

ALASKA LEGISLATURE COMMITTEE FILES 1981-1982

1988 HRTS SB 666 (HOUSING FILE) - SB 778

- \_\_\_\_\_. Rating Agency Presentation. October 24, 1980.
- \_\_\_\_\_. Selected Corporation and Program Information.  
October 1981, August 1981, June 1981.
- \_\_\_\_\_. Seller/Serviceers Guide. June 1981.
- Alaska Permanent Fund Corporation. Annual Report. 1981.
- Alaska State Housing Authority. Annual Report. 1972, 1975, 1976,  
1977, 1978, 1979, 1980.
- Department of Community and Regional Affairs. Detail Budget Submission  
for Nonconforming Housing Loan Programs, Fiscal Year 1982.  
November 7, 1980.
- \_\_\_\_\_. Nonconforming Housing Loan Program Sales and Servicing  
Agreement (or Note Purchase Agreement). No date.
- Division of Budget and Management. Alaska Budget in Brief, FY 1982.  
Office of the Governor, January 1982.
- Division of Economic Enterprise. Numbers: Basic Economic Statistics  
of Alaska Census Divisions. Alaska Department of Commerce and  
Economic Development, 1979.
- Division of Legislative Audit. A Performance Review of the Department  
of Commerce and Economic Development Alaska State Housing Authority.  
December 12, 1980.
- \_\_\_\_\_. A Special Review of the Alaska State Housing Authority Marine  
View Apartment Building. Juneau, October 13, 1980.
- Division of Housing Assistance. Nonconforming Housing Loan Program  
First Mortgage for Home Purchase Program Handbook. December 1980.
- \_\_\_\_\_. Untitled Information Sheet on Nonconforming Housing Loan  
Program. No date.
- Division of Community Planning. Alaska Senior Citizen Housing Devel-  
opment Fund, Program Description. Department of Community and  
Regional Affairs. No date.

#### Interviews and Correspondence

- Alaska Housing Finance Corporation. Jay Kennedy, 30 October 1981;  
Mark Cameron, 27 November 1981; Nancy Carlson, 3 December 1981;  
H. Goldbar, 8 January 1982; Don Elliot, 16 October 1981.

Alaska State Housing Authority, Anchorage. John Curtis, 28 October and 6 November 1981; George Briggs, 19 November 1981; Kay Snyder, 19 November 1981; Barbra Wilson, 23 November 1981.

Alexander, Richard. Department of Revenue, Juneau, Alaska. 22 January 1982.

Ample, William. National Credit Union Association. 24 November 1981.

Andrukonis, David A. Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation. Washington, D.C. 3 December 1981; 11 December 1981.

Bannon, Robert. Alaska Mortgage Assurance, Inc., and Mortgage Insurance Companies of Alaska. 17 December 1981.

Barnes, Janet. Division of Loans and Veteran Affairs, Department of Commerce and Economic Development. 16 December 1981.

Buckley, Robert. Office of Economic Affairs, U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Washington, D.C., 15 October 1981.

Clubb, Wes. First National Bank of Anchorage. 21 December 1981.

Daniels, Leslie. Washington University, St. Louis, Missouri. 6 November 1981, 1 December 1981, 12 January 1982.

Department of Community and Regional Affairs, Division of Housing Assistance, Anchorage. Jack Smodey, 9 October 1981, 5 November 1981, 19 January 1982; Hank Hodge, 15 January 1982; Raymond Price, 5 November 1981.

Dillman, John. Alaska Valuation Services. 20 November 1981.

Duffy, John G. Area Manager, HUD. Letter to John M. Crawford, Deputy Executive Director, ASHA. 20 May 1981.

Eisman, Jean. Federal National Mortgage Association, Washington, D.C. 14 October 1981, 10 November 1981, 11 November 1981.

Ender, Richard. Urban Observatory, University of Alaska, Anchorage. 13 October 1981.

Gamel, Rob. Gamel Homes, Inc. 30 October 1981.

Hastak, Don. Division of Loans and Veteran Affairs, Department of Commerce and Economic Development, Juneau. 1 and 4 December 1981.

Knight, Marlin. Kodiak Island Housing Authority. 14 January 1982.

McVickers, Nona. Older Persons Action Group, Anchorage. 25 November 1981.

Murphy, David. United Building Supply, Inc., Anchorage. 18 December 1981.

Patton, Arlene. Aleutian Housing Authority. 27 October 1981.

Pelto, William. Division of Budget and Management, Office of the Governor. 29 January 1982.

Rhodes, James. Alaska Permanent Fund Corporation, Juneau. 19 October 1981.

Smith, James. First Federal Savings and Loan, 17 December 1981.

Strasbaugh, Kathleen. Attorney, Alaska Legal Services. 1 and 7 December 1981.

Stietz, Lucille. National Bank of Alaska. 15 December 1981.

Sullivan, Robert. Alaska Mutual Savings Bank. 18 December 1981.

Swanson, David. Alaska Department of Labor. 12 October 1981.

Terrell, Jaurie. Coalition for Economic Justice. 16 December 1981.

Toll, Cyrus. Office of Indian Housing, U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Washington, D.C. 20 January 1982.

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Anchorage. E. Allen Robinson; Donna Czech, 14 December 1981; Marlene F. Boberick, 2 December 1981; Charles Leo, 17 November 1981; Miller Lutton, 19 October 1981; Eldon Young, 3 December 1981.

#### Other Sources

Alaska Credit Union League, Anchorage.

American Council of Life Insurance Companies, New York City.

Bureau of Indian Affairs, Washington, D.C.

Federal Home Loan Bank of Seattle.

Federal Home Loan Bank Board, Washington, D.C.

Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, Washington, D.C.

Federal National Mortgage Association, Los Angeles.

Mortgage Insurance Companies of America, Anchorage.

S

B

6

9

3

COMMITTEE REPORT

HOUSE

5/14  
Ruler

FURTHER:

(11)

5/6/82

Date: 5/13/82

Mr. Speaker:

The Committee on FINANCE has had CSSB 693(Fin)

"An Act changing the termination date of the law giving the supreme court authority over court facilities; and providing for an effective date."

under consideration and reports it back as follows:

- do pass  do not pass
- do pass with attached amendments(s)
- replace with <sup>it</sup>CS for CSSB 693(Fin)  same title  new title
- and recommends do pass
- AND attaches a "Letter of Intent"  New Fiscal Note (2)
- reports it back without recommendation
- referred to the \_\_\_\_\_ Committee

MEMBERS SIGNING  
DO PASS

Hansen  
VPB Stewart  
Bill Evans  
Jan Fulle  
Albert S. Jones  
Montgomery

MEMBERS HAVING  
OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:

See GTC no rec

Albert S. Jones  
 CHAIRMAN

COMMITTEE REPORT

5/5

4/12/82

HOUSE

(7)

FURTHER: FINANCE

Date: May 5, 82

Mr. Speaker:

The Committee on JUDICIARY has had CSSB 693(Fin)

"An Act changing the termination date of the law giving the supreme court authority over court facilities; eff date."

under consideration and reports it back as follows:

- do pass  do not pass
- do pass with attached amendments(s)
- replace with CS for \_\_\_\_\_  same title
- new title
- and recommends \_\_\_\_\_
- AND attaches a "Letter of Intent".  New Fiscal Note
- reports it back without recommendation *zero - attach to Bill*
- referred to the \_\_\_\_\_ Committee

MEMBERS SIGNING  
DO PASS

*Charles Buchholz*

*Buchholz*

*Freeman*

*RODOLFO PELLERIN D. PELLERIN*

*Patrick O'Connell*

*James Barnes*

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

MEMBERS HAVING  
OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

*James Barnes*

CHAIRMAN

COMMITTEE REPORT

HOUSE

717  
Rules

(11)

FURTHER:

5/15/82

Date: 5/17/82

Mr. Speaker: (Taken from Calendar  
returned to Rules) 5/15/82

The Committee on Finance has had CSSB 693(Fin)

"An Act changing the termination date of the law giving the  
supreme court authority over court facilities; and providing  
for an effective date."

under consideration and reports it back as follows:

- do pass  do not pass
- do pass with attached amendments(s)
- replace with <sup>H</sup> CS for CSSB 693 (Fin) <sup>2nd</sup>  same title  new title
- and recommends do pass
- AND attaches a "Letter of Intent"  <sup>NEW</sup> Fiscal Note (25:2)
- reports it back without recommendation Suppl # 59
- referred to the \_\_\_\_\_ Committee

MEMBERS SIGNING  
DO PASS

MEMBERS HAVING  
OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:

Robert Adams

Wagner

BB Pittman

Carol Murray

Jack Fuller

Montgomery

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Robert Adams  
CHAIRMAN

COMMITTEE REPORT

5/14  
Rules

HOUSE

~~from~~  
Returns  
to 5/15  
Fin

FURTHER:

(11)

5/6/82

Date: 5/13/82

Mr. Speaker:

The Committee on FINANCE has had CSSB 693(Fin)

"An Act changing the termination date of the law giving the supreme court authority over court facilities; and providing for an effective date."

under consideration and reports it back as follows:

- do pass  do not pass
- do pass with attached amendments(s)
- replace with <sup>IX</sup>CS for CSSB 693(Fin) 1840  same title  new title
- and recommends do pass
- AND attaches a "Letter of Intent"  New Fiscal Note (2)
- reports it back without recommendation *in House Supp 56*
- referred to the \_\_\_\_\_ Committee

MEMBERS SIGNING  
DO PASS

Hansen  
V.P. Stewart  
Bill Evans  
Jan Fulk  
Wm. H. Odes  
Montgomery

MEMBERS HAVING  
OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:

See GTC no rec  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

Wm. H. Odes  
 CHAIRMAN

COMMITTEE REPORT

5/5

4/12/82

(7)

HOUSE

FURTHER:

FINANCE

Date: May 5, 82

Mr. Speaker:

The Committee on JUDICIARY has had CSSB 693 (Fin)

"An Act changing the termination date of the law giving the supreme court authority over court facilities; eff date."

under consideration and reports it back as follows:

- do pass [ ] do not pass
- [ ] do pass with attached amendments(s)
- [ ] replace with CS for \_\_\_\_\_ [ ] same title [ ] new title
- and recommends \_\_\_\_\_
- [ ] AND attaches a "Letter of Intent" [0] New Fiscal Note
- [ ] reports it back without recommendation *zero - attach to Bill*
- [ ] referred to the \_\_\_\_\_ Committee

*f. 1655*

MEMBERS SIGNING  
DO PASS

MEMBERS HAVING  
OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:

*Charles Culberson*

*Buchholz*

*Freeman*

*RODNEY W. D. P...*

*Patrick O'Connell*

*James Barnes*

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

*James Barnes*

CHAIRMAN

AMENDED TITLE: CSSB 693(FIN)  
 AN ACT CHANGING THE TERMINATION DATE OF THE LAW GIVING  
 THE SUPREME COURT AUTHORITY OVER COURT FACILITIES;  
 AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE

PRIME SPONSOR: SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE.  
 GENERAL DOLLARS: \$66,000 (F. NOTE)  
 OTHER DOLLARS: \$0

CO-SPONSORS:  
 CURRENT STATUS: 5/15/82 RET (H) FINANCE

SB 693 SENATE ACTION 11:29 5/17/82 PAGE 2 OF 3  
 LEGISLATIVE ACTION

DATE	SEQ	PAGE	LEGISLATIVE ACTION
01/28/82	01	0142	FIRST READING -- COMMITTEE REPORTS
02/16/82	02	0292	JUD -- DP02, NR01
04/07/82	03	0819	FIN -- CS06
04/08/82	04	0840	RLS -- OTHER03
			TAKEN UP IMMEDIATELY
04/08/82	05	0841	SECOND READING
04/08/82	06	0841	FIN CS ADOPTED BY UNAN CONSENT
04/08/82	07	0842	ADVANCED TO 3RD READING BY UNAN CONSENT
04/08/82	08	0842	THIRD READING
04/08/82	09	0842	PASSED BY DIV 16-02-02
04/08/82	10	0842	EFFECTIVE DATE VOTE SAME AS PASSAGE

\*\*\* \*\* \*\* \*\*\* \*\* \*\*

SB 693 HOUSE ACTION 11:29 5/17/82 PAGE 3 OF 3  
 LEGISLATIVE ACTION

DATE	SEQ	PAGE	LEGISLATIVE ACTION
04/12/82	11	1226	FIRST READING -- COMMITTEE REPORTS
05/05/82	12	1685	JUD -- DP06
05/05/82	13	1685	F/NOTE EQUALS ZERO
05/14/82	14	1840	FIN -- CS06, NR01
05/14/82	15	1840	F/NOTES ATTACHED
05/15/82	16	1871	RECOMMITTED TO FIN BY UNAN CONSENT
			RULES
			FINANCE
			RULES

\*\*\* \*\* \*\* \*\*\* \*\* \*\*

FISCAL NOTE

I. REQUEST  
 Bill/Resolution No. 20 HCS CSSE 693 (Finance)  
 Title Relating to the Number of Superior Court Judges  
 Requested by \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

II. FISCAL DETAIL  
 Agency Affected Alaska Court System  
 Program Category Affected Administration of Justice  
 BRN, Program, Or Subprogram(s) Affected Trial Courts  
 (Note: If more than one budget component is affected, separate line-item amounts and funding for each component in the analysis section.)

