

65

HLG:

HB

241

-

HB

290

HB

2411

Alaska State Legislature

REP. MARTY FARRELL

POUCH V, STATE CAPITOL
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99801

542 SECOND AVENUE
ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99501



CHAIRMAN:
NATURAL RESOURCES COMMITTEE

House of Representatives

MEMORANDUM

TO: *Representative Miller*
FROM: HOUSE NATURAL RESOURCES COMMITTEE

We are holding hearings on *HB 241* on *Wed., March 29*.
As sponsor of this bill, you may wish to supply testimony. Please notify this office (6-6794) prior to the hearings for scheduling purposes.

Marty -

I would like to make a brief presentation on this proposal. Thank you.

Mike

Pouch V,
State Capitol Bldg.
Juneau, Alaska 99801
April 6, 1971

Mr. Warren C. Christianson
Attorney at Law
Sitka, Alaska 9835

Dear Chris:

Thanks so much for your letter of April 2nd and, in particular, thank you for your support for House Bill 241, "an Act relating to damages for oil pollution".

The other bills you mentioned, House Bill 240 and House Bill 315, certainly reflect my own thinking and I am happy to support them in committee and on the floor.

Sincerely,

Mike Miller, Representative
District Four, (Juneau)

WARREN C. CHRISTIANSON

ATTORNEY AT LAW

SITKA, ALASKA 99833

POST OFFICE BOX 4
TELEPHONE 747-3558
NATIONAL BANK OF ALASKA BLDG.

April 2, 1971

The Honorable N.A. Mike Miller
House State Affairs Committee
Pouch V
Juneau, Alaska 99801


Re: HB 241, 240 and 315

Dear Mr. Miller:

Congratulations on your introduction of HB 241 - "An Act relating to damages for oil pollution". I heartily approve and have this date written to all members of the Resources Committee and Judiciary Committee asking for their support.

I would very much appreciate it if you would give your support to HB 240 - "An Act relating to subdivisions" introduced by Helen M. Fischer and HB 315 - "An Act relating to parks and open spaces" introduced by Mike Rose.

Very truly yours


Warren C. Christianson

WCC/dw

HB

264

Pouch V,
State Capitol Bldg.
Juneau, Alaska 99801
March 29, 1971

Mrs. Carol Jean Bottomley
P. O. Box 437
Juneau, Alaska 99801

Dear Mrs. Bottomley:

Thanks so much for your letter of March 20th and for your very thoughtful discussion of House Bill 264. I support this bill and, as a matter of fact, the committee of which I am Chairman passed this bill out of committee with a majority "Do Pass" recommendation. Mine was certainly one of the "Do Pass" votes.

Again, many thanks for taking the time to write. I appreciate the opportunity to hear from you.

Sincerely,

Mike Miller, Representative
District Four, (Juneau)

March 20, 1971


Representative Mike Miller
Capitol Building
Juneau

Dear Mr. Miller;

I would urge you to support House Bill 264, which would limit the class size in the public schools of Alaska. As both a parent and a teacher I am concerned about the growing class size. The need for Special Education classes could be reduced greatly simply by giving each teacher fewer pupils in his room. The teacher then would have more time to devote to each child. Consequently, the "discipline" and the reading problems would not compound, and the needs of the borderline children could be met with a positive approach much more effectively.

I do hope that Alaska will be able to take a big step for education by limiting class size with the passage of House Bill 264.

Sincerely yours,


Mrs. Carol Jean Bottomley
P.O. Box 437
Juneau

MP 2/24

Pouch V,
State Capitol Bldg.
Juneau, Alaska 99801
March 29, 1971

Mr. Jim Carroll
President,
Greater Juneau Education Assoc.
1250 Glacier Avenue
Juneau, Alaska 99801

Dear Jim:

Thanks so much for your letter of March 24th relative to House Bill 264. You certainly may look forward to my continued support of this legislation.

Best personal regards,

Sincerely,

Mike Miller, Representative
District Four, (Juneau)

Greater Juneau Education Association

1250 GLACIER AVENUE
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99801


March 24, 1971

Mr. Mike Miller
Mile 9, Glacier Highway
Juneau, Alaska 99801

Dear Mr. Miller:

The Greater Juneau Education Association would like to thank you for your support of House Bill 264. We will look forward to your continued support of this bill.

Sincerely,



Jim Carroll
President, GJEA

JC/klt

HB

267

TELEGRAM

#V

ALASKA COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

AL436

PHONE 586-7477

AFA083 NL PDF

BUREAU, ALASKA 99801

1971 APR 15 AM 7 52

ANCHORAGE ALASKA 14

REP MIKE MILLER **1986**

JUN

WE STRONGLY ENDORSE HOUSE LOCAL GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE
AMENDMENTS TO HOUSE BILL 208 CSB113 AND URGE
THE SENATE TO ADOPT THE SAME WE FURTHER STRONGLY
RECOMMEND YOU ADD TO THE PROVISIONS PROVIDED UNDER
LIMITATION AS 29. 33. 050 OF HOME RULE POWERS

RICHARD GAY PRESIDENT ANCHORAGE BOROUGH SCHOOL BOARD
JOE MONTGOMERY SUPT ANCHORAGE BOROUGH SCHOOL DIST

208 CSB113 29 33 050.

February 11, 1971

MEMORANDUM TO:

Mr. John Elliott
Director,
Legislative Affairs Agency

FROM:

Representative Mike Miller

SUBJECT:

Proposed legislation

The Division of Sport Fisheries advises me that the widows of deceased department employees - killed in performance of "hazardous duties" - are facing exceedingly long delays in receiving the \$10,000 hazardous duty insurance to which they are qualified.

An idea to help remedy this situation by legislation would be to authorize the state to issue no-interest loans to survivors immediately after determination by the state that such survivors do indeed qualify for this insurance. The loan should be in the amount of \$10,000 and be repayable to the state immediately upon receipt of payment from the insurance company.

For background on the difficulties and delays presently being experienced, I suggest you contact Mr. Rupe Andrews, Director of the Division of Sport Fisheries.

Gene Miller advised me of one potential hazard to avoid. He says that unless the legislation was carefully worded, the payment of these monies to survivors by the state might cancel the insurance carrier's obligation to do so. I don't know if this is a big problem or not but thought you should be aware of it.

MM/kp

11/3/267

File



DAWSON & CO.-MCLEAN • INSURANCE

230 SEWARD STREET, JUNEAU, ALASKA 99801 TELEPHONE: 586-1530 CABLE: "MACKRIS"

March 22, 1971

The Honorable Mike Miller
Alaska State House
Chairman Local Affairs Committee
Juneau, Alaska 99801

Re: Special Hazard Insurance
Alaska Department of Fish & Game

Dear Mike:

Since the matter of claim payments in connection with two recent accidents involving employees of the Department of Fish & Game have come to the attention of your committee, I felt it advisable to explain some of the aspects of this insurance.

Section 16.05.050 empowered the Commissioner to procure special insurance for employees "against injury or death occasioned by special hazards in connection with their duties." This \$10,000 principal amount coverage has been provided through the Underwriters at Lloyd's for the past eight years. Each year upon renewal bids are solicited from all of the major companies writing this form of insurance, but because of the special language required, none of the domestic companies have yet submitted a bid. Moreover, there have been eight death claims presented which has given the underwriting picture a rather high loss ratio.

Because of the language "in connection with their duties", one of the requirements before benefits can be paid is an establishment of the accident having occurred in connection with the employee's duties, and this can only be determined by the Alaska Workmen's Compensation Board. This usually is done promptly in accordance with the provisions of the Workmen's Compensation Law, and within three months, our claim to the beneficiary gets paid, based on this determination and also after the legal beneficiary is determined, which also takes a little time.

Apparently, a question came to you in the House in connection with the deaths of Rex Thomas and Peter Winslow, who disappeared in the Arctic at the mouth of the Colville River sometime about August 16, 1969. An extensive search was made, but it was not until April 1, 1970, that death certificates were issued. Thereafter, it was not until October 6, 1970, that the Workmen's Compensation Board issued its order establishing that death was in connection with their duties, after which our insurance adjuster

DAWSON & CO. - McLEAN

The Honorable Mike Miller
March 22, 1971
Page Two

compiled the necessary claim papers and presented the matter to the Underwriters for payments. Unfortunately, due to the mail strike in London, there was about a month's delay and payments were not received by the beneficiaries until around March 1, 1971.

The requirement for determination of employment by the Alaska Workmen's Compensation Board is important as was borne out in an airplane accident in 1967 which killed both the pilot and the passenger, both of whom were listed on the Special Hazard Policy. Claims were presented, but it turned out the Board ruled that the pilot had died from a heart attack not in connection with his employment, which in turn voided the claim so far as he was concerned.

Rest assured that as Servicing Agents for the Alaska Association of Independent Insurance Agents, we want to provide the broadest form of coverage and do everything possible to expedite the claims when presented.

Respectfully yours,

DAWSON & CO. - McLEAN
Servicing Agents
ALASKA ASSOCIATION OF INDEPENDENT
INSURANCE AGENTS, INC.

By/ 

JAM:slh

cc: All Board Members
Director, Division of Supply
Rupe Andrews - Dept. of Fish & Game

HB

285

HB 286 - Sales tax exemption for low income housing

ASHA - Talked with Ken Dain from Anchorage. + he stated:

1. Federal govt does not allow for collection of sales tax
2. approx. 1,000 units in Alaska + average monthly rent is \$60⁰⁰
3. Each city signs a cooperation agreement with the fed. govt. - At present Cordova is in violation - they have approx. 12-14 units - Legal aid will probably go in to solve this.
4. Cities have to sign agreement before ASHA can go in + build

HB 285 - Sales tax exemption of food stamp coupons

Attention Joe Purser for Monday paper

Food Stamp total Sales and Collections January 1972

District	Total Value Food Coupon Books Issued	Participant Payment	Bonus	38¢ per person Number of Persons
Juneau	41,288.00	6,001.50	35,286.50	-1,052
Sitka	7,382.00	2,892.25	44,089.75	-194
Ketchikan	36,236.00	8,465.75	27,770.25	-953
Petersburg	21,138.00	3,432.75	17,705.25	-556
Anchorage	211,993.00	30,951.85	181,041.95	-5578
Valdez	7,386.00	1,310.75	6,075.25	-194
Dillingham	34,648.00	4,786.25	29,861.75	-911
Seward	10,672.00	1,295.00	9,377.00	-280
Kodiak	31,284.00	2,765.25	28,518.75	-823
Kenai	40,607.00	4,807.50	35,799.50	-1,003
Toke	10,262.00	1,120.00	9,142.00	-270
Fairbanks	132,422.00	11,535.50	120,886.50	-3,348
Northern Regional (Killing and Barrow)	35,803.00	4,727.75	31,075.25	-942
Et. Yukon	28,508.00	938.00	27,570.00	-152
Nome	28,936.00	7,333.25	21,602.75	-161
Kotzebue	39,395.00	6,316.00	33,079.00	-1,031
Bethel	248,948.00	16,669.00	232,279.00	-6,551

~~Palmer~~

* Dist. Palmer for this month is included in Anchorage

** Unsubleect included in Nome

Food Stamp total Sales and Collections

February 1972

District	Total Value Food Coupon Books Issued	Participant Payment	Bonus	Number of Participants
	47,487.00			
Juneau	4711	8,752.75	38,734.25	- 1,249
Sitka	7,840.00	3,348.75	4,491.25	- 206
Ketchikan	38,262.00	10,389.00	27,873.00	- 1,006
Tetersburg	24,589.00	4,831.25	19,757.75	- 647
Anchorage	217,085.00	34,366.00	182,719.00	- 5,712
Valdez	7,988.00	1,778.00	6,210.00	- 210
Dillingham	33,660.00	5,452.00	28,208.00	- 885
Seward	11,637.00	2,374.00	9,258.00	- 306
Kodiak	37,149.00	4,092.50	33,056.50	- 977
Kenai	44,330.00	6,897.00	37,433.00	- 1,166
Palmer	23,890.00	3,254.50	20,635.50	- 628
Tok	10,834.00	1,405.00	9,429.00	- 285
Fairbanks	136,653.00	16,208.25	120,444.75	- 3,596
Northon Regional (Delta & Barrow)	36,409.00	4,728.50	31,680.50	- 958
Ft. Yukon	27,851.00	1,024.00	26,827.00	- 732
Nome	34,561.00	9,675.00	24,886.00	- 907
Kotzebue	40,976.00	8,223.00	32,753.00	- 1,078
Bethel	224,478.00	15,528.00	208,950.00	- 5,907
Unalakleet	2,360.00	543.00	1,817.00	- 62

