

704 HCRA

HB 192 - HB 245

704

08-18-11-02-00 (21-72-9-14-02-00)

## STATE OF ALASKA -- COMPONENT BUDGET ANALYSIS

13:49

1/23/79

CATEGORY: DEVELOPMENT  
AGENCY: DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY & REGIONAL AFFAIRSPROGRAM: COMMUNITY & RURAL DEVELOPMENT  
SUB-PROGRAM: ADMINISTRATION

## \* \* \* \* GOVERNOR ANALYSIS \* \* \* \*

OBJECT GROUP	VARIATION		DESCRIPTION: GOVERNOR VERSUS FY79 ATH
01 PERS. SERV.	3.3	0.8%	SALARY INC, 7.5; SALARY ADJUST, 16.9; RESTATEMENT OF 1% VETO, 1.4; CLOSE FAIRBANKS FIELD OFFICE BY TRANSFERRING ONE FIELD TRAINING OFFICER TO ANCHORAGE AND DELETING A CLERK TYPIST II - PCN 5010, (22.5) (CP#35/35).
02 TRAVEL	6.4	7.0%	INFLATION, 5.4; INCREASE DUE TO ADDITIONAL COST IN TRAVEL FROM ANCHORAGE TO FAIRBANKS, 1.0.
03 CONTRACTUAL	7.7	10.7%	INFLATION, 8.7; REDUCED COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH CLOSURE OF FAIRBANKS OFFICE, (1.0).
04 COMMODITIES	0.2	4.3%	INFLATION, .2.
06 LANDS/BLDG	0.3	50.0%	INFLATION, .3.
** TOTAL	17.9	3.0%	

08-18-11-02-00 (21-72-9-14-02-00)

STATE OF ALASKA -- COMPONENT\_BUDGET\_SUMMARY

13:50

1/23/79

CATEGORY: DEVELOPMENT  
 AGENCY: DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY & REGIONAL AFFAIRS

PROGRAM: COMMUNITY & RURAL DEVELOPMENT  
 SUB-PROGRAM: ADMINISTRATION

EXPENDITURES & FUNDING	FISCAL YEAR 1980												
	(01) FY78 ACT	(02) FY79 ATH	(03) FY79 YTD	(04) RP & SUP	(05) MAINT	(06) REQUES	(07) GOV AHD	(08) GOVERNOR	(09) HOUSE	(10) SENATE	(11) F.C.C.	(12) BILLS	(13) LEG.REC.
01 PERS. SERV.	391.9	425.1		7.5	450.9	450.9		428.4					
02 TRAVEL	49.4	90.8			96.2	96.2		97.2					
03 CONTRACTUAL	86.3	71.7		18.6	80.4	80.4		79.4					
04 COMMODITIES	4.2	4.7			4.9	4.9		4.9					
05 EQUIPMENT	1.8												
06 LANDS/BLDGS	.8	.6			.9	.9		.9					
07 GRANTS, CLMS	226.0												
08 MISC.													
** TOTAL EXPEND	760.4	592.9		26.1	633.3	633.3		610.8					
09 I-A TRANSFER	16.5												
10 FED. RECEIPT	284.0	284.0		18.6	284.0	284.0		284.0					
11 G. F. MATCH	71.0	71.0			71.0	71.0		71.0					
12 GENERAL FUND	405.4	237.9		7.5	278.3	278.3		255.8					
13 PGM RECEIPTS													
14 OTHER FUNDS													
15 FULL-TIME		14.0			14.0	14.0		13.0					
16 PART-TIME													
17 TEMPORARY													
18 MAN-MONTHS		168.0			168.0	168.0		156.0					

REVISED PROGRAMS, GOVERNOR AMENDMENTS, SUPPLEMENTAL & SPECIAL APPROPRIATIONS AND FISCAL NOTES...



## STATE OF ALASKA -- BUDGET UNIT SUMMARY

13:50

1/23/79

CATEGORY: DEVELOPMENT  
AGENCY: STATE BOND COMMITTEE

PROGRAM: DERT SERVICE

COMPONENT DESCRIPTION	FY79 ATH RP & SUP	MAINT	REQUEST	GOV AMD	GOVERNOR	HOUSE	SENATE	F.C.C.	BILLS	LEG.REC.
REMOTE HOUSING	232.4	227.6	227.6		227.6					
PORT FACILITIES	1782.5	2296.4	2296.4		2296.4					
WATERS AND HARBORS	890.8	1054.0	1054.0		1054.0					
NATURAL DISASTER	385.0	384.5	384.5		384.5					
** TOTAL	3290.7	3962.5	3962.5		3962.5					
** CHANGE VERSUS FY79 ATH			20.4%	-100.0%	20.4%	-100.0%	-100.0%	-100.0%		
OBJECT DESCRIPTION										
MISC.	3290.7	3962.5	3962.5		3962.5					
FUNDING SOURCE										
GENERAL FUND	3290.7	3962.5	3962.5		3962.5					
** GENERAL FUND CHANGE VS. FY79 ATH			20.4%	-100.0%	20.4%	-100.0%	-100.0%	-100.0%		
POSITIONS										

08-21-01-01-00 (35-79-1-01-01-00)

STATE OF ALASKA -- COMPONENT BUDGET ANALYSIS

13:50

1/23/79

CATEGORY: DEVELOPMENT  
AGENCY: STATE BOND COMMITTEE

PROGRAM: DEBT SERVICE  
SUB-PROGRAM: REMOTE HOUSING

\*\*\*\*\* GOVERNOR ANALYSIS \*\*\*\*\*

OBJECT GROUP	VARIATION		DESCRIPTION: GOVERNOR VERSUS FY79 ATH
08 MISC.	-4.8	-2.1%	REDUCTION IN REQUIREMENT, (4.8).
** TOTAL	-4.8	-2.1%	

08-21-01-01-00 (135-79-1-01-01-00)  
 CATEGORY: DEVELOPMENT  
 AGENCY: STATE BOND COMMITTEE

STATE OF ALASKA -- COMPONENT\_BUDGET\_SUMMARY

13:50

1/23/79

PROGRAM: DEBT SERVICE  
 SUB-PROGRAM: REMOTE HOUSING

----- FISCAL YEAR 1980 -----

EXPENDITURES & FUNDING	(01) FY78 ACT	(02) FY79 ATH	(03) FY79 YTD	(04) RP & SUP	(05) MAINT	(06) REQUEST	(07) GOV AMD	(08) GOVERNOR	(09) HOUSE	(10) SENATE	(11) F.C.C.	(12) BILLS	(13) LEG. REC.
01 PERS. SERV.													
02 TRAVEL													
03 CONTRACTUAL													
04 COMMODITIES													
05 EQUIPMENT													
06 LANDS/BLDGS													
07 GRANTS, CLMS													
08 MISC.	238.0	232.4			227.6	227.6		227.6					
** TOTAL EXPEND	238.0	232.4			227.6	227.6		227.6					
09 I-A TRANSFER													
10 FED. RECEIPT													
11 G. F. MATCH													
12 GENERAL FUND	238.0	232.4			227.6	227.6		227.6					
13 PGM RECEIPTS													
14 OTHER FUNDS													
15 FULL-TIME													
16 PART-TIME													
17 TEMPORARY													
18 MAN-MONTHS													

08-21-01-02-00 (35-79-1-01-02-00)

STATE OF ALASKA -- COMPONENT BUDGET ANALYSIS

13:50

1/23/79

CATEGORY: DEVELOPMENT  
AGENCY: STATE BOND COMMITTEE

PROGRAM: DEBT SERVICE  
SUB-PROGRAM: PORT FACILITIES

\* \* \* \* GOVERNOR ANALYSIS \* \* \* \*

OBJECT GROUP	VARIATION		DESCRIPTION: GOVERNOR VERSUS FY79 ATH
08 MISC.	513.9	28.8%	INCREASE IN REQUIPEMENT, 513.9.
** TOTAL	513.9	28.8%	

08-21-01-02-00 (35-79-1-01-02-00)

STATE OF ALASKA -- COMPONENT\_BUDGET\_SUMMARY

13:50

1/23/79

CATEGORY: DEVELOPMENT  
 AGENCY: STATE BOND COMMITTEE

PROGRAM: DEBT SERVICE  
 SUB-PROGRAM: PORT FACILITIES

----- F I S C A L Y E A R 1 9 8 0 -----

EXPENDITURES & FUNDING	(01) FY79 ACT	(02) FY79 ATH	(03) FY79 YTD	(04) RP & SUP	(05) MAINT	(06) REQUEST	(07) GOV AND	(08) GOVERNOR	(09) HOUSE	(10) SENATE	(11) F.C.C.	(12) BILLS	(13) LEG.REC.
01 PERS. SERV.													
02 TRAVEL													
03 CONTRACTUAL													
04 COMMODITIES													
05 EQUIPMENT													
06 LANDS/BLOGS													
07 GRANTS, CLMS													
08 MISC.	1403.9	1782.5			2296.4	2296.4		2296.4					
** TOTAL EXPEND	1403.9	1782.5			2296.4	2296.4		2296.4					
09 I-A TRANSFER													
10 FED. RECEIPT													
11 G. F. MATCH													
12 GENERAL FUND	1403.9	1782.5			2296.4	2296.4		2296.4					
13 PGM RECEIPTS													
14 OTHER FUNDS													
15 FULL-TIME													
16 PART-TIME													
17 TEMPORARY													
18 MAN-MONTHS													

08-21-01-03-00 (35-79-1-01-03-00)

STATE OF ALASKA -- COMPONENT BUDGET ANALYSIS

13:50

1/23/79

CATEGORY: DEVELOPMENT  
AGENCY: STATE BOND COMMITTEE

PROGRAM: DEPT SERVICE  
SUB-PROGRAM: WATER AND HARBORS

\* \* \* \* GOVERNOR ANALYSIS \* \* \* \*

OBJECT GROUP	VARIATION		DESCRIPTION: GOVERNOR VERSUS FY79 ATH
08 MISC.	163.2	18.3%	INCREASE IN EQUIPMENT, 163.2.
** TOTAL	163.2	18.3%	

08 11-01-03-00 (35-79-1-01-03-00)

STATE OF ALASKA -- COMPONENT\_BUDGET\_SUMMARY

13:50

1/23/79

CATEGORY: DEVELOPMENT  
 AGENCY: STATE BOND COMMITTEE

PROGRAM: DEBT SERVICE  
 SUB-PROGRAM: WATERS AND HARBORS

----- FISCAL YEAR 1980 -----

EXPENDITURES & FUNDING	(01) FY78 ACT	(02) FY79 ATH	(03) FY79 YTD	(04) RP & SUP	(05) MAINT	(06) REQUEST	(07) GOV AND	(08) GOVERNOR	(09) HOUSE	(10) SENATE	(11) F.C.C.	(12) BILLS	(13) LEG. REC.
01 PERS. SERV.													
02 TRAVEL													
03 CONTRACTUAL													
04 COMMODITIES													
05 EQUIPMENT													
06 LANDS/BLDGS													
07 GRANTS, CLMS													
08 MISC.	534.9	890.8			1054.0	1054.0		1054.0					
** TOTAL EXPEND	534.9	890.8			1054.0	1054.0		1054.0					
09 I-A TRANSFER													
10 FED. RECEIPT													
11 G. F. MATCH													
12 GENERAL FUND	534.9	890.8			1054.0	1054.0		1054.0					
13 PGM RECEIPTS													
14 OTHER FUNDS													
15 FULL-TIME													
16 PART-TIME													
17 TEMPORARY													
18 MAN-MONTHS													

08-21-01-04-00 (35-79-1-01-04-00)

STATE OF ALASKA -- COMPONENT BUDGET ANALYSIS

13:50

1/23/79

CATEGORY: DEVELOPMENT  
AGENCY: STATE BOND COMMITTEE

PROGRAM: DEBT SERVICE  
SUB-PROGRAM: NATURAL DISASTER

\* \* \* \* GOVERNOR ANALYSIS \* \* \* \*

OBJECT GROUP	VARIATION		DESCRIPTION: GOVERNOR VERSUS FY79 ATH
08 MISC.	-0.5	-0.12	REDUCTION IN REQUIREMENT, (.5).
** TOT/L	-0.5	-0.12	

08-21-01-04-00 (35-79-1-01-04-00)

STATE OF ALASKA -- COMPONENT\_BUDGET\_SUMMARY

13:30

1/23/79

CATEGORY: DEVELOPMENT  
 AGENCY: STATE BOND COMMITTEE

PROGRAM: DEBT SERVICE  
 SUB-PROGRAM: NATURAL DISASTER

----- F I S C A L   Y E A R   1 9 8 0 -----

EXPENDITURES & FUNDING	(01) FY78 ACT	(02) FY79 ATH	(03) FY79 YTD	(04) SUP	(05) MAINT	(06) REQUEST	(07) GOV AMD	(08) GOVERNOR	(09) HOUSE	(10) SENATE	(11) F.C.C.	(12) BILLS	(13) LEG.REC.
01 PERS. SERV.													
02 TRAVEL													
03 CONTRACTUAL													
04 COMMODITIES													
05 EQUIPMENT													
06 LANDS/BLDGS													
07 GRANTS, CLMS													
08 MISC.	385.0	385.0			384.5	384.5		384.5					
** TOTAL EXPEND	385.0	385.0			384.5	384.5		384.5					
09 I-A TRANSFER													
10 FED. RECEIPT													
11 G. F. MATCH													
12 GENERAL FUND	385.0	385.0			384.5	384.5		334.5					
13 PGM RECEIPTS													
14 OTHER FUNDS													
15 FULL-TIME													
16 PART-TIME													
17 TEMPORARY													
18 MAN-MONTHS													

LGAD Staff Members

December 23, 1978

Thru: William Pritchard *W. Pritchard*  
Local Government Supervisor

Mary Foster *M. Foster*  
Administrative Assistant

FY 79 State Revenue  
Sharing Program

Attached is a list of all municipalities and VFD's that submitted FY 79 State Revenue Sharing applications, and the initial entitlement amount for each. Currently, the entitlements total \$28,848,528 while the FY 79 appropriation is only \$18,688,000.

