

150

HOCRA

HFB 1771

- HFB 259

12


WATER RESOURCES REVOLVING LOAN FUND

BROAD ESTIMATE OF FUND REQUIREMENTS
BASED ON DELAYED SCHEDULE

PROJECT	ASSUMED CAPACITY KW	ASSUMED ON-LINE DATE	ESTIMATED CAPITAL INVEST. \$1,000	ESTIMATED INVESTMENT REQUIREMENTS AND DEBT SERVICE REPAYMENT (), IN \$1,000														
				1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	
<u>SITKA</u>																		
Green Lake	13,000	Dec. 81	35,600	150	300	250	2,300	4,900	13,700	14,000	(1,420)	(1,420)	(1,420)	(1,420)	(1,420)	(1,420)	(1,420)	
Blue Lake	4,000	Dec. 86	4,500								200	500	1,800	2,000	(180)	(180)		
<u>PETTERSBURG - FRANGELL</u>																		
Blind Slough	2,600	Dec. 77	4,100	600	1,750	1,750	(160)	(160)	(160)	(160)	(160)	(160)	(160)	(160)	(160)	(160)	(160)	
Thomas Bay, Stage I	12,000	Dec. 81	37,500	100	300	250	3,050	4,800	13,900	15,100	(1,500)	(1,500)	(1,500)	(1,500)	(1,500)	(1,500)	(1,500)	
Thomas Bay, Stage II	15,000	Dec. 87	64,100								200	500	4,800	7,200	24,400	27,000	(2,560)	
<u>KETCHIKAN</u>																		
Lake Silvia Rehabilitation	2,100	Dec. 75	900	900	(35)	(35)	(35)	(35)	(35)	(35)	(35)	(35)	(35)	(35)	(35)	(35)	(35)	
Swan Lake	15,000	Dec. 81	42,700	100	300	250	2,950	4,800	16,300	18,000	(1,710)	(1,710)	(1,710)	(1,710)	(1,710)	(1,710)	(1,710)	
Lake Grace	20,000	Dec. 86	79,800								200	800	5,000	10,000	25,000	29,000	(3,150)	
<u>KODIAK</u>																		
Terror Lake, Stage I	12,000	Dec. 81	37,500	100	300	250	3,050	4,800	13,900	15,100	(1,500)	(1,500)	(1,500)	(1,500)	(1,500)	(1,500)	(1,500)	
Terror Lake, Stage II	3,000	Dec. 83	9,300					200	200	1,500	3,500	3,900	(370)	(370)	(370)	(370)	(370)	
Terror Lake, Stage III	Diversions	Dec. 86	15,000								300	1,000	6,700	7,000	(600)	(600)		
<u>METLAKA</u>																		
Project A	3,000	Dec. 81	9,600	50	200	200	1,000	2,100	2,900	3,150	(380)	(380)	(380)	(380)	(380)	(380)	(380)	
Project B	3,000	Dec. 86	15,500								100	400	2,000	3,500	4,500	5,000		
<u>ASSUMED MISC. HYDRO. PROJECTS</u>																		
Project A	15,000	Dec. 81	42,700	100	150	150	3,200	4,800	16,300	18,000	(1,710)	(1,710)	(1,710)	(1,710)	(1,710)	(1,710)	(1,710)	
Project B	15,000	Dec. 87	64,100								200	500	4,800	7,200	24,400	27,000	(2,560)	
<u>ASSUMED MISC. WATER SUPPLY PROJECTS</u>																		
Project A		Dec. 80	30,000			200	2,100	13,900	14,200	(1,200)	(1,200)	(1,200)	(1,200)	(1,200)	(1,200)	(1,200)	(1,200)	
Project B		Dec. 85	30,000							300	2,000	13,500	14,200	(1,200)	(1,200)	(1,200)	(1,200)	
SUBTOTAL INVESTMENT REQUIREMENTS				322,900	2,100	3,300	3,300	17,650	39,900	91,400	85,050	4,800	12,500	35,000	64,100	90,300	63,500	5,000
SUBTOTAL DEBT SERVICE REPAYMENT				(84,675)		(35)	(35)	(195)	(195)	(195)	(1,395)	(9,615)	(9,615)	(9,985)	(9,985)	(11,185)	(11,965)	(20,275)
COMPLATIVE LOANED AMOUNT LESS DEBT SERVICE REPAYMENT				438,225	2,100	3,365	8,630	26,085	65,790	156,995	240,650	235,835	238,720	263,735	317,850	396,985	453,500	438,225

NOTE: If the delayed schedule is adopted it would be necessary for cities such as Ketchikan and Sitka to provide new diesel generation to meet anticipated load demands. It is estimated that Ketchikan will need an additional 6,400 kW diesel by January 1980 and Sitka will need a 4,000 kW diesel by January 1981.

WATER RESOURCES REVOLVING LOAN FUND
BROAD ESTIMATE OF FUND REQUIREMENTS



Proposed Schedule	2.1	7.2	23.0	55.5	130.9	208.2	220.5	216.2	219.6	245.1	299.8	379.4	436.4	421.7
Delayed Schedule	2.1	5.4	8.6	26.1	65.8	157.0	240.7	235.8	238.7	263.7	317.9	397.0	435.5	438.2
	0.6	2.1	3.6	← Cumulative Cost of Project Investigations and FPC Licensing Only										

CUMULATIVE LOANED AMOUNT LESS DEBT SERVICE REPAYMENT, (IN \$ 1,000,000)

ADDITIONAL COST OF DELAYED SCHEDULE
FOR HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT CONSTRUCTION

Capital Investment:	
Hydroelectric Projects	\$ 4,886,000
Additional Diesel Plants	2,204,000
Cost of Fuel for Additional Diesel Generation	<u>8,606,000</u>
TOTAL ADDITIONAL COST	\$15,696,000

- NOTE: 1. Based on 6% borrowing rate.
2. Based on 1975 dollars (Present Value).
3. Additional Diesel: Ketchikan - 6,400 kW; Sitka - 4,000 kW.
4. Capital Investment = Loaned Amount Less Debt Service Requirements, 1975 through 1988.

Page 1 line 27 "15%" to "5%"

Page 3 line change "3" to "6"

change "hydro electric" to "electric"

Page 2, line 2 change \$250,000,000 to \$100,000,000

Committee Report spelling out the
relationship between 17' and HB 329
if it should pass

5%
2%

461711

Sound HCRFF &
H fin 3/19/75

Natural Resources (Kathy Jackson 2416)
Rep. Terry Goodson

Notified

Present

Testified

X
+

HB-172

"An Act relating to state compliance with local planning and platting and zoning ordinances; and providing for an effective date."

2/18/75

COMMITTEE REPORT

JUDICIARY

HOUSE

Mr. Speaker:

Date 4 27

The Committee on C & RA has had HB 172

under consideration. A Majority of the members of the Committee

recommends it DO PASS

recommends it DO NOT PASS

recommends it DO PASS WITH ATTACHED AMENDMENT(S)

recommends it BE REPLACED WITH CS FOR _____ AND THAT

CS FOR _____ DO PASS

"and" recommends it BE REFERRED TO THE _____

COMMITTEE

reports it back WITHOUT RECOMMENDATION

"other"

Members signing the Majority report:

_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

Members NOT concurring in the Majority report:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ Chairman

HB 290, 291

Chairman cotten asked for the consensus of the Committee on these two bills. The members wanted them left in Committee until next year.

HB 377

Freeman doesn't see any reason for the bill. The rest of the Committee concurs. DEAD.

SB 125

This is the same one as HB 172 passed out earlier. Hershberger suggests leaving this one in committee and letting HB 172 go through the stages. Let a representative have this one. Rest of the members concur.

HB 293

The Chairman received a telegram from Kodiak who are opposed to this bill. Freeman said that the Anchorage city and borough are interested. Want to wait for more testimony on this one.

HB 259

Hackney wants to study for the rest of the session. Cotten said the sponsor doesn't want to see it again. Dead for now.

HB 218
SB 209

Cotten said there was a constitutional problem with these bills. Dead for now.

HB 322, 359

Hackney wants rep. Specking to come. Also Chenoweth. These bills will be taken up tomorrow.

ADJOURN

Meeting was adjourned at 10:00.

HB172

	<u>Notified</u>	<u>Present</u>	<u>Testified</u>
Natural Resources (Kathy Jacobson H16)	X		
99882 Bob Paul T, Planning Consultant	X	X	X
Mike Miller	X		

H/B-205

TAPE #12
side 1
1545-end

tape #12
side 2
0-570

HB 445, 205
May 1, 1975

SOLID WASTE BILLS
ATTENDANCE

Meeting was continued with all members of the Committee still present plus: Jim Anderegg, Dept. of C&RA, Jerry Rienvon, deputy Commissioner of Environmental Conservation, Red Swanson, Sponsor of HB 205, Chet Strohmeier, Acme Disposal.

CSSB 127

Before discussing solid waste bills, the Committee looked over the committee substitute for SB 127 which had been prepared for today and it was passed out.

HB 445

Mr. Rienvon said there were two things at issue here: revenue and intent. The Dept. supports the intent but the issue of funding transcends the dept. Disposal is a real problem especially in the bush and rural areas. The sliding fee scale is a good concept. Fiscal note around \$1,500,000.

