

LEG. FINANCE - BILLS 1981 - 1982 1612
CSSB 86 cont. - CSSB 89

1612

1 (c) The estimated true costs shall be reduced by the difference,
2 if any, between the estimated amount of money which the state will re-
3 ceive by 1992 from the sale of the state lands at the capital site to
4 private persons and their estimated value on the day before the vote
5 required by this section is held. The estimated true costs shall also
6 be reduced by the estimated cost of any currently planned construction
7 of new or enlarged facilities at Juneau for central government func-
8 tions which will not be constructed or enlarged if the capital is relo-
9 cated by 1992. This specification here does not preclude the commis-
10 sion from making other adjustments to arrive at the true costs.

11 (d) The New Capital Site Planning Commission shall, on August 15,
12 1982, provide the legislature, the governor, the lieutenant governor,
13 the director of elections, and the public with a report setting out the
14 cost estimate required by this section and the number of central gov-
15 ernment employees to be relocated from existing, named locations to the
16 new capital.

17 (e) After receipt of the report of the New Capital Site Planning
18 Commission, the director of elections shall prepare a ballot title to
19 provide for a vote on the question of approving the expenditure of
20 amount of the true cost of relocation estimated by the commission. The
21 ballot shall also include the number of central government employees to
22 be relocated from existing, named locations to the new capital. If a
23 majority of votes cast on the question approve the expenditure, an
24 amount equal to the estimate may be expended to relocate the capital.
25 If the majority of votes cast on the question do not approve the expen-
26 diture, no money may be expended, and the Capital Relocation Initiative
27 (AS 44.06.100 -- 44.06.190), the FRANK Initiative (AS 44.06.195, 44.-
28 06.196), the laws establishing the New Capital Site Planning Commission
29 (AS 44.06.200 -- 44.06.260) and the Alaska Capital City Development

1 Corporation (AS 44.07), and the Relocation Indemnification Act (AS 44.-
2 08) are repealed.

3 (f) In this section,

4 (1) "functional capital city" means a city having facilities
5 to serve the needs of the state's central government offices, including
6 the supreme court, the intermediate appellate court, the office of the
7 governor, the legislature and its agencies, the central government em-
8 ployees, and the facilities prescribed by AS 44.06.130;

9 (2) "central government employee, function, or office" means
10 an employee, function, or office principally involved in matters which
11 concern statewide, as opposed to regional or local, activities of the
12 state government.

13 * Sec. 2. This Act takes effect immediately in accordance with AS 01.-
14 10.070(c).

WORK DRAFT COPY

WORK DRAFT COPY

WORK DRAFT COPY

EH/2

4-8-81

1 IN THE SENATE

BY THE FINANCE COMMITTEE

2 HOUSE CS FOR CS FOR SENATE BILL NO. 86 (Finance)

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 TWELFTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to relocation of the state capital,
7 and repealing and reenacting the law enacted by the
8 initiative popularly known as the 'FRANK Initiative'
9 to provide for the determination of the costs of
0 capital relocation."

1 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

2 * Section 1. AS 44.06.196 is repealed and reenacted to read:

3 Sec. 44.06.196. CAPITAL RELOCATION EXPENDITURES. (a) State money
4 may be expended to relocate physically a functional state capital includ-
5 ing but not limited to the governor's office, the legislature, and offices
6 of the heads of the principal departments from their present location
7 only after a majority of those voting on the proposition at the 1982
8 general election has approved the ballot proposition set out in (b) of
9 this section.

0 (b) The director of elections shall place on the 1982 general elec-
1 tion ballot the following ballot proposition:

2 "PROPOSITION

3 ADVISORY VOTE ON CAPITAL RELOCATION EXPENDITURES.

4 Shall \$_____ be expended by the state, by general
5 obligation bonds or appropriations, or both, to complete
6 the relocation of a functional state capital to the
7 new capital site at Willow by December 31, 19__, includ-
8 ing but not limited to the governor's office, the legis-
9 lature, and offices of the heads of the principal depart-

1 ments of state government? The dollar amount entered
2 above is based upon a finding of the Alaska Capital
3 City Development Corporation that approximately _____
4 central state employees will be relocated from Juneau
5 and _____ central state employees will be relocated
6 from Anchorage.

