 villages be resurfaced. Kungitlingok question re resurfacing cost? More - and more.
712	17 Dec 1980 - just interested in giving flexibility but limiting what can be done - related to airports. Other types of improvements should go in regular capital budget. Commissioner should make agreements from his.
713	Shuman told Kungitlingok \$125 mil to runway improvements. Total \$5,247.0
714	17 Dec 1980 - need to coordinate with the other villages. San. House on 15th

Subject: FCC on 1/15/80

Pg #	Description
244	172 Lines of not subject to providing liability to airport related projects
264	Duncan memo total \$51,356.00
290	Hammann list of villages for house review
315	177 Lines of statement on motion - -
	appropriation \$6,137 for 500 sq. ft. Alaska air transportation and related equipment with no specific allocation
	\$1,456.00 new total 132,000 - 1st page
316	Motion carried - unanimously
	Motion to accept Part List - unanimous
371	Hammann ^{motion} to accept - starting with Bethel Deck Part list adopted (ground list - reachable use of funds) 172 Lines adopted statewide also - agreed
391	Bills recess 9:45 am
	Recess 10:00 am
303	172 Lines - motion encompassed appropriation for 500 sq. ft. and air transportation and related equipment
	\$1,456.00 total
	There are 100,000 sq. ft. approx. in Alaska to build a runway, etc.
	Motion to accept - unanimous
	Motion to accept - unanimous
	Motion to accept - unanimous
	Motion to accept - unanimous

General Subject: F. C. C. N. B. C. C.

Log #

Description

- 139 Distribution of Commodities in.
- 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148 149 150 151 152 153 154 155 156 157 158 159 160 161 162 163 164 165 166 167 168 169 170 171 172 173 174 175 176 177 178 179 180 181 182 183 184 185 186 187 188 189 190 191 192 193 194 195 196 197 198 199 200 201 202 203 204 205 206 207 208 209 210 211 212 213 214 215 216 217 218 219 220 221 222 223 224 225 226 227 228 229 230 231 232 233 234 235 236 237 238 239 240 241 242 243 244 245 246 247 248 249 250 251 252 253 254 255 256 257 258 259 260 261 262 263 264 265 266 267 268 269 270 271 272 273 274 275 276 277 278 279 280 281 282 283 284 285 286 287 288 289 290 291 292 293 294 295 296 297 298 299 300 301 302 303 304 305 306 307 308 309 310 311 312 313 314 315 316 317 318 319 320 321 322 323 324 325 326 327 328 329 330 331 332 333 334 335 336 337 338 339 340 341 342 343 344 345 346 347 348 349 350 351 352 353 354 355 356 357 358 359 360 361 362 363 364 365 366 367 368 369 370 371 372 373 374 375 376 377 378 379 380 381 382 383 384 385 386 387 388 389 390 391 392 393 394 395 396 397 398 399 400 401 402 403 404 405 406 407 408 409 410 411 412 413 414 415 416 417 418 419 420 421 422 423 424 425 426 427 428 429 430 431 432 433 434 435 436 437 438 439 440 441 442 443 444 445 446 447 448 449 450 451 452 453 454 455 456 457 458 459 460 461 462 463 464 465 466 467 468 469 470 471 472 473 474 475 476 477 478 479 480 481 482 483 484 485 486 487 488 489 490 491 492 493 494 495 496 497 498 499 500 501 502 503 504 505 506 507 508 509 510 511 512 513 514 515 516 517 518 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 566 567 568 569 570 571 572 573 574 575 576 577 578 579 580 581 582 583 584 585 586 587 588 589 590 591 592 593 594 595 596 597 598 599 600 601 602 603 604 605 606 607 608 609 610 611 612 613 614 615 616 617 618 619 620 621 622 623 624 625 626 627 628 629 630 631 632 633 634 635 636 637 638 639 640 641 642 643 644 645 646 647 648 649 650 651 652 653 654 655 656 657 658 659 660 661 662 663 664 665 666 667 668 669 670 671 672 673 674 675 676 677 678 679 680 681 682 683 684 685 686 687 688 689 690 691 692 693 694 695 696 697 698 699 700 701 702 703 704 705 706 707 708 709 710 711 712 713 714 715 716 717 718 719 720 721 722 723 724 725 726 727 728 729 730 731 732 733 734 735 736 737 738 739 740 741 742 743 744 745 746 747 748 749 750 751 752 753 754 755 756 757 758 759 760 761 762 763 764 765 766 767 768 769 770 771 772 773 774 775 776 777 778 779 780 781 782 783 784 785 786 787 788 789 790 791 792 793 794 795 796 797 798 799 800 801 802 803 804 805 806 807 808 809 810 811 812 813 814 815 816 817 818 819 820 821 822 823 824 825 826 827 828 829 830 831 832 833 834 835 836 837 838 839 840 841 842 843 844 845 846 847 848 849 850 851 852 853 854 855 856 857 858 859 860 861 862 863 864 865 866 867 868 869 870 871 872 873 874 875 876 877 878 879 880 881 882 883 884 885 886 887 888 889 890 891 892 893 894 895 896 897 898 899 900 901 902 903 904 905 906 907 908 909 910 911 912 913 914 915 916 917 918 919 920 921 922 923 924 925 926 927 928 929 930 931 932 933 934 935 936 937 938 939 940 941 942 943 944 945 946 947 948 949 950 951 952 953 954 955 956 957 958 959 960 961 962 963 964 965 966 967 968 969 970 971 972 973 974 975 976 977 978 979 980 981 982 983 984 985 986 987 988 989 990 991 992 993 994 995 996 997 998 999 1000

