

SCOMM

75:16

FISH FARMS A THREAT TO COLD-BLOOD BROTHERS

BY

R. I. "ANIMAL RIGHTS" ELIASON

FISH FARMING IS A HEINOUS ACTIVITY SIMILAR IN ITS CRUELTY TO THE USE OF ANIMALS IN THE SICK EXPERIMENTS WITH ANIMALS DONE BY THE MANUFACTURERS OF COSMETICS AND HOUSEHOLD CLEANING PRODUCTS, OR THE RAISING OF ANIMALS FOR FUR.

FISH ARE GOD'S CREATURES, TOO, JUST LIKE YOU AND ME, AND WE MUST PROTECT THEM FROM A FATE WORSE THAN DEATH, A TORTURED LIFE OF FORCED DRUG USE, CONFINEMENT IN FILTHY, OVERCROWDED PENS, BEING FORCE FED PELLETS MADE FROM THE DESSICATED BODIES OF YOUR FINFISH BROTHERS, DOOMED TO A LIFE OF CAPTIVITY DOWN ON THE FARM UNTIL THE DAY COMES...

WHEN THE POOR CREATURE IS VICIOUSLY SLAUGHTERED AND GUTTED, ITS BLOODY ENTRAILS STREWN ACROSS A WAREHOUSE FLOOR, ITS EYES OPEN AND GLAZED FOREVER IN A SILENT ACCUSATION.

WE MUST PREVENT THIS SENSELESS PERVERSION! BAN FISH FARMING! AND IF YOU SEE WOMEN IN THE STREET CARRYING SALMON LEATHER PURSES, STOP THEM AND LET THEM KNOW THAT A VIOLENT CRIME HAS BEEN COMMITTED JUST TO SATISY THEIR LUST FOR FISH SKIN.

STOP FISH FARMING! STOP FISH FARMING! SAVE OUR FISHY FRIENDS!

ALASKA STATE LEGISLATURE · SENATE

SENATOR RICHARD I. ELIASON

LABOR & COMMERCE COMMITTEE, CHAIRMAN

RESOURCES COMMITTEE

RULES COMMITTEE

SPECIAL COMMITTEE ON HIGH SEAS
SALMON INTERCEPTION

SELECT COMMITTEE ON
LEGISLATIVE ETHICS



P.O. BOX 143
SITKA, ALASKA 99835

P.O. BOX V
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99811
(907) 465-4916

FAX (907) 465-4928

**** PRESS RELEASE ** FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE ** PRESS RELEASE ****

ELIASON PROPOSES LAW TO BAN COMMERCIAL FISH FARMING

JAN. 19, 1989. Senator Dick Eliason (R-Sitka) today introduced legislation to prohibit commercial finfish farming in Alaska.

"It's clear to me that the serious risks to Alaska and our fishery resources far outweigh any potential benefits from finfish farming. We stand to lose much more than we could ever gain," Eliason explained. "In my view it would be highly irresponsible to allow it. Quite a few of my colleagues seem to agree that the time has come to make a final determination on this and get on with other issues," he said, referring to co-sponsorship of the bill by many Senate members.

Prior to introducing the legislation, Senator Eliason studied the final report of the Finfish Farming Task Force, a non-legislative group which released its final recommendations to the legislature earlier this week. One of the report's recommendations is that the legislature act to resolve the finfish farming issue, rather than extending the current moratorium.

The question of whether to allow the commercial farming of finfish has plagued the state Legislature for several years. Two consecutive moratoriums temporarily prohibiting finfish farming have been enacted, the last of which expires July 1 of this year.

###

ALASKA STATE LEGISLATURE · SENATE

SENATOR RICHARD I. ELIASON

LABOR & COMMERCE COMMITTEE, CHAIRMAN
RESOURCES COMMITTEE
RULES COMMITTEE
SPECIAL COMMITTEE ON HIGH SEAS
SALMON INTERCEPTION
SELECT COMMITTEE ON
LEGISLATIVE ETHICS



P.O. BOX 143
SITKA, ALASKA 99835

P.O. BOX V
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99811
(907) 465-4916

FAX (907) 465-4928

1/19/90

SETTLING THE FISH FARMING DEBATE:

NOW IS THE TIME TO SAY "NO"

Senator Dick Eliason

After four years of discussion on the subject of mariculture, the time has come for the Legislature to end the debate on fish farming and resolve the matter once and for all.

The grave problems associated with fish farms operating in other states and countries, the testimony of witnesses from Ketchikan to Bristol Bay expressing staunch opposition to the legalization of fish farm operations, and the findings and recommendations contained in the recently released report of the Finfish Farming Task Force have led me to introduce legislation prohibiting commercial finfish farming in Alaska.

Several years ago, when the issue of fish farming was first raised, the Legislature recognized the need to proceed slowly and withhold action until more information could be gathered. We have enacted two consecutive moratoriums on finfish farming and in 1988 called for a non-legislative task force to examine the key issues pertaining to finfish farming in Alaska and providing a well-balanced report to the legislature. The group, which completed its work this month, has done a commendable job of laying out the pertinent facts, noting several possible benefits and some serious risks, and leaves

to the legislature the disposition of the issue. One of the main conclusions in the report is that the legislature should not simply extend the current moratorium on finfish farming (expiring in July), but should instead enact a law either allowing or prohibiting finfish farming.

The legislation I introduced, Senate Bill 397, reflects the concerns addressed in the task force report. In evaluating the costs and benefits of fish farming identified in the report, it seems apparent to me that the serious risks outweigh any potential benefits. These risks include the spread of disease among wild fish by farmed fish, genetic intermingling of wild fish stocks with farmed fish unsuited to survival in the wild, degradation of water quality near finfish farms, and land use conflicts over farm siting. The report acknowledges that these potential threats to Alaska's valuable fishery resources and the environment can never be eliminated if fish farming is permitted, they can only be minimized.

Minimizing the risks would be accomplished largely through state regulation, which would necessitate a substantial expenditure of public funds. I don't believe the public would support such an expenditure when there it is unlikely that a fish farming industry can succeed here, and nobody can guarantee the absolute protection of wild fish stocks upon which commercial fishing, subsistence harvests, recreational fishing, and increasing sportfish-based tourism depend.

Although the proposed ban on finfish farming is necessitated by the legislature's responsibility to protect Alaska's natural resources, I have some additional personal concerns. The proponents of finfish farming speak of "employment" and "economic development." The truth is that fish farms employ very few people, approximately 3 people per farm. Is that worth risking harm to resources which currently support, among other things, Alaska's commercial fishing industry: our largest employer and second only to "Big Oil" in terms of its value to the state in dollars? Furthermore, I am concerned about the fact that most of the private sector investment in any finfish farming industry in Alaska will not be by Alaskans. Even if the industry were to make a profit here, much of it would leave the state.

We are fortunate to have resisted jumping into such a volatile enterprise -- lucky that during our moratoriums we have been able to learn from others' mistakes. We have seen genetics and disease problems cropping up at Norwegian fish farms, water contamination problems in Washington, and some of British Columbia's leading fish farms on the brink of bankruptcy, due in part to a glut of farmed salmon on the world market requiring many to sell below cost.

A couple of other good things emerged from the extended debate stimulated by the proposal of mariculture legislation. The question of shellfish and sea vegetable cultivation was divided from the fish farming issue during the course of legislative work on the issue. This has, in turn, given rise

to a fledgling industry to which Alaska has given both its blessing and the benefit of a comprehensive regulatory process.

Another positive aspect of the long discussion on the issue was the great degree of public participation which was achieved. Public awareness of the implications of launching a fish-farming industry in Alaska led to the expression of concern, and finally staunch opposition from the majority of Alaskans interested in the issue.

In response to all that we have learned, the responsible course of action is for the legislature to now move swiftly in adopting legislation prohibiting finfish farming and thus settling the debate once and for all.

BY SEN. ELIASON, Jones, Kerttula, Zharoff, Duncan, Adams, Binkley, Coghill, Faiks, Fischer, Halford, Kelly, Rodey, Szymanski, Uehling

IN THE SENATE

SENATE BILL NO. 397

IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

SIXTEENTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

A BILL

For an Act entitled: "An Act prohibiting finfish farming; and providing for an effective date."

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

* Section 1. FINDINGS. Based on a legislative examination of the potential effects of allowing finfish farming in the state on the common property resources and on the overall economic well-being of the state; the number of serious concerns associated with finfish farming and the need for study of finfish farming that has caused the legislature to enact two moratoriums on finfish farming and establish a nonlegislative task force to study the issue and to report its findings and recommendations to the legislature; a review of the final report of the Alaska Finfish Farming Task Force which notes several possible benefits and some serious risks of finfish farming; the need for the legislature to take action before the current moratorium on finfish farming expires on July 1, 1990; the recommendation of the task force that the legislature not extend the moratorium, but make a final determination to either allow or prohibit finfish farming; and the testimony and evidence received; the legislature finds that

(1) the state has the healthiest stocks of wild salmon and other wild finfish in the world and benefits from thriving commercial, sport, and subsistence fisheries for these fish and a growing tourism industry related to sport fishing;

(2) the people, economy, and environment of the state are dependent in large measure upon the continued health of the state's wild finfish

resources;

(3) serious risks are posed by commercial finfish farming, including the spread of disease among wild fish by farmed fish, genetic intermingling of wild fish stocks with genetically manipulated farmed fish, degradation of water quality near finfish farms, and land use conflicts over the siting of commercial finfish farms;

(4) the state has invested significantly in marketing efforts to promote Alaskan finfish as wild and natural fish products, and this investment in developing the reputation of Alaskan finfish would be lost by allowing commercially farmed finfish to be produced and marketed from Alaska;

(5) the cost to the state to regulate the commercial finfish farming industry would be high;

(6) few jobs would be generated by a commercial finfish farming industry in the state;

(7) the state is responsible for ensuring the protection and wise use of the renewable natural resources of Alaska and providing a framework for a sound economy;

(8) a long-term decision must be made regarding the future of commercial finfish farming in the state;

(9) avoiding harm to the state's wild finfish, land, and water resources must take precedence over the development of a new speculative and potentially harmful commercial finfish farming industry;

(10) the best interests of the state are served by prohibiting commercial finfish farming.

* Sec. 2. AS 16.40 is amended by adding a new section to read:

Sec. 16.40.210. FINFISH FARMING PROHIBITED. (a) A person may not grow or cultivate finfish in captivity or under positive control for commercial purposes.

- 1 (b) This section does not apply to
- 2 (1) the fishery rehabilitation, enhancement, or development
- 3 activities of the department;
- 4 (2) nonprofit corporations that hold permits under AS 16.-
- 5 10.400;
- 6 (3) the incidental sale of finfish held for scientific or
- 7 educational purposes under a permit issued by the department;
- 8 (4) rearing and sale of finfish for aquariums or ornamental
- 9 ponds.

10 * Sec. 3. This Act takes effect July 1, 1990.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

Kellus Sewell
Marketing Director

**Sea Farm
Washington Inc.**
*A Member of the
Sea Farm Group*

Office: 206-452-6244
Telefax: 206-452-4061
Telex 289195 SFONUR

P.O. Box 1478
Port Angeles, WA 98362

Grown in the USA



Farmed Atlantic salmon from Norway first appeared in the U.S. market during the winter of 1980. Almost immediately, buyers and consumers came to appreciate its convenience, availability and quality.

If this could be done from Norway, how much better could it be done on the market's doorstep in America? In 1984, SEA FARM WASHINGTON decided to find out.

The cool, clean waters of Washington State provide an ideal rearing environment. Excellent freight connections from Seattle mean that our fish can be delivered anywhere in the country within 36 hours of harvest.

The result, a superior salmon which has won acclaim from our distributors and some of America's most discerning connoisseurs. According to our distributors it's excellent color, firm texture and delicate taste provides unsurpassed quality.

From the moment they hatch from the egg, to the time they are carefully harvested, our fish are grown with quality in mind by highly dedicated people. We use the best feeds and the most up-to-date farming methods. Like producing fine wine, the process takes time. Three years in fact, but at the end we have a product worthy of the SEA FARM name.

Call me. Kellus Sewell, Marketing Director.

SEA FARM WASHINGTON

P.O. Box 1478, Port Angeles, WA 98362
Tele: 206/452-6244 Fax: 206/452-4061

Corporate Offices:

Sea Farm, Inc.
8 Sound Shore Drive
P.O. Box 2300
Greenwich, Connecticut 06836
Telephone: (203) 625-3900
Telex: 96-5944, 96-5970
Telecopier: (203) 661-7695

Sea Farm Washington, Inc.
P.O. Box 1478
662-E East Front Street
Port Angeles, Washington 98362
Telephone: (206) 452-6244
Telex: 289195
Telecopier: (206) 452-4061

Sea Farm Canada Inc. (Eastern Operations)
P.O. Box 2030
Saint John, New Brunswick
Canada E2L 3T5
Telephone: (506) 633-5897
Telecopier: (506) 633-0608

Sea Farm Canada Inc. (Western Operations)
1363 Spruce Street
Campbell River, British Columbia
Canada V9W 3L6
Telephone: (604) 286-3532
Telecopier: (604) 286-1062

Sea Farm Lubec Inc.
P.O. Box 779
Lubec, Maine 04652
Telephone: (207) 733-2458

Ferme Marine de Douhet
BP 4
17840 La Bree Les Bains
France
Telephone: (33) 46 76 58 42
Telex: 792230
Telecopier: 46 76 59 81

Tinamenor S.A.
Pesues
39594 Cantabria
Spain
Telephone: (34) 42 71 71 30
Telex: 35695

Sea Farm Florida
5600 Old Dixie Highway
Fort Pierce, Florida 34946
Telephone: (305) 466-5439
Telex: 522886
Telecopier: (305) 465-2446

Sea Farm California
P.O. Box 99
Herald, California 95638
Telephone: (209) 748-5884

Sea Farm Biotechnologies Inc.
8 Sound Shore Drive
P.O. Box 2300
Greenwich, Connecticut 06836
Telephone: (203) 625-3900
Telex: 96-5944, 96-5970
Telecopier: (203) 661-7695



The
most
important
words
you need
to know
about
aquaculture...



Sea Farm Washington

A member of the Sea Farm Group

Diversity, Quality, and Personal Service.

SEA FARM INC. comprises a growing group of companies in Europe and North America, specializing in high quality aquaculture products. In North America our superior Atlantic salmon can be provided throughout the year from the West and East coasts of the United States and Canada. Also available in increasing quantities are White Sturgeon from California and Montana and, little neck clams and oysters from Florida.

SEA FARM WASHINGTON, one of the largest producers of salmon in North America, specializes in raising large Atlantic salmon over 6 pounds and averaging at least 9 pounds.

We take great pride in personalizing our service to meet your requirements and producing a truly superior Atlantic salmon. And it's grown in the USA!

We are proud of our quality and service.



We Love Our Salmon!



SEA FARM WASHINGTON'S site at Port Angeles is overlooked by the snow-capped Olympic Mountains, near the point where the Straits of Juan de Fuca meet the Pacific Ocean. Approximately 1 million pounds of salmon are raised here annually.



The Hatchery – The beginning and a key to eventual success.

Smolts – young, saltwater-ready salmon are produced at SEA FARM'S Rochester, Washington hatchery. Built in 1985, this state-of-the-art Atlantic salmon hatchery, produces up to 600,000 smolts per year. The hatchery utilizes pure well water which allows precise control at this critical early rearing stage.



Clean Water + Care + The Best Nutrition = Quality

Our Atlantics are always active, signifying happy, healthy salmon. Their life is pampered. Just one example is our attention to their feeding requirements. They are hand fed a special diet to ensure a rich, superior flavor. During the harvest they are bled quickly, placed in soft walled tanks to avoid bruising and then carefully placed in a flake ice-water mix for a 5 minute trip to the processing plant.



Processing for Quality

Each salmon is handled with care at every stage. The coldest flake ice is used throughout the process. Fish are out of ice only when being cleaned or hand graded for size. Each of our salmon is carefully packed in insulated boxes which maintain temperatures of 28° to 32° until they reach their destination.

The Anchorage Times

Alaskan owned and operated since 1915

Bill J. Allen, Publisher

Hugh Cunningham, Editor

William J. Tobin, Editor,
Editorial Page

Paul Jenkins, Managing Editor

Gene R. Arohan,
General Manager

Robert B. Atwood,
Publisher Emeritus

Our purpose: To present a balanced, accurate, impartial news report; to watchdog government and other institutions depending on the public for support; to provide wholesome family entertainment; and to support on our editorial page environmentally sound development of our natural resources and a diversity of other economic opportunities.

Putting on blinders

IN A different time and a different place, the 1990 edition of the Alaska Legislature may well have outlawed the horseless carriage and the flying machine. In more modern days, had this legislature been there, it surely would have banned the use of snow machines in Alaska — and outboard motors would never have replaced oars for those who fish for salmon on the Kenai River.

Let's say these lawmakers, given the chance, would have protected the canned salmon industry by making it illegal to freeze fish. And why let people cook in a modern oven when a pot over an outdoor grill will do the job?

These thoughts come to mind as a result of the recent legislature's commitment to the status quo and its rejection of modern marketing trends and lifestyles by its vote to outlaw salmon farming in Alaska.

No matter that other nations are beginning to eat Alaska's lunch by selling pen-reared salmon to eager markets around the world.

No matter that there is no evidence that properly managed aquaculture would endanger wild species and wild runs.

No matter anything. Commercial fish farming in Alaska is now permanently against the law.

IT'S HARD to imagine a more short-sighted and ludicrous move by the state to try to block progress and change.

Southeastern Alaska trolls, fearing for their livelihood, pushed this silly bill through the legislature in the final hours before adjournment earlier this month.

They overlooked the fact

that salmon farming already is being done in Alaska by the state itself, which rears salmon fry and then turns them loose in the ocean — thus guaranteeing a number of foreign fishermen a continued supply in their massive high seas fishing operations.

They also put on their blinders in an attempt not to see what is happening elsewhere in the world.

In Europe, in Latin America, on the East Coast and elsewhere salmon farming is racing forward and grabbing a major share of the world market.

And there's a reason for it that has nothing to do with the fact that Alaska salmon is still a wonderful product and a big attraction in the market place.

THE FACT is that pen-reared salmon is available year-round, in a reliable supply, at stable prices, in a size and form that makes it perfect for restaurants and food markets around the world.

And people are buying it by the ton.

To believe that commercial aquaculture operations are not compatible with continued commercial fishing for natural runs is simply not realistic.

To outlaw the one in hopes of saving the other is nothing but blind ignorance.

Norway is not going to stop fish farming just because the 1990 Alaska Legislature banned it here. Neither is Brazil. Neither are any of the other countries — or states — where this practice is not only catching on, but is becoming an economic bonanza.

Alaska may not like it, but it can't forever keep its commercial fisheries in the horse and buggy days.



Is achievement through merit

WASHINGTON — Robert Clark, dean of Harvard's law school, says the school is trying "to achieve greater diversity." Does that mean hiring conservatives? Hardly. At Harvard, the diversity that counts concerns biological not intellectual differences.

Derrick Bell, a tenured professor at the law school, says he will go on unpaid leave until a black woman becomes a tenured professor. (The school's 60 tenured professors include five white women and three black men.) Bell's act is another of the "diversity demands" that drive today's academic spoils system. Hispanics, Asians, homosexuals, the handicapped — all are heard from.

Jesse Jackson has, of course, been heard from, saying that blacks are insulted by "the gross insult" conveyed by the idea that "there is no African-American woman qualified for appointment to Harvard Law School." Actually, no one is saying that. However, Jackson is saying that prevailing standards of qualification are "archaic": "We cannot define who is qualified in the most narrow, vertical academic terms. Most people in the world are yellow, brown, black, poor, non-Christian. . . ." Therefore? Need you ask? The ugly truth is in the adjective "vertical."

The planted axiom is that a meritocracy is racist. But the rationales for racially based hiring are racist, and insulting to blacks, and subversive of the idea of a university.

One rationale is that Harvard's black students need black role models. Now, black students at Harvard are demonstrably privileged, well-launched on upward mobility. The last thing they (or any black youths) need is a role model whose hiring communicates the message that they are participants in a group entitlement to professional advancement. This entitlement mentality



George F. Will is a Pulitzer prize-winning columnist for the Washington Post.

itudes of victims, triggers in whites the instinct of condescension, and leads both to discount black achievements.

Such hirings cause "entitled" blacks to regard even benevolent institutions as enemies because victimhood seems to be, for privileged "victims," an unsuageable grievance.

Within the "role model" rationale for racial hiring lurks a degrading postulate: Black youths are disadvantaged unless taught by blacks because blacks are supposed to have derivative identities, derived from membership in a victimized group.

This postulate leads to the second racist rationale for racial hiring. It is intimated when Bell stresses that the "philosophies" of black academics are shaped by "life experiences" unknown to whites.

This theory of racially distinctive and automatically validated scholarship is a particularly slipshod and patently political exercise in the "sociology of knowledge." It argues — no, merely asserts — that all black scholars have had "experiences" that give them unique "perspectives" that whites are not qualified to question. Thus race is an intellectual credential. Bell says: "Race can create as legitimate a presumption as a judicial clerkship in filling a teaching position" regarding civil-rights law.

dering, carving exemption from is an academic gram (a cor mistake con where race ment to sc about judicial tion and oth questions. (T erate, for "th and "the gay in literature. n

One charm its proponents highly paid forfeiting the status of victimical stereotypes means for lit scholars reprehensive. I sive" version blacks are supposed, are told nized and cons lectual confor the mainstream. ture.

In a scalpel these intellectu Kennedy, writin Law Review, blacks, such as ing a "propriety study of race other matters. nedy, subversiv cosmopolitan munity in w merit is an " tion." Kennedy aggerating wh making ideas craftsmanship s cial status, E threaten "cultu lectual freedom autonomy."

Kennedy wa supposed guard ties, not to publi temperate arg the Balkanizing mind. It is disc the current mi of academic dis nalistically nec here that Kenn

Alaska Mariculture Association

TO: Senate Labor and Commerce Committee
FROM: Rodger Painter
DATE: April 18, 1990
RE: Upland Finfish Farming

The Alaska Mariculture Association (AMA) respectfully requests that you consider amending House Bill 432 to allow upland finfish farms. While there may be room for legitimate disagreements over the impacts of salmon net pen culture in marine waters, there's a great body of research and experience showing that the environmental and biological effects of upland fish farms are negligible. This conclusion was unanimously supported by the Alaska Finfish Farming Task Force.

Opponents of finfish farming have attempted to suggest that land-based farms can harm wild stocks through groundwater contamination. Often cited as an example is a report by the Washington Department of Ecology (DOE) which concluded that a 1989 fish kill in the Black River probably was caused by pollutants discharged from a land-based fish farm. What is not mentioned is that the report was thoroughly discredited by an independent group of state and federal scientists.

Attached is a critique of the DOE study by the Interagency Work Group on Fish Health, which is comprised of recognized experts in fish health issues. The review was prepared at the request of the Washington House Fisheries and Wildlife Committee. The group unanimously determined it was impossible to justify DOE's conclusions and that DOE erred in ruling out ambient river conditions as a cause of the kill.

The Alaska Department of Fish and Game should be provided with the authority to require upland farms to treat discharges to protect wild stocks on a case-by-case basis. ADFG already requires a few state hatcheries to treat wastewater discharges as a safeguard against transmission of pathogens to wild stocks. Indeed, ADFG's success in preventing disease transmission to wild stocks from hatcheries demonstrates the safety of upland fish farms.

HB 432 & SB 397 and Upland Finfish Farming in Alaska

House Bill 432 and its companion measure (SB 397) would impose a ban on all finfish farming activities. This is an unreasonable response to the concerns of fishing groups which have focused on the net pen rearing of salmon in the marine environment.

The Alaska Finfish Farming Task Force spent several months grappling with these concerns, and determined that net pen rearing of salmon can be regulated in a manner minimizing environmental and biological impacts. The task force also stated that upland finfish farms present few potential problems, and the impacts of marine culture of non-salmon species would be much less than salmon in net pens.

Upland fish farming virtually eliminates the potential for escapees and impacts on the genetic integrity of wild stocks. Likewise, the potential for transfer of disease from fish cultured in upland farms to wild stocks can be eliminated with proper treatment of wastewater discharges.

Why should operations such as the small-scale coho salmon farm in Fairbanks two years ago be banned? Under a narrow exception in the three-year moratorium on finfish farming, Andy and Pam Wescott of Fairbanks started farming in tanks in their workshop, using water from a private well and disposing of wastewater in the city sewer system. The broodstock came from surplus salmon returning to a local stream. The operation had no disease problems, and the director of the state's hatchery program said the fish were very healthy and were in excellent condition. The Wescotts were forced to close down their farm when the Board of Fisheries (six of seven members are commercial fishermen) refused to approve the required authorizing regulations.

There now are several arctic char farms in Canada including a freshwater tank farm in Whitehorse. The Mat-Su valley has tremendous aquifers and could be suitable for the culture of char, salmon or trout. Should the residents of the Mat-Su and other Alaskans be denied these economic development opportunities? What are the objections to these land-based aquatic farms? Are there valid public policy reasons to prevent an environmentally and biologically sound industry?

The fishermen's concerns always have been the net pen rearing of salmon. The primary objection to other forms of finfish farming is that it represents the camel's nose under the tent and it might set the stage for later approval of salmon farming. Is that how Alaska is going to diversify its economic base? Passage of HB 432 in its present form would be quite a statement about the legislature's commitment to economic development.

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98195

*College of Ocean and Fishery Sciences
Office of the Dean. HN-15*

February 6, 1990

Representative Richard A. King
Chair, House Fisheries and Wildlife Committee
House of Representatives
State of Washington
Olympia, WA 98504

Dear Mr. King,

In December, 1989, members of the Interagency Work Group on Fish Health received copies of the following documents: (1) the Black River Fish Kill Report, (2) the Appendices to the Black River Fish Kill Report, (3) a document prepared by Washington Department of Fisheries that was mistakenly omitted from the Appendices, and (4) the Department of Ecology news release regarding the kill. Work Group members were asked to review the Report and Appendices in the manner they would normally apply to the peer review process for scientific journals. On January 8, 1990, the committee convened in Seattle to discuss the documents and to prepare a response to the House Fisheries and Wildlife Committee. A list of Work Group members who participated in the review are attached to this letter.

Work Group members concurred that Department of Ecology (DOE) and Department of Fisheries (WDF) personnel expended a considerable amount of energy in attempting to determine the cause of the fish kill; however, the members were unanimous in their opinion that the conclusions drawn from the study (Report Section 6.0) cannot be supported by the data collected (Report Section 4.0).

Conclusion 1--"fish kill event was not caused by ambient river conditions."

Although DOE was notified of the fish kill on August 8, 1989, and had personnel on site within hours, ambient river condition surveys were not conducted until August 17-18 (Report Table 7). This 10-11 day delay in collecting samples makes it impossible to reconstruct the conditions existant at the postulated onset of the kill (August 6-7).

The data collected in the August 17-18 survey included measurements of water temperature, dissolved oxygen (DO), percent saturation, and conductivity, but did not include measurements of river flow. Considering the season of year (summertime; period of elevated productivity), the high daytime temperatures (>19 C) and the low-gradient nature of the Black River, flow rate was a critical factor for evaluation.

DO levels of 6.7-11.8 ppm measured in surface waters contrasted sharply with the life threatening DO levels (<1.1- 2.6 ppm) measured near the bottom of the river (the portion of the water column utilized by many aquatic species, including salmonids). While it is true that fish avoid low dissolved oxygen levels whenever it is possible to do so, it is well known that avoidance may not be possible when DO levels decline over large areas as a result of nighttime aquatic plant respiration.

Given the low oxygen levels, the high water temperatures, and the absence of flow rate data, it is not possible to rule out ambient river conditions as a cause of the kill. In fact, the Report states (p. 29) that the "combination of low river velocity, high nutrient concentrations, high productivity, and stratified pools is one which can put the lower Black River at risk to conditions which induce anoxia."

Conclusion 2--"the fish kill was caused by a pollutant."

The Report states (p. 29) that "no pesticides or herbicides were found in the Black River sediment samples" and that "metals were below toxic levels." The Report further states (p.29) that the finding of a whitefish carcass near river mile 13 provided indication that "a limited summer kill was occurring", an event "which is normal."

The Appendices contain (1) correspondence from Dick Huntamer (DOE) to Steve Hunter (DOE) stating that acid/base neutral organics, volatile organics, pesticides/PCB's, organo-phosphorous pesticides and herbicides were not present in significant levels; (2) correspondence from Margaret Stinson (DOE) to Dave Halleck (DOE) stating that bioassays conducted at Biomed Research Laboratories revealed no evidence of toxicity; (3) data from Analytical Resources Incorporated indicating that while residual formaldehyde (12.74 ppm) was present in the sediment of the settling pond at Global Aqua, none was detected in water samples taken from the river. Not included in the Appendices (but provided to Work Group members) was a report from Patrick F. Chapman (WDF) stating that fish mortality patterns in the river did not support the theory of a toxic pollution spill.

In the absence of any data indicating the presence of chemicals in water, sediments, or flesh, and in the face of a statement that limited summer kills are normal on the Black River, it is impossible to justify the definitive statement made in Conclusion 2.

Conclusion 3--"kill...probably occurred on August 6, 1989, and began in the vicinity of river mile 9.2."

On August 8, 1989, WDF personnel collected fish carcasses at river mile 6.5. Based upon the degree of decomposition noted in the tissues, they speculated that the fish had been dead for 24-48 hours. That observation forms the basis for fixing the onset of the kill. Given the statement (p. 15) that "carcasses were not visible from the shore", it is possible that the kill started earlier but was not detected.

The conclusion that the kill began in the vicinity of river mile 9.2 is based upon stream and shoreline surveys conducted by WDF, DOE, and the Chehalis Indian Nation. The data presented in the report (Table 5) and in the Appendices (Attachment 7) are not sufficiently quantitative to permit evaluation of this conclusion. Therefore, lacking further documentation, the conclusion is unwarranted.

Conclusion 4--"Black River contained elevated levels of ammonia, nitrites, nitrates and phosphorous."

Dr. Gary Wedemeyer, a physiologist specializing in water quality factors that affect fish health, reviewed the data contained in the Report and Appendices and stated, based on his experience, that the nutrient levels in themselves did not appear to be life threatening to salmonids. He cautioned, however, that the data were difficult to interpret because nitrite levels were lumped together with nitrate levels and reported as a single value. The interpretation problem arises from the fact that nitrite is extremely toxic to fish, while nitrate is essentially non-toxic.

Conclusion 5--"investigators were not able to find physical evidence of pollutants being actively discharged into the Black River."

No comment.

Conclusion 6--"Results...indicate discharge permits in this area warrant upgrading."

The evidence presented in the Report is inconclusive. It neither supports nor refutes this statement.

Summary of Comments--The Work Group members who independently and then jointly reviewed the study unanimously concur that the evidence presented in the Report is mixed and that it does not support any particular cause for the fish kill. We recommend, therefore, that the Summary (1.0) and Conclusions (6.0) sections be re-written to reflect this fact, and that the body of the text be edited to remove unsupported and unprofessional innuendo.

Recommendations for future fish kill studies--Fish kills are reported in the State of Washington on a regular basis. Some kills arise through natural causes (e.g. poor ambient conditions, epizootics, toxic phytoplankton blooms, supersaturation), others through the activities of man (e.g. chemical spills, toxic discharges, physical disruption of habitat). In investigating fish kills, it is important (1) that data collections be initiated in a timely fashion, (2) that appropriate data be collected, (3) that studies be approached in a scientific manner, and (4) that studies be conducted in a way that will utilize the strengths of appropriate State agencies and that will draw upon the expertise of qualified professionals whose training and credentials are relevant to the investigation.

Points 1 and 2--In 1972, the Department of Ecology published a manual entitled "Guidelines for Evaluating Fish Kill Damages". Detailed in that publication are the procedures to be used when investigating a kill as well as the types of data to be collected. A number of the Guidelines were not followed in the present study, and their omission contribute to deficiencies in the Report. We recommend that DOE review and update this publication, and implement the guidelines in all future investigations.

Point 3--Members of the Work Group were troubled by the tone of the Report and by the apparent desire of the author(s) to identify a culprit. In investigations of this type it is critical that agency personnel take a dispassionate, open-minded approach to the investigation.


We realize that fish kills can be dramatic, disheartening events to the on-site investigator, and that they can create a storm of public outrage. However, if the investigator allows personal feelings to come into play or if he/she makes a priori assumptions regarding the cause of the kill, important points can be overlooked and critical data can be lost.

Because of the high visibility of fish kills and because of the potential damage that can result from incomplete investigation or incorrect interpretation of data, we also recommend that reports be subjected to peer review by non-agency personnel prior to publication.

Point 4--The various State agencies differ in the range of expertise that their staff may bring to the investigation of a fish kill. For example, DOE personnel are uniquely well qualified to conduct chemical analyses, but WDF and WDW personnel have the knowledge that is required to evaluate fish pathology, fish habitat requirements, hatchery operations, etc. While there was interagency co-operation in this study, increased effort should be made in the future to utilize the expertise of appropriate State agencies and to address differences of opinion that may arise regarding interpretation of data. When necessary, investigators also should make efforts to capture skills from other organizations (e.g. universities, federal laboratories).

We hope that this letter has provided the assistance you requested. If the Work Group can be of further service to your Committee or if you require additional information, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,


Marsha L. Landolt, Chairman
Interagency Work Group on
Fish Health Issues

WORK GROUP MEMBERS PARTICIPATING IN REVIEW

DR. RALPH ELSTON

Senior Research Scientist
Battelle Marine Research Laboratory
Sequim, WA

DR. LEE HARRELL

Veterinary Medical Officer
Manchester Fisheries Research Laboratory
National Marine Fisheries Service
Manchester, WA

MR. JOHN KERWIN

Program Manager
Washington Department of Wildlife
Olympia, WA

DR. MARSHA L. LANDOLT

Professor, Fish and Shellfish Pathology
School of Fisheries
University of Washington
Seattle, WA

DR. MICHAEL SCHIEWE

Research Microbiologist
Northwest Fisheries Center
National Marine Fisheries Service
Seattle, WA

DR. GARY WEDEMEYER

Chief, Fish Health Environmental Requirements Research Section
National Fisheries Research Center
US Fish and Wildlife Service
Seattle, WA

DR. JAMES R. WINTON

Project Leader, Fish Disease Research Group
National Fisheries Research Center
US Fish and Wildlife Service
Seattle, WA

PRO AQUATIC FARMING	CON AQUATIC FARMING	INQUIRY RECOMMENDATION
<u>Lack of clear policy on aquatic farming</u> Hindrance to the development of the industry due to the traditional working relationship with the commercial fishery.	<u>Lack of clear policy on aquatic farming</u> Government doesn't support the commercial fishery as hatchery eggs are used to support aquatic farming.	<u>Develop an aquatic farming policy</u> The policy should clarify provincial direction, agency roles, and responsibilities of both government and the private sector. <u>Establish an aquatic farming advisory council</u> The government should establish an advisory council from key agencies and interest groups. <u>Encourage private sector funding</u> Although the government supported marketing, research, egg supply, disease and diagnostic services during initial stages of development, the private sector should fund the industry. <u>Government Coordination</u> Federal, provincial, and local governments should cooperate to regulate the industry. <u>Maintain commitment to enhancement program</u> The provincial government should continue to provide Salmoid Enhancement Program (seems similar to FRED) funding
<u>Moratorium</u> Urged the moratorium be lifted immediately to avoid financial losses.	<u>Moratorium</u> Settle land claim issues, conduct environmental impact studies, and review concerns expressed prior to lifting the moratorium.	<u>Lift the current moratorium</u> Information available fails to adequately substantiate maintaining the October 31, 1986, moratorium. The moratorium should be lifted in conjunction with the initiation of the studies and policy changes recommended.

PRO AQUATIC FARMING	CON AQUATIC FARMING	INQUIRY RECOMMENDATION
<u>Lack of public knowledge</u> Fish farming economic benefits and potential were generally unknown. The public is unaware of the government safeguards for the industry. <u>Native Involvement</u> Support for aquatic farming for its potential for generating direct and indirect employment for natives in the small coastal communities of B.C. <u>Pricing Impact of Farm Salmon</u> Farm salmon will compete only minimally with wild salmon. The recent price declines are well within the range of normal price fluctuations <u>Impact on Market Demand and Supply</u> The increased availability of salmon is leading to increased consumption	<u>Lack of public knowledge</u> Many government studies regarding marketing and environment impact are not readily available to commercial fishermen. <u>Native Involvement</u> Concern about loss of native employment in the commercial fishery and concern on the environmental effects of fish farming in their traditional harvest areas were expressed by the native leaders. <u>Pricing Impact of Farm Salmon</u> Concerns were expressed that the influx of farm salmon will cause both farm and wild salmon industries to suffer drastic price declines <u>Impact on Market Demand and Supply</u> The anticipated growth in farm salmon production will lead to market saturation. Production controls should be in place (as in Norway). Farmed salmon should be labeled as such. <u>Effect on Processing Facilities</u> If new processing plants are built to process farm salmon, it may aggravate the current over-capitalization of existing plants.	<u>Communication link should be established</u> The provincial government should encourage a formal communication link between the commercial wild fishery and the fish farming industry. <u>Native Involvement</u> The federal government should be encouraged to develop programs to assist natives to become involved in aquatic farming. Fish farming industry leaders should establish a policy to promote native participation. <u>Rely upon market forces to dictate prices</u> B.C. farm salmon should concentrate on specialty markets in the U.S.A. in which the major B.C. wild catch product does not compete. <u>Support a strategy for the integrated marketing of farm and wild salmon products</u> New markets, new ways of packaging, and new salmon products should be explored. Government should support this strategy through financial assistance, trade missions and technology transfer. <u>Promote use of existing processing plants</u> Farm operators should be encouraged to contract between existing processing companies. Government promotion should include review of regulations governing the on-farm processing of salmon, transport of live salmon to plants, and inspection/grading of processed product.

