

AK LEGISLATURE FINANCE COMMITTEES FILES 2007-2008 3154

36

November 28, 2005

Members of the Legislative Budget
and Audit Committee:

In accordance with the provisions of Title 24 of the Alaska Statutes, the attached report is submitted for your review.

BOARD OF GOVERNORS OF THE
ALASKA BAR ASSOCIATION
SUNSET REVIEW
November 28, 2005

Audit Control Number
41-20040-06

This audit was conducted as required by AS 44.66.050 and under the authority of AS 24.20.271(1). Alaska Statute 44.66.050(c) lists criteria to be used to assess the demonstrated public need for a given board, commission, agency, or program subject to the sunset review process. Currently, under AS 08.03.010(c)(2), the Board of Governors of the Alaska Bar Association is scheduled to terminate on June 30, 2006.

In our opinion, the termination date for this Board should be extended. The regulation and licensure of attorneys contributes to the protection of the public's welfare. We recommend the legislature extend the termination date to June 30, 2014.

The audit was conducted in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Fieldwork procedures utilized in the course of developing the findings and discussion presented in this report are discussed in the Objectives, Scope, and Methodology section.

Pat Davidson, CPA
Legislative Auditor

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Objectives, Scope, and Methodology	1
Organization and Function	3
Report Conclusions.....	5
Findings and Recommendations	7
Auditor's Comments	11
Analysis of Public Need	13
 Appendices	
Appendix A – Revenues Compared with Expenditures	23
Appendix B – Discipline Statistics	25
Appendix C – Bar Examination and Admission Statistics	27
Appendix D – Attorney Referrals.....	29
 Agency Responses	
Alaska Court System	31
Alaska Bar Association	35

OBJECTIVES, SCOPE, AND METHODOLOGY

In accordance with the intent of Title 24 and Title 44 of the Alaska Statutes (sunset legislation), we have reviewed the activities of the Board of Governors of the Alaska Bar Association (Board). Under AS 44.66.050(a), the legislative committee of reference is to consider this report during the legislative oversight process to determine whether the Board's termination date should be extended. Currently, AS 08.03.010(c)(2) requires the Board to terminate on June 30, 2006. If the legislature takes no action to extend the termination date, the Board will have one year from that date to conclude its operations

Objectives

There are three central, interrelated objectives of our report. They are:

1. To determine if the termination date of the Board should be extended.
2. To determine if the Board is operating in the public's interest.
3. To determine if the Board has exercised appropriate oversight of licensed members of the Alaska Bar Association (Bar).

The assessment of the operations and performance of the Board was based on criteria set out in AS 44.66.050(c). Criteria set out in this statute relates to the determination of a demonstrated public need for the Board.

Scope and Methodology

The major areas of our review were the examination, admission/licensing, and discipline functions provided by the Bar, as well as Board proceedings. Our audit reviewed Board operations and activities of the Bar from January 2002 through June 30, 2005.

We reviewed and evaluated the following:

- Applicable statutes, Alaska Bar Rules, Alaska Rules of Professional Conduct, and bar association bylaws
- American Bar Association (ABA) Model Rules
- Board minutes
- Alaska Bar Association annual reports
- Attorney discipline files
- Attorney applications for examination and admission

- Websites of National Conference of Bar Examiners (NCBE), American Bar Association (ABA), and other states' bar admissions
- Publications such as:
 1. ABA 2005 State and Local Bar Membership Dues and Mandatory Fees Survey
 2. NCBE 2005 Comprehensive Guide to Bar Admissions
 3. New York State Bar Association Comparison of the Features of Mandatory Continuing Legal Education Rules in Effect as of July 2004

In addition, we conducted interviews of the Board president, staff, including the Executive Director, Board Counsel, director of continuing legal education, and Comptroller. We also made inquiries with other states' bar admission staff.

ORGANIZATION AND FUNCTION

The practice of law in the State of Alaska is regulated by the Board of Governors of the Alaska Bar Association (Board). The Board consists of 12 members including nine attorneys elected by the active membership of the Alaska Bar Association and three nonattorney public members that are appointed by the governor and confirmed by the legislature in joint session.

The powers and duties of the Board are conferred by the Alaska Integrated Bar Act (AS 08.08), the Alaska Bar Rules, and the Rules of Professional Conduct which are promulgated by the Alaska Supreme Court. The purpose of the Board includes the following: to cultivate and advance the science of jurisprudence, to promote reform in the law and in judicial procedure, to facilitate the administration of justice, to encourage continuing legal education for the membership, and to increase the public service and efficiency of the Alaska Bar Association (Bar).

The two primary functions of the Bar are the admission and discipline of its members. To accomplish these and other functions, the Bar operated with a 2005 budget of \$2,195,584. Funding is provided primarily by membership due. (\$550 per year), admission fees, lawyer referral fees, continuing legal education charges, administrative discipline fees, and interest income.

- Admission Function The Board is responsible for screening applicants for admission to the Bar. The Board certifies to the Supreme Court that all successful applicants are fit to practice law. The Board appoints an executive director who is responsible for directing all staff functions, including the oversight of the admissions function.
- Discipline Function The Board is responsible for investigating grievances against all members of the Bar Association. The Board appoints the discipline counsel. This counsel is responsible for oversight of all disciplinary actions taken against the Bar's membership and provides an ethics course that is required for all applicants. The Board appoints hearing committees from each judicial district. The Board is also responsible for issuing reprimands when warranted, and for recommending that the Supreme Court impose disbarment, suspension, probation, or public censure when appropriate.

The Board of Governors of the Alaska Bar Association (as of November 18, 2005)
<i>Jonathon A. Katcher, President Third Judicial District</i>
<i>John Tiemessen, President-elect Second/Fourth Judicial District</i>
<i>Christopher R. Cooke, Vice- president Third Judicial District</i>
<i>Sidney K. Billingslea, Treasurer Third Judicial District</i>
<i>Michael J. Hurley, Secretary Public Member</i>
<i>Matthew W. Claman Third Judicial District</i>
<i>Peter R. Ellis First Judicial District</i>
<i>Joseph N. Faulhaber Public Member</i>
<i>William A. Granger Public Member</i>
<i>Allison Mendel Third Judicial District</i>
<i>Philip M. Pallenberg First Judicial District</i>
<i>Jason A. Weiner Second/Fourth Judicial District</i>

- Miscellaneous Functions The Bar also performs a wide variety of miscellaneous functions that include providing classes for and accrediting providers of continuing legal education, a lawyer referral service, client mediation, and fee arbitration with clients. In conjunction with Alaska Legal Services Corporation, the Bar sponsors the Alaska Pro Bono Program. The Bar provides a number of other member services including attorney liability protection, group insurance, the *Alaska Bar Rag*, ethics opinions, and alcohol and drug counseling. In addition the association provides grants through the Alaska Bar Foundation (ABF) from earnings of interest on lawyers trust account (IOLTA¹) program. IOLTA grants are used to support legal services for the economically disadvantaged and improve the administration of justice.

The Alaska Bar Association's office is located in Anchorage and is currently staffed by 17 full-time and job-share employees.

The Board's decision involving examination and discipline may be appealed to the Alaska Supreme Court. The Alaska Supreme Court issues the order of admission to the bar association and lawyer disciplinary sanctions involving disbarment, suspension, probation, and public censure.

¹ An IOLTA account is a pooled, interest-bearing trust account for deposit of client funds that are so small, or will be held for so short a time, they would not earn net interest that would be payable to the client. A recent change to Rule 1.5 of Alaska Rules of Professional Conduct requires annual certification by all lawyers on the annual bar dues, whether the lawyer or the lawyer's firm is maintaining or not maintaining an IOLTA trust account.

REPORT CONCLUSIONS

In our opinion, the termination date of the Board of Governors of the Alaska Bar Association (Board) should be extended. Since the first three attorneys were admitted to the practice of law in Alaska in 1884, membership has grown to its current level of 2,839 active members practicing in the State. The regulation and licensing of qualified attorneys contributes to the protection of the public's welfare.

The Board, through the Alaska Supreme Court, protects the public by ensuring that persons licensed to practice law are qualified. It also provides for the investigation of complaints and has established a disciplinary process designed to promote licensed individuals to act in a competent and professional manner. Chapter 58, SLA 2005 amended AS 08.03.020(c) to increase from four to eight years the period for which a board scheduled for termination may be continued or reestablished by the legislature. As such, we recommend that the legislature extend the termination date of the Board to June 30, 2014.

We have also made recommendations that, if implemented, will improve the effectiveness of the Board's goals and operations. See the Findings and Recommendations section of this report.

(Intentionally left blank)

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

In the prior audit, *Board of Governors of the Alaska Bar Association, November 30, 2001*, (Audit Control No. 41-20008-02) there were two recommendations which have been resolved. These recommendations were to establish a screening and oversight procedures for attorneys wishing to participate in the lawyer referral services and improving adequate public notice of meetings.

In addition, the Supreme Court adopted a pilot 12 credit hour voluntary continuing legal education (CLE) program for three years in response to the recommendation for a mandatory continuing legal education during the 1998 sunset audit. We reviewed the results of the voluntary CLE pilot program which are addressed below as Recommendation No. 1.

Recommendation No. 1

The Board should recommend to the Alaska Supreme Court that mandatory minimum CLE for attorneys be adopted.

Continuing legal education for attorneys is only voluntary rather than required. The Supreme Court adopted a voluntary CLE under Bar Rule 65 in 1999. It encouraged all active members of the Alaska Bar Association (Bar) to complete at least 12 credit hours of approved CLE, including one credit hour of ethics. Incentives, such as reduction of bar dues and eligibility to participate in the Lawyer Referral Service are authorized to those who comply with the bar rule.

The Board implemented a three-year voluntary CLE pilot project effective September 2, 1999 which ended in December 2002. Each member of the Bar was required to report at the end of each year the CLE hours earned during the preceding year on the prescribed CLE reporting form. The average participation rate during the pilot program years was 46%. The Board eliminated the voluntary CLE reporting requirement at the end of the pilot program. However to encourage completion and reporting of approved CLE, the Bar continued its reduced annual dues to those attorneys who certify completion of CLE on their annual dues statement. The reported average participation rate for CLE has dropped from 46% to 38% for the two years after the end of the pilot program.

Forty-one legal jurisdictions in the United States have a mandatory CLE education requirement for attorneys desiring to practice law in their jurisdiction. Mandatory CLE requirements range from 8 to 27 credit hours per year with the majority of the jurisdictions requiring between 12 and 15 hours. Specific course requirements vary.

Many professions require continuing education to maintain licensure in Alaska. For example, Alaska-licensed dentists, doctors, pharmacists, and psychologists are all required to meet minimum continuing education standards.

The Board's major concern regarding mandatory CLE is an appearance of a conflict of interest. Currently, the Bar both accredits CLE providers and provides CLE. The American Law Institute-American Bar Association study released in 1998 recommended creating a distinct and separate department or organization, with separate staff, to regulate and sponsor CLE so as to avoid the appearance of conflict of interest.

The Board has recently established a joint task force on mandatory continuing legal education. The memberships include three members of the Board and a representative each from the Alaska Supreme Court and the Judicial Council.

Overall, we believe a mandatory minimum continuing legal education requirement will enhance the membership's continued professional competence and raise the public's confidence in attorneys. The Board should encourage the Supreme Court to adopt an Alaska Bar Rule requiring mandatory minimum continuing legal education.

Recommendation No. 2

The Board should consider developing a database of disciplined lawyers in the association's website.

While current procedures are adequate, the Board could increase efficient and effective communication of lawyer discipline to the public by publishing their discipline list on their website.

Board procedures provide for public notice of all attorneys who have been disbarred, suspended, put on probation, publicly censured, or reprimanded. Currently, the Board publishes the names of these attorneys in four major newspapers throughout the State, the local newspaper where the attorney practiced, the *Alaska Bar Rag*, and in the Board's annual report. Notice of all disciplines imposed by the court, all orders granting reinstatements, and all public reprimands are also transmitted to the American Bar Association National Lawyer Regulatory Data Bank. These are long-standing means of providing public notice; however, 15 states currently also publish their lawyers discipline list on their websites.

The Board should consider developing a database for the Bar's website of the disciplines imposed against lawyers. It would be an effective medium in addition to the others used to inform the public of lawyers who have been disciplined. It will enhance public notification and client protection through increased accessibility of discipline information.

Recommendation No. 3

The Board should adopt a due date for the annual report to ensure it is made available to the Supreme Court, the legislature and the public on a timely basis.

The Board's annual reports for the three years 2002 through 2004 were not prepared timely.² In fact, the 2004 report is still in draft format. AS 08.08.085 requires the Board to prepare an annual report and notify the legislature when it is available; however, it does not specify a due date. The annual report is normally made available to the legislature in the spring of the subsequent year. For the past three years, the executive director has placed a low priority on the preparation of the annual reports.

The Board's annual report contains information on matters relating to admission, discipline of members, modification or repeals of bylaws, and bar rules proposed to or adopted by the Supreme Court. The annual report may also be used to recommend changes to the Alaska Bar statutes. Information provided in the report will be more useful and relevant to public officials and the public when provided in a timely manner.

The adoption of a specific due date by the Board in its bylaws or standing policies should ensure the timely preparation of the Board's annual report.

² We considered completion of the annual report to be untimely if it was not completed within four months after the end of the calendar year.

(Intentionally left blank)

AUDITOR'S COMMENTS

The sunset process allows for an objective review of various boards and commissions to determine if the public need for protection continues to exist and if the entity is satisfying that need. The independent conclusions of a review agency, such as this Division, provide certain assurances that entities such as the Board of Governors of the Alaska Bar Association (Board) are operating in the public interest. The overall conclusions of our review are that the Board is operating in the public interest and that there is a continuing public need for the attorney admission and discipline functions of the Alaska Bar Association (Bar). Nevertheless, an overall evaluation of the basic approach to these functions should be undertaken from time to time. Whether the discipline function is to be controlled by government or by attorneys is a policy-level determination that should be carefully considered by the Supreme Court, the Board, and the legislature. The following comments are intended to assist in such consideration.

Self-regulation, whether by industries or profession, has always been viewed skeptically by the citizenry. There is often a perception of conflict of interest in whether actions are for the benefit of the organization's membership or for the citizens' benefit. The attorney discipline system of the Bar is a self-regulatory function that may suffer from this public perception.

