

ALASKA LEGISLATURE COMMITTEE FILES 1983-1984

2983

HSA

HJR 53

2983

GERMANO vs. KERNER, 378 U.S. 560 (1964) (IL - Leg)

Population variance unconstitutional.

NOLAN vs. RHODES, 378 U.S. 556 (1964) (OH - Leg)

Population variance unconstitutional.

WILLIAMS vs. MOSS, 378 U.S. 558 (1964) (OK - Leg)

Population variance unconstitutional.

MEYERF vs. THIGPEN, 378 U.S. 554 (1964) (WA - Leg)

Population variance unconstitutional.

LUCAS vs. FORTY-FOURTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF COLORADO, 377 U.S. 713 (1964) (CO - Leg)

Population Variance

Population variance in the state Senate was unconstitutional. 19,983 to 71,871 +33.2% with the maximum population.

Variance ratio in House 1.7:1 & 45.1% to elect a majority.

ROMAN vs. SINCOCK, 377 U.S. 695 (1964) (DE - Leg)

Population Variance

Population variance in both reapportionments were unconstitutional.

Senate: 4,177 to 64,820 + 22%; 4,177 to 64,820 + 21%

House: 1,643 to 58,228 + 18.5%; 1,643 to 20,040 + 28.0%

DAVIS vs. MANN, 377 U.S. 678 (1964) (VA - Leg)

Population Variance

Population variance was unconstitutional. Senate: 61,730 to 163,401 + 41.1%

SOUTH CAROLINA vs. KATZENBACH, 383 U.S. 301 (1966) (SC - Elections)

Voting Rights Act

The Voting Rights Act is upheld as constitutional.

WMCA INC. vs. LOMENZO, 382 U.S. 4 (1965), 238 F. Supp. 916
(NY - Leg)

Population Base

Apportionment on citizen population does not violate the federal Constitution. Partisan "gerrymandering" may (not) be subject to federal constitutional attack under the Fourteenth Amendment.

FORTSON vs. DORSEY, 379 U.S. 433 (1965) (GA - Leg)

Multimember Districts

Absent any contention that there was not substantial equality of population among the districts, or evidence to support the assertion that the scheme was intended to minimize or cancel out the voting strength of racial or political elements of the voting population, a statute creating multimember districts is constitutional.

HILL vs. DAVIS, 378 U.S. 565 (1964) (IA - Leg)

Population variance unconstitutional.

PINNEY vs. BATTERWORTH, 378 U.S. 564 (1964) (CT - Leg)

Population variance unconstitutional.

HEARN vs. SMYLIE, 378 U.S. 563 (1964) (ID - Leg)

Population variance unconstitutional.

MARSHALL vs. HARE, 378 U.S. 561 (1964) (MI - Leg)

Population variance unconstitutional.

the several states" which requires that, as nearly as practicable, one man's vote in a Congressional election must be worth as much as another's. Near mathematical precision in Congressional redistricting is necessary under the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment.

GRAY vs. SANDERS, 372 U.S. 368 (1963) (GA - Elections)

County unit system in counting votes in Democratic primary for nomination of U.S. Senator violated the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment.

County unit system cannot be equated to states in conducting elections and, therefore, the Equal Protection Clause requires that every voter is equal to every other voter in the state when he casts his ballot in a statewide election.

BAKER vs. CARR, 369 U.S. 186 (1962) (TN - Leg)

Federal Courts have jurisdiction in such political questions as legislative malapportionment, which violates the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment.

GOMILLION vs. LIGHTFOOT, 364 U.S. 399 (1960) (AL - Local)

Prescribed racial gerrymandering under the Fifteenth Amendment; held Fourteenth Amendment to be inapplicable.

COLGROVE vs. GREEN, 328 U.S. 549 (1946)

Congressional districting held to be a non-justiciable political issue

State Profiles



TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>STATE</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
Alabama	March, 1981	1
Alaska	May, 1981	3
Arizona	May, 1981	5
Arkansas	May, 1981	7
California	May, 1981	9
Colorado	May, 1981	11
Connecticut	May, 1981	13
Delaware	March, 1981	15
Florida	May, 1981	17
Georgia	May, 1981	19
Hawaii	March, 1981	21
Idaho	May, 1981	23
Illinois	May, 1981	25
Indiana	May, 1981	27
Iowa	March, 1981	29
Kansas	May, 1981	31
Kentucky	May, 1981	33
Louisiana	March, 1981	35
Maine	May, 1981	37
Maryland	May, 1981	39
Massachusetts	March, 1981	41
Michigan	March, 1981	43
Minnesota	March, 1981	45
Mississippi	May, 1981	47
Missouri	May, 1981	49
Montana	March, 1981	51
Nebraska	May, 1981	53
Nevada	May, 1981	55
New Hampshire	May, 1981	57
New Jersey	May, 1981	59
New Mexico	May, 1981	61
New York	March, 1981	63
North Carolina	May, 1981	65
North Dakota	May, 1981	67
Ohio	May, 1981	69
Oklahoma	March, 1981	71
Oregon	March, 1981	73
Pennsylvania	May, 1981	75
Rhode Island	March, 1981	77
South Carolina	March, 1981	79
South Dakota	May, 1981	81
Tennessee	March, 1981	83
Texas	May, 1981	85
Utah	May, 1981	87
Vermont	May, 1981	89
Virginia	May, 1981	91
Washington	May, 1981	93
West Virginia	May, 1981	95
Wisconsin	May, 1981	97
Wyoming	May, 1981	99

ALASKA

REAPPORTIONMENT PROFILE

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

Article VI, Sections 1-11

REAPPORTIONMENT MECHANISM

STATE LEGISLATIVE DISTRICTS: Reapportionment Board

Chairman
John Schaeffer

Established in 1959

5 members appointed by the Governor without regard to political affiliation. Members cannot be public officials or employees.

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS: One Congressman

STAFF CONTACT

Karen J. Ward
Executive Director
Reapportionment Board
Pouch A
Juneau, AK 99811
(907) 465-3520

CRITERIA FOR STATE LEGISLATIVE APPORTIONMENT

DATE: 1981 - Within 90 days following release of census count the Reapportionment Board must submit its plan to the Governor who then has 90 days to issue a proclamation about the plan. (Preliminary plan was released on May 5, 1981. Final plan to be released June 10, 1981).

POPULATION: Federal census

POPULATION GROUPS EXCLUDED: Non-resident military personnel and dependents

SMALLEST UNIT OF POPULATION: Enumeration districts

CENSUS AND PRECINCT BOUNDARIES COTERMINOUS: No

OTHER CRITERIA FOR DRAWING DISTRICTS: Socio-economic areas, local government boundaries, contiguity, compactness

DEVIATION: Maximum combined deviation - 10 %

TYPE OF DISTRICT: Single member and multimember; candidates in two-member districts should run in designated seats

HOUSE AND SENATE DISTRICT WITH COTERMINOUS BOUNDARIES: Yes

ALASKA

SENATORS ELECTED IN STAGGERED TERMS: Yes - Senators may be required to run for reelection if the new district boundaries substantially change the constituency in the district

VOTING RIGHTS STATE: Yes

NEW OR REVISED DISTRICTS BEFORE 1990: No

COMPUTER ASSISTANCE FOR APPORTIONMENT

None used in 1981

COURT WITH ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

State Superior Court

STATUTORY CITATION OF MOST RECENT REAPPORTIONMENT

CURRENT LITIGATION

CASE NAME

CASE NUMBER

None

DISTRICT MAPS

ARIZONA

REAPPORTIONMENT PROFILE

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

No constitutional provision

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

Arizona Revised Statutes (ARS) Sections 16-1101 through 16-1103

REAPPORTIONMENT MECHANISM

STATE LEGISLATIVE DISTRICTS: Legislature

Joint Select Committee on Reapportionment and Redistricting

Chairman
Sen. John C. Pritzlaff, Jr. (R)

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS: Same

STAFF CONTACT

Richard R. Greenfield
Deputy Director
Arizona Legislative Council
Capitol
Legislative Services Wing
Suite 100
Phoenix, AZ 85007
(602) 255-4236

Richard Collins
Research Director
House of Representatives
State Capitol
Phoenix, AZ 85007
(602) 255-5544

Greg Fahey
Staff Director
Senate
State Capitol
Phoenix, AZ 85007
(602) 255-4471

CRITERIA FOR STATE LEGISLATIVE APPORTIONMENT

DATE: In advance of the 1982 general election. Special legislative session on reapportionment anticipated in fall 1981.

POPULATION: Federal census

POPULATION GROUPS EXCLUDED: None

SMALLEST UNIT OF POPULATION: Blocks

CENSUS AND PRECINCT BOUNDARIES COTERMINOUS: Not required under current state law

OTHER CRITERIA FOR DRAWING DISTRICTS: Race or ethnic characteristics; geographic factors; other factors yet to be determined by the joint committee

DEVIATION: General consensus: less than 2.0% if possible

TYPE OF DISTRICT: 30 legislative districts, one senator and two house members from each district

ARIZONA

HOUSE AND SENATE DISTRICT WITH COTERMINOUS BOUNDARIES: Yes

SENATORS ELECTED IN STAGGERED TERMS: No

VOTING RIGHTS STATE: Yes

NEW OR REVISED DISTRICTS BEFORE 1990: Yes

COMPUTER ASSISTANCE FOR APPORTIONMENT

Datapol
3118 West Thomas Road
Phoenix, AZ 85017

COMPUTER CONSULTANT

Mr. C. Howard Wilson
Room 443, Legislative
Services Wing
State Capitol
Phoenix, AZ 85007

COURT WITH ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

Federal District Court

STATUTORY CITATION OF MOST RECENT REAPPORTIONMENT

Klahr v. Williams, 388 F. Supp. 1007 (1974)

CURRENT LITIGATION

CASE NAME

CASE NUMBER

None

DISTRICT MAPS

Currently in preparation

ARKANSAS

REAPPORTIONMENT PROFILE

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

Amendment 23, Amendment 45

REAPPORTIONMENT MECHANISM

STATE LEGISLATIVE DISTRICTS: Board of Apportionment

Governor

Secretary of State

Attorney General

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS: Legislature

Senate State Agencies and
Governmental Affairs CommitteeHouse State Agencies and Governmental
Affairs CommitteeSenate Chairman
Sen. Morrell Gathright (D)House Chairman
Rep. Charles W. Stewart (D)STAFF CONTACTJanet Reddin
Office of the
Secretary of State
256 State Capitol
Little Rock, AR 72201
(501) 370-5070William Beathea
Legislative Aide
Office of the Governor
State Capitol
Little Rock, AR 72201
(501) 371-2345Ms. Donna Davis
Arkansas Legislative
Council
315 State Capitol
Building
Little Rock, AR 72201
(501) 371-1937CRITERIA FOR STATE LEGISLATIVE APPORTIONMENT

DATE: On or before February 1, immediately following each Federal census

POPULATION: Federal census

POPULATION GROUPS EXCLUDED: No

SMALLEST UNIT OF POPULATION: Unknown

CENSUS AND PRECINCT BOUNDARIES COTERMINOUS: Yes

OTHER CRITERIA FOR DRAWING DISTRICTS: Unknown

DEVIATION: Unknown

TYPE OF DISTRICT: Senate - single member; House - single member, multimember

HOUSE AND SENATE DISTRICT WITH COTRMINOUS BOUNDARIES: No

SENATORS ELECTED IN STAGGERED TERMS: Yes - Senators will be required to
run for reelection

ARKANSAS

VOTING RIGHTS STATE: No

NEW OR REVISED DISTRICTS BEFORE 1990: No

COMPUTER ASSISTANCE FOR APPORTIONMENT

University of Arkansas - Industrial Research and Extension Center

COURT WITH ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

No one court

STATUTORY CITATION OF MOST RECENT REAPPORTIONMENT

CURRENT LITIGATION

CASE NAME

CASE NUMBER

None

DISTRICT MAPS

CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING

Act 965 (1981)

Creates 4 congressional districts -

Ideal district: 571,378

Largest district: 575,485 or +.72%

Smallest district: 564-818 or -.01%

CALIFORNIA

REAPPORTIONMENT PROFILE

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

Article IV, Section 6

REAPPORTIONMENT MECHANISM

STATE LEGISLATIVE DISTRICTS: Legislature

Senate Elections and
Reapportionment Committee

Assembly Elections and Reapportionment
Committee

Senate Chairman
Sen. Daniel E. Boatwright (D)

