

ALASKA

LEGISLATURE COMMITTEE FILES

1991-1992

8672

7349

SENATE COMMUNITY & REGIONAL AFFAIRS

1 population factor multiplied by the local wealth factor. The state share percentage equals one
2 minus the local share percentage. For purposes of this subsection, the

3 (1) local share percentage equals the population factor multiplied by the local
4 wealth factor except that

5 (A) if the product of the population factor and the local wealth factor is
6 greater than or equal to 1.0, the local share percentage equals 1.0; or

7 (B) if the local wealth factor is 0, the local share percentage equals 0.11;

8 (2) population factor equals

9 (A) 0.1 if the population of the municipality is less than 1,000;

10 (B) 0.3 if the population of the municipality is at least 1,000 but less than
11 5,000;

12 (C) 0.7 if the population of the municipality is at least 5,000 but not more
13 than 10,000; or

14 (D) 1.0 if the population of the municipality is more than 10,000;

15 (3) local wealth factor equals the amount obtained by dividing the per capita
16 taxable real and personal property valuation of the municipality by the statewide average per
17 capita taxable real and personal property valuation of all municipalities in the state.

18 (e) The amount of the local share calculated under (d) of this section shall be adjusted
19 if necessary so that it does not exceed 30 percent of the amount of the draw and is not less than
20 five percent of the amount of the draw. The local share may be satisfied with federal or
21 municipal funds, locally contributed materials or equipment the value of which shall be
22 determined by the department, or money from another nonstate source. The local share may not
23 be satisfied with money from appropriations, allocations, entitlements, grants, or other payments
24 from the state.

25 (f) A municipality shall repay to the department money drawn from a grant account if
26 substantial, ongoing work on the project or improvement is not started within five years after the
27 effective date of the appropriation from which the draw is funded. Money repaid shall be
28 deposited into the general fund. Money from an allocation to a grant account that has not been
29 drawn out by the municipality within five years after the effective date of the appropriation from
30 which the allocation is funded lapses into the general fund.

31 (g) The department under the Administrative Procedure Act (AS 44.62) may adopt

1 regulations that impose additional requirements or procedures to implement, interpret, make
2 specific, or otherwise carry out the provisions of this section, including provisions for mandatory
3 audits and reports. Regulations adopted under this subsection shall comply with AS 37.05 (Fiscal
4 Procedures Act), AS 37.07 (Executive Budget Act), and requirements of the federal government
5 for participation in federal programs.

6 (h) For purposes of this section, the population of a borough shall exclude the population
7 of each city in the borough and the per capita taxable real and personal property valuation of a
8 borough shall exclude the property valuation of each city in the borough. The determination of
9 population and per capita taxable real and personal property valuation for a municipality shall
10 be based upon data used by the Department of Community and Regional Affairs under
11 AS 14.17.140, AS 29.45.110, and AS 29.60.140 - 29.60.150.

12 (i) In this section, "department" means the Department of Administration.

13 * Sec. 2. AS 37.05.318 is amended to read:

14 Sec. 37.05.318. [FURTHER] REGULATIONS. The Department of Administration
15 under [PROHIBITED. NOTWITHSTANDING] the Administrative Procedure Act (AS 44.62)
16 [, THE FISCAL PROCEDURES ACT (AS 37.05), AND THE EXECUTIVE BUDGET ACT
17 (AS 37.07), A STATE AGENCY] may [NOT] adopt regulations that [OR] impose additional
18 requirements or procedures to implement, interpret, make specific, or otherwise carry out the
19 provisions of AS 37.05.315 - 37.05.317, including provisions for mandatory audits and
20 reports. Regulations adopted under this section shall comply with this chapter, AS 37.07
21 (Executive Budget Act), and requirements of [UNLESS REQUIRED BY] the federal
22 government for participation in federal programs.

23 * Sec. 3. AS 44.47 is amended by adding a new section to article 3 to read:

24 Sec. 44.47.195. UNINCORPORATED COMMUNITY CAPITAL PROJECT MATCHING
25 GRANT PROGRAM. (a) The unincorporated community capital project matching grant fund
26 is established in the department consisting of appropriations to the fund. Appropriations do not
27 lapse except as provided under (f) of this section. Interest earned on money in the fund shall be
28 deposited by the department into the general fund. Appropriations shall be allocated by the
29 department each fiscal year to individual grant accounts for each unincorporated community that
30 is entitled to receive state aid under AS 29.60.140 during that fiscal year. The amount allocated
31 to a grant account is determined by dividing the total amount appropriated to the fund during a

1 fiscal year by the number of communities eligible for allocations during that fiscal year.

2 (b) By February 1, the department shall determine the amount of appropriations needed
3 to provide an allocation of \$25,000 under (a) of this section for each unincorporated community
4 for the next fiscal year. The department shall request the legislature for an appropriation to the
5 unincorporated community capital project matching grant fund of at least that amount.

6 (c) Subject to (d) and (e) of this section, an incorporated nonprofit entity or a
7 Native village council may on behalf of each unincorporated community draw amounts from that
8 community's grant account for planning, design, and construction of capital projects or capital
9 improvements, as defined in AS 37.07.120. In accepting a draw, the incorporated entity or
10 council covenants with the state that it will operate and maintain the facility for which the draw
11 is used for the practical life of the facility, and that the incorporated entity or council will not
12 rely on the state to operate or maintain the facility or pay for its operation or maintenance. This
13 requirement does not apply to use of money from a draw for repair or improvement of an
14 existing facility that is operated or maintained by the state at the time that the money is made
15 if the repair or improvement for which the draw is used will not substantially increase the
16 operating or maintenance costs to the state. The incorporated entity or council, its agents,
17 contractors, and subcontractors shall comply with the hiring preferences under AS 36.10 in hiring
18 employees to be paid wholly or in part with money from a draw.

19 (d) The department, with advice from the Department of Law, shall determine whether
20 there is in each unincorporated community an incorporated nonprofit entity or a Native village
21 council that will agree to receive and spend money allocated to the community under (a) of this
22 section. If there is more than one qualified entity in the community, the department shall
23 authorize the entity that the department finds most qualified to make draws from the allocation
24 and spend the money. The department may not pay the money to a Native village council unless
25 the council waives immunity from suit for claims arising out of activities of the council related
26 to the draw. A waiver of immunity from suit under this subsection must be on a form provided
27 by the Department of Law. If there is no qualified incorporated nonprofit entity or Native village
28 council in an unincorporated community that is willing to receive money from an allocation
29 under (a) of this section, draws may not be made from the allocation for that unincorporated
30 community and the amount allocated lapses into the general fund. Neither this subsection nor
31 any action taken under it enlarges or diminishes the governmental authority or jurisdiction of a

1 Native village council.

2 (e) The incorporated nonprofit entity or Native village council shall contribute a local
3 share to be used on each capital project or improvement for which a draw is made. The local
4 share equals 10 percent of the amount of the draw. The local share may be satisfied from federal
5 or local money, locally contributed manpower, materials or equipment the value of which shall
6 be determined by the department, or money from another nonstate source. The local share may
7 not be satisfied with money from appropriations, allocations, entitlements, grants, or other
8 payments from the state.

9 (f) An incorporated nonprofit entity or a Native village council shall repay to the
10 department money drawn from a grant account if substantial, ongoing work on the project or
11 improvement is not started within five years after the effective date of the appropriation from
12 which the draw is funded. Money repaid shall be deposited into the general fund. Money from
13 an allocation to a grant account that has not been drawn out by an incorporated nonprofit entity
14 or Native village council within five years after the effective date of the appropriation from
15 which the allocation is funded lapses into the general fund.

16 (g) The department under the Administrative Procedure Act (AS 44.62) may adopt
17 regulations that impose additional requirements or procedures to implement, interpret, make
18 specific, or otherwise carry out the provisions of this section, including provisions for mandatory
19 audits and reports. Regulations adopted under this subsection shall comply with AS 37.05 (Fiscal
20 Procedures Act), AS 37.07 (Executive Budget Act), and requirements of the federal government
21 for participation in federal programs.

22 (h) The limitations of AS 44.47.140 do not apply to grants made under this section.

23 * Sec. 4. This Act takes effect immediately under AS 01.10.040(c).

STATE OF ALASKA

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET
OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR

WALTER J. HICKEL, GOVERNOR

P.O. BOX AM
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99811-0199
PHONE: (907)-465-3658
FAX: (907)-465-2090

February 26, 1991

Senator Steve Frank
Chairman, Community and Regional Affairs Committee
Alaska State Legislature
P.O. Box V (MS 3100)
Juneau, Alaska 99811

Dear Senator Frank:

Re: Governor's Proposed Amendments to Senate Bill 141
(Capital Project Matching Grant Program)

For your consideration, Governor Hickel wishes to propose three amendments to Senate Bill No. 141, regarding establishment of a capital project matching grant program for municipalities and unincorporated communities.

The proposed amendments are technical in nature, and reflect the original intent of the proposed legislation. We are submitting them now so that they, and the enabling legislation introduced yesterday which they amend, may be considered as a whole.

The three proposed amendments are as follows:

- AMENDMENT # 1:

Page 2, Lines 21-22 Change as follows:

"(B) equals 1.0 if the product of the population factor [is 1.0] and the local wealth factor is greater than or equal to 1.0; or"

This change achieves the intent of the original wording, which is to prevent the state share percentage (Page 3, Line 7) from being negative.

- AMENDMENT # 2:

Page 4, Line 19 Insert "located outside of organized boroughs" after "unincorporated communities".

This phrase was unintentionally dropped during drafting of the proposed bill. Unincorporated communities located within boroughs are treated in Section 1 of the bill.


- AMENDMENT # 3:

Page 6, Line 24 Delete: "This Act takes effect July 1, 1991."
Insert: "This Act takes effect immediately under AS 01.10.070(c)."

This phrase was unintentionally changed during drafting of the proposed bill.

Should you have any questions, or need any additional information, please contact me at 465-3568.

Sincerely,



J. Shelby Stastny
Director

Senate Bill 142
 FY 1991 Unincorporated Community Grants

	Election District	State Share	Local Share	Local Match %
Hyder	1	\$15,000	\$1,667	10.0%
Myers Chuck	1	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Edna Bay	2	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Gustavus	2	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Hollis	2	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Klukwan	2	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Point Baker	2	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Port Protection	2	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Whale Pass	2	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Elfin Cove	3	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Chenega	6	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Chitina	6	15,000	1,667	10.0%
McCarthy	6	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Tatitlek	6	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Chicken	17	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Chistochina	17	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Copper Center	17	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Deltana	17	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Dot Lake	17	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Dot Lake Services	17	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Dry Creek	17	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Eagle Village	17	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Four Mile Road Community	17	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Gakona	17	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Glenallen	17	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Gulkana	17	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Healy Lake	17	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Kenny Lake	17	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Mendeltna	17	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Mentasta	17	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Northway	17	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Panguingue Creek	17	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Paxson	17	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Slana	17	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Tanacross	17	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Tazlina	17	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Tetlin	17	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Tok	17	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Tolsona	17	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Tonsina	17	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Central	18	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Circle	18	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Livengood	19	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Chuloonawik	23	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Council	23	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Hamilton	23	15,000	1,667	10.0%
King Island	23	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Solomon	23	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Arctic Village	24	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Beaver	24	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Birch Creek	24	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Chalkyitsik	24	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Crooked Creek	24	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Evansville	24	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Line Village	24	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Manley Hot Springs	24	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Minchumina	24	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Minto	24	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Pitka's Point	24	15,000	1,667	10.0%

Rampart	24	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Red Devil	24	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Sleetmule	24	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Stevens Village	24	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Stony River	24	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Takotna	24	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Talida	24	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Venetie	24	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Wiseman	24	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Kipnuk	25	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Kongiganak	25	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Kwigillingok	25	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Oscarville	25	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Tuntutuliak	25	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Ekuk	26	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Koliganek	26	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Nikolski	26	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Portage Creek	26	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Twin Hills	26	15,000	1,667	10.0%
Total:		\$1,170,000	\$130,000	

Office of the Governor, Office of Management and Budget

STATE OF ALASKA

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET
OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR

WALTER J. HICKEL, GOVERNOR

P.O. BOX AM

JUNEAU, ALASKA 99811-0195

PHONE: (907)-465-3658

FAX: (907)-465-2090

February 26, 1991

Senator Steve Frank
Chairman, Community and Regional Affairs Committee
Alaska State Legislature
P.O. Box V (MS 3100)
Juneau, Alaska 99811

Dear Senator Frank:

Re: Governor's Proposed Amendments to Senate Bill 141
(Capital Project Matching Grant Program)

For your consideration, Governor Hickel wishes to propose three amendments to Senate Bill No. 141, regarding establishment of a capital project matching grant program for municipalities and unincorporated communities.

The proposed amendments are technical in nature, and reflect the original intent of the proposed legislation. We are submitting them now so that they, and the enabling legislation introduced yesterday which they amend, may be considered as a whole.

