

ALASKA LEGISLATURE

COMMITTEE FILES

1987-1988

8672

5567

SSTA

HB 167

(FILE 2)

139

Dear Senator Wood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Mike Warner

Name

P.O. Box 516

Street

Kasilof, AK 99610

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alford :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let these who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Pat A. Burnett

Name

8030 Williwaw Ave

Street

Anchorage Ak 99504

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alwood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

ROBERT T BERRY
Name

10263 JAMES TOWN DR
Street

ANCH AK 99507
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Blood

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Tom Burns

Name

205 E 4th Ave.

Street

Anchorage AK 99501

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alwood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Michelle Williams

Name

W44E 78th

Street

Amor. AK 99018

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Wood

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Joseph R. Griffin
Name

SRB 7632
Street

Palmer Alaska 99645
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Wesling _____ :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Bob Kinicki

Name

1328 Kinicki St.

Street

Anchorage AK 99508

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Aboud

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

G. B. Burman

Name

P.O. Box 870598

Street

WASILLA ALASKA

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Mitch Ahoood:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

EM Pepin
Name

6024 Camden Circle
Street

Anchorage, Alaska
City, State, Zip Code

99504

Dear Senator

Mitch Abood:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Shawn Canyon

Name

PO Box 771218

Street

Eagle River AK 99577

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Mitch Abood:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Troy Pierce

Troy Pierce

Name

3841 Patricia Ln.

Street

Anch., AK. 99504

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Mitch Abood:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Thomas B. Pe...
Name

13600 DAVIS ROAD
Street

ANCH AK 99516
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mitch Abood

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

G.R. Pederson

Name

D.U. Box 201712

Street

Anch AK 99520

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mitch Abbott :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Jessie Harmon
Name

Anchorage AK
Street

99503
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Mitch Abood.

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,


Name

Street PO BOX 204057
Anchorage Alaska 99520
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

3, Tella Bood

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Philippe Bood
Name

819 ORCA
Street

Anch AK 99501
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mr. Aboob :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Charles M. Bill
Name

P.O. Box 227
Street

Kodiak AK 99615
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Mitch Abbott

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Warren Garrison

Name

1734 Parkway Dr.

Street

Anchorage Ak 99504

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator MITCH AISCOD

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Stephan A. Walker
Name

7854 Sunda Lane
Street

June AK 99518
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Mitch Abbott:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

David Peatth

Name

8950 E. Elm

Street

Anch. AK 99507

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mitchell :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Lobby Miller
Name

1017 Alameda Ave
Street

Juneau, AK
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Wesley Bell:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Wesley Bell
Name

33341 Bush Street
Street

Wrangell Alaska 993 07
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mark Alford :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Orville E. See Jr.
Name

1839 Benquet Place
Street

Anch. Ak. 99504
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abroad :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

DANIEL GREEN
Name

GREEN DRIVE
Street

Chugiak Alaska 99567
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Michael Almond :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

KATHLEEN R O'KEEFE

Name

2003 W 96TH

Street

ANCHorage AK 99517

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mich :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away th ir freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our chi'dren, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely, 

Donator P Gresham
Name

2221 Muddon Sp286
Street

Anch AK 99504
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Mitch Abasad :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Dorothy A. Graham

Name

2221 Newdawn Rd Sp 286

Street

Anchorage AK 99504

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mitch A. Board

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

M. E. Board
Name

5901 E 6 Ave., #240
Street

Anchorage, AK 99504
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Aboud :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Debbie Nethercott
Name

1641 CARA LOOP
Street

ANCH. ALASKA 99515
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Aboud :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Dina Lynn Grumbine
Name

6910 E 12th Avenue
Street

Anchorage Alaska 99504
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Alwood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Michael Page
Name

3307 Bonifare 10-C
Street

ANCHORAGE, AK 99501
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Aboud :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Shirley Pemberton
Name

2820 W 91st
Street

Anchor AK 99502
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mitch Abund :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

William W. King
Name

P.O. Box 173655
Street

Wasilla Ak. 99645 99687
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mitch Abood _____ :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

James R. ...
Name
Windsor
Street
Wasilla Ak 99687
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

A. A. Anker
Name

733 "K" Street
Street

Anchorage, AK. 99501
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Mitch Albrow:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Robert G. Gage
Name

8000 Resurrection
Street

Anchorage AK 99504
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator A. B. O. :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

 ROBERT COLLARD *Robert Collard*
Name

 3605 ARCTIC FLIGHTS - ANCHORAGE
Street

 ANCHORAGE 99501
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abood _____ :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Jay R. Johnson
Name

1909 Thunderbird Pl.
Street

Anchorage AK 99508
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mitch Aboud :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Kenneth O. Peltz

Name

1800 E 147th

Street

Anch, AK 99516

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Witchell :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,


Name

Box 35
Street

Stebing, AK 99672
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mitch Abood:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

M. A. Olson
Name

2246 MAUDEST PI #A
Street

Anch. AK 99508
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mitch Abood:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Cricket Chryson
Name

2246 Maudest Pl. #A
Street

Anchorage, AK 99508
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Alford :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Robert J. Brannellette II
Name

448 PEARL DR # A
Street

ANCH AK 99518
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator ABOOD :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Ernie Miller
Name

Lovers Loop
Street

NIKISKI AK 99635
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alwood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Al Bushby

