

ALASKA LEGISLATURE COMMITTEE FILES 1991-1992 8672
7469 SENATE JUDICIARY

Finally, it was discussed if MICA should even propose legislation considering there was a) a question of our tax liability b) reluctance of Governor to sponsor legislation and c) whether MICA wanted to legislatively bind itself closer to the state. Board agreed to meet after Brock meets with IRS.

Director Roller also approved of us hiring a lobbyist to forward legislation.

- Executive Committee approves Brock to go to Washington, D.C.
- December 14 - Brock, Stastny, Phil Royalty and Ken Tracy from E & W in Washington, D.C. met with Tom Kelley and Kelley's boss, Maura Sullivan and another person Alvin Brown from the insurance section. Brock hopes to at least find out what kind of legislative changes would be needed to maintain tax exemption. IRS not sympathetic and will not give information on legislation. E & W expects we will have ruling by January 1989. We will provide additional information requested by IRS. E & W feels a favorable opinion from Alaska Attorney General regarding state "ownership" could be helpful.
- December 10 thru 16 - The legislative drafter is contacted by Holmes thru Senator Kelly's office to anticipate information from Brock's meeting in D.C. Drafter later informed to hold off on that legislation by Holmes as result of December 14th meeting with I.R.S.
- December 20 - Brock spoke to Jim Jordan about obtaining the AG's opinion. Jim will discuss with Paul Roller and get back to us.
- December 30 - Director Roller prepares request for AG's opinion on disposition of MICA's assets if MICA were to be dissolved.

1989

- January 11 - Letter from Stastny to IRS explaining how unique we are, unlike previous adverse ruling cases.
- February 8 - Jeffrey Bush, Deputy Commissioner of Commerce, forwards Director Roller's request for opinion to AG's office.
- February 10 - Board meeting in which Chairman Brock informs board we still have no ruling. Brock tells board that Pierce will be preparing a summary of issues and will forward.
- February 22 - Memo from Pierce to Board outlining all of our choices if tax ruling is favorable or unfavorable.
- February 28 - Stastny calls IRS to get word on ruling. He is informed by Kelley that although Kelley is favorable his boss isn't. This could mean arbitration within IRS. Holmes advises MICA to get tax attorney.
- March 7 - Bruce Gagnon and Sue Mason of Atkinson, Conway & Gagnon meet with Brock, Holmes, Crabtree, Koecher, Stastny and Pierce. Advise us to take an aggressive approach with IRS.
- March 8 - Engagement letter from Atkinson, Conway and Gagnon. Advise MICA that we should propose a resolution to have the legislature clear up question about MICA being an integral part of the state.
- March 8-22 - We talk to Roller and then Deputy Commissioner Jeff Bush and advise them we want to do resolution.
- We contact Cotten in House and Sturgulewski in Senate to see if they might sponsor resolution.
- March 17 - Executive Committee meets and is informed about need for tax attorney. They approve contract with Atkinson, Conway and Gagnon. They will ask Board to approve.

- March 20 - Brock, Pierce and Mason meet in Juneau with Jeffrey W. Bush, Deputy Commissioner of the Department of Commerce and Economic Development, and later with Cotten's aide, regarding proposed legislative resolution.
- March 22 - MICA sends "Background Summary" on tax issue to Cotten.
- House Joint Resolution 40, co-sponsored by Gruenberg and Cotten, is introduced.
- Brock sends a letter to Ron Clarke in Governor's office regarding discussion with Jeff Bush on resolution enclosing copy of proposed legislative resolution.
- March 26 - Gagnon, Phil Royalty & Ken Tracy (E & W, Washington, D.C.) have conference call with Tom Kelly at IRS and learn that a proposed adverse ruling is now being reviewed by Maura Sullivan. Kelly says that the ruling would be based on the Maryland Savings Share case.
- March 28 - Mason writes to AG's office and outlines factors supporting conclusion that MICA's assets would revert to the state upon dissolution.
- April 3 - Senate Joint Resolution 41, sponsored by Sturgulewski is introduced.
- April 13 - A. G. couldn't reach an opinion that was helpful to MICA so request for an opinion was abandoned.
- April 18 - Executive Committee has joint meeting with Underwriting. They are informed that resolution has been forwarded and we expect it to pass. In the meantime Gagnon and Mason advise us not to implement mid-year premium increase because we would be admitting liability.
- April 25 - Mason has telephone discussion with Deputy Commissioner Bush regarding distribution of Joint Legislative Resolution.

April 26 - Mason has telephone discussion with Shari Kochman, Assistant to Bob Evans in the Governor's Office, regarding Joint Legislative Resolution.

April 28 - Quarterly Board meeting and board advised we still have not heard from the IRS.

Board told that tax attorneys will be working with the Governor to draft an appropriate letter to our Congressional delegation. We are hopeful that the congressional delegation can assist us to consult with someone of a higher stature in the IRS. We aren't getting anywhere at level we are at.

Roller and Jordan attend the meeting but only for their report.

Board increases budget to cover legal and audit counsel expenses on our tax issue.

Board votes not to take rate increases for taxes.

The Board has a lengthy discussion that the tax issue has been more complicated than anticipated. Since this tax liability is shown on our financial statements it will continue to accumulate. The Board feels that we need a long term solution.

Chairman Brock points out that if we receive an adverse ruling we can litigate. However, that litigation would take time and be costly and our tax liability would continue to accumulate.

- Legislative Committee meets and is informed that the resolution should pass.

May 5 - Senate Joint Resolution 41 passes the House.

May 8 - Brock sends Ron Clarke a draft of a proposed letter from the Governor to the Congressional delegation enclosing copies of Senate Joint Resolution 41 and "Background Summary".

May 12 - Senate Joint Resolution 41 transmitted to Governor from Legislature.

- May 25 - Pierce talks to Clarke to confirm letters will be sent soon.
- June 2 - Governor Cowper sends letters to Young, Murkowski and Stevens, enclosing Senate JR 41 and requesting they assist MICA on tax issue.
- Cowper sends copy of letters to his special assistant, John Katz in Washington, D.C. office to ask him to assist.
- June 12 - Phil Royalty (E & W) discussed MICA with Tom Kelley of IRS and learned that a decision had been made to issue an adverse ruling; Maura Sullivan is no longer involved and Bill Coppersmith is Kelley's new supervisor, and MICA will have an opportunity to meet with him before the ruling is issued. Kelley says that the basis for the decision is that MICA is insuring private interests. Royalty requests copies of Joint Legislative Resolution and of Governor's letters to the Congressional delegation.
- June 13 - Bob Evans of the Governor's Office tells Mason he has discussed the situation with John Katz and that MICA should contact Katz.
- June 16-21 - The Governor signs Legislative Resolve #38. Copy of it and letters Governor sent to congressional delegation sent from Mason to Royalty. Ask Royalty to give to IRS.
- June 19 - Mason and Gagnon discuss situation by telephone with John Katz, who offers the assistance of Eric Ostrovsky in his office.
- June 21 - Special Board meeting. Holmes, Mason and Stastny in attendance to discuss tax issue with the Board. Stastny and Mason advise Board to withdraw revenue ruling request until we can meet with the IRS and advise them of the Legislative Resolve. Board gives them authority to pull request if they deem necessary.

- June 22 - Mason talks by telephone to Ostrovsky regarding possible meeting with IRS to include representatives from Katz's office and from Stevens' office and regarding possible federal legislation.
- June 27 - Chuck Konigsberg from Senator Stevens' office calls to offer us help to meet with IRS attorneys and with Office of Tax Policy in the Treasury Department.
- July 18 - Mason receives letter from Pierce advising that Konigsberg is setting up a meeting with the Department of the Treasury for the week of August 7.
- July 19 - Royalty sends copy of Legislative Resolve #38 to IRS. He tells them we will be meeting with the Department of the Treasury in August. He asks that if they determine before then that we should have an adverse ruling to advise us so we can pull the request.
- July 21 - MICA meets with Roller to advise him of our progress.
- July 26 - Konigsberg sends letter to following for 8-8-89 meeting with Department of the Treasury: Brock, Mason, Pierce, Royalty and partner Dave Bierenson from E & W, and Eric Ostrovsky from the Alaska State Office in Washington, D.C.
- August 8 - Meeting with Department of Treasury in Washington, D.C. Attendees: Brock, Pierce, Mason, Konigsberg, Royalty, with Kay Gouwans rather than Eric Ostrovsky from Governor's office. This group meets at Senator Stevens' office prior to the meeting at the Treasury Department, to be briefed on what kind of questions we might be asked.

Treasury meeting chaired by Dana Trier, Deputy Assistant for Tax Policy, Treasury Department. His assistants Evelyn Brody and Cathlyn Farrow are also present and Bill Coppersmith, Tom Kelley's supervisor from the IRS, is also there.

Mr. Trier seems favorably disposed toward MICA. They are fearful if they allowed MICA to remain tax exempt that they will create a "slippery slope" which would allow others to structure themselves like us. We try to convince them that we are unique. We end with the agreement that we will submit supplemental information regarding MICA's history and current business to the IRS.

August 9 - The same core group meets again in Senator Stevens' office, this time with Ostrovsky from the Governor's Office rather than Gouwans. Our purpose is to prepare for a meeting with the Senate Finance Committee aides to evaluate if seeking federal legislative relief is an option.

We meet with Rick Grafmeyer, a minority aide for Finance, and aides; Scott McCloud, a specialist in insurance tax issues, and Mel Thomas a specialist in tax issues from the Joint Committee on Taxation.

We are not encouraged by them to seek federal legislation after we advise them of our plight. There is a thought that a provision dealing with MICA's tax exemption could be attached to a Rural Health Care bill and Konigsberg said he will talk to Senator Stevens about that possibility.

August 17 - Pierce sends memo to Board of Governors summarizing meetings in Washington, D.C.

August 18 - A Supplemental Submission to the IRS is sent to Phil Royalty.

- A thank-you letter is sent to Dana Trier from Konigsberg.

August 22 - Senator Stevens sends a thank-you letter to Trier with MICA's Supplemental Submission attached and a copy of a letter from Royalty explaining why the Maryland Saving Share Ins. Corp. v. U.S. case is different from MICA.

- August 28 - Konigsberg is in Anchorage and Gagnon, Mason, Kathy Crabtree and Pierce meet with him. We discuss unlikely possibility that we would win a court case against the IRS. Konigsberg says he will work on federal legislation but feels that our chances this year are extremely slim. Consensus is that if litigation is necessary, it would be better to obtain adverse ruling than to withdraw the request.
- September 15 - Mason in attendance at Executive Committee meeting. Executive Committee worried about mounting tax assessment. They vote to get revenue ruling whether favorable or not.
- There is discussion of litigating in U.S. District Court (must pay tax first) or in U.S. Tax Court (must wait for IRS to assess deficiency).
- September 20 - Konigsberg informs Mason that he is exploring possibility of federal legislation and is drafting a proposal. He also reports that he has spoken by telephone to Dana Trier. Trier says that the IRS is considering entering into a closing agreement with MICA, which is basically a settlement agreement that can eliminate past and future tax liability for a specified number of years (authorized by Internal Revenue Code 7121).
- September 21 - Mason has conference call with Royalty, Tom Meyer (E & W) and Konigsberg to discuss ramifications of closing agreement.
- September 26 - Konigsberg asks Pierce for information so he can draft federal legislation. Pierce faxes him: - date Governor signed bill, 5-28,76; date legislation effective, 5-29,76, although couldn't write insurance until 6-28-76.

Copy of original statute and current statute sent with major difference between old and new being:

- a) Exclusive and mandatory dropped
- b) Occurrence changed to claims-made
- c) Joint Underwriting Authority (JUA) concept dropped.

October 6 - Konigsberg faxes Mason first draft of proposed federal legislation, and Mason and Gagnon fax Konigsberg revisions after discussing with Royalty.

- Conference call: Mason, Crabtree, Konigsberg, Royalty, Meyerer, Coppersmith, Jim Malloy, and Pete Scott, Acting Chief Counsel of the IRS. Discussed possible closing agreement and agreed to meeting in Washington on October 16.

October 10 - Mason sends notice of meeting with Department of Treasury and IRS on 10-16-89. We have been advised by Konigsberg that IRS may want to propose a closing agreement. We are informed that this is to be treated as very confidential.

- Mason sends Pierce a draft with a review by she and Gagnon of potential federal legislation proposed by Konigsberg.

October 16 - Meeting with IRS and Department of Treasury in Washington, D.C. Core group of Mason, Pierce, Konigsberg, Royalty and Tom Meyerer of E & Y (new player) and Eric Ostrovsky from Governor's Office meet in Senator Stevens' office to discuss closing agreement concept. Pierce informs group that MICA's Executive Committee has been polled and are very interested in this concept.

Meeting at the IRS chaired by Pete Scott, Acting Chief Counsel of the IRS, Jim Malloy, Assistant Counsel for Financial Institutions (Section 115 co's under his jurisdiction) and Bill Coppersmith all from IRS. Dana Trier and Evelyn Brody are there again representing Office of Tax Policy in the Treasury Department.

Scott says we have very sympathetic case. They believe a closing agreement would be in everybody's best interest. We discuss what to do to remain tax exempt and MICA's unique structure again. They ask MICA to submit closing agreement. Scott suggests the following parameters for an agreement: past tax liability eliminated; MICA agrees to try to change structure within specified

period; MICA becomes taxable at end of specified period if no change in structure; MICA must take interim steps toward phasing out or bifurcating; if MICA becomes part of state, problem disappears. In response to a direct question, Scott says that, if a ruling is issued, it will be adverse.

October 23-24 - Mason sends draft closing agreement and copy of federal regulations covering closing agreements to Pierce. Closing agreement proposes elimination of any past tax liability and future tax liability through 1994 with partial or full taxability beginning in 1995, unless MICA has been made an integral part of the state.

October 24 - Pierce sends memo to Board of Governors outlining closing agreement concept and the advantages to MICA. Two major advantages are relief from past tax liability and being tax-exempt for some future period.

October 25 - Brock and Pierce meet with Jim Jordan, Acting Director of Division of Insurance and discuss details of closing agreement. Jordan to discuss with his boss Mercurlieff who will discuss with Governor's office. Jordan approves concept and draft closing agreement, doesn't anticipate problems from anyone else in Administration.

October 26 - Executive Committee and Finance Committee have joint meeting. Mason and Holmes in attendance. Mason explains this is a binding agreement and other details of closing agreement.

October 27 - Quarterly Board meeting with Gagnon, Mason and Orien in attendance.

The Board discusses the closing agreement and authorizes the draft. Gagnon and Mason feel that state should also approve based on the state's involvement in MICA by statute.

The Board gives the Executive Committee the power to finalize this agreement with Brock.

- Gagnon and Mason meet with Jordan. He agrees to be a signor on closing agreement with Brock.
- October 30 - Closing Agreement hand-delivered to Pete Scott by Royalty.
- November 2 - Mason sends letter to Pierce with a copy of cover letter to closing agreement that Royalty gave to Scott. She also states she had a discussion with Konigsberg and informs Pierce that the President has nominated a new Chief Counsel to replace Acting Pete Scott. The Senate may confirm that replacement before Thanksgiving. Pierce very concerned because new Chief Counsel may not agree to closing agreement between IRS and MICA and we would have to start the process again.
- Letter from Senator Stevens to Pete Scott, attaching closing agreement and requesting his attention.
- November 10 - Pierce calls Royalty requesting his assistance with IRS in approving closing agreement.
- November 30 - Executive Committee meeting in which Pierce informs them that we have no word on agreement.

1990

- November 30
thru
February 5 - Various phone calls among Pierce, Royalty, Konigsberg and Mason requesting information on status of closing agreement.
- January 2 - Pierce meets with Jordan and discusses closing agreement and MICA's financial stability. Jordan says state should concern itself with MICA staying strong financially because he feels a restructuring and independence are imminent. Jordan says will stay as Acting Director until new Administration (end of year).
- February 5 - Letter to Scott from Royalty referencing Scott's recent conversation with Meyerer and requesting resolution of closing agreement.

- February 16 - Letter to Konigsberg from Pierce explaining crucial deadlines with Convention Statement, NAIC, A.M. Best, etc. that are adversely affecting MICA's financial reputation and stability because of the unresolved tax issue.
- February 20 - Royalty calls Pierce and Mason to inform them that Scott has met with Royalty and Meyerer and proposed a new agreement. He wants to only allow tax exemption through 1990 for MICA to work with legislature to restructure. MICA can only remain tax-exempt if becomes part of state and he wants immediate bifurcation of business.
- February 23 - Letter from Mason to Royalty with new draft closing agreement, proposing elimination of tax liability for past years and through 1992, with full tax liability beginning in 1993 unless MICA becomes integral part of the state.
- Letter from Pierce to Scott summarizing our understanding of his verbal concerns and explaining things we can't control, i.e., length of time necessary to obtain legislative action and upcoming change of administration.
 - Brock, and Pierce and Holmes participating telephonically, meet with new Director, Dave Walsh to explain tax issue. Walsh agrees to sign if agreement remains the same in concept.
- February 28 - Letter to Scott from Brock (with the legal advice of Mason) explaining serious practical problems in carrying out bifurcation.
- March 2 - Royalty and Meyerer meet with Scott and Coppersmith regarding changes IRS wants in closing agreement: exempt through 1991, partially taxable (bifurcation) in 1992, fully taxable beginning 1993 unless become "integral part of state."

- March 5 - Conference call with Brock, Frazier, Keller, Smith (Executive Committee) and Mason to discuss revised closing agreement incorporating Scott's changes. Revised closing agreement approved.
- March 6 - Final draft of closing agreement after agreeing to time deadlines for interim actions to be taken by MICA.
- After rethinking closing agreement Director Walsh feels he should not sign due to potential liability to the state and other agencies similar to MICA.
- At Walsh's request, Assistant Attorney General Alexis Gabay telephones Mason. Mason faxes background information to Gabay. Mason discusses situation with Gabay and (now) Assistant Attorney General Jeffrey Bush by telephone.
- March 7 - Letter from Mason to Alexis Gabay, Assistant Attorney General, enclosing proposed letter from the Director to Brock concurring with MICA's decision to enter into a closing agreement.
- March 8 - Meyerer and Royalty contact the IRS and Director Walsh is removed as signor on closing agreement.
- Director confers with Ron Clarke in Governor's office about MICA's closing agreement and his discomfort with the agreement.
- Holmes sends letter to Walsh explaining history of the tax issue and the involvement of the state in negotiations. Holmes informs Walsh that he has advised the Board to sign the closing agreement.
- March 9 - Closing agreement is to arrive today from the IRS for Brock's signature.
- Walsh confers with Ron Clarke and Clarke is of the opinion MICA shouldn't sign the agreement.
- Mason and Holmes have telephone meeting with Clarke. Clarke then discusses situation with Attorney General, Doug Baily. Clarke agrees MICA

can sign but state will remain neutral. He requests that MICA report to Director Walsh once the agreement is signed.

- Mason faxes to Pierce a summary of conversation with Clarke.
 - Director Walsh sends letter to Brock, who faxes to Pierce, Mason and Holmes, stating state cannot concur with MICA's signing the agreement.
 - Pierce sends letter to Walsh (via fax) stating it was her understanding state taking neutral position and attaching Mason's summary letter regarding conversation with Clarke.
- March 12 - Walsh calls Pierce regarding her letter. They agree on their understanding of state's position which is that the state is not taking a position.
- Pierce sends memo to Brock with copy to Holmes and Mason summarizing above conversation.
- Brock signs Closing Agreement and returns to IRS.
- March 15 - Scott signs Closing Agreement.
- March 19 - Final Closing Agreement received by MICA signed by Scott and Brock.

S B

2 4 3

FISCAL NOTE

BILL NO. CS SB 243 (ETR)

STATE OF ALASKA
1992 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

Revision Date: _____
 Title: An Act related to campaign financing and campaign material, etc.
 Sponsor: Special Committee on Ethics Reform
 Requestor: _____

Department Affected: Administration
 BRU: Alaska Public Offices Commission
 Component: Alaska Public Offices Commission

COMPONENT SERIAL NO. 1 7 0

Expenditures/Revenues: (Thousands of Dollars)

OPERATING	FY 93	FY 94	FY 95	FY 96	FY 97	FY 98
PERSONAL SERVICES	164.6	169.5	174.6	179.8	185.2	190.8
TRAVEL	5.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
CONTRACTUAL	6.2	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5
SUPPLIES	0	0	0	0	0	0
EQUIPMENT	1.7	0	0	0	0	0
LAND & STRUCTURES	0	0	0	0	0	0
GRANTS, CLAIMS	0	0	0	0	0	0
MISCELLANEOUS	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL OPERATING	177.5	194.0	199.1	204.3	209.7	215.3

CAPITAL	0	0	0	0	0	0
---------	---	---	---	---	---	---

REVENUE FUND SOURCE:	0	0	0	0	0	0
----------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---

FUNDING: (Thousands of Dollars)

GENERAL FUND	177.5	194.0	199.1	204.3	209.7	215.3
FEDERAL FUNDS	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER FUND SOURCE:	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	177.5	194.0	199.1	204.3	209.7	215.3

POSITIONS:

FULL-TIME	4	4	4	4	4	4
PART-TIME	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)
TEMPORARY	0	0	0	0	0	0

Estimate of current year impact: 0

ANALYSIS: (Attach a separate page if necessary.)

See attached narrative.

Prepared by: Karen Boorman, Executive Director
 Division: Alaska Public Offices Commission

Phone: (907) 276-4176
 Date: _____

Approved by Commissioner: Nancy Bear Usara
 Agency: Administration

Date: 4/13/92

Distribution (by preparer): Leg. Fin., Legislative Sponsor, Requestor, CMB/DBR, Gov. Legis. Ofc., & Impacted Agency(ies).

FISCAL NOTE

STATE OF ALASKA
1992 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

BILL NO. CS SB 243 (ETR)

This bill modifies Alaska Statute 15.13, the Campaign Disclosure Law, and includes provisions which prohibit some campaign finance activity in public facilities and limit State and local government officials from using public funds or facilities to influence an election. It prohibits lobbyists from being campaign treasurers or deputy treasurers; prohibits cash contributions over \$100 and contributions from one candidate to another; limits fund raising by legislators and candidates for the legislature; prohibits use of campaign funds or goods for personal use; limits use of surplus funds and requires closure of campaign accounts after an election. The bill shortens the Alaska Public Offices Commission (APOC) response time on advisory opinions to 30 days and complaints to 45 days.

The commission anticipates it will incur start up costs as it prepares to assume its duties of administering and enforcing these new provisions. Staff must revise forms, manuals and training materials as well as prepare new regulations for commission adoption. Existing staff cannot undertake these start up activities, so new positions would be required.

The commission will receive many ongoing requests for advice about interpretation of the law. In particular, the provisions dealing with the limits of the use of public funds or facilities will generate many compliance questions. Questions such as whether a planned campaign expenditure is permissible because it may be for personal use, or whether a local government or school district is improperly using public funds or facilities to influence the outcome of a local election are likely. Staff will need extra funds to prepare for the first election in which candidates must comply with the provisions regarding disbursement of campaign surplus and prohibited uses of campaign funds and to give training sessions to help candidates comply. Information will have to be given to State and local government officials on the prohibitions regarding use of public funds and facilities.

It is expected that several complaints will be filed each year alleging that a candidate, lobbyist, public entity or official has violated one of these provisions. Potential complaints include allegations that a candidate used campaign funds for personal use, closed down a campaign account improperly, or conducted fund raising too soon or too late. Since the only penalties available for these violations are criminal, it is reasonable to expect that one complaint each year will proceed to public hearing. This expense cannot be absorbed from funds currently budgeted.