The Board is comprised of 12 members, of which nine are attorney members elected by the Bar's membership and three public members appointed by the governor. As the majority is elected by the membership, the Bar's discipline activities will likely be perceived as self-regulation.

We believe that the attorney discipline system in Alaska could be a government function. In at least 22 states, discipline is carried out by a state government agency. In 2000, Nebraska's Supreme Court moved the disciplinary function out from their bar association to the Supreme Court Counsel for Discipline.

The American Bar Association concurs and, in fact has recommended that the disciplinary function of state bars be under the direct control of the Supreme Court. The American Bar Association's *Model Rules for Lawyer Disciplinary Enforcement*, August 1993,³ recommended the following:

The disciplinary system should be controlled and managed exclusively by the state's highest court and not the state or local bar association [T]he disciplinary process should be directed solely by the disciplinary policy of the court and its appointees and not influenced by internal politics of the bar association [T]he disciplinary system should be free from even the appearance of conflicts of interest or impropriety.

³ The *Model Rules for Lawyer Disciplinary Enforcement*, August 1993 was amended on August 5, 1996, on February 8, 1999 and on August 12, 2002. The 1993 recommendation is in the commentary under Rule 2.

The following options should be considered in a move away from attorney self-regulation:

- Disciplinary investigations performed by Alaska Court System employees
- Place disciplinary function under the Supreme Court with a Disciplinary Board appointed by the court

There should be no general fund net cost to either of these options. They would be paid by attorney licensing fees, the same as they currently are and as they are for other occupations. Fees are established such that full costs are recouped.

From a citizen's perspective, there are no advantages to allowing the legal profession to self-regulate. However, there will always be the disadvantage of at least the perception of inadequate discipline. In a move away from self-regulation, the legal profession and the State's citizens would likely benefit.

ANALYSIS OF PUBLIC NEED

The following analyses of the Board of Governors of the Alaska Bar Association (Board) activities relate to the public-need factors defined in AS 44.66.050(c). These analyses are not intended to be comprehensive, but address those areas we were able to cover within the scope of our review.

Determine the extent to which the board, commission, or program has operated in the public interest.

The Board admits applicants to practice law through an examination process that was designed in consultation with a national expert. The Board also admits members by motion for reciprocity. This option is limited to attorneys in the active practice of law for five of the last seven years in states with which Alaska has a reciprocal agreement.

Additionally, the Alaska Bar Association (Bar) also provides services that are more typically provided by professional associations rather than regulatory agencies. These include:

- The Bar has a committee to administer the *Lawyers Fund for Client Protection (LFCP)*. This fund receives \$10 from each active member's annual dues. The fund is used to reimburse clients for losses⁴ caused by dishonest conduct⁵ of a lawyer which is not covered by insurance or fidelity bond, whether of the lawyer or the client. The maximum amount payable to any individual is the lesser of \$50,000 or 10% of the fund amount at the time of the award. The aggregate maximum amount that may be paid to all claimants under a fee arbitration case arising from the dishonest conduct of a particular lawyer is \$200,000.
- Since 1976, the Bar has maintained a *Fee Arbitration* process allowing a client to resolve attorney fee disputes that have not been determined by statute or court rule or decision. For fee disputes of \$5,000 or less, the process provides for a single arbitrator. Disputes over \$5,000 are heard by a three-member panel that consists of two attorneys and one public member. Failure by an attorney to participate in this process may be grounds for disciplinary action.

⁴ Reimbursable losses are losses of money, property, or other things of value caused by the lawyer when: (1) acting in a fiduciary capacity customary to the practice of law, such as a administrator, executor, trustee, guardian or conservator; (2) acting as an escrow holder; or (3) filed within three years after the claimant knew or should have known of the dishonest conduct of the lawyer (Alaska Bar Rule 45).

⁵Alaska Bar Rule 45 defines "dishonest conduct" as wrongful acts committed by a lawyer in the manner of defalcation or embezzlement of money, or the wrongful taking or conversion of money, property or other things of value.

- The Bar also offers a mediation process that attempts to resolve the differences between attorneys and their clients. This process is not used when the issues are of a very serious nature such as allegations of intentional dishonesty, material misrepresentation, or the alleged conduct could lead to suspension or disbarment. Mediation requires the approval of both parties and the Bar counsel. The agreement is considered a contract and is legally enforceable in court.
- The Bar operates a *Lawyer Referral Service (LRS)* funded by enrollment fee from participating members. Members of the public can call an in-state toll free number and obtain the names of three attorneys who have listed themselves as practicing law in a certain field. Lawyer Referral Service statistics are shown in Appendix D.
- The Bar provides grants to support legal services for the economically disadvantaged and improve the administration of justice through the Alaska Bar Foundation (ABF) from earnings of the Interest on Lawyers Trust Account⁶ (IOLTA).
- The Bar jointly sponsors the Alaska Pro Bono Program with the Alaska Legal Services Corporation in which attorneys provide free legal advice to low-income Alaskans.
- The Bar also has a *Lawyer Assistance Committee (LAC)* that provides assistance and counseling to bar applicants and lawyers with drug and alcohol problems.

Determine the extent to which the operation of the board, commission, or agency program has been impeded or enhanced by existing statutes, procedures, and practices that it has adopted, and any other matter, including budgetary, resource, and personnel matters.

The operations of the Board are funded entirely by the membership through dues, admission fees, continuing legal education charges, lawyer referral fees, convention fees, and interest income. In 2004, the Board amended Article VII Section 1 (a) of the bylaws to increase fees for additional substantive law section membership and associate⁷ members. The Board also amended Article III Section 1(a) of the bylaws to increase active members' dues to \$550, effective in the 2005 membership year.

The 2005 budgeted revenue is \$2,195,584. The Bar has a cumulative revenue surplus of \$2,722,989 as of the end of calendar year 2004. (See Appendix A) The maintenance of a substantial revenue surplus is not consistent with the other professions regulated by the state, under the jurisdiction of the Department of Commerce, Community, and Economic Development, Division of Corporations, Business and Professional Licensing. Those

⁶ A recent change to Rule 1.5 of Alaska Rules of Professional Conduct (ARPC) requires annual certification by all lawyers on the annual bar dues statement whether or not the lawyer or the lawyer's firm is maintaining an IOLTA trust account.

⁷ A member of the public may join the Bar's section membership as an associate member. Associate members are nonvoting members and may not serve on the section's executive committee.

professional fees are required by statute to be set so the total fee collections approximately equal the actual regulatory cost of the occupation.

In August 2004, the Board formed the Bar Services and Funding Committee (BSFC) "to study and propose new ways to utilize bar dues and a portion of the unappropriated capital to advance the profession of law in Alaska and to benefit the members of the Bar and the practice of law in general."

Determine the extent to which the board, commission, or agency has recommended statutory changes that are generally of benefit to the public interest.

The Board has not recommended any statutory changes during this audit period. However, the Board has been active in the process of evaluating and revising the Alaska Bar Rules, Bylaws, and Rules of Professional Conduct that govern the Bar policies and procedures. The Board also had addressed the two recommendations presented in their prior sunset review. See Findings and Recommendations Section.

Determine the extent to which the board, commission, or agency has encouraged interested persons to report to it concerning the effect of its regulations and decisions on the effectiveness of service, economy of service, and availability of service that it has provided.

The Bar membership is involved in its operations. This operation may include service on one of the eight standing committees or five Alaska Bar Rules committees. It may include participation in one of the 24 sections or group of members with similar specialization (bankruptcy, criminal law, etc).

In addition to the above committees, members of the Bar may be appointed to serve in an adjunct organization, such as the Alaska Legal Services Corporation (ALSC). Also, special committees are established from time to time by the President with the advice and consent of the Board.

The Bar publishes all proposed changes to the Alaska Bar Rules in its quarterly publication, the *Alaska Bar Rag*, which is distributed to all members of the Bar and to interested members of the public. Members are asked to submit any and all comments on proposed rule changes for review by the Board.

The Board advertises board meetings in four Alaska newspapers, the *Alaska Bar Rag*, and the Alaska Public Online Notice System. Adequate time is allotted, and members of the general public are encouraged to make comments at all meetings.

Determine the extent to which the board, commission, or agency has encouraged public participation in the making of its regulations and decisions.

In addition to the three public members who serve on the Board, nonattorneys serve on disciplinary hearing committees and fee arbitration panels throughout the State. The membership of the Joint Task Force on Mandatory Continuing Legal Education established in May 2005 includes a public member of the Board.

As mentioned above, the Bar publicly advertises meetings of the Board. Time is allotted at all board meetings for public comments.

Determine the efficiency with which public inquiries or complaints regarding the activities of the board, commission, or agency filed with it, with the department to which a board or commission is administratively assigned, or with the office of victims' rights or the office of the ombudsman have been processed and resolved.

The Bar is an instrumentality of the State but is not administratively assigned to any department. However, the Alaska Supreme Court exercises a great degree of oversight. No complaints or investigations specifically involving the actions and activities of the Board were filed with the Office of the Ombudsman during our audit period.

The Board has a lawyer discipline process for investigation of complaints alleging attorney misconduct. Sanctions are imposed on those found in violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct. All public disciplinary action is subject to Supreme Court review. This process was developed through a cooperative effort of the Alaska Supreme Court, the Board, Bar staff, and a review team from the American Bar Association's Standing Committee on Professional Discipline.

An average of 215 complaints is received annually. Analyses of the complaints filed during the audit period shows that all grievances were reviewed, but relatively few were pursued beyond the initial investigation. Over 80 percent of the grievances received were not accepted for investigation due to lack of merit. Closure of grievances cases with sanctions such as disbarment, suspension, public censure, public reprimand, and admonition appear reasonable.

Over 600 complaints were filed during 2002, 2003, and 2004; these resulted in 135 cases being opened and the remaining complaints were not accepted. The investigations resulted in 50 cases^b with sanctions against a total of 16 attorneys. Six attorneys were disbarred, six were suspended, two were publicly reprimanded, and two were publicly censured. Discipline statistics are shown in Appendix B.

^b A single attorney may have multiple cases filed against them.

Board procedures provide for public notice of all attorneys who have been disbarred, suspended, put on probation, publicly censured, or reprimanded. The names of these attorneys are published in four major newspapers throughout the State, the local newspaper where the attorney practiced, the *Alaska Bar Rag*, and in the Board's annual report. Notice of all disciplines imposed by the court, all orders granting reinstatements, and all public reprimands are transmitted to the American Bar Association's National Lawyer Regulatory Data Bank. However, as discussed in Recommendation No. 2, the Board does not publish the names of lawyers who were suspended, disbarred, publicly reprimanded or censured on their website.

Determine the extent to which a board or commission that regulates entry into an occupation or profession has presented qualified applicants to serve the public.

The Board admits applicants to practice law through an examination process that was designed in consultation with a national expert. Admission is contingent on the following:

- Passing the Alaska Bar Examination
- Passing the Multi-state Professional Responsibility Examination (MPRE)
- Passing character investigation to determine if the applicant is of good moral character
- Attendance⁹ of a mandatory three hour ethics presentation by the Board.

The Board also admits members by motion for reciprocity. This option is limited to attorneys in the active practice of law for five of the last seven years in states with which Alaska has a reciprocal agreement.

Examination and admission statistics are shown in Appendix C.

The Bar offers continuing legal education for its membership and maintains an educational library. The Board established a three-year voluntary continuing legal education (CLE) project which required completion of a minimum of twelve hours of CLE, including one hour in ethics each calendar year. The Board compiled statistics of member participation and reported the information to the Supreme Court each year. The project expired in 2002 and the Board continued the voluntary CLE program. However, as discussed in Recommendation No. 1, the voluntary CLE average participation of 46 percent during the pilot period (September 19, 1999 – December 31, 2002) has declined during the subsequent two years (2003 through 2004) to 38 percent. Forty-one states, excluding Alaska, currently have mandatory CLE requirements which range from eight to twenty-seven credit hours per year.

As of 2004, lawyers located outside of Anchorage may participate in CLE through audio-video presentations available in three Alaska communities – Fairbanks, Juneau, and Kenai. Other communities may request a group video replay.

⁹ This requirement may be fulfilled by watching the ethics videotape and signing an affidavit.

Determine the extent to which statutory, regulatory, budgeting, or other changes are necessary to enable the agency, board, or commission to better serve the interests of the public and to comply with the factors enumerated in this subsection.

Please refer to the Findings and Recommendations and the Auditor Comments sections of this report.

Determine the extent to which state personnel practices, including affirmative action requirements, have been complied with by the board, commission, or agency to its own activities and the area of activity or interest.

The Board allows special accommodations for applicants who have been determined to have disabilities.

We did not find any evidence that the Board was not complying with state personnel laws, including affirmative action in qualifying applicants for licensure. In no instance did the Board deny an applicant a license based on personal attributes.

Determine the extent to which the board, commission, or agency has effectively attained its objectives and purposes and the efficiency with which the board, commission, or agency has operated.

Article I Section 3 of the association bylaws sets out the purposes of the Bar which include:

1. To cultivate and advance the science of jurisprudence
2. To promote reform in the law and in judicial procedure
3. To facilitate the administration of justice
4. To encourage legal education for the membership
5. To increase the public service and efficiency of the bar

To achieve these purposes the Bar has established and maintains various committees as well as performs certain functions. For example, the Bar maintains a Law Related Education Committee to present programs to the community and school system to aid in the understanding of the law and legal system. The committee is divided into subcommittees in the communities of Anchorage, Fairbanks, Juneau, Kenai, Mat-SU, and other communities where the Board president sees the need to appoint a subcommittee.

The Board appoints three attorneys to serve staggered six-year terms on the Alaska Judicial Council. The Council recommends candidates for judicial office and conducts studies for the improvement of the administration of justice in Alaska. As council members, they survey, investigate, and evaluate incumbent justices and judges standing for retention. The evaluation

is published in the Lieutenant Governor's Official Elections Pamphlet. They are also involved in screening of applicants for the state public defenders office.

The Bar's continuing legal education committee consisting of 15 members assists the continuing legal education director in overseeing the presentation of substantive legal education programs to educate Alaskan lawyers, about developments in the field of law, and emphasize their ethical responsibilities. The Bar has conducted 100 live, 6 satellite, 49 conventions, and 2 video conference CLE presentations for 1,096, 1,066, and 973 attorney participants from calendar year 2002 through 2004, respectively.