Food Stamp total Sales and Collections

March 1972

District	Total Value Food Coupon Books Issued	Participant Payment	Bonus	Number of Finals Persons
Greene	47,748.00	10,560.00	37,188.00	- 1,256
Sitka	7,376.00	3,345.00	4,031.00	- 194
Ketchikan	38,948.00	12,104.50	26,843.50	- 1,024
Petersburg	27,669.00	5,751.50	21,917.50	- 728
Wrangell	242,404.00	38,554.00	203,850.00	- 6,379
Valdez	8,664.00	1,441.00	7,223.00	- 235
Dillingham	35,452.00	5,910.00	29,542.00	- 932
Seaside	12,990.00	2,701.50	10,288.50	- 341
Kodiak	45,581.00	6,517.75	39,063.25	- 1,199
Kennicott	45,290.00	8,736.00	36,554.00	- 1,191
Palmer	30,435.00	4,326.00	26,109.00	- 800
Tok	8,146.00	1,390.00	6,756.00	- 214
Fairbanks	133,166.00	19,274.00	113,892.00	- 3,504
North on Regional Heldena + Bethel	38,800.00	4,458.00	34,342.00	- 1,021
Ft. Yukon	25,715.00	827.00	24,888.00	- 676
Noise	36,989.00	12,108.00	24,881.00	- 773
Kotzebue	42,530.00	9,057.00	33,473.00	- 1,119
Bethel	206,279.00	30,319.00	175,960.00	- 5,428
Unalakleet	3,684.00	877.00	2,807.00	- 96

ALASKA
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES
DIVISION OF FAMILY AND CHILDREN SERVICES
TABULATION OF THE NUMBER OF NATIVE AND NON-NATIVE RECIPIENTS ON PUBLIC ASSISTANCE:
OLD AGE ASSISTANCE, AID TO THE BLIND, AID TO DISABLED, AND AID TO DEPENDENT CHILDREN
BY CASELOAD AND WELFARE DISTRICT
OCTOBER 1971

<u>OAA</u>	<u>NUMBER OF CASES</u>			<u>AMOUNT PAID</u>		
	<u>Native</u>	<u>Non-Native</u>	<u>Total*</u>	<u>Native</u>	<u>Non-Native</u>	<u>Total*</u>
CENTRAL OFFICE	6	8	14	150	200	350
District 21 - Juneau	115	55	172	13,350	6,625	20,299
District 22 - Sitka	22	6	28	2,148	508	2,656
District 23 - Ketchikan	73	69	142	6,949	6,253	13,202
District 24 - Petersburg	37	24	66	3,166	2,157	5,856
District 31 - Anchorage	106	209	359	12,322	19,920	37,159
District 32 - Valdez	31	11	42	4,264	1,152	5,416
District 33 - Dillingham	55	4	59	7,891	297	8,188
District 34 - Seward	5	16	21	266	882	1,148
District 35 - Kodiak	26	9	35	2,705	766	3,471
District 36 - Bethel	310	3	314	54,276	415	54,805
District 37 - Kenai	11	19	31	1,438	2,357	3,797
District 41 - Fairbanks	59	75	134	9,743	10,229	19,972
District 42 - Tanana	58	---	68	10,666	---	10,666
District 43 - Fort Yukon	44	---	44	8,218	---	8,218
District 44 - Tok	36	3	39	5,275	400	5,675
District 45 - Barrow	54	---	54	6,843	---	6,843
District 46 - Nome	152	4	156	20,238	361	20,599
District 47 - Kotzebue	141	2	143	19,547	104	19,651
State Total - Number	1,351	517	1,921	189,455	52,626	247,971
Percent of Total	70.3	26.9	100.0	76.4	21.2	100.0

* Includes race unknown

ALASKA

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES
DIVISION OF FAMILY AND CHILDREN SERVICES

TABULATION OF THE NUMBER OF NATIVE AND NON-NATIVE RECIPIENTS ON PUBLIC ASSISTANCE:
OLD AGE ASSISTANCE, AID TO THE BLIND, AID TO DISABLED, AND AID TO DEPENDENT CHILDREN
BY CASELOAD AND WELFARE DISTRICT
OCTOBER 1971

AB	NUMBER OF CASES			AMOUNT PAID		
	Native	Non-Native	Total*	Native	Non-Native	Total*
CENTRAL OFFICE	---	---	---	---	---	---
District 21 - Juneau	7	---	7	1,119	---	1,119
District 22 - Sitka	1	---	1	185	---	185
District 23 - Ketchikan	5	---	5	605	---	605
District 24 - Petersburg	---	---	---	---	---	---
District 31 - Anchorage	10	6	16	1,233	837	2,070
District 32 - Valdez	2	---	2	435	---	435
District 33 - Dillingham	4	---	4	805	---	805
District 34 - Seward	---	1	1	---	185	185
District 35 - Kodiak	3	---	3	488	---	488
District 36 - Bethel	15	---	15	3,035	---	3,035
District 37 - Kenai	2	1	3	289	177	466
District 41 - Fairbanks	4	1	5	856	150	1,006
District 42 - Tanana	4	---	4	805	---	805
District 43 - Fort Yukon	---	---	---	---	---	---
District 44 - Tok	1	---	1	185	---	185
District 45 - Barrow	1	---	1	103	---	103
District 46 - Nome	14	---	14	2,441	---	2,441
District 47 - Kotzebue	4	---	4	655	---	655
State Total - Number	77	9	86	13,239	1,349	14,588
Percent of Total	89.5	10.5	100.0	90.8	9.2	100.0

* Includes race unknown

ALASKA
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES
DIVISION OF FAMILY AND CHILDREN SERVICES
TABULATION OF THE NUMBER OF NATIVE AND NON-NATIVE RECIPIENTS ON PUBLIC ASSISTANCE:
OLD AGE ASSISTANCE, AID TO THE BLIND, AID TO DISABLED, AND AID TO DEPENDENT CHILDREN
BY CASELOAD AND WELFARE DISTRICT
OCTOBER 1971

<u>AD</u>	<u>NUMBER OF CASES</u>			<u>AMOUNT PAID</u>		
	<u>Native</u>	<u>Non-Native</u>	<u>Total*</u>	<u>Native</u>	<u>Non-Native</u>	<u>Total*</u>
CENTRAL OFFICE	2	5	7	50	125	175
District 21 - Juneau	28	19	47	4,983	3,931	8,914
District 22 - Sitka	6	6	12	931	940	1,871
District 23 - Ketchikan	41	27	69	5,387	4,376	11,013
District 24 - Petersburg	9	5	14	1,174	735	1,909
District 31 - Anchorage	150	185	364	26,886	29,734	61,729
District 32 - Valdez	8	4	12	1,378	565	1,943
District 33 - Dillingham	25	---	26	4,307	---	4,492
District 34 - Seward	15	11	26	1,172	1,027	2,199
District 35 - Kodiak	12	7	19	1,984	1,093	3,077
District 36 - Bethel	160	1	162	29,969	185	30,404
District 37 - Kenai	8	14	22	1,339	2,430	3,769
District 41 - Fairbanks	50	103	156	9,357	19,693	29,661
District 42 - Tanana	22	---	22	3,865	---	3,865
District 43 - Fort Yukon	28	1	29	5,415	250	5,665
District 44 - Tok	18	---	18	3,296	---	3,296
District 45 - Barrow	15	---	15	1,648	---	1,648
District 46 - Nome	81	1	83	13,242	185	13,612
District 47 - Kotzebue	39	---	39	6,081	---	6,081
State Total - Number	717	389	1,142	123,464	65,269	195,323
Percent of Total	62.8	34.1	100.0	63.2	33.4	100.0

* Includes race unknown

ALASKA
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES
DIVISION OF FAMILY AND CHILDREN SERVICES

TABULATION OF THE NUMBER OF NATIVE AND NON-NATIVE RECIPIENTS ON PUBLIC ASSISTANCE:
OLD AGE ASSISTANCE, AID TO THE BLIND, AID TO DISABLED, AND AID TO DEPENDENT CHILDREN
BY CASELOAD AND WELFARE DISTRICT
OCTOBER 1971

AFDC	NUMBER OF CASES			NUMBER OF CHILDREN			AMOUNT PAID		
	Native	Non-Native	Total*	Native	Non-Native	Total*	Native	Non-Native	Total*
CENTRAL OFFICE	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
District 21 - Juneau	97	41	138	267	101	368	21,195	8,788	29,983
District 22 - Sitka	28	18	47	78	33	116	5,577	2,625	8,577
District 23 - Ketchikan	121	51	173	294	102	398	25,692	9,759	35,651
District 24 - Petersburg	26	16	46	84	35	130	6,448	2,943	10,366
District 31 - Anchorage	306	596	1,046	666	1,314	2,276	60,625	121,223	208,824
District 32 - Valdez	22	5	27	66	13	79	5,138	1,061	6,199
District 33 - Dillingham	71	4	75	190	10	200	17,496	906	18,402
District 34 - Seward	4	16	20	5	28	33	457	2,526	2,983
District 35 - Kodiak	44	22	66	124	52	176	10,449	4,432	14,881
District 36 - Bethel	451	3	454	1,309	7	1,316	123,566	601	124,167
District 37 - Kenai	11	57	68	32	140	172	2,620	12,353	14,973
District 41 - Fairbanks	129	194	329	299	454	761	27,597	42,415	70,912
District 42 - Tanana	69	---	69	188	---	188	14,254	---	14,254
District 43 - Fort Yukon	65	2	67	186	2	188	18,261	175	18,436
District 44 - Tok	43	---	44	111	---	112	10,291	---	10,391
District 45 - Barrow	70	---	70	144	---	144	14,039	---	14,039
District 46 - Nome	228	---	231	590	---	594	50,634	---	50,918
District 47 - Kotzebue	165	1	166	357	1	358	32,719	150	32,869
State Total - Number	1,950	1,026	3,136	4,990	2,292	7,506	447,058	209,957	686,825
Percent of Total	62.2	32.7	100.0	65.6	30.1	100.0	65.1	30.6	100.0

* Includes race unknown

ALASKA
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES
DIVISION OF FAMILY AND CHILDREN SERVICES

LOCATION AND TOTAL AMOUNTS OF ASSISTANCE OF ACTIVE CASES, IN OLD AGE ASSISTANCE, AID TO THE BLIND,
AID TO DISABLED, AID TO DEPENDENT CHILDREN PROGRAMS - OCTOBER 1971

	NUMBER OF CASES					DOLLAR PAYMENTS				
	OAA	AB	AD	AFDC	TOTAL	OAA	AB	AD	AFDC	TOTAL
CENTRAL OFFICE	14	--	7	--	21	350	--	175	--	525
DISTRICT 21	172	7	47	138	364	20,299	1,119	8,914	29,983	60,315
DISTRICT 22	28	1	12	47	88	2,656	185	1,871	8,577	13,289
DISTRICT 23	142	5	69	173	389	13,202	605	11,013	35,651	60,471
DISTRICT 24	66	--	14	46	126	5,856	--	1,909	10,366	18,131
DISTRICT 31	359	16	364	1,046	1,785	37,159	2,070	61,729	208,824	309,782
DISTRICT 32	42	2	12	27	83	5,416	435	1,943	6,199	13,993
DISTRICT 33	59	4	26	75	164	8,188	805	4,492	18,402	31,887
DISTRICT 34	21	1	26	20	68	1,148	185	2,199	2,983	6,515
DISTRICT 35	35	3	19	66	123	3,471	488	3,077	14,881	21,917
DISTRICT 36	314	15	162	454	945	54,805	3,035	30,404	124,167	212,411
DISTRICT 37	31	3	22	68	124	3,797	466	3,709	14,973	23,005
DISTRICT 41	134	5	156	329	624	19,972	1,006	29,661	70,912	121,551
DISTRICT 42	68	4	22	69	163	10,666	805	3,865	14,254	29,590
DISTRICT 43	44	--	29	67	140	8,218	--	5,665	10,436	32,319
DISTRICT 44	39	1	18	44	102	5,675	185	3,296	10,391	19,547
DISTRICT 45	54	1	15	70	140	6,843	103	1,648	14,039	22,633
DISTRICT 46	156	14	83	231	484	20,599	2,441	13,612	50,918	87,570
DISTRICT 47	143	4	39	166	352	19,651	655	6,081	32,869	59,256
STATE TOTALS	1,921	86	1,142	3,136	6,285	247,971	14,588	195,323	686,825	1,144,707