The three proposed amendments are as follows:

- AMENDMENT # 1:

Page 2, Lines 21-22 Change as follows:

"(B) equals 1.0 if the product of the population factor [is 1.0] and the local wealth factor is greater than or equal to 1.0; or"

This change achieves the intent of the original wording, which is to prevent the state share percentage (Page 3, Line 7) from being negative.

- AMENDMENT # 2:

Page 4, Line 19

Insert "located outside of organized boroughs" after "unincorporated communities".

This phrase was unintentionally dropped during drafting of the proposed bill. Unincorporated communities located within boroughs are treated in Section 1 of the bill.

- AMENDMENT # 3:

Page 6, Line 24


Delete: "This Act takes effect July 1, 1991."

Insert: "This Act takes effect immediately under AS 01.10.070(c)."

This phrase was unintentionally changed during drafting of the proposed bill.

Should you have any questions, or need any additional information, please contact me at 465-3568.

Sincerely,



J. Shelby Stastny
Director

Sec. 14.17.050. Teachers' salary allotment. [Repealed, § 1 ch 238 SLA 1970.]

Sec. 14.17.051. Area cost differential. The area cost differential for a school district is as follows:

School District	Area Cost Differential
Adak	1.27
Alaska Gateway	1.19
Aleutian Region	1.31
Anchorage	1.00
Annette Island	1.03
Bering Strait	1.39
Bristol Bay	1.27
Chatham	1.03
Chugach	1.14
Copper River	1.14
Cordova	1.11
Craig	1.03
Delta/Greely	1.16
Dillingham	1.27
Fairbanks	1.04
Galena	1.30
Haines	1.05
Hoonah	1.08
Hydaburg	1.03
Iditarod	1.33
Juneau	1.00
Kake	1.03
Kashunamiut	1.33
Kenai	1.00
Ketchikan	1.00
King Cove	1.27
Klawock	1.03
Kodiak	1.09
Kuspuk	1.33
Lake & Peninsula	1.31
Lower Kuskokwim	1.42
Lower Yukon	1.35
Matanuska-Susitna	1.00
Nenana	1.20
Nome	1.34
North Slope	1.45
Northwest Arctic	1.45
Pelican	1.08
Petersburg	1.00

Pribilof
 Railbelt
 Sand I
 Sitka
 Skagw
 Southe
 Southw
 St. Ma
 Tanana
 Unalaska
 Valdez
 Wrang
 Yakut
 Yukon
 Yukon
 Yupiit
 (§ 4 ch 2
 1975; am
 ch 115 S
 am § 7

Effect of
 amendmen

Sec. 1
 value is
 § 1 ch 1
 1976; an
 ch 26 SI
 am § 8

Effect of
 amendmen
 ning of th
 ceding "i

Sec. 1
 § 1 ch .

Sec. 1
 1987.]

§ 14.17.051

led, § 1 ch 238

Cost differential

Cost differential

§ 14.17.056

EDUCATION

§ 14.17.061

Pribilof	1.30
Railbelt	1.23
Sand Point	1.27
Sitka	1.00
Skagway	1.05
Southeast Island	1.04
Southwest Region	1.31
St. Mary's	1.30
Tanana	1.30
Unalaska	1.27
Valdez	1.11
Wrangell	1.00
Yakutat	1.08
Yukon Flats	1.46
Yukon-Koyukuk	1.34
Yupitit	1.41

(§ 4 ch 238 SLA 1970; am § 1 ch 40 SLA 1971; am § 5 ch 81 SLA 1975; am § 12 ch 124 SLA 1975; am § 8 ch 90 SLA 1977; am §§ 3 — 6 ch 115 SLA 1978; am § 12 ch 26 SLA 1980; am § 6 ch 119 SLA 1981; am § 7 ch 75 SLA 1986; am § 8 ch 91 SLA 1987)

Effect of amendments. — The 1986 amendment rewrote this section. The 1987 amendment rewrote this section.

Sec. 14.17.056. Instructional unit value. The instructional unit value is \$60,000. (§ 4 ch 238 SLA 1970; am § 1 ch 88 SLA 1973; am § 1 ch 140 SLA 1974; am § 6 ch 81 SLA 1975; am § 3 ch 173 SLA 1976; am § 10 ch 90 SLA 1977; am § 7 ch 115 SLA 1978; am §§ 13, 14 ch 26 SLA 1980; am § 5 ch 119 SLA 1981; am § 28 ch 59 SLA 1982; am § 8 ch 75 SLA 1986; am § 9 ch 91 SLA 1987)

Effect of amendments. — The 1987 amendment deleted "Base" at the beginning of the catchline, deleted "base" preceding "instructional" and substituted "value is \$60,000" for "for fiscal years beginning on or after July 1, 1986, is \$42,184."

Sec. 14.17.060. Average daily membership allotment. [Repealed, § 1 ch 238 SLA 1970.]

Sec. 14.17.061. Supplemental programs. [Repealed, § 25 ch 91 SLA 1987.]

- 1) The bill as written has the smaller villages too low as compared to the larger villages. Especially the unincorporated villages which are given fifteen thousand dollars no matter what the population of the village is. An effort should be made to bring that amount up and give them a population factor.
- 2) ~~The economies of scale~~ factor that separate the larger population areas and the smaller ones needs to be addressed. Some kind of sliding scale formula needs to be made to bring it somewhere close to being fair. I can see this bill as written is as much a 3 or 4: 1 out of line although yours probably can't make that big of adjustment.
- 3) Small villages will have to save their yearly amounts to accumulate enough to build anything of significance and so the amount will be from \$200,000 on up. That presents an almost insurmountable obstacle in my view for the local share portion to be accumulated. ~~Some mechanism needs to be made where it's possible to use the interest.~~ (Constitutional problems on dedicated funds) or some kind of sliding scale downward on the local share which would match what the interest would have brought in for the account.
- 4) Also in general I believe the matching portions as the progress upward toward ~~the 50% match is too high.~~ I don't know what the number should be, but it might hang up the bill.
- 5) Also this bill is a very good vehicle to use the local share as an incentive to use "Home grown products." I'm not just talking about trading goods, I'm talking about things like Alaska forest products, Alaska petroleum products like asphalt that is produced at MAPCO ect. This is a resource state an too many of our dollars leave the state that could stay here longer and turn over more to produce more business development. Boardwalks are a thing that immediately comes to mind. In the Kuskokwim and Yukon Delta, all them board walks are bought in the lower 48 and transported at great cost and just assembled at the village yet on both rivers miles of forest is there and a few sawmills with limited business. Community halls could be constructed using local resources ect.
- 6) Also sec 2. of the bill can cause some problems as it should probably be made to apply only to this bill.

LEGISLATIVE TELECONFERENCE NETWORK

SIGN-IN SHEET



SPONSOR: _____

SUBJECT: _____

START/END TIME: _____ DATE: _____

PLEASE PRINT

TO TESTIFY

	NAME/REPRESENTING	ADDRESS	PHONE #	TESTIFY	OBSERVE	BILL #
1	Albert Dick	Mayor of Anchorage				
2	Judy Brady	ANCHORAGE				
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						

SPONSOR: S, CRA
SUBJECT: SB 141
MODERATOR: PHYLLIS
SITE: BARROW

PARTICIPANT LIST #1

TESTIFIED

NAME/REPRESENTING	ADDRESS	PHONE	BILL NO.
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			

OBSERVED

NAME/REPRESENTING	ADDRESS	PHONE	BILL NO.
1. MARK HAMLIN/NORTH SLOPE BOROUGH,	BOX 69	852-0210	SB 141
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			

T/C NO: 91-03-053
DATE: MARCH 14, 1991
SPONSOR: SENATE COMMUNITY AND REGIONAL AFFAIRS
SUBJECT: SB141
MODERATOR: ROXANNE BARRON
SITE: NOME

PARTICIPANT LIST

TESTIFIED

NAME/REPRESENTING	ADDRESS	PHONE	BILL NO.
1. PAUL MERKOURIS/NOME	JOINT UTILITIES POB	70	
2. JOHN HANDELAND/CITY OF NOME	POB 281		
3.			
4.			
5.			

OBSERVED

NAME/REPRESENTING	ADDRESS	PHONE	BILL NO.
-------------------	---------	-------	----------

T/C NO: 91-03-053
DATE: MARCH 14, 1991
SPONSOR: (S) C&RA
SUBJECT: MATCHING GRANTS FOR CAPITOL PROJECTS
MODERATOR: JANET L. VENES
SITE: BETHEL L.I.O.

PARTICIPANT LIST

TESTIFIED

NAME/REPRESENTING	ADDRESS	PHONE	BILL NO.
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			

OBSERVED

NAME/REPRESENTING	ADDRESS	PHONE	BILL NO.
1. MARK EARNEST	CITY OF BETHEL BOX 388, BET.	543-2047	
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			

TESTIFIED:

TTC NO: 91-03-053
DATE: 3/14/91
SPONSOR: SENATE C&RA
SUBJECT: SB141
MODERATOR: MARY
SITE: MATSU

PARTICIPANT LIST

TESTIFIED

NAME/REPRESENTING	ADDRESS	PHONE	BILL NO.
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			

OBSERVED

NAME/REPRESENTING	ADDRESS	PHONE	BILL NO.
1. DAVE SOULAK; CITY MANAGER, PALMER			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			

TESTIFIED: 0

* FROM: LTCCGLN *
 * SUBJECT: 91-04-121, PL, GRANTS 041891 *
 * PRINT DATE: 04/18/91 TIME: 15:42 *
 * *

SUBJECT LINE TO READ: TC NO.; PL/FS; SHORT SUBJECT; DATE

T/C NO: 91-04-121
 DATE: 04-18-91
 SPONSOR: (S) COMMUNITY & REGIONAL AFFAIRS
 SUBJECT: MATCHING GRANTS
 MODERATOR: PATSY HILL
 SITE: GLENNALLEN

PARTICIPANT LIST

To TESTIFY

~~TESTIFIED~~



NAME/REPRESENTING	ADDRESS	PHONE	BILL NO.
1. FRANK FIALA			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			

 OBSERVED

NAME/REPRESENTING ADDRESS PHONE BILL NO

```

* DELIVER TO: LIOCACE
*
* ORIGINAL
* SENT: 04/18/91 TIME: 15:47
* FROM: LTCCMAT
* SUBJECT: 121PL SCRA SB 141 4/18
* PRINT DATE: 04/18/91 TIME: 15:47
*
*****

```

SUBJECT LINE TO READ: TC NO, ; PL/FS, SHORT SUBJECT; DATE

```

T/C NO: 91-04-121
DATE: 4/18/91
SPONSOR: S CRA
SUBJECT: SB 141 MATCHING CAP GRANTS
MODERATOR: CHARLOTTE
SITE: MAT-SU LIO

```

PARTICIPANT LIST 1

TO TESTIEY
 1 DAVE SOULAK, CITY MGR PALMER

WANTS TO LISTEN AWHILE FIRST

2

OBSERVED:

NAME/REPRESENTING	ADDRESS	PHONE	BILL NO.
1			
2			

* PRINT DATE: 04/18/91 TIME: 15:52

*

*

*

SUBJECT LINE TO READ: TC NO.; PL/FS; SHORT SUBJECT; DATE

T/C NO: 91-04-121
 DATE: APRIL 18, 1991 THURSDAY 3:45 - 5:00 P.M.
 SPONSOR: SENATE COMMUNITY AND REGIONAL AFFAIRS
 SUBJECT: SB 141: MATCHING GRANTS FOR CAPITAL PROJECTS
 MODERATOR: ANNA MAY SORENSEN
 SITE: DILLINGHAM

PARTICIPANT LIST

~~FINAL STATS~~

TO TESTIFY

~~TESTIFIED~~

NAME/REPRESENTING	ADDRESS	PHONE	BILL NO.
1. JOE MCGILL	MAY WANT TO	TESTIFY	
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			

OBSERVED

NAME/REPRESENTING	ADDRESS	PHONE	BILL NO.
1.			
2.			
3.			

DATE: 03-14-91
SPONSOR: (S) COMMUNITY & REGIONAL AFFAIRS
SUBJECT: MATCHING GRANTS FOR CAPITAL PROJECTS
MODERATOR: PATSY HILL
SITE: GLENNALLEN

PARTICIPANT LIST

TESTIFIED

NAME/REPRESENTING	ADDRESS	PHONE	BILL NO.
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			

OBSERVED

NAME/REPRESENTING	ADDRESS	PHONE	BILL NO.
1. EVELYN BUNCH	BOX 31 GLENNALLEN	822-5555	
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			

```

*****
*
* DELIVER TO: LIOCJAM
*
* ORIGINAL
* SENT: 03/14/91 TIME: 17:23
* FROM: LIOCMIL
* SUBJECT: 91-03-053;FL;GRANTS;3/14
* PRINT DATE: 03/14/91 TIME: 17:23
*
*****

```

SUBJECT LINE TO READ: TC NO.; FL FS; SHORT SUBJECT; DATE

```

T/C NO: 91-03-053
DATE: 3/14
SPONSOR: S C&RA
SUBJECT: SB 141 MATCHING GRANTS
MODERATOR: JUDY
SITE: ANCHORAGE

```

FINAL STATS

```

*****
TO TESTIFY
  NAMES/REPRESENTING      ADDRESS      PHONE      BILL NO.
*****
TO OBSERVE:
  NAME/ REPRESENTING      ADDRESS      PHONE      BILL NO.