Determine the extent to which the board, commission, or agency duplicates the activities of another governmental agency or the private sector.

The Board does not duplicate the activities of another governmental agency. However, many of its activities are those typically performed by a professional association. As discussed earlier, some of these more typical private sector activities include such things as fee arbitration, referral services, and sponsorship of a pro bono program.

(Intentionally left blank)

APPENDICES

(Intentionally left blank)

APPENDIX A

Board of Governors of the Alaska Bar Association Revenues Compared with Expenditures Calendar Years 2002 through 2004

<u>Revenues</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>
Dues	\$ 1,362,173	\$ 1,374,536	\$ 1,389,704
Admission Fees	156,055	186,905	146,175
Continuing Legal Education	111,740	132,830	102,121
Lawyer Referral Fees	59,462	46,520	51,816
Annual Meeting	97,071	94,042	117,189
Earnings on Investments	148,113	119,661	100,962
Other	<u>66,638</u>	<u>44,348</u>	<u>98,234</u>
<u>Total Revenues</u>	<u>2,001,252</u>	<u>1,998,842</u>	<u>2,006,201</u>
 EXPENSES			
Admissions	163,646	171,525	170,888
Board of Governors	37,540	40,728	33,637
Discipline	637,541	576,469	603,493
Fee Arbitration	53,548	54,614	57,685
Lawyer Referral	45,682	44,878	47,995
Continuing Legal Education	420,517	421,767	312,481
Administration	378,678	392,549	422,902
Annual meeting	105,371	99,018	105,871
Other	<u>190,311</u>	<u>160,916</u>	<u>267,308</u>
<u>Total Expenses</u>	<u>2,032,834</u>	<u>1,962,464</u>	<u>2,022,260</u>
 <u>Excess (deficit) of</u>			
<u>Revenues over Expenses</u>	\$ (31,582)	\$ 36,378	\$ (16,059)
<u>Net Assets at</u>			
<u>Beginning of Year</u>	<u>2,734,252</u>	<u>2,702,670</u>	<u>2,739,048</u>
 <u>Cumulative Surplus/(Deficit)</u>	 <u>\$ 2,702,670</u>	 <u>\$ 2,739,048</u>	 <u>\$ 2,722,989¹⁰</u>

Source: Alaska Bar Association Audited Financial Statements for 2002 through 2004

¹⁰ The cumulative surplus as of December 31, 2004 is comprised of the following Net Assets accounts: Designated by the Board of Governors for Working Capital - \$675,000; Asset Acquisition - \$120,665 and Undesignated - \$1,927,324.

(Intentionally left blank)

APPENDIX B

Board of Governors of the Alaska Bar Association
Discipline Statistics
Calendar Years 2002 through 2005¹¹
(unaudited)

<u>Disposition of Closed Disciplinary Cases</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>
Disbarment by Supreme Court	5	17	0	2
Suspension by Supreme Court	6	1	10	2
Public Censure by Supreme Court	0	1	1	0
Public Reprimand by Disciplinary Board	0	2	0	0
Private Reprimand by Disciplinary Board	0	0	1	0
Private Admonition by Discipline Counsel	0	0	2	0
Dismissed	13	26	36	5
Closed After Mediation	0	1	1	0
Total Closed Cases	<u>24</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>9</u>
 <u>Status of Open Cases at Year End</u>				
Attorney on Probation	1	1	1	1
Pending Supreme Court	0	6	5	2
Pending Disciplinary Board	17	2	2	1
Pending Hearing Committee	7	1	0	0
Pending Stipulation	0	2	0	9
Pending Approval to File Formal Hearing	0	0	5	5
Pending Written Private Admonition	0	0	1	0
Abeyance due to Court Case	2	2	2	2
Pending Bar Counsel Investigation/Decision	67	62	32	33
Pending Complainant Reply	4	3	1	1
Pending Respondent Response	7	3	14	14
Pending Mediation	1	1	0	0
Total Open Cases	<u>106</u>	<u>83</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>68</u>

Note: These numbers reflect individual complaints filed and not the number of attorneys under investigation. An individual attorney may have more than one case established against them.

Source: Data for 2002 – 2004 was obtained from the Board's annual reports. 2005 was compiled by the Alaska Bar Association's staff.

¹¹ The amounts reported for 2005 includes activity from January 1 through June 30, 2005.

(Intentionally left blank)

APPENDIX C

Board of Governors of the Alaska Bar Association
Bar Examination and Admission Statistics
 (unaudited)

Examination Statistics

Examination Dates	Number Taking	Number Passing	Percent Passing
	<u>Exam</u>	<u>Exam</u>	
February 2002	35	20	57%
July 2002	60	31	52%
February 2003	53	27	51%
July 2003	86	51	59%
February 2004	56	37	66%
July 2004	61	43	70%
February 2005	62	44	71%
Total	<u>413</u>	<u>253</u>	<u>61%</u>

Admission Statistics

Calendar Year	Admission By <u>Examination</u>	Admission By <u>Reciprocity</u>	Total <u>Admissions</u>
2002	50	22	72
2003	77	16	93
2004	81	31	112
2005 ¹²	19	17	36
Total	<u>227</u>	<u>86</u>	<u>313</u>

Source: Records provided by the Bar's staff.

¹² Admissions through June 30, 2005.

(Intentionally left blank)


APPENDIX D

Board of Governors of the Alaska Bar Association
Attorney Referrals
January 2002 through June 30, 2005
(unaudited)

<u>Practice Categories</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>
Administrative	331	382	396	181
Admiralty	16	19	17	7
Adoption	27	34	34	17
Alaska Native Law	11	0	0	0
Arts	9	0	0	1
Bankruptcy	122	108	110	61
Commercial	185	148	123	120
Construction	36	14	37	25
Consumer	464	326	356	191
Criminal: Felony	144	130	127	133
Criminal: Misdemeanor	260	162	143	83
Discrimination	56	66	13	0
Divorce/Dissolution/Custody	1,153	869	907	472
Eminent Domain	2	0	0	2
Environmental	2	0	0	3
Foreign Language	3	0	2	0
Guardian/Conservator	29	14	33	15
Immigration	41	25	70	0
Insurance	89	87	88	44
Labor Relations	464	395	488	291
Landlord/Tenant	215	161	182	107
Malpractice	271	212	276	137
Negligence	856	791	794	427
Public Interest	5	8	4	2
Real Estate	230	206	281	149
Social Security Insurance Cases	18	28	82	20
Tax	34	8	0	0
Traffic	24	8	4	10
Trust/Will/Estate	171	167	187	101
Workers' Compensation	<u>305</u>	<u>217</u>	<u>228</u>	<u>129</u>
Total	<u>5,573</u>	<u>4,585</u>	<u>4,982</u>	<u>2,728</u>

Source: Alaska Bar Association 2002 -2003 Annual Reports and 2004 draft annual report. The 2005 information was provided by the Alaska Bar Association comptroller.

(Intentionally left blank)



ALASKA COURT SYSTEM
State of Alaska
Office of the Administrative Director

C. S. Christensen III
Deputy Administrative Director

820 West 4th Avenue
Anchorage, Alaska 99501-2005
(907) 264-8228; FAX (907) 264-8291
cchristensen@courts.state.ak.us

December 20, 2005

Cristino F. Fermin, CPA
In-Charge Auditor
Division of Legislative Audit
P.O. Box 113300
Juneau, Alaska 99811-3300

Re: Preliminary Audit Response
Board of Governors of Alaska Bar Association

Dear Mr. Fermin:

Thank you for the opportunity to offer a written response to the findings and recommendations contained in the above-referenced audit. This response represents the views of the Administrative Office of the Alaska Court System (AOC).

The AOC takes no position on the three recommendations directed at the Board of Governors of the Alaska Bar Association. We agree with the conclusion that the Board protects the public by ensuring that persons licensed to practice law are qualified. We also concur in the recommendation to the legislature that the termination date of the Board be extended to June 30, 2014.

However, we take issue with the auditor's comments beginning on page 4. Those comments go beyond the scope of the audit by making conclusory observations about the merits of self-regulation by the Bar, and suggesting that attorney discipline in Alaska could be a government function. Specifically, it is suggested that the following options be considered:

- Disciplinary investigations performed by Alaska Court System employees
- Place disciplinary function under the Supreme Court with a Disciplinary Board appointed by the court

The historical record shows that attorney discipline has always been a matter of importance to the supreme court. In fact, when the supreme court first asserted control of the Bar over the Bar's strenuous objection in 1964, it did so by promulgating rules governing the discipline of attorneys, among other things. See *In the Matter of an Application for an Order Vacating ALASKA SUPREME COURT ORDERS NO. 64, 68, 69, 70 and 71, and Other Relief*, 395 P.2d 853 (Alaska 1964). Public confidence in the process of attorney discipline is essential.

Equally important, however, is the need to keep separate the prosecutorial and adjudicative functions inherent in attorney discipline. Under our system of government, it is imperative that those who have the duty of charging transgressors and proving their guilt do not have the final say in determining that guilt. As currently designed, the system of attorney discipline keeps a wall between those two functions. It is the Bar which has the duty to investigate and prosecute allegations of attorney misconduct, to make preliminary findings, and to recommend punishment. It is the supreme court which makes the final determination of guilt or innocence, and which makes the final determination regarding punishment.

The fundamental problem with placing the attorney discipline function within the court system is that the entity responsible for investigating and prosecuting attorney misconduct would also be the entity responsible for making the ultimate determination of guilt or innocence. As the final adjudicative body for cases decided under the Alaska Constitution, it is inappropriate for the supreme court to exercise both executive and judicial powers in disciplinary matters.

There may be other states in which the supreme court exercises both prosecutorial and adjudicative authority. However, that does not mean that it is good public policy to do so, or that doing so is consistent with the fundamental principles under which our system was intended to operate. Administrative convenience is not adequate justification for this consolidation of government power.

If it is ever determined that the current system of attorney discipline does not work adequately and needs to be changed, a better model would be the one utilized by the Judicial Conduct Commission. This commission is part of the judicial branch of government, not the court system, and as such it is independent of the supreme court. The commission engages in investigatory and prosecutorial tasks when allegations of judicial misconduct are made, and makes recommendations to the supreme court. The supreme court exercises the judicial function, serving as the ultimate arbiter of guilt.

It is true that a separate disciplinary entity such as this could be paid for by Bar dues and have no cost to the general fund at this time. However, the persons staffing said agency would be new state employees. The legislature has demonstrated an intent

Cristino F. Fermin, CPA
December 20, 2005
Page 3

in recent years to limit the addition of permanent, full-time state employees, and absent concrete evidence that the current system does not adequately serve the public interest, it may be disinclined to create a new state bureaucracy.

Thank you for providing the opportunity to comment on this audit. Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions.

Very truly yours,

C. S. Christensen III
Deputy Administrative Director

(Intentionally left blank)

December 16, 2005

Pat Davidson
Legislative Auditor
Division of Legislative Audit
P.O. Box 113300
Juneau, Alaska 99811-3300

Dear Ms. Davidson:

Thank you for the prompt and thorough audit and the opportunity to respond to your preliminary assessment of the Alaska Bar Association.

Let me first express the Board's appreciation for the auditor's work. Like any governing body, the Board relies on periodic review to insure that it performs its responsibilities. We are pleased that the audit found that the Board addresses public interest in an effective and economical manner through its licensing, complaint investigation and discipline process. The Board works very hard to maintain the highest level of professionalism in the Bar while remaining within our budget.

Sunset and Fiscal Note

The Board concurs with extending the sunset date of the Alaska Bar Association Board of Governors until June 30, 2014.

Because you have prepared this audit so promptly, no bill has been filed with the legislature. However, when that occurs, there will be no fiscal note attached, as the Bar Association will not be seeking any state funding for its operational costs. The Bar Association has obtained state funding only during the limited time frame between 1981 and 1986, and only for the per diem and travel expenses of the three public members who sat on the Board. For the past 19 years, the Bar Association has paid those expenses without state funding.

As noted in the audit, the operations of the Bar Association are funded entirely by Bar members through bar dues, admission fees, Continuing Legal Education seminar fees, Lawyer Referral Service charges, convention fees and interest income.

Response to Recommendation No. 1: The Alaska Bar Association is currently addressing the issue of a Mandatory CLE requirement.

At the May 2005 meeting of the Board of Governors, the Board voted to establish a Joint Task Force on MCLE. Members of the Task Force now include a justice from the Alaska Supreme Court, an Alaska Court System attorney, the Executive Director of the Alaska Judicial Council, four members of the Board of Governors, and a representative from the Bar's CLE Committee. The Task Force will report to the Board at its January 2006 meeting. There is sentiment on the Board in favor of MCLE. The Board will most likely publish a proposed MCLE rule in the March 2006 *Alaska Bar Rag*. Publication is the first step before a rule could be recommended to the Alaska Supreme Court for adoption. Only the Supreme Court could adopt a rule providing for MCLE.

The MCLE rule, as discussed by the Board, would provide that active Bar members complete 12 hours of CLE a year, including 1 hour of ethics.

The Board believes that its present CLE program is effective and has significant participation by Bar members, particularly for a non-mandatory state. More than half of our members attend Alaska Bar CLE programs and many others attend programs offered by other providers. Many more Bar members rent or purchase videotapes of Bar Association programs for self-study.

The implementation of MCLE will result in an increase in administration costs. A cost analysis of adding MCLE which was done in January 2005 showed that MCLE would have significant administrative and financial impact on the Bar Association - nearly \$180,000.

The Bar would be responsible for the administration of MCLE, which would include verification of the CLE credits of nearly 3,000 members, as well as the approval of courses presented by other CLE providers. MCLE would require at least one, and probably two, additional Bar staff. Even if the verification of CLE credits by attorneys is done on the honor system, with random audits (much like Alaska CPAs), the Bar would need to hire at least one additional staff person. This would be due to the time necessary to answer questions about course eligibility, conduct random audits, and deal with non-compliance, which would involve warning letters, extensions of time in which to comply, suspensions of Bar licenses for non-compliance, and reinstatements.

Also, the additional staff would be necessary to separate the two CLE functions of the Bar, being a CLE provider and a MCLE regulator. This separation is necessary to avoid the appearance of a conflict of interest, as noted in the

Response to Legislative Audit
December 16, 2005
Page 3

audit, and was recommended in the 1998 ALI-ABA study mentioned in the audit.

