

ALASKA LEGISLATURE

2237

HOUSE and SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE FILES, 2001 - 2002

Support House Bill 149

Fax

To: All Alaska State Senators From: MARGARET POLCHAK

Fax: _____ Date: _____

Phone: _____ Pages: 1

Re: House Bill 149 CC: _____

Urgent For Review Please Comment Please Reply Please Recycle

Dear Senators:

I SUPPORT the efforts of the Kenai Peninsula Borough in their project to build and operate a private prison in our area. I believe this project will be of great economical benefit to the Borough, as well as the State of Alaska.

I urge you to VOTE YES on HB 149 as it is written.

Thank you for your support.

Name: Margaret Polchak

Address: Box 3

City: Kenai, AK 99611

Phone: _____

Support House Bill 149

Fax

To: All Alaska State Senators From: EMIL DOLCHOK

Fax: _____ Date: _____

Phone: _____ Pages: 1

Re: House Bill 149 CC: _____

Urgent For Review Please Comment Please Reply Please Recycle

Dear Senators:

I SUPPORT the efforts of the Kenai Peninsula Borough in their project to build and operate a private prison in our area. I believe this project will be of great economical benefit to the Borough, as well as the State of Alaska.

I urge you to VOTE YES on HB 149 as it is written.

Thank you for your support.

Name: Emil Dolchok

Address: P.O. Box 3

City: Kenai AK. 99611

Phone: (907) 283-7782

Support House Bill 149

Fax

To: All Alaska State Senators From: CAROL DOLAN

Fax: Date: 4-20-2001

Phone: Pages: 1

Re: House Bill 149 CC:

Urgent For Review Please Comment Please Reply Please Recycle

Dear Senators:

I SUPPORT the efforts of the Kenai Peninsula Borough in their project to build and operate a private prison in our area. I believe this project will be of great economical benefit to the Borough, as well as the State of Alaska.

I urge you to VOTE YES on HB 149 as it is written.

Thank you for your support.

Name: Carol Dolan

Address: Box 687, 99611

City: Kenai, Alaska

Phone: 907-283-7995

Support House Bill 149

Fax

To: All Alaska State Senators

From: ROBERT DULAN

Fax:

Date: 4-20-2001

Phone:

Pages: 1

Re: House Bill 149

CC:

Urgent For Review Please Comment Please Reply Please Recycle

Dear Senators:

I SUPPORT the efforts of the Kenai Peninsula Borough in their project to build and operate a private prison in our area. I believe this project will be of great economical benefit to the Borough, as well as the State of Alaska.

I urge you to VOTE YES on HB 149 as it is written.

Thank you for your support.

Name:

Robert C. Dulan

Address:

P.O. Box 687

City:

Kenai, Alaska 99611

Phone:

907-283-7995



Fax

To: All Alaska State Senators From: GREG FROSTAD

Fax: _____ Date: 4-20-2001

Phone: _____ Pages: 1

Re: House Bill 149 CO: _____


Urgent For Review Please Comment Please Reply Please Recycle

Dear Senators:

I SUPPORT the efforts of the Kenai Peninsula Borough in their project to build and operate a private prison in our area. I believe this project will be of great economical benefit to the Borough, as well as the State of Alaska.

I urge you to VOTE YES on HB 149 as it is written.

Thank you for your support.

Name: GREGORY G. FROSTAD 

Address: P.O. Box 605

City: SOLDOTNA, AK. 99669

Phone: 1-907-262-9038

Support House Bill 149

Fax

To: All Alaska State Senators From: ROBERT HAAG
Fax: Date:
Phone: Pages: 1
Re: House Bill 149 CC:
 Urgent For Review Please Comment Please Reply Please Recycle

Dear Senators:

I SUPPORT the efforts of the Kenai Peninsula Borough in their project to build and operate a private prison in our area. I believe this project will be of great economical benefit to the Borough, as well as the State of Alaska.

I urge you to VOTE YES on HB 149 as it is written.

Thank you for your support.

Name: Robert Haag
Address: 44350 Spur Hwy
City: Kenai
Phone: 283-8407

Support House Bill 149

Fax

To: All Alaska State Senators

From: Keene Hendryx

Fax:

Date: 4-23-2001

Phone:

Pages: 1

Re: House Bill 149

CC:

Urgent For Review Please Comment Please Reply Please Recycle

Dear Senators:

I SUPPORT the efforts of the Kenai Peninsula Borough in their project to build and operate a private prison in our area. I believe this project will be of great economical benefit to the Borough, as well as the State of Alaska.

I urge you to VOTE YES on HB 149 as it is written.

Thank you for your support.

Name: Keene Hendryx

Address: 618 Fir dr.

City: Kenai AK 99611

Phone: 283-3716

Support House Bill 149

Fax

To: All Alaska State Senators	From: Everett Jones
Fax:	Date: April 20, 2001
Phone:	Pages: 1
Re: House Bill 149	CC:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Urgent <input type="checkbox"/> For Review <input type="checkbox"/> Please Comment <input type="checkbox"/> Please Reply <input type="checkbox"/> Please Recycle	

Dear Senators:

I am a resident of the Kenai Peninsula Borough. I am in support of the Borough building and operating a private prison in our area. I believe this project will benefit the Borough economically as well as the State.

I urge you to VOTE YES on HB 149 and support the Kenai Peninsula Borough's efforts in this project.

Thank you for your support.

Everett Jones

Kenai, Alaska

283-1499



Support House Bill 149

Fax

To: All Alaska State Senators **From:** Maria Stacy Juliussen Jones

Fax: **Date:** April 20, 2001

Phone: **Pages:** 1

Re: House Bill 149 **CC:**

Urgent **For Review** **Please Comment** **Please Reply** **Please Recycle**


Dear Senators:

I am a resident of the Kenai Peninsula Borough. I am in support of the Borough building and operating a private prison in our area. I believe this project will benefit the Borough economically as well as the State.

I urge you to VOTE YES on HB 149, support the Kenai Peninsula Borough's efforts in this project.

Thank you for your support.

Maria S. Juliussen Jones



Support House Bill 149

Fax

To: All Alaska State Senators From: AGRAFENA JULIUSSEN
Fax: _____ Date: _____
Phone: _____ Pages: 1
Re: House Bill 149 CC: _____
 Urgent For Review Please Comment Please Reply Please Recycle

Dear Senators:

I SUPPORT the efforts of the Kenai Peninsula Borough in their project to build and operate a private prison in our area. I believe this project will be of great economical benefit to the Borough, as well as the State of Alaska.

I urge you to VOTE YES on HB 149 as it is written.

Thank you for your support.

Name: Agrafena M. Juliusen
Address: P.O. Box 3301
City: Kenai, AK 99611
Phone: 283-5421

Support House Bill 149

Fax

To: All Alaska State Senators From: MIKE JULIUSSEN

Fax: Date:

Phone: Pages: 1

Re: House Bill 149 CC:

Urgent For Review Please Comment Please Reply Please Recycle

Dear Senators:

I SUPPORT the efforts of the Kenai Peninsula Borough in their project to build and operate a private prison in our area. I believe this project will be of great economical benefit to the Borough, as well as the State of Alaska.

I urge you to VOTE YES on HB 149 as it is written.

Thank you for your support.

Name: Mike Julissen

Address: P.O. Box 2834

City: Kenai, AK 99611

Phone: 283-9550

Support House Bill 149

Fax

To: All Alaska State Senators From: MICHAEL R JULIUSSEN, SR.

Fax: Date:

Phone: Pages: 1

Re: House Bill 149 CC:

Urgent For Review Please Comment Please Reply Please Recycle

Dear Senators:

I SUPPORT the efforts of the Kenai Peninsula Borough in their project to build and operate a private prison in our area. I believe this project will be of great economical benefit to the Borough, as well as the State of Alaska.

I urge you to VOTE YES on HB 149 as it is written.

Thank you for your support.

Name: Michael R. Juliusen

Address: P.O. Box 3301

City: Kenai, AK. 99611

Phone: (907) 283-5421

Support House Bill 149

Fax

To: All Alaska State Senators From: DONNA LANDFORD
Fax: _____ Date: _____
Phone: _____ Pages: 1
Re: House Bill 149 CC: _____
 Urgent For Review Please Comment Please Reply Please Recycle

Dear Senators:

I SUPPORT the efforts of the Kenai Peninsula Borough in their project to build and operate a private prison in our area. I believe this project will be of great economical benefit to the Borough, as well as the State of Alaska.

I urge you to VOTE YES on HB 149 as it is written.

Thank you for your support.

Name: Donna Landford

Address: PO Box 2607

City: Kenai AK 99611

Phone: 776-8537

Support House Bill 149

Fax

To: All Alaska State Senators From: GREGORY LORENZO

Fax: Date:

Phone: Pages: 1

Re: House Bill 149 CC:

Urgent For Review Please Comment Please Reply Please Recycle

Dear Senators:

I SUPPORT the efforts of the Kenai Peninsula Borough in their project to build and operate a private prison in our area. I believe this project will be of great economical benefit to the Borough, as well as the State of Alaska.

I urge you to VOTE YES on HB 149 as it is written.

Thank you for your support.

Name: Gregory James Lorenzo

Address: 110. 1301 34

City: Kenai, Alaska 99611

Phone: 907 263-3146

Support House Bill 149

Fax

To: All Alaska State Senators From: SAMUEL LORENZ

Fax: _____ Date: _____

Phone: _____ Pages: 1

Re: House Bill 149 CC: _____

Urgent For Review Please Comment Please Reply Please Recycle

Dear Senators:

I SUPPORT the efforts of the Kenai Peninsula Borough in their project to build and operate a private prison in our area. I believe this project will be of great economical benefit to the Borough, as well as the State of Alaska.