7 Yes []

8 No []"

9 (c) Before submitting the proposition to the voters, the director,
10 of elections shall obtain from the Alaska Capital City Development
11 Corporation, a corporation established under AS 44.07, the following
12 information for insertion into the proposition in the places provided:

13 (1) the dollar amount determined in accordance with (d) of this
14 section;

15 (2) the relocation completion date determined in accordance
16 with (e) of this section; and

17 (3) the number of central state employees to be relocated from
18 Juneau and Anchorage.

19 (d) The dollar amount which shall appear on the ballot proposition
20 set out in (b) of this section shall be the net cost of relocation, as
21 determined by the Alaska Capital City Development Corporation in accord-
22 ance with this section and AS 44.07.

23 (e) The relocation completion date to be entered on the ballot prop-
24 osition set out in (b) of this section is the date determined by the
25 Alaska Capital City Development Corporation to be the earliest practical
26 date by which a functional state capital can be established in the new
27 capital site at Willow.

28 (f) The Alaska Capital City Development Corporation shall determine:

29 (1) the relocation completion date as defined in (e) of this

1 section;

2 (2) the roads, streets, public utilities and
3 public facilities which will be required by the state
4 through the relocation completion date for the establishment of a func-
5 tional state capital in the new capital site at Willow;

6 (3) the number of central state employees to be relocated from
7 Juneau and Anchorage to the new capital site at Willow;

8 (4) the cost to the state through the relocation completion
9 date of establishing a functional state capital in the new capital site
10 at Willow, including the costs of:

11 (A) moving personnel and offices to Willow;

12 (B) planning, building, furnishing and financing the
13 state public facilities determined under subsection (f)(2) of this sec-
14 tion; and

15 (C) social, economic and environmental impact on Juneau
16 and the new capital site at Willow;

17 (5) an estimate of the revenues to be derived from the sale or
18 lease of lands within the new capital site which are transferred to the
19 Alaska Capital City Development Corporation under AS 44.07.180;

20 (6) the net cost of relocation, which shall be the amount det-
21 ermined under (f)(4) of this section minus the amount determined under
22 (f)(5) of this section.

23 (g) In this section:

24 (1) "relocate physically means the actual physical movement
25 of necessary personnel, files, furniture and related items from Juneau
26 and Anchorage to the new capital site at Willow;

27 (2) "functional state capital" means a city which has the
28 roads, streets, public utilities and public facilities necessary for
29 a seat of state government including but not limited to the governor's
30 office, the legislature, and offices of the heads of the principal
31 departments of state government.

Original Sponsors: Kerttula, Dankworth,
Stimson, et al

Offered: 4/9/81
Referred: Finance

1 IN THE SENATE BY THE STATE AFFAIRS COMMITTEE
2 HOUSE CS FOR CS FOR SENATE BILL NO. 86 (State Affairs)
3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA
4 TWELFTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to the relocation of the state
7 capital, and amending the law enacted by the initia-
8 tive popularly known as the 'FRANK Initiative'; and
9 providing for an effective date."

10 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

11 * Section 1. AS 44.06.196 is amended to read

12 Sec. 44.06.196. CAPITAL RELOCATION EXPENDITURES. (a) State
13 money may be expended to relocate physically the present functions of
14 the state government, including but not limited to, the legislature,
15 the governor's office and all offices of the heads of the principal
16 departments from their present location only after a majority of those
17 voting on the question in the 1982 general [A STATEWIDE] election have
18 approved a single ballot question [A BOND ISSUE] which authorizes
19 spending for the total [INCLUDES ALL BONDABLE] costs to the state
20 for completion of a functional capital city at Willow [OF THE RELOCA-
21 TION TO THE STATE] through the year 1992, as determined by the New
22 Capital Site Planning Commission (AS 44.06.200 - 44.06.260) [A COMMIS-
23 SION CREATED BY THE LEGISLATURE TO PLAN THE RELOCATION].