FISCAL NOTE

EXAMPLE OF
HYDABURG

Rep. Hackney asked what a place like Hydaburg with a population of 300-400 would be able to do with the \$4,000 the bill would give them. Jerry said in this case they would probably use it for a driver for a cat to bury the land fill. A small amount will improve the situation somewhat.

REP. OSE

Rep. Ose objects to Anchorage getting the 1/2 million they would get because they are already doing a good job. Oral said the sliding fee scale favors the small communities. The facilities that Anchorage and Fairbanks have the people paid for. Oral thinks it's a good bill, but perhaps there should be a provision making it mandatory to use the money for solid waste.

FREEMAN

HB 205

Rep. Swanson stated that while in the 7th Legislature he saw that the problem existed and so decided to introduce this bill. He represents 30 small communities in interior who have a real problem. He has an idea--to get the Hiway dept. to let the small communities use their old equipment, and with the \$5 from revenue sharing the communities will be able to take care of their problem.

CSSB 90 (side 2)

Cotten pointed out that CSSB90 transfers state equipment to political subdivisions. Will take up that bill tomorrow.

JIM ADEREGG
C&RA POSITION

The dept. is aware of the review of revenue sharing that's supposed to take place this summer so they don't want to push anything right now, but they do favor solid waste. They like the sliding fee scale in HB 445, a fixed amount is not good. The dept. recommends wording that will allow the municipalities to use private contractors. Also set criteria for compliance, and wording to cover the situation in double governments. They recommend against state ceiling on revenue sharing.

Rep. Swanson said the issue in his district was disposal and not collection. Jerry agreed.


Chairman Coten wants to try to put some of these bills together. Freeman likes the approach in HB 445.

Meeting was adjourned at 9:40.

Sam Carlson

FAIRBANKS NORTH STAR BOROUGH

Box 267, Fairbanks, Alaska 99707



March 25, 1975

The Honorable Leslie E. "Red" Swanson
Pouch V, State Capitol Building
Juneau, Alaska 99811

Dear Red:

Pursuant to our conversation in Juneau, I am returning a copy of House Bill No. 205, on which I have noted questions on garbage and collection of solid waste.

The Fairbanks North Star Borough disposes of solid waste and the City of Fairbanks collects solid waste. At the present time, the Fairbanks North Star Borough is not engaged in the collection of any solid waste materials.

My concern is that according to the terminology of House Bill No. 205, a municipality must collect and dispose of solid waste in order to be eligible for funding.

Very truly yours,

JAC
JOHN A. CARLSON
Borough Mayor

JAC:nic

Attachment - as stated

5/1

HB 205
Chenoweth
Susan
Gm Anderson

Net.

X
X

R.

X
X

Yes.

X
X

HB-218

HB 290, 291

Chairman cotten asked for the consensus of the Committee on these two bills. The members wanted them left in Committee until next year.

HB 377

Freeman doesn't see any reason for the bill. The rest of the Committee concurs. DEAD.

SB 125

This is the same one as HB 172 passed out earlier. Hershberger suggests leaving this one in committee and letting HB 172 go through the stages. Let a representative have this one. REST of the members concur.

HB 293

The Chairman received a telegram from Kodiak who are opposed to this bill. Freeman said that the Anchorage city and borough are interested. Want to wait for more testimony on this one.

HB 259

Hackney wants to study for the rest of the session. Cotten said the sponsor doesn't want to see it again. Dead for now.

HB 218
SB 209

Cotten said there was a constitutional problem with these bills. Dead for now.

HB 322, 359

Hackney wants rep. Specking to come. Also Chenoweth. These bills will be taken up tomorrow.

ADJOURN

Meeting was adjourned at 10:00.

ALASKA ASSOCIATION OF MUNICIPAL CLERKS
% Ketchikan Gateway Borough
344 Front Street
Ketchikan, Alaska

TO: Senate and House State Affairs Committees, Senate and House Finance Committees, Senate and House Community and Regional Affairs Committees and Senate and House Judiciary Committees

DATE: March 27, 1975

SUBJ: AAMC POSITION ON ELECTION LEGISLATION

This is a position statement of the Alaska Association of Municipal Clerks on the following bills pertaining to elections and under consideration by the Ninth State Legislature.

HB 10 Increases the payment of election judges, clerks and counters from \$3.50 per hour to \$5.00 per hour.

Referral - Passed House.

POSITION: Opposed. This bill, if passed, would increase the cost of election boards by 33%. Average increase for local elections, statewide, would be \$33,750.00 per election. In conjunction with HBs 199 and 204, which lengthen the hours that polling places are open on election day, the costs would be even greater. We consider this to be an astronomical increase in local election costs which communities would have to bear.

HB 53 Changes the State General Election to the "first" Tuesday of November in every even numbered year instead of the "first Tuesday after the first Monday". Changes the date of the State Primary from the "fourth Tuesday of August" to the "first" Tuesday of "October" of every even numbered year.

Referral - State Affairs; Finance

POSITION: Opposed. Passage would make State Primaries and local elections fall on the same day. One is partisan and one non-partisan. Implementation of the passed bill would mean two separate precinct boards, two sets of procedures and shuffling of voters from one board to the other and vice versa. The State Election Offices may find it very difficult to prepare for two major State elections only one month apart. Voters would be vividly faced with the differences between local and state election procedures.

By law, the Federal election is held the "first Tuesday after the first Monday" of November. With passage of this bill there would be occasion that a State General Election would be held a week previous to the Federal election.

HB 54 Changes the administration of state elections from the Lt. Governor to an Alaska Election Administration Commission.

Referrals: State Affairs and Finance Committees

POSITION: Opposed to the bill in its present form. We support the theory of removing the Lt. Governor from the direct line of fire in being responsible for the conduct of elections. We would propose a State Elections Commission under the Office of the Lt. Governor which would have the responsibility for the conduct of the elections.

The Commission should be composed of five members all of which have expertise in the conduct of elections. This Commission would consist of the Lt. Governor as Chairman; a representative of each major political party selected from a list of three persons submitted by each party; a representative of a political action group and a fifth member whose current responsibility includes the conduct of municipal elections.

HB 199 Changes the time for opening and closing the polls from
HB 204 8:00 a. m. - 8:00 p. m. to 7:00 a. m. - 9:00 p. m. and
6:30 a. m. - 7:30 p. m., respectively.

Referrals: State Affairs and Judiciary.

POSITION: Opposed. Based upon prior experience of polls opening at 7:00 a. m. and closing at 8:00 p. m., we have found 12 hours to be a sufficient amount of time for voting. Surveys taken during that period of time indicate that, at most, only two voters per precinct voted during that morning hour while precinct boards were being paid at full staff capacity. The extension of hours would greatly increase costs, without justification from voter turnout. The addition of hours in the evening to an already existing 14 or 16 hour day would decrease efficiency at a time when accuracy is most critical.

HB 207 Allows voter to register any time of the year, even at the time of voting and allows a voter to vote if qualified in the election district rather than "precinct".

Referrals: State Affairs and Finance

POSITION: Opposed. Those voters choosing to register and vote on election day will, in all cases, have to vote a questioned or challenged ballot since there is no way for a precinct election judge to determine at that time the clear and unquestioned qualification of the voter.

The increase in questioned and challenged ballots then directly affects the time between election and canvass, delaying canvass because of the increased amount of investigation required. The bill, if passed, would discourage making timely change of address as well as early registration and would render the registration rolls virtually useless for the purposes for which they are

presently utilized, i. e. checking of nominating petitions, candidate mail out, initiative and referendum petitions.

HB 214 Provides that when none of the required persons is available for witnessing an absentee ballot it can be done by a single attesting witness who is at least 18.

Referral: State Affairs and Judiciary

POSITION: Opposed. As we feel AS 15.20.150 as it exists provides sufficient flexibility to secure witnesses for purposes of absentee voting.

HB 217 Exempts municipal elections from State law governing election campaign contributions and expenditures.

Referral: State Affairs and Judiciary

POSITION: Endorse. Local government should not be required to conform to standards set for state government unless it is the desire of local government. Candidates for local office find the reporting to be a form of harrassment. The inquiries into local campaign contributions have been minimal.

HB 218 Provides that residency requirements not exceeding three years be set for borough and city mayors and councilmen.

Referrals - Community and Regional Affairs and State Affairs

POSITION: Endorse. Home Rule City may now prescribe additional residency requirements for Mayor by charter. Amendment would extend privilege for prescribing durational residency requirements to general law cities and boroughs for the offices of councilmen and mayor.

HB 219 Provides for registration officials to serve in each polling place during municipal, primary and general elections.

Referrals - State Affairs and Finance

POSITION: Endorse. The registrar would be right at the polling place to make a change of address, name, etc. This would enable the voter who is angry at the time of voting because he made a change of address and it did not make the list - or didn't make a change and is just angry at having to vote a questioned ballot - to have his anger somewhat lessened, if not totally, by having a registrar available at that time to make the necessary change. This would aid in making the registration rolls more accurate and be an added convenience to the voter.

HB 223 Provides that a municipality may provide for plurality vote for election of official by ordinance ratified by a majority of the voters thus exempting itself from the 40% run-off requirement.

Referrals - ~~State~~ ^{Community & Regional} Affairs

POSITION: Support. Present 40% run-off provision is discriminatory in the first place by exempting home-rule cities. Home-rule cities have representatives on Borough Assemblies which may be elected by standards different than are required for the other Assemblymen. Voter apathy is apparent when examining voting statistics for run-off elections. Many times a candidate has won a run-off election with less votes than received in the general election. This is difficulty in computing the 40% in multi-seat races. Statistics show that the 40% run-off has only infrequently changed the outcome of the regular election. The run-off election is often more costly than the general election - in Borough's having an areawide run-off and not sharing election costs with the cities as they do in general elections.