Assembly Chairman
Asmb. Richard Alatorre (D)

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS: Same

STAFF CONTACT

Alan Rosin
Staff Director
Senate Elections and
Reapportionment Committee
State Capitol
Sacramento, CA 95814
(916) 445-9018
445-3375

Stephen March
Senior Consultant
Senate Elections
and Reapportionment
Committee
State Capitol
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dr. Bruce Cain
Consultant
Assembly Elections and
Reapportionment
Committee
Room 4001, State Capitol
Sacramento, CA 95814
(916) 445-7644

CRITERIA FOR STATE LEGISLATIVE APPORTIONMENT

DATE: 1981-82. The first session following each decennial Federal census

POPULATION: Federal census

POPULATION GROUPS EXCLUDED: No

SMALLEST UNIT OF POPULATION: Census tracts and block groups

CENSUS AND PRECINCT BOUNDARIES COTERMINOUS: Not generally

OTHER CRITERIA FOR DRAWING DISTRICTS: Party registration; compactness,
race and ethnic characteristics,
geographical factors; election
information

DEVIATION: Less than 1.0%

TYPE OF DISTRICTS: Single member

HOUSE AND SENATE DISTRICT WITH COTERMINOUS BOUNDARIES: No

CALIFORNIA

SENATORS ELECTED IN STAGGERED TERMS: Yes - Senators will be allowed to
serve out their term

VOTING RIGHTS STATE: Yes - Kings; Merced; Monterey, Yule counties

NEW OR REVISED DISTRICTS BEFORE 1990: Can be done but will probably
not be done

COMPUTER ASSISTANCE FOR APPORTIONMENT

Yes

COURT WITH ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

State Supreme Court

STATUTORY CITATION OF MOST RECENT REAPPORTIONMENT

CURRENT LITIGATION

CASE NAME

CASE NUMBER

DISTRICT MAPS

COLORADO

REAPPORTIONMENT PROFILE

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

Article V, Sections 44-48

REAPPORTIONMENT MECHANISM

STATE LEGISLATIVE DISTRICTS: Colorado Reapportionment Commission

Established in 1974

11 members: 1 each by speaker, majority leader in Senate, minority leader in each House; 3 by governor, 4 by chief justice of Supreme Court; limit 4 legislators; limit 6 same political party; limit 4 same congressional district; 1 must reside West of Continental Divide

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS: Legislature

Senate State Affairs Committee

House State Affairs Committee

Senate Chairman

Sen. Ted Strickland (R)

House Chairman

Rep. Frank De Filippo (R)

STAFF CONTACT

Lyle C. Kyle
Director
Colorado Legislative Council
Room 46, State Capitol Building
Denver CO 80203
(303) 839-3521

CRITERIA FOR STATE LEGISLATIVE APPORTIONMENT

DATE: About January 30, 1982 or 180 days after the convening of the Reapportionment Commission. Final plan must be approved by the State Supreme Court by March 15, 1982

POPULATION: Federal census

POPULATION GROUPS EXCLUDED: None

SMALLEST UNIT OF POPULATION: Blocks

CENSUS AND PRECINCT BOUNDARIES COTERMINOUS: No

OTHER CRITERIA FOR DRAWING DISTRICTS: Compactness, race and ethnic characteristics, geography, "community of interest"

DEVIATION: 5% from most populous to least populous

TYPE OF DISTRICT: Single member

COLORADO

HOUSE AND SENATE DISTRICT WITH COTERMINOUS BOUNDARIES: No

SENATORS ELECTED IN STAGGERED TERMS: Yes - Senators will be allowed to serve out term.

VOTING RIGHTS STATE: Yes - El Paso County only

NEW OR REVISED DISTRICTS BEFORE 1990: No

COMPUTER ASSISTANCE FOR APPORTIONMENT

State Computer System

COURT WITH ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

Colorado Supreme Court must automatically review plan

STATUTORY CITATION OF MOST RECENT REAPPORTIONMENT

Title 2, Article 2, Part 1 and 2 Colorado Revised Statutes 1973 parts 1 and 2

CURRENT LITIGATION

CASE NAME

CASE NUMBER

None

DISTRICT MAPS

CONNECTICUT

REAPPORTIONMENT PROFILE

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

Article XII of the Amendments to the Constitution

REAPPORTIONMENT MECHANISM

STATE LEGISLATIVE DISTRICTS: Legislature

Committee on Reapportionment

Chairman:

Not yet selected

By August 1, 1981, a 2/3 vote in each chamber is required to approve a redistricting plan. If the legislature cannot complete the plan by the deadline, a Commission of 9 members is appointed: 2 each by the Senate President Pro Tem, House Speaker and the Minority Leaders of each party, all from the two major political parties and a 9th member selected by the eight within 30 days. The Commission by at least a 5 to 6 vote must submit its plan by October 30, 1981. If no plan is submitted, by petition of a registered voter, the state Supreme Court must file a plan by January 15, 1982 or rule on the petition submitted to it.

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS: Same

STAFF CONTACT

Mr. Jan Majewski
Coordinator
Special Joint Committee
on Reapportionment
Vault 4, State Capitol
Hartford, CT 06115
(203) 566-8308

Mr. Harry Hutton
Coordinator
Special Joint Committee
on Reapportionment
Vault 6, State Capitol
Hartford, CT 06115
(203) 566-7429

CRITERIA FOR STATE LEGISLATIVE REAPPORTIONMENT

DATE: 1981 - 1982

POPULATION: Federal census

POPULATION GROUPS EXCLUDED: None

SMALLEST UNIT OF POPULATION: Census blocks and Ed's

CENSUS AND PRECINCT BOUNDARIES COTERMINOUS: Yes

OTHER CRITERIA FOR DRAWING DISTRICTS: Contiguous and single member districts

DEVIATION: Unknown

TYPE OF DISTRICT: Single member

CONNECTICUT

HOUSE AND SENATE DISTRICT WITH COTERMINOUS BOUNDARIES: Some

SENATORS ELECTED IN STAGGERED TERMS: No

VOTING RIGHTS STATE: Yes - Groton, Mansfield, Southbury Towns

NEW OR REVISED DISTRICTS BEFORE 1990: Yes

COMPUTER ASSISTANCE FOR APPORTIONMENT

Market Opinion Research
Detroit, Michigan

COURT WITH ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

State Supreme Court

STATUTORY CITATION OF MOST RECENT REAPPORTIONMENT

CURRENT LITIGATION

CA'S NAME

CASE NUMBER

None

DISTRICT MAPS

FLORIDA

REAPPORTIONMENT PROFILE

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

Article III, Sections 1, 15, 16

REAPPORTIONMENT MECHANISM

STATE LEGISLATIVE DISTRICTS: Legislature, State Supreme Court

Senate Rules and Calendar
Committee

House Select Committee on
Reapportionment

Senate Chairman
Sen. Dempsey J. Barron (D)

House Chairman
Rep. Lee Moffitt (D)

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS: Same

STAFF CONTACT

Terri Jo Kennedy
Staff Director
Senate Rules and Calendar
Committee
Suite 409, State Capitol
Tallahassee, FL 32304
(904) 488-5810

Mark Herron
Staff Director
House Select Committee on
Reapportionment
Room 223, State Capitol
Tallahassee, FL 32304
(904) 488-9622

PROPOSED CRITERIA FOR LEGISLATIVE APPORTIONMENT

DATE: End of 1982 Legislative session; no plan, Governor must convene a special session; no plan, state Supreme Court has 60 days to formulate a plan

POPULATION: Federal census

POPULATION GROUPS EXCLUDED: None

SMALLEST UNIT OF POPULATION: Voting precincts

CENSUS AND PRECINCT BOUNDARIES COTERMINOUS: No

OTHER CRITERIA FOR DRAWING DISTRICTS: State geographical factors,
political boundaries

DEVIATION: No decision

TYPE OF DISTRICT: Single member and multimember districts

HOUSE AND SENATE DISTRICT WITH COTERMINOUS BOUNDARIES: No

SENATORS ELECTED IN STAGGERED TERMS: Yes - Senators will be required
to run for reelection

FLORIDA

VOTING RIGHTS STATE: Yes - Collier, Hardee, Hendry, Hillsborough
Monroe Counties

NEW OR REVISED DISTRICTS BEFORE 1990: No

COMPUTER ASSISTANCE FOR APPORTIONMENT

Yes - Legislative Data Processing Center

COURT WITH ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

State Supreme Court

STATUTORY CITATION OF MOST RECENT REAPPORTIONMENT

CURRENT LITIGATION

CASE NAME

CASE NUMBER

None

DISTRICT MAPS

GEORGIA

REAPPORTIONMENT PROFILE

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

Article III, Section II, paragraph 1, Section II, paragraph 1

REAPPORTIONMENT MECHANISM

STATE LEGISLATIVE DISTRICTS: Legislature

Senate Reapportionment
Committee

House Legislative and Congressional
Reapportionment Committee

Senate Chairman
Sen. Perry J. Hudson (D)

House Chairman
Rep. Joe Jack Wilson (D)

STAFF CONTACT

Linda Meggers
Legislative Reapportionment Associate
Institute of Government
State Capitol
Atlanta, GA 30334
(404) 656-5063

CRITERIA FOR STATE LEGISLATIVE APPOINTMENT

DATE: No specific date - 1981

POPULATION: Federal census

POPULATION GROUPS EXCLUDED: None

SMALLEST UNIT OF POPULATION: Blocks

CENSUS AND PRECINCT BOUNDARIES COTERMINOUS: No

OTHER CRITERIA FOR DRAWING DISTRICTS: Compactness, race or ethnic
characteristics, geographic factors,
political boundaries

DEVIATION: 5.0% to 9.9%

TYPE OF DISTRICT: Senate - single member; House - single member, multimember

HOUSE AND SENATE DISTRICT WITH COTERMINOUS BOUNDARIES: No

SENATORS ELECTED IN STAGGERED TERMS: No

VOTING RIGHT STATE: Yes

NEW OR REVISED DISTRICTS BEFORE 1990: Yes

GEORGIA

COMPUTER ASSISTANCE FOR APPORTIONMENT

Institute of Government - University of Georgia

COURT WITH ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

Superior Court of each county

STATUTORY CITATION OF MOST RECENT REAPPORTIONMENT

CURRENT LITIGATION

CASE NAME

CASE NUMBER

None

DISTRICT MAPS

IDAHO

REAPPORTIONMENT PROFILE

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

Article 3, Sections 2, 4

REAPPORTIONMENT MECHANISM

STATE LEGISLATIVE DISTRICTS: Legislative

Senate State Affairs
CommitteeHouse State Affairs
CommitteeSenate Chairman
Sen. Leon H. Swenson (R)House Chairman
Rep. George G. Danielson (R)

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS: Same

STAFF CONTACTSusan Bennion
Legislative Council
Room 334
State Capitol Building
Boise, ID 83720
(208) 334-2475CRITERIA FOR STATE LEGISLATIVE APPORTIONMENTDATE: No specific date - 1981 or 1982; Governor will call special
legislative session on reapportionment to convene July 7

POPULATION: Federal census

POPULATION GROUPS EXCLUDED: Unknown

SMALLEST UNIT OF POPULATION: Voting precincts

CENSUS AND PRECINCT BOUNDARIES COTERMINOUS: Yes for some counties

OTHER CRITERIA FOR DRAWING DISTRICTS: Compactness, geography

DEVIATION: 10.0% to 14.9%

TYPE OF DISTRICT: Senate - single member; House - multimember

HOUSE AND SENATE DISTRICT WITH COTERMINOUS BOUNDARIES: Yes - Legislative
districts elect one
senator and two
representatives

SENATORS ELECTED IN STAGGERED TERMS: No

VOTING RIGHTS STATE: Yes - Elmore County only

IDAHO

NEW OR REVISED DISTRICTS BEFORE 1990: Yes

COMPUTER ASSISTANCE FOR APPORTIONMENT

No

COURT WITH ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

State District Court

STATUTORY CITATION OF MOST RECENT REAPPORTIONMENT

CURRENT LITIGATION

CASE NAME

CASE NUMBER

None

DISTRICT MAPS

ILLINOIS

REAPPORTIONMENT PROFILE

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

Article IV, Section 2, 3

REAPPORTIONMENT MECHANISM

STATE LEGISLATIVE DISTRICTS: Legislature/Legislative Redistricting Commission

Legislature must redistrict by June 30, 1981. If they do not, an 8 member Legislative Redistricting Commission is appointed by July 10, 1981: 2 each by the State President and Minority Leader, the House Speaker and Minority Leader. The members are to include 2 Senators, 2 Representatives and 4 citizens. The Commission must file a report with the Secretary of State by August 10, 1981. If the Commission does not adopt a plan by that date, the State Supreme Court selects 2 people by September 1, 1981, one of whom is chosen at random to be the commission tie-breaker. The 9 member commission must file its report by October 5, 1981.