Name

PO Box 214

Street

Denali AK 99753

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alwood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

J. David Longacre
Name

P.O. Box 105553
Street

ANCHORAGE, AK. 99510
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Dugh O. Mencer
Name

P.O. Box 396
Street

Kealy AK 99743
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alford

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Greg Bliss

Name

7016 Weimer

Street

Anch, AK 99502

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Aboud :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Ryan White
Name

P.O. Box 280212
Street

Anch AK 99520-0212
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alford

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Sandy Wilbur

Name

530 W. 24th

Street

Anch Ak 99503

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Alford _____ :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

John P. Barnklaug J.P.B. kel
Name

5005 KOBUK AVE
Street

ANCHORAGE AK 99508
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Alford _____ :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Danny Wright
Name
Box 104901
Street
Anchorage, AK 99510
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Alford :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

David M. Cornell
Name

7800 DAWER AVE
Street

BUCK, AK 99504
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alford :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Philip I. Fisk

Name

P.O. Box 873233

Street

Wasilla, Alaska 99687

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alford

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Carl F. Jones
Name

2260 Paul Rd
Street

Wasilla, AK 99657
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Alford _____ :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Richard C. Wilkins
Name

5403 B DORBRANDT
Street

ANCHORAGE, AK 99518
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator *Arnd* :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

 Ms. Elizabeth Skouran
Name

 5403 B Dobrandt
Street

 Anch Ak 99518
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alwood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Richard BELFIELD

Name

PO BOX 13307

Street

TRAPPER CREEK AK 99683

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Al Nelson

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Al Nelson

Name

110 Eastgate

Street

Anch, AK, 99504

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alwood

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Robert E. Foley
Name

2327 - E - 57th
Street

Anch., AK, 99507
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alford

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Rhonda J. JEX

Name

4334 Spruce Rd

Street

Chugiak AK 99503

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alford

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action, as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

CARL STEINHAUSE
Name

#29 - HARRIET ST
Street

FBI, AK - 99701
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alford

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,


Name

1300 W 70th
Street

Anch AK 99502
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abund _____ :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Dennis Dealegh
Name

1700 E 15th St 2
Street

Anchorage, AK 99507
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Aboud

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

BOB NESBITT

Name

915 COLLEGE RD

Street

FBK AK 9970

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abroad :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,
David O'Malley Keyes
Name
9614 New Haven Ln
Street
Anchorage, AK
City, State, Zip/Code
99507

Dear Senator

Alford

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Dale I Todd
Name

1523 Juneau #5
Street

Anch AK 99501
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Arvid :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Ron B...

Name

6120 'A' ST

Street

ANCH AK 99518

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alwood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Steven S. Fairbank

Name

P.O. Box 104197

Street

Anchorage, Ak. 99510

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Albores :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American Democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely, Kerry M. Young

Kerry M Young
Name

1066 W. 23rd
Street

Anch Ak 99503
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alford :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Susan R. Adams
Name

4211 Kupneanof
Street

99507
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

ABCOO

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

George Rites

Name

4650 Reka Dr.

Street

Anchorage, AK 99508

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Aboud

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Erin Lemm

Name

Alexander

Street

ANCH 99508

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Aboud

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

James Smith
Name

941 W. 77th
Street

Arach AK 99505
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Aboud

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

R.D. Bankney

Name

PO Box 190008

Street

ANCHORAGE AK 99519

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Aboud :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Walter L. Litch
Name

8204 Montrose St
Street

Anchorage AK 99518
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator ABOOD :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Ang Threlkoff
Name

1351 W 27th
Street

Anch. AK 99503
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Abouel

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Lloyd C. Siefert
Name

6231 Collins Way
Street

Ind Ak 99503
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Alford _____:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Rebecca S. McNeil
Name
4501 Parsons Sp B
Street
Anchorage AK
City, State, Zip Code 99508

Dear Senator

Aboud

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Janna D. McNeil
Name

4501 E. Parsons SpB
Street

Anchorage Ak 99508
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alford

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Margaret Siefert
Name

6231 Collins Way
Street

Anchorage Ak 99502
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mitch Abbott :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Janice Bruchner
Name

1405 W. 27th
Street

Anchorage AK 99503
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mitch Abbott :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Dave Davala
Name

1405 W 27 apt 404
Street

ANCH AK 99503
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator ABRAMS :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

James D. Hill
Name

281 E. FISHWATER AVE.
Street

FT. RICHARDS, AK 99505
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mitch Abbott :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

HAROLD FRANKS
Name

HC 30 Box 5542
Street

WASILLA, AK 99687
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Brood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

John E. Stey
Name

1122 E 19th AVth #201
Street

anch ak 99501
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Alwood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Jane Hodge
Name

P.O. Box 771024
Street

Earth River Ak 99577
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator ABOOD:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Name

Street

City, State, Zip Code

Molly Glement
Larson Lane
ANC. AK.

"It should be up to individual"

P.S. I have a metal hip & no way can I wear a belt that could pull hip out of place. P.S. I was an emergency room nurse & I've seen too many cases of people who would have died if belted - it's their parents' cons.

Dear Senator ABOOD :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

MEDO FERNANDEZ
Name

P.O. Box 141824
Street

Anch AK 99514
City, State, Zip Code