ALASKA
 DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES
 DIVISION OF FAMILY AND CHILDREN SERVICES

LOCATION AND TOTAL AMOUNTS OF ASSISTANCE OF ACTIVE CASES, IN OLD AGE ASSISTANCE, AID TO THE BLIND,
 AID TO DISABLED, AID TO DEPENDENT CHILDREN PROGRAMS - OCTOBER 1971

	<u>NUMBER OF CASES</u>					<u>DOLLAR PAYMENTS</u>				
	<u>OAA</u>	<u>AB</u>	<u>AD</u>	<u>AFDC</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OAA</u>	<u>AB</u>	<u>AD</u>	<u>AFDC</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
CENTRAL OFFICE										
OUTSIDE ALASKA	14	--	7	--	21	350	--	175	--	525
TOTAL	14	--	7	--	21	350	--	175	--	525

ALASKA
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES
DIVISION OF FAMILY AND CHILDREN SERVICES

LOCATION AND TOTAL AMOUNTS OF ASSISTANCE OF ACTIVE CASES, IN OLD AGE ASSISTANCE, AID TO THE BLIND,
AID TO DISABLED, AID TO DEPENDENT CHILDREN PROGRAMS - OCTOBER 1971

	NUMBER OF CASES					DOLLAR PAYMENTS				
	OAA	AB	AD	AFDC	TOTAL	OAA	AB	AD	AFDC	TOTAL
DISTRICT 21 - JUNEAU										
ANGOON	21	1	1	7	30	2,020	141	185	1,754	4,100
DOUGLAS	2	--	--	1	3	169	--	--	400	569
ELFIN COVE	3	--	--	--	3	301	--	--	--	301
HAINES	23	--	3	6	32	3,724	--	426	1,949	6,099
HAWK INLET	--	--	--	1	1	--	--	--	175	175
HOONAH	22	2	5	9	38	1,888	328	782	2,135	5,133
JUNEAU	69	2	35	107	213	8,868	380	6,933	22,320	38,501
KLUKWAN	12	1	--	1	14	1,135	185	--	150	1,470
PELICAN	2	--	1	--	3	209	--	250	--	459
SKAGWAY	--	--	1	3	4	--	--	250	700	950
TENAKEE	4	1	1	--	6	399	85	88	--	572
YAKUTAT	14	--	--	3	17	1,586	--	--	400	1,986
TOTAL	172	7	47	138	364	20,299	1,119	8,914	29,983	60,315
DISTRICT 22 - SITKA										
SITKA	28	1	12	47	88	2,656	185	1,871	8,577	13,289
TOTAL	28	1	12	47	88	2,656	185	1,871	8,577	13,289

ALASKA
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES
DIVISION OF FAMILY AND CHILDREN SERVICES

LOCATION AND TOTAL AMOUNTS OF ASSISTANCE OF ACTIVE CASES, IN OLD AGE ASSISTANCE, AID TO THE BLIND,
AID TO DISABLED, AID TO DEPENDENT CHILDREN PROGRAMS - OCTOBER 1971

	NUMBER OF CASES					DOLLAR PAYMENTS				
	OAA	AB	AD	AFDC	TOTAL	OAA	AB	AD	AFDC	TOTAL
DISTRICT 23 - KETCHIKAN										
CRAIG	10	1	3	4	18	771	185	298	825	2,079
HYDABURG	15	--	4	12	31	1,219	--	416	1,894	3,529
HYDER	--	--	--	1	1	--	--	--	75	75
KETCHIKAN	100	2	54	138	294	9,451	100	9,076	29,778	48,405
KLAWOCK	5	2	2	3	12	579	320	362	590	1,851
METLAKATLA	8	--	4	15	27	689	--	539	2,489	3,717
MYERS CHUCK	2	--	--	--	2	224	--	--	--	224
POINT BAKER	--	--	2	--	2	--	--	322	--	322
WARD COVE	2	--	--	--	2	269	--	--	--	269
TOTAL	142	5	69	173	389	13,202	605	11,013	35,651	60,471
DISTRICT 24 - PETERSBURG										
KAKE	15	--	3	5	23	1,289	--	320	410	2,019
PETERSBURG	37	--	8	31	76	3,145	--	1,174	7,288	11,607
WRANGELL	14	--	3	10	27	1,422	--	415	2,668	4,505
TOTAL	66	--	14	46	126	5,856	--	1,909	10,366	18,131

ALASKA
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES
DIVISION OF FAMILY AND CHILDREN SERVICES

LOCATION AND TOTAL AMOUNTS OF ASSISTANCE OF ACTIVE CASES, IN OLD AGE ASSISTANCE, AID TO THE BLIND,
AID TO DISABLED, AID TO DEPENDENT CHILDREN PROGRAMS - OCTOBER 1971

DISTRICT 31 - ANCHORAGE	NUMBER OF CASES					DOLLAR PAYMENTS				
	OAA	AB	AD	AFDC	TOTAL	OAA	AB	AD	AFDC	TOTAL
AKUTAN	1	--	--	2	3	185	--	--	543	728
ANCHORAGE	259	11	306	907	1,483	23,901	1,336	50,959	178,223	254,419
ATKA	2	--	1	3	6	371	--	185	625	1,181
BELKOFSKI	2	--	--	--	2	243	--	--	--	243
BIG LAKE	1	--	--	--	1	106	--	--	--	106
CHIGNIK	--	--	--	2	2	--	--	--	1,075	1,075
CHIGNIK LAGOON	2	--	--	--	2	259	--	--	--	259
CHIGNIK LAKE	4	--	1	--	5	644	--	24	--	668
CHUGIAK	6	--	1	6	13	667	--	167	1,174	2,008
EAGLE RIVER	4	--	3	22	29	245	--	462	4,755	5,462
FALSE PASS	--	1	--	1	2	--	203	--	450	653
GIRDWOOD	--	--	--	2	2	--	--	--	250	250
IVANOFF BAY	1	--	--	--	1	124	--	--	--	124
KING COVE	2	--	--	--	2	275	--	--	--	275
MOUNTAIN VIEW	6	--	--	4	10	664	--	--	925	1,589
NIKOLSKI	4	--	--	1	5	424	--	--	175	599
PALMER	34	--	27	21	82	5,062	--	5,713	4,423	15,198
PAULOFF HARBOR	1	--	--	--	1	25	--	--	--	25
PERRYVILLE	2	--	2	3	7	333	--	435	875	1,643
PORT MOLLER	--	--	--	1	1	--	--	--	97	97
SAND POINT	2	--	--	3	5	384	--	--	875	1,259
SPENARD	4	1	6	24	35	393	250	1,298	5,772	7,713
ST. GEORGE ISLAND	--	--	--	1	1	--	--	--	175	175
ST. PAUL ISLAND	3	--	2	10	15	305	--	210	2,037	2,552
SUTTON	--	2	1	4	7	--	220	250	750	1,220
TALKEETNA	3	--	--	3	6	402	--	--	746	1,148
TYONEK	5	--	--	14	20	622	--	185	2,423	3,230
UNALASKA	3	1	2	1	7	447	61	370	125	1,003
WASILLA	5	--	3	4	12	837	--	281	900	2,018
WILLOW	2	--	2	3	7	216	--	500	775	1,491

(Continued next page)

ALASKA
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES
DIVISION OF FAMILY AND CHILDREN SERVICES

LOCATION AND TOTAL AMOUNTS OF ASSISTANCE OF ACTIVE CASES, IN OLD AGE ASSISTANCE, AID TO THE BLIND,
AID TO DISABLED, AID TO DEPENDENT CHILDREN PROGRAMS - OCTOBER 1971

Continued

	<u>NUMBER OF CASES</u>					<u>DOLLAR PAYMENT</u>				
	<u>OAA</u>	<u>AB</u>	<u>AD</u>	<u>AFDC</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OAA</u>	<u>AB</u>	<u>AD</u>	<u>AFDC</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
DISTRICT 31 ANCHORAGE										
OUTSIDE DISTRICT										
BETHEL	--	--	2	----	2	---	--	50	----	50
FAIRBANKS	--	--	1	----	1	---	--	25	----	25
HOLY CROSS	--	--	--	1	1	---	--	--	125	125
HOMER	--	--	--	1	1	---	--	--	231	231
KENAI	1	--	--	1	2	25	--	--	175	200
KOTLIK	--	--	1	----	1	---	--	185	----	185
NOME	--	--	2	----	2	---	--	430	----	430
SHAKTOOLIK	--	--	--	1	1	---	--	--	125	125
TOTAL	359	16	364	1046	1785	37,159	2,070	61,729	208,824	309,782

ALASKA
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES
DIVISION OF FAMILY AND CHILDREN SERVICES

LOCATION AND TOTAL AMOUNTS OF ASSISTANCE OF ACTIVE CASES, IN OLD AGE ASSISTANCE, AID TO THE BLIND,
AID TO DISABLED, AID TO DEPENDENT CHILDREN PROGRAMS - OCTOBER 1971

DISTRICT 32 - VALDEZ	NUMBER OF CASES					DOLLAR PAYMENT				
	OAA	AB	AD	AFDC	TOTAL	OAA	AB	AD	AFDC	TOTAL
CHISTOCHINA	2	--	--	----	2	236	--	--	----	236
CHITINA	2	--	--	2	4	289	--	--	650	939
COPPER CENTER	9	--	3	8	20	1370	--	425	1822	3617
CORDOVA	15	--	2	9	26	1297	--	275	1818	3390
GAKONA	8	1	3	3	15	1085	250	438	627	2400
GLENNALLEN	1	1	--	----	2	185	185	--	----	370
KENNY LAKE	1	--	1	----	2	242	--	185	----	427
TATITLEK	1	--	1	----	2	92	--	185	----	277
VALDEZ	3	--	1	5	9	620	--	185	1282	2087
OUTSIDE DISTRICT										
PALMER	--	--	1	----	1	---	--	250	----	250
TOTAL	42	2	12	27	83	5416	435	1943	6199	13993

ALASKA
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES
DIVISION OF FAMILY AND CHILDREN SERVICES

LOCATION AND TOTAL AMOUNTS OF ASSISTANCE OF ACTIVE CASES, IN OLD AGE ASSISTANCE, AID TO THE BLIND,
AID TO DISABLED, AID TO DEPENDENT CHILDREN PROGRAMS - OCTOBER 1971

DISTRICT 33 - DILLINGHAM	NUMBER OF CASES					DOLLAR PAYMENTS				
	OAA	AB	AD	AFDC	TOTAL	OAA	AB	AD	AFDC	TOTAL
ALEKNAGIK	1	--	3	2	6	214	--	555	450	1219
CLARKS POINT	1	--	1	4	6	115	--	58	709	882
DILLINGHAM	8	--	8	18	34	879	--	1388	3950	6217
EGIGIK	---	--	1	4	5	---	--	185	1449	1634
EKUK	1	--	--	1	2	118	--	--	501	619
EKWOK	3	--	2	3	8	482	--	435	500	1417
IGUIGIG	1	--	--	1	2	121	--	--	325	446
ILIAMNA	3	1	--	4	8	305	185	--	748	1238
KOKHANOK	--	--	--	2	2	---	--	--	450	450
KOLIGANEK	2	--	3	3	8	285	--	620	811	1716
LEVELOCK	3	--	1	----	4	207	--	140	----	347
MANAKOTAK	4	--	1	4	9	676	--	99	1225	2000
NAKNEK	1	--	--	2	3	115	--	--	300	415
NEW STUYAHOK	6	--	1	3	10	1013	--	185	734	1932
NONDALTON	7	1	3	8	19	1154	185	457	1826	3622
OHGSENAKALE	2	--	1	5	8	384	--	185	1383	1952
PEDRO BAY	1	--	--	----	1	178	--	--	----	178
PILOT POINT	1	--	--	1	2	250	--	--	175	425
PORT HEIDEN	3	--	--	1	4	274	--	--	125	399
SOUTH NAKNEK	3	1	--	2	6	349	250	--	470	1069
TOGIAK	8	1	1	7	17	1069	185	185	2271	3710
TOTAL	59	4	26	75	164	8188	805	4492	18402	31887