I urge you to VOTE YES on HB 149 as it is written.

Thank you for your support.

Name: Samuel Lorenz

Address: P.O. Box 34

City: Kenai, AK 99611

Phone: (907) 283-3146

Support House Bill 149

Fax

To: All Alaska State Senators From: MARK MEZLO

Fax: Date:

Phone: Pages: 1

Re: House Bill 149 CC:

Urgent For Review Please Comment Please Reply Please Recycle

Dear Senators:

I SUPPORT the efforts of the Kenai Peninsula Borough in their project to build and operate a private prison in our area. I believe this project will be of great economical benefit to the Borough, as well as the State of Alaska.

I urge you to VOTE YES on HB 149 as it is written.

Thank you for your support.

Name: Mark Mezlo

Address: 1707 4TH AVE

City: Kenai AK 99611

Phone: 283-0712

Support House Bill 149

Fax

To: All Alaska State Senators From: JILL NAYBACK

Fax: Date:

Phone: Pages: 1

Re: House Bill 149 CC:

Urgent For Review Please Comment Please Reply Please Recycle

Dear Senators:

I SUPPORT the efforts of the Kenai Peninsula Borough in their project to build and operate a private prison in our area. I believe this project will be of great economical benefit to the Borough, as well as the State of Alaska.

I urge you to VOTE YES on HB 149 as it is written.

Thank you for your support.

Name: JILL MARIE NAYBACK
Address: P.O. Box 974
City: KENAI, ALASKA 99611
Phone: 776 - 8657

Support House Bill 149

Fax

To: All Alaska State Senators From: _____
Fax: _____ Date: _____
Phone: _____ Pages: 1
Re: House Bill 149 CC: _____

Urgent For Review Please Comment Please Reply Please Recycle

Dear Senators:

I SUPPORT the efforts of the Kenai Peninsula Borough in their project to build and operate a private prison in our area. I believe this project will be of great economical benefit to the Borough, as well as the State of Alaska.

I urge you to VOTE YES on HB 149 as it is written.

Thank you for your support.

Name: Bill W. Michael

Address: PO Box 2963

City: Kenai, ALASKA 99611

Phone: (907) 283-6044

Support House Bill 149

Fax

To: All Alaska State Senators From: Ray O'Neill

Fax: _____ Date: 4-23-2001

Phone: _____ Pages: 1

Re: House Bill 149 CC: _____

Urgent For Review Please Comment Please Reply Please Recycle

Dear Senators:

I SUPPORT the efforts of the Kenai Peninsula Borough in their project to build and operate a private prison in our area. I believe this project will be of great economical benefit to the Borough, as well as the State of Alaska.

I urge you to VOTE YES on HB 149 as it is written.

Thank you for your support.

Name: Ray O'Neill

Address: P.O. Box

City: Soldotna, AK 99669

Phone: 907-262-4116

Support House Bill 149

Fax

To: All Alaska State Senators From: JANICE PARICK

Fax: _____ Date: _____

Phone: _____ Pages: 1

Re: House Bill 149 CC: _____

Urgent For Review Please Comment Please Reply Please Recycle

Dear Senators:

I SUPPORT the efforts of the Kenai Peninsula Borough in their project to build and operate a private prison in our area. I believe this project will be of great economical benefit to the Borough, as well as the State of Alaska.

I urge you to VOTE YES on HB 149 as it is written.

Thank you for your support.

Name: Janice Parick

Address: 2903 Coast Inlet View Dr B-4

City: Kenai AK

Phone: 907-9079

Support House Bill 149

Fax

To: All Alaska State Senators From: SCOTT PETRIE

Fax: Date:

Phone: Pages: 1

Re: House Bill 149 CC:

Urgent For Review Please Comment Please Reply Please Recycle

Dear Senators:

I SUPPORT the efforts of the Kenai Peninsula Borough in their project to build and operate a private prison in our area. I believe this project will be of great economical benefit to the Borough, as well as the State of Alaska.

I urge you to VOTE YES on HB 149 as it is written.

Thank you for your support.

Name: SCOTT PETRIE

Address: 505 PENN AV

City: Kenai

Phone: 283-8494

Support House Bill 149

Fax

To: All Alaska State Senators From: JOHN RASMUSSEN

Fax: Date:

Phone: Pages: 1

Re: House Bill 149 CC:

Urgent For Review Please Comment Please Reply Please Recycle

Dear Senators:

I SUPPORT the efforts of the Kenai Peninsula Borough in their project to build and operate a private prison in our area. I believe this project will be of great economical benefit to the Borough, as well as the State of Alaska.

I urge you to VOTE YES on HB 149 as it is written.

Thank you for your support.

Name: John A. Rasmussen

Address: 1707 4TH AVE

City: KENAI, ALASKA 99611

Phone: 262-2121

Support House Bill 149

Fax

To: All Alaska State Senators

From: David Ring

Fax:

Date: 4-20-2001

Phone:

Pages: 1

Re: House Bill 149

CC:

Urgent For Review Please Comment Please Reply Please Recycle

Dear Senators:

I SUPPORT the efforts of the Kenai Peninsula Borough in their project to build and operate a private prison in our area. I believe this project will be of great economical benefit to the Borough, as well as the State of Alaska.

I urge you to VOTE YES on HB 149 as it is written.

Thank you for your support.

Name: DAVID RING
Address: 1615 TANAGA CIR.
City: KENAI, AK. 99611
Phone: 907-283-2235

Support House Bill 149

Fax

To: All Alaska State Senators From: CHRISTINA RIFREDI
 Fax: _____ Date: 4-21-2001
 Phone: _____ Pages: 1
 Re: House Bill 149 CC: _____

Urgent For Review Please Comment Please Reply Please Recycle

Dear Senators:

I SUPPORT the efforts of the Kenai Peninsula Borough in their project to build and operate a private prison in our area. I believe this project will be of great economical benefit to the Borough, as well as the State of Alaska.

I urge you to VOTE YES on HB 149 as it is written.

Thank you for your support.

Name: Christina Rifredi
 Address: Palmer 1057
 City: Kenai AK
 Phone: 282-7995

Support House Bill 149

Fax

To: All Alaska State Senators From: JEANENNE SANDEL
 Fax: _____ Date: _____
 Phone: _____ Pages: 1
 Re: House Bill 149 CC: _____

Urgent For Review Please Comment Please Reply Please Recycle

Dear Senators:

I SUPPORT the efforts of the Kenai Peninsula Borough in their project to build and operate a private prison in our area. I believe this project will be of great economical benefit to the Borough, as well as the State of Alaska.

I urge you to VOTE YES on HB 149 as it is written.

Thank you for your support.

Name: Jeanenne Sandel
 Address: 508 Henlock Avenue
 City: Kenai, AK
 Phone: 283-0600

Support House Bill 149

Fax

To: All Alaska State Senators From: GARY STERLING
Fax: Date:
Phone: Pages: 1
Re: House Bill 149 CC:
 Urgent For Review Please Comment Please Reply Please Recycle

Dear Senators:

I SUPPORT the efforts of the Kenai Peninsula Borough in their project to build and operate a private prison in our area. I believe this project will be of great economical benefit to the Borough, as well as the State of Alaska.

I urge you to VOTE YES on HB 149 as it is written.

Thank you for your support.

Name: GARY Sterling Sr.
Address: 44350 K SH
City: Kenai
Phone: 283-8407

Support House Bill 149

Fax

To: All Alaska State Senators From: VIRGINIA TRENTON

Fax: Date:

Phone: Page: 1

Re: House Bill 149 CC:

Urgent For Review Please Comment Please Reply Please Recycle

Dear Senators:

I SUPPORT the efforts of the Kenai Peninsula Borough in their project to build and operate a private prison in our area. I believe this project will be of great economical benefit to the Borough, as well as the State of Alaska.

I urge you to VOTE YES on HB 149 as it is written.

Thank you for your support.

Name: E. J. [Signature]

Address: P.O. Box 8688

City: W. K. [Signature] AK

Phone: 776-7082

Support House Bill 149

Fax

To: All Alaska State Senators From: ALEC R. WIK

From: _____ Date: _____

Name: _____ Page #: 1

Re: House Bill 149 CC: _____

Urgent For Review Please Comment Please Reply Please Recycle

Dear Senators:

I SUPPORT the efforts of the Kenai Peninsula Borough in their project to build and operate a private prison in our area. I believe this project will be of great economical benefit to the Borough, as well as the State of Alaska.

I urge you to VOTE YES on HB 149 as it is written.

Thank you for your support.

Name: Alec R Wik

Address: P.O. Box 2616

City: Kenai Alaska

Phone: 907-283-6768

Support House Bill 149

Fax

To: All Alaska State Senators From: Sandra Wilson

Fax: _____ Date: 4-23-2001

Phone: _____ Page: 1

Re: House Bill 149 CC: _____

Urgent For Review Please Comment Please Reply Please Recycle

Dear Senators:

I SUPPORT the efforts of the Kenai Peninsula Borough in their project to build and operate a private prison in our area. I believe this project will be of great economical benefit to the Borough, as well as the State of Alaska.

I urge you to VOTE YES on HB 149 as it is written.

Thank you for your support.

Name: Sandra Wilson

Address: 1502 4th Ave.

City: Kenai, AK 99611-7487

Phone: (907) 283-8387

Support House Bill 149

Fax

To: All Alaska State Senators	From: Susan Wells
Fax:	Date: April 20, 2001
Phone:	Pages: 1
Re: House Bill 149	CC:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Urgent <input type="checkbox"/> For Review <input type="checkbox"/> Please Comment <input type="checkbox"/> Please Reply <input type="checkbox"/> Please Recycle	

Dear Senators:

I SUPPORT Kenai Peninsula Borough's building and operating a private prison in our area. I believe this project will benefit the Borough economically as well as the State.

