FOLDER NO.

180/

204.2

THE LIBRARY OF CONGRESS Washington 25, D. C.

Legislative Reference Service

MISCELLANEOUS INFORMATION CONCERNING THE ADMISSION OF CERTAIN STATES

A report prepared for the use of the Honorable Russell Long, Senator from Louisiana

William R. Tansill

Analyst in American National Government

January 8, 1956

Why did Iowa lack senatorial representation for nearly two full years after its admission to statehood?

Answer:

The day after Iowa was signed into the Union by President Polk on December 28, 1846, Representatives Hastings and Leffler were sworn in as members of the House. Iowa did not have Senators on hand to do their duty by the State and the Union, because the First General Assembly failed to elect them; the Assembly was deadlocked. United States Senators were selected by a joint meeting which on paper gave the Democrats a majority of thirty-one [Democrats] to twenty-seven Whigs, but three nominal Democrats had been elected on an independent ticket and these three Independents refused to vote with their party. Thirty votes were required to elect. I No manner of persuasion sufficed to break the deadlock and it was not until December 7, 1848, that the Second General Assembly named George W. Jones and Augustus C. Dodge, two stalwart Igwa Democrats,...as the United States Senators from Iowa.

2. What were the circumstances attending the election of Minnesota's congressional delegation before the Territory was admitted to statehood?

Answer:

The Enabling Act for Minnesota (authorizing the people of the Territory to frame a constitution preparatory to Minnesota's admission as a State) was approved February 26, 1857.2/ On June 1, 1857, delegates to a constitutional convention were elected; and on July 13, 1857, the convention opened.

Almost immediately, the Republicans and Democrats

^{1/} Harlan, Edgar R. A narrative history of the people of Iowa. Chicago, The American Historical Society, 1931. v. 1,

Petersen, William J. The story of Iowa: the progress of an American State. New York, Lewis Historical Publishing Co. 1952. p. 348-349. Co. /19527. 11 Stat. 166.

found it impossible to work in concert, as a result, the delegates divided themselves into two rival conventions. The Democratic delegates regarded their Republican counterparts as impractical idealists, at best, and suspected that many of them were dangerous readicals. They were disturbed especially over Republican proposals to provide for popular referenda and to extend the right of suffrage to negroes.

For weeks the two groups labored apart (even though housed in the same capitol building), each attempting to fashion a constitution of its own; intercourse between the two wings was strictly unofficial. Eventually the delegates in each convention realized the absurdity of the situation, and a compromise was reached whereby a conference committee consisting of five Republican and five Democratic delegates was formed to harmonize the proposals of each convention. Finally, on August 28, 1857, each convention adopted the committee's synthesis of the proposals advanced by the rival groups.

The fight, however, was not quite over. The president of the Democratic wing refused to sign any document containing the signature of the president of the Republican convention. Thus two copies of the constitution had to be written and signed, one by members of the Democratic convention and the other by the Republican group. As a consequence of the labor of copying being divided among a number of draftsmen, some of whom had little knowledge of spelling or punctuation, more than 300 minor differences between the two documents were later revealed. In substantive matters,

however, the two copies were the same.

Both original documents are still of equal validity; the courts have failed to specify which of them shall be referred to as the constitution; neither have they raised any question as to the existence of an original State constitution. The courts, perhaps, have been mindful that the people in ratifying the constitution thought that they were voting on just one document; and that Congress admitted Minnesota to statehood on the assumption that the two versions of the constitution were identical in meaning.

As provided for in the constitution (either copy), an election for three Representatibes was held on October 13, 1857, with William W. Phelps, James M. Cavanaugh, and George L. Becker emerging as the winning candidates. Only two, however, were permitted eventually to take their seats: Congress was to adjudge Minnesota's population as insufficient to entitle the new State to more than two Representatives. As a matter of fact, Congress was probably over generous in not restricting the representation to one Member. Senator Stephen A. Douglas, indeed, favored the seating of all three Representatives—elect, despite the fact that the state-hood bill then before Congress stipulated that Minnesota be allotted only one representative until after the completion of a census of Minnesota's population; the number of Representatives would then be determined in accordance with the census findings. The issue was

Anderson, William. A history of the constitution of Minnesota.