General Subject: FSC on H/B CC

Log # Description

Adm. McGrath 25.0 to \$175.0

Adm. Region Highways

Trib City, Green Associates & Gish (w/riding change)

132 Southland statement re. McGrath - Conndahl in

- Law change (McGrath ed to Ketcher Pass)

Changes in Senate last night

132 Adm. Lines --

City of 500 ACC with North

250 roads & parking

50.0

100 slabbings 100 a (intersects)

Trib. North & South 4750 - \$5,000.0 (m/c)

District railroad 80.0

Trans - 34.0 & North Roads & Projects

134 Jefferson 10:20 am

138 Transit & Commerce 11:30 or open House Jefferson

Start new tape FSC-80 #15 Side 1

Attachment
for Log Notes
4/30/80

ADDITIONS - CAPITAL

University of Alaska

Building Repair and Renovation

ACC 600.0

U of A, Anchorage 100.0

Roads, Streets and Parking

ACC 250.0

NRMEC

FRED

Lake Fertilization 150.0

MGA Stebbins 1.0
-Stebbins Park Nuts & Bolts

Development

ED 7-12

Anchorage Spenard Facilities -
Add 750.0

Historic Anchorage/Railroad
Town Study 80.0

Transportation

ED 7-12

Anchorage Roads and Projects -
Add 1,342.8

TOTAL 3,273.8

100-Log Notes
4/30/80

CHANGES IN HOUSE LIST
(No Net Change)

Education

NOME BELTZ INFLATIONARY COSTS -100.0
D.O.T.

Bering Strait REAA
-Stebbins Gym Renovation
-Move to MGA-Stebbins

Development

Mat-Su Borough -200.0

Mat-Su Sports Complex
-Reduce from 250.0 to 50.0

C&RA - 25.0

KNA Electric
-Reduce by 25.0 to 725.0

KNA Timber Resources Study + 50.0

Transportation

Mat-Su Borough + 75.0

Local Road Program
-Delete Chickaloon Road & Bridge
-Add Hatcher Pass/Fern Mine Road

Mat-Su Monorail Feasibility
Analysis +125.0

Southeast Region Ports/Harbors -1,000.0

Yakutat Port Improvements
-Reduce to 1,000.0

Seward Port Facility +1,000.0

Central Region Ports & Harbors -.25.0

McGrath Harbor
-Reduce by 25.0 to 175.0

Western Region Hwys.

Tin City-Wales Road Reconstruction
-Delete "Reconstruction"
-Add "Reconnaissance and Design"

TELLER/ELIM TRANS COSTS + 95
WHITE MOUNTAIN GENERATOR HOOK-UP + 5

DISTRICT 16

Aleknagik
Clark's Point
Dillingham
Egegik
Ekwok
Goodnews Bay
Iliamna
Kakhonak Bay
King Salmon
Kipnuk
Koleganek
Kongiganak
Kwigillingok
Levelock
Manokotak
Naknek
New Stuyahok
Nondalton
Ohgsenakale
Pedro Bay
Port Heiden
Quinhagak
South Naknek
Togiak

DISTRICT 17

✓ Akiachak
Akiak
Aniak
Atmautlauk
Bethel
Chefornak
Eek
Kalskag
Kasigluk
Kwethluk
Lower Kalskag *Lower Kalskag*
Napakiak
Napaskiak
Newtok
Nightmute
Nunapitchuk
Mekoryuk
Tununak
Toksook Bay
Tuluksak
Tuntatuliak

Subject: HB 60

Log #	Description
201	RECEIVED 2:05 PM PRESENT: HARKNEY, HCHMAN, KERTOLA MONTGOMERY, MACKAN, MERRILL ROL LEHR, PESLA, HARVEY, ARCCA, GREENEY.
25	MERRILL - HE CHARGES - UNDER OPERATING 15 M. LAST PR. ^{GEN GOVT} SEC. 1 PRINTED SEC. 2 DELETED '81 SEC 3 " (INCRE TO '81) SEC 4 375.0 BDT & AUDIT 5 65 "
	HCH MERRILL - ADAPT ~~SEC~~ OPER. BDET
	MERRILL - W/O CBj - MOTION CARRIES
104	LEHR - PAYMENT OF PRIOR BILLS LATELAGE MERRILL - THAT IS PT OF LETTER OF INTENT HCHMAN - LVD, WAKAN CONSENT MERRILL - NO CBj
124	MERRILL - CAP. BDET - HE HAS NO CHARGES MERRILL - HS. 194.5 PKG W/CHARGES FROM ^{this} MORG. SEC CAP BDET SAME AMT ^{w/194.5 PKG TO FIND HCHN.300.} w/CHARGES
	MERRILL - ~~HE HAS~~ ^{CL 4-30-80} CHARGES LIST MERRILL - CPT W/12.5 CHARGE TO CIVIC (ATK'S. 9)
224	MERRILL CARRIED (MERRILL ASKED FOR CBj.) NO CBj.

General Subject: H.B. 60

Log #	Description
382	MEKIN'S - 1 CHG - <u>MENTION</u> REDUCE KOTZEBU AIRPORT RAILWAY IMPROVEMENT 2m to 900.0 USE 1m DIFF. ^{APPROX.} TO KOATAK AIRPORT EROSION ~~PROJECT~~ CONTROL NO OBJ - CC ORDERED.
383	MEKIN'S - STILL HAVE LARGE DATES - CHANGE IN LANGUAGE (A.G.'S OPINION) - ASKED ROB CULHIS SYNOPSIS ON A.G.'S LETTER CULHIS - A.G. WENT BACK TO CMC STIMUL ABOUT NOT GIVING \$ TO NON-PROFIT CORP. - SAID HIS OWN READING OF CONSTITUTION DOESN'T ~~SEE~~ PERMIT THIS.
354	HUGHMAN - STATEMENT ON SUBJECT. - SPOKE ON CHANGES IN LANGUAGE - FOR MINOR. QUART.
385	BRIEF AT-EACH
386	HEHMAN - 2 PROJ. KENAI PENIN. <u>MENTION</u> ANTER'S CAP. BOLT REQUEST of 194.5 TO INCL. SCLDTHA STE 1.0 HEHMAN SI IMPRV 900.0 A.C. DELETION - TWO PROJ. ADDED. THE INTENTION IS NOT TO CHANGE FIGURES BUT JUST EXPLAIN ~~REASONING BEHIND CHANGES~~ ^{SIDE OF} Bill.
387	MEKIN'S - NO OBJ, CC ORDERED.