I urge you to VOTE YES on HB 149 as it is written and thereby, support the Kenai Peninsula Borough's efforts in this project.

Thank you for your support.



Susan Wells

Kenai, Alaska

Support House Bill 149

Fax

To: All Alaska State Senators From: Craig R. Wells

Fax: _____ Date: 4-21-2001

Phone: _____ Pages: 1

Re: House Bill 149 CC: _____

Urgent For Review Please Comment Please Reply Please Recycle

Dear Senators:

I SUPPORT the efforts of the Kenai Peninsula Borough in their project to build and operate a private prison in our area. I believe this project will be of great economical benefit to the Borough, as well as the State of Alaska.

I urge you to VOTE YES on HB 149 as it is written.

Thank you for your support.

Name: Craig R. Wells

Address: Box 2072

City: Seldovia, Alaska 99669

Phone: 283-9409

KENAI NATIVES ASSOCIATION, INC.

215 FIDALGO AVENUE, SUITE 101
KENAI, ALASKA 99611

TANGENT BUILDING

www.knainc.com

OFFICE: (907) 283-4851

FAX: (907) 283-4854

April 20, 2001

All Alaska State Senators

VIA FAX

Re: HB 149/Prison

Dear Senator:

As you are aware, the above bill recently passed the House and is now before the Senate. My family and I have lived in Kenai for approximately 23 years. We raised our family here, our two small grandchildren live in Kenai, and we own a small business in town. We want to stay in Alaska and the Kenai area and hopefully be able to keep our business open. At times we have wondered if we could remain in Kenai because of the poor economy/lack of employment. Kenai has always relied heavily on the oil industry, and when the oil industry is not doing well Kenai is not doing well. We need other employment opportunities besides oil. The private prison would be a great addition to our area. Not only would it provide employment, it is a fact that our prisons are overcrowded. We need more prisons! Also, it would provide housing for Alaskan prisoners that are incarcerated in Arizona. The proposed site is perfectly located right outside of Kenai next to the existing Wildwood Correctional Complex—away from residential areas. The site is close to town, courthouse, and airport. Kenai needs this "shot in the arm". We need more job opportunities for our residents, and building a visitors center and space center does not provide ample employment--they only look pretty and cost a lot of money to build. This prison project is one of the best opportunities Kenai has had since the oil companies came to town (not many left). I strongly urge you to support the private prison for Kenai.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jane Ring
1615 Tanaga
Kenai, AK 99611

/jr

Susan Wells

From: Susan Wells <susiewells@gci.net>
To: Senator Donald Olson <Senator_Donny_Olson@legis.state.ak.us>
Sent: Monday, April 23, 2001 3:43 PM
Subject: Re: address

Dear Senator Olson:

Thank you for contacting me. I was going by the information given me at the local LIO office and your name is listed at Donald.

I have been wanting to communicate to you with regards to the Private Prison Project in Kenai, House Bill 149.

I am in support of this prison in our community. The Kenai Peninsula Borough Assembly, as well as Mayor Bagley, have researched this project and have brought it before the community on numerous occasions. They have had special meetings for public input and have provided the residents with ample opportunity to express their concerns.

The majority of the comments at the meetings that I have attended have been in support of the project and the site selected for it. When concerns have been voiced, the Borough has clarified and answered those concerns. One such concern of late is the "sole-sourcing" that some believe has happened. I know that the Borough put out an RFQ and had several teams respond. They spend many hours listening to presentations by the applicants, and had the top three make additional presentations. The Borough used a qualifications-based selection process as explained by the Borough Assembly President and two other Assembly members at the Assembly meetings, on our local "Sound Off" radio call-in program and in the newspapers. They chose the top ranked team who they felt will be the best to work in and for our community.

Other concerns I heard voiced were with regards to "an undesirable influx of single parent families" into our areas that would "follow" the inmates incarcerated in the new prison. I lived in Kenai when the Wildwood prison was opened, and have since, and there has not been a negative impact from the Wildwood prison. It was brought up at one of the Borough Assembly meetings by a speaker from Seward, I believe, that the Seward facility had not created an influx of undesirable residents in the Seward area. I believe he said there was no growth in the population attributed to the prison industry.

I also heard concern about a negative impact on the school system. I am a public school teacher and happen to know that our District Superintendent has stated that she would welcome an influx of students into our system. I believe we have an excellent School District and that we would be a strong support to a family coping with the unfortunate circumstance of a parent

04/25/2001

incarcerated. But, as with Wildwood and the Seward prisons, I don't believe that the families of the prisoners uproot their families and relocate to the town where their loved-one is in jail. I believe they may travel to see them, but not relocate.

I recently read a POM from our former borough mayor. I was very surprised that he would include the "majority of the Kenai Borough residents" as opposed to the prison. I never saw him at a Borough Assembly meeting and, again, I did attend them. My impression of the meetings, the local radio call-in show and letters to the editor, that there is a great deal of support for this project.

Another comment that I've heard is that this project is being "crammed down the throats" of local residents. As I stated before, the Borough Assembly has had many meetings and taken extra time to insure that anybody that wants to say anything about this project is heard.

The Kenai Peninsula had relied on commercial fishing (I'm also a fisherman), the refinery and tourism as our economic base. Those who are concerned that another prison will harm our tourism industry have forgotten that Wildwood is here. Wildwood Correctional Facility is tucked away, out of sight, on the old Wildwood Air Force Base property, currently owned by the Kenai Natives. Most people who visit are not aware that we have a prison. The same would be true for the new facility.

Furthermore, I believe that this project will diversify the economy on the Kenai and be a positive impact on local businesses, professional and retail. It will also mean additional opportunities for employment for our young people. There was discussion about project team working with the local college to implement training for security guards, which would mean that those wanting to work in the field would not have to leave home to get training. One young man at a Borough Assembly meeting asked, "what's wrong with bringing in an industry that would provide opportunities for work, for people like me?"

The prison project will also provide the bed-space needed by the State to house those prisoners that are presently housed Out of State. I believe that rehabilitation is more effective if it is done at home. The State needs to take responsibility for the care and rehabilitation of its public offenders.

Whoa! this is much like a filibuster! I just wanted to tell you I support the private prison on the Kenai Peninsula and ask you to vote yes for House Bill 149!

Sincerely;
Susan Wells, Kenai

----- Original Message -----

04/25/2001

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

IN THE STATE OF ARIZONA)
) ss.
IN AND FOR PINAL COUNTY)

AFFIDAVIT OF EDWARD BRUCE

COMES NOW, Edward Bruce, #190221, to DEPOSE and S.

1. That I am Edward Bruce, the individual stated herei.
2. That I am currently incarcerated at C.A.D.C., a priva. corporation, located in Florence, Arizona;
3. That it is my belief that the State of Alaska, in illegally transferring me to the Central Arizona Detention Center. has effectively relinquished and lost any and all jurisdiction ar custody over my person;
4. That on or about the 11 of June 1996, I observed dozen: of empty meat cartons inside and beside the trash repository;
5. That I secured six (6) label's off empty boxes after noticing that the label's read: "NOT FOR SALE" "FOR TEST PURPOSE: ONLY;"
6. That I took these label's after reading them for Attorney and court purposes only, because I was worried about the food from these empty containers that we had eaten;
7. That after CCA employees and the Warden discovered that I had these label's, they shook down my living area and threw me in the hole;
8. That CCA employees interrogated me while in the hole, concerning where the labels were (?), who had seen them (?), etc
9. That CCA employees terminated my employment as kitchen worker because of my non-cooperation during interrogation about the label's, my only source of employment and income.

AFFLIANT SAYETH NAUGHT FURTHER


SIGNED AND DATED, this 3 day of July, 1996.

ON THIS DATE, the 3rd day of the month of July, 1996, personally appeared Edward Bruce EDWARD BRUCE before me, Edward Bruce, known to me to be the individual set forth as such, and declared the foregoing to be true and correct the best of his knowledge and belief, and made of his own free w

SWORN AND SUBSCRIBED on this 3rd day of July, 1996.

Lori A. Timmons
Notary Public - State of Arizona
Notary Commission Expires 12-31-1998
NOTARY FOR THE STATE OF ARIZONA

Nov. 13, 1998
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES:





**DPM
FOODS**

BEEF PATTIE BULK 1 LB

INGREDIENTS: BEEF, SALT, HYDROGENATED COTTONSEED AND SOYBEAN OIL,
HYDROLYZED SOY AND CORN PROTEIN, SPICE EXTRACTIVE, DEXTROSE, SPICE
AND LESS THAN 2% SILICON DIOXIDE ADDED TO PREVENT CAKING.

**NOT FOR SALE
FOR TEST PURPOSES ONLY**

77101T

**KEEP FROZEN
NET WT. 16 LBS.**

Cargill Processed Meat Products Wichita, KS 67202

COMMITTED TO QUALITY

EXHIBIT B (Evidence)

Civ 96-0366-PHX-ROS (BGS)

Civ 96-0588-PHX-RCB (VAM)

Civ 96-0366-PHX-ROS (BGS)



Grand Camp

**Alaska Native Brotherhood
Alaska Native Sisterhood**



GCR 00-40

A Resolution Endorsing Construction of Privately owned Prison by Kenai Native Assoc.