EXPENDITURES (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 82	FY 83	FY 84	FY 85	FY 86	FY 87
100 PERSONAL SERVICES		23.7				
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL						
400 COMMODITIES		.5				
500 EQUIPMENT		1.0				
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.						
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>25.2</b>				

FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

GENERAL FUND		25.2				
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER (Specify Source)						

POSITIONS

FULL TIME						
PART TIME						
TEMPORARY						

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instruction, Section III)

The bill upgrades the Valdez District Court judge position to the Superior Court level. Funds will also upgrade a part-time clerk to full time.

A detailed analysis is attached.

IV. DATE 5/12/82

PREPARED BY Albert P. Adams, Chairman  
 AGENCY HOUSE Finance Committee

Original: Legislative Finance  
 cc: Budget and Management

PHONE \_\_\_\_\_

Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

FY 83 Cost of Implementing HCS CSSB 693 (Finance)

JUDGE

Salary	9,396 (upgrade)
T.L. (1.0648)	<u>10,005</u>
	\$19,401

CLERK UPGRADE

Full time, Range 12B	22,400
Part-time, Range 10B (9 months)	<u>(14,958)</u>
Salary Difference	\$ 7,442
Benefits Difference	<u>1,620</u>
	\$ 9,062

TOTAL PERSONAL SERVICES \$28,463

Less Two Months  
Recruitment Time ( 4,744)

FIRST YEAR FUNDING \$23,719

COMMODITIES 500

EQUIPMENT 1,000

TOTAL FY 83 BUDGET \$25,219

FISCAL NOTE

I. REQUEST

Bill/Resolution No. HCS CSSB 693 (Finance)  
 Title Relating to the Number of Superior Court Judges  
 Requested by \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Agency Affected Alaska Court System  
 Program Category Affected Administration of Justice  
 BRU, Program, Or Subprogram(s) Affected Trial Courts  
 (Note: If more than one budget component is affected, separate line-item amounts and funding for each component in the analysis section.)

EXPENDITURES (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 82	FY 83	FY 84	FY 85	FY 86	FY 87
100 PERSONAL SERVICES		23.7				
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL						
400 COMMODITIES		.5				
500 EQUIPMENT		1.0				
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.						
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>25.2</b>				

FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

GENERAL FUND		25.2				
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER (Specify Source)						

POSITIONS

FULL TIME						
PART TIME						
TEMPORARY						

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instruction, Section III)

The bill upgrades the Valdez District Court judge position to the Superior Court level. Funds will also upgrade a part-time clerk to full time.

A detailed analysis is attached.

IV. DATE 5/12/82

PREPARED BY Albert P. Adams, Chairman  
 AGENCY HOUSE Finance Committee

Original: Legislative Finance  
 cc: Budget and Management

PHONE \_\_\_\_\_

Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

#1 82

FY 83 Cost of Implementing HCS CSSB 693 (Finance)

JUDGE

Salary	9,396 (upgrade)
T.L. : (1.0648)	<u>10,005</u>
	\$19,401

CLERK UPGRADE

Full time, Range 12B	. 22,400
Part-time, Range 10B (9 months)	<u>(14,958)</u>
Salary Difference	\$ 7,442
Benefits Difference	<u>2,620</u>
	\$ 9,062

TOTAL PERSONAL SERVICES \$28,463

Less Two Months Recruitment Time ( 4,744)

FIRST YEAR FUNDING \$23,719

COMMODITIES 500

EQUIPMENT 1,000

TOTAL 83 BUDGET \$25,219

THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA  
TWELFTH LEGISLATURE

FISCAL NOTE

I. REQUEST HCS CSSB 693 (Finance)  
 Bill/Resolution No. House Bill No. 624 /-A "An Act relating  
Title to judicial retention elections, and providing for an effecti  
 Requested by House Judiciary Date 2/2/82 date.

II. FISCAL DETAIL  
 Agency Affected Office of the Governor  
 Program Category Affected Division of Elections  
 BRU, Program, Or Subprogram(s) Affected Division of Elections  
 (Note: If more than one budget component is affected, separate line-item amounts and funding for each component in the analysis section.)

EXPENDITURES (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 82	FY 83	FY 84	FY 85	FY 86	FY 87
100 PERSONAL SERVICES						
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL		66.0		81.8		101.4
400 COMMODITIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.						
TOTAL	-0-	66.0	-0-	81.8	-0-	101.4

FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 82	FY 83	FY 84	FY 85	FY 86	FY 87
GENERAL FUND	-0-	66.0	-0-	81.8	-0-	101.4
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER (Specify Source)						

POSITIONS

No additional positions required.

	FY 82	FY 83	FY 84	FY 85	FY 86	FY 87
FULL TIME						
PART TIME						
TEMPORARY						

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instruction, Section III)  
 ASSUME:

1. The passage of this legislation will initially affect the 1982 Election ballot.
2. There would be 24 election districts as were designated in 1959.
3. Necessity of 24 separate ballots—one for each of the judicial election districts.
4. Additional 12% inflation for each of the subsequent election years affected (x2 since the Division of Elections operates on a two-year budget cycle).

No additional funds are required beyond the Contractual Services area. Additional postage, printing and advertising costs will be required for the printing of 24 separate ballots, the printing of additional Official Election Pamphlet pages, advertising costs and additional postage for the Pamphlet.

IV. DATE 2/3/82 PREPARED BY Danith D. Arnold *Danith D. Arnold*  
 AGENCY Division of Elections  
 PHONE 586-6187  
 Original: Legislative Finance  
 cc: Budget and Management  
 Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

FISCAL NOTE

I. REQUEST  
 Bill/Resolution No. CS SB 693 Changing termination date of law ...  
 Title supreme COURT authority over COURT FACILITIES  
 Requested by House Judiciary Date 4/20/82

II. FISCAL DETAIL  
 Agency Affected Department of Transportation & Public Facilities  
 Program Category Affected \_\_\_\_\_  
 BRU, Program, Or Subprogram(s) Affected \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Note: If more than one budget component is affected, separate line-item amounts and funding for each component in the analysis section.)

EXPENDITURES (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 82	FY 83	FY 84	FY 85	FY 86	FY 87
100 PERSONAL SERVICES						
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL						
400 COMMODITIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.						
TOTAL	-0-	-0-				

FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 82	FY 83	FY 84	FY 85	FY 86	FY 87
GENERAL FUND						
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER (Specify Source)						

POSITIONS

	FY 82	FY 83	FY 84	FY 85	FY 86	FY 87
FULL TIME						
PART TIME						
TEMPORARY						

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instruction, Section III)

The assumption is that there are sufficient funds between the court and DOT/PF.

IV. DATE 4/20/82 PREPARED BY *RL* Ron B. Lind  
 AGENCY DOT/PF  
 PHONE 465-3900  
 Original: Legislative Finance  
 cc: Budget and Management  
 Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)  
 33-001 (Rev. 12/81)

FISCAL NOTE

I. REQUEST

Bill/Resolution No. HCS CSSB 693 (Finance)  
 Title Relating to the Number of Superior Court Judges  
 Requested by \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Agency Affected Alaska Court System  
 Program Category Affected Administration of Justice  
 BRU, Program, Or Subprogram(s) Affected Trial Courts  
 (Note: If more than one budget component is affected, separate line-item amounts and funding for each component in the analysis section.)

EXPENDITURES (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 82	FY 83	FY 84	FY 85	FY 86	FY 87
100 PERSONAL SERVICES		23.7				
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL						
400 COMMODITIES		.5				
500 EQUIPMENT		1.0				
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.						
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>25.2</b>				

FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

GENERAL FUND		25.2				
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER (Specify Source)						

POSITIONS

FULL TIME						
PART TIME						
TEMPORARY						

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instruction, Section III)

The bill upgrades the Valdez District Court judge position to the Superior Court level. Funds will also upgrade a part-time clerk to full time.

A detailed analysis is attached.

IV. DATE 5/12/82

PREPARED BY *Albert P. Adams*  
 AGENCY House Finance Committee

Original: Legislative Finance PHONE \_\_\_\_\_  
 cc: Budget and Management  
 Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)  
 33-001 (Rev. 12/81)

#1 82

FY 83 Cost of Implementing HCS CSSB 693 (Finance)

JUDGE

Salary	9,396 (upgrade)
T.L. (1.0648)	<u>10,005</u>
	\$19,401

CLERK UPGRADE

Full time, Range 12B	22,400
Part-time, Range 10B (9 months)	<u>(14,958)</u>
Salary Difference	\$ 7,442
Benefits Difference	<u>1,620</u>
	\$ 9,062

TOTAL PERSONAL SERVICES \$28,463

Less Two Months  
Recruitment Time ( 4,744)

FIRST YEAR FUNDING \$23,719

COMMODITIES 500

EQUIPMENT 1,000

TOTAL FY 83 BUDGET \$25,219

AMENDED TITLE: CSSB 893 (FIN)  
 AN ACT CHANGING THE TERMINATION DATE OF THE LAW GIVING  
 THE SUPREME COURT AUTHORITY OVER COURT FACILITIES;  
 AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE

PRIME SPONSOR: SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE. GENERAL DOLLARS: \$0 (F. NOTE)  
 OTHER DOLLARS: \$0

CO-SPONSORS:  
 CURRENT STATUS: 5/05/82 IN (H) FINANCE

SB 693 SENATE ACTION 13:29 5/14/82 PAGE 2 OF 3  
 DATE SEQ PAGE LEGISLATIVE ACTION

01/28/82 01 0142 FIRST READING -- COMMITTEE REPORTS  
 02/16/82 02 0292 JUD -- DP02, NR01  
 04/07/82 03 0819 FIN -- CS06  
 04/08/82 04 0840 RLS -- OTHER03  
 TAKEN UP IMMEDIATELY  
 04/08/82 05 0841 SECOND READING  
 04/08/82 06 0841 FIN CS ADOPTED BY UNAN CONSENT  
 04/08/82 07 0842 ADVANCED TO 3RD READING BY UNAN CONSENT  
 04/08/82 08 0842 THIRD READING  
 04/08/82 09 0842 PASSED BY DIV 16-02-02  
 04/08/82 10 0842 EFFECTIVE DATE VOTE SAME AS PASSAGE  
 \*\*\* \*\* \*\* \*\*\* \*\* \*\*

SB 693 HOUSE ACTION 13:29 5/14/82 PAGE 3 OF 3  
 DATE SEQ PAGE LEGISLATIVE ACTION

04/12/82 11 1226 FIRST READING -- COMMITTEE REPORTS  
 05/05/82 12 1685 JUD -- DP06  
 05/05/82 13 1685 F/NOTE EQUALS ZERO  
 FINANCE  
 RULES  
 \*\*\* \*\* \*\* \*\*\* \*\* \*\*

THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA  
TWELFTH LEGISLATURE

FISCAL NOTE

I. REQUEST HCS CSSE 693 (Finance)  
 Bill/Resolution No. House Bill No. 624 / -A "An Act relating  
Title to judicial retention elections, and providing for an effecti  
 Requested by House Judiciary Date 2/2/82 Date

II. FISCAL DETAIL  
 Agency Affected Office of the Governor  
 Program Category Affected Division of Elections  
 BRU, Program, Or Subprogram(s) Affected Division of Elections  
 (Note: If more than one budget component is affected, separate line-item amounts and funding for each component in the analysis section.)