The commission will need to hold a hearing in FY 93 to adopt regulatory changes. The expenses of such a hearing are not funded within the FY 93 budget.

In order to meet the mandates of the new law, the commission will need to add one professional staff member (Associate Coordinator--Range 18) to investigate complaints, draft regulations and provide compliance advice and draft advisory opinions. A paraprofessional staff member (Regulations Specialist II--Range 16) will be necessary to help draft regulations, develop manuals, revise forms, conduct training and provide compliance advice. A Clerk IV (Range 9) will be needed to handle the additional paperwork and extra typing that cannot be absorbed by the one secretary in the Anchorage office.

The commission's current office space in Anchorage is too small to house these new positions. Modest sized space is available in the building and could be made functional with minor remodeling. Two desks, chairs and telephones will be required as well.

The Juneau office will require additional staff to provide compliance advice and assist in investigations. In order to meet this need and provide assistance to the Juneau office administrator, the commission requests extending the current part-time Clerk III position to a full-time clerk position.

A detailed breakdown of the costs associated with administration and enforcement of this bill is attached.

FISCAL NOTE

STATE OF ALASKA
1992 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

BILL NO. CS SB 243 (ETR)

CS SB 243
APOC Estimated Costs
FY 93

Personnel:

Associate Coordinator--Range 18A	\$ 59,705
Regulations Specialist II--Range 16A	52,422
Clerk IV--Range 9A (Anchorage)	35,261
Clerk III--Range 8B (upgrade to full-time, Juneau)	<u>17,169</u>
	\$164,557

Travel:

* Regulations hearing	\$3,000
Training	<u>2,000</u>
	\$5,000

Contractual Services:

Instruction/education materials (design, print, postage for amended forms and manuals)	\$1,500
Teleconferencing Cost	\$ 500
Office space: 350 square feet @ \$1.00 sq. ft./month	<u>4,200</u>
	\$6,200

** Legal Fees

Hearings	\$12,000
Witness Fees	250
Subpoenas	3,000
Transcripts	<u>1,000</u>
	\$16,250

Equipment:

* Desk and Chairs (2)	\$1,200
* Phone Installation/Equipment (2)	<u>500</u>
	\$1,700

* Travel for regulations hearing and office equipment required for FY 93 only. (\$4,700 total)

** Complaint investigation funds (legal fees) will be necessary after FY 93.

Personal Services cost projected to increase at 3 percent per year for merit increase.

DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES

LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS AGENCY
STATE OF ALASKA

MAR 03 1992

(907) 465-3867 or 465-2450
FAX (907) 465-2029
Mail Stop 3101

2 Main Street, Suite 500
Juneau, Alaska 99801-2101

MEMORANDUM

March 2, 1992

SUBJECT: Sectional Analysis of SB 243 ()

TO: Senator Virginia Collins
Attention: Shirley Armstrong

FROM: Robert Glennon Casey
Legislative Counsel

RG C 3-2-92

You have requested a sectional analysis of the above-described bill. The following is an explanation of the contemplated effect of various provisions, but this should not be considered an authoritative interpretation of the bill. The bill itself is the best statement of its contents.

Section 1 would add a paragraph to AS 15.13.030 ("Duties of the Commission"). The effect would be to require the Alaska Public Offices Commission (APOC) to issue advisory opinions concerning the meaning of AS 15.13 upon request. APOC would thereafter be bound by its own interpretation in subsequent proceedings, thereby affording candidates who obtained such advisory opinions a measure of security.

Section 2 would add two new sections to AS 15.13. The two new sections would have similar themes. The first of these, AS 15.13.041 ("Prohibited Solicitation of Contributions"), would prohibit public officers and public employees from soliciting or requesting contributions while on the premises of state or municipal buildings. Violators of this section would be guilty of a class A misdemeanor, subject to imprisonment for up to one year, and subject to a fine up to \$5,000 or 400% of the illegal contribution, whichever was greater.

The other part of section 2 would create a new AS 15.13.043 ("Use of Public Office or Agency Facilities in a Campaign"). Under this section, public funds, property, personnel, facilities, and buildings could not be used for campaign fund-raising or various other activities intended to influence the outcome of elections. Specific prohibitions are listed, including a ban on the posting of partisan communications in public buildings. Excepted from the prohibitions are the preparation and distribution of the voter election pamphlet; nonpartisan voter registration; actions taken by public officials expressing collective decision or voting on a motion, proposal, bill, resolution,

ordinance, or order; and actions by elected public officials in support or opposition to ballot propositions or in response to questions at press conferences or specific inquiries.

Section 3 would amend AS 15.13.050 ("Groups") to provide that groups with two or more common officers would be considered single groups for purposes of contribution limitations. Such a measure would be intended to counter the tactic of circumventing contribution limitations by organizing numerous duplicate organizations and having each of them make the maximum donation.

Section 4 would amend AS 15.13.060 ("Campaign Treasurers") to prohibit certain currently registered lobbyists from simultaneously serving as campaign treasurers or deputy campaign treasurers. Representational lobbyists, however, would be permitted to serve both functions simultaneously.

Section 5 would tighten AS 15.13.070(a)'s \$1,000 annual limit on campaign contributions and expenditures by requiring that the calendar year be used to compute "annual" transactions. Furthermore, it would clarify that "money, goods, or services" or a combination thereof would all be included in computing the total amount of contributions. Thus certain methods of circumventing the \$1,000 limit - contributing \$1,000 on consecutive days but accounting the contributions as occurring at the end and the beginning of separate years or making separate contributions of cash, goods, and services, each valued at \$1,000 - would be prohibited.

Section 6 would further amend AS 15.13.070. It would tighten subsection (c)'s requirements concerning the tracing of payments. Contributions and expenditures of over \$100 could no longer be made in cash, regardless of the use of written receipts.

Section 7 would amend AS 15.13.070(d) by providing that a campaign receiving an illegal anonymous donation must disgorge the contribution within ten days. Thus campaigns could not benefit from illegal contributions by freezing them and collecting their interest. This section would also provide that contributions from unemancipated, unmarried minors be deemed to have been made by the minor's parent or guardian and counted toward the parent or guardian's contribution limit. Once again, a method of circumventing the \$1,000 limit - this time, separate contributions by a donor and the donor's unemancipated, unmarried children and wards that collectively totalled over \$1,000 - would be addressed.

Section 8 would amend AS 15.13.070 by adding five further restrictions on contributions to a campaign.

First, a candidate or a group controlled by a candidate could not make a contribution to another candidate except from the candidate's personal funds. Thus certain

Senator Virginia Collins

March 3, 1992

Page 3

campaigns could not be used as conduits for contributions to other campaigns occurring at the same time.

Second, loans and loan guarantees could not be made in favor of candidates or groups, other than by (1) the candidate or the candidate's spouse, parents, or children; (2) persons acting in the regular course of business; or (3) regulated financial institutions providing the same terms as those available to the general public. Circumvention of the \$1,000 limit by disguised loans and loan guarantees would thus be addressed.

Third, there would be a general prohibition against persons acting as conduits or "intermediaries" for transmitting contributions to campaigns. Excepted from the general prohibition, however, would be candidates and campaign officers that passed contributions on to their own campaigns, financial institutions, and certain persons who voluntarily conducted fund-raisers at their own homes.

Fourth, members of the legislature running for any office and nonincumbent candidates for the legislature would be prohibited from engaging in certain fund-raising activity during the legislation session. (See discussion of Section 13.)

Fifth, municipalities and school districts could not make contributions, either directly or through their officers or employees, to a candidate or group.

Section 9 adds more new sections to AS 15.13.

First to be added would be AS 15.13.072 ("Personal Use Prohibited"). This section would prohibit a candidate from converting campaign funds to personal use.

Second to be added would be AS 15.13.074 ("Disbursement of Campaign Accounts"). This section would require that campaign accounts be closed by January 15 of the year following the election and that unexpended and unobligated campaign funds after the election be disgorged according to any of the following methods: (1) transfer to a new account for a future campaign, (2) donation to certain charitable organizations, the state, or a municipality, (3) pro rata return to campaign contributors, (4) transfer to a legislative office account for expenditures qualifying as business expenses under the Internal Revenue Code, or (5) repayment of a candidate's own personal contributions to the campaign.

Under the new AS 15.13.074, miscellaneous special rules would govern transfer of equipment and transfer of funds for use in future elections.

AS 15.13.074's rules would not cover groups organized to influence campaigns on an ongoing basis. Such groups could redirect their accounts for future campaigns.

A third section to be added would be AS 15.13.076 ("Responsibility for Violations"). It would make candidates responsible for the violations of AS 15.13 committed by officers of their campaign committees. It would also make the head of a group responsible for violations by other officers of the same group. "Responsibility" would include personal liability for assessed penalties.

The fourth section to be added would be AS 15.13.078 ("Municipal Spending Prohibited"). It would prohibit officers and employees of state agencies, municipalities, or school districts from expending or authorizing expenditure of state, municipality, or school district funds to influence the outcome of elections. It would not prohibit use of such funds for dissemination of neutral information regarding elections, candidates, and ballot propositions.

Section 10 would tighten the requirements of complaints filed with APOC that alleged violations of AS 15.13. A complaint would be required to include a statement under oath by the declarant that the factual statements were true, to the best of the declarant's knowledge.

Section 11 addresses the degree to which APOC investigations of possible violations of AS 15.13 would be open to the public. The general rule would be that meetings and records of APOC relating to a complaint would be open to the public. There would, however, be an exception. Until APOC decided that there was probable cause to believe that a violation of AS 15.13 had occurred, proceedings and records relating to a complaint would be confidential. The subject of an investigation could waive confidentiality.

Section 12 adds definitions of "commission," "school district," and "state agency" in response to use of those terms by this bill. The term "school district" would include a regional educational attendance area. The term "state agency" would include the University of Alaska.

Section 13 is a repealer section. Most of what it repeals, however, is restored by section 8 of the bill, as shall be explained.

First, section 13 would repeal AS 24.60.040(f), which presently characterizes as "a conflict of interest" acceptance by a legislator of money from an event held in the capital city during a legislative session that was intended to raise money for state legislative political purposes.

Furthermore, Section 13 would also logically repeal AS 24.60.040(g), which exempted legislators from the capital city from the effect of AS 24.60.040(f).

These two repealers would remove the above-described activity from AS 24.60's conflict of interest rules. On the other hand, similar activity would be prohibited

Senator Virginia Collins

March 3, 1992

Page 5

under an earlier provision of the bill, section 8. Although section 8 would not prohibit lame duck legislators from receiving money from the kinds of events described above, it would prohibit legislators running for any office (including running for reelection) and nonincumbent candidates for legislature from accepting money from any events occurring during a legislative session. Thus section 8 would narrow the prohibition in one way (making it inapplicable to legislators who were not running for reelection to legislature or election to another office) but expand the prohibition in another way (making it applicable to fund-raising events regardless of where they were held).

RGC:pl

92-150.plm

Creech

CS FOR SENATE BILL NO. 243 (ETHICS REFORM)
IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA
SEVENTEENTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

BY THE SENATE SPECIAL COMMITTEE ON ETHICS REFORM

Offered: 5/3/91
Referred: Finance

Sponsor(s): SENATE SPECIAL COMMITTEE ON ETHICS REFORM

A BILL

FOR AN ACT ENTITLED

1 "An Act relating to election campaign financing, to restrictions on election campaign
2 material, and to the Alaska Public Offices Commission."

3 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

4 * Section 1. AS 15.13.030 is amended by adding a new paragraph to read:

5 (11) issue an advisory opinion to a person affected by this chapter regarding the
6 interpretation of this chapter within 30 days of the request for the opinion or within an additional
7 period of time that the person requesting the opinion may agree to; the advisory opinion issued
8 is binding on the commission in a subsequent proceeding concerning the facts and circumstances
9 set out in the request for an advisory opinion, unless material facts were omitted or misstated in
10 the request. *Until the advisory opinion is reversed*

11 * Sec. 2. AS 15.13 is amended by adding new sections to read:

12 Sec. 15.13.041. PROHIBITED SOLICITATION OF CONTRIBUTIONS. (a) A public
13 officer or employee of the state or of a municipality may not, while on the premises of a state
14 or municipal building, solicit or request a contribution to a candidate, group, or political party.

1 (b) A person may not solicit or request a public officer to contribute to a candidate,
2 group, or political party while the public officer or employee is on the premises of a state or
3 municipal building.

4 (c) A candidate, a candidate's campaign treasurer or deputy campaign treasurer, a group,
5 or an officer of a group may not accept a contribution that the candidate, campaign treasurer,
6 deputy campaign treasurer, group, or officer of a group knows was obtained in violation of this
7 section. A person who violates this subsection is guilty of a class A misdemeanor and may be
8 fined an amount provided in AS 12.55.035 or an amount equal to four times the amount of the
9 illegal contribution, whichever is greater.

10 Sec. 15.13.043. USE OF PUBLIC OFFICE OR AGENCY FACILITIES IN A
11 CAMPAIGN. (a) A person may not use or authorize the use of public funds or property, or the
12 time of a public officer or employee, to influence the outcome of an election.

13 (b) A person may not use or authorize the use of public funds or property, or the time
14 of a public officer or employee, to produce, print, publish, broadcast, or otherwise disseminate
15 material designed and timed to influence the results of an election, regardless of the lack of
16 specific reference to the election.

17 (c) A person may not solicit, verbally or in writing, or receive a contribution on behalf
18 of a candidate or group in a facility ordinarily used for the conduct of public business.

19 (d) A person may not deliver a contribution, or cause a contribution to be delivered, in
20 a facility ordinarily used for the conduct of public business, other than a postal facility.

21 (e) A person may not distribute or post, or cause to be distributed or posted, a
22 communication designed to influence the outcome of an election in a public building.

23 (f) Nothing in this section prohibits

24 (1) the division of elections from preparing and distributing the voter election
25 pamphlet under AS 15.58;

26 (2) nonpartisan voter registration activities;

27 (3) action taken at a public meeting by members of the legislature, of a committee
28 of the legislature, or of a state or municipal board or commission, expressing a collective decision
29 or voting on a motion, proposal, bill, resolution, ordinance, or order;

30 (4) act , by an elected public official of the state or of a municipality in support
31 of or in opposition to a ballot proposition or question at an open press conference or in response

1 to a specific inquiry.

2 * Sec. 3. AS 15.13.050 is amended by adding a new subsection to read:

3 (b) Two or more groups, other than political parties and subdivisions of political parties,
4 in which two or more persons hold office in each group are considered to be a single group for
5 purposes of the contribution limitations of this chapter.

6 * Sec. 4. AS 15.13.060 is amended by adding a new subsection to read:

7 (g) A person may not serve as a campaign treasurer or deputy campaign treasurer during
8 a calendar year in which the person was required to register as a lobbyist under AS 24.45, unless
9 the person acted only as a representational lobbyist⁷ as defined in the regulations of the
10 commission.

11 * Sec. 5. AS 15.13.070(a) is amended to read:

12 (a) A person or group, including but not limited to all political committees, businesses,
13 corporations, and labor unions, may not contribute to or expend more than \$1,000 in a calendar
14 year, whether in money, goods, or services, or a combination of money, goods, and services,
15 on behalf of or in opposition to the competing candidates for each elective office. Political
16 parties and their subdivisions are not subject to the limitation prescribed in this subsection, but
17 they are subject to the reporting requirements prescribed by AS 15.13.040(b) and 15.13.110. This
18 chapter does not prohibit

19 (1) a candidate from contributing more than \$1,000 of the candidate's own money
20 to the candidate's own campaign; or

21 (2) individuals or groups, including but not limited to all political committees,
22 businesses, corporations, and labor unions, from contributing to or expending on behalf of a
23 ballot proposition or question more than \$1,000 a year; however, these contributions and
24 expenditures shall be reported in accordance with AS 15.13.040 and 15.13.110.

25 * Sec. 6. AS 15.13.070(c) is amended to read:

26 (c) An expenditure over \$100 may not be made in cash [OR BY CASH PAYMENT
27 UNLESS A WRITTEN RECEIPT IS OBTAINED AND FILED WITH THE COMMISSION].

28 * Sec. 7. AS 15.13.070(d) is amended to read:

29 (d) A contribution may not be made, and an expenditure may not be made or incurred,
30 directly or indirectly, anonymously, in a fictitious name, or by one person or group in the name
31 of another, to influence the election of a candidate in an election. A contribution made by a

1 person wishing to remain anonymous, and received by a candidate, campaign treasurer or deputy
2 campaign treasurer, may not be used or expended, but shall be returned within 10 days to the
3 donor, if the donor's identity is known, and if no donor is found, the contribution escheats to the
4 state if not donated by the candidate to the charity of the candidate's choice. A contribution
5 from a minor under the age of 18, other than a minor who has been emancipated or who
6 is married, is considered to have been made by a parent or guardian of the individual
7 under the age of 18, and shall be counted toward the contribution limit established by this
8 section.

9 * Sec. 8. AS 15.13.070 is amended by adding new subsections to read:

10 (i) A candidate or a group controlled by a candidate may not make a contribution to
11 another candidate, except that a candidate may make a contribution to another candidate from
12 the candidate's own personal funds.

13 (j) An individual or a group may not make a loan to a candidate or to a group, or
14 guarantee a loan made by another to a candidate or to a group. This subsection does not prohibit

15 (1) a contribution in the form of a loan by a candidate or by the spouse, parent,
16 or child of a candidate to the candidate's campaign;

17 (2) an extension of credit by a person made in the person's regular course of
18 business to a candidate or a group; or

19 (3) a loan by a regulated financial institution to a candidate or group on the same
20 terms as would apply to a loan by the financial institution to a similarly situated member of the
21 public.

22 (k) A person may not act as an intermediary to transmit contributions to a candidate or
23 group from a person or group. In this section, "intermediary" does not include a candidate, a
24 treasurer, deputy treasurer, or other campaign officer, a financial institution, or a person
25 voluntarily hosting a fund-raising event at the person's home.

26 (l) A candidate for the legislature, including an incumbent legislator, may not

27 (1) solicit or accept a contribution during a legislative session; or

28 (2) accept money from an event held during a legislative session if a substantial
29 purpose of the event is either to raise money on behalf of the member for campaign purposes or
30 to raise money for state legislative political purposes.

31 (m) A municipality or a school district, or an officer or employee of a municipality or

1 a school district, acting in an official capacity, may not make a contribution to a candidate or to
2 a group.

3 * Sec. 9. AS 15.13 is amended by adding new sections to read:

4 Sec. 15.13.072. PERSONAL USE PROHIBITED. A candidate for public office may not
5 use campaign funds for personal purposes, convert campaign funds into personal income, or
6 convert goods purchased with campaign funds for personal use.

7 Sec. 15.13.074. DISBURSEMENT OF CAMPAIGN ACCOUNTS. (a) If a candidate
8 for public office or a group has unexpended and unobligated funds after the date of the election,
9 the candidate or group shall, no later than January 15 of the year following the election,

10 (1) elect to carry forward the funds for a future election campaign;

11 (2) donate the funds to an organization that qualifies as a charitable organization
12 under 26 U.S.C. 501(c), to a political party as defined in AS 15.60.010, or to the state or a
13 municipality;

14 (3) return the funds on a pro rata basis to the contributors to the candidate or
15 group; or

16 (4) transfer the funds to a legislative office account for expenditures qualifying
17 as business expenses under 26 U.S.C. 162.

18 (b) In addition to the uses listed in (a) of this section, a candidate may, no later than
19 January 15 of the year following the election, use unexpended and unobligated funds remaining
20 after the election to repay the candidate's contributions to the candidate's campaign.

21 (c) Equipment owned by a candidate or group shall, no later than January 15 of the year
22 following the election, be carried forward or donated as provided in (a)(1) and (2) of this section.
23 If the equipment was purchased solely with funds provided by a candidate personally, the
24 candidate may convert the equipment to the candidate's personal use.

25 (d) A candidate or group that elects to carry forward campaign funds for a future election
26 under (a)(1) of this section shall file an annual report with the commission under
27 AS 15.13.110(a)(4) in the year following the election, even if the candidate or group makes no
28 expenditures or receives no contributions during that year.

29 (e) Funds carried forward under (a)(1) of this section may, even after the transfer, be
30 disbursed as provided in (a) and (b) of this section. Equipment carried forward under (c) of this
31 section may, even after the transfer, be distributed as provided by that subsection.

1 (f) This section does not apply to a group that is organized to influence elections on an
2 ongoing basis.

3 Sec. 15.13.076. RESPONSIBILITY FOR VIOLATIONS. A candidate is responsible for
4 violations of this chapter by officers of the candidate's campaign committee, and the head of a
5 group is responsible for violations of this chapter by other officers of a group. Penalties assessed
6 against a candidate's campaign committee or against a group may be assessed directly against
7 the candidate or the head of the group.

8 Sec. 15.13.078. MUNICIPAL SPENDING PROHIBITED. (a) An officer or employee
9 of a state agency, a municipality, or a school district acting in an official capacity may not
10 expend state funds or municipal or school district funds, or authorize the expenditure of state
11 funds or municipal or school district funds, to influence the outcome of an election for a public
12 office or on a ballot proposition.

13 (b) This section does not prohibit the expenditure of state funds or municipal or school
14 district funds to provide to the public neutral information regarding the dates of an election and
15 the candidates and ballot propositions appearing on the ballot.

16 * Sec. 10. AS 15.13.120(d) is amended to read:

17 (d) A person who believes a violation of this chapter has occurred may file a complaint
18 with the commission. The complaint must include a statement, made under oath, that the
19 factual statements in the complaint are true, to the best of the complainant's knowledge.
20 If the commission determines there is substantial reason to believe that a violation has occurred,
21 it shall expeditiously make an investigation, which may also include an investigation of reports
22 and statements filed by the complainant if the complainant is a candidate, of the matter
23 complained of. When, in the judgment of the commission, after affording due notice and an
24 opportunity for a hearing, a person has engaged or is about to engage in any acts or practices
25 which constitute or will constitute a violation of a provision of this chapter, or a regulation or
26 order issued under it, it shall promptly report the information to the attorney general for
27 appropriate action. The commission shall report its determination and recommendation to the
28 person who filed the complaint with the commission within 60 days of receiving the complaint
29 unless circumstances require additional time to make an adequate investigation. The finding of
30 the commission may be appealed to the superior court.

31 * Sec. 11. AS 15.13.120 is amended by adding new subsections to read:

1 (i) Proceedings of the commission relating to complaints before it under this section are
2 confidential until the commission determines that there is probable cause to believe that a
3 violation of this chapter has occurred. The complaint and all documents produced or disclosed
4 as a result of the commission's investigation are confidential and not subject to inspection by the
5 public. All meetings of the commission relating to the complaint are closed to the public before
6 the determination of probable cause is made. The confidentiality provisions of this subsection
7 may be waived by the subject of the complaint.

8 (j) Except as provided in (i) of this section, meetings of the commission relating to a
9 complaint are governed by AS 44.62.310 - 44.62.312 (Open Meetings Act), and records in the
10 possession of the commission relating to the complaint are subject to AS 09.25.110 - 09.25.125.

11 * Sec. 12. AS 15.13.130 is amended by adding new paragraphs to read:

12 (8) "commission" means the Alaska Public Offices Commission created in
13 AS 15.13.020;

14 (9) "school district" means a municipal school district or a regional educational
15 attendance area established under AS 14.08;

16 (10) "state agency" means a department, agency, instrumentality, or corporate
17 authority of the state, whether in the executive, legislative, or judicial branch, and includes the
18 University of Alaska.