HB 233 Provides that a voter who has failed to obtain a transfer from one precinct or election district to another may vote a questioned ballot in the district where he resides on election day.

Referrals - State Affairs

POSITION: Oppose. Endorse instead HB 300.

HB 240 Provides a tax credit against Alaska Income Tax to a taxpayer who votes in a state or local election during the tax year.

Referrals - State Affairs and Finance

POSITION: Opposed. Voting is a right, not a commodity to be purchased for \$10.00. Money has never proven to be a lasting incentive to sustain initiative.

HB 300 Clarifies voter's residency requirement.

Referrals - State Affairs and Finance

POSITION: Endorse. This bill will further clarify "residency requirements" for voting purposes by establishing criteria for judgements that have to be made at every election and affecting not only the voters, but candidates as well.

SENATE BILLS

SB 206 Provides that a voter who has failed to obtain a registration transfer shall vote a questioned ballot in his precinct of residence.

Referrals - State Affairs

POSITION: Opposed. Endorse instead HB 300.

SB 207 Permits a municipality to provide for plurality vote for election of officials by ordinance.

Referral - Community and Regional Affairs

POSITION: Opposed. Endorse instead SB 255.

SB 208 Provides for registration officials to serve in each polling place during municipal, primary and general elections.

Referrals - State Affairs

POSITION: Endorse. See HB 219.

SB 209 Provides that residency requirements not exceeding three years can be set for borough and city mayors and councilmen.

Referrals - Community and Regional Affairs

POSITION: Endorse. See HB 219.

SB 237 Changes time for opening polls from 8:00 a. m. to 6:30 a. m.

Referrals - State Affairs

POSITION: Opposed. See HB 199 and HB 204.

SB 255 Run-off Elections.

Referrals - Community and Regional Affairs.

POSITION: Opposed.

SB 269 Punch card voting.

Referrals - State Affairs


POSITION: Endorse. Existing statute does not deal efficiently with punch card voting.

SB 270 Municipal Election Date.


Referrals - Community and Regional Affairs

POSITION: Oppose.


These positions were developed from a statewide consensus of the thirty-seven members of the Alaska Association of Municipal Clerks, who serve with the municipalities as shown on the attached list.



Judi Slajer, President
Alaska Association of Municipal Clerks



Mary Coffey, Legislative Committee
Clerk, Greater Anchorage Area Borough



Jean Michou, Legislative Committee
Clerk, City of Anchorage

Alaska Association of Municipal Clerks

MEMBER MUNICIPALITIES

1975

City of Ambler	City of Kodiak
City of Anchorage	Kodiak Island Borough
City of Angoon	City of Kotzebue
City of Cordova	Matanuska-Susitna Borough
City of Craig	City of Nome
City of Delta Junction	City of North Pole
City of Dillingham	City of Palmer
Fairbanks North Star Borough	City of Petersburg
City of Fort Yukon	City of Seldovia
Greater Anchorage Area Borough	City of Seward
City of Haines	City and Borough of Sitka
City of Homer	City of Skagway
City of Hoonah	City of Soldotna
City and Borough of Juneau	City of Unalaska
City of Kenai	City of Valdez
Kenai Peninsula Borough	City of Wales
City of Ketchikan	City of Whittier
Ketchikan Gateway Borough	City of Wrangell
	City of Yakutat

HB 54

Changes the administration of state elections from the Lt. Governor to an Alaska Election Administration Commission.

Referrals: State Affairs and Finance Committees

POSITION: Opposed to the bill in its present form. We support the theory of removing the Lt. Governor from the direct line of fire in being responsible for the conduct of elections. We would propose a State Elections Commission under the Office of the Lt. Governor which would have the responsibility for the conduct of the elections.

The Commission should be composed of five members all of which have expertise in the conduct of elections. This Commission would consist of the Lt. Governor as Chairman; a representative of each major political party selected from a list of three persons submitted by each party; a representative of a political action group and a fifth member whose current responsibility includes the conduct of municipal elections.

HB 199

HB 204

Changes the time for opening and closing the polls from 8:00 a. m. - 8:00 p. m. to 7:00 a. m. - 9:00 p. m. and 6:30 a. m. - 7:30 p. m., respectively.

Referrals: State Affairs and Judiciary.

POSITION: Opposed. Based upon prior experience of polls opening at 7:00 a. m. and closing at 8:00 p. m., we have found 12 hours to be a sufficient amount of time for voting. Surveys taken during that period of time indicate that, at most, only two voters per precinct voted during that morning hour while precinct boards were being paid at full staff capacity. The extension of hours would greatly increase costs, without justification from voter turnout. The addition of hours in the evening to an already existing 14 or 16 hour day would decrease efficiency at a time when accuracy is most critical.

HB 207

Allows voter to register any time of the year, even at the time of voting and allows a voter to vote if qualified in the election district rather than "precinct".

Referrals: State Affairs and Finance

POSITION: Opposed. Those voters choosing to register and vote on election day will, in all cases, have to vote a questioned or challenged ballot since there is no way for a precinct election judge to determine at that time the clear and unquestioned qualification of the voter.

The increase in questioned and challenged ballots then directly affects the time between election and canvass, delaying canvass because of the increased amount of investigation required. The bill, if passed, would discourage making timely change of address as well as early registration and would render the registration rolls virtually useless for the purposes for which they are

Hearings

HB218

~~2/4/75~~
5/28/75

Rep. Ted Smith
Ak Municipal League
CRA
Mary Cassee, GAAB clerk
Slater, Ketchikan clerk
Ed Hildebrand, C & B Junction

<u>Notified</u>	<u>Present</u>	<u>Testified</u>
X		
X		
X		
X		
X		
X		

2/4/75

Rep Smith
Ak Municipal League
Dept C & CRA

X
X

HB-223

HOUSE COMMUNITY & REGIONAL AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

Meeting Minutes
March 28, 1975

Meeting was called to order at 9:00 for discussion of HB 265, 266 HB 223 and SB 207 am. Present:

Representative Sam Cotten, Chairman
" Al Ose
" Larry Davis
" Kathryn Ostrosky'
" Glenn Hackney
" Oral Freeman
Barbara Englert Thomas, Staff
Andrea Guernsey, Staff'
Jean G. Muhou, City Clerk, Anchorage
Mary Coffery, Borough Clerk, GAAB
Judi Slajer, Borough Clerk, Ketchikan Borough
Donald E. Clocksin, Legal Services

HB 265, 266 (community assistance)

(495) First order of business of HB 265. Chairman Cotten read a letter from Commissioner McAnerney saying that only 1st and 2nd class cities were eligible for grants--Home Rule cities are not. This clears up Ose's problem of Anchorage maybe trying to use this program. Rep. Ostrosky moves to report bills out. Reps. Hackney and Freeman object. The Chairman calls for a show of votes to report out. Four voted to move out: Cotten, Davis, Ose and Ostrosky. Bills reported out with individual recommendations.

HB 223, SB 207am

(580) Next on the agenda were election bills. Ms. Slajer said they wanted the language of SB 255 in SB 207am. Hackney objects to taking up bills not in this committee (SB 255). Testimony was restricted to 207 and 223.

(712) Rep. Ostrosky notes technical error in spelling of the word "runoff". Word is hyphenated in SB 207 and not in HB 223.

(725) Ms. Slajer in favor of concept in SB 207 but want to change the wording a little. SB 255 is same but better wording. REp. Davis asked if it wasn't already in ordinance requiring run off for Mayor. Want to exempt all from run off except Mayor.

(767) Ostrosky asked if they needed a plurality vote? Yes, they do.

(775) Hackney-HB 223 requires exemption to be ratified by voters--essential. Different from SB 207. (800) Coffery explains problem with GAAB. Two different sets of standards for same body. Hackney wants people to be able to vote. Coffery wants option by ordinance. Rather than voting on the question the people would vote on the people and if they weren't satisfied the council would hear about it. (885) Ose definitely opposed to ordinance. (898) Freeman wants to know what is wrong with council making ordinances? (925) Ms. Coffery said this position was endorsed by the Alaska Association of Municipal clerks which includes 37 municipalities. (947) Hackney asked what objections they had to the people voting on the question. Jean's response was that the people would voice their displeasure. Coffery explained the GAAB situation. She thinks run off is farce.

(1059) Cotten agrees with Hackney. Question would not cost extra money. Would be voted on at general election or regular or special election. (1103) Jean said the voters didn't vote in the first place to require run off elections. Ostrosky asked what the sentiment was to drop run offs. Ms. Coffery said the association has held the same position for the last couple years. She has enough faith in governing body.

(1159) Ms. Slajr said that HB 223 does give some option.

(1175) Cotten-Home Rule cities exempt from whole thing. Home rule already has option with Charter.

(1230) Cotten asks Hackney if adding " of any of its officials" on line 11 would clear things up. Hackney has no objection.

Ms. Slajer said that SB 255 that there must be a run off for mayor and HB 223 would allow the people to vote on exempting any position so there will have to be a correlation sometime of that discrepancy.