18

RECORDER LOG

Date: 4-30-80

SIDE 1

Page: 13

(000-459)

Subject:

H.B. 60

Log #

Description

- 430 MEETING - TIME LINE TO FINISH BILL FOR VOTE ON FLOOR (OPERATING LANGUAGE)
- 431 MEETING - FURTHER CHANGES BY INDIV. MEMBERS WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED.
- ADJOURN UNTIL 5:30 PM.
- TAPE 18 SIDE 1 (000-459)

#: Side 1 #001

Time: 11:37 a.m.

#:

Page: 1

General Subject: FCC HB 60

Present: Montgomery, Duncan, Meekins

Hohman, Hackney, Kerttula

Log #	Description
001	Call to order 11:37 a.m.
10	Request from Rep. Fuller/Sen. Ferguson to remove \$125.0 from the fin fish study and transfer that amount to Bering Straight School District for a feasibility, engineering & relocation study. Discussion. Hohman so moved. No objection, so ordered.
200	Presentation of House changes to bill (see attachment). Meekins explained.
	Presentation of Senate changes to bill (see attachment). Hohman explained.
376	RECESS 11:55 a.m., RECALL 1:35 p.m.
380	Meekins said there was a question on University of Alaska funding. He presented the information to Dave Scott, LFD Fiscal Analyst. Hohman moved to approve University funding at that level. No objection.
399	RECESS 1:40 p.m./RECALL 4:45 p.m.
	Discussion of Senate changes. Discussion on adding \$138.0 for Regulator Review Commission. Meekins moved and asked unanimous consent to accept Senate Changes. No objection, so ordered.

General Subject: _____

Log #	Description
540	Page 23, discussion on women's facility. Meekins moved that intent be included stating before the decision is made on where the facility is to be built, public hearings shall be held in both Eagle River and Palmer, with consideration being given to the Palmer site, and the hearings shall receive input from the local advisory boards. No objection, so ordered.
700	Hohman moved the funding for the Anchorage Skill Center be reduced by \$786,000 (amount for operating costs, which he intends to move be included when FY 81 operating budget is discussed); and that money is to be used as follows: \$400,000 for Kodiak Boat Harbor Electrification; \$386,000 for livestock complex/Fairbanks, Tanana Valley. He said the total figure for the complex should be \$496,000. Discussion. Meekins asked unanimous consent. No objection.
001	(FCC 80-19, Side 2) Meekins moved to include intent in the Alaska '84 appropriation that the funds are not to be used for refunds of donations; also that the funds are to be used for legitimate debts. No objection.
100	Meekins moved to accept the House changes. No objection.
200	Meekins moved to include legislative intent that the funds appropriated to the Legislative Council shall not be expended without the approval of the majority of the members of the Council.
	RECESS 5:20/RECALL 5.35
	Question was called for. Montgomery, Duncan, Meekins in favor; Hohman, Hackney, Kerttula opposed. Motion failed.
300	Meekins moved to delete \$805,000 included in budget -- \$705,000 for purchase of CL-215 planes, and \$100,000 perating costs. Discussion on language

Subject:

log #	Description
	approved and language included in budget. Hohman requested testimony from Administration before action is taken.
	RECESS 5:45/RECALL 5:50 p.m.
433	Further discussion on deleting \$805,000 (section 152 operating budget)
	RECESS 5:55 p.m./RECALL 7:30 p.m.
507	Meekins moved the \$300,000 for the Soldotna Sports Complex be changed to the Kenai sports complex (p. 30 of bill). No objection.
	RECESS 8:03 p.m./RECALL 8:05 p.m.
553	Brief discussion on Meekins' motion to delete \$805,000 fire suppression.
	Lehr stated Administration would be willing to have this moved out of HB 60 and into the general appropriations bill.
	RECESS 8:07 p.m./RECALL 8:25 p.m.
587	Grogan explained information he has received on CL-215 from Administration.
	Discussion.
	TAPE CHANGE 80-20, Side 1
001	Further discussion on CL-215 planes and appropriation.
320	Reinwand (Exec. Asst. to Gov. Hammond) stated serious questions have been raised on efficacy of appropriation; requested Committee not act on appropriation until he has had an opportunity to discuss with Governor. Said it would be inappropriate to say anything more.
	RECESS 9:00 p.m./RECALL 9:10 p.m.
356	Question called for. Montgomery, Duncan, Meekins in favor; Hohman, Hackney, Kerttula opposed.
	Hohman moved for deletion of the original language, and inclusion of the

RECORDER LOG

Date: 5/8+9/80

Page: 9

31 Subject:

[illegible]

Senate Changes

INCREASE

Page 25 MBE Service Center, Inc. Fairbanks 167.0
Page 47 Bethel Louse Town Boat Harbor 167.0

NEW

M.G. Tatitlik airport improvements 50.0
M.G. Palmer Storm Sewer 100.0
M.G. Big Lake lights (Mat-Su Borough Development) 17.0

CHANGE

Page 45 C.I.P. Valdez airport 7.0 million
Should be municipal grant

Nunam Kitlutsisti \$200,000. study renewable and non-renewable resources fell through. Is not in Senate 15 million.