ALASKA
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES
DIVISION OF FAMILY AND CHILDREN SERVICES

LOCATION AND TOTAL AMOUNTS OF ASSISTANCE OF ACTIVE CASES, IN OLD AGE ASSISTANCE, AID TO THE BLIND,
AID TO DISABLED, AID TO DEPENDENT CHILDREN PROGRAMS - OCTOBER 1971

	<u>NUMBER OF CASES</u>					<u>DOLLAR PAYMENTS</u>				
	<u>OAA</u>	<u>AB</u>	<u>AD</u>	<u>AFDC</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OAA</u>	<u>AB</u>	<u>AD</u>	<u>AFDC</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
DISTRICT 34 - SEWARD										
HOPE	1	--	--	1	2	102	--	--	175	277
SEWARD	20	1	26	19	66	1,046	185	2,199	2,808	6,238
TOTAL	21	1	26	20	68	1,148	185	2,199	2,983	6,515
DISTRICT 35 - KODIAK										
AKHIOK	1	--	1	2	4	96	--	147	519	762
KARLUK	1	--	--	6	7	84	--	--	780	864
KODIAK	17	--	13	46	76	1,828	--	2,273	10,965	15,066
LARSEN BAY	4	--	1	6	11	426	--	185	1,000	1,611
OLD HARBOR	5	--	1	5	11	373	--	12	1,017	1,402
OUZINKIE	2	1	1	1	5	218	100	90	600	1,008
PORT LIONS	5	2	2	--	9	446	388	370	-----	1,204
TOTAL	35	3	19	66	123	3,471	488	3,077	14,881	21,917

ALASKA
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES
DIVISION OF FAMILY AND CHILDREN SERVICES

LOCATION AND TOTAL AMOUNTS OF ASSISTANCE OF ACTIVE CASES, IN OLD AGE ASSISTANCE, AID TO THE BLIND,
AID TO DISABLED, AID TO DEPENDENT CHILDREN PROGRAMS - OCTOBER 1974

DISTRICT 36 - BETHEL	NUMBER OF CASES					DOLLAR PAYMENT				
	OAA	AB	AD	AFDC	TOTAL	OAA	AB	AD	AFDC	TOTAL
AKIACHUK	10	--	6	13	29	1,765	--	1,050	3,997	6,812
AKIAK	5	2	4	7	18	825	435	690	1,625	3,573
ALAKANUK	10	--	4	10	24	1,483	--	696	2,403	4,582
ANIAK	6	--	6	10	22	851	--	1,240	2,916	5,007
ANVIK	1	--	2	3	6	215	--	435	1,500	2,150
BETHEL	33	2	33	85	153	5,860	435	6,321	23,286	35,902
CHEFORNAK	3	--	3	3	9	685	--	555	1,225	2,465
CHEVAK	4	--	6	18	28	653	--	1,025	7,019	8,697
CROOKED CREEK	2	--	--	8	10	370	--	---	2,233	2,603
EEK	10	--	1	4	15	1,915	--	127	900	2,942
EMMONAK	8	--	4	9	21	1,657	--	740	2,231	4,628
FORTUNA LEDGE	5	1	4	5	15	965	250	935	1,019	3,169
GOODNE'S BAY	4	1	4	9	18	740	185	740	2,095	3,760
GRAYLING	6	--	--	6	12	947	--	---	1,919	2,866
HOLY CROSS	7	--	2	4	13	963	--	370	1,025	2,358
HOOPER BAY	14	--	4	18	36	2,432	--	740	4,287	7,459
KALSKAG	--	--	2	4	6	---	--	370	775	1,145
KASIGLUK	9	1	3	10	23	2,022	185	620	2,923	5,750
KIPNUK	5	1	7	11	24	990	185	1,295	3,875	6,345
KONGIGIAK	2	--	--	4	6	435	--	---	850	1,285
KOTLIK	6	--	3	2	11	938	--	555	525	2,018
KWETHLUK	9	2	7	17	35	1,795	370	1,245	4,635	8,045
KWINGILLINGOK	4	--	--	4	8	840	--	---	925	1,765
LOWER KALSKAG	6	--	3	11	20	897	--	555	3,069	4,521
MCGRATH	7	--	2	4	13	1,048	--	370	667	2,085

(Continued)
(next page)

ALASKA
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES
DIVISION OF FAMILY AND CHILDREN SERVICES

LOCATION AND TOTAL AMOUNTS OF ASSISTANCE OF ACTIVE CASES, IN OLD AGE ASSISTANCE, AID TO THE BLIND,
AID TO DISABLED, AID TO DEPENDENT CHILDREN PROGRAMS - OCTOBER 1971

DISTRICT 36 BETHEL (Continued)	NUMBER OF CASES					DOLLAR PAYMENTS				
	OAA	AB	AD	AFDC	TOTAL	OAA	AB	AD	AFDC	TOTAL
MEDFRA	---	--	--	1	1	---	--	--	125	125
MEKORYUK	10	--	--	10	20	1,625	--	--	2,826	4,452
MOUNTAIN VILLAGE	11	--	3	11	25	2,000	--	555	2,833	5,388
NAPAKIAK	4	--	8	17	29	805	--	1,340	3,926	6,071
NAPASKIAK	10	1	3	7	21	1,715	185	620	1,853	4,373
NEWTOK	---	--	1	3	4	---	--	185	1,150	1,335
NIGHTMUTE	5	1	--	4	10	1,065	185	--	1,150	2,390
NIKOLAI	4	--	2	3	9	740	--	370	1,000	2,110
NUNAPITCHUK	13	--	3	7	23	2,433	--	685	1,414	4,582
OSCARVILLE	---	--	1	1	2	---	--	185	75	260
PILOT STATION	10	--	2	6	18	1,529	--	260	2,060	3,649
PITKAS POINT	3	--	--	2	5	470	--	--	650	1,120
PLATINUM	1	--	--	2	3	20	--	--	398	518
QUINHAGAK	5	--	3	2	10	152	--	525	490	1,767
RED DEVIL	1	--	1	2	4	56	--	185	775	1,016
RUSSIAN MISSION (KUSKOKWIM)	2	--	2	1	5	305	--	370	175	850
SCAMMON BAY	10	--	--	8	18	1,607	--	--	1,475	3,082
SHAGELUK	8	1	2	8	19	1,469	185	370	2,100	4,124
SHELDON POINT	2	--	1	3	6	305	--	185	750	1,240
SLEETMUTE	3	--	--	9	12	440	--	--	1,947	2,387
ST. MARYS	12	1	1	15	29	1,824	250	250	3,906	6,230
STONY RIVER	4	--	--	9	13	585	--	--	2,275	2,860
TAKOTNA	---	--	--	1	1	---	--	--	225	225
TOKSOOK BAY	3	--	10	17	30	685	--	1,850	5,321	7,856
TULUKSAK	7	--	1	6	14	1,360	--	250	1,400	3,010
TUNTUTULIAK	2	--	4	3	14	348	--	805	2,346	3,499
TUNUNAK	8	1	4	12	25	1,437	185	740	3,598	5,960
TOTAL	314	15	162	454	945	54,805	3,035	30,404	124,167	212,411

ALASKA
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES
DIVISION OF FAMILY AND CHILDREN SERVICES

LOCATION AND TOTAL AMOUNTS OF ASSISTANCE OF ACTIVE CASES, IN OLD AGE ASSISTANCE, AID TO THE BLIND,
AID TO DISABLED, AID TO DEPENDENT CHILDREN PROGRAMS - OCTOBER 1971

DISTRICT 37 - KENAI	NUMBER OF CASES					DOLLAR PAYMENTS				
	OAA	AB	AD	AFDC	TOTAL	OAA	AB	AD	AFDC	TOTAL
ANCHOR POINT	3	--	2	3	8	474	--	230	1,025	1,729
CLAM GULCH	2	--	--	----	2	243	--	--	----	243
ENGLISH BAY	1	--	--	2	3	114	--	--	325	439
HOMER	2	1	2	5	10	266	185	311	1,075	1,837
KASILOF	1	--	1	----	2	56	--	185	----	241
KENAI	5	2	7	32	46	471	281	1,310	6,273	8,335
NINILCHIK	2	--	1	2	5	303	--	185	950	1,438
PORT GRAHAM	4	--	2	1	7	549	--	223	240	1,012
PORT LIONS	--	--	1	----	1	---	--	250	----	250
SELDOVIA	3	--	--	4	7	310	--	--	556	866
SOLDOTNA	7	--	6	18	31	894	--	1,075	4,304	6,273
STERLING	1	--	--	1	2	117	--	--	225	342
TOTAL	31	3	22	68	124	3,797	466	3,769	14,973	23,005

ALASKA
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES
DIVISION OF FAMILY AND CHILDREN SERVICES

LOCATION AND TOTAL AMOUNTS OF ASSISTANCE OF ACTIVE CASES, IN OLD AGE ASSISTANCE, AID TO THE BLIND,
AID TO DISABLED, AID TO DEPENDENT CHILDREN PROGRAMS - OCTOBER 1971

	NUMBER OF CASES					DOLLAR PAYMENTS				
	OAA	AB	AD	AFDC	TOTAL	OAA	AB	AD	AFDC	TOTAL
DISTRICT 41 - FAIRBANKS										
CANTWELL	1	--	1	1	3	185	--	185	100	470
CENTRAL	1	--	--	2	3	132	--	--	450	582
CIRCLE	--	--	2	2	4	---	--	301	678	979
CLEAR	1	--	--	1	2	188	--	--	100	288
COLLEGE	--	--	--	1	1	---	--	--	1	1
DELTA JUNCTION	2	--	3	8	13	356	--	593	1,270	2,219
FAIRBANKS	101	4	135	289	529	14,452	821	25,886	62,093	103,252
HEALY	1	--	--	---	1	109	--	--	----	109
MINTO	14	1	2	13	30	2,488	185	210	3,209	6,092
NENANA	9	--	9	9	27	1,257	--	1,655	1,986	4,898
NORTH POLE	1	--	3	2	6	250	--	646	875	1,771
RAMPART	1	--	--	---	1	185	--	--	----	185
DISTRICT 41 - FAIRBANKS										
OUTSIDE DISTRICT										
ANCHORAGE	--	--	---	1	1	---	--	---	150	150
FORT YUKON	1	--	1	---	2	185	--	185	----	370
VENETIE	1	--	---	---	1	185	--	---	----	185
TOTAL	134	5	156	329	624	19,972	1,006	29,661	70,912	121,551

ALASKA
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES
DIVISION OF FAMILY AND CHILDREN SERVICES

LOCATION AND TOTAL AMOUNTS OF ASSISTANCE OF ACTIVE CASES, IN OLD AGE ASSISTANCE, AID TO THE BLIND,
AID TO DISABLED, AID TO DEPENDENT CHILDREN PROGRAMS - OCTOBER 1971

	NUMBER OF CASES					DOLLAR PAYMENTS				
	OAA	AB	AD	AFDC	TOTAL	OAA	AB	AD	AFDC	TOTAL
DISTRICT 42 - TANANA										
ALLAKAKET	5	--	1	2	8	630	--	250	316	1,196
ANAKTUVAK PASS	4	--	1	3	8	890	--	185	399	1,474
BETTLES	1	--	--	--	1	185	--	----	----	185
GALENA	9	--	5	11	25	1,043	--	840	2,074	3,957
HUGHES	1	--	1	1	3	140	--	135	408	683
HUSLIA	8	1	2	9	20	1,280	185	370	1,414	3,249
KALTAG	9	1	3	12	25	1,389	185	564	2,558	4,696
KOYOKUK	3	--	3	4	10	535	--	494	1,425	2,454
NULATO	11	--	3	13	27	1,662	--	472	2,709	4,843
RUBY	6	1	2	6	15	1,124	185	370	1,100	2,779
TANANA	11	1	1	8	21	1,788	250	185	1,851	4,074
TOTAL	68	4	22	69	163	10,666	805	3,865	14,254	29,590
DISTRICT 43 - FORT YUKON										
ARCTIC VILLAGE	2	--	4	5	11	435	--	805	1,350	2,590
BEAVER	4	--	3	6	13	701	--	620	1,783	3,104
BIRCH CREEK	--	--	--	1	1	---	--	---	650	650
CHALKYITSIT	5	--	1	5	11	865	--	185	1,125	2,175
FORT YUKON	23	--	16	36	75	4,387	--	3,149	9,478	17,014
STEVENS VILLAGE	3	--	--	5	8	620	--	---	1,450	2,070
VENETIE	7	--	5	9	21	1,210	--	906	2,600	4,716
TOTAL	44	--	29	67	140	8,218	--	5,665	18,436	32,319