*28,848,528*  

---

*18,688,000*

Attachments

cc: Dee Barr, Anchorage  
w/Attachments

FY 79 State Revenue Sharing Initial Entitlement Amounts  
Municipalities

City and Borough of Juneau	\$851,188	Alliakaket	\$9,028
City and Borough of Sitka	\$423,798	Ambler	\$24,657
Municipality of Anchorage	\$9,939,526	Anderson	\$18,270
North Slope Borough	\$296,722	Angoon	\$26,373
Bristol Bay Borough	\$29,651	Aniak	\$25,209
Fairbanks North Star Borough	\$1,228,600	Atnautluak	\$28,674
Kenai Peninsula Borough	\$324,052	Bethel	\$271,735
Ketchikan Gateway Borough	\$96,620	Buckland	\$9,352
Kodiak Island Borough	\$219,227	Chefornak	\$7,189
Matanuska-Susitna Borough	\$207,373	Chevak	\$10,188
Haines Borough	\$2,927	Chuathbaluk	\$9,563
Cordova	\$226,999	Deering	\$8,402
Fairbanks	\$1,728,487	Delta Junction	\$34,292
Kenai	\$252,089	Diomede	\$6,419
Ketchikan	\$406,130	Eagle	\$9,384
Kodiak	\$218,516	Eek	\$9,779
North Pole	\$29,891	Emmonak	\$22,408
Palmer	\$179,990	Fort Yukon	\$58,784
Petersburg	\$213,797	Fortuna Ledge	\$10,524
Seward (late)	\$149,425*	Gambell	\$15,528
Valdez	\$303,413	Golovin	\$3,958
Wrangell	\$215,383	Goodnews Bay	\$7,810
Barrow	\$45,392	Grayling	\$484
Craig	\$30,070	Holy Cross	\$19,232
Dillingham	\$64,716	Hooper Bay	\$18,533
Galena	\$42,344	Houston	\$43,928
Haines	\$66,028	Hughes	\$1,638
Homer	\$83,504	Huslia	\$40,776
Hoonah	\$52,062	Kaktovik	\$3,210
Hydaburg	\$14,950	Kaltag	\$8,997
Kake	\$20,256	Kasaan	\$4,575
King Cove	\$27,559	Kiana	\$22,256
Klawock	\$15,741	Kivalina	\$12,789
Nenana	\$36,886	Kotlik	\$11,941
Nome	\$392,581	Kotzebue	\$141,774
Pelican	\$10,232	Koyuk	\$10,296
Saint Mary's	\$45,588	Koyukuk	\$7,423
Sand Point	\$48,758	Kupreanof	\$414
Seldovia	\$34,157	Kwethluk	\$19,341
Skagway	\$49,317	Manokotak	\$11,836
Soldotna	\$121,817	McGrath	\$21,253
Unalaska	\$104,530	Mekoryuk	\$11,608
Yakutat	\$19,853	Mountain Village	\$28,041
Akhiok	\$1,291	Napakiak	\$14,615
Akiachak	\$30,905	Napaskiak	\$12,510
Akiak	\$8,100	New Stuyahok	\$12,174
Akolmiut	\$86,074	Newtok	\$4,905
Alakanuk	\$31,363	Nightmute	\$4,300
		Nikolai	\$3,212
		Nondalton	\$4,137
		Ilorvik	\$22,986
		Nulato	\$19,962
		Old Harbor	\$17,739
		Ouzinkie	\$3,503

FY 79 State Revenue Sharing Initial Entitlement Amounts  
Municipalities

Pilot Station	\$12,320
Platinum	\$1,847
Port Alexander	\$1,310
Port Heiden	\$56,829
Port Lions	\$11,791
Quinhagak	\$9,359
Ruby	\$3,662
Russian Mission	\$9,955
Saint Michael	\$14,214
Saint Paul	\$85,145
Savoonga	\$15,569
Saxman	\$6,254
Scammon Bay	\$14,287
Selawik	\$10,965
Shageluk	\$15,800
Shaktolik	\$24,457
Shishmaref	\$18,010
Shungnak	\$5,350
Stebbins	\$5,540
Tanana	\$33,515
Teller	\$12,123
Tenakee Springs	\$5,657
Togiak	\$13,543
Toksook Bay	\$16,775
Tuluksak	\$5,105
Tununak	\$12,780
Unalakleet	\$46,595
Wainwright	Entitlement Denied
Wasilla	\$78,326

\*The City of Seward's application was not considered. However, hospital and health facility monies applied for by the Kenai Peninsula Borough are being paid directly to Seward, as the City has and exercises the health power.

FY 79 Revenue Sharing Initial Entitlement Amounts  
Volunteer Fire Department's

Akutan	\$663
Arctic Village	\$1284
Atka	\$768
Birch Creek	\$345
Chalkyitsik	Entitlement Denied
Chignik Lake	Entitlement Denied
Copper Center (late)	Application Not Considered
Cordova	\$3839
Crooked Creek	\$1074
Delta Junction	\$6254
Glennallen	\$9336
Kipnuk	\$3471
Koliganek	\$1364
Kongiganak	Entitlement Denied
Levelock	\$900
Metlakatla	\$8393
Meyers Chuck	\$293
Minto	\$1846
Nenana	\$2809
Nome	\$2457
Pelican	\$250
Rampart	\$642
Saint George Island	\$1667
Skagway	\$387
Stevens Village	Entitlement Denied
Telida	\$321 (organizational grant)
Tok	\$7366
Tri-Valley	\$7182
Tuntutuliak	\$2340
Twin Hills	\$720
Yakutat	\$1016

BILL WORK SHEET

COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY AND REGIONAL AFFAIRS

Received from \_\_\_\_\_

BILL NO. HB 192 re Revenue Sharing

Original Sponsor Hardness Anderson, Miller Fiscal Note \_\_\_\_\_

Contacts:

*Lee Sharp 6-3300*

*Sunny Chitwood*

*Chitwood 3738*

LAA Legal Research contact: \_\_\_\_\_



February 25, 1980

The Honorable Bill Parker  
Pouch V  
Juneau AK 99811

Dear Representative Parker:

I have been working in local government for the Kuskokwim Native Association villages over the past three years. During that time I have seen much development in each village's capability to handle its own affairs. However, one continuing problem is that of generating local revenue. This problem is extremely severe in those villages that are unincorporated, or lacking city status.

These unincorporated villages receive no state revenue sharing money, other than for their Volunteer Fire Department, a mere \$7.50/capita. Yet many of these same villages maintain their own village clinic, and have CETA paid police and recreation directors but are not eligible for state revenue sharing in these areas.

As you are probably aware, the incorporated cities at the same time, receive state revenue sharing payments for the following services

- Police Protection
- Fire Protection
- Water or Air Pollution Control
- Land Use Planning
- Parks and Recreation
- Transportation Facilities
- Public and Ice Road Maintenance
- Health Facilities (such as village clinics)
- Health Services
- Hospitals
- State Construction Aid

What I am proposing is that the legislature look into the possibility of providing state revenue sharing monies to village serving an area not in an organized borough or city. These unincorporated villages, of which there are over 100 in Alaska should also be entitled to state revenue to manage their own local government affairs.

Most of the villages would not be eligible for the full range of payments since many may not have a public road system, sewer or water systems, or sophisticated transportation facilities; however, most do operate their own health clinics and attempt to provide police or recreation services, in addition to the already funded fire protection programs.


I believe the fiscal note attached to such an amendment to Chapter 18 of the Alaska Statutes would be small, especially considering the local government management experience that these villages would be encountering by administering these state revenue sharing funds.

At a time when the legislature is considering establishing regional units within the Unorganized Borough, I believe that we need to first strengthen the village local government capabilities.

I would appreciate your consideration of this proposal. If you would like to discuss it further with me, please write or call.

Thank you.

Sincerely,



Cheryl Jerabek  
Self-Determination/Local Government  
Director

cc: Members of the Bush Caucus  
Members of the Senate & House Committee on  
Community & Regional Affairs

FINAL  
ANNUAL REPORT  
FISCAL YEAR 1978

STATE AID TO LOCAL GOVERNMENTS  
MUNICIPAL SERVICES REVENUE SHARING PROGRAM  
(AS 43.18.010 - 045)

STATE OF ALASKA  
DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND REGIONAL AFFAIRS  
DIVISION OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT ASSISTANCE  
POUCH B  
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99811  
AUGUST 1978

Expenditures for the State Aid to Local Governments Municipal Services Revenue Sharing Program during the period July 1, 1977 to June 30, 1978 are as follows:

GRANT ENTITLEMENTS BY CLASSIFICATION  
OF MUNICIPAL SERVICES

	<u>Applicants Participating</u>	<u>Approved Entitlements</u>
Police Protection	85	\$ 3,006,690
Fire Protection	125	2,649,333
Air/Water Pollution	46	743,802
Land Use Planning	29	737,622
Parks and Recreation	100	1,877,997
Transportation Facilities	39	2,179,793
Road Maintenance		
Public Roads	77	1,856,273
Ice Roads	6	106,389
Health Services	11	545,622
Hospitals	13	1,522,000
Health Facilities	59	997,000
Construction Aid Matching Funds	3	<u>947,500</u>
Entitlements		\$17,170,021
Cost-of-Living Allowance (COLA)		<u>1,168,919</u>
Total Entitlements		\$18,338,940
Less Prior Year Adjustments		<u>154,730</u>
Final Entitlements		\$18,184,210

GRANT ENTITLEMENTS BY CLASSIFICATION  
OF RECIPIENT

	<u>Applicants Participating</u>	<u>Approved Entitlements</u>
Organized Boroughs	7	\$ 2,296,982
Unified Governments	3	9,499,734
Home Rule Cities	11	3,004,872
First Class Cities	20	935,776
Second Class Cities	80	1,381,646
Unorganized Borough Fire Protection Groups	<u>23</u>	<u>51,011</u>
Entitlements	144	\$17,170,021
Cost-of-Living Allowance (COLA)		<u>1,168,919</u>
Total Entitlements		\$18,338,940
Less Prior Year Adjustments		<u>154,730</u>
Final Entitlements		\$18,184,210

RATES USED TO COMPUTE GRANT ENTITLEMENTS  
UNDER THE STATE AID TO LOCAL GOVERNMENTS  
MUNICIPAL SERVICES REVENUE SHARING PROGRAM

<u>Municipal Services</u>	<u>Rates</u>
Police Protection	\$12 per capita
Military	6 per capita
Fire Protection	\$7.50 per capita
Air/Water Pollution	\$2 per capita
Land Use Planning	\$2 per capita
Parks and Recreation	\$5 per capita
Military	1.25 per capita
Transportation Facilities	\$5 per capita
Military	2.50 per capita
Road Maintenance	
Public Roads	\$1,500 per mile*
Ice Roads	900 per mile**
Health Services	\$2 per capita
Hospitals	\$75,000 per hospital*
	25,000 per hospital**
	1,000 per bed***
Health Facilities	\$4,000 per facility*
	1,000 per bed**
Construction Aid	\$2,500 per bed

DEFINITIONS

COLA - means cost-of-living allowance used in computing total grant entitlements.

FY 78 - means the fiscal year ending June 30, 1978.

FY 77 - means the fiscal year ending June 30, 1977.