Bethel municipal grants

Lump sum

Bethel first avenue 210.0
Bethel graveling roads 150.0
Bethel road improvements 540.0

Should be Bethel road improvements and re-construction 900.0 M.G.

<u>YKHC</u>	<u>request</u>	<u>House</u>	<u>Senate</u>
Strep program	59,950	60.0	60.0
mental health	136,250	137.0	137.0
otitis media	107,500	108.0	108.0
dental program	10,000	10.0	10.0
ambulance (operating)	22,500	29.0	29.0
ambulance (purchase & transportation)	34,500	-0-	35.0
audio-visual health education project	40,000	-0-	40.0
TOTAL	410,700	344.0	349.0

Page 28 C.I.P. Totchaket Agricultural Project
Should be appropriated to the Office of the Governor
Special Projects Office to be administered in
conjunction with the Totchaket Development Corp.
for development of the Totchaket Agricultural Project

500.0

Page 3 Operating - Section 18 - amend to read:

The sum of \$251,000 is appropriated from the general
fund to be paid as grants as follows for additional
school support:

Municipal Grant - City of Nenana	101,000.
Yukon Flats R.E.A.A.	150,000.

Page 4 Operating:

Line 15 - change the amount to read \$240,000.

Line 21 - Change the amount to read 70,000.

**NET AFFECT OF THE ABOVE DOLLAR CHANGES \$ -0-

Handwritten signature
5/2/80

VERBATIM TRANSCRIPT
FREE CONFERENCE COMMITTEE ON H. B. 60

RE: CL-215

April 11, 1980, (FCC-80 on HB 60, Tape No. 1, Side 1 (605 - 620))

LEHR - This is the 3rd Table - list of supplemental capital items we have already submitted. This is an extract, if you will, of the two Governor's capital supplemental bills - HB 558 and SB 345. One thing I should draw your attention to is the very last item here. In the original Governor's bill, we had asked for approximately \$5 million to buy some Water Bombers, CL-215 Water Bombers. And evidently the department has talked with one of the House committees and at their suggestion, has modified this request to aircraft leasing for fire fighting, to \$2.1 million. So this would not purchase the airplanes, it would be leasing.

END OF DISCUSSION

VERBATIM TRANSCRIPT
FREE CONFERENCE COMMITTEE ON H. B. 60

RE: CL-215

April 11, 1980, (FCC-80 on HB 60, Tape No. 1, Side 2 (565 - 602) and
(650 - 655) and
(660 - 666)

(Committee establishing the base statewide list.)

MEEKINS - (TAPE FOOTAGE 565) Under Natural Resources, these all were in the Governor's Supplemental Request and the only one that... under Natural Resources that we didn't allow on the House side was the \$5 million for the Bombadair...what was it?..Water Bombers... we didn't allow that, but on the other ones we just took their figures and.... I suppose it is possible on these fire fighting costs, to not include the money for it, and if there was a fire, for there to be declared an emergency, take funds from other areas and then come back and supplementally fund like they did this year. What they are requesting is a pot of money so they can...you know...I think the Budget and Audit Committee dealt with that extensively and they got something else being requested to look into things of priority fire fighting. We didn't really address it on the House side, we just looked at it as something that should go in under Statewide.

HACKNEY - Mr. Chairman, I would personally hate to see that much money tied up in this Fire Suppression Fund, but on the other hand, I know what happened in the Delta Junction area. We had vendors in that area that waited unconscionable periods of time

to get their money because there was no money in the fund.

MEEKINS - Well, they used to be requesting...you know...the \$5 million figure is somewhat arbitrary of course, but...you might not have a million dollars worth of costs. It could be cut if the committee wished. It could be cut to \$2 million or \$3 million. You'd be taking your chances as to whether it was enough.

KERTTULA - Mr. Chairman, The Fire Suppression thing is the first thing I had in any budget - and this was a long time ago. The reason that we delayed was because the federal government always billed us late and we usually required more State lands. My problem with any of this Statewide fire fighting is, I'm not absolutely certain we're always fighting fires we should be. If we give them a big chunk of money here, they'll probably be fighting other fires they shouldn't be. There is an awful lot of land - it would be better off, once it starts, to burn and then the browse is available very shortly for the game animals and so on. They get to fighting in these Jack Spruce areas and so on, and its purely ridiculous, and it just wastes tremendous amounts of money. And you can't convince bureaucracy to let some of these things burn, don't burn some of the others. And, as I understand it, there is a pretty good story at Big Delta for the stupidity of burning it. They should have run a big cut around it with cats and let it burn out, because that's exactly what you're going to do with it in a few weeks and months anyway. And, I'd hate to give them \$5 million and have another \$5 million coming up when they got a little bit nervous asking for a huge supplemental.

MEEKINS - Senator, we could give them \$2 million and then put \$3 million in for the Anchorage Aviation Project.

KERTTULA - That's true, with a little percentage for some of the regional areas later. (laughter)

(TAPE FOOTAGE 602)

END OF DISCUSSION

(TAPE FOOTAGE 650)

(committee continued establishing the Statewide list)

MEEKINS - What I'd like to do is agree upon those things we can agree on, put in...and then...hopefully there won't be any that we want to set aside, but maybe we can set some aside. Well, you might want to set aside that Fire Suppression Fund. But if we can agree upon the others for Statewide, then we can get to the heart of the matter, which is the individual requests.