(1265) Freeman suggests taking the language from HB 223 and placing it in SB 207 and let it be settled in free conference. Hackney has no objection. (1306) Freeman moves to report out a committee substitute for 207 which would delete paragraph B in 207 and replace it with para B in 223 with the addition of any of its officials on line 11.

Bill was reported out with committee substitute.

END 1363. Meeting adjourned at 10:00.

REQUESTED BY ASSEMBLYMAN CHARLES EVANS

GREATER ANCHORAGE AREA BOROUGH

RESOLUTION NO. 75-36

A RESOLUTION REQUESTING DELETION OF REQUIREMENT FOR RUNOFF ELECTION WHERE NO CANDIDATE FOR MUNICIPAL OFFICE RECEIVES IN EXCESS OF 40% OF THE VOTES CAST

WHEREAS, voter turnouts in municipal runoff election have been historically very light and possibly unrepresentative of the electorate at large; and

WHEREAS, it is very costly to municipalities to hold runoff elections and to candidates to campaign in runoff elections;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ASSEMBLY OF THE GREATER ANCHORAGE AREA BOROUGH:

1. That the Legislature be requested to repeal A.S. Title 29.28.040 requiring runoff elections in municipal elections and amend to provide for local options;

2. That copies of this resolution be sent to the President of the Senate, to the Chairman of the Senate Committee on Community and Regional Affairs, to the Speaker of the House, to the Chairman of the House Committee on Community and Regional Affairs, to the Commissioner of Community and Regional Affairs and to the Executive Director of the Alaska Municipal League.

PASSED AND APPROVED by the Assembly of the Greater Anchorage Area Borough this 17th day of April, 1975.

/s/ Jessie Daxson
Presiding Officer

ATTEST:

/s/ Mary Coffey
Borough Clerk

APPROVED this 17th day of April, 1975.

/s/ John P. Roderick
Borough Mayor

Hearings

HB223

3/28/75

CRA

Municipal League

Rep. Ted Smith

Mary Cassie, GAAB clerk

Slater, Ketchikan clerk

Notified

Present

Testified

~~X~~ X

~~X~~ X

X

X

X

H/B - 227

"An Act relating to the senior citizens' municipal property tax exemption authorized under AS 29.53.020; and providing for an effective date."

COMMITTEE REPORT

2/27/75

HOUSE

FINANCE

Mr. Speaker:

Date 3-6-75

The Committee on C&RA has had HB 227

under consideration. A Majority of the members of the Committee

recommends it DO PASS

recommends it DO NOT PASS

recommends it DO PASS WITH ATTACHED AMENDMENT(S)

recommends it BE REPLACED WITH CS FOR _____ AND THAT

CS FOR _____ DO PASS

"and" recommends it BE REFERRED TO THE _____

COMMITTEE

reports it back WITHOUT RECOMMENDATION

"other"

Members signing the Majority report:

<u>[Signature]</u>	_____	_____
<u>[Signature]</u>	_____	_____
<u>[Signature]</u>	_____	_____
<u>[Signature]</u>	_____	_____

Members NOT concurring in the Majority report:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

[Signature] Chairman

House Committee on
COMMUNITY & REGIONAL AFFAIRS

Meeting Minutes
March 6, 1975

Meeting was called to order by Chairman Cotten at 9:00 for discussion of HB 227 & CSSB127. Present were: Rep. Cotten; Rep. Ose; Rep. Davis; Rep. Freeman; Danny Plotnick, Office on Aging and Bob Dozier, State Assessor; Barbara Englert Thomas, Staff; Andrea Guernsey, Staff

941-Plotnick started by saying that his office was in favor of the bill if it was in concurrence with Community & Regional Affairs. He read a letter from someone who had missed the deadline—HB 227 would take care of that problem.

978-Dozier was also in favor of the bill. He thinks it should be flexible but still should have a deadline to make the administration of it a little easier. He made the suggestion that late filers should have a penalty of some sort. He gave a run down of the calendar and said the municipalities have the power to accept the application virtually any time of the year as it now reads in the bill. He would like to see a deadline.

1075-Rep. Ose asked why we had this every year. Dozier said the assessor had no way of knowing what the individual has been doing. Just a routine check up.

1101-Mr. Plotnick stated that the Office on Aging would have no objection to adding a deadline. Suggestion on line 27 after the word "him" add: provided that the application be received by X date.

1133-Ose, what is the first date that they can file? During any time, Dozier said.

1138-Freeman asked if the state reimburses and if the cut off date was a problem for the State. Dozier replied that yes the state did and no there was no problem. It wasn't any great problem and the cut off date was not absolutely necessary.

Cotten favors the bill but doesn't want it amended to provide for filing date. Ose made a motion to pass the bill out. All signed due pass.

CSSB 127

1271-Dozier said this bill adds to the senior citizens property tax exemption. There is a problem with the wording in a couple of places; doesn't say to whom amount of money will be paid, it should be specified- to the local government or to the State?

1359-Freeman wanted to know where the money to reimburse comes from and what guarantee there is. Dozier said it was in the budget. This program hasn't been submitted. A separate BRU. Suggested wording: line 19, new sentence: "payment by the owner shall be made to the State to the extent of its reimbursement for revenue lost under this section."

1442-Dozier also said there was a conflict in the definition of resident.

1474-Cotten questioned about water and sewer assessment. Aren't required by federal law to hook up to water. Why distinction between water and sewer, should be for both. Dozier also suggested there be a limit on the amount of land.

1550-Freeman-What is the fiscal note? \$100,000.

Meeting adjourned

end tape

STATE OF ALASKA

DEPT. OF HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES

April 9, 1975

JAY S. HAMMOND, Governor

The Honorable Samuel R. Cotten
Alaska House of Representatives
Pouch V
State Capitol
Juneau, Alaska 99811


Dear Representative Cotten:

In December I wrote to ask your help in seeking out qualified applicants for the State's Senior Citizen Property Tax Exemption program (AS 29.53.020(e)). I am now writing to thank you for your help in disseminating information about the exemption and report that the program was a great success this year. In 1974, 1,887 applications were received. This year, participation jumped to about 2,475. As the statute covers residents 65 and over who own real property they occupy as a permanent abode, we feel, along with those we've spoken to in Community and Regional Affairs, that an extremely high percentage of those eligible for the exemption applied this year.

Our office has received a great response from senior citizens who've written to say how important the exemption has been to their budgets this year. In light of this response, passage of similar measures before the Legislature this session can do a great deal to ease the financial burden facing our state's elderly population. Your support is strongly urged and greatly appreciated particularly on bills affecting the finances of senior citizens on low and fixed incomes.

Thank you again for your help.

Sincerely,



Stephanie Scowcroft
Information Specialist
Office on Aging

SC: dt

KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH

Box 850

Phone 262-4411

SOLDOTNA, ALASKA 99669

STAN THOMPSON
MAYOR

March 26, 1975

Mr. Bob Palmer
Executive Assistant
Office of the Governor
Pouch A
Juneau, Alaska 99811

Dear Bob:

I recently received a letter from Bob Dozier, State Assessor, in answer to the one I had sent to you concerning the acreage limitation of five acres which had been adopted for administration of the Senior Citizens Property Tax Exemption Program AS 29.53.020(e)-(i).


As we are all aware I believe at this point the statute does not limit to five acres the Senior Citizens Property Tax Exemption. This is done administratively.

Again, I reiterate, I see no reason to reject 155 acres of some Senior Citizens 160 acre home because of a five acre administratively placed living limitation when you could at the same time be giving a \$200,000 home exemption on a one acre piece of property. It should not be based on the amount of land but on the value thereof. Perhaps a \$100,000 valuation. It shouldn't make any difference whether it is 200 acres or a city lot. Your permanent place of abode is where you live and the property upon which you reside, and it does not make any difference whether it is one acre, one lot, or 100 acres, it still is your property, the property on which you reside. The recommendation limiting it to 20 acres, as suggested, in rural areas I do not believe is sufficient. Again, I feel it should be a limitation as to value and not to the amount of land. I can see no excuse for any land limitation. Anything that can be done to remove this limitation of acreage I think should be done at this time. My personal feeling is it should be a value limitation, say \$100,000 as an example, rather than a size limitation. Again, I will point out that \$100,000 home on a half acre lot has no more right for exemption than a \$10,000 home on 160 acre property where the whole thing being worth \$100,000.

Mr. Bob Palmer
March 26, 1975
Page 2

Please give us the benefit of your consideration.

Sincerely,



Stan Thompson
Borough Mayor

ST/tb

cc: Robert Dozier, State Assessor
Dept. of Community & Regional Affairs
Pouch B
Juneau, Alaska 99801

Samuel R. Cotten, Chairman
House Committee for Community & Regional Affairs

Senator Clem Tillion
Rep. Hugh Malone
Rep. Leo Rhode
Pouch Y
Juneau, Alaska 99801

HB 227

STATE OF ALASKA

DEPT. OF HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER

JAY S. HAMMOND, Governor

Pouch H01, Juneau 99811

~~POUCH H01, JUNEAU 99811~~


March 5, 1975

The Honorable Samuel R. Cotten
Chairman, House Community & Regional
Affairs Committee
Pouch V
Juneau, Alaska 99801

Dear Mr. Cotten:

Enclosed are this Department's position papers concerning HB 227 relating to senior citizen municipal tax exemption and CSSB 127 relating to municipal special water assessments.

