


SCOMM


147:19

Alaska Department of Fish and Game
Division of Commercial Fisheries

Salmon escapements compared to goals and potential unrealized harvest and exvessel value 2001 to 2007




Salmon escapements compared to goals 2001 to 2007 STATEWIDE



Statewide	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Total Stocks	296	298	298	301	269	250	238
Below Minimum Goal							
• Number	50	45	46	43	32	23	31
• Percent	17%	15%	15%	14%	12%	9%	13%
Within Goal Range							
• Number	132	123	99	110	92	89	102
• Percent	45%	41%	33%	37%	34%	36%	43%
Above Upper Goal							
• Number	114	130	153	148	145	138	105
• Percent	39%	44%	51%	49%	54%	55%	44%

Salmon escapements compared to goals 2001 to 2007




REGION 1: SOUTHEAST



Southeast Region	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Total Stocks	44	43	44	43	43	41	37
Below Minimum Goal							
• Number	5	4	2	4	4	3	8
• Percent	11%	9%	5%	9%	9%	7%	22%
Within Goal Range							
• Number	21	16	12	18	21	21	15
• Percent	48%	37%	27%	42%	49%	51%	40%
Above Upper Goal							
• Number	18	23	30	21	18	17	14
• Percent	41%	53%	68%	49%	42%	41%	38%

Potential unrealized harvest and exvessel value


REGION 1: SOUTHEAST



Number of Fish
Exvessel Value

2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
65,141	31,930	216,735	111,241	131,300	221,571	74,175
\$345,899	\$151,668	\$1,170,369	\$563,992	\$785,174	\$1,369,309	\$421,314

Pink Salmon




Number of Fish
Exvessel Value

2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
3,404,447	1,524,750	5,046,227	386,329	3,850,000	150,000	2,650,000
\$1,566,046	\$503,170	\$1,766,179	\$142,678	\$1,617,000	\$72,000	\$1,802,000

Salmon escapements compared to goals 2001 to 2007



REGION 2: CENTRAL




Central Region	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Total Stocks	100	102	99	101	99	86	90
Below Minimum Goal							
• Number	16	12	8	9	10	5	14
• Percent	16%	12%	8%	9%	10%	6%	16%
Within Goal Range							
• Number	52	47	42	42	40	34	47
• Percent	52%	46%	42%	42%	40%	40%	52%
Above Upper Goal							
• Number	32	43	49	50	49	47	29
• Percent	32%	42%	49%	50%	49%	55%	32%

Potential unrealized harvest and exvessel value


REGION 2: CENTRAL



Region 2: Cook Inlet




Aerial view of the fishing vessel at sea.




Potential unrealized harvest and exvessel value (continued)

REGION 2: CENTRAL



Number of Fish	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Exvessel Value	0	0	107,289	0	1,919,168	0	0
	\$0	\$0	\$35,624	\$0	\$806,051	\$0	\$0


Fish species: Lower Cook Inlet



Number of Fish	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Exvessel Value	160,829	1,744,519	1,112,246	76,496	722,260	676,757	1,063,606
	\$65,940	\$418,685	\$255,817	\$24,479	\$252,791	\$324,843	\$350,900

Salmon escapements compared to goals 2001 to 2007




REGION 3: AYK




AYK Region	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Total Stocks	59	60	60	68	60	55	60
Below Minimum Goal							
• Number	15	15	15	13	8	4	5
• Percent	25%	25%	25%	19%	13%	7%	8%
Within Goal Range							
• Number	31	30	29	31	17	12	19
• Percent	53%	50%	48%	46%	28%	22%	32%
Above Upper Goal							
• Number	13	15	16	24	35	39	36
• Percent	22%	25%	27%	35%	58%	71%	60%

Potential unrealized harvest and exvessel value

REGION 3: AYK




Chum Salmon



Salmon escapements compared to goals 2001 to 2007


REGION 4: WESTWARD




Westward Region	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Total Stocks	93	93	95	89	67	68	51
Below Minimum Goal							
• Number	14	14	21	17	10	11	4
• Percent	15%	15%	22%	19%	15%	16%	8%
Within Goal Range							
• Number	28	30	16	19	14	22	21
• Percent	30%	32%	17%	21%	21%	32%	41%
Above Upper Goal							
• Number	51	49	58	53	43	35	26
• Percent	55%	53%	61%	60%	64%	51%	51%

Potential unrealized harvest and exvessel value


REGION 4: WESTWARD




Number of Fish	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Exvessel Value	138,150	178,888	367,804	560,777	526,700	162,110	240,067
	\$447,606	\$515,197	\$1,232,143	\$1,833,741	\$2,080,465	\$532,614	\$1,233,944



Number of Fish	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Exvessel Value	315,336	310,211	502,427	275,178	204,430	0	74,192
	\$1,223,504	\$1,070,228	\$1,907,615	\$872,314	\$885,162	\$0	\$381,347




Number of Fish	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Exvessel Value	486,918	94,519	84,119	0	0	118,996	43,884
	\$2,424,852	\$475,431	\$270,863	\$0	\$0	\$624,729	\$219,859



Number of Fish	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Exvessel Value	1,191,000	476,478	3,662,687	5,013,270	3,311,904	199,647	72,800
	\$476,400	\$138,179	\$988,925	\$1,503,981	\$1,159,166	\$41,926	\$37,128

Alaska Commercial Salmon Catches and Value 1878-2007

(all species combined)



Alaska Department of Fish and Game
Division of Commercial Fisheries
Salmon Management Overview
Emphasis on escapement-based management

By Patricia Nelson



Presentation Objectives




- Importance of salmon fisheries, annual harvests and exvessel values
- Review the relevant policies and definitions
- Escapement goal development
- Harvest management
- Role of the Alaska Board of Fisheries
- Recommendations for the future

Alaska's Salmon Resource




Alaska commercial salmon catches and value 1878-2007


(All species combined)




Alaska commercial pink salmon catches and value 1878-2007




Alaska commercial sockeye salmon catches and value 1878-2007




Alaska commercial chum salmon catches and value 1878-2007



Alaska commercial coho salmon catches and value 1878-2007



Alaska commercial Chinook salmon catches and value 1878-2007



Providing for sustained yield

Constitution:

Article VIII, Sec(4). Fish, forests, wildlife, grasslands, and all other replenishable resources belonging to the State shall be utilized, developed, and maintained on the sustained yield principle, subject to preferences among beneficial uses.

Statute:

AS 16.05.020(2). The commissioner (of the Department of Fish and Game) shall manage, protect, maintain, improve and extend the fish, game and aquatic plant resources of the state in the interest of the economy and general well-being of the state.

Providing for sustained yield

Regulation

- **Salmon Management Plans**
- **Mixed-Stock Salmon Policy, 5 AAC 39.220**
- **Policy for the management of sustainable salmon Fisheries Policy, 5 AAC 39.222**
- **Policy for Statewide Salmon Escapement Goals, 5 AAC 39.223**

Escapement Goals

Biological Escapement Goal (BEG)

The escapement that provides the greatest potential for maximum sustained yield (MSY)

Sustainable Escapement Goal (SEG)

A level of escapement, indicated by an index or an escapement estimate, that is known to provide for sustained yield over a 5 to 10 year period, used in situations where a BEG cannot be estimated

Escapement Goal Review



- Determine goal type: BEG or SEG
- Determine appropriate methods
- Estimate goal and compare with current goal
- Create new goals
- Develop recommendations

Methods



Biological Escapement Goals (BEGs)

- Spawner-recruit (S-R) analysis
- Yield-per-recruit analysis
- Habitat-based model

Sustainable Escapement Goals (SEGs)



- Percentile approach
- Risk analysis
- Spawning habitat model
- Smolts-per-spawner
- Limnology models

Salmon Escapement Enumeration



Harvest Management

- Assisting the Alaska Board of Fisheries in Establishing Regulations and Management Plans
- Opening and Closing Fishing Areas and Setting Fishing Time
- Collecting Harvest and Biological Data



Alaska Board of Fisheries

- **Setting seasons, bag limits, methods and means**
- **Setting policy and direction for the management of the state's fishery resources**
- **Charged with making allocative decisions**

Recent Regulatory Intent Language

The following paragraph will be included in the management plans within the Upper Cook Inlet Area: Notwithstanding any other provision of this chapter it is the intent of the board that, while in most circumstances the department will adhere to the management plans in this chapter, none of the provisions within the management plans is intended to limit the commissioner's emergency order authority under AS 16.05.060 which will be used to achieve established escapement goals contained within the specific management plans as the primary management objective.

Recommendations

Research

- Develop and validate methods to determine carrying capacity
- Integrated models to test hypotheses of density dependence

Management

- Improved preseason forecasting of run strength
- Improved inseason assessment of run strength
- Study economic effects of overescapement

Thank you. Questions?



Regional Information Report No. 5J08-01

Success in Achieving Salmon Escapement Goals in Monitored Systems—Prepared at the request of the House Fisheries Committee

Edited by
Geron Bruce

February 2008

Alaska Department of Fish and Game

Division of Commercial Fisheries



Symbols and Abbreviations

The following symbols and abbreviations, and others approved for the Système International d'Unités (SI), are used without definition in the following reports by the Divisions of Sport Fish and of Commercial Fisheries: Fishery Manuscripts, Fishery Data Series Reports, Fishery Management Reports, Special Publications and the Division of Commercial Fisheries Regional Reports. All others, including deviations from definitions listed below, are noted in the text at first mention, as well as in the titles or footnotes of tables, and in figure or figure captions.

Weights and measures (metric)		General		Measures (fisheries)	
centimeter	cm	Alaska Administrative Code	AAC	fork length	FL
deciliter	dL			mid-eye-to-fork	MEF
gram	g	all commonly accepted abbreviations	e.g., Mr., Mrs., AM, PM, etc.	mid-eye-to-tail-fork	METF
hectare	ha			standard length	SL
kilogram	kg	all commonly accepted		total length	TL
kilometer	km	professional titles	e.g., Dr., Ph.D., R.N., etc.	Mathematics, statistics	
liter	L			all standard mathematical signs, symbols and abbreviations	
meter	m	at	@	alternate hypothesis	
milliliter	mL	compass directions:		base of natural logarithm	
millimeter	mm	east	E	catch per unit effort	
		north	N	coefficient of variation	
		south	S	common test statistics	
		west	W	confidence interval	
		copyright	©	correlation coefficient	
		corporate suffixes:		(multiple)	
		Company	Co.	correlation coefficient	
		Corporation	Corp.	(simple)	
		Incorporated	Inc.	covariance	
		Limited	Ltd.	degree (angular)	
		District of Columbia	D.C.	degrees of freedom	
		et alii (and others)	et al.	expected value	
		et cetera (and so forth)	etc.	greater than	
		exempli gratia	e.g.	greater than or equal to	
		(for example)		harvest per unit effort	
		Federal Information Code	FIC	less than	
		id est (that is)	i.e.	less than or equal to	
		latitude or longitude	lat. or long.	logarithm (natural)	
		monetary symbols		logarithm (base 10)	
		(U.S.)	\$, ¢	logarithm (specify base)	
		months (tables and figures): first three letters	Jan., ..., Dec	minute (angular)	
		registered trademark	®	not significant	
		trademark	™	null hypothesis	
		United States		percent	
		(adjective)	U.S.	probability	
		United States of America (noun)	USA	probability of a type I error	
		U.S.C.	United States Code	(rejection of the null hypothesis when true)	
		U.S. state	use two-letter abbreviations (e.g., AK, WA)	probability of a type II error	
				(acceptance of the null hypothesis when false)	
				second (angular)	
				standard deviation	
				standard error	
				variance	
				population sample	
				Var	
				var	



The Regional Information Report Series was established in 1987 and was redefined in 2006 to meet the Division of Commercial Fisheries regional need for publishing and archiving information such as project operational plans, area management plans, budgetary information, staff comments and opinions to Board of Fisheries proposals, interim or preliminary data and grant agency reports, special meeting or minor workshop results and other regional information not generally reported elsewhere. Reports in this series may contain raw data and preliminary results. Reports in this series may be subsequently revised. Please contact the author or the Division of Commercial Fisheries if in doubt of the level of review or preliminary nature of the data reported. Regional Information Reports are available through the Alaska State Library and on the Internet at: <http://www.state.ak.us/statewide/div/comfish/reginfo.htm>

REGIONAL INFORMATION REPORT NO. 5J08-01

SUCCESS IN ACHIEVING SALMON ESCAPEMENT GOALS IN MONITORED SYSTEMS—PREPARED AT THE REQUEST OF THE HOUSE FISHERIES COMMITTEE

By
Geron Bruce
Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Juneau

Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries
1125 N. 8th St., P.O. Box 115235, Juneau, AK 99811-5235

This document should be cited as:
Bruce, G. 2008. Success in achieving salmon escapement goals in monitored systems—prepared at the request of the House Fisheries Committee. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Regional Information Report No. 5J08-01, Juneau.

The Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF&G) administers all programs and activities free from discrimination based on race, color, national origin, sex, religion, marital status, pregnancy, parenthood, or disability. The department administers all programs and activities in compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) of 1990, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, and Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972.

If you believe you have been discriminated against in any departmental program or activity, please write:

Alaska Department of Fish and Game
Division of Commercial Fisheries
Juneau, AK

ADF&G ADA Coordinator, P.O. Box 115235, Juneau, AK 99811-5235
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 4040 N. Parkside Drive, Suite 400, Kodiak, Alaska 99561

Office of Equal Opportunity, U.S. Department of the Interior, Washington DC 20240

The department's ADA Coordinator can be reached at the following numbers:

(VOICE) 907-465-6077 (Toll-free: 1-800-478-7643 (Juneau TDD))
907-465-6078 or (FAX) 907-465-6078

For information on alternative formats and questions on this publication, please contact:

ADF&G, Division of Commercial Fisheries, P.O. Box 115235, Juneau, AK 99811 (907) 465-4210

The Regional Information Report Series was established in 1987 and was redefined in 2006 to meet the Division of Commercial Fisheries regional need for publishing and archiving information such as project operational plans, area management plans, budgetary information, staff comments and opinions to Board of Fisheries proposals, interim or preliminary data and grant agency reports, special meeting or minor workshop results and other regional information not generally reported elsewhere. Reports in this series may contain raw data and preliminary results. Reports in this series receive varying degrees of regional, biometric and editorial review; information in this series may be subsequently finalized and published in a different department reporting series or in the formal literature. Please contact the author or the Division of Commercial Fisheries if in doubt of the level of review or preliminary nature of the data reported. Regional Information Reports are available through the Alaska State Library and on the Internet at: <http://www.sf.adfg.ak.us/statewide/divreprots/html/intersearch.cfm>.

HOUSE FISHERIES COMMITTEE
MONITORED SYSTEMS—PREPARED AT THE REQUEST OF THE
SUCCESS IN ACHIEVING SALMON ESCAPEMENT GOALS IN

By
Geron Bruce
Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Juneau

Geron Bruce,

*Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries
1255 W. 8th St., P.O. Box 115526, Juneau AK 99811-5526*

This document should be cited as:

Bruce, G. 2008. Success in achieving salmon escapement goals in monitored systems—Prepared at the request of the House Fisheries Committee. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Regional Information Report No. 5J08-01, Juneau.

The Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF&G) administers all programs and activities free from discrimination based on race, color, national origin, age, sex, religion, marital status, pregnancy, parenthood, or disability. The department administers all programs and activities in compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) of 1990, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, and Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972.

If you believe you have been discriminated against in any program, activity, or facility please write:

ADF&G ADA Coordinator, P.O. Box 115526, Juneau AK 99811-5526

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 4040 N. Fairfax Drive, Suite 300 Webb, Arlington VA 22203

Office of Equal Opportunity, U.S. Department of the Interior, Washington DC 20240

The department's ADA Coordinator can be reached via phone at the following numbers:

(VOICE) 907-465-6077, (Statewide Telecommunication Device for the Deaf) 1-800-478-3648, (Juneau TDD) 907-465-3646, or (FAX) 907-465-6078

For information on alternative formats and questions on this publication, please contact:

ADF&G, Division of Commercial Fisheries, P.O. Box 115526, Juneau AK 99811 (907)465-4210.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TABLE OF CONTENTS	i
LIST OF TABLES	i
LIST OF FIGURES	i
LIST OF APPENDICES	ii
ABSTRACT	1
STATEWIDE SUMMARY	1
REGIONAL SUMMARIES	2
Region 1: Southeast Region	2
Region 2: Central Region	4
Region 3: Arctic-Yukon-Kuskokwim Region	7
Region 4: Westward Region	10
APPENDICES	13

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1. Statewide salmon escapements compared to goals for the years 2001 to 2007.	1
2. List of rivers in the Southeast region that are above their upper escapement goal (A), within their escapement goal (W) or below their escapement goal (B). See Appendix A for comments.	2
3. Southeast region salmon escapements compared to goals for the years 2001 to 2007.	3
4. List of rivers in the Central region that are above their upper escapement goal (A), within their escapement goal (W) or below their escapement goal (B). See Appendix B for comments.	4
5. Central region salmon escapements compared to goals for the years 2001 to 2007.	6
6. List of rivers in the Arctic-Yukon-Kuskokwim region that are above their upper escapement goal (A), within their escapement goal (W) or below their escapement goal (B). See Appendix C for comments.	7
7. Arctic-Yukon-Kuskokwim region salmon escapements compared to goals for the years 2001 to 2007.	9
8. List of rivers in the Westward region that are above their upper escapement goal (A), within their escapement goal (W) or below their escapement goal (B). See Appendix D for comments.	10
9. Westward region salmon escapements compared to goals for the years 2001 to 2007.	12

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
1. Statewide summary of salmon escapements compared to goals for the years 2001 to 2007.	1
2. Southeast region salmon escapements compared to goals for the years 2001 to 2007.	3
3. Central region salmon escapements compared to goals for the years 2001 to 2007.	6
4. Arctic-Yukon-Kuskokwim region salmon escapements compared to goals for the years 2001 to 2007.	9
5. Westward region salmon escapements compared to goals for the years 2001 to 2007.	12

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix	Page
A1. Comments on Region 1 Southeast Alaska Chinook salmon unharvested surplus for 2007.....	14
A2. Comments on Region 1 Southeast Alaska sockeye salmon unharvested surplus for 2007.....	15
A3. Comments on Region 1 Southeast Alaska coho salmon unharvested surplus for 2007.....	17
A4. Comments on Region 1 Southeast Alaska pink salmon unharvested surplus for 2007.....	18
B1. Comments on Region 2 Chinook salmon unharvested surplus for 2007.....	19
B2. Comments on Region 2 sockeye salmon unharvested surplus for 2007.....	19
B3. Comments on Region 2 pink salmon unharvested surplus for 2007.....	19
B4. Comments on Region 2 chum salmon unharvested surplus for 2007.....	20
C1. Comments on Region 3 AYK Chinook salmon unharvested surplus for 2007.....	21
C2. Comments on Region 3 AYK sockeye salmon unharvested surplus for 2007.....	21
C3. Comments on Region 3 AYK coho salmon unharvested surplus for 2007.....	22
C4. Comments on Region 3 AYK pink salmon unharvested surplus for 2007.....	22
C5. Comments on Region 3 AYK chum salmon unharvested surplus for 2007.....	22
C6. Comments on Region 3 AYK Yukon River summer chum salmon unharvested surplus for 2007.....	23
C7. Comments on Region 3 AYK Yukon River fall chum salmon unharvested surplus for 2007.....	23
D1. Comments on Region 4 Westward Chinook salmon unharvested surplus for 2007.....	24
D2. Comments on Region 4 Westward sockeye salmon unharvested surplus for 2007.....	24
D3. Comments on Region 4 Westward coho salmon unharvested surplus for 2007.....	25
D4. Comments on Region 4 Westward pink salmon unharvested surplus for 2007.....	25
D5. Comments on Region 4 Westward chum salmon unharvested surplus for 2007.....	25

ABSTRACT

This report is a compilation of actual salmon escapement numbers compared to salmon escapement goals for years 2001 through 2007 for Chinook, sockeye, coho, pink and chum salmon. Statewide summaries and regional summaries are included.

Key words: escapement, escapement goals, Chinook, sockeye, coho, pink, chum, salmon, Region

STATEWIDE SUMMARY

Figure 1.—Statewide summary of salmon escapements compared to goals for the years 2001 to 2007.




Table 1.—Statewide salmon escapements compared to goals for the years 2001 to 2007.

Statewide	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Total Stocks	296	298	298	301	269	250	238
Below Minimum Goal							
• Number	50	45	46	43	32	23	31
• Percent	17%	15%	15%	14%	12%	9%	13%
Within Goal Range							
• Number	132	123	99	110	92	89	102
• Percent	45%	41%	33%	37%	34%	36%	43%
Above Upper Goal							
• Number	114	130	153	148	145	138	105
• Percent	39%	44%	51%	49%	54%	55%	44%

REGIONAL SUMMARIES

REGION 1: SOUTHEAST REGION

Table 2.—List of rivers in the Southeast region that are above their upper escapement goal (A), within their escapement goal (W) or below their escapement goal (B). See Appendix A for comments.

Species	Stock	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Chinook	Andrew Creek	A	A	W	A	A	A	W
	Blossom River	B	B	B	W	W	W	B
	Chickamin River	A	A	A	W	A	A	W
	Chilkat River	A	A	A	W	W	W	B
	Keta River	W	W	W	W	W	A	W
	King Salmon River	W	W	B	W	W	W	
	Klukshu River	W	W	W	A	B	B	B
	Situk River	W	W	A	W	W	W	W
	Stikine River	A	A	A	A	A	W	W
	Taku River	W	W	W	A	W	W	B
Sockeye	Unuk River	A	W	W	W	W	W	W
	Akwe River	A	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	Chilkat Lake	A	A	A	A	W	B	B
	Chilkoot Lake	W	W	W	W	W	A	A
	East Alsek River	W	W	A	A	A	A	A
	Hugh Smith Lake	B	B	A	A	A	A	A
	Klukshu River	W	A	A	W	B	W	W
	Lost River	W	W	A	W	W	W	W
	McDonald Lake	B	B	A	B	NA	B	B
	Redoubt Lake	B	W	A	A	A	A	A
Coho	Situk River	W	W	A	W	W	A	A
	Speel Lake	W	W	W	W	W	W	W
	Stikine River	A	W	A	W	W	W	NA
	Tahltan Lake	B	B	A	A	A	A	A
	Taku River	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
	Auke Creek	A	A	A	W	W	A	W
	Berners River	A	A	A	A	W	W	NA
	Steep Creek	A	A	A	B	W	NA	NA
	Jordan Creek	W	A	W	B	W	NA	NA
	Switzer Creek	W	A	A	W	W	NA	NA
Pink	Chilkat River	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	A	B
	Ford Arm Lake	W	A	A	A	A	A	A
	Hugh Smith Lake	A	A	A	W	A	W	A
	Ketchikan Survey Index Area	A	A	A	A	A	W	W
	Lost River	W	A	W	W	B	W	W
	Montana Creek	W	A	W	B	B	W	B
	Peterson Creek	W	W	W	A	W	A	W
	Sitka Survey Index Area	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
	Situk River	W	A	W	A	W	W	W
	Italo River	NA	NA	A	A	A	NA	NA
Pink	Taku River	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
	Tsiu River	W	A	A	NA	W	W	W
	NSE Inside	W	W	A	W	A	W	W
	NSE Outside	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
Pink	SSE	A	A	A	A	A	W	A
	Situk River	W	W	A	A	A	W	A

Note: NA=Figure not available.