	Total for Boroughs & Unified Govt's	Total for Cities	Total for Fire Protect- ion Groups	Total FY 1978 Entitlements
Police	\$ 1,693,878	\$ 1,312,812		\$ 3,006,690
Fire	1,774,434	823,888	\$ 51,011	2,649,333
Air/Water	576,848	166,954		743,802
Land Use Planning	680,342	57,280		737,622
Parks & Recreation	1,472,743	405,254		1,877,997
Transportation	1,889,378	290,415		2,179,793
Roads	837,255	1,125,407		1,962,662
Health Services	433,338	112,284		545,622
Hospitals	929,000	593,000		1,522,000
Health Facilities	687,000	310,000		997,000
Construction Aid	822,500	125,000		947,500
Entitlements	11,796,716	5,322,294	51,011	17,170,021
COLA	311,660	848,039	9,220	1,168,919
Total Entitlements	\$12,108,376	\$ 6,170,333	\$60,231	\$18,338,940
Less Prior Year Adjustments				<u>154,730</u>
Final Entitlements				\$18,184,210
TOTAL APPROPRIATED TO THE REVENUE SHARING ACCOUNT				\$18,656,800
FINAL DISBURSEMENT				<u>18,184,210</u>
BALANCE				\$ 472,590

Municipality	Pop.	Police	Fire	Air/Water Pollution	Land Use Planning	Parks and Recreation	Transportation	Roads	Health Services	Hospital Facilities	Health Facilities	Constr. Aid	Entitlement	CDLA	Total Entitlement	Prepayments and FY 77 Adjustments	Final Payments
<b>BOROUGHES &amp; UNIFIED GOV'TS</b>																	
Anchorage, Municipality of	180,653	(1,386,030)	(1,351,620)	(361,306)	(361,306)	(922,499)	(1,329,535)	562,455*	(361,306)	404,000***	40,000* 476,000**	822,500	8,378,557	-0-	8,378,557	3,941,947	4,436,610
Chugiak	5,876		44,070	11,752	11,752	29,380	29,380		11,752								
City Service Area	68,119	817,428	510,893	136,238	136,238	340,595	681,190		136,238								
Girdwood	600		4,500	1,200	1,200	3,000	3,000		1,200								
Glenn Alps	65			130	130		325		130								
Eagle River	7,182		53,865	14,364	14,364	35,910	35,910		14,364								
Muldoon	23,345		175,087	46,690	46,690	116,725	116,725		46,690								
Rabbit Creek	6,532		48,990	13,064	13,064	32,660	32,660		13,064								
Sand Lake	18,915		141,863	37,830	37,830	94,575	94,575		37,830								
Hillside	8,937		67,027	17,874	17,874	44,685	44,685		17,874								
Spennard	38,816	465,792	291,120	77,632	77,632	194,080	194,080		77,632								
Outside All Serv. Areas	372			744	744		1,860		744								
Oceanview	1,894		14,205	3,788	3,788	9,470	9,470		3,788								
Military	17,135	102,810				21,419	85,675										
Juneau, City and Borough of	20,465	(106,380)	(152,010)	(38,062)	(40,930)	(102,325)	(102,325)	74,100*	(40,930)	75,000*	4,000* 15,000**		751,062	-0-	751,062	357,179	393,883
Service Area #1	7,259	87,108	54,443	14,518	14,518	36,295	36,295		14,518								
Service Area #2	1,606	19,272	12,045	3,212	3,212	8,030	8,030		3,212								
Service Area #3	197				394	985	985		394								
Service Area #4	1,370		10,275	2,740	2,740	6,850	6,850		2,740								
Service Area #5	8,369		62,767	16,738	16,738	41,845	41,845		16,738								
Service Area #6	719		5,393		1,438	3,595	3,595		1,438								
Service Area #7	427		3,202	854	854	2,135	2,135		854								
Service Area #8	518		3,885		1,036	2,590	2,590		1,036								
Sitka, City and Borough of	7,650	91,800	57,375		15,300	38,250	38,250	21,840*	15,300	75,000*	4,000* 13,000**		370,115	13,879	383,994	173,551	210,443
Bristol Bay Borough	1,311		9,833		2,622	6,555		6,630*					25,640	6,731	32,371	-0-	32,371
Fairbanks North Star Borough	60,227		(102,578)	120,454	120,454	301,135	301,135	75,795*					1,044,033	156,605	1,200,638	554,893	645,745
Military Annex	5,995					7,494	14,988										
North Star Fire Area	6,500		16,750														
University Fire Area	7,177		53,828														
Kenai Peninsula Borough	24,611			49,222	49,222					225,000*	4,000* 64,000**		437,759	32,832	470,591	-0-	470,591
Nikiski Fire Area	3,512		26,340														
North Kenai Rec. Area	3,995					19,975											
Ketchikan Gateway Borough	11,490				22,980		57,450						84,555	-0-	84,555	41,479	43,076
Shoreline Fire Area	550		4,125														
Kodiak Island Borough	7,901		(12,300)		15,802	9,890		13,500*	15,802	75,000*	20,000* 31,000**		193,294	14,497	207,791	726	207,065
Fire District #1	1,546		11,595														
Karluk Fire Area	94		795														
Matanuska-Susitna Borough	16,724		(58,253)		33,448	64,620		53,955		75,000*			285,276	10,698	295,974	-0-	295,974
Butte Fire Area	1,742		13,065														
Palmer Fire Area	2,485		18,638														
Sutton Fire Area	569		4,267														
Wasilla Fire Area	2,971		22,283														
North Slope Borough	9,139	109,668		(7,804)	18,278		45,695	28,980*			16,000*		226,425	76,418	302,843	173,867	128,976
Mainwright Sewer Area	398			796													
Deadhorse Sewer Area	3,504			7,008													
<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>1,693,878</b>	<b>1,774,434</b>	<b>576,848</b>	<b>680,342</b>	<b>1,472,743</b>	<b>1,889,378</b>	<b>837,255</b>	<b>433,338</b>	<b>929,000</b>	<b>687,000</b>	<b>822,500</b>	<b>11,796,716</b>	<b>311,660</b>	<b>12,108,376</b>	<b>5,243,642</b>	<b>6,864,734</b>

Municipality	Pop.	Police	Fire	Air/Water Pollution	Land Use Planning	Parks and Recreation	Transportation	Roads	Health Services	Hospital Facilities	Health Facilities	Constr. Aid	Entitlement	COLA	Total Entitlement	Prepayments and FY 77 Adjustments	Final Payment
<b>CITIES</b>																	
Akiak	102	DID NOT SUBMIT AN APPLICATION															
Akiachak	354	4,248	2,655			1,770		3,000* 8,100**			4,000*		23,773	7,132	30,905	-0-	30,905
Akiak	165	DID NOT SUBMIT FINANCIAL STATEMENT															
Akolmiut	608	7,296	4,560			3,046		40,275**			8,000*		63,171	18,951	82,122	68,784	13,338
Aiakanuk	527	6,324	3,953			2,635		6,000* 3,600**					22,512	6,754	29,266	4,910	24,356
Aleknagik	227	DID NOT SUBMIT AN APPLICATION															
Allakaket	164		1,230			820							2,050	692	2,742	-0-	2,742
Ambler	217	2,604	1,628	434		1,085		7,095*			4,000*		16,846	5,686	22,532	1,389	21,143
Anaktuvuk Pass	150	DID NOT SUBMIT AN APPLICATION															
Anderson Military	470 228	5,640 1,368	3,525			2,350 285	2,350 570	7,500*					23,588	7,961	31,549	10,755	20,794
Angoon	287	3,444	2,153	574	574	1,435	1,435	7,770*					17,385	652	18,037	-0-	18,037
Aniak	323	3,876	2,423			1,615		6,150*			4,000*		18,064	6,097	24,161	-0-	24,161
Anvik	87	DID NOT SUBMIT AN APPLICATION															
Atnautluak	169	2,028	1,268			845					4,000*		8,141	2,442	10,583	-0-	10,583
Barrow	2,306		17,295			11,530							28,825	9,764	38,553	-0-	38,553
Bethel	3,409	40,908	25,568	6,818	6,818	17,045	17,045	10,050* 43,200**			36,000**		203,452	41,036	264,488	118,333	146,155
Brevig Mission	120	1,440	900					420*			4,000*		6,760	1,775	8,535	1,596	6,939
Buckland	172	DID NOT SUBMIT AN APPLICATION															
Chefornak	192		1,440										1,440	432	1,872	-0-	1,872
Chevak	447	5,364				2,235							7,599	2,280	9,879	-0-	9,879
Chuathbaluk	118	<u>1,416</u>	<u>885</u>			<u>590</u>					<u>4,000*</u>		<u>6,891</u>	<u>2,326</u>	<u>9,217</u>	<u>-0-</u>	<u>9,217</u>
SUBTOTALS		85,956	69,483	7,826	7,392	47,280	21,400	143,160	-0-	-0-	68,000	-0-	450,497	133,944	584,441	205,767	378,674

Municipality	Pop.	Police	Fire	Air/Water Pollution	Land Use Planning	Parks and Recreation	Transportation	Roads	Health Services	Hospital Facilities	Health Facilities	Constr. Aid	Entitlement	COLA	Total Entitlement	Prepayments and FY 77 Adjustments	Final Payments
Clark's Point	98	DID NOT SUBMIT AN APPLICATION															
Cordova	2,406	28,872	18,045	4,812	4,812	12,030	12,030	11,700*	4,812	75,000*	12,000*		184,113	27,617	211,730	102,731	108,999
Craig	467	5,604	3,503	934	934	2,335	2,335	6,405*			4,000*		26,050	-0-	26,050	11,025	15,025
Deering	100	1,200	750			500					4,000*		6,450	2,177	8,627	-0-	8,627
Delta Junction	892		6,690			4,460		12,330*			4,000*		27,480	4,122	31,602	21,315	10,287
Dillingham	1,269	15,228	9,518	2,538	2,538	6,345	6,345	5,610*					48,122	12,632	60,754	-0-	60,754
Dismal	135		1,013								4,000*		5,013	1,316	6,329	-0-	6,329
Eagle	145					725		3,540*					4,265	640	4,905	-0-	4,905
Eek	195	2,340	1,463			975							4,778	1,433	6,211	-0-	6,211
Ekwok	109	DID NOT SUBMIT AN APPLICATION															
Elim	205	DID NOT SUBMIT FINANCIAL STATEMENT															
Emmonak	545	6,540				2,725		3,615*					12,880	3,864	16,744	13,082	3,662
Fairbanks Military Annex	30,462 5,995	365,544 35,970	228,465	60,924				127,875*	60,924	126,000***	4,000* 25,000**	70,000	1,104,702	165,705	1,270,407	-0-	1,270,407
Fort Yukon	637	7,644	4,778		1,274	3,185		23,070*					39,951	13,483	53,434	25,865	27,569
Fortuna Ledge	200	2,400	1,500								4,000*		7,900	2,370	10,270	8,868	1,402
Galena Military	631 267	7,572 1,602	4,733	1,262		3,155 334		8,370*			4,000*		31,028	10,472	41,500	19,045	22,455
Gambell	412	4,944											4,944	1,298	6,242	6,242	-0-
Golovin	118	DID NOT SUBMIT AN APPLICATION															
Goodnews Bay	248		1,860	496		1,240							3,596	1,079	4,675	4,675	-0-
Grayling	189	DID NOT SUBMIT FINANCIAL STATEMENT															
Haines	1,366	16,392	10,245	2,732	2,732	6,830	6,830	15,375*					61,136	4,585	65,721	31,772	33,949
SUBTOTALS		601,862	292,563	73,698	12,290	44,839	27,540	217,890	65,730	201,000	65,000	70,000	1,572,408	252,793	1,825,201	244,620	1,580,581

See Page 3 for an explanation of the asterisked amounts under the categories of Roads, Hospitals, and Health Facilities.

Municipality	Pop.	Police	Fire	Air/Water Pollution	Land Use Planning	Parks and Recreation	Transportation	Roads	Health Services	Hospital Facilities	Health Facilities	Constr. Aid	Entitlement	COLA	Total Entitlement	Prepayments and FY 77 Adjustments	Final Payments
Ho'y Cross	212		1,590	424		1,060		6,000*			4,000*		13,074	4,412	17,486	-0-	17,486
Homer	1,802	21,624	13,515	3,604		9,010	9,010	12,795*					69,558	5,217	74,775	32,288	42,487
Hoonah	848	10,176	6,360	1,696		4,240	4,240						26,712	2,003	28,715	-0-	28,715
Hooper Bay	590	DID NOT SUBMIT AN APPLICATION															
Houston	375		2,813			1,875		35,175*					39,863	1,495	41,358	-0-	41,358
Hughes	98		735			490							1,225	413	1,638	-0-	1,638
Huslia	216		1,620	432		1,080		23,355*			4,000*		30,487	10,289	40,776	29,906	10,870
Hydaburg	380		2,850	760		1,900	1,900	3,240*			4,000*		14,650	-0-	14,650	7,322	7,328
Kachemak	151	DID NOT SUBMIT AN APPLICATION															
Kake	679	8,148		1,358		3,395		1,530*					14,431	541	14,972	-0-	14,972
Kaktovik	123		923			615							1,538	519	2,057	-0-	2,057
Kaltag	240		1,800	480		1,200		1,665*					5,145	1,736	6,881	4,436	2,445
Kasaan	38		285			190					4,000*		4,475	-0-	4,475	-0-	4,475
Kenai	5,364	64,368	40,230	10,728		26,820	26,820	59,955*					228,921	17,169	246,090	115,288	130,802
Ketchikan	7,928	95,136	59,460			39,640	39,640	24,900*	15,856	92,000***	8,000* 19,000**		393,632	-0-	393,632	188,057	205,575
Kiuna	314	3,768				1,570		3,760*			4,000*		13,118	4,427	17,545	-0-	17,545
King Cove	408	4,896	3,060	816		2,040	2,040				4,000*		16,852	4,424	21,276	3,629	17,647
Kivalina	208	2,496	1,560			1,040		1,500*			4,000*		10,596	3,576	14,172	5,417	8,755
Klawock	323	3,876	2,423			1,615		2,535*			4,000*		14,449	-0-	14,449	4,710	9,739
Kobuk	60	DID NOT SUBMIT FINANCIAL STATEMENT															
Kodiak	4,960	59,520	37,200	9,920		24,800	24,800	21,420*					177,660	13,325	190,985	94,985	96,000
Kotlik	284	3,408				1,420					4,000*		8,828	2,648	11,476	-0-	11,476
SUBTOTALS		277,416	176,424	30,218	-0-	124,000	108,450	197,850	15,856	92,000	63,000	-0-	1,085,214	72,194	1,157,408	486,038	671,370