```

1. ANNE WILLIAMS/ MUNICIPALITY OF ANCHORAGE 343-4467
2. MARY ANN PEASE/MUNICIPALITY OF ANCHORAGE 343-4282
3. ROSS DUNFEE/MUNICIPALITY OF ANCHORAGE 786-8109
- 4.
- 5.

```

*****
TESTIFIED:
UNABLE:
OBSERVED: 3
TOTAL: 3

```

STARTING TIME: 3:30 P.M. ENDING TIME: 4:38 P.M.

T/C NO: 91-03-053
DATE: 3/14/91
SPONSOR: SENATE C&RA
SUBJECT: SB141
MODERATOR: MARY
SITE: MATSU

PARTICIPANT LIST

TESTIFIED

NAME/REPRESENTING	ADDRESS	PHONE	BILL NO.
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			

OBSERVED

NAME/REPRESENTING	ADDRESS	PHONE	BILL NO.
1. DAVE SOULAR, CITY MANAGER, PALMER			
2. ELSIE O'BRYAN, CITY COUNCIL, HOUSTON			
3.			
4.			
5.			

T/C NO: 91-03-053
DATE: MARCH 14, 1991
SPONSOR: (S) COMMUNITY & REGIONAL AFFAIRS COMMITTEE
SUBJECT: SB141, MATCHING GRANTS FOR CAPITAL PROJECTS
MODERATOR: RAE RHODES
SITE: KETCHIKAN

PARTICIPANT LIST

TESTIFIED

NAME/REPRESENTING	ADDRESS	PHONE	BILL NO.
-------------------	---------	-------	----------

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.

OBSERVED

NAME/REPRESENTING	ADDRESS	PHONE	BILL NO.
-------------------	---------	-------	----------

1. JACK PEARSON, CITY MANAGER
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.

TESTIFIED:
UNABLE:
OBSERVED:

T/C NO: 91-03-079
DATE: 3/26/91
SPONSOR: SENATE C & RA
SUBJECT: SB141 - MATCHING GRANTS
MODERATOR: MARY
SITE: MATSU

PARTICIPANT LIST

TESTIFIED

NAME/REPRESENTING	ADDRESS	PHONE	BILL NO.
1. DAVE SOULAK, CITY MANAGER, CITY OF PALME R			
2. MAYOR DOROTHY JONES, MAT-SU BOROUGH			
3.			
4.			
5.			

OBSERVED

ADDRESS PHONE BILL NO.

SUBJECT LINE TO READ: TC NO.; PL/FS; SHORT SUBJECT; DATE

T/C NO: 91-03-079
DATE: 03-26-91
SPONSOR: (S) COMM./REGINAL AFFAIRS
SUBJECT: MATCHING GRANTS
MODERATOR: PATSY HILL
SITE: GLENNALLEN

PARTICIPANT LIST

TESTIFIED

NAME/REPRESENTING	ADDRESS	PHONE	BILL NO.
1. EVELYN BUNCH,	BOX 322 GLENNALLEN	822-5555	SR 141
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			

DATE: MARCH 25, 1991 TUESDAY
SPONSOR: SENATE COMMUNITY & REGIONAL AFFAIRS COMMITTEE
SUBJECT: SB 141: MATCHING GRANTS FOR CAPITAL PROJECTS
MODERATOR: KAY GORMAN/ANNA MAY SORENSEN
SITE: DILLINGHAM

PARTICIPANT LIST

FINAL STATS

TESTIFIED

NAME/REPRESENTING	ADDRESS	PHONE	BILL NO.
1. TERRY HOEFFERLE	-TO TESTIFY-	DLG	SB 141
2. MAJOR JOE MCGILL	-TO TESTIFY-	DLG	SB 141
3.			
4.			
5.			

OBSERVED

NAME/REPRESENTING	ADDRESS	PHONE	BILL NO.
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			

TESTIFIED:

UNABLE:
OBSERVED:
TOTAL:

START TIME:

END TIME:

*
* DELIVER TO: LIOCACE *
*
*
* ORIGINAL *
* SENT: 03/26/91 TIME: 15:41 *
* FROM: LIOCMIL *
* SUBJECT: 91-03-079; FL#2; SB141; 3/26 *
* PRINT DATE: 03/26/91 TIME: 15:41 *
*

SUBJECT LINE TO READ: TC NO.; FL FS; SHORT SUBJECT; DATE

T/C NO: 91-03-079
DATE: 3/26
SF (S) COMMUNITY AND REGIONAL AFFAIRS
SUBJ: SB 141 MATCHING GRANTS
MODE: JUDY
SITE: ANCHORAGE

PARTICIPANT LIST

TO TESTIFY

NUM	NAMES/REPRESENTING	ADDRESS	PHONE	BILL NO.
X	MARY ANN PEASE/MOA		343-4282	
2.	ROSS DUNFEE/MUNI ENGINEER			
3.				

T/C NO: 91-03-053
DATE: MARCH 14, 1991
SPONSOR: SENATE COMMUNITY AND REGIONAL AFFAIRS
SUBJECT: SB141
MODERATOR: FRAN
SITE: FAIRBANKS

PARTICIPANT LIST

TESTIFIED

NAME/REPRESENTING	ADDRESS	PHONE	BILL NO.
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			

OBSERVED

NAME/REPRESENTING	ADDRESS	PHONE	BILL NO.
1. DON LEWELL - FNSB			
2. BOB WOLTING - CITY OF FBX			
3. GUY VAN DOREN - FNSB			
4. MONA LISA DREXLER - FNSB			
5. BOB COGHILL - FNSB			
6. RICK TESSANDORE - ARCTIC ALLIANCE			
7. RICHARD BONWELL - FNSB			
8. KEN RYDBERG - CITY OF FBX			
9.			
10.			

TESTIFIED:
UNABLE:
OBSERVED:
TOTAL:



Alaska State Legislature


SENATE

Official Business

P.O. Box V
State Capitol
Juneau, Alaska 99811

MEMORANDUM

TO: Interested Municipal & City Government Representatives

FROM:  Senator Steve Frank, Chair
Senate Community & Regional Affairs Committee

RE: Senate C&RA Committee Hearing on
SB 141 - Matching Grants for Capital Projects
3:30 Thursday - March 14

DATE: March 12, 1991

This Thursday we will be hearing from the Hickel Administration on the Governor's proposed Capital Matching Grants Program and will be teleconferencing the meeting to various Legislative Information Offices around the State on a "listen only" basis.

Please contact the Legislative Information Office in your area if you want to have them hooked into this hearing via the teleconference network. Currently, we have Anchorage, Mat-Su, Fairbanks, Kodiak and Bethel as participating sites, but we can add them as necessary.

Governor Hickel's Bill (SB 141) would require that local governments match, on a per capita wealth and population basis, state contributions for municipal and unincorporated communities capital projects.

The Committee will only be taking testimony from the Governor's Office and State Agencies in this meeting. However, we will be soliciting both written and oral testimony in subsequent hearings on this bill before we take any formal action.

Copies of the bill and back up material can be obtained from the Legislative Information Office in your area at the time of the hearing. If you have questions please call Rick Solie in my office at 465-3709.

✓ P. 3, l. 21: insert "manpower" labor

✓ P. 3, l. 28: money is repaid to comm's account - not to GF

✓ P. 4, l. 1: change "that" back to "and" NO

✓ P. 4, ll 1-5: add lang. about valuation/confirm. of I-K manpower

- P. 4, l. 17: change "that" back to "and"

- P. 4, l. 24-31: say money must remain in the fund till drawn

- P. 5, ll. 1-5: why not for men's, too?

- P. 5, l. 9: add "for a public purpose"

- P. 5, ll. 6-18: change "facility" to "cap. proj. or cap. improv's"

- P. 5, l. 14: change "money" to "draw"

- P. 6, l. 4: change "draw" to "amt. of proj." (see old P. 3, ll. 11-12);
also, change "10%" to "5%"

- P. 6, l. 12: money stays in comm's account (see old, P. 2, ll. 5-6)

- P. 6, l. 17: change "that" to "and"; also, add manpower valuation/verification

- add back definitions on old P. 4 and old P. 6



Alaska State Legislature


SENATE

Official Business

P.O. Box V
State Capitol
Juneau, Alaska 99811

MEMORANDUM

TO: Interested Individuals

FROM:  Senator Steve Frank, Chairman
Senate Community & Regional Affairs Committee

RE: Senate C&RA Committee Hearing to take Public Testimony on
SB 141 - Matching Grants for Capital Projects
3:30 Tuesday - March 26

DATE: March 15, 1991

The Senate C&RA Committee will be continuing our hearings on the Governor's proposed Capital Matching Grants Program (SB 141). We will be teleconferencing the meeting to various Legislative Information Offices around the State and taking testimony from any individual who wishes to speak to the Committee.

Please contact the Legislative Information Office in your area if you want to have them hooked into this hearing via the teleconference network. Currently, we have Anchorage, Mat-Su, Fairbanks, Glennallen, Barrow, Nome, Kodiak Ketchikan, and Bethel as participating sites, but we can add them as necessary. If you do not have an LIO in your community we can make arrangements to connect you to the teleconference if you let us know.

Governor Hickel's Bill (SB 141) would require that local governments match, on a per capita wealth and population basis, state contributions for municipal and unincorporated communities capital projects.

Copies of the bill and back up material can be obtained from the Legislative Information Office in your area at the time of the hearing. If you have questions please call Rick Solie in my office at 465-3709.

LIO Directory

LIO	Location	Phone	FAX
Anchorage	3111 C. St., #150	561-7007 561-8624 (tdd)	562-4376
Barrow	Court Bldg.	852-7111	852-7114
Bethel	301 Willow St.	543-3541	543-2712
Delta Junction	Jarvis Office Cntr, # 210	895-4236	895-5017
Dillingham	Kangilqutaq Office Bldg.	842-5319	842-5105
Fairbanks	119 N. Cushman, # 101	452-4448 456-5075 (tdd)	456-3346
Glennallen	Community Library	822-5588	822-5591
Homer LTC	Hillis Bldg.	235-7878	235-4008
Juneau	224 Seward St. #210	465-4648 465-4980(tdd)	463-5661
Kenai	34824 Kalifonski Beach	262-9364	262-1881
Ketchikan	352 Front St, Suite A.	225-9675	225-8546
Kodiak	Kodiak Plaza Bldg.	486-8116	486-5264
Kotzebue	Eskimo Bldg.	442-3880	452-3022
Mat-Su	Krenik Bldg., #106	376-3704	376-6180
Nome	State Bldg., 2nd Floor	443-5555	443-2162
Petersburg	101 Gjoa St.	772-3741	772-3779
Sitka	210 Lake St.	747-6276	747-5807
Valdez	State Bldg., #13	835-2111	835-2097
Wrangell LTC	Longshoreman's Hall	874-3013	874-3955

**THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENT
HAS NOT BEEN FILMED
BUT IS AVAILABLE IN THE
ORIGINAL FILE**

ALASKA PUBLIC DEBT 1989




**State of Alaska
Department of Revenue
March 1990**

**THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENT
HAS NOT BEEN FILMED
BUT IS AVAILABLE IN THE
ORIGINAL FILE**

Alaska Taxable

1990



Municipal Taxation - Rates and Policies

Full Value Determination

Population and G.O. Bonded Debt



Volume XXX
January, 1991



State of Alaska
Walter J. Hickel, Governor

Department of Community and Regional Affairs
Edgar Blatchford, Commissioner

SB

171

Alaska State Legislature

3111 C Street, Suite 150
Anchorage, Alaska 99503
(907) 561-2038




During Session:
P.O. Box V
Juneau, Alaska 99811
(907) 465-4993

Senator Drue Pearce
District G

MEMORANDUM

TO: Senator Steve Frank, Chair
Senate Community and Regional Affairs Committee

FROM: Senator Drue Pearce 

DATE: March 20, 1991

RE: SB 171

Please consider scheduling SB 171, relating to training for child care workers, for a hearing as soon as possible.

SB 171 would amend AS 44.47.305 to allow people to receive child care training through funds from the education and training grant fund. Currently, the state has an education and training grant fund which provides training for licensed providers within the state that are participating in the Day Care Assistance Program. SB 171 allows this training to be extended to legally exempt day care providers who would normally not be required to or able to receive any subsidized training.

