

ALASKA LEGISLATURE COMMITTEE FILES 1987-88 8672

4476 HCRA HB 330 - HB 357

48

HB

330



STATE OF ALASKA  
THE LEGISLATURE

POUCH Y - STATE CAPITOL  
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99811  
907-465-3800

LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS AGENCY  
LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE LIBRARY

May, 1988

Copies of minutes listed below were originally included in this file. The minutes are available on the STAIRS database CMPR. In order to save space copies of minutes have not been left in the files.

Mary Van Nimwegen

House C+RA

1-22-88

3:00p.m.

**BILL PREPARATION/ACTION\***

Bill # HB 330

Date Referred: 1/11/88

Out: 1/1

Title: Tish business tax; municipal refunds.

Referrals: CRA ~~FIN~~

**REQUESTS:\*\*\*\*\***

Dept.	-----POSITION PAPER-----			-----FISCAL NOTE-----		
	Dt Req.	Person	Rcvd	Dt Req.	Person	Rcvd
<u>Rev.</u>	<u>1/13</u>	<u>Weller. <sup>1/22</sup> ✓</u>	<u>✓</u>	<u>1/13</u>	<u>Weller. ✓</u>	<u>1/15</u>
<u>DCRA</u>	<u>1/13</u>	<u>Plesman</u>	<u><del>1/13</del></u>	<u>1/13</u>	<u>Plesman</u>	<u>1/22 11:35A</u>
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

**CONTACTS:\*\*\*\*\***

Name	Organization Address	Phone	Date Contacted
<u>Navarre</u>	<u>waiting on serv. <sup>Dep.</sup></u>	<u>3764</u>	<u>1/13 (1/22 mtg) 1/22 <del>mtg</del></u>
<u>Zawacki</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>2719</u>	<u>1/13 (1/22 mtg)</u>
-----	-----	-----	-----
-----	-----	-----	-----

**REMARKS:\*\*\*\*\***

REMARKS: notif Navarre of on 1/13 of 1/22 mtg, Lene  
" Plesman  
Zawack  
Rev - Royce Miller 2300 will attend mtg.

ANALYSIS: \_\_\_\_\_ Completed: \_\_\_\_\_

**MEETINGS:\*\*\*\*\***

Date	Action
-----	-----
-----	-----
-----	-----
-----	-----

\*See other side for additional information.



Official Business

**COMMITTEE:**

HOUSE COMMUNITY & REGIONAL AFFAIRS

**DATE:** Friday, January 22, 1988

**SIGN-IN**

**Subject of meeting:** (B) HB 330

\*HB 330 Fish Business Tax; Municipal Refunds

pg 1 of 2

NAME (PLS PRINT)	YOUR TITLE & ADDRESS	PHONE	REPRESENTING	DO YOU WANT TO TESTIFY?
m Wright	leg aide CAP 521	2689	Rep Swackhammer	No
Fat Malone	(Rep/Navarre) aide Cap 204	4977	Rep Navarre	NO
Joe Evans	AML/Anchorage Municipal Assembly 4741 Southpark Bluff Drive Anchorage 99516	345-3688	AML/Municipality of Anchorage	NO
Chrystal Smith	AML Programs Mgr.	586-1325	AML	NO
Steve Beinbridge	AML / City of Nenana P.O. Box 70 Nenana 99760	832-5501	AML / City of Nenana	No
SANDY NISBAUM	SPECIAL ASSISTANT C-508	4956	SENATOR FISCHER	No
MICHAEL THILL	SEN ZHAROTT'S STAFF	4922	SEN ZHAROTT	NO
ROYCE WALTER	REVENUE / S.O.B.	2300	REVENUE	if needed
JOHN HANSEN	REVENUE / S.O.B.	2343	REVENUE	" "
Marla Huss	Kenai Peninsula Borough 144 W. Punkley Soldotna	583 463-3524	KPB	NO



Official Business

**COMMITTEE:**

HOUSE COMMUNITY & REGIONAL AFFAIRS

**DATE:** Friday, January 22, 1988

**Subject of meeting:**

\*HB 330 Fish Business Tax; Municipal Refunds

pg 2 of 2

**SIGN-IN**

NAME (PLS PRINT)	YOUR TITLE & ADDRESS	PHONE	REPRESENTING	DO YOU WANT TO TESTIFY?
JAMES PLASMAN	POB. BH Juneau <del>105 STATE ST</del>	4250	DCRA	No
Rep. Navarre				
John Havelock	725 W 16 Anch	276-1916	Alaska Municipal League	

File Contents

HB 330 - Fish Business Tax; Municipal Refunds

<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>
1.	Bill
2.	Sponsor Information
3.	Fiscal Note - Revenue (Income and Excise Audit)
4.	CRA Staff Review
5.	Fiscal Note - DCRA
6.	Memo - Distribution of Fisheries Business Tax

Ⓐ HB 330 JAN 13 1988 CRA

Alaska State Legislature

WABLE IN PERSON  
PO BOX 4  
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99811  
(907) 465-3779  
CHAIR, RULES COMMITTEE



PHONE NUMBER  
PO BOX 460  
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99811  
(907) 262-0356  
DIRECTOR

Representative Mike Navarre

January 11, 1988

MEMORANDUM

TO: Rep. Henry Springer, Chair  
House Community and Regional Affairs Committee

FROM: Rep. Mike Navarre

SUBJECT: House Bill 330, relating to distribution of Fisheries Tax

---

The purpose of this measure is to provide a more equitable distribution of the commercial fisheries business tax. I would sincerely appreciate it if this bill could be heard at the Committee's earliest convenience. Thanks.



# CITY OF HOMER

## CITY HALL

491 EAST PIONEER AVENUE

HOMER, AK., 99603-7624

(907)235-8121

② HB 330

Sponsor Info.

April 29, 1987

Representative Mike Navarre  
Pouch V  
Juneau, AK 99811

Re: Fisheries Business Tax Revenue

Dear Mike:

Enclosed please find a copy of Resolution 87-31 supporting legislation providing for a more equitable distribution of the fisheries business tax revenue refunded by the State to local governments as unanimously passed by the Homer City Council at their regular meeting on Monday, April 27, 1987.

I would again reiterate the Council's support for legislation utilizing the fifty percent/fifty percent scenario in an effort to provide a more equitable distribution of the fisheries business tax revenue. As we have previously indicated, the City of Homer utilizes its raw fish tax in support of the Port and Harbor operation whereas other local governments such as the Kenai Peninsula Borough put their money into the general fund operation.


Likewise, I would suggest that since the Borough is the recipient of the entire local tax when the processor is located outside the city, it would seem fair that if the processor is located within the city that the city should be the recipient of the entire local tax.

I applaud your efforts to provide a more equitable distribution of the fisheries business tax revenue refunded by the State to local governments supporting the fisheries and will communicate our support to the other cities and organizations that are recipients of this tax.

If we may do anything more to support this, please advise immediately.

Sincerely,

CITY OF HOMER

  
Philip C. Shealy  
City Manager

PCS/rah

Enclosure (1)

*draft  
P.P. 11/1/87*

City of Homer  
Homer, Alaska

RESOLUTION 87-31

A RESOLUTION OF THE HOMER CITY COUNCIL, SUPPORTING LEGISLATION PROVIDING FOR A MORE EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION OF THE FISHERIES BUSINESS TAX REVENUE REFUNDED BY THE STATE TO LOCAL GOVERNMENTS.

WHEREAS, Alaska Statutes 43.75.130(a)(2) provides that each city located within a borough shall receive twenty-five percent of the tax revenue collected in the City from taxes levied by the fisheries business tax; and

WHEREAS, cities provide virtually all the services to the fishery processors located within their limits, i.e., water, sewer, police and fire protection; and

WHEREAS, since the Borough is the recipient of the entire local tax if the processor is located outside the city, it would seem fair that if the processor is located within the city that the city should be the recipient of the entire local tax; and

WHEREAS, the legislative intent of the tax was to reimburse local governments supporting the fisheries. The City of Homer does this by depositing the entire tax directly into the Port and Harbor Enterprise Fund thereby directly assisting the local fisheries; and

WHEREAS, Representative Mike Navarre has proposed legislation increasing revenue to cities from twenty-five to fifty percent of the amount of tax revenue collected in the city from taxes levied on the fisheries.


NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Homer City Council that Representative Navarre is strongly urged to introduce proposed legislation utilizing the fifty percent/fifty percent scenario in an effort to provide a more equitable distribution of the fisheries business tax revenue refunded by the State to local governments supporting local fisheries.


BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City Manager is authorized to share this resolution with the other cities potentially affected by this legislation and encourages the passage of this matter with legislators and the governor's office.

DATED this 27th day of April, 1987 at Homer, Alaska.

CITY OF HOMER

ATTEST:

  
John P. Calhoun, Mayor

  
Fatti J. Thalini, City Clerk

JOHN P. CALHOUN

Mayor

451 E. Pioneer Avenue  
Homer, Alaska 99603-7624  
(907) 235-8121  
Home 235-7214

April 17, 1987

Representative Mike Navarre  
P. O. Box V  
Juneau, AK 99811

Dear Mike:

Thank you for your material and draft copy of a bill to provide a more equitable distribution of the fisheries business tax revenue refunded by the State to local governments.

I strongly support the proposed change for the following reasons:


1. As you point out, cities provide virtually all the services to the processors located within their limits, i.e., water, sewer, police and fire protection, etc.;
2. The Borough is the recipient of the entire local tax if the cannery is located outside of a city. Therefore, it would seem fair that if the cannery is located within a city that the City should be the recipient of the entire amount of local tax;
3. Correct me if I am wrong, but I believe the initial legislative intent on the raw fish tax was to reimburse local governments supporting the fisheries. The City of Homer does this by depositing the raw fish tax directly into the Port and Harbor Enterprise Fund, thereby directly assisting the fisheries. I believe the Kenai Peninsula Borough deposits their share of the tax into the general fund and uses it in administration of general government.

I would strongly urge you to introduce your proposed legislation utilizing the fifty percent/fifty percent scenario and I would be happy to ask the City Council for a resolution of support.

Please keep me advised of your progress in this matter and be assured of the City of Homer support for the proposal.