Figure 2.—Southeast region salmon escapements compared to goals for the years 2001 to 2007.




Table 3.—Southeast region salmon escapements compared to goals for the years 2001 to 2007.

Southeast Region	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Total Stocks	44	43	44	43	43	41	37
Below Minimum Goal							
• Number	5	4	2	4	4	3	8
• Percent	11%	9%	5%	9%	9%	7%	22%
Within Goal Range							
• Number	21	16	12	18	21	21	15
• Percent	48%	37%	27%	42%	49%	51%	40%
Above Upper Goal							
• Number	18	23	30	21	18	17	14
• Percent	41%	53%	68%	49%	42%	41%	38%

REGION 2: CENTRAL REGION

Table 4.—List of rivers in the Central region that are above their upper escapement goal (A), within their escapement goal (W) or below their escapement goal (B). See Appendix B for comments.

Species	Stock	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Prince William Sound								
Chinook	Copper River	A	B	A	A	B	NA	NA
Sockeye	Upper Copper River	W	A	W	W	W	A	NA
	Copper River Delta	W	W	W	W	W	W	W
	Bering River	B	W	W	W	W	B	W
	Coghill Lake	W	W	A	W	W	W	A
	Eshamy Lake	A	A	W	B	W	A	B
Coho	Copper River Delta	W	A	A	A	A	A	W
	Bering River	W	A	W	W	A	A	A
Pink	Even-Year Broodline		B		W		NA	
	Odd-Year Broodline	W		A		A		W
Chum	Eastern District	W	W	W	W	W	NA	W
	Northern District	W	W	W	W	W	NA	W
	Coghill District	W	B	W	W	W	NA	W
	Northwestern District	W	W	W	W	W	NA	W
	Southeastern District	W	W	W	W	W	NA	W
Upper Cook Inlet								
Chinook	Alexander Creek	W	B	B	W	W	B	B
	Campbell Creek	A	A	A	A	A	A	W
	Chuitna River	W	W	W	A	W	W	B
	Chulitna River	W	A	NA	W	W	W	A
	Clear (Chunilna) Creek	W	A	NA	A	W	W	W
	Crooked Creek	W	W	A	A	A	W	W
	Deshka River	W	A	A	A	A	A	W
	Eagle River, South Fork	W	B	W	B	B	NA	W
	Goose Creek	MA	W	B	W	W	W	B
	Kenai River, Early Run	W	W	W	A	W	NA	A
	Kenai River, Late Run	W	W	W	A	W	NA	W
	Lake Creek	W	W	A	A	W	W	W
	Lewis River	W	W	A	A	W	W	B
	Little Susitna River	W	W	W	W	A	A	W
	Little Willow Creek	A	W	W	A	W	W	W
	Montana Creek	W	W	W	W	W	W	W
	Peters Creek	A	A	A	A	W	W	W
	Prairie Creek	W	W	W	W	W	W	W
	Sheep Creek	NA	W	NA	B	W	B	B
	Talachulitna River	W	A	A	A	W	A	W
	Theodore River	W	W	W	B	B	A	B
	Willow Creek	A	W	A	A	W	W	B
Sockeye	Crescent River	A	W	A	A	A	A	A
	Fish Creek (Knik)	W	A	A	W	B	A	W
	Kasilof River	A	W	A	A	A	A	A
	Kenai River	B	W	A	A	A	A	W
	Russian River, Early Run	A	A	W	A	A	A	W
	Russian River, Late Run	W	W	A	A	W	W	W
	Yentna River	B	B	A	B	B	W	B
Coho	Campbell Creek	W	A	B	A	A	A	NA
	Jim Creek	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
	Little Susitna River	A	A	W	A	A	NA	B
Pink	No stocks with an escapement goal							
Chum	Clearwater Creek	A	A	W	W	NA	NA	NA

-continued-

Table 4. Page 2 of 2.

Species	Stock	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Bristol Bay								
Chinook	Alagnak River	A	A	A	A	A	NA	NA
	Egegik River	B	A	A	A	B	NA	NA
Sockeye	Naknek River	A	A	A	A	NA	NA	NA
	Kvichak River	B	B	B	W	W	W	W
	Naknek River	W	W	W	W	A	W	A
	Egegik River	W	W	W	W	A	A	A
	Ugashik River	W	W	W	W	W	W	A
	Wood River	W	W	W	A	W	A	A
	Igushik River	A	B	W	W	A	A	A
	Nushagak River	A	B	W	W	A	W	W
	Togiak River	A	W	A	W	W	A	A
Lower Cook Inlet								
Chinook	Deep Creek	W	W	A	A	A	W	W
	Ninilchik River	W	W	B	W	W	W	W
Sockeye	Anchor River	B	B	B	NA	NA	NA	NA
	English Bay	W	A	A	A	W	A	A
	Delight Lake	W	A	W	W	A	W	A
	Desire Lake	B	A	B	W	B	A	W
	Bear Lake	A	A	A	W	A	A	A
	Aialik Lake	W	W	W	A	W	W	W
	Mikfik Lake	B	A	A	A	B	A	W
	Chenik Lake	B	W	A	A	A	A	A
	Amakdedori Creek	A	A	A	A	W	B	A
Pink	Humpy Creek	W	W	A	W	A	W	W
	China Poot Creek	W	W	W	W	A	W	W
	Tutka Creek	B	W	A	A	A	A	W
	Barabara Creek	W	W	W	W	A	W	A
	Seldovia Creek	B	W	W	A	A	A	A
	Port Graham River	W	A	W	A	A	A	A
	Port Chatham	W	W	A	A	A	A	W
	Windy Creek Right	W	A	A	A	A	A	A
	Windy Creek Left	A	W	A	W	A	A	W
	Rocky River	A	A	A	W	A	A	A
	Port Dick Creek	W	A	A	B	A	W	W
	Island Creek	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
	South Nuka Island Creek	A	A	A	W	W	W	W
	Desire Lake	A	A	A	A	A	A	W
	Bear Creek and Salmon Creek	B	B	B	B	A	W	NA
	Thumb Cove	W	W	W	W	W	W	NA
	Humpy Cove	B	W	W	W	A	A	NA
	Tonsina Creek	W	A	W	W	A	A	NA
	Bruin River	B	A	W	W	W	A	A
	Sunday Creek	W	A	A	A	A	A	A
	Brown's Peak Creek	A	A	A	W	A	A	A
Chum	Port Graham River	A	A	W	B	B	W	W
	Dogfish Lagoon	W	A	A	W	B	A	W
	Rocky River	W	A	A	A	A	A	W
	Port Dick Creek	B	A	A	A	A	W	W
	Island Creek	B	W	A	A	A	B	B
	Big Kamishak River	A	W	W	A	A	A	W
	Little Kamishak River	A	W	W	A	W	A	W
	McNeil River	W	B	W	B	W	A	B
	Bruin River	A	W	A	A	A	W	B
	Ursus Cove	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
	Cottonwood Creek	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
	Iniskin Bay	A	A	A	A	A	A	B

Note: NA=Figure not available.

Figure 3.—Central region salmon escapements compared to goals for the years 2001 to 2007.




Table 5.—Central region salmon escapements compared to goals for the years 2001 to 2007.

Central Region	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Total Stocks	100	102	99	101	99	86	90
Below Minimum Goal							
• Number	16	12	8	9	10	5	14
• Percent	16%	12%	8%	9%	10%	6%	16%
Within Goal Range							
• Number	52	47	42	42	40	34	47
• Percent	52%	46%	42%	42%	40%	40%	52%
Above Upper Goal							
• Number	32	43	49	50	49	47	29
• Percent	32%	42%	49%	50%	49%	55%	32%

REGION 3: ARCTIC-YUKON-KUSKOKWIM REGION

Table 6.—List of rivers in the Arctic-Yukon-Kuskokwim region that are above their upper escapement goal (A), within their escapement goal (W) or below their escapement goal (B). See Appendix C for comments.

Species	Stock	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Norton Sound								
Chinook	Unalakleet River	W	W	W	B	B	NA	W
	Fish/Boston River aerial	A	NA	W	W	B	NS	NS
	Kwiniuk River tower	A	A	A	A	W	B	B
	Shaktolik River aerial	A	B	B	B	NA	NA	W
	North River tower	A	W	W	B	B	B	W
Sockeye	Unalakleet/Old Woman River aerial	A	NA	NA	B	B	NA	W
	Salmon Lake/Grand Central aerial	W	B	A	A	A	A	A
	Glacial Lake aerial	W	B	W	W	A	A	W
Coho	Pilgrim River weir							A
	Kanektok River aerial	W	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	M F Goodnews River weir	W	W	W	W	W	A	W
Pink	Kogrukuk River Weir	W	W	A	W	W	W	W
	Nome River weir	W	W	B	W	W	A	A
	Kwiniuk River tower	W	W	W	W	W	A	A
	Niukluk River tower	W	W	W	W	W	A	A
Chum	North River tower	W	W	W	W	W	A	A
	Subdistrict 1 Aggregate	B	W	B	W	A	A	A
	Sinuk River aerial	B	A	B	B	W	W	A
	Nome River weir	B	B	B	W	A	A	A
	Bonanza River aerial	W	W	B	B	A	B	A
	Snake River weir	W	A	W	W	A	A	A
	Solomon River aerial	W	A	B	W	A	A	A
	Flambeau River aerial	W	A	B	A	A	A	A
	Eldorado River weir	W	A	B	B	A	A	A
	Fish/Boston/Niukluk River aerial	W	NA	B	B	NA	NA	NA
	Niukluk River tower	W	W	W	B	B	B	A
	Kwiniuk River tower	W	A	W	B	W	A	A
	Tubutuluk River	W	NA	B	NA	B	NA	B
	Unalakleet/Old Woman River aerial	W	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	B
Yukon								
Chinook	Chena River	A	A	A	A	NA	NA	W
	Salcha River	A	A	A	A	W	NA	W
	E F Andreafsky aerial	NA	B	NA	A	A	NA	W
	W F Andreafsky aerial	NA	B	W	A	W	W	A
	Anvik aerial	NA	W	NA	A	A	A	W
	Nulato aerial	NA	W	NA	A	B	W	A
	Gisasa aerial	A	B	NA	W	A	W	W
	Canada Mainstem	A	W	W	W	W	W	B
Coho	Delta Clearwater River boat/aerial	A	A	A	A	A	W	W
Chum	E F Andreafsky weir	B	B	B	B	B	W	W
	Anvik sonar	B	W	B	W	W	W	W
	Yukon River Drainage	B	A	A	W	A	A	A
	Tanana River	B	A	A	A	A	A	A
	Delta River	B	W	A	A	A	A	A
	Toklat River	B	B	B	W	NA	NA	NA
	Upper Yukon River Trib.	B	B	W	W	A	A	A
	Chandalar River	B	W	A	W	A	A	A
	Sheenjek River	B	B	B	B	A	A	W
	Fishing Branch River (Canada)	B	B	W	W	A	A	B
	Yukon River Mainstem (Canada)	B	W	W	W	A	A	A

-continued-

Table 6. Page 2 of 2.