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS: Legislature

STAFF CONTACT

Ms. Karen A. Fahrion
Research Associate
Legislative Council
Room 107, Stratton Building
Springfield, IL 62706
(217) 782-6851

CRITERIA FOR STATE LEGISLATIVE APPORTIONMENT

DATE: June 30, 1981; October 5, 1981

POPULATION: Federal census

POPULATION GROUPS EXCLUDED: None

SMALLEST UNIT OF POPULATION: Blocks

CENSUS AND PRECINCT BOUNDARIES COTERMINOUS: No

OTHER CRITERIA FOR DRAWING DISTRICTS: Party registration, compactness, geographical factors

DEVIATION: 1.0% to 4.9%

TYPE OF DISTRICT: Single member

ILLINOIS

HOUSE AND SENATE DISTRICT WITH COTERMINOUS BOUNDARIES: Yes - Senate districts

SENATORS ELECTED IN STAGGERED TERMS: Yes - all terms end in 1983; all
Senators elected in 1982

VOTING RIGHTS STATE: No

NEW OR REVISED DISTRICTS BEFORE 1990: No

COMPUTER ASSISTANCE FOR APPORTIONMENT

Yes - House Republicans - Rose Institute
Claremont, California

COURT WITH ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

State Supreme Court

STATUTORY CITATION OF MOST RECENT REAPPORTIONMENT

CURRENT LITIGATION

CASE NAME

CASE NUMBER

None

DISTRICT MAPS

INDIANA

REAPPORTIONMENT PROFILE

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

Article 4, Section 4
Article 4, Section 5 (ruled invalid by court)

REAPPORTIONMENT MECHANISM

STATE LEGISLATIVE DISTRICTS: Legislature

Senate Legislative Apportionment
and Elections Committee

House Elections and Apportionment
Committee

Senate Chairman
Sen. Charles Bosma (R)

House Chairman
Rep. Dick Mangus (R)

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS: Same

STAFF CONTACT

Robert Schembs; Beth Wood
Staff Attorney
Legislative Services
Room 302, State House
Indianapolis, IN 46204
(317) 269-3731

CRITERIA FOR STATE LEGISLATIVE APPOINTMENT

DATE: State Legislative plan adopted April 1981

POPULATION: Federal census

POPULATION GROUPS EXCLUDED: None

SMALLEST UNIT OF POPULATION: Census areas and election precincts

CENSUS AND PRECINCT BOUNDARIES COTERMINOUS: Some - All precinct boundaries
are now required to be drawn
along discernable geographic
lines

OTHER CRITERIA FOR DRAWING DISTRICTS: Party registration, compactness,
geographic factors

DEVIATION: 1.0% to 4.9%

TYPE OF DISTRICT: Senate - single member; House - single member, multimember

HOUSE AND SENATE DISTRICT WITH COTERMINOUS BOUNDARIES: No

SENATORS ELECTED IN STAGGERED TERMS: Yes - Senators will be allowed to
serve out their term

INDIANA

VOTING RIGHTS STATE: No

NEW OR REVISED DISTRICTS BEFORE 1990: Not prohibited, but unlikely

COMPUTER ASSISTANCE FOR APPORTIONMENT

Yes - open

COURT WITH ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

No one court

STATUTORY CITATION OF MOST RECENT REAPPORTIONMENT

Senate enrolled act 80 (Senate districts)

Senate enrolled act 85 (U.S. Congressional districts; some modification
of Senate districts)

House enrolled act 1475 (House districts)

CURRENT LITIGATION

CASE NAME

CASE NUMBER

None

DISTRICT MAPS

Available from State Election Board, 100A State Office Building,
Indianapolis, IN 46204 (317) 212-3939

KANSAS

REAPPORTIONMENT PROFILE

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

Article 10

REAPPORTIONMENT MECHANISM

STATE LEGISLATIVE DISTRICTS: Legislature

Senate Legislative, and
Congressional Apportionment
CommitteeHouse Legislative, Judicial
and Congressional Apportionment
CommitteeSenate Chairman
Sen. Dan Thiessen (R)House Chairman
Rep. Robert G. Frey (R)

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS: Same

Congressional districts will be drawn from federal census figures.

STAFF CONTACTRussell Mills
Principal Analyst
Legislative Research Department
Room 545-N, State House
Topeka, KS 66612
(913) 296-3181M. Torrence
Revisor of Statutes
State House
Topeka, KS 66612
(913) 296-2321CRITERIA USED FOR STATE LEGISLATIVE APPORTIONMENT

DATE: At regular session in 1979 and every ten years thereafter

POPULATION: 1978 annual state census; used for 1979 reapportionment,
in future, federal census will be used

POPULATION GROUPS EXCLUDED: Military and students

SMALLEST UNIT OF POPULATION: Voting precincts

CENSUS AND PRECINCT BOUNDARIES COTERMINOUS: No

OTHER CRITERIA FOR DRAWING DISTRICTS: Compactness, geography, county
boundaries and projected
population growth

DEVIATION: 5.0% to 9.9%

TYPE OF DISTRICT: Single member

HOUSE AND SENATE DISTRICT WITH COTERMINOUS BOUNDARIES: No

SENATORS ELECTED IN STAGGERED TERMS: No

KANSAS

VOTING RIGHTS STATE: No

NEW OR REVISED DISTRICTS BEFORE 1989: Yes

COMPUTER ASSISTANCE FOR APPORTIONMENT

No

COURT WITH ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

Kansas Supreme Court

STATUTORY CITATION OF MOST RECENT REAPPORTIONMENT

Senate - K.S.A. 1980 Supp. 4-4, 101 through 4-4, 142

House - K.S.A. 1980 Supp. 4-3, 201 through 4-3, 327

CURRENT LITIGATION

CASE NAME

CASE NUMBER

In re Senate Bill No. 22)

Senate 225 Kan 628, 593 P2d 1 (1979)

In re House Bill No. 2620

House 225 Kan 827, 595 P2d 334 (1979)

DISTRICT MAPS

Available - The Council of State Governments

KENTUCKY

REAPPORTIONMENT PROFILE

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

Section 33

REAPPORTIONMENT MECHANISM

STATE LEGISLATIVE DISTRICTS: Legislature

Interim Joint Committee on State Government

Senate Co-Chairman
Sen. Pat M. McCuiston (D)House Co-Chairman
Rep. Mark O'Brien (D)

Subcommittee on Redistricting

Senate Co-Chairman
Sen. Helen Garrett (D)House Co-Chairman
Rep. Lloyd E. Clapp (D)

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS: Same

STAFF CONTACTJoyce Honaker
Committee Staff Administrator
Legislative Research Commission
State Capitol
Frankfort, KY 40601
(502) 564-8100CRITERIA FOR STATE LEGISLATIVE APPORTIONMENT

DATE: No specific date - redistricting anticipated in 1982 regular session

POPULATION: Federal census

POPULATION GROUPS EXCLUDED: No

SMALLEST UNIT OF POPULATION: Voting precincts and smallest census units

CENSUS AND PRECINCT BOUNDARIES COTERMINOUS: No

OTHER CRITERIA FOR DRAWING DISTRICTS: Contiguity

DEVIATION: No decision

TYPE OF DISTRICT: Single member

HOUSE AND SENATE DISTRICT WITH COTERMINOUS BOUNDARIES: No

SENATORS ELECTED IN STAGGERED TERMS: Yes - Senators will be allowed to
serve out their term

KENTUCKY

VOTING RIGHTS STATE: No

NEW OR REVISED DISTRICTS BEFORE 1990: Yes

COMPUTER ASSISTANCE FOR APPORTIONMENT

State Data Processing

COURT WITH ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

State Circuit Court

STATUTORY CITATION OF MOST RECENT REAPPORTIONMENT

K.R.S. 6.011 to 6.041

CURRENT LITIGATION

CASE NAME

CASE NUMBER

None

DISTRICT MAPS

MAINE

REAPPORTIONMENT PROFILE

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

Article 4, Part 3, Section 1-A

REAPPORTIONMENT MECHANISM

STATE LEGISLATIVE DISTRICTS: Advisory Commission/Legislature

Advisory Commission established in 1975 by Constitutional amendment. Members will be appointed in 1983 and every 10 years after that. 15 members: 3 by House Speaker, 2 by Senate President, 5 by Floor leaders, 5 by others. 6 majority and minority party members. 3 public members. The commission prepares a plan and submits it to the legislature which may adopt the commission's plan or one of its own. If the legislature cannot agree on a plan, the Supreme Judicial Court must redistrict.

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS: Legislature

STAFF CONTACT

Erland B. Hardy
Legislative Attorney
Legislative Research Office
State House
Augusta, ME 04333
(207) 289-2101

CRITERIA FOR STATE LEGISLATIVE APPORTIONMENT

DATE: 1983 - Submission of plan within 90 days after convening of Legislature

POPULATION: Federal census

POPULATION GROUPS EXCLUDED: Non-U.S. Citizens

SMALLEST UNIT OF POPULATION: Unknown

CENSUS AND PRECINCT BOUNDARIES COTERMINOUS: Unknown

OTHER CRITERIA FOR DRAWING DISTRICTS: Contiguous, compactness

DEVIATION: Unknown

TYPE OF DISTRICT: Senate - single member; House - single member
multimember. Expect all House
districts to be single after 1980

HOUSE AND SENATE DISTRICT WITH COTERMINOUS BOUNDARIES: No

MAINE

SENATORS ELECTED IN STAGGERED TERMS: No

VOTING RIGHTS STATE: No

NEW OR REVISED DISTRICTS BEFORE 1990: Unknown

COMPUTER ASSISTANCE FOR APPORTIONMENT

Unknown

COURT WITH ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

State Supreme Judicial Court

STATUTORY CITATION OF MOST RECENT REAPPORTIONMENT

CURRENT LITIGATION

CASE NAME

CASE NUMBER

None

DISTRICT MAPS

MARYLAND

REAPPORTIONMENT PROFILE

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

Article III, Sections 4, 5

REAPPORTIONMENT MECHANISM

STATE LEGISLATIVE DISTRICTS: Governor/Legislature

Senate Constitutional and Public
Law Committee

House Constitutional and Administrative
Law Committee

Senate Chairman
Sen. Edward T. Conroy (D)

House Chairman
Del. Helen L. Koss (D)

The Governor drafts a plan which he submits to the Legislature. It has 45 days to amend that plan or adopt one of its own. If it cannot act, the Governor's plan automatically becomes law.

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS: Same

STAFF CONTACT

John O'Brien
Executive Assistant
Governor's Office
State House
Annapolis, MD 21401
(301) 269-2606

Donovan Peeters
Legislative Analyst
Senate Constitutional
and Public Law
Committee
Room 400, James Office
Building
Annapolis, MD 21401
(301) 841-3582

William G. Somerville
Legislative Analyst
House Constitutional and
Administrative Law
Committee
Room 141, House Office
Building
Annapolis, MD 21401
(301) 841-3502

CRITERIA FOR STATE LEGISLATIVE APPORTIONMENT

DATE: 1982; 45th day of the Legislative session in the second year following the census

POPULATION: Federal census

POPULATION GROUPS EXCLUDED: No

SMALLEST UNIT OF POPULATION: Unknown

CENSUS AND PRECINCT BOUNDARIES COTERMINOUS: No

OTHER CRITERIA FOR DRAWING DISTRICTS: Compactness, natural and political boundaries

Maryland

DEVIATION: Unknown

TYPE OF DISTRICT: Senate - single member; House - single member, multimember

HOUSE AND SENATE DISTRICT WITH COTERMINOUS BOUNDARIES: Yes

SENATORS ELECTED IN STAGGERED TERMS: No

VOTING RIGHTS STATE: No

NEW OR REVISED DISTRICTS BEFORE 1990: No

COMPUTER ASSISTANCE FOR APPORTIONMENT

Yes - State General Assembly Data Processing; Department of State Planning;
University of Maryland

COURT WITH ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

State Court of Appeals

STATUTORY CITATION OF MOST RECENT REAPPORTIONMENT

CURRENT LITIGATION

CASE NAME

CASE NUMBER

None

DISTRICT MAPS

MISSISSIPPI
REAPPORTIONMENT PROFILE

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

Article 13, Section 254

REAPPORTIONMENT MECHANISM

STATE LEGISLATIVE DISTRICTS: Legislature/Reapportionment Commission

Standing Joint Legislative Committee on Reapportionment

Vice-Chairman

Sen. William Thomas Harpole (D)

Chairman

Rep. Thomas H. Campbell, III (D)

Reapportionment Commission

5 member commission consisting of the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, Chairman; Attorney General; Secretary of State; Speaker of House of Representatives; President Pro Tempore of the Senate.