Sincerely,



Frederick McGinnis
Deputy Commissioner

Enclosures

OFFICE ON AGING

POSITION REPORT

ON

CSSB #127

"An Act relating to municipal special assessments."

This act adds an entirely new section 29.63.065 specifically providing exemptions by residents 65 years or over in payment of special sewer assessments on their permanent abodes and special water assessment under this chapter if no water connection is made. When a person is exempted and then receives water connection from which he was exempted from special assessment or sells, or otherwise disposes of ownership of property, except to heir, he shall pay the special assessment from which he was initially exempted.

Resident is defined as a person who for 12 consecutive months has maintained a permanent place of abode in Alaska and has continually maintained his voting residence in the state. The eligibility, appeal, application, reimbursement and other provisions of AS 29.53.020(c) through (i) (contained in HB #227) apply to the exemption granted under this section.

The effect of this amendment is such that it will allow many pioneer Alaskans who own their own homes, and who do not necessarily want to have water connections, to be able to continue living in their own homes. If water connections are made to their homes, and they cannot afford the costs, they are exempt from payment, provided they continue living in their own homes and do not sell their home.

With no added cost to State Government, and possibly a minimum cost to the local political subdivision, this bill secures and maintains maximum independence and dignity in a home environment for older Alaskans capable of self care and helps to remove another economic cost affecting older Alaskans.

Aging supports the amendment and urges passage *provided Governor's Budget is not affected adversely*

Submitted By: *M. W. Blatnick* Coordinator

March 5, 1975
Date

Aging office

Approved By: *Frederick McGinnis*

March 5, 1975
Date

Deputy Commissioner

HB 2271

Comm. Williamson, HB 55

(she will notify office of House) Plonik
CPA, Bob Bozic, State Assessor

~~Municipal League~~
Sen. Tullion

- X
- X
- X

Mohr

Presid

- X
- X

Teshed

H/B-228

COMMITTEE REPORT

2/27/75

HOUSE

Mr. Speaker:

Date

4.21

The Committee on CABA has had HB 228

under consideration. A Majority of the members of the Committee

recommends it DO PASS

recommends it DO NOT PASS

recommends it DO PASS WITH ATTACHED AMENDMENT(S)

recommends it BE REPLACED WITH CS FOR _____ AND THAT

CS FOR _____ DO PASS

"and" recommends it BE REFERRED TO THE _____

COMMITTEE

reports it back WITHOUT RECOMMENDATION

"other"

Members signing the Majority report:

<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	_____
<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	_____
<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	_____
<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	_____

Members NOT concurring in the Majority report:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

[Signature] Chairman

House Committee on
COMMUNITY & REGIONAL AFFAIRS

April 21, 1975
Meeting Minutes

Meeting was called to order at 9:00 to discuss CSHB 246. Present:

Rep. Cotten	Rep. Freeman
Rep. Ostrosky	Rep. Davis
Rep. Hackney	Rep. Ose
Rep. Hershberger	
Barbara Englert Thomas, Staff	
Andrea Guernsey, Staff	
Don Clocksin, Legal Services	
Cheryl Probst, Anchorage Times	

Sec. 300, para C The Committee members wanted an explanation of sec. C of the new committee substitute. Clocksin explained that sec. 300 provides 3 alternative methods: 1) para A--this is the procedure now in use. One individual can protest and the Board may or may not have a hearing. 2) para B--35% of the residents of an established village may require a hearing. 3) para C--this takes care of the situation that Ose was having problems with (license along hiway). The Board will take other things into account besides just the residents.

LETTER OF INTENT

REPORT OUT

Hershberger had the same problem as Ose. Two or 3 residents may be the majority. Ose calls for a letter of intent. Freeman says you can't do much better than the way it is already written in C. Ose withdraws request. Moves to pass bill out. All members signed due pass.

Side 2
0-683

HB 172

The committee now took up several other bills. Bob Pavitt was present, to discuss HB 172. He said it was a good bill and there was not much other discussion. Rep. Freeman moved to report the bill out. With out objection it was reported out with all members signing do pass.

HB 228

Hackney asked for the reason for this bill. Cotten said it was sometimes the case when a city is not more than 25 miles from the boundary of the borough seat. Hershberger moved to report out and it was done with all members signing do pass.

Notified Present Test.

HB 228

4/21/75
9am

Bob Pavit, Planning consultant

X

X

X

9-9882

C&RA, Kevin Waring

X

Municipal League

X

HB - 246

COMMITTEE REPORT

3/3/75

HOUSE

JUDICIARY

Mr. Speaker:

Date

4/21

The Committee on C&RA has had HB 246

under consideration. A Majority of the members of the Committee

() recommends it DO PASS

() recommends it DO NOT PASS

() recommends it DO PASS WITH ATTACHED AMENDMENT(S)

() recommends it BE REPLACED WITH CS FOR HB 246 AND THAT

CS FOR HB 246 DO PASS

() "and" recommends it BE REFERRED TO THE _____

COMMITTEE

() reports it back WITHOUT RECOMMENDATION

() "other"

Members signing the Majority report:

<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	_____
<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	_____
<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	_____
<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	_____

Members NOT concurring in the Majority report:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

[Signature] Chairman

TAPE # 9
side 1
0-1135

House Committee on
COMMUNITY & REGIONAL AFFAIRS

Meeting Minutes
April 10, 1975

Meeting was called to order at 9:10 for discussion of HB 246. Present:

REpresentative Sam Cotten, Chairman
" al Ose
" Glenn Hackney
" Oral Freeman
Barbara Englert Thomas, Staff
Andrea Guernsey, Staff
Rep. Itta, Sponsor
Rep. Guy, Sponsor
Don Clocksin, Legal Services
Phil Wall, Dept. of Revenue

BACKGROUND

Mr. Clocksin started by giving a background of the bill. This bill came out of the Bush Justice Conference in Minto Alaska in 1974. The recommendation was made to be able to revoke liquor licenses upon request in the unorganized area. He gave the example of Rampart whose 24 of 26 adults didn't want to renew the licensee in their village. The Alcoholic Beverage Control Board would not revoke the license. Rampart did not want to incorporate--which was the only way to go dry. He also gave the example of Cantwell to show the procedures of the ABC Board. Board has discretionary powers whether to hold a public hearing or not but must abide by the results of the hearing.

PROCEDURES IN
INCORPORATED
AREA

He then listed some of the procedures in the incorporated area: 1) no license issued if more than 1 license for 1500 people already; 2) there is an automatic yearly issuance; 3) local option election--vote of citizens to go dry.

PROCEDURE IN
UNINCORPORATED

Procedures in unincorporated: 1 license for every 1500 people within 5 mile radius; individual can protest issuance of license--ABC checks it out and may or may not hold hearing, ABC shall refuse to issue the license if a majority of the people at the hearing objects; if the village is more than 50 miles from a municipality 2/3 of the people must approve.

PURPOSES OF BILL

It would allow an unincorporated area to go dry; require posting of application for reissuance of license sec 1 line 11.

PURPOSES OF BILL Would allow petition by 25 people in area to demand a public hearing. If the majority of the people at the hearing don't want the license then mandatory not to issue; incorporated area which had voted to go dry may pass an ordinance making it a misdemeanor to violate the dry law. page 2 lines 15-19--this would allow enforcement. Would trigger state law and troopers could be brought in to enforce.

FREEMAN Rep. Freeman doesn't think that it is the duty of the ABC Board to enforce the dry laws. He doesn't like the idea of extending power to the unorganized areas. Let them incorporate. Clocksin brought up the point that this would extend the rights of the urban areas to the rural areas.

PROTEST OF
ISSUANCE Hackney wants to change "25 residents" to a percentage figure. Clocksin said why not 35% which is the figure for incorporated areas.

AMENDMENTS BY
PHIL WALL Wall offered his amendments: delete "or a license is reissued" on line 11 page 1. Add after line 16 something to the effect "ABC Board will post in newspaper list of the licenses they are considering for reissuance". Clocksin said the problem with that is posting in newspapers won't work in the rural areas. Often don't have newspapers.

Meeting was adjourned at 10:00. (1135)

House Committee on
COMMUNITY & REGIONAL AFFAIRS

Meeting Minutes
April 10, 1975

Meeting was called to order at 1:50 to continue discussion of HB 246.

Representative Sam Cotten
" Kathryn Ostrosky
" Al Ose
" Glenn Hackney
" Oral Freeman
" Larry Davis
Barbara Englert Thomas, Staff
Andrea Guernsey, Staff
Don Clocksin, Legal Services
Phil Wall, Dept. of Revenue

Mr. Clocksin presented a list of amendments for the Committee's consideration.

AMENDMENTS

- 1) the first amendment on page 1, line 11 concerned posting of notice. Since posting of notices would be too burdensome on the ABC Board who checks in each case, Clocksin wants to eliminate his first amendment and make #2 mandatory;
- 2) Board shall require by regulations notice of reissuances, renewals and transfer of license, including, but not limited to bilingual notice, where necessary, and notice by newspapers, radio and television." Since all licenses expire on December 31, the Board could post a notice that they were considering reissuancing all licenses.
- 3) amendments 3, 6, and 7 are all clarifying language: deleting the word "reissuance" and inserting "reissuance, renewal or transfer". There was some question whether reissuance would cover all three so just expanding the language.
- 4) 25 residents may not be appropriate so want to change to 35% which is the same as for local option election in the incorporated village.
- 5) "or otherwise" too broad so deleting it.
- 6) on page 2 line 6 delete secs. and insert "secs. 200 and". Technical problem.