PRO AQUATIC FARMING	CON AQUATIC FARMING	INQUIRY RECOMMENDATIONS
<u>Impact on Employment</u> Fish farmers projected large growth in feed plants, plastics and equipment manufacturing construction. <u>Disease Transference</u> Some studies indicate the risk of transmission of disease from farm to wild stock is minimal.	<u>Impact on Employment</u> Currently the commercial fish industry generates many, many jobs. As salmon farming will never generate this level of employment, farming should not be permitted to put the employment health of the salmon fishery in jeopardy. <u>Disease Transference</u> Transfer of fish diseases between farms and between farm fish and wild stock was a real concern of many. An immediate ban on egg importation was called for. Farm fish are more susceptible to disease and the diseases will be transmitted to the wild stock. <u>Genetic Alterations</u> Concerns were expressed about the possible escapement of farm stock which might interbreed with wild salmon and produce undesirable genetic alterations. <u>Predator Control</u> There is insufficient enforcement capability by fish and wildlife officials to stop fish farmers from destroying eagles herons, seals, otters, and other animals.	<u>Disease Transference</u> To minimize transfer of diseases between fish farms, 3 kilometres should separate aquatic farms. The provincial government should end the importation of Atlantic salmon eggs.

PRO AQUATIC FARMING	CON AQUATIC FARMING	INQUIRY RECOMMENDATIONS
<u>Impact on Water Quality</u> It is in the farmer's own self-interest to maintain a healthy growing environment for the fish.	<u>Impact on Water Quality</u> The following examples of potential damage to water quality were cited: build up of sediments on the sea bed, increased algae growth, mortality of bottom creatures and plants, and destruction or reduced growth of nearby shellfish beds.	<u>Support research on long-term effects of salmon farming</u> Studies should be performed on the effects of fish farms on shellfish and other bottom dwellers and upon water quality.
<u>Use of Toxicants and Pharmaceuticals</u> Antibiotics may not be a problem as the substances are water soluble and quickly dilute.	<u>Use of Toxicants and Pharmaceuticals</u> Studies were cited which implicated the toxicant tributyltin (TBT) as a human health risk, through its concentration in the flesh of farm salmon and shellfish. Lack of manpower reduced the frequency of periodic checks on toxicant levels in farm fish. The use of antibiotics was expressed as a concern.	<u>Establish a mandatory environmental monitoring system</u> Monitoring of on and near-site environmental conditions should be required for a permit. The measurement should be regularly submitted for government review. Government needs to establish standards which divide acceptable conditions from unacceptable conditions.
<u>Inadequate Consultation with Interest Groups</u> Short referral period was necessary to keep processing time delays to a minimum.	<u>Inadequate Consultation with Interest Groups</u> Dissatisfaction with the application referral process was expressed - either because the time available to provide comments was too short (30 days) or because their interests were not included in the process.	<u>Increased government research, health inspection, and testing activities</u> Funding for an adequate inspection and testing program should be approved. <u>Establish standards for finfish aquaculture equipment</u> The standards should eliminate the use of toxicants which effect human health.

PRO AQUATIC FARMING	CON AQUATIC FARMING	INQUIRY RECOMMENDATIONS
<u>Local Government Involvement</u> Salmon farmers expressed concern that local government involvement might delay the approval process.	<u>Local Government Involvement</u> Local government agencies were concerned that they were given insufficient time in the referral process to consult with an advisory planning group or landowners adjacent to the proposed aquaculture site.	<u>Local governments should redefine local zoning bylaws</u> Approval of aquaculture locations should remain a provincial decision. However, zoning will allow local governments to ensure aquaculture operation are compatible with adjacent uses. The degree to which local government referral comments influence provincial decisions on aquaculture should be clearly identified in government policy.
<u>Length and Complexity of Approval System</u> The high number of agencies involved in the approval system was a source of frustration to many farm operators.	<u>Length and Complexity of Approval System</u> Provincial regulations and approvals are not tight enough.	<u>Continue its use of the interagency referral system</u> The referral system is important in identifying land use concerns and should be continued. The provincial government should expand its list of referral groups, increase the time available for response (to 60 days), and establish subsequent notification practices for finfish referrals.
<u>Health and Safety of Farm Workers</u> Salmon farmers stated that concerns are known and the industry has been working on a set of standards.	<u>Health and Safety of Farm Workers</u> The lack of safe diving conditions and lack of safety regulations were listed as a concern.	<u>Government should adopt regulations for the occupational health and safety of farm workers</u> The regulations should include requirements for diver training and rescue, personal floatation devices, hand rail systems, emergency medical services, and proper handling of chemicals and pharmaceuticals.

PRO AQUATIC FARMING	CON AQUATIC FARMING	INQUIRY RECOMMENDATIONS
<u>Site Selection</u> Established farmers expressed concerns that temporary licenses were being used for financial gain through speculation and as means of tying up sites to prevent competition. <u>Control of Foreign Investment</u> Foreign money is needed to offset the reluctance of Canadian financial institutions to provide loans. <u>Loss of Anchorage and Access</u> Farm operators spoke of their concerns about boater noise, fuel slicks, and discharge of wastes.	<u>Site Selection</u> The need for coastal planning studies was stressed often by boaters, commercial fishermen, and government agencies. <u>Control of Foreign Investment</u> Individuals argued that foreign control of salmon farming was not in the best interest of B.C. because of the likelihood of export of jobs and profits. Strict controls to prevent foreign corporations from taking over B.C. farms were requested. <u>Inadequate Rental and Bonding Requirements</u> The low annual rental charged for fish farms was a concern expressed. It was felt by some that a bond should be posted to allow government to pay for site clean-up in the event of environmental problems. <u>Loss of Anchorage and Access</u> Concerns that the coastline would soon be devoid of open anchorages for emergency and recreational use was discussed.	<u>Identification study</u> The government should initiate studies that will identify sites of high value for other important coastal interest and which should be reserved from aquaculture activities. Specific siting within these remaining areas can then be determined on the basis of environmental factors. Fish farms should not be adjacent to provincial parks and recreation areas. <u>Establish minimum fish production levels</u> To determine whether land is used productively, the government should set standard levels of fish production. A new rental structure should be established as well as a bond should be required for environmental clean-up. <u>Offer safe anchorages</u> The aquaculture industry should be encouraged to institute a program to provide anchorage, access, and emergency assistance to other coastal users.

PRO AQUATIC FARMING	CON AQUATIC FARMING	INQUIRY RECOMMENDATIONS
<u>Upland Owner Impact</u> The farms benefit communities by adding a tax base. <u>Effects on Recreational and Tourism Industry</u> Aquaculture may increase tourisms due to the attraction of touring farms <u>Involvement of Fishermen in the Farm Industry</u> Farming will allow fishermen to offset their short income earning period with fish farm equipment.	<u>Upland Owner Impact</u> Declining property values were due to the imposition of a fish farm along their view frontage. <u>Effects on Recreational and Tourism Industry</u> A concern was expressed that the proliferation of fish farms would ultimately erode the tourism industry as related to recreational boating, sports fishing, and commercial fish guiding. <u>Involvement of Fishermen in the Farm Industry</u> A large capital outlay is required to start a fish farm. This makes it very difficult to get into the fish farming business	<u>Minimum Distance</u> The distance between fish farms should be determined regarding the potential of the spread of disease and the surrounding property.

STATE OF ALASKA

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

SOUTHEAST REGIONAL OFFICE DIVISION OF LAND AND WATER MANAGEMENT

STEVE COWPER, GOVERNOR

400 WILLOUGHBY AVENUE
SUITE 400
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99801-1000
PHONE: (907) 465-3400

March 23, 1990

Re: Thorne Ferguson

Honorable Richard I. Eliason
c/o Pouch V
Juneau, Alaska 99811

Thorne
Ferguson

Dear Senator Eliason:

Research of our records indicates that T. Ferguson Construction, Inc. did purchase U.S. Mineral Survey 419B, known as the Maine, Monterey and San Francisco claims from Georgia-Pacific Corporation, (Warranty Deed, 1984). The property is located at Copper Harbor, Hetta Inlet, on the west side of Prince of Wales Island. In 1985 In 1985 Mr. Ferguson submitted applications intended to obtain approval of what appeared to be a multi-stage development. According to one of the cover letters, the initial stage of construction (development of a seasonal resort for hunting as well as fresh and salt water fishing) was intended to support the second stage (the development of a hatchery and fish farm); see ADL lease application 104488.

In September of 1987 the applicant indicated to DNR they had received a Army Corps of Engineers permit dated in June of 1986. Proposed construction under the Corps permit consisted of a lodge, barge ramp, and docks to be constructed in conjunction with the proposed private fish hatchery. The permit stated that the lodge and docks would supported by approximately 100 piles, and the barge ramp would consist of a timber crib bulkhead placed at M.L>L>W. and back-filled with approximately 1,800 cubic yards of shot rock fill (see Army Corps of Engineers Public Notice of Application for Permit Hetta Inlet 7, dated September 3, 1985).

However, contrary to the lease application and corps notices, a letter from the State Office of Management and Budget dated August 22, 1985 appears to indicate that the applicant dropped the hatchery portion of its proposal at that time. Mr. Menzies letter of June 2, 1986 appears to furnish the reason. The OMB consistency determination of May 9, 1986 purposely dropped consideration of the hatchery and hydroelectric plant.


March 23, 1990

The 1986 Army Corps of Engineer Permit is the only document that appears to still imply a remaining interest in a hatchery. None of the State approvals, including Water Use Applications LAS 6089 and 6090 involve the hatchery proposal directly.

It appears clear from the file that the applicant was never assured of being able to develop a hatchery. That although it is something that he wanted to do, he decided to proceed with the lodge with no guarantees that he would be able to construct the hatchery. It is possible that the \$500,000 figure thrown around would include the \$370,000 initial purchase price.

Please let me know if there is anything else I can do.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read 'Andrew W. Pekovich', with a stylized, cursive script.

Andrew W. Pekovich
Regional Manager, SERO

CC: Larry Ostrovsky
Gary Gustafson
Janet Burleson

June 2, 1985

State of Alaska
Department of Natural Resources
Division of Forest, Land and Water Management
Southeast Regional Office
400 Willoughby Avenue, Suite 400
Juneau, Alaska 99801

Attn: Mr. Christopher Landis
Water Resource Officer

Re: Hetta Inlet No. 7
R & M Project No. C715

Ladies and Gentlemen:

This will acknowledge receipt of your correspondence dated March 18, 1986. Please excuse this long delay in responding to your letter. We responded to the correspondence to the Office of the Governor and the Corps of Engineers, but failed to respond to you with regard to your questions. For this we apologize.

By reference to your correspondence and previous correspondence, we wish to answer all questions, but prefix same by this brief history of the project. In May 1985, R & M, on behalf of Ferguson Construction, made original application to the U.S. Army, Corps of Engineers; the Office of the Governor; and all other appropriate agencies to perform and construct; a fish hatchery, resort, hydroelectric facilities, and other related uses (fish pens, ponds, etc.) in Copper Harbor at the vicinity of the former community of Coppermount, Alaska. Previous to this submittal, R & M, on behalf of Ferguson, applied to Mr. John Palmer of DNR, DOLWM - Southeast, for a tidelands lease survey, instructions, etc., for the facilities. Appropriate plans, etc., were submitted to both applications. After much "back and forth," the public notice for the permit application for the Hetta Inlet 7 was issued in August 1985. During that same month, the Alaska Department of Fish and Game posed many questions to the writer/ Ferguson Construction with regard to the hatchery, water use, etc. The original questions by ADF&G were handled by the writer; however, in subsequent months, more questions, etc., regarding the hatcheries were asked. Mr. Ferguson, after diligent thought, decided to drop the fish pen portion of the project until he had a grasp on the total lodge/resort/hatchery activity. He would go ahead with the lodge/resort, but hold back on the hatchery.

5. In Attachment "A", you state "The unnamed creeks previously applied for will supply the lodge and other facilities with the required water supply of 3,400 GPD." What exactly does other facilities refer to here.

"Other facilities" refer to the fresh water needed on the dock for fish cleaning, boat filling, and other matters. It also would reflect any waters for additional uplands building constructed for year-round "watchmen" and others.

6. Previous applications ask for a maximum domestic appropriation of 2,400 GPD from either anadromous Stream No. 103-25-0390 or No. 103-25-10400. Why in Attachment "A", Paragraph 3, are we now talking about 3,400 GPD supply from these two unnamed streams.

We asked for the maximum allowable appropriation of GPD from the two anadromous fish streams. If there is confusion between the applications, it is undoubtedly a typo for which we apologize. The maximum domestic appropriation of 2,400 GPD has been asked for and granted for both of the unnamed streams north and west of Reynolds Creek (see attached correspondence by ADF&G/Office of the Governor).


7. Pursuant to the application for stream No. 103-25-10400, does Ferguson Construction own the (location of point of take) Brooklyn Claim, USMS 419B? If yes, attach deed. If no, who does? (full name and current mailing address).

To the best of the writer's knowledge, Sealaska Corporation is the fee title owner of Brooklyn Lode, USMS 419B. Their address is Sealaska Corporation, One Sealaska Plaza, Juneau, Alaska 99801...

We hope this letter answers all questions spoken to in the March 18 correspondence and others, if they exist. If we may be of further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact us at your convenience.

Sincerely,

R & M ENGINEERING, INC.



Malcolm A. Menzies

fej

Attachments



US Army Corps
of Engineers

Alaska District
Regulatory Branch
Post Office Box 898
Anchorage, Alaska 99506-0898

Public Notice of Application for Permit

PUBLIC NOTICE DATE: August 1, 1985

EXPIRATION DATE: September 3, 1985

REFERENCE NUMBER: 071-OYD-2-850240

WATERWAY NUMBER: Hetta Inlet 7

Interested parties are hereby notified that an application has been received for a Department of the Army permit for certain work in waters of the United States, as described below and shown on the attached plan.

APPLICANT: Ferguson Construction, 1200 East 76th Avenue, Suite 1222, Anchorage, Alaska 99502.

LOCATION: Copper Harbor, section 4, T. 77 S., R. 85 E., C.R.M., Prince of Wales Island, Alaska.

WORK: A proposed lodge, barge ramp, and docks would be constructed in conjunction with the construction of an upland private fish hatchery. The lodge and docks would be supported by timber pilings; approximately 100 piles would be placed below the mean high water line. The barge ramp would consist of a timber crib bulkhead placed at mean lower low water and backfilled with approximately 1,800 cubic yards of shot rock fill.

PURPOSE: Resort and ~~fish hatchery~~ development.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION: Contact applicant agent, Mr. Malcolm A. Menzies, R&M Engineering, Incorporated, Post Office Box 1786, Juneau, Alaska 99802 telephone (907) 780-6060.

WATER QUALITY CERTIFICATION: A permit for the described work will not be issued until a certification or waiver of certification as required under Section 401 of the Clean Water Act (Public Law 95-217), has been received from the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation.

COASTAL ZONE MANAGEMENT ACT CERTIFICATION: Section 307(c)(3) of the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972, as amended by 16 U.S.C. 1456(c)(3), requires the applicant to certify that the described activity affecting land or water uses in the Coastal Zone complies with the Alaska Coastal Management Program. A permit will not be issued until the Office of Management and Budget, Division of Governmental Coordination has concurred with the applicant's certification.

PUBLIC HEARING: Any person may request, in writing, within the comment period specified in this notice, that a public hearing be held to consider this application. Requests for public hearings shall state, with particularity, the reasons for holding a public hearing.

CULTURAL RESOURCES: The latest published version of the National Register of Historic Places has been consulted for the presence or absence of registered properties, or properties listed as being eligible for inclusion therein, and this worksite is not registered property or property listed as being eligible for inclusion in the Register. The construction site may be located at the abandonment townsite of Coppermount.

ENDANGERED SPECIES: No threatened or endangered species are known to use the project area. Preliminarily, the described activity will not affect endangered species, or their critical habitat designated as endangered or threatened, under the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (87 Stat. 844). This application is being coordinated with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the National Marine Fisheries Service. Any comments they may have concerning endangered or threatened wildlife or plants or their critical habitat will be considered in our final assessment of the described work.

FEDERAL SPECIES OF CONCERN: None

FLOOD PLAIN MANAGEMENT: Evaluation of the described activity will include conformance with appropriate State or local flood plain standards; consideration of alternative sites and methods of accomplishment; and weighing of the positive, concentrated and dispersed, and short and long-term impacts on the flood plain.

SPECIAL AREA DESIGNATION: The project is located within the Tongass National Forest.

EVALUATION: The decision whether to issue a permit will be based on an evaluation of the probable impacts including cumulative impacts of the proposed activity and its intended use on the public interest. Evaluation of the probable impacts which the proposed activity may have on the public interest requires a careful weighing of all those factors which become relevant in each particular case. The benefits which reasonably may be expected to accrue from the proposal must be balanced against its reasonably foreseeable detriments. The decision whether to authorize a proposal, and if so the conditions under which it will be allowed to occur, are therefore determined by the outcome of the general balancing process. That decision should reflect the national concern for both protection and utilization of important resources. All factors which may be relevant to the proposal must be considered including the cumulative effects thereof. Among those are conservation, economics, aesthetics, general environmental concerns, wetlands, cultural values, fish and wildlife values, flood hazards, floodplain values, land use, navigation, shore erosion and accretion, recreation, water supply and conservation, water quality, energy needs, safety, food and fiber production, mineral needs, considerations of property ownership, and, in general, the needs and welfare of the people. For activities involving 404 discharges, a permit will be denied if the discharge that would be authorized by such permit would not comply with the Environmental Protection Agency's 404(b)(1) guidelines. Subject to the preceding sentence and any other applicable guidelines or criteria (see Sections 320.2 and 320.3), a permit will be granted unless the District Engineer determines that it would be contrary to the public interest.

AUTHORITY: This permit will be issued or denied under the following authorities:

(X) Perform work in or affecting navigable waters of the United States - Section 10, Rivers and Harbors Act 1899 (33 U.S.C. 403).

(X) Discharge dredged or fill material into waters of the United States - Section 404, Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. 1344). Therefore, our public interest review will consider the guidelines set forth under Section 404(b) of the Clean Water Act (40 CFR 230).

Comments on the described work, with the reference number, should reach this office no later than the expiration date of this Public Notice to become part of the record and be considered in the decision. If further information is desired concerning this notice, contact Mr. Don R. Rice at (907) 753-2712.

A plan, Notice of Application for Certification of Consistency with the Alaska Coastal Management Program, and Notice of Application for State Water Quality Certification are attached to this Public Notice.

District Engineer
U.S. Army, Corps of Engineers

Attachments




200

LAND OWNERSHIP

- ① FERGUSON
- ② SEALASKA CORP.
- ③ U.S. FOREST SERVICE

PROPOSED HYDRO-ELECTRIC PLANT




**PROPOSED CUPPERMOUNT
RESORT & HATCHERY
(LODGE, BARGE RAMP & DOCKS)**

- IN COPPER HARBOR
AT PRINCE OF WALES ISLAND

APPLICANT:
FERGUSON CONSTRUCTION
2245 CRATAGUS ST.
ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99504

DATE: APRIL 1995 SHEET 1 OF 5



-SECTION "A-A"-

NOTE: APPROXIMATELY 60. TIMBER PILES WILL BE PLACED BELOW THE MEAN HIGH WATER LINE, AND WILL BE USED AS THE FOUNDATION FOR A LODGE. ALSO, A RAMP AND DOCK WILL BE CONSTRUCTED AS SHOWN.

COPPER HARBOR TIDAL DATUM U.S.C. & G.S. - 1958 LAT. 55° 12.6'; LONG. 132° 37.5'	
ESTIMATED HIGHEST WATER	16.5'
MEAN HIGHER HIGH WATER	12.8
MEAN HIGH WATER	11.9
MEAN TIDE LEVEL	8.7
MEAN LOW WATER	1.5
MEAN LOWER LOW WATER	0.0
ESTIMATED LOWEST WATER	-4.0
SOURCE: U.S.C. & G.S. PUBLICATION S.E. ALASKA - GG	


NOTE: THE HIGH TIDE LINE EL.=14.5', AS FURNISHED BY THE ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

**PROPOSED COPPERMOUNT
RESORT & HATCHERY
(LODGE, BARGE RAMP & DOCKS)**

IN: COPPER HARBOR
AT: PRINCE OF WALES ISLAND


APPLICANT:
FERGUSON CONSTRUCTION
2245 CRATAGUS ST.
ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99504

DATE: APRIL 1985 SHEET 2 of 5



SECTION "C-C"

SCALE: HORIZ. 1" = 50'
VERT. 1" = 20'



SECTION "B-B"


SCALE: HORIZ. 1" = 50'
VERT. 1" = 20'

NOTE: TO CONSTRUCT THE BARGE RAMP, A TIMBER CRIB BULKHEAD WILL BE CONSTRUCTED AT MEAN LOWER LOW WATER AND BACKFILLED WITH APPROXIMATELY 1,800 CUBIC YARDS OF SHOT ROCK FILL MATERIAL.

PROPOSED COPPERMOUNT
RESORT & HATCHERY
(LODGE, BARGE RAMP & DOCKS)
IN COPPER HARBOR
AT PRINCE OF WALES ISLAND


APPLICANT:
FERGUSON CONSTRUCTION
2245 CRATAGUS ST.
ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99504

DATE: APRIL 1905 SHEET 3 of 5




SECTION "F-F"

SCALE: HORIZ. 1" = 50'
VERT. 1" = 20'




PLAN VIEW



SECTION "G-G"

N.T.S.



SECTION "H-H"


N.T.S.

PROPOSED COPPERMOUNT
RESORT & HATCHERY
(LODGE, BARGE RAMP & DOCKS)
IN: COPPER HARBOR
AT: PRINCE OF WALES ISLAND

APPLICANT:
FERGUSON CONSTRUCTION
2245 CRATAGUS ST.
ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99504

DATE: APRIL 1985


SHEET 5 of 5



SECTION "D-D"

SCALE: HORIZ: 1" = 30'
VERT: 1" = 20'

∇ H.T.L.	∇ EST. HIGHEST	-120
	∇ M.H.H.W.	
	∇ M.H.W.	-10
	∇ M.T.L.	
	∇ M.L.W.	
	∇ M.L.L.W.	-10
	∇ EST. LOWEST	
		-10



SECTION "E-E"

SCALE: HORIZ: 1" = 30'
VERT: 1" = 20'

∇ EST. HIGHEST	-120
∇ M.H.H.W.	
∇ M.H.W.	-10
∇ M.T.L.	
∇ M.L.W.	
∇ M.L.L.W.	-10
∇ EST. LOWEST	
	-10

PROPOSED COPPERMOUNT RESORT & HATCHERY (LODGE, BARGE RAMP & DOCKS)

IN: COPPER HARBOR
- AT: PRINCE OF WALES ISLAND

APPLICANT:
FERGUSON CONSTRUCTION
2245 CRATAGUS ST.
ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99504

DATE: APRIL 1983 SHEET 4 of 5

STATE OF ALASKA

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET DIVISION OF GOVERNMENTAL COORDINATION

BILL SHEFFIELD, GOVERNOR

CENTRAL OFFICE

POUCH AW
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99811-0165
PHONE: (907) 465-3562

SOUTHEAST REGIONAL OFFICE

431 NORTH FRANKLIN
POUCH AW, SUITE 101
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99811-0165
PHONE: (907) 465-3562

SOUTHCENTRAL REGIONAL OFFICE

2600 DENALI STREET
SUITE 700
ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99503-2793
PHONE: (907) 274-1581

NORTHERN REGIONAL OFFICE

675 SEVENTH AVENUE
STATION 11
FAIRBANKS, ALASKA 99701-4596
PHONE: (907) 456-3084

August 22, 1985

Mr. Thorne Ferguson
Ferguson Construction
1200 East 76th, Suite 1222
Anchorage, AK 99502

Dear Mr. Ferguson:

SUBJECT: Hetta Inlet 7
COE Number 071-OYD-2-850240

We have received copies of the coastal project questionnaire, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (COE) application and Department of Natural Resources (DNR) leave application you submitted for the referenced project. The COE permit application also serves as application for the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation Certificate of Reasonable Assurance.

We have been informed by your agent that you have dropped the hatchery portion of your proposal at this time. DNR has recently informed me that a water right permit will be required for the resort. A handbook and application form are enclosed. Please contact DNR (Chris Landis, 465-3400) quickly to avoid as much delay as possible.

Also, have you contacted the Department of Fish and Game (DFG) (Jack Gustafson, 225-2027) to determine if a permit will be required from that department, and advise me of the DFG answer.

We will hold this material until we receive copies of all required permit applications and a notice from the COE regarding your application. Upon receipt of these items, the State will

Mr. Ferguson

- 2 -

August 22, 1985

begin review of your project, and we will then send you a letter informing you of that fact.

Sincerely,

Lorraine Marshall

Lorraine Marshall
Project Coordinator

cc: Jack Gustafson, DFG, Ketchikan
Paula Burgess, DNR, Juneau
Jerry Fiscus, DNR, Juneau
Chris Landis, DNR, Juneau
Permit Processing Section, COE, Anchorage

ab850822011md

STATE OF ALASKA
Interdepartmental Route Slip

TO: <i>1000</i>	Department <i>DNR</i>
Attention: <i>Sara Hunt</i>	
☐ Approval	☐ Note & Return
☐ Signature	☐ Initial & Return
☐ Comment	☐ Return as Requested
☐ Contact Me	☐ Return for Approval
☐ Prepare Reply	☐ Necessary Action
☐ For Your File	☐ For Your Information
Remarks:	

FROM: *1000*
Station *1000*
Development *1000*

SOUTHERN DISTRICT	
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES	
JUNEAU, ALASKA	
DATE	FILE
RECEIVED	RETURN
<i>Chris L. & W. J.</i>	

32 002 (rev. 2/80)

R&M
R&M ENGINEERING, INC.

6205 GLACIER HWY

P.O. BOX 1786

JUNEAU, ALASKA 99801

PH 907 750 6000

ENGINEERS
GEOLGISTS
SURVEYORS

June 2, 1986

State of Alaska
Department of Natural Resources
Division of Forest, Land and Water Management
Southeast Regional Office
400 Willoughby Avenue, Suite 400
Juneau, Alaska 99801

Attn: Mr. Christopher Landis
Water Resource Officer

Re: Hetta Inlet No. 7
R & M Project No. C715

Ladies and Gentlemen:

This will acknowledge receipt of your correspondence dated March 18, 1986. Please excuse this long delay in responding to your letter. We responded to the correspondence to the Office of the Governor and the Corps of Engineers, but failed to respond to you with regard to your questions. For this we apologize.

By reference to your correspondence and previous correspondence, we wish to answer all questions, but prefix same by this brief history of the project. In May 1985, R & M, on behalf of Ferguson Construction, made original application to the U.S. Army, Corps of Engineers; the Office of the Governor; and all other appropriate agencies to perform and construct; a fish hatchery, resort, hydroelectric facilities, and other related uses (fish pens, ponds, etc.) in Copper Harbor at the vicinity of the former community of Coppermount, Alaska. Previous to this submittal, R & M, on behalf of Ferguson, applied to Mr. John Palmer of DNR, DOLWM - Southeast, for a tidelands lease survey, instructions, etc., for the facilities. Appropriate plans, etc., were submitted to both applications. After much "back and forth," the public notice for the permit application for the Hetta Inlet 7 was issued in August 1985. During that same month, the Alaska Department of Fish and Game posed many questions to the writer/ Ferguson Construction with regard to the hatchery, water use, etc. The original questions by ADF&G were handled by the writer; however, in subsequent months, more questions, etc., regarding the hatcheries were asked. Mr. Ferguson, after diligent thought, decided to drop the fish pen portion of the project until he had a grasp on the total lodge/resort/ hatchery activity. He would go ahead with the lodge/resort, but hold back on the hatchery.

5. In Attachment "A", you state "The unnamed creeks previously applied for will supply the lodge and other facilities with the required water supply of 3,400 GPD." What exactly does other facilities refer to here.

"Other facilities" refer to the fresh water needed on the dock for fish cleaning, boat filling, and other matters. It also would reflect any waters for additional uplands building constructed for year-round "watchmen" and others.

6. Previous applications ask for a maximum domestic appropriation of 2,400 GPD from either anadromous Stream No. 103-25-0390 or No. 103-25-10400. Why in Attachment "A", Paragraph 3, are we now talking about 3,400 GPD supply from these two unnamed streams.

We asked for the maximum allowable appropriation of GPD from the two anadromous fish streams. If there is confusion between the applications, it is undoubtedly a typo for which we apologize. The maximum domestic appropriation of 2,400 GPD has been asked for and granted for both of the unnamed streams north and west of Reynolds Creek (see attached correspondence by ADF&G/Office of the Governor).


7. Pursuant to the application for stream No. 103-25-10400, does Ferguson Construction own the (location of point of take) Brooklyn Claim, USMS 419B? If yes, attach deed. If no, who does? (full name and current mailing address).

To the best of the writer's knowledge, Sealaska Corporation is the fee title owner of Brooklyn Lode, USMS 419B. Their address is Sealaska Corporation, One Sealaska Plaza, Juneau, Alaska 99801.

We hope this letter answers all questions spoken to in the March 18 correspondence and others, if they exist. If we may be of further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact us at your convenience.

Sincerely,

R & M ENGINEERING, INC.



Malcolm A. Menzies

fej

Attachments

 Ferguson Construction
Miss Lorraine Marshall



US Army Corps
of Engineers

Alaska District
Regulatory Branch
Post Office Box 898
Anchorage, Alaska 99506-0898

Public Notice of Application for Permit

REGIONAL OFFICE

PUBLIC NOTICE DATE: August 1, 1985

EXPIRATION DATE: September 3, 1985

REFERENCE NUMBER: 071-OYD-2-850240

WATERWAY NUMBER: Hetta Inlet 7

Interested parties are hereby notified that an application has been received for a Department of the Army permit for certain work in waters of the United States, as described below and shown on the attached plan.

APPLICANT: Ferguson Construction, 1200 East 76th Avenue, Suite 1222, Anchorage, Alaska 99502.

LOCATION: Copper Harbor, section 4, T. 77 S., R. 85 E., C.R.M., Prince of Wales Island, Alaska.

WORK: A proposed lodge, barge ramp, and docks would be constructed in conjunction with the construction of an upland private fish hatchery. The lodge and docks would be supported by timber pilings; approximately 100 piles would be placed below the mean high water line. The barge ramp would consist of a timber crib bulkhead placed at mean lower low water and backfilled with approximately 1,800 cubic yards of shot rock fill.

PURPOSE: Resort and ~~fish hatchery~~ development.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION: Contact applicant agent, Mr. Malcolm A. Menzies, R&M Engineering, Incorporated, Post Office Box 1786, Juneau, Alaska 99802 telephone (907) 780-6060.

WATER QUALITY CERTIFICATION: A permit for the described work will not be issued until a certification or waiver of certification as required under Section 401 of the Clean Water Act (Public Law 95-217), has been received from the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation.

COASTAL ZONE MANAGEMENT ACT CERTIFICATION: Section 307(c)(3) of the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972, as amended by 16 U.S.C. 1456(c)(3), requires the applicant to certify that the described activity affecting land or water uses in the Coastal Zone complies with the Alaska Coastal Management Program. A permit will not be issued until the Office of Management and Budget, Division of Governmental Coordination has concurred with the applicant's certification.

PUBLIC HEARING: Any person may request, in writing, within the comment period specified in this notice, that a public hearing be held to consider this application. Requests for public hearings shall state, with particularity, the reasons for holding a public hearing.

CULTURAL RESOURCES: The latest published version of the National Register of Historic Places has been consulted for the presence or absence of registered properties, or properties listed as being eligible for inclusion therein, and this worksite is not registered property or property listed as being eligible for inclusion in the Register. The construction site may be located at the abandonment townsite of Coppermount.

ENDANGERED SPECIES: No threatened or endangered species are known to use the project area. Preliminarily, the described activity will not affect endangered species, or their critical habitat designated as endangered or threatened, under the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (87 Stat. 844). This application is being coordinated with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the National Marine Fisheries Service. Any comments they may have concerning endangered or threatened wildlife or plants or their critical habitat will be considered in our final assessment of the described work.

FEDERAL SPECIES OF CONCERN: None

FLOOD PLAIN MANAGEMENT: Evaluation of the described activity will include conformance with appropriate State or local flood plain standards; consideration of alternative sites and methods of accomplishment; and weighing of the positive, concentrated and dispersed, and short and long-term impacts on the flood plain.

SPECIAL AREA DESIGNATION: The project is located within the Tongass National Forest.

EVALUATION: The decision whether to issue a permit will be based on an evaluation of the probable impacts including cumulative impacts of the proposed activity and its intended use on the public interest. Evaluation of the probable impacts which the proposed activity may have on the public interest requires a careful weighing of all those factors which become relevant in each particular case. The benefits which reasonably may be expected to accrue from the proposal must be balanced against its reasonably foreseeable detriments. The decision whether to authorize a proposal, and if so the conditions under which it will be allowed to occur, are therefore determined by the outcome of the general balancing process. That decision should reflect the national concern for both protection and utilization of important resources. All factors which may be relevant to the proposal must be considered including the cumulative effects thereof. Among those are conservation, economics, aesthetics, general environmental concerns, wetlands, cultural values, fish and wildlife values, flood hazards, floodplain values, land use, navigation, shore erosion and accretion, recreation, water supply and conservation, water quality, energy needs, safety, food and fiber production, mineral needs, considerations of property ownership, and, in general, the needs and welfare of the people. For activities involving 404 discharges, a permit will be denied if the discharge that would be authorized by such permit would not comply with the Environmental Protection Agency's 404(b)(1) guidelines. Subject to the preceding sentence and any other applicable guidelines or criteria (see Sections 320.2 and 320.3), a permit will be granted unless the District Engineer determines that it would be contrary to the public interest.

AUTHORITY: This permit will be issued or denied under the following authorities:

(X) Perform work in or affecting navigable waters of the United States - Section 10, Rivers and Harbors Act 1899 (33 U.S.C. 403).

(X) Discharge dredged or fill material into waters of the United States - Section 404, Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. 1344). Therefore, our public interest review will consider the guidelines set forth under Section 404(b) of the Clean Water Act (40 CFR 230).

Comments on the described work, with the reference number, should reach this office no later than the expiration date of this Public Notice to become part of the record and be considered in the decision. If further information is desired concerning this notice, contact Mr. Don R. Rice at (907) 753-2712.


A plan, Notice of Application for Certification of Consistency with the Alaska Coastal Management Program, and Notice of Application for State Water Quality Certification are attached to this Public Notice.

District Engineer
U.S. Army, Corps of Engineers

Attachments




- ① FERGUSON
- ② SEALASKA CORP.
- ③ U.S. FOREST SERVICE



**PROPOSED COPPERMOUNT
RESORT & HATCHERY
(LODGE, BARGE RAMP & DOCKS)**

IN: COPPER HARBOR
AT: PRINCE OF WALES ISLAND

APPLICANT:
FERGUSON CONSTRUCTION
2245 CRATAGUS ST.
ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99504



-SECTION "A-A"-

NOTE: APPROXIMATELY 60. TIMBER PILES WILL BE PLACED BELOW THE MEAN HIGH WATER LINE, AND WILL BE USED AS THE FOUNDATION FOR A LODGE. ALSO, A RAMP AND DOCK WILL BE CONSTRUCTED AS SHOWN.

COPPER HARBOR
TIDAL DATUM
U.S.C. & G.S. - 1958
LAT. 55° 12.6'; LONG. 132° 37.5'

ESTIMATED HIGHEST WATER	16.5'
MEAN HIGHER HIGH WATER	12.8
MEAN HIGH WATER	11.9
MEAN TIDE LEVEL	8.7
MEAN LOW WATER	1.5
MEAN LOWER LOW WATER	0.0
ESTIMATED LOWEST WATER	-4.0

SOURCE: U.S.C. & G.S. PUBLICATION
S.E. ALASKA - GG

NOTE: THE HIGH TIDE LINE EL. = 14.5', AS
FURNISHED BY THE ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS


**PROPOSED COPPERMOUNT
RESORT & HATCHERY
(LODGE, BARGE RAMP & DOCKS)**

IN: COPPER HARBOR
AT: PRINCE OF WALES ISLAND

APPLICANT:
FERGUSON CONSTRUCTION
2245 CRATAGUS ST.
ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99504

DATE: APRIL 1985


SHEET 2 of 5



NOTE: APPROXIMATELY 40 TIMBER PILES WILL BE PLACED BELOW THE MEAN HIGH WATER LINE, AND WILL SUPPORT THE FIXED AND FLOATING DOCKS. A HINGED RAMP WILL CONNECT THE TWO AS SHOWN.

SECTION "C-C"

SCALE: HORIZ: 1" = 50'
 VERT: 1" = 20'




SECTION "B-B"

SCALE: HORIZ: 1" = 50'
 VERT: 1" = 20'


NOTE: TO CONSTRUCT THE BARGE RAMP, A TIMBER CRIB BULKHEAD WILL BE CONSTRUCTED AT MEAN LOWER LOW WATER AND BACKFILLED WITH APPROXIMATELY 1,000 CUBIC YARDS OF SHOT ROCK FILL MATERIAL.

PROPOSED COPPERMOUNT
 RESORT & HATCHERY
 (LODGE, BARGE RAMP & DOCKS)
 IN: COPPER HARBOR
 AT: PRINCE OF WALES ISLAND
 APPLICANT:
 FERGUSON CONSTRUCTION
 2245 CRATAGUS ST.




SECTION "F-F"

SCALE: HORIZ: 1" = 20'
VERT: 1" = 20'




PLAN VIEW



SECTION "G-G"

N.T.S.

6" Ø x 5' PIPE TO BE
PERFORATED & WRAPPED WITH
SCREEN




SECTION "H-H"

N.T.S.