Moreover, the Bar would need to offer more CLE programs than the 20-40 live programs currently offered annually. In addition, programs must be offered to reach lawyers in small rural communities so that they are not unfairly penalized by travel expenses to meet a MCLE requirement.

Nevertheless, it is probable that the Board will approve a MCLE rule and recommend its adoption to the Alaska Supreme Court.

Response to Recommendation No. 2. We agree that Lawyer Public Discipline History should be available on the Alaska Bar Association website.

It has been the Bar's goal since the website was first launched in 1998 to post public discipline information.

The Bar's website has been continually evolving. The first priority has been to get basic information on-line, such as how to apply for admission to the Bar, information on CLE seminars, lists of committees and Sections, and basic discipline information.

The Bar has managed its website without a full-time webmaster. Currently, the CLE Coordinator has primary responsibility for updating and posting information to the website. More complicated matters are contracted out to a private company.

Because of the lack of a full-time staff person who can devote time to the development of the website content, and the expense of contract programming, adding information to the website has largely been a matter of resources and priorities.

The Board agrees that having public discipline information available on our website is a desirable goal and we will work to that end.

Response to Recommendation No. 3. We agree that the Annual Report should be published in a timely manner, and we will modify the Standing Policies of the Board of Governors to reflect this.

The Board of Governors will take steps to amend the Standing Policies of the Board of Governors to set a deadline for the submission of the Annual Report.

The staff got behind on annual reports due to turnover in the position of Executive Assistant. This Assistant not only contributed to the completion of the annual report, but when there were vacancies in this position, the Executive Director had to personally take over some of the responsibilities of this position, which detracted from other duties.

Another factor contributing to the lateness was the subsequent decision by staff to redesign the annual report and other forms to make them more readable and easier to update. The annual report redesign "got behind" the redesign of the bar dues notice, the committee solicitation form, the Section solicitation form, and the development of the application forms for special accommodations requests for the Bar Exam.

The 2004 Annual Report has been published, and the Bar is now up to date with annual reports and will be timely starting with the 2005 report. At the January Board of Governors meeting, there will be a proposed amendment to the Standing Policies of the Board of Governors to adopt a specific due date for the annual report.

Concluding Comments: Lawyer self-regulation has been effective in Alaska.

The overall conclusion of the audit is that the Board effectively serves the public interest through its lawyer admission and discipline process. We also believe that lawyer self-regulation is working very effectively in Alaska. To its credit, the Alaska Bar Association has one of the most aggressive and effective discipline systems in the country.

The Bar Association conducted an e-mail and phone survey of the members of the National Organization of Bar Counsel whose members staff disciplinary jurisdictions nationwide. Of the 48 states the Bar was able to contact, 13¹, in addition to Alaska, indicated that they were not state government agencies under their supreme courts; 13² generally indicated that they were considered creations of their supreme courts, but either not considered state government

¹ Alabama, Arizona, Hawaii, Idaho, Kentucky, Montana, Nevada, North Carolina, Oregon, South Dakota, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming.

² Arkansas, Florida, Idaho, Iowa, Kansas, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Mississippi, Missouri, North Dakota, Pennsylvania, and West Virginia.

agencies or state employees; and, 22³ indicated that they were state government agencies under their supreme courts.

Based on this survey, Alaska is not unique in its approach to attorney discipline. Indeed, because the Bar Association performs these vital functions under the supervision of the Alaska Supreme Court, its system is similar to those in place in a significant number of other state jurisdictions.

It is also our belief that the present management system of the Bar provides a blend of private and governmental functions, insuring both accountability and good management. For example, the Bar is an instrumentality of the state and subject to legislative audits. Its meetings are open to the public. Members of the public sit on discipline hearings and fee arbitration panels as well as on the Board of Governors. Its rule making and discipline functions are overseen by the Supreme Court, which assures a sound investigative and judicial process of discipline. Finally, the statewide lawyer membership on the Board also ensures that the Bar Association is both responsive to the needs of its members, and qualified to address such issues as admission standards and peer review.

Reserves of the Alaska Bar

The Board has a policy to hold a working capital reserve in an amount equal to four month's expenses. (\$190,753/month or \$763,011.) However it is not unusual for a non-profit association to hold a reserve in an amount equal to six to nine months of expenses. (Ranging from \$1,144,518 - \$1,716,777.)

Unlike state agencies, the Bar cannot request supplemental appropriations from the Legislature if an unexpected event occurs which would incur significant financial expense. Also, the Board needs to be able to "save" for the financial viability of planned projects or events. For example, the Bar will be replacing its entire database in the next year, a project that will cost \$200,000 or more.

It has also been the policy of the Board to set Bar dues in an amount to accumulate a reserve, so that Bar dues do not have to be raised each year. The Board believes that the stability and predictability of bar dues over a long period of time is desirable. In the past twenty years, bar dues have only been raised twice: from \$310 to \$450 in 1994; and, from \$450 to \$550 in 2005.

³ California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Louisiana, Minnesota, Nebraska, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, Ohio, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Vermont, Virginia, and Wisconsin.

Response to Legislative Audit
December 16, 2005
Page 6

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment on the audit report. We trust that our response has been helpful, and that it demonstrates the Board's continuing commitment to improving the legal profession and service to the public.

Sincerely,
Alaska Bar Association

Jonathon A. Katcher
President

Westlaw.

AK ST § 08.03.010

Page 1

AS 08.03.010

▷

ALASKA STATUTES

Title 8. Business and Professions.

Chapter 03. Termination, Continuation and Reestablishment of Regulatory Boards.

Sec. 08.03.010 Termination dates for regulatory boards.

- (a) [Repealed, § 4 ch 14 SLA 1987.]
- (b) [Repealed, § 4 ch 14 SLA 1987.]
- (c) The following boards have the termination date provided by this subsection:
 - (1) Board of Public Accountancy (AS 08.04.010) -- June 30, 2009;
 - (2) Board of Governors of the Alaska Bar Association (AS 08.08.040) -- June 30, 2007,
 - (3) State Board of Registration for Architects, Engineers, and Land Surveyors (AS 08.48.011) -- June 30, 2009;
 - (4) Board of Barbers and Hairdressers (AS 08.13.010) -- June 30, 2011;
 - (5) Board of Chiropractic Examiners (AS 08.20.010) -- June 30, 2014;
 - (6) Board of Social Work Examiners (AS 08.95.010) -- June 30, 2010;
 - (7) Board of Dental Examiners (AS 08.36.010) -- June 30, 2011;
 - (8) Board of Certified Direct-Entry Midwives (AS 08.65.010) -- June 30, 2007;
 - (9) Board of Dispensing Opticians (AS 08.71.010) -- June 30, 2004;
 - (10) Board of Marine Pilots (AS 08.62.010) -- June 30, 2007;
 - (11) Board of Marital and Family Therapy (AS 08.63.010) -- June 30, 2010;
 - (12) State Medical Board (AS 08.64.010) -- June 30, 2007;

© 2007 Thomson/West. No Claim to Orig. U.S. Govt. Works.

AK ST § 08.03.010

Page 2

AS 08.03.010

- (13) Board of Nursing (AS 08.68.010) -- June 30, 2011;
- (14) Board of Examiners in Optometry (AS 08.72.010) -- June 30, 2014;
- (15) Board of Pharmacy (AS 08.80.010) -- June 30, 2010;
- (16) State Physical Therapy and Occupational Therapy Board (AS 08.84.010) -- June 30, 2014;
- (17) Board of Professional Counselors (AS 08.29.010) -- June 30, 2010;
- (18) Board of Psychologist and Psychological Associate Examiners (AS 08.86.010) -- June 30, 2010;
- (19) Real Estate Commission (AS 08.88.011) -- June 30, 2008;
- (20) Board of Certified Real Estate Appraisers (AS 08.87.010) -- June 30, 2010;
- (21) Board of Veterinary Examiners (AS 08.98.010) -- June 30, 2009;
- (22) Big Game Commercial Services Board (AS 08.54.591) -- June 30, 2008.
- (d) [Repealed, § 3 ch 74 SLA 1979.]
- (e) [Repealed, § 3 ch 74 SLA 1979.]
- (§ 2 ch 149 SLA 1977; am §§ 1, 3 ch 74 SLA 1979; am §§ 1, 3 ch 36 SLA 1980; am §§ 1, 3 ch 37 SLA 1980; am §§ 1, 3 ch 38 SLA 1980; am §§ 1, 3 ch 39 SLA 1980; am §§ 1, 3 ch 40 SLA 1980; am §§ 1, 3 ch 41 SLA 1980; am §§ 1, 3 ch 42 SLA 1980; am §§ 1, 2 ch 43 SLA 1980; am §§ 1, 3 ch 67 SLA 1980; am §§ 10, 11 ch 71 SLA 1980; am §§ 6, 7 ch 72 SLA 1980; am §§ 2, 15 ch 82 SLA 1980; am §§ 1, 3 ch 87 SLA 1980; am §§ 7, 8 ch 143 SLA 1980; am §§ 1, 2 ch 153 SLA 1980; am §§ 2, 5 ch 159 SLA 1980; am §§ 41, 42 ch 167 SLA 1980; am §§ 1, 13 ch 52 SLA 1981; am §§ 1, 2 ch 53 SLA 1981; am § 1 ch 28 SLA 1982; am § 1 ch 60 SLA 1982; am § 1 ch 96 SLA 1982; am § 1 ch 8 SLA 1983; am § 1 ch 9 SLA 1983; am § 1 ch 13 SLA 1983; am § 1 ch 29 SLA 1983; am § 2 ch 48 SLA 1983; am §§ 12, 13 ch 6 SLA 1984; am § 1 ch 29 SLA 1984; am § 1 ch 49 SLA 1984; am § 1 ch 50 SLA 1984; am § 1 ch 63 SLA 1984; am § 1 ch 4 SLA 1985; am § 1 ch 28 SLA 1985; am § 1 ch 85 SLA 1985; am § 1 ch 36 SLA 1986; am § 1 ch 39 SLA 1986; am § 1 ch 46 SLA 1986; am § 2 ch 71 SLA 1986; am § 1 ch 96 SLA 1986; am § 1 ch 99 SLA 1986; am § 1 ch 137 SLA 1986; am § 1 ch 145 SLA 1986; am § 1 ch 146 SLA 1986; am § 4 ch 14 SLA 1987; am § 1 ch 55 SLA 1987; am § 1 ch 60 SLA 1987; am § 4 ch 74 SLA 1987; am § 1 ch 79 SLA 1987; am § 2 ch 87 SLA 1987; am § 48 ch 94 SLA 1987; am § 3 ch 2 FSSLA 1987; am § 1 ch 50 SLA 1988; am § 1 ch 51 SLA 1988; am § 1 ch

© 2007 Thomson/West. No Claim to Orig. U.S. Govt. Works.

AK ST § 08.03.010

Page 3

AS 08.03.010

57 SLA 1988; am § 1 ch 61 SLA 1988; am § 1 ch 62 SLA 1988; am § 3 ch 98 SLA 1988; am § 1 ch 124 SLA 1988; am § 5 ch 126 SLA 1988; am § 2 ch 132 SLA 1988; am § 1 ch 160 SLA 1988; am § 1 ch 20 SLA 1989; am § 2 ch 37 SLA 1989; am § 2 ch 40 SLA 1989; am § 1 ch 45 SLA 1989; am § 1 ch 48 SLA 1989; am § 1 ch 2 SLA 1990; am § 1 ch 3 SLA 1990; am § 1 ch 25 SLA 1990; am § 3 ch 177 SLA 1990; am § 1 ch 62 SLA 1991; am § 2 ch 89 SLA 1991; am § 1 ch 19 SLA 1992; am § 1 ch 20 SLA 1992; am § 1 ch 21 SLA 1992; am § 1 ch 22 SLA 1992; am § 1 ch 23 SLA 1992; am §§ 4, 5 ch 129 SLA 1992; am § 3 ch 130 SLA 1992; am § 21 ch 6 SLA 1993; am § 1 ch 20 SLA 1993; am § 1 ch 21 SLA 1993; am § 1 ch 22 SLA 1993; am § 1 ch 23 SLA 1993; am § 1 ch 24 SLA 1993; am § 1 ch 25 SLA 1993; am § 1 ch 23 SLA 1994; am § 1 ch 25 SLA 1994; am § 1 ch 27 SLA 1994; am § 1 ch 48 SLA 1994; am § 1 ch 88 SLA 1994; am § 1 ch 93 SLA 1994; am § 1 ch 95 SLA 1994; am § 1 ch 97 SLA 1994; am § 37 ch 101 SLA 1994; am § 1 ch 74 SLA 1995; am § 12 ch 91 SLA 1995; am §§ 1, 3 -- 7 ch 93 SLA 1995; am § 16 ch 33 SLA 1996; am § 1 ch 92 SLA 1996; am § 1 ch 93 SLA 1996; am § 1 ch 94 SLA 1996; am § 1 ch 34 SLA 1997; am § 1 ch 36 SLA 1997; am § 1 ch 47 SLA 1997; am § 1 ch 66 SLA 1997; am § 1 ch 5 SLA 1998; am § 1 ch 13 SLA 1998; am § 1 ch 46 SLA 1998; am § 1 ch 52 SLA 1998; am § 4 ch 75 SLA 1998; am § 4 ch 118 SLA 1998; am § 1 ch 1 SLA 1999; am § 1 ch 9 SLA 1999; am § 1 ch 52 SLA 1999; am § 1 ch 2 SLA 2001; am § 1 ch 5 SLA 2001; am § 1 ch 7 SLA 2001; am § 1 ch 24 SLA 2001; am § 1 ch 46 SLA 2001; am § 1 ch 47 SLA 2001; am § 1 ch 12 SLA 2002; am § 1 ch 16 SLA 2002; am § 1 ch 39 SLA 2002; am § 2 ch 58 SLA 2002; am § 1 ch 101 SLA 2002; am § 1 ch 2 SLA 2003; am § 1 ch 10 SLA 2003; am § 1 ch 16 SLA 2003; am § 1 ch 20 SLA 2003; am § 1 ch 106 SLA 2004; am § 1 ch 10 SLA 2005; am § 1 ch 11 SLA 2005; am §§ 1 -- 6 ch 36 SLA 2005; am § 1 ch 38 SLA 2005; am § 1 ch 47 SLA 2005; am § 1 ch 58 SLA 2005; am § 2 ch 84 SLA 2005; am § 1 ch 22 SLA 2006; am § 1 ch 23 SLA 2006; am § 1 ch 24 SLA 2006; am § 1 ch 101 SLA 2006)

HISTORICAL NOTES

Revisor's notes. -- Subsection (c) reorganized in 1991 to place the paragraphs in alphabetical order by occupation. Former paragraphs (c)(6) and (14) each enacted as (c)(23). Renumbered in 1992 to maintain the alphabetical organization, at which time the existing paragraphs were renumbered accordingly. Reorganized again in 1996 to reflect the repeal of former paragraph (c)(5) and to maintain alphabetical organization. Paragraph (c)(17) was enacted as (c)(21). Renumbered in 1998, at which time former (c)(17)-(20) were renumbered as (c)(18)-(21), respectively.