Sincerely,

CITY OF HOMER

  
John P. Calhoun  
Mayor

JPC/rah

TO: MAYOR DON GILMAN  
FROM: L. SEMMENS

Here is the fish tax information that you requested.

	Homer	Kenai	Seldovia	Seward	Saldotna	City totals	Borough of	Boro net Cities
fy87	89,036	174,530	11,853	151,656		427,075	656,525	229,450
fy86	87,034	216,716	6	107,947	20	411,723	558,684	146,961
fy85	67,076	148,447	1,012	77,716	113	294,364	427,049	132,685
fy84	79,426	215,356	6	95,040	13	389,841	534,428	144,587
fy83	86,678	198,561	24,395	200,305	91	510,030	751,286	241,256
fy82	48,463	74,490	6,611	96,600	25	226,189	258,293	32,104
total	457,713	1,028,100	43,883	729,264	262	2,259,222	3,186,265	927,043
average	76,286	171,350	7,314	121,544	52	376,537	531,044	154,507


The data is not comparable prior to 1982 due a change in rate. The revenue was shared 50/50 with the state after 82. Local Gov't's share their 50% equally.

NOTE: the borough would have an average of 154,507 if the cities get the whole share, rather than the historical average of \$531,044.

Source of Information: Department of Revenue. Figures may not agree to our records due to timing differences.


# FISH TAX DISTRIBUTION

1986 \$ UNDER CURRENT STATUTE



# FISH TAX DISTRIBUTION

1985 \$ UNDER 50%/50% SCENARIO



	A	B	C	D	E
1	FISH TAX DISTRIBUTION		1986 \$ UNDER CURRENT STATUTE		
3	Kenai Pen. Borough		558684.09	50% in Boro + 25% inside cities	
4	City of Kenai		216716.29	25% in City	
5	City of Homer		87034.27	25% in City	
6	City of Seward		107947.55	25% in City	
7	City of Seldovia		6.25	25% in City	
9	total (50% tax collected)		970388.45		

1986 \$ UNDER 50%/50% SCENARIO					
14	Kenai Pen. Borough		146979.73	50% in Boro	
15	City of Kenai		433432.58	50% in City	
16	City of Homer		174068.54	50% in City	
17	City of Seward		215895.10	50% in City	
18	City of Seldovia		12.50	50% in City	
20	total (50% tax collected)		970388.45		

*(Draft bill)*

1986 \$ UNDER 35%/15% SCENARIO					
25	Kenai Pen. Borough		394002.35	50% in Boro + 15% inside cities	
26	City of Kenai		303402.81	35% in City	
27	City of Homer		121847.98	35% in City	
28	City of Seward		151126.57	35% in City	
29	City of Seldovia		8.75	35% in City	
31	total (50% tax collected)		970388.45		

*another potential scenario.*

Suggested By: Administration

CITY OF KENAI

RESOLUTION 87-24

A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KENAI, SUPPORTING LEGISLATION PROVIDING FOR A MORE EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION OF THE FISHERIES BUSINESS TAX REVENUE REFUNDED BY THE STATE TO LOCAL GOVERNMENTS.

WHEREAS, Alaska Statutes 43.75.130(a)(2) provides that each city located within a borough shall receive twenty-five percent (25%) of the tax revenue collected in the City from taxes levied by the fisheries business tax; and,

WHEREAS, cities provide virtually all the services to the fishery processors located within their limits, i.e., water, sewer, police and fire protection; and,

WHEREAS, since the borough receives the entire local portion of the tax if the processor is located outside the city, it would seem fair that if the processor is located within the city that the city should receive the entire local tax; and,


WHEREAS, the legislative intent of the tax was to reimburse local governments supporting the fisheries; and,

WHEREAS, Legislation is now pending before the Legislature to increase revenue to cities from twenty-five percent (25%) to fifty percent (50%) of the amount of tax revenue collected in the city from taxes levied on fisheries.


NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KENAI, ALASKA, that the City of Kenai strongly supports pending legislation which amends AS 43.75.130 to provide for a full fifty percent (50%) share of fisheries business tax to be distributed to local governments located within boroughs.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the City Manager is authorized to share this resolution with the other cities potentially affected by this legislation and encourages the passage of this matter with legislators and the Governor's office.

PASSED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KENAI, ALASKA, that sixth day of May, 1987.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JOHN F. WILLIAMS, MAYOR

ATTEST:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Janet Whelan, City Clerk  
(5/6/87)

CITY OF SEWARD

SEWARD, ALASKA 99684



© 1987 City of Seward, Alaska  
© 1987 City of Seward, Alaska  
© 1987 City of Seward, Alaska  
© 1987 City of Seward, Alaska

October 13, 1987


THE HONORABLE MIKE NAVARRE  
PO Box 169  
Kenai, AK 99611

CITY OF SEWARD RESOLUTION NO. 87-093

At its regular meeting of October 13, 1987, the Seward City Council approved Resolution No. 87-093, supporting legislation providing for a more equitable distribution of the state fisheries business tax. A copy of the resolution is enclosed for your information.

Sincerely,

THE CITY OF SEWARD, ALASKA

  
LINDA S. MURPHY, CMC  
CITY CLERK

Enclosure

Sponsored by: Garzini

CITY OF SEWARD, ALASKA  
RESOLUTION NO. 87-093

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEWARD, ALASKA, SUPPORTING LEGISLATION PROVIDING FOR A MORE EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION OF THE FISHERIES BUSINESS TAX REVENUE REFUNDED BY THE STATE TO LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

WHEREAS, AS 43.75.130(a)(2) provides that each city located within a borough shall receive twenty-five percent (25%) of the tax revenue collected in the city from taxes levied by the fisheries business tax; and

WHEREAS, cities provide virtually all the services to the fishery processors located within their limits; i.e., water, sewer, police and fire protection; and

WHEREAS, since the borough is the recipient of the entire local portion of the tax if the processor is located outside the city, it would seem fair that if the processor is located within the city that the city should be the recipient of the entire local share of the tax; and

WHEREAS, Representative Mike Navarre has proposed legislation increasing revenue to cities from twenty-five percent (25%) to fifty percent (50%) of the amount of tax revenue collected in the city from taxes levied on the fisheries;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEWARD, ALASKA, that:

Section 1. Representative Mike Navarre is strongly urged to introduce proposed legislation amending AS 43.75.-130 to provide a full fifty percent (50%) share of fisheries business tax to be distributed to local governments located within boroughs.

Section 2. Copies of this resolution shall be forwarded to the Honorable Representative Mike Navarre, the Honorable Representative Bette Cato, the Honorable Senator Jalmar Kerttula, the Honorable Senator Mike Szymanski and the Honorable Mayors of the cities located within the Kenai Peninsula Borough.

Section 3. This resolution shall take effect immediately upon its adoption.

PASSED AND APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEWARD, ALASKA, this 12th day of October, 19 87.

CITY OF SEWARD, ALASKA  
RESOLUTION NO. ~~87-093~~

THE CITY OF SEWARD, ALASKA


  
\_\_\_\_\_  
HARRY E. GIESELER, MAYOR

AYES: DUNHAM, GIESELER, HILTON, MEEHAN, NOLL, O'BRIEN & SIMUTIS  
NOES: NONE  
ABSENT: NONE  
ABSTAIN: NONE

ATTEST:

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

HUGHES, THORSNESS, GANTZ,  
POWELL & BRUNDIN, Attorneys  
for the City of Seward, AK

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Linda S. Murphy, QMC  
City Clerk  
  
(City Seal)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Fred B. Arvidson  
City Attorney

JAN 19 1987

STATE OF ALASKA  
1988 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

BILL VERSION: HB 330  
PUBLISH DATE: 1/15/88

FISCAL NOTE

REQUEST:

Revision Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
Title: "An Act relating to fisheries  
tax sharing."  
Sponsor: Navarre, Zawacki  
Requestor: Comm. & Reg. Affs. & Finance

Agency Affected: Department of Revenue  
BRU: Income and Excise Audit  
Components: \_\_\_\_\_

EXPENDITURES/REVENUES: (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 88	FY 89	FY 90	FY 91	FY 92	FY 93
<b>OPERATING</b>						
PERSONAL SERVICES	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
TRAVEL	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
CONTRACTUAL	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
SUPPLIES	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
EQUIPMENT	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
LANDS & STRUCTURES	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
GRANTS, CLAIMS	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
MISCELLANEOUS	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
<b>TOTAL OPERATING</b>	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
<b>CAPITAL</b>	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
<b>REVENUE</b>	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-

FUNDING: (Thousands of Dollars)

GENERAL FUND	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
FEDERAL FUNDS	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
OTHER	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
<b>TOTAL</b>	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-

POSITIONS:

FULL-TIME	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
PART-TIME	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
TEMPORARY	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-

ANALYSIS: (Attach a separate page if necessary)

Prepared By: Steven E. Kettel *Steven E. Kettel*  
Division: Income and Excise Audit

Phone: 465-2320  
Date: 1/15/88

Approved by Commissioner: *[Signature]*  
Agency: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: 1/18/88

Distribution (by preparer):  
Legislative Finance  
Legislative Sponsor  
Requestor  
Office of Management and Budget  
Impacted Agency(ies)

Bill Review

HB 330 - "An Act relating to the fisheries business tax revenue refunded to local governments; and providing for an effective date."

Sponsors: Navarre and Zawacki

Review: HB 330 amends present statutes to provide payment of collected tax revenue:

1. To each unified municipality and to each city located in the unorganized borough, 50% of the amount of tax revenue collected in the municipality from taxes levied under this chapter.
2. To each city located within a borough, 50 [25] % of the amount of tax revenue collected in the city from taxes levied under this chapter; and
3. To each borough 50% of the amount of tax revenue collected in the area of the borough outside cities from taxes levied--deletes some present language in statutes.

Additionally, language is provided to assist (areas) boroughs incorporated after June 16, 1987 percentages of tax revenue collected in the city from taxes levied under this chapter, and adjusts percentage of tax to be paid from cities and borough during transition to local area wide government.

Effective date is July 1, 1988.