EXPENDITURES (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 82	FY 83	FY 84	FY 85	FY 86	FY 87
100 PERSONAL SERVICES						
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL		66.0		81.8		101.4
400 COMMODITIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.						
TOTAL	-0-	66.0	-0-	81.8	-0-	101.4

FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 82	FY 83	FY 84	FY 85	FY 86	FY 87
GENERAL FUND	-0-	66.0	-0-	81.8	-0-	101.4
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER (Specify Source)						

POSITIONS

No additional positions required.

	FY 82	FY 83	FY 84	FY 85	FY 86	FY 87
FULL TIME						
PART TIME						
TEMPORARY						

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instruction, Section III)

ASSUME:

1. The passage of this legislation will initially affect the 1982 Election ballot.
2. There would be 24 election districts as were designated in 1959.
3. Necessity of 24 separate ballots—one for each of the judicial election districts.
4. Additional 12% inflation for each of the subsequent election years affected (x2 since the Division of Elections operates on a two-year budget cycle).

No additional funds are required beyond the Contractual Services area. Additional postage, printing and advertising costs will be required for the printing of 24 separate ballots, the printing of additional Official Election Pamphlet pages, advertising costs and additional postage for the Pamphlet.

IV. DATE 2/3/82 PREPARED BY Danith D. Arnoldt *Danith D. Arnoldt*  
 AGENCY Division of Elections  
 Original: Legislative Finance PHONE 586-6181  
 cc: Budget and Management  
 Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)  
 33-001 (Rev. 12/81)

FISCAL NOTE

I. REQUEST  
 Bill/Resolution No. CS SB 693 Changing termination date of law ...  
 Title supreme court authority over court facilities  
 Requested by House Judiciary Date 4/20/82

II. FISCAL DETAIL  
 Agency Affected Department of Transportation & Public Facilities  
 Program Category Affected \_\_\_\_\_  
 BRU, Program, Or Subprogram(s) Affected \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Note: If more than one budget component is affected, separate line-item amounts and funding for each component in the analysis section.)

EXPENDITURES (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 82	FY 83	FY 84	FY 85	FY 86	FY 87
100 PERSONAL SERVICES						
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL						
400 COMMODITIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.						
TOTAL	-0-	-0-				

FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

GENERAL FUND						
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER (Specify Source)						

POSITIONS

FULL TIME						
PART TIME						
TEMPORARY						

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instruction, Section III)

The assumption is that there are sufficient funds between the court and DOT/PF.

IV. DATE 4/20/82 PREPARED BY *RL* Ron B. Lind  
 AGENCY DOT/PF  
 Original: Legislative Finance PHONE 465-3900  
 cc: Budget and Management  
 Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)  
 33-001 (Rev. 12/81)

S

B

7

3

2



COMMITTEE REPORT

5/5

HOUSE

Rules

(11)

FURTHER:

4/16/82

Date: 5-4-82

Mr. Speaker:

The Committee on FINANCE has had CSSB 732(Fin)

"An Act relating to mineral extraction from state land; and providing for an effective date."

under consideration and reports it back as follows:

[ ] do pass [ ] do not pass

[ ] do pass with attached amendments(s)

[X] replace with CS for CSSB 732 (RESOURCES) [X] same title [ ] new title

and recommends do pass w/ Resource Letter Intent

~~[X] AND attaches a "Letter of Intent"~~ [X] New Fiscal Note 47.9 Suppl 49

[ ] reports it ba See - Is ation

[ ] referred to t Res Letter of Intent in Journal p. 26 Committee

MEMBERS SIGNING DO PASS

Hansen  
W.B. Thurston  
Shurtan  
Sargent  
Jan  
Jark  
Montgomery

MEMBERS HAVING OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:

Melkins No Rec  
Adams no Rec

Robert A. ...  
CHAIRMAN

COMMITTEE REPORT

4/16

HOUSE

FURTHER

Finance

(7)

4/7/82

Date: April 15, 1982

Mr. Speaker

The Committee on Resources has had CSSB 732 (Fin) "An Act relating to mineral extraction from state land; and providing for an effective date."

under consideration and reports it back as follows:

- [ ] do pass [ ] do not pass
[ ] do pass with attached amendments(s)
[ ] replace with CS for CSSB 732 (Res) [X] same title [ ] new title
and recommends do pass
[ ] AND attaches a Letter of Intent [ ] New Fiscal Note
[ ] reports it back without recommendation
[ ] referred to the Committee

MEMBERS SIGNING DO PASS

Eric G. Sutchyke
Rick Halford
Dan ...
John ...
Ken ...

MEMBERS HAVING OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:

[Empty lines for other recommendations]

Ken ... CHAIRMAN

# MEMORANDUM

# State of Alaska

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES - OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER

TO:

The Honorable Jack Fuller  
Chairman, House Rules Committee

DATE:

May 5, 1982

FILE NO:

TELEPHONE NO:

465-2400

FROM:

Mark Wittow  
Special Assistant



SUBJECT:

HCSSB 732  
OPP Amendments

Commissioner Katz has asked me to forward the following language to you, for use in a proposed Rules Committee Substitute for SB 732. This language would amend the current limitation on the amount of acreage that may be held by possessors of offshore prospecting permits. We have also moved the language from AS 38.05.140 to AS 38.05.250 on the advice of the Dept. of Law. This change should also aid general use of the statute.

We have discussed this proposed amendment with the Alaska Miners Assoc., who have expressed their agreement with it.

The amendment:

1. Delete Section 4 in its entirety, page 2, line 13 through page 3, line 1. Renumber the following sections accordingly.
2. Add the following new language to Section 5, AS 38.05.250(a), at page 3, line 20:

"No person may take or hold offshore prospecting permits for mineral subject to this section exceeding an aggregate of 100,000 acres. No person may hold leases for minerals subject to this section exceeding an aggregate of 46,080 acres."

Please let me know if you or the committee have any questions.

cc: The Honorable Bettye Fahrenkamp  
Chairman, Senate Resources Committee

Eleanor River, Dept. of Law

AMENDED TITLE: CASSB 732(FIN)  
AN ACT RELATING TO MINERAL EXTRACTION FROM STATE LAND;  
AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE

GENERAL DOLLARS: \$47,900 (F. NOTE)  
OTHER DOLLARS: \$0

PRIME SPONSOR: SENATE RESOURCES COMMITTEE.

CO-SPONSORS:

CURRENT STATUS: 4/16/82 IN (H) FINANCE

SB 732 SENATE ACTION 11:56 5/05/82 PAGE 2 OF 3  
DATE SEQ PAGE LEGISLATIVE ACTION

DATE	SEQ	PAGE	LEGISLATIVE ACTION
02/09/82	01	0238	FIRST READING -- COMMITTEE REPORTS
02/22/82	02	0351	RES -- CS06
04/05/82	03	0788	FIN -- CS05
04/05/82	04	0788	FISCAL NOTE SEN SUPP: #24
04/07/82	05	0823	RLS -- OTHER03 TAKEN UP IMMEDIATELY
04/07/82	06	0823	SECOND READING
04/07/82	07	0824	FIN CS ADOPTED BY UNAN CONSENT
04/07/82	08	0824	ADVANCED TO 3RD READING BY UNAN CONSENT
04/07/82	09	0824	SENATE LETTER OF INTENT ADOPTED
04/07/82	10	0824	THIRD READING
04/07/82	11	0825	PASSED BY LIV 18-00-02
04/07/82	12	0825	VOTE ON SECTIONS 6&7 SAME AS PASSAGE
***	**	**	*** ** *

SB 732 HOUSE ACTION 11:56 5/05/82 PAGE 3 OF 3  
DATE SEQ PAGE LEGISLATIVE ACTION

DATE	SEQ	PAGE	LEGISLATIVE ACTION
04/07/82	13	1172	FIRST READING -- COMMITTEE REPORTS
04/16/82	14	1326	RES -- CS03
04/16/82	15	1326	LETTER OF INTENT FINANCE RULES


*Moved by Harford*  
*No Obj.*

LETTER OF INTENT - CSSB 732

The Committee contemplates that the production license will be used solely for the purpose of complying with the requirement of this statute. The license should not be used to impose other restrictions, conditions or fees. Any stipulation not absolutely essential to the implementation of this statute may only be required if necessitated by other applicable law.

The Committee does not contemplate that enactment of this statute will require the Department of Natural Resources to determine the validity of mining claims. In other words, the Department should not challenge claims for failure to have made a valuable discovery on the grounds that to do so is necessary to assure compliance with this statute. The sworn intent of the locator to commence production is sufficient evidence for the purpose of this statute that a valuable discovery has been made. This Act should not be interpreted to preclude the Department from determining, as it now does, that a claim is in good standing. Thus, the Department may continue to check affidavits of annual labor, land status, and other conditions which are necessary to maintain a claim under otherwise applicable law.

The committee does not intend that the Department of Natural Resources will become involved in difficult and protracted conflicts between mining claimants. However, the Commissioner is vested with some discretion to adjudicate minor conflicts which do not involve complicated factual or legal issues. This authority should help reduce legal costs and avoid lengthy litigation where any minor conflict exists. It is contemplated that the Commissioner's discretion will be used sparingly, and that the vast majority of conflicts will be resolved outside of the administrative process.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Ken Fanning", written in dark ink.

Ken Fanning, Co-chairman  
Resources Committee

SENATE

LETTER OF INTENT

CSSB 732(Fin)

The requirement in AS 38.05.250(c), as amended by CSSB 732(Fin), that the commissioner shall automatically extend producing submerged lands leases, also allows the commissioner the discretion, by regulation, to provide for extension of a lease if the lessee satisfactorily demonstrates diligent development of the lease.

The Senate Finance Committee's deletion of language concerning the mining license tax (AS 43.65) in section 2 of the bill occurred at the request of the Department of Revenue. It is the committee's intent that the Department of Revenue undertake a technical analysis of the administration of the existing mining license tax, and that this project shall not address issues beyond the scope of that analysis.

Adopted by Senate as Senate Letter of Intent - 4/7/82

THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA  
TWELFTH LEGISLATURE

FISCAL NOTE

I. REQUEST

Bill/Resolution No. CS.SB 732 (Finance)  
Title Relating to issuance of production licenses of mineral extracts  
Requested by Senate Finance Date 4-2-82

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Agency Affected Department of Natural Resources  
Program Category Affected Management of Mineral Resources  
BRU, Program, Or Subprogram(s) Affected Mineral Development  
(Note: If more than one budget component is affected, separate line-item amounts and funding for each component in the analysis section.)