Species	Stock	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Kuskokwim								
Chinook	Goodnews River aerial	NS	W	A	A	NS	A	NS
	M F Goodnews River weir	NS	W	W	W	A	A	A
	Kanektok River aerial	NS	NS	W	A	A	A	NS
	Kwethluk River weir	NS	W	A	A	NS	A	A
	Kisaralik aerial	NS	A	W	A	A	A	A
	Aniak aerial	NS	W	A	A	NS	A	A
	Salmon (Aniak) aerial	NS	A	A	A	A	NS	A
	Holitna aerial	NS	W	NS	A	A	A	NS
	Cheeneetnuk (Stony River) aerial	NS	W	W	W	W	W	NS
	Gagaryah (Stony River) aerial	NS	W	A	W	W	W	A
	Salmon (Pitka Fork) aerial	NS	W	W	W	A	W	W
	Kogruklu River Weir	NS	W	W	A	A	A	W
Chum	M F Goodnews River weir	A	W	W	W	W	A	A
	Kanektok River aerial	A	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
	Kogruklu River Weir	A	A	W	W	A	A	A
	Aniak sonar	B	W	W	A	A	A	A
Kotzebue								
Sockeye	Kanektok River aerial	W	B	A	A	A	A	NS
	Goodnews River aerial	W	NS	W	A	NS	A	NS
	M F Goodnews River weir	W	B	W	W	A	A	A
Coho	Kwiniuk River aerial	W	NS	W	W	NS	NS	A
	Niukluk River/Ophir Creek aerial	W	W	NS	W	NS	NS	W
	North River aerial	NS	B	NS	W	A	NS	A
Chum	Noatak and Eli Rivers aerial	W	NS	NS	B	NS	A	NS
	Upper Kobuk w/ Selby River aerial	W	NS	W	A	NS	NS	NS
	Salmon River aerial	W	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
	Tutuksuk aerial	W	NS	NS	NS	W	NS	NS
	Squirrel aerial	W	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

Note: NA=Figure not available, NS=No survey.

Figure 4.—Arctic-Yukon-Kuskokwim region salmon escapements compared to goals for the years 2001 to 2007.




Table 7.—Arctic-Yukon-Kuskokwim region salmon escapements compared to goals for the years 2001 to 2007.

AYK Region	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Total Stocks	59	60	60	68	60	55	60
Below Minimum Goal							
• Number	15	15	15	13	8	4	5
• Percent	25%	25%	25%	19%	13%	7%	8%
Within Goal Range							
• Number	31	30	29	31	17	12	19
• Percent	53%	50%	48%	46%	28%	22%	32%
Above Upper Goal							
• Number	13	15	16	24	35	39	36
• Percent	22%	25%	27%	35%	58%	71%	60%

REGION 4: WESTWARD REGION

Table 8.—List of rivers in the Westward region that are above their upper escapement goal (A), within their escapement goal (W) or below their escapement goal (B). See Appendix D for comments.

Species	Stock	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Kodiak								
Chinook	Nelson River	A	A	W	A	A	W	W
	Chignik River	A	A	A	A	A	A	W
Coho	Pasagshak River	A	A	A	A	NA	B	W
	Buskin River	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
	Olds River	A	A	A	A	A	W	B
	American River	B	A	W	W	B	A	B
Chignik								
Chinook	Karluk River	W	W	W	A	W	W	B
	Ayakulik River	A	A	A	A	W	B	W
Sockeye	Cinder River	A	W	A	A	A	A	A
	Ilulik River	W	W	A	A	A	A	A
	Meshik River	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
	Sandy River	W	W	A	B	A	W	W
	Bear River Early Run	A	A	A	A	A	W	W
	Bear River Late Run	A	A	A	B	A	W	W
	Nelson River	A	A	A	A	A	W	A
	Christianson Lagoon	W	W	A	A	A	W	A
	Swanson Lagoon	W	W	A	A	B	B	W
	North Creek	W	A	A	A	A	W	A
	Orzinski Lake	A	A	A	A	A	W	A
	Mortensen Lagoon	A	W	A	A	A	A	A
	Thin Point Lake	A	A	A	A	W	W	A
	Middle Lagoon	A	A	W	A	B	EI 2006	
	McLees Lake	A	A	A	EI 2004			
	Chignik River Early Run	A	W	B	W	W	W	W
	Chignik River Late Run	A	A	A	W	W	A	W
	Malina Creek	A	A	W	W	W	W	A
	Pauls Bay	W	W	W	W	B	B	EI 2007
	Litnik River	B	B	B	B	W	W	A
	Little River	B	A	A	W	EI 2005		A
	Uganik Lake	B	B	W	A	EI 2005		A
	Karluk River Early Run	A	A	A	A	A	W	A
	Karluk River Late Run	W	W	A	B	A	W	A
	Ayakulik River	W	W	B	W	W	B	W
	Upper Station River Early Run	W	W	A	A	W	B	W
	Upper Station River Late Run	B	W	A	W	W	W	W
	Akalura River	B	B	B	B	EI 2005		
	Frazer Lake	W	B	A	A	W	W	W
	Saltery Lake	A	A	A	A	W	W	W
	Pasagshak River	W	W	A	A	A	W	A
	Buskin Lake	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
Coho	Nelson River	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
	Thin Point Lake	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
	Litnik River	A	A	B	NA	EI 2005		
	Portage Creek	NA	NA	B	W	EI 2005		
	Bear Creek	A	W	A	W	EI 2005		
	Big Bay Creek	W	A	B	W	EI 2005		
	Pauls Lake	A	B	W	B	EI 2005		
	Karluk River	A	A	B	W	EI 2005		
	Ayakulik River	B	A	B	B	EI 2005		
	Upper Station River	W	A	B	A	EI 2005		
	Akalura River	W	A	A	NA	EI 2005		
	Doq Salmon River	B	A	W	NA	EI 2005		
	Saltery Lake	B	B	B	NA	EI 2005		
	Roslyn Creek	W	W	B	B	EI 2005		

-continued-

Table 8. Page 2 of 2.

Species	Stock	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Chignik Pink	Bechevin Bay Section Index (5 systems) odd year	A		B		A		A
	Bechevin Bay Section Index (5 systems) even year		B		A		A	
	SE District Mainland Index (45 systems) all years	W	W	A	A	A	A	MO
	Shumagin Islands Section Index (27 systems) all years	W	W	A	A	A	A	MO
	South Central District Index (16 systems) odd year	W		A		A		MO
	South Central District Index (16 systems) even year		W		A		A	MO
	Southwestern District Index (45 systems) odd year	B		A		A		MO
	Southwestern District Index (45 systems) even year		A		A		A	MO
	Unimak District Index (10 systems) odd year	B		A		B		MO
	Unimak District Index (10 systems) even year		B		B		B	MO
	South Peninsula Total even-year		A		A		A	
	South Peninsula Total odd-year	W		A		A		W
	Unalaska District (17 streams) even year		B					
	Unalaska District (17 streams) odd year	W		W		MO	MO	MO
	Chignik Bay District Index (3 streams)	A	A	A	A	MO	MO	MO
	Central District Index (8 streams)	A	B	A	A	MO	MO	MO
	Eastern District Index (21 streams)	A	A	A	A	MO	MO	MO
	Western District Index (6 streams)	A	A	A	A	MO	MO	MO
	Perryville District Index (9 streams)	A	B	B	A	MO	MO	MO
	Entire Chignik Management Area Peak (Even Years)		A		A		W	
	Entire Chignik Management Area Peak (Odd Years)	A		A		A		W
	All KMA Districts except the Mainland District					W	A	W
	Mainland District Index (16 systems) odd year	W		A		B		W
	Mainland District Index (16 systems) even year		A		W		A	
	Afognak District Index (8 systems, 1 weir) odd year	A		A		MO	MO	MO
	Afognak District Index (8 systems, 1 weir) even year		W		W	MO	MO	MO
	Northwest Kodiak District Index (9 systems) odd year	A		A		MO	MO	MO
	Northwest Kodiak District Index (9 systems) even year		A		A	MO	MO	MO
	Southwest Kodiak District Index (3 systems) odd year	A		A		MO	MO	MO
	Southwest Kodiak District Index (3 systems) even year		W		A	MO	MO	MO
	Alitak Bay District Index (4 systems, 1 weir) odd year	A		A		MO	MO	MO
	Alitak Bay District Index (4 systems, 1 weir) even year		A		A	MO	MO	MO
	Eastside Kodiak District Index (7 systems) odd year	A		A		MO	MO	MO
	Eastside Kodiak District Index (7 systems) even year		A		A	MO	MO	MO
	Northeast Kodiak District Index (3 systems) odd year	A		A		MO	MO	MO
	Northeast Kodiak District Index (3 systems) even year		W		A	MO	MO	MO
Chum	Northern District Index (44 streams)	A	A	W	W	A	A	A
	Northwestern District Index (25 streams)	W	W	W	A	W	W	A
	Shumagin Islands Section Index			B	A	A	A	NA
	SE District Mainland Index (22 streams)	A	A	A	A	A	A	W
	SE District Index (incl. Shumagin and SEDM indices)	A	A	A	A	A	A	NA
	South Central District Index (13 streams)	W	W	B	A	A	W	W
	Southwestern District Index (23 streams)	A	A	W	W	A	A	A
	Unimak District Index (3 streams)	B	W	B	B	A	A	A
	Chignik Bay District Index (3 streams)	A	B	B	B	A	A	MO
	Central District Index (6 streams)	B	B	A	B	A	B	MO
	Eastern District Index (20 streams)	A	A	A	A	A	A	MO
	Western District Index (6 streams)	A	A	A	B	A	A	MO
	Perryville District Index (7 streams)	A	B	A	B	A	A	MO
	Entire Chignik Area	A	A	A	A	A	A	MO
	Mainland District Index (17 streams)	W	W	B	W	B	A	B
	Northwest Kodiak District Index (8 streams)	A	W	W	B	B	B	MO
	Southwest Kodiak District Index (1 stream)	B	W	B	B	B	A	MO
	Alitak Bay District Index (6 streams)	W	W	W	W	A	B	MO
	Eastside Kodiak District Index (16 streams)	W	A	W	W	A	A	MO
	Northeast Kodiak District Index (~18 streams)	W	W	B	B	B	A	MO
	Kodiak Archipelago Aggregate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	A

Note: NA=Figure not available, NS=No survey, EI=Eliminated, MO=Changed from escapement goal to a management objective (managed at the regional level).