Municipality	Pop.	Police	Fire	Air/Water Pollution	Land Use Planning	Parks and Recreation	Transportation	Roads	Health Services	Hospital Facilities	Health Facilities	Constr. Aid	Entitlement	COLA	Total Entitlement	Prepayments and FY 77 Adjustments	Final Payments
Kotzebue	2,526	30,312	18,945	5,052	5,052	12,630	12,630	23,280*					107,901	36,417	144,318	-0-	144,318
Koyuk	160	1,920	1,200			0					4,000*		7,920	2,079	9,999	-0-	9,999
Koyukuk	124					620					4,000*		4,620	1,559	6,179	-0-	6,179
Kupreanof	42	DID NOT SUBMIT AN APPLICATION															
Kwethluk	444	5,328	3,330								4,000*		12,658	3,797	16,455	-0-	16,455
Larsen Bay	137	DID NOT SUBMIT FINANCIAL STATEMENT															
Lower Kalskag	195	2,340	1,463	390		975					4,000*		9,168	3,094	12,262	-0-	12,262
McGrath	296	3,500	2,220			1,480		8,825*					16,087	5,429	21,516	9,173	12,343
Manokotak	225	2,700	1,688										4,388	1,152	5,540	544	4,996
Mekoryuk	184	2,208	1,380	368		920					4,000*		8,876	2,663	11,539	1,792	9,747
Mountain Village	513	DID NOT SUBMIT FINANCIAL STATEMENT															
Napakiaik	276	3,312	2,070			1,380		3,720*			4,000*		14,482	4,345	18,827	-0-	18,827
Napaskiak	210	2,520	1,575										4,095	1,229	5,324	-0-	5,324
Nenana	521	6,252	3,908			2,605	2,605	16,140*					31,510	9,453	40,963	3,068	37,895
New Stuyahok	230	2,760											2,760	725	3,485	-0-	3,485
Newhalen	89	DID NOT SUBMIT AN APPLICATION															
Newtok	124	DID NOT SUBMIT AN APPLICATION															
Nightmute	123	1,476				615							2,091	627	2,718	-0-	2,718
Nikolai	85					425		1,380*			4,000*		5,805	1,959	7,764	-0-	7,764
Nome	2,585	31,020	19,388	5,170	5,170	12,925		15,420*	5,170	75,000*		55,000	224,263	58,869	283,132	206,848	76,284
Nondalton	226	DID NOT SUBMIT AN APPLICATION															
SUBTOTALS		95,700	57,167	10,980	10,222	35,375	15,235	68,775	5,170	75,000	28,000	55,000	456,624	133,397	590,021	221,425	368,596

See Page 3 for an explanation of the asterisked amounts under the categories of Roads, Hospitals, and Health Facilities.

Municipality	Pop.	Police	Fire	Air/Water Pollution	Land Use Planning	Parks and Recreation	Transportation	Roads	Health Services	Hospital Facilities	Health Facilities	Constr. Aid	Entitlement	COLA	Total Entitlement	Prepayments and FY 77 Adjustments	Final Payments
Noorvik	527	6,324	3,953	1,054		2,635		3,300*			4,000*		21,266	7,177	28,443	408	28,035
North Pole	461	5,532	3,458	922				16,080*					25,992	3,899	29,891	14,755	15,136
Nuiqsut	152	DID NOT SUBMIT AN APPLICATION															
Nulato	331					1,655		6,150*					7,805	2,634	10,439	-0-	10,439
Old Harbor	327	3,924	2,453	654		1,635	1,635	5,775*					16,076	1,206	17,282	-0-	17,282
Ouzinkie	170		1,275			850							2,125	159	2,284	-0-	2,284
Palmer	1,859	22,308	13,943	3,718		9,295	9,295	25,275*					83,834	3,144	86,978	35,832	51,146
Pelican	169		1,268		338	845	845	1,650*			4,000*		8,946	671	9,617	348	9,269
Petersburg	2,126	25,512	15,945	4,252		10,630	10,630	13,245*	4,252	75,000*	4,000*		163,466	6,130	169,596	84,798	84,798
Pilot Station	301	3,612	2,258	602		1,505		1,500*					9,477	2,843	12,320	2,810	9,510
Platinum	59	708	443			295							1,446	434	1,880	-0-	1,880
Point Hope	384	DID NOT SUBMIT AN APPLICATION															
Port Alexander	51		383				255						638	24	662	-0-	662
Port Heiden	89		668			445		39,900*					41,013	10,766	51,779	-0-	51,779
Port Lions	227	2,724	1,703			1,135	1,135	3,660*					10,357	777	11,134	1,137	9,997
Quinhagak	395	4,740	2,963					240*			4,000*		11,943	3,583	15,526	3,688	11,838
Ruby	219		1,643			1,095							2,738	924	3,662	1,089	2,573
Russian Mission	158			316				1,320*					1,636	491	2,127	-0-	2,127
Saint Mary's	415	4,980	3,113	830		2,075	2,075	8,450* 2,189**			4,000*		34,407	10,322	44,729	2,583	42,146
Saint Michael	206	2,472	1,545			1,030					4,000*		9,047	2,375	11,422	-0-	11,422
Saint Paul Military	522 28	6,264 168	4,125			2,610 35		54,450*					67,652	17,759	85,411	41,575	43,836
SUBTOTALS		89,268	61,139	12,348	338	37,770	25,870	189,879	4,252	75,000	24,000	-0-	519,864	75,318	595,182	189,023	406,159

See Page 3 for an explanation of the asterisked amounts under the categories of Roads, Hospitals, and Health Facilities.

Municipality	Pop.	Police	Fire	Air/Water Pollution	Land Use Planning	Parks and Recreation	Transportation	Roads	Health Services	Hospital Facilities	Health Facilities	Constr. Aid	Entitlement	COLA	Total Entitlement	Prepayments and FY 77 Adjustments	Final Payments
Sand Point	544		4,080	1,088	1,088	2,720	2,720	16,350*			4,000*		32,046	8,412	40,458	17,820	22,638
Savoonga	409	4,908	3,068			2,045					4,000*		14,021	3,681	17,702	-0-	17,702
Saxman	272		2,040	544		1,360		4,350*					8,294	-0-	8,294	-0-	8,294
Scammon Bay	193	2,316	1,448			965							4,729	1,419	6,148	-0-	6,148
Selawik	521	6,252	3,908			2,605							12,765	4,308	17,073	4,356	12,717
Seldovia	612	7,344	4,590				3,060	9,315*					24,309	1,823	26,132	12,978	13,154
Seward	2,279	27,348	17,093			11,395	11,395	22,568*					89,799	6,735	96,534	-0-	96,534
Shageluk	169		1,268			845		3,000* 2,025**			4,000*		11,138	3,759	14,897	-0-	14,897
Shaktolik	160	DID NOT SUBMIT AN APPLICATION															
Sheldon Point	136	DID NOT SUBMIT AN APPLICATION															
Shishmaref	326	3,912	2,445		652	1,630		2,760*			4,000*		15,399	4,042	19,441	-0-	19,441
Shungnak	182	2,184				910					4,000*		7,094	2,394	9,488	-0-	9,488
Skagway	854	10,248	6,405	1,708		4,270	4,270	14,250*			4,000*		45,151	3,386	48,537	23,475	25,062
Soldotna	2,586	31,032	19,395	5,172		12,930	12,930	36,405*					117,864	8,840	126,704	-0-	126,704
Stebbins	298	3,576	2,235			1,490							7,301	1,917	9,218	6,462	2,756
Tanana	499					2,495		16,575*					19,070	6,436	25,506	-0-	25,506
Teller	219	2,628						4,035*			4,000*		10,663	2,790	13,462	-0-	13,462
Tenakee Springs	140	1,680	1,050		280	700	700	1,500*					5,910	222	6,132	2,560	3,572
Togiak	419	5,028	3,143										8,171	2,145	10,316	-0-	10,316
Toksook Bay	317	3,804	2,378	634		1,585					4,000*		12,401	3,720	16,121	2,972	13,149
Tulksak	202	DID NOT SUBMIT AN APPLICATION															
Tununak	299	<u>3,588</u>	<u>2,243</u>								<u>4,000*</u>		<u>9,83</u>	<u>4,749</u>	<u>12,780</u>	<u>1,458</u>	<u>11,322</u>
SUBTOTALS		115,848	76,789	9,146	2,020	47,945	35,075	133,133	-0-	-0-	36,000*	-0-	455,956	68,987	524,943	72,081	452,862

Municipality	Pop.	Police	Fire	Air/Water Pollution	Land Use Planning	Parks and Recreation	Transportation	Roads	Health Services	Hospital Facilities	Health Facilities	Constr. Aid	Entitlement	COLA	Total Entitlement	Prepayments and FY 77 Adjustments	Final Payments
Unalakleet	630	7,560			1,260			13,830*			4,000*		26,650	6,996	33,646	-0-	33,646
Unalaska	510	6,120	3,825		1,020	2,550		57,630*			4,000* 6,000**		81,145	21,301	102,446	42,493	59,953
Upper Kalskag	164	1,968	1,230			820							4,018	1,356	5,374	-0-	5,374
Valdez	7,483	89,796	56,123	14,966	14,966	37,415	37,415	10,650*	14,966	75,000*			351,297	65,868	417,165	164,523	252,642
Wainwright	341	DID NOT SUBMIT AN APPLICATION															
Wales	109	DID NOT SUBMIT AN APPLICATION															
Wasilla	1,566					7,830		63,435*					71,265	2,672	73,937	23,803	50,134
White Mountain	98	NOT ELIGIBLE FOR CATEGORIES															
Whittier	292	3,504	2,190	584	584	1,460	1,460	15,750*			4,000*		29,532	4,430	33,962	16,310	17,652
Wrangell	3,152	37,824	23,640	6,304	6,304	15,760	15,760	10,560*	6,304	75,000*	4,000*		201,456	7,555	209,011	101,056	107,955
Yakutat	442		3,315	884	884	2,210	2,210	2,865*			4,000*		16,368	1,228	17,596	-0-	17,596
SUBTOTALS		146,772	90,323	22,738	25,018	68,045	56,845	174,720	21,270	150,000	26,000	-0-	781,731	111,406	893,137	348,185	544,952
TOTAL CITIES		1,312,817	823,888	166,954	57,280	405,254	290,415	1,125,407	112,284	593,000	310,000	125,000	5,322,294	848,039	6,170,333	1,767,139	4,403,194

See Page 3 for an explanation of the asterisked amounts under the categories of Roads, Hospitals, and Health Facilities.

<u>Volunteer Fire Departments</u>	<u>Pop.</u>	<u>Fire Protection</u>	<u>Entitlement</u>	<u>COLA</u>	<u>Total Entitlement</u>	<u>Prepayments</u>	<u>Final Entitlement</u>
Akutan	65	488	488	128	616	308	308
Angoon (prorated @ .45205%)	150	509	509	19	528	-0-	528
Arctic Village	118	885	885	299	1,184	-0-	1,184
Atka	88	NO APPLICATION RECEIVED					
Beaver	82	NO APPLICATION RECEIVED					
Belkofski	58	NO APPLICATION RECEIVED					
Birch Creek	40	300	300	45	345	173	172
Chalkyitsik	92	NO APPLICATION RECEIVED					
Chignik	52	NO APPLICATION RECEIVED					
Chignik Lake	134	1,005	1,005	264	1,269	-0-	1,269
Circle	45	NO APPLICATION RECEIVED					
Copper Center	433	3,248	3,248	487	3,735	-0-	3,735
Cordova	340	2,550	2,550	383	2,933	1,467	1,456
Crooked Creek	107	NO APPLICATION RECEIVED					
Delta Junction	725	5,438	5,438	816	6,254	3,127	3,127
Dot Lake	83	NO APPLICATION RECEIVED					
Glennallen	1,152	8,640	8,640	1,296	9,936	-0-	9,936
Gulkana	75	NO APPLICATION RECEIVED					
Haines	558	4,185	4,185	314	4,499	2,229	2,270
Iliamna	112	840	840	221	1,061	-0-	1,061
Kipnuk	351	2,633	2,633	790	3,423	1,711	1,712
Klukwan	145	NO APPLICATION RECEIVED					
Koliganek	136	1,020	1,020	268	1,288	-0-	1,288
Kongiganak	200	NO APPLICATION RECEIVED					
SUBTOTAL		31,741	31,741	5,310	37,071	9,015	28,056

<u>Volunteer Fire Departments</u>	<u>Pop.</u>	<u>Fire Protection</u>	<u>Entitlement</u>	<u>COLA</u>	<u>Total Entitlement</u>	<u>Prepayments</u>	<u>Final Entitlement</u>
Levelock	95	NO APPLICATION RECEIVED					
Mentasta Lake	80	NO APPLICATION RECEIVED					
Metlakatla	1,051	NO APPLICATION RECEIVED					
Minto	211	WRONG APPLICATION RECEIVED					
Myers Chuck	46	345	345	-0-	345	-0-	345
Nelson Lagoon	43	NO APPLICATION RECEIVED					
Nenana	267	2,003	2,003	676	2,679	-0-	2,679
Noatak	261	NO APPLICATION RECEIVED					
Nome		NO APPLICATION RECEIVED					
Northway Village	198	NO APPLICATION RECEIVED					
Pelican	31	233	233	17	250	-0-	250
Perryville	101	NO APPLICATION RECEIVED					
Petersburg	488	3,660	3,660	137	3,797	-0-	3,797
Pitka's Point	84	NO APPLICATION RECEIVED					
Rampart	64	480	480	162	642	-0-	642
Saint George Island	144	NO APPLICATION RECEIVED					
Skagway	15	113	113	8	121	-0-	121
Sleetmute	121	NO APPLICATION RECEIVED					
Stevens Village	80	NO APPLICATION RECEIVED					
Tok	854	6,405	6,405	961	7,366	3,683	3,683
Tri-Valley (Healy)	503	3,773	3,773	1,273	5,046	-0-	5,046
Tuntutuliak	225	1,688	1,688	506	2,194	-0-	2,194
Twin Hills	76	570	570	150	720	-0-	720
Yakutat		NO APPLICATION RECEIVED					
SUBTOTAL		19,270	19,270	3,890	23,160	3,683	19,477
TOTAL VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPTS.		51,011	51,011	9,220	60,231	12,698	47,533

HB

223



# Alaska State Legislature

## House of Representatives

Committee on

Community & Regional Affairs

COMMITTEE MINUTES

Pouch V  
State Capitol  
Juneau, Alaska 99811

Official Business

DATE: 3/7/79

BILL NUMBER AND TITLE: HB 223 Exemption of disabled veterans from payment of real property taxes

ORIGINAL SPONSOR : McKinnon, Buchholdt, Meekins OTHER SPONSORS:  
Munson

RECEIVED FROM: FURTHER REFERRALS: Finance

MEMBERS PRESENT: Parker Zharoff MEMBERS ABSENT:  
Carney O'Connell Branson None  
Metcalfe Parr

INDIVIDUALS CONTACTED: FISCAL NOTE: C&RA \$279.5

### WITNESSES TESTIFYING:

McKinnon -- Basically the philosophy of this kind of exemption should be considered. Modeled after exemption for Senior Citizens. Introduced last year.