Minneapolis, University of Minnesota, March 1921. Studies in the Social Sciences, No. 15. p. 87-110.

finally compromised in Congress by allowing Minnesota two Representatives until the next reapportionment. Inasmuch as the average congressional district in 1857 contained about 95,000 inhabitants, and it was generally acknowledged that Minnesota's population was not in excess of 150,000, it is obvious that in all equity Minnesota was entitled to only one Representative. 5/

Meanwhile, on December 19, 1857, the "State" legislature, even though Minnesota had not yet been admitted to statehood, elected two United States Senators: Henry M. Rice and James Shields.6/

After it was determined that Minnesota's representation in the House was to be limited to two Members, the three Representatives-elect drew lots as to which of them would be eliminated. Mr. George L. Becker got the short end. The other two gentlemen, however, were still not assured of their seats. Back in January 1858 the question of Minnesota's admission to statehood had been submitted to the two congressional Committees on Territories. Senator Douglas, chairman of the Senate committee, recommended that the admission bill be acted upon without delay in justice to the Senators-elect who, along with the Representatives-elect, had been waiting in the lobbies and anterooms of the national Capitol since the opening of the session for permission to take their seats.

Hubbard, Lucius F. and Return I. Holcombe, Minnesota in three centuries. Mankato, Minn. 7, The Publishing Society of Minesota, 1908. Semicentennial ed. v. 3, p. 55-59, 67-69.

Christianson, Theodore. Minnesota, the land of shy-tinted waters.

Chicago, The American Historical Society, Inc., 1935. v. 1,
p. 293, 300.

^{8/} Anderson. William. On. cit., p. 136; Hubbard, Lucius. Op. cit., p. 67; Folwell, William Watts. A history of Minnesota. St. Faul, The Minnesota Historical Society, 1924. v. 2, p. 12.

Immediate action, however, was not forthcoming. Proslavery Senators wanted Kansas to come in as a slave State before Minnesota, which was predominantly antislavery, would even be considered for admission. Senator Albert G. Brown, of Mississippi, asked: "'Do Republican Senators hope to have two more Senators on this floor to aid them in the exclusion of Kansas? If you admit Minnesota and exclude Kansas . . . the spirit of our revolutionary fathers is utterly extinct if the Government can last for one short twelvemonth.'"2/

Supplementary points in opposition were presented by the Democrats in general, who constituted the majority party. The Enabling Act had provided for only one Minnesota Representative in the national House, whereas in the election called under authority of the proposed constitution, three Representatives had been chosen. Any election of Representatives or other Federal officials, moreover, was invalid, since Minnesota, in their view, could hold no legitimate election until after her admission. Two other objections were that aliens had been permitted to vote in the general election, and that civilized Indians had also been accorded the right of suffrage.

comprising the minority party, the Republicans also objected to immediate statehood, ostensibly on the ground that the proceedings of the convention had been irregular and therefore the constitution was void. The real reason was that they hoped a new election under a new constitution would return a Republican delegation to Washington. Minnesota, though antislavery, was largely Democratic in its political allegiance.

^{9/} Folgell, William Watts. On. cit., p. 11.

Despite their own misgivings, the Democrats decided to vote for admission—they wanted additional votes in both Houses. Accordingly, the statehood bill passed the Senate on April 7, 1858; it was approved by the House on May 11, and signed by the President that same day. 10/

The next day, May 12, 1858, Senators Rice and Shields were sworn into office, over the objections of certain Southern Senators.

Among the latter was Albert G. Brown of Mississippi, who said:

I object to the swearing in of new Senators from Minnesota . . . upon the ground that, under the written Constitution of the United States, States may elect Senators; and in that instrument there is nothing which authorizes a Territory to exercise that high perogative of sovereigny. Until within the last hour, Minnesota was a Territory, and not a State. Congress, at its last session, authorized Minnesota to form a State constitution; but that did not constitute her a State . . .

I have no expectation that these gentlemen will be excluded; and, since others have been admitted on the same terms, I cannot say that I am particularly anxious that they shall be excluded. 11/

Senator William H. Seward, of New York, replied, in part, as follows:

Mr. President, I think the objection which is taken by the honorable Senator from Mississippi is metaphysical rather than practical . . . Now the question is, how to arrive at a practical conclusion, consistent with justice, consistent with the rights and interests of the people of the States, and with the dignity and rights of the Union. Minnesota, whatever she may have been yesterday, is a State to-day, and is entitled to representation in the Senate of the United States. Two gentlemen present themselves here, and claim to be Senators from that State. . . Is there any reasonable objection on the part of the Senate of the United States to receive Senators from Minnesota? Certainly not, for the Senate is desirous

Hamissian of Certain

^{10/} Ibid., p. 9-17.