Whereas, The Kenai Native Association, Inc (KNA) has undertaken the development of a private prison to be located on their Wildwood property on the Kenai Peninsula; and

Whereas, The State of Alaska currently sends 1500+ Alaskan prisoners, 37% of whom are Alaska Native, to a private prison in Arizona because of the overcrowding in Alaska prisons; and

Whereas, Having Alaska Native males so far from their homes and villages places undue hardship on their families and also deprives them of cultural considerations while serving their sentences; and


Whereas, The State of Alaska spends over \$18 million per year to keep these prisoners in Arizona while the funds could better be spent by supporting the development of the privately-owned prison at Wildwood; and


Whereas, The proposed privately owned prison facility being developed by KNA would be more adept at meeting the cultural and rehabilitative needs of the Alaska Native prisoners; and

Whereas, The completion of the facility would assist in the effort to bring our people home from confinement in Arizona; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Alaska Native Brotherhood and the Alaska Native Sisterhood endorse the Kenai Native Association's proposal for a private prison at Wildwood on the Kenai Peninsula.

Approved by the ANB and ANS in convention on November 4, 2000 in Juneau, Alaska


Richard Jackson, Grand President
Alaska Native Brotherhood


Jackie Martin, Grand President
Alaska Native Sisterhood



Grand Camp

***Alaska Native Brotherhood
Alaska Native Sisterhood***



GCR 00-44

A Resolution Requesting Investigation of Disproportionate Incarceration of Alaska Natives

WHEREAS, the State of Alaska sends young men out to a private prison at Florence, Arizona run by the Corrections Corporation of America where approximately 600 indigenous inmates from many tribes are many thousands of miles distant from their tribal lands; and

WHEREAS, an Alaska Indian incarcerated in Arizona was denied medical treatment for a broken hand and is permanently maimed; and

WHEREAS, the traffic in jailing indigenous peoples away from their homelands for profit amounts to interstate commerce on human beings; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Alaska Native Brotherhood and the Alaska Native Sisterhood urge the State of Alaska to form a commission of independent investigators to analyze the disproportionate incarceration of Alaska Natives and provide a full report on such analysis to Native organizations and to the International Human Rights Association of American Minorities.

Further resolved, That the Alaska Native Brotherhood and the Alaska Native Sisterhood urge that all out of state transfers of indigenous inmates be stopped immediately.

Approved by the ANB and ANS in convention on November 4, 2000 in Juneau, Alaska

Richard Jackson, Grand President
Alaska Native Brotherhood

Jackie Martin, Grand President
Alaska Native Sisterhood

Kenaitze Indian Tribe I.R.A.

P.O. BOX 988
KENAI, ALASKA 99611
(907) 283-3633
Fax (907) 283-3052

July 30, 2000

Richard Segura, President
Kenai Native Association, Inc.
215 Fidalgo, Suite #1
Kenai, Alaska 99611

Dear Mr. Segura:

Ref: Letter of Support

Please be advised that the Executive Committee/Tribal Council of the Kenaitze Indian Tribe, IRA, after careful consideration, strongly supports the efforts of the Kenai Native Association, Inc. to build a State Prison on its properties located at Wildwood, Kenai, Alaska. We believe this project would alleviate the overcrowding situation that now exists within the state system and would allow those relocated Alaskan Prisoners to return to Alaska to complete their sentencing.

Sincerely,



Rosalie Tepp
Tribal Chairperson
Kenaitze Indian Tribe, IRA

Why Should the State Spend More for Contracted Prison Beds in Alaska than in Arizona?

- Beds in Alaska mean Jobs in Alaska¹

The Kenai Prison Will Create:

Construction	553 Alaskan Jobs ² during construction
Operations	498 Alaskan Jobs ³ <u>per year for 20 years</u>

The Out-of-State Prison provides:

Construction	0 Alaskan Jobs
Operations	0 Alaskan Jobs

- Long Term Economic Benefit

Moving the Out-of-State Beds to Alaska will create lasting economic impact.

20 year projections:

Total Employment	10,640 job-years of employment
Revenue Captured	\$562.3 million

- Leverage State Expenditures

The Kenai Prison provides the greatest economic benefit when compared to other possible state expenditures.

Kenai Prison Beds	10,640 job-years of employment
Alternate State Operations	2,760 job-years of employment ⁴

There is a 3.9 to 1 benefit to spending state money on the Kenai Prison than on another Alaska project.

¹ Job and economic data from Prof. Scott Goldsmith, Professor of Economics, Institute of Social and Economic Research, University of Alaska Anchorage, March 20 & 29, 2001.

² 325 direct jobs, and 228 indirect jobs for 1.25 years

³ 250 direct prison jobs, and 248 indirect/other jobs for 20 years

⁴ Assumes the annual in-state/out-of-state cost difference is spent on state government operations.

- Economic Policy

"The state has in the past and continues to spend state financial resources for the purposes of [creating] jobs. The clearest example of this is this was the \$25 million legislative appropriation for the construction of the Healy Clean Coal Plant..."

"Job creation is the mission of the Alaska Industrial Development and Export Authority. They pursue that objective by making loans for job creation activities at rates below what the state could obtain on those funds if invested purely to maximize the financial return."

"Discussions of the possibility of offering financial incentives to the oil companies for construction of a gas pipeline represent another example of the state buying jobs by spending state resources or foregoing revenues."

"There is a clear increase in total employment that results from bringing home the corrections jobs. This is in sharp contrast with these other Alaskan examples where the substitution of one type of expenditure for another often has little or no real net effect on job creation."

"Clearly resident hire is an important issue, reflected in the annual state publications documenting the non-resident share of employment..."

"Creating job opportunities in one part of the state increases the economic base in that region and strengthens the regional economy, making it less vulnerable.... The larger market size also helps to produce economies of scale which reduces the cost of living in the region."

"The economic effects are not limited to the region where the facility would be located. Furthermore the job opportunities created by an instate prison would not be limited to the current residents of the Kenai Peninsula. These job openings would be an opportunity for Alaskans throughout the state"

Professor Scott Goldsmith, ISER, UAA
"Job Creation from Instate Housing of
Prisoners"
March 19, 2001

Daily News

ugherty
Steve Lindbeck
Associate Editor

orman C. Brown
Fanning, Editor and Publisher, 1971-1983
Fanning, Editor and Publisher, 1967-1971

OPINION

COMPASS: *Points of view from the community*

Prison plan warrants backing

By FRANK PREWITT

In uncharacteristically blunt fashion, Byron Mallot recently condemned acts of violence against Alaska Natives and the failure of state policymakers to look directly into the face of racism in Alaska. It seems most Alaska Natives have patiently trusted that equal distribution of justice and resources would eventually find its way to the Native community. Finally, in the shadow of violence, the sleeping giant of self-determination appears to be waking.

Frozen paint balls of bias first burst in the face of the Kenai Natives Association last summer, when the skeleton of inequality tumbled from a closet in the state justice system. Rick Segura, president and CEO of the Kenai Natives Association, was exploring business opportunities for his small Native corporation, when he discovered that only 7 percent of Alaska's general population are Native males, but 37 percent of Alaska's prison population are Native men. A few phone calls later, Rick learned that over 300 Alaska Natives are imprisoned in the desert of Arizona, far from the cultural and behavioral support systems necessary for rehabilitation, victims of a stop-gap measure to relieve in-state prison overcrowding, now inexcusably in its seventh year.

While these men have committed serious crimes, Rick found that few Alaska Native prisoners fit conventional patterns of criminal behavior and most do not respond to conventional correctional programs. His research confirmed what Rick had always suspected: Except for the ravage of alcohol, most Native prisoners would have led and can, again, lead productive lives.

How tragic to commit a violent crime, harbor little or no recollection of the event, and live in exile with only pain and remorse as your cellmates.



The economic benefits for all Alaskans are many: local consumption of materials, goods and services associated with a major construction project; over 300 construction jobs; roughly 250 permanent prison jobs; and over \$20 million annually spent in Alaska, rather than Arizona.

Rick doesn't excuse his brothers' behavior, but he is concerned that the state seems ill-equipped or unable to break the cycle of destruction. The Alaska Judicial Council and University of Alaska Justice Center have repeatedly reported on cultural bias in our judicial system. Yet, simply put, we Alaskans continue to incarcerate more of our indigenous neighbors, per capita, than any other state, by overwhelming margins.

The Kenai Natives Association cannot fix the state justice system, but their board of directors has decided that they can commit their limited resources to reducing recidivism among Alaska Natives.

This month the Kenai Natives Association teamed with Cornell Companies and the Kenai Peninsula Borough to offer the state an alternative to out-of-state incarceration. The borough intends to sell bonds to finance a privately built and operated prison on Kenai Natives Association land adjacent to the existing, state-operated, Wildwood Correctional Center. The prison will be built to the highest standards of the industry and meet, or exceed, the security standards of the state Department of Corrections. Beyond conventional programs offered by the state, KNA's vision is to offer the Alaska Native community the opportunity to take responsibility for programs designed to target and eliminate the re-

volving door of Native incarceration.

The economic benefits for all Alaskans are many: local consumption of materials, goods and services associated with a major construction project; over 300 construction jobs; roughly 250 permanent prison jobs; and over \$20 million annually spent in Alaska, rather than Arizona.

The social benefits for all Alaskans are even more compelling: empowering Alaska Natives as stakeholders in the healing of their fathers, sons and brothers, as well as returning all Alaskan offenders imprisoned in Arizona nearer to the support systems necessary to effect life changing behavior.

On Dec. 19, 2000, the board of directors of the Alaska Federation of Natives passed a resolution which states, in part: "The AFN Board of Directors does fully endorse and support the Kenai Natives Association Inc. in the development of their private prison project."

As neighbors, we can all take a small step toward reconciliation by supporting the Kenai Natives Association. As a society, we have nothing to lose and everything to gain by seeking new solutions to old problems.