Terry Earley, State Assessor

Senate Bill 154 introduced to provide the same type exemption but covers renters. (Fiscal Note would probably be for approximately \$132,000 more for coverage of renters) Questions were asked concerning the relationship of pensions to the proposed bill.

William Kelm, Department Adjutant, The American Legion, Dept. of Alaska

Veterans who are rated 10% receive "compensation" (not called "pension"). This compensation is not taxable. If a person has a 40% rating it usually means that his earning capacity is impaired.

If you are considering a renters exemption, you have an open-ended situation--how would you determine how much of the rent is going for taxes.

Committee requests fiscal impact of the following:

1. Changing gross income limit to \$10,000 and including unremarried widows of veterans in exemption
2. Include renters in bill
3. Take income tax credit approach as alternative (circuit breaker? approach)

COMMITTEE ACTION: C&RA asked to prepare fiscal notes on above options.

# STATE OF ALASKA

JAY S. HAMMOND, GOVERNOR

## DEPT. OF COMMUNITY & REGIONAL AFFAIRS

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER

POUCH B - JUNEAU 99811

March 14, 1979

The Honorable Bill Parker  
Chairman  
House Community and Regional  
Affairs Committee  
Alaska State Legislature  
Pouch V  
Juneau, Alaska 99811

Dear Representative Parker:

RE: HOUSE BILL NO. 223

At the request of the members of the House Community and Regional Affairs Committee, State Assessor Terry Earley has attempted, given information available from the Federal Veterans Administration, to analyze the cost of alternatives to House Bill No. 223. The five requested alternatives are recapped on the attached summary sheet; an individual fiscal note is also attached for each alternative.

I would like to again call your attention to the position stated by the State Assessor in his testimony before your committee on March 7, 1979. The Department is strongly opposed to the "piece-meal" approach of providing property tax relief to certain groups of taxpayers in Alaska (i.e., senior citizens and farmers). House Bill No. 223 would extend this type of tax relief program to disabled veterans.

Property tax relief should be made available to those in need throughout the State of Alaska but should not be provided those residents who do not have a demonstrated need for State refunded property tax relief payments. We believe a more comprehensive program of property tax relief ought to be made available by the Legislature, preferably in the form of a reimbursement or rebate based upon income as determined through the filing of Alaska State income tax returns.


The Department, through the Office of the State Assessor, is prepared to pursue a more equitable approach to providing residents of Alaska with property tax relief - particularly those in need of relief as a result of limited incomes. Proposed legislation could be made available for consideration by the next session of the State Legislature. We would appreciate an expression from your committee as to whether or not this is a worthwhile endeavor for the Department to pursue prior to the next session.

The Honorable Bill Parker  
March 14, 1979  
Page Two

If you have questions regarding the attached alternatives to House Bill No. 223, Mr. Earley or I will be pleased to respond.

Sincerely,

Lee McAnerney  
Commissioner



By: Palmer McCarter  
Director  
Local Government Assistance Division

Attachments

cc: Senator Arliss Sturgulewski, Chair  
Senate Community and Regional Affairs Committee  
  
Representative Joe McKinnon (Sponsor of HB 223)  
  
Senator Brad Bradley (Sponsor SB 154)

During the meeting of the House Committee on Community and Regional Affairs of March 7, 1979 property tax exemptions for Disabled Veterans were discussed. The following is a recap of fiscal impacts of the several proposals discussed.

	TOTAL ELIGIBLE	TOTAL COST
1. Exempting veterans over 40% disabled both homeowners and renters and leaving the \$20,000 income limit.	670	\$311,280
2. Exempting veterans over 40% disabled both homeowners and renters and reducing income limit to \$10,000.	424	\$197,948
3. Exempting veterans over 40% disabled both homeowners and renters and also including veteran's widows and reducing income limit to \$10,000.	544	\$253,698
4. Exempting veterans over 40% disabled both homeowners and renters and eliminating income restrictions.	681	\$317,044
5. Exempting veterans over 40% disabled both homeowners and renters, also including veteran's widows and eliminating income restrictions.	876	\$407,902

Prepared by:

Department of Community and Regional Affairs  
Office of the State Assessor

### Other Approaches & Comments

An eligible veteran shall be exempt from a portion of his local property taxes or rent equivalent based on the following chart:

Percent of Disability	Taxes Payable as a Percentage of Income
10% to 30%	5%
30% to 40%	4%
40% to 50%	3%
50% to 60%	2%
60% to 70%	1%
70% and up	0%

#### Examples -

A veteran who is 25% disabled and has total income of \$15,000 would be required to pay the first \$750 of the tax bill on his residence.

A veteran who is 55% disabled and has an income of \$10,000 would be required to pay the first \$200 of the tax bill on his residence.

This approach ties the exemption to both income and percent of disability.

Because of the reliance on income there is some difficulty in administration.

The simplest way to administer this approach would be as a credit on state income tax. This is, of course, a refund that requires taxpayer outlay of cash and then a refund.

This program could also be administered much in the same manner as the senior citizen renters equivalency program with the Department of Community & Regional Affairs making the refunds directly to the disabled veteran.

Yet another approach would be to make the reimbursement completely contingent on income. Something to the effect: "disabled veterans with a disability greater than \_\_\_\_\_% shall be entitled to a refund of property taxes in the amount that the annual property tax bill or rent equivalent exceeds the appropriate percentage of their income based on the following table":

Income	Percentage
Under \$4,000	0%
\$4,000 but under \$5,000	1%
\$5,000 but under \$6,000	2%
\$6,000 but under \$7,000	3%
\$7,000 but under \$10,000	4%
\$10,000 and up	5%

Examples -

An eligible veteran has an income of \$9,000 and pays taxes of \$800.  
 $\$9,000 \times 4\% = \$360$        $\$800 - \$360 = \$440$  refund

An eligible veteran has an income of \$4,500 and pays taxes of \$1,000.  
 $\$4,500 \times 1\% = \$45$        $\$1,000 - \$45 = \$955$  refund

The easiest approach to administration of this type of reimbursement is an income tax rebate, but could be handled like the senior citizen renters program, with rebates by the Department to the eligible applicant.

One of the major complaints about the property tax over the years is that it is not responsive to the earning power of the taxpayer. The two alternatives mentioned do make property taxes more responsive to the taxpayers ability to pay.

However, it should also be pointed out that every class of taxpayers exempted increases the burden on the balance of the taxpayers.

There are approximately 380,000 people living in areas of the state where property taxes are levied. There are currently 5,400 senior citizens and 200 farmers being exempted; that is a total of 5,600 or 1 1/2 percent of the total population being subsidized. Although 5,600 residents does not sound like a lot when considered independently, the addition of the more standard type of exempt properties such as governmental, churches, charitable institutions, and property of veterans organizations make properties that are currently tax exempt a very substantial part of the property tax base throughout Alaska.

# STATE OF ALASKA

JAY S. HAMMOND, GOVERNOR

## DEPT. OF COMMUNITY & REGIONAL AFFAIRS

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER

POUCH B - JUNEAU 99811

March 14, 1979

The Honorable Bill Parker  
Chairman  
House Community and Regional  
Affairs Committee  
Alaska State Legislature  
Pouch V  
Juneau, Alaska 99811

Dear Representative Parker:

RE: HOUSE BILL NO. 223

At the request of the members of the House Community and Regional Affairs Committee, State Assessor Terry Earley has attempted, given information available from the Federal Veterans Administration, to analyze the cost of alternatives to House Bill No. 223. The five requested alternatives are recapped on the attached summary sheet; an individual fiscal note is also attached for each alternative.

I would like to again call your attention to the position stated by the State Assessor in his testimony before your committee on March 7, 1979. The Department is strongly opposed to the "piece-meal" approach of providing property tax relief to certain groups of taxpayers in Alaska (i.e., senior citizens and farmers). House Bill No. 223 would extend this type of tax relief program to disabled veterans.

Property tax relief should be made available to those in need throughout the State of Alaska but should not be provided those residents who do not have a demonstrated need for State refunded property tax relief payments. We believe a more comprehensive program of property tax relief ought to be made available by the Legislature, preferably in the form of a reimbursement or rebate based upon income as determined through the filing of Alaska State income tax returns.

The Department, through the Office of the State Assessor, is prepared to pursue a more equitable approach to providing residents of Alaska with property tax relief - particularly those in need of relief as a result of limited incomes. Proposed legislation could be made available for consideration by the next session of the State Legislature. We would appreciate an expression from your committee as to whether or not this is a worthwhile endeavor for the Department to pursue prior to the next session.

During the meeting of the House Committee on Community and Regional Affairs of March 7, 1979 property tax exemptions for Disabled Veterans were discussed. The following is a recap of fiscal impacts of the several proposals discussed.

	TOTAL ELIGIBLE	TOTAL COST
1. Exempting veterans over 40% disabled both homeowners and renters and leaving the \$20,000 income limit.	670	\$311,280
2. Exempting veterans over 40% disabled both homeowners and renters and reducing income limit to \$10,000.	424	\$197,948
3. Exempting veterans over 40% disabled both homeowners and renters and also including veteran's widows and reducing income limit to \$10,000.	544	\$253,698
4. Exempting veterans over 40% disabled both homeowners and renters and eliminating income restrictions.	681	\$317,044
5. Exempting veterans over 40% disabled both homeowners and renters, also including veteran's widows and eliminating income restrictions.	876	\$407,902

Prepared by:


Department of Community and Regional Affairs  
Office of the State Assessor

The Honorable Bill Parker  
March 14, 1979  
Page Two

If you have questions regarding the attached alternatives to House Bill No. 223, Mr. Earley or I will be pleased to respond.

Sincerely,

Lee McAnerney  
Commissioner

  
By: Palmer McCarter  
Director  
Local Government Assistance Division

Attachments

cc: Senator Arliss Sturgulewski, Chair  
Senate Community and Regional Affairs Committee  
Representative Joe McKinnon (Sponsor of HB 223)  
Senator Brad Bradley (Sponsor SB 154)

### Other Approaches & Comments

An eligible veteran shall be exempt from a portion of his local property taxes or rent equivalent based on the following chart:

Percent of Disability	Taxes Payable as a Percentage of Income
10% to 30%	5%
30% to 40%	4%
40% to 50%	3%
50% to 60%	2%
60% to 70%	1%
70% and up	0%

#### Examples -

A veteran who is 25% disabled and has total income of \$15,000 would be required to pay the first \$750 of the tax bill on his residence.

A veteran who is 55% disabled and has an income of \$10,000 would be required to pay the first \$200 of the tax bill on his residence.

This approach ties the exemption to both income and percent of disability.

Because of the reliance on income there is some difficulty in administration.

The simplest way to administer this approach would be as a credit on state income tax. This is, of course, a refund that requires taxpayer outlay of cash and then a refund.

This program could also be administered much in the same manner as the senior citizen renters equivalency program with the Department of Community & Regional Affairs making the refunds directly to the disabled veteran.

Yet another approach would be to make the reimbursement completely contingent on income. Something to the effect: "disabled veterans with a disability greater than \_\_\_\_\_% shall be entitled to a refund of property taxes in the amount that the annual property tax bill or rent equivalent exceeds the appropriate percentage of their income based on the following table":

Income	Percentage
Under \$4,000	0%
\$4,000 but under \$5,000	1%
\$5,000 but under \$6,000	2%
\$6,000 but under \$7,000	3%
\$7,000 but under \$10,000	4%
\$10,000 and up	5%

Examples -

An eligible veteran has an income of \$9,000 and pays taxes of \$800.

$\$9,000 \times 4\% = \$360$        $\$800 - \$360 = \$440$  refund

An eligible veteran has an income of \$4,500 and pays taxes of \$1,000.

$\$4,500 \times 1\% = \$45$        $\$1,000 - \$45 = \$955$  refund

The easiest approach to administration of this type of reimbursement is an income tax rebate, but could be handled like the senior citizen renters program, with rebates by the Department to the eligible applicant.

One of the major complaints about the property tax over the years is that it is not responsive to the earning power of the taxpayer. The two alternatives mentioned do make property taxes more responsive to the taxpayers ability to pay.

However, it should also be pointed out that every class of taxpayers exempted increases the burden on the balance of the taxpayers.

There are approximately 380,000 people living in areas of the state where property taxes are levied. There are currently 5,400 senior citizens and 200 farmers being exempted; that is a total of 5,600 or 1 1/2 percent of the total population being subsidized. Although 5,600 residents does not sound like a lot when considered independently, the addition of the more standard type of exempt properties such as governmental, churches, charitable institutions, and property of veterans organizations make properties that are currently tax exempt a very substantial part of the property tax base throughout Alaska.