Figure 5.—Westward region salmon escapements compared to goals for the years 2001 to 2007.




Table 9.—Westward region salmon escapements compared to goals for the years 2001 to 2007.

Westward Region	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Total Stocks	93	93	95	89	67	68	51
Below Minimum Goal							
• Number	14	14	21	17	10	11	4
• Percent	15%	15%	22%	19%	15%	16%	8%
Within Goal Range							
• Number	28	30	16	19	14	22	21
• Percent	30%	32%	17%	21%	21%	32%	41%
Above Upper Goal							
• Number	51	49	58	53	43	35	26
• Percent	55%	53%	61%	60%	64%	51%	51%

Blossom River (survey count)	None
Keta River (survey count)	Chinook salmon fisheries in Southeast Alaska are managed under provisions of the Pacific Salmon Treaty (PST). The Chinook Technical Committee of the PSC determines what the Southeast Alaska (SEAK) all-gear harvest allocation is each year. That all-gear harvest allocation is then further allocated to user groups under the provisions of a Board of Fisheries (BOF) management plan. For most Chinook stocks in SEAK, there are no specific terminal-directed fisheries (transboundary rivers, Stikine, Taku, and Alsea excluded) so the harvest takes place in highly mixed stock marine fisheries. Management actions to target specific wild stocks are limited as management must be in accordance with BOF plans. There are no river sport fisheries for wild stock Chinook salmon allowed in SEAK.
Unuk River (survey count)	See Keta River.
Chickamin River (survey count)	See Keta River.
Stikine River (mark-recapture)	Up until 2002, directed commercial fisheries were not allowed on Stikine Chinook under provisions of the Pacific Salmon Treaty. The commercial drift gillnet fishery did not open until the majority of the Stikine River Chinook had entered the river. The Parties (U.S. and Canada) reached agreement on directed fishery regimes in 2002. Based on this agreement, abundance information in 2002 the stock was projected to be within EG but possession analysis resulted in an increased escapement number.
Andrew Creek (survey count)	See comments for Stikine. Andrew Creek is a tributary on Stikine on the Alaska side of the border.
Taku River (mark-recapture)	As with the Stikine, directed fisheries were not allowed on Taku Chinook until the 2002 season. Up to that year the drift gillnet fishery did not open (for sockeye) until the majority of the run had passed into the river.
Chilkat River (mark-recapture)	There are no directed terminal commercial fisheries for Chilkat Chinook salmon. The fishery opens for sockeye after the majority of the Chinook are in the river (HOF regulation). The BOF adopted a management plan for the stock in 2002. Provisions of this plan allow for increased tag and possession limits for sport fishery if the run is projected to exceed the upper end of the goal.
Kluksu River (weir)	The Kluksu is a tributary of the Alsea River in Canada. Directed fisheries on Alsea Kluksu Chinook are not currently allowed under terms of the Pacific Salmon Treaty. Such fisheries are part of ongoing negotiations with Canada.
Situk River (weir)	The Situk Chinook fishery is managed under provisions of a BOF management plan.

Note: There are no traditional directed purse seine fisheries for Chinook salmon in SEAK.

Appendix A1.—Comments on Region 1 Southeast Alaska Chinook salmon unharvested surplus for 2007.

Blossom River (survey count)	None
Keta River (survey count)	Chinook salmon fisheries in Southeast Alaska are managed under provisions of the Pacific Salmon Treaty (PST). The Chinook Technical Committee of the PST determines what the Southeast Alaska (SEAK) all-gear harvest allocation is each year. That all-gear harvest allocation is then further allocated to user groups under the provisions of a Board of Fisheries (BOF) management plan. For most Chinook stocks in SEAK, there are no specific terminal-directed fisheries (transboundary rivers Stikine, Taku, and Alsek excluded) so the harvest takes place in highly mixed stock marine fisheries. Management actions to target specific wild stocks are limited as management must be in accordance with BOF plans. There are no inriver sport fisheries for wild stock Chinook salmon allowed in SEAK.
Unuk River (survey count)	See Keta River.
Chickamin River (survey count)	See Keta River.
Stikine River (mark-recapture)	Up until 2005 directed commercial fisheries were not allowed on Stikine Chinook under provisions of the Pacific Salmon Treaty. The commercial drift gillnet fishery did not open until the majority of the Stikine River Chinook had entered the river. The Parties (U.S and Canada) reached agreement on directed fishery regimes in 2005. Based on inseason abundance information in 2005 the stock was projected to be within EG but postseason analysis resulted in an increased escapement number.
Andrew Creek (survey count)	See comments for Stikine. Andrews Creek is a tributary on Stikine on the Alaska side of the border.
Taku River (mark-recapture)	As with the Stikine, directed fisheries were not allowed on Taku Chinook until the 2005 season. Up to that year the drift gillnet fishery did not open (for sockeye) until the majority of the run had passed into the river.
Chilkat River (mark-recapture)	There are no directed terminal commercial fisheries for Chilkat Chinook salmon. The fishery opens for sockeye after the majority of the Chinook are in the river (BOF regulation). The BOF adopted a management plan for the stock in 2003. Provisions of that plan allow for increased bag and possession limits for sport fishery if the run is projected to exceed the upper end of the goal.
Klukshu River (weir)	The Klukshu is a tributary of the Alsek River in Canada. Directed fisheries on Alsek/Klukshu Chinook are not currently allowed under terms of the Pacific Salmon Treaty. Such fisheries are part of ongoing negotiations with Canada.
Situk River (weir)	The Situk Chinook fishery is managed under provisions of a BOF management plan.

Note: There are no traditional directed purse seine fisheries for Chinook salmon in SEAK.

Appendix A2.—Comments on Region 1 Southeast Alaska sockeye salmon unharvested surplus for 2007.

System	Comments
Hugh Smith Lake	The Hugh Smith sockeye stock was adopted as a stock of management concern (SOC) by the BOF in 2003 (only SOC in SEAK). The department created and the BOF adopted an Action Plan to reduce the exploitation on the stock. Management actions listed in the Action Plan are driven by the inseason projection of escapement based on weir counts. At times, the weir count projections early in the season have triggered fishery closures (fish harvested incidentally in District 1 purse seine and drift gillnet fisheries) that were not really necessary but the department was bound to follow the terms of the Action Plan. The BOF "delisted" the stock as a SOC in 2006. Because of that the department is in a position to be somewhat less restrictive in terms of taking management actions outlined in the Action Plan. The department does intend to be fairly conservative in our management approach for the stock because of past escapement concerns but not as conservative as when the stock was a SOC.
Tahltan Lake	Tahltan Lake is located in Canada and drains into the Stikine River. The Stikine River sockeye drift gillnet fishery is managed under provisions of the Pacific Salmon Treaty. The Treaty specifies harvest shares for the U.S. and Canada. Stock assessment projects for the Stikine are not as fully developed as for the Taku River, but fishery managers have had sufficient stock assessment data inseason to determine that the runs in recent years have been large. Because of this the department has provided substantial fishing opportunity (increased days open) for the bulk of the return. As with Taku sockeye the reasons for escapement surpluses are complex. A factor that certainly impacted the U.S. harvest in 2006 is that fishermen were targeting enhanced chum salmon returning to SSRAA's Anita Bay release site in large numbers.
Mainstem Stikine River	See comments for Tahltan regarding Treaty. The Stikine mainstem sockeye run was exceptionally strong in 2003 and both the U.S. and Canada recognized that inseason and had extended fisheries targeting the stock. This is somewhat unusual as the mainstem component is often a limiting factor in terms of fishing time later in the summer after the Tahltan (earlier run timing) stock has passed through the fisheries.
Taku River	The Taku River sockeye drift gillnet fishery is managed under provisions of the Pacific Salmon Treaty. The Treaty specifies harvest shares for the U.S. and Canada. The department and the Department of Fisheries and Oceans Canada jointly operate a fish wheel mark-recapture program to evaluate salmon returns to the drainage. The department's share of the sockeye part of the program is funded by federal PSC grants. The fish wheel program is one of the best stock assessment programs on the coast and managers rely heavily on information from the project inseason. Reasons for exceeding the spawning escapement objective for this drainage are fairly complex and are probably caused by a variety of factors. They include fishermen targeting abundant hatchery chum salmon returning to Douglas Island Pink and Chum (DIPAC) release sites in the area, fishermen avoiding very abundant pink salmon in the area in the last two years, fishermen targeting enhanced sockeye returning to DIPAC's Snettisham Hatchery, and other driftnet fishing opportunities in the region. Effort in the fishery has been below average in recent years as well. Another factor that certainly leads to increased escapement is the department has limited fishing time in Taku Inlet specifically to reduce the exploitation rate on Tatsamenie Lake sockeye that return later in the summer and overlap with abundant Taku mainstem sockeye stocks. In 2005 and 2006 fishermen also targeted Chinook salmon during the early part of the sockeye return using larger mesh nets that are less efficient in capturing sockeye salmon.

-continued-

System	Comments
Redoubt Lake	The BOF adopted a fishery management plan for Redoubt sockeye salmon in 2003. Provisions of the plan allow for various specific fishery management actions depending on the projected escapements at the weir (operated by the U.S. Forest Service). Redoubt supports one of the most significant sockeye subsistence harvests in Southeast Alaska. Commercial purse seine openings are allowed at relatively high run sizes (40,000 fish or higher). The department has opened the commercial seine fishery under the provisions of the management plan in 2004-2007 but fishing effort was light presumably due to more certain economic opportunities for pink and chum salmon in nearby areas.
Chilkat Lake	The department revised the Chilkat sockeye escapement goal in 2005. The upper bound was increased to 200,000 fish from 106,000 fish. Funding for Chilkat sockeye stock assessment is currently on a year to year basis through federal grants (Pacific Coastal Salmon Recovery Fund). In the past, the weir and/or fish wheels had been funded via general fund. That funding was cut due to decrements four years ago.
Chilkoot Lake	The department operates an adult counting weir for sockeye on the Chilkoot River (funded via general fund). The weir is an essential tool for managing the District 15 driftnet fishery. Because the weir counts were strong early in the season and escapement objectives were projected to be met, the department had very aggressive openings (24/7 for much of the season) to target those fish. Effort was somewhat limited due to pink salmon abundance.
East Alsek-Doame River	The East River is in the Yakutat forelands near the Alsek River. In recent years the department has opened the fishery for extended periods of time, but harvests have been low due to reduced effort. Dry Bay (Alsek and East) fisheries have high costs for getting fish to markets/processors as the fish have to be flown out of the area.
Klukshu River	The Klukshu River is a tributary of the Alsek River in Canada. The Alsek is managed under provisions of the Pacific Salmon Treaty. Sockeye returns to Klukshu in 2002 and 2003 were very strong. The 2003 return may have been the largest total return on record. Management of the fishery is driven only by fishery performance data (CPUE) and is thus somewhat limited in terms of specific abundance information. Despite this the department has successfully managed this fishery over the long-term.
Lost River	In 1999 the Lost River carved a new channel—instead of entering directly into the Gulf of Alaska, it now discharges into the Situk Lagoon. Because this is a much smaller stock than Situk, the department has imposed commercial closed waters along the Situk Lagoon adjacent to the Lost in recent years. Harvest of Lost River sockeye is pretty much bycatch to harvest of more abundant Situk stock.
Situk River	The Situk Inlet set net sockeye fishery is managed based on escapement information from the Situk weir. The weir is a critical tool for management of this, the most important fishery in the Yakutat area. Funding for the weir is currently short term (one year) and is unstable (used to be on general fund, but cut due to decrements). In the two years with surplus escapements, the department did open the fishery 24/7 once we were sure escapement objectives would be met. Fishing effort in the Situk fishery declines as large numbers of pink salmon enter the fishery (which happened in 2003 and 2006) because of the low price offered for that species. The fact fishermen do try to avoid pink salmon does reduce the exploitation rate on Situk sockeye in big pink years. There was also below average effort in the Situk fishery in 2003—presumably due to relatively low prices for all salmon.