■ Frank Prewitt is an Anchorage attorney and former commissioner of the Department of Corrections under Walter J. Hickel. He consults for Cornell Corrections and the Kenai Natives Association.

CS HB 149 (FIN)

ISSUE: Opponents to the Kenai Prison Criticize the legislation as

- 1) An end run on the competitive process.
- 2) Lacking specificity as to cost.
- 3) Not having an approved site.
- 4) Failing to accommodate Delta Junction.

FACTS:

- ◆ The Kenai Peninsula Borough (KPB) has completed a competitive procurement similar to the procedures established in the State Procurement Code to select a private, third party contractor to promote, design, build and operate the prison for the first five years.
- ◆ As regards competition between regions, any other borough or municipal government in Alaska could have gone through a similar process and offered the State a similar package.
- ◆ The Kenai Peninsula Borough and its contractor have verified through planning that an 800 bed medium security prison, meeting state standards, can be built and operated for the proposed 89 per day per diem.
- ◆ The Kenai Peninsula Borough has held public hearings on the prison site and approved the Kenai Natives Association site, next to the Wildwood Correctional Center.
- ◆ The Delta Junction prison lawsuit has been settled with parties agreeing not to pursue a prison in that community.
- ◆ Benefits: 300-400 prevailing wage construction jobs; 250 ± permanent Alaska prison jobs; \$18 million per year returned to the Alaska economy; Alaska Native Empowerment.

ANCHORAGE JAIL ANNUALIZED COSTS PROJECTED FOR FY 2003

OPERATING COSTS* (PER BED PER DAY)	
Institutional Budget	\$ 68.29 /bed/day
Inmate Health Care	\$ 16.69 /bed/day
Indirect expenses	\$ 06.23 /bed/day
Inmate Programs	\$ 05.93 /bed/day
Admin. & Support	\$ 04.08 /bed/day
Total Operating Costs	\$ 101.22 /bed/day

CAPITAL COSTS** (TOTAL CAPITAL EXPENDITURES)	
Total Amount of Bonds	\$ 60.44M
20 years Interest	<u>\$ 42.98M</u>
Subtotal Capital Costs	\$ 103.42M
$\$ 103.42M \div 20 \text{ years} = \$ 5.17M / \text{year average}$	
$\$ 5.17M / \text{year} \div (400 \text{ beds @ } 365 \text{ days}) = \$ 35.42 / \text{bed/day}$	
Total Capital Costs	\$ 35.42 /bed/day

**Daily per Bed Operating Cost of \$101.22 + Daily per Bed Capital Expense of \$35.42 =
\$136.64 /bed/day**

* Data taken from the "Anchorage Jail Monthly Budget Report" dated January 2001 and Department of Corrections "Daily Cost of Care" document dated 12/7/2000. The Institutional Budget figure is based on Department of Corrections figures prepared for FY02 and FY03 operational budget request.

** Capital Costs are from the "Municipality of Anchorage - Lease Revenue Bonds, Correctional Facility, Series 2000 - Bond Debt Service Schedule".

CITY OF SOLDOTNA
177 NORTH BIRCH • SOLDOTNA, ALASKA 99669 • PHONE: (907) 262-9107

City of Soldotna

177 North Birch • Soldotna, Alaska 99669 • Phone: (907) 262-9107



April 24, 2001

Senator Pete Kelly
Via Fax: (907) 465-5241

Subject: HB-149

Dear Senator Kelly:

Attached you will find a copy of the City's Resolution No. 2001-27, and a copy of the City Council minutes regarding that resolution. This resolution pertains to the prison issue. I am requesting that you distribute a copy of the attached to all Senate Finance Committee members before the 9:00 am hearing on April 25th. Mr. Boedeker, Soldotna City Manager will be at our Legislative Affairs office to listen to the hearing. If you need any further information please contact me.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Kathy Langfitt".

Kathy Langfitt
Admin. Assistant to the City Manager
/kl

**CITY OF SOLDOTNA
RESOLUTION 2001-27**

**A RESOLUTION OPPOSING THE CONSTRUCTION OF A PRIVATE PRISON IN OR
NEAR KENAI, ALASKA AS CURRENTLY PROPOSED**

WHEREAS, a proposal has been advanced for construction of a privately operated prison facility in or near the City of Kenai, Alaska; and

WHEREAS, the Kenai Peninsula Borough proposes to finance construction of the facility using tax exempt bonding; and

WHEREAS, the privately operated prisons raise significant policy issues as to the method of operating and monitoring the incarceration of prisoners; and

WHEREAS, the current proposal before the Kenai Peninsula Borough Assembly combines the decisions of location, who will design, who will construct and who will ultimately operate the facility into a single selection decision; and

WHEREAS, various cost "savings" have been identified for a private vs. public operated prison, but no design or training or staffing levels have been specifically identified; and

WHEREAS, you cannot adequately analyze the projected "savings" without knowing these factors; and

WHEREAS, the City Council of Soldotna deems it in the best interest of the State that a prison should be publicly run, and decisions not be based on insuring a return to investors; and

WHEREAS, even if a privately operated facility makes sense, the proposal as now advanced provides inadequate detail to determine if it is safe or prudent or cost effective; and

WHEREAS, the City Council is supportive of the construction of a prison in the area proposed if a socio-economic analysis shows this location to be feasible and prudent; and

WHEREAS, providing public financing for a project this size within a small community area such as the central peninsula should not occur without voter approval;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SOLDOTNA, ALASKA:

The Soldotna City Council supports the construction of a public rather than private prison within this area. The City Council opposes any private prison proposal unless


much more information is provided to evaluate the safety and cost savings projected from using a privately operated facility.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SOLDOTNA, ALASKA:


The City Council does not support a private prison unless that prison meets all standards for staffing, training qualifications and security applicable to a prison operated by the State of Alaska.

This Resolution takes effect immediately upon adoption.

ADOPTED THIS 4th day of April 2001.


James A. Stogsdill, Vice Mayor

ATTEST:


Patricia C. Burdick, CMC, City Clerk

CITY OF SOLDOTNA

MINUTES OF MEETING

SOLDOTNA CITY COUNCIL7:30 PMAPRIL 4, 2001

PRESENT: Carey, Olson, Cox, Horn, Stein, Vice Mayor Stogsdill
ABSENT: None

1. **Pledge of Allegiance** – Vice Mayor Stogsdill opened the meeting at approximately 7:30 PM with the Pledge of Allegiance.
2. **Approval of Agenda Items** – The Agenda was approved as presented.
3. **Minutes of Previous Meeting: March 14, 2001** – The Minutes of the meeting of March 14, 2001 were approved as written.
4. **Public Presentations:**
 - A. **Tim Pope re: Airport Lease Rates** – Mr. Pope proposed that the increase in leases at the airport be set at 6% across the board or .069 cents per square foot. This would lower lease rates, but would help make some of the bigger lots a little more appealing by bringing them in line with other airports. He checked with various other airports and that is the going rate. He hoped it could be done this summer to help with business planning. He noted that insurance rates have increased, pinching the small business owner. Council Member Horn thought it might be discussed during the budget work sessions, which are coming up soon.
 - B. **Robert Ruffner, Kenai Watershed Forum re: Draft Water Quality Monitoring Memo of Understanding** – Mr. Ruffner, Kenai Watershed Forum Director, explained the water quality monitoring efforts they have been engaged in with the City of Soldotna. They are planning to conduct testing this summer to establish a base line. In exchange for funding for equipment, the City of Soldotna utility department staff will conduct tests on the water samples, which will be gathered by volunteers. He noted the City of Soldotna has taken a lead role in the study efforts. It is an ongoing process and the second year inquiry will take a broad look at the river.
 - C. **Assembly President Tim Navarre and Assembly Vice President Pete Sprague re: Private Prison Project** – Mr. Navarre discussed where the Assembly was on the prison issue. He explained that the Kenai Native Association came to the Borough to see what the Borough thought of the idea. They were thinking of having plans developed for a private prison, and it has evolved from there. This is the biggest thing the State has done for some time. Debate has been happening on the Assembly level as well as the private level. The Borough is encouraging our legislators. If the State is going to build a private prison, we are willing to do it. The Borough is protecting itself every step of the way. If the legislature would decide to go public, we could separate from our partners. We do want to have your input. He noted he had read the resolution and thought that he would rather the City take a position of continuing to cautiously get informed. We will try to do a better job of informing you what the legislature decided to do. The State is the one who decide to put money into it. There should be an 18 to 20% savings over the current State's numbers. They are looking at \$96 per bed, while the new jail in Anchorage is

coming in at \$130 per bed. Mr. Navarre asked that Council allow them to inform them during the process, but cautioned that the Assembly did not yet have all the information.

Mr. Sprague noted he had supported this project, but has urged caution. He was looking forward to hearing the discussion on Resolution 2001-27.

Council Member Carey noted that the timeline was extremely short and wondered what the options were. Mr. Navarre responded if everything connected, design might be started early next year with construction late in 2002 or spring of 2003 and opening the fall of 2003 or first quarter of 2004. He noted they are trying to find out if the legislature would approve the Kenai Peninsula as a site. He said early numbers did not commit them to anything. They didn't know what would happen.

Council Member Olson stated he didn't know the nature of the lawsuit between Cornell and the City of Delta Junction, but wondered how it pertained. Mr. Navarre responded that Cornell was not involved at all, but is between two other parties.