PROPOSED COPPERMOUNT
RESORT & HATCHERY
(LODGE, BARGE RAMP & DOCKS)

IN: COPPER HARBOR
AT: PRINCE OF WALES ISLAND


APPLICANT:
FERGUSON CONSTRUCTION
2245 CRATAGUS ST.
ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99504



∇ HTL	∇ EST. HIGHEST	-17
	∇ MHHW	
	∇ MHW	-10
	∇ MTL	
	∇ MLW	
	∇ MLLW	-10
	∇ EST. LOWEST	
		-10

SECTION "D-D"

SCALE: HORIZ: 1" = 50'
VERT: 1" = 20'



	∇ EST. HIGHEST	-120
	∇ MHHW	
	∇ MHW	-10
	∇ MTL	
	∇ MLW	
	∇ MLLW	-10
	∇ EST. LOWEST	
		-10

SECTION "E-E"

SCALE: HORIZ: 1" = 50'
VERT: 1" = 20'

PROPOSED COPPERMOUNT
RESORT & HATCHERY
(LODGE, BARGE RAMP & DOCKS)

IN: COPPER HARBOR
AT: PRINCE OF WALES ISLAND

APPLICANT:
FERGUSON CONSTRUCTION
2245 CRATAGUS ST.
ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99504

DATE: APRIL 1985 SHEET 4 of 5

STATE OF ALASKA

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET DIVISION OF GOVERNMENTAL COORDINATION

BILL SHEFFIELD, GOVERNOR

CENTRAL OFFICE

POUCH AW
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99811-0165
PHONE: (907) 465-3562

SOUTHEAST REGIONAL OFFICE

131 NORTH FRANKLIN
POUCH AW, SUITE 101
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99811-0165
PHONE: (907) 465-3562

SOUTHCENTRAL REGIONAL OFFICE

2600 DENALI STREET
SUITE 700
ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99503-2738
PHONE: (907) 274-1581

NORTHERN REGIONAL OFFICE

675 SEVENTH AVENUE
STATION H
FAIRBANKS, ALASKA 99701-4596
PHONE: (907) 455-3084

August 22, 1985

Mr. Thorne Ferguson
Ferguson Construction
1200 East 76th, Suite 1222
Anchorage, AK 99502

Dear Mr. Ferguson:

SUBJECT: Hetta Inlet 7
COE Number 071-OYD-2-850240

We have received copies of the coastal project questionnaire, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (COE) application and Department of Natural Resources (DNR) leave application you submitted for the referenced project. The COE permit application also serves as application for the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation Certificate of Reasonable Assurance.

We have been informed by your agent that you have dropped the hatchery portion of your proposal at this time. DNR has recently informed me that a water right permit will be required for the resort. A handbook and application form are enclosed. Please contact DNR (Chris Landis, 465-3400) quickly to avoid as much delay as possible.


Also, have you contacted the Department of Fish and Game (DFG) (Jack Gustafson, 225-2027) to determine if a permit will be required from that department, and advise me of the DFG answer.

We will hold this material until we receive copies of all required permit applications and a notice from the COE regarding your application. Upon receipt of these items, the State will

August 22, 1985

begin review of your project, and we will then send you a letter informing you of that fact.

Sincerely,



Lorraine Marshall
Project Coordinator

cc: Jack Gustafson, DFG, Ketchikan
Paula Burgess, DNR, Juneau
Jerry Fiscus, DNR, Juneau
Chris Landis, DNR, Juneau
Permit Processing Section, COE, Anchorage

eb850822011md

STATE OF ALASKA
Interdepartmental Route Slip

TO: <i>W. G. G.</i>	Department: <i>DNR</i>
Attention: <i>Sala Hunt</i>	
☐ Approval ☐ Signature ☐ Comment ☐ Contact Me ☐ Prepare Reply ☐ For Your File	☐ Note & Return ☐ Initial & Return ☐ Return as Requested ☐ Return for Approval ☐ Necessary Action ☐ For Your Information
Remarks:	
FROM: <i>W. G. G.</i>	Department: <i>DNR</i>

WILHELM STREET
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
JUNEAU, ALASKA

return

Chris A. & Mary

Spoke with Dr. Ross Antipa, Chief of Staff of the Washington State Senate Committee on Environment and Natural Resources.

(Has PhD in fisheries biology and has done considerable research on fish disease. Now Committee staff in Senate. Olympia, phone number 206-786-7413)

So far no one has proven that the recent outbreaks of the deadly VHS fish disease in Washington are caused by the fish farming industry, but timing and other evidence certainly indicate the connection.

Last year over 500 Atlantic salmon were sold on commercial fish tickets. This is proof that large numbers of fish are escaping from fish farms and are entering the fisheries.

Many of the stocks used by Washington hatcheries and fish farms are imported from Europe. The eggs must be certified which should guarantee that they are disease-free. But certification is done by statistical sampling which leaves room for a large margin of error.

VHS virus first appeared last year at two hatcheries. This year it appeared at one more. Two of these three occurrences were within 10 miles of major Atlantic salmon farms. VHS has now shown up in wild salmon, so there are at least four areas with the virus. It has shown up in both coho and chinook. In Europe it has killed off huge numbers of wild trout.

Dr. Antipa notes that as soon as any fish farming is allowed, the farmers become a real political force, contributing to campaigns, lobbying, forming coalitions with other groups, working for changes in the regulations which restrict them, and so on. He said that has certainly been the experience in Washington and British Columbia.

STATE OF ALASKA

DEPARTMENT OF LAW

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

March 23, 1990

The Hon. Dick Eliason
Alaska State Legislature
P.O. Box V
Juneau, Alaska 99835

Dear Senator Eliason:

I am writing to reply to your letter of February 22 concerning the legal status of finfish farming after July 1, 1990. Although your letter was addressed to Larri Spengler, I am responding because I am now handling most of the mariculture issues that come before this office.

You asked the following, "What will the legal situation regarding finfish farming be in Alaska after July first if no bill is passed on the subject this session?" Your question acknowledges the fact that the current moratorium on finfish farming, enacted in ch. 70, SLA 1987 and extended in ch. 145, SLA 1988 will expire on that date. 1/

If current bills that permanently prohibit commercial finfish farming, i.e., SB 397 and HB 432, are not enacted this session, one pertinent statute that will remain is AS 16.05.920. This law says that unless it is authorized by another statute or regulation, a person may not possess fish. Current regulations do allow a person to hold fish if a certain permit is issued by the Department of Fish and Game. 5 AAC 41.001, 5 AAC 41.005. However,

1/ While current law imposes a general moratorium on finfish farming, it does authorize the Board of Fisheries to amend its regulations to allow issuance of a transport permit for finfish farming in a privately owned pond that has no outlet to state water. Sec. 1, ch. 70, SLA 1987. However, the Board of Fisheries has not amended 5 AAC 41.001 to include this type of activity as a permissible purpose for issuing a transport permit. Thus, even though the moratorium was inapplicable, a transport permit could not legally be issued to a fresh water pond farmer. When the moratorium expires in July a saltwater farmer will be in the same situation as a pond farmer; as discussed later in this letter, neither one can be issued a transport permit unless 5 AAC 41.001 is amended by the board.

REPLY TO:

☐ 1031 W 4th AVENUE SUITE 200
ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99501-1994
PHONE: (907) 276-3550
FAX: (907) 276-3697

☐ 1st NATIONAL CENTER
100 CUSHMAN ST. SUITE 400
FAIRBANKS, ALASKA 99701-4679
PHONE: (907) 452-1568
FAX: (907) 456-1317

☒ P.O. BOX K—STATE CAPITOL
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99811-0300
PHONE: (907) 465-3600
FAX: (907) 463-5295

as we have explained in the past, we do not believe that these regulations authorize the department to issue this permit to a finfish farmer.

The permit, called a fish transport permit, can only be issued for certain purposes. These purposes include the possession of live fish "cultivated for human consumption" or "as part of an aquaculture program for scientific, educational, or propagative purposes." 5 AAC 41.001. We have previously stated that the regulatory history of this permit reveals that the Board of Fisheries probably did not intend that its scope include the holding of live fish for the purpose of commercial finfish farming. See attached 1987 Inf. Op. Att'y Gen. (July 8; 663-87-0454); 1988 Inf. Op. Att'y Gen. (June 24; 663-88-0500).

We believe that the board does have statutory authority to amend 5 AAC 41.001 so that a fish transport permit could be issued for holding finfish in a commercial farm. AS 16.05.-251(a)(9). Unless such a change is made, however, we believe that the department cannot issue the permit for this purpose, and without it, a finfish farm cannot legally operate in Alaska. 2/

Although the inability to obtain a transport permit is the greatest obstacle to establishing a finfish farm in Alaska, there are other legal and practical impediments. One of these is the limited source of stock for the farms. These impediments are more fully discussed in the attached June 24, 1988 informal opinion, cited above.

I hope this answers your question. Please contact me if I can help you further.

Sincerely yours,

DOUGLAS B. BAILY
ATTORNEY GENERAL

By:


Stephen M. White
Assistant Attorney General

2/ Even if such a change were made to 5 AAC 41.001, we note that under 5 AAC 41.030 the department, before it decides on the issuing, terms, and conditions of a transport permit, must consider the effect of the proposed possession of farmed fish on the continued health and perpetuation of native, wild, or hatchery stocks.

The Hon. Dick Eliason
Alaska State Legislature

March 23, 1990
Page 3

SMW:dm

Enclosures

cc: Norm Cohen, Deputy Commissioner
Department of Fish and Game

TO Honorable Don Collinsworth
Commissioner
Dept. of Fish and Game

DATE June 24, 1988
FILE NO 663-88-0500
TEL NO 465-3600
SUBJECT Fish farming:
status under current
statutes and regula-
tions

FROM

LIS
Larri Irene Spengler
Assistant Attorney General
Natural Resources Section
Department of Law

You have asked that we respond to some questions posed by Senator Jim Duncan with respect to the status under current statutes and regulations of fish farming and of possible stock acquisition sources for fish farms. Under a recently enacted bill, ch. 145, SLA 1988, the legislature has authorized shellfish farms and shellfish hatcheries; the former have been provided for already in regulations, the latter have not. Further, the bill authorized acquisition of stock for those shellfish farms and shellfish hatcheries, prohibited the farming of Atlantic salmon, and extended the moratorium originally enacted in ch. 70, SLA 1987, on finfish farming (other than in some private ponds) until July 1, 1990. 1/

Apart from that, current statutes and Board of Fisheries regulations (1) do not allow finfish farming in private ponds or elsewhere, (2) do not permit private for-profit finfish hatcheries, (3) prohibit the use of eggs, fry, or smolts from a private nonprofit salmon hatchery in a fish farm, (4) prohibit the use of eggs, fry, or smolts taken from the wild for use in a finfish farm, (5) prohibit the importation of eggs, fry, or smolts for use in a fish farm, and (6) prohibit the use of eggs, fry, or smolts from state hatcheries for use in a finfish farm. As discussed below, some of these activities are prohibited by board regulation, which could, consistent with the existing statutes, be amended to allow the activity, and others are prohibited by statutes, which would require legislative action to change the ground rules.

1/ For an analysis of ch. 145, SLA 1988, and its effects, see 1988 Inf. Op. Att'y Gen. (June 7; 883-88-0143), attached.

BACKGROUND

The existing statutes and regulations pertaining to fish farming, apart from those added by ch. 145, SLA 1988, 2/ have been discussed in three relatively recent memoranda from the Attorney General's Office, attached. 1985 Inf. Op. Att'y Gen. (Jan. 31; 663-84-0187); 1987 Inf. Op. Att'y Gen. (Mar. 10; 661-87-0360); 1987 Inf. Op. Att'y Gen. (July 9; 663-87-0454). The 1985 memorandum concluded that there are no constitutional problems posed by fish farming and that it is statutorily provided for as a legal possibility, although not a legal mandate. That memorandum also explained that fish farmers could not obtain stock from private nonprofit salmon hatcheries in the state because AS 16.10.420 allows transfer of hatchery eggs only to other similar hatcheries and to the department. Further, that memorandum noted that fish farmers generally could not import stock from out of the state because 5 AAC 41.070 prohibits importing any live fish (defined in AS 16.05.940(10) to include eggs) other than oysters or ornamental fish.

The March 1987 memorandum reiterated those conclusions, and in answer to the department's inquiry, agreed it was possible that fish farmers could obtain stock from a commercial fishing harvest. However, that memorandum also noted that in order to hold those fish for farming a permit would be needed under 5 AAC 41.001 and 5 AAC 41.005. The memorandum further explained that it was not clear whether a permit could be issued for those purposes as the regulations were written, but that the board had the authority to clarify them. The ambiguity is described in note 7 on pages 4 and 5 of the March 1987 memorandum.

The July 1987 memorandum addressed the question of how the department should interpret the scope of 5 AAC 41.001 and 5 AAC 41.005, governing the transportation, possession, and release of live fish, until and unless they were clarified by the board. The question asked basically was whether the board intended that a 5 AAC 41.005 permit could be issued for commercial fish farming purposes. The memorandum discussed the record of the January 1981 meeting of the Board of Fisheries, when the regulations in question were adopted, as well as discussing other regulations on similar subjects, and concluded that, although the answer was not absolutely clear, the scope of 5 AAC 41.001 and 5 AAC 41.005 (absent a clarifying amendment by the board)

2/ See note 1.

probably did not cover issuing permits to hold live fish for commercial fish farming purposes.

Since the July 1987 memorandum was completed, the language of 5 AAC 41.001, governing the scope of the permits authorized under 5 AAC 41.005, has been amended by the board to include "the transportation and possession of shellfish for commercial purposes in conjunction with a shellfish farming operation." This strengthens the earlier conclusion that commercial finfish farming is not currently provided for by board regulation, because commercial shellfish farming has been specifically addressed, with no similar change being made with respect to commercial finfish farming.

THE SPECIFIC QUESTIONS

1. Are there Board of Fisheries' regulations currently authorizing or regulating finfish farming in private ponds?

As noted above, the July 1987 Department of Law memorandum, attached, concludes that the Board of Fisheries regulations do not currently authorize holding of live finfish for commercial farming purposes. That lack of authorization applies to private ponds as well as to other waters of the state, since AS 16.05.920(a) provides that a person may not possess fish unless provided for in statute or regulation, and AS 16.05.930(c) states that regulations adopted by the Board of Fisheries apply to "rearing and sale of fish from private ponds."

It is true that the bill which last year imposed a moratorium on finfish farming in the state until July 1, 1988, extended until 1990 by ch. 145, SLA 1988, also provided in sec. 1(b), ch. 70, SLA 1987, that "a fish farming license and a fish transport permit may be issued for commercial finfish farming in a privately owned freshwater body that has no outlet to state water." (Emphasis added.) That statement is permissive rather than mandatory, and does not require that such permits be issued. Thus, the status of finfish farming in private ponds under that bill was the same as the status of shellfish farming -- it was not currently authorized by regulation, but if the board chose to authorize it before July 1, 1990, that was acceptable to the legislature. This is contrasted with the legislative prohibition on finfish farming elsewhere in the state until the specified date, regardless of what the Board of Fisheries might choose to authorize. In fact, as discussed above, the Board of Fisheries did amend the regulations to allow shellfish farming, but has not yet

similarly amended 5 AAC 41.001 to permit finfish farming in private ponds. 3/

2. Absent legislative action, can the Board of Fisheries authorize other types of finfish farming, such as net-pen rearing of salmon?

As discussed in the introductory section above, the moratorium on finfish farming other than in certain private ponds has been extended until July 1, 1990, so currently such activities cannot be authorized by the board. Sec. 21, ch. 145, SLA 1988.

3. Is it legal, under current statutes and regulations, to have a private for-profit finfish or shellfish hatchery to supply a farming industry?

The only fish hatcheries provided for in statute, other than those run by the state itself, are private nonprofit salmon hatcheries, and shellfish hatcheries associated with shellfish farms. The legislature has addressed those in detail in AS 16.10.375 -- 16.10.480 and AS 16.40.100 -- 16.40.199. Thus, under current statutes and regulations, it is not legal to have a private for-profit finfish hatchery for any purpose, including supplying a farming industry.

The Board of Fisheries in AS 16.05.251(a)(9) has the general authority to adopt regulations governing the "live capture, possession, transport, or release of native or exotic fish or their eggs." It might be argued that under that statutory provision, the board has the authority to adopt regulations establishing private for-profit finfish hatcheries. Whether or not the board has that authority is beyond the scope of the question asked here, but it is worth noting that since the legislature has addressed the private nonprofit salmon hatcheries and shellfish hatcheries in such detail, a logical legal conclusion might be that it did not expect other hatcheries -- either private-for-profit salmon hatcheries, or any hatcheries for finfish other than salmon -- to be authorized without a similarly detailed legislative statement.

3/ In the letters of intent accompanying ch. 145, SLA 1988, quoted and discussed on page 9 of the 1988 Department of Law memorandum cited in note 1, both houses of the legislature have encouraged the consideration of such regulations.

4. Could a private nonprofit hatchery supply stock to a fish farm? Can a private nonprofit hatchery sell or give eggs, fry, or smolts to a person for the purposes of supplying a farm?

With respect to shellfish hatcheries, the recently enacted ch. 145, SLA 1988, authorizes shellfish hatcheries (not yet themselves authorized by regulation) to sell or transfer stock to another permitted hatchery or farm. AS 16.40.140. 4/

As noted above, the only other hatcheries currently authorized are private, nonprofit salmon hatcheries. Therefore, your question can be answered on one level by simply referring to 5 AAC 41.001 and 5 AAC 41.005, which, as discussed above, probably do not permit a person to hold live fish for commercial finfish farming purposes. Thus, even if private nonprofit hatcheries could supply eggs, fry, or smolts for that purpose, the recipient could not hold them for that purpose.

Looking at the authorities of the private nonprofit hatcheries, however, the answer is also in the negative. As discussed in the 1985 and March 1987 memoranda from the Department of Law, attached, fish farmers could not obtain stock from private nonprofit hatcheries, because under AS 16.10.420(3) and (7) hatchery eggs and fry may be sold only to another private nonprofit hatchery or to the department, not to a fish farmer. Further, although AS 16.10.450 does allow fish returning to hatcheries to be sold, such fish would be fully grown, since they would already have returned "from the natural waters of the state." Thus, such fish could not be a source of fry or smolts for the purposes of supplying a fish farm, and if they were intended as a supply of eggs, then the purchasing individual would probably actually be engaged in a hatchery operation; "hatchery" is defined in AS 16.10.560 for purposes of the fisheries enhancement loan program as "a facility for the artificial incubation of salmon eggs" As discussed above, private finfish hatcheries are not currently authorized by statutes or regulations.

5. Could eggs, fry, or smolts be taken from the wild to supply a for-profit fish farm? Would this be subject to regulations by the Board of Fisheries?

With respect to shellfish farms, the recently enacted ch. 145, SLA 1988, authorizes the Commissioner of Fish and Game

4/ See the 1988 Department of Law memorandum, cited in note 1.

to issue acquisition permits for wild stock, with provisions also for Board of Fisheries regulation. AS 16.40.120. 5/

As discussed at page of the March 1987 memorandum from the Department of Law, fish could be harvested from the wild in commercial to supply a for-profit finfish farm, if the board set a fishery for the collection of fish for fish farms, though participation in normal commercial fisheries, assuming timing and lawful gear made that practical. However, even if an individual could obtain eggs, fry, or smolts in that fashion, the above discussion with respect to the need to obtain a permit to hold live fish under 5 AAC 41.001 and 5 AAC 41.005 is applicable here; as noted above, the Board of Fisheries has not currently authorized permits to be issued for holding live fish for commercial finfish farming purposes, so even if fish could be obtained from the wild through commercial fishing, they could not be held for that particular purpose.

6. Can eggs, fry, or smolts be imported into the state to supply a fish farm?

As explained on page 3 of the 1985 memorandum from the Department of Law, attached, under 5 AAC 41.070(a), fish other than oysters and ornamental fish may not be imported into the state for any purpose. 6/ Further, as discussed above, even if that regulation were changed, finfish could not be held legally for commercial fish farming purposes because of the provisions of 5 AAC 41.001 and 5 AAC 41.005.

7. Can the state hatcheries supply eggs, fry, or smolts to a fish farm? What criteria would be used to judge who would get these eggs, fry, or smolts? Is this an allocation issue which is subject to regulation by the Board of Fisheries?

Preliminarily, even if state-run hatcheries can supply eggs, fry, or smolts to a fish farm, under 5 AAC 41.001 and 5 AAC 41.005 individuals cannot currently hold live fish for commercial finfish purposes, as discussed above. If that were not a

5/ See the 1988 Department of Law memorandum cited in note 1.

6/ Under recently enacted ch. 145, SLA 1988, shellfish may not be imported into the state for use in shellfish farms unless authorized by the Board of Fisheries. AS 16.40.130. No such regulations have been adopted.

consideration, it is probable that the department could sell eggs, fry, or smolts from state hatcheries to fish farms under existing statutes.

Under AS 16.05.050(3), the department is authorized to "design and construct hatcheries ... and other projects beneficial for the fish ... resources of the state." Under AS 16.05.050(6), the department is authorized to, among other things, "sell or exchange fish ... or eggs for propagating, scientific or stocking purposes." That limitation on sale and exchange of fish or eggs by the department appears at first similar to the scope of activities for which the department may issue a permit to collect fish under AS 16.05.340(b) for a "scientific, propagative, or educational purpose." As discussed in note 5, page 3, of the March 1987 memorandum from the Department of Law, attached, the term "propagating" in AS 16.05.340(b) is not restrictively defined and could be viewed as including breeding for commercial sale. As discussed in that note, the Department of Law believes that the better reading is that "propagative" in that statute addresses purposes similar to "scientific" or "educational" purposes, and that would probably not include commercial uses, such as fish farming.

However, there are different factors to consider in examining the use of the term "propagating" in AS 16.05.050(b). AS 16.05.050 asserts that the commissioner has, "but not by way of limitation," the powers and duties listed there. It may be argued that under AS 16.05.050(6), the purposes listed there for which the commissioner may sell or exchange fish or their eggs -- propagating, scientific, or stocking -- need not be read narrowly as the purposes listed in AS 16.05.340(b) for which the commissioner may issue a permit -- scientific, propagative, or educational. The term "propagating" as used in AS 16.05.050(6) could be interpreted to include commercial farming purposes.

That conclusion is buttressed by AS 16.05.02.(2), which mandates that the commissioner shall "manage, protect, maintain, improve, and extend the fish ... resources of the state in the interest of the economy and the general well-being of the state," and subsection (3) of that statute, which gives the commissioner the "necessary power to accomplish the foregoing." Further, AS 16.05.092, which establishes the Division of Fisheries Rehabilitation, Enhancement and Development within the department, specifies that the division shall, among other things, develop a comprehensive state plan for the "orderly present and long-range ... development of all aspects of the state's fisheries for the perpetual use, benefit and enjoyment of all citizens," and "encourage the investment by private enterprise in the technological

development and economic utilization of the fisheries' resources," as well as through development programs "do all things necessary to insure perpetual and increasing production and use of the food resources of Alaska waters and continental shelf areas."

Those broad responsibilities would appear to vest in the department the discretion to sell fish or eggs to commercial fish farms, should those be otherwise authorized by law. In light of those broad authorities and mandates, the term "propagating" in AS 16.05.050 probably includes propagating for commercial purposes, and thus authorizes sale or exchange of eggs by the department for those purposes, in the commissioner's discretion.

With respect to what criteria would be used to judge who would get the eggs, fry, or smolts which might be sold by state hatcheries to fish farms, it is certainly consistent with the commissioner's authorities under AS 16.05.020(3) to adopt regulations setting out those criteria, should he believe that more specific guidelines than the general charges and mandates discussed above would be useful.

Finally, you ask whether such transfer of eggs from state hatcheries to private fish farms would be an allocation issue subject to regulation by the Board of Fisheries. The answer is probably no. Under normal circumstances, fish from state hatcheries are released into the natural waters of the state, and are subject before they return to harvest by subsistence, commercial, sport, and personal use fisheries which may be authorized by the Board of Fisheries. Thus, if the department sold eggs, fry, or smolts from a state hatchery to a fish farm which would otherwise have been released into the natural waters of the state, less fish may be available for those fisheries authorized by the board, but that would not be because of a board allocation decision. (However, it would certainly be acceptable for the department to consult with the board about the advisability of such a transfer.) On the other hand, if the eggs, fry, or smolts which might be transferred by the department to private fish farms were surplus to the hatchery needs, and would not in any event have been released into the natural waters of the state, the fisheries authorized by the Board of Fisheries would not be impacted by the department's decision. Nevertheless, the board's authority in AS 16.05.251(a)(9) over transfer and possession of live fish would still be applicable to finfish, and thus its regulations governing transportation and possession of live fish would apply. 5 AAC 41. Further, it is possible that the recently enacted AS 16.40.120(e) would give the board some authority over such transportation, although that authority may only apply to wild stock acquisition.

Hon. Don Collinsworth
Dept. of Fish & Game
Our File No. 663 8-0500

June 24, 1988
Page 9

SUMMARY

Board of Fisheries regulations currently do not allow any finfish to be held for commercial fish farming purposes, in contrast to the board's authorization of the holding of shellfish for those purposes. 5 AAC 41.001 and 5 AAC 41.005. In addition, the legislature has extended until July 1, 1990 the moratorium of finfish farming (other than in some private ponds). Ch. 145, SLA 1988. Thus, even if there were a mechanism for individuals to obtain eggs, fry, or smolts for finfish farming purposes, under current regulations, they could not be held for those purposes.

Shellfish farms and hatcheries have recently been comprehensively dealt with in ch. 145, SLA 1988, including stock acquisition mechanisms.

With respect to potential mechanisms for stock acquisition for finfish farms, current statutes and regulations do not authorize private for-profit finfish hatcheries for any purpose, including supplying a farm, do not authorize private nonprofit hatcheries to supply finfish (including eggs) for fish farms, and do not authorize the import of fish (including eggs) for fish farming purposes. Under commercial fishing regulations an individual could conceivably harvest finfish for use in commercial fish farms, but in general those regulations do not authorize harvests at times and in locations which would result in the taking of fry or smolts, and if adult finfish were taken for purposes of using their eggs, an individual would be involved in a hatchery operation, which is not authorized under current statutes. Current statutes probably would authorize the sale of fish (including eggs) from state hatcheries to finfish farms, if such farms were authorized.

If you have any further questions, please let me know.

LIS:dlm

Enclosures [4]

cc w/encls.:

Norman Cohen, Deputy Commissioner, ADF&G
Warren Wiley, Assistant Commissioner, ADF&G
David Benton, Special Assistant, ADF&G
Brian Allee, Director, FRED Division
Ken Parker, Director, Div. of Comm. Fisheries,
ADF&G
Elizabeth Stewart, Director, Div. of Boards, ADF&G
Gary Slaven, Chairman, Board of Fisheries
Rod Swope, Governor's Office
Liza McCracken, Anchorage AGO

MEMORANDUM

State of Alaska

Department of Law

TO: Hon. Don Collinsworth
Commissioner
Department of Fish and Game

DATE: July 8, 1987

FILE NO.: 663-87-0454

THRU: TELEPHONE NO.: 465-3600

SUBJECT: Current scope of permits to hold live fish

FROM: Larri Irene Spengler
Assistant Attorney General
Natural Resources Section

LIS

You have asked whether the Department of Fish and Game currently has authority under 5 AAC 41.005 to issue a permit to hold live fish for commercial mariculture purposes. Under current law a person cannot hold live fish for any other purpose without a permit issued under regulation. The regulation, which governs issuance of permits to hold live fish, is quite ambiguous with respect to whether a permit could be issued for mariculture purposes. However, as discussed below, it probably does not authorize a permit for such use. Although not free from doubt, we believe that, without regulatory action by the Board of Fisheries or legislative action re-structuring department and board authorities and mandates, the department probably does not currently have authority to issue a permit to hold live fish for commercial fish farming.

The existing statutes and regulations pertaining to fish farming have been discussed in two relatively recent memoranda from the Attorney General's Office, attached. 1985 Inf. Op. Att'y Gen. (Jan. 31; 663-84-0187); 1987 Inf. Op. Att'y Gen. (Mar. 10; 661-87-0360). The 1985 memorandum concluded that there were no constitutional problems posed by mariculture and that it was statutorily provided for as a legal possibility, rather than a legal mandate. That memorandum also explained that fish farmers could not obtain stock from hatcheries in the state because AS 16.10.240 limits transfer of hatchery eggs to other hatcheries and the department. Further, that memorandum noted that fish farmers generally could not import stock from out of the state because 5 AAC 41.070 prohibits importing any live fish (defined in AS 16.05.940(10) to include eggs) other than oysters or ornamental fish.

The 1987 memorandum reiterated those conclusions, and in answer to the department's inquiry, agreed that it was possible that fish farmers could obtain stock from a commercial harvest. However, that memorandum also noted that in order to hold those fish for mariculture a permit would be needed under 5 AAC 41.005. The memorandum further explained that it was not clear whether a permit could be issued for those purposes as the regulation was written, but that the board had the authority to clarify it. The ambiguity is described in footnote 7 on pages 4 and 5 of the 1987 memorandum.

Commissioner Don Collinsworth
Department of Fish and Game
663-87-0454

July 8, 1987
Page 2

You have now asked how the department should interpret the scope of 5 AAC 41.005, until and unless it is clarified by the board. The question is whether the board intended that a 5 AAC 41.005 permit could be issued for commercial purposes, such as fish farming.

Under AS 16.05.920(a), a person may not possess fish unless authorized by statute or regulation. This is reinforced by AS 16.05.930(c), which states that AS 16.05.920 does not prohibit rearing and sale of fish from private ponds under regulations adopted by the board. The board is given the authority in AS 16.05.251(a)(9) to regulate possession of live fish and eggs. Thus, unless the board has allowed possession of fish for a commercial purpose, it is unlawful to hold them in a commercial fish farm.

The purposes for which the board has said a permit to hold live fish may be issued by the department are listed in 5 AAC 41.001, but these do not include "commercial" uses. As discussed in the 1987 memorandum, the only listed purpose that might be construed to include commercial mariculture is "human consumption." ^{1/} We have reviewed the regulatory history of that regulation, in the form of tape number 42 from the Board of Fisheries January 1981 board meeting, and the accompanying log notes to attempt to help resolve the ambiguity. Unfortunately, major portions of that tape are inaudible because of a loud hum superimposed over the discussions. Specifically, the portion of the meeting during which the board went through the proposed regulations governing the transport and possession of live fish is virtually completely inaudible, although from the earlier portions of the tape some clues can be gained about board intent.

The proposed regulations at that January 1981 meeting (which included 5 AAC 41.001 and 5 AAC 41.005) were referred to during the discussion as "fish disease regulations." At the outset, staff of the department explained to the board that these regulations were being proposed because of a bill that passed the legislature in 1980, ch. 110, SLA 1980, relating "to fish disease control and the cost of fish inspections." That legislation

^{1/} Questions might arise over whether "commercial purposes" are encompassed by the language of 5 AAC 41.001, "as part of an aquaculture program for scientific, educational, or propagative purposes." As explained in footnote 5 on page 3 of the 1987 memorandum, we believe they are not.

clarified in AS 16.05.251(a) that the Board of Fisheries not only had the authority to adopt regulations concerning the extent and effect of "predation and competition among fish" and exercising necessary control measures, but also could properly be concerned about "the extent and effect of disease" among fish of the state. Sec. 1, ch. 110, SLA 1980; AS 16.05.251(a)(8). Further, not only could the board prohibit the "live capture, possession, transfer, or release" of fish or their eggs, but it was clarified that the board could also regulate those activities. Sec. 2, ch. 110, SLA 1980; AS 16.05.251(a)(9). The remainder of that bill dealt with fish health inspection authority.

In line with that focus in the bill on fish disease control, the portions of the tape that are audible evidence concern by the department and the board about the risks involved in transporting fish and the importance of the "genetic makeup" of each stock. The only testimony on the proposed regulations came from people involved with private nonprofit hatcheries, who voiced concern about fish disease and genetics as well.

In the portions of the tape that are audible, neither the department nor the individuals testifying nor the board made any mention of commercial mariculture endeavors or any intention to further those. 2/ Because the tape was inaudible during main part of the discussion, in which the department explained each of the proposed regulations to the board, I consulted with staff of the department who were involved in making that presentation and was informed that neither they nor the board ever mentioned that permits to hold live fish would be issued for commercial mariculture purposes. In fact, I am informed that at least the people involved from the department assumed that commercial purposes would not be encompassed by the regulation, since no such endeavors were ongoing at the time.

2/ The only reference to anything related to commercial fish farming was in the summary given by the department of the existing statutes relating to the board's authorities over fish in general. The department, in passing, mentioned the authority of the board in AS 16.05.930(c) to regulate the rearing and sale of fish from private ponds. That reference was one of a laundry list of references given in the department's introduction and is not mentioned again at any time during the audible portion of the discussions.

Commissioner Don Collinsworth
Department of Fish and Game
663-87-0454

July 8, 1987
Page 4

The above regulatory history seems to indicate that the board did not specifically consider including commercial purposes within the scope of the permits to hold live fish, and in fact did not so intend.

For further guidance, we can turn to regulations and statutes on similar subjects. For example, 5 AAC 41.070(b) specifically authorizes the import of oysters "for aquaculture purposes." This contrasts with the absence of any specific reference to such purposes in 5 AAC 41.001, suggesting that if the board intended commercial mariculture purposes, it would so state. Further, in a statute allowing private possession of fish and game that is analogous in purpose to the regulation at issue here, the legislature specifically provided that surplus buffalo and musk oxen may be granted to private individuals "for commercial purposes." AS 16.40.010. In light of the fact that such clear statements of intent are possible (and have been used) by both the board and the legislature, it would be appropriate for the department to assume that if the board had meant a permit to hold live fish to be issued for "commercial purposes" it would have so specified.

Although the answer is not absolutely clear, it is probably correct that without action by the board clarifying the scope of 5 AAC 41.005 it would be consistent with the regulatory history, and with recognition of analogous regulations and statutes, for the department to refrain from issuing permits to hold live fish for commercial mariculture purposes until the board modifies the regulation. 3/

3/ In this regard, it is worth noting that HCS CSSB 297, passed by the legislature in May 1987, places a moratorium on the issuance of permits under 5 AAC 41.005 until July 1, 1988, with respect to commercial fin fish farming operations. The bill also provides an exemption from that moratorium for fin fish farming in "a privately owned fresh water body that has no outlet to state water." Thus, under the moratorium, until July 1, 1988, the board would be free to modify 5 AAC 41.001 and 5 AAC 41.005 with respect to commercial shellfish farming and commercial fin fish farming in private ponds and have those modifications translate into permits which could be issued. If the board desired to modify those regulations with respect to all other commercial fin fish farming operations, permits for those purposes could not be issued until July 1, 1988.

Original sponsor(s): REP. GRUSSENDORF, Ulmer, Goll, Davidson, Navarre,
Wallis, Hudson, Taylor, C.Davis, Jacko, Kubina, MacLean, Swackhammer

1 IN THE HOUSE BY THE RESOURCES COMMITTEE

2 CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 432 (Resources)

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 SIXTEENTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act prohibiting finfish farming; and providing
7 for an effective date."

8 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

9 * Section 1. FINDINGS. Based on a legislative examination of the
10 potential effects of allowing finfish farming in the state on the common
11 property resources and on the overall economic well-being of the state; the
12 number of serious concerns associated with finfish farming and the need for
13 study of finfish farming that has caused the legislature to enact two
14 moratoriums on finfish farming and establish a nonlegislative task force to
15 study the issue and to report its findings and recommendations to the
16 legislature; a review of the final report of the Alaska Finfish Farming
17 Task Force which notes several possible benefits and some serious risks of
18 finfish farming; the need for the legislature to take action before the
19 current moratorium on finfish farming expires on July 1, 1990; the recom-
20 mendation of the task force that the legislature not extend the moratorium,
21 but make a final determination to either allow or prohibit finfish farming;
22 and the testimony and evidence received; the legislature finds that

23 (1) the state has the healthiest stocks of wild salmon and other
24 wild finfish in the world and benefits from thriving commercial, sport, and
25 subsistence fisheries for these fish and a growing tourism industry related
26 to sport fishing;

27 (2) the people, economy, and environment of the state are depen-
28 dent in large measure upon the continued health of the state's wild finfish
29 resources;

1 (3) serious risks are posed by commercial finfish farming,
2 including the spread of disease among wild fish by farmed fish, genetic
3 intermingling of wild fish stocks with genetically manipulated farmed fish,
4 degradation of water quality near finfish farms, and land use conflicts
5 over the siting of commercial finfish farms;

6 (4) the state has invested significantly in marketing efforts to
7 promote Alaskan finfish as wild and natural fish products, and this invest-
8 ment in developing the reputation of Alaskan finfish would be lost by
9 allowing commercially farmed finfish to be produced and marketed from
10 Alaska;

11 (5) the cost to the state to regulate the commercial finfish
12 farming industry would be high;

13 (6) few jobs would be generated by a commercial finfish farming
14 industry in the state;

15 (7) the state is responsible for ensuring the protection and
16 wise use of the renewable natural resources of Alaska and providing a
17 framework for a sound economy;

18 (8) a long-term decision must be made regarding the future of
19 commercial finfish farming in the state;

20 (9) avoiding harm to the state's wild finfish, land, and water
21 resources must take precedence over the development of a new speculative
22 and potentially harmful commercial finfish farming industry;

23 (10) the best interests of the state are served by prohibiting
24 commercial finfish farming.

25 * Sec. 2. AS 16.40 is amended by adding a new section to read:

26 Sec. 16.40.210. FINFISH FARMING PROHIBITED. (a) A person may
27 not grow or cultivate finfish in captivity or under positive control
28 for commercial purposes.

29 (b) This section does not restrict

1 (1) the fishery rehabilitation, enhancement, or development
2 activities of the department;

3 (2) the ability of a nonprofit corporation that holds a
4 salmon hatchery permit under AS 16.10.400 to sell salmon returning
5 from the natural water of the state, as authorized under AS 16.10.450,
6 or surplus salmon eggs, as authorized under AS 16.10.420 and 16.10.-
7 450;

8 (3) rearing and sale of ornamental finfish for aquariums or
9 ornamental ponds provided that the fish are not reared in or released
10 into water of the state.