Appendix A3.—Comments on Region 1 Southeast Alaska coho salmon unharvested surplus for 2007.

System	Comments
Hugh Smith Lake	SEAK coho salmon are primarily harvested in the highly mixed stock salmon troll fishery (average exploitation in troll is about 40%, total exploitation about 60%). There are also drift gillnet fisheries in near terminal areas that harvest more discrete stocks. The troll fishery is managed on a regional basis. Trollers may retain coho salmon beginning on June 15 (although there are very few coho around until July) and the season closes by regulation on September 20. The department may, and has, extend the fishery through September 30 if run strength warrants it. The department has extended the troll fishery through September 30 in all or portions of the region in 2001–2004 and 2006. The point here is the fishery that harvests the majority of the coho salmon in SEAK is open about as much as it can be. Driftnet fisheries are managed on a weekly basis with fishing periods of 2–7 days per week depending upon inseason stock assessment data. The region I sport fishery has a six fish daily bag limit and is open for the entire year.
Taku River	Taku River coho salmon returns have been very strong in recent years. The fishery is managed in accordance with provisions of the Pacific Salmon Treaty, but it has not been Treaty constraints that have hampered the coho harvest. One factor that hampers the Taku coho harvest is that the department has taken conservative management actions to protect co-migrating fall chum salmon. Once chum salmon are not a factor the department has been more aggressive, in some cases 24/7 openings, but in general effort has been low.
Auke Creek	See Hugh Smith comment.
Montana Creek	See Hugh Smith comment.
Peterson Creek	See Hugh Smith comment.
Ketchikan Survey Index	See Hugh Smith comment.
Sitka Survey Index	See Hugh Smith comment.
Ford Arm Lake	See Hugh Smith comment.
Berners River	See Hugh Smith comment. The department does open a driftnet fishery in Section 15-B (Berners Bay) to target Berners River coho salmon when run strength warrants it. Section 15-B has been open in 2002–2004 and 2006.
Chilkat River	Chilkat River coho salmon are mostly harvested in the mixed stock region-wide troll and District 15 driftnet fisheries. The troll fishery is managed as described above in the Hugh Smith comments. The department has managed the District 15 driftnet fishery somewhat conservatively in recent years to reduce the exploitation on Chilkat fall chum salmon that have a similar run timing to Chilkat coho. It is safe to say that the conservative fishing time to reduce exploitation on fall chum has limited the harvest of Chilkat coho salmon.
Italio River	The Italio coho stock is a relatively small run. The department opens the fishery when we know we have met the escapement objectives. In most cases when the fishery has been opened in recent years, effort has been very low, presumably because of fishing opportunity in the Situk fishery, which is road accessible.
Lost River	The Lost River discharges into Situk Lagoon. Fishery management in the Lagoon is driven mostly by decisions made for Situk stocks.
Situk River	The coho salmon return to Situk in 2002 was the second largest run on record. The department opened the fishery 24/7 when it was projected escapement goals would be exceeded. Effort in the fishery overall was well below average.
Tsiu/Tsivat Rivers	The Tsiu River is very remote and coho salmon harvested in the commercial set net fishery must be flown to markets. Due to low prices in 2002 and 2003 the river was not fished at all despite extended openings.

Appendix A4.—Comments on Region 1 Southeast Alaska pink salmon unharvested surplus for 2007.

System	Comments
Southern Southeast	The department does not know what the total pink salmon return is in SEAK. We do know that the commercial harvest has averaged about 50 million fish annually since the early 1990s. We do know that in some years the harvest could have been substantially higher and not negatively affected escapements and production. The harvest in many years of high production is not limited by fishing opportunity, but by processing capacity. Companies have frequently placed purse seine vessels on harvest limits during the peak of the run. The biological escapement goals are index goals for a subset of pink salmon producing streams in the region. There are roughly 2,500 streams that produce pink salmon in SEAK and the department surveys 718 of these streams on a regular basis. Fishery openings are based on aerial survey counts conducted by area management biologists. The aerial survey program relies heavily on Federal grants on an annual basis. Survey costs have increased dramatically in recent years and GF budgets have decreased.
Northern Southeast Inside	See comment above.
Northern Southeast Outside	See comment above.
Situk River (even-year)	Setnet permit holders fishing in the Situk Lagoon commonly try and limit their harvest of pink salmon. This is because of the low prices for the fish. In some cases permit holders choose not to fish—even though the fishery is open—until pink salmon have passed through the lagoon.

Appendix B1.—Comments on Region 2 Chinook salmon unharvested surplus for 2007.

System	Comments
Upper Cook Inlet Chinook salmon	Although a few stocks of Chinook salmon exceeded their escapement goal in Upper Cook Inlet, these stocks are targeted by sport fishers, not commercial fishers.

Appendix B2.—Comments on Region 2 sockeye salmon unharvested surplus for 2007.

System	Comments
English Bay	The forecast for English Bay was poor and the season began with this area closed. Once the escapement goal was achieved, the area was opened, but because it was later in the season, only a few fishermen participated.
Delight Lake Chenik Lake	These runs were very strong. Although fishing was open and liberalized, there was insufficient effort to harvest the entire surplus.
Bear Lake	The SEG is combined with the hatchery broodstock goal to create a "desired inriver return", which was achieved in 2007. The entire available surplus was harvested by hatchery and commercial fishers.
Amakdedori Creek	This system is small and not associated with a lake. Because it is a small system, no targeted commercial fishing is allowed.
Crescent River	Because of the remoteness of this fishery, there is a lack of effort and tender support.
Kasilof River	The current management plans allotted fishing time prior to July 9 is not sufficient to harvest excess fish in years of large returns (2 regular scheduled periods plus up to 48 hours of additional E.O. time). The 48-hour window closure is problematic when large passage rates occurred during the closure. These two factors have kept the department from being able to manage for the escapement goal.
Naknek River Egegik River Ugashik River Wood River Igushik River Togiak River	The 2007 sockeye salmon run to Bristol Bay was approximately 29% above the preseason forecast. Although the commercial harvest of sockeye salmon was 12% above the preseason forecast, escapement goals were exceeded in Bristol Bay for the same reason. Limitations in processing capacity affected the department's ability to control sockeye escapements into most systems in Bristol Bay. Escapements into the Kvichak, Nushagak, and Togiak Rivers fell within established SEG ranges. All other systems were above established ranges. A record run to the Ugashik District and a strong Naknek River run contributed to high escapement in those systems.
Coghill Lake	Because of other fisheries more accessible and easier to fish, there is a lack of effort to harvest excess sockeye salmon in this area.
Copper River	This run was very strong. Although fishing was open and liberalized, there was insufficient effort to harvest the entire surplus.

Appendix B3.—Comments on Region 2 pink salmon unharvested surplus for 2007.

System	Comments
Barabara Creek Seldovia Creek Port Graham River	Fishermen in these areas target sockeye salmon. Pink salmon are considered a bycatch. Also, effort and catches are low because of the run timing differential between the two species.
Windy Creek Right Rocky River Island Creek Bruin River Sunday Creek Brown's Peak Creek	Natural returns of pink salmon, usually the dominant species in numbers of commercially harvested salmon in LCI, were considered relatively good this year. Unfortunately, despite the numerous and fairly liberal openings to target these natural stocks, overall catches (both natural and hatchery) totaled only 287,400 fish, primarily because of erratic tender service to, and lack of available buyers in, remote LCI districts (natural stocks) and a weak return to the single remaining pink salmon hatchery in LCI.

Appendix B4.—Comments on Region 2 chum salmon unharvested surplus for 2007.

System	Comments
Ursus Cove	There was reduced incentive because nearby areas were closed to fishing, marginal surpluses made the effort difficult to economically justify, and there was a lack of tender service and buyers in remote districts.

Appendix B5.—Comments on Region 2 sockeye salmon unharvested surplus for 2007.

System	Comments
English Bay	The forecast for English Bay was poor and the season began with this area closed. Once the escapement goal was achieved, the area was opened, but because it was later in the season, only a few fishermen participated.
Delight Lake	These runs were very strong. Although fishing was open and liberalized, there was insufficient effort to harvest the entire surplus.
Green Lake	The SEG is combined with the hatchery broodstock goal to create a "desired inriver return," which was achieved in 2007. The entire available surplus was harvested by hatchery and commercial fishers.
Bar Lake	This system is small and not associated with a lake. Because it is a small system, no targeted commercial fishing is allowed.
Amadobon Creek	Because of the remoteness of this fishery, there is a lack of effort and tender support.
Kashar River	The current management plan allowed fishing time prior to July 9 is not sufficient to harvest excess fish in years of large returns (2 regular scheduled periods plus up to 48 hours of additional E.O. time). The 48-hour window closure is problematic when large passage rates occurred during the closure. Three factors have kept the department from being able to manage for the escapement goal.
Nahk River	The 2007 sockeye salmon run to Bristol Bay was approximately 30% above the previous forecast. Although the commercial harvest of sockeye salmon was 12% above the previous forecast, escapement goals were exceeded in Bristol Bay for the same reason. Limitations in processing capacity affected the department's ability to control sockeye escapement into most systems in Bristol Bay. Escapements into the Kvichak, Nushagak, and Totiak Rivers fell within established SEG ranges. All other systems were above established ranges. A record run to the Ugashik District and a strong Nushagak run contributed to high escapement in those systems.
Coghill Lake	Because of other fisheries more accessible and easier to fish, there is a lack of effort to harvest excess sockeye salmon in this area.
Copper River	This run was very strong. Although fishing was open and liberalized, there was insufficient effort to harvest the entire surplus.

Appendix B6.—Comments on Region 3 pink salmon unharvested surplus for 2007.

System	Comments
Barabara Creek	Fishermen in these areas target sockeye salmon. Pink salmon are considered a bycatch. Also, effort and catches are low because of the timing differential between the two species.
Selkirk Creek Port	Natural returns of pink salmon, usually the dominant species in numbers or commercially harvested salmon in LCI, were considered relatively good this year. Unfortunately, despite the numerous and fairly liberal openings to target these natural stocks, overall catches (both natural and hatchery) totaled only 28,740 fish, primarily because of erratic tender service to, and lack of available buyers in remote LCI districts (natural stocks) and a weak return to the single remaining pink salmon hatchery in LCI.
Woods Creek Right	
Rocky River Island	
Creek Basin River	
Sandy Creek	
Brown's Peak Creek	

Appendix C1.—Comments on Region 3 AYK Chinook salmon unharvested surplus for 2007.