STATE OF ALASKA  
1988 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

BILL VERSION: HB 330  
PUBLISH DATE: \_\_\_\_\_

FISCAL NOTE

REQUEST:

Revision Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
Title: "An act .. fisheries business tax  
revenue refunded to local governments.."  
Sponsor: Navarre & Zawacki  
Requestor: \_\_\_\_\_

Agency Affected: Community & Regional Affairs  
BRU: \_\_\_\_\_  
Components: \_\_\_\_\_

EXPENDITURES/REVENUES: (Thousands of Dollars)

OPERATING	FY 88	FY 89	FY 90	FY 91	FY 92	FY 93
PERSONAL SERVICES						
TRAVEL						
CONTRACTUAL						
SUPPLIES						
EQUIPMENT						
LAND & STRUCTURES						
GRANTS, CLAIMS						
MISCELLANEOUS						
TOTAL OPERATING	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-

CAPITAL						
---------	--	--	--	--	--	--

REVENUE						
---------	--	--	--	--	--	--

FUNDING: (Thousands of Dollars)

GENERAL FUND	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER						
TOTAL	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-

POSITIONS:

FULL-TIME	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
PART-TIME						
TEMPORARY						

ANALYSIS : (Attach a separate page if necessary)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jim Plasman, Deputy Director

Prepared by: Jim Plasman, Deputy Director Phone: 465-4750  
Division: Municipal & Regional Assistance Date: 1-21-88

Approved by Commissioner: Remond Henderson for David Hoffman Date: 1/21/88  
Agency: Community & Regional Affairs

- Distribution (by preparer) :
- Legislative Finance
  - Legislative Sponsor
  - Requestor
  - Office of Management and Budget
  - Impacted Agency(ies)

# STATE OF ALASKA

## DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE

⑥ HB 330  
STEVE COWPER, GOVERNOR

STATE OFFICE BUILDING  
P.O. BOX SA  
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99811-0400

January 15, 1988


The Honorable Mike Navarre  
Alaska State Legislature  
Chair, Rules Committee  
P.O. Box V  
Juneau, Alaska 99811

Dear Representative Navarre:

Per your request of January 12th, attached is the comparison of the current distribution and the distribution under HB 330 of the Fisheries Business Tax.

Should you have any further questions, please don't hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,



Steven E. Kettel  
Director  
Income & Excise Audit Division  
(907) 465-2320

SK/mhh

Attachment

Prepared by: Dept. of Revenue  
Income & Excise  
Audit Division  
January 15, 1988

FISHERIES BUSINESS TAX DISTRIBUTIONS  
CURRENT & UNDER HB 330  
FY 87 ACTUALS

<u>Name</u>	<u>Current Distribution FY 87 Share</u>	<u>FY 87 Distribution Under HB 330</u>
Anchorage Municipality*	99,201.12	99,201.12
Juneau Borough*	6,595.79	6,595.79
Sitka Borough*	500,344.41	500,344.41
Bristol Bay Borough*	1,170,159.83	1,170,159.83
Fairbanks North Star Borough	476.84	233.75
Fairbanks	236.84	473.68
North Pole	6.25	12.50
Haines Borough	179,431.58	179,262.27
Haines	169.31	338.62
Kenai Peninsula Borough	710,853.58	249,034.57
Homer	96,087.94	192,175.88
Kenai	189,882.55	379,765.10
Seldovia	12,747.29	25,494.58
Seward	163,101.23	326,202.46
Ketchikan Gateway Borough	286,358.27	89,900.79
Ketchikan	196,457.48	392,914.96
Kodiak Island Borough	937,400.42	258,680.61
Kodiak	664,547.63	1,329,095.26
Larsen Bay	14,172.18	28,344.36
Matanuska Susitna Borough	22,545.64	22,545.64
Northwest Arctic Borough	17.08	-0-
Kotzebue	17.08	34.16

NOTE: Boroughs marked with an asterisk(\*) have no incorporated cities within their boundaries. Therefore, no effect would be realized.

In addition, those remaining boroughs may have additional incorporated cities within the borough boundaries which could possibly contribute under present law, however, since they were not contributors during FY 87, the effect would most likely be negligible.

H B

3 3 5



STATE OF ALASKA  
THE LEGISLATURE

LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS AGENCY  
LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE LIBRARY

POUCH Y - STATE CAPITOL  
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99811  
907-465-3800

May, 1988

Copies of minutes listed below were originally included in this file. The minutes are available on the STAIRS database CMPR. In order to save space copies of minutes have not been left in the files.

Mary Van Nimwegen

House C+RA

1-27-88

3:00p.m.





Official Business

**COMMITTEE:**

HOUSE COMMUNITY & REGIONAL AFFAIRS

**DATE:** Wed., January 27, 1988

**SIGN-IN**

**Subject of meeting:**

(A)

\*EO 69 Reassignment of housing assistance functions of the DCRA

\*HB 335 Taxpayer Notice

NAME (PLS PRINT)	YOUR TITLE & ADDRESS	PHONE	REPRESENTING	DO YOU WANT TO TESTIFY?
Jim PLASMAN	POB BHI JUNO	4750	DCRA	B. 79 Yes
Marla Huss	217 2nd St. Suite 200	463-3529	K P Boronogi	HB 335 no
Scott Burgess	" " " "	6-1325	AML	HB 335 Yes
Rep. Taylor				

File Contents

HB 335 - Taxpayer Notice

<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>
1.	Bill - HB 335
2.	Position Paper - DCRA
3.	Bill Review - CRA Staff
4.	Letter to Com. Hoffman from Rasler
5.	Letter to Rep. Taylor from Rasler
6.	Fiscal Note - DCRA
7.	AML ltr.
8.	AS 29.45.020
9.	Gen Rpt

# HOUSE COMMITTEE REPORT **(9)** HB 335

(5)

Date referred: 1/11/88

FURTHER REFERRALS: Finance

JAN 27 1987

DATE: \_\_\_\_\_

The Community and Regional Affairs Committee has considered HB 335

"An Act amending notice requirements relating to the municipal assistance program; and providing for an effective date."

**RECOMMENDS:**

- replace with CS HB 335 (CRA)  the same title
- attached amendment(s)  a new title
- do pass
- do not pass
- no recommendation
- individual recommendations
- additional referral to the \_\_\_\_\_ Committee

**ADOPTS:**  \_\_\_\_\_ letter of intent

**ATTACHES NEW FISCAL NOTE(s):**

- fiscal impact  same as previous fiscal note published \_\_\_\_\_
- zero fiscal note  same as previous zero fiscal note published \_\_\_\_\_
- zero with analysis

**SIGNING DO PASS:**

*[Handwritten Signature]*  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

**SIGNING OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:**

Zawacki \_\_\_\_\_  
 Collins \_\_\_\_\_  
 Springer \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

*[Handwritten Signature]*  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Chairman's signature

Original sponsor: Taylor

BY THE COMMUNITY AND REGIONAL  
AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

IN THE HOUSE

CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 335 (C&RA)

IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

FIFTEENTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

A BILL

For an Act entitled: "An Act amending notice requirements relating to the municipal assistance program; and providing for an effective date."

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

\* Section 1. AS 29.60.370(b) is amended to read:

(b) The intent of (a) of this section is that a municipality that levies property taxes reduce those levies in reasonable proportion to the amount of increased state aid received by the municipality. [THE GOVERNING BODY OF EACH MUNICIPALITY SHALL FURNISH A NOTICE WITH EACH TAX STATEMENT DESCRIBING ITS USE OF THIS STATE AID.]

\* Sec. 2. AS 29.60.370 is amended by adding a new subsection to read:

(c) The governing body of each municipality that levies and collects property taxes shall furnish a notice describing use of the state aid provided under (a) of this section. Notice shall be provided

(1) by furnishing a copy of the notice with each tax statement mailed for the fiscal year for which aid is received; or

(2) by publishing in a newspaper of general circulation in the municipality a copy of the notice once each week for three successive weeks, with the first publication to occur not later than 45 days after the final adoption of the municipality's budget.

\* Sec. 3. This Act takes effect immediately under AS 01.10.070(c).

# STATE OF ALASKA

DEPT. OF COMMUNITY & REGIONAL AFFAIRS

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER

January 21, 1988

POSITION PAPER

(2) HB 335  
STEVE COWPER, GOVERNOR

- P.O. BOX B  
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99811-2100  
PHONE: (907) 465-4700
- 949 E. 36TH AVENUE, SUITE 400  
ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99508-4302  
PHONE: (907) 563-1073

JAN 22 1987

RE: House Bill 335

SPONSOR: Representative Taylor

## Program Effects of Bill

Under existing law, municipalities which levy a property tax must furnish a notice of the use of increased state aid received under the Municipal Assistance Program by including a statement of how the aid was used with each tax statement. This bill would allow such notice to be given alternatively by publication in a newspaper of general circulation in the municipality. This amendment would not have a fiscal effect on the program.

## Comments

The Department supports the bill, with one minor proposed change to make it consistent with the coverage of the existing requirement, as described below. The required notice was not a significant issue in the past because the requirement is not made a condition of receipt of municipal assistance entitlements. However, with the adoption of the state single audit regulations, failure to comply with the municipal assistance notice requirement gave rise to an audit finding of non-compliance for a municipality if it failed to meet the requirement.

The requirement in its present form has caused problems because it does not give the option of publication in a local newspaper, which is allowed for the revenue sharing taxpayer notice. Strict compliance with the municipal assistance requirement of furnishing the notice with the tax statements has created hardship for municipalities in the following ways:


- inability to determine the amounts to be reported in time to include it with the tax statement;

House Bill 335  
January 21, 1988  
Page Two

- confusion over what the tax notice should include;
- confusion between the municipal assistance and revenue sharing requirements;
- hardship from interruption of automated tax statement procedures to include municipal assistance notice by hand; and
- difficulty in reporting by a city within a borough, where the city levies a separate tax, but the tax administration (including distribution of tax statements) and collection is by the borough.

The proposed bill, which would allow giving notice through publication in a local newspaper, in the manner of the revenue sharing taxpayer notice requirements at AS 29.45.020(b), would alleviate these problems and still serve the objective of the law of giving notice to residents of the direct benefits of the state assistance that they have received.