This legislation resulted from the recommendations of the Adolescent Teen Pregnancy Task Force. Currently the number of day care providers do not meet the demand of child care needs, especially for infants or odd care hours. In addition to this problem the licencing requirement presently necessary to receive training is overwhelming. This results in many care givers dropping out of the process before receiving training. Since it is legal to care for four or less children and remain exempt from the state licencing requirements, there is a section of care givers who operate without being required to abide by any legal standards.

This legislation would alleviate these problems. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call me or Meg Simonian of my staff.

Thank you.

DP:mrs

Alaska State Legislature

3111 C Street, Suite 150
Anchorage, Alaska 99503
(907) 561-2038



During Session:
P.O. Box V
Juneau, Alaska 99811
(907) 465-4993

Senator Drue Pearce
District G

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: Act Relating to Training of Child Care Workers
(SB 171)

TO: Senator Steve Frank, Chair
Community & Regional Affairs Committee

FROM: Senator Drue Pearce *Drue Pearce*

DATE: March 20, 1991

Section 1. Amends current AS 44.47.305 (b) which specifies the requirements to qualify for the Child Care Grant Program. By removing (d) from this section, individuals seeking training through the education and training grant fund, do not have to be licensed, participate in the Day Care Assistance Program, or provide care under a payment system.

Section 2. This section stipulates that a child care facility seeking grant money must provide evidence that the trainer providing instruction is qualified. This section also includes non-licensed providers in the definition of child care facility.

Section 3. Amends AS 44.46.305 (g) which requires that each child care facility receiving grants reserves 15% or one of its child care spaces receiving subsidy for children eligible for DCAP. By removing (d) from this section the non-licensed day care providers receiving training will not have to comply with the above mentioned (g) requirements.

Alaska State Legislature

3111 C Street, Suite 150
Anchorage, Alaska 99503
(907) 561-2038




During Session:
P.O. Box V
Juneau, Alaska 99811
(907) 465-4993

Senator Drue Pearce
District G

MEMORANDUM

TO: Senator Steve Frank, Chair
Community & Regional Affairs Committee

FROM: Senator Drue Pearce 

DATE: April 9, 1991

RE: SB 171, An act relating to the training of child care workers.

This legislation is one of the recommendations resulting from the Adolescent Pregnancy and Parenthood Task Force which is contained in your packet. The task force included a wide range of representatives from the community who met over the interim to develop and discuss the problems associated with teen pregnancy and parenting.

One of the problems revealed by the task force is the lack of quality day care, in our state, to meet child care needs. There are at least 800 day care centers statewide who are legally exempt from licensing. Unlicensed centers are potentially very dangerous because they are not subject to inspections or regulations. These unlicensed centers are also not required to have any training. Currently, the only way child care providers are able to receive training money from the education and training grant fund is to meet the following requirements: the child care facilities must be licensed, participating in DCAP, and reserving space in their facility for DCAP children. As a result there are legally exempt day care providers and facilities that do not meet the above requirements and who are not required or able to receive any training.

Recently in Anchorage, an unlicensed day care center was found grossly lacking in adequate services to children. This bill will not completely guarantee the safety in unlicensed homes, but it will add an extra safeguard to ensure that providers are aware of positive and productive methods of caring for children.

After introducing the original bill there, were some concerns brought to my attention about how the legislation would affect the training process of licensed providers. There was concern about how and if the money would actually reach the non-licensed providers, because there is no resource for contacting or assuring that the non-licensed providers would go through the time consuming application process of applying for a grant. Some expressed concern about the funds added to the education and training grant fund being spread too thinly. Thus, potentially taking away training opportunities from licensed providers that currently qualify for the program.

The proposed committee substitute answers the concerns listed above. It would allow the Department of C&RA to provide grants or contracts on the condition that the applicant includes in the proposal a plan for providing qualified instructors and that the training schedule be advertised within the community to pull in non-licensed day care providers and allow all interested persons to receive training. The instructor must also encourage the non-licensed people participating in the training to become licensed.

In addition, there is a clause that gives priority to licensed providers if in the future space becomes limited. The bill also gives preference in training to areas that have gone unserved or under served in the past.

These changes unify the purpose of providing quality training for child care providers. By funding a program to improve the quality of training for child care providers, the children are sure to receive the biggest profit.

I hope that you will share in my support of this legislation.

Thank you.

DP:mrs

DATE: 3/6/91

FURTHER: Finance

Date of 5-Day Notice: 4/4/91
(in accordance with Uniform Rule 23)

DATE TURNED INTO OFFICE: _____

CRA Committee considered SB 171

Training for child care workers.

and recommended:

- replace with _____ CS 171 (CRA) same title
- attached amendment(s) new title
- _____ letter of intent adopted

do pass

do not pass

no recommendation

individual recommendations

further referral to _____

ATTACHES NEW FISCAL NOTE(S):

Department(s)/Date:

Department(s)/Date:

fiscal note(s) _____
CRA

zero fiscal note(s) _____

appropriation-no fiscal note

Governor's bill w/fiscal note

SIGNING DO PASS:

Wliss Skurkowski
And [Signature]

OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:

Do Pass
Chair: Signature and Recommendation



Official Business

COMMITTEE:

SENATE COMMUNITY & REGIONAL AFFAIRS

DATE: 4/9/91

Subject of meeting:

SB 171 TRAINING OF CHILD CARE WORKERS

SIGN-IN

PLEASE PRINT!

NAME	ADDRESS (MAILING) & (ZIP)	PHONE	REPRESENTING	DO YOU WANT TO TESTIFY?
Sheela Gow	Box 22155 310 Ave 99802	462-6744	NUNIA ASSISTS LAR	YES
Cindy Harrington	9092 Glacier Hwy June 99801	789-5523	Child Care Resources	?
Kathi Winsman	Ak. Dept. of Education	465-2871	DDF	NO
Kay Greeno			OAS child trainer	YES
John F. ...	LICRA	465-4890		NO
Robert L. ...		465-...		...

* DELIVER TO: LIOCRG
* ORIGINAL 04/09/91 TIME: 15:44
* SENT: LTCCSOL
* FROM: 91-04-055/PL#1/SB171/4-9-91
* SUBJECT: 04/09/91 TIME: 15:45
* PRINT DATE:

SUBJECT LINE TO READ: TC NO., PL/F/S, SHORT SUBJECT, DATE

T/C NO: 91-04-055
DATE: 4-9-91
SPONSOR: SENATE COMMUNITY & REGIONAL AFFAIRS
SUBJECT: SB 171
MODERATOR: VESTA
SITE: SOLDOTNA

PARTICIPANT LIST

TESTIFYING

NAME/REPRESENTING ADDRESS PHONE BILL NO.
1. ALICE GATES/DAY CARE ASSISTANCE-WRCC/BOX 1170/99611/283-7949/
2. SB171
3.

NAME/REPRESENTING ADDRESS PHONE BILL NO.
1.
2.
3.

TESTIFIED:
UNABLE:
OBSERVED:
TOTAL:

```

*****
*
* DELIVER TO: LIOCROG
*
* ORIGINAL
* SENT: 04/09/91 TIME: 15:44
* FROM: LIOCMIL
* SUBJECT: 91-04-055; PL; (S)CRA; 4/9
* PRINT DATE: 04/09/91 TIME: 15:44
*
*****

```

SUBJECT LINE TO READ: TC NO.; PL/ FS; SHORT SUBJECT; DATE

```

T/ C NO: 91-04-055
DATE: 4/9
SPONSOR: (S) COMMUNITY AND REGIONAL AFFAIRS
SUBJECT: SB 171 TRAINING OF CHILD CARE WORKERS
MODERATOR: JUDY
SITE: ANCHORAGE

```

PARTICIPANT LIST

```

*****
TESTIFY
NAMES/ REPRESENTING ADDRESS PHONE BILL NO.
1. MIA OXLEY

```

Expecting more.

```

*****
OBSERVED:
NAME/ REPRESENTING ADDRESS PHONE BILL NO.
1.
2.
3.
4.
5.

```

** Note: Barrow is expecting one participant who hasn't arrived yet.*

* ORIGINAL *
 * SENT: 04/09/91 TIME: 15:32 *
 * FROM: LIOCKOT *
 * SUBJECT: 91-04-055; PL; SB171; 4-9-91 *
 * PRINT DATE: 04/09/91 TIME: 15:32 *
 * *

SUBJECT LINE TO READ: TC NO.; PL/FS; SHORT SUBJECT; DATE

T/C NO: 91-04-055
 DATE: APRIL 9, 1991
 SPONSOR: (S) COMMUNITY & REGIONAL AFFAIRS
 SUBJECT: SB 171: TRAINING OF CHILD CARE WORKERS
 MODERATOR: KAREN JOHNSON AND DOUG NEAL
 SITE: KOTZEBUE

PARTICIPANT LIST

 TESTIFIED

NAME/REPRESENTING	ADDRESS	PHONE	BILL NO.
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			

~~TESTIFIED~~ to observe

NAME/REPRESENTING	ADDRESS	PHONE	BILL NO.
1. JUDY DURE\CHILDRENS HOUSE,	BOX 1130 KOTZ	442-3157	SB 171
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			

TESTIFIED:

UNABLE:
 OBSERVED:
 TOTAL:

START TIME:

END TIME:

CS FOR SENATE BILL NO. 171 *CJRA*

IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

SEVENTEENTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

BY

Offered:
Referred:

Sponsor(s): SENATORS PEARCE, Zharoff, Collins

A BILL

FOR AN ACT ENTITLED

1 "An Act relating to training for child care" and providing for an effective date

2 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

3 * Section 1. AS 44.47.305(d) is amended to read:

4 (d) In addition to the grants provided in (a) of this section, the department may, subject
5 to appropriations for that purpose, provide by grant or contract for [THE] education and training
6 relating to child care and the operation of child care facilities for [OF] child care employees,
7 volunteers, [OR] administrators, and other interested persons. When awarding grants or
8 contracts under this subsection, the department shall give priority to training programs that
9 will serve areas where training opportunities funded under this subsection have not been
10 available or have been limited when compared to training opportunities in other areas. To
11 receive a grant or contract [UNDER THIS SUBSECTION OR TO PARTICIPATE IN A
12 TRAINING PROGRAM] under this subsection, the child care facility must meet all the
13 requirements of (b) of this section and provide evidence satisfactory to the department that
14 each person who will be providing the proposed instruction is qualified by education or

- 1 experience to provide the instruction. A grant agreement or contract under this subsection
2 must provide that the child care facility will
3 (1) allow participation in the class by all interested persons, including persons
4 who operate or work in an unlicensed child care program; however, when training
5 opportunities are limited by space available or other factors, the grantee or contractor may
6 give priority to persons who work in or operate a licensed child care facility when accepting
7 participants into a training program; *cost effective*
8 (2) advertise the class in aⁿ manner designed to make its availability known
9 to [all] interested persons, including persons who operate or work in an unlicensed child care
10 program;
11 (3) as part of the class, encourage persons who operate unlicensed child care
12 programs to seek licensure of their programs.

Sec. 2.

This act takes effect on July 1, 1991.

STATE OF ALASKA

WALTER J. HICKEL, GOVERNOR

DEPT. OF COMMUNITY & REGIONAL AFFAIRS

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER

March 14, 1991

P.O. BOX B
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99811-2100
PHONE: (907) 465-4700

949 E. 36TH AVENUE, SUITE 400
ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99508-4302
PHONE: (907) 563-1073

POSITION PAPER

RE: Senate Bill 171

SPONSORS: Senators Pearce, Zharoff and Collins

Program Effects of Bill


In April 1990 the state implemented the new federal Family Support Act (FSA) program. Prior to the programs implementation a Legislative Task Force set forth recommendations for specific areas of concern. One of these areas was the federal requirement that FSA participants be allowed to select the caregiver of their choice if the caregiver was legally conducting business under state law and regulations. Child care providers are legally exempt from licensing if caring for under four children within this state. The major concern was that there would be no agency monitoring of these caregivers and that state and federal funding would be paying for this care on behalf of eligible parents. There was some concern for state liability and the quality of care which might be provided for the child. Additionally, many non-subsidized parents use legally exempt care and the same concern is felt for these parents by professionals within the child care community.

The state has an education and training grant fund which provides training for licensed providers within the state that are participating in the Day Care Assistance Program. Currently the fund is unable to provide training for every eligible participant and training is limited. Senate Bill 171 revises the statute to expand the eligible population to include child care providers and preschools who are legally exempt within the state from state or municipal licensing requirements. This population is estimated to be approximately 250% greater than our current eligible participants.

We strongly support the concept of this bill and the fact that it acknowledges the need to train all caregivers equally. However, we are very concerned that with the limited funding available within this program that quality training will be extremely limited and difficult to obtain at it's current level for the demand which will develop under this change in legislation. Unless funding is adequate to provide the additional training necessary to fund these day care workers, we believe the bill would have a negative impact on current child care programs within the state.