REFERENCES

Cross references. -- For termination dates of other boards, councils, or commissions, see AS 44.66.010(a); for transitional provisions relating to the 1998 enactment of paragraph (c)(17), see § 7, ch. 75, SLA 1998 in 1998 Temporary and Special Acts.

© 2007 Thomson/West. No Claim to Orig. Govt. Works.

AK ST § 08.03.010

Page 4

AS 08.03.010

HISTORICAL NOTES

Effect of amendment. -- The 1994 amendments substituted "1998" for "1993" in paragraph (c)(2), "1997" for "1993" in paragraphs (c)(4), (c)(10), and (c)(24), "1994" for "1993" in former paragraph (c)(5), "1998" for "1994" in paragraphs (c)(6) and (c)(7), and "1999" for "1993" in paragraph (c)(20), and repealed former paragraph (c)(15), relating to the Board of Mechanical Examiners.

The 1995 amendments substituted "1999" for "1994" in paragraph (c)(13), "2005" for "1995" in paragraphs (9), (14), and (22), "1999" for "1994" in paragraph (13), "2003" for "1995" in paragraphs (16) and (17), and "2004" for "1995" in paragraph (23), and repealed former paragraph (c)(18), relating to the Board of Nursing Home Administrators.

The first 1996 amendment, effective May 23, 1996, repealed former paragraph (c)(5).

The second 1996 amendment, effective June 21, 1996, substituted "June 30, 2002" for "June 30, 1996" in paragraph (c)(9).

The third 1996 amendment, effective June 21, 1996, substituted "June 30, 2002" for "June 30, 1996" in paragraph (c)(5).

The fourth 1996 amendment, effective June 21, 1996, substituted "2002" for "1996" in paragraph (c)(14).

The first 1997 amendment, effective July 1, 1997, substituted "June 30, 2001" for "June 30, 1997" in paragraph (c)(4).

The second 1997 amendment, effective July 1, 1997, substituted "June 30, 2001" for "June 30, 1997" in paragraph (c)(3).

The third 1997 amendment, effective July 1, 1997, substituted "June 30, 2001" for "June 30, 1997" in paragraph (c)(16).

The fourth 1997 amendment, effective July 1, 1997, substituted "June 30, 2001" for "June 30, 1997" in paragraph (c)(1).

The first 1998 amendment, effective March 21, 1998, substituted "June 30, 2001" for "June 30, 1997" in paragraph (c)(21).

The second 1998 amendment, effective April 17, 1998, substituted "June 30, 2001" for "June 30, 1997" in paragraph (c)(7).

© 2007 Thomson/West. No Claim to Orig. U.S. Govt. Works.

AK ST § 08.03.010

Page 5

AS 08.03.010

The third 1998 amendment, effective August 20, 1998, substituted "June 30, 2004" for "June 30, 1998" in paragraph (c)(20).

The fourth 1998 amendment, effective August 27, 1998, substituted "June 30, 2002" for "June 30, 1998" in paragraph (c)(2).

The fifth 1998 amendment, effective June 5, 1998, added paragraph (17) of subsection (c) and redesignated the subsequent paragraphs accordingly.

The sixth 1998 amendment, effective January 1, 1999, deleted "Clinical" preceding "Social Work" in paragraph (c)(6).

The first 1999 amendment, effective June 16, 1999, substituted "2003" for "1999" in paragraph (c)(10).

The second 1999 amendment effective, April 24, 1999, substituted "2003" for "1998" in paragraph (c)(8).

The third 1999 amendment, effective June 4, 1999, substituted "2005" for "1999" in paragraph (c)(15).

The 2001 amendments, in subsection (c), substituted "2005" for "2001" in paragraphs (1), (3), (4), (7), and (21), and "2006" for "2001" in paragraph (16).

The first 2002 amendment, effective July 24, 2002, extended the termination date of the Board of Professional Counselors from June 30, 2002 to June 30, 2005.

The second 2002 amendment, effective August 1, 2002, extended the termination date of the Board of Examiners in Optometry from June 30, 2002 to June 30, 2006.

The third 2002 amendment, effective September 4, 2002, extended the termination date of the Board of Chiropractic Examiners from June 30, 2002 to June 30, 2006.

The fourth 2002 amendment, effective June 20, 2002, extended the termination date of the Board of Dispensing Opticians from June 30, 2002 to June 30, 2004.

The fifth 2002 amendment, effective September 26, 2002, extended the termination date of the Board of Governors of the Alaska Bar Association from June 30, 2002 to June 30, 2006.

The first 2003 amendment, effective March 28, 2003, extended the termination date of the Board of Nursing from June 30, 2003 to June 30, 2011.

© 2007 Thomson/West. No Claim to Orig. U.S. Govt. Works.

AK ST § 08.03.010

Page 6

AS 08.03.010

The second 2003 amendment, effective April 17, 2003, extended the termination date of the Board of Marine Pilots from June 30, 2003 to June 30, 2007.

The third 2003 amendment, effective August 3, 2003, extended the termination date of the Board of Certified Direct-Entry Midwives from June 30, 2003 to June 30, 2007.

The fourth 2003 amendment, effective August 12, 2003, extended the termination date of the State Medical Board from June 30, 2003 to June 30, 2007.

The 2004 amendment, effective June 30, 2004, substituted "2008" for "2004" in paragraph (c)(19).

The first 2005 amendment, effective May 4, 2005, extended the termination date of the Board of Public Accountancy from June 30, 2005 to June 30, 2009.

The second 2005 amendment, effective June 3, 2005, extended the termination date of the Board of Barbers and Hairdressers from June 30, 2005 to June 30, 2011; extended the termination date of the Board of Social Work Examiners from June 30, 2005 to June 30, 2010; extended the termination date of the Board of Pharmacy from June 30, 2005 to June 30, 2010; extended the termination date of the Board of Professional Counselors from June 30, 2005 to June 30, 2010; extended the termination date of the Board of Psychologist and Psychological Associate Examiners from June 30, 2005 to June 30, 2010; and extended the termination date of the Board of Veterinary Examiners from June 30, 2005 to June 30, 2009.

The third 2005 amendment, effective June 25, 2005, extended the termination date of the Board of Marital and Family Therapy from June 30, 2005 to June 30, 2010.

The fourth 2005 amendment, effective July 1, 2005, extended the termination date of the State Board of Registration for Architects, Engineers, and Land Surveyors from June 30, 2005 to June 30, 2009.

The fifth 2005 amendment, effective August 1, 2005, extended the termination date of the Board of Certified Real Estate Appraisers from June 30, 2004 to June 30, 2010.

The sixth 2005 amendment, effective September 22, 2005, extended the termination date of the Board of Dental Examiners from June 30, 2005 to June 30, 2011.

The seventh 2005 amendment, effective December 5, 2005, added paragraph (c)(22).

The first 2006 amendment, effective May 6, 2006, substituted "June 30, 2014" for "June 30, 2006" in paragraph (c)(5).

© 2007 Thomson/West. No Claim to Orig. U.S. Govt. Works.

AK ST § 08.03.010

Page 7

AS 08.03.010

The second 2006 amendment, effective May 7, 2006, substituted "June 30, 2014" for "June 30, 2006" in paragraph (c)(16).

The third 2006 amendment, effective May 7, 2006, substituted "June 30, 2014" for "June 30, 2006" in paragraph (c)(14).

The fourth 2006 amendment, effective August 5, 2006, substituted "June 30, 2007" for "June 30, 2006" in paragraph (c)(2).

A. S. 08.03.010, AK ST § 08.03.010

Current through all 2006 Legislation, Annotations current through Opinions
Decided as of July 1, 2006.

Copyright Alaska Statutes, 2006 by The State of Alaska and Matthew Bender

& Company, Inc. a member of the LexisNexis Group. All Rights Reserved.

END OF DOCUMENT

© 2007 Thomson/West. No Claim to Orig. U.S. Govt. Works.

HB

28

HFIN

FILE

FISCAL NOTE

STATE OF ALASKA
2007 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

Fiscal Note Number: 1
Bill Version: CSHB 28(L&C)
(H) Publish Date: 3/22/07

Revision Date/Time (Note if correction): _____ Dept. Affected: Commerce
Title Power Source Disclosure RDU Regulatory Commission of Alaska (399)
Component Regulatory Commission of Alaska
Sponsor Ramras
Requester House Labor & Commerce Component No. 2417

Expenditures/Revenues (Thousands of Dollars)

Note: Amounts do not include inflation unless otherwise noted below.

OPERATING EXPENDITURES	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Personal Services						
Travel						
Contractual						
Supplies						
Equipment						
Land & Structures						
Grants & Claims						
Miscellaneous						
TOTAL OPERATING	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

CAPITAL EXPENDITURES						
----------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

CHANGE IN REVENUES ()						
------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

FUND SOURCE (Thousands of Dollars)

1002 Federal Receipts						
1003 GF Match						
1004 GF						
1005 GF/Program Receipts						
1037 GF/Mental Health						
1141 Other: RCA Rcpts	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Estimate of any current year (FY2007) cost: 0.0

Mark this box (X) if funding for this bill is included in the Governor's FY 2008 budget proposal:

POSITIONS

Full-time						
Part-time						
Temporary						

ANALYSIS: (Attach a separate page if necessary)

This legislation requires retail suppliers of electricity to disclose sources of electricity to consumers. The Regulatory Commission of Alaska (RCA) will initially incur the cost of a proceeding to establish regulations proscribing the contents and timing of the annual disclosure requirement imposed on retail suppliers of electricity. Costs of the proceeding include publishing a notice of proposed action, transcribing public meetings and/or public hearings, and staff time necessary to develop and defend a regulations proposal. After establishing regulations proscribing the contents and timing of the annual disclosure requirement, the RCA will incur the expense of ensuring compliance with disclosure requirements.

The Regulatory Commission of Alaska expects to implement the provisions of this legislation with existing resources.

Prepared by: Kate Giard, Chair
Division: Regulatory Commission of Alaska
Approved by: Emil Notti, Commissioner
Agency: Commerce, Community, and Economic Development

Phone 907.276.6222
Date/Time 2/19/07 11:43 AM
Date 2/19/2007

RO as amended

CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 28(L&C)

IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

TWENTY-FIFTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

BY THE HOUSE LABOR AND COMMERCE COMMITTEE

Offered: 3/22/07

Referred: Finance

Sponsor(s): REPRESENTATIVE RAMRAS

A BILL

FOR AN ACT ENTITLED

1 "An Act requiring retail suppliers of electricity to disclose sources of electricity to
2 consumers."

3 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

4 * Section 1. The uncodified law of the State of Alaska is amended by adding a new section
5 to read:

6 FINDINGS AND PURPOSE. (a) The legislature finds that there is a need for reliable,
7 accurate, and timely information regarding fuel sources for electric generation offered for
8 retail sale in the state.

9 (b) The purpose of this Act is to establish a program under which entities offering
10 electrical services in the state disclose reliable, accurate, and easily understandable
11 information on the sources of energy that are used to provide electrical services.

12 * Sec. 2. AS 42.05 is amended by adding a new section to read:

13 Sec. 42.05.695. Electrical generation sources disclosure. (a) A retail supplier
14 that makes an offering to sell electricity that is consumed in the state shall annually

1 disclose the sources of the electricity to consumers. The time and manner for
 2 disclosure by retail suppliers shall be established by regulation by the Regulatory
 3 Commission of Alaska.

4 (b) The cost of making the disclosures required by this section shall be
 5 considered to be generation-related.

6 * Sec. 3. AS 42.05.711(b) is amended to read:

7 (b) Except as otherwise provided in this subsection and in (o) of this section,
 8 public utilities owned and operated by a political subdivision of the state, or electric
 9 operating entities established as the instrumentality of two or more public utilities
 10 owned and operated by political subdivisions of the state, are exempt from this
 11 chapter, other than AS 42.05.221 - 42.05.281, 42.05.385, and 42.05.695 [AND
 12 42.05.385]. However,

13 (1) the governing body of a political subdivision may elect to be
 14 subject to this chapter; and

15 (2) a utility or electric operating entity that is owned and operated by a
 16 political subdivision and that directly competes with another utility or electric
 17 operating entity is subject to this chapter and any other utility or electric operating
 18 entity owned and operated by the political subdivision is also subject to this chapter;
 19 this paragraph does not apply to a utility or electric operating entity owned and
 20 operated by a political subdivision that competes with a telecommunications utility.

21 * Sec. 4. AS 42.05.711(f) is amended to read:

22 (f) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this chapter, an electric or
 23 telephone utility that does not gross \$500,000 annually may elect to be exempt from
 24 the provisions of this chapter other than AS 42.05.221 - 42.05.281 and 42.05.695
 25 under the procedure described in AS 42.05.712.

26 * Sec. 5. AS 42.05.711(h) is amended to read:

27 (h) A cooperative organized under AS 10.25 may elect to be exempt from the
 28 provisions of this chapter, other than AS 42.05.221 - 42.05.281 and 42.05.695, under
 29 the procedure described in AS 42.05.712.

adopted

25-LS0189\M.1
Kane
4/12/07

AMENDMENT 2

OFFERED IN THE HOUSE

BY REPRESENTATIVE ~~RAMIRAS~~ *Meyer*
by Request

TO: CSHB 28(L&C), Draft Version "M"

1 Page 2, following line 5:

2 Insert a new subsection to read:

3 "(c) An electric utility that does not gross more than \$5,000,000 annually is
4 exempt from the provisions of this section."
5

6 Page 2, lines 21 - 25:

7 Delete all material.
8

9 Renumber the following bill section accordingly.

failed 1-5

AMENDMENT |

OFFERED IN THE HOUSE
TO: CSHB 28(L&C)

BY REPRESENTATIVE GARA

1 Page 1, line 1, following "sources of electricity":

2 Insert "and emissions"

3

4 Page 1, line 13, following "sources":

5 Insert "and emissions"

6

7 Page 2, following line 5:

8 Insert a new bill section to read:

9 "* Sec. 3. AS 42.05.695, as enacted by sec. 2 of this Act, is amended by adding a new
10 subsection to read:

11 (c) The retail supplier shall disclose to the consumer the supplier's best
12 estimate of carbon dioxide emissions per billion BTU or other unit of energy output
13 that is understandable by consumers."