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS: Legislature

STAFF CONTACT

Larry Richardson

Director

Legislative Reference Bureau

P. O. Box 1040

Jackson, MS 39205

(601) 354-6165

CRITERIA FOR STATE LEGISLATIVE APPORTIONMENT

DATE: 1982 - Adjournment date of the regular session of the Legislature in the second year following the 1980 census.

POPULATION: Federal census

POPULATION GROUPS EXCLUDED: None

SMALLEST UNIT OF POPULATION: Blocks

CENSUS AND PRECINCT BOUNDARIES COTERMINOUS: No

OTHER CRITERIA FOR DRAWING DISTRICTS: Compactness, race, county boundaries

DATE TO BE USED: Demographic, cartographic data

DEVIATION: 1.0% to 4.9%

TYPE OF DISTRICT: Single member

HOUSE AND SENATE DISTRICT WITH COTERMINOUS BOUNDARIES: No

MISSISSIPPI

SENATORS ELECTED IN STAGGERED TERMS: No

VOTING RIGHTS STATE: Yes

NEW OR REVISED DISTRICTS BEFORE 1990: Yes

COMPUTER ASSISTANCE FOR APPORTIONMENT

Unknown

CONSULTANT

Jerris Leonard and Associates
1700 Pennsylvania Avenue
Suite 550
Washington, D.C. 20006
(202) 872-1095

COURT WITH ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

No one court

STATUTORY CITATION OF MOST RECENT REAPPORTIONMENT

CURRENT LITIGATION

CASE NAME

CASE NUMBER

None

DISTRICT MAPS

MISSOURI

REAPPORTIONMENT PROFILE

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

Article III, Sections 2, 7, 45

REAPPORTIONMENT MECHANISM

STATE LEGISLATIVE DISTRICTS: Senate Reapportionment Commission; House Reapportionment Commission

Established in 1966

House Commission: 20 members, Senate Commission 10 members. All appointed by Governor. House members must be a resident of the Congressional district from which nominated. No more than one shall come from a single legislative district. Should the members of the commission not agree, a panel of 6 judges drawn from the Court of Appeals shall be selected to draw reapportionment plans.

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS: Legislative

Senate Committee on Apportionment

Committee on Congressional Reapportionment

Senate Chairman
Sen. Clarence H. Heflin (D)

House Chairman
Rep. Anthony D. Ribaud (D)

STAFF CONTACT

Frank Masters
Director
Committee on Legislative Research
Room 117A, State Capitol Building
Jefferson City, MO 65101
(314) 751-4223

Mark Reading
Project Director, Reapportionment
Office of Administration
Division of Budget and Planning
Room 129, Capitol Building
Jefferson City, MO 65101
(314) 751-2073

CRITERIA FOR STATE LEGISLATIVE APPOINTMENT

DATE: 1981 - Commission names submitted within 60 days after certification of census. Governor must appoint within 30 days after submission of names. Report 6 months after appointment of commission.

POPULATION: Federal census

POPULATION GROUPS EXCLUDED: No

SMALLEST UNIT OF POPULATION: Commission may use any information available

CENSUS AND PRECINCT BOUNDARIES COTERMINOUS: Yes

OTHER CRITERIA FOR DRAWING DISTRICTS: Compactness, geography

DEVIATION: Unknown

MISSOURI

TYPE OF DISTRICT: Single member

HOUSE AND SENATE DISTRICT WITH COTERMINOUS BOUNDARIES: No

SENATORS ELECTED IN STAGGERED TERMS: Yes - Senators will be allowed to
serve out their term

VOTING RIGHTS STATE: No

NEW OR REVISED DISTRICTS BEFORE 1990: Only if invalidated by a court

COMPUTER ASSISTANCE FOR APPORTIONMENT

Yes - open

COURT WITH ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

State Chancery Court

STATUTORY CITATION OF MOST RECENT REAPPORTIONMENTCURRENT LITIGATION

CASE NAME

CASE NUMBER

None

DISTRICT MAPS

NEBRASKA

REAPPORTIONMENT PROFILE

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

Article III, Sections 5, 7

REAPPORTIONMENT MECHANISM

STATE LEGISLATIVE DISTRICTS: Legislature

Miscellaneous Subjects Committee
Chairman
Sen. Elroy Hefner
Room 2021
State Capitol
Lincoln, NE 68509

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS: Same

STAFF CONTACT

Ron Bowmaster
Deputy Director of Research
Legislative Council
7th Floor, State Capitol
Lincoln, NE 68509
(402) 471-2440

CRITERIA FOR STATE LEGISLATIVE APPORTIONMENT

DATE: No specific date

POPULATION: Federal census

POPULATION GROUPS EXCLUDED: Aliens

SMALLEST UNIT OF POPULATION: Blocks; ED's

CENSUS AND PRECINCT BOUNDARIES COTERMINOUS: Yes

OTHER CRITERIA FOR DRAWING DISTRICTS: Compactness - will attempt to follow political boundaries. Separate and distinct districts, compact and contiguous, nearly as equal as may be, county lines are to be followed wherever practicable

DEVIATION: 1.0% to 4.9%

TYPE OF DISTRICT: Single member

HOUSE AND SENATE DISTRICT WITH COTERMINOUS BOUNDARIES: Unicameral legislature

SENATORS ELECTED IN STAGGERED TERMS: Yes - Senators will be allowed to serve out their term

NEBRASKA

VOTING RIGHTS STATE: No

NEW OR REVISED DISTRICTS BEFORE 1990: No

COMPUTER ASSISTANCE FOR APPORTIONMENT

Yes - State Central Data Processing

COURT WITH ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

Supreme Court

STATUTORY CITATION OF MOST RECENT REAPPORTIONMENT

Legislative: §5 - 104.07
Congressional: §5 - 101.01

CURRENT LITIGATION

CASE NAME

CASE NUMBER

None

DISTRICT MAPS

NEVADA

REAPPORTIONMENT PROFILE

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

Article 15, Section 13; Article 4, Section 5

REAPPORTIONMENT MECHANISM

STATE LEGISLATIVE DISTRICTS: Legislature

Senate Government Affairs
Committee

Assembly Elections Committee

Senate Chairman
Sen. James I. Gibson (D)House Chairman
Rep. Alan Glover (D)

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS: One Congressman

STAFF CONTACTAndrew P. Grose
Research Director
Legislative Counsel Bureau
Legislative Building
Carson City, NV 89710
(702) 885-5637CRITERIA FOR EXISTING STATE LEGISLATIVE APPORTIONMENT

DATE: 1981

POPULATION: Federal census

POPULATION GROUPS EXCLUDED: None

SMALLEST UNIT OF POPULATION: Blocks; ED's

CENSUS AND PRECINCT BOUNDARIES COTERMINOUS: No

OTHER CRITERIA FOR DRAWING DISTRICTS: Compactness; geographic, county
boundaries

DEVIATION: -5% to +5%. Maximum deviation spread 10%

TYPE OF DISTRICT: Senate - single member or two member; House - single member

HOUSE AND SENATE DISTRICT WITH COTERMINOUS BOUNDARIES: Yes

SENATORS ELECTED IN STAGGERED TERMS: Yes - Senators will be allowed to serve
out their term

VOTING RIGHTS STATE: No

NEVADA

NEW OR REVISED DISTRICTS BEFORE 1990: Yes

COMPUTER ASSISTANCE FOR APPORTIONMENT

Yes - State Central Data Processing

COURT WITH ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

No one court

STATUTORY CITATION OF MOST RECENT REAPPORTIONMENT

N.R.S. 218.010 to 218.084 (1971, amended in 1973)

CURRENT LITIGATION

CASE NAME

CASE NUMBER

None

DISTRICT MAPS

NEW HAMPSHIRE
REAPPORTIONMENT PROFILE

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

Part 2, Articles 9, 9A, 11, 11A, 26, 26A

REAPPORTIONMENT MECHANISM

STATE LEGISLATIVE DISTRICTS: Legislature

House Reapportionment Committee

Chairman

Rep. Russell C. Chase (R)

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS: Same

STAFF CONTACT

Donald S. Jennings
Legislative Services
Room 110, State House
Concord, NH 03301
(603) 271-3435

CRITERIA FOR STATE LEGISLATIVE APPORTIONMENT

DATE: 1981 - First legislative session following the decennial census

POPULATION: Federal census

POPULATION GROUPS EXCLUDED: Students, military personnel

SMALLEST UNIT OF POPULATION: Blocks

CENSUS AND PRECINCT BOUNDARIES COTERMINOUS: No

OTHER CRITERIA FOR DRAWING DISTRICTS: Town and city ward boundaries

DEVIATION: 1.0% to 4.9%

TYPE OF DISTRICT: Senate - single member; House - single member, multimember

HOUSE AND SENATE DISTRICT WITH COTERMINOUS BOUNDARIES: No

SENATORS ELECTED IN STAGGERED TERMS: No

VOTING RIGHTS STATE: Yes - Antrim Town; Benton Town; Millfield Township;
Newington Town; Pinkhams Grant; Rindge Town;
Stewartstown; Stratford Town; Unity Town

NEW OR REVISED DISTRICTS BEFORE 1990: Yes

NEW HAMPSHIRE

COMPUTER ASSISTANCE FOR APPORTIONMENT

No

COURT WITH ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

State Superior Court

STATUTORY CITATION OF MOST RECENT REAPPORTIONMENT

CURRENT LITIGATION

CASE NAME

CASE NUMBER

None

DISTRICT MAPS

NEW JERSEY

REAPPORTIONMENT PROFILE

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

Article IV, Sections II, III

REAPPORTIONMENT MECHANISM

STATE LEGISLATIVE DISTRICTS: Apportionment Commission

Established in 1966

10 members: 5 by Chairman, Democrat State Committee; 5 by Chairman,
Republican State Committee

1 tie breaker if deadlock: Chief Justice, State Supreme Court

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS: Legislature

STAFF CONTACTSamuel A. Alito
Executive Director
Office of Legislative Services
Suite 232, State House
Trenton, NJ 08625
(609) 292-4661
292-8014CRITERIA FOR STATE LEGISLATIVE APPORTIONMENTDATE: Within one month of the receipt by the Governor of the census, or
on or before February 1, 1981, whichever date is later (new state
legislative plan certified April 13, 1981)

POPULATION: Federal census

POPULATION GROUPS EXCLUDED: None

SMALLEST UNIT OF POPULATION: Election districts (voting precincts)

CENSUS AND PRECINCT BOUNDARIES COTERMINOUS: No

OTHER CRITERIA FOR DRAWING DISTRICTS: Compactness, contiguity, political
subdivisions (municipalities but not
counties)

DEVIATION: 1981 - 7.7% overall range (+5.13% and -2.57%)

TYPE OF DISTRICT: Senate - single member; House - multimember (2 per Senate
district)HOUSE AND SENATE DISTRICT WITH COTERMINOUS BOUNDARIES: Yes - Senate is
basis of district

NEW JERSEY

SENATORS ELECTED IN STAGGERED TERMS: No

VOTING RIGHTS STATE: No

NEW OR REVISED DISTRICTS BEFORE 1990: No

COMPUTER ASSISTANCE FOR APPORTIONMENT

None used

COURT WITH ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

State Superior Court

STATUTORY CITATION OF MOST RECENT REAPPORTIONMENTCURRENT LITIGATION

CASE NAME

CASE NUMBER

Apportionment Commission v. U.S.
Department of Commerce

Civil Action No. 81-309

The suit was filed in Federal District Court to force the Census Bureau to deliver population data to the state in order to do state legislative districting.