System	Comments
N.F. Goodnews River	The harvest was limited by conservative management and by processing capacity and low effort.
M.F. Goodnews River	
Kanektok River	The harvest was limited by processing capacity and low effort, conservative management due to a recent designated stock of concern status, and ensuring subsistence fishing needs are met.
Kogruklu River	
Kwethluk River	
Tuluksak River	
George River	
Kisaralik River	
Aniak River	
Salmon River (Aniak River tributary)	
Holitna River	
Cheeneetuk River (Stony River tributary)	
Gagayah (Stony River Tributary)	The harvestable surplus was due to lack of commercial markets.
Salmon River (Pitka Fork River tributary)	
Kwiniuk River (tower)	Conservative management based on stock of concern status resulted in lower harvest.
Anvik River (aerial survey)	
Chena River (tower)	The Chena and Salcha River are tributaries of the Tanana River and are managed as a terminal fishery with a guideline harvest range of 600 to 800 Chinook in District 6. This range can be exceeded if escapement projects in the drainage indicate a harvestable surplus exists; however, in this district flesh quality is greatly reduced and there has been only limited commercial interest.
Salcha River (tower)	
Canada Mainstem (mark/recapture)	Conservative management based on stock of concern status and meeting treaty obligations resulted in lower harvests since 2000.

Appendix C2.—Comments on Region 3 AYK sockeye salmon unharvested surplus for 2007.

System	Comments
M.F. Goodnews River	The harvest was limited by processing capacity and low effort.
N.F. Goodnews River	
Kanektok River	
Pilgrim River	The commercial sockeye fishery was initiated by BOF in 2007. The unharvested surplus in 2007 was the result of low fishing effort. Pilgrim River weir and Salmon Lake/Grand Central River aerial survey assess similar escapement via different methods.

Appendix C3.—Comments on Region 3 AYK coho salmon unharvested surplus for 2007.

System	Comments
M.F. Goodnews River	The harvest was limited by processing capacity and low effort.
Kogruklu River	The harvest was limited by processing capacity and low effort.
Kwiniuk River	Unharvestable surplus of coho salmon in Norton Sound is generally the result of reduced commercial market/capacity.
Delta Clearwater River	The harvest of Tanana River coho stocks has been dependent on conservative management of fall chum salmon fishery and reduced commercial fishing effort.

Appendix C4.—Comments on Region 3 AYK pink salmon unharvested surplus for 2007.

System	Comments
Kwiniuk River	Since 2000 there has been no commercial market for pink salmon. However, the harvestable surplus of pink salmon in some subdistricts in Norton Sound (e.g. Subdistrict 1) goes unexploited due to protection afforded to other species.

Appendix C5.—Comments on Region 3 AYK chum salmon unharvested surplus for 2007.

System	Comments
M.F. Goodnews River	The harvest was limited by processing capacity and low effort, as well as a nonexistent chum salmon market.
Kogruklu R. Weir	
Aniak Sonar	
Noatak and Eli Rivers	The Kotzebue chum Salmon unharvested surpluses were the result of reduced market interest.
Sinuk River	The Subdistrict 1 unharvested surpluses were the result of conservative regulations and management plans to meet escapement goals and subsistence priority.
Niukluk River	The Subdistricts 2 and 3 unharvested surpluses were the result of reduced market interest.

Appendix C6.—Comments on Region 3 AYK Yukon River summer chum salmon unharvested surplus for 2007.

System	Comments
E.F. Andreafsky R. (weir count)	The Andreafsky River has not shown increased production—unlike systems in the middle and upper Yukon River. However, there is little commercial interest in this stock due to the quality of the fish and depressed market conditions.
Anvik R. (sonar estimate)	The Anvik River has historically contributed approximately 40% of the summer chum returning to the Yukon River. This system has not shown the increased production that has been seen in the middle and upper Yukon River summer chum stocks. The Anvik River management plan allows an inriver summer chum fishery if the escapement is projected to be 500,000 or more. In 2005 and 2006, escapement would have allowed an inriver fishery; however, no commercial market was available. However, increased market demand was observed in 2007.
Pilot Station Sonar (Sonar estimate)	Harvestable surplus has been foregone in recent years because of the lack of a commercial market. However, increased market demand was observed in 2007. The Yukon River Summer Chum Management Plan (5 AAC 05.362) has an inriver goal of 1 million summer chum salmon, based on Pilot Station sonar estimates and projections, which will allow for river-wide commercial fisheries. This level of passage will allow for escapement and subsistence uses. Harvestable surplus based on commercial harvest upstream from Pilot Station is subtracted from Pilot Station sonar estimates.

Appendix C7.—Comments on Region 3 AYK Yukon River fall chum salmon unharvested surplus for 2007.

System	Comments
Yukon River Drainage (foot surveys, mark/recapture, and sonar estimates)	Low market interest and conservative management based on stock of concern status and meeting treaty obligations resulted in low harvests.
Tanana River	Low market interest and conservative management based on stock of concern status resulted in low harvests.
Delta River	
Toklat River	Escapement surveys were incomplete in 2005. In 2006 and 2007 escapement survey funding was not available.
Upper Yukon River Tributary	Same as Yukon River Drainage
Chandalar River	Same as Yukon River Drainage
Sheenjek River	Same as Yukon River Drainage
Fishing Branch River (Canada)	The escapement goal is based on the US/Canada Agreement and not on a BEG. Since the start of the counting weir in 1985, the Agreement goal has been exceeded once—which coincided with the drainage-wide record run in 2005. Interim escapement objectives have been agreed to by the Yukon Panel since 2003.
Yukon R. Mainstem (Canada)	Low market interest and conservative management based on stock of concern status and meeting treaty obligations resulted in low harvests. Interim escapement objectives have been applied from 2002 to 2004 so un-harvested surplus has been calculated relative to goals.
Canadian Mainstem surplus is estimated by calculating total allowable catch for stock and applying the maximum U.S. harvest share (0.71).	

Appendix D1.—Comments on Region 4 Westward Chinook salmon unharvested surplus for 2007.

System	Comments
Nelson River	Surplus escapements were primarily due to lack of industry interest and minimal fishing effort rather than any specific management action.
Chignik River	There is no directed commercial Chinook salmon fishery in Chignik, although there are steady sport fish harvests upstream from the weir.
Karluk River	Due to low sockeye salmon escapements in recent years, Karluk River has not opened to commercial fishing during Chinook run timing. Chinook are not actively, commercially managed in the Kodiak Management Area.
Ayakulik River	Due to low sockeye salmon escapements in recent years, the Ayakulik has not opened to commercial fishing during Chinook run timing. Chinook are not actively, commercially managed in the Kodiak Management Area.

Appendix D2.—Comments on Region 4 Westward sockeye salmon unharvested surplus for 2007.

System	Comments
Cinder River	Surplus escapements were primarily due to lack of industry interest and minimal fishing effort rather than any specific management action.
Ilnik River	Regulations did not provide adequate harvest opportunity on early portion of run. This was changed during February 2007 BOF meeting.
Meshik River	Surplus escapements were primarily due to lack of industry interest, minimal fishing effort and restrictive regulations—that were changed during the February 2007 BOF meeting.
Bear River Early Run	Surplus escapements were primarily due to lack of industry interest and minimal fishing effort rather than any specific management action.
Nelson River	Surplus escapements were primarily due to strong runs while almost continuous fishing opportunity was permitted by the department.
North Creek	Surplus escapements were primarily due to lack of industry interest and minimal fishing effort rather than any specific management action.
Orzinski Lake Mortensen Lagoon Thin Point Lake	There has been a lack of interest or participation by the commercial fleet in some areas either due to geography, time of year, or any number of reasons.
Chignik River Late Run	This river is managed for an additional 50,000 (MO) for subsistence needs, and processor closures in August and fishery "stand downs" have contributed to surplus escapements.
Karluk River Early Run	Managers can't open the season until the upper limit goal has been assured, thereby leading to overescapement concerns if the run comes early. Overescapement is often due to a lack of industry interest and lack of effort by commercial fishermen, rather than from any specific management action.
Karluk River Late Run	The Karluk late-run begins to peak in late August. This area was overescaped primarily due to lack of industry interest and lack of effort by commercial fishermen rather than by any specific management action. In some years of overescapement, there were quality issues due to the sockeye blushing up.

-continued-

Appendix D2. Page 2 of 2.

System	Comments
Saltery Lake	Due to the increasing costs of running weirs and the gradual erosion of general funds for the department, the weir was discontinued for the 2004 season and escapements are estimated using aerial surveys. The Saltery system is a small glacially fed lake. Sockeye are difficult to survey in this system due to the turbidity of the lake. Generally the sockeye are visible when they begin to stage at the mouths of spawning streams. Usually this occurs after the majority of the run has returned. The Saltery did have a salmon counting weir prior to 2004 and managers were able to closely monitor escapements and provide liberal fishing opportunities if there appeared to be overescapement issues. However, even with commercial fishing open to the mouth of Saltery, seiners were not able to harvest enough of the sockeye to prevent overescapement. Due to the increasing costs of running weirs and the gradual erosion of general funds for the department, the weir was discontinued for the 2004 season and escapement are estimated using aerial surveys.
Pasagshak River	Commercial fishers generally do not target this stock.
Buskin Lake	The Buskin River Section remains closed to commercial salmon fishing until July 6. The majority of the sockeye run has passed the weir prior to the first commercial opening.

Appendix D3.—Comments on Region 4 Westward coho salmon unharvested surplus for 2007.

System	Comments
Nelson River (no 2007 numbers)	Surplus escapements were primarily due to lack of industry interest and minimal fishing effort rather than any specific management action.
Thin Point Lake (no 2007 numbers)	
Pasagshak River	Commercial fishers generally do not target this stock.
Buskin River	
Olds River	
American River	

Appendix D4.—Comments on Region 4 Westward pink salmon unharvested surplus for 2007.

System	Comments
Bechevin Bay Section - odd year	Surplus escapements were primarily due to lack of industry interest and minimal fishing effort rather than any specific management action.
Bechevin Bay Section - even year	
South Peninsula Total - odd year	
South Peninsula Total - even year	
Entire Chignik Area - odd year	

Appendix D5.—Comments on Region 4 Westward chum salmon unharvested surplus for 2007.