^{11/} Congressional globe, 35th Congress, 1st session, vol. 27, part 3. May 12, 1858, p. 2070. Washington, John C. Rives, 1858.

that every State shall be represented immediately on its title being established. 12

The Representatives-elect had to wait a little longer. It was not until May 20, 1858, that the House Committee on Elections, to which group the Minnesotans' demands for seating had been referred, submitted a favorable report. The sanction read, in part, as follows:

An objection is urged to the right of the claimants to their seats on the ground that their election was prior to the admission of the State into the Union. In the opinion of the committee, if it be admitted that there is no force in numerous precedents scattered through the journals of Congress, and extending back to the earliest times of the republic, sanctioning this course, it should be considered that Congress, by the enabling act authorizing the formation of a constitution and State government, thereby fully empowered the people of Minnesota to prepare themselves to assume, upon their admission, all the rights, powers and attributes of a sovereign State in the Union. One of these rights is that of being represented in Congress; and were elections held prior to admission for members of the House of Representatives held void, States must remain unrepresented after their admission, and until elections can be subsequently held, presenting the anamalous spectacle of States in the Union, without representation or voice in the national councils. The act of admission into the Union, upon being consummated, relates back and legalizes every act of the territorial authorities, exercised in persuance of the original authority conferred. As the election of members to this House looks directly to the end in view contemplated by the enabling act of Congress, the committee think it entirely within the scope of action conferred upon the people of the Territory, and should be represented by Congress. 13/

Two days later, on May 22, 1858, the two men from Minnesota were given their seats. 14

0

HOLMISSION.

^{12/} Ibid., p. 2076.

^{13/} U. S. Congress. House of Representatives. 35th Congress, 1st session. Minnesota election case. Report No. 408. Majority report /submitted by Thomas L. Harris/, Committee on Elections. p. 2.

^{14/} Congressional Globe, v. 27, pt. 3, p. 2315.

ERTA DIT ON DIT FIED OR NOT THE OF STATES ENTERING " UN ON AFTER THE ORIGINAL THIRTEEN

| | Treation I | Tale medical for the market to the soule | Deta resultient in noticethelian consisting interestor. of five princers | To be moned the dr. composition of the composition | into testino in equally or sort file; resting of F., | to state. |
|------------|------------|--|--|---|---|--------------------|
| | | | | | | |
| 1910/1910 | | Tet mind its to | for specialist | Not spaid 1946 | Not specified | Verse. A., 1952 |
| | | | The construction of the co | | ioi spenified | |
| 13/00/2016 | events. | W 12 (15) | Red Therefor, and pro- pendist for, in a red, | | Not specifie | ma 1, 79 |

[.] Totale, To wife Person, Dorn. The grant of Otale Compilitations, edited to rate; and other or, min loss of a wateres, or withouten, and unforded now on her elector or in the invited States of A world. Wester to, and Telm', For., and (St. Co. ., 200 bore, Nouse Don. No. 3 7), V., J. -7 2-, 777. + 3 July 17 - 5, 40 In , 171, 1217.

30 Billion 16, 5. 341.

| A(256) | or over seve | Detailsmitten | "Make election | Magnesian with (a) | Election of Cenations | Administration |
|-----------------|-----------------------|---------------|--|---|--------------------------|-------------------|
| =19 | November 15 Del | Not sandtled | Zmi Tuesday of | Not specified | For specialed | Farch 1, 1203 |
| o-Marity da - 1 | Nothern Ze, | Not mountain | "rollowing the day of the Presi- cent's Pres | hat specifici | lat specified | April 3., 1912 |
| 367 W | 100 | Not specified | ist Nordey in August, 2 | Let Houde; in August, 1726 | lot specified | December 11, 101 |
| janlies - i | i San ago San Z | Not specified | et londay, and any following, in Soptember, 1977 | lat Monder, and der following in Ceptonicar, 1 17 | lot resided | Wees her 10, 1.17 |
| To our EX | 8.8 | No. our inced | rd Thurrent and | | iot presidied | Docember 3, 131 |
| 9 • | Anjust 2, 1815 | Not enter ! | ord forder and spring and springered and cay in Deptember, | pro Consequent succession of the Series stay 3072 | ಾರರಿ ಕಾಗಲಗೊಳ್ಳಲ್ಲ | Leon er 1/, 1/19 |

116., v. in 2901, 2512, 2513. 116., v. i, p. 1391, 152. 1 124. 1. 2, p. 1072. 1 21. 1. 4, p. 10.7. 2043.