11 (c) In this section "ornamental finfish" means fish commonly
12 known as "tropical fish," "aquarium fish," or "goldfish," that are
13 imported, cultured, or sold in the state customarily for viewing in
14 aquaria or for raising in artificial systems, and not customarily used
15 for sport fishing or human consumption purposes.

16 * Sec. 3. This Act takes effect July 1, 1990.

ALASKA STATE LEGISLATURE - SENATE

SENATOR RICHARD I. ELIASON

RULES COMMITTEE, CHAIRMAN
LABOR & COMMERCE COMMITTEE, VICE CHAIRMAN
LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL
RESOURCES COMMITTEE
FISHERIES SUBCOMMITTEE, CHAIRMAN



P.O. BOX 143
SITKA, ALASKA 99835

P.O. BOX V
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99811
(907) 485-4916

MEMORANDUM

TO: Senator Dick Eliason

FROM: Sheila Peterson, staff
Senator Eliason's office *Sheila*

RE: Status of Permits

DATE: March 8, 1986

In response to your inquiry about whether or not Thorne Ferguson has obtain or has applied for the necessary permits to farm fish in saltwater tanks on Prince of Wales Island, I contacted Diane Mayer, Southeast Regional Office, Division of Governmental Coordination.

According to Diane's records, Thorne received permits from the Army Corps of Engineers and the Department of Environmental Conservation in May, 1986, for a lodge, barge, and dock facility. He has not applied for any permits necessary to begin raising fish in saltwater tanks.

When Thorne Ferguson made his original request, he was planning on building a resort and hatchery. During the permitting process, the resort and hatchery idea was dropped. The application for the lodge, barge and dock proceeded separately.

MEMORANDUM

State of Alaska

Department of Law

TO: Honorable Don Collinsworth
Commissioner
Dept. of Fish and Game

DATE: June 24, 1988
FILE NO: 663-88-0500
TEL. NO: 465-3600
SUBJECT: Fish farming:
status under current
statutes and regula-
tions

FROM:

LIS
Larri Irene Spengler
Assistant Attorney General
Natural Resources Section
Department of Law

You have asked that we respond to some questions posed by Senator Jim Duncan with respect to the status under current statutes and regulations of fish farming and of possible stock acquisition sources for fish farms. Under a recently enacted bill, ch. 145, SLA 1988, the legislature has authorized shellfish farms and shellfish hatcheries; the former have been provided for already in regulations, the latter have not. Further, the bill authorized acquisition of stock for those shellfish farms and shellfish hatcheries, prohibited the farming of Atlantic salmon, and extended the moratorium originally enacted in ch. 70, SLA 1987, on finfish farming (other than in some private ponds) until July 1, 1990. 1/

Apart from that, current statutes and Board of Fisheries regulations (1) do not allow finfish farming in private ponds or elsewhere, (2) do not permit private for-profit finfish hatcheries, (3) prohibit the use of eggs, fry, or smolts from a private nonprofit salmon hatchery in a fish farm, (4) prohibit the use of eggs, fry, or smolts taken from the wild for use in a finfish farm, (5) prohibit the importation of eggs, fry, or smolts for use in a fish farm, and (6) prohibit the use of eggs, fry, or smolts from state hatcheries for use in a finfish farm. As discussed below, some of these activities are prohibited by board regulation, which could, consistent with the existing statutes, be amended to allow the activity, and others are prohibited by statutes, which would require legislative action to change the ground rules.

1/ For an analysis of ch. 145, SLA 1988, and its effects, see 1988 Inf. Op. Att'y Gen. (June 7; 883-88-0143), attached.

BACKGROUND

The existing statutes and regulations pertaining to fish farming, apart from those added by ch. 145, SLA 1988, 2/ have been discussed in three relatively recent memoranda from the Attorney General's Office, attached. 1985 Inf. Op. Att'y Gen. (Jan. 31; 663-84-0187); 1987 Inf. Op. Att'y Gen. (Mar. 10; 661-87-0360); 1987 Inf. Op. Att'y Gen. (July 9; 663-87-0454). The 1985 memorandum concluded that there are no constitutional problems posed by fish farming and that it is statutorily provided for as a legal possibility, although not a legal mandate. That memorandum also explained that fish farmers could not obtain stock from private nonprofit salmon hatcheries in the state because AS 16.10.420 allows transfer of hatchery eggs only to other similar hatcheries and to the department. Further, that memorandum noted that fish farmers generally could not import stock from out of the state because 5 AAC 41.070 prohibits importing any live fish (defined in AS 16.05.940(10) to include eggs) other than oysters or ornamental fish.

The March 1987 memorandum reiterated those conclusions, and in answer to the department's inquiry, agreed it was possible that fish farmers could obtain stock from a commercial fishing harvest. However, that memorandum also noted that in order to hold those fish for farming a permit would be needed under 5 AAC 41.001 and 5 AAC 41.005. The memorandum further explained that it was not clear whether a permit could be issued for those purposes as the regulations were written, but that the board had the authority to clarify them. The ambiguity is described in note 7 on pages 4 and 5 of the March 1987 memorandum.

The July 1987 memorandum addressed the question of how the department should interpret the scope of 5 AAC 41.001 and 5 AAC 41.005, governing the transportation, possession, and release of live fish, until and unless they were clarified by the board. The question asked basically was whether the board intended that a 5 AAC 41.005 permit could be issued for commercial fish farming purposes. The memorandum discussed the record of the January 1981 meeting of the Board of Fisheries, when the regulations in question were adopted, as well as discussing other regulations on similar subjects, and concluded that, although the answer was not absolutely clear, the scope of 5 AAC 41.001 and 5 AAC 41.005 (absent a clarifying amendment by the board)

2/ See note 1.

probably did not cover issuing permits to hold live fish for commercial fish farming purposes.

Since the July 1987 memorandum was completed, the language of 5 AAC 41.001, governing the scope of the permits authorized under 5 AAC 41.005, has been amended by the board to include "the transportation and possession of shellfish for commercial purposes in conjunction with a shellfish farming operation." This strengthens the earlier conclusion that commercial finfish farming is not currently provided for by board regulation, because commercial shellfish farming has been specifically addressed, with no similar change being made with respect to commercial finfish farming.

THE SPECIFIC QUESTIONS

1. Are there Board of Fisheries' regulations currently authorizing or regulating finfish farming in private ponds?

As noted above, the July 1987 Department of Law memorandum, attached, concludes that the Board of Fisheries regulations do not currently authorize holding of live finfish for commercial farming purposes. That lack of authorization applies to private ponds as well as to other waters of the state, since AS 16.05.920(a) provides that a person may not possess fish unless provided for in statute or regulation, and AS 16.05.930(c) states that regulations adopted by the Board of Fisheries apply to "rearing and sale of fish from private ponds."

It is true that the bill which last year imposed a moratorium on finfish farming in the state until July 1, 1988, extended until 1990 by ch. 145, SLA 1988, also provided in sec. 1(b), ch. 70, SLA 1987, that "a fish farming license and a fish transport permit may be issued for commercial finfish farming in a privately owned freshwater body that has no outlet to state water." (Emphasis added.) That statement is permissive, rather than mandatory, and does not require that such permits be issued. Thus, the status of finfish farming in private ponds under that bill was the same as the status of shellfish farming -- it was not currently authorized by regulation, but if the board chose to authorize it before July 1, 1990, that was acceptable to the legislature. This is contrasted with the legislative prohibition on finfish farming elsewhere in the state until the specified date, regardless of what the Board of Fisheries might choose to authorize. In fact, as discussed above, the Board of Fisheries did amend the regulations to allow shellfish farming, but has not yet

similarly amended 5 AAC 41.001 to permit finfish farming in private ponds. 3/

2. Absent legislative action, can the Board of Fisheries authorize other types of finfish farming, such as net-pen rearing of salmon?

As discussed in the introductory section above, the moratorium on finfish farming other than in certain private ponds has been extended until July 1, 1990, so currently such activities cannot be authorized by the board. Sec. 21, ch. 145, SLA 1988.

3. Is it legal, under current statutes and regulations, to have a private for-profit finfish or shellfish hatchery to supply a farming industry?

The only fish hatcheries provided for in statute, other than those run by the state itself, are private nonprofit salmon hatcheries, and shellfish hatcheries associated with shellfish farms. The legislature has addressed those in detail in AS 16.-10.375 -- 16.10.480 and AS 16.40.100 -- 16.40.199. Thus, under current statutes and regulations, it is not legal to have a private for-profit finfish hatchery for any purpose, including supplying a farming industry.

The Board of Fisheries in AS 16.05.251(a)(9) has the general authority to adopt regulations governing the "live capture, possession, transport, or release of native or exotic fish or their eggs." It might be argued that under that statutory provision, the board has the authority to adopt regulations establishing private for-profit finfish hatcheries. Whether or not the board has that authority is beyond the scope of the question asked here, but it is worth noting that since the legislature has addressed the private nonprofit salmon hatcheries and shellfish hatcheries in such detail, a logical legal conclusion might be that it did not expect other hatcheries -- either private-for-profit salmon hatcheries, or any hatcheries for finfish other than salmon -- to be authorized without a similarly detailed legislative statement.

3/ In the letters of intent accompanying ch. 145, SLA 1988, quoted and discussed on page 9 of the 1988 Department of Law memorandum cited in note 1, both houses of the legislature have encouraged the consideration of such regulations.

4. Could a private nonprofit hatchery supply stock to a fish farm? Can a private nonprofit hatchery sell or give eggs, fry, or smolts to a person for the purposes of supplying a farm?

With respect to shellfish hatcheries, the recently enacted ch. 145, SLA 1988, authorizes shellfish hatcheries (not yet themselves authorized by regulation) to sell or transfer stock to another permitted hatchery or farm. AS 16.40.140. 4/

As noted above, the only other hatcheries currently authorized are private, nonprofit salmon hatcheries. Therefore, your question can be answered on one level by simply referring to 5 AAC 41.001 and 5 AAC 41.005, which, as discussed above, probably do not permit a person to hold live fish for commercial finfish farming purposes. Thus, even if private nonprofit hatcheries could supply eggs fry, or smolts for that purpose, the recipient could not hold them for that purpose.

Looking at the authorities of the private nonprofit hatcheries, however, the answer is also in the negative. As discussed in the 1985 and March 1987 memoranda from the Department of Law, attached, fish farmers could not obtain stock from private nonprofit hatcheries, because under AS 16.10.420(3) and (7) hatchery eggs and fry may be sold only to another private nonprofit hatchery or to the department, not to a fish farmer. Further, although AS 16.10.450 does allow fish returning to hatcheries to be sold, such fish would be fully grown, since they would already have returned "from the natural waters of the state." Thus, such fish could not be a source of fry or smolts for the purposes of supplying a fish farm, and if they were intended as a supply of eggs, then the purchasing individual would probably actually be engaged in a hatchery operation; "hatchery" is defined in AS 16.10.560 for purposes of the fisheries enhancement loan program as "a facility for the artificial incubation of salmon eggs" As discussed above, private finfish hatcheries are not currently authorized by statutes or regulations.

5. Could eggs, fry, or smolts be taken from the wild to supply a for-profit fish farm? Would this be subject to regulations by the Board of Fisheries?

With respect to shellfish farms, the recently enacted ch. 145, SLA 1988, authorizes the Commissioner of Fish and Game

4/ See the 1988 Department of Law memorandum, cited in note 1.

to issue acquisition permits for wild stock, with provisions also for Board of Fisheries regulation. AS 16.40.120. 5/

As discussed at pages 4-5 of the March 1987 memorandum from the Department of Law, attached, fish could be harvested from the wild in commercial fisheries to supply a for-profit finfish farm, if the board set up a special fishery for the collection of fish for fish farms, or through participation in normal commercial fisheries, assuming the timing and lawful gear made that practical. However, even if an individual could obtain eggs, fry, or smolts in that fashion, the above discussion with respect to the need to obtain a permit to hold live fish under 5 AAC 41.001 and 5 AAC 41.005 is applicable here; as noted above, the Board of Fisheries has not currently authorized permits to be issued for holding live fish for commercial finfish farming purposes, so even if fish could be obtained from the wild through commercial fishing, they could not be held for that particular purpose.

6. Can eggs, fry, or smolts be imported into the state to supply a fish farm?

As explained on page 3 of the 1985 memorandum from the Department of Law, attached, under 5 AAC 41.070(a), fish other than oysters and ornamental fish may not be imported into the state for any purpose. 6/ Further, as discussed above, even if that regulation were changed, finfish could not be held legally for commercial fish farming purposes because of the provisions of 5 AAC 41.001 and 5 AAC 41.005.

7. Can the state hatcheries supply eggs, fry, or smolts to a fish farm? What criteria would be used to judge who would get these eggs, fry, or smolts? Is this an allocation issue which is subject to regulation by the Board of Fisheries?

Preliminarily, even if state-run hatcheries can supply eggs, fry, or smolts to a fish farm, under 5 AAC 41.001 and 5 AAC 41.005 individuals cannot currently hold live fish for commercial finfish purposes, as discussed above. If that were not a

5/ See the 1988 Department of Law memorandum cited in note 1.

6/ Under recently enacted ch. 145, SLA 1988, shellfish may not be imported into the state for use in shellfish farms unless authorized by the Board of Fisheries. AS 16.40.130. No such regulations have been adopted.

consideration, it is probable that the department could sell eggs, fry, or smolts from state hatcheries to fish farms under existing statutes.

Under AS 16.05.050(3), the department is authorized to "design and construct hatcheries ... and other projects beneficial for the fish ... resources of the state." Under AS 16.05.050(6), the department is authorized to, among other things, "sell or exchange fish ... or eggs for propagating, scientific or stocking purposes." That limitation on sale and exchange of fish or eggs by the department appears at first similar to the scope of activities for which the department may issue a permit to collect fish under AS 16.05.340(b) for a "scientific, propagative, or educational purpose." As discussed in note 5, page 3, of the March 1987 memorandum from the Department of Law, attached, the term "propagating" in AS 16.05.340(b) is not restrictively defined and could be viewed as including breeding for commercial sale. As discussed in that note, the Department of Law believes that the better reading is that "propagative" in that statute addresses purposes similar to "scientific" or "educational" purposes, and that would probably not include commercial uses, such as fish farming.

However, there are different factors to consider in examining the use of the term "propagating" in AS 16.05.050(b). AS 16.05.050 asserts that the commissioner has, "but not by way of limitation," the powers and duties listed there. It may be argued that under AS 16.05.050(6), the purposes listed there for which the commissioner may sell or exchange fish or their eggs -- propagating, scientific or stocking -- need not be read as narrowly as the purposes listed in AS 16.05.340(b) for which the commissioner may issue a collecting permit -- scientific, propagative, or educational. The term "propagating" as used in AS 16.05.050(6) could under that interpretation include commercial farming purposes.

That conclusion is buttressed by AS 16.05.020(2), which mandates that the commissioner shall "manage, protect, maintain, improve, and extend the fish ... resources of the state in the interest of the economy and the general well-being of the state," and subsection (3) of that statute, which gives the commissioner the "necessary power to accomplish the foregoing." Further, AS 16.05.092, which establishes the Division of Fisheries Rehabilitation, Enhancement and Development within the department, specifies that the division shall, among other things, develop a comprehensive state plan for the "orderly present and long-range ... development of all aspects of the state's fisheries for the perpetual use, benefit and enjoyment of all citizens," and "encourage the investment by private enterprise in the technological

Hon. Don Collinsworth
Dept. of Fish & Game
Our File No. 663-88-0500

June 24, 1988
Page 8

development and economic utilization of the fisheries' resources," as well as through development programs "do all things necessary to insure perpetual and increasing production and use of the food resources of Alaska waters and continental shelf areas."

Those broad responsibilities would appear to vest in the department the discretion to sell fish or eggs to commercial fish farms, should those be otherwise authorized by law. In light of those broad authorities and mandates, the term "propagating" in AS 16.05.050 probably includes propagating for commercial purposes, and thus authorizes sale or exchange of eggs by the department for those purposes, in the commissioner's discretion.

With respect to what criteria would be used to judge who would get the eggs, fry, or smolts which might be sold by state hatcheries to fish farms, it is certainly consistent with the commissioner's authorities under AS 16.05.020(3) to adopt regulations setting out those criteria, should he believe that more specific guidelines than the general charges and mandates discussed above would be useful.

Finally, you ask whether such transfer of eggs from state hatcheries to private fish farms would be an allocation issue subject to regulation by the Board of Fisheries. The answer is probably no. Under normal circumstances, fish from state hatcheries are released into the natural waters of the state, and are subject before they return to harvest by subsistence, commercial, sport, and personal use fisheries which may be authorized by the Board of Fisheries. Thus, if the department sold eggs, fry, or smolts from a state hatchery to a fish farm which would otherwise have been released into the natural waters of the state, less fish may be available for those fisheries authorized by the board, but that would not be because of a board allocation decision. (However, it would certainly be acceptable for the department to consult with the board about the advisability of such a transfer.) On the other hand, if the eggs, fry, or smolts which might be transferred by the department to private fish farms were surplus to the hatchery needs, and would not in any event have been released into the natural waters of the state, the fisheries authorized by the Board of Fisheries would not be impacted by the department's decision. Nevertheless, the board's authority in AS 16.05.251(a)(9) over transfer and possession of live fish would still be applicable to finfish, and thus its regulations governing transportation and possession of live fish would apply. 5 AAC 41. Further, it is possible that the recently enacted AS 16.40.120(e) would give the board some authority over such transportation, although that authority may only apply to wild stock acquisition.

Hon. Don Collinsworth
Dept. of Fish & Game
Our File No. 663-88-0500

June 24, 1988
Page 9

SUMMARY

Board of Fisheries regulations currently do not allow any finfish to be held for commercial fish farming purposes, in contrast to the board's authorization of the holding of shellfish for those purposes. 5 AAC 41.001 and 5 AAC 41.005. In addition, the legislature has extended until July 1, 1990 the moratorium of finfish farming (other than in some private ponds). Ch. 145, SLA 1988. Thus, even if there were a mechanism for individuals to obtain eggs, fry, or smolts for finfish farming purposes, under current regulations, they could not be held for those purposes.

Shellfish farms and hatcheries have recently been comprehensively dealt with in ch. 145, SLA 1988, including stock acquisition mechanisms.

With respect to potential mechanisms for stock acquisition for finfish farms, current statutes and regulations do not authorize private for-profit finfish hatcheries for any purpose, including supplying a farm, do not authorize private nonprofit hatcheries to supply finfish (including eggs) for fish farms, and do not authorize the import of fish (including eggs) for fish farming purposes. Under commercial fishing regulations an individual could conceivably harvest finfish for use in commercial fish farms, but in general those regulations do not authorize harvests at times and in locations which would result in the taking of fry or smolts, and if adult finfish were taken for purposes of using their eggs, an individual would be involved in a hatchery operation, which is not authorized under current statutes. Current statutes probably would authorize the sale of fish (including eggs) from state hatcheries to finfish farms, if such farms were authorized.

If you have any further questions, please let me know.

LIS:dlm
Enclosures [4]
cc w/encls.:

Norman Cohen, Deputy Commissioner, ADF&G
Warren Wiley, Assistant Commissioner, ADF&G
David Benton, Special Assistant, ADF&G
Brian Allee, Director, FRED Division
Ken Parker, Director, Div. of Comm. Fisheries,
ADF&G
Elizabeth Stewart, Director, Div. of Boards, ADF&G
Gary Slaven, Chairman, Board of Fisheries
Rod Swope, Governor's Office
Liza McCracken, Anchorage AGO

fish farming

INTERNATIONAL

MONTHLY £1.50

JANUARY 1988, VOLUME 15 NO. 1

TURN TO PAGE 32
FOR MORE DETAILS



ANOTHER SALMON RECORD

—but no huge leap in 1987

ALTHOUGH late harvesting may push up the figure, Norway's farmed salmon harvest in 1987 was expected to be around 47,000 metric tons, less than 2000 tons above the previous record production of 45,675 tons. This is one of the smallest rises in recent years, and reflects the problems the industry has had with hitra disease in some of the farms.

But the industry did not expect a very big increase last year, and the harvest is not very far below that forecast on the basis of smolts put in and growing.

Altogether, the Norwegian crop of Atlantic farmed salmon and sea-grown trout was around 53,000 tons in 1987.

This year, the Fish Farmers' Sales Organisation

expects a big rise to around 70,000 tons, and then to 90,000 tons in 1989.

There are now 728 fish farms in Norway with an estimated total capacity of 90,000 to 100,000 tons a year. These farms are supplied by 611 hatcheries with a production capacity of 183 million smolts a year.

Faster

Other Atlantic salmon farming areas have expanded much faster than Norway during 1987. As FFI reported earlier in the year, the Irish Republic seems likely to exceed earlier forecasts. Iceland is showing encouraging progress and so is the industry in the Faroes Islands.

Scotland and her islands, however, are the real pace setters for 1987, with production expected to end up at around 16,000 tons, and set for 23,000 to 25,000 tons this year.

According to estimates collected by the Federation Europeenne de la Salmoniculture (FES) eight countries in Western Europe harvested just over 70,000 tons of salmon in 1987.

The forecast for 1988 is for nearly 107,000 tons.

Twelve member countries of the FES gave estimates and forecasts for large trout. These added up to 29,700 tons in 1987 and were expected to increase to 30,820 tons in 1988.


Sri Lanka shrimp to Gambia

SRI LANKA recently made its first export of tropical shrimp post-larvae when Serendip Seafoods Ltd. exported US\$15,000 to a farm project in The Gambia in West Africa.

Mrs. P. C. Benedict, administrative manager of Serendip Seafoods, said this initial order was expected to lead to a regular airlift of post-larvae to The Gambia via London.

One reason for the development of this trade in small shrimp is that the guerilla war in the north-east of Sri Lanka has hit the local on-growing operation. This has left the company hatchery with stock for sale outside and the trade has been started, despite the high air freight charge of Rs190.45 a kilo.

Serendip Seafood was formed in 1983 as a joint venture with a Hong Kong based US company called Asian Agricultural Products.



Focus on Orkney

MARINE pump-ashore tanks of Mainland Salmon farm on the island of Rousay in Orkney. This archipelago in the north of Britain consists of some 70 islands, and they are separated from the Scottish mainland by a six-mile strait called the Pentland Firth.

Like the Shetland Islands and the Western Isles, Orkney has been

expanding its fishing activities to include aquaculture. It already has a promising shellfish industry, and, when technical editor Tom Wray visited the islands, he found that an Orkney Salmon Growers' Association was being set up to serve the ten on-growers and five hatcheries operating there. The farms produce between 50 and 250 tons a year, and the accent is on supplying the highest quality fish. Tom Wray's special feature report starts in this issue and will be concluded in February.

Oyster boost

IN THE Catalan region in north-east Spain, fish farming will be given a boost through an investment of 1000 million pesetas by the regional government.

The declared aim is to make the Catalan coast the main producer of shellfish in the western Mediterranean by 1990. The focus will be on oyster farming, but mussels will also be grown.

By 1989, reports FFI cor-

respondent Robert Richards, production of oysters should reach 4000 metric tons a year worth 2000 million pesetas (about £10 million).

The EEC is also supporting this development, providing 600 mpts, and floats are being extended from traditional sites in the River Ebro delta to others further seaward.

Spain is already a leading mussel grower, with its raft farms in Galicia.

Frog appeal

THE Seafood Exporters Association of India has appealed to the government to allow exporters to carry out commercial scale farming of frogs. There is now a complete ban on export of wild-caught froglegs.

According to Association president C. Cherian, frog culture would be easier and cheaper to develop than prawn culture and could bring in Rs200 million (£10 million) a year.

Apart from boosting exports, frog farming would also utilise 25 per cent of installed freezing capacity now lying idle in India.

In 1981, India exported 4366 metric tons of frozen froglegs worth Rs120 million.

BRIDGESTONE LEADS THE FIELD IN LARGE-SCALE FISH CAGES




Multiple Bridgestone Fish Cage Systems in Ireland

Through continuously expanding sales the Bridgestone "Hi-Seas" Fish Cage has now become the most successful off-shore fish farming system ever designed. With more than 93 large units supplied around the world, Bridgestone's experience as supplier to off-shore fish farms is unequalled. Attracted by the now proven economies of large-scale production, many users with only moderately exposed sites are buying Bridgestone for size alone.

Such a sales record can only be achieved by a genuinely successful and cost-effective product, capable of being adapted to a wide variety of operating methods.

Existing customers have continued to show their confidence in the system in the only way it counts — by buying more. Security against storm damage, time- and labour-saving operation, remarkable growth-rate and extended life-span combine to make the Bridgestone "Hi-Seas" Fish Cage the most economical system for Off-Shore fish production.

Flexible Rubber Construction



The revolutionary new fish and shellfish food

BIOPLANKTON

Ideal for feeding of young shrimp, prawn, salmon, trout, eel, catfish, ornamental and aquarium fish, sea bass and striped bass, sea bream, turbot, crayfish, lobster and many other marine and freshwater species.

BIOPLANKTON is a specially cultured crustacean and rotifer zooplankton of the highest nutritional quality. Produced and processed by using a patented new technology, BIOPLANKTON is now available in two grades:

— **B.P. Grade S:** consisting of small copepods, particularly rich in HUFA's & PUFA's.

— **B.P. Grade N:** an all-round cladoceran plancton.

Both grades are offered as:

— BIOPLANKTON osmo-conserved (can be stored at room temperature)

— BIOPLANKTON frozen

BIOPLANKTON is not an artificial diet, but Mother Nature's own fish food — a totally balanced, complete and highly digestible diet for practically all young marine and freshwater fish and crustaceans. The millions of small organisms which make up each kilogram of BIOPLANKTON correspond to the innate visual and olfactory search patterns of most aquatic animals and are therefore eagerly accepted. Spectacular growth rates, tremendous vitality and very high survival rates are obtained by using BIOPLANKTON alone or in combination with dry diets which the natural enzymes contained in BIOPLANKTON help to digest.

BIOPLANKTON is available at a fraction of the cost of Artemia and/or micro-encapsulated feeds.

We welcome enquiries from potential distributors for this unique new product.

For additional information concerning BIOPLANKTON please consult:

Aquaproducts

Handels-GMBH, Kreillerstrasse 21
D-8000 Munich 80, West Germany
Telex 5 213 379 IBS
Fax 089-431 5600
Tel. 089-431 3800

Spanish farm starts turbot

IN RECENT years, the company Maricultura SA has been among the leaders in marine farming in Spain and was one of the first there to develop the commercial culture of salmon and turbot.

The company was originally owned by Seascatter Shellfish of Whitstable in south-east England. It changed hands a few times before being bought in 1984 by its present owner Juan Queiro, who planned to use its facilities for the holding of live shellfish.

At the time he had just begun importing brown and spider crabs from Britain in vivier containers. The crabs were held in Spain in Maricultura's tanks in Esteiro, Galicia.

But Antonio Pallares, a marine biologist on his staff, persuaded him to venture into fish farming and in 1985 he started a hatchery for flat oysters (*Ostrea edulis*) and carpet shell clams (*Venerupis decussatus*).



Hatchery nursery tanks of Maricultura SA at Esteiro in north-west Spain.

The waters of the Rio de Muros in Esteiro are a rich environment for growing bivalves and production has increased rapidly. But the project was hit last year by heavy rain on the Spanish north coast.

Salinity was reduced to a level which killed off much of the stock and the company has been looking for fresh supplies of spat from France.

Antonio Pallares had also

done some work on turbot rearing. Juan Queiro therefore let him go ahead with a test project.

Using 100 mature turbot weighing between three and ten kilos, he obtained spawn for the base stock of the project.

Maricultura intends to concentrate on its turbot venture, aiming for an annual harvest around 100 metric tons, plus broodstock to become self-sufficient.

Salmon group opens office

THE Scottish Salmon Growers Association has opened an administrative office in Drummond House, Perth. The executive appointed to take charge is Robert F. Gray.

Mr. Gray has had long experience in textiles, mostly with Robert Laidlaw & Sons Ltd. of Keith, Banffshire, as Commercial Director/Company Secretary.

● The address of the office is Drummond House, Scott Street, Perth PH1 5EJ. Tel: 0738 35420.

Disease order

THE British Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food last month made an order designating Valley Springs Trout Farm in Devon, England, following the discovery of infectious pancreatic necrosis (IPN) at the farm.

Salmon output below forecast

AT AROUND 2500 metric tons, farmed salmon production in British Columbia appears to be substantially down on the 4000 tons originally projected.

Two factors contributing to this are fish losses resulting from algal blooms in the autumn and premature harvesting by some farmers to avert losses from the bloom.

Production by species is about evenly split between chinook and coho and prices have been strong. US wholesalers in Seattle have been quoting US\$4.50 a lb for 4-6 lb BC farmed coho, and \$4.70 a lb for chinook in the same size range.

Reflecting the crisis in the commercial catch of chi-

nook, farm hatchery orders for 27 million eggs have been trimmed to four million where eggs have been taken from wild stock. To offset this, farm chinook broodstock is expected to provide 15 million eggs this season.

Requests for wild stock coho eggs, which should be met in full, have increased to 17 million.

Egg allocation figures and

demand confirm an increasing trend towards chinook, which is being identified as the prime Pacific salmon species for farming.

BC aquaculture quoted stocks were affected like many others by the recent world-wide stock crash.

Despite this, Aquarius successfully placed an additional C\$13.2 million of financing.

JAMES & ADAM & Sons ESTD 1871




The Adam's S.C.S.80 Steel Cage System

*Proven — Stable, Versatile, Easily Managed

*Without Doubt the Most Robust System Available on the Market.

*Manufactured in both 12m and 15m configurations.

For further information please contact Hamish Adams at Cove Road, Gourrock, Renfrewshire. Tel: (0475) 31346



This drawing shows the silage delivery ship alongside a Sea-Lo storage system.

Ship and store for silage

THE firm Hy-Pro Manufacturing in Vancouver, British Columbia, says that early this year it will be producing a high-quality silage for the salmon farming industry. The silage will be mixed with readily available commercial dry components.

According to Hy-Pro, the product will be distributed using a 1000-ton extensively converted delivery vessel. Dan-Buoy of Ladner has devised a novel method of storing liquid silage in a low-profile floating silo known as Sea-Lo.

Silage production involves the liquification of raw fish into a high protein feed for salmon and other farmed species. Success with silage in European aquaculture stimulated research development in Canada.

Hy-Pro has obtained exclusive rights to a "dramatically improved" process.

"In recent tests conducted by the Federal Department of Fisheries, our product was shown to dramatically increase the rate of growth of smolts and to decrease the incidence of disease," says Hy-Pro.

"Stored in Sea-Lo's at surrounding water temperature, the silage can be safely kept for at least a year without significant deterioration in nutritional value, or risk of spoilage."

The firm explains that the farmer uses the silage in conjunction with a dry component. The two parts are mixed together at the site and then extruded into pellet form.

"The result is a fresh moist feed which is extremely palatable," says Hy-Pro. It can arrange for lease financing of both storage and mixing equipment.

Marine Harvest plans new farm

MARINE HARVEST Ltd. plans to develop a new on-growing unit on Loch Inchard in Sutherland, Scotland.

The Crown Estate Commissioners have granted the company a 20-year lease to a section of Loch Inchard off Rhuvault.

Subject to the approval of the local planning authority, Marine Harvest is to build a shore base including a jetty, hard standing and a small building to house a feed store and staff facilities.

The on-growing unit will incorporate 24 salmon pens in three rafts, which the company hopes to instal

stock in April to produce the first marketable fish a year later.

Marine Harvest pioneered salmon farming in the Lochaber district in the 1960s. It now operates three hatcheries, four freshwater farms and 18 seawater on-growing units.

Wrong estimates may be costly

AFTER incorrectly forecasting the returning run of pink salmon for three successive years, a research institute in the Soviet Far East has been warned that further mistakes will have to be paid for.

According to reports from Vladivostok, the Kamchatka Department of the Pacific Ocean Fisheries and Oceanography Institute forecast that 160 million pink salmon would appear off the west coast of Kamchatka in the spring of 1985. But unfavourable conditions caused by over-crowding of the spawning areas in 1983 resulted in a return of less than a tenth of the forecast number.

The Institute forecast large returns for 1986 and 1987. Many vessels and fishermen moved to the Kamchatka coast and waited. But again the big runs did not appear.

Therefore, millions of roubles were wasted in vessel and crew time and fuel, and the institute has been told that from the beginning of 1988 further incorrect forecasts will cost it money.

Feed firm expands

DANSK Orrefoder A/S of Brande in Denmark has established a new subsidiary company called Danish Aqua Technique International (DATI), which will strengthen marketing of its ECOLINE feeds range.

DATI will also handle turnkey projects for the development of fish farms as well as the supply of com-

ponents such as purifying units, aeration and feeding equipment. And it will deliver equipment ranging from fry tanks to oxygen metres and bird wire, and will also supply this from its own retail store.

Dansk Orrefoder is now divided into three companies. In addition to DATI, it has DAFI A/S which deals in feed development and sale of licence products.



Providing insurance cover in over 30 Countries worldwide

As the leading international specialists in aquacultural insurance, our Clients benefit from our wealth of experience. We have more statistical information on the risks of fish farming than anyone else in the world and our advice can prove invaluable in selecting the right insurance cover for your particular needs and in keeping your premiums down to a minimum.

We provide a comprehensive range of services including specialist insurance schemes to cover: stock mortality, fish farm consultants errors and omissions, damage to net cages and their marine liability exposures, international transits of fish and fish eggs, and many other classes of insurance, which fish farmers require.

For full details of our service contact us direct and we will put you in touch with a local broker, or ask your own broker to contact us.

We have agents throughout the world.

THE AQUACULTURAL INSURANCE SERVICE LTD.

The Priory, Franklyn Road, Haywards Heath,
West Sussex RH16 4DG, England.
Tel: (0444) 414821 Telex: 877292
Fax: (0444) 452277

THE LEADING INTERNATIONAL SPECIALISTS

4th International Fish Farming Exhibition & Conference



ACQUACOLTURA

The world's largest specialized trade show featuring a 25,000 m² display of equipment, services & products of leading manufactures from 15 countries.

Trade sections: Fresh and preserved fish products; equipment for pisciculture (also embracing shellfish and crustacea); food and veterinary science; equipment for canning and transporting. Italian and foreign visitors to the latest event (1986) totalled 13,000.

Everything for the acquaculturist, whether marine or freshwater.

Consultancy & institutions, seminars, site tours.

Int'l conference on "Efficiency in aquaculture production; technological innovations in Fish Farming" featuring world speakers. (Registrants only)

13 - 16 October 1988, Verona, Italy

Information:

E.A. Fiere di Verona (Verona Fair Organization)

P.O. Box 525, 37100 Verona, Italy - Phone 45/588111 - Telex 480538 FIEREVR I

Progress in recycle hatchery project

AN EXPERIMENTAL recycle salmon hatchery/ongrowing unit developed at Heriot-Watt University, Edinburgh, Scotland, has completed its first year of operation with successes and failures, reports Keith Murray of the University's Department of Chemical and Process Engineering.

The system was briefly described in *FFI* in August 1987. The work is funded by the Chemical and Process Engineering Department and supported by the generous inputs of Dryden Aquaculture, George Fischer and Plastic Construction.

Two batches of eggs, 320 degree days, were collected from Hugh Horrex in Glasgow in early February 1987. Some 20,000 domestic and 20,000 wild stock were held at 6deg. C in the recycle hatchery trough section and, at 420 and 495 deg. days respectively, the stocks hatched. Egg losses by that stage were eight per cent and one per cent.

The alevins were held in the hatchery trough baskets until yolk-sac absorption. Bob Hyde's Mark II trough proved to be a marked improvement, says Mr. Murray.

Some 25 per cent of the domestic strain showed lack of tail development at swim-up, and with patience they were removed one-by-one. By the second week

in April, the domestic stock were being fed, and by the fourth week the wild strain were on feed.

The impact of uneaten food on water quality in a recycle system at this early stage is "horrendous", because of the need to feed to excess at first feeding, says Mr. Murray. He points out that anyone thinking of recycle salmon hatcheries should be aware that a careful management strategy is required at this crucial stage.

The inter-relationship between physical characteristics of proprietary feeds and water quality parameters is being researched by an MSc student at Heriot-Watt. Results from that study are expected within the year.

By May 1, some 15,000 domestic and 20,000 wild fry were actively on feed, and the recycle system was beginning to respond to its purifying duties. But May 5 went down in the log book as Black Tuesday. Staff went in to find the two 5 ft diameter fry tanks full of dead fish.

— but fry loss was a shock

"Many in the business will know the depression we felt that day," says Mr. Murray.

The log book showed that towards the end of April one of the ion exchange units was progressively blinding up. On May 4, the operator switched over to the spare ion exchange unit and, to prevent the first unit from flooding, cracked open the drain valve. He did not want a night-time call-out!

No oxygen

Unfortunately there was now more water going to drain than was being supplied to the system. The results were obvious for all to see: no water — no oxygen: no oxygen — no fish!

Says Mr. Murray: "It is always easy to look back on a disaster and say 'that was obvious, and I would not have been so stupid', but for many of us the safer philosophy is to acknowledge human error and say 'there but for the grace of God.'"

By coincidence, contact

was made that same week with Mark Pattison of Kinloch Damp. A generous offer of fish resulted in a quick dash to Kishorn and by May 25 the Edinburgh hatchery was operational again with 23,000 x 0.24 g swim-up fry.

Between then and the end of October, at different times, batches of 5000 reached weights in excess of 5 g, at which stage they were passed on to others for on-growing.

Stocking densities of 20 kg/cu. m were reached in some of the 5 ft diameter hatchery tanks, and in August, when the first batch were ready for despatch, Brian Austin of the Biological Sciences Department at Heriot-Watt took away 200 to check their condition and give them a clean bill of health.

For the system, the first choice ion exchange zeolite proved unsuitable for gravity flow filtration. The coarser grained material proved very effective, although it did need to be well washed before being brought back on-stream.