It should be noted that the department has interpreted this requirement to apply only to those municipalities which levy a property tax, as those are the only ones which distribute a tax statement. The department suggests that the language in the bill clarify this point by adding language which would make this requirement applicable to municipalities that levy a property tax. To extend the requirement to all municipalities would greatly expand the coverage of the requirement with potential hardship to smaller municipalities which do not have ready access to a newspaper of general circulation.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
David G. Hoffman, Commissioner

Bill Review

HB 335 - "An Act amending notice requirements relating to the municipal assistance program; and providing for an effective date."

Review:

\*Section 1. AS 29.60.370(b) Last sentence (Lines 14-15) is deleted from this section. It is amended and added to \*Section 2, AS 29.60.370(c) (Lines 16-25).

The addition of (c) (2), (Lines 22-25), provides an alternative way of providing notice of use of state aid to municipalities to taxpayers.

DCRA supports HB 335 (position paper). DCRA stated "...with the adoption of the state single audit regulations, failure to comply with municipal assistance notice requirement gave rise to an audit finding of non-compliance for a municipality if it failed to meet the requirement." (Jan. 22, 1988, Position Paper) The City of Wrangell supports HB 335 amendments.

No doubt municipalities have provided means of notification -thus publication of state aid to a municipality in a newspaper facilitates legal notice requirements.



ADOPTED AUGUST 1972

# CITY of WRANGELL, ALASKA

INCORPORATED JUNE 15, 1903

BOX 531, 99929 (907) 874-2381

July 29, 1987

David G. Hoffman, Commissioner  
Dept. of Community & Regional Affairs  
P. O. Box B  
Juneau, Alaska 99811-2100

RE: Municipal Assistance Program

Dear Mr. Hoffman:

We are in receipt of your letter dated July 20, 1987, transmitting final payment for the FY 87 Municipal Assistance Program.

The City of Wrangell has not furnished a notice with tax statements describing the use of increased state aid. Wrangell is a home rule <sup>310</sup> municipality located outside any organized Borough and AS 29.60.030(b) is not applicalbe per AS 29.10.200. The City will, as an act of good faith, publish a notice to taxpayers regarding use of the funds.

At the time the State began the Revenue Sharing Program, a similar notice was required to be mailed with tax statements. This requirement was later amended by the Legislature to allow the notice to be mailed with tax statements or to be published. Because so many taxing jurisdictions prepare tax statements by computer that are sealed in mailers, we would like to suggest an amendment that would allow the option for the notice to be published by all taxing jurisdictions. Such an amendment would greatly reduce the time and cost of the present requirement.

Sincerely,

Joyce Rasler  
City Manager

cc: Senator Lloyd Jones  
Rep. Robin Taylor  
Rep. John Sund  
Petersburg City Manager  
Cordova City Manager  
City Attorney Stahla

5 HB 335



# CITY of WRANGELL, ALASKA

INCORPORATED JUNE 15, 1903

BOX 531, 99929 (907) 874-2381

January 21, 1988

Representative Robin Taylor  
House of Representatives  
P.O. Box V  
Juneau, AK 99811

Re: House Bill No. 335  
Municipal Assistance Program Notice

Dear Representative Taylor:

The City of Wrangell supports House Bill No. 335, which would amend the notice requirements on the Municipal Assistance Program.

We believe the requirement that notice of the use of the funds be mailed with tax statements is time consuming and costly to taxing jurisdictions. Our tax statements are prepared by computer and come from the computer sealed in the mailing envelope. In addition, even renters are affected by tax levies and State funding and should receive notice. This can easily be done by publishing notice. This amendment would allow the local governing body the option of mailing or publishing the notice as is now allowed for State Revenue Sharing (Sec. AS 29.45.020).

We urge approval of this amendment.

Very truly yours,  
*Joyce Rasler*  
Joyce Rasler  
City Manager

JR:fv

cc: Representative John Sund

STATE OF ALASKA  
1988 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

BILL VERSION: HB 335  
PUBLISH DATE: \_\_\_\_\_

FISCAL NOTE

REQUEST:

Revision Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Agency Affected: Community & Regional Affairs  
Title: "An act amending notice requirements  
..municipal assistance..effective date" BRU: Municipal Assistance  
Sponsor: Representative Taylor Components: \_\_\_\_\_  
Requestor: \_\_\_\_\_

EXPENDITURES/REVENUES: (Thousands of Dollars)

OPERATING	FY 88	FY 89	FY 90	FY 91	FY 92	FY 93
PERSONAL SERVICES						
TRAVEL						
CONTRACTUAL						
SUPPLIES						
EQUIPMENT						
LAND & STRUCTURES						
GRANTS, CLAIMS						
MISCELLANEOUS						
TOTAL OPERATING	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-

CAPITAL						
---------	--	--	--	--	--	--

REVENUE						
---------	--	--	--	--	--	--

FUNDING: (Thousands of Dollars)

GENERAL FUND	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER						
TOTAL	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-

POSITIONS:

FULL-TIME	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
PART-TIME						
TEMPORARY						

ANALYSIS : (Attach a separate page if necessary)

\_\_\_\_\_

Prepared by: Jim Plasman, Deputy Director Phone: 465-4750  
Division: Municipal & Regional Assistance Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved by Commissioner: Ronald Henderson for David Hoffman Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
Agency: Community & Regional Affairs

- Distribution (by preparer):
- Legislative Finance
  - Legislative Sponsor
  - Requestor
  - Office of Management and Budget
  - Impacted Agency(ies)

JAN 25 1987


Alaska  
MUNICIPAL  
League

(7) HB 335

TELEPHONE  
(907) 586-1325

105 MUNICIPAL WAY, SUITE 301  
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99801

TO: Representative Henry Springer, Chair  
Members of the House Community and Regional Affairs Committee

FROM: Scott A. Burgess, Executive Director 

DATE: January 27, 1988

SUBJECT: HB 335 - Taxpayer Notice

On behalf of its 135 member municipalities, the Alaska Municipal League supports HB 335 allowing the options of individual notice or newspaper notice to taxpayers regarding municipal assistance program payments. This legislation will provide consistency within the Statute (Title 29) and substantial savings of time and money for the municipalities and the taxpayers while meeting the intent of adequate notice.

Amending AS 29.60.370 as proposed by HB 335 will bring it into conformance with AS 29.45.020. Taxpayer Notice (a). Publication in a "newspaper of general circulation" may be much more efficient than individual notices for some municipalities who choose that option and, therefore, less expensive. An added benefit of the newspaper notice is informing non-taxpayers the cost of government and the amount of state assistance.

I do not know if the inconsistency between the two sections is intentional or a result of the fact that municipal assistance use to be addressed in Title 43 under the Department of Revenue before it was brought under the Department of Community and Regional Affairs and Title 29 under the "Title 29 Rewrite" legislation in 1985. The subject section does deal with "Increased Assistance" but that does not seem to be critical to not allowing the option, and, unfortunately, municipal assistance has not increased in several years! Adequate notice, consistency and some cost savings would be achieved with the passage of HB 335.

Again, the Alaska Municipal League supports HB 335 and the taxpayer notice options it would allow or clarify.

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY TAYLOR

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 335

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 FIFTEENTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act amending notice requirements relating to the  
7 municipal assistance program; and providing for an  
8 effective date."

9 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

10 \* Section 1. AS 29.60.370(b) is amended to read:

11 (b) The intent of (a) of this section is that a municipality  
12 that levies property taxes reduce those levies in reasonable propor-  
13 tion to the amount of increased state aid received by the municipal-  
14 ity. [THE GOVERNING BODY OF EACH MUNICIPALITY SHALL FURNISH A NOTICE  
15 WITH EACH TAX STATEMENT DESCRIBING ITS USE OF THIS STATE AID.]

16 \* Sec. 2. AS 29.60.370 is amended by adding a new subsection to read:

17 (c) The governing body of each municipality <sup>that levies & collects property taxes</sup> shall furnish a  
18 notice describing use of the state aid provided under (a) of this  
19 section. Notice shall be provided

20 (1) by furnishing a copy of the notice with each tax state-  
21 ment mailed for the fiscal year for which aid is received; or

22 (2) by publishing in a newspaper of general circulation in  
23 the municipality a copy of the notice once each week for three succes-  
24 sive weeks, with the first publication to occur not later than 45 days  
25 after the final adoption of the municipality's budget.

26 \* Sec. 3. This Act takes effect immediately under AS 01.10.070(c).

HB

357

#	Date In	Doc. Type	Date	Subject	DESCRIPTION	From	Copied	Init
(1)	2-3-88	Bill		Bill		Doc		
(2)	2/5/88	Ltr.	1/13/88	Gov Transmitted Ltr		HTRA	✓	3
(3a)	2-5	FN	1/13/88	DCRA				
(3b)	2-5	FN	1/13/88	DOT PF				
(4)	2-5	Chart	1/19/88	Comparison by dist. 50/50 vs 70/30				
(5)	2-5	Chart		LSRT alloc. 70/30 area/pop				
(6)	2-5	Chart		" " 50/50 "				
(7)	2-5	Ltr.	1/19/88	cts of Council - reject HB 357				
(8)	2-5	booklet		LSRT 5 yr rev 85-88				
(9)	2-5	memo	2/25/7	To Friday From Barbara Proj listing				
(10)	2-5	memo		To Friday Fr. Monday Proj listing				
(11)	2-5	chts	1/19/88	LSRT Programs alloc.				
(12)	2-5	Ltr	1/19/88	from Oscar From Tanana Chief +				
(13a)	2-5	Min HTRA	2/1/88	Minutes, TRA				
(13b)	2-5	Min HTRA	2/25/88	"				
A	2-14	Min	2/8/88	Minutes (Rt)				
B	2-10	WR	2/8/88	Unit Reg				
(14)		Bill		Bill				
(15)	2/16	cht.	2/16	LSRT Allocation Flow				
(16)	2/16	Rpt		Com Rpt 2 DP, 2 DUP, 1 VR				
		Min	2/16					

(#) = Distributed, all files

(Ltr) = Master, Backup, Next Com. Files

# STATE OF ALASKA THE LEGISLATURE

POUCH Y - STATE CAPITOL  
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99811  
907-465-3800

## LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS AGENCY LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE LIBRARY

May, 1988

Copies of minutes listed below were originally included in this file. The minutes are available on the STAIRS database CMPR. In order to save space copies of minutes have not been left in the files.