Apportionment Commission v. DeGnan

Docket No. L28848-80

The suit was filed in State Superior Court to allow certification of a state legislative plan that did not use final census figures for Essex County and did not comply with state constitutional language about the preservation of counties.

Welch v. Apportionment Commission

Docket No. C2911-80

The suit was filed in State Superior Court to overturn the 1981 state legislative districting plan because of excessive population deviations, political unfairness, and undue constitution of the Apportionment Commission. The plan was upheld.

DISTRICT MAPS

Available from The Council of State Governments or the Office of Legislative Services, Division of Legislative Information and Research.

NEW MEXICO

REAPPORTIONMENT PROFILE

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

Article 4, Section 3

REAPPORTIONMENT MECHANISM

STATE LEGISLATIVE DISTRICTS: Legislature

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS: Same

STAFF CONTACT

Mercedes G. Romero
Senior Research Assistant
New Mexico Legislative Council Service
334 State Capitol
Santa Fe, NM 87503
(505) 827-3141

CRITERIA FOR STATE LEGISLATIVE APPORTIONMENT

DATE: 1981 - Once every 10 years following the availability of
census counts

POPULATION: Federal census

POPULATION GROUPS EXCLUDED: None

SMALLEST UNIT OF POPULATION: Voting precincts

CENSUS AND PRECINCT BOUNDARIES COTERMINOUS: No

OTHER CRITERIA FOR DRAWING DISTRICTS: Compactness

DEVIATION: 1.0% to 4.9%

TYPE OF DISTRICT: Single member

HOUSE AND SENATE DISTRICT WITH COTERMINOUS BOUNDARIES: No

SENATORS ELECTED IN STAGGERED TERMS: Yes - may change with reapportionment

VOTING RIGHTS STATE: No

NEW OR REVISED DISTRICT LINES BEFORE 1990: Unknown

NEW MEXICO

COMPUTER ASSISTANCE FOR APPORTIONMENT

New Mexico Republican Party - Rose Institute
Claremont, California

COURT WITH ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

No one court

STATUTORY CITATION OF MOST RECENT REAPPORTIONMENT

CURRENT LITIGATION

CASE NAME

CASE NUMBER

None

DISTRICT MAPS

NORTH CAROLINA
REAPPORTIONMENT PROFILE

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

Article II, Sections 3, 5

REAPPORTIONMENT MECHANISM

STATE LEGISLATIVE DISTRICTS: Legislature

Senate Redistricting Committee

House Legislative Redistricting
Committee

Senate Chairman
Sen. Marshall A. Ranch (D)

House Co-Chairman
Rep. Robert A. Jones (D)
Rep. Daniel T. Lilley (D)

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS: Legislature

Senate Congressional
Redistricting Committee

House Congressional
Redistricting Committee

Senate Chairman
Sen. Helen R. Marvin (D)

House Chairman
Rep. J. P. Huskins (D)

STAFF CONTACT

John L. Allen, Jr.
Legislative Services Officer
Legislative Services Office
2129 State Legislative Building
Raleigh, NC 27611
(919) 733-7044

CRITERIA FOR STATE LEGISLATIVE APPORTIONMENT

DATE: 1981 - First regular session following release of census counts

POPULATION: Federal census

POPULATION GROUPS EXCLUDED: No

SMALLEST UNIT OF POPULATION: Counties

CENSUS AND PRECINCT BOUNDARIES COTERMINOUS: No

OTHER CRITERIA FOR DRAWING DISTRICTS: Compactness; contiguity of counties

DEVIATION: Unknown

TYPE OF DISTRICT: Single member/multimember

HOUSE AND SENATE DISTRICT WITH COTERMINOUS BOUNDARIES: No

NORTH CAROLINA

SENATORS ELECTED IN STAGGERED TERMS: No

VOTING RIGHTS STATE: Yes: Anson, Beaufort, Bertie, Bladen, Camden, Caswell, Chowan, Cleveland, Craven, Cumberland, Edgecombe, Franklin, Gaston, Gates, Granville, Greene, Guilford, Halifax, Harnett, Hertford, Hoke, Jackson, Lee, Lenoir, Martin, Nash, Northampton, Onslow, Pasquotank, Perquimans, Person, Pitts, Robeson, Rockingham, Scotland, Union, Vance, Washington, Wayne, Wilson Counties

NEW OR REVISED REDISTRICTING BEFORE 1990: No

COMPUTER ASSISTANCE FOR APPORTIONMENT

Yes - Open

COURT WITH ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

Superior Court Division of the General Court of Justice

STATUTORY CITATION OF MOST RECENT REAPPORTIONMENT

CURRENT LITIGATION

CASE NAME

CASE NUMBER

None

DISTRICT MAPS

NORTH DAKOTA

REAPPORTIONMENT PROFILE

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

Article II, Section 29, 35
(Section 29, 35 ruled invalid in Paulson v. Meier, 232 F. Supp 183)

REAPPORTIONMENT MECHANISM

STATE LEGISLATIVE DISTRICTS: Legislature

Joint Legislative Rule 305 - creates a joint standing committee on reapportionment. Membership of the committee has not yet been established.

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS: One Congressman

STAFF CONTACT

John A. Graham
Director
Legislative Council
State Capitol
Bismarck, ND 58505
(701) 224-2916

CRITERIA FOR STATE LEGISLATIVE APPORTIONMENT

DATE: 1981 - no specific deadline

POPULATION: Federal census

POPULATION GROUPS EXCLUDED: No

SMALLEST UNIT OF POPULATION: Blocks

CENSUS AND PRECINCT BOUNDARIES COTERMINOUS: No

OTHER CRITERIA FOR DRAWING DISTRICTS: Compactness, geography

DEVIATION: North Dakota CC § 54-03-01.5: "The total population variance of all districts and subdistricts if created from the average district population may not exceed recognized constitutional limitations."

TYPE OF DISTRICT: Senate - single member, multimember
House - multimember

HOUSE AND SENATE DISTRICT WITH COTERMINOUS BOUNDARIES: Yes - Senate

SENATORS ELECTED IN STAGGERED TERMS: Yes - Senators will be required to run for reelection if district boundaries change

NORTH DAKOTA

VOTING RIGHTS STATE: No

NEW OR REVISED DISTRICTS BEFORE 1990: No

COMPUTER ASSISTANCE FOR APPORTIONMENT

Yes - Bureau of Governmental Affairs, University of North Dakota
Lloyd B. Omdahl, Director

COURT WITH ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

North Dakota Supreme Court

STATUTORY CITATION OF MOST RECENT REAPPORTIONMENT

CURRENT LITIGATION

CASE NAME

CASE NUMBER

None

DISTRICT MAPS

OHIO

REAPPORTIONMENT PROFILE

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

Article XI, Sections 1-15

REAPPORTIONMENT MECHANISM

STATE LEGISLATIVE DISTRICTS: Ohio Apportionment Board

Established in 1967

5 members; Governor, Secretary of State, Auditor of State, one person chosen jointly by the Speaker of the House and the head of his political party in the Senate, and one person chosen jointly by the leader of the other major political party in the House and Senate.

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS: Legislature

Senate Elections, Financial
Institutions and Insurance
Committee

House Elections Committee

Senate Chairman
Sen. Paul R. Matia (R)

House Chairman
Rep. Terry M. Tranter (D)

STAFF CONTACT

Edith S. Woodward
Library Administrator
Ohio Legislative Service Commission
State House
Columbus, OH 43215
(614) 466-5312

Jack L. Noragon
Senior Research Associate
Ohio Legislative Service Commission
State House
Columbus, OH 43215
(614) 466-4389

CRITERIA FOR STATE LEGISLATIVE APPORTIONMENT

DATE: October 5, 1981

POPULATION: Federal census

POPULATION GROUPS EXCLUDED: None

SMALLEST UNIT OF POPULATION: Census blocks

CENSUS AND PRECINCT BOUNDARIES COTERMINOUS: No

OTHER CRITERIA FOR DRAWING DISTRICTS: Equal population, compactness, contiguity of political subdivisions, boundaries

DEVIATION: 10%

TYPE OF DISTRICT: Single member

HOUSE AND SENATE DISTRICT WITH COTERMINOUS BOUNDARIES: Yes - 3 house districts per senate district

OHIO

SENATORS ELECTED IN STAGGERED TERMS: Yes - Senators will be allowed to serve out their term

VOTING RIGHTS STATE: No

NEW OR REVISED DISTRICTS BEFORE 1990: No

COMPUTER ASSISTANCE FOR APPORTIONMENT

Yes - State Computer available; Republicans have employed Market Opinion Research, Inc., Detroit, Michigan. Democrats have employed Decision Research Corp., Cleveland, Ohio.

COURT WITH ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

Ohio Supreme Court

STATUTORY CITATION OF MOST RECENT REAPPORTIONMENT

None: law merely requires that the plan of the Apportionment Board be published.

CURRENT LITIGATION

CASE NAME

CASE NUMBER

None

DISTRICT MAPS

PENNSYLVANIA

REAPPORTIONMENT PROFILE

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

Article 2, Section 17

REAPPORTIONMENT MECHANISM

STATE LEGISLATIVE DISTRICTS: Legislative Reapportionment Commission

Established in 1968

5 members: House majority and minority leaders or appointed deputies; Senate majority or minority leaders or appointed deputies. The four members select the fifth member, a citizen of the commonwealth other than a local, state or federal official holding an office to which compensation is attached.

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS: Legislature

STAFF CONTACT

Vincent W. Raba
Assistant Director
Joint State Government Commission
Room 108, Finance Building
Harrisburg, PA 17120
(717) 787-6617

Dr. James O. Freedman
Chairman
Legislative Reapportionment
Commission
Room 217, Finance Building
Harrisburg, PA 17120
(717) 783-1222

CRITERIA FOR STATE LEGISLATIVE APPOINTMENT

DATE: 1981 - 90 days after the commission is certified or census population is available

POPULATION: Federal census

POPULATION GROUPS EXCLUDED: None

SMALLEST UNIT OF POPULATION: Blocks

CENSUS AND PRECINCT BOUNDARIES COTERMINOUS: No

OTHER CRITERIA FOR DRAWING DISTRICTS: Compactness, geography, political boundaries, voter registration

DEVIATION: 1.0% to 4.9%; Senate - 5.0% to 9.9%

TYPE OF DISTRICT: Single member

HOUSE AND SENATE DISTRICT WITH COTERMINOUS BOUNDARIES: No

SENATORS ELECTED IN STAGGERED TERMS: Yes - Senators will be allowed to serve out their term

VOTING RIGHTS STATE: No

PENNSYLVANIA

NEW OR REVISED DISTRICTS BEFORE 1990: No

COMPUTER ASSISTANCE FOR APPORTIONMENT

State Legislative Data Processing Center/Richard E. Campbell, Director
State Legislative Data Processing
Center
Main Capitol, Room 47C
Harrisburg, PA 17120
(717) 787-7358

COURT WITH ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

Pennsylvania Supreme Court

STATUTORY CITATION OF MOST RECENT REAPPORTIONMENTCURRENT LITIGATION

CASE NAME

CASE NUMBER

The Commission asked the State Supreme Court to determine when the 90 day deadline began. The Court held "... from the date the Commission receives the population data of the Commonwealth as determined by the Federal decennial census, in usable form, (breakdown of data by precinct and ward) for the Commissions performance of its constitutional duties."

DISTRICT MAPS

SOUTH DAKOTA

REAPPORTIONMENT PROFILE

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

Article III, Section 5

REAPPORTIONMENT MECHANISM

STATE LEGISLATIVE DISTRICTS: Legislature/Reapportionment Group

Senate State Affairs
CommitteeHouse State Affairs
CommitteeSenate Chairman
Sen. G. Homer Harding (R)House Chairman
Rep. JOseph H. Barnett (R)

If the legislature is unable to redistrict, before adjourning the task falls to the Governor, Superintendent of Public Instruction, Presiding Judge of the Supreme Court, Attorney General and the Secretary of State who have 30 days to promulgate a plan.

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS: One Congressman

STAFF CONTACT

Wes Tschetter
Director
Legislative Research Council
Pierre, SD 57501
(605) 773-3251

CRITERIA FOR STATE LEGISLATIVE APPORTIONMENT

DATE: April 1981 - Regular legislative session of year ending in "1".
(State legislative districting plan adopted April 13, 1981).