Position Paper - SB 171
March 14, 1991
Page Two

Additionally, the education and training program is administered on the local level through grants to resource and referral agencies. Therefore, the language added in Section 2. AS 44.47.305(d) which reads: "the child care facility shall provide evidence satisfactory to the department that each person who will be providing the instruction described in the grant application..." should read: "the grantee shall provide...". Current proposed amended language may be restrictive to the department or misleading to the public. We would recommend that the wording be revised if maintained. The program currently requires qualified instructors through the grant agreement with the local agency administering the training program.


Edgar Blatchford, Commissioner

FISCAL NOTE

STATE OF ALASKA
1991 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

BILL NO. SB 171

Revision Date: _____ Department Affected: Community & Regional Affairs
 Title: An act relating to training for child care workers BRU: Child Assistance
 Component: Child Care

Sponsor: Sen Pearce, Zharoff & Collins

Requestor: _____ COMPONENT SERIAL NO.

	6	5	8
--	---	---	---

Expenditures/Revenues: (Thousands of Dollars)

OPERATING	FY 92	FY 93	FY 94	FY 95	FY 96	FY 97
PERSONAL SERVICES						
TRAVEL						
CONTRACTUAL						
SUPPLIES						
EQUIPMENT						
LAND & STRUCTURES						
GRANTS, CLAIMS	205.	225.	248.	248.	248.	248.
MISCELLANEOUS						
TOTAL OPERATING	205.	225.	248.	248.	248.	248.

CAPITAL						
---------	--	--	--	--	--	--

REVENUE						
---------	--	--	--	--	--	--

FUNDING: (Thousands of Dollars)

GENERAL FUND	205.	225.	248.	248.	248.	248.
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER						
TOTAL	205.	225.	248.	248.	248.	248.

POSITIONS:

FULL-TIME						
PART-TIME						
TEMPORARY						

Estimate of current year impact: 205.

ANALYSIS: (Attach a separate page if necessary.)

See attached

Prepared By: Remond Henderson, Director *Remond Henderson* Phone: 465-4708

Division: Administrative Services Date: 3/15/91

Approved by Commissioner: *[Signature]* for *[Signature]*

Agency: Community & Regional Affairs Date: 3/15/91

Distribution (by preparer): Legislative Finance, Legislative Sponsor, Requestor, OMB, & Impacted Agency(ies).

Fiscal Note Analysis Attachment
Senate Bill 171
Page 2 of 2

We estimate there are at least 800 legally exempt day care homes statewide and that approximately 50 percent would elect to participate in the program. There are currently 280 Department of Education certified preschools whose staff would be eligible and we estimate approximately 75 percent would elect to participate. This equates to approximately 1,243 staff who should receive, at a minimum, 10 hours of training per year. Cost of training is approximately \$16.50 per hour per person. Future increases are estimated based on increased demand (current program status).



STATE OF ALASKA
OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR
BILL ANALYSIS

DEPARTMENT DCRA	DIVISION RDD	BILL NUMBER SB 171	SPONSOR Sen Pearce, Zharoff, Collins
SHORT TITLE OF BILL An Act relating to training for child care workers			
DEPARTMENT POSITION Support in concept, but funding issue is of concern.			
PREPARED BY Janice Brewer	DATE 3/14/91	COMMISSIONER'S SIGNATURE <i>[Signature]</i>	DATE 3/15/91

SUMMARY

OTHER AGENCIES AFFECTED BY BILL	CONSTITUENT GROUP(S) AFFECTED BY BILL Childcare providers & preschools that are legally exempt from licensing requirements under DHSS.
ORGANIZATIONAL SUPPORT FOR BILL Caregivers Childcare special interest groups	ORGANIZATIONAL OPPOSITION TO BILL

FISCAL IMPACT: NONE FISCAL NOTE ATTACHED

BACKGROUND/LEGISLATIVE INTENT

See attached

ANALYSIS OF BILL/PROGRAM EFFECTS

See attached

AMENDMENTS PROPOSED

Recommend amending Sec 2.AS44.47.305(d) to read: "the (child care facility) grantee shall provide evidence satisfactory to the dept,..."

PLEASE ATTACH A SEPARATE SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL COMMENTS OR ANALYSIS.

BACKGROUND/LEGISLATIVE INTENT

There was concern by the Legislative Task Force members on the effects of the Family Support Act's regulations regarding a parents right to choose a child care provider who is legally exempt from the state or municipal licensing requirements. Since the state is required to fund this choice of care, there is also concern of state liability. Further, the bill adds language to ensure qualified instructors provide the training. We currently ensure this quality of instruction through a grant agreement clause with the agency providing the training for the child care facilities. Therefore, this language may restrict our current system which provides training in a more cost effective manner.

ANALYSIS OF BILL/PROGRAM EFFECTS

This bill amends the Statutes to allow all legally exempt caregivers to obtain training under the education and training grant fund. This training is currently limited to only licensed caregivers participating in the Day Care Assistance Program. We currently provide this training through a grant agreement with a local resource and referral agency and could easily accommodate expanding the group eligible for training. However, if the bill does not include new funds for this expanded group of eligibles, it would decrease the already limited funds by at least 50% to licensed caregivers. This bill will more than double the eligible participants within the state. Once the statute is revised, if funding limitations restrict the level of funding in any year, we would be unable to adequately serve the demand.



P.O. Box 100563, Anchorage, Alaska 99510

April 2, 1991

Senate Health Education and Social Services:

The Alaska Health Education Consortium would like to express its support of Senator Pearce's Teen Pregnancy and Parenting Package-- SB-169-176 and SCR 15 and 16.

Teen pregnancy and adolescent parenting cost our society both economically and socially. We support all organized, planned efforts to address teen pregnancy as one at-risk issue of adolescence.

The Teen Pregnancy and Parenting Task Force spent several months studying the problem, and the package Senator Pearce has sponsored addresses some of the issues discussed during the Task Force meetings. The Task Force did not prioritize its recommendations in its report to the Legislature, but all successful plans prioritize actions in order to build a solid program that is long-term and continues to build on efforts from year to year. We are recommending that SCR 15 and 16 be implemented first, followed by SB 176, Comprehensive Health Education, and SB 170, Public Awareness Campaign and Parenting Projects. These bills would provide a sound investment in prevention that could yield long-term benefits.

Next, SB 169, Case Management, would help to augment current DHSS programs and ensure assistance for all teen parents. SB 175, 171, 173, 172, and 174 would be lower in priority but also important in both the short- and long-term.

As professionals in prevention, we urge that legislators consider investing in prevention carefully. For prevention efforts to be successful, they must have long-term commitment and financial support. Programs that last only one or two years cannot possibly hope to produce lasting results. Therefore, we are recommending passage of SB 176 first to build a foundation for other prevention and intervention efforts. While health education alone is not always sufficient to change behaviors or alter attitudes, it is necessary to provide information and skills in order for any other prevention program to succeed.

We believe that only a comprehensive, sequential, age appropriate curriculum in health education can hope to begin to produce a reduction in demand for the health and social services funded by the public.

Delisa Culpepper

Delisa Culpepper, President
Alaska Health Education Consortium

S B

179

SENATE COMMITTEE REPORT
FIRST COMMITTEE OF REFERENCE

DATE: 3/6/91

FURTHER: Finance

Date of 5-Day Notice: 3/14/91
(in accordance with Uniform Rule 23)

DATE TURNED INTO OFFICE: 3-22-91

CRA Committee considered SB 179

Appropriations for water, sewer, and solid waste projects; efd.

and recommended:

replace with _____ CS SB 179 (C+RA) same title
 attached amendment(s) new title

_____ letter of intent adopted

do pass

do not pass

no recommendation

individual recommendations

further referral to _____

ATTACHES NEW FISCAL NOTE(S):

fiscal note(s) _____

zero fiscal note(s) _____

appropriation-no fiscal note

Governor's bill w/fiscal note

SIGNING DO PASS:

OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:

True (Grace) No Rec

Allen Do Pass
Chair: Signature and Recommendation

Alaska State Legislature

Al Adams
District L

WHILE IN SESSION
P.O. Box V
State Capitol
Juneau, Alaska 99811
(907) 465-3707

OUT OF SESSION
P.O. Box 333
Kotzebue, Alaska 99752
(907) 442-3245

3111 C Street
Anchorage, Alaska 99503
(907) 561-7622

Official Business

MAR 11 1991

March 8, 1991

TO: Sen. Steve Frank, Chairman
Senate Community & Regional Affairs Committee

FROM: Senator Al Adams *AK*

RE: SB 179

I would appreciate it if you would hold a hearing on SB 179 as soon as possible.

SB 179 appropriates \$39.1 million to the Department of Environmental Conservation, Village Safe Water, for water and sewer projects throughout the State. The list of projects included in this appropriation bill came from a prioritized list of public health concerns from the Department. Gary Hayden, Director, Division of Facility Construction and Operation, Department of Environmental Conservation, will be available to answer questions on any of the particular projects.

Your attention to this matter is much appreciated.

STATE OF ALASKA

WALTER J. HICKEL, GOVERNOR

DEPT. OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER
P.O. BOX 0, JUNEAU, AK 99811-1800

TELEPHONE NO.
(907) 465-2600

March 15, 1991

The Honorable Steve Frank
Alaska State Senate
P.O. Box V
Juneau, AK 99811

MAR 15 1991

Dear Senator Frank:

This letter deals with the documented health problems stemming from inadequate water systems and sewer facilities/waste disposal practices in many Alaska communities. Outbreaks of Hepatitis A, Meningitis and other diseases have been directly attributed to these facilities and practices. In recognition of these serious problems, the Department of Environmental Conservation (DEC) has developed an inventory of such facilities.


The DEC is working with the Departments of Health and Social Services, Community and Regional Affairs, and Commerce and Economic Development to confirm and update this assessment, and to develop a three to five year program to correct these problems. This program will, of course, involve all communities and a number of organizations including the Alaska Federation of Natives, ANCSA Regional Corporations and such groups as the Tanana Chiefs Conference.

We also want to work closely with the Legislature in this effort. First of all, we want to make sure we have a complete listing of those communities having these "health problem" facilities. Enclosed are the summaries of communities that have been compiled by the Department of Environmental Conservation. We would appreciate your office's review of this listing to be certain we are not overlooking any community's need.

In our work with other state departments and communities, we are also developing a strategy for funding these projects over the next three to five year period. We will explore matching grant options, General Obligation Bonds, and other opportunities for funding assistance from the Federal Government. Your advice on this strategy will also be appreciated.

We will look forward to whatever information and advice you can provide. Feel free to contact Gary Hayden at 465-2610 or Keith Kelton at 465-2640 for further information.

Sincerely,



John A. Sandor
Commissioner

Enclosures

cc: Gary Hayden, Director, ADEC/FC&O; Keith Kelton, Acting Director, ADEC/EQ

4-0

4-0

**DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL
CONSERVATION**

**DIVISION OF FACILITY CONSTRUCTION &
OPERATION**

**COMMUNITY REQUESTS
CAPITAL PROJECTS**

PRIORITY CRITERIA SYSTEM

CRITERIA SYSTEM
ALASKA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION
CAPITAL PROJECTS
SFY 92

VILLAGE SAFE WATER PROGRAM

I. Problem Addressed

A. Public Health

- | | | |
|----|---|-----|
| 1. | A documented existing human disease event exists (documented by a recognized public health organization and confirmed by ADEC.) Construction of this facility will correct the existing problem. | 500 |
| 2. | Current conditions are sufficiently severe that a disease event should have taken place but it has not yet happened. | 200 |
| 3. | Conditions do not yet exist for a disease event to take place. However, development in the project area suggests that such events will most likely occur in the near future if this project is not constructed. | 100 |

B. Environmental

- | | | |
|----|---|-----|
| 1. | A documented existing pollution event has taken place and construction of this facility will correct the existing problem. | 300 |
| 2. | Current conditions are sufficiently severe that a pollution event should have taken place but it has not yet happened. | 200 |
| 3. | Conditions do not yet exist for a pollution event to take place. However, development in the project area suggests that such events will most likely occur in the near future if this project is not constructed. | 100 |
| 4. | An esthetic pollution problem needs correcting. | 25 |

C. Public Safety

- | | | |
|----|--|-----|
| 1. | The project is needed to provide public protection against fire or animal threats at landfills. Protection is to serve an existing population. | 100 |
| 2. | The project is needed to provide public protection against fire or animal attacks. Protection is to serve a projected population. | 50 |

Criteria System

Page 2

II. Project Development Status

- A. Engineering plans and specifications have been prepared. 75
- B. Feasibility study or facility plan has been prepared. 45
- C. Comprehensive study has been prepared which addresses the need for project among other community needs. 20
- D. No documentation has been prepared. 0

III. Matching Funds Available

Points

- A. Matching funds are currently available. (Bonding issue passed, several fund appropriation) 100
- B. Matching funds are not currently available but source has been identified appropriate legal action has been initiated to serve funds. (bond issue not voted on yet, but approved by local authority; loan applied for and money appropriated but not yet delivered to City. 40

IV. Operational and maintenance costs have been considered and are either nonexistent or a source of funds has been identified to pay for them. 50