Solids removal by sedimentation was not needed during the earlier stage of fish growth but soon became an essential step for waste feed and faeces. There was quite a serious problem developing on localised dead spots, and this aspect is being changed.

Sewage filamentous growth dominated the fish tank outfalls, the sedimentation and biofiltration units as well as the ion exchange units. It did not get carried over to the reservoir tanks to create havoc as churned up colloidal matter through contact



Top: Wild stock (swim-up stage) photographed in April 1987, and below: Domestic stock (swim-up stage) showing tail-less fish in April 1987.

with the centrifugal circulation pumps.

Filter matting proved effective, but at the high biomass loadings it blinded-up within hours. This is an area that will be receiving attention in the revised design. Continuous monitoring of pH, DO and temperature produced interesting data that will be put to good use in future design studies.

Regular bacterial counts were taken and at no time did they go beyond 10,000.

At the end of October, the fish biomass held was 20 kg — 4000 x 5 g fish. The water temperature will be held at 12/14 deg. C throughout the winter months, so hopefully Heriot-Watt will be seeing a sizeable weight gain between now and April.

"If there is someone out there who would like to make us an offer on 'prime quality parr' next spring, please make contact," said Mr. Murray.

The economics, operating experience, design know-how and water quality information has not yet been assimilated. Between now and April 1988, certain controlled experiments will add to the researchers' knowledge and allow them to plan the re-siting of the Mark II system in the new Chemical and Process Engineering's Aquaculture Engineering Laboratory at Riccarton in the summer of 1988.

The general impressions are that controlled hatcheries for salmon are possible and should make a useful contribution to the industry's future growth, says Mr. Murray.

Like many new ideas floated in aquaculture in the last ten years there are still many "unknowns" to be resolved. So, for salmon hatchery managers the advice from Mr. Murray is: "Don't rush in."



Kinloch Damp salmon fry photographed in June 1987 after having settled into the Heriot-Watt recycle system

Fischtechnik Germany

offshore cage 2nd year in stormy area of Iceland



successful and safe in Fischtechnik

Offshore Cages

easy net handling with airlifting system



offshore cage system near Reykjavik, in Iceland 26 m
5.300 m3 volume

For further information please contact:


Dr. Gerhard Muller

Fischtechnik Germany D-3413 Moringen

Tel: 05555-288 Tlx: 96 55 00 fish d
From U.K. dial 01049 5555/288 or Fax: 384

CHEAPEST
PLASTIC
VALVES
TO 8"

EVE



EVE

CHEAPEST
METAL
VALVES
TO 12"
HOSE 8"
and
TAPS 1"

EVERYVALVE
EQUIPMENT LTD.

CATALOGUE

119-121 East Barnet Road, Barnet, Herts EN4 8RF
Telephone: 01-441 2750 Telex 8813271 GECOMS G. Ref: EVE

Virus hits fishery

THE Montta fishery of the Oulujoki company at Muhos in Finland has been forced to destroy 400,000 fingerlings because of an unidentified virus disease.

The fish destroyed were one-year-old fingerlings of salmon, sea trout and lake trout valued at over £41,000. A sample of the virus is being identified in the UK and results are expected during the winter.

Destruction

If the disease proves dangerous, the whole fingerling and parent fish stocks of the Montta fishery is threatened with destruction and losses would then rise to millions of marks.

The Finnish State Veterinary Institute says the health of the fish in Finnish fisheries is generally good and the cool summer has been unfavourable to fish diseases.

Scottish guide to shellfish

THE suitability of marine bivalve molluscs for cultivation in the waters of the west of Scotland is pointed out in a new information pamphlet from the Department of Agriculture and Fisheries for Scotland.

Compiled by J. Drinkwater, it describes basic biology and cultivation methods for mussels, oysters, scallops and queens. Notes are included on the selection and testing of sites, methods of stock management, organisation of the industry and legal aspects of cultivation.

Drinkwater notes that there is a continuing and growing interest in shellfish cultivation in Scotland, pointing out that bivalve molluscs such as mussels and oysters have advantages for cultivation over other animals. They are non-motile and therefore easy to harvest, and can be kept in captivity without the need for constant attention and artificial feeding.

The various methods of shellfish cultivation lend themselves well to part-time

activities and thus help considerably in places such as the Highlands and Islands where employment is scarce. They combine particularly well with the traditional activities of crofting and inshore fishing.

According to Drinkwater, Scottish waters, particularly on the west coast, are well suited to bivalve cultivation. One of the main reasons is that they receive the benefit of the North Atlantic Drift, with sea temperatures higher than in many other areas of similar latitude.

Another reason is that the

geographical features include many inlets and islands which offer local shelter. In addition, many inlets are partly cut off from the open sea by narrow entrances with shallow sills, helping to produce relatively high summer temperatures.

Finally, Scottish waters are almost entirely free from troublesome pests and diseases, and from bacterial and chemical pollution.

● *Shellfish Cultivation in Scotland*, by J. Drinkwater, is available from The Librarian, Department of Agriculture and Fisheries for Scotland, Marine Laboratory, P.O. Box 101, Victoria Road, Torry, Aberdeen, AB9 8DB, Scotland.

fish farming INTERNATIONAL

Editor
Peter Hjul

Technical Editor
Tom Way

Editorial Assistants
Peter Crosskey
Jane Cross
Adrian Varney-Burch

Advertising Manager
Steve Nixon

Production
Tim Sales

Managing Director
Harry Barrett

Promotions Director
Jack Fletcher

Representatives in Japan
S.G.C. International Ltd.
Room 311
Dormi Gotanda un Maison
2-9-7, Nishi Gotanda
Shinagawa-Ku, Tokyo 141
Japan
Tel: (03) 779 0307

Canada-West
Cromarty Book Services
P.O. Box 2149
Sechart
British Columbia
Canada V0N 3A0
Tel: (604) 885 5693
Fax: (604) 885 1903

Canada-East
Victor W. Brown
& Associates Inc.
15 Zorra Street
Toronto
Ontario M8Z 4Z6
Tel: 416 259 9631
Tlx: 06 994747

AGB Heighway Ltd.,
Cloister Court, 24
Farrington Lane, London
EC1R 3AU. Telephone:
01-253 3456. Cables:
FISPROBOK, London
SE1. Telex No. 21977.
Telefax No. (01) 250 3343.

Subscriptions
£18.00 a year for UK. Fast
delivery outside the UK
£25.00 a year.

Subscriptions Dept.
Audit House, 260 Field End
Road, Ruship, Middlessex
HA4 9LT
Telephone: 01-866 5155

SHRIMP FEED YEAST PLANT



THIS drawing shows how the new Provesta Corporation fermentation products plant will look when completed in the spring of 1988. It is being built at the Phillips Petroleum Company Research Center in Bartlesville, Oklahoma.

The facility will have a capacity of three million lb a year, and will supply Provesta with a family of yeast products for its lines which include Microfeast, used in the feeding of shrimp and fish.

Export hopes for tilapia

FILLETED Philippines "red tilapia" is seen to have considerable potential for export to the United States and Europe, reports FFI correspondent Oscar L. Vilassiss.

The Philippines has become a world leader in tilapia production systems and much of this success is due to the initial efforts of Dr. Rafael Guerrero, director of the Fisheries Research Department of the Philippine Council for Agriculture Resources Development. He introduced the sex-reversal technique whereby seven to ten day old tilapia fry are fed hormone treated food for 21 days so that they become males.

With the simplification of sex-reversal in tilapia farm-

ing, it is now possible for the grower to apply it on his farm.

The Philippines is the first country to commercialise the production of sex-reversed tilapia fingerlings in outdoor net cages, and to produce a commercial sex-reversal feed at an affordable cost.

Dr. Guerrero also introduced cage culture of tilapia which is regarded as the most developed in the world in terms of area and output.


"While other countries are still experimenting or developing new technology," he says, "We already have an industry that is practically supporting itself."

"With our cheap labour and available technology, we can be competitive with other countries in the expanding world market for tilapia."

REDUCE YOUR TOTAL ICE COSTS WITH AN AUTOMATIC ICE SYSTEM


Your total ice costs not only include the obvious costs of raw materials, machinery, energy and labor, but the often overlooked and expensive cost of moving the ice from storage to use points.

Only North Star can save you money in all these areas with a custom-designed integrated system—constructed and installed to work as a single unit to meet your company's individual, exact requirements.



ICE BINS AND RAKES

North Star Ice Bins provide storage for 35 to 500 tons of flake ice. Triangular or rectangular rakes available for either single or double unit bins. Discharge of ice can be controlled automatically.



PNEUMATIC DELIVERY

Pneumatic systems are used over longer distances, or where the ice must be elevated, or to multiple delivery points. Gravity discharge available utilizing cyclone receivers.



ICE MACHINES

North Star Ice makers have a world-wide reputation for rugged reliable performance. Production from 3 to 50 tons of flake ice per ice maker per day.



SCREW CONVEYORS

Conveyors are available painted, galvanized, or in stainless steel, and pre-assembled with factory applied insulation. Intermediate in-line discharge points can be provided utilizing manually operated, or automatically operated, curved slide gates.



ICE PLANTS

North Star can design and build a cost effective ice plant for your company, using quality equipment compatible with your present and future needs. North Star also can provide prefabricated bin enclosures.

☐ YES, I'd like to know more about North Star Ice Makers and Delivery Systems. Please send catalog.

Name _____

Company (or Industry) _____

Address _____

City _____ State _____ Zip _____

North Star

1-800-321-1381 Toll free in U.S.A.

NORTH STAR ICE EQUIPMENT CORPORATION
P.O. Box 70668 • Seattle, Washington 98107 USA
(206) 784-4500 • Cable: NORSTAR SEATTLE
Fax: (206) 784-4657 • Telex: 3710922

Zimbabwe's fish needs stimulate farming

FISH FARMING in Zimbabwe, as a small but potentially valuable sector of the agricultural industry, is finally making slow but steady progress.

Early fish farmers had to compete with cheap local red meat and the importation of popular sea fish from Mozambique and South Africa, and they were soon discouraged.

Secondly, the technological breakthroughs of recent times were unknown then, and the fledgling industry was very much in an experimental phase.

Progress was also disrupted when the fisheries section of the Henderson Agricultural Research Station closed down, with the loss of laboratories and experimental ponds.

The subsequent transfer of the experimental function to a number of small and widely dispersed National Parks stations was a retrogressive step, from an aquaculture point of view, as research effort lost impetus, integration and co-ordination, and resulted in relative stagnation through the 1960s and 1970s.

Problems were also aggravated by the country moving into a period of international isolation, sanctions, and a costly bush war, following the declaration of UDI in 1965.

Nevertheless, interest in fish farming continued, as

economic and political factors caused a reduction in the import of marine fish products, and beleaguered Rhodesians found themselves increasingly looking at their own resources to meet the demands of fish-hungry consumers.

Not surprisingly, several major fisheries developed during this period, including the seine fisheries of Lakes Mcllwaine and Robertson, and the successful pelagic fishery on Lake Kariba.

Farmers and smallholders dabbled, but the first real breakthrough in commercial aquaculture came with the establishment of a trout farm in the Eastern Highlands in the 1970s, catering to the needs of the gourmet market. A precedent was set, and with the disappearance of Mozambican prawns from the market in the mid 1970s a new opportunity was created, opening the way for the establishment of a freshwater prawn farm at Lake Kariba in early 1980. This

imaginative and exciting

Reports Dr. Dale Kenmuir

development undoubtedly stimulated further interest in aquaculture among local entrepreneurs.

In 1980 Zimbabwe gained its independence, and conditions for the establishment of fish farming improved further, with the renewal of links with the international community. This allowed access to new technology, potentially valuable live culture fish imports, and international exports.

ICLARM, for example, has established a regional office in Malawi, allowing for the monitoring and co-ordination of fish farming activities in the region as a whole, and supplying a useful link to various important international aquaculture institutions and organisations.

In addition, the Zimbabwe government has been liaising with overseas experts, sending personnel abroad, and negotiating funds to establish facilities, such as a low-veld warm water aquaculture research centre.

Paradoxically, the greatest incentive for fish farming has come from a negative factor — increasingly scarce foreign currency in the country.

Imports of "luxury" or non-essential sea foods, such as hake and kingklip, have virtually ceased, creating a strong demand for local fish.

Current production of table-type fish in Zimbabwe, mainly tilapia, totals only a few hundred tons, and cannot possibly meet the demand from restaurants, hotels and supermarkets. As a result, the price of local fish, when available, has rocketed, and now greatly exceeds that of red meat.

While rump steaks at Z\$6/kg, and stewing beef at \$3/kg, tilapia fillets of sometimes dubious quality sell at \$12/kg, oily bottlenose fillets at \$9, bony tigerfish cutlets at \$7, whole small tilapia at \$5.60 and whole tigerfish at \$4.50 (all on a strictly "when available" basis).

Not surprisingly, businessmen have responded to the shortage of fish and the profit incentive and a number of pilot or operational fish culture schemes, mostly



Looking to the future, there seems to be good possibilities for the farming of carps. Roy Burns, manager of the Kariba prawn project, had this prime specimen grown in one of the farm ponds.

growing tilapia, have started up.

Cairn's Holdings, for example, has established a tilapia production unit at Mount Hampden, outside Harare, with the ultimate intention of producing 400 tons of fish a year. To assist such developments, exotics have been imported (as possible improvements on local species), including two tilapia species from Israel (*Oreochromis niloticus* and *O. aureus*), several carps and even channel catfish.

A further incentive for the development of fish farming has been the realisation that the country's numerous reservoirs, even if maximally harvested, cannot produce the country's total fish requirements.

Cooler water

Siting farm schemes closer to these markets offsets the problem, but results in reduced growth rates and lower overall production, because of the cooler water temperatures.

Ironically, another problem is the scarcity of foreign currency, which means the importation of essential equipment or materials, if unavailable locally, is often difficult or impossible.

Fish food is expensive. Capital and development costs have risen sharply in recent years, mainly as a result of supply and demand market forces and the governments minimum wage policy, and general expertise and advice on fish farming is scarce or lacking.

Production

Present production from all sources, including the pelagic, gill and seine net fisheries, and subsistence, ration, recreational and illicit fishing, probably totals about 25,000 tons a year, but a desirable target from a minimal nutritional point of view is estimated at 70,000 tons.

To even begin to meet this need the country's water resources have to be managed and manipulated

INCREASE YIELDS WITH AIRE-O₂ AERATION SYSTEMS

The Aire-O₂ system combines aeration with horizontal mixing by adding large quantities of air while circulating the entire water volume. Aeration increases oxygen concentrations and mixing eliminates oxygen and temperature stratification.

With the Aire-O₂, the entire water volume becomes useable for aquaculture production. Stock no longer con-

gregates near the surface seeking oxygen and mixing reduces blue-green algae growth and it allows the water to store more oxygen. Improved oxygen levels encourage higher feeding rates promoting faster growth and more profits.

Research suggests that aquaculture yields may be increased 4 times or more through proper aeration. The Aire-O₂ is the most efficient aerator for aquaculture systems because it combines the two essential ingredients for successful aquaculture — AERATION AND MIXING.



SERVING THE AQUACULTURE INDUSTRY WORLDWIDE



In a cross-section, the Aire-O₂ completely mixed surface waters within 30 minutes.

GENERAL FEATURES

THE AIR INTAKE PORT — Atmospheric air above the water is drawn in through the air intake ports and into the hollow drive shaft.

ROTATING HOLLOW DRIVE SHAFT — Air travels through the hollow shaft and is aspirated into the water. Turbulence and flow created by the Aire-O₂ greatly extends bubble hang time, especially where water is only a few feet deep, and assures more efficient oxygen transfer as the bubble mixes and dissolves in the water.

THE PROPELLER — The propeller, mounted near the end of the hollow drive shaft, turns at high speed forcing the water outward past the end of the shaft horizontally and at high velocity.

AIR INJECTED INTO THE WATER — This horizontal movement of water creates a vacuum at the end of the shaft, drawing air down the shaft and into the water. The Aire-O₂ is an aspirator, not an air pump.



Aeration Industries, Inc.

1107 Hazeltine Blvd., Hazeltine, Ga., Chaska, MN 55318 USA
Ph: (612) 418-6789 Telex: 9105780838 AIRECTWO CHSK FAX: 612 448 7293
CALL TOLL FREE 1-800-328-8287



Harvest time for Kariba freshwater prawns. They are in enormous demand from hotels and restaurants.



Scarcity of quality table fish means that even coarse indigenous species like the bottlenose and nchila (seen here) find buyers in the shops at inflated prices.

Another major constraint has been the paucity of fish seed — a discouraging factor to many prospective fish farmers. A general reluctance of entrepreneurs to share hard-earned knowledge and experience is another factor hindering development.

Despite these problems, aquaculture remains an attraction, and a pioneering spirit is strongly evident among practitioners of the art. Improvisation, trial and error, and dogged determination, will undoubtedly inch Zimbabwe towards eventual establishment of a successful fish farming industry.

Keith Nicholson, a former tobacco farmer, epitomizes the experimental spirit and approach. With no prior fish farming experience, he has established a modest nine-pond tilapia unit on the shores of Lake Kariba.

Tilapia unit

Through trial and error he has devised a production technique which minimises costs and maximises growth and production — basically using simple pond-breeding methods in preference to more sophisticated techniques, fertilising with chicken manure from a neighbour's poultry unit, thinning out, and then booster-feeding the remaining stock.

Using imported tilapia (*O. niloticus*) in preference to slower growing local species, he produces marketable fish well within a year. He has also experimented with duck/fish production, but found consumer resistance, as duck-eating is not a local tradition.

A reasonable cash flow is maintained between harvesting selling surplus fingerlings as ration fish (\$2/kg) and live fingerlings as stock-fish to other farmers (\$150/1000).

The point about this typical small culture unit is that experimentation is producing results, whereas many recommended methods or preconceived plans and ideas have not been successful. This is a characteristic feature of pioneering ventures, and seems particularly true with regard to fish farming, where methods have to be adapted to local conditions, markets and circumstances, and flexibility, rather than rigidity, is required. If this venture proves successful, its essen-

tial simplicity and easy of operation will undoubtedly allow duplication elsewhere.

The experience of the neighbouring Kariba prawn farm exemplifies another characteristic of aquaculture ventures — namely, that preliminary paper exercises showing rapid capital recovery and glowing profits seldom bare any resemblance to later events, when hidden costs escalate and unforeseen problems occur.

Struggled

This enterprise has struggled to gain full financial viability against a background of rising costs, staff turnover, and various other problems, including a receding lake level which almost left the farm high-and-dry on the Kariba shoreline.

Installation of new pumps, piping, and a transformer cost the company over \$100,000, appreciably adding to the loan repayment burden.

However, a spirit of optimism prevails, and Roy Burne, the manager, is confident that the turning point has been reached. The hatchery is functioning efficiently and 16 ponds totalling 12 hectares are now in production. A ready demand for the product exists, and if the production target of 1½ ha a year can be attained, the farm will start showing a profit. But the margin for error is small, and tight management will be required if viability is to be achieved and maintained.

An interesting development on the aquaculture scene is renewed interest in carp, with imported grass and silver carp thriving at Kariba.

Specimens intended for breeding purposes have attained 6 kg in the prawn ponds. Grass carp have now been induced to breed, using the hormone injection technique, opening the way for further polyculture experiments and the possible sale of fingerlings to farmers elsewhere. Attempts are also underway to induce breeding in the silver carp.


Keith Nicholson has grown carp to marketable size in his tilapia ponds, and to his surprise obtained a better price on the local market than for tilapia.

"People want a bigger, meatier fish," he explains. "They'll take carp first, tilapia second, and ducks third."

A pleasing factor with his carp production was that the fingerlings, unlike tilapia, all grew at the same rate, resulting in a crop of uniform-sized fish. This eliminates a grading problem, and helps with marketing. Karen Burne, wife of Roy, maintains that silver carp are not unlike kingklip in quality of taste, and feels they would do well on the local table market.

Zimbabwe fish farmers, mostly battling to grow tilapia near the markets in cool highveld conditions, and bedevilled by the wild-breeding problem that affects low technology systems, will probably show interest in carp if the market is receptive — as it appears to be.

In previous years, market taste were largely white orientated, whereas today black tastes prevail, and carp are not unlike, although superior to, the popular indigenous nchila species (labao). Carp might well be more acceptable to the market today than previously, especially if the comparison with good seafood holds true for some of the species.



The freshwater prawn is one of the promising farm species now being raised in Zimbabwe at Lake Kariba.

If a major constraint to aquaculture development in Zimbabwe has been the lack of easily available seed, then a few private large-scale producers of carp and tilapia seed could rectify this shortcoming, and pave the way for development.

Mike Moroney, of V & M Fisheries on Lake Robertson, is developing his tilapia farm both as a food and fry producer (using *O. niloticus* and *O. aureus*), and could emerge as a major producer of seed.

Breeding stocks of tilapia will need to be carefully husbanded, however, if hybridisation of imports and local species is to be avoided. As it is, invasion of river systems by imports is almost a certainty, if fry are distributed to growers around the country. Government will need to monitor the situation closely.

The present fish farming scene in Zimbabwe is an exciting one — still in its infancy, but seemingly poised for rapid expansion. The key to success could well be the availability of seed, and perhaps a movement away from tilapia culture towards carp production, or a mixed culture of tilapia, carp and perhaps even catfish.

The ultimate answer to large-scale fish production could well lie with the various carp species, already well proven on the international aquaculture scene. They are at home in both lowland and upland conditions, show vastly superior growth to tilapia, and require minimal supervision.



A farm pond owner gets help to harvest his tilapia crop.



The small size of these tilapia underlines a problem faced by local farmers — that of reduced growth and production in the watershed areas.

PRACTICAL TRAINING FOR FISH FARMERS

At Horsens Technical College we offer education, practical training and advanced/intensive courses, and help in structuring educational planning for the Fish Farming Industry. We can also help in the setting up of Fish Farms in the Developing Countries.

Examples of course content:

- Ecology, Fish Biology
- Water Quality
- Fish Diseases
- Visits to various Fish Farms (Fresh and/or Salt Water)
- Glassfibre and PVC works
- Welding
- Engine and Pump Maintenance & Repair, including Marine Units
- Electricity
- All aspects of Fish Farm Construction, Maintenance and Repair

For prices and availability of courses please contact:

CLAES KJAER MATHIESEN HORSENS TECHNICAL COLLEGE

DEPT. OF AQUACULTURE
SLOTSGADE 11 - DK 8700 HORSENS
DENMARK

Telephone +45 5 62 50 88
Telex 61 788 HTS DK
Telefax +45 5 61 43 15



DEPT. OF AQUACULTURE

BADINOTTI S.p.A.

Manufacturers of knotless and knotted
nylon fishing nets
Specialized in nets for aquaculture

Every mesh size
Every measure



Main Office:

Viale B. D'Este, 18
20122 Milan
TELEPHONE 8394951 - 8394953
TELEX 321 165 BAPESC I
TELEFAX 39-2-83 73 844

Branch Office:

RETEX PERUANA S.A.
Javier Prado Oeste 1195
S. Isidro - Lima, Peru
TELEPHONE 413785 - 415351
TELEX 20285 RETEX PE

Prawn harvest at a Bohai Sea farm site.



China has big plans for marine farms

**Report by
NI BAINAN
China Features**

FINGERLING EELS

Scandinavian Silver Eel has Fingerling eels available today.

- Virus tested
- Weaned onto crumb
- Suitable for farming or restocking
- Health certificates available
- Prices on application
- Read about us in *FFI* issue Nov/1986

Also available high quality consumption eels

For further information please contact:

scandinavian silver eel

Address: Scandinavian Silver Eel AB
Box 902
S-251 09 HELSINGBORG
Sweden
Telex: 72631 bolidhe S
Telephone: +46-42-14 24 33, 17 10 00

ALREADY established as the world's largest producer of freshwater farmed fish, China is now developing ambitious plans to expand marine farming. A flourishing mariculture industry is taking shape along the country's extensive coastline.

According to figures released by the Ministry of Agriculture, Animal Husbandry and Fisheries, production of marine products from farming in 1986 increased by 21 per cent over that of 1985 to reach a total of 860,000 metric tons. Some 320,000 hectares of water surface were used.

At present, Liaoning and Shandong provinces in the north and Fujian province in the south-east are the nation's three leading marine farm areas. Each harvests more than 200,000 tons of products a year.

Situated along the west rim of the Pacific ocean, China has 18,000 km of coastline. It administers a total sea area of three million sq. km, which is equal to about one-third its land area.

For many people on the coast, marine breeding is a potentially quick way to improve earnings. One survey shows that marine products raised in a hectare of water surface bring in three to five times the income from farming a similar-sized piece of land.

With suitable farmland scarce and a huge population, China is facing a labour surplus problem. Also, excessive land reclamation is upsetting the ecological balance. Utilisation of beach and coastal waters is therefore seen as an ideal way to tackle the problems.

China's marine industry used to focus on catching rather than farming, thus draining offshore resources. Development of marine breeding, therefore, is a strategic shift of vital importance for the country.

Development plans aim at using more shallow water space and reclaiming more beach areas in the years ahead.

An early target is one million tons of farmed marine products by 1990. The main

harvest at present consists of seaweeds (kelp and laver), prawns, scallops, common mussels and abalone.

Seaweeds accounted for 23.7 per cent of the 1986 harvest. China is presently the world's largest producer of kelp, which is used as a food and as a source of iodine. Production is expected to increase further by 1990 and 50,000 to 70,000 tons of dry kelp will be utilised by the iodine-making industry.

China is now becoming one of the world's leading producers of farmed prawns, with most of the output exported to Japan and Hong Kong.

Once concentrated in the north, prawn farming is expanding rapidly in the southern coastal provinces

where fishermen and farmers are building large numbers of ponds.

Technical breakthroughs are helping the growth of prawn breeding which is earning much-needed foreign exchange.

The scallop was once shunned in China, but people are now recognising its high nutritional value, and it is appearing more and more on hotel and restaurant menus. Scallop eating is now very popular in Shandong and Liaoning provinces.

In 1986, China's harvested 230,000 tons of scallops.

Also increasing is the production of mussels, sea cucumbers and abalone.

"If the industry keeps growing at its present pace, our plan should be fulfilled, possibly ahead of schedule."

BIOMACO

FISH FARMING SYSTEM

WITH THE REVOLUTIONARY BIO-DRUMS, FISH FARMING IS MADE POSSIBLE EVERYWHERE!



Also suitable for eel farming

Water consumption 50 to 150 times less than traditional fish farming.
30 to 50 times heavier fish population per m³ of tank. In a 10m³ tank we are raising 1000kg rainbow trout using only 6m³ water per day (0.07 litre second).
For further information and offers for BIO-DRUMS, please contact us.

BIOMACO
Lothweg 107, DK-9700 Brunderslev
Telephone DK 08-8874 69

NUTRITIONAL QUALITY IS NO LUXURY IF SURVIVAL IS DEPENDING ON IT

Backed by a highly qualified expertise on larval fish/crustacean farming and on-going R & D programme

ARTEMIA SYSTEMS is offering you:

—CYSTS: Selected batches of Artemia cysts with a certified hatching, biometrical and HUFA analysis.

—TOPAL: An off the shelf highly performing substitute for algae used as a diet for shrimp larvae and rotifers. Thanks to its high content of protein, vitamins and HUFA, TOPAL is also used as a nutrition booster for Artemia nauplii and rotifers.

—SELCO: A cheap and easy to administer HUFA enrichment diet for Artemia nauplii and rotifers; it boosts the level of the essential fatty acids 20:5w3 and 22:6w3 HUFA, the latter mostly lacking in Artemia.

—SUPAR: A performing combination of selected Artemia cysts with a SELCO based HUFA enrichment diet, but all in one.

—MARILA: A maturation triggering diet for crustaceans, used after bioencapsulation in adult Artemia or as an ingredient in formulated diets.

—NUTRITIONAL ENGINEERING IS OUR BUSINESS
—ASK FOR OUR COMPREHENSIVE USER'S MANUAL

artemia
systems

F. Laurentplein 29, B-9000 Ghent
(Belgium). Tel: 091.23.95.52.
Telex: 11.908

Fremantle college develops marron battery farming

THE MARRON (*Cherax tenuimanus*) is a freshwater crayfish found in the wild in the south-west area of Western Australia. One of the world's largest crayfish, it is a promising species for farming and is being grown in a number of aquaculture projects.

Until recent years, however, very little was known about the marron and its culture possibilities, but training and research at institutions such as the Fremantle College of TAFE has developed steadily and courses are now being offered to farmers.

The Maritime Studies Department of the Fremantle College began with a collec-

tion of borrowed equipment, second-hand repaired tanks and donated marron, Wayne Hastings of the Department told FFI.

That was in 1984 when there was some uncertainty about the direction in which the marron and the aquaculture industry were heading.

Today, aquaculture subjects are being included in existing Fishing Certificate and Diploma courses. Marron farming short courses of 20 hours are planned for this year.

Courses on prawns, trout and other species are also being considered; and training manuals and videos are being produced.

But marron culture development has been the focus

of attention, the aim being to produce marron in large quantities, quickly, in various sizes, and in a commercially viable environment.

TAFE is not basically a research institution. But aquaculture is a relatively new industry in Western Australia and presently lacks established industrial techniques and methods. Training and research have therefore been linked.

Students have done research into marron culture as part of their study courses.

According to the college, considerable progress in marron battery culture has been made over the past four years.

"Through trial and error,

we are getting closer and closer to a practical and efficient marron battery system that will be commercially viable," Mr. Hastings told FFI.

Testing of the next generation of marron battery farming development will be part of student assessment for relevant courses in 1988.

"We can now see the light at the end of the tunnel for final development of a viable marron culture system," said Mr. Hastings.

Enquiries about the courses and marron battery systems can be made to Wayne Hastings, Maritime Studies Department, Fremantle College of TAFE, 41 South Terrace, Fremantle, Western Australia 6160.

Pollution down

THE environment for fish farming in the United States is better today than ten years ago. Pollution has been considerably reduced due to the introduction of unleaded petrol, upgraded sewage treatment and less use of pesticides.

This is the preliminary conclusion of Texas University researchers who are conducting a five-year study of coastal water contaminants. Their work is part of the federally funded National Status and Trends programme to determine long-range environmental conditions.

Oyster samples from 50 locations in the Gulf of Mexico have been tested for toxic metals, pesticides and other pollutants. Trace metal levels were discovered to be high in some places.



Harvesting razor clams on the coast of Fujian in south-east China.

said Ministry official Tu Fengjun.

Investment funds and incentives are crucial to implementation of the development programme.

Local governments have taken it upon themselves to pool funds from various sources and take out loans to develop projects. Individuals have been encouraged to start farms that will result in profits.

Dalian, a city in southern Liaodong Peninsula, is an example. The city has 62,000 ha of beach and shallow water space suitable for marine farming, but money is short.

The need is being met through government loans, co-operative investments, and investments from foreign countries. A total of 147 million yuan (about US\$40 million), including \$14 million in foreign exchange, has been pumped into the industry in recent years.

Dalian's maricultural output reached 228,000 tons in 1986, well over double the 102,000 tons of 1985. One-third of the city's sea area suitable for farming is being used.

Shenyang county in Jiangsu province provides another example of the development taking place.

There, farmers are being encouraged to work the waste beach and shallow waters. They are exempt from paying taxes for three

years after completion of their fish and prawn ponds.

As a result, 909 households with more than 3000 people have settled in the beach area and they have dug 730 ha of ponds.

Price reforms are making the structure of the marine industry more rational and the combined output of fish, prawns and other expensive marine products rose from nine per cent in 1985 to 15 per cent of total marine production in 1986.

Time to apply

APPLICATIONS can now be made for the third round of European Community grants for aquaculture projects which include the construction, equipment or modernisation of installations for rearing fish, crustaceans or molluscs.


Aquaculture projects must have sufficient capacity to ensure sustained commercial operation.

In the UK, applications should be sent to the appropriate government departments of agriculture and fisheries.

The closing date for receipt of applications by the EEC Commission is March 31. Those submitting them for aquaculture in the UK are advised to get them into the fisheries departments by January 31.

No other marine fish farm even comes close...

PLATFORM 3500™



PLATFORM 3500 is the proof that marine fish farming can be safer, more productive and more efficient. Not only does PLATFORM 3500 have universal solutions to simplify every aspect of farming in cages. It also gives you the freedom to choose a site in exposed waters. PLATFORM 3500 has been tested for and survives extreme weather conditions - guaranteed PLATFORM 3500. The Leading Technology - for People in the Lead.

FARMOCLEAN AB
OFFSHORE FARMING TECHNOLOGY

Datavägen 14A, S-436 00 ASKIM/Gothenburg, Sweden
Tel +46 31 68 05 90. Telex 82 05 210 FARMO

- ☐ send more information on the carefree farming of PLATFORM 3500
- ☐ please contact me

Name _____

Title _____

Company _____

Address _____

MARINE FARMING THRIVES ON THE ORKNEY ISLANDS



One of many Atlantic salmon now being raised in sea and land-based farms in Orkney. This photograph was taken on Mainland Salmon's pump-ashore unit on the island of Rousay.

ORKNEY, the group of islands six miles north of the Scottish mainland, between the Atlantic Ocean and the North Sea, is fast gaining a reputation for the high quality of its farmed seafoods. Atlantic salmon and oysters head the present list of cultured species, and in a few years time farm-raised cod and halibut could also become products of these fertile islands.

A forward-looking community of some 19,000 people, Orkney has always tried to make the most of development opportunities provided by its natural resources, its strategic position and the enterprise and skill of its people.

Long renowned for the excellent of its beef, cheeses, oatcakes, lobsters and other high quality foods, Orkney is building on this reputation with the controlled expansion of its fish and shellfish farming industry.

Warmed by the Gulf Stream to give an annual temperature range of 4-14 deg. C, the clear waters surrounding the islands are said to be well suited to fish farming.

Due to their exposed location, the Orkney Islands have a limited number of sites suitable for cage culture but have gone on to develop a number of successful pump ashore salmon farms.

Superior

It is the proud boast of the Orkney Salmon Growers Association that its members produce superior fish of the finest quality.

"This quality is achieved by the farms mostly being run by owner/managers, ensuring a high level of attention to husbandry

which is reflected in the final product," says the Association.

While individual farms are small, ranging between 50 and 250 metric tons annual production, marketing is done co-operatively to fulfil larger orders and local quality control is said to ensure that each farm produces salmon of the highest standard. Orders are graded to requirements, and more and more Orkney farms will also be recognised by the Foodmark symbol indicating superior quality fish.

Processing of farmed salmon is already being developed in the islands and most salmon farms are now

able to supply smoked salmon and salmon portions as well as fresh salmon to suit customer requirements.

Good transport links mean that freshly harvested farmed fish can be delivered to London within 72 hours. For special deliveries, Orkney is well serviced by several daily flights linking the islands through the UK mainland with anywhere in the world.

Formerly an old Norse jarldom which was pledged to Scotland in 1468, the island group is administered by Orkney Islands Council. The Council actively promotes economic development, and has given considerable help to the emerging fish farming industry.

The Council assists new and expanding businesses in a variety of ways. It provides sites and premises; assists and advises on technical, financial and marketing aspects; arranges joint Orkney stands at trade fairs; provides financial assistance; and works closely with the

Highlands and Islands Development Board, which also offers development assistance.

Alan Coghill, the Council's industrial development officer, was convinced in the 1960s that salmon could be grown in Orkney.

"It was a long, up-hill struggle to persuade people that in fact Orkney is better suited to growing salmon than many other areas," he told FFI. "The results since we started have proved it."

Convinced

"Back before it all started a group of councillors and ourselves went and looked at what was going on elsewhere in fish and shellfish farming. We came back convinced that we should press on and ignore those advisors who said it was not possible to farm fish in the north."

Ken Sutherland, who now runs the successful farming and processing venture called Orkney Fish Farms, was the pioneer. He started



BORIS NETS
**FRESH WATER
FISH CAGE NETS
SEAWATER FISH
CAGE NETS &
PREDATOR NETS
TREATMENT
CURTAINS
&
TREATMENT
BAGS**

For further details and brochure contact:

MR. JOHN HOWARD
BORIS NET CO. LTD.
COPSE ROAD, FLEETWOOD
FY7 6RP, UK
Telephone: 03917 4891
After hours: 03917 78655
Telex: 677216 COFISH



**Hugh Norman
(Aquaculture) Ltd**

**Filled Universal
Buoys with central
steelwork**

At a price which competes with inflatables.
Modular system giving buoyancy from 34kg to 155kg

From £25 to £90 + VAT

Inflatable Buoys

From 20" to 100"

Marker Lights

Sturdy, waterproof, economical. Daylight sensitive, yellow lens. 12 flashes per minute or adjustable. Takes 4 'D' batteries£25 + VAT

Unit 1, Castle Road Ind. Estate
Ellon, Aberdeenshire AB4 9RF
Tel: 0358 22422. Tlx: 739335
Fax: 0358 24168



Filling the hopper of a Tassomat automatic feeder on an Orkney salmon cage farm.



Orkney at a glance

ORKNEY is an archipelago of about 70 islands separated from Caithness in the Scottish mainland by a six-mile wide stretch of water called the Pentland Firth. The islands cover an area of 376 square miles, and they extend 53 miles north-north-eastwards. West to east, Orkney measures 23 miles.

Some 19,350 people inhabit 18 of the islands, the principal ones being Mainland (or Pomona), South Ronaldsay, Westray, Sanday, Stronsay and Hoy. The towns of Kirkwall and Stromness have populations of 6681 and 2160 respectively.

The terrain is fertile farmland mostly, undulating and low. The climate is relatively mild.

Access by road is by roll-on roll-off ferry from Scabster (125 minutes), by sea from Scrabster or John O'Groats (45 minutes) and by air from Inverness (45 minutes) or Glasgow (125 minutes).

measured in thousands of tons rather than hundreds," he pointed out. "But I don't think our industry is any the worse for that because we have seen fairly logical and consolidated growth.

farming salmon in the sheltered waters of Scapa Flow in 1980, when he embarked on a Council-assisted pilot scheme.