POPULATION: Federal census

POPULATION GROUPS EXCLUDED: No

SMALLEST UNIT OF POPULATION: Townships and cities

CENSUS AND PRECINCT BOUNDARIES COTERMINOUS: No

OTHER CRITERIA FOR DRAWING DISTRICTS: Population equality, county boundaries, protection of minority voting rights, compactness, preservation of historical districting patterns, equalization as geographical size, socioeconomic considerations

DEVIATION: Unknown

TYPE OF DISTRICT: Single member, multimember

SOUTH DAKOTA

HOUSE AND SENATE DISTRICT WITH COTERMINOUS BOUNDARIES: Yes - Senate is
primary district

SENATORS ELECTED IN STAGGERED TERMS: No

VOTING RIGHTS STATE: Yes - Shannon and Todd counties only

NEW OR REVISED DISTRICTS BEFORE 1990: No

COMPUTER ASSISTANCE FOR APPORTIONMENT

No

COURT WITH ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

State Supreme Court

STATUTORY CITATION OF MOST RECENT REAPPORTIONMENT

CURRENT LITIGATION

CASE NAME

CASE NUMBER

None

DISTRICT MAPS

TEXAS

REAPPORTIONMENT PROFILE

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

Article 3, Sections 25, 26, 26A, 28

REAPPORTIONMENT MECHANISM

STATE LEGISLATIVE DISTRICTS: Legislature/Legislative Redistricting Board
of Texas

Committee of the Whole Senate
Redistricting Subcommittee

House Regions, Compacts, and
Districts Committee

Senate Chairman
Sen. H. Tati Santiesteban (D)

House Chairman
Rep. Tim Von Dohlen (D)

Established in 1948

5 members: Lt. Governor, House Speaker, Attorney General, Comptroller
and the Commissioner of the General Land Office. The Board
is to assemble 90 days after legislative adjournment and
must promulgate a plan within 60 days.

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS: Legislature

STAFF CONTACT

Robert I. Kelly
Director
Texas Legislative Council
Box 12128
Capitol
Austin, TX 78711
(512) 475-2736

Mr. Lynn Moak
Staff Director
Committee of the Whole Senate
Redistricting
Office of the Lieutenant Governor
P. O. Box 12068
Austin, TX 78711
(512) 475-3106

CRITERIA FOR STATE LEGISLATIVE APPORTIONMENT

DATE: 1981 - The first regular session after publication of the
U.S. Decennial census

POPULATION: Federal census

POPULATION GROUPS EXCLUDED: No

SMALLEST UNIT OF POPULATION: Blocks

CENSUS AND PRECINCT BOUNDARIES COTERMINOUS: No

OTHER CRITERIA FOR DRAWING DISTRICTS: Compactness, race, geography

DEVIATION: Senate: 1.0% to 4.9%
House: 5.0% to 9.9%

TEXAS

TYPE OF DISTRICT: Single member

HOUSE AND SENATE DISTRICT WITH COTERMINOUS BOUNDARIES: No

SENATORS ELECTED IN STAGGERED TERMS: Yes - Senators will be required
to run for reelection

VOTING RIGHTS STATE: Yes

NEW OR REVISED DISTRICTS BEFORE 1990: Yes

COMPUTER ASSISTANCE FOR APPORTIONMENT

Yes - Synercom Technology, Inc.

COURT WITH ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

No one court

STATUTORY CITATION OF MOST RECENT REAPPORTIONMENTCURRENT LITIGATION

CASE NAME

CASE NUMBER

None

DISTRICT MAPS

UTAH

REAPPORTIONMENT PROFILE

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

Article IX

REAPPORTIONMENT MECHANISM

STATE LEGISLATIVE DISTRICTS: Legislature

Joint Legislative Reapportionment Committee

Senate Chairman
Sen. Fred W. Finlinson (R)House Chairman
Rep. Merrill W. Harward (R)

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS: Same

STAFF CONTACTRichard Strong
Research Analyst
Office of Legislative Research
Room 436, State Capitol
Salt Lake City, UT 84114
(801) 533-5481CRITERIA FOR STATE LEGISLATIVE APPORTIONMENT

DATE: 1981 - First Legislative session following the decennial census

POPULATION: Federal census

POPULATION GROUPS EXCLUDED: No

SMALLEST UNIT OF POPULATION: Block

CENSUS AND PRECINCT BOUNDARIES COTERMINOUS: No

OTHER CRITERIA FOR DRAWING DISTRICTS: Party registration, compactness,
race, geography, registered voters,
number of legislative districts

DEVIATION: 5.0% to 9.9%

TYPE OF DISTRICT: Single member

HOUSE AND SENATE DISTRICT WITH COTERMINOUS BOUNDARIES: No

SENATORS ELIGIBLE IN STAGGERED TERMS: Yes - Senators will be required
to run for reelection

VOTING RIGHTS STATE: No

NEW OR REVISED DISTRICTS BEFORE 1990: Yes

UTAH

COMPUTER ASSISTANCE FOR APPORTIONMENT

State Systems Planning; Wang Laboratories, Inc.

COURT WITH ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

No one court

STATUTORY CITATION OF MOST RECENT REAPPORTIONMENT

CURRENT LITIGATION

CASE NAME

CASE NUMBER

None

DISTRICT MAPS

VERMONT

REAPPORTIONMENT PROFILE

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

Statutory Provisions: 17 V.S.A. Chapters 33, 34, 34A; Vermont Constitution Chapter 2, Section 13, 18, 73

REAPPORTIONMENT MECHANISM

STATE LEGISLATIVE DISTRICTS: Legislative Apportionment Board/Legislature

Senate Government Operations
Committee

House Government Operations
Committee

Senate Chairman
Sen. William T. Doyle (R)

House Chairman
Rep. Cola H. Hudson (R)

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS: One Congressman

STAFF CONTACT

Janet Ancel
Draftsman
Legislative Council
State House
Montpelier, VT 05602
(802) 828-2231

CRITERIA FOR STATE LEGISLATIVE APPORTIONMENT

DATE: 1981 - Advisory Board must report to Legislature by 2/1/81.
House and Senate plans reported by Board to Legislature
in February. Final legislative action due in 1982.

POPULATION: Federal census

POPULATION GROUPS EXCLUDED: None

SMALLEST UNIT OF POPULATION: Townships

CENSUS AND PRECINCT BOUNDARIES COTERMINOUS: No

OTHER CRITERIA FOR DRAWING DISTRICTS: Compactness, contiguity, geography,
town and county lines, patterns of
social interaction and trade,
common interests

DEVIATION: Undetermined

TYPE OF DISTRICT: Single member; multi-member

HOUSE AND SENATE DISTRICT WITH COTERMINOUS BOUNDARIES: No

SENATORS ELECTED IN STAGGERED TERMS: No

VERMONT

VOTING RIGHTS STATE: No

NEW OR REVISED DISTRICTS BEFORE 1990: Yes

COMPUTER ASSISTANCE FOR APPORTIONMENT

Yes - open

COURT WITH ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

No one court

STATUTORY CITATION OF MOST RECENT REAPPORTIONMENT

CURRENT LITIGATION

CASE NAME

CASE NUMBER

None

DISTRICT MAPS

VIRGINIA

REAPPORTIONMENT PROFILE

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

Article II, Section 6

REAPPORTIONMENT MECHANISM

STATE LEGISLATIVE DISTRICTS: Legislature

Senate Privileges and
Elections CommitteeHouse Privileges and
Elections CommitteeSenate Chairman
Sen. Hunter B. Andrews (D)House Chairman
Del. John D. Gray (D)

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS: Same

STAFF CONTACTJack Austin
Legislative Research Associate
Division of Legislative Services
P. O. Box 3-AG
Richmond, VA 23208
(804) 786-3591CRITERIA FOR STATE LEGISLATIVE APPORTIONMENTDATE: 1981 - First Legislative session following the decennial census
(State legislative plan adopted April, 1981).

POPULATION: Federal census

POPULATION GROUPS EXCLUDED: None

SMALLEST UNIT OF POPULATION: Cities and parts of counties

CENSUS AND PRECINCT BOUNDARIES COTERMINOUS: Yes with a few exceptions

OTHER CRITERIA FOR DRAWING DISTRICTS: Compactness, political boundaries

DEVIATION: Senate: +4.35% and -6.3%; House: +14.67% and -14.16%

TYPE OF DISTRICT: Senate: Single member; House: Single member, multimember,
flotorial

HOUSE AND SENATE DISTRICT WITH COTERMINOUS BOUNDARIES: No

SENATORS ELECTED IN STAGGERED TERMS: No

VIRGINIA

VOTING RIGHTS STATE: Yes

NEW OR REVISED DISTRICTS BEFORE 1990: No

COMPUTER ASSISTANCE FOR APPORTIONMENT

No

COURT WITH ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

No one court

STATUTORY CITATION OF MOST RECENT REAPPORTIONMENT

Code of Virginia §24.1-12.2 - House of Delagates

Code of Virginia §24.1-14.2 - Senate

CURRENT LITIGATION

CASE NAME

CASE NUMBER

None

DISTRICT MAPS

WASHINGTON

REAPPORTIONMENT PROFILE

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

Article 2, Section 3, 6

REAPPORTIONMENT MECHANISM

STATE LEGISLATIVE DISTRICTS: Legislature

Senate State Government
CommitteeHouse Select Committee on
RedistrictingSenate Chairman
Sen. Jack Metcalf (R)House Chairman
Rep. Bob Eberle (R)

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS: Same

STAFF CONTACTLeslie Goldstein
Assistant Code Revisor
Office of the Code Revisor
Legislative Building
Olympia, WA 98504
(206) 753-6804Jerry Shaw
Research Analyst
Legislative Bldg
Olympia, WA 98504
(206) 753-1826Loren Busch
Leslie McAllister
Research Analyst
House Select Committee
on Reapportionment
House Office Building
Olympia, WA 98504
(206) 753-3158CRITERIA FOR STATE LEGISLATIVE APPORTIONMENTDATE: 1981 session - no specific date
(State legislative plan adopted April, 1981).

POPULATION: Federal census

POPULATION GROUPS EXCLUDED: Transient military personnel

SMALLEST UNIT OF POPULATION: Census tract

CENSUS AND PRECINCT BOUNDARIES COTERMINOUS: No

OTHER CRITERIA FOR DRAWING DISTRICTS: Party registration, compactness
race, geography, voting

DEVIATION: 10.0% to 14.9%

TYPE OF DISTRICT: Senate - single member; House - single member, multimember

HOUSE AND SENATE DISTRICT WITH COTERMINOUS BOUNDARIES: Yes - Senate

SENATORS ELECTED IN STAGGERED TERMS: Yes - Senators will be allowed to
serve out their terms

WASHINGTON

VOTING RIGHT STATE: No

NEW OR REVISED DISTRICTS BEFORE 1990: Yes

COMPUTER ASSISTANCE FOR APPORTIONMENT

Yes - Rose Institute software - University of Washington for hardware

COURT WITH ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

State Superior Court

STATUTORY CITATION OF MOST RECENT REAPPORTIONMENT

CURRENT LITIGATION

CASE NAME

CASE NUMBER

None

DISTRICT MAPS

WEST VIRGINIA

REAPPORTIONMENT PROFILE

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

Article 6, Sections 6, 7, 10

REAPPORTIONMENT MECHANISM

STATE LEGISLATIVE DISTRICTS: Legislature

Senate Select Committee on
Redistricting

Senate Chairman
Sen. Ralph D. Williams (D)

House Select Committee on Redistricting

House Chairman
Del. Charles H. Damron (D)

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS: Same

STAFF CONTACT

Mark W. Stotler
Legislative Analyst
Legislative Services
Room E-132, State Capitol
Charleston, WV 25305
(304) 348-2040

William M. Walls
Attorney
Legislative Services
Room E-132, State Capitol
Charleston, WV 25305
(304) 348-2040

CRITERIA FOR STATE LEGISLATIVE APPORTIONMENT

DATE: 1982 - no specific date

POPULATION: Federal census

POPULATION GROUPS EXCLUDED: None

SMALLEST UNIT OF POPULATION: Census tract

CENSUS AND PRECINCT BOUNDARIES COTERMINOUS: No

OTHER CRITERIA FOR DRAWING DISTRICTS: Compactness, geography

DEVIATION: Unknown

TYPE OF DISTRICT: Senate - multimember; House - single member, multimember

HOUSE AND SENATE DISTRICT WITH COTERMINOUS BOUNDARIES: No

SENATORS ELECTED IN STAGGERED TERMS: Yes - Senators will be allowed to
serve out their terms