V. Phased or Segmented Project

- A. Part of project is already started. This phase is needed to make the project functional. 50
- B. Project is composed of more than one segment. This segment is needed to meet the water quality or public health intent of the plan but is not necessary to make the project functional. 25

VII. Effect on Other Projects. Project needs to be accomplished in conjunction with another project to reduce overall cost to State (paving, etc.). 15

CRITERIA SYSTEM
ALASKA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION
CAPITAL PROJECTS
50% MATCHING GRANTS FY 92

CRITERIA SYSTEM - WATER

Public Health Threat

A documented human disease event exists	150
Existing water quality exceeds standards	100

Public Safety

public fire protection for existing population	50
public fire protection for future population	20

Project Status

engineering plans approved	50
engineering plans available	40
management and implementation plan approved	30
feasibility study complete	20

Compliance

NOV for existing facility	50
under compliance order for existing facility	40
existing facility cannot meet permit conditions	20
existing facility has nuisance problems	10

Community Support

Community's number one capital budget request	40
---	----

Funding

Local funding of fifty-percent match in place	50
---	----

Operation and Maintenance

User fees approved by community	30
Operation and maintenance costs estimated	20

4-4

L - 11

4-4

CRITERIA SYSTEM - WATER
Page 2

Cost/Population Benefitted

Low	< 400	40
Medium	400 - 4000	30
High	> 4000	20

Effect on other projects

Part of another project to reduce overall costs ie - paving or resurfacing	30
Completes a phase of an existing project	20

CRITERIA SYSTEM
ALASKA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION
CAPITAL PROJECTS
50% MATCHING GRANTS FY 92

CRITERIA SYSTEM - WASTEWATER

Public Health Threat

A documented human disease event exists 150

Environmental Threat

A documented pollution event exists 100

Development suggests pollution will occur 50

Project Status

engineering plans approved 50

engineering plans available 40

management and implementation plan approved 30

feasibility study complete 20

Compliance

NOV for existing facility 50

under compliance order for existing facility 40

existing facility cannot meet permit conditions 20

existing facility has nuisance problems 10

Community Support

Community's number one capital budget request 40

Funding

Local funding of fifty-percent match in place 50

Operation and Maintenance

User fees approved by community 30

Operation and maintenance costs estimated 20

4-6

4-6

CRITERIA SYSTEM - WASTEWATER

Page 2

Cost/Population Benefitted

Low	< 400	40
Medium	400 - 4000	30
High	> 4000	20

Effect on other projects

Part of another project to reduce overall cost ie - paving or resurfacing	30
Completes a phase of an existing project	20

CRITERIA SYSTEM
ALASKA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION
CAPITAL PROJECTS
50% MATCHING GRANTS FY 92

CRITERIA SYSTEM - SOLID WASTE

Environmental/Public Health Threat

- A documented human disease event has occurred due to solid waste management practices 150
- A documented pollution event has occurred from leachate, smoke or litter 100
- Human/animal encounters are frequent 50

Project Status

- engineering plans approved 50
- engineering plans available 40
- management & implementation plan approved 30
- feasibility study complete 20

Compliance

- NOV for existing facility 50
- under compliance order for existing facility 40
- existing facility cannot meet permit conditions 20
- existing facility has nuisance problems (bears, rats, wind blown litter, fires, etc.) 10

Type of Project (more than one item can score)

- project includes reduction, recovery or separation 50
- project combines more than one community 30

Community Support

- Community's number one capital budget request 40

Funding

- Local funding of fifty-percent match in place 50

4-8

4-8

CRITERIA SYSTEM - SOLID WASTE

Page 2

Operation and Maintenance

User fees approved by community	30
Operation and maintenance costs estimated	20

Cost/Population Benefitted

Low	< 400	40
Medium	400 - 4000	30
High	> 4000	20

CRITERIA SYSTEM FOR DISTRIBUTION OF ALASKA CLEAN WATER FUND LOANS

SCORING OF PROJECTS

Project priority rankings are determined according to the following categories. Appropriate points are assigned to arrive at a point total and priority ranking for each project.

CRITERIA SYSTEM

1. READINESS TO PROCEED

- a) The community needs loan funding to complete a project already started which has already completed the environmental assessment process. 45
- b) The community has completed a facility plan and an environmental assessment has been published. 30
- c) The community has completed a facility plan and an environmental assessment has been prepared but not published. 15

2. COMMUNITY'S ABILITY TO REPAY LOAN

- a) The community has identified a viable source for repaying a loan. 5

3. TYPE OF PROJECT

- a) Initial phase(s) of treatment discharging into marine waters required to protect public health or achieve water quality standards; secondary treatment for discharge into fresh water; or any treatment required prior to discharge to groundwater (no existing sewage treatment facilities). 30
- b) Rehabilitation or expansion of treatment facilities or correction of infiltration/inflow of sewage collection systems where existing conditions are disrupting the efficiency of existing treatment facilities. 25
- c) Correction of infiltration/inflow of sewage collection systems where the required corrections are done in conjunction with a new treatment facility. 20
- d) Construction of new interceptor sewers, pump stations, and appurtenances. 15
- e) Upgrading existing treatment facilities to secondary or advanced wastewater treatment levels when required for reasons other than meeting water quality standards or the protection of public health. 5

4. USE OF RECEIVING WATER

This project will eliminate the adverse effect. (Score from highest point value only).

- a) **Freshwater/Groundwater**
 - 1) Drinking and food processing 10
 - 2) Propagation of fish & shellfish as a food source 5
 - 3) Water contact recreation 2
- b) **Marine Water/Estuaries**
 - 1) Propagation of fish & shellfish as a food source 5
 - 2) Water contact recreation 2

5. LOAN COST/POPULATION BENEFITTED

- a) Low cost/population ratio 0-400 10
- b) Moderate cost/population ratio 401-4000 6
- c) High cost/population ratio >4000 2

6. WATER QUALITY EFFECTS

- a) Project necessary to treat or eliminate a discharge contributing to a documented violation of Alaska Water Quality Standards. Documentation means a report has been provided showing the results of a water quality sample which violates a water quality standard. A treatment plant which is overloaded is presumed to violate water quality standards. 10
- b) Project necessary to minimize or eliminate documented contamination of groundwater or surface waters resulting from subsurface sewage disposal systems. 7
- c) Project will result in measurable enhancement of water quality but is not required to meet Alaska Water Quality Standards. 4
- d) Project necessary to prevent potential water pollution problems or where environment may be adversely affected due to the impact of accelerated development or industrial growth. 2

4-11

4-11

ENCLOSURE 3

**PRIORITY LIST PROCEDURES
ALASKA CLEAN WATER FUND
FEDERAL LOAN PROGRAM**

The Alaska Clean Water Fund program offers low interest loans to communities for the planning, design, and construction of publicly owned wastewater treatment facilities. During the next three years, the program will receive federal grant monies to capitalize the Fund. The following procedures outline the processes the program uses to determine which projects will receive limited loan monies.

CRITERIA SYSTEM: During each funding cycle, a loan priority list is developed to determine the relative ranking of projects based on: (a) project type, (b) readiness to proceed, (c) the current use of receiving water, (d) the ratio of loan amount to population benefitted, (e) the effect of the project on water quality, and (f) the community's ability to repay a loan. The criteria system for ranking Alaska Clean Water Fund projects is detailed on the following page.

BYPASS OF PROJECTS: Eighty-three percent of the Alaska Clean Water Fund is capitalized by the federal government. If the State does not enter into loan agreements equal to each year's grant within a specified time, we lose the grant. Therefore, if it appears a community will not be ready to enter into a loan agreement within the time frame necessary to ensure the fund does not lose federal capitalization monies, their project will be bypassed. The next project on the list which is ready to proceed in a timely manner will be offered a loan.

If available funds are insufficient to meet a community's project financing needs, and the lack of funding will keep the project from proceeding in a timely manner, the project will be bypassed. The next project on the list which will be ready to proceed using the limited available funds will be offered a loan.

TIE SCORES: If two projects are equal in scoring, the following sequence will be used to differentiate between them:

1. If one project requires an earlier construction date as a result of a compliance agreement or other legal order from EPA or ADEC, that project will be placed ahead of the other.
2. The project with an earlier anticipated date for submitting a completed application will be placed ahead of the other.
3. If one project is already under construction and has already undergone the required environmental review, that project will be placed ahead of the other.
4. If both projects are from the same community, the community may decide which project should be placed ahead of the other.
5. The individual scores from each criteria category will be compared, beginning with category 1 and continuing until a difference is found. The project with the highest score in the individual category will be placed first.

The criteria system and priority list are subject to public review and comment. Public review is announced through individual mailings to communities and public notices in the newspapers of appropriate cities.

FUNDING HISTORYfy91.silk

2-1

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	YEAR	DEC - 50%	DEC - VSW	TOTAL - DEC	DIRECT - DOA	TOTAL STATE
2	70	\$8,000,000	\$3,000,000	\$11,000,000		\$11,000,000
3	72	\$32,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$33,000,000		\$33,000,000
4	76	\$29,500,000	\$1,500,000	\$31,000,000		\$31,000,000
5	78	\$19,890,000	\$7,750,000	\$27,640,000		\$27,640,000
6	80	\$23,000,000	\$10,000,000	\$33,000,000		\$33,000,000
7	82	\$9,359,000	\$1,608,900	\$10,967,900		\$10,967,900
8	83	\$4,580,000	\$515,000	\$5,105,000	\$28,441,700	\$31,546,700
9	84	\$18,302,889	\$680,610	\$18,993,579	\$108,587,100	\$128,580,679
10	85	\$15,700,000	\$7,872,000	\$23,672,000	\$132,501,525	\$156,173,525
11	86	\$1,823,100	\$1,218,000	\$3,042,100	\$25,115,300	\$28,157,400
12	87	\$14,880,850	\$9,784,000	\$24,674,710	\$45,984,182	\$70,638,892
13	88	\$5,980,300	\$5,623,200	\$11,603,500	\$4,311,500	\$15,913,000
14	89	\$11,795,000	\$6,367,475	\$18,162,475	\$9,643,300	\$27,805,775
15	90	\$7,050,000	\$7,781,100	\$14,841,100	\$12,215,008	\$27,056,108
16	91	\$7,480,000	\$7,829,295	\$15,319,295	\$9,783,500	\$25,102,795
17	TOTAL	\$210,381,018	\$72,880,510	\$283,221,628	\$374,583,115	\$657,584,744
18						

2-1

FEDERAL MATCHING FUNDS PROJECTS

ADEC 50% MATCHING GRANTS PROGRAM

5-Mar-91

<u>Community</u>	<u>Project Name</u>	<u>ADEC 50% Grant Request</u>	<u>Expected Federal Funding</u>
Nome	Wastewater Treatment Facility	\$1,332,000	\$2,800,000
Ketchikan	Primary Sedimentation Plant	\$521,000	\$2,465,000
Cordova	Sewer System Improvements	\$301,000	\$1,900,000
Juneau	Mendenhall-JD Sewage Treatment Imp	\$405,000	\$5,655,000
Take	Gunnuck Creek Sewer Project	\$515,000	\$260,000
Klawock	Sewage Treatment Plant & Outfall	\$387,500	\$75,000
Craig	Wastewater Treatment Plant	\$1,730,300	\$700,000
Klawock	Klawock Subdivision Water & Sewer	\$350,000	\$700,000
Hoonah	Garteen Highway W & S Extension	\$212,500	\$75,000
Klawock	Water Source Development	\$75,000	unknown
TOTAL		\$5,829,300	\$14,630,000

VILLAGE SAFE WATER MATCHING PROJECTS

<u>Community</u>	<u>Project Name</u>	<u>Grant Request</u>	<u>Federal Funding</u>
Chevak	Sewer upgrade	\$300,000	\$7,500
Klukwan	Lagoon	\$389,000	\$175,000
Kasaan	Water Supply Improvements- Phase I	\$306,000	\$75,000
Tanacross	Water & Sewer Expansion & Repair	\$200,000	\$850,000
Kiana	Sewage Treatment Renovation	\$968,000	\$584,000
Noorvik	Water & Sewer Upgrade	\$400,000	\$480,000
Selawik	Storage/Washeteria	\$800,000	\$1,420,000
Koyuk	Piped Water and Sewer Systems	\$1,200,000	\$1,200,000
White Mountain	Water and Sewer	\$617,000	\$2,517,000
Gambell	Water & Sewer Phase III	\$2,800,000	\$1,035,000
Chevak	Laundry & Sewer Disposal Lines	\$37,000	\$8,000
Nikolai	Water and Sewer Improvement Project	\$500,000	\$800,000
Stevens Village	Washeteria Upgrade	\$400,000	\$200,000
Kaitag	Water and Sewer Extension	\$217,380	\$125,000
Huslia	Sanitation Improvements	\$500,000	\$1,000,000
Shageluk	Washeteria/Water Treatment Plant Ren	\$300,000	\$600,000
Tyonek	Water & Sewer Phase III	\$742,000	\$912,000
Venetie	Washeteria Rehab	\$1,470,000	\$600,000
Mekoryuk	Flush Tank and Haul Demonstration Pr	\$180,000	\$50,000
Cheformak	Water & Sewer System	\$2,147,499	\$500,000
Kokhonak	Water & Sewer Improvements	\$100,000	\$181,500
Manokotak	Water System Improvements	\$300,000	\$487,000
New Stuyahok	Water Sewer & Solid Waste	\$477,000	\$200,000
Togiak	Togiak Water & Sewer Const.	\$257,000	\$350,000
Larsen Bay	Water Improvements	\$530,000	\$100,000
TOTAL		\$16,137,879	\$14,457,000