1 <u>Ibić., v. 2, 7. 872, 4.</u> 1 <u>1 10., v. 1, p. 8, 11, 11.</u>

| that: | h4jj6urmynt | intification | State election | Election of Le presentatives | Election of Senators | Admission |
|---------------|----------------|-------------------------------|---|--|----------------------|------------------|
| 10. Notice | Oct. 29, 1, 10 | Not specified | let londay in April, 1720 | Now specified | Not specified | Jkreh 15, 1820 |
| Mach = 1 | July 19, 1181 | Not specified | .a. honder in August, 120 | Ath Montay in August, 1 | ot specified | Au-ust 10, 1721 |
| A2 เสลียย s | Same 3, | Test Business tricu | lu inday in | Lat Tonoay in Augus', 1835 | Not specified | State 15, 183 |
| Leich un | Julie 2 | id successing dev in Octo- | lst Torder and succeeding deviation october, | let Honday and succeeding day in lateur, | hot specifies | January 2., 1737 |
| loric | **LUSTY 35, | 45 - Fare | Continued to the control of continued approach to be need on the let conday after the lapse of C dept following the Presidents's proclaustion of addisation | State election | Not specified | Mrc. 3, 3345 |

^{200 ., 25 7,} p. 6378, 127

I.1d., V. 1, P. 210, 210.

³³ Tid., ..., p. 1942; Dorr wrold i.., ed. The Machian Constitutional Conventions of 1835-1850, Ann Arbor, miversity of Michigan Press, 1940. p. 24.

^{12] &}quot;Lorgo, trancis levion. On cit., V. 2, p. 181, 182-2.

| 1 | | | -4- | | | · . |
|------------------|-------------|----------------|--|--|---|-------------------|
| Skata" | lo striment | Total les vien | State alectica | Election of Regresor (1) | Ricction of Senetans | åonission . |
| | am us. 27, | Control 184 | continuation, and local an | precticable of the spicetion, the land the spicetion of the control of the contro | nac erri es practicable" after i e or- aniration, re le inlaime was | Incomber 29, 1.m. |
| TO' E | 10: AS, 176 | on specialis | Not specified | Not enemiated | lot specified | December 22, 1024 |
| iruosain | romer, h | Ser l'ones in | 2nd Hondey in | 2nd Hondey in 1 | not specified | Eay 29, 1863 |
| le] mliiornie | Cetobar 13. | over or 13, | Foverger 13, | hovember 13, | Within 4 days after or anisa- tion of State le islature, which we to convene or the first time on backness I, le providing constitution was ratified. | Ceptember 9, 187 |

Congress - Admission of Certain States

Warrany of Congress - Admission of Certain States

| 1// | * | | | 7 | | |
|---------------|-----------------------|--|---|---------------------------------|---|--|
| Chata | adjourner: | Intification | State election | Election of Representative() | Election of Semitors | Adulacion |
| Sime acts | August 20, | And Tuesdo in Cotober (the 13th), 1887 | October 13; 1357 | October 13, | kot specified | Vey 21, 1258 |
| 2n] hre;em | Spycenier 13, 10.7 | 2nd Honday in hoveneer, | Sontinger to con ratification of constitution,, late Monkay in June, 1933 | Same sa for State election | Togislature was to convene the first lon- day in July, loss, Fand process to elect two Senators in Congress | Pelmary 14, 11:59 |
| ell nend | July 29, 1852 | lat Tuesday in October 18:9 | Contin ent upon ratification of constitution, lirst Tuesday in December, | Same as for State election | Not specified | anuar, 2. 1. 1 |
| 10. 20.1 | Iddes la s, | p. 1990, 2022. p. 3015, 3016. p. 1241, 1259. | | | | CONTRACTOR STATE OF THE STATE O |