19 * Sec. 13. AS 15.58.030 is amended by adding a new subsection to read:

20 (h) A candidate, or a person on behalf of a candidate, submitting material under this
21 section shall swear that factual statements contained in the material are true to the best of the
22 candidate's or person's knowledge. A candidate or person who knowingly swears falsely is
23 guilty of perjury under AS 11.56.200.

24 * Sec. 14. AS 24.60.030(f) and 24.60.030(g) are repealed.

APOC Campaign Disclosure Report Summary
 STATE SENATE CANDIDATES
 General Election -- November 8, 1988

RACE/ SEAT	CANDIDATE	MONETARY PAID CONT.	EXP.	PERSONAL FUNDS	MONETARY OVER \$100	MONETARY \$100 OR <	INTEREST	NON-MON. CONT.	UNPAID EXP/LOANS	SURPLUS/ DEFICIT
B (R) *	ELIASON, RICHARD									
	CASH FORWARD	4,573								
	PRIMARY TOTAL	13,917	6,412	0	13,203	35	679	0	0	12,078
	30 DAY PRE--GENERAL	928	1,106	0	875	0	53	0	584	11,317
	7 DAY PRE--GENERAL	3,476	3,563	0	3,330	100	46	0	(361)	11,590
	10 DAY POST--GENERAL	2,094	1,293	0	1,750	300	44	0	(223)	12,615
	88 YEAR END	53	587	0	0	0	53	0	0	12,081
	GRAND TOTAL	25,041	12,961	0	19,158	435	875	0	0	12,081
	DISTRICT B TOTALS	25,041	12,961	0	19,158	435	875	0	0	
D (R) *	FISCHER, PAUL									
	CASH FORWARD	2,499								
	PRIMARY TOTAL	52,214	46,163	62	48,008	4,022	122	978	0	8,551
	30 DAY PRE--GENERAL	19,717	29,782	0	18,150	1,569	0	0	0	1,512
	7 DAY PRE--GENERAL	38,836	33,735	0	28,015	10,746	75	3,638	0	3,589
	10 DAY POST--GENERAL	11,925	18,707	0	11,200	725	0	300	0	(3,193)
	88 YEAR END	4,337	2,391	100	1,650	2,587	0	838	16,389	(17,636)
	GRAND TOTAL	129,528	130,778	162	107,023	19,649	197	5,754	16,389	(17,636)
D (D)	O'REILLY, MARJ									
	CASH FORWARD									
	PRIMARY TOTAL	21,038	20,671	2,310	11,895	6,833	0	897	0	367
	30 DAY PRE--GENERAL	26,034	15,192	0	19,850	6,184	0	176	0	11,209
	7 DAY PRE--GENERAL	15,177	11,633	0	9,361	5,815	0	0	0	14,753
	10 DAY POST--GENERAL	8,080	18,893	0	6,850	1,230	0	157	0	3,940
	88 YEAR END	(1,494)	1,325	(4,592)	3,098	0	0	0	0	1,120
	GRAND TOTAL	68,835	67,714	(2,282)	51,054	20,062	0	1,230	0	1,120
D (N)	SLONE, LARRY									
	CASH FORWARD									
	PRIMARY TOTAL									
	30 DAY PRE--GENERAL	850	850	850	0	0	0	0	0	0
	7 DAY PRE--GENERAL	825	825	825	0	0	0	40	0	0
	10 DAY POST--GENERAL	160	160	160	0	0	0	0	0	0
	88 YEAR END	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	GRAND TOTAL	1,835	1,835	1,835	0	0	0	40	0	0
	DISTRICT D TOTALS	200,198	200,327	(285)	158,077	39,711	197	7,024	16,389	

APOC Campaign Disclosure Report Summary
STATE SENATE CANDIDATES
General Election - November 8, 1988

RACE/ SEAT	CANDIDATE	MONETARY PAID CONT.	MONETARY PAID EXP.	PERSONAL FUNDS	MONETARY OVER \$100	MONETARY \$100 OR <	INTEREST	NON-MON. CONT.	UNPAID EXP/LOANS	SURPLUS/ DEFICIT
E-A (R)	DEVRIES, EDNA									
	CASH FORWARD									
	PRIMARY TOTAL	11,494	10,519	0	6,550	4,944	0	197	750	225
	30 DAY PRE-GENERAL	12,407	5,997	0	8,885	3,522	0	0	(500)	7,136
	7 DAY PRE-GENERAL	12,655	17,782	0	10,650	2,005	0	0	0	2,259
	10 DAY POST-GENERAL	1,030	1,779	0	450	580	0	687	(250)	1,510
	88 YEAR END	181	1,691	0	0	50	0	0	0	0
	GRAND TOTAL	37,767	37,768	0	26,535	11,101	0	884	0	0
E-A (D) *	KERTTULA, JALMAR									
	CASH FORWARD	10,078								
	PRIMARY TOTAL	84,308	52,820	802	68,776	14,579	151	1,414	0	41,566
	30 DAY PRE-GENERAL	18,121	27,493	0	13,925	3,928	266	0	0	32,194
	7 DAY PRE-GENERAL	20,730	28,668	0	14,292	6,238	0	0	0	24,257
	10 DAY POST-GENERAL	14,066	30,245	0	12,473	1,474	119	0	0	8,079
	88 YEAR END	1,529	4,359	0	1,500	0	29	0	1,151	4,097
	GRAND TOTAL	148,832	143,585	802	110,966	26,219	565	1,414	1,151	4,097
	DISTRICIT E-A TOTALS	186,599	181,353	802	137,501	37,320	565	2,298	1,151	
F-A (R) *	STURGULEWSKI, ARLISS									
	CASH FORWARD									
	PRIMARY TOTAL	24,465	12,575	234	21,133	2,810	288	903	0	11,891
	30 DAY PRE-GENERAL	4,586	3,542	141	3,200	1,245	0	111	0	12,935
	7 DAY PRE-GENERAL	5,726	5,809	(234)	5,700	260	0	0	1,800	11,052
	10 DAY POST-GENERAL	1,030	2,958	0	850	180	0	0	(1,800)	10,924
	88 YEAR END	117	3,670	0	0	0	117	0	0	7,372
	GRAND TOTAL	35,924	28,554	141	30,883	4,495	405	1,014	0	7,372
	DISTRICT F-A TOTALS	35,924	28,554	141	30,883	4,495	405	1,014	0	
G-A (R) *	PEARCE, DRUE									
	CASH FORWARD	1,665								
	PRIMARY TOTAL	81,454	79,113	0	68,531	12,698	225	3,570	5,935	1,930
	30 DAY PRE-GENERAL	43,950	39,900	10,000	32,525	1,425	0	239	(5,935)	8,056
	7 DAY PRE-GENERAL	35,481	31,531	5,000	26,975	3,495	11	310	8,308	3,697
	10 DAY POST-GENERAL	11,901	21,122	0	10,625	1,250	26	0	4,279	(9,802)
	88 YEAR END	1,900	3,016	0	1,900	0	0	0	(133)	(10,785)
	GRAND TOTAL	176,351	174,682	15,000	140,556	18,868	262	4,119	12,454	(10,785)

APOC Campaign Disclosure Report Summary
 STATE SENATE CANDIDATES
 General Election - November 8, 1988

RACE/ SEAT	CANDIDATE	MONETARY CONT.	PAID EXP.	PERSONAL FUNDS	MONETARY OVER \$100	MONETARY \$100 OR <	INTEREST	NON-MON. CONT.	UNPAID EXP/LOANS	SURPLUS/ DEFICIT
G-A (D)	PUTMAN, V. FATE									
	CASH FORWARD									
	PRIMARY TOTAL	16,365	15,679	10,141	3,551	2,673	0	350	0	686
	30 DAY PRE-GENERAL	30,500	13,563	0	25,225	5,275	0	1,300	0	17,623
	7 DAY PRE-GENERAL	28,878	43,557	1,000	21,866	6,012	0	3,237	0	2,944
	10 DAY POST-GENERAL	10,347	10,540	2,500	5,977	1,870	0	0	0	2,751
	88 YEAR END	1,525	2,619	0	1,500	25	0	0	2,171	(515)
	GRAND TOTAL	87,615	85,958	13,641	58,119	15,855	0	4,887	2,171	(515)
G-A	DISTRICT G-A TOTALS	263,966	260,640	28,641	198,675	34,723	262	9,006	14,625	
H-A (R)	PHILLIPS, BRAD									
	CASH FORWARD									
	PRIMARY TOTAL	61,774	58,896	18,667	39,275	3,815	17	1,753	8,800	(5,923)
	30 DAY PRE-GENERAL	14,911	14,049	0	10,911	4,000	0	112	1,000	(6,061)
	7 DAY PRE-GENERAL	16,156	17,405	3,069	9,145	3,941	0	1,296	1,000	(8,310)
	10 DAY POST-GENERAL	6,236	7,280	810	3,836	1,590	0	69	0	(9,354)
	88 YEAR END	290	818	0	315	(25)	0	0	0	(9,882)
	FINAL REPORT	9,808	10,726	9,608	200	0	0	1,000	(10,800)	0
	GRAND TOTAL	109,175	109,174	32,154	63,682	13,321	17	4,230	0	0
H-A (D)	POURCHOT, PAT									
	CASH FORWARD	13,125								
*	PRIMARY TOTAL	73,193	68,113	(3,400)	50,976	22,129	418	1,502	0	18,205
	30 DAY PRE-GENERAL	25,700	11,879	(40)	22,925	2,815	0	197	0	32,026
	7 DAY PRE-GENERAL	8,820	22,959	0	6,785	2,035	0	959	0	17,886
	10 DAY POST-GENERAL	5,134	7,776	(550)	3,650	2,034	0	326	0	15,244
	88 YEAR END	970	822	(100)	250	250	570	50	0	15,392
	GRAND TOTAL	126,942	111,549	(4,090)	84,586	29,263	988	3,034	0	15,392
	DISTRICT H-A TOTALS	236,117	220,723	28,064	148,268	42,584	1,005	7,264	0	
I-A (R)	HALFORD, RICK									
	CASH FORWARD	434								
*	PRIMARY TOTAL	89,959	81,453	0	83,634	6,325	0	795	0	8,940
	30 DAY PRE-GENERAL	3,000	8,117	0	2,900	100	0	0	0	3,824
	7 DAY PRE-GENERAL	3,150	1,152	0	2,800	350	0	0	0	5,822
	10 DAY POST-GENERAL	2,850	3,043	0	2,750	100	0	0	0	5,629
	88 YEAR END	1,300	647	0	1,200	100	0	0	0	6,282
	GRAND TOTAL	100,693	94,412	0	93,284	6,975	0	795	0	6,282

APOC Campaign Disclosure Report Summary
 STATE SENATE CANDIDATES
 General Election - November 8, 1988

RACE/ SEAT	CANDIDATE	MONETARY CONT.	PAID EXP.	PERSONAL FUNDS	MONETARY OVER \$100	MONETARY \$100 OF <	INTEREST	NON-MON. CONT.	UNPAID EXP/LOANS	SURPLUS/ DEFICIT
	DISTRICT I-A TOTAL	100,693	94,412	0	93,284	6,975	0	795	0	
J (R) *	COGHILL, JOHN									
	CASH FORWARD	1,141								
	PRIMARY TOTAL	72,822	71,641	0	64,106	8,716	0	4,923	0	2,321
	30 DAY PRE-GENERAL	9,669	10,168	0	7,650	2,019	0	750	0	1,822
	7 DAY PRE-GENERAL	20,461	19,962	0	15,551	4,910	0	0	0	2,320
	10 DAY POST-GENERAL	8,010	9,612	0	5,150	2,860	0	0	0	719
	88 YEAR END	1,150	1,051	0	1,000	150	0	0	0	818
	GRAND TOTAL	113,253	112,434	0	93,457	18,655	0	5,673	0	818
J (D)	ROLLINS, ELIZABETH									
	CASH FORWARD									
	PRIMARY TOTAL	30,351	29,739	26,541	2,230	1,580	0	0	0	611
	30 DAY PRE-GENERAL	4,750	2,172	1,010	3,400	340	0	0	1,000	2,190
	7 DAY PRE-GENERAL	16,178	15,392	15,338	565	275	0	0	(1,000)	3,975
	10 DAY POST-GENERAL	2,132	3,782	2,017	250	125	0	0	0	2,325
	88 YEAR END									
	GRAND TOTAL	53,411	51,085	44,906	6,445	2,320	0	0	0	2,325
	DISTRICT J TOTALS	166,664	163,519	44,906	99,902	20,975	0	5,673	0	
K-A (R) *	FRANK, STEVE									
	CASH FORWARD	8,780								
	PRIMARY TOTAL	104,187	106,131	5,000	61,829	36,694	664	4,691	3,280	3,556
	30 DAY PRE-GENERAL	20,335	6,608	0	16,200	4,135	0	0	788	16,494
	7 DAY PRE-GENERAL	27,195	26,898	0	20,685	6,510	0	0	12	16,779
	10 DAY POST-GENERAL	826	16,231	(5,000)	4,061	1,765	0	1,383	(2,880)	4,254
	88 YEAR END	320	5,774	0	250	70	0	0	0	(1,199)
	GRAND TOTAL	161,643	161,642	0	103,025	49,174	664	6,074	1,200	(1,199)
K-A (D)	PARR, CHARLES									
	CASH FORWARD									
	PRIMARY TOTAL	20,663	18,057	9,645	2,678	7,675	0	3,894	1,912	692
	30 DAY PRE-GENERAL	12,511	5,959	98	8,585	3,828	0	538	(1,012)	8,257
	7 DAY PRE-GENERAL	14,215	13,903	4,011	5,761	4,443	0	2,057	0	8,569
	10 DAY POST-GENERAL	3,575	12,994	2,006	285	1,284	0	99	0	(851)
	88 YEAR END	1,635	1,377	1,635	0	0	0	0	0	(593)
	GRAND TOTAL	52,599	52,290	17,395	17,309	17,230	0	6,588	900	(593)

APOC Campaign Disclosure Report Summary
 STATE SENATE CANDIDATES
 General Election - November 8, 1988

RACE/ SEAT	CANDIDATE	MONETARY CONT.	PAID EXP.	PERSONAL FUNDS	MONETARY OVER \$100	MONETARY \$100 OR <	INTEREST	NON-MON. CONT.	UNPAID EXP/LOANS	SURPLUS/ DEFICIT
	DISTRICT K-A TOTALS	214,242	213,932	17,395	120,334	66,404	664	12,662	2,100	
L (D) *	ADAMS, AL									
	CASH FORWARD	(330)								
	PRIMARY TOTAL	62,325	56,406	0	53,075	9,186	64	500	32,000	(26,411)
	30 DAY PRE-GENERAL	18,985	12,857	0	15,125	3,860	0	2,415	0	(20,283)
	7 DAY PRE-GENERAL	7,900	1,266	0	7,450	540	0	0	0	(13,559)
	10 DAY POST-GENERAL	8,648	17,286	0	7,850	798	0	0	(11,000)	(11,197)
	88 YEAR END	5,000	10,558	0	5,000	0	0	0	(7,100)	(9,655)
	GRAND TOTAL	102,618	98,373	0	88,500	14,384	64	2,915	13,900	(9,655)
	DISTRICT L TOTALS	102,618	98,373	0	88,500	14,384	64	2,915	13,900	
N (D) *	ZHAROFF, FRED									
	CASH FORWARD	1,707								
	PRIMARY TOTAL	23,582	6,443	17	20,000	5,015	0	1,731	0	18,846
	30 DAY PRE-GENERAL	8,216	1,223	0	7,950	266	0	612	480	24,359
	7 DAY PRE-GENERAL	3,930	780	0	2,950	980	0	52	(139)	28,648
	10 DAY POST-GENERAL	0	1,847	0	0	0	0	0	0	26,801
	88 YEAR END	1,573	4,869	0	1,200	373	0	0	(129)	23,634
	GRAND TOTAL	39,008	15,162	17	32,100	6,634	0	2,395	212	23,634
	DISTRICT N TOTAL	39,008	15,162	17	32,100	6,634	0	2,395	212	
	GENERAL TOTALS TO DATE	1,571,070	1,489,956	119,681	1,126,682	274,640	4,037	51,046	48,377	32,738
	PRIMARY TOTALS OF CANDIDATES NOT IN GENERAL ELECTION	330,274	320,524	72,862	184,704	63,842	1,013	48,046	7,586	2,163
	GRAND TOTALS TO DATE	1,901,344	1,810,480	192,543	1,311,386	338,482	5,050	99,092	55,963	

League updates Campaign Reform Position at State Convention

At the state convention in April, the League of Women Voters of Alaska delegates voted to update the wording of the Campaign Finance Disclosure Position. Following is the updated statement of position. Please contact your local league president for a copy of the entire position, including amplification.

STATEMENT OF POSITION

The League of Women Voters of Alaska favors public disclosure of campaign contributions of money, goods or services as well as the disclosure of financial interests of public officials, both elected and appointed. All disclosure reports should be received, compiled and published by an independent commission, which should have final responsibility for monitoring and enforcement. The League also favors limitations on the length of the time allowed for fund raising, the amount of contributions and, notwithstanding the U.S. Supreme Court decision in Buckley v. Valeo, the amount of expenditures.

The goals of a campaign system should be:

1. To ensure the public's right to know.
2. To combat corruption and undue influence.
3. To enable candidates to compete more equitably for public office.
4. To promote citizen participation in the political process.

"To enable candidates to compete for equitably for public office" reflects two distinct concerns: first, that differences in candidates' personal wealth

and other financial resources not be a necessary consideration in seeking public office; and second, that insofar as possible, there be an equalization of opportunity for challengers and incumbents.

**LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS OF ALASKA
1991-92 STATE BOARD**

PRESIDENT: MARGE HAYS Box 2876, Soldotna, Alaska 99669 /262-5801 (W) 262-3908 (H) 262-9280 Fax

VICE PRESIDENT: JODY MARCELLO 801 Lincoln St., Sitka, AK 99835 747-3623 (H) 747-8672 (W)

SECRETARY: IRENE PEYTON Box 80588 Fairbanks, AK 99708 479-2864(H)

TREASURER: JEAN BUCHANAN 815 W. 19th Ave. Anchorage, AK 99503 279-3910 (H)

DIRECTOR, SOCIAL POLICY: CAROL RICHMOND Box 5438 Ketchikan, AK 99901 225-4794 (H) 225-5171 (W)

DIRECTOR, VOTER SERVICE & NATIONAL HEALTH STUDY: BETTY ELSNER Box 207 Ester, AK 99725 479-2424(H)

DIRECTOR, NATURAL RESOURCES: KAREN WOOD 1237 W. 11th Ave. Anchorage, AK 99501 278-7346(H) 274-3621 (W)

DIRECTOR, INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS: DIXIE WEISS 3220 Foster Ave., Juneau, AK 99801 586-1044(H) 586-2717(W)

VOTER EDITOR: CHARLOTTE FOX, 4336 E. 101st Av., Anchorage, AK 99516 346-2979(H) 272-7546 (W)

LEGISLATIVE LIAISON: VICKY BORREGO 3455 Meander Way, Juneau, AK 99801 789-4531 (W) 789-1764 (H)

**ALASKA LOCAL LEAGUE PRESIDENTS
1991-92**

Anchorage: Cheryl Richardson, 1747 Laurence Court Anchorage, AK 99501 272-0738(H)

Central Kenai Peninsula: Sammy Crawford, 36614 Chinulna Dr. Kenai, AK 99611 283-9271(H)

Juneau: Susanne Williams PO Box 76, Douglas, AK 99824 364-2243(H) 789-6211 (W)

Ketchikan: Marta Poore, Box 9396, Ketchikan, AK 99901/247-8103 (H)

Sitka: Judy Busey 801 Lincoln St., Sitka, AK 99835 747-3447

Tanana Valley: Jennifer Schmidt, Box 82944, Fairbanks, AK 99708 457-2312 (H)

League of Women Voters of Alaska
4336 E. 101st Avenue
Anchorage, AK 99516

NON-PROFIT ORG.U.S. PostagePAID Permit #488 Anchorage, AK



ALASKA PUBLIC OFFICES
COMMISSION

**1990 SUMMARY
OF
CAMPAIGN DISCLOSURE REPORTS**

BY

CANDIDATES

PACS AND POLITICAL PARTIES

Walter J. Hickel
Governor of Alaska

Jack Coghill
Lieutenant Governor

Alaska Public Offices Commission

Jane Behlke, Chair
Denton Pearson, Commissioner
Winston Burbank, Commissioner
Sandra Stillion, Commissioner
Marjorie O'Reilly, Commissioner

Alaska Public Offices Commission Staff

Karen Boorman, Executive Director
Jim Kentch, Assistant Director
Brooke Miles, Administrator, Juneau Branch Office
Gregory L. Granquist, Research Analyst II
Christina L. Ellingson, Administrative Assistant II
Carolyn Crouch, Administrative Assistant II
Nancy Brecks, Secretary
Wynona Sampson, Clerk

This report was prepared by
Greg Granquist and Chris Ellingson

January 30, 1992

For further information,
please call 907-276-4176.