(TAPE FOOTAGE 655)

END OF DISCUSSION

(TAPE FOOTAGE 660)

MEEKINS - Would you like to take this...set aside this Fire Suppression Fund and look into it in more detail before we agree to that high a figure?

(unidentified inaudible responses from members)

KERTTULA - Yes sir, that and perhaps the consideration of that aviation facility. And there's apt to be something else.

MEEKINS - Lets set aside that \$5 million for now and get some back-up on that. Can you...can the staff or Ron, or whoever's got it, get us copies...Ron, can you get us copies for tomorrow that we can have at each members' desk?

LEHR - Right.

(TAPE FOOTAGE 666)

END OF DISCUSSION

VERBATIM TRANSCRIPT

FREE CONFERENCE COMMITTEE ON H. B. 60

RE: CL-215

April 12, 1980, (FCC-80 on HB 60), Tape No. 2, Side 1 (498 - 587)

HOHMAN - Representative Meekins?

MEEKINS - O.K., this document only represents the \$3.6 million?

Do you have anything on the \$5 million and the \$854 million?

LEHR - Mr. Chairman? I didn't bring the one on the \$854, I thought you wanted just the other one on the Fire Suppression Fund, and on the back of that packet is also the five...basically the Capital Budget submission on the \$5 million for the Fire Suppression Fund - they explained why they felt they needed that much money.

MEEKINS - O.K.

(informal discussion on the \$854, and requests for xeroxing - most discussion muffled)

MEEKINS - Mr. Chairman, I don't propose that we go through that information in full committee, but now that we have it, we can independently check it and see if we want to keep that figure... the House will try to make up its mind on that between now and the next meeting.

(further muffled informal discussion)

HOHMAN - Are we ready to attack (...inaudible...)

MEEKINS - Mr. Chairman, I might point out that we have the Wally Miller memo here on that same set of numbers.

(further muffled informal discussion)

HOHMAN - Mr. Hogan, what does the Miller document tell us with regard to these Natural Resource....

HOGAN - The costs are....

MEEKINS - Says the reason that they ought to have a plan.

HOGAN - Right. And, too, what came out of the question for the Fire Suppression Fund, is that its purely an arbitrary figure - it could range anywhere from \$0 to \$5 million, as was requested, in abeyance of fires that may or may not occur this season. When the fire fighting costs are due...the warehousing is probably not too controversial. And the purchase of the equipment...my understanding now is that the department has suggested now leasing the equipment rather than buying it. Traditionally, Mr. Chairman, in the past...(inaudible...) the appropriations for funds, fire fighting costs via the supplemental route rather than a large fund in advance of the problem.

MEEKINS - I think we can go on to one of the other ones here....

END OF DISCUSSION

7/2/81

VERBATIM TRANSCRIPT
FREE CONFERENCE COMMITTEE ON H. B. 60

RE: CL-215

April 26, 1980 (FCC-80 on HB 60, Tape No. 10, Side 1 (472-476))

GROGAN - Mr. Chairman, this other matter of the water bombers.

MEEKINS - O.K., we've got one other thing to take care of. Do you have a handout?

(Grogan passed out papers - muffled conversation)

HOHMAN - I thought we weren't going to do it.

VERBATIM TRANSCRIPT
FREE CONFERENCE COMMITTEE ON H. B. 60

Re: CL-215

April 26, 1980 (FCC-80 on HB 60 Tape No. 10, Side 1 (514-724))

KERTTULA - This is a lease purchase approach?

MEEKINS - Bob, do you want to go through the history of this and how you got to this position here?

GROGAN - Okay, Mr. Chairman, the original request that came into the capital budget was for two aircraft. The initial request was to outright purchase two of these aircraft, and it was felt by some of the members of the legislature that the aircraft was an efficient tool for fire fighting, but that the state shouldn't find itself in the business of running an air force. So as a compromise measure, these two suggested sections were worked up which (a) as efficiently as possible buy the air craft and then (b) proposes to contract with an operator so that the state doesn't find itself in the position of actually flying the aircraft.

HACKNEY - Mr. Chairman, if we're going to ultimately acquire these, we're going to end up flying them.

GROGAN - Well, under this proposal we would be . . . the first section sets out \$705,000 which is essentially a downpayment for the two aircraft--15% down on the total price which is \$4.7 million. Then, \$100,000 is an estimate of what it would take to fund the contract to fly the aircraft. In other words, some private company would actually be doing the flying, the state would just simply contract on a . . . there would be a fixed minimum fee probably, and then a

per hour additional charge. But, that \$100,000 is an estimate of what it will take to do it.

HACKNEY - The state would own the aircraft?

GROGAN - We would own it but not fly it--lease it back to someone else.

KERTTULA - Is that a good idea?

MEEKINS - We had two possibilities confronting us. One is for the state to get into the air force business, which a lot of people were very much opposed to for their own philosophical reasons. So in the House for instance, in the House Resource Committee (inaudible) DNR changed their idea and go to a lease basis. But the lease basis they worked up which was a straight . . . not even . . . just a contract basis, the basis they worked up would have cost . . .

GROGAN - It was a million dollars per aircraft.

MEEKINS - Per year. So that would be \$2 million dollars a year-- within three years we would pay out in those contract costs as much as the airplanes cost and not get them. So that was kind of a rip too. So this is kind of a compromise which doesn't get the state into the actual airline business. But we do purchase those things at a fairly decent rate. The new ones coming out would be tremendously more expensive than these ones. And I think, did you mention the part about California?

GROGAN - No, I'll just cover the whole thing. The price on these two aircraft that are already in existence, one would be available at \$2.2 million, the other at \$2.5 million. The new aircraft coming off production lines will be in excess of \$4 million. So it's sort of an opportunity for the state to buy these now. The second thing

is that the State of California is interested in leasing these aircraft and would be in position to lease them from the state during our winter which is their fire season in Southern California. And it's estimated that it would bring in somewhere between \$300,000 and \$400,000 a year for that lease of the two aircraft down in California.