RESIDENCY

Wall said there is a constitutional problem with resident. Right now they use voter residency as criteria. Amendment--line 25--residents having permanent place of abode.

No tape of this
meeting,

HB 246
4/10/75

2 mile limit Ose wants to exclude hiways. Thinks it would
be too easy for a couple people to stop someone.
It was brought up that the 2 mile limit is already
in the statutes covering issuance, this merely
extends the same to reissuance.

TABLE Ose moves to table. Vote was taken, 4 to 1 not
to table.

HACKNEY'S AMEND- Rep. Hackney wanted to offer an amendment--
MENT change the hours of opening and closing of bars
from 8:00 am to 9:00 and from 5:00 am to 2:00 am
closing. Mr. Freeman and Ose contested it.

Meeting was adjourned at 3:00.

House Committee on
COMMUNITY & REGIONAL AFFAIRS

Meeting Minutes
April 16, 1975

Meeting was called to order at 9:10 to discuss HB 246 again. Present:

Rep. Ostrosky	Barbara Englert Thomas, Staff
Rep. Ose	Andrea Guernsey, Staff
Rep. Davis	Don Clocksin, Legal Services
Rep. Hackney	Phil Wall, Dept. of Revenue
	Ben Marsh, Director, CHAR
	Don Berry, Municipal League

BEN MARSH

Ben Marsh was representing the Cabaret, Hotel and Restaurant Association. He said there are always bills before the legislature that try to regulate the liquor business further. This bill jepordizes license holders on annual basis.

CHAR AMENDMENTS

He suggested 2 amendments by CHAR: 1) line 19- after "incorporated city" add "or borough". If the bill is meant for rural areas this would not hurt them but would take care of some place like Anchorage which has many licenses outside the city. Does incorporated city mean 1st or 2nd? Ose suggests changing incorporated city to "municipality" which would cover everything. 2) He thinks the mandatory hearing should be requested by more than 35% of the residents. Ose suggests making it within the "established village".

ESTABLISHED
VILLAGES

Clocksin is worried about areas that may have facility which aren't considered established villages. Native villages would be covered under the Land Claims bill but probably not white. Ose wants to use established villages because he is still worried about facilities along hiways which have interests beyond the interest of the village. Clocksin is worried that if Sec. 300 were amended to say established villages in unincorporated areas that leaves out other areas and they would 'have no right to object. Marsh is in favor of the amendment because it would solve the problem of individuals putting someone out of business because they don't like them. Line 18-25 won't be affected-- the right of the individual will be the same. Line 25 would be amended "35% of the adult residents having a permanent place of abode within an established village..." (end of TAPE; cont'd Tape 10)

TAPE #10'
side 1
0-280

HB 246
4/16/75

Mr. Wall suggestd that if that were changed then line 18 should be changed to the same to make the whole thing uniform.

REDRAFT

Mr. Clocksin will have a redraft of the bill for tomorrow with the suggested amendments, including bilingual posting.

Meeting was adjourned at 10:00 until tomorrow. (280)

House Committee on
COMMUNITY & REGIONAL AFFAIRS

Meeting Minutes
April 17, 1975

Meeting was called to order at 9:00 to discuss HB 246 and HB 350. Present:

Rep. Cotten	Rep. Hackney
Rep. Ostrosky	Don Clocksin, Legal Services
Rep. Freeman	Phil Wall, Dept. of Revenue
Rep. Davis	Barbara ENglert Thomas, Staff

EXPLANATION OF
SOME AMENDMENTS

Don explained some of his amendments. Ben Marsh wanted to exclude organized boroughs from the unincorporated areas so line 19 was changed to "a municipality" from "an incorporated city". Marsh had been concerned about the area outside Anchorage. Marsh also wanted more than 35% required so Don eliminated the voting district provision and made it within 2 miles of place or established village. The mandatory reference in section 300 is now limited to established villages determined by C&RA. This solves Ose's problem of an establishment along a highway not in the village. The desires of the community are taken into account but not mandatory on the Board. The resident requirement was changed to "an adult resident having a permanent place of abode". Section 200 no longer requires posting of application for reissuance or renewal but will require other kinds of notice.

VOTING DRY

Chairman Cotten wants the bill to be addressed to voting dry or wet but not to revoking individual licenses. Clocksin said there was a legal question on whether you can have organized election in unincorporated area to go dry or not.

"APPEARS"

Rep. Freeman made an objection to part of sec. 300. He doesn't understand how you can mandate a hearing if 35% ask for it and if it only appears that the majority are against it the Board shall not issue the license. Appear wouldn't stand up in court. Rep. Ostrosky noted that the word "appear" is used in the original statute but that is only in the original issuance. Mr. Wall agreed that a definition of appear was necessary. It hasn't been handled well in the present situation. Clocksin suggested striking it and requiring the Board to take a vote. Freeman says why not have an election. Kathryn asks how would you establish the majority?

TAKING A VOTE

Wall said there are two ways: require Board to have regulations or spell it out. He's not sure of the legality of the Board administering vote.

AMENDMENT

Wall--Should be within 2 miles of his permanent place of abode and (instead of or) within 2 miles of the established village.

Wall said that definition of 2 miles within village might be a problem. For instance tok is 6 miles long.

TITLE OF SEC 300

He also suggested changing the Title of Section 300 to PROTEST OF LICENSE ISSUANCE IN AREAS OUTSIDE MUNICIPALITIES.

COMMITTEE SUB.

The staff will have a committee substitute drawn up to be ready for tomorrow.

HB 350 (1080)

The Committee now had before it HB 350. The Chairman wants to delete all of sec. A. He had a request from Anchorage for 5% maximum sales tax. The problem in Anchorage is the city charges 5% but in order to raise in Borough the people have to vote. The city charges 5% on hotels and motels within city but the borough only charges 3%. Want to tax all the transients and tourists the same.

COMMITTEE SUB.

A committee substitute will be drawn up deleting the first section and putting a maximum of 5%.

REPORT OUT

(The committee members signed the report.)
Meeting was adjourned at 10:00.

TAPE #10
side 1
1161-1618

House Committee on
COMMUNITY & REGIONAL AFFAIRS

Meeting Minutes
April 18, 1975

Meeting was called to order at 9:00 to discuss CSHB 246. Present:

Rep. Cotten, Chairman	Rep. Freeman
Rep. Ostrosky	Andrea Guernsey, Staff
Rep. Hackney	Barbara Thomas, Staff
Rep. DAVIS	Don Clocksin, Legal Services

POSTING

Rep. Hackney said that line 17 changes the thrust of the bill from municipally oriented to non-municipal. Cotten said this would apply where not feasible to post. Mr. Clocksin agreed with Hackney that in most villages you couldn't use television but the bill plans for the future. It's discretionary, the Board will decide. It would also be in the nature of a public service announcement.

MANDATORY HEARING
VS
ELECTION

Rep. Freeman wanted to know how they will determine the majority, line 5 page 2. He suggests having an election. Clocksin suggest inserting "or at election after the hearing" on line 5 after the word "hearing". Cotten wants the Dept of C&RA to hold the elections. Clocksin gives proposed language--page 2, line 5--strike majority and insert substantial number. CRA would then hold hearing. Could strike mandatory all together. Rep. Freeman objects to this. Why have an election if it isn't necessary. Some people may come to hearing and hear all the facts and then change their minds. Rep. DAVIS suggests having an election if the Board rules against the wishes of the people. Mr. Clocksin finally suggests leaving out the hearing and making the election mandatory, then change the may to shall on line 8.

DRAFTING ERROR

There is a drafting error on line 9, where the word renewal has been left out. That will be inserted.

COVERING ALL
LICENSES

Rep. Cotten would like to change the word "the" on line 9 to any. The question should cover all licenses and not just one. Clocksin suggests inserting "or licenses" after a license on page 1, line 24.

PROTEST TO BOARD

Rep. Hackney would like to see page 2, line 1, qualified. The protest will be made to the Board.

SUBSTITUTE

There will be a substitute for the committee substitute drawn up encompassing all the amendments.

ADJOURN

Meeting was adjourned at 9:45.

House Committee on
COMMUNITY & REGIONAL AFFAIRS

April 21, 1975
Meeting Minutes

Meeting was called to order at 9:00 to discuss CSHB 246. Present:

Rep. Cotten	Rep. Freeman
Rep. Ostrosky	Rep. Davis
Rep. Hackney	Rep. Ose
Rep. Hershberger	
Barbara Englert Thomas, Staff	
Andrea Guernsey, Staff	
Don Clocksin, Legal Services	
Cheryl Probst, Anchorage Times	

Sec. 300, para C The Committee members wanted an explanation of sec. C of the new committee substitute. Clocksin explained that sec. 300 provides 3 alternative methods: 1) para A--this is the procedure now in use. One individual can protest and the Board may or may not have a hearing. 2) para B--35% of the residents of an established village may require a hearing. 3) para C--this takes care of the situation that Ose was having problems with (license along hiway). The Board will take other things into account besides just the residents.

LETTER OF INTENT Hershberger had the same problem as Ose. Two or 3 residents may be the majority. Ose calls for a letter of intent. Freeman says you can't do much better than the way it is already written in C.
REPORT OUT Ose withdraws request. Moves to pass bill out. All members signed due pass.