ALASKA
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES
DIVISION OF FAMILY AND CHILDREN SERVICES

LOCATION AND TOTAL AMOUNTS OF ASSISTANCE OF ACTIVE CASES, IN OLD AGE ASSISTANCE, AID TO THE BLIND,
AID TO DISABLED, AID TO DEPENDENT CHILDREN PROGRAMS - OCTOBER 1971

	NUMBER OF CASES					DOLLAR PAYMENTS				
	OAA	AB	AD	AFDC	TOTAL	OAA	AB	AD	AFDC	TOTAL
DISTRICT 44 - TOK										
DOT LAKE	2	--	--	1	3	284	--	--	150	434
EAGLE	5	1	--	5	11	695	185	--	970	1,850
MENTASTA LAKE	--	--	4	5	9	---	---	740	1,671	2,411
NORTHWAY	12	--	5	14	31	2,031	---	990	3,750	6,771
TANACROSS	8	--	2	7	17	755	---	235	975	1,965
TETLIN	7	--	6	11	24	1,106	---	1,081	2,775	4,962
TOK	4	--	1	1	6	619	---	250	100	969
OUTSIDE DISTRICT										
HUGHES	1	--	--	--	1	185	---	---	----	185
TOTAL	39	1	18	44	102	5,675	185	3,296	10,391	19,547
DISTRICT 45 - BARROW										
BARROW	41	1	10	55	107	5,137	103	1,054	10,764	17,058
BARTER ISLAND	1	--	--	1	2	93	---	---	175	268
KAKTOVIK	1	--	1	1	3	185	---	85	175	445
WAINWRIGHT	11	--	2	11	24	1,428	---	300	2,225	3,953
OUTSIDE DISTRICT										
ANCHORAGE	--	--	1	--	1	---	---	24	----	24
FAIRBANKS	--	--	1	2	3	---	---	185	700	885
TOTAL	54	1	15	70	140	6,843	103	1,648	14,039	22,633

ALASKA
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES
DIVISION OF FAMILY AND CHILDREN SERVICES

LOCATION AND TOTAL AMOUNTS OF ASSISTANCE OF ACTIVE CASES, IN OLD AGE ASSISTANCE, AID TO THE BLIND,
AID TO DISABLED, AID TO DEPENDENT CHILDREN PROGRAMS - NOVEMBER 1971

	NUMBER OF CASES					DOLLAR PAYMENTS				
	OAA	AB	AD	AFDC	TOTAL	OAA	AB	AD	AFDC	TOTAL
DISTRICT 46 - NOME										
BREVIK MISSION	4	--	2	8	14	514	--	309	2,301	3,124
COUNCIL	2	--	--	----	2	123	--	---	----	123
DIOMEDE	2	--	1	1	4	306	--	231	406	943
ELIM	9	1	1	13	24	923	185	185	1,973	3,266
GAMBELL	22	1	4	11	38	3,264	117	690	2,782	6,853
GOLOVIN	3	--	--	6	9	361	--	---	1,273	1,634
HAYCOCK	--	--	1	----	1	---	--	185	----	185
KOYUK	5	1	4	11	21	763	185	690	2,991	4,629
NOME	54	2	30	82	168	7,089	370	4,842	17,639	29,940
SAVOONGA	10	1	5	9	25	1,441	143	925	2,256	4,765
SHAKTOOLIK	5	--	1	6	12	687	--	185	1,354	2,226
SHISHMAREF	9	3	8	23	43	1,393	469	1,437	5,444	8,743
SOLOMON	--	--	1	--	1	---	--	185	----	185
ST. MICHAEL	4	--	5	7	16	420	--	852	2,325	3,597
STEBBINS	2	3	4	20	29	320	555	740	4,287	5,902
TELLER	7	2	7	8	24	987	417	1,070	1,654	4,128
UNALAKLEET	15	--	3	18	36	1,578	--	330	2,464	4,372
WALES	3	--	2	1	6	430	--	87	47	564
WHITE MOUNTAIN	--	--	4	6	10	---	--	669	1,597	2,266
OUTSIDE DISTRICT										
NULATO	--	--	--	1	1	---	--	---	125	125
TOTAL	156	14	83	231	484	20,599	2,441	13,612	50,918	87,570

ALASKA
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES
DIVISION OF FAMILY AND CHILDREN SERVICES

LOCATION AND TOTAL AMOUNTS OF ASSISTANCE OF ACTIVE CASES, IN OLD AGE ASSISTANCE, AID TO THE BLIND,
AID TO DISABLED, AID TO DEPENDENT CHILDREN PROGRAMS - OCTOBER 1967

	NUMBER OF CASES					DOLLAR PAYMENTS				
	OAA	AB	AD	AFDC	TOTAL	OAA	AB	AD	AFDC	TOTAL
DISTRICT 47 - KOTZEBUE										
AMBLER	5	--	--	8	13	702	--	--	1,575	2,277
BUCKLAND	4	--	1	5	10	481	--	140	1,324	1,945
CANDLE	1	--	--	1	2	49	--	--	200	249
DEERING	3	--	1	4	8	312	--	18	1,108	1,438
KIANA	13	--	1	14	28	1,674	--	185	2,961	4,000
KIVALINA	6	--	--	4	10	1,025	--	--	509	1,534
KOBUK	3	--	--	2	5	319	--	--	300	619
KOTZEBUE	38	2	19	54	113	4,893	370	2,593	10,730	18,586
NOATAK	15	--	2	12	29	1,967	--	370	2,425	4,762
NOORVIK	17	--	2	24	43	2,226	--	435	3,630	6,291
POINT HOPE	11	--	5	11	27	1,618	--	905	2,225	4,748
SELAWIK	21	2	7	17	47	3,470	285	1,250	4,032	9,037
SHUNGNAK	6	--	1	10	17	915	--	185	1,850	2,950
TOTAL	143	4	39	166	352	19,651	655	6,081	32,869	59,256

STATE OF ALASKA

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR

LOCAL AFFAIRS AGENCY

WILLIAM A. EGAN, GOVERNOR

POUCH AB — JUNEAU 99801

BOROUGH AND CITY PROPERTY AND SALES TAX RATES

1969 - 1970 - 1971

ALASKA LOCAL GOVERNMENT

VOLUME X, No. 3

December 31, 1971

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. ORGANIZED BOROUGHS AND CITIES WITHIN ORGANIZED BOROUGHS	
A. HOME RULE BOROUGHS	1
1. City and Borough of Juneau	
2. City and Borough of Sitka	
B. SECOND CLASS BOROUGHS	2
1. Bristol Bay Borough	
2. Fairbanks North Star Borough	
3. Greater Anchorage Area Borough	
4. Kenai Peninsula Borough	
5. Ketchikan Gateway Borough	
6. Kodiak Island Borough	
7. Matanuska-Susitna Borough	
C. THIRD CLASS BOROUGHS	8
1. Haines Borough	
II. CITIES OUTSIDE ORGANIZED BOROUGHS	
A. HOME RULE CITIES	9
B. FIRST CLASS CITIES	9
C. SECOND CLASS CITIES	10
D. FOURTH CLASS CITIES	11

FOREWORD

The Local Affairs Agency is frequently asked for information on the property tax or sales tax rates of cities and organized boroughs. To accommodate the many requests, this issue of Alaska Local Government lists the 1969, 1970 and 1971 property and sales tax rates for all of the State's organized boroughs and cities.

The first section includes rates for organized boroughs and for the cities within them. The second section lists rates for cities which are situated outside organized boroughs. The sales tax rates of fourth class cities appear in a final section. A fourth class city may levy a sales tax subject to referendum, but it has no statutory authority to levy a property tax.

I.
ORGANIZED BOROUGHES AND CITIES
WITHIN ORGANIZED BOROUGHES

A. HOME RULE BOROUGHES

<u>MUNICIPALITY</u>	<u>PROPERTY TAX</u>			<u>SALES TAX</u>		
	<u>1969</u>	<u>1970</u>	<u>1971</u>	<u>1969</u>	<u>1970</u>	<u>1971</u>
CITY AND BOROUGH OF JUNEAU						
<u>Juneau (SA)*</u>	10.50	8.90	7.40		2.0	2.0
Administration	3.88	6.10	11.50	2.0		
Schools	4.44	3.80				1.0
Debt Service	<u>1.11</u>	—	—	—	<u>1.0</u>	—
TOTAL	19.93	18.80	18.90	3.0	3.0	3.0
<u>Douglas (SA)</u>	11.33	8.50	5.40	2.0	2.0	2.0
Administration	3.88	6.10	11.50			
Schools	4.44	3.80		1.0	1.0	1.0
Debt Service	<u>1.11</u>	—	—	—	—	—
TOTAL	20.76	18.40	16.90	3.0	3.0	3.0
<u>Auke Bay (SA)</u>	.97	1.90	.40			
Administration	3.88	6.10	11.50			
Schools	4.44	3.80		1.0	1.0	1.0
Debt Service	<u>1.11</u>	—	—	—	—	—
TOTAL	10.40	11.80	11.90	1.0	1.0	1.0
<u>North Douglas (SA)</u>	.99	1.40	.40			
Administration	3.88	6.10	11.50			
Schools	4.44	3.80		1.0	1.0	1.0
Debt Service	<u>1.11</u>	—	—	—	—	—
TOTAL	10.42	11.30	11.90	1.0	1.0	1.0
<u>Glacier Valley (SA)</u>	3.45	3.50	2.60			
Administration	3.88	6.10	11.50			
Schools	4.44	3.80		1.0	1.0	1.0
Debt Service	<u>1.11</u>	—	—	—	—	—
TOTAL	12.88	13.40	14.10	1.0	1.0	1.0
<u>Other Area (SA)</u>	.59	.70				
Administration	3.88	6.10	11.50			
Schools	4.44	3.80		1.0	1.0	1.0
Debt Service	<u>1.11</u>	—	—	—	—	—
TOTAL	10.02	10.60	11.50	1.0	1.0	1.0

* Service Area

MUNICIPALITY	PROPERTY TAX			SALES TAX		
	1969	1970	1971	1969	1970	1971
CITY AND BOROUGH OF SITKA						
<u>Sitka (SA)</u>	9.5	7.5	7.9	2.0	2.0	2.0
Administration	2.5	4.0	4.5	2.0		
Schools	<u>3.0</u>	—	—	—	<u>2.0</u>	<u>2.0</u>
TOTAL	15.0	11.5	12.4	4.0	4.0	4.0
<u>Service Area #1</u>	.5	.5	.5	1.0	1.0	1.0
Administration	2.5	4.0	4.5	2.0		
Schools	<u>3.0</u>	—	—	—	<u>2.0</u>	<u>2.0</u>
TOTAL	6.0	4.5	5.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
<u>Port Alexander (SA)</u>	10.0	10.0	10.0			1.0
Administration	2.5	4.0	4.5	2.0		
Schools	<u>3.0</u>	—	—	—	<u>2.0</u>	<u>2.0</u>
TOTAL	15.5	14.0	14.5	2.0	2.0	3.0
<u>Other Area (SA)</u>						
Administration	2.5	4.0	4.5	2.0	2.0	
Schools	<u>3.0</u>	—	—	—	—	<u>2.0</u>
TOTAL	5.5	4.0	4.5	2.0	2.0	2.0
B. SECOND CLASS BOROUGHES						
BRISTOL BAY BOROUGH						
Administration	5.3	4.02	2.3			
Schools	—	<u>7.98</u>	<u>8.8</u>	—	—	—
TOTAL	5.3	12.00	11.1		- None -	
FAIRBANKS NORTH STAR BOROUGH						
<u>Fairbanks (HR)*</u>	9.6	10.0	10.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Administration	1.3	1.1	.5	2.0	2.0	2.0
Schools	<u>15.2</u>	<u>8.9</u>	<u>4.5</u>	—	—	—
TOTAL	26.1	20.0	15.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
<u>North Pole (1st)</u>	12.0	6.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Administration	1.3	1.1	.5	2.0	2.0	2.0
Schools	<u>15.3</u>	<u>8.9</u>	<u>4.5</u>	—	—	—
TOTAL	28.5	16.0	11.0	4.0	4.0	4.0