Mary Van Nimwegen

House C+RA	2-8-88	3:00 p.m.
" "	2-16-88	3:00 p.m.
House Transportation	1-25-88	1:30 p.m.
" "	2-1-88	1:30 p.m.

2/3  
D

R

~~F~~

Gen Trans  
F

~~N~~

HB 357 2/3

BILL PREPARATION/ACTION\*

Bill # HB 357

Date Referred: 2/3/88

Out:

Title: Grants for Local Soc Pds & Trails

Sponsor: H Reules

Referrals: TRA

(CRA)

FIN

CONTACTS:\*\*\*\*\*

Name

H Reules

ba.

H TRAN

filed need min 2/3; ✓

DCRA

interest will need 2/3. ✓ ✓

Bruce Freitag DOT

5-2957 2/5 [2/8]; [2/15] 2/22;

Keith Gerken DOT

Dep Com

5-3901 2/5 [2/8] will try to send someone 2/3;

Dee Bottness DOT

5-2457 709-6247 2/5 [2/8]; [2/15] 2/22;

\*\*\*\*\*

REMARKS:

MEETINGS:\*\*\*\*\*

Date

Action

2/8/88

Hermann & Zawacki reg. hold

2/16/88


move 2 D/P 2 DNP 1 NR

\*See other side for additional information.

CONTAC.TXT



# LSR&T ALLOCATION FLOW AS 19.30.127 (1987)





Official Business

**COMMITTEE:**

HOUSE COMMUNITY & REGIONAL AFFAIRS

**DATE:** Monday, Feb. 8, 1988

**SIGN-IN**

**Subject of meeting:** (B) HB 357

HB 357 Grants for Local Service Roads & Trails

\*HB 392

\*HB 227

NAME (PLS PRINT)	YOUR TITLE & ADDRESS	PHONE	REPRESENTING	DO YOU WANT TO TESTIFY?
Bruce Freitag	DOT/AF - HQ MAINTENANCE ENGINEER	465-2957	DOT/AF	yes
Ole Bartness	DOT/AF - SE construction	789-6247	DOT/AF	
ICE GELDHOFF	P.O. BOX K 99811	465-3605	A.G.'s	no

File Contents

HB 357 - Grants for Local Service Roads and Trails

<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>
1.	Bill - HB 357
2.	Governor's Transmittal Letter
3a.	Zero Fiscal Note - DCRA
3b.	Zero Fiscal Note - DOT/PF
4.	Chart - Comparison by Dist., 50/50 vs. 70/30
5.	Chart - LSR&T Alloc. 70/30 area/population
6.	Chart - LSR&T Alloc. 50/50 area/population
7.	Ltr from City of Wassila - opposes HB 357
8.	Booklet - LSR&T Services, 85-89
9.	Memo - SE Region LSR&T Project Listing
10.	Memo - Central Region LSR&T Project Listing
11.	Chart - LSR&T Program, theoretical alloc.
12.	Ltr - from Tanana Chiefs, supports HB 357
13a.	Minutes, 2/1/88, HTRAN
13b.	Minutes, 1/25/88, HTRAN
14.	Bill Review - Harrison
15.	<i>LSR&amp;T alloc. flow</i>
16.	<i>C. R. J. -</i>

HB357.fil  
02/05/88

5  
y/1

# HOUSE COMMITTEE REPORT <sup>(16)</sup> HB 357

Date referred: 2/3/88

FURTHER REFERRALS: Finance

DATE: 2/16/88

The Community and Regional Affairs Committee has considered HB 357

"An Act relating to grants for local service roads and trails; and providing for an effective date."

**RECOMMENDS:**

- replace with \_\_\_\_\_  the same title
- attached amendment(s)  a new title
- do pass
- do not pass
- no recommendation
- individual recommendations
- additional referral to the \_\_\_\_\_ Committee

**ADOPTS:**  \_\_\_\_\_ letter of intent

**ATTACHES NEW FISCAL NOTE(S):**

- fiscal impact  same as previous fiscal note published \_\_\_\_\_
- zero fiscal note  same as previous <sup>2</sup>zero fiscal notes published <sup>1</sup>1/13/88
- zero with analysis

**SIGNING DO PASS:**

Cato Better Cato Collins

Springer Heinrich Springer McCRMAN

Zawack:

**SIGNING OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:**

Tom Males DO NOT PASS

Hilkeheid Herrman No Rec

Jim Zawacki - DO NOT PASS

EFFECTS POPULATED AREAS THAT HAVE SAME NEED.

Springer

Heinrich Springer  
Chairman's signature

# HOUSE COMMITTEE REPORT

(7)

Date referred: 1/13/88

FURTHER REFERRALS: C & RA  
Finance

DATE: February 1, 1988

The Transportation Committee has considered HB 357

"An Act relating to grants for local service roads and trails; and providing for an effective date."

**RECOMMENDS:**

- replace with \_\_\_\_\_  the same title
- attached amendment(s)  a new title
- do pass
- do not pass
- no recommendation
- individual recommendations
- additional referral to the \_\_\_\_\_ Committee

**ADOPTS:**  \_\_\_\_\_ letter of intent

**ATTACHES NEW FISCAL NOTE(S):**

- fiscal impact  same as previous fiscal note published \_\_\_\_\_
- zero fiscal note  same as previous zero fiscal note published 1/13/88
- zero with analysis

**SIGNING DO PASS:**

Heinrich Springer

Bette Cato

Ben [unclear]

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

**SIGNING OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:**

[unclear] [unclear] [unclear]

[unclear] [unclear] - No Rec

Bill [unclear] - No Rec.

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Bette Cato

Chairman's signature

ce

STATE OF ALASKA  
OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR  
JUNEAU

(2) HB 357

January 13, 1988

The Honorable Ben Grussendorf  
Speaker of the House  
Alaska State Legislature  
P.O. Box V  
Juneau, AK 99811

Dear Representative Grussendorf:

Under the authority of art. III, sec. 18, of the Alaska Constitution, I am transmitting a bill pertaining to local service roads and trails. This measure would alter the existing formula for allocating money for acquisition and construction of these roads and trails.


Basically, this measure will allow rural areas to receive more funding for service roads and trails. The change will not be particularly dramatic in terms of actual dollars but will benefit rural areas.

I am making this proposal because local service road and trail funding is extremely important for rural portions of our state. Urban areas have a significantly greater opportunity to acquire financial resources from various sources to construct roads, highways, and transportation systems. Rural communities often lack this advantage.

I realize that this measure may generate some initial concern that certain portions of our state are benefiting at the expense of other communities or regions. This is not my intent. I simply want to ensure that vital local service roads and trails are designed, funded, and built in areas where they provide the greatest benefit. Other programs benefit urban Alaskans. The local service road and trail program needs to be weighted toward rural areas.

This bill has the support of the Department of Transportation and Public Facilities and the Department of Community and Regional Affairs. I believe that this measure merits your favorable action. Please call upon Commissioner Hickey if you have additional questions regarding the mechanics of this proposed change or the operation of AS 19.30.127 -- 19.30.241 in general.

Sincerely,

  
Steve Cowper  
Governor

**STATE OF ALASKA  
1988 LEGISLATIVE SESSION**

BILL VERSION: HB 357  
PUBLISH DATE: HOUSE 1/13/88

**FISCAL NOTE**

30 HB 357

**REQUEST:**

Revision Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
Title: Local Service Roads and Trails  
Sponsor: Rules Committee  
Requestor: Governor

Agency Affected: DCRA  
BRU: \_\_\_\_\_  
Components: \_\_\_\_\_

**EXPENDITURES/REVENUES: (Thousands of Dollars)**

OPERATING	FY 88	FY 89	FY 90	FY 91	FY 92	FY 93
PERSONAL SERVICES						
TRAVEL						
CONTRACTUAL						
SUPPLIES						
EQUIPMENT						
LAND & STRUCTURES						
GRANTS, CLAIMS						
MISCELLANEOUS						
<b>TOTAL OPERATING</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0

CAPITAL	0	0	0	0	0	0
---------	---	---	---	---	---	---

REVENUE	0	0	0	0	0	0
---------	---	---	---	---	---	---

**FUNDING: (Thousands of Dollars)**

GENERAL FUND						
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER						
<b>TOTAL</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0

**POSITIONS:**

FULL-TIME						
PART-TIME						
TEMPORARY						

**ANALYSIS : (Attach a separate page if necessary)**

There is no fiscal impact on this Department as a result of the formula change.

Prepared by: James Plasman, Deputy Director  
Division: Municipal & Regional Assistance

Phone: 465-4750  
Date: 12/11/87

Approved by Commissioner: [Signature]  
Agency: Community and Regional Affairs

Date: Dec. 11, 1987

Distribution (by preparer):  
Legislative Finance  
Legislative Sponsor  
Requestor  
Office of Management and Budget  
Impacted Agency(ies)

*cl*

STATE OF ALASKA 1988 LEGISLATIVE SESSION  
FISCAL NOTE

*36* HB 357

Bill Version: HB 357  
Publish Date: HOUSE 1/13/88

**REQUEST**  
Revision Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
Title: Local Service Roads & Trails  
Sponsor: Rules Committee  
Requestor: Governor

Agency Affected: DOT&PF  
BRU: Plans, Programs & Budget  
Components: \_\_\_\_\_

**EXPENDITURES/REVENUES:** (Thousands of Dollars)

OPERATING	FY 88	FY 89	FY 90	FY 91	FY 92	FY 93
PERSONAL SERVICES						
TRAVEL						
CONTRACTUAL						
SUPPLIES						
EQUIPMENT						
LAND & STRUCTURES						
GRANTS, CLAIMS						
MISCELLANEOUS						
TOTAL OPERATING	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
CAPITAL	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
REVENUE	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-

**FUNDING:** (Thousands of Dollars)

GENERAL FUND						
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER						
TOTAL	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-

**POSITIONS:**

FULL-TIME						
PART-TIME						
TEMPORARY						

**ANALYSIS:** There is no fiscal impact on state expenditures as a result of this change. Any costs associated with administration of the program, would be charged to any new appropriation for the program and the cost of administration will not vary because of this legislation.