Side 2 The committee now took up several other bills.
0-683 Bob Pavitt was present, to discuss HB 172.
HB 172 He said it was a good bill and there was not much other discussion. Rep. Freeman moved to report the bill out. With out objection it was reported out with all members signing do pass.

HB 228 Hackney asked for the reason for this bill. Cotten said it was sometimes the case when a city is not more than 25 miles from the boundary of the borough seat. Hershberger moved to report out and it was done with all members signing do pass.

115246

Ben Marsh - CHHIC

line 14 - add ~~city~~ "or borough" after city

or check "cities" and add "municipalities"

1 license per 1500 peo in city - no requirement where

LAW OFFICES OF
ALASKA LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION
315 FIFTH STREET, SUITE 8
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99801
TELEPHONE 588-XXXX 6425

Proposed Committee Substitute - HB 246

For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to liquor licenses and municipal regulation of the sale of intoxicating liquor."

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

* Section 1. AS 04.10.200 is amended to read:

Sec. 04.10.200. POSTING OF APPLICATION. Before a new license is issued the applicant shall post a true copy of the application for license at the location of the premises, at the nearest post office and at one other conspicuous location in the area, for a period of 10 days before the filing of the application. The applicant shall submit with the application proof of posting on a form to be provided by the board. The board shall require by regulation notice of reissuances, renewals, and transfers of licenses, including but not limited to bilingual notice, where necessary, and notice by newspapers, radio and television.

* Sec. 2. AS 04.10.300 is repealed and reenacted to read:

Sec. 04.10.300. PROTEST OF ISSUANCE. An adult resident having a permanent place of abode in an area outside a municipality who desires to protest the issuance, reissuance, renewal, or transfer of a license for an establishment within two miles of where he resides or within the established village in which he resides, shall serve upon the applicant and the board a written statement of the reasons for his protest. Upon the receipt of the protest, the board may give notice and hold a hearing, in the area in which

the protestant resides, at which all persons interested may be heard. If the protest is made in writing by at least 35 percent of the adult residents having a permanent place of abode within the established village where the establishment is situated for which the license is sought, or within two miles of the established village, the hearing is mandatory. If at the hearing it appears that the majority of the adult residents having a permanent place of abode within the established village or within two miles of the established village object to the issuance, reissuance, renewal or transfer of the license, the board shall refuse to issue, reissue, renew or transfer the license. If at a hearing held in an unincorporated area not an established village, it appears that the majority of the adult residents having a permanent place of abode within two miles of the establishment for which a license is sought object to the issuance, reissuance, renewal, or transfer of a license, the board may refuse to issue, reissue, renew or transfer the license.

For purposes of this section, an "established village" shall mean an unincorporated area where at least 25 people reside as a social unit, as determined by the Department of Community and Regional Affairs.

* Sec. 3. AS 04.10.350(a) is amended to read:

(a) A license issued under this chapter is renewable automatically if the fee is paid in accordance with secs. 40 - 140 of this chapter, except as provided in secs. 200 and 300 of this chapter or except in the case of conviction of the licensee under AS 04.15.100, pending the outcome of a board hearing or judicial determination as to the legality of issuing the license, or in the case of a lawful revocation in which event the license is renewable only as provided by law and regulation.

* Sec. 4. AS 04.15.070(a) is amended to read:

(a) A municipality may by ordinance provide rules and regulations governing the barter, sale and possession of intoxicating liquor within the municipality necessary to the orderly conduct of the business of selling intoxicating liquor. When, under a local option election, the city clerk finds the majority of the voters are against the sale of intoxicating liquor, the municipality, by ordinance, may make the sale of intoxicating liquor within the municipality a misdemeanor whether the sale is made pursuant to license or otherwise. The ordinance may not be inconsistent with this title or the rules and regulations promulgated under this title. No municipality may impose taxes other than property taxes on liquor inventories and sales taxes on liquor sales when these taxes are levied on other property and sales within the municipality.

Sec. 04.10.300. Protest of issuance. A resident of an area outside an incorporated city who desires to protest the issuance of a license in the voting area in which he resides, shall serve upon the applicant and the board a written statement of the reasons for his protest. Upon the receipt of the protest, the board may give notice and hold a hearing, in the voting precinct in which the protestant resides, at which all persons interested may be heard. If at the hearing it appears that the majority of the citizens over the age of 19 years, residing within two miles of the place for which a license is sought, object to the issuance of the license, the board shall refuse to issue the license. (§ 86-4-22(16) ACLA 1949; am § 1 ch 181 SLA 1953; am § 2 ch 131 SLA 1957; am § 2 ch 197 SLA 1959; am § 4 ch 53 SLA 1973)

Effect of amendment.—The 1973 amendment substituted "city" for "town" in the first sentence and "19" for "21" in the third sentence.

Legislative committee report.—For report on ch. 53, SLA 1973 (CSH 382), see 1973 House Journal, pp. 798, 886.

LAW OFFICES OF
ALASKA LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION
315 FIFTH STREET, SUITE 8
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99801
TELEPHONE 586-XXXX 6425

April 10, 1975

Proposed Amendments to HB 246

1. On p. 1, line 10 - delete "new"
line 11 - delete "or a license is reissued" and
add "reissued, renewed, or transferred,"
2. On p. 1, line 16 - add new sentence: "The Board shall provide
by regulation ~~for~~ additional notice, including but not limited
to bilingual notice, where necessary, and notice
by newspapers, radio and television."
3. On p. 1, line 19-20 - delete "or reissuance" and insert
", reissuance, renewal, or transfer,"
4. On p. 1, line 25 - delete "25" and insert "35 per cent of
the adult"
5. On p. 1, line 27 - delete "or otherwise"
6. On p. 2, line 1 - delete "or reissuance" and insert
", reissuance, renewal or transfer,"
7. On p. 2, line 2 - delete "or reissue" and insert
", reissue, renew or transfer,"

for
The Board shall require by regulation notice
of reissuance, renewals, & transfers
of licenses, including but not limited
to bilingual notice where necessary



WAF

Hackney's amendment - not acted upon

Sec. 04.15.010 is amended to read-Hours of sale. No person may consume, sell, offer for sale, give, furnish or deliver from an authorized licensee any intoxicating liquor on any licensed premises inside the state between the hours of 2:00 a.m. and 9:00 a.m. each day of the week. Municipalities may provide for additional closing hours under § 70 of this chapter.

LAW OFFICES OF
ALASKA LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION
315 FIFTH STREET, SUITE 8
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99801
TELEPHONE 586-~~XXXX~~ 6425

April 10, 1975

Proposed Amendments to HB 246

1. On p. 1, line 10 - delete "new"
line 11 - delete "or a license is reissued" and
add "reissued, renewed, or transferred,"
2. On p. 1, line 16 - add new sentence: "The Board ^{shall} provide
for additional notice, including but not limited
to bilingual notice, where necessary, and notice
by newspapers, radio and television."
3. On p. 1, line 19-20 - delete "or reissuance" and insert
" , reissuance, renewal, or transfer,"
4. On p. 1, line 25 - delete "25" and insert "35 per cent of
the adult"
5. On p. 1, line 27 - delete "or otherwise"
6. On p. 2, line 1 - delete "or reissuance" and insert
" , reissuance, renewal or transfer,"
7. On p. 2, line 2 - delete "or reissue" and insert
" , reissue, renew or transfer,"

The board shall ^{require by regulation} provide ~~for~~ ^{regulations} notice ~~to~~ ^{of} renewals, reissues
& transfers of licenses, including
but not limited to bilingual notice
where necessary, & notice by news papers,
radio & television.

STATE OF ALASKA

DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER

JAY S. HAMMOND, Governor

POUCH S—JUNEAU 99801

March 26, 1975

The Honorable Samuel Cotten
Chairman
House Community Regional Affairs Committee
Alaska State Legislature
State Capitol
Juneau, Alaska

Re: House Bill No. 246

Dear Mr. Cotten:


House Bill No. 246, an Act relating to liquor licenses and municipal regulation of the sale of intoxicating liquor was introduced in the House on March 3, 1975 and was referred to the House Community and Regional Affairs and Judiciary Committees.

Under the date of March 4, 1975 I requested by memorandum dated March 4, 1975 addressed to Linda Brown, Director, Alcoholic Beverage Control Board, Anchorage, Alaska a review of the proposed legislation for comments on costs or problems of administration.

Attached is a copy of a memorandum from Linda Brown, Director, Alcoholic Beverage Control Board, Anchorage, Alaska concerning the subject bill.

If you or any members of your Committee have any questions on the material submitted, please contact the writer by telephone at 465-2397 and I will contact Linda Brown for further material for submission to the Committee.

Very truly yours,



R. D. Stevenson
Special Assistant

cc: The Honorable Terry Gardiner
Chairman
House Judiciary Committee

STATE OF ALASKA

DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER

JAY S. HAMMOND, Governor

POUCH S—JUNEAU 99801

March 26, 1975

The Honorable Samuel Cotten
Chairman
House Community Regional Affairs Committee
Alaska State Legislature
State Capitol
Juneau, Alaska

Re: House Bill No. 246

Dear Mr. Cotten:

House Bill No. 246, an Act relating to liquor licenses and municipal regulation of the sale of intoxicating liquor was introduced in the House on March 3, 1975 and was referred to the House Community and Regional Affairs and Judiciary Committees.