System	Comments
Northern District	Surplus escapements were primarily due to lack of industry interest and minimal fishing effort rather than any specific management action.
Southeastern District	
Southwestern District	
Unimak District	
Entire Chignik Area	

The following symbols and abbreviations, and others approved for the System International d'Unités (SI), are used:

Potential Unrealized Harvest and Exvessel Value— Prepared at the request of the House Fisheries Committee

by
Geron Bruce

February 2008

Alaska Department of Fish and Game

Division of Commercial Fisheries



Weights and measures (metric)	
centimeter	cm
deciliter	dL
gram	g
hectare	ha
kilogram	kg
kilometer	km
liter	L
meter	m
milliliter	mL
millimeter	mm

cubic feet per second	ft ³ /s
foot	ft
gallon	gal
inch	in
mile	mi
nautical mile	nmi
ounce	oz
pound	lb
quart	qt
yard	yd

Time and temperature	
day	d
degrees Celsius	°C
degrees Fahrenheit	°F
degrees kelvin	K
hour	h
minute	min
second	s

Physics and chemistry	
all atomic symbols	
alternating current	AC
ampere	A
calorie	cal
direct current	DC
hertz	Hz
horsepower	hp
hydrogen ion activity (negative log of)	pH
parts per million	ppm
parts per thousand	ppt,
	‰
volts	V
watts	W

General	
Alaska Administrative Code	AAC
all commonly accepted abbreviations	e.g., Mr., Mrs., AM, PM, etc.
all commonly accepted professional titles	e.g., Dr., Ph.D., R.N., etc.
at	@
compass directions:	
east	E
north	N
south	S
west	W
copyright	©
corporate suffixes:	
Company	Co.
Corporation	Corp.
Incorporated	Inc.
Limited	Ltd.
District of Columbia	D.C.
et alii (and others)	et al.
et cetera (and so forth)	etc.
exempli gratia (for example)	e.g.
Federal Information Code	FIC
id est (that is)	i.e.
latitude or longitude	lat. or long.
monetary symbols (U.S.)	\$, ¢
months (tables and figures): first three letters	Jan.,...,Dec
registered trademark	®
trademark	™
United States (adjective)	U.S.
United States of America (noun)	USA
U.S.C.	United States Code
U.S. state	use two-letter abbreviations (e.g., AK, WA)

fork length	FL
mid-eye-to-fork	MEF
mid-eye-to-tail-fork	METF
standard length	SL
total length	TL

Mathematics, statistics
all standard mathematical
signs, symbols and
abbreviations

alternate hypothesis	H_A
base of natural logarithm	e
catch per unit effort	CPUE
coefficient of variation	CV
common test statistics	(F , t , χ^2 , etc.)
confidence interval	CI
correlation coefficient (multiple)	R
correlation coefficient (simple)	r
covariance	cov
degree (angular)	$^\circ$
degrees of freedom	df
expected value	E
greater than	$>$
greater than or equal to	$\geq$
harvest per unit effort	HPUE
less than	$<$
less than or equal to	$\leq$
logarithm (natural)	$\ln$
logarithm (base 10)	$\log$
logarithm (specify base)	$\log_x$, etc.
minute (angular)	'
not significant	NS
null hypothesis	H_0
percent	%
probability	P
probability of a type I error (rejection of the null hypothesis when true)	α
probability of a type II error (acceptance of the null hypothesis when false)	β
second (angular)	"
standard deviation	SD
standard error	SE
variance	
population	Var
sample	var

The Regional Information Report Series was established in 1987 and was redefined in 2006 to meet the Division of Commercial Fisheries regional need for publishing and archiving information such as project operational plans, area management plans, budgetary information, staff comments and opinions to Board of Fisheries proposals, interim or preliminary data and grant agency reports, special meeting or minor workshop results and other regional information. Reports in this series may contain raw data and preliminary results. Reports not generally reported elsewhere. This series may be subsequently updated and published in a different department reporting series in the future. Please contact the author or the Division of Commercial Fisheries if in doubt of the level of review or preliminary nature of the data reported. Regional Information Reports are available through the Alaska State Library and on the Internet at <http://www.state.ak.us/statewide/repinfo/interact.htm>

REGIONAL INFORMATION REPORT NO. 5J08-02

POTENTIAL UNREALIZED HARVEST AND EXVESSEL VALUE— PREPARED AT THE REQUEST OF THE HOUSE FISHERIES COMMITTEE

By

Geron Bruce

Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries

This document should be cited as:
Bruce G. 2008. Potential Unrealized Harvest and Exvessel Value—Prepared at the request of the House Fisheries Committee. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Regional Report Series No. 5J08-02. Juneau.

Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries
1325 W. 8th St. P.O. Box 112256, Juneau, AK 99811-2256, USA
Geron Bruce

The Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF&G) administers all programs and activities free from discrimination based on race, color, national origin, age, sex, religion, marital status, pregnancy, parenthood, or disability. The department administers all programs and activities in compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) of 1990, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, and Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1973.

Alaska Department of Fish and Game

Division of Commercial Fisheries

Juneau, Alaska

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 4040 N. Fairfax Drive, Suite 300 Webb, Arlington VA 22203

Office of Equal Opportunity, U.S. Department of the Interior, Washington DC 20240

The department's ADA Coordinator can be reached by the following numbers:

(VOICE) 907-465-6077 (Statewide Telecommunication Device for the Deaf) 1-800-478-3648 (Juneau TDD) 907-465-3646, or (FAX) 907-465-6078

For information on alternative formats and questions on this publication, please contact:

ADF&G, Division of Commercial Fisheries, P.O. Box 112256, Juneau AK 99811 (907)465-4210

February 2008

The Regional Information Report Series was established in 1987 and was redefined in 2006 to meet the Division of Commercial Fisheries regional need for publishing and archiving information such as project operational plans, area management plans, budgetary information, staff comments and opinions to Board of Fisheries proposals, interim or preliminary data and grant agency reports, special meeting or minor workshop results and other regional information not generally reported elsewhere. Reports in this series may contain raw data and preliminary results. Reports in this series receive varying degrees of regional, biometric and editorial review; information in this series may be subsequently finalized and published in a different department reporting series or in the formal literature. Please contact the author or the Division of Commercial Fisheries if in doubt of the level of review or preliminary nature of the data reported. Regional Information Reports are available through the Alaska State Library and on the Internet at: <http://www.sf.adfg.ak.us/statewide/divreprots/html/intersearch.cfm>.

POTENTIAL UNREALIZED HARVEST AND EXVESSEL VALUE—
PREPARED AT THE REQUEST OF THE HOUSE FISHERIES
COMMITTEE

BY

Geron Bruce

Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries

Geron Bruce,

Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries,
1255 W. 8th St. P.O. Box 115526, Juneau, AK 99811-5526, USA

This document should be cited as:

Bruce, G. 2008. Potential Unrealized Harvest and Exvessel Value—Prepared at the request of the House Fisheries Committee. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Regional Report Series No.5J08-02, Juneau.

The Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF&G) administers all programs and activities free from discrimination based on race, color, national origin, age, sex, religion, marital status, pregnancy, parenthood, or disability. The department administers all programs and activities in compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) of 1990, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, and Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972.

If you believe you have been discriminated against in any program, activity, or facility please write:

ADF&G ADA Coordinator, P.O. Box 115526, Juneau AK 99811-5526

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 4040 N. Fairfax Drive, Suite 300 Webb, Arlington VA 22203

Office of Equal Opportunity, U.S. Department of the Interior, Washington DC 20240

The department's ADA Coordinator can be reached via phone at the following numbers:

(VOICE) 907-465-6077, (Statewide Telecommunication Device for the Deaf) 1-800-478-3648, (Juneau TDD) 907-465-3646, or (FAX) 907-465-6078

For information on alternative formats and questions on this publication, please contact:

ADF&G, Division of Commercial Fisheries, P.O. Box 115526, Juneau AK 99811 (907)465-4210.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
LIST OF FIGURES.....	i
ABSTRACT.....	1
REGION 1: SOUTHEAST REGION.....	1
Sockeye Salmon.....	1
Pink salmon.....	1
REGION 2: CENTRAL REGION.....	2
Sockeye Salmon.....	2
Pink Salmon.....	3
REGION 3: AYK REGION.....	5
Chinook Salmon.....	5
Coho Salmon.....	5
Chum salmon.....	6
REGION 4: WESTWARD REGION.....	7
Sockeye Salmon.....	7
Pink Salmon.....	8

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
1. Southeast sockeye salmon potential lost harvest and exvessel value, 2001–2007.....	1
2. Southeast pink salmon potential lost harvest and exvessel value, 2001–2007.....	1
3. Copper River and Prince William Sound sockeye salmon potential lost harvest and exvessel value, 2001–2007.....	2
4. Cook Inlet sockeye salmon potential lost harvest and exvessel value, 2001–2007.....	2
5. Bristol Bay sockeye salmon potential lost harvest and exvessel value, 2001–2007.....	3
6. Prince William Sound pink salmon potential lost harvest and exvessel value, 2001–2007.....	3
7. Lower Cook Inlet pink salmon potential lost harvest and exvessel value, 2001–2007.....	4
8. AYK Chinook salmon potential lost harvest and exvessel value, 2002–2007.....	5
9. AYK coho salmon potential lost harvest and exvessel value, 2002–2007.....	5
10. Pink salmon potential lost harvest and exvessel value, 2002–2007. No pinks were purchased 2002–2006.....	6
11. AYK chum salmon potential lost harvest and exvessel value, 2001–2007.....	6
12. Alaska Peninsula sockeye salmon potential lost harvest and exvessel value, 2001–2007.....	7
13. Chignik sockeye salmon potential lost harvest and exvessel value, 2001–2007.....	7
14. Kodiak sockeye salmon potential lost harvest and exvessel value, 2001–2007.....	8
15. Westward Region pink salmon potential lost harvest and exvessel value, 2001–2007.....	8


ABSTRACT

This publication estimates the monetary value to the potential harvest value lost to overescapement. Provided below are figure and table representations of this data. Value information is calculated from ADF&G Commercial Operators' Annual Reports, fish tickets and staff estimates.

Key words: escapement, overescapement, exvessel value, sockeye, pink, Chinook, coho, chum, potential harvest

REGION 1: SOUTHEAST REGION


SOCKEYE SALMON



	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Number of Fish	65,141	31,930	216,735	111,241	131,300	221,571	74,175
Exvessel Value	\$345,899	\$151,668	\$1,170,369	\$563,992	\$785,174	\$1,369,309	\$421,314

Figure 1.—Southeast sockeye salmon potential lost harvest and exvessel value, 2001–2007.

PINK SALMON



	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Number of Fish	3,404,447	1,524,759	5,046,227	396,329	3,850,000	150,000	2,650,000
Exvessel Value	\$1,566,046	\$503,170	\$1,766,179	\$142,678	\$1,617,000	\$72,000	\$1,802,000

Figure 2.—Southeast pink salmon potential lost harvest and exvessel value, 2001–2007.

REGION 2: CENTRAL REGION

SOCKEYE SALMON




Figure 3.—Copper River and Prince William Sound sockeye salmon potential lost harvest and exvessel value, 2001–2007.




Figure 4.—Cook Inlet sockeye salmon potential lost harvest and exvessel value, 2001–2007.




Figure 5.—Bristol Bay sockeye salmon potential lost harvest and exvessel value, 2001–2007.

PINK SALMON




Figure 6.—Prince William Sound pink salmon potential lost harvest and exvessel value, 2001–2007.




Figure 7.—Lower Cook Inlet pink salmon potential lost harvest and exvessel value, 2001–2007.



Figure 6.—Prince William Sound pink salmon potential lost harvest and exvessel value, 2001–2007.

REGION 3: AYK REGION

CHINOOK SALMON




Figure 8.—AYK Chinook salmon potential lost harvest and exvessel value, 2002–2007.

COHO SALMON




Figure 9.—AYK coho salmon potential lost harvest and exvessel value, 2002–2007.




Figure 10.—AYK Pink salmon potential lost harvest and exvessel value, 2002–2007. No pinks were purchased 2002–2006.

CHUM SALMON




Figure 11.—AYK chum salmon potential lost harvest and exvessel value, 2001–2007.

REGION 4: WESTWARD REGION

SOCKEYE SALMON




Figure 12.—Alaska Peninsula sockeye salmon potential lost harvest and exvessel value, 2001–2007.




Figure 13.—Chignik sockeye salmon potential lost harvest and exvessel value, 2001–2007.




Figure 14.—Kodiak sockeye salmon potential lost harvest and exvessel value, 2001–2007.

PINK SALMON




Figure 15.—Westward Region pink salmon potential lost harvest and exvessel value, 2001–2007.