CONTENTS

Gubernatorial and Lt. Gubernatorial Campaign Summary
Alaska State Senate Campaign Summary
Alaska State House Campaign Summary
Cost Comparison of 1990 and 1980 State House Campaigns (Graph)
Group Summary
Comparison of 1974/1982/1990 Campaign Totals

1990 GUBERNATORIAL AND LT. GUBERNATORIAL CAMPAIGN SUMMARY

SHADED - PRIMARY WINNER SHADED & BOLD - GENERAL WINNER
 SURPLUS COLUMN INCLUDES MONEY NEEDED - OR ALREADY WITHDRAWN - TO REPAY PERSONAL CONTRIBUTIONS.
 GENERAL ELECTION TOTALS INCLUDE PRIMARY TOTALS FOR TEAM MEMBERS

CANDIDATES FOR GOVERNOR - PHIMARY ELECTION

PARTY	ELECTION	VOTES	PCT	NAME	INCOME	EXPENSES	\$ PER VOTE	PERSONAL	DEBTS	SURPLUS
AK IND	PRIMARY	3,505	2.4	JUNDAUER, JOHN	272,947	268,745	76.67	262,895	0	(258,093)
AK IND	PRIMARY	402	0.3	DERUSHE, WILLIAM	0	0	0.00	0	0	0
DEM	PRIMARY	36,019	25.3	KNOWLES, TONY	682,469	600,829	19.18	30,000	66,424	(94,784)
DEM	PRIMARY	27,656	19.4	MCALPINE, STEPHEN	666,465	653,223	23.62	11,106	15,741	(13,605)
DEM	PRIMARY	586	0.4	WHITE, RYAL	281	281	0.48	201	0	(281)
REP	PRIMARY	28,908	18.9	STURGULEWSKI, ARLISS	407,285	481,520	17.15	26,183	33,817	(54,238)
REP	PRIMARY	23,442	16.4	CAMPBELL, JAMES	605,053	601,473	25.66	60,677	17,020	(74,116)
REP	PRIMARY	22,466	15.8	HALFORD, RICK	322,438	320,828	14.28	37,200	7,699	(43,381)
REP	PRIMARY	1,025	0.7	WRIGHT, DONALD	0	0	0.00	0	0	0
TOTAL ALL PRIMARY GUBERNATORIAL CANDIDATES (3)					3,026,938	2,996,899	177.04	428,522	140,701	(539,188)

CANDIDATES FOR LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR - PRIMARY ELECTION

PARTY	ELECTION	VOTES	PCT	NAME	INCOME	EXPENSES	\$ PER VOTE	PERSONAL	DEBTS	SURPLUS
AK IND	PRIMARY	5,936	4.3	WARD, JERRY	310	310	0.05	310	1,095	(1,405)
DEM	PRIMARY	38,085	27.9	HENSLEY, WILLIAM	175,751	174,150	4.58	3,505	11,249	(13,153)
DEM	PRIMARY	12,778	9.3	WILLIAMS, JOHN	59,887	59,839	4.68	28,900	0	(28,852)
DEM	PRIMARY	0	0.0	FREDERICKS, GLENN	13,138	13,138	NA	0	0	0
REP	PRIMARY	39,469	28.9	COGHILL, JOHN	102,657	101,649	2.58	100	115	793
REP	PRIMARY	36,620	26.9	KELLY, TIMOTHY	162,874	162,839	4.45	0	1,425	(1,392)
REP	PRIMARY	3,254	2.3	LEVI, STEVEN	1,578	1,646	0.51	188	0	(256)
TOTAL ALL PRIMARY LT. GOV. CANDIDATES (7)					516,195	513,571	16.84	33,003	13,884	(44,265)

CANDIDATE TEAMS FOR GOVERNOR/LT. GOVERNOR - GENERAL ELECTION

PARTY	ELECTION	VOTES	PCT	NAME	INCOME	EXPENSES	\$ PER VOTE	PERSONAL	DEBTS	SURPLUS
AK IND	GENERAL	75,721	38.8	HICKEL/COGHILL	1,180,401	1,179,279	15.57	767,600	22,620	(788,983)
DEM	GENERAL	60,201	30.9	KNOWLES/HENSLEY	1,507,879	1,502,937	24.97	33,505	112,397	(140,959)
GREEN	GENERAL	6,563	3.3	SYKES/CRUMB-LARSON	13,062	13,063	1.99	(462)	0	462
REP	GENERAL	50,991	26.1	STURGULESWKI/CAMPBELL	1,928,325	1,915,985	37.57	118,777	27,185	(134,312)
TPP	GENERAL	942	0.4	O'CALLAGHAN/O'CALLAGHAN	6,040	6,040	6.41	5,990	0	(5,990)
WI	GENERAL	332	0.1	DENARDO, DANIEL	0	0	0.00	0	0	0
TOTAL ALL TEAMS (6)					4,635,707	4,617,304	66.52	925,410	162,202	(1,069,782)

GRAND TOTAL - ALL GOVERNOR AND LT. GOV. CANDIDATES PRIMARY AND GENERAL ELECTIONS (18) 6,740,678 6,699,626 1,327,147 205,182 (1,491,853)

1990 ALASKA STATE SENATE CAMPAIGN SUMMARY

SHADED = ELECTED BOLD = INCUMBENT ITALICS = UNOPPOSED
 SURPLUS COLUMN INCLUDES MONEY NEEDED - OR ALREADY WITHDRAWN - TO REPAY PERSONAL CONTRIBUTIONS.
 GENERAL ELECTION TOTALS INCLUDE PRIMARY ELECTION TOTALS.

DIST	REGION	PARTY	ELECTION	VOTES	PCT	NAME	INCOME	EXPENSES	\$ PER VOTE	PERSONAL	SURPLUS
A	SE-KETCH	DEM	PRIMARY	1,639	38.3	WHITTAKER, RICHARD	7,079	4,655	2.84	1,580	826
A	SE-KETCH	REP	PRIMARY	2,873	63.8	JONES, LLOYD	63,880	32,228	11.22	0	31,652
A	SE-KETCH	DEM	GENERAL	3,029	40.9	WHITTAKER, RICHARD	24,489	25,271	8.34	2,330	(3,826)
A	SE-KETCH	REP	GENERAL	4,344	58.7	JONES, LLOYD	83,435	75,834	17.46	0	7,601
C	SE-JUNEAU	DEM	PRIMARY	6,398	71.3	DUNCAN, JIM	68,974	51,114	7.99	0	17,860
C	SE-JUNEAU	REP	PRIMARY	2,368	28.6	SWANSON, LESLIE	11,453	5,933	2.31	4,475	(3,012)
C	SE-JUNEAU	DEM	GENERAL	8,417	69.4	DUNCAN, JIM	102,810	74,870	8.90	0	27,740
C	SE-JUNEAU	REP	GENERAL	3,637	30.0	SWANSON, LESLIE	10,900	16,899	4.65	5,617	(5,617)
E-B	ANCH	DEM	PRIMARY	5,124	30.2	MENARD, CURT	78,603	77,856	15.10	(8,000)	8,747
E-B	ANCH	DEM	PRIMARY	4,683	27.6	JONES, DOROTHY	44,848	44,776	9.56	8,274	(26,785)
E-B	ANCH	DEM	PRIMARY	1,020	0.0	WICKERSHAM, KIRK	11,184	11,176	10.96	481	(1,393)
E-B	ANCH	DEM	PRIMARY	777	4.5	PALMQUIST, ROSE	5,328	5,010	7.22	4,603	(5,091)
E-B	ANCH	REP	PRIMARY	2,889	17.0	HOVANEK, GARY L.	25,530	25,197	8.72	19,789	(22,264)
E-B	ANCH	REP	PRIMARY	2,427	14.3	BLATCHFORD, EDGAR	27,396	27,396	11.29	6,720	(6,720)
E-B	ANCH	DEM	GENERAL	12,610	52.9	MENARD, CURT	123,338	122,642	9.73	(15,000)	15,696
E-B	ANCH	REP	GENERAL	11,127	46.7	HOVANEK, GARY L.	46,107	46,476	4.18	25,955	(33,318)
F-B	ANCH	REP	PRIMARY	8,379	52.9	COLLINS, VIRGINIA	77,817	76,048	9.08	0	1,769
F-B	ANCH	REP	PRIMARY	7,432	47.0	FAIKS, JAN	163,391	162,712	21.89	(24,100)	22,728
F-B	ANCH	REP	GENERAL	18,541	94.8	COLLINS, VIRGINIA	96,865	85,688	5.18	(5,000)	16,177
G-B	ANCH	DEM	PRIMARY	6,685	57.5	RODEY, PATRICK	54,354	45,821	6.85	(38,000)	46,532
G-B	ANCH	REP	PRIMARY	4,926	42.4	BURTON, RICHARD	6,176	5,901	1.20	126	149
G-B	ANCH	DEM	GENERAL	8,530	53.8	RODEY, PATRICK	95,175	86,188	10.10	(38,000)	36,985
G-B	ANCH	REP	GENERAL	7,280	45.9	BURTON, RICHARD	21,815	21,815	3.00	424	(424)
H-B	ANCH	DEM	PRIMARY	3,552	36.6	HAVELOCK, JOHN	33,486	32,199	9.07	16,117	(16,117)
H-B	ANCH	REP	PRIMARY	6,152	63.3	UEHLING, RICK	111,205	64,487	10.48	(24,509)	68,123
H-B	ANCH	DEM	GENERAL	4,605	34.5	HAVELOCK, JOHN	45,686	45,684	9.92	17,681	(17,681)
H-B	ANCH	GRN	GENERAL	782	5.8	GRAMES, JOHN	1,108	508	0.65	0	600
H-B	ANCH	REP	GENERAL	7,931	59.4	UEHLING, RICK	143,507	138,148	17.42	(27,270)	28,880
I-B	ANCH	DEM	PRIMARY	7,035	46.4	COTTEN, SAM	66,144	45,855	6.52	(3,500)	22,790
I-B	ANCH	REP	PRIMARY	5,215	34.4	FURNACE, WALT	22,939	19,141	3.67	1,000	2,799
I-B	ANCH	REP	PRIMARY	2,888	19.0	BARNES, WILLIAM	6,470	6,470	2.24	2,075	(2,075)
I-B	ANCH	DEM	GENERAL	10,517	50.4	COTTEN, SAM	125,858	126,335	12.01	5,500	(4,925)
I-B	ANCH	REP	GENERAL	10,289	49.3	FURNACE, WALT	78,169	78,664	7.65	3,950	(4,445)
K-B	FBX	DEM	PRIMARY	8,437	60.1	FAHRENKAMP, BETTYE	150,012	74,255	8.80	(3,500)	77,257
K-B	FBX	REP	PRIMARY	5,586	39.8	RYAN, JOE	18,983	17,614	3.15	0	1,368
K-B	FBX	DEM	GENERAL	11,976	58.8	FAHRENKAMP, BETTYE	192,650	159,608	13.33	(3,500)	36,543
K-B	FBX	REP	GENERAL	8,309	40.8	RYAN, JOE	41,878	41,735	5.02	1,200	(1,309)
L	NORTHWEST	DEM	PRIMARY	3,091	67.3	ADAMS, AL	55,783	33,223	10.75	0	22,559
L	NORTHWEST	DEM	PRIMARY	1,497	32.6	SOLOMON, MORGAN	1,870	1,870	1.25	1,870	(1,870)
L	NORTHWEST	DEM	GENERAL	6,086	98.7	ADAMS, AL	79,930	59,979	9.86	(3,700)	23,650
M	NORTHWEST	DEM	PRIMARY	3,128	63.5	HOFFMAN, LYMAN	30,896	28,619	9.15	0	2,277
M	NORTHWEST	DEM	PRIMARY	1,797	36.4	HURLBERT, VERNON	22,414	19,181	10.67	1,568	(768)
M	NORTHWEST	DEM	GENERAL	5,421	83.8	HOFFMAN, LYMAN	48,698	35,574	6.56	0	13,123
M	NORTHWEST	I-REP	GENERAL	1,038	16.0	REAKOFF, RICK	0	0	0.00	0	0

ALL PRIMARY CANDIDATES (25) 1,167,103 919,337 (32,931) 241,421
 AVERAGE PRIMARY WINNER (17) 52,012 37,656 7.47 (2,025) 15,494
 AVERAGE PRIMARY LOSER (8) 35,362 34,899 9.39 186 (2,747)

ALL GENERAL CANDIDATES (19) 1,358,226 1,241,919 (29,813) 135,650
 AVERAGE ELECTED DEMOCRAT (7) 108,322 95,028 10.07 (7,814) 21,259
 AVERAGE ELECTED REPUBLICAN (3) 107,936 99,890 13.35 (10,757) 17,553
 AVERAGE RE-ELECTED INCUMBENT (7) 116,166 102,995 12.72 (9,567) 22,353
 AVG. UNOPPOSED - GEN. ELECTION (2) 88,398 72,834 7.52 (4,350) 19,914
 AVERAGE ELECTED - ANCHORAGE (5) 114,949 111,800 10.89 (15,954) 18,563
 AVERAGE ELECTED - FAIRBANKS (1) 192,650 159,608 13.33 (3,500) 36,543
 AVERAGE ELECTED - SOUTHEAST (2) 93,023 75,352 13.18 0 17,671
 AVERAGE ELECTED - RURAL (2) 64,313 47,777 8.21 (1,850) 18,387
 AVG LOSING CAND. - GENERAL (9) 30,685 30,784 4.82 6,351 (7,313)

GRAND TOTAL - ALL SENATE CANDIDATES
 PRIMARY AND GENERAL ELECTIONS (27) 1,641,125 1,521,110 (28,322) 113,676

1990 ALASKA STATE HOUSE CAMPAIGN SUMMARY

SHADED = ELECTED; BOLD = INCUMBENT; ITALICS = UNOPPOSED
 THE SURPLUS COLUMN INCLUDES MONEY NEEDED - OR ALREADY WITHDRAWN - TO REPAY PERSONAL CONTRIBUTIONS.
 GENERAL ELECTION TOTALS INCLUDE PRIMARY ELECTION TOTALS

DIST	REGION	PARTY	ELECTION	VOTES	PCT	NAME	INCOME	EXPENSES	\$ PER VOTE	PERSONAL	SURPLUS
01A	SE-KETCH	DEM	PRIMARY	1,813	40	YOUNG, PAUL	9,310	5,471	4.67	0	(951)
01A	SE-KETCH	REP	PRIMARY	2,716	60	TAYLOR, ROBIN	22,408	16,285	6.00	0	5,933
01A	SE-KETCH	DEM	GENERAL	3,146	42	YOUNG, PAUL	35,365	35,363	11.24	0	(1,447)
01A	SE-KETCH	REP	GENERAL	4,255	57	TAYLOR, ROBIN	55,385	51,963	12.21	0	3,422
01B	SE-KETCH	REP	PRIMARY	3,361	100	DAVIS, CHERI	19,509	15,919	4.74	5,600	(2,010)
01B	SE-KETCH	IND	GENERAL	2,830	39	CHENHALL, DON	27,805	27,803	9.82	6,881	(6,879)
01B	RE-KETCH	REP	GENERAL	4,437	61	DAVIS, CHERI	38,744	38,225	8.82	5,600	(5,081)
02	SE-PETER	DEM	PRIMARY	1,846	55	MACKIE, JERALD	49,049	42,960	23.27	11,975	(5,887)
02	SE-PETER	DEM	PRIMARY	1,184	36	SHIELDS, FRED	20,037	20,036	16.92	100	(100)
02	SE-PETER	REP	PRIMARY	301	9	FRISBY, PERCY	5,029	4,029	13.39	2,904	(2,188)
02	SE-PETER	DEM	GENERAL	3,149	73	MACKIE, JERALD	74,051	69,545	22.08	11,316	(6,809)
02	SE-PETER	REP	GENERAL	1,118	26	FRISBY, PERCY	8,204	7,767	6.95	5,053	(4,617)
03	SE-SITKA	DEM	PRIMARY	1,922	100	GRUSSENDORF, BEN	36,128	1,684	0.88	0	34,444
03	SE-SITKA	DEM	GENERAL	3,080	94	GRUSSENDORF, BEN	49,428	7,392	2.40	0	42,037
04A	SE-JUNEAU	REP	PRIMARY	6,894	100	HUDSON, BILL	14,595	1,990	0.29	(2,500)	15,106
04A	SE-JUNEAU	REP	GENERAL	9,167	96	HUDSON, BILL	16,030	5,495	0.60	(6,500)	17,036
04B	SE-JUNEAU	DEM	PRIMARY	6,172	69	ULMER, FRAN	38,603	31,140	5.05	0	7,463
04B	SE-JUNEAU	REP	PRIMARY	1,573	18	CRAWFORD, CATHERINE	1,036	1,036	0.66	1,036	(1,036)
04B	SE-JUNEAU	REP	PRIMARY	1,239	14	EPPERLY, GORDON	21	21	0.02	21	(21)
04B	SE-JUNEAU	DEM	GENERAL	8,564	70	ULMER, FRAN	69,492	47,804	5.58	(145)	21,832
04B	SE-JUNEAU	REP	GENERAL	3,555	29	CRAWFORD, CATHERINE	2,361	2,299	0.65	1,261	(1,199)
05A	KENAI	DEM	PRIMARY	4,213	58	NAVARRE, MIKE	52,919	30,203	7.17	0	22,716
05A	KENAI	REP	PRIMARY	3,076	42	SARTAIN, RONALD	2,646	2,307	0.75	0	339
05A	KENAI	DEM	GENERAL	5,803	55	NAVARRE, MIKE	78,694	72,446	12.48	0	6,248
05A	KENAI	N	GENERAL	494	5	SLOAN, LAHRY	200	200	0.40	200	(200)
05A	KENAI	REP	GENERAL	4,270	40	SARTAIN, RONALD	6,305	6,571	1.54	0	(266)
05B	KENAI	DEM	PRIMARY	2,006	27	O'REILLY, MARJORIE	12,042	11,433	5.70	2,768	(2,159)
05B	KENAI	DEM	PRIMARY	1,916	26	WRIGHT, THOMAS	75,322	65,594	34.23	64,500	(55,972)
05B	KENAI	REP	PRIMARY	1,682	23	PHILLIPS, GAIL	23,805	20,206	12.01	3,000	599
05B	KENAI	REP	PRIMARY	1,597	22	KING, MICHAEL	11,659	11,635	7.29	5,455	(5,429)
05B	KENAI	REP	PRIMARY	183	2	SEIP, LARRY	70	70	0.38	70	(70)
05B	KENAI	DEM	GENERAL	4,872	46	O'REILLY, MARJORIE	41,185	40,986	8.41	1,562	(1,362)
05B	KENAI	REP	GENERAL	5,691	54	PHILLIPS, GAIL	53,308	52,950	9.30	(39)	398
06	VALDEZ	AIP	PRIMARY	95	4	ARROWOOD, SAM	0	0	0.00	0	0
06	VALDEZ	DEM	PRIMARY	1,568	60	KUBINA, EUGENE	28,114	25,393	16.19	(1,500)	3,818
06	VALDEZ	REP	PRIMARY	953	36	TAYLOR, JOHN	20,836	13,527	14.19	1,158	5,841
06	VALDEZ	AIP	GENERAL	177	5	ARROWOOD, SAM	350	350	1.98	r	0
06	VALDEZ	DEM	GENERAL	2,147	55	KUBINA, EUGENE	51,846	42,180	19.65	(1,500)	11,160
06	VALDEZ	REP	GENERAL	1,586	40	TAYLOR, JOHN	31,375	27,144	17.11	1,158	3,058
07	ANCH	DEM	PRIMARY	1,661	39	ABNEY, PAT	25,414	17,850	10.75	1,140	6,419
07	ANCH	REP	PRIMARY	2,652	61	ZAWACKI, JIM	37,612	19,570	7.38	(6,000)	24,032
07	ANCH	DEM	GENERAL	2,804	47	ABNEY, PAT	44,701	44,701	15.94	3,092	(3,092)
07	ANCH	REP	GENERAL	3,182	53	ZAWACKI, JIM	63,570	53,433	16.79	(7,000)	17,136
08A	ANCH	DEM	PRIMARY	1,389	16	BRUCKMAN, ELIZABETH	7,970	7,245	5.22	0	724
08A	ANCH	REP	PRIMARY	4,194	49	GARRISON, LIN	61,169	60,101	14.33	42,527	(41,458)
08A	ANCH	REP	PRIMARY	1,133	13	SMITH, DON	3,675	3,480	3.07	375	(180)
08A	ANCH	REP	PRIMARY	1,928	22	DAVIS, EARL	49,148	49,148	25.49	46,000	(46,000)
08A	ANCH	DEM	GENERAL	5,683	52	BRUCKMAN, ELIZABETH	34,032	31,786	5.56	(2,200)	4,445
08A	ANCH	REP	GENERAL	2,955	27	GARRISON, LIN	98,278	98,451	33.32	56,141	(56,315)
08A	ANCH	WI	GENERAL	2,231	21	SMITH, DON	9,616	9,615	4.31	1,165	(1,165)
08B	ANCH	REP	PRIMARY	4,070	51	CHOQUETTE, DAVE	17,828	16,811	4.13	0	1,016
08B	ANCH	REP	PRIMARY	3,923	49	CRAWFORD, JAMES	36,059	36,062	9.19	7,448	(7,448)
08B	ANCH	REP	GENERAL	9,059	97	CHOQUETTE, DAVE	27,902	27,817	3.07	(76)	159
09A	ANCH	DEM	PRIMARY	2,548	33	O'HARE, SEAN	8,321	6,087	2.39	500	1,734
09A	ANCH	REP	PRIMARY	5,063	67	LEMAN, LOREN	35,982	12,434	2.46	3,000	20,548
09A	ANCH	DEM	GENERAL	4,244	41	O'HARE, SEAN	15,876	15,332	3.61	600	(56)
09A	ANCH	REP	GENERAL	5,986	58	LEMAN, LOREN	53,775	31,007	5.20	(2,000)	24,767
09B	ANCH	DEM	PRIMARY	1,568	21	WILSON, ROD	13,710	13,704	8.74	0	6
09B	ANCH	DEM	PRIMARY	827	11	TOWNSEND, MILDRED	2,223	2,160	2.61	0	63
09B	ANCH	REP	PRIMARY	2,802	37	HANLEY, MARK	26,566	25,863	9.23	4,030	(3,327)
09B	ANCH	REP	PRIMARY	2,111	28	ROKEBERG, NORMAN	51,348	51,348	24.32	20,718	(20,718)
09B	ANCH	REP	PRIMARY	269	4	RICHARDSON, SHAWN	2,400	1,645	6.12	1,200	(445)
09B	ANCH	DEM	GENERAL	4,933	48	WILSON, ROD	44,574	44,573	9.04	13,394	(13,394)

1990 ALASKA STATE HOUSE CAMPAIGN SUMMARY

SHADED = ELECTED; BOLD = INCUMBENT; ITALICS = UNOPPOSED
 THE SURPLUS COLUMN INCLUDES MONEY NEEDED - OR ALREADY WITHDRAWN - TO REPAY PERSONAL CONTRIBUTIONS.
 GENERAL ELECTION TOTALS INCLUDE PRIMARY ELECTION TOTALS