PROJECTS RECEIVING CONSIDERATION UNDER THE PUBLIC HEALTH SCORING CRITERIA

VSW PROJECTS

City	Projects	Grant Request	ED	Regional Area	Class
Various Statewide Communities	Engineering Feasibility Studies	\$100,000	99	VSW	
Statewide	Village clinic water/sewer upgrade	\$500,000		ANHB	IA1
St. Michael	Water tanker/fire truck/appurtenances	\$300,000	23	BBHD	IA1
Deering	Water/Sewer Phase I	\$1,998,427	22	Maniilaq	IA1
Koyuk	Piped Water and Sewer Systems	\$1,200,000	23	NSHC	IA1
Stebbins	Honey Bucket Access Road	\$38,000	23	NSHD	IA1
Alakanuk	Honey Bucket Haul	\$305,000	23	YKHC	IA1
Chefornak	Water & Sewer System	\$2,147,499	25	YKHC	IA1
Chevak	Sewer upgrade	\$300,000	23	YKHC	IA1
Hooper Bay	Water & Sewer Upgrade	\$990,000	23	YKHC	IA1
Kotlik	Honey Bucket Cleanup	\$50,000	23	YKHC	IA1
Tuluksak	Water, Sewer & Solid Waste Study	\$50,000	24	YKHC	IA1
Sub-Total for Class IA1		\$7,978,926			
Atka	Engr Evaluation Water/Sewer	\$100,000	26	Aleu/Prib Is Assoc	IA2
Chignik	Sewer Upgrade/Drainfield Replacement	\$200,000	27	BBAHC	IA2
Clarks Point	Extension of Water and Sewer	\$280,000	26	BBAHC	IA2
Kokhonak	Water & Sewer Improvements	\$100,000	26	BBAHC	IA2
Manokotuk	Water System Improvements	\$300,000	26	BBAHC	IA2
New Stuyahok	Water Sewer & Solid Waste	\$477,000	26	BBAHD	IA2
Togiak	Togiak Water & Sewer Const.	\$257,000	26	BBAHD	IA2
South Naknek	Septic Tank Project	\$581,000	26	BBHD	IA2
Port Graham	Engr Design/Study	\$75,000	5	CINHD	IA2
Tyonek	Water & Sewer Phase III	\$742,000	24	CINHD	IA2
Chitochina	Chitochina Safewater	\$25,000	17	CRHD	IA2
Glennallen	Sewer System	\$1,200,000	17	CRHD	IA2
Gulkana	Water & Sewer		17	CRHD	IA2
Matanuska-Susitna Borough	Talkeetna East Side Sewer and Water Construction	\$3,100,000	16	CRHD	IA2
Nelchina/Mendeltna Corporation	Waste Transfer Station & Well	\$42,600	17	CRHD	IA2
Larsen Bay	Water Improvements	\$530,000	27	KANA	IA2
Ouzinkie	Safewater Relocation	\$200,000	27	KANA	IA2
Port Lions	Water & Sewer	\$250,000	27	KANA	IA2
Kiana	Sewage Treatment Renovation	\$968,000	22	Maniilaq	IA2
Kivalina	Water Tank Upgrade	\$900,000	22	Maniilaq	IA2
Kotzebue	Sewer Main Rehab	\$1,370,000	22	Maniilaq	IA2
Kotzebue	Sewage Lagoon Upgrade	\$480,000	22	Maniilaq	IA2
Noatak	Solid Waste Site	\$300,000	22	Maniilaq	IA2
Noorvik	Water & Sewer Upgrade	\$400,000	22	Maniilaq	IA2
Selawik	Storage/Washeteria	\$800,000	22	Maniilaq	IA2
Shungnak	Solid Waste Improvements	\$120,000	22	Maniilaq	IA2
Chenega Bay	Solid Waste Disposal	\$383,891	6	N Pacific Rim	IA2
Anchor Point	Watering Point Facility	\$68,000	5	N. Pacific Rim	IA2
Brevig Mission	Water and Sewer Design	\$150,000	23	NSHC	IA2
Elim	Sewer Ocean Outfall Line Rehab	\$431,000	23	NSHC	IA2
Gambell	Water & Sewer Phase III	\$2,800,000	23	NSHC	IA2
Golovin	Water and Sewer Progressive Improvements Plan Ph. 8.	\$427,280	23	NSHC	IA2
Unalakleet	Solid Waste	\$1,414,000	23	NSHC	IA2
White Mountain	Water and Sewer	\$617,000	23	NSHC	IA2
Savoonga	Solid Waste	\$750,000	23	NSHD	IA2
Ketchikan Gateway Bor.	Mt. Point Water & Sewer Project	\$2,524,000	5	SEARHC	IA2
Klukwan	Lagoon	\$389,000	2	SEARHC	IA2
Point Barrow	Engineering Feasibility Study	\$25,000	2	SEARHC	IA2
Thorne Bay	Sewer Project	\$1,100,000	2	SEARHC	IA2
Anderson	Septage Disposal Facility	\$300,000	17	TCC	IA2
Birch Creek	Solid Waste Removal & Relocation	\$10,000	24	TCC	IA2
Birch Creek	Tank Rehabilitation	\$150,000	24	TCC	IA2
Grayling	Gallery Pump Project	\$100,000	24	TCC	IA2
Healy Lake Village	Water/Sewer Project	\$52,000	17	TCC	IA2
Huslia	Sanitation Improvements	\$500,000	24	TCC	IA2
Minto	Solid Waste Site	\$150,000	24	TCC	IA2
Nikolai	Water and Sewer Improvement Project	\$500,000	24	TCC	IA2
Northway	Sanitation facility upgrade	\$250,000	24	TCC	IA2
Nulato	Water & Sewer System Phase III	\$2,382,000	24	TCC	IA2

5-2

5-2

City	Projects	Grant Request	ED	Regional Area	Class
Ruby	Sanitary Landfill	\$60,000	24	TCC	IA2
Shageluk	Washeteria/Water Treatment Plant Renovation	\$300,000	24	TCC	IA2
Takoma	Rehab of Sanitation Facilities	\$225,000	24	TCC	IA2
Tanacross	Water & Sewer Expansion & Repair	\$200,000	17	TCC	IA2
Bethel	Wastewater Treatment System Improvements	\$623,000	25	YKHC	IA2
Chevak	Laundry & Sewer Disposal Lines	\$37,000	23	YKHC	IA2
Eek	Feasibility Study-Water Well Drilling	\$22,500	25	YKHC	IA2
Lower Kalslag	Solid Waste Improvements	\$175,000	24	YKHC	IA2
Mekoryuk	Flush Tank and Haul Demonstration Project	\$180,000	25	YKHC	IA2
Sub-Total for Class IA2		\$31,143,471			
False Pass	Water Supply for New Community Dev. Proj.	\$280,250	26	Aleu/Prib Is Assoc	IA3
Chignik Lake	Landfill Relocation Design/Construction	\$25,000	27	BBAHC	IA3
St. Michael	Phase II Washeteria	\$474,200	23	BBHD	IA3
Ambler	Water and Sewer Lines	\$150,000	22	Maniilaq	IA3
Kobuk	Water, Sewer & Solid Waste	\$1,500,000	22	Maniilaq	IA3
Kotzebue	Sanitary Landfill Study	\$150,000	22	Maniilaq	IA3
Teller	Safe Water/Sewer/Solid Waste	\$200,000	23	NSHD	IA3
Coffman Cove	Water and Sewer Construction	\$800,000	2	SEARHC	IA3
Kasaan	Water Supply Improvements- Phase I	\$306,000	2	SEARHC	IA3
Metlakatla	Water Tank & Feeder Lines Replacement	\$584,200	2	SEARHC	IA3
Eagle Village	Washeteria, Lagoon & Water Project	\$1,600,000	17	TCC	IA3
Katag	Water and Sewer Extension	\$217,380	24	TCC	IA3
Stevens Village	Washeteria Upgrade	\$400,000	24	TCC	IA3
Venetie	Washeteria Rehab	\$1,470,000	24	TCC	IA3
Eek	Washeteria Upgrade	\$8,122	25	YKHC	IA3
Emmonak	Sewer and Water Expansion	\$1,000,000	23	YKHC	IA3
Scammon Bay	Village Safe Water	\$150,000	23	YKHC	IA3
Toksook Bay	Water Supply Improvements Project	\$550,000	25	YKHC	IA3
Sub-Total for Class IA3		\$9,865,132			

LEGEND

Aleu/Prib Is Assoc	Aleutian Pribilof Island Association
ANHB	Alaska Native Health Board
BBHC	Bristol Bay Health Corp
CINHD	Cook Inlet Native Health Corp
CRHD	Copper River Health Dept
KANA	Kodiak Area Native Association
NSHC	Norton Sound Health Corp
SEARHC	South East Alaska Regional Health Corp
TCC	Tanana Chiefs Conference
VSW	Village Safe Water
YKHC	Yukon Kuskokwim Health Corp

MATCHING GRANTS PROJECTS

City	Projects	Grant Request	ED	Regional Area	Class
Kodiak	Water Filtration Plant	\$8,190,000	27	KANA	IA1
Keichikan Public Utilities	Water & Filtration Treatment Plant	\$35,000	1	SEARHC	IA1
Sub Total for Class IA1		\$8,225,000			
Seldovia	Water System Upgrade	\$260,000	5	CINHD	IA2
Sub Total for Class IA2		\$260,000			
TOTAL COSTS for all class IA1 Projects		\$16,203,926			
TOTAL COSTS for all class IA2 Projects		\$31,403,271			
TOTAL COSTS for all class IA3 Projects		\$9,865,152			
TOTAL COSTS for all Health related projects		\$57,472,349			

Organization of Chart

The chart is arranged in order as follows:

- The two programs, Village Safe Water and 50% municipal matching grants program are listed separately.
- Within each program projects are arranged by classification of health problem, i.e., IA1, IA2, IA3
- Within each health class projects are arranged in order of the regional health corporation located in that region
- Within each regional health corporation area projects are arranged alphabetically by community

ADEC 50% MATCHING GRANT REQUESTS FOR FY 92

<u>Community</u>	<u>Project Name</u>	<u>Grant Request</u>	<u>Cum. Request</u>
I. FEDERAL MATCH PROJECTS.			
Nome	Wastewater Treatment Facility	\$1,332,000	\$1,332,000
Ketchikan	Primary Sedimentation Plant	\$521,000	\$1,853,000
Cordova	Sewer System Improvements	\$301,000	\$2,154,000
Juneau	Mendenhall-JD Sewage Treatment Plant Improvements	\$405,000	\$2,559,000
II. CURRENTLY UNDER CONSTRUCTION WITH ADEC FUNDING (NEEDED TO COMPLETE)			
Kenai	Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade	\$250,000	\$250,000
Unalaska	Unalaska Water Project	\$1,250,000	\$1,500,000
Kenai Peninsula Borough	Seward and Soldotna, Solid Waste Facilities	\$2,106,000	\$3,606,000
III. PRECONSTRUCTION			
Statewide	Community Facility Planning & Design	\$500,000	\$500,000
IV. WATER & SEWER			
Bristol Bay Borough	King Salmon Sewer	\$1,703,000	\$1,703,000
Haines	Wastewater (E.P.A. Compliance)	\$1,000,000	\$2,703,000
Kodiak	Water Filtration Plant	\$8,190,000	\$10,893,000
Kake	Kake Gunnuck Creek - Sewer Project	\$515,000	\$11,408,000
Klawock	STP & Outfall	\$387,500	\$11,795,500
Seldovia	Water System Upgrade	\$260,000	\$12,305,500
Ketchikan Public Utilities	Tongass/Water Street Water Main Replacement Phase II	\$1,250,000	\$13,555,500
Craig	Wastewater Treatment Plant	\$1,730,300	\$15,285,800
Klawock	Klawock Subdivision Water & Sewer	\$350,000	\$15,635,800
Kenai	Thompson Park Sewer Interceptor	\$900,000	\$16,535,800
Wrangell	Water Main from Upper Reservoir to bypass Lower Reservo.	\$275,000	\$16,810,800
Wrangell	Water Main extension to the Airport	\$200,000	\$17,010,800
Homer	Port of Homer 30 Acre Sewer & Water Utility	\$225,000	\$17,235,800
Sand Point	Nagai Avenue Sewer	\$300,000	\$17,535,800
Valdez	Sewage Dump Station - Small Boat Harbor	\$6,800	\$17,542,600
Valdez	Oil and Grease Separators for Small Boat Harbor	\$27,500	\$17,570,100
Pelican	Continuation of Sewer System	\$248,582	\$17,818,682
King Cove	Harbor Water Extension (Phase I)	\$123,000	\$17,941,682
Skagway	Water Storage Tank	\$152,000	\$18,093,682
Hoonah	Garteen Hwy. W & S Extension	\$212,500	\$18,306,182
North Pole	Northwest Utility	\$1,770,000	\$20,076,182
Yakutat	Sewage Treatment Facility Upgrade	\$450,000	\$20,526,182
Kenai	Thompson Park Water and Sewer Main	\$600,000	\$21,126,182
Klawock	Klawock Water Source	\$75,000	\$21,201,182
Ketchikan Public Utilities	Bear Valley Reservoir Construction	\$950,000	\$22,151,182
Ketchikan Public Utilities	Jefferson/Madison Street Water Main Replacement	\$200,000	\$22,351,182
Ketchikan Public Utilities	Tower Road Water Main Replacement	\$500,000	\$22,851,182
Dillingham	Airport Sewer Project	\$175,000	\$23,026,182