14

15 Renumber the following bill sections accordingly.

16

17 Page 2, following line 29:

18 Insert a new bill section to read:

19 "*Sec. 7. Section 3 of this Act takes effect January 1, 2009."

2007 HOUSE FINANCE COMMITTEE VOTE SHEET

DATE: 4-13-07

Amendment: #1

HB 28

MEMBER

Favor

Oppose

STOLTZE		✓	0
THOMAS		✓	
CRAWFORD			
FOSTER			
GARA	✓		
HAWKER		✓	
JOULE			
KELLY		✓	
NELSON			
MEYER		✓	
CHENAULT			

Yea 1

Nay 5

Alaska State Legislature

Session:

State Capitol, Room 118
Juneau, Alaska 99801-1182
Ph: (907) 465-3004
Fax: (907) 465-2070
Toll Free: (877) 465-3004

**Interim:**

1292 Sadler Way, Suite 324
Fairbanks, Alaska 99701
Ph: (907) 452-1088
Fax: (907) 452-1146
Toll Free: (877) 465-3004

Representative Jay Ramras House District 10

Chair, House Judiciary Committee • Member, House Labor & Commerce Committee • Member, House Oil & Gas Committee • Member, House Military & Veteran Affairs Committee

From Rich Gazaway, R.C.A.

For House Finance April 13, 2007

Should the committee decide to pursue a "gross revenue" approach, we have attached a spreadsheet that shows revenue levels of the 26 economically regulated utilities, the other spreadsheet lists 28 economically regulated electrics; the difference is due to the fact that in two instances, two affiliated & separately certificated electric companies report revenues on a consolidated basis.

Keep in mind that any gross revenue approach should not increase AS 42.05.711 exemption levels since those revisions would have a much greater impact than just the HB28 implications (i.e., this would increase the number of economically deregulated electric utilities).

CoName	CPCN	Gross Operating Revenue	Resale Revenues	Total kWh Sold	Resale kWh Sold
Chugach Electric Association, Inc.	8	267,542,713	110,075,608	2,753,266,000	1,523,289,000
Golden Valley Electric Association, Inc.	13	164,921,776	960	1,246,050,134	7,000
Municipal Light & Power, Municipality Of Anchorage	121	99,400,177	19,335,030	1,428,803,408	325,635,000
Malanuska Electric Association, Inc.	18	86,342,156	-	678,884,362	-
Homer Electric Association, Inc.	32	58,128,030	-	493,382,000	-
Alaska Electric Light & Power Company	1	28,817,302	-	346,839,852	-
Alaska Power Company	2	17,108,820	-	6,500,273	-
Bethel Utilities Corporation, Inc.	43	14,824,320	218,105	39,085,034	607,620
Inside Passage Electric Cooperative, Inc.	240	5,137,992	-	11,064,411	-
TDX-North Slope Generating, Inc.	227	3,802,881	-	27,609,211	-
TDX-Sand Point Generating, Inc.	230	1,522,069	-	3,859,470	-
G & K, Inc.	88	1,346,013	-	2,963,200	-
Aniak Light And Power Company, Inc.	5	933,427	-	2,049,337	-
Gwitchyaa Zhee Utility Company	63	879,266	-	2,482	-
Middle Kuskokwim Electric Coop., Inc.	343	732,320	-	921,742	-
Tanana Power Company, Inc.	92	703,380	-	1,231,489	-
Kipnuk Light Plant	446	522,154	-	1,580,182	-
Egegik Light And Power Company	320	379,766	-	647,004	-
Napakiak Ircinaq Power Company	319	329,121	-	546,007	-
McGrath Light & Power Co.	44	304,670	-	2,540,918	-
Central Electric, Inc.	341	257,501	-	384,335	-
Pelican Utility District Ado Kake Tribal Corporation	24	247,385	-	1,752,650	-
Manley Utility Company, Inc.	72	165,148	-	230,449	-
Gustavus Electric Company, Inc.	417	160,073	-	1,469,367	-
Lime Village Traditional Council	570	64,875	-	75,381	-
Chignik Lake Electric Utility, Inc.	437	-	-	-	-

26

RCA Certificated Electric Utilities

CPCN	Acronym	Utility	Econ. Reg?	Exempted Cooperative	Comments
<u>684</u>	<u>Adak Electric</u>	ADAK ELECTRIC UTILITY; CITY OF ADAK	No		
<u>412</u>	<u>ANCEC</u>	Akiachak Native Community Electric Company	No		
<u>635</u>	<u>AKIAK</u>	Akiak Power Utilities	No		
<u>1</u>	<u>AEL&P</u>	ALASKA ELECTRIC LIGHT & POWER COMPANY	No		
<u>523</u>	<u>AIDEA</u>	Alaska Industrial Development & Export Authority	No		
<u>549</u>	<u>AIDEA</u>	Alaska Industrial Development & Export Authority	No		
<u>169</u>	<u>AVEC</u>	Alaska Village Electric Cooperative, Inc.	No	Yes	52 communities in AVEC
<u>683</u>	<u>ALUTIIQ</u>	ALUTIIQ POWER COMPANY	No		
<u>291</u>	<u>AEC</u>	Andreanof Electric Corporation	No		
<u>337</u>	<u>AJU</u>	Atmautluak Joint Utilities	No		
<u>520</u>	<u>AURORA ENE</u>	Aurora Energy, LLC	No		
<u>214</u>	<u>BUECI</u>	Barrow Utilities and Electric Cooperative, Inc.	No	Yes	
<u>573</u>	<u>BBL</u>	BBL Hydro, Inc.	No		
<u>420</u>	<u>BEAVER</u>	Beaver Village Electrical Utility	No		
<u>682</u>	<u>CHALKYITSI</u>	CHALKYITSIK VILLAGE ENERGY SYSTEM	No		
<u>704</u>	<u>CHENA</u>	CHENA POWER COMPANY	No		
<u>686</u>	<u>CBE</u>	CHENEGA BAY ELECTRICAL	No		
<u>437</u>	<u>CLEU</u>	Chignik Lake Electric Utility, Inc.	No		
<u>36</u>	<u>CHITINA</u>	CHITINA ELECTRIC, INC.	No		
<u>368</u>	<u>CEI</u>	Chitina Electric, Inc.	No		
<u>100</u>	<u>SITKA</u>	City and Borough of Sitka	No		
<u>449</u>	<u>AKHIOK</u>	City of Akhiok	No		
<u>293</u>	<u>AKUTAN</u>	City of Akutan	No		
<u>432</u>	<u>BUCKLAND</u>	City of Buckland	No		
<u>297</u>	<u>CHIGNIK</u>	City of Chignik	No		
<u>360</u>	<u>CLARKS POI</u>	City of Clarks Point d/b/a Clarks Point Electric Utility	No		
<u>383</u>	<u>DIOMEDE</u>	City of Diomedea	No		
<u>442</u>	<u>FALSE PASS</u>	City of False Pass	No		
<u>274</u>	<u>GALENA</u>	City of Galena	No		
<u>373</u>	<u>GOLOVIN</u>	City of Golovin	No		
<u>153</u>	<u>HOONAH</u>	City of Hoonah	No		
<u>175</u>	<u>KAKE</u>	City of Kake	No		
<u>103</u>	<u>KETCHIKAN</u>	City of Ketchikan	No		
<u>289</u>	<u>KING COVE</u>	City of King Cove	No		
<u>407</u>	<u>KOBUK</u>	City of Kobuk	No		
<u>285</u>	<u>KOTLIK</u>	City of Kollik	No		
<u>687</u>	<u>KOYUKUK</u>	CITY OF KOYUKUK	No		
<u>353</u>	<u>LARSEN BAY</u>	City of Larsen Bay	No		
<u>416</u>	<u>NIKOLAI</u>	City of Nikolai d/b/a Nikolai Light & Power	No		
<u>357</u>	<u>OUZINKIE</u>	City of Ouzinkie	No		

RCA Certificated Electric Utilities

CPCN	Acronym	Utility	Econ. Reg?	Exempted Cooperative	Comments
<u>425</u>	<u>PILOT</u>	City of Pilot Point	No		
<u>399</u>	<u>PORT HEIDE</u>	City of Port Heiden	No		
<u>364</u>	<u>RUBY</u>	City of Ruby	No		
<u>408</u>	<u>SHELDON</u>	City of Sheldon Point d/b/a Sheldon Point Electric Company	No		
<u>410</u>	<u>ST. GEORGE</u>	City of St. George	No		
<u>339</u>	<u>ST. PAUL</u>	City of St. Paul	No		
<u>363</u>	<u>TENAKEE</u>	City of Tenakee Springs d/b/a Tenakee Springs Electric Utility Department	No		
<u>298</u>	<u>THORNE BAY</u>	City of Thorne Bay	No		
<u>106</u>	<u>UNALASKA</u>	City of Unalaska	No		
<u>409</u>	<u>WHITE MOUN</u>	City of White Mountain	No		
<u>111</u>	<u>WRANGELL</u>	City of Wrangell	No		
<u>53</u>	<u>YAKUTAT</u>	City of Yakutat	No		
<u>10</u>	<u>CVEA</u>	Copper Valley Electric Association, Inc.	No		
<u>160</u>	<u>CECI</u>	Cordova Electric Cooperative, Inc.	No	Yes	
<u>688</u>	<u>Ekwok</u>	EKWOK ELECTRIC COMPANY; CITY OF EKWOK D/B/A	No		
<u>701</u>	<u>Elfin Cove</u>	ELFIN COVE UTILITY COMPANY; ELFIN COVE COMMUNITY COUNCIL D/B/A	No		
<u>521</u>	<u>GLH</u>	Goat Lake Hydro, Inc.	No		
<u>332</u>	<u>HPLC</u>	Hughes Power & Light Company	No		
<u>369</u>	<u>IEC</u>	Ipnatchiaq Electric Company	No		
<u>16</u>	<u>KEA</u>	KODIAK ELECTRIC ASSOCIATION, INC.	No		
<u>660</u>	<u>KOKHANOK</u>	KOKHANOK ELECTRIC UTILITY	No		
<u>661</u>	<u>KOLIGANEK</u>	KOLIGANEK VILLAGE COUNCIL ELECTRIC	No		
<u>17</u>	<u>KOTZEBUE</u>	KOTZEBUE ELECTRIC ASSOCIATION, INC.	No		
<u>281</u>	<u>KK</u>	Kwethluk, Inc. d/b/a Kuiggluum Kallugvia	No		
<u>376</u>	<u>KWIG</u>	Kwig Power Company	No		
<u>330</u>	<u>LECI</u>	Levelock Electric Cooperative, Inc.	No		
<u>321</u>	<u>MPC</u>	Manokotak Power Company	No		
<u>22</u>	<u>NEA</u>	Naknek Electric Association, Inc.	No		
<u>625</u>	<u>NAPASKIAK</u>	Napaskiak Electric Utility	No		
<u>365</u>	<u>NATERKAQ</u>	Naterkaq Light Plant	No		
<u>659</u>	<u>PERRYVILLE</u>	NATIVE VILLAGE OF PERRYVILLE	No		
<u>340</u>	<u>NLECI</u>	Nelson Lagoon Electric Cooperative, Inc.	No		
<u>150</u>	<u>NOME</u>	Nome Joint Utility System	No		
<u>254</u>	<u>NSB</u>	North Slope Borough d/b/a North Slope Borough Power and Light System	No		
<u>45</u>	<u>NETCI</u>	NUSHAGAK ELECTRIC & TELEPHONE COOPERATIVE, INC.	No		
<u>91</u>	<u>PAXSON</u>	Paxson Lodge, Inc.	No		
<u>212</u>	<u>G</u>	PETERSBURG, CITY OF	No		
<u>395</u>	<u>PPC</u>	Puvurna Power Company	No		
<u>256</u>	<u>CIRCLE</u>	Richard Hutchinson d/b/a Circle Electric	No		