DIST	REGION	PARTY	ELECTION	VOTES	PCT	NAME	INCOME	EXPENSES	\$ PER VOTE	PERSONAL	SURPLUS
09B	ANCH	REP	GENERAL	5,274	52	HANLEY, MARK	44,523	44,108	8.36	2,730	(2,312)
10A	ANCH	DEM	PRIMARY	983	15	TUCKER, EDWARD	187	87	0.09	0	100
10A	ANCH	DEM	PRIMARY	800	10	MANGROBANG, ERNIE	493	493	0.73	493	(493)
10A	ANCH	REP	PRIMARY	4,099	63	BAKER, LARRY	23,832	19,818	4.83	0	4,014
10A	ANCH	REP	PRIMARY	768	12	AUSMAN, EARLE	0	0	0.00	0	0
10A	ANCH	DEM	GENERAL	3,239	35	TUCKER, EDWARD	1,502	1,502	0.48	50	(50)
10A	ANCH	REP	GENERAL	5,960	65	BAKER, LARRY	37,680	37,330	6.28	0	349
10B	ANCH	DEM	PRIMARY	2,563	38	PARNELL, KEVIN	41,210	40,899	15.96	3,497	(10,078)
10B	ANCH	REP	PRIMARY	2,170	32	SANDERS, JERRY	16,815	16,715	7.67	12,663	(25,092)
10B	ANCH	REP	PRIMARY	2,068	31	LEE, AUDREY	32,074	32,075	15.36	16,373	(16,373)
10B	ANCH	DEM	GENERAL	4,746	50	PARNELL, KEVIN	64,850	64,801	13.65	3,347	(8,362)
10B	ANCH	REP	GENERAL	4,652	49	SANDERS, JERRY	34,191	34,164	7.34	13,814	(29,888)
11A	ANCH	DEM	PRIMARY	2,930	100	DONLEY, DAVE	94,807	26,388	9.01	0	68,219
11A	ANCH	DEM	GENERAL	4,081	95	DONLEY, DAVE	107,887	30,852	7.56	(2,000)	79,034
11B	ANCH	DEM	PRIMARY	2,121	55	GRUENBERG, JR., MAX	37,323	33,803	15.94	0	4,094
11B	ANCH	REP	PRIMARY	1,768	45	WAGGONER, L. WAYNE	16,957	5,378	3.04	0	11,579
11B	ANCH	DEM	GENERAL	3,112	55	GRUENBERG, JR., MAX	85,364	86,682	27.85	42	(1,932)
11B	ANCH	REP	GENERAL	2,504	44	WAGGONER, L. WAYNE	46,934	46,715	18.66	(6,000)	6,218
12A	ANCH	DEM	PRIMARY	3,123	62	BROWN, KAY	34,361	16,423	5.26	(1,447)	16,413
12A	ANCH	REP	PRIMARY	1,929	38	SCOTT, MIKE	4,955	4,257	2.21	0	697
12A	ANCH	DEM	GENERAL	4,069	61	BROWN, KAY	42,639	41,877	10.29	(10,047)	10,810
12A	ANCH	REP	GENERAL	2,575	39	SCOTT, MIKE	10,889	9,571	3.72	0	1,317
12B	ANCH	DEM	PRIMARY	3,219	64	ELLIS, JOHNNY	18,374	9,634	2.99	(1,800)	9,966
12B	ANCH	REP	PRIMARY	1,799	36	JENKINS, C.E.	1,350	667	0.37	0	683
12B	ANCH	DEM	GENERAL	4,191	64	ELLIS, JOHNNY	14,957	16,274	3.88	(3,000)	18,533
12B	ANCH	REP	GENERAL	2,354	36	JENKINS, C.E.	2,158	2,158	0.92	(242)	242
13A	ANCH	DEM	PRIMARY	2,598	57	FINKELSTEIN, DAVID	48,697	37,464	14.42	(7,648)	17,931
13A	ANCH	DEM	PRIMARY	658	14	SHIELDS, MARY	31,567	31,129	47.31	551	(113)
13A	ANCH	REP	PRIMARY	806	18	YOUNG, EDWARD	10,146	10,093	12.52	417	(1,312)
13A	ANCH	REP	PRIMARY	510	11	SMITH, RANDOLPH	2,440	2,440	4.78	325	(325)
13A	ANCH	DEM	GENERAL	4,225	64	FINKELSTEIN, DAVID	61,534	61,183	14.48	(12,648)	12,771
13A	ANCH	REP	GENERAL	2,331	35	YOUNG, EDWARD	16,001	15,935	6.84	2,152	(3,086)
13B	ANCH	DEM	PRIMARY	2,112	46	SPOHNHOLZ, ANN	53,520	53,435	25.30	0	(1,550)
13B	ANCH	REP	PRIMARY	2,457	54	MARTIN, TERRY	18,226	16,353	6.66	3,658	(1,786)
13B	ANCH	DEM	GENERAL	3,294	50	SPOHNHOLZ, ANN	112,051	112,020	34.01	0	(4,084)
13B	ANCH	REP	GENERAL	3,304	50	MARTIN, TERRY	53,696	53,638	16.23	5,387	(5,329)
14A	ANCH	DEM	PRIMARY	2,391	36	THOMPSON, CLARENCE	1,564	1,402	0.59	358	(197)
14A	ANCH	REP	PRIMARY	4,228	64	BARNES, RAMONA	53,537	25,657	6.07	0	27,880
14A	ANCH	DEM	GENERAL	2,368	29	THOMPSON, CLARENCE	4,689	4,182	1.77	353	149
14A	ANCH	IND	GENERAL	1,071	12	TERRY, KENNETH	1,990	1,881	1.76	1,100	(990)
14A	ANCH	REP	GENERAL	5,261	59	BARNES, RAMONA	93,499	47,477	9.02	0	46,023
14B	ANCH	DEM	PRIMARY	2,443	36	DAVIS, BETTYE	25,247	25,155	10.30	0	(1,589)
14B	ANCH	DEM	PRIMARY	1,366	20	ESTES, JAMES	8,242	8,233	6.03	287	(279)
14B	ANCH	REP	PRIMARY	2,938	44	DICKEY, CHUCK	12,309	11,590	3.94	5,000	(4,281)
14B	ANCH	DEM	GENERAL	4,581	50	DAVIS, BETTYE	68,038	64,673	14.09	0	(1,335)
14B	ANCH	REP	GENERAL	4,497	49	DICKEY, CHARLES	35,371	35,371	7.87	9,400	(9,400)
15A	ANCH	DEM	PRIMARY	2,279	28	GINSBURG, JOHN	5,960	5,607	2.46	1,000	(751)
15A	ANCH	DEM	PRIMARY	1,957	24	PORTER, ROGER	21,338	21,155	10.81	9,861	(10,285)
15A	ANCH	REP	PRIMARY	3,832	47	MILLER, MARY	15,105	14,341	3.74	7,299	(6,536)
15A	ANCH	DEM	GENERAL	5,431	48	GINSBURG, JOHN	36,975	36,019	6.63	1,000	(43)
15A	ANCH	REP	GENERAL	5,925	52	MILLER, MARY	28,822	28,597	4.83	6,106	(3,881)
15B	ANCH	DEM	PRIMARY	3,022	37	ARUNGTON, JIM	22,062	20,535	6.80	10,010	(24,289)
15B	ANCH	REP	PRIMARY	5,172	63	PHILLIPS, RANDY	15,421	11,560	2.24	0	3,860
15B	ANCH	DEM	GENERAL	4,660	41	ARUNGTON, JIM	47,111	46,600	10.00	21,710	(21,198)
15B	ANCH	REP	GENERAL	6,794	59	PHILLIPS, RANDY	32,169	30,200	4.45	0	1,968
16A	MAT-SU	DEM	PRIMARY	1,412	14	CARNEY, PATRICK	10,322	8,244	5.84	3,247	(1,169)
16A	MAT-SU	DEM	PRIMARY	1,063	11	FISHEL, MATTHEW	11,005	11,005	10.35	8,645	(8,645)
16A	MAT-SU	DEM	PRIMARY	816	8	BOYD, ROBERT	2,920	2,707	3.32	2,100	(5,083)
16A	MAT-SU	REP	PRIMARY	3,650	36	BABCOCK, TUCKERMAN	28,212	26,362	7.22	5,511	(4,443)
16A	MAT-SU	REP	PRIMARY	3,124	31	HERMON, JAMES	12,928	11,728	3.75	(330)	1,530
16A	MAT-SU	DEM	GENERAL	7,147	51	CARNEY, PATRICK	58,392	58,042	8.12	3,757	(4,343)
16A	MAT-SU	REP	GENERAL	6,904	49	BABCOCK, TUCKERMAN	60,790	59,605	8.63	12,089	(13,731)

1990 ALASKA STATE HOUSE CAMPAIGN SUMMARY

SHADED = ELECTED; BOLD = INCUMBENT; ITALICS = UNOPPOSED
 THE SURPLUS COLUMN INCLUDES MONEY NEEDED - OR ALREADY WITHDRAWN - TO REPAY PERSONAL CONTRIBUTIONS.
 GENERAL ELECTION TOTALS INCLUDE PRIMARY ELECTION TOTALS

DIST	REGION	PARTY	ELECTION	VOTES	PCT	NAME	INCOME	EXPENSES	\$ PER VOTE	PERSONAL	SURPLUS
16B	MAT-SU	DEM	PRIMARY	5,007	50	LARSON, RONALD	38,928	15,694	3.13	(14,890)	37,833
16B	MAT-SU	REP	PRIMARY	2,009	28	ERIKSSON, CURTIS	4,391	4,299	1.53	2,308	(2,215)
16B	MAT-SU	REP	PRIMARY	2,105	21	HOLMES, DOYLE	0,337	6,337	3.01	4,177	(4,177)
16B	MAT-SU	DEM	GENERAL	7,645	54	LARSON, RONALD	56,723	33,075	4.33	2,985	20,685
16B	MAT-SU	REP	GENERAL	6,423	40	ERIKSSON, CURTIS	7,495	7,495	1.17	1,086	(1,888)
17	INTERIOR	DEM	PRIMARY	639	21	JOHN, RUBY	10,642	8,950	14.02	5,479	(3,792)
17	INTERIOR	REP	PRIMARY	1,488	49	SHULTZ, RICHARD	16,860	14,024	9.83	(4,700)	6,935
17	INTERIOR	REP	PRIMARY	934	31	CARNAHAN, ROBERT	2,860	2,260	2.42	1,600	(1,000)
17	INTERIOR	DEM	GENERAL	1,174	27	JOHN, RUBY	22,475	22,477	19.15	10,994	(10,994)
17	INTERIOR	IND	GENERAL	835	19	WILLIAMS, JR., BILLY	3,176	3,176	3.80	1,471	(1,471)
17	INTERIOR	REP	GENERAL	2,358	54	SHULTZ, RICHARD	38,615	26,251	11.13	(4,700)	17,063
18	FBX	REP	PRIMARY	3,820	100	MILLER, MICHAEL	3,435	1,259	0.33	0	2,176
18	FBX	REP	GENERAL	5,763	95	MILLER, MICHAEL	6,455	6,455	1.12	(2,205)	2,205
19	FBX	DEM	PRIMARY	1,413	33	MOYER, THOMAS	53,025	43,074	30.48	15,500	(5,549)
19	FBX	DEM	PRIMARY	858	20	PARR, CHARLES	7,538	7,539	8.79	2,784	(1,888)
19	FBX	REP	PRIMARY	1,228	28	RYBACHEK, ROSE	19,093	18,040	14.71	1,002	1
19	FBX	REP	PRIMARY	640	15	REEVES, JOHN	14,683	14,683	22.94	0	0
19	FBX	REP	PRIMARY	171	4	AULT, ROBERT	4,771	4,771	27.90	4,771	(4,771)
19	FBX	DEM	GENERAL	3,061	48	MOYER, THOMAS	105,606	103,721	33.88	15,500	(13,015)
19	FBX	IR	GENERAL	732	12	PEIRCE, R. MERRICK	2,661	2,561	3.50	1,691	(1,591)
19	FBX	REP	GENERAL	2,507	40	RYBACHEK, ROSE	55,258	55,258	22.04	(444)	444
20A	FBX	DEM	PRIMARY	1,882	33	EAGLE, FRANKLIN	27,754	18,313	9.73	9,975	(2,035)
20A	FBX	REP	PRIMARY	3,784	67	SHARP, BERT	21,439	11,209	2.96	0	10,230
20A	FBX	DEM	GENERAL	3,398	42	EAGLE, FRANKLIN	52,323	51,951	15.29	24,807	(24,436)
20A	FBX	REP	GENERAL	4,689	58	SHARP, BERT	39,398	36,793	7.85	0	2,605
20B	FBX	DEM	PRIMARY	3,333	58	BOYER, MARK	40,564	30,465	9.14	(1,500)	11,598
20B	FBX	REP	PRIMARY	2,433	42	RAHOI, URBAN	2,815	2,225	0.91	1,800	(1,210)
20B	FBX	DEM	GENERAL	4,540	55	BOYER, MARK	97,980	90,846	20.01	(2,000)	9,132
20B	FBX	REP	GENERAL	3,619	44	RAHOI, URBAN	23,165	23,013	6.36	17,800	(20,760)
21	FBX	DEM	PRIMARY	1,946	55	KOPONEN, NILO	23,036	16,441	8.45	0	6,595
21	FBX	REP	PRIMARY	1,623	45	BENNETT, BEV	11,675	5,403	3.33	100	6,172
21	FBX	DEM	GENERAL	3,149	60	KOPONEN, NILO	62,337	62,304	19.79	8,464	(8,432)
21	FBX	REP	GENERAL	2,085	40	BENNETT, BEV	23,485	19,046	9.13	100	4,339
22	NORTHWEST	DEM	PRIMARY	2,135	100	MACLEAN, EILEEN	46,119	42,659	19.98	0	(2,103)
22	NORTHWEST	DEM	GENERAL	3,144	99	MACLEAN, EILEEN	59,876	59,561	18.94	(1,500)	1,815
23	NORTHWEST	DEM	PRIMARY	2,189	100	FOSTER, RICHARD	25,602	8,381	3.83	0	17,221
23	NORTHWEST	DEM	GENERAL	3,168	99	FOSTER, RICHARD	46,955	27,451	8.67	0	19,504
24	NORTHWEST	DEM	PRIMARY	620	26	WALLIS, KAY	11,249	6,565	10.59	727	2,838
24	NORTHWEST	DEM	PRIMARY	540	22	BEANS, SR., ROBERT	9,775	7,737	14.33	1,896	141
24	NORTHWEST	DEM	PRIMARY	209	9	WALTON, B.R. WALT	1,350	233	1.11	100	1,017
24	NORTHWEST	REP	PRIMARY	460	19	LINCOLN, GEORGIANNA	4,447	2,780	6.04	2,580	(1,232)
24	NORTHWEST	REP	PRIMARY	420	17	HOLMBERG, ERNEST	2,972	2,758	6.57	1,917	(1,703)
24	NORTHWEST	REP	PRIMARY	182	7	WRIGHT, JULES	0	0	0.00	0	0
24	NORTHWEST	DEM	GENERAL	1,391	42	WALLIS, KAY	27,973	21,163	15.21	877	4,814
24	NORTHWEST	REP	GENERAL	1,899	58	LINCOLN, GEORGIANNA	23,134	19,348	10.19	0	3,759
25	NORTHWEST	DEM	PRIMARY	1,389	55	IVAN, IVAN	11,331	9,208	6.63	0	2,123
25	NORTHWEST	DEM	PRIMARY	1,030	41	HERRON, ROBERT	10,594	10,595	10.29	(412)	412
25	NORTHWEST	DEM	GENERAL	2,901	98	IVAN, IVAN	18,256	11,604	4.00	0	6,652
26	NORTHWEST	DEM	PRIMARY	1,265	55	JACKO, JR., GEORGE	24,717	13,795	10.91	1,478	9,028
26	NORTHWEST	DEM	PRIMARY	462	20	KRTZ, MOSES	750	620	1.34	0	130
26	NORTHWEST	REP	PRIMARY	567	25	WILSON, LYDIA	3,631	3,631	6.40	3,531	(5,101)
26	NORTHWEST	DEM	GENERAL	2,545	70	JACKO, JR. GEORGE	26,096	25,268	9.93	(10,321)	11,118
26	NORTHWEST	REP	GENERAL	1,096	30	WILSON, LYDIA	3,631	3,631	3.31	3,531	(5,101)
27	KODIAK	DEM	PRIMARY	1,041	55	DAVIDSON, CLIFF	31,179	11,706	11.24	(1,525)	20,997
27	KODIAK	REP	PRIMARY	846	45	AUSTERMAN, ALAN	5,775	4,831	5.71	0	944
27	KODIAK	DEM	GENERAL	2,607	56	DAVIDSON, CLIFF	48,975	38,930	14.17	(8,525)	20,569
27	KODIAK	REP	GENERAL	1,609	44	AUSTERMAN, ALAN	21,750	21,012	13.06	0	738

ALL PRIMARY CANDIDATES (104)	2,055,206	1,561,385		334,283	97,114
AVERAGE PRIMARY WINNER (72)	22,481	15,857	7.57	1851	3,963
AVERAGE PRIMARY LOSER (32)	13,644	13,116	10.34	6,282	(5,882)


1990 ALASKA STATE HOUSE CAMPAIGN SUMMARY

SHADED = ELECTED; BOLD = INCUMBENT; ITALICS = UNOPPOSED
 THE SURPLUS COLUMN INCLUDES MONEY NEEDED - OR ALREADY WITHDRAWN - TO REPAY PERSONAL CONTRIBUTIONS.
 GENERAL ELECTION TOTALS INCLUDE PRIMARY ELECTION TOTALS.

DIST	REGION	PARTY	ELECTION	VOTES PCT	NAME	INCOME	EXPENSES	\$ PER VOTE	PERSONAL	SURPLUS
			ALL GENERAL CANDIDATES (78)			3,108,952	2,735,043		197,459	151,802
			AVERAGE ELECTED DEMOCRAT (23)			80,087	49,839	13.11	(369)	10,909
			AVERAGE ELECTED REPUBLICAN (17)			41,571	34,770	7.06	(159)	6,058
			AVERAGE ELECTED CANDIDATE (40)			52,218	43,435	10.92	(280)	9,230
			AVERAGE RE-ELECTED INCUMBENT (27)			53,782	41,595	10.86	(1,012)	14,693
			AVG. UNOPPOSED-GEN. ELECTION (9)			41,590	22,078	5.70	(1,535)	21,055
			AVERAGE ELECTED - ANCH (17)			53,702	44,220	10.10	(1,250)	11,180
			AVERAGE ELECTED - FAIRBANKS (5)			62,355	60,024	16.53	3,952	(1,021)
			AVERAGE ELECTED - RURAL (12)			46,739	38,759	10.91	(1,055)	9,552
			AVERAGE ELECTED - SOUTHEAST (8)			50,522	36,737	8.58	1,712	12,073
			AVG. LOSING CANDIDATE - GENERAL			28,848	26,254	9.08	5,491	(5,721)
GRAND TOTAL - ALL HOUSE CANDIDATES										
PRIMARY AND GENERAL ELECTIONS (109)						3,541,876	3,151,260		398,109	(36,243)

Note: 28 incumbents sought re-election. 27 were re-elected.
 Of 81 non-incumbent candidates, 13 were elected (1 in 6).

Cost of Primary Campaign & General Victory (House Seat) 1980 vs. 1990



GROUP SUMMARY

320 groups filed campaign disclosure reports with the Public Offices Commission between July 1989 and June 1991 (during FY 90 & 91). This summary was prepared from those reports and covers the calendar years 1989 and 1990. Statewide campaigns occur over two year cycles and include pre-election and post election fundraising. The information in this summary includes nearly all the campaign contributions and expenses for the 1990 Primary and General elections, and the 1989 and 1990 municipal elections. The summary does not include 1991 campaign activity.

The Alaska Campaign Disclosure Law defines a "group" as "two or more persons...acting jointly, who take action the major purpose of which is to influence the outcome of an election". Groups report their income and expenditures in detail using the same format and following the same reporting schedule as candidates.

Groups may be sorted into three categories, according to their goals:

- * PACs support candidates who favor their special interests;
- * Ballot issue groups and sponsors (of initiatives, referendums, or recalls) support or oppose ballot measures;
- * Political parties promote a political philosophy and may support or oppose candidates, organize voters, and support or oppose ballot issues.

The overview section at the beginning of the group summary provides totals for 15 different categories of groups, such as labor organization PACs and trade association PACs. For each category, there is a subsection of the summary wherein individual groups' totals are listed. There are columns for total funds (income), total spent (expenditures), closing surplus (as of 12/31/90), and total contributions by the group to candidates. Each group is ranked against the other 319 groups - comparing total expenses, surplus, and contributions to candidates. The top ten groups in any column are shaded and in bold print.

The number of groups - and group campaign spending - reached a plateau in Alaska during the 1980s. Currently, about 225 groups register annually with the Commission. Of these, about 50 groups register for the first time while about 50 groups disband - usually in connection with upcoming or past ballot measures. Groups contributed \$ 1,546,000 to candidates over the 1989-90 election cycle and provided 15% of candidates' campaign funds. PACs gave 71% of the group total and political parties 29%. Political parties usually do not contribute to candidates until after a Primary election while many PACs contribute nearly equal amounts in each year of an election cycle.

To obtain a complete picture of contributions to candidates by corporations and other individuals, see the APOC Contributor (15-5s) Summary. Many corporations contribute directly to candidates without forming groups. Some businesses directly contribute as much to candidates as the most active PACs (about \$ 50,000 over a two year period).

**FY 90-91 GROUP
(PAC and POLITICAL PARTY)
SUMMARY**

OVERVIEW Type	Number in Category	Income Expenses		12/31/90		Gave to		
		AM (Includes Non-Monetary)	All Monetary	% Surplus	%	Candidates	%	
1. Corporation PACs	35	107,266	106,349	2%	918	0%	82,138	5%
2. Labor Organization PACs	46	1,283,533	1,157,983	21%	125,998	33%	487,838	32%
3. Special Interest PACs	40	423,690	373,760	7%	49,831	13%	168,880	11%
4. Trade Assn PACs	32	872,702	541,388	10%	131,332	34%	349,308	23%
5. Candidate Controlled Groups	17	23,928	19,991	0%	3,936	1%	2,805	0%
PACS	170	2,513,139	2,199,431	39%	312,113	82%	1,090,767	71%

6. Referendum Sponsors	1	0	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7. Initiative Sponsors	12	587,198	572,551	10%	14,853	4%	0	0%
8. Recall Sponsors	1	0	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
9. Ballot Issue Groups	57	783,205	783,270	14%	(18,070)	-5%	565	0%
BALLOT ISSUES AND SPONSORS	71	1,352,403	1,355,821	24%	(3,417)	-1%	565	0%

10. Ak Independence Party	1	17,002	18,100	0%	902	0%	8,099	1%
11. Ak Libertarian Party	1	58,480	80,071	1%	(1,582)	-0%	0	0%
12. Democratic Party	33	1,048,388	1,013,368	18%	34,998	8%	262,858	17%
13. Democratic affiliate	5	8,380	8,232	0%	3,148	1%	1,000	0%
14. Republican Party	33	862,538	828,001	17%	33,538	8%	181,348	12%
15. Republican Affiliate	8	11,000	8,880	0%	2,020	1%	1,500	0%
POLITICAL PARTIES	79	2,106,776	2,033,752	36%	73,020	19%	454,801	29%

ALL GROUPS	320	5,972,318	5,589,004	100	381,716	100	1,546,133	100
-------------------	------------	------------------	------------------	------------	----------------	------------	------------------	------------

1. Corporation PACS		Total Funds	Total Spent	Rank (Tied w/)	12/31/90 Surplus	Rank (Tied w/)	Gave to Cands	Rank (Tied w/)
AETNA LIFE AND CASUALTY CO. PAC	89-90	14,700	14,700	77	0	178(122)	13,700	39
ALLSTATE INSURANCE CO/WPANY	1990	400	400	232(2)	0	178(122)	400	137(3)
ARCO PAC, Atlantic Richfield Company	89-90	0	0	265(56)	0	178(122)	0	150(171)
ASSN. OF VILLAGE COUNCIL PRESIDENTS	1990	3,750	3,750	140	0	178(122)	3,750	79
ATLA'S ASSOCIATES	1990	0	0	265(56)	0	178(122)	0	150(171)
AT&T WESTERN REGION PAC	89-90	1,350	1,350	184	0	178(122)	1,350	108(2)
BICOASTAL CORP. PAC	1990	1,000	1,000	192(7)	0	178(122)	1,000	113(10)
BPA-PAC (THE BP AMERICA PAC)	89-90	0	0	265(56)	0	178(122)	0	150(171)
BRADBURY, BUSS & RORDAN PAC	1990	3,112	607	215	2,505	44	500	128(7)
BRISTOL BAY NATIVE CORPORATION PAC	89-90	3,400	3,211	148	188	132	3,150	86
CRUPAC (COOK INLET REGION INC.)	89-90	19,145	19,148	68	0	178(122)	1,843	100
COMMITTEE FOR NERCO OIL & GAS	1990	0	0	265(56)	0	178(122)	0	150(171)
CSX GOOD GOVERNMENT FUND	1990	0	0	265(56)	0	178(122)	0	150(171)
EXOPAC (EXXON CORP.)	1990	1,000	1,000	192(7)	0	178(122)	1,000	113(10)
GREAT WESTERN FINANC. CORP. GOOD GOV. COMM.	1990	2,000	2,000	169(3)	0	178(122)	2,000	94(6)
HALLIBURTON PAC	1990	1,000	1,000	192(7)	0	178(122)	1,000	113(10)
KEY BANCSHARES OF AK PAC (STATE)	89-90	425	425	227	0	178(122)	0	150(171)
LOEWS / LORILLARD PUBLIC AFFAIRS COMM	1989	0	0	265(56)	0	178(122)	0	150(171)
MAPCO EMPLOYEES PAC	1990	0	0	265(56)	0	178(122)	0	150(171)
MARATHON OIL CO EMPLOYEES PAC (MEPAQ)	89-90	8,150	8,150	99	0	178(122)	9,150	51
MAXUS ENERGY PAC	1989	0	0	265(56)	0	178(122)	0	150(171)
NERCO MINERALS PAC (NEMPAC)	89-90	800	800	207	0	178(122)	800	124
NORTH STATE PAC	1990	0	0	265(56)	0	178(122)	0	150(171)
OREGON NERCO EMPLOYEES PAC	1990	0	0	265(56)	0	178(122)	0	150(171)
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE	1990	0	0	265(56)	0	178(122)	0	150(171)
PACIFIC ENTERPRISES PAC	1989	0	0	265(56)	0	178(122)	0	150(171)
PEGASUS ALASKA PAC	89-90	0	0	265(56)	0	178(122)	0	150(171)
PERONS COIE PAC	89-90	2,512	2,500	153(2)	12	172	2,000	94(6)
POTLATCH PAC	1990	20,310	17,785	69	2,545	43	18,750	30
PTEH CON. POL. COMM. (LAW OFF OF PRESTON ET AL.)	89-90	800	800	200	0	178(122)	800	123
SALOMON BROTHERS INC PAC	89-90	2,000	2,000	169(3)	0	178(122)	2,000	94(6)
SEAPAC	89-90	8,887	13,145	82	(4,458)	312	8,245	50
TEXCO POL. INVOLV. COMM OF AK	89-90	10,875	10,750	90	125	141	10,750	47
TOTEM EXPRESS/FOSS MARITIME PAC/TOTE/FOSS PAC	89-90	750	750	208	0	178(122)	750	125(2)
VOLUNTARY CONTRIBUTORS FOR BETTER GOV.	89-90	0	0	265(56)	0	178(122)	0	150(171)
Total - 35 Corporation PACS :		107,266	106,349		918		82,138	

