

ALASKA LEGISLATURE COMMITTEE FILES 1987-1988 8672

5564 SSTA HB 167 (file 2)

1/36

Dear Senator Abouel :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,


Joe Bob Bruste
Name

1900 East View Rd.
Street

Anchorage, AK, 99507
City, State, Zip Code

A.B.A.T.E. of ALASKA

ANCHORAGE CHAPTER
PO BOX 92213
ANCHORAGE AK 99509-2213



THIRD CLASS BULK RATE

Senator Mitch Abood
Alaska State Legislature
Post Office Box V (MS 3100)
Juneau, Alaska 99811

"Ride Safe - Ride Free"

Dear Senator Aboud :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,


Debbie Baker
Name

P.O. Box 231714
Street

Anch, AK 99523
City, State, Zip Code

A.B.A.T.E. of ALASKA

ANCHORAGE CHAPTER
PO BOX 92213
ANCHORAGE AK 99509-2213



THIRD CLASS BULK RATE

Senator Mitch Abood
Alaska State Legislature
Post Office Box V (MS 3100)
Juneau, Alaska 99811

"Ride Safe - Ride Free"

Dear Senator Abou 1 :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,


Linda Rustigan
Name

2537 McKenzie
Street

Anchorage, AK 99517
City, State, Zip Code

A.B.A.T.E. of ALASKA

ANCHORAGE CHAPTER
PO BOX 92213
ANCHORAGE AK 99509-2213



THIRD CLASS BULK RATE

Senator Mitch Abood
Alaska State Legislature
Post Office Box V (MS 3100)
Juneau, Alaska 99811

"Ride Safe - Ride Free"

Dear Senator Abold :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,


Baptist R. R. R. R.
Name

D.O. 91432
Street

ANC. AK. 99509-1432
City, State, Zip Code

A.B.A.T.E. of ALASKA

ANCHORAGE CHAPTER
PO BOX 92213
ANCHORAGE AK 99509-2213



THIRD CLASS BULK RATE

Senator Mitch Abood
Alaska State Legislature
Post Office Box V (MS 3100)
Juneau, Alaska 99811

"Ride Safe - Ride Free"

Dear Senator Abord :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our striking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,


Al M. Kelly
Name

5901 E 6th #269
Street

Anchorage AK 99504
City, State, Zip Code

A.B.A.T.E. of ALASKA

ANCHORAGE CHAPTER
PO BOX 92213
ANCHORAGE AK 99509-2213



THIRD CLASS BULK RATE

Senator Mitch Abood
Alaska State Legislature
Post Office Box V (MS 3100)
Juneau, Alaska 99811

"Ride Safe - Ride Free"

Dear Senator Abso d :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility, upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.


The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For those reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,



Name

P.O. - Box 4178
Street

ANCH. AK. 99501
City, State, Zip Code

A.B.A.T.E. of ALASKA

ANCHORAGE CHAPTER
PO BOX 92213
ANCHORAGE AK 99509-2213



THIRD CLASS BULK RATE

Senator Mitch Abood
Alaska State Legislature
Post Office Box V (MS 3100)
Juneau, Alaska 99811

"Ride Safe - Ride Free"

Dear Senator Abel :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,


Jessie R. Brown
Name

2107 Pembroke Circle
Street

Anch AK 99504
City, State, Zip Code

A.B.A.T.E. of ALASKA

ANCHORAGE CHAPTER
PO BOX 92213
ANCHORAGE AK 99509 2213



THIRD CLASS BULK RATE

Senator Mitch Abood
Alaska State Legislature
Post Office Box V (MS 3100)
Juneau, Alaska 99811

"Ride Safe - Ride Free"

Dear Senator Aboud _____ :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of act on as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,


Wayne A. Brown
Name

2107 Pembroke Ct
Street

ANCHORAGE AK 99504
City, State, Zip Code

A.B.A.T.E. of ALASKA

ANCHORAGE CHAPTER
PO BOX 92213
ANCHORAGE AK 99509-2213



THIRD CLASS BULK RATE

Senator Mitch Abood
Alaska State Legislature
Post Office Box V (MS 3100)
Juneau, Alaska 99811

"Ride Safe - Ride Free"

Dear Senator Asood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Luud Neubauer

Name

Box 671127


Street

CHUGIAK, AK. 99567

City, State, Zip Code

A.B.A.T.E. of ALASKA

ANCHORAGE CHAPTER
PO BOX 92213
ANCHORAGE AK 99509-2213



THIRD CLASS BULK RATE

Senator Mitch Abood
Alaska State Legislature
Post Office Box V (MS 3100)
Juneau, Alaska 99811

"Ride Safe - Ride Free"

Dear Senator Aboud :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Natalie Neubauer

Name

P.O. Box 671122


Street

Chugiak, AK 99567

City, State, Zip Code

A.B.A.T.E. of ALASKA

ANCHORAGE CHAPTER
PO BOX 92213
ANCHORAGE AK 99509-2213



THIRD CLASS BULK RATE

Senator Mitch Abood
Alaska State Legislature
Post Office Box V (MS 3100)
Juneau, Alaska 99811

"Ride Safe - Ride Free"

Dear Senator Abou :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.