* Home Rule

MUNICIPALITY	PROPERTY TAX			SALES TAX		
	1969	1970	1971	1969	1970	1971
<u>Musk Ox (SA)</u>	10.0	6.0	6.0			
Improvements Only	3.0	1.0	1.0			
Administration	1.3	1.1	.5	2.0	2.0	2.0
Schools	<u>15.2</u>	<u>8.9</u>	<u>4.5</u>	—	—	—
TOTAL	29.5	17.0	12.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
<u>Scenic Heights (SA)</u>	6.0	8.0				
Improvements Only			4.0			
Land			5.0			
Administration	1.3	1.1	.5	2.0	2.0	2.0
Schools	<u>15.2</u>	<u>8.9</u>	<u>4.5</u>	—	—	—
TOTAL	22.5	18.0	14.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
<u>Other Area (SA)</u>						
Administration	1.3	1.1	.5	2.0	2.0	2.0
Schools	<u>15.2</u>	<u>8.9</u>	<u>4.5</u>	—	—	—
TOTAL	16.5	10.0	5.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
GREATER ANCHORAGE AREA BOROUGH						
<u>Anchorage (HR)</u>	10.0	9.50	9.30			
Administration	2.10	2.21	2.57			
Schools	10.58	10.27	6.77			
Sewer	—	—	<u>.60</u>	—	—	—
TOTAL	22.68	21.98	19.24		- None -	
<u>Spenard (SA)</u>	4.66	4.17	4.30			
Administration	2.10	2.21	2.57			
Schools	10.58	10.27	6.77			
Library	.28	.29	.24			
Sewer	—	—	<u>.60</u>	—	—	—
TOTAL	17.62	16.94	14.48		- None -	
<u>Girdwood (3rd)</u>	1.0	1.0	1.0			
Administration	2.10	2.21	2.57			
Schools	<u>10.58</u>	<u>10.27</u>	<u>6.77</u>	—	—	—
TOTAL	13.68	13.48	10.34		- None -	
<u>Glen Alps (3rd)</u>	5.0	3.0	3.0			
Administration	2.1	2.21	2.57			
Schools	10.58	10.27	6.77			
Sewer	—	—	<u>.60</u>	—	—	—
TOTAL	17.68	15.48	12.94		- None -	

MUNICIPALITY	PROPERTY TAX			SALES TAX		
	1969	1970	1971	1969	1970	1971
<u>Richardson Vista *</u>	10.0	9.5	9.30			
Administration		2.21	2.57			
Sewer			.60			
TOTAL	10.0	11.71	12.47		-None-	
<u>Basher (3rd)</u>						
Administration	2.10	2.21	2.57			
Schools	10.58	10.27	6.77			
TOTAL	12.68	12.48	9.34		-None-	
<u>Sand Lake (SA)</u>						
Administration	4.17	5.44	5.60			
Schools	2.10	2.21	2.57			
Library	10.58	10.27	6.77			
Sewer	.28	.29	.24			
TOTAL	17.13	18.21	15.78		-None-	
<u>Muldoon (SA)</u>						
Administration	4.0	3.77	4.00			
Schools	2.10	2.21	2.57			
Library	10.58	10.27	6.77			
Sewer	.28	.29	.24			
TOTAL	16.96	16.54	14.18		-None-	
<u>Rabbit Creek (SA)</u>						
Administration	1.44	1.44	1.36			
Schools	2.10	2.21	2.57			
Library	10.58	10.27	6.77			
Sewer	.28	.29	.24			
TOTAL	14.40	14.21	14.21		-None-	
<u>Eagle River (SA)</u>						
Administration	.33	.18	.85			
Schools	2.10	2.21	2.57			
Library	10.58	10.27	6.77			
TOTAL	13.29	12.95	10.43		-None-	
<u>Chugiak (SA)</u>						
Administration	.26	.07	.50			
Schools	2.10	2.21	2.57			
Library	10.58	10.27	6.77			
1968 Service area	.28	.29	.24			
TOTAL	13.72	12.84	10.08		-None-	

* Situated within Elmendorf Air Force Base

MUNICIPALITY	PROPERTY TAX			SALES TAX		
	1969	1970	1971	1969	1970	1971
<u>Other Area</u>						
Administration	2.10	2.21	2.57			
Schools	10.58	10.27	6.77			
Library	<u>.28</u>	<u>.29</u>	<u>.24</u>			
TOTAL	12.96	12.77	10.18		-None-	
KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH						
<u>Homer (1st)</u>						
Administration	12.0	13.0	13.0			
Schools	5.0	1.5	1.5			
		<u>3.5</u>	<u>3.5</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>3.0</u>
TOTAL	17.0	18.0	18.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
<u>Kachemak (3rd)</u>						
Administration	5.0	1.5	1.5			
Schools		<u>3.5</u>	<u>3.5</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>3.0</u>
TOTAL	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
<u>Kenai (HR)</u>						
Administration	10.0	8.0	7.0			1.0
Schools	5.0	1.5	1.5			
		<u>3.5</u>	<u>3.5</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>3.0</u>
TOTAL	15.0	13.0	12.0	3.0	3.0	4.0
<u>Seldovia (1st)</u>						
Administration	15.5	13.5	13.5			
Schools	5.0	1.5	1.5			
		<u>3.5</u>	<u>3.5</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>3.0</u>
TOTAL	20.5	18.5	18.5	3.0	3.0	3.0
<u>Seward (HR)</u>						
Administration	20.0	20.0	20.0			
Schools	5.0	1.5	1.5			
		<u>3.5</u>	<u>3.5</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>3.0</u>
TOTAL	25.0	25.0	25.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
<u>Soldotna (1st)</u>						
Administration	6.0	6.0	6.0			
Schools	5.0	1.5	1.5			
		<u>3.5</u>	<u>3.5</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>3.0</u>
TOTAL	11.0	11.0	11.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
<u>Central Hospital District</u>						
Administration	1.5	1.9	1.6			
Schools	5.0	1.5	1.5			
		<u>3.5</u>	<u>3.5</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>3.0</u>
TOTAL	6.5	6.9	6.6	3.0	3.0	3.0

<u>MUNICIPALITY</u>	<u>PROPERTY TAX</u>			<u>SALES TAX</u>		
	<u>1969</u>	<u>1970</u>	<u>1971</u>	<u>1969</u>	<u>1970</u>	<u>1971</u>
<u>South Hospital District</u>	1.5	1.7	1.7			
Administration	5.0	1.5	1.5			
Schools	—	<u>3.5</u>	<u>3.5</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>3.0</u>
TOTAL	6.5	6.7	6.7	3.0	3.0	3.0
<u>North Kenai Fire District</u>		1.4	1.4			
Administration		1.5	1.5			
Schools	—	<u>3.5</u>	<u>3.5</u>	—	<u>3.0</u>	<u>3.0</u>
TOTAL		6.4	6.4		3.0	3.0
<u>Other Area</u>						
Administration	5.0	1.5	1.5			
Schools	—	<u>3.5</u>	<u>3.5</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>3.0</u>
TOTAL	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
<u>KETCHIKAN GATEWAY BOROUGH</u>						
<u>Ketchikan (HR)</u>	12.1	10.00	10.00	2.5	2.5	2.5
Administration	5.2	2.38	4.27	1.5	.89	1.07
Schools	—	<u>1.62</u>	<u>1.73</u>	—	<u>.61</u>	<u>.43</u>
TOTAL	17.3	14.00	16.00	4.0	4.0	4.0
<u>Saxman (2nd)</u>						
Administration	5.2	2.38	4.27	1.5	.89	1.07
Schools	—	<u>1.62</u>	<u>1.73</u>	—	<u>.61</u>	<u>.43</u>
TOTAL	5.2	4.00	6.00	1.5	1.50	1.50
<u>Shoreline (SA)</u>		2.73				
Administration		2.38	4.27		.89	1.07
Schools	—	<u>1.62</u>	<u>1.73</u>	—	<u>.69</u>	<u>.43</u>
TOTAL		6.73	6.00		1.50	1.50
<u>Mountain Point (SA)</u>	3.08	3.08				
Administration		2.38	4.27	1.5	.89	1.07
Schools	<u>5.2</u>	<u>1.62</u>	<u>1.73</u>	—	<u>.61</u>	<u>.43</u>
TOTAL	8.28	7.08	6.00	1.5	1.50	1.50
<u>Other Area</u>						
Administration	5.2	2.38	4.27	1.5	.89	1.07
Schools	—	<u>1.62</u>	<u>1.73</u>	—	<u>.61</u>	<u>.43</u>
TOTAL	5.2	4.0	6.0	1.5	1.50	1.50

MUNICIPALITY	PROPERTY TAX			SALES TAX		
	1969	1970	1971	1969	1970	1971
KODIAK ISLAND BOROUGH						
<u>Kodiak (HR)</u>	11.00	7.00	8.50	3.0	3.0	3.0
<u>Administration</u>	3.44	4.27	.58			
<u>Schools</u>	<u>3.05</u>	<u>2.73</u>	<u>4.30</u>	—	—	—
TOTAL	17.49	14.00	13.38	3.0	3.0	3.0
<u>Fire District (SA)</u>	3.75	2.0	1.18			
<u>Administration</u>	3.44	4.27	.58			
<u>Schools</u>	<u>3.05</u>	<u>2.73</u>	<u>4.30</u>	—	—	—
TOTAL	10.24	9.00	6.06		- None -	
<u>Other Area</u>						
<u>Administration</u>	3.44	4.27	.58			
<u>Schools</u>	<u>3.05</u>	<u>2.73</u>	<u>4.30</u>	—	—	—
TOTAL	6.49	7.00	4.88		- None -	
MATANUSKA-SUSITNA BOROUGH						
<u>Palmer (HR)</u>	4.5	4.5	4.5	2.0	2.0	2.0
<u>Administration</u>	13.0	7.0	2.0			
<u>Schools</u>	—	<u>7.00</u>	<u>12.00</u>	—	—	—
TOTAL	17.5	18.5	18.5	2.0	2.0	2.0
<u>Bay City (3rd)</u>						
<u>Administration</u>	13.0	7.0	2.0			
<u>Schools</u>	—	<u>7.0</u>	<u>12.0</u>	—	—	—
TOTAL	13.0	14.0	14.0		- None -	
<u>Houston (3rd)</u>						
<u>Administration</u>	13.0	7.0	2.0			
<u>Schools</u>	—	<u>7.0</u>	<u>12.0</u>	—	—	—
TOTAL	13.0	14.0	14.0		- None -	
<u>Long Island (3rd)</u>						
<u>Administration</u>	13.0	7.0	2.0			
<u>Schools</u>	—	<u>7.0</u>	<u>12.0</u>	—	—	—
TOTAL	13.0	14.0	14.0		- None -	
<u>Butte (SA)</u>	1.0	1.5	1.5			
<u>Administration</u>	13.0	7.0	2.0			
<u>Schools</u>	—	<u>7.0</u>	<u>12.0</u>	—	—	—
TOTAL	14.0	15.5	15.5		- None -	

MUNICIPALITY	PROPERTY TAX			SALES TAX		
	1969	1970	1971	1969	1970	1971
<u>Greater Palmer (SA)</u>	1.0	1.5	1.0			
Administration	13.0	7.0	2.0			
Schools	—	<u>7.0</u>	<u>12.0</u>	—	—	—
TOTAL	14.0	15.5	15.0		- None -	
<u>Sutton (SA)</u>						
Administration	13.0	7.0	2.0			
Schools	—	<u>7.0</u>	<u>12.0</u>	—	—	—
TOTAL	13.0	14.0	14.0		- None -	
<u>Wasilla (SA)</u>						
Administration	1.5	1.5	1.5			
Schools	13.0	7.0	2.0			
Schools	—	<u>7.0</u>	<u>12.0</u>	—	—	—
TOTAL	14.5	15.5	15.5		- None -	
<u>Other Area</u>						
Administration	13.0	7.0	2.0			
Schools	—	<u>7.0</u>	<u>12.0</u>	—	—	—
TOTAL	13.0	14.0	14.0		- None -	