Prepared by: Ron Lind, Director  
Division: Plans, Programs & Budget  
Approved by Commissioner: Mark S. Hickey  
Agency: Transportation & Public Facilities

Phone: 465-3900  
Date: 12/3/87  
Date: 12/3/87

- Distribution (by preparer):
- Legislative Finance
  - Legislative Sponsor
  - Requestor
  - Office of Management and Budget
  - Impacted Agency(ies)
  - Senate Secretary

# Comparison by district 50/50 vs. 70/30

19-Jan-88

## I. ALLOCATION BY DISTRICT

ALLOCATION DISTRICT COLUMN A	50/50 SPLIT		70/30 SPLIT	
	TOTAL DISTRICT ALLOCATION (COL J + COL F) COLUMN K	PERCENT OF TOTAL STATE COLUMN L	TOTAL DISTRICT ALLOCATION (COL J + COL F) COLUMN K	PERCENT OF TOTAL STATE COLUMN L
ALLOCATION DISTRICT I	343852	34.39%	241068	24.11%
ALLOCATION DISTRICT II	234870	23.49%	265397	26.54%
ALLOCATION DISTRICT III	93903	9.39%	83858	8.39%
ALLOCATION DISTRICT IV	283910	28.39%	355514	35.55%
ALLOCATION DISTRICT V	43466	4.35%	54163	5.42%
STATEWIDE TOTAL	1000000	100.00%	1000000	100.00%

II. ALLOCATION BY BOROUGH WITHIN ALLOCATION DISTRICTS 1-5

ALLOCATION DISTRICT COLUMN A	50/50 TOTAL		70/30 TOTAL	
	BOROUGH ALLOCATION (COL J + COL F) COLUMN K	PERCENT OF TOTAL DISTRICT COLUMN L	BOROUGH ALLOCATION (COL J + COL F) COLUMN K	PERCENT OF TOTAL DISTRICT COLUMN L
ALLOCATION DISTRICT I				
MUNICIPALITY OF ANCHORAGE	131122	38.13%	58721	24.36%
KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH	70340	20.46%	57397	23.81%
KODIAK ISLAND BORO	25221	7.33%	20902	8.67%
MAT-SU BOROUGH	88840	25.84%	76243	31.63%
UNORGANIZED BORO I	28328	8.24%	27804	11.53%
DISTRICT I TOTAL	343852	100.00%	241068	100.00%
ALLOCATION DISTRICT II				
FBKS NORTH STAR BORO	104307	44.41%	75081	28.29%
NORTH SLOPE BORO (PART)	28157	11.99%	43296	16.31%
UNORGANIZED BORO II	102406	43.60%	147021	55.40%
DISTRICT II TOTAL	234870	100.00%	265397	100.00%

II. ALLOCATION BY BOROUGH WITHIN ALLOCATION DISTRICT 1-5

ALLOCATION DISTRICT COLUMN A	TOTAL		TOTAL BOROUGH ALLOCATION (COL J + COL F) COLUMN K	PERCENT OF TOTAL	
	BOROUGH ALLOCATION (COL J + COL F) COLUMN K	PERCENT OF TOTAL DISTRICT COLUMN L		BOROUGH ALLOCATION (COL J + COL F) COLUMN K	PERCENT OF TOTAL DISTRICT COLUMN L
ALLOCATN DISTRICT III					
CITY/BORO OF JUHEAU	22814	24.29%	14801	17.65%	
CITY/BORO OF SITKA	11179	11.91%	9715	11.58%	
HAINES BORO	4505	4.80%	4592	5.48%	
KET GATEWAY BORO	10409	11.09%	6617	7.89%	
UNORGANIZED BORO III	44996	47.92%	48134	57.40%	
DISTRICT III TOTAL	93903	100.00%	83858	100.00%	
ALLOCATN DISTRICT IV					
ALEUTIAN EAST BORO	25178	8.87%	26986	7.59%	
BRISTOL BAY BORO	3953	1.39%	3598	1.01%	
NO SLOPE BORO (PART)	35695	12.57%	51261	14.42%	
NORTHWEST ARCTIC BORO	33343	11.74%	43907	12.35%	
UNORGANIZED BORO IV	185741	65.42%	229762	64.63%	
DISTRICT IV TOTAL	283910	100.00%	355514	100.00%	

II.ALLOCATION BY BOROUGH WITHIN ALLOCATION DISTRICT 1-5

ALLOCATION DISTRICT COLUMN A	TOTAL		TOTAL BOROUGH ALLOCATION (COL J + COL F) COLUMN K	TOTAL	
	BOROUGH ALLOCATION (COL J + COL F) COLUMN K	PERCENT OF TOTAL DISTRICT COLUMN L		BOROUGH ALLOCATION (COL J + COL F) COLUMN K	PERCENT OF TOTAL DISTRICT COLUMN L
ALLOCAION DISTRICT V					
UNORGANIZED BORO V	43466	100.00%	54163	100.00%	
DISTRICT V TOTAL	43466	100.00%	54163	100.00%	
STATEWIDE TOTAL	1000000		1000000		

III. ALLOCATION BY CITY WITHIN BOROUGH

BOROUGH/ CITY COLUMN A	50/50 SPLIT		70/30 SPLIT			
	TOTAL CITY ALLOCATION (COL J + COL F) COLUMN K	PERCENT OF TOTAL DISTRICT COLUMN L	PERCENT OF TOTAL BOROUGH COLUMN M	TOTAL CITY ALLOCATION (COL J + COL F) COLUMN K	PERCENT OF TOTAL DISTRICT COLUMN L	PERCENT OF TOTAL BOROUGH COLUMN M
<b>ALLOCATION DISTRICT I</b>						
MUNICIPALITY OF ANCHORAGE	131122	38.13%	100.00%	58721	24.36%	100.00%
<b>KENAI PENINSULA BORO</b>						
CITY OF KENAI	5933	1.73%	8.43%	2955	1.23%	5.15%
CITY OF SEWARD	1986	0.58%	2.82%	1007	0.42%	1.75%
OTHER	62421	18.15%	88.74%	53436	22.17%	93.10%
TOTAL	70340	20.46%	100.00%	57397	23.81%	100.00%
<b>KODIAK ISLAND BORO</b>						
CITY OF KODIAK	6015	1.75%	23.85%	2994	1.24%	14.32%
OTHER	19206	5.59%	76.15%	17908	7.43%	85.68%
TOTAL	25221	7.33%	100.00%	20902	8.67%	100.00%
<b>MAT-SU BOROUGH</b>						
CITY OF PALMER	3644	1.06%	4.10%	1881	0.78%	2.47%
OTHER	85197	24.78%	95.90%	74362	30.85%	97.53%
TOTAL	88840	25.84%	100.00%	76243	31.63%	100.00%
<b>UNORGANIZED BORO I</b>						
TOTAL	28328	8.24%	100.00%	27804	11.53%	100.00%
<b>DISTRICT I TOTAL</b>	<b>343852</b>	<b>100.00%</b>		<b>241068</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	

III. ALLOCATION BY CITY WITHIN BOROUGH

BOROUGH/ CITY COLUMN A	50/50 SPLIT		70/30 SPLIT			
	TOTAL CITY ALLOCATION (COL J + COL F) COLUMN K	PERCENT OF TOTAL DISTRICT COLUMN L	PERCENT OF TOTAL BOROUGH COLUMN M	TOTAL CITY ALLOCATION (COL J + COL F) COLUMN K	PERCENT OF TOTAL DISTRICT COLUMN L	PERCENT OF TOTAL BOROUGH COLUMN M
<b>ALLOCATH DISTRICT II</b>						
<b>FBKS NORTH STAR BORO</b>						
CITY OF FAIRBANKS	19709	8.39%	18.90%	8632	3.25%	11.50%
CITY OF NORTHPOLE	1201	0.51%	1.15%	531	0.20%	0.71%
OTHER	83396	35.51%	79.95%	65918	24.84%	87.80%
TOTAL	104307	44.41%	100.00%	75081	28.29%	100.00%
<b>NORTH SLOPE BORO(PART)</b>						
TOTAL	28157	11.99%	100.00%	43296	16.31%	100.00%
<b>UNORGANIZED BORO II</b>						
TOTAL	102406	43.60%	100.00%	147021	55.40%	100.00%
DISTRICT II TOTAL	234870	100.00%		265397	100.00%	100.00%

III. ALLOCATION BY CITY WITHIN BOROUGH

BOROUGH/ CITY COLUMN A	50/50 SPLIT		70/30 SPLIT			
	TOTAL CITY ALLOCATION (COL J + COL F) COLUMN K	PERCENT OF TOTAL DISTRICT COLUMN L	PERCENT OF TOTAL BOROUGH COLUMN M	TOTAL CITY ALLOCATION (COL J + COL F) COLUMN X	PERCENT OF TOTAL DISTRICT COLUMN L	PERCENT OF TOTAL BOROUGH COLUMN M
ALLOCATN DISTRICT III						
CITY/BORO OF JUNEAU	22814	24.29%	100.00%	14801	17.65%	100.00%
CITY/BORO OF SITKA	11179	11.91%	100.00%	9715	11.58%	100.00%
HAINES BOROUGH						
TOTAL	4505	4.80%	100.00%	4592	5.48%	100.00%
KET GATEWAY BORO						
CITY OF KETCHIKAN	3115	3.32%	29.93%	1192	1.42%	18.02%
OTHER	7294	7.77%	70.07%	5424	6.47%	81.98%
TOTAL	10409	11.09%	100.00%	6617	7.89%	100.00%
UNORGANIZED BOROUGH III						
TOTAL	44996	47.92%	100.00%	48134	57.40%	100.00%
DISTRICT III TOTAL	93903	100.00%		83858	100.00%	