**AS IF AMENDED TO INCLUDE RENTERS IN EXISTING BILL**  
**THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA**  
**ELEVENTH LEGISLATURE**

**FISCAL NOTE**

**I. REQUEST**

Bill/Resolution No. House Bill 223 PROPOSED SUBSTITUTE  
 Title Provides for exemption from property taxes for Disabled Veterans  
 Requested by Community and Regional Affairs Committee Date \_\_\_\_\_

**II. FISCAL DETAIL**

Agency Affected Department of Community and Regional Affairs  
 Program Category Affected Grants  
 Budget Request Unit(s) Affected Senior Citizens Homeowners and Renters Equivalency -  
STATE ASSESSOR

**EXPENDITURES** (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 79	FY 80	FY 81	FY 82	FY 83	FY 84
100 PERSONAL SERVICES						
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL		1.0				
400 COMMODITIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.		310.3				
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>311.3</b>				

**FUNDING** (Thousands of Dollars)

GENERAL FUND		311.3				
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER (Specify)						

**POSITIONS**

FULL TIME		0				
PART TIME		0				
TEMPORARY		0				

**III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)**

According to the Federal Veterans Administration there are 706 Veterans receiving compensation for 40% disability or greater. Assuming 25% of that number are renters, we would have 530 homeowners and 176 renters. Further assuming 30 of the homeowners and 6 of the renters would be ineligible for either income reasons or being 65 years of age and therefore already exempt leaves 500 eligible homeowners and 170 eligible renters.

500 (Homeowners) @ \$558 (average senior citizen savings)	279,000
170 (Renters) @ \$184 (average renters equivalency payment) =	<u>31,280</u>
<b>670 TOTAL</b>	<b>\$310,280</b>

**IV. DATE** 3-7-79 **PREPARED BY** Terry L. Earley, State Assessor  
**AGENCY** Department of Community and Regional Affairs  
**PHONE** 465-4730  
 Original: Legislative Finance  
 cc: Budget and Management  
 Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

AS IF AMENDED TO REDUCE INCOME LIMIT TO \$10,000 AND INCLUDE RENTERS

THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA  
ELEVENTH LEGISLATURE

FISCAL NOTE

I. REQUEST

Bill/Resolution No. House Bill 223 PROPOSED SUBSTITUTE  
 Title Provides for exemption from property taxes for disabled Veterans  
 Requested by Community and Regional Affairs Committee Date \_\_\_\_\_

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Agency Affected Department of Community and Regional Affairs  
 Program Category Affected Grants  
 Budget Request Unit(s) Affected Senior Citizen Homeowners and Renters Equivalency -  
STATE ASSESSOR

EXPENDITURES (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 79	FY 80	FY 81	FY 82	FY 83	FY 84
100 PERSONAL SERVICES						
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL		1.0				
400 COMMODITIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.		196.9				
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>197.9</b>				

FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

GENERAL FUND		197.9				
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER (Specify)						

POSITIONS

FULL TIME		0				
PART TIME		0				
TEMPORARY		0				

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

According to the Federal Veterans Administration there are 706 veterans receiving compensation for 40% disability or greater. Assuming 25% of that number to be renters, we then have 176 renters and 530 homeowners. Further assuming that 40% of these numbers are ineligible due to either exceeding income limit or being over 65 years of age and already eligible for exemption. Therefore we end up with 318 eligible homeowners and 106 eligible renters.

318 homeowners @ \$558 (average senior citizens savings) = 177,444

106 renters @ \$184 (average renters equivalency payment) = 19,504

424 TOTAL \$196,948

IV. DATE 3-7-79 PREPARED BY Terry L. Earley, State Assessor  
 AGENCY Department of Community and Regional Affairs  
 PHONE 465-4730

Original: Legislative Finance  
 cc: Budget and Management  
 Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA  
ELEVENTH LEGISLATURE

FISCAL NOTE

I. REQUEST

Bill/Resolution No. House Bill 223 PROPOSED SUBSTITUTE  
 Title Provides for exemption from property tax for disabled Veterans  
 Requested by House Community & Regional Affairs Committee Date \_\_\_\_\_

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Agency Affected Department of Community and Regional Affairs  
 Program Category Affected Grants  
 Budget Request Unit(s) Affected Senior Citizens Homeowners and Renters Equivalency -  
 STATE ASSESSOR

EXPENDITURES (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 79	FY 80	FY 81	FY 82	FY 83	FY 84
100 PERSONAL SERVICES						
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL		1.0				
400 COMMODITIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.		252.7				
TOTAL		253.7				

FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

GENERAL FUND		253.7				
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER (Specify)						

POSITIONS

FULL TIME		0				
PART TIME		0				
TEMPORARY		0				

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

According to the Federal Veterans Administration there are 706 veterans receiving compensation for 40% disability or greater. Our best estimate is that there are 200 additional unremarried widows of disabled veterans. This makes a total of 906 persons initially eligible. Assuming 25% of these people rent, we would have 226 renters and 30 homeowners. If we assume 40% of those are ineligible for exceeding the income limitation or being over 65 years of age and already eligible for exemptions, we end up with 408 homeowners and 136 renters.

408 homeowners @ \$558 (average senior citizens savings) = \$227,664

136 renters @ \$184 (average senior citizen renter reimbursement) = 25,024

544 TOTAL \$252,688

IV. DATE 3-7-79 PREPARED BY Terry L. Earley, State Assessor  
 AGENCY Department of Community and Regional Affairs  
 PHONE 465-4730

Original: Legislative Finance  
 cc: Budget and Management  
 Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

**AS IF AMENDED TO INCLUDE RENTERS AND EXCLUDE INCOME RESTRICTIONS**

THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA  
ELEVENTH LEGISLATURE

FISCAL NOTE

I. REQUEST

Bill/Resolution No House Bill 223 PROPOSED SUBSTITUTE  
 Title Provided for exemption from property taxes for disabled Veterans  
 Requested by Community and Regional Affairs Committee Date \_\_\_\_\_

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Agency Affected Department of Community and Regional Affairs  
 Program Category Affected Grants  
 Budget Request Unit(s) Affected Senior Citizen Homeowners and Renters Equivalency -  
STATE ASSESSOR

EXPENDITURES (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 79	FY 80	FY 81	FY 82	FY 83	FY 84
100 PERSONAL SERVICES						
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL		1.0				
400 COMMODITIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.		316.0				
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>317.0</b>				

FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

GENERAL FUND		317.0				
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER (Specify)						

POSITIONS

FULL TIME		0				
PART TIME		0				
TEMPORARY		0				

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

According to the Federal Veterans Administration, there are 706 veterans receiving compensation for 40% disability or greater. Assuming 25% of these are renters, we have 176 renters and 530 homeowners. Assuming 20 homeowners and 5 renters are 65 years of age and already exempt, we have 510 homeowners and 171 renters.

510 (homeowners) @ \$558 (average senior citizens savings) = \$284,580  
 171 (renters) @ \$184 (average senior citizen renter reimbursement) = 31,464  
 681 TOTAL \$316,044

IV. DATE 3-7-79 PREPARED BY Terry L. Earley, State Assessor  
 AGENCY Department of Community and Regional Affairs  
 PHONE 465-4730  
 Original: Legislative Finance  
 cc: Budget and Management  
 Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA  
ELEVENTH LEGISLATURE

FISCAL NOTE

I. REQUEST

Bill/Resolution No. House Bill 223 PROPOSED SUBSTITUTE  
 Title Provides for exemption from property taxes for disabled Veterans  
 Requested by Community and Regional Affairs Committee Date \_\_\_\_\_

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Agency Affected Department of Community and Regional Affairs  
 Program Category Affected Grants  
 Budget Request Unit(s) Affected Senior Citizen Homeowners and Renters Equivalency -  
STATE ASSESSOR

EXPENDITURES (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 79	FY 80	FY 81	FY 82	FY 83	FY 84
100 PERSONAL SERVICES						
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL		1.0				
400 COMMODITIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.		406.9				
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>407.9</b>				

FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

GENERAL FUND		407.9				
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER (Specify)						

POSITIONS

FULL TIME		0				
PART TIME		0				
TEMPORARY		0				

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

According to the Federal Veterans Administration, there are 706 veterans receiving compensation for 40% disability or greater. Our best estimate is that there are an additional 200 unremarried widows of disabled veterans. Assuming 30 of these 906 are over 65 years of age and already are eligible for exemption, leaves 876 eligible. If we assume 25% of these are renters we end up with 219 renters and 657 homeowners.

657 (homeowners) @ \$558 (average senior citizens savings) = \$366,606  
 219 (renters) @ \$184 (average senior citizens renter reimbursement) = 40,296  
 876 TOTAL \$406,902

IV. DATE 3-7-79 PREPARED BY Terry L. Earley, State Assessor  
 AGENCY Department of Community and Regional Affairs  
 PHONE 465-4730  
 Original: Legislative Finance  
 cc: Budget and Management  
 Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

# STATE OF ALASKA

JAY S. HAMMOND, GOVERNOR

## DEPT. OF COMMUNITY & REGIONAL AFFAIRS

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER

POUCH B - JUNEAU 99811

March 14, 1979

The Honorable Bill Parker  
Chairman  
House Community and Regional  
Affairs Committee  
Alaska State Legislature  
Pouch V  
Juneau, Alaska 99811

Dear Representative Parker:

RE: HOUSE BILL NO. 223

At the request of the members of the House Community and Regional Affairs Committee, State Assessor Terry Earley has attempted, given information available from the Federal Veterans Administration, to analyze the cost of alternatives to House Bill No. 223. The five requested alternatives are recapped on the attached summary sheet; an individual fiscal note is also attached for each alternative.

I would like to again call your attention to the position stated by the State Assessor in his testimony before your committee on March 7, 1979. The Department is strongly opposed to the "piece-meal" approach of providing property tax relief to certain groups of taxpayers in Alaska (i.e., senior citizens and farmers). House Bill No. 223 would extend this type of tax relief program to disabled veterans.

Property tax relief should be made available to those in need throughout the State of Alaska but should not be provided those residents who do not have a demonstrated need for State refunded property tax relief payments. We believe a more comprehensive program of property tax relief ought to be made available by the Legislature, preferably in the form of a reimbursement or rebate based upon income as determined through the filing of Alaska State income tax returns.


The Department, through the Office of the State Assessor, is prepared to pursue a more equitable approach to providing residents of Alaska with property tax relief - particularly those in need of relief as a result of limited incomes. Proposed legislation could be made available for consideration by the next session of the State Legislature. We would appreciate an expression from your committee as to whether or not this is a worthwhile endeavor for the Department to pursue prior to the next session.

The Honorable Bill Parker  
March 14, 1979  
Page Two

If you have questions regarding the attached alternatives to House Bill No. 223, Mr. Earley or I will be pleased to respond.

Sincerely,

Lee McAnerney  
Commissioner



By: Palmer McCarter  
Director  
Local Government Assistance Division

Attachments

cc: Senator Arliss Sturgulewski, Chair  
Senate Community and Regional Affairs Committee  
Representative Joe McKinnon (Sponsor of HB 223)  
Senator Brad Bradley (Sponsor SB 154)

During the meeting of the House Committee on Community and Regional Affairs of March 7, 1979 property tax exemptions for Disabled Veterans were discussed. The following is a recap of fiscal impacts of the several proposals discussed.

	TOTAL ELIGIBLE	TOTAL COST
1. Exempting veterans over 40% disabled both homeowners and renters and leaving the \$20,000 income limit.	670	\$311,280
2. Exempting veterans over 40% disabled both homeowners and renters and reducing income limit to \$10,000.	424	\$197,948
3. Exempting veterans over 40% disabled both homeowners and renters and also including veteran's widows and reducing income limit to \$10,000.	544	\$253,698
4. Exempting veterans over 40% disabled both homeowners and renters and eliminating income restrictions.	681	\$317,044
5. Exempting veterans over 40% disabled both homeowners and renters, also including veteran's widows and eliminating income restrictions.	876	\$407,902

Prepared by:

Department of Community and Regional Affairs  
Office of the State Assessor

### Other Approaches & Comments

An eligible veteran shall be exempt from a portion of his local property taxes or rent equivalent based on the following chart:

Percent of Disability	Taxes Payable as a Percentage of Income
10% to 30%	5%
30% to 40%	4%
40% to 50%	3%
50% to 60%	2%
60% to 70%	1%
70% and up	0%

Examples -

A veteran who is 25% disabled and has total income of \$15,000 would be required to pay the first \$750 of the tax bill on his residence.

A veteran who is 55% disabled and has an income of \$10,000 would be required to pay the first \$200 of the tax bill on his residence.

This approach ties the exemption to both income and percent of disability.

Because of the reliance on income there is some difficulty in administration.

The simplest way to administer this approach would be as a credit on state income tax. This is, of course, a refund that requires taxpayer outlay of cash and then a refund.

This program could also be administered much in the same manner as the senior citizen renters equivalency program with the Department of Community & Regional Affairs making the refunds directly to the disabled veteran.