EXPENDITURES (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 82	FY 83	FY 84	FY 85	FY 86	FY 87
100 PERSONAL SERVICES		38.6	41.6	44.6	48.0	51.6
200 TRAVEL		1.8	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9
300 CONTRACTUAL		6.0	6.0	6.0	6.6	7.3
400 COMMODITIES		0.9	1.0	1.1	1.2	1.3
500 EQUIPMENT		0.6				
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.						
TOTAL		47.9	49.2	52.4	56.0	61.1

FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

GENERAL FUND		47.9	49.2	52.4	56.0	61.1
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER (Specify Source)						

POSITIONS

FULL TIME		1	1	1	1	1
PART TIME						
TEMPORARY						

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instruction, Section III)

The issuance of production licenses for mining operations will consist of four elements: 1) submission of the application by the miner; 2) adjudication of the application by the Division of Minerals and Energy Management (DMEM); 3) publication of notice of intent to issue the production license by DMEM; and 4) issuance of the license by DMEM.

One new position within DMEM is anticipated to be required to handle this administrative load: A Land Management Officer II. In FY 83 this person will prepare regulations and design an appropriate license form in conjunction with the Department of Law. Issuance of the licenses will start in mid-FY 83. It is anticipated that the work load for this project will remain

(Continuing on attached page)

IV. DATE April 5, 1982 PREPARED BY Jeff Haines  
AGENCY Natural Resources, DMEM  
Original: Legislative Finance PHONE 465-2400  
cc: Budget and Management  
Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

6  
relatively steady through FY 87, with the annual workload roughly summarized as follows: FY 83 - adoption of regulations, design of license form initial issuance of licenses; FY 84 - issuance of licenses to miners who "didn't get the word" about the production license requirement; FY 85-7 - issuance of licenses to new mines going into production (new mining locations filed with DMEM increased threefold between 1979 and 1980 and another 80% in 1981; we anticipate that many of these new mining properties will be initiating production in FY 85-7) and to old mines as miners move their operations onto claims that were not licensed in FY 83 and 84.

Expenditures for FY 83 are summarized below:

100	Personal Services	38.6
	Salary and benefits for LMO II	
200	Travel	1.8
	Travel to and from public hearings for adoption of regulations; travel to Fairbanks placer mining seminar for LMO II	
300	Contractual	6.0
	Publication of notices for adoption of regulations and issuance of licenses; printing of production license forms	
400	Commodities	0.9
	Miscellaneous office supplies	
500	Equipment	0.6
	New office equipment for LMO II	
	TOTAL	47.9

Increases in expenditures for FY 84 through 87 reflect anticipated inflation at 7.5% for personal services and roughly 10% for other items. Travel decreases in cost for FY 84 and beyond occur because regulation hearings will no longer be required.

The amendments to the off-shore prospecting permit statutes will have no fiscal impact on the Department.

THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA  
TWELFTH LEGISLATURE

FISCAL NOTE

I. REQUEST  
Bill/Resolution No. CS SB 732 (Finance)  
Title Relating to issuance of production licenses of mineral extraction  
Requested by Senate Finance Date 4-3-82

II. FISCAL DETAIL  
Agency Affected Department of Natural Resources  
Program Category Affected Management of Mineral Resources  
BRU, Program, Or Subprogram(s) Affected Mineral Development  
(Note: If more than one budget component is affected, separate line-item amounts and funding for each component in the analysis section.)

EXPENDITURES (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 82	FY 83	FY 84	FY 85	FY 86	FY 87
100 PERSONAL SERVICES		38.6	41.6	44.6	48.0	51.6
200 TRAVEL		1.8	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9
300 CONTRACTUAL		6.0	6.0	6.0	6.6	7.3
400 COMMODITIES		0.9	1.0	1.1	1.2	1.3
500 EQUIPMENT		0.6				
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.						
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>47.9</b>	<b>49.2</b>	<b>52.4</b>	<b>56.0</b>	<b>61.1</b>

FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 82	FY 83	FY 84	FY 85	FY 86	FY 87
GENERAL FUND		47.9	49.2	52.4	56.0	61.1
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER (Specify Source)						

POSITIONS

	FY 82	FY 83	FY 84	FY 85	FY 86	FY 87
FULL TIME		1	1	1	1	1
PART TIME						
TEMPORARY						

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instruction, Section III)

The issuance of production licenses for mining operations will consist of four elements: 1) submission of the application by the miner; 2) adjudication of the application by the Division of Minerals and Energy Management (DMEM); 3) publication of notice of intent to issue the production license by DMEM; and 4) issuance of the license by DMEM.

One new position within DMEM is anticipated to be required to handle this administrative load: A Land Management Officer II. In FY 83 this person will prepare regulations and design an appropriate license form in conjunction with the Department of Law. Issuance of the licenses will start in mid-FY 83. It is anticipated that the work load for this project will remain

(Continuing on attached page)

IV. DATE April 5, 1982 PREPARED BY Jeff Hoynes  
AGENCY Natural Resources, DMEM  
Original: Legislative Finance PHONE 465-2400  
cc: Budget and Management  
Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)  
33-001 (Rev. 12/81)



SB 132 offshore processing permits

Phil Holdsworth

compromise worked out - ~~it~~ just resolved it  
this morning. not vital, but DNR  
will go along with it

S B

741

COMMITTEE REPORT

HOUSE

5/34

FURTHER:

(5)

5/13/82

Date: May 19, 1982

Mr. Speaker: (Judiciary waived 5/13)

The Committee on Rules has had CSSB 741 (Fin)

"An Act relating to child support enforcement; and providing for an effective date."

under consideration and reports it back as follows:

- do pass  do not pass
- do pass with attached amendments(s)
- replace with CS for \_\_\_\_\_  same title
- and recommends \_\_\_\_\_  new title
- AND attaches a "Letter of Intent"  New Fiscal Note
- reports it back without recommendation
- referred to the \_\_\_\_\_ Committee

MEMBERS SIGNING  
DO PASS

*George J. Mitchell*

*Lee J. Brown*

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

MEMBERS HAVING  
OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:

*Robert W. Farnell*

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

*James J. ...*

CHAIRMAN

AMENDED TITLE: CSSB 741(FIN)  
AN ACT RELATING TO CHILD SUPPORT ENFORCEMENT; AND PROVIDING  
FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE

GENERAL DOLLARS: \$0 (F. NOTE)

PRIME SPONSOR: ELIASON.

OTHER DOLLARS: \$0

CO-SPONSORS:  
CURRENT STATUS: 5/07/82 IN (H) JUDICIARY

DATE	SEQ	PAGE	LEGISLATIVE ACTION
02/11/82	01	0263	FIRST READING -- COMMITTEE REPORTS
03/05/82	02	0466	FIN COMM REFERRAL ADDED BY UNAN CONSENT
03/18/82	03	0604	JUD -- CS02, NR02
05/05/82	04	1152	FIN -- CS04
05/05/82	05	1152	F/NOTE EQUALS ZERO
05/06/82	06	1175	RLS -- OTHER03 TAKEN UP IMMEDIATELY
05/06/82	07	1176	SECOND READING
05/06/82	08	1176	FIN CS ADOPTED BY UNAN CONSENT
05/06/82	09	1176	ADVANCED TO 3RD READING BY UNAN CONSENT
05/06/82	10	1176	THIRD READING
05/06/82	11	1176	PASSED BY DIV 20-00-00
05/06/82	12	1177	EFFECTIVE DATE VOTE SAME AS PASSAGE

\*\*\*\*\*

DATE	SEQ	PAGE	LEGISLATIVE ACTION
05/07/82	13	1730	FIRST READING -- COMMITTEE REPORTS JUDICIARY RULES

\*\*\*\*\*

hinda -

lucky you - you get to  
hold hearings on SB 741 ☺

This is the file we have  
agreed on the Bill. ~~Since~~ Since  
I didn't know we were going  
to voice it I had already  
done all the research on it.  
It is a very straight forward  
Bill and from what I have  
been able to gather it's in  
good <sup>enough</sup> shape to move from  
committee with ~~out~~ changes.

If you have any questions  
give me a call cuz I probably  
had all the same questions you  
may have & have already  
gotten the answers, (well, nobody  
speaking anyway).

Julia  
House Judiciary

# STATE OF ALASKA

## DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER

JAY S. HARMOND, GOVERNOR

POUCH S  
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99811  
PHONE: (907) 465-2300

May 14, 1982

The Honorable John G. Fuller  
Chairman  
House Rules Committee  
Room 204 - Capitol Building  
Juneau, Alaska

Re: CS for Senate Bill No. 741 (Finance)


Dear Mr. Fuller:

CS for Senate Bill No. 741 (Finance), an Act relating to child support enforcement, upon first reading in the House on May 7, 1982 was referred to the House Judiciary Committee.

Subsequently on May 13, 1982, Representative Barnes moved and asked unanimous consent that the Judiciary referral on Committee Substitute for Senate Bill No. 741 (Finance) (relating to child support enforcement) be waived. The Speaker then referred CSSB 741 (Fin) to the Rules Committee for consideration.

For the consideration of the House Rules Committee, I am enclosing a copy of a Fiscal Note prepared by Mr. Dan R. Copeland, Director, Child Support Enforcement Division, Department of Revenue, Anchorage concerning the Committee Substitute.

Sincerely,



R. D. Stevenson  
Special Assistant

Enclosure

cc: Joseph K. Donohue  
Deputy Commissioner  
Department of Revenue

Mr. Dan R. Copeland, Director  
Child Support Enforcement Division  
Department of Revenue

THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA  
TWELFTH LEGISLATURE

FISCAL NOTE

I. REQUEST

Bill/Resolution No. CSSB 741 (FIN)  
 Title "An Act Relating to Child Support Enforcement"  
 Requested by Senator Eliason Date 5/11/82

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Agency Affected Department of Revenue  
 Program Category Affected Revenue Collection and Management  
 BRU, Program, Or Subprogram(s) Affected Child Support Enforcement Division  
 (Note: If more than one budget component is affected, separate line-item amounts and funding for each component in the analysis section.)

EXPENDITURES (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 82	FY 83	FY 84	FY 85	FY 86	FY 87
100 PERSONAL SERVICES	64.0	128.0	140.8	140.8	154.9	154.9
200 TRAVEL	0					
300 CONTRACTUAL	49.4	29.4	32.3	32.3	35.6	35.6
400 COMMODITIES	1.6	1.6	1.8	1.8	1.9	1.9
500 EQUIPMENT	3.4	3.4	3.8	3.8	4.2	4.2
600 LAND & STRUCTURES	0	0	0	0		
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.	0	0	0	0		
TOTAL	118.4	162.4	178.7	178.7	196.6	196.6

FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

GENERAL FUND	*90.0	120.0	130.0	130.0	145.0	145.0
FEDERAL FUNDS	*26.0	40.0	45.0	45.0	46.0	46.0
OTHER (Specify Source)						
Program Receipts	2.4	2.4	3.7	3.7	5.6	5.6

\*Note: Federal funding will be substantially reduced on 7/1/82.

POSITIONS

FULL TIME	*24	5	5	5	5	5
PART TIME						
TEMPORARY						

\*Note: FY 82 5 full time for one-half of a year.

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instruction, Section III)

The additional accounting clerk III for each of the five teams will be responsible for handling the additional penalty and interest information. Currently, each team uses one of their six staff members to certify payment records for use in enforcement action. These payment records are a comparison of the fixed monthly obligation and the payments. The addition of a mandatory late penalty and interest will require a comparison of the monthly obligation, payments, dates of the payment, and a computation of the penalty and interest as completed each month. It is

CSSB 741(Fin) "An Act relating to child support enforcement;  
and providing for an effective date."

This bill passed the Senate on May 6, 20 - 0, with a zero fiscal note. Last week Judiciary Committee waived the bill.

SB 741 adds interest and penalties on overdue child support payments. As there currently are no extra charges for late payments, this amounts to an interest-free loan for the person who is supposed to pay the child support. Sen. Eliason is present to testify on the bill. Also ~~(probably) Paula Liz Cuadra Ziegler~~ with the League of Women Voters.