At the same time, the Council also began a programme of research into shellfish culture, looking at oysters, scallops and mussels. Ingeborg Dickie was employed as shellfish co-ordinator, and from those small beginnings a significant shellfish farming industry is now emerging based largely on oysters, but with queen scallops also showing significant promise.

To assist development of fish and shellfish farming the Council provided assistance towards the initial cost of trials, at the rate of 50 per

cent of costs up to a maximum of £1000 in the case of oyster farming and £3000 in salmon farming. The HIDB was not able to give Orkney's budding fish farmers assistance in their first year, so the Council had to find some means of providing production sites and giving people some experience.

Ten growing

There are now about ten companies active in on-growing salmon in Orkney as well as five hatcheries providing young stock.

According to Alan Coghill, the industry is developing satisfactorily. "It hasn't shown the enormous growth of Shetland, where output is



Simple wooden cages are used by many Orkney salmon farmers, but there is a growing interest in more substantial units capable of withstanding the conditions at more exposed sites.



Industrial development officer Alan Coghill (left) chats with Orkney shellfish farmer Dave Bichan.

"We are developing everything together instead of expanding production and hoping for the best. Orkney seems to have established a pretty good reputation for its farmed fish in terms of both supply and quality, and the growers have been careful not to get themselves into an over-supply situation."

Production statistics for the shellfish sector are hard

to come by. But, according to Stewart Crichton, secretary of the Orkney Shellfish Growers Association, the islands' 16 oyster farms had somewhere between three and four million oysters in the water during my visit in October 1987.

Orkney's oyster growers hope to have around 1.5 million oysters for sale in 1988. But if the industry is to really take off, said Mr.

Crichton, more attention will have to be paid to marketing and to acquiring better quality spat for on-growing.

Excellent progress is, however, already being achieved in the shellfish growing sector, which is forecast to make strong advances over the next few years as businesses become better established and the demand for their products increases.

SCOTTISH FISH FARMING CONFERENCE & EXHIBITION

23 - 24 - 25 February, 1988

INVERNESS

- Attractive setting in a modern theatre complex
- Specialist sessions on SALMON TROUT SHELLFISH

- Conference dinner
- Trade exhibition
- Optional ski weekend after the conference

Return the coupon for a copy of the conference programme and booking form.

To: Dr. F. J. Landless
Fish Farm Consultant
45 Ashcroft Road
Cirencester
Glos.
GL7 1QZ
U.K.

☐ Please send me a delegates pack

☐ Please send me details of exhibition space

Name

Company

Address



BENESTHER SHELLFISH

Oysters from Orkney

Explore the taste of the Isles

FOR TOP QUALITY FARMED PACIFIC OYSTERS AND PRINCESS SCALLOPS

FRESH OR SMOKED

Grown in clean waters so you taste them seafresh, not out of a sterile tank.

Contact: Dennis Gowland 0856 74267



FISH FARMS

Producer of

Farmed Atlantic salmon reared in the clear clean waters of Scapa Flow.

Also

Sweet marinated herring.

(Flavours: Plain, Dill, Madeira, Sherry)

Contact:

Ken Sutherland, Cairn Road, Stromness, Orkney.
Tel. 0856 850514.

Orkney marine farms

Salmon pioneer also a processor

FRESH salmon, smoked salmon, smoked salmon pate and smoked salmon chipolatas. These are just some of the seafood products now available from Orkney Fish Farms, an enterprise started by pioneering fish farmer Ken Sutherland.

A former merchant navy captain, Mr. Sutherland is reputed to have been the first person to farm salmon in the Orkney Islands. Since he established his cage farm in the clean, sheltered waters of Scapa Flow in 1980, he has gone on to culture Pacific oysters and is now producing what must surely be some of the best sweet marinated herring products outside Denmark.

Starting out with 1000 smolts seven years ago, Mr. Sutherland has gradually expanded his salmon farming activities every year and plans to stabilise his annual smolt intake at about 25,000. This will enable him to pay due attention to his other seafood activities, which also include the production of smoked eels, kipper pate and smoked scallops.

Orkney Fish Farms presently raises salmon

in home-built wood and polystyrene cages. But when FFI visited Orkney in October, Mr. Sutherland and a number of other local farmers were planning to fly over to Shetland to check out the performance of the larger plastic Polarcle and steel Nordic and Viking cages used there in more open waters.

The oyster unit operated by Orkney Fish Farms is situated in Longhope and is looked after by Angus Budge. It presently consists of a small trestle system on which around 100,000 Pacific oysters are being ongrown. However, the firm is to concentrate on the raft culture of oysters and is planning to expand its shellfish farming operations if other commitments allow.

Full of ideas for adding value to Orkney's farmed fish and shellfish by further processing, Mr. Sutherland believes that more and more oysters will have to be processed into products that consumers really want to eat. He is presently considering marinating oysters in the same way as his herring products.



Birsay Hatcheries' Iain MacLeod and Kevin Grieve in front of the unit's C&H Plastics smolt rearing tanks. The automatic feeders were supplied by Tess Aquaculture.

Hatcheries supply home-bred stock

ORKNEY is developing the smolt production side of its salmon farming industry and aims to produce significantly more of its own young stock. This is so that in future, yearly supplies of salmon may be guaranteed of a quality especially bred in Orkney.

The development of several new salmon hatcheries in Orkney over the past few years should ease the demand for Scottish smolts in 1988, according to the Council's Department of Economic Development, which points out that the limited supply of freshwater is a major constraint on large-scale production.

October more tanks were being installed to boost future yearly production to 150,000.

Water from the large Loch of Swannay is pumped into a header tank from where it flows by gravity into an 8 ft deep sump and then to the hatchery and rearing tanks before returning to the loch via a large concrete settling tank. Filtration of the in-going water is provided by a Triangle filter, fitted with a 30-micron screen, supplied by Tess Aquaculture Ltd.

The Birsay hatchery has two pumps, one for normal use and one for emergency, and there is a standby generator set which starts up automatically in the event of a power failure. All the farm's rearing tanks are fitted with high/low water level

sensors, which form part of an AquaTronic monitoring and alarm system supplied by Hi-Sat Communications Ltd. This system also monitors the water level in the header tank.

Troughs

The Birsay hatchery is composed of two banks of C&H Plastics Mk. 3 hatching troughs. These are designed to provide a first class environment for eggs and young fish up to the first feeding stage. Each unit is based on the proven Californian principle with the water upwelling, into each basket. Egg screens are provided through which the young fish drop into the alevin trays which reduce fish movement and bunching.

When FFI visited Birsay,

ORKNEY

TOP QUALITY FARMED PRODUCTS FROM ORKNEY SALMON GROWERS ASSOCIATION AND ORKNEY SHELLFISH GROWERS ASSOCIATION

QUICK AND EASY ACCESS TO MARKETS IN THE UK AND EUROPE WITH LINKS TO THE U.S.A.



Further information from
Department of Economic
Development
Orkney Islands Council
School Place
KIRKWALL
ORKNEY KW15 1NY
Tel. 0856 3535. Fax. 0856 4615



GP

EQUIPMENT



- Hatching Troughs
- Incubators
- Rearing Tanks
- Insulated Transport Tanks
- Graders
- Feeding Systems
- Perforated Aluminium PVC
- Aeration Equipment
- Clothing

SEND FOR OUR CATALOGUE

By courtesy of Messrs. Joseph Johnston & Sons Ltd., Montrose



SOLE AGENTS
United Kingdom & Ireland

KEMERS

Telephone: 031 440 4315
Telex: 72686 LGE G
Fax: 031 440 1785

C & H Plastics

Pentland Industrial Estate, Loanhead, Midlothian, EH20 9QH

First cages were in Scapa Flow

ESTABLISHED in 1973, Orkney Seafoods Ltd. specialises in the supply of live lobsters and fresh or smoked salmon. As revealed in *FFI* last month, the firm recently commissioned an impressive new smoking plant and processing facility in Kirkwall, where its lobster storage ponds are also situated.

Orkney Seafoods farms its salmon in floating cages in the Lamb Holm area near the Italian Chapel, the only relic of Camp 60, which housed in the later years of World War II several hundred Italian prisoners.

These men, captured during the North African campaign, were sent to Orkney to work on the Churchill Barrier, a massive series of concrete causeways that seal the eastern approaches to Scapa Flow, which at that time was an important British naval base.

Today, the barrier linking Lamb Holm with the Orkney Mainland provides shelter for Orkney Seafoods'

salmon farm. Before the barrier was built 14 knot currents used to sweep through the sound.

Orkney Seafoods is an associate company of Konrad Sekkinstad of Sotra, near Bergen in Norway. The Norwegian company has been involved in salmon farming since the late 1960s, and was trading in lobsters well before that. It started buying Orkney lobsters in the 1960s and later established Orkney Seafoods.

But in 1984, when the lobster business in Orkney became more seasonal than the parent company would have liked, it decided to

diversify and expand into salmon farming.

The company's first site was on the Scapa Flow side of the barrier. It was reasonably successful, but the potential for expansion looked limited, for the area was later identified by the harbour authority as a refuelling zone for offshore vessels.

Accordingly, the salmon cages were positioned on the eastern side of the barrier off Lamb Holm and in the first year were stocked with 25,000 smolts. Some 40,000 smolts a year were taken in over the next two years, expansion being prevented in 1986 by the limited availability of smolts from mainland hatcheries. The 1987 intake was 100,000 smolts.

The 40,000 smolts have given a year production of salmon in excess of 100 tons, and Orkney Seafoods is cur-


rently expanding its annual production to around 250 tons.

Salmon from Orkney Seafoods is sold on the fresh market in London, to smokers in Scotland, and quantities have also been exported to mainland Europe, particularly France. The company is now closely scanning other markets as potential recipients of its Superior Orkney Smokehouse salmon.


The firm's Orkney-raised salmon, most of which goes to the fresh market, is presently on-grown in ten metre square cages. These were locally built in timber and polystyrene and have survived remarkably well.

David McKeane, who until recently managed the farm, told *FFI* the south-east winds and large swells

continued next page



Birsay Hatcheries' Triangle filter takes out the suspended solids from the inlet water.



The farm's Aqua-Tronic monitoring and alarm system was supplied by Hi-Sat Communications. This is the control panel.

the outside rearing section was composed of 14 four-metre and two five-metre diameter C&H Plastics tanks, and another six five-metre units had been ordered to accommodate the extra production. All the outdoor tanks have fitted covers, and their automatic feeders were supplied by Tess Aquaculture.

Can check

The popular Tessomat fingerling feeder has a capacity of 15 litres and the hopper is made of transparent plastic so that the farmer can check the feed level even at a distance. The lid is hinged with an elastic strap at the back which is permanently attached to the hopper, making refilling easy. The lid is secured with another elastic at the front, keeping the unit tightly sealed.

Adjustment of the feed dispenser is straightforward

both in respect of quantity dispensed and feed size. The desired gap is achieved by loosening the locknut under the hopper and screwing the vibrating plate up or down. The locknut is then retightened.

All the salmon eggs hatched so far by Birsay have been obtained from Orkney Seafoods' Namsen broodstock. The smolts produced from these eggs have been sold back to Orkney Seafoods, which is growing them on to market size in its own cage farm.

Tanks

The smolts are delivered in two 310 gallon insulated transport tanks. Constructed in double skin glassfibre with a PU foam core, these C&H Plastics tanks feature integral steel skids and lifting eyes, a large clamp-down hatch, 15 cm Mucon diaphragm main outlet and 5 cm drain down valve.


TESS AQUACULTURE LTD

SPECIALISTS IN

Fish farming equipment — tanks, cages, nets, automatic feeding systems, all ancillary equipment for fish farming

THE "TRIANGLE FILTER"

The enormous growth of the fish farming industry throughout the world, has pushed the use of suitable water to the extreme limits. This technical innovation is an aid to controlling the purity of both intake water and outlet water.



The "Triangle Filter" is available with the following capacities:
380,000 gals./day approx.
760,000 gals./day approx.
1,500,000 gals./day approx.


EGG PICKING MACHINES WITH COUNTER NOW AVAILABLE

TESS AQUACULTURE LTD, Sambrook, Newport, Salop TF10 8AW. Tel: (095 279) 294. Telex: 35898 TESSAQ G

AQUATRONIC

OSINGHALL, SCOTLAND

PNEUMATIC FEEDER SYSTEM



The first efficient low cost programmable pneumatic feeder system specifically designed to give the optimum range, quantity, and spread of feed required.

The Feed Hoppers are available in sizes from 10 litres, and are manufactured in a translucent, UV protected Thermoplastic, with Zinc plated hinge and latch.

The Gun Body is manufactured from Cast Bronze alloy, with non-corrosion fittings.

The Universal Mounting Bracket is made from cast aluminium alloy and is designed to allow a choice of position — ie on tank rim as illustrated, inside tank, or on external wall of tank with nozzle protruding through to permit feeding under lids or nets, etc.

Complete feeder system including compressor can be supplied fully assembled with push-fit connectors for simple installation.

Designed and Manufactured by

HI-SAT COMMUNICATIONS LTD

KNOX—THE NET MAKER

THE ONLY BRITISH MANUFACTURER OF KNOTLESS NETTING FOR FISH CAGE NETS

Your net, tailor made from twine and mesh size to suit your individual requirement.

OUR RANGE OF PRODUCTS ALSO INCLUDE:

- ★ Net treatments
- ★ Net renovation and re-treatment service
- ★ Production of mesh nets
- ★ Made to measure bath cage liners
- ★ Shellfish culture bags
- ★ Mussel stocking

W. & J. KNOX LTD.,

Kilbirnie, Ayrshire, KA 25 7DY, Scotland, U. K.

Telephone: 0505 682511. Cables: Knox Kilbirnie. Telex: 778351

Agents: Canada — East Coast: J. M. Anderson Consultants Inc., St. Andrews, NB. Tel. 506 5293478

Canada — West Coast: Hoyle Industries Ltd., North Vancouver BC. Tel. (604) 986 3311

Faroes — P. F. Skjottelund, Hov. Tel. 73587

Chile: Prospec S.A., Santiago Tel. 727409. Greece: Alix Ltd., Athens. Tel. (01) 724 3944

New Zealand: Gourock Fibres & Plastics NZ Ltd., Auckland. Tel. 765-180

Turkey: Pinar Deniz Urunleri A.S., Izmir, Turkey. Tel. (51) 223379



Orkney marine farms

From Page 13

can create problems when trying to launch the work-boat from the beach.

If fish farming in Orkney is to expand into more exposed waters there is clearly a need for cages that will withstand the battering they are likely to get at certain times. Recently, a number of farmers from Orkney visited Shetland to view some of the larger steel and plastic cages employed there, so a move to more substantial enclosures could be on the cards.

Orkney Seafoods packs its salmon in an impressive modern plant close to the farm.

"When the Norwegians decide to do something they do it properly," observed David McKeane.

The fish is harvested into Paxton bins which once they enter the plant, are lifted by electric hoist and tipped onto the input table of the polished stainless steel processing line supplied by Sotra Rustfri Steel Industri of Norway. All the fish is bled. It is then gutted, washed and individually weighed, graded and finally packed with ice.

The plant, which was built in 1985, is equipped with a German Ziegler ice plant of 1.5 tons a day capacity, and has separate chill, box and food stores as well as wash-rooms and sleeping accommodation.




Orkney Seafoods' Dawson Shearer feeds salmon at the company's cage farm near Lamb Holm. Talking to him is Ken Chalmers of Hugh Norman (Aquaculture).

AQUATRONIC SYSTEMS

A RANGE OF SYSTEMS SPECIFICALLY DESIGNED FOR AQUACULTURE

MONITORING

Water Level
Water Flow
pH Level
Temperature
Oxygen
Conductivity
Light Level
Intruder Detn



CONTROLS

..... > Pumps
..... > Valves
..... > Dosing
..... > Heating
..... > Aeration
..... > Sirens
..... > Beacons
..... > Auto-Phone

Many other functions available on request

SINGLE MONITORS TO COMPLETE COMPUTERIZED INSTALLATIONS

THE CHOICE IS YOURS

Designed and Manufactured by HI-SAT Communications Ltd., 3 Bridgend Ind. Est., Dingwall, SCOTLAND IV15 9SL (0349) 62043

Wind power pumps seawater to on-shore tanks

ESTABLISHED at the beginning of 1984 close to Kirkwall airport, the Ingness Bay Salmon Farm is owned and operated by Duncan and George Currie, both of whom are commercial divers and also run a construction business.

Their pump-ashore farm started with just four tanks. Another six were added the following year and when FFI visited Orkney three more pre-cast Ficem fibreglass reinforced concrete tanks measuring 25

metres is diameter and 2.5 metre deep were being erected.

"Each of these new tanks should hold between 15,000 and 17,000 salmon through to market, and will increase our annual output of top quality Orkney salmon to 110-130 tons," said George Currie.

All the construction work on the farm has been done by the Curries, who in 1986 invested in a 72 kW Vestas wind turbine. This turns nature's silent power into energy for pumping the seawater from the bay through the fish tanks.

Wind is the dominant feature in the Orkney climate, and Mr. Currie says the turbine looks as though it will be a good investment.

"We get plenty of wind up here, and though the generator is supposed to have an output of 75 kW, we have been getting up to 94 kW," he said. "At that time we were only using 70-75 kW at the farm so we were exporting the surplus to the Hydro."

The Curries installed the wind generator to help cut the running costs of their pump-ashore farm, something they see as essential if they are to cope with tumbling salmon prices that have been forecast as world-wide production of this fish soars.

Ingness Bay Salmon Farm's three new 25 metre diameter tanks are linked to smaller tanks, in which the fish can be starved before



George Currie of the Ingness Bay Salmon Farm gives his salmon a top-up feed using a BP high-energy diet. Looking on is Stewart Crichton of the Orkney Islands Council.

OFFERED FOR EXPORT!

TOP QUALITY DANISH EYED EGGS
DISEASE-FREE RAINBOW, BROOK AND
PURE 'RED SPOTS' BROWN TROUT EGGS

From Broodstock all reared in pure springwater

Delivery: December through to May
Health Certificate: Accompanies eggs, confirming absence of VHS, IPN, BKD, IHN, ERM, PKD and WHIRLING DISEASE.

Please Contact: Mr. R. C. Vermont
COFRADEX APSR
Slationsvej 12
DK-2950 VEDBAEK
DENMARK
TEL: 02/ 89 17 67 or 02/89 33 61
TLX: 37467 VERMON DK

ORKNEY SEAFOODS LTD.

10-12 Ayre Road, Kirkwall, Orkney, KW15 1QX



Telephone:

Office — Kirkwall 2173 (STD Code 0856)

Fish Farm — Holm 364 (0856 78)

Private — W. Raeburn Kirkwall 2989

Fax No. 2153



PRODUCTS: Smoked Salmon, Farm Salmon, Lobster and other Shellfish



Wild Orkney lobsters in Orkney Seafoods' storage ponds in Kirkwall. Some 1650 cwt of lobsters valued at £570,047 were landed in Orkney in 1986. Hatchery reared juvenile lobsters have for the last few years been released into Scapa Flow for restocking purposes. Fishermen FFI talked to recently reported catching a lot more small lobsters indicating that the restocking experiments are succeeding.



Flygt pumps which are used to continuously pump a large flow of water through the farm.

harvesting from these facilities.

The substantial volume of seawater that has to be moved through the farm is pumped from the bay by four electrically-driven Flygt pumps. The water is delivered through ten-inch diameter pipes to a header tank, and from there it flows by gravity through the tanks.

Feeding of the fish is carried out by Tess automatic

feeders, and the farm is provided with full back-up facilities. These include an emergency aeration system, a Gearing alarm system and a standby generator. The company tries to keep someone on the farm permanently, especially over the more critical winter months.

Shore-based salmon farms are easier to service than floating cage units, but this does not mean that they can be left to run themselves.

Family firm makes good use of farm products

ORKNEY farmed salmon smoked in the traditional way and hand sliced is a speciality of William Jolly, a family firm that has been in existence in Orkney for over 30 years.

In that time, the enterprise has developed from a small retail shop to a processing firm handling a cross-section of the seafoods available around Orkney. These include crab, scallops, oysters (whelks), oysters, white fish and, more recently, farmed salmon.

Mr. Jolly runs the business in partnership with his sister, Liz Johnson, and first got involved with salmon when he started to smoke wild fish. Very hard cures were then in vogue. Today the trend is for much milder smokes, and farmed salmon is predominantly used.

The William Jolly processing factory is on the Haistoun

Industrial Estate on the outskirts of Kirkwall. The original building used to be the airfield mortuary during the last war. With its thick walls and cool interior it was well suited for conversion to a fish processing plant, and it has been extended and modernised over the years.

The firm's traditional smoking kiln is about 5½ ft square and 20 ft high. The wood chip fire is situated at the bottom and the lowest products smoked are some 6 ft away. A screen in between prevents foreign matter entering the main smoking chamber.

"We have a standard routine but we vary the pickling and smoking times to suit customer requirements," said Mr. Jolly. The firm's traditional cure has been tried and proven over many years. It involves a sweet pickle followed by the firm's usual smoking procedure.

The pickling stage is where the basis of the flavour and texture is created. The sides of salmon are then smoked for eight to 12 hours and immediately vacuum packed to seal in the full flavour.

Giving the salmon a distinctive Scotch tang, William Jolly's Scotch cure is a blend of flavourings including sin-

gle malt whisky. Another favourite, the firm's Demerara cure, involves the same basic procedure but is enhanced by the addition of rum from the Caribbean.

Another of the company's salmon products is gravad lax. The firm has also smoked farmed Pacific oysters and Princess scallops for Dennis Gowland of Benesther Shellfish.

Princess scallops are smoked in the shell, and Mr. Jolly believes that oysters could be done in the same way. He usually boils the oysters when he has a batch of crabs. They are then pickled and smoked.

Mr. Jolly finds Torry Research Station an excellent source of advice on all

matters related to fish processing, including smoking, and singles out Torry Advisory Notes as particularly good value. Torry is a UK government body that works on the handling and processing of fish as food.

William Jolly has no ambitions to be in the big time. He told FFI he is striving for a "nice, small streamlined operation", expanding on his current lines in a controlled way and putting particular emphasis on the smoked salmon business.

It is the company's proud boast that from fish like those now being reared in Orkney it can produce smoked salmon to rival the best in the world.



William Jolly slicing farmed salmon smoked the traditional way in his factory in Kirkwall.

Smoked Atlantic Salmon by


WILLIAM JOLLY of ORKNEY

A FAMILY FIRM PRODUCING HIGH GRADE SMOKED SALMON, PACKED TO CUSTOMER'S REQUIREMENTS, USING NATURAL INGREDIENTS AND PURELY TRADITIONAL METHODS.

TO DISCUSS ANY POINT
PHONE 0856/2417 AND
ASK FOR LIZ OR BILLY



THE KAMES FISH CAGE



- ★ STURDY AND RELIABLE
- ★ VERSATILE
- ★ EASILY ERECTED AND DISMANTLED
- ★ REDUCE YOUR STOCKING COSTS
- ★ WIDE WALKWAYS (giving large working area and facilitating feeding, grading, net changing, harvesting)
- ★ 5 STANDARD SIZES AVAILABLE (to hold 1, 3, 6, 20 and 30 tonnes) OR TO YOUR OWN SPECIFICATION.

The Kames Cage has been tried and proven in service over several years in severe weather conditions. These cages are now in use in many fish farms and research institutes throughout the world, and their adaptability and economic versatility have been proven in many aquaculture operations.

KAMES FISH FARMING LIMITED
Kilmelford, by Oban, Argyll PA34 4XA, Scotland
Telephone: Kilmelford (08522) 286/2, 1
Telex: 776364 KAMES G

Pitch regulated wind turbine



...regulation for optimum exploitation of wind power.

Tested since 1985 under varied and difficult conditions

- Tall towers - hub height 30 m
- Large swept area - rotor diameter 25 m
- Lower load during operation because of pitch regulation
- Built with special consideration of long life and minimal maintenance
- Independent of direction of wind
- More efficient in low and high wind speeds

Vestas
WIND SYSTEMS

Orkney marine farms



Dave Bichan of Lang-Geo Oysters pulls up some of his produce to show FFI.

Shellfish develop on an oyster base

"FRESH oysters of the finest quality from the sparkling waters of Orkney. Top quality half-shell oysters fresh or frozen. Smoked oysters a speciality." These are some of the fine phrases being used by some of Orkney's leading shellfish growers to promote their products, which are finding increasing acceptance in the UK and beyond.

Orkney's oyster farming industry has for a number of years suffered from poor seed supply and this has extended the period before full production can get underway. However, the growers FFI met recently remain committed to the industry and are optimistic that full production will be achieved in the near future.

According to Stewart Crichton,

secretary of the Orkney Shellfish Growers Association (OSGA), three or four of the islands' 16 farmers are now well established and able to offer significant quantities of Pacific oysters and two more are coming up to the same stage. The remaining growers have either been established for a few years but are awaiting market developments before committing themselves

to further investment in stock, or have only recently started up.

The limited availability of good quality spat has been a major headache for the emerging industry, and this is why there are proposals for setting up a shellfish hatchery as well as nurseries in Orkney. Such developments would enable the growers to obtain a regular supply of quality spat that they could transfer to the water for on-growing when needed.

Methods

Alan Coghill, the industrial development officer for Orkney Islands Council, told FFI: "We have thought very hard about a hatchery. It is something we would like to see happen and the potential growth of the industry would be a good base for it."

A variety of oyster on-growing methods are employed in Orkney. They include beach trestles and trays stacked on the seabed as well as suspended culture from rafts and longlines. Several farmers have developed their own production ideas from what they have seen elsewhere, and they have clearly never been stuck for an answer to their equipment problems.

To start, most of their product was marketed through the council-sponsored Shellfish Growers Association. But as volume increased, farms like Lang-Geo Oysters and Benesther Shellfish went their own way and these concerns now also buy from other Orkney growers. There is, however, interest in setting up a joint marketing company.

"First, it must be established which growers are keen on joint marketing," said Stewart Crichton. "Some people have their own outlets and are keen to maintain them. As well as the restaurant market, there is the big bulk export market to France.

The Orkney growers have got to look at the area in between. This includes the smaller supermarket chains, large retail outlets and restaurant chains rather than individual restaurants.

"By combining their marketing efforts they could put out a regular supply of several thousand oysters a week."

One Orkney shellfish farmer who seems to be going well is Dave Bichan of Lang-Geo Oysters, in Finstown, a village a few miles north-west of Kirkwall. A former merchant navy deck officer who studied marine biology at university, he started his oyster growing business in 1980.

Mr. Bichan, who also works offshore for an oil company, has what he calls his 'base camp' in the inner reaches of the Bay of Firth. This comprises a raft equipped with a rotary grading machine and boxes. There, in relative comfort, he sorts, washes and packs the oysters harvested from his on-growing sites further out in the bay.

To seabed

He buys in seed at a size of 12-15 mm, mainly from Guernsey Sea Farms, and grows them in North West Plastics' trays for the first season. He likes to get the seed in the early spring so as to achieve a full season's growth. The young oysters are then transferred to seabed trays of his own design.


Lang-Geo Oysters is presently on-growing about 750,000 oysters. "We try to take in 200,000-300,000 spat a year, but there have been big problems in getting the quantity and quality of seed we need," Dave told FFI. "The last two years have been particularly bad."

He said he would not be in the shellfish business if he did not think it had good growth potential.

"We have had a lot of setbacks that should maybe be

How to make fishfarming more efficient by using Viking Fishfarming equipment

Viking "all in one" barge



With only 2 employees this fishfarm produces 250 tons of Atlantic Salmon each year. Our line of equipment is designed to help you getting more time to watch your fish. Viking "all in one" barge includes: Viking Feeding System, Viking Sea Cages, Viking Processing Equipment and Antifouling Station.



FOR FURTHER INFORMATION, CONTACT:

HEAD OFFICE:

C Sundtsgt. 29
N-5004 Bergen, Norway
Tel. +47531 12 40
Tlx. 40076N


OFFICE CANADA: Oppdrett Service — Canada Ltd
1. Purpose — Bay — Rd. P.O. Box 1010 — Sechart BC
Phones: 604 885-5767 885-5753 Fax: 604 885-5613
Tlx: 45 51 42



A Pacific oyster from one of Orkney Oyster's lantern nets in Water Sound, where they had been for two years and two months when this photograph was taken.



Above: The Bay of Firth, where Lang-Geo Oysters has its on-growing sites. In the middle ground is Dave Bichan's workboat and 'base camp' raft. Below: Anthony Duncan and Mungo Montgomery of Orkney Oysters hoist up three of their lantern nets at their site in Water Sound.



changing our minds," he added. "But, provided we can get adequate investment to carry us through the lean years, when we are having to do a lot of research and development, oyster growing in Orkney is going to be a significant industry."

Mr. Bichan went into the business thinking he could grow oysters to market size in Firth Bay in three to four years. But he says that because his customers want larger product he is having to grow them on for another season. The grant structure needs to be changed to take account of this, he claims.

Lang-Geo Oysters is selling directly to the retail trade, restaurants and hotels in Aberdeen and Edinburgh, and is now sending product further south to London. The firm is concentrating on the high quality fresh trade to get the return on its investment as soon as possible.

Once he gets the return, Dave Bichan says he will be investing in better shore facilities, including storage tanks. At present he has to hold everything at sea and in poor weather it can be difficult to harvest oysters when the customer wants them.

Scallops

Mr. Bichan is growing queen scallops in suspended culture from a raft as an experiment, and also thinks there is considerable potential for mussel cultivation in Orkney. He says his customers keep asking him for mussels, which are becoming increasingly popular in the UK.

Dennis Gowland of Benesther Shellfish is also making significant progress. He is now producing in excess of 25,000 Pacific oysters a month and this figure is scheduled to rise to over 40,000 a month in 1988-89. In addition to fresh oysters for the half-shell trade, he produces smoked oysters vacuum packed in dozens, or as

required. Frozen oysters can also be produced as ordered.

Consignments of oysters are transported by sea and chilled road container or by air to anywhere in the UK. Overseas orders are serviced by air-freight to major airports.

Mr. Gowland, who was involved in geological survey work in the oil industry, has been in the oyster business for three years. Like Dave Bichan, he grows his own oysters, buys product from other growers and does his own marketing and selling. "He is considering setting up an oyster nursery in a quarry and even finds time to experiment in the cultivation of mussels and queen scallops."

He emphasises that shellfish from Orkney are grown in waters that are unaffected by compulsory cleaning orders. Not that he and other Orkney shellfish farmers rely on this fact alone. They claim that their on-growing sites and keep facilities are routinely and regularly checked to the most stringent standards.

"How much better to taste the delicate flavour of the oyster as it was in the sea, rather than after immersion in a cleansing tank for two days," says Mr. Gowland. "Each oyster filters up to 40 litres of plankton-rich seawater a day, and in so doing, builds up the plump and delicately flavoured meat so characteristic of Orkney oysters."

He employs a variety of cultivation methods at his two sites in Inganess Bay and Deer Sound on Mainland. They longline seabed trays similar to Dave Bichan's, sub-surface longlines and intertidal trestles. He has about 350,000 oysters in the water at present and will be increasing his total stock to about 550,000.

Growth rates vary from site to site and from beach culture to offshore. The beach grown oysters need an additional growing season to get them up to market size. They are nice oysters with a thinner shell and higher meat yield.

Anthony Duncan and

Mungo Montgomery of Orkney Oysters are achieving impressive growth rates at their site in Water Sound, between the island of South Ronaldsay and Burray. There, FFI was taken by boat and shown oysters that had been in the water for just over two years and many had already reached market size. The pair are aiming to produce oysters of 90-100 g average weight, though some of those in the lantern nets were clearly a lot larger.

Good seed

Anthony and Mungo started in the business four years ago with 160,000 seed oysters and now have nearly one million in the water.

"We obtained the last lot from Guernsey and it was very good seed," said Anthony. "We have been let down by some of our other suppliers. They promised seed in April but by the time we received it the growing season was nearly over. That set us back a bit."

The seed oysters are placed in 90 stacks of ten or 11 North West Plastics' trays suspended from a raft fabricated from old oil pipes. On reaching a certain size, the juvenile oysters are transferred to the lantern nets, which are suspended in threes from Scanmarin buoys obtained through Hugh Norman (Aquaculture). No problems have been experienced with these buoys, which have been in use for over two years. The trays and lantern nets are lifted with the aid of gear Anthony and Mungo designed and fabricated especially for the purpose.

Commented Anthony: "Oyster farming in Orkney is definitely going to be a paying proposition once we get everything working and organised. But we have a lot to learn yet!"

● Tom Wray concludes this report on Orkney farming in our February issue.

NORDIC FISH FARMING SYSTEM

Built acc. to DnV requirements



The NORDIC system is built up by modules which, together with the successful NORDIC torsion coupling system, guarantees high safety and soundless movement at sea.

SAVE YOUR PROFITS BY USING THE NORDIC FLOATING CONCEPT

NORDIC SUPPLY AS

Hovedktr./Head office

Aslesund:

6260 Skodje, Norway

Int. Tel.: + 47-71-75 400

Telex: 42 528 NORSU N

Fax: + 47-71-76074



PRODUCTION AND EXPORT OF BABY EELS FOR BREEDING PURPOSES



E. BEAUR

WORLDWIDE MARKETS (ASIE . EUROPE)

OUR ELVERS (2500 - 2800 P/KG) ARE TAKEN BY HAND FROM THE RIVER LOIRE DURING DECEMBER TO APRIL

Please contact:

Ets BEAUR, 4 bis rue des Chevaliers, 44400 REZE-LES-NANTES - FRANCE
Tel.: (33) 40.75.48.95 Telex: TOUTAIR 711421 Telecopieur: 40.84.80.38

Bangkok focus on shrimp

BUYERS, suppliers, catchers, growers, processors and researchers from all over the world engaged in the shrimp and tropical prawn industry will be meeting in Thailand at the end of this month in an FAO-backed conference called Shrimp '88.

The conference will consider the huge trade in shrimp of various kinds, which involves the annual movement of some 1.8 million tons of product, but its main focus will be on the remarkable recent expansion in the farming of these crustaceans.

To be held from January 26 to 28 in the Dusit Thani hotel in Bangkok, it is being organised by Infosh, one of the four organisations started by FAO to assist and co-ordinate the marketing of seafoods in developing countries.

The conference is co-sponsored by AGB Heighway Ltd., publisher of *Fish Farming International*, *Fishing News International* and

other journals. Other sponsors are the Thai Fishery and Frozen Food Products Association, Thai Food Processors Association, and Thai Seafood Processors Association.

Over the three days, Shrimp '88 will consider in detail the present state of the shrimp industry, and the impact on it of developments in farming.

The conference opens on

January 26 with a keynote speech by Dr. Wolfgang Krone, director of FAO's Fishery Industries Division.

This will be followed by a session looking at the important Japanese and European markets, and also a talk on the impact of surimi-derived analogue products.

Regulations, marketing strategies and market research will be covered in subsequent sessions.

On January 27 and 28, participants will hear a number of speakers prominent in aquaculture talk about farming shrimp in Asia, Latin America, Africa and other regions.

Sessions will cover worldwide developments looking at constraints and future prospects.

● For further details of Shrimp '88 turn to Page 24.

EVENTS DIARY FOR 1988/89

THIS diary of aquaculture meetings and exhibitions is published in each issue of *FFI*. Organisers wishing to have their events included should write to the editor, giving the title of the exhibition or meeting, its scope, venue and date, and contact address.

Shrimp '88. International conference on world-wide shrimp production and trade. January 26 to 28, The Dusit Thani, Bangkok, Thailand. Infosh, P.O. Box 10899, 50728, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

2nd International Aquaculture Congress. February 22 to 27, the Oceanographic Museum, Monaco. Oceanographic Museum, Monaco-Ville, MC 98000 Monaco.

Scottish Fish Farming Conference and Exhibition. Eden Court Theatre, Inverness, Scotland. February 23 to 25. Dr. Peter Landless, 45 Ashcroft Road, Cirencester, Glos. GL7 1QZ, England.

Management Schemes for Inland Fisheries. Symposium organised by European Inland Fisheries Advisory Commission (EIFAC) in Gothenburg, Sweden, from May 31 to June 3. EIFAC Secretariat, FAO, Via delle Terme di Caracalla, 00100 Rome, Italy.

World Aqua Tromsø 88. Seminars and exhibition in Tromsø, northern Norway, June 7 to 12. Forum Nord-Norge, Postboks 2203, Polarsentret, 9014 Haapet (Tromsø), Norway.

Aquaculture Engineering Technologies for the Future. Conference in University of Stirling, Scotland, June 20 to 23. The Conference Section, The Institution of Chemical Engineers, 165-171 Railway Terrace, Rugby CV21 3HQ, England. Telex 311780.

Aquaculture International Congress and Exposition. Trade and Convention Centre, Vancouver, British Columbia. September 6 to 9. Vancouver Trade and Convention Centre, Suite 200, 999 Canada Place, Vancouver, BC, Canada V6C 3C1. Telex 04-507702.

China Fishery 88. International exhibition on fish farming, fishery technology and equipment. Shanghai, China. September 5 to 11. SIK International Services Ltd., 22/F, 151 Gloucester Road, Hong Kong.

Fisheries Bio-engineering Symposium. Columbia River Red Lion Inn, Portland, Oregon, USA. October 24 to 28. David Owsley, US Fish and Wildlife Service, Dworshak National Fish Hatchery, P.O. Box 18, Ahsanka, Idaho 83520, USA.

Aquacultura-88. Fourth international exhibition of aquaculture techniques, equipment and products. Verona Fairgrounds, October 13 to 16. E. A. Fiere de Verona, Cas Post 525, Verona, Italy. Telex 480538.

4th International Fishery & Processing Exhibition. Exhibition Hall, Guangzhou, China. December 6 to 11. China Marine Industries Corporation, 1103 Loong San Building, 140 Connaught Road, Hong Kong.