5. Consent Agenda:

- A. Resolution 2001-22 – Authorizing Purchase of FY2000-2001 Calcium Chloride**
- B. Resolution 2001-23 – Accepting the Results and Certifying the Runoff Election of March 27, 2001**
- C. Resolution 2001-24 – Authorizing the City Manager to Accept a Grant of \$3,000 from the Kenai Watershed Forum and Enter into a Memorandum of Agreement with the Forum**
- D. Resolution 2001-26 – Authorizing the Submission of a Loan Questionnaire to the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation for their Alaska Clean Water Fund Program**

It was moved by Stein, seconded by Cox, for approval of the Consent Agenda. The vote on the motion was:

YES: Cox, Horn, Olson, Stein, Stogsdill, Carey
 NO: None
 ABSENT: None

Motion passed.

6. Ordinances, Public Hearings:

- A. Ordinance 738 – Adopting New and Revised Budgets for Capital Projects for Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2001 (City Transportation Study) – Vice Mayor Stogsdill opened the public hearing for Ordinance 738. No one from the public wished to be heard, and the public hearing was closed. It was moved by Carey, seconded by Stein, for enactment of Ordinance 738. The vote on the motion was:**

YES: Cox, Horn, Olson, Stein, Stogsdill, Carey
 NO: None
 ABSENT: None

Motion passed.

7. Ordinances, First Reading:

- A. Ordinance 739 – Amending Title 16 (Sign Code) of the Soldotna Municipal Code to Change the Allowable Sign Area from 81 Square Feet to 110 Square Feet (Set public hearing for April 25, 2001) – It was moved by Horn, seconded by Olson, for introduction of Ordinance 739, setting the public hearing for April 25, 2001. The vote on the motion was:**

YES: Horn, Olson, Stein, Stogsdill, Carey
 NO: Cox
 ABSENT: None

Motion passed.

8. Resolutions:

A. Resolution 2001- 20 – Authorizing Contract Change Order No. One (1) for the East Redoubt Avenue Project (Phase 1) in the Additional Amount of \$17,563.60 – It was moved by Carey, seconded by Stein, for adoption of Resolution 2001-20. Mr. Boedeker noted this change order was to address a field change in the project, which had been negotiated for work on a blind drain in an area where the soils did not easily percolate water. The vote on the motion was:

YES: Cox, Horn, Olson, Stein, Stogsdill, Carey
NO: None
ABSENT: None

Motion passed.

B. Resolution 2001-21 – Authorizing Contract Change Order No. Two (2) for the East Redoubt Avenue Project (Phase 1) in the Additional Amount of \$99,727.50 It was moved by Stein, seconded by Cox, for adoption of Resolution 2001-21. Mr. Boedeker noted this change order was a result of an additional sum of funding for the project. The paving would be extended to the corner of Keystone and Redoubt Avenue. Continuing with the project at this time prior to Phase II will allow us to minimize grading and dust control.

The vote on the motion was:

YES: Cox, Horn, Olson, Stein, Stogsdill, Carey
NO: None
ABSENT: None

Motion passed.

C. Resolution 2001-27 – Opposing the Construction of a Private Prison in or Near Kenai, Alaska as Currently Proposed – It was moved by Horn, seconded by Carey, for Introduction of Resolution 2001-37. It was then moved by Carey, seconded by Horn, to amend the resolution by adding the words, "If a socio-economic analysis shows this location to be feasible and prudent; and", at the end of the ninth Whereas.

Council Member Carey stated the City did support the construction of a prison, but we want to see very firm data such as adequate housing for those who will move here, the need for additional schools and other economic disruptions to the area. We want to see on paper and discussed those issues so we can see it actually adds to the economic community. We do not believe a private prison is a good idea. The vote on the amendment was:

YES: Cox, Horn, Olson, Stein, Stogsdill, Carey
NO: None
ABSENT: None

Motion passed.

Vice Mayor Stogsdill remarked that no one on Council would try to minimize the economic benefits the building of a facility of this size would mean to the cities here and the borough as a whole because a number of jobs would result and we all believe those things are very important. We tried to indicate in the resolution that we are in favor of this project; however, we also believe that the penal system is a fundamental obligation of government and shouldn't be handed over into private hands, mainly because when you look for the assurances of training and pay and benefits and security, they wind up being negotiated after everything has been completed. The facility itself will be completed before you wind up in negotiations on staffing requirements, etc. I think there are a number of concerns

involving a private prison that are worrisome to a lot of people. I have heard a lot of people say they don't want a private prison, and no objections to a prison run by the state government. I think that is fundamentally our position. As I heard in a public hearing held by the borough last week the position of whether or not this prison will be operated by the state or operated privately is in the hands of the legislature. We felt it was important for us to say to the legislature that we are in favor of a prison, but not a privately run prison, and it is the obligation of the state to house and care for those who are being deprived of their freedom through convictions. He didn't think you could go through the entire judicial system which is run by the government and then when it is time to incarcerate, turn that over to a profiteer. He went on to say there are many things about private prisons that are concerning people in the area. Council's intent is to say we support your prison project. It would be a good economic thing for this area assuming all the other conditions and things work out. We do not believe a privately run prison is in the best interests of the borough, and that's what we have tried to say with this resolution. We would even be supportive of a private prison were all of these factors adhered to.

Vice Mayor Stogsdill continued that hearings were held in the finance legislative subcommittee on HB 149, the prison bill. A person from the Department of Corrections was present and he indicated to the subcommittee that the state now pays \$54.40 for each prisoner per day that is being held in Arizona by Corrections Corporation of America. There are over 800 prisoners who would be moved back here. The \$54.40 figure is compared to the \$89 figure which somebody has posted as a reasonable rate for a privately run prison here. That is a seven million dollar a year increase in the state budget. Corrections said they could operate the prison for \$90 per day. That doesn't sound like a cost savings of 15 or 20%.

Assembly President Navarre responded that he was briefed on that hearing, but didn't hear it himself. He guessed this had been a good debate for the state, and noted they should have had it for Delta Junction. The \$89 figure was the number the borough brought forward during the RFQ process. That says a lot for the team effort the borough had done up to this time. He thought the Department of Corrections would agree that they are better suited to operate a prison. The state is already in the position of using a private prison. He noted he would be presenting the city with a book with all the previous newspaper articles, resolutions, house bills and other information. He felt it would answer some of the questions. He said he would agree with some of the things Council was saying if they wanted to send a message to the legislature. Unfortunately some people will see this resolution and say the City of Soldotna is opposed to a prison. He felt they probably should have had two resolutions. We are trying to inform you of the issues you are concerned with; we need to work together. He assured Council they would be invited to future meetings so they could see where the debate has gone. He agreed a lot of things had to be fleshed out.

The vote on the motion as amended was:

YES: Cox, Horn, Olson, Steh, Stogsdill, Carey
 NO: None
 ABSENT: None

Motion passed.

9. Reports from Advisory Boards & Commissions:

- A. Planning & Zoning Commission Meeting of March 21, 2001 - No comments.
- B. Parks and Recreation Advisory Board Meeting of March 27, 2001 - This report will be available later.

10. Mayor's Report - Vice Mayor Stogsdill felt that the Assembly was doing an outstanding job of trying to increase the economy of the borough, even though sometimes we agree to disagree on some issues. He stated nobody could ask for better representation.



Alaska State Legislature

Please enter into the record my testimony to the

SENATE
FINANCE COMMITTEE
committee name

Committee on

HB 149 Private Prison, dated 26 Apr 2001
bill # / subject

Is there any guarantee that the prisoners housed
at the proposed Kenai Prison will in fact be Alaskan
inmates now housed in Arizona?

Signed:

David R. Carty, Mayor
Testifier

City of Soldotna
Representing (optional)

Box 718 Soldotna, Ak 99669
Address

260-6413
Phone number

SITE: Kenai LIO

COMMITTEE: SFIN

DATE: 4-26-01

SUBJECT OF MEETING:

HB149 Private Prison

UPDATE #: Update 2



PLEASE SIGN IN

PLEASE PRINT:

NAME	ADDRESS (MAILING & ZIP)	REPRESENTING	DO YOU WANT TO TESTIFY? Y OR N
Mayor Dave Carey HB 149	Box 718 Soldotna, AK 99669	City of Soldotna	N
Ronald T Rozak HB149	36641 Chinulna Dr. Kenai, AK 99611	Self	Y
Marge Hays HB149	Box 2876 Soldotna, AK 99669	Self	Y
Richard Segura HB149	215 Fidalgo Ave. Suite 101 Kenai, AK 99611	KNA Inc	Y
James Price HB149	Box 7043 Nikiski, AK 99635	Self	Y
Elsie Hendryx HB149	618 Fir Kenai, AK 99611	KNA Inc	Y
Susan Wells HB 149	37305 Cetacea	Self	Y
Mike Carpenter HB 149	37305 Cetacea	Self	Y
Carol Segura HB 149	320 Thompson Pl. Kenai, AK 99611	Self	Y
Michael Slezak HB149	215 Fedalgo Ave. Ste 101 Kenai, AK 99611	KNA Inc	Y

SITE: ANCHORAGE LIO

COMMITTEE: Senate Finance

DATE: 4-26-2001

SUBJECT OF MEETING:

HB 149-Correctional Facility
Space

UPDATE #:



PLEASE SIGN IN

PLEASE PRINT:

NAME

ADDRESS (MAILING & ZIP)

REPRESENTING

**DO YOU WANT
TO TESTIFY?
Y OR N**

NAME	ADDRESS (MAILING & ZIP)	REPRESENTING	DO YOU WANT TO TESTIFY? Y OR N
Richard VanHutten	840 Set Net Dr. Kenai, AK 99611	Pulic Saftey Employees Assoc.	Y- HB149
Dee Hubbard			Y-HB149

SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE

SIGN-IN

HB 149-PRIVATE PRISON IN KENAI

NAME: Margot Knuth Subject/Bill No: HB 149
Co./Dept./Title: Dept of Corrections Phone: 465-4338
Address: _____ Zip: _____

Do you wish to testify? Yes No Respond To Questions

NAME: _____ Subject/Bill No: _____
Co./Dept./Title: _____ Phone: _____
Address: _____ Zip: _____

Do you wish to testify? Yes No Respond To Questions

NAME: _____ Subject/Bill No: _____
Co./Dept./Title: _____ Phone: _____
Address: _____ Zip: _____

Do you wish to testify? Yes No Respond To Questions

NAME: _____ Subject/Bill No: _____
Co./Dept./Title: _____ Phone: _____
Address: _____ Zip: _____

Do you wish to testify? Yes No Respond To Questions

NAME: FRANK PREWITT Subject/Bill No: _____

Co./Dept./Title: CONSULTANT CORNELL COMPANIES Phone: 845-7152

Address: 8500 UPPER HUFFMAN RD Zip: 99516

Do you wish to testify? Yes No Respond To Questions

Do you wish to testify?