July ary of Congress - Admission of Certain States

| desa | Z6, pursuent | Retification | Picta electi o n | Blootion of Boundaries | Election of Admission Services |
|--------------------|--------------|--|--|------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| last 2) Securió | Februar, 12, | Not specifies | ot section | Not apeclated | lot specified June 19, 1963 |
| | * * - * | the constitution itself, has an elaction ordinates spended by the constitutional constitutional constitutional constitutional | Toly 20, 1001, however, epoci- fled the ime- ery efter the first londey in Moreover, 1864 | Same on low | Of modified October 31, 1 |
| ofocial 24.5 | 7.66 9, 7.55 | Jun 2, 183 | Ome 2, 1966 | June 2, 1656 r | Not specified Persh 3, 1867 |

My Library of Congress-Admission of Certain States

| | | | | 47- | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|---|------------------|
| Brath | Ju journer t | Wetilicetion | State election | Election of Representative (r) | Election of Senators | Adpisation |
| වීම ිවැරැග්ට වීම වැරැග්ට | Erc | het specifies | ist Tuseter 1. October, 1276 | " at the first cleation ander this constitution list casesy in October, | Tol specified | Aujust 1, 1876 |
| Yorki 25 District | situet 17. | lm Inesdey in | | ome se for ratifi- cution | sencelly after or animing shall proceed to elect two senators of the latter. | |
| Pouth AV. Delois | August 2, 1889 | Or other 1 (Tues dur), leds | | James de for runini- relion | in continue and taking the order of the short and taking the order of the short and there proceed to the sheet for of the United Signal | Fovember 2, 13 |
| l'ontair | 103ust 17, | let Trans to detober, 1889. (Not in constitution proper, int dete the encified in separate ordinate and adopted to the encified in the encifie | Coroler, 1969 (in ordinance rather than in constitution.) | let Therear in Cot- olor, 100 (date accilia 1. ot; constitution and oreinance) | liet specified | Laver or 2, 1939 |

Wording of Congress - Admission of Certain States

Inic., V. I. p. 451, 406, 492, 511.

Toid: . . , n. 2091, 2092, 2094.

Toldial of the constitutional conversion of Lordin Dokota. Sigur Falls, C.D. Frown and Seenger,

21 Thomps, Francis Lewis . Op cit. Tork, p. 2310, 2359-2340.

Congress - Admission of Certain States

| "Etato | AT OUT LEAD! | Zelf formion | Surve election | Sisction o Topsessuration (s) | Blantion of Sanstone | Adriagion |
|-------------|-----------------------|--|--|----------------------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| West in you | Activat %, | let Tuesda' 5 Octobr, 148 | Same an lor rotilization | Date as or retiri- | Not modified | November 11, 200 |
| FGns 0 | 1849 | Tuends; nert after the last Makey in Povember, I | Not specified (an election rac to be called by the parritorial covernor (or the person) after latio's addisplant of a State) | Not precinica | Not esect dec | July , 1,890 |
| | bopter de 30, 32 d | M. 1 3 3 | ot enseified (an extingue of the error (or the ment quelified person) after sion as a Stelle) | ot apactial | Nov spani leč | July 10, 1890. |
| 102= | | The sday ment of the ten horizon in No on or, 195 | Mi/Leption | Space as for sotili- estion | hot specialed | enuary , 186 |

INC. 1. 9, p. 197, 1970, 1007.

^{. 7,} p. 111, AD .

Is Isbrary of Congress - Admission of Certain States

| 4-11 | Ar summer t | Add Lua 1700 | CLEVE election | Proceedings (c) | Mirenion of Emparers | Alidration |
|------|-------------|--|--|---|---|-------------------|
| | | the loss of a constitution of the constitution | tdizovizmi | Sage as am relition | ion specified. | io coinc 1 , 1507 |
| | 77/10 | | or speed ter; or nace it is - nituation or r - more of a Bop- ment, or if he menten on resident or or in o error. or nace delights in menten in in menten in in menten in in menten in critica o o proto - in the in a o o or in a in the in a or in a in the i | ic algorithm is in- ic movement in ito- ic movement is ino- constitute and in- inout the callist of a constal elec- ical. | brishington, We le inlumme We to modest to the dication of two U.S. | Tealmany , 1912 |