Yet another approach would be to make the reimbursement completely contingent on income. Something to the effect: "disabled veterans with a disability greater than \_\_\_\_\_% shall be entitled to a refund of property taxes in the amount that the annual property tax bill or rent equivalent exceeds the appropriate percentage of their income based on the following table":

Income	Percentage
Under \$4,000	0%
\$4,000 but under \$5,000	1%
\$5,000 but under \$6,000	2%
\$6,000 but under \$7,000	3%
\$7,000 but under \$10,000	4%
\$10,000 and up	5%

Examples -

An eligible veteran has an income of \$9,000 and pays taxes of \$800.  
 $\$9,000 \times 4\% = \$360$        $\$800 - \$360 = \$440$  refund

An eligible veteran has an income of \$4,500 and pays taxes of \$1,000.  
 $\$4,500 \times 1\% = \$45$        $\$1,000 - \$45 = \$955$  refund

The easiest approach to administration of this type of reimbursement is an income tax rebate, but could be handled like the senior citizen renters program, with rebates by the Department to the eligible applicant.

One of the major complaints about the property tax over the years is that it is not responsive to the earning power of the taxpayer. The two alternatives mentioned do make property taxes more responsive to the taxpayers ability to pay.

However, it should also be pointed out that every class of taxpayers exempted increases the burden on the balance of the taxpayers.

There are approximately 380,000 people living in areas of the state where property taxes are levied. There are currently 5,400 senior citizens and 200 farmers being exempted; that is a total of 5,600 or 1 1/2 percent of the total population being subsidized. Although 5,600 residents does not sound like a lot when considered independently, the addition of the more standard type of exempt properties such as governmental, churches, charitable institutions, and property of veterans organizations make properties that are currently tax exempt a very substantial part of the property tax base throughout Alaska.

HB

245

# COMMITTEE REPORT

## HOUSE

FURTHER: JUDICIARY

February 19, 1979

Date: Feb 21 1979

Mr. Speaker:

The Committee on C&RA has had HB 245

"An Act relating to recall of municipal officials; and providing for an effective date."

under consideration and (a majority of the committee) (the committee) reports it back with the following recommendations:

- do pass  do not pass
- do pass with attached amendments(s)
- replace with CS for HB 245  same title  
 new title
- and recommends \_\_\_\_\_
- AND attaches a "Letter of Intent"  New Fiscal Note
- reports it back without recommendation
- referred to the \_\_\_\_\_ Committee

MEMBERS SIGNING  
DO PASS

MEMBERS HAVING  
OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Don Paul  
CHAIRMAN



# Alaska State Legislature

## House of Representatives

Committee on

Community & Regional Affairs

COMMITTEE MINUTES

Pouch V  
State Capitol  
Juneau, Alaska 99811

Official Business

DATE: 4/2/79

BILL NUMBER AND TITLE: HB245 Recall of municipal officials

ORIGINAL SPONSOR : Moss by request

OTHER SPONSORS:

RECEIVED FROM:

FURTHER REFERRALS: Judiciary

MEMBERS PRESENT: Parker  
Parr  
Branson  
Zharoff Metcalfe

MEMBERS ABSENT:  
O'Connell  
Carney

INDIVIDUALS CONTACTED:

WITNESSES TESTIFYING:

Committee reviewed proposed amendments. Decided to adopt suggestion of Patty Ann Polley, as proposed, and additional clarifying amendments.

Bill passed out as CS.

COMMITTEE ACTION: CS passed out of Committee.

TAPE # 6 SIDE k

Sections 784-850



# Alaska State Legislature

## House of Representatives

Committee on

Community & Regional Affairs

COMMITTEE MINUTES

Pouch V  
State Capitol  
Juneau, Alaska 99811

Official Business

DATE: 3/28/79

BILL NUMBER AND TITLE: HB 245 Recall of Municipal Officials

ORIGINAL SPONSOR : Moss by Request

OTHER SPONSORS:

RECEIVED FROM:

FURTHER REFERRALS: Judiciary

MEMBERS PRESENT: Parker Carney  
Parr O'Connell  
Branson Metcalfe

MEMBERS ABSENT:  
Zharoff

INDIVIDUALS CONTACTED:

WITNESSES TESTIFYING:

Patty Ann Polley, Director of Elections

Suggested amendments:

- p. 2 Line 6 "Resident and mailing address should be included.
- p. 3 Lines 14, 15 Duplicate copy should be deleted as it is not clear what that reference is to.
- p. 4 Line 4 Certifying on each petition would be an onerous problem. Is that the intent of this wording?
- p. 4 Line 26 Delete "on supplemental petition"
- p. 5 Line 1 \$100 too high
- p. 6 Line 13 The number of sponsors should be specified. You need to know how many petition sheets to include in each of the sponsor books.
- p. 8 Lines 6,7 ":Duplicate copy" deleted.
- p. 9 Line 11 "on the petition" should be omitted
- p. 10 Line 1 "on the supplemental" should be omitted

COMMITTEE ACTION: Continue action.

TAPE # 5 SIDE 2

Sections 1056-1071



# Alaska State Legislature

## House of Representatives

Committee on

Community & Regional Affairs

COMMITTEE MINUTES

Pouch V  
State Capitol  
Juneau, Alaska 99811

Official Business

DATE: 3/16/79

BILL NUMBER AND TITLE: CSHB 245 Relating to recall of municipal officials

ORIGINAL SPONSOR: Moss by request

OTHER SPONSORS:

RECEIVED FROM:

FURTHER REFERRALS: Judiciary

MEMBERS PRESENT: Parker  
Carney  
Branson  
Zharoff

MEMBERS ABSENT:  
None

INDIVIDUALS CONTACTED:

WITNESSES TESTIFYING:

Jim McKenzie, drafter of HB245  
Reviews CS section by section

Parr--Questions if resident as well as street address should be included.  
O'Connell sees p. 1 lines 14 as problem area. Would municipality be prompted to throw out petition?

McKenzie- Criminal Code p. 3. Warning conforms with 1980 enactment of Criminal Code.  
p. 4 "rejecting" cleaner language

Sect. 10 l. 19 and p. 4 "within" 180 days / not later than at least 180  
p. 5 line 16, p. 5 line 19 p. 3 l. 2

O'Connell -- Has problem with putting statement on the ballot. It takes too long to read and slows down the voting process.

Parr: \$100 too high on local level .

McKenzie -- Nuisance value of time involved

Metcalfe-- Non-return of deposit may be unconstitutional.

At the present time in municipal law, if you have an unsuccessful recall attempt, then you couldn't recall again for six months.

Line 8 p. 16

p. 7 line 7-8

Doesn't the wording restrict fraud enough? Why do you have to have circulation only by sponsors?

Parr: p. 8 l. 21-26 OK but #4 is an unfair burden

p. 10 l. 2 Petition submitted to voters. When is that? Is it once results are certified?

Polley-- State has computer capability to review signatures for double signatures.

O'Connell- If there is a challenge of the petition, what time period does the court have to respond? The election should take place anyway.

Action continued. Hearing to be held March 23, 1979  
TAPE # 4 SIDE 2 Sections 1-848



# Alaska State Legislature

## House of Representatives

Committee on

Community & Regional Affairs

COMMITTEE MINUTES

Pouch V  
State Capitol  
Juneau, Alaska 99811

Official Business

DATE: 3/5/79

BILL NUMBER AND TITLE: HB 245 Recall of Municipal Officials

ORIGINAL SPONSOR : Moss by Request

OTHER SPONSORS:

RECEIVED FROM:

FURTHER REFERRALS: Judiciary

MEMBERS PRESENT: Carney Branson  
Metcalf O'Connell  
Zharoff Parr  
Parker

MEMBERS ABSENT:  
None

INDIVIDUALS CONTACTED:

### WITNESSES TESTIFYING:

Moss -- Introduced at request of School Bd. Association. 5 or 6 School Board Officials have been recalled. Title must be changed or it should be checked to see if the proposed bill covers REAA school officials. Officials don't have chance to defend themselves.  
Parr - shouldn't be too protective. Aren't entitled to special protection

Larry Nyland, Supt. of Railbelt Schools

School Sup't Ass'n and School Bd. Ass'n support concepts. Charge must be prefiled. Gives official opportunity to submit statement. Three members have been recalled on 1 petition. July '77 Recall election in the bush. Nine new school bd. members since then. Extended vacancies as additional problem related to recall.

Rep. Parr cites state recall provisions AS15.45.510. Grounds compared with Municipal officer grounds.

Bob Green Testifying on behalf of School Board Assn. Charges and ~~responses~~ on same petition. Time line should be looked at. 30 days might be better than 20 days. Municipal clerks respond within 30 days.

Patty Ann Polley --Preparation of petition might require that the Procedures Act be looked at. Fiscal note shouldn't be more than \$1,000. Title 14 refers to Title 29 so REAAs would be covered. Petitions from REAAs go to Commissioner of Administration but then they go to the Division of Elections.

Doug Griffin -- Dept. of CRA

Opposed ..Lt. Gov's office being involved with what had been a local prerogative. Chance should be there for voters to change their  
(over)

COMMITTEE ACTION: Awaiting info from P.Polley. Should be worked on.

TAPE # 3 SIDE 1

Sections 2100- end

BILL WORK SHEET

COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY AND REGIONAL AFFAIRS

Referred to Judiciary

Received from \_\_\_\_\_

BILL NO. HB 245 re Recall of municipal officials

Original Sponsor Moss by Request

Fiscal Note Requested 3/1/79 Div. of Elections

Contacts:

Notified re Hearing 2/5/79

Lee Sharp

✓✓✓ P. Moss *4970 Mark Widow*

✓✓✓ M. Miller

✓✓✓ B. Greene, School Bd. Ass'n. *6-1083*

✓✓✓ Patty Ann Polley *6-6181*

✓✓✓ *MSA - 6-3090*

✓✓✓ *277 6-6952*

LAA Legal Research contact: McKenzie

Info received from McKenzie and Moss:

BILL TITLE MUST BE AMENDED TO INCLUDE SCHOOL BOARD MEMBERS (In the REAAs School Board members are not considered municipal officials.)

MOSS -- School Bd. and other officials should have a chance to rebutt charges. School Bd. Ass'n. requests this. Gives incumbent a chance. New items are statement by official being recalled; affidavit of circulator.

Basic authority for Recall provisions in State Constitution:

Article XI Section 8 : All elected public officials, in the State, except judicial officers, are subject to recall by the voters of the State or political subdivision from which elected. Procedures and grounds for recall shall be prescribed by the legislature.

*Note petitions - Polley. p. 4 14*

*"was properly or improperly filed"*

*Eliminate it or narrow it to 1 copy to satisfy  
Reasons for requesting be recalled on 15-6*

*Ch. 1 petitions (can be properly recalled?)*

THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA  
ELEVENTH LEGISLATURE

FISCAL NOTE

I. REQUEST

Bill/Resolution No. House Bill No. 245

Title "An Act relating to recall of municipal officials; and providing for an effective

Requested by Moss Date 3/6/79 date."

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Agency Affected Office of the Governor-Division of Elections

Program Category Affected \_\_\_\_\_

BRU, Program, or Subprogram(s) Affected \_\_\_\_\_

(Note: If more than one budget component is affected, separate line-item amounts and funding for each component in the analysis section.)

EXPENDITURES (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 79	FY 80	FY 81	FY 82	FY 83	FY 84
100 PERSONAL SERVICES						
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL	1.0	0				
400 COMMODITIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.						
TOTAL	1.0	0				

FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 79	FY 80	FY 81	FY 82	FY 83	FY 84
GENERAL FUND						
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER (Specify Fund Source)						

POSITIONS

	FY 79	FY 80	FY 81	FY 82	FY 83	FY 84
FULL TIME	0	0				
PART TIME						
TEMPORARY						

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

For promulgation of regulations \$500.00

For printing and mailing of petition \$500.00

IV. DATE 3/6/79

PREPARED BY PAP Patty Ann Polley, Director

AGENCY Division of Elections

PHONE 586-6181

Original: Legislative Finance

cc. Budget and Management

Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

A M E N D M E N T

Offered in the HOUSE  
TO: DRAFT CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 245

By the Community and Regional  
Affairs Committee

Page 3, Lines 14, 15,  
Delete reference to "duplicate copy"

Page 6, Line 1  
Change \$100 to \$50

Page 6, Line 21 Add "There shall be a minimum of ten sponsors."

Page 8, Line 6,7  
Delete reference to "duplicate copy"

Page 4, Line 5  
Add notify "by certified mail"

Page 6, Line 29  
Add notify "by certified mail"

Proofed 3-15

Original sponsor: Moss by request

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY THE COMMUNITY AND  
REGIONAL AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

2 CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 245

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 ELEVENTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to recall of public officials; and  
7 providing for an effective date."

8 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

9 \* Section 1. AS 15.45.480 is amended to read:

10 Sec. 15.45.480. FILING APPLICATION. The recall of the governor or  
11 a member of the state legislature is proposed by filing an application  
12 with the lieutenant governor. The recall of the lieutenant governor is  
13 proposed by filing an application with the attorney general, who shall  
14 perform the duties imposed on the lieutenant governor in the recall of  
15 other elected state officials. A deposit of \$100 must accompany the  
16 application. This deposit shall [WILL] be retained if a petition is not  
17 accepted [PROPERLY FILED]. If a petition is accepted, [PROPERLY FILED]  
18 the deposit shall be refunded.

19 \* Sec. 2. AS 15.45.490 is amended to read:

20 Sec. 15.45.490. TIME OF FILING APPLICATION. No application may be  
21 filed during the first 120 days of the term of office of a [ANY] state  
22 public official subject to recall or within less than 180 days of the  
23 termination of the term of office of a state public official subject to  
24 recall.