2. Labor PACS

Name of Group	Period	Total Funds	Total Spent	Rank (tied w/)	12/31/90 Surplus	Rank (tied w/)	Gave to Cands.	Rank (tied w/)
AK COMMUNITY COLLEGES' FED. OF TEACHERS	89-90	197	0	265(59)	197	131	0	160(171)
AK DIST. COUNCIL OF LAB. PUB. REL. FUND	89-90	42,317	41,879	37	637	89	10,000	49
AK IRONWORKERS PAC	89-90	7,589	8,893	115	877	81	3,825	78
AK LABORERS' POLITICAL & EDUC. COMM.	89-90	50,583	48,735	33	1,648	53	33,310	11
AK NURSES PAC	89-90	1,060	1,064	189	28	185	0	160(171)
AK ST AFL-CIO/COPE	89-90	72,813	81,356	25	11,457	10	467	135
ALL 341 PEC (AK LABORERS LOCAL 341)	89-90	118,702	111,632	8	4,069	31	50,450	6
ANCHORAGE EDUC. ASSN PACE	89-90	8,871	8,729	103	142	140	3,250	84
ANCHORAGE FIREFIGHTERS' PAC	89-90	4,324	3,422	144	002	79(2)	3,200	85
APEA, JUNEAU GGU EPIC	89-90	381	381	234	0	178(122)	0	150(171)
APEA, NOME CHAPT EPIC	89-90	1,487	0	265(59)	1,488	60	0	150(171)
APEA, STATEWIDE EPIC	89-90	85,430	78,288	18	7,142	17	11,400	45
ASBEA AFSCME LOCAL 62 PAC	1990	18,000	18,780	69	250	128	18,780	25
A.L.I.V.E. - REGULAR	89-90	80,698	70,088	17	14	170	63,300	3
A.L.I.V.E. - VOLUNTARY	89-90	152,373	162,227	5	(0,854)	317	0	150(171)
CARPENTERS LOC. 1281 LEG. COMM.	89-90	25,185	21,050	50	4,114	30	15,000	35
COALITION OF MUNICIPAL UNION PAC	1990	0	0	265(59)	0	178(122)	0	150(171)
COUNCIL FOR INFORMED VOTERS IN KODIAK (C.I.V.I.K.)	89-90	198	18	263	180	133	0	150(171)
EDUCATION SUPPORT STAFF ASSN	89-90	17,014	14,888	78	2,147	47	3,800	78
FAIRBANKS CENTRAL LABOR COUNCIL AFL-CIO	89-90	51,284	41,240	38	10,055	12	12,980	43
FAIRBANKS EDUCATION ASSN PACE	89-90	11,290	10,206	84	1,074	73	8,208	80
FIREFIGHTERS LEG. ACTION COMM.	89-90	8,998	5,344	123	1,852	55	3,300	83
IAMA LOC. 601 (INT. ASSN. MACH. & AEROSPACE WKRS)	1989	13,538	7,102	113	8,436	20	3,600	81
IBEW LOCAL 1547 PAC	89-90	133,953	106,742	11	28,213	2	74,733	1
IBU-AK REGION PAC	1990	10,980	8,100	119	4,888	24	8,100	62
JUNEAU CENTRAL LABOR COUNCIL PAC AFL-CIO	1990	5,460	5,301	124	150	138	5,117	68
JUNEAU EDUCATION ASSOCIATION	89-90	8,000	8,444	117	457	108	2,650	81
KENAI PENIN. EDUC. ASSN PACE	89-90	2,134	1,487	179	687	88	750	125(2)
KENAI PEN. CEN. LABOR COUNCIL COPE	89-90	2,149	1,388	183	780	86	1,350	107
KETCHIKAN EDUCATION ASSN PACE	89-90	58	0	265(59)	58	191	0	150(171)
LOCAL 1140 PAC (PAINTERS)	89-90	35,130	35,108	44	28	164	4,200	71
MARINE ENGINEERS BENEFICIAL ASSN PAC	89-90	0	0	265(59)	0	178(122)	0	150(171)
MAT-SU EDUCATIONAL ASSN PACE	89-90	3,272	2,839	149	333	119	1,520	106
NEA-ALASKA PACE	89-90	133,101	108,618	9	24,482	4	46,375	8
PLUMBERS LOCAL 444 LOCAL POLITICAL FUND	1990	1,000	1,000	192(7)	0	178(122)	1,000	113(10)
PLUMBERS & PIPEFITTERS LOCAL 262 PAC	89-90	1,582	1,525	176	87	159	0	150(171)
PLUMBERS & PIPEFITTERS LOCAL 375 (PAC)	1990	55,778	52,238	29	3,540	38	28,500	14
PLUMBERS & STEAMFITTERS LOCAL 342 PAC	1990	1,000	1,000	192(7)	0	178(122)	1,000	113(10)
PLUMBERS & STEAMFITTERS LOCAL 367 PAC	89-90	42,898	42,832	30	188	135	28,550	17
PLUMBING & PIPEFITTING INDUSTRY LOCAL 343 PAC	1990	500	500	221(3)	0	178(122)	500	128(7)
PUBLIC EMPLOYEES LOCAL 71 POLITICAL	89-90	85,538	50,347	32	15,191	7	33,150	12
RAILROAD PAC	89-90	190	88	258	124	142(2)	0	150(171)
ROOFERS' POL. EDUC. & LEG. FUND	89-90	2,800	2,800	154	0	178(122)	2,800	82
UFCW ALASKAN ACTIVE BALLOT CLUB	89-90	5,088	5,001	128	88	158	4,700	70
VALDEZ AM. FED. OF TEACHERS COPE	89-90	3,805	3,248	145	558	98	0	150(171)
VALDEZ COALITION	89-90	3,995	2,827	153	1,388	63	1,000	113(10)
Total - 46 Labor PACS		1,285,553	1,157,963		125,996		487,636	

3. Special Interest PACS

Name of Group	Period	Total Funds	Total Spent	Rank (tied w/)	12/31/90 Surplus	Rank (tied w/)	Gave to Cands.	Rank (tied w/)
AK AMNESIA ASSOCIATION	90	5,248	5,245	125	3	177	0	150(171)
AK CONSERVATIVE PAC	89-90	10,399	15,821	72	(5,522)	313	12	149
AK ENVIRONMENTAL PAC	89-90	10,473	7,311	111	3,162	38	0	150(171)
AK FAMILY PAC	1990	273	273	233	0	178(122)	0	150(171)
AK N.O.W.	1988	98	98	254	0	178(122)	0	150(171)
AK PUBLIC SAFETY PAC	89-90	58,587	57,472	27	1,113	70	28,850	18
AK RESOURCES FOR ALASKANS (ARA-PAC)	89-90	8,770	8,320	104	450	109	8,250	59
AK RIGHT TO LIFE PAC	1990	2,250	2,240	163	10	174(2)	1,120	112
AK WOMEN'S POLITICAL CAUCUS PAC	89-90	15,892	14,888	75	808	84	8,951	57
ALASKAN FRONTIERSMAN PAC	1990	1,087	1,430	181	587	97	1,150	111
ALASKANS FOR LIFE PAC	1990	5,780	5,699	120	81	180	150	142
ALASKANS FOR THE FUTURE	1988	38	0	265(59)	38	162	0	150(171)
ALEUTIANS EAST FISH	1990	1,325	1,312	185	13	171	1,000	113(10)
AMERICANS FOR FREE MARKET ACCESS	89-90	5,516	5,516	122	0	178(122)	5,518	66
ANCHORAGE COALITION	1989	412	412	230	0	178(122)	0	150(171)
ASSN. TO PROTECT THE PERMANENT FUND	1989	217	217	243	0	178(122)	0	150(171)
CARENET	1990	0	0	265(59)	0	178(122)	0	150(171)
CHRISTIAN CIVIC COUNCIL	89-90	870	858	202	12	173	0	150(171)
COMMITTEE FOR SENSIBLE GOVERNMENT	1990	22,854	22,854	57	0	178(122)	0	150(171)
CONSERVATIVE CIVIC COMMITTEE	1990	240	240	240	0	178(122)	0	150(171)
CONSUMERS OPPOSED TO SPECIAL TAXES	1990	578	481	224	88	153	100	148(3)
EMS-PAC	89-90	541	400	232(2)	141	99	400	137(3)
FISH AND GAME FUND	1990	21,870	18,284	64	2,686	40	18,250	32
FRIENDS FOR EDUCATION	1990	1,852	0	265(59)	1,852	52	0	150(171)
GATEWAY RECREATION COALITION	1990	1,400	811	205	589	92	0	150(171)
ICE BLOCK COMMITTEE	89-90	52,075	40,098	39	11,980	9	28,331	18
INTERIOR TAXPAYERS ASSN., INC.	89-90	37,204	35,553	41	1,650	56	0	150(171)
INUUPAC	89-90	44,880	44,827	35	(137)	102	28,350	15
KODPAC	89-90	5,233	4,384	134	838	83	0	150(171)
NAT. WOMEN'S POL. CAUCUS CAMP. SUPPORT COMM.	1990	0	0	265(59)	0	178(122)	0	150(171)
NRA POLITICAL VICTORY FUND	89-90	500	500	221(3)	0	178(122)	500	128(7)

PERSONAL LIBERTIES COMM. PAC		88-89	43,071	23,878	85	19,398	5	0,100	81
PROFESSIONAL REHABILITATION ORGANIZATION	1990	443	30,628	24,438	249(2)	313	121	0	150(171)
RESIDENTS FOR RESPONSIBLE LAND USE	1989	537	532	532	214	(94)	301	0	150(171)
BNOWPAC	1990	89,078	48,027	34	0,048	14	32,000	13	150(171)
STANDING TOUGH ON PRINCIPLES (STOP)	1990	500	58	257	448	110	0	160(171)	13
ST. GEORGE ISLAND PAC	1990	4,900	4,578	131	21	167	3,850	75	150(171)
TANANA VALLEY VOTERS ASSN	1990	0	0	265(58)	0	178(122)	0	150(171)	75
WOMEN'S CAMPAIGN FUND	88-89	2,000	2,000	169(3)	0	178(122)	2,000	94(8)	150(171)
Total - 39 Special Interest PACS			423,690	373,760		49,931		168,880	

4. Trade Association Pacs		Period	Total Funds	Total Spent	Rank (tied w/)	12/31/90 Surplus	Rank (tied w/)	Gave to Cands	Rank (tied w/)
ACRE/CARE		88-89	24,438	24,438	53	15,088	8	14,000	30
AK AIR CARRIERS PAC(AAC-PAC)	89-89	28,704	19,420	62	7,284	16	19,400	22	
AK BULD PAC	88-89	27,704	25,805	52	2,039	50	18,300	31	
AK BUSINESS PAC	89-89	34,820	23,787	54	10,829	11	8,600	58	
AK CHARITABLE GAMES ASSOCIATION	88	89	0	265(58)	89	157	0	150(171)	
AK CHIROPRACTIC PAC	89-89	21,043	10,162	65	1,882	51	18,800	24	
AK COALITION OF SMALL BUSINESSES	88	0	2,428	159	(2,428)	311	0	150(171)	
AK CREDIT UNION PAC	89-89	1,788	0	265(58)	1,788	54	0	150(171)	
AK DENTAL PAC (DENT. OF AK.)	88-89	21,220	18,887	70	4,553	28	11,250	46	
AK DOCTORS OF OPTOMETRY	88	16,720	15,329	74	1,391	62	15,200	34	
AK LIFE UNDERWRITERS PAC	89-89	13,858	13,550	81	304	122	13,550	40	
AK MEDICAL PAC	89-89	18,394	16,039	87	354	117	13,200	41	
AK MINERS ASSN PAC	89-89	11,081	11,137	83	(48)	300	8,000	54	
AK OPHTHALMOLOGY PAC (AK EYEPAC)	1988	4,808	0	265(58)	4,808	23	0	150(171)	
AK REALTORS PAC	89-89	33,259	28,158	51	7,100	18	18,498	28	
AK SKIES ASSN-LOCAL	89-89	20,875	18,410	63	1,265	68	5,825	85	
AK SOCIETY OF INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS	1990	284	4	264	280	123	0	150(171)	
AK ST. HOSPITAL & NURSING HOME ASSN PAC 1	1990	11,837	11,353	88	585	94	10,200	48	
AK TELEPHONE ASSN PAC	89-89	32,472	27,852	50	4,821	25	14,250	37	
AK VISITORS ASSN TRAVEL PAC	88-89	153,288	105,118	12	48,170	1	67,750	2	
AK WINE & SPIRITS WHOLESALERS ASSN	89-89	18,500	15,802	73	3,698	34	15,750	33	
AMERICAN DENTAL PAC	1988	0	0	265(58)	0	178(122)	0	150(171)	
AMERICAN FEDERATION FOR TEACHERS	1990	0	0	265(58)	0	178(122)	0	150(171)	
AMERICAN INSURANCE ASSN	1988	1,900	1,900	173	0	178(122)	1,900	101	
ASSOC. GEN. CONTRACTORS PAC	89-89	67,371	60,228	15	8,144	15	42,500	9	
BUILDING INDUSTRY ASSN OF ANCH BULD PAC	89-89	30,878	30,780	48	118	148	19,184	23	
FISHPAC	88-89	1,088	2,186	184	(1,098)	308	0	150(171)	
HEALTH ASSOCIATION OF AK PAC #1 [For Candidates]	1997	8,533	2,957	148	8,598	19	1,250	110	
HEALTH ASSOCIATION OF AK PAC #2 [For Tort Reform]	1988	1,384	30	259	1,353	64	0	150(171)	
JUNEAU BLD. & CONSTR. TRADE PAC	89-89	14,838	14,512	79	124	142(2)	13,000	42	
TROLLPAC	88-89	5,580	3,538	142	2,054	48	2,800	89	
UTILITY CONTRS. OF AK INC. PAC	89-89	138	118	253	19	168	100	142(2)	
Total - 32 Trade Association Pacs			672,702	541,368		131,332		349,308	

5. Candidate Controlled		Period	Total Funds	Total Spent	Rank (tied w/)	12/31/90 Surplus	Rank (tied w/)	Gave to Cands	Rank (tied w/)
ALASKANS TO DRAFT WALLY HICKEL FOR GOV.	1990	2,020	2,020	168	0	178(122)	0	150(171)	
ALL THE PEOPLE CONCERNED FOR AK'S FUTURE	1990	0	0	265(58)	0	178(122)	0	150(171)	
CITIZENS TO RETAIN RABINOWITZ	1989	2,858	2,858	152	0	178(122)	0	150(171)	
COMMITTEE TO DRAFT 'BULL MOOSE'	1990	1,478	1,478	178	0	178(122)	334	140	
COMM. TO DRAFT BULL MOOSE/TUCKERMAN BABCOCK	1989	2,408	1,080	190	1,348	68	0	150(171)	
COTTON SUPPORTERS	1990	222	222	242	0	178(122)	0	150(171)	
FAIRBANKS FRIENDS OF JAY RABINOWITZ	1989	1,500	1,395	182	104	149	0	150(171)	
FRIENDS OF ARLISS & JIM	1990	80	80	255	0	178(122)	0	150(171)	
PCT. 3 COMM. TO ELECT STURGEON WSK/CAMPBELL	1990	202	202	244	0	178(122)	0	150(171)	
PEOPLE CONCERNED FOR ALASKA'S FUTURE	1988	2,334	2,334	161	0	178(122)	0	150(171)	
PRO-CHOICE AK FOR WALLY HICKEL	1990	574	574	218	0	178(122)	0	150(171)	
REPUBLICANS FOR DAVE DONLEY	88-89	2,808	128	251	2,483	45	0	150(171)	
SEWARD CITIZENS FOR BETTER GOVERNMENT	1990	362	362	235	0	178(122)	0	150(171)	
STEVENS FOR SENATE COMM.	1990	6,771	6,771	114	0	178(122)	1,771	103	
TEACHERS IN SUPPT. OF MILLI MARTIN (P. BANKS SCH)	1989	130	130	249(2)	0	178(122)	130	145	
VETERANS FOR FINKELSTEIN	1989	570	570	217	0	178(122)	570	127	
Total - 18 Candidate Controlled			23,928	19,991		3,936		2,805	

6. Referendum 7. Initiative 8. Recall		Period	Total Funds	Total Spent	Rank (tied w/)	12/31/90 Surplus	Rank (tied w/)	Gave to Cands	Rank (tied w/)
AK PUBLIC INTEREST RESEARCH GROUP	1990	100	0	265(58)	100	150(2)	0	150(171)	
ALASKANS FOR ALASKA'S RAILROAD	1990	70,228	68,705	23	3,521	37	0	150(171)	
ALASKANS FOR FAIR COMPETITION	88-89	112,128	112,126	7	0	178(122)	0	150(171)	
ALASKANS FOR LEGISLATIVE REFORM	89-89	0	0	265(58)	0	178(122)	0	150(171)	
ALASKANS FOR RECRIMINALIZATION OF MARIJUANA	1990	23,253	23,253	58	0	178(122)	0	150(171)	

CAMPAIGN FOR COMPETITION		89-90	344,000	361,488	1	(18,432)	320	0	180(171)
CITIZENS COAL. FOR RECRIM. MARIJUANA (CCRM)	1989	0	0	0	265(58)	0	178(122)	0	150(171)
COMMITTEE FOR AN OPEN LEGISLATURE	1989	0	0	0	265(58)	0	178(122)	0	150(171)
COMMITTEE TO RECALL HICKEL/COGHILL	1990	0	0	0	265(58)	0	178(122)	0	150(171)
COMMITTEE TO REPEAL THE ELF	1989	0	0	0	265(58)	0	178(122)	0	150(171)
COMM. TO AMEND THE AK. R.R. ACT		1989	30,633	8,968	100	27,864	3	0	150(171)
FULLY INFORMED JURY ASSN.	1990	0	0	0	265(58)	0	178(122)	0	150(171)
REPUBLICAN MODERATES	89-90	0	0	0	265(58)	0	178(122)	0	150(171)
ALASKANS FOR EDUCATION NOT COERCION	1990	0	0	0	265(58)	0	178(122)	0	150(171)
Total 14 Initiative, Refer., Recall			12	587,198	572,551		14,653	0	

9. Ballot Issue Groups

Name of Group	Period	Total Funds	Total Spent	Rank (tied w/)	12/31/90 Surplus	Rank (tied w/)	Gave to Cands.	Rank (tied w/)	
ADVOCATES FOR EDUC. OF CHILDREN TODAY	88	420	420	228(2)	0	178(122)	144	144	
AK ST. HOSPITAL & NURSING HOME ASSN PAC 2	1990	1,353	0	265(58)	1,353	84(2)	0	150(171)	
ALASKAN NO DICE ORGANIZING COMM	89-90	1,450	1,450	180	0	178(122)	0	150(171)	
ALASKANS COFFEE CLUB AGAINST PROP. 2	1989	855	855	203	0	178(122)	0	150(171)	
ALASKANS FOR A STABLE AIRPORT	1989	2,073	2,073	168	0	178(122)	0	150(171)	
ALASKANS FOR PRIVACY		1989	128,782	128,177	8	1,585	50	0	150(171)
ALLIANCE FOR HEALTH	1989	317	188	245	120	145	0	150(171)	
ATU ISSUES PAC	1989	9,877	9,878	68	0	178(122)	0	150(171)	
BACK PAC	1990	2,404	2,404	158	0	178(122)	0	150(171)	
BETTER ROADS TO BETTER AIR COALITION	1990	1,598	1,598	175	0	178(122)	0	150(171)	
BORO SAVE	1988	2,080	2,078	185	0	178(122)	148	143	
CITIZENS COALITION FOR TORT REFORM	1989	638	638	212	0	178(122)	0	150(171)	
CITIZENS FOR A DRUG FREE AK.	1989	11,148	11,148	87	0	178(122)	0	150(171)	
CITIZENS FOR A RESPONSIBLE SCHOOL BOARD	1990	810	122	252	488	105	0	150(171)	
CITIZENS FOR BASIC SERVICES	1989	608	0	265(58)	508	80	0	150(171)	
CITIZENS FOR EQUAL JUSTICE ON PROP. #2	1988	142	0	265(58)	142	139	0	150(171)	
CITIZENS FOR OUR COMMUNITY	89-90	152	152	247	0	178(122)	0	150(171)	
CITIZENS FOR POSITIVE ACTION	1989	1,533	500	221(3)	1,033	74	275	141	
CITIZENS FOR RECREATIONAL OPPORTUNITY	1989	420	420	228(2)	0	178(122)	0	150(171)	
CITIZENS FOR RECYCLING	1989	1,980	1,972	172	8	176	0	150(171)	
CITIZENS FOR THE SALE OF ATU	1989	0	0	265(58)	0	178(122)	0	150(171)	
CITIZENS OPPOSED TO SPECIAL TAXES		1989	283,073	278,074	4	(13,003)	319	0	150(171)
CITIZENS RESPECTING OUR PRIVACY RIGHTS (CROP)	89-90	22	22	260	0	178(122)	0	150(171)	
CITIZENS TO IMPR. ROADS, TRAILS & DRAINAGE	1990	9,575	8,558	98	18	169	0	150(171)	
CITIZENS TO KEEP ATU	1988	474	474	225	0	178(122)	0	150(171)	
CITIZENS WHO SUPPORT JUNEAU SCHOOLS	1989	4,501	4,501	133	0	178(122)	0	150(171)	
CLEAN STREAMS AND BETTER ROADS COMM.	1989	10,505	10,508	82	0	178(122)	0	150(171)	
COALITION FOR A HEALTHIER ANCHORAGE	1988	8,778	8,778	102	0	178(122)	0	150(171)	
COMMITTEE AGAINST POLITICS IN EDUCATION	1989	478	823	189	(445)	306	0	150(171)	
COMMITTEE OPPOSED TO UNNECESSARY TAX	1990	242	242	239	0	178(122)	0	150(171)	
COMMITTEE TO AMEND THE AK. RAILROAD ACT.	1990	29,311	29,311	49	0	178(122)	0	150(171)	
COMMITTEE TO CREATE JOBS AND CUT TAXES	1989	38,750	38,750	40	0	178(122)	0	150(171)	
COMMUNITY ALCOHOL AND DRUG STANDARDS COMM.	1989	1,085	1,018	191	78	155	0	150(171)	
COMMUNITY COLLEGE COALITION OF AK	1989	21,748	22,781	58	(1,014)	307	0	150(171)	
COMM. TO INCR. MILL CAP FOR CENTRAL PENIN.	1990	702	682	210	10	174(2)	0	150(171)	
FRIENDS OF ATU	89-90	80,538	80,535	18	0	178(122)	0	150(171)	
FRIENDS OF HIGHER EDUCATION	1988	5,188	14,588	78	(9,421)	318	0	150(171)	
FRIENDS OF KAYHI	1989	3,043	3,043	147	0	178(122)	0	150(171)	
FRIENDS OF THE SCHOOL BOARD	1989	80	0	265(58)	80	154	0	150(171)	
HOUSE DISTRICT 10 LEGISLATORS	1989	848	848	204	0	178(122)	0	150(171)	
JUST SAY NO TO BOROUGH COMMITTEE	1988	4,089	4,300	135	(210)	305	0	150(171)	
KEEP ATU ALASKAN	1988	68,058	68,058	21	0	178(122)	0	150(171)	
KIDS COME FIRST	89-90	1,085	1,085	187	0	178(122)	0	150(171)	
PEOPLE WHO CARE ABOUT FARBANKS	88-89	12,484	12,018	85	464	106	0	150(171)	
PEOPLE WHO SIGNED THIS AD	1990	808	808	206	0	178(122)	0	150(171)	
RAILBELT BOROUGH PLANNING COMMISSION	1990	4,087	4,087	137	0	178(122)	0	150(171)	
SANE/ALASKA HOMEPORTING EDUCATION FUND	1989	458	458	226	0	178(122)	0	150(171)	
SAVE OUR SULLIVAN COMMITTEE	1988	2,500	2,500	156(2)	0	178(122)	0	150(171)	
SCHOOLS, A GOOD INVESTMENT	1989	12,513	12,513	83	0	178(122)	0	150(171)	
SEWARD 2-10 COMMITTEE	1989	150	328	238	(175)	304	0	150(171)	
SKAGWAY COMMITTEE	1990	0	0	265(58)	0	178(122)	0	150(171)	
SOUTHEAST CENTER	1990	2,580	2,580	155	0	178(122)	0	150(171)	
TONGASS SPORTFISHING ASSN.	1990	302	302	237	0	178(122)	0	150(171)	
VOTE NO TO LAKE & PENIN. BOR. SALES TAX	1990	520	520	220	0	178(122)	0	150(171)	
VOTE YES COMMITTEE	1989	5,170	4,785	130	374	114	0	150(171)	
V.O.I.C.E.	1989	23	183	246	(180)	303	0	150(171)	
WE ARE YOUR NEIGHBORS COMMITTEE	1990	3,980	3,980	138	0	178(122)	0	150(171)	
Total - 57 Ballot Issue Groups			765,205	783,270		(18,070)	565		