NAME: David Katzert Subject/Bill No: HB 149

Co./Dept./Title: _____ Phone: 780-3510

Address: 10540 Glacier Hwy #149 Zip: 99801

Do you wish to testify? Yes No Respond To Questions

~~SFC-01~~ SFC-01 -1- 4/26/01

Do you wish to testify? Yes No Respond To Questions

NAME: _____ Subject/Bill No: _____

Co./Dept./Title: _____ Phone: _____

Address: _____ Zip: _____

Do you wish to testify? Yes No Respond To Questions

NAME: _____ Subject/Bill No: _____

Co./Dept./Title: _____ Phone: _____

Address: _____ Zip: _____

Do you wish to testify? Yes No Respond To Questions

SFC-01 -2- 4/26/01
HB 149

SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE

SIGN-IN

HB 149-PRIVATE PRISON IN KENAI

NAME: ~~Steve Wright~~ Subject/Bill No: 149
Co./Dept./Title: Rep Mike Cherrault Phone: 3777
Address: _____ Zip: _____

Do you wish to testify? Yes No Respond To Questions

NAME: ~~Margaret Kinnin~~ Subject/Bill No: HB 149
Co./Dept./Title: Corrections Dept Phone: _____
Address: _____ Zip: _____

Do you wish to testify? Yes No Respond To Questions

NAME: Vern Jones Subject/Bill No: HB 149
Co./Dept./Title: Administration Dept Phone: _____
Address: _____ Zip: _____

Do you wish to testify? Yes No Respond To Questions

NAME: Tim Navarre Subject/Bill No: HB 149
Co./Dept./Title: PRIS. KIWAH PENINSULA BOROUGH Phone: _____
Address: _____ Zip: _____

Do you wish to testify? Yes No Respond To Questions

SITE: Kenai LIO

COMMITTEE: SFIN

DATE: 4-23-01

SUBJECT OF MEETING:

HB149: Private Prison in Kenai

UPDATE #: 2



PLEASE SIGN IN

PLEASE PRINT:

**DO YOU WANT
TO TESTIFY?
Y or N**

NAME

ADDRESS (MAILING & ZIP)

REPRESENTING

NAME	ADDRESS (MAILING & ZIP)	REPRESENTING	DO YOU WANT TO TESTIFY? Y or N
Tom Boedeker	177 N Birch St, Soldotna AK 99669	City of Soldotna	Y HB149
Marge Hays	PO Box 2876 Soldotna	self	Y HB149
Richard Van Hatten	840 Set Net Dr, Kenai AK 99611	Public Safety Empl. Assoc	Y HB149
James Price	PO Box 7043 Nikiski AK 99635	self	Y HB149

HB

154

HFIN

FILE



ALASKA STATE LEGISLATURE

REPRESENTATIVE DREW SCALZI
State Capitol, Juneau
Alaska 99801-1182

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

(907) 465-2689; (800) 665-2689

Fax: (907) 465-3472

Email: Representative_Drew_Scalzi@legis.state.ak.us

Sponsor Statement

HB 154: An Act relating to security for the payment of fishery business taxes and to payment of estimated fisheries resource landing taxes and penalties.

In recent years the fishing industry has seen the development of fish "brokers" who much like e-commerce businesses, facilitate or broker interstate commerce between parties without actually handling the product. Because these brokers export the product, they are subject to the fisheries business tax. However, some businesses may have a small working capital and may not possess "real" property of lienable value against which a tax may be collected should the business default.

Presently, absent a lienable value of property equal to three times the amount of the estimated tax, a surety bond must be paid equal to twice the estimated amount of the tax. The cost of the bond is a burden to a company operating on a small margin.

This bill amends the statutes by offering an additional option so that applicants may avoid posting a bond for twice the amount of the estimated taxes if the business:

- Remits all tax obligations on a monthly basis by paying the taxes due on or before the 15th day of the month following the month in which the tax liability is incurred; and
- files a bond in the amount of \$50,000; or
- provides the department with proof that the applicant is the owner of lienable real property in the state of a value of at least \$100,000

Should the broker file a \$50,000 bond, it must be conditioned upon payment to the fisherman of the full purchase price for the product and the payment of taxes, interest and penalties in full. If the applicant posts other security acceptable to the department, or prepays the entire estimated tax, the bond may be waived.

The language change in Section 2. was requested by the Department of Revenue to correct and clarify the landing tax statutes that require taxpayers to make estimated landing tax payments.

This bill reduces the operating costs of fish broker businesses and facilitates competition and increased markets for fishermen, while ensuring a measure of security for fisheries business taxes.

PH/3/8/01

THE AUCTION BLOCK co.

Alaska's Internet Fish Auction

P.O. BOX 2228
770 FISH DOCK ROAD #7
HOMER ALASKA 99603

Phone 907-235-7267
Fax 907-235-4833
E-mail: fishbid@sys.net
Web Site: www.auctionblock-ak.com

To: Representative Drew Sautz
Senator Al Austerman
Finance Committee
Fisheries Committee

From: Kevin Hogan President
The Auction Block Co.

Re: HB 154

Gentlemen:

I would like to voice my support for your efforts in updating our fish tax legislation with the presentation of HB 154. It has been a number of years since the current law was passed and in that time frame, there have been drastic changes in the industry. Our Company, The Auction Block Co., has been in existence for four years, in that time frame becoming the largest buyer of halibut in the state. We have an excellent track record in paying our debts, and have provided the state with substantial tax revenues. The concept of an online fish auction is new, and as a small business operating on slim margins the option of prepaying or bonding an extremely large fish tax liability is very difficult. The proposed legislation protects the interest of the State of Alaska while not being so restrictive as to preclude small and developing businesses from operating or punishing them for being successful. If you have any questions or if I could have the opportunity to testify at committee hearings, please contact me at my office at the above listed number.

Keep up the good work

Kevin Hogan
President
The Auction Block Company

Supporting Docs



Alaska State Legislature

Official Business

REPRESENTATIVE DREW SCALZI
State Capitol
Juneau, Alaska 99801-1182

(907) 405-2689; (800) 665-2689
FAX: (907) 465-3472

Representative_Drew_Scalzi@legis.state.ak.us

March 16, 2001

Bob Scott
Salamantoff Seafoods

5 pages
Via Fax 283-8499

Dear Bob:

Recently I introduced House Bill 154 relating to payment of security for fishery business taxes and to payment of the fisheries resource landing tax.

The bill was heard in Fisheries Committee last night and I asked that it be held for a week so that I could get input from brokers, canneries and processors before its next Fisheries Committee hearing on March 19 at 5 p.m.

As all of us in the fishing industry know, canneries pay a raw fish tax on an annual basis to the state after putting up a security bond equal to twice the estimated fish tax or showing proof of lienable property equal to three times the estimated tax. Now, since the advent of IFQs, 90% of the product leaves the state fresh from mid-March to mid-November, much of it moved by "fresh fish brokers," a new business concept for the fishing industry, to be sure. These brokers are categorized with the canneries and are also required to front the same security, although most have little or no lienable property, which means a huge estimated tax payment up front.


At this point, this bill is more advantageous to these "brokers" by allowing monthly payments with a \$50,000 security bond to be paid or proof of lienable property in the amount of \$100,000. I initially inferred that the collection provisions included processors as well. However, after discussions with the Department of Revenue, I realize this concept is immature at this time. The Department is not prepared to hire additional staff to accommodate the payment tracking a larger number of processors would require, compared to the handful of brokers operating presently. It also is not comfortable with allowing the larger number of canneries to operate without a security deposit commensurate with the anticipated tax return and feels it would place a burden on both the state and municipalities for collection procedures as well as a potential risk of not collecting monies in a timely manner.

I have discussed working with the Department of Revenue to develop a comprehensive bill that would provide a reasonable tax collection method for both brokers and processors while protecting the interests of the state and municipalities who depend on these revenues. DOR estimates it would take a year to draft such a bill; we will work on this legislation over this interim and introduce it next session.

At this time, I feel HB 154 bill is a good start toward encouraging entrepreneurship in the marketing our fresh halibut, while increasing and protecting our state's fish tax coffers. I look forward to your input over the interim on developing new legislation that will address and resolve the many variables in our fishery tax collection procedures.

Please feel free to call me about HB 154 if you have further questions or input on the legislation we will be drafting over the interim.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Drew Scalzi".

Rep. Drew Scalzi

DS:ph

Enclosure: HB 154

DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE

Tax Division

Bill Analysis

Bill: HB 154

Prepared by: Chuck Harlament

Date: March 9, 2001

Introduction—The bill modifies the tax-payment security requirement necessary to obtain a state fisheries business tax license. The existing security requirement for a whole-salmon exporter would be replaced with a new payment security option available to any exporter of any unprocessed fisheries resource.