For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely, Paul Babcock
Paul Babcock
Name
Bar 2114 W
Street
Wasilla AK
City, State, Zip Code

99687

A.B.A.T.E. of ALASKA

ANCHORAGE CHAPTER
PO BOX 92213
ANCHORAGE AK 99509-2213



THIRD CLASS BULK RATE

Senator Mitch Abood
Alaska State Legislature
Post Office Box V (MS 3100)
Juneau, Alaska 99811

"Ride Safe - Ride Free"

Dear Senator Alford :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Heather McChesney
Name

1531 Eagle #1
Street

Greenbush AK 99501
City, State, Zip Code

A.B.A.T.E. of ALASKA

ANCHORAGE CHAPTER
PO BOX 92213
ANCHORAGE AK 99509-2213

— BULK RATE
— U S POSTAGE
— PAID
— PERMIT NO. 250
— ANCH. AK.

THIRD CLASS BULK RATE

Senator Mitch Abood
Alaska State Legislature
Post Office Box V (MS 3100)
Juneau, Alaska 99811

"Ride Safe - Ride Free"

Dear Senator Aboud:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Lynn Wilcock

Name

P.O. Box 875307

Street

Wasilla, Ak 99687

City, State, Zip Code

Lynn Wilcock

A.B.A.T.E. of ALASKA

ANCHORAGE CHAPTER
PO BOX 92213
ANCHORAGE AK 99509-2213

— BULK RATE
— U S POSTAGE
— PAID
— PERMIT NO. 255
— ANCH, AK

THIRD CLASS BULK RATE

Senator Mitch Aboud
Alaska State Legislature
Post Office Box V (MS 3100)
Juneau, Alaska 99811

"Ride Safe - Ride Free"

Dear Senator

Mitch Abood

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

JOE HOERA
Name

831 MERLIN LOOP
Street

ANCH AK 99518
City, State, Zip Code

A.B.A.T.E. of ALASKA

ANCHORAGE CHAPTER
PO BOX 92213
ANCHORAGE AK 99509-2213

— BULK RATE
— U S POSTAGE
— PAID
— PERMIT NO. 254
— ANCH. AK

THIRD CLASS BULK RATE

Senator Mitch Abood
Alaska State Legislature
Post Office Box V (MS 3100)
Juneau, Alaska 99811

"Ride Safe - Ride Free"

Dear Senator

Mitch Abood

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For those reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

DAVE RICKENBACHIER
Name

3300 F 112
Street

ANCH AK
City, State, Zip Code

A.B.A.T.E. of ALASKA

ANCHORAGE CHAPTER
PO BOX 92213
ANCHORAGE AK 99509-2213

— BULK RATE
— U.S. POSTAGE
— PAID
— PERMIT NO. 250
— ANCHORAGE

THIRD CLASS BULK RATE

Senator Mitch Abood
Alaska State Legislature
Post Office Box V (MS 3100)
Juneau, Alaska 99811

"Ride Safe - Ride Free"

Dear Senator Mitch Abaso :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Martha Waterman
Name

9363 Hiland Dr
Street

Eagle River AK 99577
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Good:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska's law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need House Bill 167. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For those reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Francie Grey

Name

9363 Inland

Street

Eagle River AK

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mitch Abando :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For those reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Sharon Maxwell
Name

Box 364
Street

Seldovia Ak. 99669
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abesed:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who decide!

Sincerely,

Connie Franchini
Name

5411-323 Mockingbird
Street

Anchorage AK 99507
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Mitch Abouo:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

L. Maxwell - J. Maxwell

Name

P.O. Box 364

Street

Seldovia AK 99669

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Aboud :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,


Name

S111-323 Mockingbird Dr.
Street:

Anchorage AK 99505
City, State, ~~Zip~~ Code

Dear Senator

Absood

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

George Grindler

Name

Po Box 67-0635

Street

Chugiak, AK 99567

City, State./Zip Code

Dear Senator Absood _____ :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Julie Grindler

Name

Po Box 67-2635

Street

Chugiak, AK 99567

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mitch Aboud :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Walter Luchs

Name

5918 Prosperity Dr.

Street

ouch ak. 99504

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

LABOOD
Lisa Danut

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For those reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Lisa Danut
Name

RT 1 Box 235
Street

Delhi Louisiana
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mich ABOOD;

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Bramly Low Seder
Name

905 Richardson Road #205
Street

ANCH AK. 99501
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Alford :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Randy R. Rowland
Name

905 Richardson Vista Rd.
Street

ANCH. AK 99501
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator ^{ABOOD} ~~ATOR KOWSKI~~ :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Ryan Hathaway
Name

2221 Muldoon Rd. SP. #401
Street

Anchorage, AK 99504
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Mitch Abood;

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of these decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Carl D. Smith

Name

6403 E 16th

Street

ANCH. AK. 99504

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator ABOOD :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Fred Heiber

Name

7651 DEL RIDGE CIR

Street

ANCH, AK 99507

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator ABOOD :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

BILL ANTON
Name

SR 1, Box 21663
Street

CHUGIAK AK 99567
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator STEVENS _____ :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,



Name

7020 PECK AVE
Street

ANCH. AK 99505
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abodo :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For those reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Name Joy Cressall

Street 2824 North Cir.