(Jack: although Judiciary did not hear the bill, staff did do research on it and recommended that it go through as is; doesn't need any changes. They thought it was a good bill.)

# STATE OF ALASKA

## DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER

JAY S. HAMMOND, GOVERNOR

POUCH 5  
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99811  
PHONE: (907) 465-2300

May 18, 1982

The Honorable John G. Fuller, Chairman  
House Rules Committee  
Room 204 -- Capitol Building  
Juneau, Alaska

Re: Withdrawal of Our Fiscal Note to CS SB 741 (Fin)

Dear Mr. Chairman:


Senator Eliason, the primary sponsor of SB 741, has requested this Department to withdraw our fiscal note to this Bill, which was transmitted to your Committee by R. D. Strverson's letter of May 14th. While we do believe that there will be administrative costs associated with this Bill (which were fairly reflected both in the present fiscal note and in our fiscal note that was deleted by the Senate Finance Committee at Seantor Eliason's request), we recognize the risk to the Bill that would be presented by referring it back to House Finance to delete our fiscal note.

Rather than imperil what apparently is one of the Senate's priority pieces of legislation, we hereby withdraw our fiscal note to CS SB 741 (Fin).

In making this withdrawal we acknowledge the difference of opinion that exists about the staff and funding required by this legislation. In the event that our experience in administering the Bill shows that additional personnel and funds are in fact required for its administration, we will include them in our FY 84 budget preparation and may also have to request a supplemental appropriation to cover them in FY 83. However, because those requests (if they need to be made) will be based on actual experience with the Bill, I am hopeful that Senator Eliason and the Legislature would be more willing to provide the requisite funding for its satisfactory implementation.

Thank you very much for your consideration.

Sincerely,



Thomas K. Williams  
Commissioner of Revenue

cc: Senator Eliason  
Dan Copeland

Proposed CSSB 741 (Finance)

Sec. 1 - Allows income assignments to go directly to the family rather than being channeled through the agency when it is unnecessary for the agency to be involved.

Sec. 2 - Allows the judge to choose which party should pay court costs - not necessarily the Obligor. Also adds attorney's fees to those which one party may be ordered to pay.

{ *discretionary/subject to limitations of federal law*  
Sec. 3 & 4 - Established that interest and penalties will be charged on overdue payments

Sec. 5 - (6) Spells out that interest collected will go on with the payment to the family

(7) Penalties collected will be deposited in the general fund

\*\* This is the first of two changes in this CS from the Judiciary CS. It simply ensures it won't be construed as a dedicated fund.

Sec. 6 - Ensures that those paying child support will be able to get a yearly audit of payments they've made if they request one.

Sec. 7 - House-keeping language to keep statutes consistent by including penalties and interest on arrearages under definition of "duty of support".

Sec. 8 - Repeals statute that limits amount of permanent fund dividends which can be attached for a collection of debt to 50%.

Sec. 9 - Making bill effective January 1, 1983.

\*\* This is the second change from Judiciary CS. Gives the Division time to gear up for adding interest and penalties on late payments - can get it on their computer system.

Section 4 - delete shall, may  
to the extent permitted by federal law

SB 741 - Child Support Enforcement

Main Purpose

- Adds interest and penalties on overdue payments
- Currently no extra charge for late payment - so amounts to interest-free loan for obligor
- Family having to pay interest, etc. on their bills which are late due to lack of payment income
- These are legal debts, unfair to have no disincentive to withhold payment
- This bill adds financial incentive for timely payment
  - An effort to encourage private sector to honor its own debts and legal responsibility
- More important than ever with huge cuts in federal aid for child support enforcement being threatened this year

Many states are in process of adding interest and penalties and others investigating the possibility. Our own Child Support Division said they plan to eventually add these provisions, and statute now says they "may" charge interest. I believe we should institute this now and require that the Division implement it.

Other parts of bill are mostly house-keeping matters:

Section 1 - Allows income assignments to go directly to the family rather than be channeled through the agency when that would be an unnecessary step.

Section 2 - Gives the judge the choice of who should pay court costs and adds that the attorney's fees may be included.

Section 6 - Ensures that those paying child support will be able to get a yearly audit of payments they've made if they request one.

Rest of bill deals with addition of penalties and interest on late payments and adds language wherever needed throughout statutes.

Section 5 - Spells out that interest collected will go on with the payment to the family but that penalties

will go to the State. This will help support the State's cost in collecting support on behalf of obligees.

Only two changes in this CS from the Judiciary CS

- (1) Section 5 specifies that penalty money goes to general fund rather than Division. Ensures it won't be construed as dedicated funds.
  
- (2) Added January 1, 1983 effective date  
Allows Division time to gear up for adding interest and penalties on late payments - get it on their computer system.

I propose passage with a zero fiscal note. Original fiscal note uses faulty logic. Both House and Senate budgets approve new positions for Division. Extra staff plus extra time for computer work due to effective date are plenty to handle the changes.

of a point,  
the area bec

purported p... to over... the

# Shirking responsibility to children: A big cause of poverty: Deadbeat dads

by Joan Beck  
Chicago Tribune

**P**RESIDENT REAGAN may or may not be able to get the states to pick up the hot potato of welfare he wants to toss them. But the money to pay for it is still going to come out of one or another of the taxpayers' pockets until we look honestly at one of the major causes of poverty and do something sensible about it.

Poverty in the United States is overwhelmingly a matter of women and children. Two out of three poor adults are women. More than half of poor families are headed by women. The great majority of children getting welfare are poor because they live in households headed by women. The nation's biggest welfare program is Aid to Families With Dependent Children, and its money goes almost entirely to families headed by women.

But it isn't enough just to document the increasing feminization of poverty. Public understanding of the problem needs to go one step further: The problem isn't primarily women. It's men.

Most poor children — 85 percent of those on AFDC — are poor because their father won't (much more often won't than can't) support them. And most women are poor because they are swamped with child-care responsibilities that curtail their ability to work.

Of all the mothers who are single, divorced or separated, 40 percent never get any support at all from the fathers of their children — regardless of the men's income level. Eighty percent of women with court orders for child support haven't received as much money as they are supposed to get. And it doesn't make

reneging fathers earn. Altogether, men who don't live with their children make an average contribution to those kids' support of only 2 to 5 percent of their income.

What's curious is that our society is so tolerant of men who skip out on their kids, and that men — but not women — can shirk their responsibility to their children without social disapproval. What's even more puzzling is that although we complain a lot about welfare costs, we rarely gripe about the men who make them so high by running out on their own offspring.

It's almost taken for granted in some age and socio-economic groups that taxpayers — not fathers — will support children. In some urban areas, more than half of all babies are now born to unmarried women, and a majority of them immediately become the financial responsibility of taxpayers.

An increasing number of social-welfare advocates contend that holding fathers responsible for supporting their children is an outdated, moralistic attitude. This view is often reflected in the courts, where many mothers have difficulty getting support orders from judges who frequently set the payments at only token amounts and do nothing to assure that they will be paid.

It doesn't have to be this way. A federal law passed in 1975 gives states funds to establish the paternity of children receiving AFDC, to find fathers, to get support orders, and to collect support payments. Such collections have been growing steadily since the program started, and in 1980 they totaled \$602.3 million from fathers whose children were getting AFDC money (and another \$871 million for non-AFDC families).

Still, this amounts to only 5.2 percent of AFDC payments nationally — although it ranges from 8 percent in Michigan, where the

program has considerable public support, to 3.4 percent in New York and 1.6 percent in Illinois.

If the administration really wants to cut back on welfare costs without hurting helpless poor people, getting more fathers to support their own children is one useful approach. It is now possible to prove paternity with almost total accuracy, and naming the father could be made a requirement for women who apply for AFDC (as it is in Sweden, for example). Court-ordered child support could even be collected from fathers' paychecks by the Internal Revenue Service or Social Security mechanism.

Many social-welfare leaders, however, would prefer to let the state pick up the tab for the children of absent fathers and to consider single-parent households and government support "normal" regardless of the father's ability to pay.

How destructive and dangerous the results of this attitude can be are already evident. Taxpayers now support about 11 percent of the nation's children, and the number is growing rapidly with the increase in births to single women and the rising rate of divorce. Social attitudes — and tax policies — will have a great deal to do with whether this number will increase. An easy acceptance of fathers who abandon their children will only add to the problem.

Perhaps Reagan was right in proposing to turn welfare costs over to the states. At least that might push them to put more effort into collecting support from absent fathers.

Our society has nothing to gain by diminishing the responsibilities of fathers and shoving them off on single mothers and on other taxpayers who have their own families to support. This is what that easy phrase, "the feminization of poverty," really means.

THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA  
TWELFTH LEGISLATURE

FISCAL NOTE

I. REQUEST

Bill/Resolution No. CS for Senate Bill No. 741 (Finance)  
 Title Act relating to child support enforcement  
 Requested by Senate Finance Committee Date 5/5/82

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Agency Affected Department of Revenue  
 Program Category Affected Revenue Collection and Management  
 BRU, Program, Or Subprogram(s) Affected Child Support Enforcement  
 (Note: If more than one budget component is affected, separate line-item amounts and funding for each component in the analysis section.)

EXPENDITURES (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 82	FY 83	FY 84	FY 85	FY 86	FY 87
100 PERSONAL SERVICES		0				
200 TRAVEL		0				
300 CONTRACTUAL		0				
400 COMMODITIES		0				
500 EQUIPMENT		0				
600 LAND & STRUCTURES		0				
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.		0				
TOTAL		0				


FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

GENERAL FUND		0				
FEDERAL FUNDS		0				
OTHER (Specify Source)		0				

POSITIONS

FULL TIME						
PART TIME						
TEMPORARY						

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instruction, Section III)

IV. DATE May 5, 1982 PREPARED BY   
 AGENCY Don Bennett, Chairman  
 Original: Legislative Finance PHONE Senate Finance Committee  
 cc: Budget and Management 465-3714  
 Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)  
 33-001 (Rev. 12/81)

# ALASKA STATE LEGISLATURE - SENATE



SENATOR RICHARD I. ELIASON  
P.O. BOX 143  
SITKA, ALASKA 99835  
POUCH V  
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99811

COMMITTEES  
FINANCE  
RESOURCES  
STATE AFFAIRS

May 17, 1982

## M E M O R A N D U M

To: Representative Jack Fuller, Chairman  
House Rules Committee

From: Senator Dick Eliason

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Dick".

On May 6, 1982, the Senate unanimously passed CSSB 741, " An Act relating to Child Support Enforcement". This piece of legislation is currently in House Rules Committee.

I believe that CSSB 741 is an important step in addressing the problem of enforcing child support payments. It is fair to obligors and their families and is a way for the State to institute extra incentives to encourage the private sector to pay its own obligations at no actual extra cost to the State.

This bill needs to be passed this legislative session. I urge you to consider scheduling CSSB 741 as soon as possible for a vote on the House floor.

S B

749



KARABELNIKOFF & ASSOCIATES  
2509 EIDE ST., SUITE 6  
ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99503  
276-5577

April 30, 1982

**K&A**

Representative Jack Fuller  
Pouch V  
Juneau, Alaska 99811

Re: Senate Bill 749  
Equity Loans

I am deeply concerned that SB 749 may not pass this session.

I urge you to support priority handling of this Bill because many individuals, businesses and investors will suffer otherwise. Passage of the Bill will allow refinancing, new construction and new facilities, benefiting many of your constituents.

The Bill is not perfect -- I would much prefer no minimum limit for real estate loans because the equity loan would bring relief to the "small" properties valued at \$500,000 or less. The "small" property owner is most troubled in our economy, but a protectionist attitude in the Senate overlooked the fact that any businessman borrowing a quarter million dollars ought to know his business. I would encourage elimination or reduction of the proposed million dollar limit, but I understand that part of something is better than all of nothing. SB 749 MUST PASS in some form.