Under the bill, exporters of unprocessed fish (for example, a fish broker) could obtain a fisheries business license by posting a \$50,000 surety bond. The \$50,000 surety bond option is currently available only to whole-salmon exporters and is not currently used by any exporter. In addition, this legislation would require exporters to pay fish taxes monthly.

The bill also requires quarterly payment of estimated fisheries resource landing taxes.

Because of the change in security accepted by the state for payment of the fisheries business tax, the potential risk of unpaid fisheries business taxes increases under the bill — although we believe the change in risk would be small.

Assumptions—The fiscal effect assumes that the number of taxpayers that elect the alternate security requirements does not exceed five and that compliance is consistent with that of existing taxpayers.

Program Summary—The Tax Division will need to provide forms, and collect and account for monthly payment of taxes that are currently paid on an annual basis. In addition, revenue sharing will be more complicated under the bill than under current law.

Computations—N/A

Economic Impact—Prices received by fisherman could increase if the bill fosters increased competition among fish buyers by making it easier for new buyers to enter the market.

The "in-the-state" language on Line 30 may serve to disadvantage in-state processors relative to out-of-state processors, and the Tax Division recommends a change in this section.

Impact on Local Government—Local governments, in general, could benefit to the extent their share of fisheries business taxes rises with raw fish prices.

LEGAL SERVICES

DIVISION OF LEGAL AND RESEARCH SERVICES
LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS AGENCY
STATE OF ALASKA

(907) 465-3867 or 465-2450
FAX (907) 465-2029
Mail Stop 3101

State Capitol
Juneau, Alaska 99801-1182
Deliveries to: 125 6th St., Rm. 329

MEMORANDUM

March 10, 2001

SUBJECT: Sectional Summary of CSHB 154(), relating to security for the payment of fishery business taxes and to payment of the fisheries resource landing tax.

TO: Representative Drew Scalzi
Attn: Pat Hartley

FROM: George Utermohle
Legislative Counsel

You have requested a sectional summary of CSHB 154() (draft version F), relating to security for the payment of fishery business taxes and to payment of the fisheries resource landing tax.

A summary of a bill should not be considered an authoritative interpretation of the bill. The bill itself is the best statement of its contents.

Section 1 of the bill amends AS 43.75.055 in regard to the security that must be provided for the payment of fishery business taxes due under AS 43.75. Certain persons who do not process fishery resources in the state but who are otherwise obligated to pay fishery business taxes may avoid certain requirements regarding security for the payment of the taxes if they pay each month the fishery business taxes and certain other taxes and assessments that are due, remit each month to the Department of Revenue certain taxes and assessment that they have collected on behalf of the state, and provide appropriate security for the payment of fisheries business taxes.

A person who fails to pay the fishery business taxes when due is subject to civil penalties under AS 43.05.220.

Section 2 of the bill amends AS 43.77.020(b) relating to filing of returns and payment of the fisheries resource landing tax. The fisheries resource landing tax is to be paid quarterly. A person who is liable for the payment of the fisheries resource landing tax and who pays each quarter an amount that is at least 25 percent of the amount of tax paid in the prior tax year may avoid any interest and penalties on the amount of any underpayment if the amount of the underpayment is paid at or before the time when the fisheries resource landing tax return for that year must be filed with the department.

If I may be of further assistance, please advise.

GU:lmb
01-079.lmb

Sectional Analysis

FISCAL NOTE

STATE OF ALASKA
2001 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

Fiscal Note Number: 1
Bill Version: CSHB 154(FSH)
(H) Publish Date: 3/22/01

Revision Date/Time (Note if correction): _____ Dept. Affected: Revenue
Title: Collection of Fishery Business Taxes BRU: Operations
Sponsor: Representative Drew Scalzi Component: Tax Division
Requester: House Resources Component Number: 2476

Expenditures/Revenues (Thousands of Dollars)

Note: Amounts do not include inflation unless otherwise noted below.

OPERATING EXPENDITURES	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007
Personal Services						
Travel						
Contractual						
Supplies						
Equipment						
Land & Structures						
Grants & Claims						
Miscellaneous						
TOTAL OPERATING	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

CAPITAL EXPENDITURES						
-----------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

CHANGE IN REVENUES ()	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-------------------------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------

FUND SOURCE (Thousands of Dollars)

1002 Federal Receipts						
1003 GF Match						
1004 GF						
1005 GF/Program Receipts						
1037 GF/Mental Health						
Other (Specify Type)						
TOTAL	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Estimate of any current year (FY2001) cost: 0.0

Check this box (X) if funding for this bill is included in the Governor's FY 2002 budget proposal:

POSITIONS

Full-time						
Part-time						
Temporary						

ANALYSIS: (Attach a separate page if necessary)

See attached analysis

Prepared by: Chuck Harlamert Phone 465-4773
Division: Tax Division Date/Time 3/20/01 2:30pm
Approved by: Larry Persily, Deputy Commissioner Date 03/21/2001
Agency: Department of Revenue

For distribution information, call the Governor's Legislative Office

DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE

Tax Division Bill Analysis

Bill Version: CS HB 154 (Fisheries)
Prepared by: Chuck Harlament
Date: 3/20/01

Introduction—The bill modifies the tax payment security requirements necessary to obtain a state fisheries business tax license. The existing security requirement for a whole-salmon exporter would be replaced with a new payment security option available to any exporter of any unprocessed fisheries resources.

Under the bill, exporters of unprocessed fish (for example, a fish broker) could obtain a fisheries business license by posting a \$50,000 surety bond. The \$10,000 surety bond option is currently available only to whole-salmon exporters and is not currently used by any exporter.

The bill also requires quarterly payment of estimated fisheries resource landing taxes.

Because of the change in security accepted by the state for payment of the fisheries business tax, the potential risk of unpaid fisheries business taxes increases under the bill – although we believe the change in risk would be small.

Assumptions—The fiscal effect assumes that the number of taxpayers who elect the alternate-security requirements does not exceed five and that compliance is consistent with that of existing taxpayers.

Program Summary—The Tax Division will need to provide forms, and collect and account for monthly payment of taxes that are currently paid on an annual basis. In addition, revenue sharing will be more complicated under the bill than under current law.

Computations—N/A

Economic Impact—Prices received by fisherman could possibly increase if the bill fosters increased competition among fish buyers by making it easier for new buyers to enter the market.

Impact on Local Government—Local governments, in general, could benefit to the extent their share of fisheries business taxes could rise with raw fish prices as a result of this bill. The bill could cause shifts in the allocation of shared revenue between local governments.

FISCAL NOTE

STATE OF ALASKA
2001 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

Fiscal Note Number: 1
Bill Version: CSHB 154(FSH)
(H) Publish Date: 3/22/01

Revision Date/Time (Note if correction): _____ Dept. Affected: Revenue
Title: Collection of Fishery Business Taxes BRU: Operations
Component: Tax Division
Sponsor: Representative Drew Scalzi
Requester: House Resources Component Number: 2476

Expenditures/Revenues (Thousands of Dollars)

Note: Amounts do not include inflation unless otherwise noted below.

OPERATING EXPENDITURES	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007
Personal Services						
Travel						
Contractual						
Supplies						
Equipment						
Land & Structures						
Grants & Claims						
Miscellaneous						
TOTAL OPERATING	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

CAPITAL EXPENDITURES						
-----------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

CHANGE IN REVENUES ()	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-------------------------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------

FUND SOURCE (Thousands of Dollars)

1002 Federal Receipts						
1003 GF Match						
1004 GF						
1005 GF/Program Receipts						
1037 GF/Mental Health						
Other (Specify Type)						
TOTAL	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Estimate of any current year (FY2001) cost: 0.0

Check this box (X) if funding for this bill is included in the Governor's FY 2002 budget proposal:

POSITIONS

Full-time						
Part-time						
Temporary						

ANALYSIS: (Attach a separate page if necessary)

See attached analysis

Prepared by: Chuck Harlamert Phone 465-4773
Division: Tax Division Date/Time 3/20/01 2:30pm
Approved by: Larry Persily, Deputy Commissioner Date 03/21/2001
Agency: Department of Revenue

For distribution information, call the Governor's Legislative Office

DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE

Tax Division Bill Analysis

Bill Version: CS HB 154 (Fisheries)
Prepared by: Chuck Harlamert
Date: 3/20/01

Introduction—The bill modifies the tax payment security requirements necessary to obtain a state fisheries business tax license. The existing security requirement for a whole-salmon exporter would be replaced with a new payment security option available to any exporter of any unprocessed fisheries resources.

Under the bill, exporters of unprocessed fish (for example, a fish broker) could obtain a fisheries business license by posting a \$50,000 surety bond. The \$50,000 surety bond option is currently available only to whole-salmon exporters and is not currently used by any exporter.

The bill also requires quarterly payment of estimated fisheries resource landing taxes.

Because of the change in security accepted by the state for payment of the fisheries business tax, the potential risk of unpaid fisheries business taxes increases under the bill – although we believe the change in risk would be small.

Assumptions—The fiscal effect assumes that the number of taxpayers who elect the alternate-security requirements does not exceed five and that compliance is consistent with that of existing taxpayers.

Program Summary—The Tax Division will need to provide forms, and collect and account for monthly payment of taxes that are currently paid on an annual basis. In addition, revenue sharing will be more complicated under the bill than under current law.

Computations—N/A

Economic Impact—Prices received by fisherman could possibly increase if the bill fosters increased competition among fish buyers by making it easier for new buyers to enter the market.

Impact on Local Government—Local governments, in general, could benefit to the extent their share of fisheries business taxes could rise with raw fish prices as a result of this bill. The bill could cause shifts in the allocation of shared revenue between local governments.