MEEKINS - Because the fire seasons are (inaudible).

MONTGOMERY - Mr. Chairman, if California is interested in us buying them and then leasing them, I wonder why they didn't buy them and then we lease them from them in the off season.

GROGAN - That is not necessarily the case. There is a bill, I understand, in the California Senate to purchase five of them right now.

MEEKINS - If we get these before they do, they'll have to lease them back from us. They're going through the same motions in their legislature. We might end up leasing them from them, I guess.

KERTTULA - These are the water-scoopers, and are they the only ones that are being made?

GROGAN - They are the only aircraft that are totally designed to do this. I've got quite a bit of backup from DNR on the proposal and they've been compared with the PBY conversion-type aircraft that also can do this, and other means of doing it and it appears to be the most efficient way to do it.

MEEKINS - What they claim is that if you had these ready and waiting for a fire, you could control the fire or put the fire out before it gets so large in a remote area where there are no roads, before

it gets so large that you have to spend millions of dollars fire fighting.

GROGAN - They would work with the convention forces that they have now. They have the men and equipment and rest of the fire crew would go out--they would just be an enhancement. They were demonstrated in the state last year during the Delta fire and they were able to do quite a bit of testing on it to try to evaluate its effectiveness.

MONTGOMERY - Mr. Chairman, who is the current owner?

MEEKINS - Canada

GROGAN - Canada Air.

MONTGOMERY - The company itself?

GROGAN - Right, Canada Air.

HOHMAN - These are new aircraft?

GROGAN - Right.

MONTGOMERY - They're not new.

GROGAN - Well they have some hours on them but they're only owned by the original company.

KERTTULA - They're essentially new. They were used by us a few hundred hours--one of them was.

MEEKINS - Where are they located?

GROGAN - I'm not sure where they are.

MONTGOMERY - Deriving the \$2 million figure that was quoted on a full lease basis, what time element, or how many days did they use to arrive at that price? In other words, if you did a straight lease for the commodity--the whole thing at the cost of \$2 million

a year (a million per plane), I wonder how many days does that give you?

GROGAN - Well, that would be just for the fire season, and I don't know how many days in the fire season, but that's an important consideration because it isn't actually a million dollars a year, it's actually a million dollars per some 90 days or something like that per airplane. And a lot of that would be a guaranteed figure too. That's an estimate of so many hours.

HOHMAN - Is there a firm that we would contract with for the operation?

GROGAN - There are apparently a number of people who would be interested in doing this, but to my knowledge no one is on line.

HOHMAN - Who is advocating this do you know?

GROGAN - Right, this is a proposal that Representative Meekins had me work on with Ted Smith in an effort to establish a compromise between outright purchase and not doing it at all and just leasing (inaudible).

MONTGOMERY - That \$100,000 a year include not only--provide the pilot and also the maintenance? They would maintain the airplane also?

GROGAN - Right, that's my understanding.

MEEKINS - That was a key part of it too because the people that are concerned about the state getting the planes are going back to some years ago, I guess, when we kept coming in with bills for maintenance and all that kind of stuff.

GROGAN - Going further with regard to Section 1, \$705,000 is the down-payment which represents 15% of the total \$4.7. The financing is

available from the Canadian Government. Some type of a loan that Canada Air can secure for the State of Alaska. At any rate, it is at 10-1/2% interest and a seven year term.

KERTTULA - What's the interest?

GROGAN - 10-1/2.

MONTGOMERY - Is that Canadian money at this price. You know the difference is about 18%.

GROGAN - I'm assuming . . .

MEEKINS - Senator Hohman

HOHMAN - I just presume it's American dollars. A hundred thousand dollars is supposed to pay for the operational costs? And that is maintenance? What kind of a crew-demand does this aircraft have?

GROGAN - I think two people are required.

HOHMAN - Is this just for the use of this aircraft within the state exclusive of the operational costs outside the state?

GROGAN - It doesn't include anything but what they estimate to spend on the fire season in Alaska. For instance, if California were to contract for them or something like that, then the operating expenses would probably be backed out of their contract. But that's not figured in here at all. This California thing is not a part of that legislation.

HOHMAN - Then we would have two different crews operating the aircraft.

GROGAN - Possibly.

HOHMAN - That's not good.

GROGAN - Well, I think anything like that could be addressed with this language that I have here in Section 2 which sets out bidding

procedures and that type of thing. It would be pretty flexible.

KERTTULA - Mr. Chairman, I have never been afraid of having the state operate equipment if you have good people and you pay them right and have a good organization, it will work as well as any governmental entity, but this hybrid here now, right at this moment, a \$100,000 I'm very doubtful is going to operate and maintain two aircraft or even run the operation of two aircraft this summer. I think the figure is in error. I think that people who composed it don't know a hell of a lot about this subject, you know, and I'm just the world's oldest student pilot. I've flown around for twenty years, I guess, since 1960, and at least I've flown beside the people who fly the plane in the big aircraft (inaudible) sized aircraft. And I bet my neck that you would want . . . you better get somebody that knows something about aircraft operation and so on to get that figure for you among other things.

GROGAN - Mr. Chairman, in response to that, this is like a small figure. It would not include any large-scale fire like the Delta fire for instance. Funding from that would be anticipated to come out of the Disaster Relief Fund or elsewhere, but it isn't for . . .

KERTTULA - Just to have two pilots sit on the ground, and perhaps a mechanic, for the two ships and that would be a minimum if everything is perfect. That wouldn't pay them just to sit there.