10. Alaskan Independence Party 11. LS-Alaska Libertarian Party

Name of Group	Period	Total Funds	Total Spent	Rank (tied w/)	12/31/90 Surplus	Rank (tied w/)	Gave to Cands.	Rank (tied w/)
ALASKAN INDEPENDENCE PARTY	89-90	17,002	18,100	71	802	79(2)	8,088	53
LS-AK LIBERTARIAN PARTY	89-90	58,480	60,071	28	(1,582)	310	0	150(171)

12. Democratic Party Units
13. Democratic Party Affiliates

Name of Group	Period	Total Funds	Total Spent	Rank (tied w/)	12/31/90 Surplus	Rank (tied w/)	Gave to Candds	Rank (tied w/)
DS-AK STATE DEMOCRATIC PTY	89-90	361,648	367,320	3	(5,672)	314	55,835	5
DS-AK YOUNG DEMOCRATS	89-90	5,008	4,923	129	864	78	500	128(7)
DS-BARROW-BROOKVILLE DEMO. ORG	89-90	12,600	12,028	84	574	98	4,005	72
DS-DEMOCRATIC DIST. 01	1990	1,000	1,078	188	585	93	0	180(171)
DS-DEMOCRATIC DIST. 05	89-90	543	21	281(2)	622	103	0	152(171)
DS-DEMOCRATIC DIST. 07(SO. ANCH)	89-90	0	0	265(58)	0	178(122)	0	150(171)
DS-DEMOCRATIC DIST. 08	89-90	5,818	5,819	121	97	152	1,350	108(2)
DS-DEMOCRATIC DIST. 09	89-90	71,092	71,440	19	251	125	100	148(3)
DS-DEMOCRATIC DIST. 10	1990	100	0	265(58)	100	150(2)	0	180(171)
DS-DEMOCRATIC DIST. 11	89-90	58,518	50,890	31	5,824	22	23,500	21
DS-DEMOCRATIC DIST. 12	89-90	7,312	5,061	127	2,221	46	4,000	74
DS-DEMOCRATIC DIST. 13	89-90	23,970	19,777	61	4,193	28	8,341	82
DS-DEMOCRATIC DIST. 15	89-90	18,329	14,501	80	3,828	33	7,587	86
DS-DEMOCRATIC DIST. 19	89-90	7,851	7,741	108	110	148	3,818	77
DS-DEMOCRATIC DIST. 20	89-90	48,727	32,065	47	10,663	6	11,503	44
DS-DEMOCRATIC DIST. 21	89-90	1,843	1,484	177	458	107	500	128(7)
DS-DEMOCRATIC DIST. 22	1989	315	0	265(58)	315	120	0	150(171)
DS-DEMOCRATIC DIST. 23	89-90	71,781	89,732	20	2,040	40	18,000	27
DS-DEMOCRATIC DIST. 23 CONVENTION COM.	1990	35,487	35,348	43	120	144	0	162(171)
DS-DEMOCRATIC DIST. 28	89-90	3,907	2,782	151	1,115	69	0	150(171)
DS-DEMOCRATIC GOVERNOR'S ASSOCIATION	1990	11,000	11,000	89	0	178(122)	1,000	113(10)
DS-EAST PENINSULA DEMOCRATS	1990	878	835	213	35	163	0	150(171)
DS-GREATER JUNEAU DEMOCRATIC PRECINCTS COMM	89-90	40,534	51,254	30	(10,721)	318	10,751	29
DS-GREATER KETCHIKAN DEMOCRATIC PRECINCT COMM	89-90	1,858	1,833	174	24	168	0	150(171)
DS-HOUSE DEM. CAMPAIGN COMM	89-90	112,322	108,337	10	3,984	32	50,444	7
DS-INTERIOR DEMOCRATS	89-90	21,351	20,255	60	1,096	71	500	128(7)
DS-MARY MCKINNON FUND	1990	8,828	8,237	118	591	91	8,000	63
DS-MAT-SU DEMOCRATS	89-90	34,034	35,481	42	(1,427)	308	5,481	87
DS-PETERSBURG DEMO. PRECINCTS	89-90	810	534	218	78	156	0	150(171)
DS-SENATE DEMOCRATIC CAMPAIGN COMM	89-90	10,340	8,820	101	1,521	59	7,875	55
DS-SITKA DEMOCRATIC CLUB	89-90	2,835	2,050	187	845	82	0	150(171)
DS-TANANA VALLEY YOUNG DEMOCRATS	89-90	340	228	241	112	147	0	150(171)
DS-VALDEZ DEM. PRECINCT COMM	89-90	88,703	85,180	24	4,522	27	35,689	10
DA-BARTLETT DEMOCRATIC CLUB	1989	0	0	265(58)	0	178(122)	0	150(171)
DA-DEMOCRATS FOR THE 90'S	1990	1,000	1,000	192(7)	0	178(122)	1,000	113(10)
DA-EAST PENINSULA DEMOCRATS	1988	557	21	261(2)	535	101	0	150(171)
DA-SOUTHCENTRAL DEMOCRATS	89-90	7,823	5,211	126	2,613	42	0	150(171)
DA-WILLIAM EGAN DEMOCRATIC CLUB OF ALASKA	1989	0	0	265(58)	0	178(122)	0	150(171)
Totals - 33 Democratic Party Subdivisions and 5 Democratic Affiliates		1,048,368	1,013,368		34,996		262,856	
		9,380	6,232		3,148		1,000	

14. Republican Party Units
15. Republican Party Affiliates

Name of Group	Period	Total Funds	Total Spent	Rank (tied w/)	12/31/90 Surplus	Rank (tied w/)	Gave to Candds	Rank (tied w/)
RS-AK FED. OF REPUB. WOMEN'S CLUB	89-90	57,290	52,878	28	4,414	28	23,900	20
RS-ANCH. REP. WOMEN'S CLUB	89-90	38,584	32,988	46	3,608	35	17,450	28
RS-CAPITAL CITY REPUBLICAN WOMEN	89-90	872	523	219	150	138	0	150(171)
RS-FARBANKS REP. WOMEN'S CLUB	89-90	12,888	10,170	95	2,890	39	3,800	80
RS-MAT-SU REP. WOMEN'S CLUB	89-90	4,244	3,713	141	531	102	400	137(3)
RS-MIDNIGHT SUN REP. WOMEN'S CLUB	1989	4,851	3,825	139	1,027	75	0	150(171)
RS-PENIN. (SOUTH) REP. WOMEN'S CLUB	1988	171	0	265(58)	171	134	0	150(171)
RS-REPUBLICAN DIST. 02	89-90	0	0	265(58)	0	178(122)	0	150(171)
RS-REPUBLICAN DIST. 03 (SITKA CLUB)	89-90	1,171	410	248	781	57	0	150(171)
RS-REPUBLICAN DIST. 04	89-90	110,389	101,191	14	8,208	13	2,000	84(5)
RS-REPUBLICAN DIST. 05	89-90	8,848	7,881	107	988	77	3,000	87(2)
RS-REPUBLICAN DIST. 06	89-90	7,917	7,711	109	208	129	4,030	73
RS-REPUBLICAN DIST. 07	89-90	3,080	2,837	150	244	127	1,800	102
RS-REPUBLICAN DIST. 08	89-90	13,205	10,544	91	2,860	41	2,884	80
RS-REPUBLICAN DIST. 09	89-90	7,532	7,160	112	373	115	3,500	82
RS-REPUBLICAN DIST. 10	89-90	8,023	7,888	108	158	137	3,000	87(2)
RS-REPUBLICAN DIST. 11	89-90	2,145	704	209	1,440	61	0	150(171)
RS-REPUBLICAN DIST. 12	89-90	2,810	2,412	160	498	104	1,880	104
RS-REPUBLICAN DIST. 13	89-90	3,837	3,434	143	203	130	1,810	105
RS-REPUBLICAN DIST. 14	89-90	14,458	8,314	105	6,142	21	0	150(171)
RS-REPUBLICAN DIST. 15	89-90	5,504	4,510	132	892	76	2,000	94(6)
RS-REPUBLICAN DIST. 16 (MAT-SU)	89-90	87,489	87,053	22	414	113	0	150(171)
RS-REPUBLICAN DIST. 17	89-90	540	0	265(58)	540	100	0	150(171)
RS-REPUBLICAN DIST. 17A(SUB)	1989	1,137	1,137	186	0	178(122)	0	150(171)
RS-REPUBLICAN DIST. 18	89-90	11,114	10,384	93	720	87	2,500	83
RS-REPUBLICAN DIST. 19	89-90	35,575	34,488	45	1,077	72	14,818	36
RS-REPUBLICAN DIST. 20	89-90	8,187	7,808	110	578	85	450	136
RS-REPUBLICAN DIST. 21	89-90	10,177	8,823	97	354	116	5,830	64
RS-REPUBLICAN DIST. 26	89-90	284	47	258	218	128	0	150(171)
RS-REPUBLICAN DIST. 27 (COPIAK)	89-90	433	0	265(58)	433	112	0	150(171)
RS-REPUBLICAN NAT'L STATE ELECTIONS COMM	89-90	105,000	105,000	13	0	178(122)	25,000	19
RS-REPUBLICAN PARTY OF AK	89-90	410,260	417,904	2	(7,644)	315	56,966	4
RS-REP. ASSN. PROF & BUS. WOMEN	89-90	8,832	8,480	116	352	118	5,050	69
RA-ANCH. YOUNG REPUBLICANS	89-90	4,581	4,153	136	438	111	0	150(171)
RA-COLLEGE REPUBLICANS @ UAF	1990	3,813	2,288	162	1,327	67	0	150(171)
RA-INDEPENDENT REPUBLICAN ASSOCIATION	89-90	807	852	211	255	124	500	128(7)
RA-REPUBLICANS FOR CHOICE	1990	1,000	1,000	192(7)	0	178(122)	1,000	113(10)
RA-REP. SENATORIAL INNER CIRCLE	1990	0	0	265(58)	0	178(122)	0	150(171)
RA-YOUNG REPUBLICANS (UAF)	1989	888	889	201	0	178(122)	0	150(171)
Totals - 33 Republican Party Subdivisions and 6 Republican Affiliates	33	962,536	929,001		33,536		181,346	
	6	11,000	8,980		2,020		1,500	

HISTORICAL OVERVIEW

'89-90, '81-82, and '73-74 CAMPAIGN CYCLES

CANDIDATES AND GROUPS	NUMBER	INCOME	EXPENSES	PERSONAL CONTRIBUTIONS	12/31/90 SURPLUS
1990 GOV. & LT. GOV. - PRI. AND GEN.	18	\$6,740,678.00	\$6,699,626.00	\$1,327,147.00	(\$1,491,853.00)
1982 GOV. & LT. GOV. - PRI. AND GEN.	20	\$5,855,699.00	\$5,999,527.00	\$1,581,374.00	(\$1,725,201.00)
1974* GOV. & LT. GOV. - PRI. AND GEN.	15	\$624,812.00	\$585,942.00	\$109,702.00	(\$70,832.00)
1990 SENATE - PRI. AND GEN.	27	\$1,641,125.00	\$1,521,110.00	(\$28,322.00)	\$113,676.00
1982 SENATE - PRI. AND GEN.	47	\$1,253,866.00	\$1,147,852.00	\$279,541.00	(\$188,139.00)
1974* SENATE - PRI. AND GEN.	42	Data not available	\$223,568.00	Data not available	Data not available
1990 HO USE - PRI. AND GEN.	109	\$3,541,876.00	\$3,151,260.00	\$398,109.00	(\$36,243.00)
1982 HOUSE - PRI. AND GEN.	141	\$2,340,123.00	\$2,241,855.00	\$549,451.00	(\$458,386.00)
1974* HOUSE - PRI. AND GEN.	129	Data not available	\$335,309.00	Data not available	Data not available
1990 ALL GROUPS	320	\$5,972,318.00	\$5,589,004.00	Not Applicable	\$381,716.00
1982 ALL GROUPS	198	\$5,776,733.00	\$5,475,277.00	Not Applicable	\$199,574.00
1974 ALL GROUPS	104	Data not available	\$896,797.00	Not Applicable	
1990 GRAND TOTAL	474	\$17,895,997.00	\$16,961,000.00	\$1,696,934.00	(\$1,032,704.00)
1982 GRAND TOTAL	406	\$15,226,421.00	\$14,864,511.00	\$2,410,366.00	(\$2,172,152.00)
1974* GRAND TOTAL	290	Data not available	\$2,041,616.00	Data not available	Data not available

* In 1974 campaign expenditures were limited by law. A candidate could spend a maximum of \$ 1 per resident of the candidate's election district, with a % provision for splitting that allowance between Primary and General elections for Gov. and Lt. Gov. candidates.

1974 Limits:

Governor (Primary) \$ 48,000 (40%)
 Governor (General) \$ 70,000 (60%)
 State Senate \$ 15,000

Lt Gov (Primary) \$ 59,000 (50%)
 Lt Gov (General) \$ 59,000 (50%)
 State House \$ 7,500

Loophole

ADN 1/31/90

Spending government money to support candidates isn't illegal — but it should be.

In the 1988 primary election, 338 North Slope Borough voters opted for someone other than Eileen MacLean in the local state House race. But those 338 voters were forced to give Ms. MacLean support of another kind — with their pocketbooks.

Their borough government spent tens of thousands of dollars of their money to boost Ms. MacLean's prospects on election day. The borough paid for polls checking her standing with voters. It paid to mail a flattering magazine profile of her to every voter in the district. It paid travel bills for her campaign consultant. It paid to send Ms. MacLean to parts of the district where she wasn't well known. It paid for a voter registration drive that the polls said would help her chances.

Common sense suggests that spending public money to promote a candidate would be against the law. But somehow, this common-sense notion has never been written into state statutes. Nothing in state law bars the state or local governments from using taxpayers' money to help candidates for public office.

It's true, the North Slope Borough is in trouble with the state public offices commission. But the borough's mistake was not that it used public money to help Ms. MacLean's candidacy. The borough's mistake was giving Ms. MacLean more help than the \$1,000 allowed by law and failing to report its contributions to the commission.

Settling those charges will cost the borough a \$10,000 fine. From the borough's perspective, that's money well spent. Add up all the activities that smacked of promoting Ms. MacLean, and the bill is well over \$50,000. Another \$10,000 is small change.

Next time, the perpetrators of such sleazy dealings shouldn't be allowed to get off so easily. State lawmakers should close this loophole in state campaign laws. Public officials who wage disguised political campaigns at public expense should face criminal charges.

S B

261

SENATE COMMITTEE REPORT

DATE: 5/15/91

FURTHER:

DATE TURNED INTO OFFICE: 2-18-92

Judiciary Committee considered SENATE BILL NO. 261

"An Act relating to operating commercial motor vehicles, motor vehicles, aircraft, and watercraft; and providing for an effective date."

and recommended:

- replace with CS SB 261 (TUD) same title
- or adopt _____ new title
- attached amendment(s) technical title change (HB only)
- _____ letter of intent adopted

do pass

do not pass

no recommendation

individual recommendations

further referral to _____

ATTACHES NEW FISCAL NOTE(S):

APPROVES PREVIOUS:

Dept/Date:
 fiscal note(s) DOT & PF 2/11/92
Dec 1/9/92

Dept/Date:
 fiscal note(s) _____

zero fiscal note(s) DOT & PF 2/11/92
Dec 1/9/92

zero fiscal note(s) _____
AK Cart System 5/9/91
Public Safety 4/10/91

appropriation-no fiscal note

Governor's bill w/fiscal note

SIGNING DO PASS:

OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:

Walter L. Rader

[Signature]

[Signature]

Rich Halford do pass

 Chair: Signature and Recommendation

FISCAL NOTE

STATE OF ALASKA
1992 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

Bill No. SB 261

Revision Date: 02/21/92 Department Affected: Alaska Court System
 Title: An Act relating to operating commercial motor vehicles BRU: Trial Courts
 Sponsor: Rules Committee by request Components: _____
 Requestor: Senate Judiciary COMPONENT SERIAL NO.

000 000	000 768
-----------	-----------

EXPENDITURES/REVENUES: (Thousands of Dollars)

OPERATING	FY 93	FY 94	FY 95	FY 96	FY 97	FY 98
PERSONAL SERVICES						
TRAVEL						
CONTRACTUAL						
SUPPLIES						
EQUIPMENT						
LAND & STRUCTURES						
GRANTS & CLAIMS						
TOTAL OPERATING	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

CAPITAL						
---------	--	--	--	--	--	--

REVENUE						
---------	--	--	--	--	--	--

FUNDING: (Thousands of Dollars)

GENERAL FUNDS	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER						
TOTAL	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

POSITIONS:

FULL-TIME						
PART-TIME						
TEMPORARY						

Estimate of current year impact: None

ANALYSIS: (Attach a separate page if necessary)

No fiscal impact.

Prepared by: C. S. Christensen III, Staff Counsel *CS* Phone: 264-8228
 Division: Alaska Court System Date: 02/21/92

Approved by: Arthur H. Snowden, II, Administrative Director *AS* *CS*
 Agency: Alaska Court System Date: 02/21/92

Distribution (by preparer): Legislative Finance, Legislative Sponsor, Requestor, OMB, & Impacter Agency(ies).

FISCAL NOTE

STATE OF ALASKA
1992 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

BILL NO. SB 261

Revision Date: _____ Department Affected: Public Safety

Title: An Act Relating to Operating BRU: Motor Vehicles

Motor Vehicles Component: Driver Services

Sponsor: Senate Rules

Requestor: Senate Judiciary COMPONENT SERIAL NO.

	5	0	0
--	---	---	---

EXPENDITURES/REVENUES: (Thousands of Dollars) (inflation not included)

OPERATING	FY 93	FY 94	FY 95	FY 96	FY 97	FY 98
PERSONAL SERVICES						
TRAVEL						
CONTRACTUAL						
SUPPLIES						
EQUIPMENT						
LAND & STRUCTURES						
GRANTS, CLAIMS						
MISCELLANEOUS						
TOTAL OPERATING	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-

CAPITAL	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
---------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

REVENUE FUND SOURCE:	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
-------------------------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

FUNDING: (Thousands of Dollars)

GENERAL FUND						
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER FUND SOURCE:						
TOTAL	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-

POSITIONS:

FULL-TIME	0	0	0	0	0	0
PART-TIME	0	0	0	0	0	0
TEMPORARY	0	0	0	0	0	0

Estimate of current year impact: _____

ANALYSIS: (Attach a separate page if necessary.)

No fiscal impact anticipated.

Prepared By: Gayle A. Horetski Phone: 465-4322

Division: Commissioner's Office Date: 2/7/92

Approved by Commissioner: Gayle A. Horetski Richard L. Burton

Agency: Department of Public Safety Date: 2/7/92

FISCAL NOTE

Revision Date: 02/11/92
Title: Operation of Vehicles, Planes, and Boats

Department Affected: DOT&PF
BRU:

Sponsor: Rules Committee
Requestor: Governor

Component:
Component Serial Number:

EXPENDITURES/REVENUES: (Thousands of Dollars)

OPERATING	FY93	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98
PERSONAL SERVICES	0	0	0	0	0	0
TRAVEL	0	0	0	0	0	0
CONTRACTUAL	0	0	0	0	0	0
SUPPLIES	0	0	0	0	0	0
EQUIPMENT	0	0	0	0	0	0
LAND & STRUCTURES	0	0	0	0	0	0
GRANTS, CLAIMS	0	0	0	0	0	0
MISCELLANEOUS	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL OPERATING:	0	0	0	0	0	0

CAPITAL	0	<10,600>*	<21,200>*	<21,200>*	<22,200>*	<22,200>*
---------	---	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------

REVENUE FUND SOURCE	0	0	0	0	0	0
---------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---

FUNDING: (Thousands of Dollars)

GENERAL FUNDS	0	0	0	0	0	0
FEDERAL FUNDS	0	<10,600>*	<21,200>*	<21,200>*	<22,200>*	<22,200>*
OTHER FUND SOURCE	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDING:	0	0	0	0	0	0

POSITIONS

FULL-TIME	0	0	0	0	0	0
PART-TIME	0	0	0	0	0	0
TEMPORARY	0	0	0	0	0	0

Estimate of current year impact: None

*Estimates of future year impacts are based on the Federal Highway Administration's tentative allocation of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 for the next 6 years.