RCA Certificated Electric Utilities

CPCN	Acronym	Utility	Econ. Reg?	Exempted Cooperative	Comments
<u>709</u>	<u>STEVENS</u>	Stevens Village Energy System	No		
<u>394</u>	<u>TAKOTNA</u>	Takotna Community Association, Inc.	No		
<u>447</u>	<u>TECI</u>	Tanalian Electric Cooperative, Inc.	No		
<u>586</u>	<u>TATITLEK</u>	Tatitlek Village IRA Council d/b/a Tatitlek Electric Utility	No		
<u>78</u>	<u>TELLER</u>	Teller Power Company	No		
<u>61</u>	<u>TELLER</u>	Teller Power Company, Inc.	No		
<u>108</u>	<u>SEWARD</u>	The City of Seward	No		
<u>242</u>	<u>UMNAK</u>	The Native Village of Nikolski d/b/a Umnak Power Company	No		
<u>377</u>	<u>TBPA</u>	Thomas Bay Power Authority	No		
<u>664</u>	<u>TULUKSAK</u>	TULUKSAK TRADITIONAL POWER UTILITY	No		
<u>344</u>	<u>TCSA</u>	Tuntutuliak Community Services Association, Inc.	No		
<u>375</u>	<u>UPC</u>	Ungusraq Power Company	No		
<u>681</u>	<u>IGIUGIG</u>	VILLAGE COUNCIL, IGIUGIG	No		
<u>662</u>	<u>PEDRO BAY</u>	VILLAGE COUNCIL, PEDRO BAY	No		
<u>663</u>	<u>VENETIE</u>	VILLAGE COUNCIL, VENETIE	No		
<u>71</u>	<u>WEISNER</u>	Weisner Trading Co.	No		
<u>59</u>	<u>SEMLOH</u>	Weldon S. Holmes, d/b/a Semloh Supply	No		
<u>640</u>	<u>AEECI</u>	ALASKA ELECTRIC AND ENERGY COOPERATIVE, INC. Alaska Electric Generation & Transmission Cooperative, Inc.	Yes		
<u>345</u>	<u>AEG&T</u>	ALASKA POWER COMPANY	Yes		
<u>2</u>	<u>APC</u>	ANIAK LIGHT AND POWER COMPANY, INC.	Yes		
<u>5</u>	<u>ALPC</u>	BETHEL UTILITIES CORPORATION	Yes		
<u>43</u>	<u>BUC</u>	CENTRAL ELECTRIC, INC.	Yes		
<u>341</u>	<u>CENTRAL</u>	CHIGNIK LAGOON POWER UTILITY	Yes		
<u>658</u>	<u>CLPU</u>	CHUGACH ELECTRIC ASSOCIATION, INC.	Yes		
<u>8</u>	<u>CHUGACH</u>	EGEGIK LIGHT AND POWER COMPANY; HOMER LEE LEONARD D/B/A	Yes		
<u>320</u>	<u>EL&P</u>	G & K, Inc.	Yes		
<u>88</u>	<u>G&K</u>	Golden Valley Electric Association, Inc.	Yes		
<u>13</u>	<u>GVEA</u>	GUSTAVUS ELECTRIC COMPANY, INC.	Yes		
<u>417</u>	<u>GECI</u>	Gwitchyaa Zhee Utility Company	Yes		
<u>63</u>	<u>GZUC</u>	Homer Electric Association, Inc.	Yes		
<u>32</u>	<u>HEA</u>	I-N-N ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC.	Yes		
<u>280</u>	<u>INN</u>	INSIDE PASSAGE ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC.	Yes		
<u>240</u>	<u>IPEC</u>	Kake Tribal Corporation	Yes		
<u>24</u>	<u>KAKE TRIBA</u>	Kipnuk Light Plant	Yes		
<u>446</u>	<u>KIPNUK</u>	Lime Village Traditional Council	Yes		
<u>570</u>	<u>LVTC</u>	Manley Utility Co., Inc.	Yes		
<u>72</u>	<u>MANLEY</u>	Matanuska Electric Association, Inc.	Yes		
<u>18</u>	<u>MEA</u>	McGrath Light & Power Co.	Yes		
<u>44</u>	<u>MLPC</u>	Middle Kuskokwim Electric Cooperative, Inc.	Yes		
<u>343</u>	<u>MKEC</u>		Yes		

RCA Certificated Electric Utilities

<u>CPCN</u>	<u>Acronym</u>	<u>Utility</u>	<u>Econ. Exempted</u>	<u>Reg? Cooperative Comments</u>
<u>121</u>	<u>ML&P</u>	Municipality of Anchorage d/b/a Municipal Light & Power Department,	Yes	
<u>319</u>	<u>NIPC</u>	Napakiak Ircinaq Power Company	Yes	
<u>92</u>	<u>TPC</u>	TANANA POWER COMPANY, INC.	Yes	
<u>227</u>	<u>TNSG</u>	TDX NORTH SLOPE GENERATING, INC.	Yes	
<u>230</u>	<u>IDX</u>	TDX SAND POINT GENERATING, INC.	Yes	

28

Alaska State Legislature

Session:

State Capitol, Room 118
Juneau, Alaska 99801-1182
Ph: (907) 465-3004
Fax: (907) 465-2070
Toll Free: (877) 465-3004

**Interim:**

1292 Sadler Way, Suite 324
Fairbanks, Alaska 99701
Ph: (907) 452-1088
Fax: (907) 452-1146
Toll Free: (877) 465-3004

Representative Jay Ramras House District 10

Chair, House Judiciary Committee • Member, House Labor & Commerce Committee • Member, House Oil & Gas Committee • Member, House Military & Veteran Affairs Committee

Sponsor Statement

HB 28

Power Source Disclosure

02/15/07

There is a need for more public awareness of fuels used by electric service companies to generate the electricity they sell to Alaskan consumers.

Many of Alaska's electricity providers are using innovative alternative methods for generating electricity or buying power from companies that do. If Alaskans become more aware of this they could in turn become more aware of the need to conserve our decreasing supply of traditional fuels.

HB 28 requires all retail suppliers of electricity in Alaska to annually disclose their fuel sources to all their consumers, thus raising awareness of how power is generated and the existence of alternatives to fossil fuels. The simple once-a-year report will also help explain increases in cost per kw/hr and help answer consumers' questions.

Alaska State Legislature

Session:

State Capitol, Room 118
Juneau, Alaska 99801-1182
Ph: (907) 465-3004
Fax: (907) 465-2070
Toll Free: (877) 465-3004

**Interim:**

1292 Sadler Way, Suite 324
Fairbanks, Alaska 99701
Ph: (907) 452-1088
Fax: (907) 452-1146
Toll Free: (877) 465-3004

Representative Jay Ramras House District 10

Chair, House Judiciary Committee • Member, House Labor & Commerce Committee • Member, House Oil & Gas Committee • Member, House Military & Veteran Affairs Committee

Sectional Analysis

HB 28

Power Source Disclosure

02/26/07

Section 1 states that there is a need for more public awareness of fuels used by electric service companies in generating the electricity they sell to consumers.

Section 2 adds the only new section, AS 42.05.695, requiring all retail suppliers of electricity in Alaska to annually disclose their fuel sources for generating electricity.

Section 3 amends current law to include public utilities owned and operated by political subdivisions of the state in the requirement to report fuel sources.

Section 4 amends current law to include utilities grossing less than \$500,000 in the requirement to report fuel sources.

Section 5 amends current law such that cooperatives choosing to be exempt from other provisions of AS 42.05 are still required to report fuel sources.

Welcome to the **California Energy Commission**



WWW.ENERGY.CA.GOV / SB1305

POWER SOURCE DISCLOSURE Senate Bill 1305

General Information

[Commission Homepage](#)

Power Source Disclosure

DOCUMENTS

[Forms](#)

[Final Regulations](#)

[Notices](#)

[Docket Log](#)
00-SB-1305

CONSUMER INFO

[Power Content Label](#)

[Your Electricity Bill](#)

[Consumer Energy Center](#)

RETAILER INFO

[SB 1305 Forms](#)

[Retailer Disclosure](#)

[Label Calculator](#)

[Q & A about Program](#)

[Net System Power](#)
(PDF file, 10 pgs, 132 kb)

[Retail Provider Numbers](#)

[2003 Retail Provider Labels](#) (Appendix A)

GENERATORS INFO

[Generation Report](#)

[Certificate Program](#)

Renewable Energy Program

[Main Program Page](#)

[Program Publications and Reports](#)

[Emerging Renewables Program](#)

REBATES

[Rebates for solar, wind, and fuel](#)

A Program of the California Energy Commission

Senate Bill 1305 (Sher - Statutes of 1997) requires retail suppliers of electricity to disclose to consumers "accurate, reliable and simple to understand information on the sources of energy that are (being) used...." (Public Utilities Code Section 398.1(b)) The law basically requires that these suppliers tell consumers about what type of resource is used to generate the electricity being used. The suppliers are required to use a format developed by the California Energy Commission called the Power Content Label.

SB 1305 also requires electricity generators that report meter data to a system operator to report generation, fuel type and fuel consumption data to system operators on a quarterly basis. Generators that do not report information to system operators but whose electricity is being claimed as a specific purchase report this data directly to the Energy Commission. System operators must then make the generation and fuel source information available to the Energy Commission for the dual purposes of verifying information disclosed to consumers and calculating net system power.

This page links electricity consumers, retailers and generators to information and the forms needed under this program. Also see our [Program Details & Background](#).

For more information about this program contact:

California Energy Commission
Jason J. Orta, Program Manager
1516 Ninth Street, MS-45
Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: 916-653-5851
FAX: 916-653-8251
E-mail: jorta@energy.state.ca.us

ANNOUNCEMENTS


Reconciliation of Retailer Claims, 2005

[Commission Report](#)
(PDF file, 20 pages, 120 kb)

[Notice of Availability
Of Report](#)

2006 POWER CONTENT LABEL

The Los Angeles Department of Water and Power (LADWP) is providing the following information as required by the California Energy Commission so that consumers can see at a glance the fuel sources and technologies used to create the electricity products they are offered. The Power Content Label assists consumers in making informed decisions by providing a uniform way for all electric service providers to deliver clear and accessible information on their existing and new electricity products.

Los Angeles  Department of Water & Power
1-800-DIAL-DWP (342-5397) or www.ladwp.com

2006 POWER CONTENT LABEL

Annual Report of Actual Electricity Purchases for LADWP Calendar Year 2005

RENEWABLE SOURCES	LADWP Power		LADWP Green Power		LADWP Green Power		2005 CA POWER MIX (for comparison)
	ACTUAL MIX	PROJECTED MIX	ACTUAL MIX	PROJECTED MIX	ACTUAL MIX	PROJECTED MIX	
Eligible Renewable	6%	5%	100%	100%	100%	100%	5%
- Biomass & waste	1%	1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%
- Geothermal	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	4%
- Small hydroelectric	5%	3%	13%	<1%	<1%	<1%	1%
- Solar	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%
- Wind	<1%	1%	87%	100%	100%	100%	<1%
Coal	51%	53%	-	-	-	-	38%
Large Hydroelectric	5%	6%	-	-	-	-	24%
Natural Gas	29%	26%	-	-	-	-	33%
Nuclear	9%	10%	-	-	-	-	0%
Other	<1%	<1%	-	-	-	-	0%
TOTAL	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

* 99% of LADWP Power is specifically purchased from individual suppliers.
 ** 100% of LADWP Green Power is specifically purchased from individual suppliers.
 *** Percentages are estimated annually by the California Energy Commission based on the electricity sold to California consumers during the previous year.
 **** In accordance with Los Angeles City Council's action on 10-5-04 for File No. 03-2688 (NY).
 For specific information about this electricity product, contact LADWP at 1-800-DIAL-DWP. For general information about the Power Content Label, contact the California Energy Commission at 1-800-555-7794 or www.energy.ca.gov/consumer.
 15 million (file 6/06)

"Power Content Label" from Los Angeles Dept of Water & Power

HB

29

HFIN

FILE

HOUSE COMMITTEE REPORT

(11)

Date Referred to Committee: March 14, 2007

FURTHER REFERRALS:

Date of Committee Action: 4/10/07

The FINANCE Committee considered:

HB 29

HOUSE BILL NO. 29

SAFE HAVEN FOR INFANTS

"An Act relating to infants who are safely surrendered by a parent shortly after birth."

Recommends it be replaced with HCS or CS for HB 29 (FIN)
 For Senate Bills with new title: Technical Title New Title: HCR _____ Same Title New Title

- attach amendments
- add new referral to _____ Committee
- Letter of Intent _____ Committee

List of Abbrev for Depts:
 ADM
 CED
 COR
 CRT
 EED
 DEC
 DFG
 GOV
 HSS
 LWF
 LAW
 LEG
 MVA
 DNR
 DPS
 REV
 DOT
 UA

<u>NEW</u> FISCAL NOTES				
*Assigned by Chief Clerk's Office				
List by Dept(s):	*FN#	Fiscal	Indet.	Zero
HSS				✓

<u>PREVIOUS</u> FISCAL NOTES				
List by Dept(s):	FN#	Fiscal	Indet.	Zero
LAW	1			✓

Signing with recommendations	Printed Last Name	DP	DNP	NR	AM
<i>[Signature]</i>	Garcia			✓	
Harry Crawford Mary Nelson	CRAWFORD NELSON	X	✓		
<i>[Signature]</i>	STOUT FOSTER			X	
<i>[Signature]</i>	Hawkins	X			
Wm Bill Thomas	Thomas	✓			
<i>[Signature]</i>	KELLY	X			
Chair: <i>[Signature]</i>	Meyer	✓			
Chair:					

FISCAL NOTE

STATE OF ALASKA
2007 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

Fiscal Note Number: _____
 Bill Version: CS HB 29 (FIN)
 () Publish Date: _____

Revision Date/Time (Note if correction): _____ Dept. Affected: Health and Social Services
 Title Safe Surrender of Infants RDU Children's Services
 Component Family Preservation
 Sponsor Rep. LeDoux
 Requester _____ Component No. 1620

Expenditures/Revenues (Thousands of Dollars)

Note: Amounts do not include inflation unless otherwise noted below.

OPERATING EXPENDITURES	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Personal Services						
Travel						
Contractual						
Supplies						
Equipment						
Land & Structures						
Grants & Claims						
Miscellaneous						
TOTAL OPERATING	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

CAPITAL EXPENDITURES						
-----------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

CHANGE IN REVENUES ()						
-------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

FUND SOURCE (Thousands of Dollars)

1002 Federal Receipts						
1003 GF Match						
1004 GF						
1005 GF/Program Receipts						
1037 GF/Mental Health						
Other (specify type)						
TOTAL	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Estimate of any current year (FY2007) cost: 0.0

Mark this box (X) if funding for this bill is included in the Governor's FY 2008 budget proposal:

POSITIONS

Full-time						
Part-time						
Temporary						

ANALYSIS: (Attach a separate page if necessary)

This bill provides for the safe surrender of infants whereby the parent may not be criminally prosecuted for surrendering an infant in the manner described in the legislation.

The House Finance Committee finds that no additional costs should be incurred due to the passage of HB 29, therefore, the fiscal note issued by the House Finance Committee reflects zero fiscal impact.

Prepared by: House Finance Committee
 Division: _____
 Approved by: Representative Meyer
Representative Chenault

Phone 465-4945
 Date/Time 4/10/07 3:12 PM
 Date: _____

A do pted

CONCEPTUAL AMENDMENT 1

OFFERED IN THE HOUSE FINANCE COMMITTEE
TO: CS HB 29(JUD)

BY REP. MEYER

1 Page 2, lines 5-11:

2 Delete all material and insert:

3 "(1) the parent, without expressing an intent to return for the infant,
4 leaves the infant in the physical custody of a person who is a

5 (A) person the parent reasonably believes would provide
6 for the health and safety of the infant and who will act appropriately to care
7 for the infant;

8 (B) peace officer, community health aide, physician,
9 hospital employee or;

10 (C) person who is employed by or is a volunteer for a fire
11 department or emergency medical service, if the person is acting within the
12 scope of the person's fire department or emergency medical service duties;
13 and"

14

15 Page 2, line 14

16 Delete "(c)"

17 Insert "(c)(1)(B) or (C)"

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ALASKA STATE LEGISLATURE



SESSION ADDRESS
Alaska State Capitol
Juneau, AK 99801-1182
(907) 465-2487
Fax (907) 465-4956

INTERIM ADDRESS
112 Mill Bay Road
Kodiak, AK 99615
(907) 486-8872
Fax (907) 486-5264

Representative Gabrielle LeDoux

Sponsor Statement for House Bill No. 29 Safe Haven for Infants Act

This is a bill that will allow parents to safely surrender infants shortly after birth without fear of being criminally prosecuted. The parent may, without expressing an intent to return for the infant, leave the infant in the physical custody of a person who the parent reasonably believes to be a peace officer, a physician or hospital employee in a hospital or hospital emergency room, or a volunteer with or employee of a fire station or emergency medical service who is performing activities within the scope of the volunteer's or employee's fire services or emergency medical services duties.