GROGAN - You could be correct I have no idea.

KERTTULA - See that would be two complete crews. It would be doubtful they wouldn't fly some.

MONTGOMERY - That's why I brought up the subject of maintenance and

whether maintenance would be included in this. And I just, it's awful cheap to me.

KERTTULA - You have flying mechanics and engineering mechanics but usually when you get above the "goose" size, pilots don't do too much of that unless they're Bobby Shultons or something. It's more dough than you're talking about for the maintenance and operation.

MEEKINS - John

JOHN CRANDAHN - I think the \$100,000 would just cover the cost of the contract with whoever is going to eventually be the operator for the time when it's not in use at all, but just, you know, basically the storage fee.

KERTTULA - What you're saying then you pay \$100,000 like with a lawyer to keep him from suing you, you put him under your wing and give him a contingency on a monthly basis just to be around when you need him.

MONTGOMERY - He's available.

MEEKINS - Well, consider the alternative. We've got to pay it whether you pay it to the contractor or whether you pay it straight out, I mean you've got to pay it. The same figures are there. Assuming that the contract is done well, you're not going to lose that much money in the trade.

KERTTULA - Yes, representative, Mr. Chairman, I think that we can do this; that isn't my problem. I just worry about the whole thing when I see this figure for here. I think, well, you know, it's kind of like if that's in error what else is in error sort of thing.

MEEKINS - It's a problem. The problem with this whole fire thing in my view is you don't know whether it's going to cost you 10 million

dollars or 10 thousand dollars during that fire season. We don't know whether it's going to be wet or dry or what kind (inaudible) light fires and whether lightening is going to strike, you know. That's the kind of problem you've got. Last year was a real bad year; we spent 4 million. And if we're going to have 5 million dollars in a fire suppression fund, according to the procedure we've got using the rainy day account--just commit 5 million dollars to the rainy day account which can be used both for the rainy day purposes and for the fire suppression fund purposes. So they've got a full 5 million there which is pretty innovative, I think, it gives double use of that money. If they do need it they can dip into it. So, just instantly they can take it for that purpose. So, I think they would have the money if they need it if there was a full-scale problem and they wanted to pay the crews. They could get another 100 thousand or 200 thousand or whatever they needed to keep these things running. But I wouldn't want to appropriate any more than we have to in this bill because we've got that 5 million sitting there.

GROGAN - Mr. Chairman, I would think that the 100 thousand would initiate the contract. And as you say with the allocated amount in rainy day fund available, it could actually be written into the contract and probably work.

MEEKINS - The first proposal cost us 5 or 6 million dollars. That was the first proposal.

GROGAN - Right

MEEKINS - Now we the 700 thousand figure in operating which accomplishes the same thing. So in terms of the money it's costing

us this year, it's a fairly good trade, I think. That's what we're trying to get to--the lower figure--and, you know, out of the capital budget and into the operating, and the lower figure. Representative.

MONTGOMERY - Mr. Chairman, the people that provided this, are they experienced people. Have you talked to . . . Alaskans who fly and know aircraft. He mentioned (inaudible) or somebody else who understands. In other words, I'm not questioning you or your report, but how . . . I just don't feel comfortable with what I see in front of me because of the uncertainty. I don't know what I'm buying.

HOHMAN - Mr. Chairman, I agree, I have some apprehensions too, and I think this might be appropriately held for a little bit here.

MEEKINS - For some more information?

HOHMAN - Yea, so we can get to feeling comfortable with it, it's . . .

MEEKINS - Ron?

RON LEHR - Mr. Chairman, just one other thing. I would think that if you're going to go with a mechanism like this, you would want to put a lapse date of 1980 on there. Because you're talking about this summer's fire season.

MEEKINS - Yea, it would be 80-81. We'll hold this proposal in suspended animation.

DUNCAN - Kind of up in the air?

MEEKINS - (Laughter) It's in a holding pattern. (Laughter)

END OF DISCUSSION

transcribed
4/28/81

VERBATIM TRANSCRIPT
FREE CONFERENCE COMMITTEE ON H. B. 60

RE: CL-215

April 27, 1981, (FCC-80 on HB 60, Tape No. 13, Side 1 (069-075))

MEEKINS - What did we ever do with this...these air bombers?

HOHMAN - I think we're holding those.

MEEKINS - We didn't come back to it though.

KERTULLA - They're known as "flags". It's a flagstop.

JOHN CRANDAHL - We simply held those...took the testimony and held those to when we're going through the additions.

Transcribed
9/25/01

VERBATIM TRANSCRIPT
FREE CONFERENCE COMMITTEE ON H. B. 60

RE: CL-215

April 27, 1981, (FCC-80 on HB 60, Tape No. 13, Side 1 (179-190))

MEEKINS - Before you move on to another category, I've got one more in Natural Resources. This \$805,000 for the purchase of the Canadair CL-215 fire suppression aircraft and the \$100,000 to operate them.

KERTULLA - Is it \$705,000 plus \$100,000, or \$805,000 plus \$100,000?

MEEKINS - It's \$705,000 plus \$100,000. Two sections.

HOHMAN - Move and ask unanimous consent for the adoption of those sections. (Silence) Hearing no objections, so ordered. They are adopted.

VERBATIM TRANSCRIPT

FREE CONFERENCE COMMITTEE ON H. B. 60

RE: CL-215

April 26, 1980 (FCC-80 on HB 60, Tape No. 19, Side 2 (210 - 503) and
5/8/80 DK Side 2 (549 - 579)

MEEKINS - Mr. Chairman?

HOHMAN - Representative Meekins.

MEEKINS - I have, uh, two more motions that I know of, but I keep getting last minutes ones.