C. THIRD CLASS BOROUGHES

HAINES BOROUGH

<u>Haines (1st)</u>	8.5	7.0	6.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Administration	1.5	1.5	1.0			
Schools	<u>6.5</u>	<u>5.0</u>	<u>3.5</u>	—	—	—
TOTAL	16.5	13.5	10.5	3.0	3.0	3.0
<u>Port Chilkoot (2nd)*</u>	10.0					
Administration	1.5					
Schools	<u>6.5</u>	—	—	—	—	—
TOTAL	18.0					
<u>Other Area</u>						
Administration	1.5	1.5	1.0			
Schools	<u>6.5</u>	<u>5.0</u>	<u>3.5</u>	—	—	—
TOTAL	8.0	6.5	4.5		- None -	

* Port Chilkoot annexed into City of Haines on March 8, 1970

II.
CITIES OUTSIDE ORGANIZED BOROUGHES

A. HOME RULE CITIES

<u>MUNICIPALITY</u>	<u>PROPERTY TAX</u>			<u>SALES TAX</u>		
	<u>1969</u>	<u>1970</u>	<u>1971</u>	<u>1969</u>	<u>1970</u>	<u>1971</u>
<u>Cordova</u>						
Administration	7.7	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	2.5
Schools	10.3	10.0	10.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Hospital	—	—	4.0	—	—	.5
TOTAL	18.0	14.0	18.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
<u>Petersburg</u>						
Administration	17.0	14.0	14.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
TOTAL	17.0	14.0	14.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
<u>Valdez</u>						
Administration	7.75	5.3	2.663	4.0	4.0	4.0
Schools	7.25	9.7	5.50	—	—	—
Other	—	—	6.837	—	—	—
TOTAL	15.00	15.0	15.000	4.0	4.0	4.0
<u>Wrangell</u>						
Administration	20.0	18.0	18.0	1.0	4.0	4.0
Schools	—	—	—	2.0	—	—
TOTAL	20.0	18.0	18.0	3.0	4.0	4.0

B. FIRST CLASS CITIES

<u>Eagle</u>						
Administration	10.0	10.0	10.0	—	—	—
TOTAL	10.0	10.0	10.0		- None -	
<u>Hoonah</u>						
Administration	—	—	—	1.0	2.0	2.0
Schools	—	—	—	1.0	—	—
TOTAL		- None -		2.0	2.0	2.0
<u>Kake</u>						
Administration	—	—	—	3.0	3.0	3.0
TOTAL		- None -		3.0	3.0	3.0

MUNICIPALITY	PROPERTY TAX			SALES TAX		
	1969	1970	1971	1969	1970	1971
<u>Klawock</u> Administration	—	—	—	<u>2.0</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>2.0</u>
TOTAL		- None -		2.0	2.0	2.0
<u>Nenana</u> Administration	10.0	10.0	2.0	2.0		
Schools	—	—	<u>8.0</u>	—	<u>2.0</u>	<u>2.0</u>
TOTAL	10.0	10.0	10.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
<u>Nome</u> Administration	9.7	16.7	17.7	3.0	3.0	3.0
Schools	<u>12.3</u>	<u>5.3</u>	<u>6.3</u>	—	—	—
TOTAL	22.0	22.0	24.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
<u>Skagway</u> Administration	20.0	11.20	15.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Schools	—	<u>3.80</u>	—	—	—	—
TOTAL	20.0	15.0	15.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
<u>Unalaska</u> Administration	20.0	6.1	9.3		1.5	2.0
Schools	—	<u>2.0</u>	—	<u>2.0</u>	<u>.5</u>	—
TOTAL	20.0	8.1	9.3	2.0	2.0	2.0
C. SECOND CLASS CITIES						
<u>Craig</u> Administration	15.0	14.0	14.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Schools	—	—	—	<u>1.0</u>	<u>1.0</u>	<u>1.0</u>
TOTAL	15.0	14.0	14.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
<u>Dillingham</u> Administration	16.0	18.5	17.0			
Schools	5.0	1.0	.5	2.0	2.0	2.0
Debt Service	—	—	<u>1.0</u>	—	—	—
TOTAL	21.0	19.5	18.5	2.0	2.0	2.0
<u>Hydaburg</u> Administration	—	—	—	<u>3.0</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>3.0</u>
TOTAL		- None -		3.0	3.0	3.0

<u>MUNICIPALITY</u>	<u>PROPERTY TAX</u>			<u>SALES TAX</u>		
	<u>1969</u>	<u>1970</u>	<u>1971</u>	<u>1969</u>	<u>1970</u>	<u>1971</u>
<u>King Cove</u>						
Administration	<u>10.0</u>	<u>10.0</u>	<u>10.0</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>2.0</u>
TOTAL	10.0	10.0	10.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
<u>Pelican</u>						
Administration	<u>15.4</u>	<u>15.4</u>	<u>15.4</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>2.0</u>
TOTAL	15.4	15.4	15.4	2.0	2.0	2.0
<u>Saint Mary's</u>						
Administration	—	—	—	—	—	—
		- None -			- None -	
<u>Yakutat</u>						
Administration	13.0	5.0	5.0	2.0	.5	2.0
Schools	—	<u>10.0</u>	<u>10.0</u>	—	<u>1.5</u>	—
TOTAL	13.0	15.0	15.0	2.0	2.0	2.0

D. FOURTH CLASS CITIES

<u>CITY</u>	<u>SALES TAX (1971)</u>
Akiak	NA *
Akolmiut	None
Alakanuk	None
Ambler	NA
Anaktuvik Pass	None
Anderson	3%
Angoon	2%
Anvik	None
Barrow	3%
Bethel	2%
Brevig Mission	NA
Buckland	1.5%
Chevak	2%
Clark's Point	NA
Deering	4%
Delta Junction	None
Donnelly	None
Eek	NA
Elim	2%
Emmonak	2%
Fort Yukon	2%
Fortuna Ledge	NA
Galena	NA
Gambell	None

* No information available as of publication date

CITYSALES TAX (1971)

Golovin	NA
Goodnews Bay	2%
Grayling	None
Holy Cross	None
Hooper Bay	2%
Huslia	None
Kaktovik	NA
Kaltag	None
Kiana	None
Kivalina	2%
Kotlik	2%
Kotzebue	2%
Koyuk	2%
Little Diomede	NA
Lower Kalskag	None
Manokotak	2%
Mekoryuk	1%
Mountain Village	2%
Napakiak	NA
Napaskiak	NA
Newhalen	NA
Nickolai	NA
Noorvik	2%
Nulato	2%
Old Harbor **	None
Ouzinkie **	3%
Pilot Station	None
Point Hope	2%
Port Lions **	None
Russian Mission	NA
Saint Michael	None
Sand Point	3%
Savoonga	3%
Scammon Bay	2%
Selawik	1%
Shageluk	NA
Shaktoolik	2%
Shishmaref	1%
Shungnak	2%
Stebbins	1%
Tanana	1%
Teller	3%
Tenakee Springs	NA
The Aleut Community of Saint Paul Island	NA
Togiak	None
Tuluksak	3%
Wainwright	2%
Wales	1%
White Mountain	1%
Whittier	None

** Situated within Kodiak Island Borough



CITY OF VALDEZ

P. O. BOX 506
PHONE: 835-4313

April 6, 1972

Mike Miller, Representative
State House of Representatives
Pouch V
Juneau, Alaska 99801

Dear Mike:

Both of the subject bills are a further deterioration of the municipal tax base. All citizens benefit equally from the public investment. "Poor" people, i.e., "low income people" make equal demand for public water, sewer, city streets, police, fire, library, etcetera, as the other more fortunate members of our society who are willing to work. These more fortunate persons are already paying a lion's share of everything from the Federal Government to local municipalities. They pay for the welfare, the food stamps, the public education, etc., etc., etc.


The cost of administering these bills by the retail merchants will be fantastic. The merchant already resents having to collect and keep records of taxable sales. Municipalities experience great difficulty in verifying sales tax returns due to the multiplicity of accounting procedures.

In a small city such as Valdez, the cost of trying to account for the exemptions will cost more than the funds lost.

Once again, it appears that our "Welfare State" is trying to go overboard to benefit the least productive members of our community to the detriment of the productive citizens.

I urge you to oppose both House Bill 285 and 286.

Very truly yours,


Herbert W. Lehfeldd
City Manager

HWL/my

TELEGRAM

RCA ALASKA COMMUNICATIONS, INC

PHONE: 586-6440

JUNEAU, ALASKA 99801

EQA059 NL PDF

1972 APR 15 AM 7 59

TDAN DILLINGHAM ALASKA 14

REP MIKE MILLER

JUN
1083

PASSAGE OF HB235 FOOD SALES EXEMPTION AND HB236 RENTAL
TAX EXEMPTION WOULD RESULT IN APPROXIMATELY \$2,100
LOSS IN REVENUE ANNUALLY DILLINGHAM URGES YOUR DEFEAT
OF THESE BILLS.

ROLAND D LYNN CITY MANAGER CITY OF DILLINGHAM

HB235 HB236 \$2,100.

HB

290

**STATEMENTS SUPPORTING
THE PLANNING ASSOCIATION OF ALASKA POSITION PAPER ON HB 290**

House Bill 290 was introduced during the first session of the Seventh Alaska Legislature by the House Commerce Committee. The proposed bill is "an Act relating to land subdivision." The basic content of HB 290 redefines the term "subdivision".

The major differences between the existing definition of subdivision and that provided in HB 290 is that the proposed definition excludes certain types of land division from governmental regulations -- regulations that are necessary if Southeastern Alaska is to develop its urban areas in an orderly and economic manner.

The following portion of proposed HB 290 defines those types of land division that would be exempt from local governmental examination:

". . . , land that is divided into four or fewer parcels, tracts, lots or other divisions which have access to a public highway or street, provides street and utility easements to all contiguous parcels and can be described by aliquot parts description, is not a subdivision."

It is the feeling of this planning department that problems concerning the division of land should be handled at the local level. The vast differences in the physical characteristics throughout Alaska warrant that local governments deal with the problem. Legislation of this type at the State level would require such refinement and detail that the end result would be comparable to subdivision regulations adopted by many local governments. If such a bill was to be initiated at the State level, it would be necessary to first conduct extensive studies of the various regions throughout Alaska. No one bill could be reasonable for the various regions unless that bill took into consideration the physical and economic problems of land division for each individual region.

The Ketchikan Gateway Borough has attempted to solve the problems of land division by developing and adopting Ordinance No. 100 (An Ordinance of The Assembly of the Ketchikan Gateway Borough Adopting Subdivision and Resubdivision Regulations for the Ketchikan Gateway Borough.)

These locally adopted regulations are designed to solve the specific land division problems of the Ketchikan Gateway Borough. They take into consideration not only the physical characteristics of the area but also the economics involved with land division and growth patterns. There are built-in flexibilities which provide economic advantages to the developer as well as local governments.

The Ketchikan Gateway Borough recognizes the high cost of developing land in Southeastern Alaska. It is also aware of the necessity for local government to review and approve land divisions so as to avoid unnecessary costs to the public in the future. As a result of this knowledge, the following sections and subsections were incorporated into Ordinance 100 to provide flexibility to the developer as well as other individuals.

Section 49.20.030 c. Exceptions The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to:

1. The sale or exchange of parcels of land between owners of adjoining property if additional lots are not thereby created and the lots resulting are not reduced below the minimum sizes required by this ordinance or other applicable laws or ordinances.

Section 49.20.040 Platting Procedures and Requirements

c. Preliminary Plat:

- 1.d. Plats of less than three (3) lots may have topographic features, at 10' contours or spot elevations, included on subdivision map. All other plats are required to submit at least one (1) separate topographic map of contours at 5' intervals.

Section 49.20.070. Subdivision Created by Successive Divisions

A. Successive Divisions

1. Assessor's Plat: Where it is not practical to require that a final plat of subdivision created by successive divisions be filed in accordance with this ordinance, the Borough may, in lieu thereof, order an assessor's plat to be made and may assess the cost thereof as provided in the Borough Ordinances, or to the subdivider.