I am told some people think SB 749 is a "Barker's Bill." Not so. I represent small and large borrowers and I want the Bill passed for the benefit of my clients and the community.

You should know my perspective: I am a Native-born Alaskan independently working for doctors, Native corporations, and local businessmen who need a place to house their firms. I am not an owner, nor do I directly benefit from passage of the Bill. I served on the local Planning Commission and I am deeply interested in encouraging well-conceived projects in Anchorage, Soldotna, Dillingham, Nome, Fairbanks, and Valdez. Without the Equity Bill, these projects will never come to pass.

Your support of SB 749 will be appreciated. Feel free to call if you have any questions.

Best Regards,

*Don Karabelnikoff*

Don Karabelnikoff  
Real Estate Counselor

S

B

7

6

9

COMMITTEE REPORT

HOUSE

4/21  
Rules

FURTHER:

(11)

4/20/82

Date: 4/21/82

Mr. Speaker: (Resources waived 4/19)

The Committee on FINANCE has had CSSB 769 (Fin)

"An Act relating to the use of the power development fund for federal power projects under the energy program for Alaska; approving the Bradley Lake hydroelectric project under AS 44.83.384(c)(1); and providing for an effective date."

under consideration and reports it back as follows:

- do pass  do not pass
- do pass with attached amendments(s)
- replace with <sup>H</sup>CS for CSSB 769 (Fin)  same title  new title
- and recommends do pass
- AND attaches a "Letter of Intent"  New Fiscal Note
- reports it back without recommendation
- referred to the \_\_\_\_\_ Committee

MEMBERS SIGNING

DO PASS

*Strong*

*Stanley*  
*Robert H. Britton*  
*Robert L. Stevens*  
*John Fuller*  
*Frank Long*  
*Joseph W. Anderson*  
*Montgomery*  
*Sam Est*

MEMBERS HAVING

OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:

*Donald Cuddy more*  
*Robert P. Adams No Rec*  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

*Robert P. Adams*  
 CHAIRMAN

AMENDED TITLE: C55B 769(FIN)

AN ACT RELATING TO THE USE OF POWER DEVELOPMENT FUND FOR FEDERAL POWER PROJECTS UNDER THE ENERGY PROGRAM FOR ALASKA; APPROVING THE BRADLEY LAKE HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT UNDER AS 44.83.384(C)(1); & PROVIDING FOR EFF DATE

GENERAL DOLLARS: \$0 (F. NOTE)

PRIME SPONSOR: GILMAN.

OTHER DOLLARS: \$0

CO-SPONSORS:

CURRENT STATUS: 4/19/82 IN (H) FINANCE

SB 769 SENATE ACTION 12:22 4/21/82 PAGE 2 OF 3

DATE	SEQ	PAGE	LEGISLATIVE ACTION
02/16/82	01	0299	FIRST READING -- COMMITTEE REPORTS
03/23/82	02	0652	RES -- CS05
04/13/82	03	0877	FIN -- CS02, NR03
04/14/82	04	0900	RLS -- OTHER04 TAKEN UP IMMEDIATELY
04/14/82	05	0900	LETTER OF INTENT
04/14/82	06	0906	SECOND READING
04/14/82	07	0907	FIN CS ADOPTED BY UNAN CONSENT
04/14/82	08	0907	SENATE LETTER OF INTENT ADOPTED
04/14/82	09	0907	ADVANCED TO 3RD READING BY UNAN CONSENT
04/14/82	10	0907	THIRD READING
04/14/82	11	0907	PASSED BY DIV 20-00-00
04/14/82	12	0907	EFFECTIVE DATE VOTE SAME AS PASSAGE
***	**	**	*** *** ***

SB 769 HOUSE ACTION 12:23 4/21/82 PAGE 3 OF 3

DATE	SEQ	PAGE	LEGISLATIVE ACTION
04/15/82	13	1291	FIRST READING -- COMMITTEE REPORTS
04/19/82	14	1425	MOVED FROM RES TO FIN BY UNAN CONSENT FINANCE RULES
***	**	**	*** *** ***

LETTER OF INTENT

SB 769 - BRADLEY LAKE

BY: SENATE RULES COMMITTEE

It is the intent of the legislature that the Alaska Power Authority proceed to expend funds previously appropriated for the Bradley Lake Project. The legislature also intends that the Authority secure any interim financing available to it under the Energy Program of Alaska in order to keep construction on schedule.

Adopted by Senate as Senate Letter of Intent - 4/14/82

S

B

7

7

/

COMMITTEE REPORT

HOUSE

3/26  
Rules

FURTHER:

(5)

3/15/82

Date: 3-25-82

Mr. Speaker:

The Committee on LABOR & COMMERCE has had CSSB 771(L&C)am

"An Act exempting restaurants, grocery stores, and established fish markets from the labor bond required of fish processors and primary fish buyers; and providing for an effective date."

under consideration and ~~(a majority of the committee)~~ ~~(the committee)~~ reports it back with the following recommendations:

- do pass  do not pass
- do pass with attached amendments(s)
- replace with CS for \_\_\_\_\_  same title  
 new title
- and recommends \_\_\_\_\_
- AND attaches a "Letter of Intent"  ~~New~~ Fiscal Note *attached zero impact to bill*
- reports it back without recommendation
- referred to the \_\_\_\_\_ Committee

MEMBERS SIGNING  
DO PASS

*Jim Ragan*  
*Randy*  
*Robert R. Bell* Randolph  
*Terry Masters*  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

MEMBERS HAVING  
OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:

\_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

*Terry Masters*  
 CHAIRMAN

ALASKA STATE LEGISLATURE - SENATE



SENATOR RICHARD I. ELIASON  
P.O. BOX 143  
SITKA, ALASKA 99838  
POUCH V  
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99811

COMMITTEES  
FINANCE  
RESOURCES  
STATE AFFAIRS

February 23, 1982

MEMORANDUM

To: Senator Bob Mulcahy, Chairman  
Senate Labor and Commerce Committee

From: Senator Dick Eliason *Dick E*

Re: Senate Bill 771

I recently introduced S.B. 771 which would exclude restaurants from obtaining the labor bond which is required of fish processors and primary fish buyers. The bill has been referred to the Labor and Commerce Committee and I would appreciate you scheduling a hearing for it.

Under current statute a restaurant is classified as a primary fish buyer and as a fisheries business as it is the entity "who first actually and physically processes the fishery resource" (AS 43.75.015 (c)) by cooking it. Therefore restaurants must go through all of the procedures to meet requirements for processors. These include filing an "intent to operate" statement with the Fish and Game Department, filing fish tickets with the Fish and Game Department each week, posting a \$10,000 labor bond with the Labor Department, obtaining a "fisheries business license" from the Revenue Department, filing a surety bond or prove lienable property or prepay the tax to the Revenue Department, and must file and pay this raw fish tax to the Revenue Department.

Obviously these are a lot of "hoops to jump through" for a restaurant which simply wants to include fresh seafood on its menu. It is evident to anyone who dines at Alaskan restaurants that it is often difficult to find fresh Alaskan seafood offered. My goal with S.B. 771 is to encourage the availability of good fresh seafood to Alaskans and to visitors to our state.

It is apparent when studying the various requirements for restaurants that the one which is the least necessary and by far the most costly and difficult is the labor bond. The other steps have some justification (management data from fish tickets, payment of the raw fish tax as these fish would go untaxed otherwise, and so on). However, the labor bond is meant to protect fishermen and cannery workers from fly-by-night fish buyers and seasonal operators who might buy fish or hire labor and leave without paying them. This is unnecessary for restaurants and is made even more ridiculous as restaurants already must meet labor protection requirements whether they buy fish or not. The labor bond is also very expensive to obtain, particularly for a small operation.

Currently the Department of Labor does not even bother to enforce the labor bond requirement for restaurants as they feel restaurants buy only small quantities of raw fish and are not likely to default to fishermen. The risk involved with breaking the law, however, may be enough to make some restaurants avoid offering fresh fish. Others, because they can't afford to buy the labor bond, may buy and serve fish but fail to do any of the required steps including paying the rawfish tax. Therefore, the State loses the revenue on the fish which are sold in restaurants.

I believe that the cost of the raw fish tax to a restaurant is so minimal that most would not mind remaining in compliance with all of the tax and paperwork requirements if the one which is the big financial burden, the labor bond, were removed.

Again, my goal is to encourage the availability of fresh Alaskan seafood to the consumer and provide a market alternative to fishermen. I believe we can meet our goals of encouraging availability of seafood, improving compliance with the necessary and purposeful paperwork and tax requirements and simplifying the permitting process by eliminating the labor bond requirement for restaurants.

Under current statute restaurants and grocery stores are classified as primary fish buyers and fisheries businesses when they buy and sell fresh fish.

The current statute requires them to:

- (1) Filing an "intent to operate" statement with the Fish and Game Department
- (2) Filing fish tickets with the Fish and Game Department each week
- (3) Obtaining a "fisheries business license" from the the Revenue Department
- (4) Filing a surety bond or prove lienable property or prepay the tax to the Revenue Department
- (5) Must file and pay this raw fish tax to the Revenue Department
- (6) **AND THE BIGGEST HARDSHIP** - Posting a \$10,000 labor bond with the Labor Department

Labor bond law for fish buyers was put on the books to protect fishermen and workers from fly-by-night fish buyers and seasonal operators who may default them.

There is no need for labor bond for retailers as covered by other labor protection laws.

GOAL of this bill is to encourage availability of good fresh seafood to Alaskans and visitors to our State.

# ALASKA STATE LEGISLATURE - SENATE



SENATOR RICHARD I. ELIASON  
P.O. BOX 143  
SITKA, ALASKA 99835  
POUCH V  
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99811

COMMITTEES  
FINANCE  
RESOURCES  
STATE AFFAIRS

February 23, 1982

## MEMORANDUM

To: Senator Bob Mulcahy, Chairman  
Senate Labor and Commerce Committee

From: Senator Dick Eliason *Dick E*

Re: Senate Bill 771

I recently introduced S.B. 771 which would exclude restaurants from obtaining the labor bond which is required of fish processors and primary fish buyers. The bill has been referred to the Labor and Commerce Committee and I would appreciate you scheduling a hearing for it.

Under current statute a restaurant is classified as a primary fish buyer and as a fisheries business as it is the entity "who first actually and physically processes the fishery resource" (AS 43.75.015 (c)) by cooking it. Therefore restaurants must go through all of the procedures to meet requirements for processors. These include filing an "intent to operate" statement with the Fish and Game Department, filing fish tickets with the Fish and Game Department each week, posting a \$10,000 labor bond with the Labor Department, obtaining a "fisheries business license" from the Revenue Department, filing a surety bond or prove lienable property or prepay the tax to the Revenue Department, and must file and pay this raw fish tax to the Revenue Department.

Obviously these are a lot of "hoops to jump through" for a restaurant which simply wants to include fresh seafood on its menu. It is evident to anyone who dines at Alaskan restaurants that it is often difficult to find fresh Alaskan seafood offered. My goal with S.B. 771 is to encourage the availability of good fresh seafood to Alaskans and to visitors to our state.

Senator Bob Mulcahy  
February 23, 1982  
Page 2

It is apparent when studying the various requirements for restaurants that the one which is the least necessary and by far the most costly and difficult is the labor bond. The other steps have some justification (management data from fish tickets, payment of the raw fish tax as these fish would go untaxed otherwise, and so on). However, the labor bond is meant to protect fishermen and cannery workers from fly-by-night fish buyers and seasonal operators who might buy fish or hire labor and leave without paying them. This is unnecessary for restaurants and is made even more ridiculous as restaurants already must meet labor protection requirements whether they buy fish or not. The labor bond is also very expensive to obtain, particularly for a small operation.