FOUR DIFFERENT CAGE SYSTEMS

ALU-CAGE



- * Catamaran floating sections
- * Flexible connectors
- * Seawater-resistant aluminium

UFN-STEEL CAGE



- * For use in the toughest locations
- * Each section is individually buoyant
- * Flexible connectors

POLARCIRKEL



- * HDPE-plastic
- * Separate units or connected to floating quay
- * Flexible system

WOODEN CAGE



- * Eight-sided wooden cage
- * Separate units or connected

With four different cage systems, we can supply you with the right cage for your need.

All our cage systems float soundlessly in the water to avoid imposing unnecessary stress on the fish.

Please contact us for further information on our cage systems or any of our other products.

P.O. Box 401
N-8001 BODO
NORWAY

UFN as
UTSTYR TIL FISKEOPPRETTNINGEN AS
Seafarming equipment Co. Ltd.

Phone (4781) 28584
Fax (4781) 20952

Busy programme for Inverness

THE 1988 Scottish Fish Farming Conference and Exhibition will be held in Inverness on February 23, 24 and 25. The conference sessions take place in the Eden Court Theatre and the exhibition will be in a large temporary hall erected in the adjoining grounds.

First event on the 1988 programme is the annual general meeting of the Fish Farming Section of the National Farmers Union for Scotland. This is on the morning of Tuesday, February 23.

The conference will be officially opened at 2pm by Lord Sanders, of Bowden, Minister of State for Agriculture at the Scottish Office.

First of the conference papers will be given by R. Cowan, chairman of the Highlands and Islands Development Board, who will talk on the place of fish farming in the Highlands.

Science and technology in marine farming and the market for seafoods will be two other topics covered.

The session on the following day will open with a talk on producing and marketing trout in the United States, which will be given by L. Cope of Clearsprings Trout Company.

Willie Baird of Seven Springs Trout Hatchery in Northern Ireland will then talk about 20 years in trout farming.

Speakers in a parallel ses-

sion on mechanisation of trout farming will cover cages, bulk feeding systems, pumps and graders and the bulk weighing of live trout.

There is no programme for the Wednesday afternoon and so participants will have the opportunity of visiting some 130 stands in the trade show.

The conference dinner, a highlight of this popular annual event, will be in the Eden Court Theatre on Wednesday evening.

On the Thursday, the morning session will deal with shellfish and other marine farming and will include reviews of progress in halibut cultivation and in the mechanisation of mussel culture.

The conference closes on the Thursday afternoon with a session on salmon farming. This will include a talk by Dr. John Forster of Sea Farm on salmon farming in the United States and Canada, and advice from Dr. Ted Needham on making salmon farming pay.

● Further information from Dr. Peter Landless, 45 Ashcroft Road, Cirencester, Glos. GL7 1QZ.

FASTANK RACEWAY



The Fastest Growing Name in Fish Tanks

FASTANK Raceway—The fish farmer's friend provides long or short term storage of water and fish stock.

APPLICATIONS

- Nursery Tanks
- Stock Segregation
- Emergency Storage
- Medication Tank
- Shellfish Purification
- Display/Laboratory Use
- Fish Rescue
- Algae Growing
- Harvest Store

BENEFITS

- Simple Assembly
- Smooth, Hygienic Surfaces
- Prevents fish damage
- Tough/Non Corrosive
- Easily Transported
- Fits through doorway
- Easily cleaned
- Low Cost
- Cuts Costs, increases yield



FAST ENGINEERING LTD. MUCKAMORE, CO. ANTRIM, N. IRELAND BT41 4QE Tel (08494) 68686 Telex 747538

On the shellfish scene



With Dr. Eric Edwards, Director, Shellfish Association of Great Britain

BRITISH newspapers and television have given plenty of coverage to the cleaning up of the North Sea at a special conference of North Sea states held in London at the end of November.

Some progress was made: it was agreed that incineration of toxic waste at sea will be greatly reduced by 1991, and ended by 1994. The volume of toxic substances and agricultural chemicals discharged into the sea will be halved by 1995.

However, Britain did not promise to end sewage dumping at sea. Instead, our government stated that levels of contaminants would not be increased beyond 1987 levels. Most of these contaminants occur in sewage sludge which contains high levels of heavy metals such as mercury, cadmium, arsenic, lead, etc.

Many European fishermen have protested about this dumping, which they claim causes unacceptable pollution problems in the North Sea.

Scientists from the Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries & Food dispute their case, emphasising that this dumping only takes place in deep water, causing few problems.

Greenpeace, the environmental pressure group, states that over five million tons of London sewage is dumped in the shallow Thames estuary where there are massive shellfish beds.

Our Shellfish Association supports any actions which reduce coastal pollution. We were, therefore, pleased to learn that Britain has agreed to host a European scientific task force, which will seek ways of controlling pollution.

It is important that this group also investigates the contamination of estuaries and inshore coastal waters where untreated, or partially treated sewage effluent, is often discharged in large volumes. We all know it is a common practice throughout the world to dispose of human excreta in this way but it often leads to sewage contamination of shellfish areas particularly in estuarine and near-shore waters.

What sort of risks do people who eat shellfish face? Crustaceans, such as lobsters, crabs and shrimps, have a relatively clean bill of health. Most catches are harvested from deeper waters, some way offshore, and they rarely carry organisms harmful to humans. The normal cooking processes

used to prepare these crustaceans for the table also kill any pathogens.

Occasionally, crustaceans pick up the bacterium *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*, which lives naturally in the sea. In hot countries, such as Japan and the Far East and warm Mediterranean countries, where shellfish are sometimes eaten raw, *Vibrio* does cause food poisoning. But, in cooler Europe, we traditionally cook all crustaceans before eating them so killing any bacteria and most viruses.

Bivalves, such as oysters, clams and mussels, are the biggest threat to public health because they are filter feeders, sieving food particles and sewage bacteria and viruses from the water thereby accumulating them in their body systems. Any polluted shellfish, eaten raw or lightly cooked, can cause illness.

Fortunately, the number of outbreaks blamed on shellfish is not large, but each outbreak can involve large numbers of people. Between 1941 and 1986 there were only 138 outbreaks of food poisoning associated with molluscan shellfish in England and Wales, with symptoms ranging from nausea and diarrhoea to hepatitis.

Figures from the Department of Health showed that few outbreaks are linked to crustaceans.

Molluscs taken from polluted coastal waters accounted for over 70 per cent of the foodborne illnesses with cockles and oysters causing most of the trouble.

How do we overcome the growing number of these public health problems associated with eating certain shellfish? Perhaps the best option would be to attack the problem at its source — that is by reducing coastal pollution or by treating effluent discharges in some way to sterilise them. Certain countries, including the

UK, have designated shellfish growing waters where the quality of the water is strictly controlled and pollution is kept to a minimum.

The coastlines of Scotland and Ireland are generally free of heavy pollution — a fact which Scottish and Irish shellfish growers must promote as part of their sales campaigns.

Other coastal areas of Europe — near major towns and cities — do not always have waters suitable for growing or harvesting safe shellfish. Should we close these areas to safeguard the

public and our industry's reputation?

At the moment in the UK we are considering, with the relevant government departments, a Code of Practice for the Hygienic Production and Sale of Bivalve Shellfish. The aim is to educate environmental health officers, shellfish producers and retailers on the proper methods and procedures applicable to the production of safe shellfish.

This Code includes a proposal, still under discussion, that we should copy the American system of classifying shellfish harvesting areas covering:

- Class 1. *Approved status* — area not contaminated.
- Class 2. *Controlled status* — shellfish need controlled purification,

heat treatment or relaying in Class 1 waters.

- Class 3. *Restricted status* — the area is contaminated to such an extent that purification or heat treatment solely is insufficient to render the shellfish safe to eat.
- Class 4. *Prohibited* — the removal of shellfish should be totally banned to protect the public's health.

The authorities suggest that this type of classification would be based on sanitary survey data. A shellfish area may sometimes be covered by a single classification but extensive fisheries may carry a series of classifications, depending on the siting of sewage outfalls, industrial effluents, etc.

Also suggested by the Code are better handling methods for shellfish. And it provides sound advice on purification methods using ultra-violet light irradiation — the cleansing system most widely used for molluscs in the UK.

The Code, at present, is only at a draft stage and members of the Shellfish Association of Great Britain will have a further opportunity to comment on the wide range of proposals early in 1988.

All in all, Britain's shellfish are safe to eat. According to researchers at the Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries & Food, the risks of suffering a bout of food poisoning from eating shellfish are relatively rare compared to other foodstuffs. But we need this Code.

FOMA

NOTVASKER NETWASHER


CHOOSE THE BEST ...

Foma Netwashers make net cleaning easier and quicker.

SAVE TIME, SAVE MONEY

The drum is made of corrosion resistant material, Stainless Steel or Fibreglass, so salt or fresh water can be used.

FOMA NET WASHERS — DESIGNED TO CLEAN YOUR NETS COMPLETELY



For more information please contact:

ORANIER A.S.
1405 Langhus, Norway
Tel: 02 867260
Telex: 72419 FOMA N
Fax: 02 867622

MR. S. J. ADAMSON
Centre Court
Whitecliffe Mill Street
Blandford, Dorset DT11 7BP
Tel: 0258 51245 (Home)
0590 73212 (Office)

Indians start new courses

WITH the commissioning of a modern shrimp hatchery at Vallarpadam near Cochin, India's Marine Products Export Development Authority (MPEDA) has prepared a training programme for state government officials and people in the industry.

The emphasis is on practical training in various aspects of shrimp culture and hatchery management techniques.

Previously, the MPEDA has been running a one-month course in shrimp culture, and 12 of these had been given.

The new course offers an integrated approach to shrimp farming right from site survey and selection, through food preparation and on to growing and harvesting.

It includes field demonstrations in shrimp farms.

The first of the courses was inaugurated by MPEDA director Dr. M. Sakthivel and had 16 participants.

In another programme, MPEDA's Prawn Farming Regional Centre at Karwar recently ran a five-day field-based training course. This was organised in conjunction with the local Agricultural Research Station.

Instruction was in the local language and covered topics such as seed collection, segregation, identification, counting, and packing for transportation.

New marine hatchery ready next month

A NEW purpose-built marine fish hatchery being set up in the Isle of Man by Mannin Seafarms Ltd. is to be completed in February 1988, rearing operations will begin in March and the first juvenile turbot will be available in May/June.

As briefly announced in FFI in November 1987, the company's two principals are Richard Slaski and Paul West. They have worked in the development of turbot culture for the last nine years, and have been prime movers in the successful development of a current commercial leader, Golden Sea Produce Ltd., a wholly owned subsidiary of the Norsk Hydro group.

Their knowledge of the technical and financial aspects of the business has enabled them to identify the opportunity, and given them the confidence to consider exploiting it. They point out that a clear gap between supply and demand of turbot juveniles has become manifest.

"The gap will inevitably be filled as the market exerts stronger pressure, but the specialised technical nature of the business limits the number of entrepreneurs who can consider such an operation," Mr. Slaski told FFI. "The time required to develop necessary skills will also limit the speed of development of any effective competitors."

Mannin Seafarms chose the Isle of Man for its hatchery project for a number of reasons. As an island with low industrialisation and population, situated in the middle of the Irish Sea, it is claimed to have seawater of excellent quality; an essential for successful turbot larval rearing.

The maintenance of healthy photoperiod broodstocks is fundamental to such an

operation, and according to Mr. Slaski experience to date has shown that this is best achieved in cooler Northern European waters.

He says the safest and most cost-effective way to transport juvenile turbot over long distances is by air, and the Isle of Man is well served by regular flights into major UK airports, particularly London Heathrow. Connection from these airports means that Mannin Seafarms' juveniles can arrive at many European destinations within a working day.

Another advantage of the Isle of Man is that there are good incentives for new businesses on the island, in the form of capital and other grants available from the Isle of Man government.

The Mannin Seafarms' project has a total funding of over £500,000, with major support from National Westminster Growth Options Ltd., and the Isle of Man government. The directors are majority shareholders in the equity of the company. The directors say that the venture capital nature of the support for such a development within the British Isles is rare and they value this support highly.

Located at Derbyhaven, in the south-east of the island, the Mannin Seafarms' hatchery is adjacent to Ronaldsway Airport. Covering an area of 900 sq. metres, it is of timber frame construction, clad in steel sheeting and is insulated. Seawater for the hatchery is pumped ashore continuously and

heated by a diesel-fired boiler and heat exchanger system.

The main production will be of weaned juvenile turbot weighing three to four grams. According to Mr. Slaski, experience has shown that this is the optimum size for transport and survival at the nursery stage in the on-growing farm.

Juvenile turbot will be packed in specially prepared seawater with an oxygen environment.

Package weights will be low, and total cost of transport to most destinations is said to be less than ten per cent of the actual fish value. This applies to both small and large scale shipments, allowing flexibility for the customer.

"The system is tried and tested, and Mannin Seafarms will generally be responsible for the fish until they are unpacked at the customer's site," said Mr. Slaski.

The company has already collected from a variety of sources sufficient broodstock turbot for the foreseeable future. Target production for 1988 is 125,000 weaned turbot juveniles, and this figure is planned to be increased to a maximum projected output of 500,000.

Mr. Slaski and Mr. West have many years experience of large-scale marine farming covering a number of species. The company is therefore able to provide practical consultancy based on this experience, particularly concentrating on advice to customers for juvenile turbot.

● Further information from Mannin Seafarms Ltd., 10 Mount View Road, Onchan, Isle of Man.



Paul West (left) and Richard Slaski in front of their new £500,000 turbot hatchery in the Isle of Man.

Coldbox 20 for long journeys

INCREASING interest is being shown in a new Swedish fish box by fish farmers and processors in a number of countries following its successful use in airfreighting farm fresh rainbow trout to Japan and the United States and its use in the group land transport of fish and fish products with other goods.

Called the Coldfish 20, the box features a patented waterproof inner container designed to ensure that there are no problems with meltwater from the ice. The ability to tranship the Coldfish box with all kinds of goods makes increased distribution of fish possible. Until now it has been necessary to transport the fish separated from other foods, using special trucks.

The Coldfish 20 box consists of three components: an outer box and a top, both of which are made of 25 mm expanded polystyrene, and an inner container of high-density plastic.

Meltwater can collect in the bottom of the inner container, which is subdivided into a series of small compartments. The upper edge of the outer box is grooved to achieve a close fit with the top.

Weighing only two kilos when empty, the Coldfish 20 box will hold 20 kilos (52

litres) of fish and four to five kilos of ice. Its outer dimensions are 800 x 400 x 240 mm (0.077 cu. m).

"Thanks to the good insulating properties of the Coldfish box it can maintain the temperature of the fish below 2 deg. C for two to four days depending on the ambient temperature," Coldfish product manager Ove Björjesson told FFI.

An additional advantage is that the inner container can be used for deep-freezing fish or for displaying seafood at in-store

counters. If the products are not sold they can be replaced in the box, which can then be held in the store's cold room with other goods until the next day.

Coldfish says it has already sold tens of thousands of its Coldfish 20 boxes in Sweden, where a major use has been in airfreighting farmed trout to export markets. The company is now marketing hard in Denmark and is also looking at Norway and the United Kingdom (where Autotransit Ltd. of Immingham is its agent).

● Further information from Coldfish Sweden AB, Hertig Eriks väg 8, S-432 00 Varberg, Sweden. Telex: 3457 Fishman S.



The new Coldfish 20 fish box is catching on in the fish hunting industry too. It is used for the transport of fish and fish products from wholesalers and processors to their customers.

Farmed fish from seafood group

COMBINING the "best" Swedish seafood products and suppliers in one organisation to make the search, selection and purchase of quality products easier and more convenient, the newly established Swedish Seafood Group claims to offer buyers a unique opportunity.

It was set up with help from the Swedish government, and consists of 11 companies working in co-operation with the Swedish Trade Council. The companies are the Baltic Aqua Group, BD-Fisk, Festab, Halmstadiax, Hallöfisk, Halleviks, Scandifish, the SE Group, Vastkustfiske, Vastkustfiskarna/Bohuslän and Yedo. Several of these companies offer farmed fish and fish products.

Based in Helsingborg, the

Baltic Aqua Group AB is one of the largest producers of rainbow trout in Sweden. It covers every stage of fish farming from research to distribution and marketing worldwide. The group includes a number of fish farmers whose farms lie in the open sea, mainly in the Baltic.

The Swedish Seafood Group includes several leading suppliers of smoked salmon and rainbow trout.

Smoked salmon from Halmstadiax, another firm based in southern Sweden, is available as whole sides. Yedo AB of Grythyttan specialises in producing quality smoked "rainbow salmon" products.

● Further information from the Swedish Trade Council, P.O. Box 5513, S-114 85 Stockholm, Sweden. Telex 19620 export s.



DAFI

U.K. Ltd

DANISH FISHING & INDUSTRIAL EQUIPMENT

SOLE AGENTS FOR:

TOUGH, RESILIENT PVC FENDERS AND BUOYS



Marker or mooring buoy

Item	Dimensions (mm)	Weight (kg)	Quantity per container	MO. (mm)
8000	30 x 750	1.50	12	12
8000C	40 x 1010	2.00	12	12
8000D	50 x 1270	2.50	12	12



Tough fender with reinforced rope eyes

Item	Dimensions (mm)	Weight (kg)	Quantity per container	MO. (mm)
8001	1250 x 110	5.0	12	12
8002	1500 x 150	8.5	12	12
8003	2000 x 200	11.20	8	12
8004	2000 x 250	13.20	8	12
8005	2500 x 250	16.50	8	12
8006	3000 x 250	20.00	8	12
8007	3500 x 250	23.50	8	12



Heavy-duty marker buoy with reinforced rope eye

Item	Dimensions (mm)	Weight (kg)	Quantity per container	MO. (mm)
8008	300 x 300	1.10	12	12
8009	400 x 400	1.50	12	12
8010	500 x 500	2.00	12	12
8011	600 x 600	2.50	12	12
8012	700 x 700	3.00	12	12
8013	800 x 800	3.50	12	12
8014	900 x 900	4.00	12	12
8015	1000 x 1000	4.50	12	12

DAFI U.K. LTD, BATH STREET, UNIT 13, FRASERBURGH AB4 5a f, ABERDEENSHIRE, SCOTLAND

FAX: 0346 25402

TEL: 0346 27856

TELEX: 60 816 DAFI DK

Ewomatic air stream spreads feed to the cages

IT IS labour saving, feed losses are very low and it has several advantages over systems that transport feed in a water stream. So says Ewos about its Ewomatic centralised feeding system for fish farms. The system is now in full commercial use on nine salmon and rainbow trout farms in Finland, Norway, Scotland and Sweden following extensive development testing.

All but one of the fish farms that have chosen the Ewomatic system so far employ floating cage units. The exception is a large land-based smolt plant near Bergen, Norway, which has some 52 fish rearing tanks.

The characteristic feature of the system is that the feed is transported in an air stream from a central storage and dosing unit out to the distribution valves which are mounted close to the fish cages, tanks or ponds.

The system

As shown in the diagram, the Ewomatic feeding system consists of: (1) a storage container for the feed, (2) dust separator and fan, (3) feeder (dosing unit), (4) compressor and air valve, (5) feed distribution valves, (6) hoses for air-transported feed, and (7) a control unit.

Describing the system during a recent FFI visit to the Ewos AB headquarters in Sodertalje, product development engineer Jan-Olof Sanno said the Ewomatic system is unique.

"It is the only system as far as we know that uses a single hose and air distribution," he said. "Air distribution is particularly suitable for countries that suffer from freezing. Unlike systems using water distribution in the diagram the Ewomatic system does not freeze up."

The system is normally fed from two storage silos, each filled with feed of a

different size. Ewos recommends that elevators be installed for loading the silos with feed, claiming that far too much crushing and dust formation takes place when the feed is blown into the silos from bulk trucks.

There is one feeder unit for each silo. Each feeder is mounted on the lower part of the dust separator, which removes dust from the stored feed by means of a small fan. The feeder consists of a wheel with 12, 24 or 36 cells according to its size.

Feed pellets from the silo are fed through the dust separator and into the feeder. The powerful air stream then drives the feed out of the feeder, through the single hose and into the distribution valves near the cages, tanks or ponds. The special compressor used is of the low-pressure, high-volume type.

The valves have various numbers of outlets (four, five or ten) according to the size of the plant. They can

be used as distributors to other valves as well as to the desired fish rearing facility.

The Ewomatic's computerised control unit determines which enclosure is supplied and how much feed each one shall receive. The size of the feedings is based on constant volume per unit time.


Varied

Its control unit regulates a timer so that the feeding size can be varied. The claimed accuracy on each individual feeding is plus or minus two per cent, while the dust per 100 metres of hose has been measured at just 0.1-0.3 per cent depending on feed quality. These features have been tested at the Agricultural Research Institute in Uppsala, Sweden.

Amount and frequency of feeding in each enclosure can be automatically controlled according to water temperature, and the system will work to a curve created from the manufacturer's feed tables and entered into the computer.

The computer is said to be easy to use, even by people with little experience, and it can give the farmer much useful information on the status of the entire farm as

An Ewos feed distribution valve on a floating cage farm. The single hose in the foreground delivers the feed from the land-based part of the Ewomatic system.




well as that of each individual cage or tank. This includes the number of fish, average weight of each fish, total weight, feed consumption, feed conversion factor, feed in store and so on. All information can be readily obtained as hard copy from the printer.

Hose length

Maximum length of hose that can be used in the Ewomatic feeding system is about 600 metres, making it suitable for cage farms some 400 metres from shore. This is claimed to be about 150 metres further than can be achieved by systems using feed distribution by water.

Alternatively, the complete Ewomatic plant can be installed on a raft next to the cages, which may then be located in more exposed positions.



The Ewos dosing unit, or feeder, which is mounted on the underside of each storage silo after the dust separator.




Diagram of the Ewomatic fish feeding system.



**LOOKING FOR A RELIABLE
SOURCE OF SALMONID
EYED EGGS?**

- Atlantic
- Coho
- Chinook
- Donaldson — Steelhead
- Arctic Charr

Shipped anywhere in the world Order now to ensure early delivery

PER HEGGELUND

3304 N.E. 80th STREET, SEATTLE, WA 98115

Tel: (206) 283 4345 Day

Telex: 753332 hegson Sea

Tel: (206) 527 7922 Eve.

Fax: (206) 522 3210

U.K. Glass Eels



**BRISTOL
CHANNEL
FISHERIES LTD.**

123 HEMPSTED LANE, GLOUCESTER, GL2 6JY ENGLAND
TELEPHONE GLOUCESTER 23534 TELEX 43356 E.VICK G

Light controls spawning in sea bass

THE SEA BASS (*Dicentrarchus labrax*), or the bar, levrek, loup, lubina, robalo or spigola as it is variously known in the countries bordering the Mediterranean and north-east Atlantic, is arguably the most sought-after food fish both in the fish market and the restaurant.

Although its range extends from Norway in the north to the northern seaboard of Africa in southern Europe, harvests from commercial fisheries are restricted, especially with regard to the overall tonnage landed but also in the seasonality of the supplies.

At present, the total catch of sea bass only amounts to 8500 metric tons and this is usually limited to a short period during the inshore spawning migration of the fish which in northern Europe occurs in the spring.

This catch in no way meets the ever-increasing market demands for sea bass and consequently this fish commands very high prices at first sale. At Christmas and on other festive occasions in some of the Mediterranean countries, market prices may reach £20 a kilo although normally the price is £8-10 a kilo. Prices, however, are often three or four times these figures in restaurants, thus placing the sea bass among the most expensive of all food fish.

The high value of the sea bass, its well defined and buoyant market position and the restrictions in its supply have stimulated much interest in the farming of this fish. At present,

by Niall Bromage, Manuel Carrillo and Sylvia Zanuy

however, primarily as a result of the difficulties in providing supplies of fry of good quality or viability for growing-on farms, but also because of the slow growth of the older fish up to table-size, production has been severely limited.

Total farmed production for sea bass only amounts to a few hundred tons; a little more if extensive operations like valliculture in Italy are included.

Not likely

Although there have been suggestions that farmed production could reach 27,000 tons (combined sea bass and bream estimate made by the FAO/UNDP Aquaculture Development and Co-ordination Programme; see *FFI*, November 1987) there is little likelihood at present of such estimates ever being achieved.

Losses of fry due to spinal and swim bladder abnormalities, both thought to be related to broodstock husbandry and nutrition, together with the restricted seasonal spawning of the fish and consequent availability of fry, have all served to constrain developments in the farming of this species.

It is for this reason that a collaborative project started over two years ago involving


a team of scientists under the direction of Manuel Carrillo and Sylvia Zanuy at the Spanish government's Institute of Acuicultura near Castellon on the east coast of Spain and Niall Bromage's Group at the University of Aston in Birmingham, England.

This project, which is jointly sponsored by the British Council and the Spanish government, aims to understand the mechanisms involved in the control of reproduction in the sea bass. In this way, supplies of good quality eggs and fry will become available to growing-on farms at all times of the year.

Under natural conditions in most European waters sea bass migrate inshore to spawn in February-March and the purpose of the initial series of experiments was to establish that the rate of maturation and timing of spawning could be advanced or delayed by modifications of daylength or photoperiod.

Five large seawater aquaria were set up at the beginning of the 1985/86 spawning season each employing a different combination of artificial daylengths; these have now been running for two reproductive cycles.

Results up to date have been most encouraging. They show clearly that maturation and spawning can be advanced by up to six



Mature sea bass in an anaesthetic solution, awaiting ovarian biopsy and blood sampling.

months using manipulations of photoperiod. More than ten million eggs have been spawned up to the present time with most of the experimental groups of fish producing over 70 per cent of good quality or floating eggs.

In general, the majority of these eggs subsequently developed into normal healthy fry, although possible effects on fry development and viability are being investigated more thoroughly using expanded hatchery facilities.

Important

One important finding of the present investigations is that spawning occurs naturally in the tanks as a result of photoperiodic advancement. This is important because if hormonal injections of HCG, pituitary extract or LHRH-like products (analogues) are used, these can lead to premature release of partially-developed eggs which as a consequence are of poor quality.

In all our experiments, we remove each month a few eggs from each female fish by biopsy to check on their stage of maturation. Special attention is paid to fish as they near completion of maturation for if the eggs are not spawned naturally

then this process must be artificially induced. We use a synthetic analogue of the natural hormones LHRH.

Providing the eggs have reached the final stages of maturation, then the eggs released by LHRH induction are of similar quality to those produced naturally. Eggs which have completed final maturation but are not spawned, either naturally or by hormonal induction, are likely to undergo atresia or resorption of their stores of yolk.

At present LHRH induction has only been necessary for a few fish, the remainder spawning naturally in response to the altered photoperiod regimes. Individual fish have also been shown to produce several batches of eggs, further testimony that the sequence of changes induced by altered photoperiod is a natural one for, although there are disagreements among scientists, we believe that the sea bass naturally produces a series of batches of eggs.

Aside from on-going trials involving photoperiod, we are also investigating the importance of salinity and water temperature as supplementary influences in the control of maturation. Work from the Spanish laboratory has shown that female fish do not complete maturation

if the water becomes too brackish.

Temperatures in excess of 17 deg. C have also been shown to inhibit maturation. The effects of temperature may assume a greater significance if spawning is advanced photoperiodically into the summer, for at this time of the year, ambient sea temperatures in the Mediterranean often exceed 25 deg. C.

Trash fish

A further important area of interest for us is the nutrient requirements of the broodstock. At present most cultured stocks of sea bass are fed on trash fish, a diet which shows considerable variation both in nutrient composition and quality.

Ultimately, the successful production by hatcheries of sufficient quantities of healthy eggs and fry will depend on the formulation and fabrication of an appropriate broodstock diet.

Research into the nutritional requirements of sea bass must go hand in hand with developments in broodstock management if the farmed production of this species is ever to match either demand or the projected European production figures.

HVALPSUND

Float collars and net cages

From Faroe Fishfarming

We have great experience in producing complete floatation units and have a big export of float collars and net cages to most of the world.

Reference: Agersø Havbrug, Denmark
Laxalon, Iceland

WE LOOK FORWARD TO HEAR YOUR REQUIREMENTS

Hvalpsund Vodbinderi A/S

Fiskerihaven, Hvalpsund
DK-9640 Farø, Denmark
Phone 0045-8 638188
Telex 60768 lrvdd dk
Telex 0045-8 638203



THE 1ST DIRECTORY FOR THE SEAFOOD INDUSTRY

Now at last - a major international worldwide reference book for the seafood industry.

The first fully comprehensive directory of the seafood industry is now being prepared for publication. This publication will fill an important gap and become an indispensable work of reference to everyone engaged in the industry - from producers to processors.

The publishers are ABG Highbury of London. ABG Highbury has an international reputation in the field of specialist publishing for commercial fishing, with titles such as SEAFOOD INTERNATIONAL, FISHING NEWS, FISHING NEWS INTERNATIONAL AND FISH FARMING INTERNATIONAL.

The directory, bound in hard cloth cover, will contain entries from over 2,000 internationally operating companies across two separate category listings. In total, over 18,000 entries are arranged by category and country of origin. Each company entry consists of the full postal address, telephone, fax and telex numbers, together with one key executive contact.

The Seafood International Directory will be as up-to-date and accurate as the best modern computer technology will allow, and all entries will be checked and reviewed every year.

We are confident that this unique publication will contribute a vital work of reference for every enterprise in the seafood world.

RETURN THE COMPLETED FORM TO:
Seafood International Directory, c/o ABG Highbury
25 Old Foregate Lane, London, EC3R 3AG, England

Seafood INTERNATIONAL DIRECTORY 1988

ORDER FORM

I would like to order _____ copies of the 1988 Seafood International Directory. The cost is £45/US\$85.

I enclose a cheque for £/US\$ _____ made payable to ABG Highbury Ltd to cover the above order. ☐

BLOCK CAPITALS PLEASE

NAME _____

COMPANY NAME _____

POSITION _____

ADDRESS _____

SIGNED _____ DATE _____

Please service meter company. ☐

PLEASE TELE: FF10/89



FISH VET'S DIARY

by Dr. Ronald J. Roberts,
Director, Institute of Aquaculture,
University of Stirling, Scotland.

Aquaculture and slime bacteria

THE MYXOBACTERIA or slime bacteria represent a serious disease problem which is unique to the fish farmer. Chicken farmers, or pig farmers have never heard of them, and the human bacteriology specialist, while he may have heard of *Aeromonas* and has certainly heard of *Vibrio* and *Clostridia*, again has no interface with these problem bacteria.

The slime bacteria are, as the name suggests, found in the surface mucus of fish, but they are also found in other aquatic locations — in muds and in waters surrounding cages for example, in both fresh and salt water.

Because they are only a serious problem in the relatively new fish farming industry and not in other farming processes, little was known about them until recently and we still cannot be certain in assigning names to the individual species. Indeed the term myxobacteria, while a useful and necessary catch-all for them, is actually illegitimate.

The two groups commonly involved in disease are the *Flexibacter* and *Cytophaga* groups of myxobacteria, and we have known of *Flexibacter columnaris* and its involvement in columnaris disease since the 1920s. The key characteristic in relation to columnaris disease is high temperature — it always seems to affect heat stressed fish.

The other myxobacterial disease we have a long and at times acrimoniously generated body of information about is "bacterial gill

disease", the result of growth of bacteria within the extra mucus secreted on the gills of young fish following irritation, from silt or other water solids.

This disease was long thought to be of nutritional origin, but in fact two conditions with similar pathology, and outcome — pantothenic acid deficiency, and bacterial gill disease were being confused, on all sides.

These two conditions are known, and regularly dealt with by the farmer, by improving the environment, and, in the case of bacterial gill disease, using a surfactant in the water the wash away the mucus and the bacteria which have accumulated.

We are now coming to recognise, in our clinical work, however, more and more involvements of these nuisance bacteria in a wide range of other conditions. It seems that as sites become more intensively used, so the problem gets worse.

Any situation where temperatures go particularly low, and suspended solid levels, or ammonia or other dissolved irritants are high, seems to encourage the occurrence of what we know as "coldwater disease" a disfiguring ulcerative disease where thickened plaques of asbestos-like skin erode down to result in loss of tail or fins, or production of large white skin ulcers. One particular myxobacteria — *Cytophaga psychrophila* is generally associated with this condition but it is unlikely to be the only one.

But the most worrying of all of the myxobacterial problems for me, is the emergence of what looks like a new one, and one which seems to produce high mortalities without external lesions. We have been getting a few cases recently, from various sources, of heavy mortalities in young marine farmed fish, especially salmon, with a very obvious and generalised invasive myxobacterial infection, involving the growth of the bacteria in all organs.

Appliances G.Kronawitter



AUXILIARY GUTTING DEVICE

A real alternative to gutting by hand
Stationary table device

TECHNICAL DATA:

Length: 1,20 m

Width: 0,60 m

Height: 0,85 m

Included in


extent of delivery:

Compressor — 0,36 kW, 220 V

Vacuum suction — 1 kW, 220 V

Rinsing brush — 0,37 kW, 380 V

Water connection 1/2"



Order No. 1982



G.Kronawitter
Fishery Supplies
Industriegebiet 2
D-8357 Wallersdorf

Phone 09933/469 + 8322
Telex 69534 krowa d

We need dealers
for all countries

CIELEP, AN and Friends

Panjet



Top Marks in the Market!

Fish population studies —
are you marking or tagging?

Cut your losses on dead or damaged fish

The **Panjet** needleless injector is ideally suited for surface marking with coloured dyes.

This method reduces the potential of infection and trauma, resulting from more conventional methods of fish identification.

Representative applications of **Panjet** are established within medical, veterinary and dental treatment fields.

Full descriptive literature available from:




Wright Health Group Limited

Kingsway West, Dundee DD2 3QD, Scotland

Tel. 0382 88371 Telex 76443 Teletax 0382 811042

A little
knowledge
is a dangerous
thing



All the book-learning in the world won't equip you for success in the hazardous, highly-competitive field of modern aquaculture.

The essence of profitability is **EFFECTIVE CONSULTANCY**. And the essence of effective consultancy is experience gained through commercial success.

Clearwater has made it, we can help you to make it too. Whatever your interests, play safe — contact us **FIRST**.

ISLE OF MAN
clearwater

Please contact: Dr. Michael Ingram,
Clearwater, Shore Road, Kirk Michael, Isle of Man
Tel: 0624 832025 Telex: 627740 AQUA MN Fax: 0624 22590

Hatcheries and other stock enhancement projects ensure that the sturgeon continues to provide its valuable eggs for the caviare trade.



How Russia saved the Volga sturgeon

"EACH of the 50 palace fishermen in Rybinsk has a duty to deliver every day 30 sturgeons to the palace: a total of 1500." This edict of Tsar Alexei Mikhailovich, issued in 1672, is striking not so much because of the great quantities of delicacy fish brought to the Tsar's table and not because in those days the flesh of the sturgeon was scarcely considered a delicacy. What it shows is that sturgeon were caught just 400 km north of Moscow.

The position as regards stocks of Russian sturgeon, and also other varieties of this fish, was assessed at the time as either dramatic or tragic, depending on availability.

Since then, in just a few centuries, German sturgeon (Baltic Sea) and Adriatic sturgeon (Mediterranean) have virtually vanished. Populations of common sturgeon and Black Sea sturgeon are also nearing extinction. The fate of the Russian sturgeon (Caspian population) has,

Fifteen hatcheries produce 100 million fry a year, writes NIKOLI GERASIMOV

been looking up. We know of its existence in Central Russia only from historical documents dating back several centuries and from some geographical names.

An example is the River Osyotr. Flowing 300 km from Moscow, its course takes it past modern industrial centres, and today it may seem oddly named, for Osyotr means "sturgeon".

But today there is no threat that the Russian sturgeon will share the fate of other sturgeon populations.

The Caspian Sea, or

rather the lower reaches of rivers flowing into it, is now the only place anywhere in the world where the catching of such surviving fish species as sturgeon, starred sturgeon and great sturgeon has preserved its commercial importance.

The trip from Astrakhan by high-speed motorboat to the first fisheries takes an hour and a half, so there is time to look around. After the first ten km, the three km wide Volga divides into several branches. The landscape tends to be monotonous — water, reeds and steppe merging with the skyline.

The sea is still 200 km away, but we are already pulling in to the bank, where there are a number of fishermen's houses surrounded by nets drying in the wind.

Beacon

Long ago there was a beacon here, at Devyataya Ognevka. Now this is one of the numerous places where sturgeon can be caught in the arms of the Volga delta.

In the fishermen's 13-strong team some of the crew take a 250 metre sweep-net on a river barge to midstream while others pull it by steel cable and an electric winch. The sweep-net is lowered from the river barge bit by bit into the water, and the current carries it swiftly away. Moving in a semi-circle, the barge approaches the bank, and the fish moving upstream find themselves trapped.

In about a quarter of an hour, 40-60 fish weighing from ten to 60 kg are lifted from the water and loaded into ten metre long boats filled with river water seeping through holes in the bottom. After that the laden boats are towed to floating caviare factories and within a few more hours the fish are sent to Astrakhan processing plants.

The Devyataya Ognevka fishermen work a ten hour shift and lift the sweep-net 12-15 times a shift. Then other take over. Fishing continues for virtually as long as fish are moving in the water.

Each spring sturgeons move up-river to spawning

grounds, and a large number do not make it. The way is barred by the dam of the Volzhskaya (Volga) hydro-electric station. Further upstream there are half-a-dozen similar barriers which the fish cannot negotiate.

As a result of man's activity, more than 50 per cent of sturgeon spawning grounds are out of reach beyond the hydro-electric dam.

"Consequently, a few decades ago sturgeon catches began to drop sharply," Vladimir Ivanov, director of the Caspian Research Institute of Fisheries, told me. "Attempts were made to use a fish ladder to help some of the sturgeon get over the dam, but this proved ineffective. The construction of a by-pass canal to enable the fish to get round the dam and move upstream was also unsuccessful."

"The sturgeon would not move along the artificial bed — the current speed there was different from what they were accustomed to on their way to spawning in high water." Looking back, the Institute's director points out that 20 years ago the state of the sturgeon population was not at all good. The only solution was to set up hatcheries. Today there are 15 in the Volga delta, producing 100 million sturgeon fry a year.