Section 49.20.100 Variances

When in the judgment of the Platting Board, it would be a detriment to future growth and development, or in opposition to sound planning principals to apply literally a provision of this chapter because extraordinary hardship would result, the Borough Assembly, after recommendation from the Platting Board, may waive or vary such provisions so that substantial justice may be done and the public interest secured, provided that in no event shall the requirement of filing and recording the plat or survey be waived, except as provided by Alaska Statutes 40.15.110.

In making its findings, as required herein below, the Platting Board shall take into account the nature of the proposed use of land and the existing use of land in the vicinity, the number of persons to reside or work in the proposed subdivision and the probable effect of the proposed subdivision upon traffic

in the vicinity. No variance shall be granted unless the Platting Board finds:

1. That there are special physical circumstances or conditions affecting said property.
2. That the strict application of the provisions of this ordinance would deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of his land.

In conjunction with the Subdivision Regulations, the Borough Planning Department and City Engineering Department are developing an ordinance to present to the Borough Assembly and the City Council. Said Ordinance will define and provide measures to protect the natural drainage areas within the populated areas of the Borough.

The adoption of Subdivision Regulations by the Ketchikan Gateway Borough has also lessened the problem of errors in property descriptions. References to approved plats rather than metes and bounds descriptions on deeds has contributed to the lessening of these types of errors. In the Ketchikan area, approximately one out of every five deeds reviewed by the planning department, contained some error in the legal description. The reviewing process has practically eliminated errors of this type.

In conclusion, the Ketchikan Gateway Borough Planning Department is in complete support of the position taken by P.A.A. It further urges that HB 290, as proposed, not be approved for the above-stated reasons.

Lawrence H. Kimball
Planning Director
Ketchikan Gateway Borough

in **OPPOSITION**
TO **290**
HOUSE BILL

PLANNING ASSOCIATION OF ALASKA

PLANNING ASSOCIATION OF ALASKA

PAA

February 3, 1972

The Honorable Mike Miller
Chairman
Local Government Committee
House of Representatives
Box 1494
Juneau, Alaska 99801

Re: House Bill 290


Dear Chairman Miller:

On behalf of the Planning Association of Alaska, we would like to present to the Local Government Committee the attached paper outlining the PAA's opposition to House Bill 290. We are also sending copies to all the members of your Committee, and to other state and local officials.

We understand that there will be a Public Hearing on this proposed House Bill in Juneau, on Thursday, February 10. We anticipate that the following members of the Planning Association will be in Juneau to testify on the Bill: Ralph Darbyshire, Planning Director, Kenai Peninsula Borough; Tom Graham, Planning Director, Matanuska-Susitna Borough; Don Gilmer, Planning Director, Fairbanks-North Star Borough; Vern Wiggins, Planning Director, Greater Anchorage Area Borough; and myself. We would appreciate being notified of the exact time and place of the Hearing.

We hope the information provided in this paper will be of assistance to your Committee. Should you or any other members have any questions or require further information on this subject, please do not hesitate to contact me or any of the others mentioned above.

Very truly yours,


Michael R. Gallagher
President
Planning Association of Alaska

MRG:md

PLEASE REPLY TO

c/o Tryck, Nyman & Hayes
740 I Street
Anchorage, Alaska 99501

PREFACE

During the First Session of the Seventh Alaska Legislature, the House Commerce Committee introduced HB 290, An Act Redefining the Term "Subdivision" as follows:

"Subdivision means the division of land into two or more parcels, tracts, lots, or other divisions and includes resubdivision; however, land that is divided into four or fewer parcels, tracts, or lots or other divisions which have access to a public highway or street, provides street and utility easements to all contiguous parcels and can be described by aliquot parts description, is not a subdivision."

HB 290 was subsequently referred to the House Commerce and House Local Government Committees. The House Commerce Committee has reported a do-pass on the bill and the House Local Government Committee has held the bill over for public hearing during the Second Session of the Seventh Legislature.

In recognition of the pending hearings on this proposal and the nearly unanimous opposition to this bill by local governmental officials, planning commissioners and professional planners, the PAA has prepared the following position paper in opposition to the passage of HB 290. It is the PAA's hope that the problems inherent in HB 290 and outlined in this paper, will provide the information necessary to convince the legislature to defeat this bill.

SUMMARY

Land subdivision is the chief process through which the physical development of communities and demand patterns for public services are established. The subdivision regulatory authority is based on the proposition that the community, through the democratic institutions of government, has the right and responsibility to insure that the subdivision and development within its boundaries is carried out in a manner that will insure the health, safety and economic welfare of its population, both now and in the foreseeable future.

Historically, the lack of control over the land subdivision process has resulted in unnecessary problems that have cost taxpayers millions of dollars to correct. This lack of control has resulted, in part, from statutory definitions of subdivision which have exempted many land divisions from the review of local platting authorities. To correct this situation, most states have moved, or are moving, to amend their respective definitions of subdivision, and to give to platting authorities the needed control over the land subdivision process in their communities.

The Alaska Statutes now provide local platting authorities with the power to review all subdivision activities. HB 290 proposes to remove certain land subdivisions from the review of those platting

authorities. Thus, if passed, the bill would place the State of Alaska in the unique position of moving away from the level of public protection other states are striving to achieve.

The redefinition of subdivision as contained in House Bill 290 is destructive of all the values both to the public and to the purchasers which platting regulations serve. Specifically,

HB 290 would permit the following:

- ★ - DRASTIC STATEWIDE ANSWER TO A LOCAL PROBLEM*
- ★* -- Pre-emption of local government authority to govern the subdivision of all land within its boundaries
 - Hinderance of local platting authorities from providing for the normal and continued extension of streets and utilities to serve the growth and development of the community
 - Creation of a loophole which encourages evasion of subdivision regulations
 - ★* -- Reduction in the level of local protection to the unwary land purchaser
 - Discrimination against those who must plat their subdivision as a requirement for financing, insurance, etc.
 - Reduction in the capability of local platting authorities to enforce their own regulations
 - ★* -- Continued subdivision and resubdivision of land with no minimum lot size
 - Creation of physically land-locked subdivisions, arbitrary lot alignments, subdivision of flood plains or geologically hazardous areas, and subdivision without regard for topography, drainage or use.

★ The PAA believes that the problems which brought about this bill should be resolved by respective platting authorities at the local

level, through the use of state and local waiver provisions. The primary advantage of the waiver section (AS 40.15.110), in comparison with the intent of HB 290, is that it places the platting authority in a position to review all subdivision activity, and to deny waivers which do not comply with the limitations set out in the exemption.

PURPOSE OF SUBDIVISION REGULATION

As land is subdivided, provisions for streets, water, sewer, electric and other utilities must be made; space for schools, parks and other public facilities must be provided; and a host of existing and future service obligations such as police and fire protection and street maintenance are initiated. The permanence of these improvements and the financial liabilities assumed by cities and boroughs providing these improvements and services has a definite and lasting impact on a community.

The purpose of the platting authority and subdivision regulations are to allow local governments to review all proposed land divisions and assure that adequate street and utility right-of-ways are provided, lots are created which are suitable for the sanitary operation of sewage disposal facilities and generally accepted subdivision design standards are met. Furthermore, subdivision regulations provide the means to assure that accurate

property descriptions are recorded and subsequent court disputes avoided. In other words, the objective of subdivision regulation is to assure that subdivided land and the resultant improvements and service requirements constitute a permanent asset to a community and provide a maximum degree of health safety and convenience consistent with true economy.

HISTORY OF SUBDIVISION CONTROL

Any attempt to trace the contemporary impetus towards subdivision regulation leads back to the early 1920's when speculation in the development and sale of land reached its apex in California and Florida. Not only were land prices beyond reason during this period but the subdivisions were usually poorly designed and lots were being sold in unbuildable flood plains and steep slope areas. When the boom died, hundreds of subdivisions, some devoid of improvements, remained as evidence of poor subdivision practices. Assessment districts, which had been formed to pay for improvements, defaulted on their bonds creating tremendous financial burdens on municipalities responsible for such. Furthermore, in subsequently correcting mistakes, the public has spent millions of dollars to widen and straighten out street right-of-ways, provide water and sewer systems to undersized lots and otherwise overcome the handicaps of poor subdivision design practices.

A few states, through legislative authorization restricting the control of subdivision, continue to permit a great deal of subdivision activity beyond the purview of local governments. This is usually accomplished by defining a subdivision as the division of property into more than three or four parcels of land and continues to precipitate urbanization problems and expenses for existing and future generations. Fortunately, during recent years, more and more of these states have moved to rectify the situation by redefining the term subdivision much as presently defined by Alaska law.

HB 290

The basic differences between the existing definition of subdivision and that provided in HB 290 is the exemption of the following types of land divisions from local governmental scrutiny:

"..., land that is divided into four or fewer parcels, tracts, lots or other divisions which have access to a public highway or street, provides street and utility easements to all contiguous parcels and can be described by aliquot parts description, is not a subdivision."

If such proposal is adopted, the State of Alaska will find itself in the curious position of moving away from the level of subdivision control other states have and are moving toward. Furthermore, there can be no doubt that local governments in Alaska can expect to be forced into financing the solution to many urbanization problems which could otherwise have been prevented.

Specifically, some of the more obvious problems with the proposed bill are described as follows:

The chief defect of the bill is inherent in the approach taken by redefining subdivision. To put it simply, if a division of land is not a subdivision within the meaning of the Act, there is no requirement that the platting authority be informed so that it may determine whether the requirements of the exemption have been complied with. In effect, this means that whatever restrictions are written into such an exemption from subdivision are unenforceable as a practical matter.

The most direct impact is on the purchaser of improperly subdivided land, since he directly suffers from inadequate access or access which creates a traffic safety hazard, from lack of acceptable provision of utilities, from arbitrary lot alignments because of arbitrary descriptions that conform only to a map not to the ground and from the frequent conveyancing errors which inevitably arise in the absence of meaningful regulations.

By removing the approval authority from local governments, land could be subdivided and development initiated on known flood plains or geologically hazardous areas. Furthermore, the means to assure accurate property descriptions are recorded and subsequent court disputes avoided would be seriously impaired.

The purchaser has no reasonable methods of determining, for example, whether the Deed he is to receive is to the land he thinks he is buying, or if it is the first, the third, or the twenty fifth subdivision of a given parcel of land. Since the conveyances come to the attention of the platting authority, if at all, only after a very substantial time lapse when they are picked up on the Assessor's records, the platting authority has no method of enforcing compliance other than a slap on the wrist.

This definition gives the subdivider whose only interest may be in disposing of land regardless of consequences, a substantial financial advantage over a subdivider who is concerned with developing land to best utilize its topography

with due regard to traffic, lot sizes, street layouts, and the other essentials of a good subdivision. It strongly encourages what is probably the worst form of land subdivision, that is, haphazard, random sales of lots located within a larger parcel simply as buyers become available, with no thought for orderly development of the parcel. There is no limitation to preclude repeated subdivision of the same parcel of property. Under this circumstance it would be quite legal to divide one parcel of land not into just four lots but into 16, 64, etc.

The language "access to a public highway" is unclear. It could be interpreted to mean either fronting on, or, indirect access by some other means, regardless of distance or type. The provision totally ignores the public's interest and the purchaser's interest in streets laid out in such a pattern and in such width that reasonable traffic safety may be obtained. Any easement, regardless of its adequacy, which is labeled "for street and utility purposes" complies with the requirement for exemption.

The statement "provides street and utility easements to all contiguous parcels" doesn't delineate any minimum size or locational standards. This is precisely the situation that has required huge and unnecessary state and local governmental expenditures in condemnation proceedings to gain the required easements in the right location. In addition, this language makes it unclear that parcels cut off from the street will be insured access.

The phrase, "...can be described by aliquot parts description..." is totally meaningless as a limitation. Any given one-foot by one-foot piece of land which lies within the government system of rectangular surveys, U.S. surveys, mineral surveys, previously platted lots, or any other previously recorded subdivision of land can be described with an aliquot parts description. Although the most frequent fractions used in aliquot parts descriptions are quarters and halves, there is no implication within the term "aliquot parts" that these are the only fractions usable. Thirds, tenths, and fifths, are frequently used and any other fraction which may be usable to arrive at the parcel of land desired would be permissible.

Land could and would, by nature of the aliquot parts provision, be subdivided without consideration to the physical or topographic characteristics of the property involved.