Currently the Department of Labor does not even bother to enforce the labor bond requirement for restaurants as they feel restaurants buy only small quantities of raw fish and are not likely to default to fishermen. The risk involved with breaking the law, however, may be enough to make some restaurants avoid offering fresh fish. Others, because they can't afford to buy the labor bond, may buy and serve fish but fail to do any of the required steps including paying the rawfish tax. Therefore, the State loses the revenue on the fish which are sold in restaurants.

I believe that the cost of the raw fish tax to a restaurant is so minimal that most would not mind remaining in compliance with all of the tax and paperwork requirements if the one which is the big financial burden, the labor bond, were removed.

Again, my goal is to encourage the availability of fresh Alaskan seafood to the consumer and provide a market alternative to fishermen. I believe we can meet our goals of encouraging availability of seafood, improving compliance with the necessary and purposeful paperwork and tax requirements and simplifying the permitting process by eliminating the labor bond requirement for restaurants.

Under current statute restaurants and grocery stores are classified as primary fish buyers and fisheries businesses when they buy and sell fresh fish.

The current statute requires them to:

- (1) Filing an "intent to operate" statement with the Fish and Game Department
- (2) Filing fish tickets with the Fish and Game Department each week
- (3) Obtaining a "fisheries business license" from the the Revenue Department
- (4) Filing a surety bond or prove lienable property or prepay the tax to the Revenue Department
- (5) Must file and pay this raw fish tax to the Revenue Department
- (6) AND THE BIGGEST HARDSHIP - Posting a \$10,000 labor bond with the Labor Department

Labor bond law for fish buyers was put on the books to protect fishermen and workers from fly-by-night fish buyers and seasonal operators who may default them.

There is no need for labor bond for retailers as covered by other labor protection laws.

GOAL of this bill is to encourage availability of good fresh seafood to Alaskans and visitors to our State.

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Agency Affected Labor

Program Category Affected Public Protection

BRU, Program, or Subprogram(s) Affected Labor Standards and Safety

(Note: If more than one budget component is affected, separate line-item amounts and funding for each component in the analysis section.)

EXPENDITURES (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 82	FY 83	FY 84	FY 85	FY 86	FY 87
100 PERSONAL SERVICES						
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL						
400 COMMODITIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.						
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0

FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

GENERAL FUND	0	0	0	0	0	0
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER (Specify Fund Source)						

POSITIONS

FULL TIME	0	0	0	0	0	0
PART TIME						
TEMPORARY						

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

This bill has no fiscal impact on the operations of the Department of Labor.

IV. DATE 3/24/82

PREPARED BY Nico Bus

AGENCY Department of Labor

PHONE 465-2720

Original: Legislative Finance

cc: Budget and Management

Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

DATE	SEC	PAGE	LEGISLATIVE ACTION
03/11/82	01	0520	FIRST READING -- COMMITTEE REPORTS
03/11/82	02	0520	L&C -- CSSE
03/12/82	03	0520	BY -- OTHERS?
			APPROVED IMMEDIATELY
			SECOND READING
			APPROVED IMMEDIATELY BY UNANIMOUS CONSENT
			APPROVED IMMEDIATELY BY UNANIMOUS CONSENT
			APPROVED IMMEDIATELY BY UNANIMOUS CONSENT
03/12/82	04	0520	THIRD READING
03/12/82	05	0520	PASSED BY DIV 17-0-3
03/12/82	06	0520	
****	**	**	***

SB 771 HOUSE ACTION 12:29 3/27/82 PAGE 3 OF 3

DATE	SEC	PAGE	LEGISLATIVE ACTION
03/15/82	01	0703	FIRST READING -- COMMITTEE REPORTS
03/24/82	02	0703	L&C -- BR04
03/26/82	03	0703	LENGTH EQUALS ZERO
			FILED
			***

exempting restaurants, etc. from  
 fish bond

SB 771  
 Eliason

Passed Senate 17-0-3 3/12/82

fiscal note 0, okay  
 modification okay  
 L&C report:

do pass: Rogers, Bylsma, Randolph, Martin

exempts restaurants, grocery stores,  
 & fish markets from labor bond  
 required of fish processors & primary  
 fish buyers

S B

772



COMMITTEE REPORT

4/16

HOUSE

FURTHER: Finance

(7)

4/7/82

Date: April 15, 1982

Mr. Speaker:

The Committee on Resources has had SB 772

"An Act making a special appropriation to the Department of Natural Resources for construction of a plant quarantine station at the plant materials center (AS 03.22) operated in cooperation with the Institute of Agricultural Sciences; and providing for an effective date." under consideration and reports it back as follows:

- [ ] do pass [ ] do not pass
[ ] do pass with attached amendments(s) [ ] same title
[ ] replace with CS for [ ] new title
and recommends
[ ] AND attaches a "Letter of Intent" [ ] New Fiscal Note
[ ] reports it back without individual recommendations
[ ] referred to the Committee

MEMBERS SIGNING DO PASS

Handwritten signatures of committee members who support the bill.

MEMBERS HAVING OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:

Handwritten signatures and notes of committee members with other recommendations.

Signature of the Chairman, Ken Penning.

COMMITTEE REPORT

HOUSE

3/5  
Rules

FURTHER:

5/11 from Rules  
Ret to Finance

(11)

4/16/82

Date:

5-4-82

Mr. Speaker:

The Committee on Finance has had SB 772

"An Act making a special appropriation to the Department of Natural Resources for construction of a plant quarantine station at the plant materials center (AS 03.22) operated in cooperation with the Institute of Agricultural Sciences; and providing for an effective date."

under consideration and reports it back as follows:

do pass [ ] do not pass

[ ] do pass with attached amendments

[ ] replace with CS for \_\_\_\_\_ [ ] same title

and recommends \_\_\_\_\_ [ ] new title

[ ] AND attaches a "Letter \_\_\_\_\_ New Fiscal Note

[ ] reports it back without

[ ] referred to the \_\_\_\_\_ Committee

5/11 from Rules  
Ret to Finance

MEMBERS SIGNING  
DO PASS

MEMBERS HAVING  
OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:

Hansen  
R.B. Whitworth  
Frank [unclear]  
John [unclear]  
John [unclear]  
Sam [unclear]

William No. Rec  
John [unclear] Rec  
William [unclear] - NO Rec  
Montgomery NO Rec

[Signature]  
CHAIRMAN

COMMITTEE REPORT

4/16

HOUSE

FURTHER: Finance

(7)

4/7/82

Date: April 15, 1982

Mr. Speaker:

The Committee on Resources has had SB 772

"An Act making a special appropriation to the Department of Natural Resources for construction of a plant quarantine station at the plant materials center (AS 03.22) operated in cooperation with the Institute of Agricultural Sciences; and providing for an effective date." under consideration and reports it back as follows:

- [ ] do pass [ ] do not pass
[ ] do pass with attached amendments(s) [ ] same title
[ ] replace with CS for [ ] new title
and recommends
[ ] AND attaches a "Letter of Intent" [ ] New Fiscal Note
[ ] reports it back without individual recommendation
[ ] referred to the Committee

MEMBERS SIGNING DO PASS

MEMBERS HAVING OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:

Handwritten signatures of Bill Sutchette and Peter Loney under the 'DO PASS' section.

Handwritten signatures of Ken Penning (No Rec.) under the 'OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS' section.

Handwritten signature of Ken Penning, Co-CHAIRMAN.

AMENDED TITLE:

MAKING A SPEC APPROPRIATION TO THE DEPT OF NATURAL RESOURCES  
 FOR CONSTRUCTION OF A PLANT QUARANTINE STATION AT  
 THE PLANT MATERIALS CNTR(AS 03.22)OPERATED IN COOPERATION  
 WITH THE INSTITUTE OF AGRICULTURAL SCIENCES; ED

GENERAL DOLLARS: \$400,000 (APPROP)

PRIME SPONSOR: KERTTULA.

OTHER DOLLARS: \$0

CO-SPONSORS: BRADLEY.

CURRENT STATUS: 4/16/82 IN (H) FINANCE

SB 772 SENATE ACTION

DATE	SEQ	PAGE	LEGISLATIVE ACTION
02/16/82	01	0299	FIRST READING -- COMMITTEE REPORTS
03/29/82	02	0710	RES -- DP05, NR02
04/06/82	03	0803	FIN -- DP05, NR02
04/06/82	04	0803	FISCAL NOTE EQUALS ZERO
04/07/82	05	0823	RLS -- OTHER03 TAKEN UP IMMEDIATELY
04/07/82	06	0825	SECOND READING
04/07/82	07	0825	ADVANCED TO 3RD READING BY UNAN CONSENT
04/07/82	08	0825	THIRD READING
04/07/82	09	0825	PASSED BY DIV 18-00-02
04/07/82	10	0826	EFFECTIVE DATE VOTE SAME AS PASSAGE
****	**	**	*** *** **

SB 772 HOUSE ACTION

DATE	SEQ	PAGE	LEGISLATIVE ACTION
04/07/82	11	1172	FIRST READING -- COMMITTEE REPORTS
04/16/82	12	1311	RES -- DP02, NR02 FINANCE

# MEMORANDUM

State of Alaska

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES  
AGRICULTURE/PLANT MATERIALS CENTER

DATE: May 3, 1982

TO: Domonic Carney  
Director of Agriculture

FILE NO:

TELEPHONE NO: 745-4469

FROM: Robert H. Parkerson,  
Director of Plant Materials

SUBJECT: Trip Report: Meeting  
W/Dr. Foster; visit to IR-2 Proj.,  
Prosser, WA, and Saanichton Quar.  
& Research Center.

MAY 8 1982

Sig Restad, Deputy Director of the Alaska Agricultural Experiment Station accompanied me on this trip. At Sidney, B.C. we were hosted by Ray Johnson, Section Head, and Dan Thompson, Assistant of the Plant Quarantine Section. Dr Joe Foster, of APHIS at Glendale, MD. joined us for our visit to Saanichton. During the morning of April 20 we had a meeting with Ray and Dan to discuss the role which the Saanichton Quarantine Section may play, in importing material to Alaska and operation of an Alaska Plant Quarantine Station. They are:

1. Direct importation from the station of prohibited or restricted material which has been cleansed and is certified to be virus free.
2. Limited virus indexing (virus testing) of restricted or prohibited material which has been imported to Alaska from other northern latitude sources (Scandinavian). This will take up to two years for the tests.
3. If a cooperative effort is established between Alaska and a Canadian researcher, in which Alaska secured material from other northern countries for use by the Canadian researcher and Alaska, then the Saanichton Station would process the material in the normal manner. In this case virus free material would be made available for Alaska.

At present there is no provision for the Saanichton Facility to accept contracts or grants to process material that is submitted by agencies outside their present responsibility. Their staff is now quite limited but they anticipate the authorization of four new positions, one of which will be professional. After the meeting we toured the facility. In addition to learning about their operation, it provided a "scale" for use in planning an Alaskan facility.

On the afternoon of the 20th we continued our tour, and ended it with a visit to a tissue culture laboratory. In addition to my interest because of present work at the PMC in tissue culture, it was valuable because of its potential to make large quantities of newly imported (and cleaned) material available for trials and distribution. Research there in tissue culture is progressing into the use of virus inhibiting compounds in tissue culture--to cleanse material of virus contamination.

On the morning of April 21, we met with Dr. R.E. Harris, who established the tissue culture lab which we visited, but who is retired. Dr. Harris was interested to hear of Cathy Penny's work in tissue

Mr. Domonic Carney

2

May 3, 1982

culture and expressed interest in providing assistance to her in her work.