25 \* Sec. 3. AS 15.45.500 is amended to read:

26 Sec. 15.45.500. FORM OF APPLICATION. The application shall in-  
27 clude (1) the name and office of the official [PERSON] to be recalled,  
28 (2) the grounds for recall described in particular in not more than 200  
29 words, (3) a statement that the sponsors are qualified voters who signed

1 the application with the statement of grounds for recall included  
2 [ATTACHED], (4) the designation of a recall committee of three sponsors  
3 who shall represent all sponsors and subscribers in matters relating to  
4 the recall, (5) the appointment of at least 100 qualified voters who  
5 subscribe to the application as sponsors for purposes of circulation,  
6 and (6) the signatures and resident addresses of qualified voters equal  
7 in number to 10 per cent of those who voted in the preceding general  
8 election in the state or in the senate or electoral district of the  
9 official sought to be recalled.

10 \* Sec. 4. AS 15.45.510 is repealed and re-enacted to read:

11 Sec. 15.45.510. GROUNDS FOR RECALL. Grounds for recall are mis-  
12 conduct in office, incompetence, or failure to perform prescribed  
13 duties.

14 \* Sec. 5. AS 15.45.560 is repealed and re-enacted to read:

15 Sec. 15.45.560. PREPARATION OF PETITION. (a) If the lieutenant  
16 governor certifies the application, he shall prescribe the form of, and  
17 prepare, a petition containing

- 18 (1) the name and office of the official sought to be re-  
19 called;
- 20 (2) the statement of the grounds for recall included in the  
21 application;
- 22 (3) a space in which the official may make a statement of 200  
23 words or less;
- 24 (4) the warning required in AS 15.45.570;
- 25 (5) sufficient space for signatures, resident addresses, and  
26 dates of signing; and
- 27 (6) other specifications prescribed by the lieutenant  
28 governor to assure proper handling and control.

29 (b) The lieutenant governor shall have a copy of the petition

1 served on the official subject to recall in the same manner as civil  
2 process. The official shall have 30 days after service of the petition  
3 to file a statement of 200 words or less with the lieutenant governor.  
4 If the statement of the official is received on time, the lieutenant  
5 governor shall have it set out on the petition. If no statement of the  
6 official is received on time, the lieutenant governor shall note that on  
7 the petition.

8 (c) The lieutenant governor shall prepare a number of petitions  
9 reasonably calculated to allow full circulation throughout the state or  
10 throughout the senate or election district of the official sought to be  
11 recalled. The lieutenant governor shall number each petition and shall  
12 keep a record of the petitions delivered to each sponsor.

13 \* Sec. 6. AS 15.45.570 is amended to read:

14 Sec. 15.45.570. STATEMENT OF WARNING. Each petition and duplicate  
15 copy shall include a statement of warning that a person who signs a name  
16 other than his own to the petition, or who knowingly signs his name more  
17 than once for the same proposition or question at one election, or who  
18 signs the petition knowing he is not a qualified voter, upon conviction  
19 is punishable by a fine of not more than \$5,000 [\$1,000] or by imprison-  
20 ment for not more than one year, or by both.

21 \* Sec. 7. AS 15.45.610 is amended to read:

22 Sec. 15.45.610. FILING OF PETITION. No petition may be filed  
23 within less than 180 days of the termination of the term of office of a  
24 state public official subject to recall. A petition may be filed only  
25 by a member of the recall committee. A member of the recall committee  
26 [THE SPONSOR] may file the petition only if signed by qualified voters  
27 equal in number to 25 per cent of those who voted in the preceding  
28 general election in the state or in the senate or election district of  
the official sought to be recalled.

1 \* Sec. 8. AS 15.45.620 is repealed and re-enacted to read:

2       Sec. 15.45.620. REVIEW OF PETITION. Within 30 days after the  
3 petition is filed, the lieutenant governor shall (1) review the peti-  
4 tion, (2) certify on the petition whether it is accepted or rejected,  
5 and (3) notify the recall committee and the official subject to recall  
6 whether the petition is accepted or rejected.

7 \* Sec. 9. AS 15.45.630 is amended to read:

8       Sec. 15.45.630. BASES FOR REJECTING [DETERMINING THE] PETITION  
9 [WAS IMPROPERLY FILED]. The lieutenant governor shall reject [NOTIFY  
10 THE COMMITTEE THAT] the petition [WAS IMPROPERLY FILED] if he determines  
11 that (1) there is an insufficient number of qualified subscribers, or  
12 (2) the petition was filed within less than 180 days of the termination  
13 of the term of office of the official subject to recall.

14 \* Sec. 10. AS 15.45.640 is repealed and re-enacted to read:

15       Sec. 15.45.640. SUPPLEMENTED PETITION. (a) If the petition is  
16 rejected because of insufficient signatures, it may be supplemented by  
17 additional signatures within 20 days after the recall committee is  
18 notified of rejection, so long as the supplemented petition is filed  
19 within 180 days of the termination of the term of office of the official  
20 subject to recall. If the petition was rejected because it was filed  
21 too late, the lieutenant governor shall file the rejected petition as a  
22 public record. If no supplemented petition is filed on time, the  
23 lieutenant governor shall file the rejected petition as a public record.

24       (b) Within 10 days after a supplemented petition is filed, the  
25 lieutenant governor shall (1) review the supplemented petition, (2)  
26 recertify on the supplemented petition whether it is accepted or re-  
27 jected, and (3) notify the recall committee and the official subject to  
28 recall whether the supplemented petition is accepted or rejected. The  
29 lieutenant governor shall file a rejected supplemented petition as a

1 public record.

2 \* Sec. 11. AS 15.45.650 is amended to read:

3 Sec. 15.45.650. CALLING SPECIAL ELECTION. If the lieutenant  
4 governor accepts [DETERMINES] the petition [IS PROPERLY FILED] and if  
5 the office is not vacant, he shall prepare the ballot and shall call a  
6 special election to be held on a date not less than 60, nor more than  
7 90, days after the date that notification is given that the petition was  
8 accepted [PROPERLY FILED]. If a primary or general election is to be  
9 held no. less than 60, nor more than 90, days after the date that noti-  
10 fication is given that the petition was accepted [PROPERLY FILED], the  
11 special election shall be held on the date of the primary or general  
12 election.

13 \* Sec. 12. AS 15.45.660 is repealed and re-enacted to read:

14 Sec. 15.45.660. FORM OF RECALL BALLOTS. A recall ballot contains:

15 (1) the grounds as stated in the recall petition;

16 (2) the official's statement contained on the recall petition  
17 or, if none, the official's statement of 200 words or less, if that  
18 statement is filed with the lieutenant governor for publication and  
19 public inspection within 10 days after notification to the official that  
20 the recall petition was accepted;

21 (3) the following question: "Shall (name of persor.) be re-  
22 called from the office of (office)? Yes [ ] No [ ]".

23 \* Sec. 13. AS 29.28.130 is amended to read:

24 Sec. 29.28.130. RECALL. An elected official of a home rule or  
25 general law municipality may be recalled by the voters after he has  
26 served 120 days [SIX MONTHS] in office.

27 \* Sec. 14. AS 29.28 is amended by adding new sections to read:

28 Sec. 29.28.142. FILING APPLICATION. The recall of a municipal  
29 official is proposed by filing an application with the municipal clerk.

1 A deposit of \$100 must accompany the application. This deposit shall be  
2 retained if a petition is not accepted. If a petition is accepted, the  
3 deposit shall be refunded.

4 Sec. 29.28.144. TIME OF FILING APPLICATION. An application may  
5 not be filed during the first 120 days of the term of office of a muni-  
6 cipal official or within less than 180 days of the termination of the  
7 term of office of a municipal official.

8 Sec. 29.28.146. FORM OF APPLICATION. The application shall in-  
9 clude

- 10 (1) the name and office of the official to be recalled;
- 11 (2) the grounds for recall described in particular in not  
12 more than 200 words;
- 13 (3) a statement that the sponsors are qualified voters of the  
14 municipality who signed the application with statement of grounds for  
15 recall included; and
- 16 (4) the designation of a recall committee of three sponsors  
17 who shall represent all sponsors and subscribers in relation to the  
18 recall.

19 Sec. 29.28.148. DESIGNATION OF SPONSORS. The qualified voters of  
20 the municipality who subscribe to the application are designated as  
21 sponsors. The recall committee may designate additional sponsors by  
22 giving written notice to the municipal clerk of the names and resident  
23 addresses of those so designated.

24 Sec. 29.28.152. MANNER OF NOTICE. Notice on all matters pertain-  
25 ing to the application and petition may be served on any member of the  
26 recall committee in person or by first class mail addressed to him as  
27 indicated on the application.

28 Sec. 29.28.154. REVIEW OF APPLICATION. The municipal clerk shall  
29 review the application and shall either certify it or notify the recall

1 committee of the grounds of refusal.

2 Sec. 29.28.156. BASES OF DENIAL OF CERTIFICATION. The municipal  
3 clerk shall deny certification if he determines that (1) the application  
4 is not substantially in the required form, (2) the application was filed  
5 during the first 120 days of the term of office of the official subject  
6 to recall or within less than 180 days of the termination of the term of  
7 office of the official subject to recall, (3) the person named in the  
8 application is not subject to recall, or (4) there is an insufficient  
9 number of qualified subscribers.

10 Sec. 29.28.158. PREPARATION OF PETITION. (a) If the municipal  
11 clerk certifies the application, he shall prescribe the form of, and  
12 prepare, a petition containing

13 (1) the name and office of the official sought to be re-  
14 called;

15 (2) the statement of the grounds for recall included in the  
16 application;

17 (3) a space in which the official may make a statement of 200  
18 words or less;

19 (4) the warning required in AS 29.28.162;

20 (5) sufficient space for signatures and resident addresses;

21 and

22 (6) other specifications prescribed by the municipal clerk to  
23 assure proper handling and control.

24 (b) The municipal clerk shall have a copy of the petition served  
25 on the official subject to recall in the same manner as civil process.  
26 The official shall have 30 days after service of the petition to file a  
27 statement of 200 words or less with the municipal clerk. If the state-  
28 ment of the official is received on time, the municipal clerk shall have  
29 it set out on the petition. If no statement of the official is received

1 on time, the municipal clerk shall note that on the petition.

2 (c) The municipal clerk shall prepare a number of petitions  
3 reasonably calculated to allow full circulation throughout the munici-  
4 pality. The municipal clerk shall number each petition and shall keep a  
5 record of the petitions delivered to each sponsor.

6 Sec. 29.28.162. STATEMENT OF WARNING. Each petition and duplicate  
7 copy shall include a statement of warning that a person who signs a name  
8 other than his own to the petition, or who knowingly signs his name more  
9 than once for the same question at one recall election, or who signs the  
10 petition knowing he is not a qualified voter, upon conviction is punish-  
11 able by a fine of not more than \$5,000 or by imprisonment for not more  
12 than one year, or by both.

13 Sec. 29.28.164. CIRCULATION BY SPONSOR. A petition may be cir-  
14 culated only by a sponsor and only in person throughout the municipality  
15 represented by the official sought to be recalled.

16 Sec. 29.28.166. MANNER OF SIGNING AND WITHDRAWING NAME FROM PETI-  
17 TION. A qualified voter of the municipality may subscribe to the peti-  
18 tion by signing his name and resident address. A person who has signed  
19 the petition may withdraw his name only by giving written notice to the  
20 municipal clerk before the date the petition is filed.

21 Sec. 29.28.168. CERTIFICATION OF SPONSOR. Before being filed,  
22 each petition shall be certified by an affidavit by the sponsor who  
23 personally circulated the petition. The affidavit shall state in sub-  
24 stance that (1) the person signing the affidavit is a sponsor, (2) the  
25 person is the only circulator of that petition or copy, (3) the signa-  
26 tures were made in his actual presence, and (4) to the best of his  
27 knowledge, the signatures are those of the persons whose names they  
28 purport to be. In determining the sufficiency of the petition, the  
29 municipal clerk may not count subscriptions on petitions not properly

1 certified.

2 Sec 29.28.172. FILING OF PETITION. A petition may not be filed  
3 within less than 180 days of the termination of the term of office of a  
4 municipal official subject to recall. A petition may be filed only by a  
5 member of the recall committee. A member of the recall committee may  
6 file the petition only if it contains the signatures and resident  
7 addresses of a number of qualified voters of the municipality as pre-  
8 scribed in AS 29.28.070(b) for initiative and referendum.

9 Sec. 29.28.174. REVIEW OF PETITION. Within 30 days after the  
10 petition is filed, the municipal clerk shall (1) review the petition,  
11 (2) certify on the petition whether it is accepted or rejected, and (3)  
12 notify the recall committee and the official subject to recall whether  
13 the petition is accepted or rejected.

14 Sec. 29.28.176. BASES FOR REJECTING PETITION. The municipal clerk  
15 shall reject the petition if he determines that (1) there is an insuffi-  
16 cient number of qualified subscribers, or (2) the petition was filed  
17 within less than 180 days of the termination of the term of office of  
18 the official subject to recall.

19 Sec. 29.28.178. SUPPLEMENTED PETITION. (a) If the petition is  
20 rejected because of insufficient signatures, it may be supplemented by  
21 additional signatures within 20 days after the recall committee is  
22 notified of rejection, so long as the supplemented petition is filed  
23 within 180 days of the termination of the term of office of the official  
24 subject to recall. If the petition was rejected because it was filed  
25 too late, the municipal clerk shall file the rejected petition as a  
26 public record. If no supplemented petition is filed on time, the muni-  
27 cipal clerk shall file the rejected petition as a public record.

28 (b) Within 10 days after a supplemented petition is filed, the  
29 municipal clerk shall (1) review the supplemented petition, (2) re-