ANALYSIS: (Attach a separate page if necessary)


Failure to enact legislation to bring Alaska into compliance with the Commercial Motor Vehicle Safety Act of 1986 (CMVSA 86) will put our highway dollars in jeopardy. CMVSA 86 provides for 5% sanctions against highway funding for states that do not comply in FY93 and 10% for each fiscal year thereafter. We believe this draft legislation will bring Alaska into compliance with CMVSA 86. We recommend approval of the legislation.

Prepared by: M. Clyde Stoltzfus, Chief

Phone: 465-3900

Division: Office of Strategic Management, Planning and Policy

Date: February 11, 1992

Approved by Commissioner: 
Frank G. Turpin

Phone: 465-3900

Agency: Department of Transportation and Public Facilities

Date: February 11, 1992

Distribution By Preparer: Leg. Finance, Leg. Sponsor, Requestor, OMB/DBR, Gov. Leg. Office, Impacted Agency(ies).

DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES

**LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS AGENCY
STATE OF ALASKA**

(907) 465-3867 or 465-2450
FAX (907) 465-2029
Mail Stop 3101

240 Main Street, Suite 500
Juneau, Alaska 99801-2101

MEMORANDUM

February 25, 1992

SUBJECT: Commercial motor vehicles - (CSSB 261(Jud))

TO: Senator Rick Halford

FROM: Michael F. Ford *M.F.*
Legislative Counsel

You have asked for a description of matters that could be included in CSSB 261(Jud), if the title amendment 7-GS0033/D.1 were adopted. Under this title, the only matters that could be included in the bill would be (1) regulations of the Department of Public Safety regarding commercial motor vehicles or necessary to qualify for federal highway funds; (2) provisions of law related to operating commercial motor vehicles; and (3) changes to the definitions of "previously convicted" and "controlled substance" affecting motor vehicle licenses and offenses regarding the operation of motor vehicles, aircraft and watercraft. Barring a change to the title, only matters that fall within these subjects could be included in the bill.

Please contact me if you have further questions.

MFF:pl
92-129.plm

SENATE COMMITTEE REPORT
FIRST COMMITTEE OF REFERENCE

DATE: 4/22/91

FURTHER: *Subcommittee*

Date of 5-Day Notice: 5/2/91
(in accordance with Uniform Rule 23)

DATE TURNED INTO OFFICE: 5-15-91

State Affairs Committee considered SB 261

Operating commercial motor vehicles, motor vehicles, aircraft, and watercraft; efd.

may do pass

and recommended:

- replace with _____ CS _____ same title
- attached amendment(s) new title
- _____ letter of intent adopted
- do pass
- do not pass
- no recommendation
- individual recommendations
- further referral to _____

Φ/Φ

ATTACHES NEW FISCAL NOTE(S):

Department(s)/Date:

fiscal note(s) _____

Department(s)/Date:

zero fiscal note(s) _____

Courts 5/9/91

Corrections 4/29/91

appropriation-no fiscal note

Governor's bill w/fiscal note

previous DOT 4/10/91

Φ DPS 4/10/91

SIGNING DO PASS:

[Signature]

[Signature]

[Signature]

OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:

[Signature] *do pass*

Chair: Signature and Recommendation

A M E N D M E N T

OFFERED IN THE SENATE

BY SENATOR HALFORD

TO: CSSB 261(JUDICIARY)

Page 1, lines 1 - 2:

Delete "operating commercial motor vehicles, motor vehicles, aircraft, and watercraft"

Insert "relating to the authority of the Department of Public Safety to adopt regulations concerning commercial motor vehicle safety and licensing, and regulations necessary to qualify for federal highway funds; to operating commercial motor vehicles; to the definitions of 'previously convicted' and 'controiled substance' as they relate to motor vehicle licenses and to offenses regarding the operation of motor vehicles, aircraft, and watercraft"

SENATE AMENDMENT #1

BY: Halford + Roday

TO: CS (Judiciary) SENATE BILL NO. 261

TO: _____ HOUSE BILL NO. _____

An Act implementing a commercial motor vehicle safety, inspection and licensing program pursuant to federal law and regulation and providing for an effective date

V with legal
only references to aircraft
and aircraft are
re-statement of existing
law. ?

(TURN IN ORIGINAL AMENDMENT TO SENATE SECRETARY'S OFFICE.
THE AMENDMENT WILL BE NUMBERED, COPIED AND DISTRIBUTED.)

STATE OF ALASKA

WALTER J. HICKEL, GOVERNOR

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY

P.O. BOX 111200
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99811-1200
PHONE: (907) 465-4322

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER

January 27, 1992

The Honorable Rick Halford
Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee
Alaska State Legislature
State Capitol
Juneau, AK 99801-1182

Dear Senator Halford:

Re: SB 261, An Act Relating to
Commercial Motor Vehicles

SB 261, an Act relating to penalties for operation of a commercial motor vehicle, is now in the Senate Judiciary Committee. I am writing to ask you to schedule this bill for a hearing as soon as reasonably possible.

If SB 261 is not enacted into law, there will be two major consequences. The first will occur on the federal deadline of April 1, 1992, when Alaska's authority to issue commercial motor vehicle driver's licenses (CDLs) will be revoked. This means that Alaska residents operating commercial vehicles in Alaska will be in violation of federal law, since the licenses previously issued to them will no longer be valid. It also means that Alaska commercial vehicle drivers will no longer be allowed to operate in any other state. The only "legal" commercial vehicle operators in Alaska would be out-of-state drivers with a valid CDL from their home state. Alaskans could not go to another state to get a license, because a state may only issue CDLs to its own residents.


The second consequence of a failure to adopt this proposed legislation is the loss of a percentage of federal highway money, beginning on October 1, 1993. The first year's loss would be five percent of the total allotment, approximately \$12,000,000. The second and subsequent

The Honorable Rick Halford
January 27, 1992
Page 2

years' loss would be 10 percent. This penalty would continue until the state complies with the federal requirement.

In order to avoid making all present commercial vehicle operators in the state ineligible to continue their livelihood, this bill (or its companion bill, HB 295) must be passed and effective by April 1, 1992. I am respectfully requesting that this bill be set for a hearing as soon as possible. I, or Ms. Juanita Hensley, Chief of Driver Services, would be glad to answer any questions you may have about this.

Sincerely,



Gayle A. Horetski
Deputy Commissioner

ALASKA TRUCKING ASSOCIATION, INC.3443 Minnesota Drive • Anchorage, Alaska 99503 • PHONE (907) 276-1149 • FAX (907) 274-1946

February 25, 1992

TO: MEMBERS OF ALASKA STATE SENATE

FROM: Frank J. Dillon, Executive Director ATA

MESSAGE: SUPPORT S.B. 261 "An Act Related To Commercial Vehicles....."

ATA's members respectfully request your support on the Senate floor Wednesday February 26, 1992 for S.B. 261. ATA members employ several thousand truck drivers who have already taken the time and made the effort to obtain Commercial Driver Licenses. The vast majority of truck users and affected drivers support C.D.L. and ask that you do too! Please pass S.B. 261.

S B

2 6 3

SEVENTEENTH LEGISLATURE
SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE BILL FILE

Bill Number: SB263

Abbreviated Title: oil Contingency Plan

Sponsor: _____ Original Received: _____

Written Request to Schedule Rcv'd: _____ From: _____

Sponser's Statement Rcv'd: _____ From: _____

Sectional Analysis Rqst'd: _____ From: _____

Sectional Analysis Received: _____

Fiscal Note (Original)

Rqst'd Of: _____ Rcv'd From: _____ Date: _____

Rqst'd Of: _____ Rcv'd From: _____ Date: _____

Rqst'd Of: _____ Rcv'd From: _____ Date: _____

Fiscal Note (C.S.)

Rqst'd Of: _____ Rcv'd From: _____ Date: _____

Rqst'd Of: _____ Rcv'd From: _____ Date: _____

Rqst'd Of: _____ Rcv'd From: _____ Date: _____

Five Day Notice Given: _____ Notice of Hearings Given: Mar 7-8

Committees of Referral: First: _____ Second: _____ Third: 0

LAA Contact: _____ To Senate Secretary: May 10, 1991

Rule waived

COMMITTEE ACTION

DATE:

May 9-91

Heard - out on Divd Reg.
Adams Rule, Halford Do Pass
Callin No Res.

PERSONS TO BE NOTIFIED OF HEARING

- | | |
|------------|-----------|
| 1. Sponsor | 6. _____ |
| 2. Agency | 7. _____ |
| 3. _____ | 8. _____ |
| 4. _____ | 9. _____ |
| 5. _____ | 10. _____ |



Official Business

Alaska State Legislature

P.O. Box V
State Capitol
Juneau, Alaska 99811

MEMORANDUM

TO: Senator Rick Halford, Chairman
Senate Judiciary Committee

FROM: Senator Lyman F. Hoffman
Senator Al Adams
Senator Fred Zharoff

DATE: May 8, 1991

RE: SB 263

SB 263 should be in your committee today following Senator Jones' waiver of its Resources Committee referral. We respectfully request that you waive the 5-day rule and hear this bill at the earliest opportunity.

SB 263 was introduced at the request of electric utilities, barge lines and fuel distributors serving rural Alaska. They are faced on June 1, 1991 with meeting new oil spill prevention and cleanup standards that were included in last year's HB 567. Unfortunately, these small companies are having serious problems with meeting those new standards by this deadline. In fact, there is a strong possibility that many noncrude transporters and facility operators will find it necessary to either operate illegally or cease operations in the state after June 1, 1991, which in turn would pose serious problems for residents of bush communities that depend on the delivery and storage of noncrude oil for fuel and electrical generation. A year's delay would give these companies time to meet the new insurance requirements, as well as benefit from the results of two noncrude studies that were mandated in last year's legislation.



Senator Lyman F. Hoffman

Alaska State Senate

P.O. Box V • Juneau, Alaska 99811 • (907) 465-4453

- District M
- Akiachak
- Akiak
- Alaina
- Aiilakaket
- Andreaisky
- Aniak
- Anvik
- Arctic Village
- Almautluak
- Beaver
- Bethel
- Bettles
- Birch Creek
- Chalkyitsik
- Cheloniak
- Chuathbaluk
- Crooked Creek
- Eek
- Evansville
- Fort Yukon
- Galena
- Goodnews Bay
- Grayling
- Holy Cross
- Hughes
- Huslia
- Kalskag
- Kallag
- Kasigluk
- Kipnuk
- Kongiganak
- Koyukuk
- Kwethluk
- Kwigillingok
- Lake Minchumina
- Lime Village
- Lower Kalskag
- Manley Hot Springs
- Marshall
- McGrath
- Mekoryuk
- Minto
- Mountain Village
- Napakiak
- Napaskiak
- Newtok
- Nightmute
- Nikolai
- Nulato
- Nunabitchuk
- Oscarville
- Pilot Station
- Pitkas Point
- Platinum
- Quinnagak
- Rampart
- Red Devil
- Ruby
- Russian Mission
- Shageluk
- Sleetmute
- St. Mary's
- Stevens Village
- Stony River
- Takotna
- Tanana
- Telida
- Toksook Bay
- Tomblick
- Tuntutuliak
- Tupuna
- Turkey
- Unalakleet
- Wasilla

MEMORANDUM

TO: Senator Jay Kerttula, Co-chair
 Senator Pat Pourchot, Co-chair
 Senate Finance Committee

FROM: Senator Lyman F. Hoffman *L. Hoffman*
 Senator Al Adams *AA*

DATE: April 30, 1991

RE: Supplemental funding

Last year's HB 567 set new standards and requirements for oil spill cleanup and financial responsibility. As a compromise to the non-crude oil industry, two studies were mandated [Section 30 (a) and (b), ch. 191, SLA 1990 and Section 31, ch. 191, SLA 1990]. In the rush to pass this major piece of legislation, the legislature did not have the opportunity to discuss the economic impacts of these changes in oil spill contingency planning.

For that reason, we would like to request supplemental funding for the Department of Environmental Conservation for \$60.0 from the 470 fund to include an analysis of the probable economic costs and other economic effects that the recommended requirements would impose on all noncrude oil terminal facilities, tankers and barges and on the persons they serve. This report should also include the benefits to the State of Alaska through avoided clean-up costs expected through implementation of this law. The lapse date of this allocation should be July 1, 1992. DEC supports this request.

Crude oil companies on the other hand, have indicated their intention and ability to be in compliance with the June 1, 1991 effective date. These companies expressed concern about the uncertainty created by meeting those requirements by June 1, but not yet having final approval by the Department of Environmental Conservation of their amended contingency plans. DEC does not expect that review period to be lengthy for the major crude oil companies, but there is apparently the possibility that these contingency plans will be required to go through the consistency review process under the Coastal Zone Management Act. A final decision by the Attorney General is currently pending. However, the need for some form of "interim approval" status has been addressed in this legislation.

SB 263, as amended in the Senate Oil and Gas Committee, does the following:

Section 1: legislative findings which explain the problems faced by noncrude operators.

Section 2: maintains the current June 1, 1991 effective date for crude oil.

Section 3: delays the effective for noncrude oil operations until June 1, 1992.

Section 4: gives crude oil companies the authority to operate with "interim approval" if determined to be substantially in compliance with the terms of ch. 191, SLA 1990 (HB 567).

This bill has received a tremendous amount of support from throughout the state, especially from southeast and from Kodiak on north up the coast. It has also gone through extensive review by the Senate Oil and Gas Committee. A companion bill is now in House Resources Committee.

We would appreciate your assistance in seeing that this bill is heard quickly. Thank you.

cc: Judiciary Committee members


Senator Lyman F. Hoffman

Alaska State Senate
P.O. Box V • Juneau, Alaska 99811 • (907) 465-4453



MEMORANDUM

TO: Members, Senate Oil and Gas Committee

FROM: Senator Lyman F. Hoffman 

DATE: April 24, 1991

RE: SB 263

On Monday I introduced SB 263 which would delay the effective date of last session's HB 567 for one year for noncrude carriers and facilities.

I have introduced this legislation at the request of electric utilities and fuel delivery companies serving rural Alaska. The attached background paper explains the history of the issue, the problem, and how SB 263 would address it.

This bill has been sent to the Senate Oil and Gas Committee, where the issue has already been the subject of several hearings. I am hoping to get a speedy hearing on my bill and would appreciate your support for it.

If you have any questions don't hesitate to contact myself or my aide Molly McCammon at 4453. Thank you.

SB 263 BACKGROUND PAPER

History: On March 24, 1989 the Exxon Valdez ran aground on Bligh Reef in Prince William Sound, causing the largest crude oil spill in United States history. As a result, the Alaska Legislature and the United States Congress significantly strengthened oil spill prevention and cleanup standards. One bill - HB 567 - passed late last session made three major changes in state oil spill protection: It established new response planning standards; imposed new financial responsibility requirements for contingency plan holders; and required prevention measures to be incorporated into contingency plans. The new law required that existing contingency plan holders comply with these major changes on and after June 1, 1991.

Problem: Although HB 567 was passed as a result of the Exxon Valdez Oil Spill and was aimed at the crude oil industry, non-crude carriers and facilities are also subject to the changes. The Department of Environmental Conservation was charged with implementing this law. DEC failed to complete the implementing regulations in a timely manner however, and now does not expect them to be in place until December 1991, at the earliest. The larger oil companies have been working to meet these new requirements for this last year, and will be prepared to do so by HB 567's June 1, 1991, effective date. Their contingency plans will also receive the highest priority by DEC for review.

The smaller fuel distributors, barge lines, and electric utilities serving rural Alaska are not as prepared. They are finding it impossible to meet the new financial responsibility requirements. Insurance companies have so far not been willing to provide insurance and for most companies, self-insurance is not a feasible option. Additionally, a study mandated by last year's bill and designed to identify appropriate spill response times, specify personnel levels and equipment requirements, and identify specific locations for oil discharge response equipment depots for noncrude oil tankers and barges will not be completed until at least one month after the June 1, 1991 effective date. This study's findings could have a significant effect on emergency spill response planning by

both transporters and the state. One final uncertainty is that the implementing regulations for contingency planning will not be finalized by DEC until December at the earliest.

Solution: SB 263 would delay the effective date until June 1, 1992, of HB 567 for noncrude operators. This will allow thorough consideration of the results of all of the studies and permit noncrude transporters and operators to explore other options to meet the new financial responsibility requirements. The original bill expanded the scope of the studies already required by HB 567 to include an assessment of the economic costs of the new requirements on noncrude operators, but funding for these studies is now being pursued in the supplemental bill. SB 263 as amended in the Senate Oil and Gas Committee also gives DEC clear authority to grant crude operators interim approval if they find them to be substantially in compliance with the new requirements by the June 1, 1991, date.

CS SB 263

*Proposed By Area -
May 9 -
never mentioned
before -*

Additional Findings for Section 1

*could read
could -*

New paragraph after #4 -- the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation has had difficulty in obtaining studies and analyses necessary to support the development of regulations implementing ch. 191, SLA 1990, and has retained new consultants to assist it; the requirements of the new regulations (should) have a substantial effect on the form and content of oil discharge prevention and contingency plans filed after the regulations' promulgation, scheduled for December 1991;

New paragraph after #5 -- the federal Oil Pollution Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-380) enacted stringent new federal oil spill requirements ; that act provided for a phase-in of its new oil spill planning and response requirements, allowing 24 months for promulgation of regulations, 6 months for filing of contingency plans, and six months for review of contingency plans; that act further provided for a 24 month administrative extension to allow the executive branch to review the numerous new plans;

New paragraph after #6 -- the public's interests will be protected by authorizing a transition period in which the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation may approve crude oil discharge prevention and contingency plans which substantially comply with the requirements of ch. 191, SLA 1990; this transition period will allow development of regulations and preparation of plans which fully comply with the requirements of those regulations.

Alaska Coastal Management Program Language

*adopted
as changed*

Addition to end of Section 4(a) -- The department's notification of substantial compliance for the interim contingency plan will ~~(constitute a valid determination that the interim operation is consistent with the Alaska Coastal Management Program)~~ regardless of whether the department has completed any notice process otherwise required by the Alaska Coastal Management Program for the interim operation.

*will allow the operator to continue
to operate*

Additional Findings for Section 1

New paragraph after #4 -- the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation has had difficulty in obtaining studies and analyses necessary to support the development of regulations implementing ch. 191, SLA 1990, and has retained new consultants to assist it; the requirements of the new regulations should have a substantial effect on the form and content of oil discharge prevention and contingency plans filed after the regulations' promulgation, scheduled for December 1991;

New paragraph after #5 -- the federal Oil Pollution Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-380) enacted stringent new federal oil spill requirements ; that act provided for a phase-in of its new oil spill planning and response requirements, allowing 24 months for promulgation of regulations, 6 months for filing of contingency plans, and six months for review of contingency plans; that act further provided for a 24 month administrative extension to allow the executive branch to review the numerous new plans;

New paragraph after #6 -- the public's interests will be protected by authorizing a transition period in which the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation may approve crude oil discharge prevention and contingency plans which substantially comply with the requirements of ch. 191, SLA 1990; this transition period will allow development of regulations and preparation of plans which fully comply with the requirements of those regulations.

Alaska Coastal Management Program Language

Addition to end of Section 4(a) -- The department's notification of substantial compliance for the interim contingency plan will [constitute a valid determination that the interim operation is consistent with the Alaska Coastal Management Program,] regardless of whether the department has completed any notice process otherwise required by the Alaska Coastal Management Program for the interim operation.

allow the operator to continue operation

*Requested This
change for CS 263*

A M E N D M E N T

S B 2 6 3

Page 2, line 16, after "cargo",

INSERT: ", as well as the benefits to vessel and barge owners and operators, the persons they serve, and the State of Alaska through avoided clean-up costs expected through implementation of this law.

40/41
1183
150K

A M E N D M E N T

S B 2 6 3

Section 30(a), ch. 191, SLA 1990 is amended to read:

(a) By January 31, 1992, the Department of Environmental Conservation shall survey, inspect, and prepare an inventory of noncrude oil terminal facilities in the state with an effective storage capacity of 5,000 [TO 10,000] barrels or more in order to determine for each facility [remainder of section not set out].

Page 1, lines 7 and 8, after "facilities":

DELETE remainder of sentence.

7-LS1243N -
Lauterbach
5/6/91

Mama Swartz
DEC -
B.P.
Transport
Environment
Environment

CS FOR SENATE BILL NO. 263 ()

IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

SEVENTEENTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

BY

Offered:

Referred:

Sponsor(s): SENATORS HOFFMAN, Adams, Zharoff

A BILL

FOR AN ACT ENTITLED

**1 "An Act relating to oil discharge prevention and contingency plans and financial
2 responsibility requirements for oil operations; and providing for an effective date."**

3 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

4 * Section 1. FINDINGS. The legislature finds that

**5 (1) whereas crude oil companies have indicated their intention and ability to be in
6 compliance with the June 1, 1991, applicability date of ch. 191, SLA 1990, including the new oil spill
7 response planning standards and new financial responsibility requirements, the entities involved in the
8 transportation and storage of noncrude oil are finding it difficult, if not impossible, to meet those
9 requirements by June 1, 1991, due to unforeseen developments;**

**10 (2) these developments include the fact that the London insurance markets, historically
11 the source of pollution liability insurance underwriting, are steadfastly refusing to offer policies that meet
12 the new financial responsibility requirements imposed by ch. 191, SLA 1990; most notably, they have
13 refused to issue certificates of financial responsibility or make themselves available for direct legal action
14 in Alaska courts;**

1 (3) while larger companies involved in the transportation and storage of crude oil have
2 the financial ability to meet the new financial responsibility requirements through self-insurance, surety
3 bonding, or letters of credit, most noncrude transporters and facility operators do not have the financial
4 resources to make use of these avenues to satisfy the requirements;

5 (4) additionally, a study mandated by ch. 191, SLA 1990, designed to identify appropriate
6 spill response times, specify personnel levels and equipment requirements, and identify specific locations
7 for oil discharge response equipment depots for noncrude oil tankers and barges will not be completed
8 until at least one month after June 1, 1991; this study's findings could have a significant effect on
9 emergency spill response planning by both transporters and the state;

10 (5) taken together, the uncertainties posed by these developments create a strong
11 possibility that many noncrude transporters and facility operators will find it necessary to either operate
12 illegally or cease operations in the state after June 1, 1991, which would in turn pose serious problems
13 for the residents of communities dependent on delivery and storage of noncrude oil products for fuel and
14 electrical generation;

15 (6) a one-year delay to June 1, 1992, in the applicability date for compliance with the
16 requirements of ch. 191, SLA 1990, for noncrude transporters and facility operators will allow thorough
17 consideration of the study described in (4) of this section and implementation of its findings into
18 emergency response planning; will permit noncrude transporters and operators to explore other options
19 to meet the statute's financial responsibility requirements, including the possibility of developing an
20 insurance pool to replace the coverage no longer being offered by the traditional insurance markets; and
21 will provide adequate time for the Department of Environmental Conservation to develop its
22 implementing regulations for contingency planning.

23 * Sec. 2. Section 32, ch. 191, SLA 1990, is amended to read:

24 Sec. 32. TRANSITIONAL PROVISIONS. (a) AS 46.04.030(k) - (m), enacted by sec.
25 10 of this Act, do not apply to oil discharge prevention and contingency plans for crude oil
26 operations until June 1, 1991. On and after June 1, 1991, a contingency plan for a crude oil
27 operation must comply with AS 46.04.030(k) - (m), enacted by sec. 10 of this Act, regardless
28 of whether the contingency plan is due for renewal under AS 46.04.030(d), as amended by sec.
29 9 of this Act.

30 (b) The amendments to AS 46.04.040, made by secs. 11 - 18 of this Act, do not apply
31 to persons required to show proof of financial responsibility for crude oil operations until