Under the date of March 4, 1975 I requested by memorandum dated March 4, 1975 addressed to Linda Brown, Director, Alcoholic Beverage Control Board, Anchorage, Alaska a review of the proposed legislation for comments on costs or problems of administration.

Attached is a copy of a memorandum from Linda Brown, Director, Alcoholic Beverage Control Board, Anchorage, Alaska concerning the subject bill.

If you or any members of your Committee have any questions on the material submitted, please contact the writer by telephone at 465-2397 and I will contact Linda Brown for further material for submission to the Committee.

Very truly yours,



R. D. Stevenson
Special Assistant

cc: The Honorable Terry Gardiner
Chairman
House Judiciary Committee

MEMORANDUM

TO: Linda Brown
Director
Alcoholic Beverage Control Board
Anchorage, Alaska

DATE: March 4, 1975

FILE NO:

FROM: R. D. Stevenson
Special Assistant
Department of Revenue

SUBJECT: House Bill No. 246

Attached is a copy of House Bill No. 246, an Act relating to liquor licenses and municipal regulation of the sale of intoxicating liquor.

Please review the proposed legislation and prepare a memorandum to the writer advising of costs or problems of administration.

TO: R. D. Stevenson
Special Assistant
Department of Revenue
Juneau

DATE : March 12, 1975

FROM: Linda E. Brown, Director
ABC Board
Anchorage

SUBJECT: HB No. 246

In response to your memo on the above, I would like to make the following comments:

The amendment to AS 04.10.200 is very difficult. We could require posting affidavits as in a new application, but it greatly increases paperwork. In all fairness to the industry, it is an added burden. If the purpose of this is to increase public knowledge of their right to protest a reissuance, it is an awkward and expensive method.

Regarding the amendment to AS 04.10.300, reference is made to line 27, and the words "or otherwise." I am in doubt just what it means, and suspect that nobody else will either, leaving it very open to interpretation. It doesn't make sense to discuss hearings and make a vague reference to other possibilities at the same time. The purpose of the hearing is to determine whether a license may be issued by giving everyone a chance to speak. It should lead to a final resolution of the issues. The "or otherwise" could keep an issue going indefinitely with all the accompanying headaches these situations produce.

The rest of the amendments appear to be helpful.

Thanks for your interest.

LEB:vk

MEMORANDUM

TO: Linda Brown
Director
Alcoholic Beverage Control Board
Anchorage, Alaska

DATE: March 4, 1975

FILE NO:

FROM: R. D. Stevenson
Special Assistant
Department of Revenue

SUBJECT: House Bill No. 246

Attached is a copy of House Bill No. 246, an Act relating to liquor licenses and municipal regulation of the sale of intoxicating liquor.

Please review the proposed legislation and prepare a memorandum to the writer advising of costs or problems of administration.

MEMORANDUM

TO: R. D. Stevenson
Special Assistant
Department of Revenue
Juneau

DATE : March 12, 1975

FROM: Linda E. Brown, Director *LB*
ABC Board
Anchorage

SUBJECT: HB No. 246

In response to your memo on the above, I would like to make the following comments:

The amendment to AS 04.10.200 is very difficult. We could require posting affidavits as in a new application, but it greatly increases paperwork. In all fairness to the industry, it is an added burden. If the purpose of this is to increase public knowledge of their right to protest a reissuance, it is an awkward and expensive method.

Regarding the amendment to AS 04.10.300, reference is made to line 27, and the words "or otherwise." I am in doubt just what it means, and suspect that nobody else will either, leaving it very open to interpretation. It doesn't make sense to discuss hearings and make a vague reference to other possibilities at the same time. The purpose of the hearing is to determine whether a license may be issued by giving everyone a chance to speak. It should lead to a final resolution of the issues. The "or otherwise" could keep an issue going indefinitely with all the accompanying headaches these situations produce.

The rest of the amendments appear to be helpful.

Thanks for your interest.

LEB:vk

TELEGRAM

HB 246

NCA A.L.A. COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

02040 ANCHORAGE AK 32 04-03 314P ADT

PMS REP SAM COTTEN 0664

JUN 8 PM 5 49

JUN

THE CABORETT HOTEL AND RESTAURANT ASSOCIATION TOTALLY
OPPOSED TO HB246 UNFAIR TO LEGITIMATE BUSINESSES TO
FORCE THEM THROUGH APPLICATION PROCESS FOR EVERY ANNUAL
RENEWAL WE REQUEST HB246 NOT TO BE REPORTED OUT

BERNARD L MARSH EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CHAR

AB 246

TELEGRAM

HCA ALASKA COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

PHONE: 586-6440

JUNEAU, ALASKA 99801

1975 APR 8 PM 8 32

04008 NL ANCHORAGE ALASKA 50 04-0 0425P ADT

FMS REP SAM COTTON

0684

JUN

I AM CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF CABARET AND HOTEL
RESTAURANT ASSOCIATION AND OWN FIVE LUQUOR LICENSES.
BOTH MYSELF ANCHORAGE CHAR AND ALASKA CHAR ARE STRONGLY
OPPOSED TO BILL 246. WE WOULD APPRECIATE IT IF YOU WOULD
NOT REPORT THIS OUT OF YOUR COMMITTEE.

JACK GRIFFIN

TELEGRAM

RCA ALASKA COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

PHONE: 586-6440

UNNEAU, ALASKA 99801

HB
246

1975 APR 8 PM 8 31

04010 NL ANCHORAGE ALASKA 50 04-08 0415P ADT

PMS REP SAM COTTON

JUN 0682

I OWN THE UNION CLUB AND OPPOSE THE BILL 246. I WOULD
APPRECIATE THIS IF YOU WOULD NOT REPORT THIS OUT OF YOUR
COMMITTEE.

ROD BELL

HB
246

TELEGRAM

BCA ALASKA COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

PHONE: 586-6440

JUNEAU, ALASKA 99801

1975 APR 8 PM 8 30

04011 NL ANCHORAGE ALASKA 50 04-08 0324P ADT

FMS REP SAM COTTEN

0681

JUN

WE ARE STRONGLY OPPOSED TO HB246 IT IS TOTALLY UNWORKABLE
AND WILL IMPOSE AN INTOLERABLE BURDEN ON OUR INDUSTRY

REX COOPER JUNE COOPER MARY LU

BURNETT ROBERT TIRAPELLI NOEL MYERS AND OPEL MYERS

STATE OF ALASKA

DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER

JAY S. HAMMOND, Governor

POUCH S - JUNEAU 99801

March 26, 1975

The Honorable Samuel Cotten
Chairman
House Community Regional Affairs Committee
Alaska State Legislature
State Capitol
Juneau, Alaska

Re: House Bill No. 246

Dear Mr. Cotten:

House Bill No. 246, an Act relating to liquor licenses and municipal regulation of the sale of intoxicating liquor was introduced in the House on March 3, 1975 and was referred to the House Community and Regional Affairs and Judiciary Committees.

Under the date of March 4, 1975 I requested by memorandum dated March 4, 1975 addressed to Linda Brown, Director, Alcoholic Beverage Control Board, Anchorage, Alaska a review of the proposed legislation for comments on costs or problems of administration.

Attached is a copy of a memorandum from Linda Brown, Director, Alcoholic Beverage Control Board, Anchorage, Alaska concerning the subject bill.

If you or any members of your Committee have any questions on the material submitted, please contact the writer by telephone at 465-2397 and I will contact Linda Brown for further material for submission to the Committee.

Very truly yours,



R. D. Stevenson
Special Assistant

cc: The Honorable Terry Gardiner
Chairman
House Judiciary Committee

HB 246

Mitted Present Testified

Thurs 4/10/75

Rep Gerald Itta
 Rep Philip Oury
 Mr K D. Stevenson, Special Asst, Dept. of Rev. 2397
~~Rep. [unclear]~~
 Phil Wall, Home Affairs 6-2415
 Municipal League
 Don Clocksin
 Phil Wall, Dept of Rev 2313

X / X X
 X X X
 X
 X
 X X X
 X X X

4/10/75

Bernard Marsh, CHAR
 Phil Wall, ~~2313~~ 2313
 Municipal League
 Don Clocksin
 Reps. Jany, Itta

X
 X
 X
 X
 X

4/18

Clocksin

X X X

HB 259

"An Act relating to auditing of municipalities; and providing for an effective date."

COMMITTEE REPORT

3/4/75

HOUSE

Rules

Mr. Speaker:

Date

3-14-75

The Committee on 10 C&RA has had HB 259

under consideration. A Majority of the members of the Committee

() recommends it DO PASS

() recommends it DO NOT PASS

() recommends it DO PASS WITH ATTACHED AMENDMENT(S)

() recommends it BE REPLACED WITH CS FOR _____ AND THAT

CS FOR _____ DO PASS

() "and" recommends it BE REFERRED TO THE _____

COMMITTEE

() reports it back WITHOUT RECOMMENDATION

() "other"

Members signing the Majority report:

<u><i>William B. ...</i></u>	<u><i>...</i></u>	_____
<u><i>Fleeman</i></u>	_____	_____
<u><i>Tom H. ...</i></u>	_____	_____

Members NOT concurring in the Majority report:

<u><i>Tom Jacoby</i></u>	recommends: <u><i>NO REC</i></u>
<u><i>...</i></u>	recommends: <u><i>NO REC</i></u>
_____	recommends:
_____	recommends:
_____	recommends:

Chairman