There are similar laws in 47 other states. This is a way of encouraging people to avoid abandoning infants in a way that could lead to injury or death. A record regarding the surrender of an infant is confidential and is not subject to public inspection.

FISCAL NOTE

STATE OF ALASKA
2007 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

Fiscal Note Number: 1
Bill Version: CSHB 29(HES)
(H) Publish Date: 2/21/07

Revision Date/Time (Note if correction): _____ Dept. Affected: Law
Title An Act relating to safe haven for infants. RDU Civil
Component Human Services Child Protection
Sponsor Representative LeDoux
Requester House Health, Education & Social Services Component No. _____

Expenditures/Revenues (Thousands of Dollars)

Note: Amounts do not include inflation unless otherwise noted below.

OPERATING EXPENDITURES	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Personal Services						
Travel						
Contractual						
Supplies						
Equipment						
Land & Structures						
Grants & Claims						
Miscellaneous						
TOTAL OPERATING	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

CAPITAL EXPENDITURES						
-----------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

CHANGE IN REVENUES ()						
-------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

FUND SOURCE (Thousands of Dollars)

1002 Federal Receipts						
1003 GF Match						
1004 GF						
1005 GF/Program Receipts						
1037 GF/Mental Health						
Other (Specify Type--Do not abbreviate)						
TOTAL	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Estimate of any current year (FY2007) cost: 0.0
Mark this box (X) if funding for this bill is included in the Governor's FY 2008 budget proposal:

POSITIONS

Full-time						
Part-time						
Temporary						

ANALYSIS: (Attach a separate page if necessary)

The bill prohibits prosecution of a parent who safely surrenders an otherwise uninjured infant in the physical custody of a person who the parent reasonably believes is a peace officer, a physician or hospital employee in a hospital or hospital emergency room, or a volunteer with or employee of a fire station or emergency medical service who is performing activities within the scope of the volunteer's or employee's fire services or emergency medical services duties. The department does not anticipate any significant fiscal impact.

Prepared by: Robert Meiners, Acting Director
Division: Administrative Services Division
Approved by: Robert Meiners for Talis Colberg, Attorney General
Agency: Department of Law

Phone: 465-5427
Date/Time: 2/6/07 7:20 AM
Date: 2/6/2007

FISCAL NOTE

STATE OF ALASKA
2007 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

Fiscal Note Number: 1
Bill Version: CSHB 29(HES)
(H) Publish Date: 2/21/07

Revision Date/Time (Note if correction): _____ Dept. Affected: Law
Title An Act relating to safe haven for infants. RDU Civil
Component Human Services Child Protection
Sponsor Representative L. Doux
Requester House Health, Education & Social Services Component No. _____

Expenditures/Revenues (Thousands of Dollars)

Note: Amounts do not include inflation unless otherwise noted below.

OPERATING EXPENDITURES	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Personal Services						
Travel						
Contractual						
Supplies						
Equipment						
Land & Structures						
Grants & Claims						
Miscellaneous						
TOTAL OPERATING	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

CAPITAL EXPENDITURES						
-----------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

CHANGE IN REVENUES ()						
-------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

FUND SOURCE (Thousands of Dollars)

1002 Federal Receipts						
1003 GF Match						
1004 GF						
1005 GF/Program Receipts						
1037 GF/Mental Health						
Other (Specify Type--Do not abbreviate)						
TOTAL	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Estimate of any current year (FY2007) cost: 0.0

Mark this box: (X) if funding for this bill is included in the Governor's FY 2008 budget proposal:

POSITIONS

Full-time						
Part-time						
Temporary						

ANALYSIS: (Attach a separate page if necessary)

The bill prohibits prosecution of a parent who safely surrenders an otherwise uninjured infant in the physical custody of a person who the parent reasonably believes is a peace officer, a physician or hospital employee in a hospital or hospital emergency room, or a volunteer with or employee of a fire station or emergency medical service who is performing activities within the scope of the volunteer's or employee's fire services or emergency medical services duties. The department does not anticipate any significant fiscal impact.

Prepared by: Robert Meiners, Acting Director
Division: Administrative Services Division
Approved by: Robert Meiners for Talis Colborg, Attorney General
Agency: Department of Law

Phone 465-5427
Date/Time 2/6/07 7:20 AM
Date 2/6/2007

FISCAL NOTE

STATE OF ALASKA
2007 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

Fiscal Note Number: HB029CS(JUD)-DHSS-OCS-04-C
 Bill Version: CS HB 29 (JUD)
 () Publish Date: _____

Revised 4/2/07 11:30

Revision Date/Time (Note if correction): am
 Title: SAFE SURRENDER OF INFANTS Dept. Affected: Health & Social Services
 RDU: Children's Services
 Component: Family Preservation

Sponsor: LEDOUX
 Requester: HOUSE (JUD) Component No. 1628

Expenditures/Revenues (Thousands of Dollars)

Note: Amounts do not include inflation unless otherwise noted below.

OPERATING EXPENDITURES	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Personal Services						
Travel						
Contractual	50.0					
Supplies						
Equipment						
Land & Structures						
Grants & Claims						
Miscellaneous						
TOTAL OPERATING	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

CAPITAL EXPENDITURES						
-----------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

CHANGE IN REVENUES (0)						
-------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

FUND SOURCE (Thousands of Dollars)

1002 Federal Receipts						
1003 GF Match						
1004 GF	50.0					
1037 GF/Mental Health						
Other(Specify Type-do not abbreviate)						
Other(Specify Type-do not abbreviate)						
TOTAL	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Estimate of any current year (FY2007) cost: _____
 Mark this box (X) if funding for this bill is included in the Governor's FY 2008 budget proposal:

POSITIONS

Full-time						
Part-time						
Temporary						

ANALYSIS: (Attach a separate page if necessary)

This bill provides for the safe surrender of infants whereby the parent may not be criminally prosecuted for surrendering an infant in the manner described.

Drawing on other states' experience with similar laws, the OCS believes that the key to success is reliant upon adequate public education aimed at those individuals who might receive a safely surrendered infant. This fiscal note would cover estimated costs for a one-time campaign that would provide notification to emergency medical services providers and produce publications that would be distributed to hospitals, clinics, doctor's offices, public assistance offices, and other public areas. Estimated costs are based on similar campaigns and promotions managed within the Department.

Prepared by: Tammy Sandoval Phone: 465-3191
 Division: Office of Children's Services Date/Time: 04/02/2007
 Approved by: Karleen Jackson, Commissioner Date: 04/02/2007
 Agency: Department of Health and Social Services

ALASKA STATE LEGISLATURE



SESSION ADDRESS
Alaska State Capitol
Juneau, AK 99801-1182
(907) 465-2487
Fax (907) 465-4956

INTERIM ADDRESS
112 Mill Bay Road
Kodiak, AK 99615
(907) 486-8872
Fax (907) 486-5264

Representative Gabrielle LeDoux

MEMO

TO: REPRESENTATIVE GABRIELLE LEDOUX
FROM: CHRISTINE R. MARASIGAN, LEGISLATIVE AIDE *CRM*
SUBJECT: HB 29, SAFE HAVEN BILL SECTIONAL SUMMARY
DATE: 2/20/2007

The following information is based on the sectional summary from SCHB 322. This should not be considered an authoritative interpretation of the bill.

- Section 1. Provides short title.
- Section 2. Adds a new section in title 1! prohibiting the criminal prosecution for surrendering an infant under AS 47.10.013(c), as added by sec. 3 of the Act.
- Section 3. Provides requirements and procedures for safely surrendering an infant less than 21 days of age, including reporting requirements and immunity provisions.
- Section 4. Makes a conforming amendment necessitated by sec. 5 of the Act.
- Section 5. Provides an exception for providing family support services when an infant has been safely abandoned as described in the Act.
- Section 6. Provides a definition of infant as a child who is less than 21 days of age.

ALASKA STATE LEGISLATURE



SESSION ADDRESS
Alaska State Capitol
Juneau, AK 99801-1182
(907) 465-2487
Fax (907) 465-4956

INTERIM ADDRESS
112 Mill Bay Road
Kodiak, AK 99615
(907) 486-8872
Fax (907) 486-5264

Representative Gabrielle LeDoux

MEMO

TO: REPRESENTATIVE GABRIELLE LEDOUX
FROM: CHRISTINE R. MARASIGAN, LEGISLATIVE AIDE *CRM*
SUBJECT: HB 29, SAFE HAVEN BILL, CHANGES FROM 25-LS0192\C TO 25-LS0192\M
DATE: 2/20/2007

After consulting with several agencies and sponsors, a sponsor substitute was requested. The information below outlines the changes from the "C" version to the "M" version.

- Page 1, Sec. 1, Line 5 Safe Haven for Infants Act replaced [Safe Surrender of Infants Act]
- Page 2, Sec. 3, Line 7 community health aide was added.
- Page 2, Sec. 3, Line 17 medical history was added.
- Page 2, Sec. 3, Line 23 (4) notify the department for initiation of custody, placement, and adoption proceedings as appropriate. was added.

Rationale: There are several additions in CSHB 29. The short title change makes the bill consistent with similar laws in 47 other states. Community health aides were added to the list of persons to whom an infant can be surrendered to because Alaska has many communities where a community health aide might be the only medical provider. Medical history was added to the types of information a surrendering parent can choose to disclose for the abandoned infant. Lastly, (4) in Sec. 3 directs anyone receiving a surrendered infant contact the department. Previously there was no explicit requirement to report an infant. This section further directs the department to initiate custody, placement and adoption proceedings.



clergy

Search

[Dictionary](#) | [Thesaurus](#) | [Encyclopedia](#) | [All Reference](#) | [The Web](#)

ADVERTISEMENT

Top Web Results for "clergy"

5 results for: *clergy*[[Nearby Entries](#)]

ADVERTISEMENT

View results from: [Dictionary](#) | [Thesaurus](#) | [Encyclopedia](#) | [All Reference](#) | [the Web](#)*Dictionary.com Unabridged (v 1.1) - Cite This Source*cler·gy   [klur-jee] [Pronunciation Key](#) - [Show IPA Pronunciation](#)

-noun, plural -gies.



the group or body of ordained persons in a religion, as distinguished from the laity.

[Origin: 1175-1225; ME *clerge*, *clergie* < OF *clergē* (< LL *clericātus* office of a priest; see CLERIC, -ATE³), *clergie*, equiv. to *clerc* CLERIC + -ie -y³, with -g- after *clergē*]

-Related forms

cler·gy·like, adjective

-Usage note See COLLECTIVE NOUN.

*Dictionary.com Unabridged (v 1.1)*Based on the *Random House Unabridged Dictionary*, © *Random House, Inc.*, 2006.*American Heritage Dictionary - Cite This Source*cler·gy   (klŭr'jē) [Pronunciation Key](#)

n. pl. cler·gies

The body of people ordained for religious service. See Usage Note at collective noun.


[Middle English *clergie*, from Old French (from Vulgar Latin **clereus*, from late Latin *clericus*; see *clerk*) and from Old French *clergie*, body of clerks (from Vulgar Latin **cleratus*, from late Latin *clericatus*, from *clericus*, *clerk*, *cleric*.)]*(Download Now or Buy the Book)**The American Heritage® Dictionary of the English Language, Fourth Edition*

Copyright © 2000 by Houghton Mifflin Company.

Published by Houghton Mifflin Company. All rights reserved.

Online Etymology Dictionary - Cite This Source

clergy

 Indicates premium content, which is available only to subscribers.

FISCAL NOTE

STATE OF ALASKA
2007 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

Fiscal Note Number: 2
 Bill Version: CSHB 29(HES)
 (H) Publish Date: 2/21/07
 Dept. Affected: Health & Social Services
 RDU Children's Services
 Component Family Preservation

Revision Date/Time (Note if correction):
 Title SAFE SURRENDER OF INFANTS

Sponsor LEDOUX
 Requester HOUSE (HES)

Component No. 1628

Expenditures/Revenues (Thousands of Dollars)

Note: Amounts do not include inflation unless otherwise noted below.

OPERATING EXPENDITURES	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Personal Services						
Travel						
Contractual	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Supplies						
Equipment						
Land & Structures						
Grants & Claims						
Miscellaneous						
TOTAL OPERATING	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

CAPITAL EXPENDITURES						
-----------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

CHANGE IN REVENUES (0)						
-------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

FUND SOURCE (Thousands of Dollars)

1002 Federal Receipts						
1003 GF Match						
1004 GF	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
1037 GF/Mental Health						
Other(Specify Type-do not abbreviate)						
Other(Specify Type-do not abbreviate)						
TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Estimate of any current year (FY2007) cost: _____

Mark this box (X) if funding for this bill is included in the Governor's FY 2008 budget proposal:

POSITIONS

Full-time						
Part-time						
Temporary						

ANALYSIS: (Attach a separate page if necessary)

This bill provides for the safe surrender of infants whereby the parent may not be criminally prosecuted for surrendering an infant in the manner described.

Drawing on other states' experience with similar laws, the OCS believes that adequate public education is key to success. If the desired effect of this bill is to stop abandonment of babies, the public needs to be made aware of their options. This fiscal note would cover estimated costs for a campaign that provides for media advertising, brochures, posters, etc., to be distributed in hospitals, clinics, doctors' offices, public assistance offices, and other public areas. Estimated costs are based on similar campaigns and promotions managed within the department.

Prepared by: Tammy Sandoval
 Division: Office of Children's Services
 Approved by: Karleen Jackson, Commissioner
 Agency: Department of Health and Social Services

Phone 465-3191
 Date/Time 01/22/2007
 Date 01/23/2007