Decreased

But hatcheries alone could not improve the situation sufficiently to continue commercial fishing for sturgeon without risk.

In the 1930s, the flow of the Volga and other rivers into the Caspian decreased, as a result of which the sea level fell by two metres. This adversely affected the sturgeon's habitat at sea, which is where the fish grow, the weight increasing with the number of roes. In the late 1970s, the level began rising again despite intensive intake for the needs of industry and agriculture. Vladimir Ivanov believes that over the next few decades there will be no further drop in the Caspian Sea level.

Measures taken to protect the basin of the Volga and Ural rivers — the two main arteries flowing into the Caspian Sea — helped solve the problem. Now there are no grounds for apprehension; the river is quite clean. It is



Shrimp '88

26 — 28 January 1988
The Dusit Thani
Bangkok, Thailand

Session I Industry and Market Situation
Session II Aspects of Market Access
Session III Worldwide Aquaculture Development
Session IV Technical Aspects of Aquaculture
Session V Future and Opportunities for Aquaculture

Workshop — Post-harvest Quality Control
Post-Conference Tour — Taiwan



Organised by: INFOFISH PO Box 10899, 50728 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. Tlx: INFISH MA 31560. Fax: (603) 2916804.
Federation of Asian and Pacific Fishery Associations

Co-sponsored by: AGB Heighway Ltd, Publishers of Seafood International,
Fishing News International and Fish Farming International
Thai Fishery and Frozen Products Association
Thai Food Processors Association
Thai Seafood Processors Association

Thai
Official Carrier



Black gold from the Volga.



Volga fishermen haul in another huge beluga...



...and this is how it started. Beluga fry in a hatchery.

worth noting that one of the artificial spawning grounds of the greater sturgeon — the largest freshwater fish — is 200 metres from the spillway of the giant Volga Tractor Plant.

In the Volga delta, rice plantations were considerably reduced because chemical fertilisers and chemical pest or weed killers were entering the water from there. A ban placed on any sturgeon fishing in the Caspian Sea in 1962 was vital to the protection of the sturgeon population. Today the fry can develop undisturbed.

"Of course," the director said, "agricultural enterprises and fish farms were against such a ban, but changes were essential. This package of measures enabled us not only to preserve the population but to maintain sturgeon fishing at quite a high level. And half the sturgeons now caught have been artificially bred."

Is the future so cloudless, in view of the fact that on the way to the spawning grounds about 40 per cent of the sturgeon are caught?

Viable level

Is there a threat of genetic degeneration of the sturgeon, bearing in mind that in the past this fish moved to spawning grounds lying 2000 km away from the Caspian?

There seems to be no reason for anxiety, however, Mr. Ivanov says. Fishermen stick to the scientists' recommendations in determining the size of the catch which, he believes, is quite admissible for maintaining the population at a viable level. Also, as experiments have shown, the fish try to spawn where they were born, and today half the fry are released into river deltas.

At present there are 15 floating and four stationary caviare processing plants operating on the Volga and Ural rivers, the live fish being delivered there directly after the catch. They produce about 20,000 metric tons of black caviare, which constitutes 90 per cent of the world's entire caviare output (the remaining ten per cent is produced by Iran and Canada).

Today, the experts point out, the sturgeon population in the Caspian is as high as in olden times. But there must be no violation of the balance between the catching and the breeding of fish. There could be a risk of this because of the great demand for sturgeon flesh and caviare.

Exposition 80% Sold

North America's first
major international
congress & exposition
on aquaculture
September 6-9, 1988
Vancouver, Canada



Congress Delegates

EARLY BIRD SAVINGS

Rates for delegates, spouses and students registered by March 31, 1988, mean savings of up to 18%.

2 EARLY BIRD DRAWS

for free registration and accommodation.

Registrations post-marked by January 31, 1988 will be entered in two draws, to be held February 10 and April 9, 1988.

Registrations post-marked by March 31, 1988 will be entered in the April 9 draw.

For a colour brochure with full details on the Congress, contact:

Project Coordinator
Aquaculture International
Box #202, 999 Canada Place
Vancouver, Canada V6C 3C1
Phone (604) 681-1988
FAX (604) 641-1436
Telex 04-507702

NAME _____

TITLE _____

ORGANIZATION _____

ADDRESS _____

CITY _____

COUNTRY _____

POSTAL
CODE _____

PHONE _____

TELEX _____

FAX _____

Sweden in farming

Kuwait tries Ewos Triflex cages for rearing bream

Report
by Tom
Wray

THE Ewos Triflex cage system, which makes fish farming possible in more open and rough seas and has already proved itself in rainbow trout and Atlantic salmon farms in Denmark, Iceland and Norway, is now being used by the Kuwait Institute for Scientific Research (KISR) to rear bream.

This institute is the principal government research establishment in Kuwait and provides research and development support to Kuwaiti industry and other government organisations. It is also charged with developing national manpower in the research programmes under its mandate.

The institute encompasses five research divisions dealing with food resources, environment, engineering, petroleum and economics, and employs 978 technical staff.

The Mariculture and Fisheries Department of the Food Resources Division carries out research on capture fisheries, oceanography, marine biology and aquaculture. From its modest initial programme of work in 1967, done by a nucleus of four staff members, the department has expanded substan-

tially and now employs 90 staff and implements about six to ten projects yearly.

Main objective of the aquaculture programme is to increase the local supply of prime fresh fish by controlled production of selected local and imported species and to assist in the development of a local aquaculture industry.

During 1977-80, the department tested the suitability of six species for long term research and development. These were mullet (*Liza macrolepis*), grouper (*Epinephelus tauvina*), two species of bream (*Acanthopagrus cuvieri* and *A. latus*), tilapia (*Oreochromis aureus* and *O. spilargenteus*) and rabbit fish (*Siganus oramin*). Based on screening results, research after 1980 was concentrated on bream (*A. cuvieri*), grouper and the two tilapia species.

Since then, economically viable commercial technologies have been elaborated for hatchery and cage production of bream.

However, problems were encountered in the utilisation of conventional wooden cages for bream production due to the absence of suitable protected sites and variations in wood quality and treatment. The life of such cages in the absence of a protective breakwater did not exceed one year, said Dr. Mohammad Saif Abdullah, manager of the Mariculture and Fisheries Department.

The addition of a floating tyre breakwater improved the situation considerably at one site, but capital and maintenance costs were too high and reduced the profit margin. In most available sites, sharp variations in wind direction, wave height and period ruled against the use of wooden cages with floating breakwater.


It was decided, therefore, to carry out pilot production tests using cages with flexible rubber pontoon frames.

The Ewos Triflex 600 cage was selected and three were purchased from Ewos, assembled and stocked with 90,000 50 g bream in August 1987.

Jens Eriksson, Ewos International's regional sales manager, who went to Kuwait to help with the assembly, reports that this was accomplished using just one spanner and a rubber hammer. And every single bolt fitted without being forced.

The weather in the Gulf can get quite nasty at times and sure enough, shortly after the Triflex cages were installed, a heavy storm blew up. According to Mr. Eriksson, it was one of the heaviest storms ever experienced in the area and lasted for days. Wave heights reached 6-9 ft, but the Triflex cages and their fish continued to thrive despite their exposed position some three to four km from land.

The idea for the Ewos Triflex cage came from the oil industry, where inflatable collars are used to contain oil spills. The flexible tubular design is claimed to overcome the enormous stresses and strains imposed by wave action. This is because the cage does not



A cross-section of the Triflex cage showing the main components.

resist the sea but rides with it.

According to Ewos, the big advantage of the Triflex cage has over other flexible collar types is that it forms a proper working platform and is not too big to be easily managed. There are three models in the standard range: the Triflex 600, the 1400 and the 1860, these numbers referring to the respective rearing volume in cubic metres.

All Triflex models feature high buoyancy — eight tons for the 600 cu. metre cage and 11 tons for the larger ones — and the high stability is provided by the twin booms. Walkways can be fitted to each section of the booms, and consist of strong galvanised steel grating sections each of which is hinged at one side and can be easily lifted.

The booms themselves are made from wear and weather-resistant reinforced rubber material for high durability under tough conditions. The pontoons are inflated to 0.6 bar, and even the compressor adapter is


supplied with the kit of parts.

The low packing volume of the Ewos Triflex cage means low freight cost, and as indicated earlier, it is easy to assemble. There is also claimed to be virtually no wear on links and connections due to the fact that rubber is used instead of metal. Maintenance required is minimal, and the cage has a low towing resistance.

For safe anchorage, the Triflex cage must be moored in all six corners, says Ewos. The company adds that the total flexibility and the low weight of the cage means low strain in the moorings. This enables smaller anchors and ropes to be used.

The cage can be supplied with fenders along the pontoon sides to avoid damage in connection with mooring.

Jens Eriksson reveals that there is considerable interest from other Arab countries in the Ewos Triflex cage system, which is also continuing to attract more northerly fish farmers following its success in Denmark and Iceland.



One of the Triflex cages nearing assembly. Note the wide flexible rubber twin booms and steel walkways.

Sunderland Marine Mutual Insurance Company Ltd.

(LIMITED BY GUARANTEE)
ESTABLISHED 1882

Managers

Salvus Bain (Management) Ltd.



Sunderland Marine with over 100 years service to the fishing industry now offer comprehensive stock and equipment Insurance Cover for Salmon Farms through an innovative scheme incorporating technical advice and support.

Registered Office

4/5 The Esplanade, Sunderland SR2 7BQ
Tel. (091) 5650505
Telex. 537717
Fax. (091) 5658625



Season 1987/88

For sale eyed eggs

from disease free fish farm controlled by health service since 1980.

Rainbow trout ova

December '87 - May '88

River trout ova

January - April '88

Sea trout ova

January - April '88

Brook trout ova (charr)

December '87 - January '88

For detailed quotes, please contact:

**Forellenzucht
Heiner Feldmann**

Steinacher Strasse 16
D-7967 Bad Waldsee
W. Germany
Phone 07524 - 1640

Your partner for healthy trout

Complete line for salmon processing

SWEDEN'S Food Technology Company AB (FTC) claims to have achieved "dramatic success" in Norway with its salmon processing system and, when FFI was in Sweden in October, was negotiating to deliver a complete plant to Japan.

According to FTC's Jan Soederlind, the company has captured 95 per cent of the Norwegian market with its complete salmon processing line, which includes filleting through to slicing with "emphasis on quality and yield control".

The company claims that its TAF Anatomic filleting machine is more precise and therefore more yield efficient than filleting by knife. The machine, which is powered by a 1.5 kW electric motor and weighs 510 kg, has a capacity of up to 30 salmon a minute in the weight range of one to six kilos.

Conveyor

The fish are put into the machine and carried to the cutters by conveyor belts which are supported by an automatic centre adjusting mechanism. This centres the fish in the machine. Two 600 mm diameter stainless steel blades cut the salmon into three pieces: two fillets, and one centre bone.

Clearance of the blades can be adjusted to the size of the fish, and this is claimed to result in high yield and good-looking sides of salmon. The two sides leave the machine skin side down and the centre bone from a special opening on the side.

Two bone trimmer's are also part of the FTC salmon processing system. These are the Whizard Model 620 bone trimmer and the Model 1500Z belly bone trimmer.

The 1500Z features a lightweight, adjustable depth action. These are claimed to result in maximum processing ability and excellent yield with emphasis on safety.

According to FTC, the all-automatic CSS-29 has a precision close to that of manual skinning. The fillet is placed on the machine's belt conveyor skin side down. The special knife (adjustable to skin thickness) performs a stroking action, resulting in "excellent skinning with the highest yield". The partitioned retaining roller presses the fillet flat and feeds the skin into the cutting part without any losses.

Built in stainless steel and aluminium, the CSS-29 skinner is powered by a 1.25 kW electric motor and has a capacity of 30-120 fillets a minute. As well as salmon, the machine is claimed to be suitable for skinning a range of other fish species including cod, halibut, sole, seabream and tilapia.

Mr. Soederlind revealed that some Norwegian salgaugers preset for accuracy and uniformity. It is claimed to remove the bones leaving the surface of the fillet smooth and attractive.

In the FTC system, the salmon sides are injected with a saturated salt solution to achieve the correct Na Cl content. This operation also increases the moisture content slightly, and is said to produce a more succulent product.

Another machine in the FTC salmon processing system is the CSS-29 skinner

which features three partitioned retaining rollers and a special band with stroking mon processors are selling the skins to China, where they are tanned and turned into luxury leather goods such as handbags and footwear.

Automatic


Also offered as part of the FTC package is the SSC smoke tunnel, which is made by Alpas. This machine features sensor climatic control. For optimum desired quality the process is fully automatic, incorporating a process control unit to con-

trol the climate in the smoking tunnel.

A printout gives the operator continuous information on salmon condition. The complete functions include hot and cold smoking, chilling and cleaning.

FTC also supplies on-rail trolleys for the smoker, each one having a capacity of 12 sq. m. The special tilt design is claimed to give maximum drying and smoking efficiency and is easy to clean.

The Swedish company's 'One-All' Model BL 25-500 slicer is also part of its salmon processing package. This device slices fresh, chilled, smoked and pickled (gravlax) salmon in a tem-



FTC's 'One-All' salmon slicer, "the new way of slicing".

perature range of 0-5 deg. C. No crust or pre-freezing is claimed to be necessary beforehand.

The machine slices salmon at an angle of 25 degrees. Interleaving is not needed because slices can be easily separated after slicing.

Capacity is about three or four salmon sides a minute. Yield is claimed to be up to 99 per cent.

The machine has a slicing width of 500 mm, and will produce slice thicknesses down to 2.5 mm though 3.5 mm is recommended. The speeds of the knife blades, pusher and conveyor belt can be varied, and there is an adjustable product guide.

● Further information from FTC AB, P.O. Box 3026, S-17203 Sundbyberg, Sweden. Telex 11683 FTCS.

HWA Announces a Major Programme

in Shrimp Aquaculture Consultancy

The growth in investment and profits from shrimp aquaculture has been a noteworthy feature in Taiwan, Philippines, Indonesia, and Thailand. This interest has resulted in varying levels of investment in various countries around the world. The main disadvantage has been the lack of technical back up needed to convert the investments into the projected profits.

HWA, a company spawned out of the success of the shrimp aquaculture business, has now developed a comprehensive package of aquaculture consultancy services for the serious investor.

Our services include:

- Management of existing facilities
- On site training of managers and technicians
- Utilisation of proven technology
- Selected and trained technicians
- Comprehensive feasibility study
- Design, construction, commissioning
- Guaranteed production agreements

A significant part of the HWA consultancy services has been in the training of programmes provided. In 1988, we plan to hold three training programmes for managers, technicians and operators of shrimp hatcheries, nurseries, and farms. Details of these courses and scope of our involvement in your project can be obtained by contacting us at the address below.

Aquaculture a new frontier in investment — ensure your investment is profitable.

HWA Aquafarm Consultancy Inc.

P.O. Box 64
Tung Kang
Kaoshung
Taiwan — ROC

Tel: (7) 642 3713, 642 3714. Fax: (7) 642 3715. Tlx: 71879 FRPHW

Grow nearer the market

WHY not move fish farms closer to the huge markets of New York and Washington? The idea is appealing but there are some biological ifs and buts. The climate is not perfect for salmon farming with the cold winters and, worst of all, the forbiddingly high water temperatures in the summer.

Marson Aquaculture Investment says it is overcoming these climatic disadvantages by establishing a salmon farm on land in which the water to the tanks will not only be heated in winter by cooling water from a nearby power plant but be cooled in summer by well water.

The design of this facility has been made by Marson Biosystem AB, a Swedish company specialising in intensive fish farming. Marson is also to undertake the erection of this advanced fish farming facility.

The farm will have its own smelt production facilities and will be capable of producing yearly some 400 metric tons of salmon ranging in size from six to eight pounds in weight. Also part of the facility will be a packing line for fresh fish as well as a smoking plant.

Intensive fish farming in clean, treated water with the perfect environment of temperature and oxygen is the ultimate for profitable production of fish, says Marson Biosystem.

Scientist retires

PETER Wood, director at the Fisheries Laboratory, Burnham-on-Crouch in England since 1972 has retired after serving 33 years as a senior scientist.

Mr. Wood first joined the laboratory at Burnham in 1954 and studied shellfish microbiology. It was his pioneering research which helped introduce to Britain purification plants for oysters, using ultra violet light to irradiate and sterilise seawater.

PRODUCT NEWS

Canvas brailer

ONE OF the problems in harvesting salmon and other fish from cages is the damage sometimes caused by the netting of the brailers.

One way of overcoming this is to pump the fish, but pump systems are expensive and complicated for the smaller farmer.

Another solution shown at the big US Fish Expo exhibition in Seattle at the end of October is a brailer bag made of canvas.

Quality improved

This has been developed by the Canvas Shop in Homer, Alaska, to give salmon fishermen a brailer that is said to be not only easier to handle and easier to clean, but improves the quality of fish landed by eliminating damage from net meshes.

The standard No Mar Brailer Bag holds 1000 lb of fish, but FFI was told by the Canvas Shop that they can be custom made in various sizes.

● Further information from the Canvas Shop, 2559 Kachemak Drive, Homer, Alaska 99603.

Irish cage system finds BC market


A SUCCESSFUL development in international technology transfer links the talents of a growing Canadian company with Irish expertise in cages for exposed sites to provide sturdy farm structures in British Columbia.

In 1986 Turmec Engineering of Ireland teamed up with Aqua-Can Enterprises of Port Moody in BC to extend the market for its Wavemaster line to the expanding aquaculture industry on the Pacific rim of North America.

Aqua-Can president Ann Levi-Lloyd told FFI that, before reaching an agreement with Turmec, she led a world-wide search for fish cage technologies. With production manager Jean-Paul Philippon, she worked on several different cage types before deciding to transfer Wavemaster technology to Canadian conditions.

"We chose Wavemaster cages because we saw them as the most efficient way of rearing salmon and sea-grown trout," she said. "The durability of the cages, which are made of high-strength steel with long life assured by hot-dip galvanising, and the safe working conditions provided by the non-slip decking, combine to give the best cage system appropriate to fish farming conditions in Canada."

"With over 20 years of experience in speciality metals fabrication work and a personal background which includes critical welding at nuclear power plants, Mr. Philippon was well prepared to begin fabrication of the metal cages."



Wavemaster cages under construction in British Columbia.

Modular, flexible and shown to be able to offer safe and stable working surfaces, the Wavemaster cages could also be provided at a price that gave a cost-effective system.

According to Ms Levi-Lloyd, the cages also incorporate many innovations farmers have asked for, and workers report that they like the way the cages work.

"The extra buoyancy which keeps the workers out of the water even in choppy conditions, or when pallets of feed are loaded on the deck, are welcome features," she added. "And farm workers say they appreciate details such as curved net hooks which assist in hauling nets up for changing and ensure that predator nets are held securely in place."

But probably the most popular innovation on the Canadian Wavemaster cages

is the circular stamped "sure-grip" decking.

"While lending further strength to the system," she said, "it is a welcome relief from the jagged teeth of expanded metal decks. It also allows many of the modular extras such as net handling winches, diving ladders and handrails to plug into the decking wherever they are needed."

Wavemaster systems were designed by Turmec with special hinges to allow the cages to ride the wave, current and tidal actions. As FFI reported in its special feature on Ireland last year, the system was put through an exhaustive development and testing programme before going into commercial use.

The structural strength and safety of the cages were initially demonstrated in waters off the west coast of Ireland.

Canadian Wavemasters

are now being demonstrated on the west coast of Vancouver Island, and tested at an exposed site in the Strait of Georgia.

The same rigorous process of market research, testing and development has been applied to work on a smaller (6 x 6 metres, expandable up to 9 x 9 metres) single cage for treating, grading, transporting and harvesting fish.

It has also helped develop three sizes of net washers, the largest of them able to handle giant sea cage nets.

Another new product under development is a large, modular floating work station. And a giant Wavemaster cage for very exposed sites is under prototype testing.

In British Columbia, Aqua-Can Enterprises made and installed more than 30 cages in the first six months in production, and is moving to larger facilities to meet future production needs.

Sounds 'call' fish to feed

SCIENTISTS in Japan are experimenting with "sonic farming", in which fish are attracted by sounds broadcast in a bay at feeding time. Though free to swim out to sea, many fish stay permanently in the bay, it is reported.

Scientists at Saiki on the Pacific coast of Kyushu island have persuaded Red Sea bream to huddle round a food trough at the sound of the synthesiser.

Akira Yasumura, director of the publicly-funded mariculture experimental station, said the method could prove commercially viable.

His institute raises bream from eggs and releases them into a small coastal area enclosed by nets when they reach 12 mm in length.

"Then we condition the fish acoustically for between

30 and 60 days, combining sound waves and feeding until they reach 10 cm in length," said Mr. Yasumura.

"When they have learnt the sound waves and are conditioned to respond, we release them into the ranch."

The 'ranch' is a bay which has no barriers to keep the fish inside. They are free to swim out to sea, and many of them do. But an estimated 20 per cent, are 'up' in the bay by the synthesised beeps in E, broadcast by two solar-powered buoys moored in the bay.

"We feed them six times a day between 7 am and 5 pm. We emit the sound for about eight minutes, in the middle of which feed is released for about one minute," said Mr. Yasumura. "After a year, they are commercially saleable and we can harvest them."

ARMACS

The Aquacultural Risk Management and Claims Service Limited

A subsidiary of The Aquacultural Insurance Service Limited

Risk reduction and loss mitigation specialists.

Our worldwide service is based on years of practical experience.

Call our 24-hour 'Aqualoss' number

0444 414841

Suite B, The Priory, Franklyn Road, Haywards Heath, West Sussex RH16 4DG, England. Telex: 877292 Fax: 0444 452277

BIO-MARINE INC.
AquaFauna

Premium Quality

BRINE SHRIMP EGGS
(ARTEMIA CYSTS)

Commercial quantities, vacuum packed.

- Artificial Plankton-Bio 50-100 Micron Capsules
- Hatchery & Laboratory Equipment
- Chemicals

Write to us with your list of requirements


For more information and a free cyst sample, write to: AQUAFAUNA-BIOMARINE, INC.

P.O. BOX 5
HAWTHORNE, CALIFORNIA 90250 U.S.A.
TELEPHONE: (213) 973-5275
TELEX: 3718812 BIOMARINE FAX: (213) 675-9387

NEW! Fully Automatic
SALINITY REFRACTOMETER

- Temperature Compensated Readings
- Adjustable Focusing/Dual Scales
- Specific Gravity 1.000-1.070
- Parts Per Thousand 0 to 100
- Anti-Roll and Anti-Corrosive

Worldwide
Delivered Price
US \$360.00



Sturdy platforms and wide central walkways are features of the cages.

Mytilus for mussels

THE Italian firm Team Automazioni used the Nantes International fish exhibition to introduce its new Mytilus machine to French mussel growers.

This machine selects, cleans and grades mussels and leaves them ready for packaging.

According to the firm, the

machine's in-built motor allows it to be used aboard a boat as well as ashore.

There are two models available. The Mytilus 10 which can handle 100 kilos an hour, and the Mytilus 20 able to handle 2000 kilos.

● Further information from Team Automazioni, Via Garganelli 20, 40065 Pionoro (BO), Italy.

Farm courses in Florida

A SHORT course on marine shrimp farming is being offered by Coastal Science and Engineering (CS & E) at the Nova University Oceanographic Center in Dania, Florida, from April 7-15.

This hands-on course covers several topics important to successful shrimp farming, including training in maturation, hatchery, growout techniques, shrimp marketing and start-up financing.

A similar course on freshwater shrimp farming is scheduled for May 9-14.

● For more information on this course and other aquaculture courses offered by CS & E, contact Dr. Bart Baca, Coastal Science and Engineering, P.O. Box 8056, Columbia, South Carolina 29202, Telex 858649 CSE CLB UD.

Raceway system for brine shrimp

NEWS from San Francisco Bay Brand Inc. of California, USA, describing the operation of an intensive brine shrimp culturing unit at its San Diego facility was not received by FFI when it was put out in November 1986. That is a pity, writes technical editor Tom Wray, for it contained some interesting details about the company's scale of operation.

It stated that for the first time, on a commercially scaled size, intensive culturing of artemia (brine shrimp) biomass in a completely enclosed raceway system had been accomplished under the

direction of Andy Schmidt at the company's San Diego Brine Shrimp Inc. subsidiary.

The system includes eight separate raceways, each containing 1500 gallons of water

and maintained with a "unique" combination of biological and mechanical filtration.

"Repeated cultures have been hatched from our quality Artemia cysts and grown to full adult size," reports Mr. Schmidt. "This project has been in operation since the beginning of 1986 and has permitted us to perfect both the biological and mechanical process as well

as formulate the necessary feed to assure adequate growth and nourishment balance in the adult Artemia."

According to Mr. Schmidt, the implications of this project are far reaching for both the tropical hobbyist market as well as for the developing mariculture market around the world. By duplicating the system, at whatever scale is required, live brine shrimp can be brought within easy access of any market or major user.

Concurrently with the development at its San Diego operation, San Francisco Bay Brand also acquired the pilot artemia extensive culture operation begun two years previously by Algatex Inc. on a 40-acre site in Salton City, California.

Says Mr. Schmidt: "The unique combination of climate and ready availability of quality saline water that exist in this area has provided an opportunity to develop and perfect techniques for the management and growth of artemia in a natural setting — but one that can be easily monitored and controlled."

Trading under the name Artemia from the Sea, this operation is presently providing brine shrimp to the Southern California aquatic market and is an important back-up course for the nationwide market when occasional weather conditions make harvesting on the San Francisco Bay ponds impossible.


Mr. Schmidt pointed out to FFI that San Francisco Bay Brand Inc. remains the world's largest provider of artemia.

In addition to the recently established intensive and extensive culturing of brine shrimp described above, the firm harvests from the solar salt system in the San Francisco Bay well over a million tons of brine shrimp each year!


It operates in northern and southern California, and is also one of the primary harvesters of artemia cysts from the Great Salt Lake in Utah.

● Further information from San Francisco Bay Brand Inc., 8239 Enterprise Drive, Newark, California 94560, USA. Telex: 5101000165 BayBrand.


KEMERS range of modern equipment for modern feeding technology for tablefish and fry.



Central controls. Small and large fish farms.



Automatic Feeders



Manufacturer

KEMERS

Kemers Maskin AB
Rattviksvägen 178
790 21 Bjursås, Sweden
Tel. 023 512 20
Telex 74167 kemers s
Telefax 023-501 09

Norway

KEMERS

Kemers Norske A/S
8372 Gravdal
Norge
088-811 91, 088-855 60

Iceland

KEMERS

H. Þorgeirsson
Byggjarenda 12
108 Reykjavík
sími 686470

Canada

Lofoten Aqua Supply Ltd
P.O. Box 5684
Victoria, B.C.
V8R 6S4
Tel: (604) 598-4225
Cannell River Warehouse
(604) 437-2281
Telefax: (604) 598-1895

United Kingdom

C. & H. Plastics
Pentland Industrial Estate
Loanhead
Midlothian
EH20 5QH
Scotland



SEA FARM A/S, one of Norway's top companies in the production of salmon smolts as well as in the supply of equipment for aquaculture, is reported to be planning a joint venture in the Soviet Far East.

This would be in association with a Soviet enterprise and would involve smolts and on-grown fish.

classified advertisements

Services
Stocks
Supplies
For Sale
Insurance
Deliveries
Situations Wanted
Situations Vacant
Courses

Fish for sale

WANSFORD TROUT FARM LIMITED

A large number of highest quality S1 salmon smolts (50-70 grams) available April/May 1988. Wester Ross stock. Keen prices.

Contact:

Wansford Trout Farm Ltd.
Drifffield, North Humberside YO26 8JJ
Tel: (0377) 44353

04627

EEL FINGERLINGS FOR SALE

Telephone Peter Neusinger
WESTERN AQUACULTURE
Offshute, Butterleigh, Cullompton
Devon EX15 1PR
Tel: 0884 33205

For sale

ISLE OF MULL SALMON FARMS LTD.

Offer for sale — a number of
TOP QUALITY S1 SALMON SMOLTS
at attractive prices

These are the first smolts to come from our new Hatchery

Enquiries to: Tim Harrison
Isle of Mull Salmon Farms Ltd.
Torrans
Pennyghael
Isle of Mull
PA70 6HE
Tel. 06814 200

SECONDHAND EQUIPMENT FOR SALE

Kames Cages as new complete with good quality nets. 1x1 tonne; 8x3 tonne; 3x6 tonne. Spare cage nets and barrier nets for all above cages. Gill nets. 2x16' steel dory boats. 3 self-inflating life-jackets. CU concrete dock pontoon 35' and ramp. Small pontoon 10'. Many polystyrene blocks 9"x18"x18". "Trappy" crayfish traps. Various bins, buckets, chutes and trolleys. 10mx2' polypropylene pipe. 5mx1' polypropylene pipe. Approx 20mx2' polypropylene pipe. 4" and 6" Alcon fittings and pipe. 2 formalin respirators. 9x25Kg dextritic salt. 1x15L fuel tank. 1x75L fuel tank. 2 Jerry cans. Air pressure regulator and taps. Compressed air stapler and sprayer (+ regulator and hose and staples). Large polythene bags 25"x39". Grice and Young grader and some grids. Chain 6x25m. 2 cash registers. Chest freezer. Clingfilm wrapper and sealer + film and polystyrene trays. Hand nets and frames. Desk. Filing cabinets. Elm Fishery lodge. 28'x12' Mobile home.

Contact: D. Rogers, Wraybury (078481) 2080

TAMPLIN

PNEUMATIC
METER FISH FEEDER

* Accurately measured Blow feed through Metering Valve.
* Discharge through Swinging Boom or Flexible Hose.
* Models: — Mobile Trailer — Tractor Mounted — Self Powered for Workboat or Land based operation.
Sole manufacturer:
Tamplin Engineering Ltd., Chichester, Sussex PO20 7BU, England
Tel: (0243) 512599. Telex: 88402 CHITYP G (Ref. TAMPLIN).

TOP QUALITY CUT-PRICE WORKWEAR

Due to bulk purchase, we can now supply Oilskins, Wellingtons, Aprons, Gloves, etc. at unbelievable prices. Try us and see.

For full details and prices please phone

0203-340383

ALUMINIUM PRODUCTS FOR THE FISH FARMER

ALUMINIUM MARINE TECHNOLOGY
ALMARITEC LTD

Property/Farm for sale

ENGLAND (EAST SUSSEX) FOR SALE OR NEW LEASEHOLD

Comprising: (13 Acres overall)

Trout Farm, 6 Acre Trout Fishery and Koi Carp Farm & 6 Rearing/Growing on Ponds. 13 Acres in all with good access. Well established Trout Fishery (10 years) full planning/building permission for 4 + bedroom bungalow. Price £380,000 Freehold s.v. or £ negotiable — New 99 year lease

BOX NO. 779

FISH FARMING INTERNATIONAL
CLOISTER COURT, 22 - 28 FARRINGDON LANE,
LONDON EC1R 3AU

FOR SALE WATERMILL AND FARM ON 2 ACRE SMALLHOLDING NORTH CORNWALL

Salmon/trout river, min.2m/g/d. Small koi and Goldfish farm area and hatchery.
Good local sales, suitable conversion to trout, salmon fry, etc.

£85,000

Contact Doug Cross on Camelford (0840) 213509

CLASSIFIED ADVERTISEMENTS

If you have a farm to sell, secondhand equipment for sale, or are looking for a job why not place a small ad in this section.

Telephone:
STEVE NIXON
on

01-253 3456, ext. 185

INDEX TO ADVERTISERS

Aeration Industries	6
AIS	3
Armas	28
Artemia Systems	8
Aqua Fuana Biomarine	28
Aquaculture	3
Aqua Products	2
Badinotti Spa	7
Biomaco	8
Boris Nets	10
Bridgestone	1
Bristol Channel	21
C & H Plastics	12
Dearwater Trout Ltd	23
Cofradex Aps	14
Dafi UK	20
Ets Beaur	17
Everyvalve	4
Ewos	1 & 32
Farmocor AB	9
Fast Engineering	18
Fischtechnik	4
Fishing News Books	Loose Insert
Forellenzucht Heiner Feldmann	26
Frippak Feeds	Insurta
Hi Sat Communications	13 & 14
Horsens Technical College	7
Hugh Norman	10
Hvalpsund Vodbinderi	22
HWA Aquafarm	27
James Adams	2
Kames Fish Farm GmbH	15
Kemars Maskin	30
Kronawitter	23
Nordic Supply	17
North Star Ice	6
Oppdrettservice A/S	16
Oranier	19
Orkney Fish Farms	11
Orkney Islands Council	12
Orkney Seafoods	14
Per Heggelund	21
Rognvoll Musling	29
Salvus Bain	26
Scandinavian Silver Eel	8
Scottish Fish Farming Conf.	11
Seafood Directory	22
Seamatrix	17
Shrimp 88	24
Tess Aquaculture	13
UFN A/S	18
Vancouver Trade Ex.	25
Vesta Wind Turbines	15
W & J Knox	13
William Jolly	15
Wright Health Group	23

Subscription Order Form

— fish farming international —

Please enter a subscription in my/my company's name to receive FFI every month for the next 12 issues

☐ UK
£15.00

☐ SPECIAL OVERSEAS FAST
DELIVERY £20.00

BLOCK CAPITALS PLEASE

Name _____
Title _____
Company _____
Address _____
Type of Business _____
Signature _____

☐ Please invoice me/my company

☐ Please find cheque/cash remittance enclosed

Please charge my Credit Card No.

Please tick which company

☐ AMERICAN
EXPRESS

ACCESS ☐

☐ BARCLAYCARD
VISA

DINERS CLUB ☐

Return to:
The Circulation Manager
AGB HIGHWAY LIMITED
87 Blackfriars Road
London SE1 9HS, U.K.

Please send me a free copy of Fishing News ☐ and/or Fishing News International ☐

Cheques should be made payable to: AGB HIGHWAY LIMITED

Better sell with a soft-shell

A soft-shell crawfish industry is developing in Louisiana alongside traditional hard-shell crawfish production. The soft-shell product commands a far higher price on the US domestic market.

In September last year, producers formed the Louisiana Soft-shell Crawfish Farmers Association to co-ordinate research and improve output.

A computer simulation programme dealing with the economics of soft-shell crawfish rearing is being made available by the Louisiana Co-operative Extension Service.

According to the president of the new association, John Cooper Fore, some 60 farms are currently in operation. The number of producers is

expected to rise to 800 within the next few years.

At present, the wholesale price of soft crawfish is about \$8.50 a lb, compared with \$0.60 obtained for hard-shell. But this high return may drop as production increases.

Soft-shell farmers obtain their growing stocks from the 135,000 acres of existing crawfish ponds. But they have some difficulty in getting what they need.

While the hard-shell industry keeps crawfish alive for only two days after capture, soft-shell producers must maintain them for between 30 and 50 days until they shed their shells.

Much of the research, which has so far gone into soft-shell rearing, has been done by Dr. Dudley Culley, at the Louisiana State University Agricultural Center in Baton Rouge. He says at the present time most

producers are novices with little aquaculture experience.

One of the problems is that moulting rates may vary between one and five per cent. Crawfish require careful handling to prevent mortality after they are put into trays.

Meanwhile, the Louisiana Co-operative Extension Service reports that in 1987 about 20,000 lb of soft-shell crawfish were marketed in the United States.

Canada helps Pakistan farms

A NEW Directorate of Fisheries has been set up in the North West Frontier Province (NWFP) of Pakistan and a fish hatchery on 90 acres of land is being established in Peshawar with finance loaned by the Canadian government and the Asian Development Bank.

NWFP Minister for Agriculture, Forests, Animal Husbandry, Fisheries & Co-operatives Mian Jamal Shah Kakakhel said that warmwater fish like grass and silver carp, rahu and mohni would be hatched which would eventually make NWFP self-sufficient in fish.

He added that 25 demonstration fish farms would be developed. Also 25 warmwater small

farms have been established in the private sector in NWFP. These farms are selling their products and have proved a commercial success, tempting others to enter the business.

Two coldwater trout hatcheries at Shinoo in Kaghan Valley and at Madyan in Swat District are doing good business while a third has recently been commissioned in Dubair.

Salmon farm in a ship

A FRENCH and a Norwegian company are reported to be planning a large project to grow salmon in a ship off the Brittany coast, north of Brest.

According to Norinform, a second-hand 100,000 tons deadweight ship is being converted to provide on-growing tanks with a capacity of 70,000 cubic metres. Yearly production is estimated at 3000 metric tons and the sea farm is expected to start operating about June.

It will be run by a company called Salmor SA, with a French concern having a 51 per cent interest and the Norwegian company Scanfarm 49 per cent.

Seawater is to be pumped to the tanks from a depth of 20 metres.

Abalone spread


POTENTIALLY, if not actually, the largest abalone farming operation in the world, Abalone Resources Inc., with its operations based in the Morro Bay areas of California, is attracting interest with its technology and expertise in the farming of the prized California red abalone.

While not tied to *Haliotis rufescens* on a world-wide basis, the same principles apply to the farming of most species of abalone and potential joint venture operations are under consideration in Australia, New Zealand and Mexico.

This is not to imply that any arrangements are finalised but it does indicate the future thrust of the company in its aim to become the world's foremost grower and marketer of abalone.

In Mexico, the favoured species will be the pink abalone or *Haliotis corrugata*, a species more tolerant of the warmer Mexican water.

EWOS NEWS



Member of the Alfa-Laval Group

Head Office

SWEDEN
Ewos AB
Södertälje

Subsidiaries

SWEDEN
Ewos Sverige AB
Södertälje
Ewos International AB
Södertälje

ICELAND
Ewos HF
Reykjavik

NORWAY
Ewos A/S
Oslo

CANADA
Ewos
Division of
Alfa-Laval Ltd.
Vancouver

DENMARK
Ewos A/S
Silkeborg

SPAIN
Ewos Bioter. S.A.
Madrid

FINLAND
Finnwos Oy
Helsinki

UNITED KINGDOM
Ewos Ltd
Westfield by Bathgate,
Scotland