III. ALLOCATION BY CITY WITHIN BOROUGH

BOROUGH/ CITY COLUMN A	50/50 SPLIT		70/30 SPLIT			
	TOTAL CITY ALLOCATION (COL J + COL F) COLUMN K	PERCENT OF TOTAL DISTRICT COLUMN L	PERCENT OF TOTAL BOROUGH COLUMN M	TOTAL CITY ALLOCATION (COL J + COL F) COLUMN K	PERCENT OF TOTAL DISTRICT COLUMN L	PERCENT OF TOTAL BOROUGH COLUMN M
ALLOCATION DISTRICT IV						
ALEUTIANS EAST BORO	25178	8.87%	100.00%	26986	7.59%	100.00%
BRISTOL BAY BORO	3953	1.39%	100.00%	3598	1.01%	100.00%
NO SLOPE BORO (PART)	35695	12.57%	100.00%	51261	14.42%	100.00%
NORTHWEST ARCTIC BOROUGH	33343	11.74%	100.00%	43907	12.35%	100.00%
UNORGANIZED BORO IV	185741	65.42%	100.00%	229762	64.63%	100.00%
DISTRICT IV TOTAL	283910	100.00%		355514	100.00%	
ALLOCATION DISTRICT V						
UNORGANIZED BORO V						
TOTAL	43466	100.00%	100.00%	54163	100.00%	100.00%
DISTRICT V TOTAL	43466	100.00%		54163	100.00%	
STATEWIDE TOTAL	1000000			1000000		

(5) HB 357

III. ALLOCATION BY CITY WITHIN BOROUGH

LSR&T ALLOCATION BASED ON 70/30 (AREA/POPULATION) SPLIT AS PROPOSED BY HB 357

BOROUGH/ CITY COLUMN A	TOTAL AREA OF BOROUGH (SQ MI) COLUMN B	AREA OF CITY (SQ MI) COLUMN C	CITY'S AREA RATIO (COL C/COL B) COLUMN D	TOTAL BOROUGH ALLOCATION COLUMN E	CITY ALLOCATION (COL D x COL E x .7) COLUMN F	BOROUGH POPULATION (RE: 1985 DOL) COLUMN H	CITY'S POPULATION (RE: 1985 DOL) COLUMN H	CITY'S POPULATION RATIO (COL H/COL G) COLUMN I	CITY ALLOCATION (COL I x COL E x .3) COLUMN J	70/30 SPLIT TOTAL CITY ALLOCATION (COL J + COL F) COLUMN K	PERCENT OF TOTAL DISTRICT COLUMN L	PERCENT OF TOTAL BOROUGH COLUMN M
ALLOCATION DISTRICT IV												
ALEUTIAN EAST BORO	15405	15405	1.0000000000	26936	18890	6828	6828	1.0000000000	8096	26986	7.59%	100.00%
BRISTOL BAY BORO	1200	1200	1.0000000000	3598	2519	1326	1326	1.0000000000	1080	3598	1.01%	100.00%
NO SLOPE BORO (PAR')	46666	46666	1.0000000000	51261	35883	4504	4504	1.0000000000	5378	51261	14.42%	100.00%
NORTHWEST ARCTIC BORO	36000	36000	1.0000000000	43907	30735	5790	5790	1.0000000000	13172	43907	12.35%	100.00%
UNORGANIZED BORO IV TOTAL	172231	172231	1.0000000000	229762	160833	38156	38156	1.0000000000	68929	229762	64.63%	100.00%
DISTRICT IV TOTAL	271502	271502	1.0000000000	355514	248860	56604	56604	1.0000000000	106654	355514	100.00%	
ALLOCATION DISTRICT V												
UNORGANIZED BORO V TOTAL	41177	41177	1.0000000000	54163	37914	9024	9024	1.0000000000	16249	54163	100.00%	100.00%
DISTRICT V TOTAL	41177	41177	1.0000000000	54163	37914	9024	9024	1.0000000000	16249	54163	100.00%	100.00%
STATEWIDE TOTAL	586499	586499	1.0000000000	1000000	700000	559600	539600	1.0000000000	300000	1000000		

19-Jan-88

I. ALLOCATION BY DISTRICT

LSR&T ALLOCATION BASED ON 70/30 (AREA/POPULATION) SPLIT AS PROPOSED BY HB 357

ALLOCATION DISTRICT COLUMN A	TOTAL AREA OF STATE (SQ MI) COLUMN B	AREA OF ALLOCATH DISTRICT (SQ MI) COLUMN C	ALLOCATION DISTRICT'S AREA RATIO (COL C/COL B) COLUMN D	TOTAL STATEWIDE ALLOCATION COLUMN E	DISTRICT ALLOCATION (COL D x COL E x .7) COLUMN F	TOTAL POPULATION OF AK (RE:1985 DOL) COLUMN G	DISTRICT POPULATION (RE:1985 DOL) COLUMN H	ALLOCATION DISTRICT'S POP RATIO (COLH/COLG) (COL I x COL E x .3) COLUMN I	DISTRICT ALLOCATION (COL I x COL E x .3) COLUMN J	70/30 SPLIT TOTAL DISTRICT ALLOCATION (COL J + COL F) COLUMN K	PERCENT OF TOTAL STATE COLUMN L
ALLOCATION DISTRICT I	586499	50962	0.0868918788	1000000	60824	539600	324198	0.6008117124	180244	241068	24.11%
ALLOCATION DISTRICT II	586499	182512	0.3111889364	1000000	217832	539600	85554	0.1585507784	47565	265397	26.54%
ALLOCATION DISTRICT III	586499	40346	0.0687912511	1000000	48154	539600	64220	0.1190140845	35704	83858	8.39%
ALLOCATION DISTRICT IV	586499	271502	0.4629198004	1000000	324044	539600	56604	0.1048999259	31470	355514	35.55%
ALLOCATION DISTRICT V	586499	41177	0.0702081333	1000000	49146	539600	9024	0.0167234989	5017	54163	5.42%
STATEWIDE TOTAL	586499	586499	1.0000000000	1000000	700000	539600	539600	1.0000000000	300000	1000000	100.00%

II. ALLOCATION BY BOROUGH WITHIN ALLOCATION DISTRICTS 1-5

LSR&T ALLOCATION BASED ON 70/30 (AREA/POPULATION) SPLIT AS PROPOSED BY HB 357

ALLOCATION DISTRICT COLUMN A	TOTAL AREA OF DISTRICT (SQ MI) COLUMN B	AREA OF BORO (SQ MI) COLUMN C	BOROUGH'S AREA RATIO (COL C/COL B) COLUMN D	TOTAL DISTRICT ALLOCATION COLUMN E	BOROUGH ALLOCATION (COL D x COL E x .7) COLUMN F	DISTRICT POPULATION (RE:1985 DOL) COLUMN G	BOROUGH POPULATION (RE:1985 DOL) COLUMN H	BOROUGH'S POPULATION RATIO (COL H/COL G) COLUMN I	BOROUGH ALLOCATION (COL I x COL E x .3) COLUMN J	70/30 TOTAL BOROUGH ALLOCATION (COL J + COL F) COLUMN K	PERCENT OF TOTAL DISTRICT COLUMN L
ALLOCATION DISTRICT I											
MUNICIPALITY OF ANCHORAGE	50962	1884	0.0369687218	241068	6238	324198	235269	0.7256954084	52483	58721	24.36%
KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH	50962	14697	0.2883913504	241068	48665	324198	39144	0.1207410286	8732	57397	23.81%
KODIAK ISLAND BORO	50962	5440	0.1067462031	241068	18013	324198	12952	0.0399508942	2889	20902	8.67%
MAT-SU BOROUGH	50962	20544	0.4031238962	241068	68026	324198	36833	0.1136126688	8217	76243	31.63%
UNORGANIZED BORO I	50962	8397	0.1647698285	241068	27804	324198	0	0.0000000000	0	27804	11.53%
DISTRICT I TOTAL	50962	<u>50962</u>	1.0000000000	241068	168747	324198	324198	1.0000000000	72320	241068	100.00%
ALLOCATION DISTRICT II											
FBKS NORTH STAR BORO	182512	7500	0.0410931884	265397	7634	85554	72474	0.8471141034	67447	75081	28.29%
NORTH SLOPE BORO (PART)	182512	41615	0.2280124047	265397	42360	85554	1006	0.0117586554	936	43296	16.31%
UNORGANIZED BORO II	182512	133397	0.7308944069	265397	135784	85554	12074	0.1411272413	11236	147021	55.40%
DISTRICT II TOTAL	182512	<u>182512</u>	1.0000000000	265397	185778	85554	85554	1.0000000000	79619	265397	100.00%

11. ALLOCATION BY BOROUGH WITHIN DISTRICT 1-5

LSR&T ALLOCATION BASED ON 70/30 (AREA/POPULATION) SPLIT AS PROPOSED BY HB 357

ALLOCATION DISTRICT COLUMN A	TOTAL AREA OF DISTRICT (SQ MI) COLUMN B	AREA OF BORO (SQ MI) COLUMN C	BOROUGH'S AREA RATIO (COL C/COL B) COLUMN D	TOTAL DISTRICT ALLOCATION COLUMN E	BOROUGH ALLOCATION (COL D x COL E x .7) COLUMN F	DISTRICT POPULATION (RE:1985 DOL) COLUMN G	BOROUGH POPULATION (RE:1985 DOL) COLUMN H	BOROUGH'S POPULATION RATIO (COL H/COL G) COLUMN I	BOROUGH ALLOCATION (COL I x COL E x .3) COLUMN J	TOTAL BOROUGH ALLOCATION (COL J + COL F) COLUMN K	PERCENT OF TOTAL DISTRICT COLUMN L
ALLOCATN DISTRICT III											
CITY/BORO OF JUNEAU	40346	3100	0.076835374	83858	4510	64220	26270	0.4090625973	10291	14801	17.65%
CITY/BORO OF SITKA	40346	4480	0.1110395083	83858	6518	64220	8160	0.1270632202	3197	9715	11.50%
HAINES BORO	40346	2620	0.0649382838	83858	3812	64220	1991	0.0310028029	780	4592	5.48%
KEY GATEWAY BORO	40346	1250	0.0309820057	83858	1819	64220	12248	0.1907194021	4798	6617	7.89%
UNORGANIZED BORO III	40346	28896	0.7162048282	83858	42042	64220	15551	0.2421519776	6092	48134	57.40%
DISTRICT III TOTAL	40346	40346	1.0000000000	83858	58701	64220	64220	1.0000000000	25157	83858	100.00%
ALLOCATN DISTRICT IV											
ALEUTIAN EAST BORO	271502	15405	0.0567399135	355514	14120	56604	6828	0.1206275175	12865	26986	7.59%
BRISTOL BAY BORO	271502	1200	0.0044198569	355514	1100	56604	1326	0.0234259063	2498	3598	1.01%
NO SLOPE BORO (PART)	271502	46666	0.1718808701	355514	42774	56604	4504	0.0795703484	8487	51261	14.42%
NORTHWEST ARCTIC BORO	271502	36000	0.1325957083	355514	32998	56604	5790	0.1022895908	10910	43907	12.35%
UNORGANIZED BORO IV	271502	172231	0.6343636511	355514	157868	56604	38156	0.6740866370	71894	229762	64.63%
DISTRICT IV TOTAL	271502	271502	1.0000000000	355514	248860	56604	56604	1.0000000000	106654	355514	100.00%