VOTING RIGHTS STATE: No

NEW OR REVISED DISTRICTS BEFORE 1990: No

WEST VIRGINIA

COMPUTER ASSISTANCE FOR APPORTIONMENT

No

COURT WITH ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

State Supreme Court of Appeals

STATUTORY CITATION OF MOST RECENT REAPPORTIONMENT

West Virginia Code § 1-2-2 (Senate)
§ 1-2-2 (House)
§ 1-2-3 (Congress,

CURRENT LITIGATION

CASE NAME

CASE NUMBER

None

DISTRICT MAPS

WISCONSIN
REAPPORTIONMENT PROFILE

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

Article IV, Sections 3-5

REAPPORTIONMENT MECHANISM

STATE LEGISLATIVE DISTRICTS: Legislature

Assembly Elections Committee

Chairperson
Rep. William B. Broydrick

Senate Special Reapportionment
Committee

Chairperson
Sen. William A. Bablitch (D)

Assembly Reapportionment Committee

Co-Chairperson
Rep. William B. Broydrick (D)

Co-Chairperson
Rep. Thomas A. Hauke (D)

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS: Same

STAFF CONTACT

Dr. H. Rupert Theobald
Chief
Legislative Reference Bureau
201 North, State Capitol
Madison, WI 53702
(608) 266-3561/0344

CRITERIA FOR STATE LEGISLATIVE APPORTIONMENT

DATE: 1982 - The first session after each federal enumeration
(The session extends into 1982)

POPULATION: Federal census

POPULATION GROUPS EXCLUDED: None

SMALLEST UNIT OF POPULATION: Wards (precincts), blocks

CENSUS AND PRECINCT BOUNDARIES COTERMINOUS: Yes in urban areas

OTHER CRITERIA FOR DRAWING DISTRICTS: Compactness, geography, political
boundaries

DEVIATION: Less than 1%

TYPE OF DISTRICT: Single member

WISCONSIN

HOUSE AND SENATE DISTRICT WITH COETERMINOUS BOUNDARIES: Yes - House

SENATORS ELECTED IN STAGGERED TERMS: Yes - Senators will be allowed to
serve out their term

VOTING RIGHTS STATE: No

NEW OR REVISED DISTRICTS BEFORE 1990: No

COMPUTER ASSISTANCE FOR APPORTIONMENT

Yes - Interstate Cooperation Commission Staff

COURT WITH ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

Wisconsin Supreme Court

STATUTORY CITATION OF MOST RECENT REAPPORTIONMENT

Ch. 3, statutes - Congressional districts

Ch. 4, statutes - Assembly and Senate districts

CURRENT LITIGATION

CASE NAME

CASE NUMBER

None

DISTRICT MAPS

WYOMING

REAPPORTIONMENT PROFILE

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

Article 3, Sections 3, 47, 48, 49; Section 3 (part) ruled invalid

REAPPORTIONMENT MECHANISM

STATE LEGISLATIVE DISTRICTS: Legislature

Senate Corporations, Elections and
Political Subdivisions Committee

House Corporations, Elections and
Political Subdivisions Committee

Senate Chairman
Sen. Neal Stafford

House Chairman
Rep. Alan Stauffer

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS: One Congressman

STAFF CONTACT

Gerald W. Fox
Senior Research Associate
Legislative Service Office
213 Capitol Building
Cheyenne, WY 82002
(307) 777-7881

CRITERIA FOR STATE LEGISLATIVE APPORTIONMENT

DATE: At the Legislative session next following the Federal census.
(State Legislative plan adopted February, 1981).

POPULATION: Federal census

POPULATION GROUPS EXCLUDED: None

SMALLEST UNIT OF POPULATION: Counties

CENSUS AND PRECINCT BOUNDARIES COTERMINOUS: No

OTHER CRITERIA FOR DRAWING DISTRICTS: Compactness, geography, county
boundaries

DEVIATION: Senate: +39.5% and -24.4%
House: +29% and -60.3%

TYPE OF DISTRICT: Single member, multimember

HOUSE AND SENATE DISTRICT WITH COTERMINOUS BOUNDARIES: Some - House

WYOMING

SENATORS ELECTED IN STAGGERED TERMS: Yes - Senators will be required to run for reelection

VOTING RIGHTS STATE: Yes - Campbell County (only)

NEW OR REVISED DISTRICTS BEFORE 1990: No

COMPUTER ASSISTANCE FOR APPORTIONMENT

No

COURT WITH ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

No one court

STATUTORY CITATION OF MOST RECENT REAPPORTIONMENT

Chapter 76 Session Laws of 1981

CURRENT LITIGATION

CASE NAME

CASE NUMBER

None

DISTRICT MAPS

TABLE 1
CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS ON LEGISLATIVE AND CONGRESSIONAL REAPPORTIONMENT

State	Constitutional Provision
Alabama	Section 197-203, Constitution of 1901
Alaska	Article VI, Sections 1-11
Arizona	No constitutional provision
Arkansas	Amendment 23, Amendment 45
California	Article IV, Section 6
Colorado	Article V, Sections 44-48
Connecticut	Article III, Section 6
Delaware	No constitutional provision
Florida	Article III, Sections 1, 15, 16
Georgia	Article III, Section 11, Paragraph 1, Section 11, Paragraph 1
Hawaii	Article IV
Idaho	Article 3, Sections 2, 4
Illinois	Article IV, Sections 2, 3
Indiana	Article 4, Sections 4, 5
Iowa	Article III, Section 6, 34-37, 39
Kansas	Article 10
Kentucky	Section 33
Louisiana	Article III, Section 6
Maine	Article 4, Part 3, Section 1-A
Maryland	Article III, Sections 4, 5
Massachusetts	Amendment XCII
Michigan	Article 4, Sections 2-6
Minnesota	Article IV, Section 2-3
Mississippi	Article 13, Section 254
Missouri	Article III, Sections 2, 7, 45
Montana	Article 5, Section 14
Nebraska	Article III, Sections 5, 7
Nevada	Article 15, Section 13; Article 4, Section 5 (ruled invalid)
New Hampshire	Part 2, Articles 9, 9A, 11, 11-A, 26, 26-A
New Jersey	Article IV, Sections 11, 111
New Mexico	Article 4, Section 3
New York	Article III, Sections 2-5
North Carolina	Article 11, Sections 3, 5
North Dakota	Article 11, Section 29, 35 (ruled invalid by Paulson v. Meier)
Ohio	Article XI, Sections 1-15
Oklahoma	Article V, Section 11A-11E
Oregon	Article IV, Sections 6-7, Article 18, Section 5
Pennsylvania	Article 2, Section 17
Rhode Island	Article V, Section 1, Amendment Article XIII, XIX
South Carolina	Article III, Sections 3-6
South Dakota	Article III, Section 5
Tennessee	Article 2, Sections 4-6
Texas	Article 3, Sections 25, 26, 26A, 28
Utah	Article IX
Vermont	Chapter 2, Section 13, Section 18
Virginia	Article 11, Section 6
Washington	Article 2, Sections 3, 6
West Virginia	Article 6, Sections 6, 7, 10
Wisconsin	Article IV, Sections 3-5
Wyoming	Article 3, Sections 3, 47, 48, 49, Section 3 (part of section 48 ruled invalid)

TABLE 2a

AGENCY RESPONSIBLE FOR STATE LEGISLATIVE REAPPORTIONMENT

State	Initial Reapportionment Agency	Secondary Reapportionment Agency
Alabama	Legislature	...
Alaska	Reapportionment Board	...
Arizona	Legislature	...
Arkansas	Board of Apportionment	...
California	Legislature	...
Colorado	Colorado Reapportionment Commission	...
Connecticut	Legislature	Reapportionment Commission
Delaware	Legislature	...
Florida	Legislature	State Supreme Court
Georgia	Legislature	...
Hawaii	Reapportionment Commission	...
Idaho	Legislature	...
Illinois	Legislature	Legislative Redistricting Commission
Indiana	Legislature	...
Iowa	Legislature	Iowa Supreme Court
Kansas	Legislature	...
Kentucky	Legislature	...
Louisiana	Legislature	Louisiana Supreme Court
Maine	Advisory Commission/Legislature	Supreme Judicial Court
Maryland	Governor/Legislature	...
Massachusetts	Joint Special Committee Established to Study New Division	...
Michigan	Commission on Legislative Apportionment	...
Minnesota	Legislature	...
Mississippi	Legislature	Reapportionment Commission
Missouri	House and Senate Reapportionment Commissions	...
Montana	Montana Districting and Apportionment Commission	...
Nebraska	Legislature	...
Nevada	Legislature	...
New Hampshire	Legislature	...
New Jersey	Apportionment Commission	...
New Mexico	Legislature	...
New York	Legislature	...
North Carolina	Legislature	...
North Dakota	Legislature	...
Ohio	Ohio Apportionment Board	...
Oklahoma	Legislature	Apportionment Commission
Oregon	Legislature	Secretary of State
Pennsylvania	Legislative Reapportionment Commission	...
Rhode Island	Commission to Reapportion the General Assembly/ Legislature	...
South Carolina	Legislature	...
South Dakota	Legislature	Apportionment Group
Tennessee	Legislature	...
Texas	Legislature	Legislative Redistricting Board
Utah	Legislature	...
Vermont	Legislative Apportionment Board (Advisory)/Legislature	...
Virginia	Legislature	...
Washington	Legislature	...
West Virginia	Legislature	...
Wisconsin	Legislature	...
Wyoming	Legislature	...

TABLE 2b

AGENCY RESPONSIBLE FOR CONGRESSIONAL REAPPORTIONMENT

State	One Congressman	Legislature	Soecial Board
Alabama	*	...
Alaska	*
Arizona	*	...
Arkansas	*	...
California	*	...
Colorado	*	...
Connecticut	*	...
Deleware	*
Florica	*	...
Georgia	*	...
Hawaii	*
Idaho	*	...
Illinois	*	...
Indiana	*	...
Iowa	*	...
Kansas	*	...
Kentucky	*	...
Louisiana	*	...
Maine	*	...
Maryland	*	...
Massachusetts	*	...
Michigan	*	...
Minnesota	*	...
Mississipl	*	...
Missouri	*	...
Montana	*
Nebraska	*	...
Nevada	*
New Hampshire	*	...
New Jersey	*	...
New Mexico	*	...
New York	*	...
North Carolina	*	...
North Dakota	*
Ohio	*	...
Oklahoma	*	...
Oregon	*	...
Fennsylvania	*	...
Rhode Island	*	...
South Carolina	*	...
South Dakota	*
Tennessee	*	...
Texas	*	...
Utah	*	...
Vermont	*
Virginia	*	...
Washington	*	...
West Virginia	*	...
Wisconsin	*	...
Wyoming	*

TABLE 3a

DEADLINE DATES FOR REAPPORTIONMENT BY INITIAL APPORTIONMENT AGENCY

Date	State	Initial Reapportionment Agency	Date is	
			Permissive	Mandatory
<u>1981</u>				
February 1	Arkansas	B/C	...	*
	New Jersey	B/C	...	*
	Vermont-Senate	B/L	...	*
Late February/Early March	Hawaii	B/C	...	*(a)
	Utah	L	...	*(b)
	Virginia	L	...	*(b)
April 1	Alaska	B/C	...	*(c)
	Pennsylvania	C/C	...	*(c)
April 6	Oklahoma	L	...	*(b)
	Washington	L	*(b)	...
End of April	Alabama	L	...	*(b)
	Indiana	L	...	*(b)
	Nevada	L	...	*(b)
	North Dakota	L	*(b)	...
	South Dakota	L	*(b)	...
	Vermont-House	B/L	*(b)	*
End of May	Texas	L	...	*(b)
June 30	Delaware	L	...	*(b)
	Illinois	L	...	*
July 1	Oregon	L	...	*
	New Hampshire	L	...	*(b)
	North Carolina	L	...	*(b)
	South Carolina	L	*(b)	...
August 1	Connecticut	L	...	*
September 1	Iowa	L	...	*
	Michigan	B/C	...	*
September 15	California	L	*(b)	...
October 1	Missouri	B/C	...	*(a)
October 5	Ohio	B/C	...	*
December 31	Louisiana	L	...	*