1-2

1-2

ADEC 50% MATCHING GRANT REQUESTS FOR FY 92

<u>Community</u>	<u>Project Name</u>	<u>Grant Request</u>	<u>Cum. Request</u>
Kodiak Island Borough	Service District No. 1 Project No. 85-3(B)	\$666,800	\$23,692,982
Kodiak Island Borough	Service District No. 1 Project No. 86-1	\$934,350	\$24,627,332
Ketchikan	Central Waterfront Development - Sewer and Water	\$129,250	\$24,756,582
North Pole	Baker/Northstar Subdivision W & S.	\$2,290,000	\$27,046,582
Sitka	Inflow & Infiltration Reduction	\$1,000,000	\$28,046,582
Kodiak Island Borough	Monashka Bay Water and Sewer System Design	\$550,000	\$28,596,582
Kodiak Island Borough	Womens Bay Water and Sewer System Design	\$550,000	\$29,146,582
Wrangell	Church Street Wood Stave Water Main Replacement	\$200,000	\$29,346,582
Wrangell	Water and Sewer Main Replacement - Webber Street	\$50,000	\$29,396,582

V. SOLID WASTE

Kodiak Island Borough	Kodiak Landfill and Material and Leachate Treatment	\$559,863	\$559,863
Sand Point	Sand Point Landfill Construction	\$300,000	\$859,863
Sitka	Comprehensive Solid Waste Disposal	\$1,500,000	\$2,359,863
Valdez	Paved Road to Balefill	\$75,000	\$2,434,863
Dillingham	Landfill Improvements	\$100,000	\$2,534,863
North Pole	Solid Waste Incinerator	\$3,300,000	\$5,834,863
Kenai Peninsula Borough	Homer Balefill Improvements	\$820,000	\$6,654,863
Kenai Peninsula Borough	North Borough Transfer Station	\$597,375	\$7,252,238

VI. ANCHORAGE **

Anchorage	Anchorage Wastewater Projects	\$5,338,500	\$5,338,500
Anchorage	Anchorage Water Projects	\$1,544,500	\$6,883,000
Anchorage	Anchorage Regional Landfill Cell III	\$2,463,000	\$9,346,000
Anchorage	Merrill Field Landfill Methane Gas Collection Project	\$794,000	\$10,140,000
Anchorage	Areawide Water Quality Monitoring	\$100,000	\$10,240,000
Anchorage	Oil/Grease Separator Performance Monitoring	\$25,000	\$10,265,000
Anchorage	Storm Drain Monitoring and Characterization	\$25,000	\$10,290,000
Anchorage	Water Quality Public Education	\$40,000	\$10,330,000
Anchorage	Macroinvertebrate Water Quality Assessment	\$20,000	\$10,350,000

VII. FAIRBANKS **

Fairbanks	Ft. Wainwright Interceptor Rehabilitation - IIA	\$750,000	\$750,000
Fairbanks	Wastewater Sludge Landfill	\$6,750,000	\$7,500,000
Fairbanks	Waste Heat Exchanger - Water	\$325,000	\$7,825,000
Fairbanks	Firewell Improvements	\$125,000	\$7,950,000
Fairbanks	Lime Stabilization	\$225,000	\$8,175,000

VIII. JUNEAU **

Juneau	Glacier Highway Sewerage: Ross Way-Channel Drive	\$950,000	\$950,000
Juneau	Back Loop Road Sewerage-Design	\$600,000	\$1,550,000
Juneau	North Franklin Sewer/Storm Drain Separation	\$275,000	\$1,825,000
Juneau	Highlands Sewer/Storm Drain Separation	\$293,000	\$2,118,000
Juneau	Mountainside Estates-Reservoir & Pump Station	\$1,250,000	\$3,368,000
Juneau	Back Loop Sewerage - Phase I	\$7,700,000	\$11,068,000

IX. STATEWIDE SOLID WASTE

Community Solid Waste Planning Grants	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000
---------------------------------------	-------------	-------------

** NOTE: In prior years, a single appropriation was made for Anchorage, Fairbanks and Juneau, allowing them to set their own internal priorities

1-3

1-3

**DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION
 DIV. FACILITY CONSTRUCTION & OPERATION
 COMMUNITY REQUESTS FOR VILLAGE SAFE WATER GRANT FUNDING
 3/8/91**

<u>Community</u>	<u>Project Title</u>	<u>Grant Request</u>	<u>Cumulative</u>
Alakanuk	Honey Bucket Haul	\$305,000	\$305,000
Koyuk	Piped Water and Sewer Systems	\$1,200,000	\$1,505,000
Stebbins	Honey Bucket Access Road	\$38,000	\$1,543,000
Deering	Water/Sewer Phase I	\$1,998,427	\$3,541,427
Tuluksak	Water, Sewer & Solid Waste Study	\$50,000	\$3,591,427
Nikolai	Water and Sewer Improvement Project	\$500,000	\$4,091,427
Chevak	Sewer upgrade	\$300,000	\$4,391,427
Tyonek	Water & Sewer Phase III	\$742,000	\$5,133,427
Huslia	Sanitation Improvements	\$500,000	\$5,633,427
Kotlik	Honey Bucket Cleanup	\$50,000	\$5,683,427
Statewide	Village clinic water/sewer upgrade	\$500,000	\$6,183,427
White Mountain	Water and Sewer	\$617,000	\$6,800,427
Thorne Bay	Sewer Project	\$1,100,000	\$7,900,427
Ketchikan Gateway Bor.	Mt. Point Water & Sewer Project	\$2,524,000	\$10,424,427
Anderson	Septage Disposal Facility	\$300,000	\$10,724,427
Glennallen	Sewer System	\$1,200,000	\$11,924,427
Anchor Point	Watering Point Facility	\$68,000	\$11,992,427
Klukwan	Lagoon	\$389,000	\$12,381,427
Takotna	Rehab of Sanitation Facilities	\$225,000	\$12,606,427
Noorvik	Water & Sewer Upgrade	\$400,000	\$13,006,427
Selawik	Storage/Washeteria	\$800,000	\$13,806,427
Mekoryuk	Flush Tank and Haul Demonstration Project	\$180,000	\$13,986,427
Unalakleet	Solid Waste	\$1,414,000	\$15,400,427
Nelchina/Mendeltna Corporation	Waste Transfer Station & Well	\$42,600	\$15,443,027
Matanuska-Susitna Borough	Talkeetna East Side Sewer and Water Construction	\$3,100,000	\$18,543,027
Cheformak	Water & Sewer System	\$2,147,499	\$20,690,526
Kokhonak	Water & Sewer Improvements	\$100,000	\$20,790,526
New Stuyahok	Water Sewer & Solid Waste	\$477,000	\$21,267,526
Togiak	Togiak Water & Sewer Const.	\$257,000	\$21,524,526
Gambell	Water & Sewer Phase III	\$2,800,000	\$24,324,526
Bethel	Wastewater Treatment System Improvements	\$623,000	\$24,947,526
Lower Kalskag	Solid Waste Improvements	\$175,000	\$25,122,526
Golovin	Water and Sewer Progressive Improvements Plan Ph. 2.	\$427,280	\$25,549,806
Nulato	Water & Sewer System Phase III	\$2,382,000	\$27,931,806
Emmonak	Sewer and Water Expansion	\$1,000,000	\$28,931,806
Kotzebue	Sewer Main Rehab	\$1,370,000	\$30,301,806
Kiana	Sewage Treatment Renovation	\$968,000	\$31,269,806
Ruby	Sanitary Landfill	\$60,000	\$31,329,806
Elim	Sewer Ocean Outfall Line Rehab	\$431,000	\$31,760,806
Hooper Bay	Water & Sewer Upgrade	\$990,000	\$32,750,806
Shageluk	Washeteria/Water Treatment Plant Renovation	\$300,000	\$33,050,806
Kaitag	Water and Sewer Extension	\$217,380	\$33,268,186
Manokotak	Water System Improvements	\$300,000	\$33,568,186
Port Lions	Water & Sewer	\$250,000	\$33,818,186

1-4

1-4

<u>Community</u>	<u>Project Title</u>	<u>Grant Request</u>	<u>Cumulative</u>
Coffman Cove	Water and Sewer Construction	\$800,000	\$34,618,186
Savoonga	Solid Waste	\$750,000	\$35,368,186
Chignik	Sewer Upgrade/Drainfield Replacement	\$200,000	\$35,568,186
Various Statewide Communities	Engineering Feasibility Studies	\$100,000	\$35,668,186
Kotzebue	Sewage Lagoon Upgrade	\$480,000	\$36,148,186
Chistochina	Chistochina Safewater	\$25,000	\$36,173,186
Port Graham	Engr Design/Study	\$75,000	\$36,248,186
St. Michael	Water tanker/fire truck/ appurtenances	\$300,000	\$36,548,186
Northway	Sanitation facility upgrade	\$260,000	\$36,808,186
Kivalina	Water Tank Upgrade	\$900,000	\$37,708,186
South Naknek	Septic Tank Project	\$581,000	\$38,289,186
Clarks Point	Extension of Water and Sewer	\$280,000	\$38,569,186
Healy Lake Village	Water/Sewer Project	\$92,000	\$38,661,186
Tanacross	Water & Sewer Expansion & Repair	\$200,000	\$38,861,186
Atka	Engr Evaluation Water/Sewer	\$100,000	\$38,961,186
Grayling	Gallery Pump Project	\$100,000	\$39,061,186
Birch Creek	Solid Waste Removal & Relocation	\$10,000	\$39,071,186
Minto	Solid Waste Site	\$150,000	\$39,221,186
Larsen Bay	Water Improvements	\$530,000	\$39,751,186
Noatak	Solid Waste Site	\$300,000	\$40,051,186
Kotzebue	Sanitary Landfill Study	\$150,000	\$40,201,186
Chenega Bay	Solid Waste Disposal	\$383,891	\$40,585,077
Chevak	Laundry & Sewer Disposal Lines	\$37,000	\$40,622,077
Shungnak	Solid Waste Improvements	\$120,000	\$40,742,077
Point Baker	Engineering Feasibility Study	\$25,000	\$40,767,077
Eek	Feasibility Study-Water Well Drilling	\$22,500	\$40,789,577
St. Michael	Phase II Washeteria	\$474,200	\$41,263,777
Kasaan	Water Supply Improvements- Phase I	\$306,000	\$41,569,777
Toksook Bay	Water Supply Improvements Project	\$550,000	\$42,119,777
Stevens Village	Washeteria Upgrade	\$400,000	\$42,519,777
Brevig Mission	Water and Sewer Design	\$150,000	\$42,669,777
Ouzinkie	Safewater Relocation	\$200,000	\$42,869,777
Gulkana	Water & Sewer		\$42,869,777
Birch Creek	Tank Rehabilitation	\$150,000	\$43,019,777
Kobuk	Water, Sewer & Solid Waste	\$1,500,000	\$44,519,777
Scammon Bay	Village Safe Water	\$150,000	\$44,669,777
Metlakaala	Water Tank & Feeder Lines Replacement	\$584,200	\$45,253,977
Teller	Safe Water/Sewer/Solid Waste	\$200,000	\$45,453,977
Eagle Village	Washeteria, Lagoon & Water Project	\$1,600,000	\$47,053,977
Ambler	Water and Sewer Lines	\$150,000	\$47,203,977
Venetie	Washeteria Rehab	\$1,470,000	\$48,673,977
False Pass	Water Supply for New Community Dev. Proj.	\$280,250	\$48,954,227
Eek	Washeteria Upgrade	\$8,122	\$48,962,349
Chignik Lake	Solid Waste Study	\$25,000	\$48,987,349