Tansill, Library of Congress-Admission of Certain States

| oure - | Adjournment | Hell Sention | dista Sloetian | Distriction of Distriction (a) | 6(1) 0: 0! | ad: Asston |
|--------|-------------|---|--|--------------------------------|---------------|-------------|
| | Promiser S. | in convoicut- ion; the cor- vection cole- nucli, co- ever, fines then retructy cole data for retification | list specified, interpretation of the teleption and the teleption and total the teleption and the teleption of the training of the training the teleption then the teleption then the teleption then the teleption of the teleption and teleption. | Deto in Ter Pietel Stration | Not appel 9mp | etchur; 1/2 |

ricon, transported to December 9, 1910, and standard to sussemble elong ed. Compiled a Com. P. Grania, State law la irlative afterence if review in colla oration with C.O. Gasa, Superditental of the Instruction, From I., Animola, and I. 192. a. a., 19 Stat. To Victor and Parlicons. The Constitution and overpment of Arisons. Phoenia, John-Liver Aristin and Fuelistin Co., by 2] a. 29.

Villiam R. Taneill Covernment Division December 20, 1955

Houselly Shrony of Congress - Admission of Certain States

ELECTION DATES SPECIFIED IN CERTAIN STATE CONSTITUTIONS

| State | Date constitut- ionsl convention adjourned | Date specified in constitution for its rati- fication or rejection | Date specified in constitution for initial election of state officers and legislature | Date specified in constitution for | in constitution for initial | Date of admission to statehood |
|------------|--|--|---|--|--|--------------------------------------|
| California | Oct. 13, 1949 | Nov. 13, 1849 | Nov. 13, 1849 | Nov. 13, 1849 | Within 4 days af- ter organization of State legisla- ture, which was to convene for the first time on Dec 15, 1849, provi- ding constitution was ratified. | Sept. 9, 1350 |
| illehigen | June 24, 1835 | First Ponday, and succeeding day, in October, 1835 | | First Fonday, and succeeding day, in October, 1835 | No date specified | Jan. 26, 1837 |
| Pennesnee | Pehrvery 6, 179 | Not submitted for ratification | Second Thursday, J and succeeding day in March, 1796 | No date specified | No date speci- fied | June 1, 1796 |
| Town 4] | Nay 18, 1846 | No date specified | No date specified | No date specified | lic date specified | Dec. 28, 134 |

^{.1]} Thorse, rancis Newton, comp. The Federal and State Constitutions, colonial charters, and other organic laws of the States, territories, and colonies new or heretofore forming the United States of America. Mushington, Sovi. Print. Off., 1909 (59th Cong., 2nd sess. House. Doc. No. 357), V.1, p. 391, 405-406.

²¹⁰ de. V. 4, p. 1942; Forr, Harold I., ed. The Michigan Constitutional conventions of 1835-36. Ann arbor, The University of Michigan Press, 1940. p. 26.

^{3]} Thorne, Francis Newton, Oo. cit. . 7. 6, p. 3415.

^{4] &}lt;u>Thid</u>, V. 2, 1123-1136.

| Mondel | A Q | nares - As | lmission of | Certain States |
|--------|-----|------------|---|-----------------------|
| | | | - No. 11 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 | did the second of the |

| State | Adjourment | netification | State election | Election of Representatives | Election of Senators | Admission |
|-----------|----------------|------------------------------------|--|---|--|------------------|
| irecon fl | Sept. 18, 1957 | Second Monday of November, 1857 | Contingent upon ratification of constitution, first Kenday in June, 1858 | Contin ent upon retification of constitution, first Monday in June, 1858 | Legislature was to convene the first Monday of July, 1850, "and proceed to elect two Senators in Congress" | Feb. 14, 1859 |
| ances 41 | July 29, 1879 | First Tuesday in October, 1259 | Contingent upon ratification of constitution, first Tuesday in December, los | Contingent upon ratification of constitution, first Tuesday in December, 1853 | No date mpeci- fied | Jen. 29, 186 |
| | | | | | | |

^{5]} Tid. W. 5, p. 3016, 3018.

William Je Tansill

^{6]} Ibid. V. 2, p. 1241, 1259.