HOHMAN - Why don't you give us one, and we'll compromise and forget about the other one. (laughter)

DUNCAN - Which one do you want first?

HOHMAN - Well, you'd probably give us the wrong one. (laughter)

Do You have copies of this?

MEEKINS - No. I would like to move legislative intent that the money appropriated to Legislative Council not be expended without approval of the majority of the Council.

HOHMAN - Uh huh. That would fix them. And your other motion? (laughter)

MEEKINS - Could we have an at ease?

HOHMAN - We stand at ease.

HOHMAN - Call the meeting back to order. Representative Meekins?

MEEKINS - There is an appropriation here for, uh....

HOHMAN - We have a motion before us.

MEEKINS - Oh, we do. Alright.

HOHMAN - Question has been called for. Unanimous consent has been asked. Is there an objection? Do we object? Are we ready for the

question? All in favor signify by raising your right hand. All opposed, same sign. So, the motion fails.

MEEKINS - Mr. Chairman?

HOHMAN - Representative Meekins?

MEEKINS - I have a motion to delete a total of \$805,000. The last committee action was to include \$705,000 - this was a tentative approval action - for the purchase of CL-215 airplanes - that would be a down payment - and \$100,000 for contracting out the operation and maintenance. And I would move to delete both the appropriations and all the attendant language from the record. And, the thinking on that is...there will be, under an agreement that we have made, \$5,000,000 in the "rainy day" account for fire suppression, which will be essentially at the department's disposal for whatever purposes they would deem appropriate. And I would assume that they wouldn't use that to purchase, but they could. But I would assume that they probably would just use it as they needed it. But there is still \$5,000,000 there for them. There is an additional \$5,000,000 that the Governor can tap into for them if they need it. So, I don't think that this fire suppression money is, uh, is that needed. And the appropriation has been very controversial on both sides, and uh, I think its the judgment of the entire House side, and we have had a chance to talk about it, that the best thing to do would be to delete this entire appropriation. It would, uh, it would bring us, uh, if we wished it, there would be \$805,000 that used to be in Statewide that could be divided between the two in terms of additional....

HOHMAN - Could we reference that in, uh, that appropriation in the budget book?

GROGAN - Mr. Chairman, the operating language is on page 20, and (...inaudible...) is in section 152.

MEEKINS - Mr. Chairman, you'll notice in section 152 that the language reads currently: "The sum of \$805,000 is appropriated from the General Fund to the Department of Natural Resources, Division of Forest, Land and Water Management, to provide fire suppression." Originally, the intent to bring up that language before the committee for approval and, uh, the assumption was made at the time, it was the assumption of the committee that it might be approved. And, therefore, to expedite, uh...at the time we thought (...inaudible...) changes...therefore, to expedite the printing of the bill its been included here. But after this language on page 20, technically, has not passed the committee. The last motion the committee made - what I've been calling the "hybrid proposal", one put together by Bob Grogan and the Department, which was for purchase of the CL-215's and the contracting out of the operation and maintenance, and that's what I was talking about. This one would be, uh, this one would be, uh, the language change appears here but has not been accepted by the committee yet.

HOHMAN - Are there other instances of this nature?

MEEKINS - Uh, I don't follow you.

HOHMAN - Is there language in this that, this document, which is labeled FREE CONFERENCE COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 60?

MEEKINS - Not to my knowledge, Mr. Chairman.

HOHMAN - Mr. Grogan, do you agree with, uh...do you agree that this language listed in section 152 has not been approved by committee action?

GROGAN - Well, Mr. Chairman, its debatable. Its, uh, the, uh, we understood the motion that there would be...technical changes to the language would be permitted. And this is a sub...a change, but it did not effect the certainty of the appropriation or the amount. So....

HOHMAN - I think it makes a difference, you know, as to how we perceive here - whether we are seeking to change this language, or whether we are seeking to adopt new language with regard to this appropriation. Whether we are seeking to adopt the language initially. Is that clear?

MEEKINS - Mr. Chairman?

HOHMAN - Do you understand what I was trying to say?

GROGAN - Uh, no sir, I don't.

HOHMAN - Its the price and the direction. Are we really void here except for the amount and the recipient of the appropriation? Or have we adopted language at some point - you indicated earlier that it was debatable whether we adopted this very language.

GROGAN - This is the first time the committee has ever seen this language - the entire committee.

HOHMAN - Do you think it reflects committee concensus at an earlier time in discussion, formerly?

GROGAN - Again, this language has never been before the committee here, that's in the bill now.

HOHMAN - Well, how can we print a bill with that...I mean, it must

have been on the basis of something. This language must spring from something.

MEEKINS - Mr. Chairman, this appropriation was getting to be quite controversial in terms of how to handle it. There was strong opposition on both sides of the question. And, at one point, it occurred to me that I might have a good compromise. But since then that compromise has been blown to pieces just like the other two proposals have been blown to pieces, and under that, there was a motion made - since we were thinking at the time that we were going to have to make several hundred language changes to comply with the Attorney General - we made a motion that said that we adopt this budget as it is with technical language changes to be allowed. And, it was right after that that I attempted to work with Mr. Grogan to come up with some sort of compromise, and with Rick Halford and some other people. And I believe this language here originally came from Rick Halford. And, uh....

HOHMAN - Well, I don't have any problem with the language. I think that, uh...to explain where I'm coming from. I don't have any problem with the language. I think what this does is provide the administrators of the program some discretion in how they approach the problems in fire suppression. Uh...and...its clearly stated... I think maybe what I'd like to have is some further discussion on this subject involving the people from the department...from the Administration. Representative Montgomery?

MONTGOMERY - Mr. Chairman, I would be strongly opposed to the State purchasing planes. And, if this language as written, pre-