TABLE 3a
(continued)

Date	State	Initial Reapportionment		Date Is	
		Agency		Permissive	Mandatory
<u>1982</u>					
January 30	Colorado		B/C	...	*(a,c)
February	New Mexico		L	*(b)	...
	Wyoming		L	...	*(b)
March	Georgia		L	*(b)	...
	Idaho		L	*(b)	...
	Maryland		G/L	...	*(a)
	Minnesota		L	*(b)	...
	West Virginia		L	*(b)	...
	Mississippi		L	...	*(b)
April	Nebraska		L	*(b)	...
	Tennessee		L	*(b)	...
May	Rhode Island		L	...	*(b)
June	Arizona		L	*(b)	...
	Florida		L	*(b)	...
	Wisconsin		L	*(b)	...
<u>1983</u>					
January	Montana		B/C	...	*
April	Kentucky		L	*(b)	...
	Maine		B/L	...	*
<u>1986</u>					
	Massachusetts		B/C	*	...
	New York		L	*	...
<u>1989</u>					
	Kansas		L	...	*

Key

- L Legislature
- B/C Board or Commission
- G/L Governor and Legislature
- B/L Board and Legislature
- (a) Estimated date of mandatory deadline
- (b) Estimated or actual date of legislative adjournment
- (c) Date is contingent on receipt of census data

TABLE 3b

ANTICIPATED CRITERIA USED FOR STATE LEGISLATIVE REAPPORTIONMENT

State	Census		None	Populations Excluded		Other	Smallest Unit of Population	Census and Precinct Boundaries Coterminous
	Federal	Other		Student	Military			
Alabama.....	*	...	*	N.A.	No
Alaska.....	*	0	...	ED's	No
Arizona.....	*	...	*	Blocks	No
Arkansas.....	u	...	*	N.A.	Yes
California.....	*	...	*	CCD's & BG	No
Colorado.....	*	...	*	N.A.	Yes
Connecticut....	*	...	*	N.A.	Yes
Delaware.....	*	...	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	Blocks, ED's	Mostly
Florida.....	*	...	*	Voting Precinct	No
Georgia.....	*	...	u	Blocks	No
Hawaii.....	...	(a)	(b,c)	Voting Precinct	No
Idaho.....	*	...	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	Voting Precinct	Yes
Illinois.....	*	...	*	Blocks	No
Indiana.....	*	...	*	Blocks	Some
Iowa.....	u	...	*	Voting Precinct	Mostly
Kansas.....	...	(c)	*	Voting Precinct	No
Kentucky.....	u	...	No	Voting Precinct	No
Louisiana.....	u	...	u	Voting Precinct	No
Maine.....	u	(b)	N.A.	N.A.
Maryland.....	u	...	*	N.A.	No
Massachusetts..	...	(d)	...	u	*	...	Voting Precinct	No
Michigan.....	u	...	*	Political Boundary	Yes
Minnesota.....	*	...	*	Blocks, ED's, Prec.	Yes
Mississippi....	u	...	u	Blocks	No
Missouri.....	u	...	u	N.A.	Yes
Montana.....	u	...	u	Blocks	Yes
Nebraska.....	u	...	u	Blocks, ED's	Yes
Nevada.....	u	...	*	Blocks, ED's	No
New Hampshire..	u	u	u	...	Blocks	No
New Jersey.....	u	...	u	Precincts	No
New Mexico.....	u	...	u	Precincts	No
New York.....	u	...	u	Blocks	No
North Carolina.	u	...	u	Counties	No
North Dakota...	u	...	u	Blocks	No
Ohio.....	u	...	u	Blocks	No
Oklahoma.....	u	...	u	Blocks	No
Oregon.....	u	...	u	Blocks	Unknown
Pennsylvania...	u	...	u	Blocks	No
Rhode Island...	u	...	u	Blocks	Mostly
South Carolina.	u	...	u	Blocks	Mostly
South Dakota...	u	...	u	ED's	No
Tennessee....	u	...	u	Voting Precinct	No
Texas.....	u	...	u	Blocks	No
Utah.....	u	...	u	Blocks	No
Vermont.....	u	(e)	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	Townships	No
Virginia.....	u	...	u	Blocks	Mostly
Washington.....	u	0	...	Census Tracts	No
West Virginia..	u	...	u	Census Tracts	No
Wisconsin.....	u	...	u	Precincts (Wards)	Yes
Wyoming.....	u	...	u	ED's	No

Key: N.A. - Not available or not yet determined

(a) Number of voters registered in the last preceding general election

(b) Non-U.S. citizen

(c) Non-registered voters

(d) State census

(e) The House of Representatives is apportioned on number "legal voters"

TABLE 3c

ANTICIPATED CRITERIA USED FOR STATE LEGISLATIVE REAPPORTIONMENT

State	Party Registration	Compact & Contiguous Districts	Race or Ethnic Characteristics	Geography	Voting Age	Other
Alabama.....	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
Alaska.....	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
Arizona.....	..	*	*	*
Arkansas.....	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
California.....	*	*	*	*
Colorado.....	..	*	*	*	..	Political Boundaries Community of Interest
Connecticut.....	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
Delaware.....	..	*	..	*
Florida.....	*	..	Political Boundaries
Georgia.....	..	*	*	*	..	Political Boundaries
Hawaii.....	..	*	..	*	..	Socio Economic Factors
Idaho.....	..	*	..	*
Illinois.....	*	*	..	*
Indiana.....	*	*	..	*
Iowa.....	..	*	Political Boundaries
Kansas.....	..	*	..	*
Kentucky.....	..	*
Louisiana.....	..	*	*	*
Maine.....	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
Maryland.....	..	*	..	*	..	Political & Natural Boundaries
Massachusetts.....	..	*	*	*
Michigan.....	..	*	..	*	..	Political Boundaries
Minnesota.....
Mississippi.....	..	*	*	County Boundaries
Missouri.....
Montana.....	..	*	*	*	..	Political Boundaries
Nebraska.....	..	*	Political Boundaries
Nevada.....	..	*	..	*	..	County Boundaries
New Hampshire.....	Town & City Ward Boundaries
New Jersey.....	..	*	Political Subdivisions
New Mexico.....	..	*
New York.....	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
North Carolina.....	..	*	County Boundaries
North Dakota.....	..	*	..	*
Ohio.....	..	*	..	*	..	Political Boundaries
Oklahoma.....	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
Oregon.....	..	*	*	*	..	Community of Interest
Pennsylvania.....	*	*	..	*	..	Political Boundaries
Rhode Island.....	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
South Carolina.....	..	*	*	*	*	..
South Dakota.....	..	*	County Boundaries
Tennessee.....	*	..	Political Boundaries
Texas.....	..	*	*	*
Utah.....	*	*	*	*	*	..
Vermont.....	..	*	..	*	*	County Lines
Virginia.....	..	*
Washington.....	*	*	*	*	*	..
West Virginia.....	..	*	..	*
Wisconsin.....	..	*	..	*	..	Political Boundaries
Wyoming.....	..	*	..	*	..	County Boundaries

Key:

N.A. = not available or not yet determined

TABLE 3d

PERCENT OF ANTICIPATED DEVIATION TO BE USED FOR DISTRICTS

Less than 1.0%	1.0% to 4.9%	5.0% to 9.9%	10.0% to 14.9%	15.0% or more	Undetermined
Arizona	Colorado	Georgia	Idaho	Wyoming	Alabama
California	Illinois	Kansas*	Massachusetts - House		Alaska
Florida	Indiana	Kentucky	Washington		Arkansas
Michigan	Iowa	Louisiana	West Virginia		Connecticut
Oklahoma	Massachusetts - Senate	Nevada			Delaware
	Mississippi	North Carolina			Hawaii
	Nebraska	North Dakota			Maine
	New Hampshire	Pennsylvania - Senate			Maryland
	New Mexico	South Carolina			Minnesota
	Ohio	Texas - House			Missouri
	Pennsylvania	Utah			Montana
	South Dakota	Wisconsin			New Jersey
	Tennessee				New York
	Texas - Senate				Oregon
					Rhode Island
					Vermont
					Virginia

* Next reapportionment to be held in 1989

TABLE 3e

TYPES OF DISTRICTS

State	SENATE				HOUSE				House and Senate District Boundaries Coterminal
	Number of Seats	Number of Districts	Number of Multi-Member Districts	Largest Number of Seats in District	Number of Seats	Number of Districts	Number of Multi-Member Districts	Largest Number of Seats in District	
Alabama	35	35	0	1	105	105	0	1	Yes
Alaska	20	16	3	3	40	22	10	6	N.A.
Arizona	30	30	0	1	60	30	30	2	Yes
Arkansas	35	35	0	1	100	84	10	3	No
California	40	40	0	1	80	80	0	1	No
Colorado	35	35	0	1	65	65	0	1	No
Connecticut ...	36	36	0	1	151	151	0	1	N.A.
Delaware	21	21	0	1	41	41	0	1	No
Florida	40	19	14	3	120	45	21	6	No
Georgia	56	56	0	1	180	154	17	4	No
Hawaii	25	9	7	4	51	27	22	3	No
Idaho	35	35	0	1	70	35	35	2	Yes
Illinois	59	59	0	1	118	59	59	2	Yes
Indiana	50	50	0	1	100	73	20	3	No
Iowa	50	50	0	1	100	100	0	1	Yes
Kansas	40	40	0	1	125	125	0	1	No
Kentucky	38	38	0	1	100	100	0	1	No
Louisiana	39	39	0	1	105	105	0	1	No
Maine	33	33	0	1	151	119	11	10	No
Maryland	47	47	0	1	141	47	47	3	Yes
Massachusetts .	40	40	0	1	160	160	0	1	No
Michigan	38	38	0	1	110	110	0	1	Yes
Minnesota	67	67	0	1	134	134	0	1	Yes
Mississippi....	52	52	0	1	122	122	0	1	No
Missouri	34	34	0	1	163	163	0	1	No
Montana	50	50	0	1	100	100	0	1	Yes
Nebraska	49	49	0	1					
Nevada	20	10	3	7	40	40	0	1	No
New Hampshire .	24	24	0	1	400	161	127	11	No
New Jersey	40	40	0	1	80	40	40	2	Yes
New Mexico	42	42	0	1	70	70	0	1	No
New York	60	60	0	1	150	150	0	1	No
North Carolina.	50	27	18	4	120	45	35	8	No
North Dakota ..	50	49	1	2	100	49	49	4	Yes
Ohio	33	33	0	1	99	99	0	1	Yes
Oklahoma	48	48	0	1	101	101	0	1	No
Oregon	30	30	0	1	60	60	0	1	Yes
Pennsylvania ..	50	50	0	1	203	203	0	1	No
Rhode Island ..	50	50	0	1	100	100	0	1	No
South Carolina.	46	16	13	5	124	124	0	1	No
South Dakota ..	35	28	3	5	70	28	28	10	Yes
Tennessee	33	33	0	1	99	99	0	1	No
Texas	31	31	0	1	150	150	0	1	No
Utah	29	29	0	1	75	75	0	1	No
Vermont	30	13	11	6	150	72	39	15	No
Virginia	40	38	1	3	100	52	28	7	No
Washington	49	49	0	1	98	49	49	2	Yes
West Virginia.	34	17	17	2	100	36	25	13	No
Wisconsin	33	33	0	1	99	99	0	1	Yes
Wyoming	30	16	9	5	52	23	12	11	No

Unicameral

TABLE 3f

STATES WITH MULTIMEMBER DISTRICTS

Senate	Legislators Must Run From Enumerated Seats	House	Legislators Must Run From Enumerated Seats
Alaska	No	Alaska	No
...		Arizona (x2)	No
...		Arkansas	Yes
Florida	Yes	Florida	Yes
...		Georgia	Yes
Hawaii	No	Hawaii	No
...		Idaho (x2)	Yes
...		Indiana	No
...		Maine	Yes
...		Maryland	No
Nevada	No	...	
...		New Hampshire	No
...		New Jersey (x2)	No
North Carolina	No	North Carolina	No
South Carolina	Yes	...	
South Dakota	No	South Dakota	No
Vermont	No	Vermont	No
Virginia	No	Virginia	No
...		Washington (x2)	Yes
West Virginia	No	West Virginia	No
Wyoming	No	Wyoming	No