II. ALLOCATION BY BOROUGH WITHIN DISTRICT 1-5

LSR&T ALLOCATION BASED ON 70/30 (AREA/POPULATION) SPLIT AS PROPOSED BY HB 357

ALLOCATION DISTRICT COLUMN A	TOTAL AREA OF DISTRICT (SQ MI) COLUMN B	AREA OF BORO (SQ MI) COLUMN C	BOROUGH'S AREA RATIO (COL C/COL B) COLUMN D	TOTAL DISTRICT ALLOCATION COLUMN E	BOROUGH ALLOCATION (COL D x COL E x .7) COLUMN F	DISTRICT POPULATION (RE:1985 DOL) COLUMN G	BOROUGH POPULATION (RE:1985 DOL) COLUMN H	BOROUGH'S POPULATION RATIO (COL H/COL G) COLUMN I	BOROUGH ALLOCATION (COL I x COL E x .3) COLUMN J	TOTAL BOROUGH ALLOCATION (COL J + COL F) COLUMN K	PERCENT OF TOTAL DISTRICT COLUMN L
ALLOCA TN DISTRICT V											
UNORGANIZED BORO V	41177	41177	1.0000000000	54163	37914	9024	9024	1.0000000000	16249	54163	100.00%
DISTRICT V TOTAL	41177	41177	1.0000000000	54163	37914	9024	9024	1.0000000000	16249	54163	100.00%
STATEWIDE TOTAL	586499	586499	1.0000000000	1000000	700000	539600	539600	1.0000000000	300000	1000000	

III. ALLOCATION BY CITY WITHIN BOROUGH

LSR&T ALLOCATION BASED ON 70/30 (AREA/POPULATION) SPLIT AS PROPOSED BY HB 357

BOROUGH/ CITY COLUMN A	TOTAL AREA OF BOROUGH (SQ MI) COLUMN B	AREA OF CITY (SQ MI) COLUMN C	CITY'S AREA RATIO (COL C/COL B) COLUMN D	TOTAL BOROUGH ALLOCATION COLUMN E	CITY ALLOCATION (COL D x COL E x .7) COLUMN F	BOROUGH POPULATION (RE: 1985 DOL.) COLUMN H	CITY'S POPULATION (RE: 1985 DOL.) COLUMN H	CITY'S POPULATION RATIO (COL H/COL G) COLUMN I	CITY ALLOCATION (COL I x COL E x .3) COLUMN J	70/30 SPLIT		PERCENT OF TOTAL DISTRICT COLUMN L	PERCENT OF TOTAL BOROUGH COLUMN M
										TOTAL CITY ALLOCATION (COL J + COL F) COLUMN K	COLUMN K		
ALLOCATION DISTRICT I													
MUNICIPALITY OF ANCHORAGE	1884	1884	1.000000000	58721	41105	235269	235269	1.000000000	17616	58721		24.36%	100.00%
KENAI PENINSULA BORO													
CITY OF KENAI	14697	32	0.0021773151	57397	87	39144	6518	0.1665133865	2867	2955		1.23%	5.15%
CITY OF SEWARD	14697	22	0.0014969041	57397	60	39144	2152	0.0549764970	947	1907		0.42%	1.75%
OTHER	14697	14643	0.9963257808	57397	40031	39144	30474	0.7785101165	13405	53436		22.17%	93.10%
TOTAL	14697	14697	1.0000000000	57397	40178	39144	39144	1.0000000000	17219	57397		23.21%	100.00%
KODIAK ISLAND BORO													
CITY OF KODIAK	5440	2	0.0003676471	20902	5	12952	6173	0.4766059296	2989	2994		1.24%	14.32%
OTHER	5440	5438	0.9996323529	20902	14626	12952	6779	0.5233940704	3282	17408		7.43%	85.68%
TOTAL	5440	5440	1.0000000000	20902	14632	12952	12952	1.0000000000	6271	20902		8.67%	100.00%
MAT-SU BOROUGH													
CITY OF PALMER	20544	3	0.0001460280	76243	8	36833	3016	0.0818830940	1873	1881		0.78%	2.47%
OTHER	20544	20541	0.9998539720	76243	53362	36833	33817	0.9181169060	21000	74362		30.85%	97.53%
TOTAL	20544	20544	1.0000000000	76243	53370	36833	36833	1.0000000000	22873	76243		31.63%	100.00%
UNORGANIZED BORO I													
TOTAL	8397	8397	1.0000000000	27804	19463	0	0	1.0000000000	8341	27804		11.53%	100.00%
DISTRICT I TOTAL	50962	50962	1.0000000000	241068	168747	324198	324198	1.0000000000	72320	241068		100.00%	

III. ALLOCATION BY CITY WITHIN BOROUGH

LSR&T ALLOCATION BASED ON 70/30 (AREA/POPULATION) SPLIT AS PROPOSED BY HB 357

BOROUGH/ CITY COLUMN A	TOTAL AREA OF BOROUGH (SQ MI) COLUMN B	AREA OF CITY (SQ MI) COLUMN C	CITY'S AREA RATIO (COL C/COL B) COLUMN D	TOTAL BOROUGH ALLOCATION COLUMN E	CITY ALLOCATION (COL D x COL E x .7) COLUMN F	BOROUGH POPULATION (RE:1985 DOL) COLUMN H	CITY'S POPULATION (RE: 1985 DOL) COLUMN H	CITY'S POPULATION RATIO (COL H/COL G) COLUMN I	CITY ALLOCATION (COL I x COL E x .3) COLUMN J	70/30 SPLIT TOTAL CITY ALLOCATION (COL J + COL F) COLUMN K	PERCENT OF TOTAL DISTRICT COLUMN L	PERCENT OF TOTAL BOROUGH COLUMN M
ALLOCAH DISTRICT II												
FBKS NORTH STAR BORO												
CITY OF FAIRBANKS	7500	30	0.0040000000	75081	210	72474	27099	0.3739134034	8422	8632	3.25%	11.50%
CITY OF NORTHPOLE	7500	3	0.0004000000	75081	21	72474	1640	0.0226288048	510	531	0.20%	0.71%
OTHER	7500	7467	0.9956000000	75081	52325	72474	43735	0.6034577918	13592	65918	24.84%	87.80%
TOTAL	7500	7500	1.0000000000	75081	52557	72474	72474	1.0000000000	22524	75061	26.29%	100.00%
NORTH SLOPE BORO(PART)												
TOTAL	41615	41615	1.0000000000	43296	30307	1006	1006	1.0000000000	12989	43296	16.31%	100.00%
UNORGANIZED BORO II												
TOTAL	133397	133397	1.0000000000	147021	102915	12074	12074	1.0000000000	44106	147021	55.40%	100.00%
DISTRICT II TOTAL	182512	182512	1.0000000000	265397	185778	85554	85554	1.0000000000	79619	265397	100.00%	100.00%

III. ALLOCATION BY CITY WITHIN BOROUGH

LSR&T ALLOCATION BASED ON 70/30 (AREA/POPULATION) SPLIT AS PROPOSED BY HB 357

BOROUGH/ CITY COLUMN A	TOTAL AREA OF BOROUGH (SQ MI) COLUMN B	AREA OF CITY (SQ MI) COLUMN C	CITY'S AREA RATIO (COL C/COL B) COLUMN D	TOTAL BOROUGH ALLOCATION COLUMN E	CITY ALLOCATION (COL D x COL E x .7) COLUMN F	BOROUGH POPULATION (RE: 1985 DOL) COLUMN H	CITY'S POPULATION (RE: 1985 DOL) COLUMN H	CITY'S POPULATION RATIO (COL H/COL G) COLUMN I	CITY ALLOCATION (COL I x COL E x .3) COLUMN J	70/30 SPLIT TOTAL CITY ALLOCATION (COL J + COL F) COLUMN K	PERCENT OF TOTAL DISTRICT COLUMN L	PERCENT OF TOTAL BOROUGH COLUMN M
ALLOCATN DISTRICT III												
CITY/BORO OF JUNEAU	3100	3100	1.000000000	14801	10361	26270	26270	1.000000000	4440	14801	17.65%	100.00%
CITY/BORO OF SITKA	4480	4480	1.000000000	9715	6800	8160	8160	1.000000000	2914	9715	11.58%	100.00%
TOTAL	2620	2620	1.000000000	4592	3214	1991	1991	1.000000000	1378	4592	5.48%	100.00%
KEY GATEWAY BORO												
CITY OF KETCHIKAN	1250	2	0.001600000	6617	7	12248	7311	0.5969137818	1185	1192	1.42%	18.02%
OTHER	1250	1248	0.998400000	6617	4624	12248	4937	0.4030862182	800	5424	6.47%	81.98%
TOTAL	1250	1250	1.000000000	6617	4632	12248	12248	1.000000000	1985	6617	7.89%	100.00%
UNORGANIZED BOROUGH III												
TOTAL	28896	28896	1.000000000	48134	33694	15551	15551	1.000000000	14440	48134	57.40%	100.00%
DISTRICT III TOTAL	40346	40346	1.000000000	83858	58701	64220	64220	1.000000000	25157	83858	100.00%	