City, State, Zip Code Anch AK 99507

Dear Senator Mitch Abaso :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Rammy Smith
Name

1458 St Gotthard
Street

Anch. AK. 99508
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mitch Abbott:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

M. K. [Signature]
Name

Wood Boney Road DR.
Street

Anchorage Alaska
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of these decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Joy R. Aarn
Name

P.O. Box 92052
Street

Anch. Ak 99509
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Arsood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Keith Stegall
Name

3206 Woodland Pk.
Street

Anch AK 99517
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Albo :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Sue Casey
Name
4332 Spennard
Street
Anch Ak 99517
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Alford :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Ronald L. Smith
Name

Box 226
Street

Copper Center, Ak
City, State, Zip Code

99573

Dear Senator Abbot :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.


The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,



Name Bob Raspberry
Street 274 Raspberry Rd
City, State, Zip Code Arush AK 99500

Dear Senator Abner Steven :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Chaotic L. Anderson

Name

201F Richardson Dr.

Street

Ft. Richardson AK 99505

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abouel :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Robi M. Edwards

Name

12342 W. Prince of Peace

Street

Eagle River, AK 99547

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Asood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,


Name

4402 Forest
Street

Anch. AK
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abold :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Bill Orrell

Name

PO 874261

Street

Wasilla AK 99687

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Aboud :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

ANNA BELLIS
Name

P.O. Box 140455
Street

anch. AK 99514
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Asood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of their decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Tom Evans
Name

2740 E. 42nd Blvd
Street

Anch. AK 99508
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Aboud :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

JENNIE K. DeVries
Name

P.O. Box 774287
Street

Eagle River, Alaska 99577
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Aboud :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Matthew Straugh
Name

PO Box 774287 ER AK
Street

49 77
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Aboud:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Samuel G. Park Jr.

Name

751 S Bagich DR.

Street

WASILLA AK 99687

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mitch Aboud :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Joyce J. MacBeth

Name

Bx 265

Street

Willow AK 99688

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abouf :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Cliff Taylor

Name

8950 Forest Village Dr

Street

Anch AK 99502

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abroad :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Ron Campbell

Name

5308 Artic # 11

Street

Anch AK 99518

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Abord

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Colleen Henderson
Name

3550 W. Diamond #412
Street

Anch AK 99515
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abced:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Charmica Lynn Brown
Name

2439 Tulik
Street

Chugiak AK 99517
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abard :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Name

Street

City, State, Zip Code

Jerry Conroy

24139 Duluth

Anchorage Alaska

Dear Senator Abrod :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,
CHAD HINDMAN
Name
7812 CHAIMI Loop
Street
Anch AK 99504
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abced :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Melissa Lindman

Name

1812 Chaimi Loop

Street

Anch AK 99504

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abard :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

SEAN RUPPERT
Name

7440 C. Nieboer Cir
Street

Anch. AK. 99504
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abroad :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

George Hall III
Name

4231 Laurel #306
Street

Unalaska Alaska 99508
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abroad :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint laws are entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

John B. Dixon
Name

5301 Rabbit Creek
Street

Anchorage AK 99516
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abced :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Lee Byer
Name
5901 E 6th
Street
Unchorage AK
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Asold :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,


Name

999E Tudor B204
Street

Anch AK 99503
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Aboud :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Name

Street

City, State, Zip Code

Jan Halcy
2031 Olympic Dr
Anch AK 99517

Dear Senator Arbush :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Carol Ann

Name

17512 S. Julianita Cp

Street

Eagle River AK 99577

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator MITCH ABOOD :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Sharon Deid
Name

SRI Box 3505 Jayhawk Dr.
Street

Chugiak 99567
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator MITCH ABSOOD :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Janina L. Schraeder
Name

Box 7572 NIKISKI AK
Street

99635
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Aboud :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Sharon A. Desatoff
Name

P.O. Box 231682
Street

ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99523
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Aboud :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Arthur D. Mungoff
Name

P.O. BOX 231682
Street

ANCH. AK. 99523
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alwood

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,


Name

Box 147
Street

COVILLO, AK 99574
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alwood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Edward J. Salter

Name

P.O. Box 300

Street

Cordova AK 99574

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alwood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Sharon Sullivan
Name

P.O. 2070
Street

Kodiak, AK 99585
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alford

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.


The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,



Name

Street

City, State, Zip Code

1545 S. Hwy #10

Anchorage AK 99508