On the afternoon of the 21st we traveled to Prosser, Washington to visit the IR-2 Project, but did not have an opportunity to visit the Project until the morning of the 22nd. The IR-2 Project is one of the programs of the Washington State University Research and Extension Center, which is comparable to the Ag Experiment Station. The Project is directed towards removing virus contamination from introduced fruit species for the Washington fruit producing industry. The method, most simply described, involves growing the suspect plant under extremely high (96°F or higher) temperatures so that the virus can not invade the new plant growth. The new growth is then excised and grafted on to virus free root stock--so that theoretically a virus free plant results. In practice the heat treatment is not 100% effective, so that virus indexing, to detect latent virus must be conducted for two years.

Mr. Fred Bottorff, who is Dr. Paul Fridlund's (the project leader) assistant, and who has worked on the Project for many years, showed us the facility. He described the physical process: receiving new material, through heat treatment, and maintenance of virus free "mother plants." Although Mr. Bottorff, was reluctant to commit the Project program to serve Alaskan interests, he did indicate that the Project may do work for "outside" interests. Dr. Fridlund will be contacted to discuss details of work which the Project may undertake in support of an Alaskan facility.

During the afternoon of the 22nd we returned to Pasco, Washington in preparation to return to Alaska on the 23rd. When we returned to Pasco we visited the Pasco Farm Center, Inc., and another implement dealer to look at and discuss farm equipment which may have application to Alaskan agriculture.

#### An Overview: Conclusions and Recommendations

The physical processes of importation, heat treatment indexing, and release for a single genera (i.e., *Malus* apple) at the facilities which were visited were not complicated. As with other things, the case may be more challenging in Alaska because of the State's climate and the necessity for maintaining material over winter. Another factor which will affect an Alaskan quarantine facility is that viruses which show symptoms on fruit will be difficult to detect in Alaska since the fruit varieties which are used as indicators for these

Mr. Domonic Carney

3

May 3, 1982

viruses, are not likely to survive, much less mature and produce fruit in the Alaskan environment. This indexing will, of necessity, be conducted at other facilities.

Facilities needed for an Alaska Quarantine Facility will be: a plant receiving area, fumigation chamber (separate building), a heat-treat room, three screen houses (one each for uncleaned plant material, for freshly heat treated material and one for the maintenance of cleaned "mother plants") and a greenhouse for virus indexing.

Discussions with Dr. Joe Foster, revealed that he feels that arrangements could be made so that a federally sanctioned center could be operated in Alaska. He stressed that it is important for us to realize that in spite of our isolation, we are in the U.S. and that APHIS will require as stringent enforcement of import requirements as anywhere else in the country. He felt that with APHIS approval, prohibited species could be imported directly to Alaska to be placed in a screen house. Here they could remain in quarantine until, they were cleaned and shown to be virus free through heat treating and virus indexing.

It was the opinion of all concerned that a plant pathologist with strong training in virology is very desirable for the operation of the center. The Saanichton Center recently experienced a personnel shakeup and after a year's operation, requires considerable help from experienced virologists. The technique of "reading" virus symptoms requires a trained and experienced eye. A person with virus training will also be of great value to the proposed "seed potato" project.

Though of no relation to the quarantine operation, it was interesting to note that recently the Province of British Columbia had placed a ban, for 30 years, on the planting of potatoes within a limited area of Vancouver Island. This is an effort to combat the infestation of golden nematodes there. This action reflects serious nature of the nematode problem, and is expected to cause hardship for the farmers of an area which once was known for its seed potatoes.

THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA  
TWELFTH LEGISLATURE

FISCAL NOTE

I. REQUEST  
 Bill/Resolution No. SB 772  
 Title Plant quarantine station at Institute of Agricultural Sciences  
 Requested by \_\_\_\_\_ Date April 6, 1982

II. FISCAL DETAIL  
 Agency Affected Department of Natural Resources  
 Program Category Affected \_\_\_\_\_  
 BRU, Program, Or Subprogram(s) Affected \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Note: If more than one budget component is affected, separate line-item amounts and funding for each component in the analysis section.)

EXPENDITURES (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 82	FY 83	FY 84	FY 85	FY 86	FY 87
100 PERSONAL SERVICES		0				
200 TRAVEL		0				
300 CONTRACTUAL		0				
400 COMMODITIES		0				
500 EQUIPMENT		0				
600 LAND & STRUCTURES		0				
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.		0				
TOTAL		0				


FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

GENERAL FUND		0				
FEDERAL FUNDS		0				
OTHER (Specify Source)		0				

POSITIONS

FULL TIME		0				
PART TIME		0				
TEMPORARY		0				

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instruction, Section III)

IV. DATE \_\_\_\_\_ PREPARED BY   
 AGENCY Senate Finance Committee  
 Original: Legislative Finance PHONE 465-3714  
 cc: Budget and Management  
 Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)  
 33-001 (Rev. 12/81)

## SB 772 TITLE &amp; SPONSOR SUMMARY

13:53 5/15/82 PAGE 1 OF 3

## AMENDED TITLE:

MAKING A SPEC APPROPRIATION TO THE DEPT OF NATURAL RESOURCES  
FOR CONSTRUCTION OF A PLANT QUARANTINE STATION AT  
THE PLANT MATERIALS CNTR(AS 03.22)OPERATED IN COOPERATION  
WITH THE INSTITUTE OF AGRICULTURAL SCIENCES; ED

GENERAL DOLLARS: \$400,000 (APPROP)

PRIME SPONSOR: KERTTULA.

OTHER DOLLARS: \$0

CO-SPONSORS: BRADLEY.

CURRENT STATUS: 5/14/82 IN (H) RULES

## SB 772 SENATE ACTION

13:53 5/15/82 PAGE 2 OF 3

DATE	SEQ	PAGE	LEGISLATIVE ACTION
02/16/82	01	0299	FIRST READING --- COMMITTEE REPORTS
03/29/82	02	0710	RES --- DP05, NR02
04/06/82	03	0803	FIN --- DP05, NR02
04/06/82	04	0803	FISCAL NOTE EQUALS ZERO
04/07/82	05	0823	RLS --- OTHER03 TAKEN UP IMMEDIATELY
04/07/82	06	0825	SECOND READING
04/07/82	07	0825	ADVANCED TO 3RD READING BY UNAN CONSENT
04/07/82	08	0825	THIRD READING
04/07/82	09	0826	PASSED BY DIV 18-00-02
04/07/82	10	0826	EFFECTIVE DATE VOTE SAME AS PASSAGE

\*\*\*

\*\*

\*\*

\*\*\* \*\* \*

## SB 772 HOUSE ACTION

13:53 5/15/82 PAGE 3 OF 3

DATE	SEQ	PAGE	LEGISLATIVE ACTION
04/07/82	11	1172	FIRST READING --- COMMITTEE REPORTS
04/16/82	12	1311	RES --- DP02, NR02
05/05/82	13	1654	FIN --- DP06, NR04
05/11/82	14	1790	MOVED FROM RLS TO FIN BY UNAN CONSENT
05/14/82	15	1853	MOVED FROM FIN TO RLS BY UNAN CONSENT RULES RULES

\*\*\*

\*\*

\*\*

\*\*\* \*\* \*

THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA  
TWELFTH LEGISLATURE

FISCAL NOTE

I. REQUEST

Bill/Resolution No. SB 772  
Title Plant quarantine station at Institute of Agricultural Sciences  
Requested by \_\_\_\_\_ Date April 6, 1982

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Agency Affected Department of Natural Resources  
Program Category Affected \_\_\_\_\_  
BRU, Program, Or Subprogram(s) Affected \_\_\_\_\_  
(Note: If more than one budget component is affected, separate line-item amounts and funding for each component in the analysis section.)

EXPENDITURES (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 82	FY 83	FY 84	FY 85	FY 86	FY 87
100 PERSONAL SERVICES		0				
200 TRAVEL		0				
300 CONTRACTUAL		0				
400 COMMODITIES		0				
500 EQUIPMENT		0				
600 LAND & STRUCTURES		0				
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.		0				
TOTAL		0				


FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

GENERAL FUND		0				
FEDERAL FUNDS		0				
OTHER (Specify Source)		0				

POSITIONS

FULL TIME		0				
PART TIME		0				
TEMPORARY		0				

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instruction, Section III)

IV. DATE \_\_\_\_\_ PREPARED BY 

AGENCY Senate Finance Committee

Original: Legislative Finance PHONE 465-3714

cc: Budget and Management

Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

33-001 (Rev. 12/81)

S

B

7

7

8

COMMITTEE REPORT

HOUSE

4/21  
Rules

4/12/82

(5)

FURTHER:

Date: 4/20/82

Mr. Speaker: HEALTH, EDUCATION AND  
The Committee on SOCIAL SERVICES has had CS SSSB 778(SA) am

"An Act relating to vocational rehabilitation programs providing for the operation of vending facilities on public property."

under consideration and reports it back as follows:

- do pass  do not pass
- do pass with attached amendments(s)
- replace with <sup>House</sup> CS for CS SS SB 778 (Hess)  same title  
 new title
- and recommends \_\_\_\_\_
- AND attaches a "Letter of Intent"  Fiscal Note
- reports it back without <sup>independent</sup> recommendation
- referred to the \_\_\_\_\_ Committee

MEMBERS SIGNING  
DO PASS

\_\_\_\_\_

Robert Cato

Frank Benson

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

MEMBERS HAVING  
OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:

\_\_\_\_\_

Terry Anderson - No rec.

A Smith - No rec.

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Frank Benson

CHAIRMAN

HENDED TITLE: SSSB 778  
 N ACT RELATING TO VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION PROGRAMS PROVIDING  
 OR THE OPERATION OF VENDING FACILITIES  
 N PUBLIC PROPERTY

TIME SPONSOR: BRADLEY.  
 O-SPONSORS: FISCHER, RODEY.  
 UURRENT STATUS: 4/12/82 IN (H) HESS

B 778 SENATE ACTION 14:17 4/21/82 PAGE 2 OF 3

DATE	SEC	PAGE	LEGISLATIVE ACTION
3/29/82	01	0712	FIRST READING -- COMMITTEE REPORTS
4/07/82	02	0820	S.A. -- CS03, NR01
4/08/82	03	0838	RLS -- OTHER03
			TAKEN UP IMMEDIATELY
4/08/82	04	0844	SECOND READING
4/08/82	05	0844	S.A. CS ADOPTED BY UNAN CONSENT
4/08/82	06	0844	AM01 ADOPTED BY UNAN CONSENT
4/08/82	07	0845	ADVANCED TO 3RD READING BY UNAN CONSENT
4/08/82	08	0845	THIRD READING
4/08/82	09	0845	PASSED BY DIV 18-00-02
***	**	**	*** ** *

B 778 HOUSE ACTION 14:57 4/21/82 PAGE 3 OF 3

DATE	SEC	PAGE	LEGISLATIVE ACTION
4/12/82	10	1227	FIRST READING -- COMMITTEE REPORTS
			HESS
			DULES
***	**	**	*** ** *



Representative Russ Meekins  
Alaska State Legislature

Pouch V  
Juneau, Alaska 99811

1526 "K" Street  
Anchorage, Alaska 99501  
276-7679

M E M O R A N D U M

DATE: April 22, 1982

TO: Representative Jack Fuller  
Chairman, Rules Committee

FROM: Representative Russ Meekins

SUBJECT: HCS CSSSSSB 778(HESS)  
"An Act relating to vocational rehabilitation  
programs providing for the operation of vending  
facilities on public property."

I would request that the above mentioned bill be held in the Rules Committee and not be scheduled for debate on the floor of the House.

The Department of Education has recently adopted regulations which deal with the same issues addressed in this bill. If SB 778 passes, these regulations will be nullified.

My greatest concern is found on page 2, line 28 where a Committee of Blind Vendors is established. Essentially, this committee would oversee all vendors including those who are severely handicapped. I feel that the severely handicapped should have an equal say over the administrative, policy, and program development decisions made concerning vending facilities which they operate.

Thank you.

*Russ*