24 (b) The [IN DETERMINING THE BONDABLE COSTS TO THE STATE THE
25 COMMISSION SHALL ALSO DETERMINE THE] total costs to the state through
26 the year 1992 shall include [. THOSE COSTS SHALL INCLUDE, BUT NOT BE
27 LIMITED TO,] the costs of moving personnel and offices to Willow [THE
28 RELOCATION SITE]; the social, economic and environmental costs to the
29 present and relocation sites; the indemnification costs provided in

1 AS 44.08.010 - 44.08.080 and the costs of indemnifying central state
2 employees for their transfer to Willow; and [,] the cost to the state
3 of planning, building, furnishing, using and financing the facilities
4 required for a functional [NEW] capital city [HAVING FACILITIES EQUAL
5 TO THOSE PROVIDED BY THE PRESENT CAPITAL CITY AND THOSE REQUIRED BY THE
6 1974 CAPITAL MOVE INITIATIVE].

7 (c) In this section,

8 (1) "functional capital city" means a city having facilities
9 to serve the needs of central government offices, including the
10 governor's office, the departmental offices, the legislature, central
11 government employees, and the facilities set out in AS 44.06.100 -
12 44.06.190;

13 (2) "relocate physically the present functions of the state
14 government" means the actual physical movement of central government
15 personnel, files, furniture and the like from their location elsewhere
16 in the state to a functional capital city at Willow.

17 * Sec. 2. This Act takes effect July 1, 1981.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

Original Sponsors: Kerttula, Dankworth,
Stimson, et al

Offered: 3/25/81

1 IN THE SENATE

BY THE RULES COMMITTEE

2 CS FOR SENATE BILL NO. 86 (Rules)

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 TWELFTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to relocation of the state capital,
7 and repealing and reenacting the law enacted by the
8 initiative popularly known as the 'FRANK Initiative'
9 to provide for the determination of the costs of
10 capital relocation."

11 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

12 * Section 1. AS 44.06.196 is repealed and reenacted to read:

13 Sec. 44.06.196. CAPITAL RELOCATION EXPENDITURES. (a) State
14 money may be expended to relocate physically the governor's office, the
15 legislature, and offices of the heads of the principal departments from
16 their present location only after a majority of those voting on the
17 proposition at the 1982 general election has approved the ballot propo-
18 sition set out in (b) of this section.

19 (b) The director of elections shall place on the 1982 general
20 election ballot the following ballot proposition:

21 "PROPOSITION

22 ADVISORY VOTE ON CAPITAL RELOCATION EXPENDITURES.

23 Shall \$ _____ be expended by the state, by general
24 obligation bonds or appropriations, or both, to complete
25 the relocation of the seat of state government to the new
26 capital site at Willow by December 31, 19__, with public
27 facilities necessary for a functional state capital to
28 accommodate the governor's office, the legislature, and
29 offices of the heads of the principal departments? The

1 dollar amount entered above is based on a finding of the
2 Alaska Capital City Development Corporation that approxi-
3 mately _____ central state employees will be relocated
4 from Juneau and _____ central state employees will be
5 relocated from Anchorage.

6 Yes []

7 No []"

8 (c) Before submitting the proposition to the voters, the director of
9 elections shall obtain from the Alaska Capital City Development Corporation
10 the following information for insertion into the proposition in the places
11 provided:

12 (1) the dollar amount determined in accordance with (d) of this
13 section;

14 (2) the relocation completion date determined in accordance with
15 (e) of this section; and

16 (3) the number of central state employees to be relocated from
17 Juneau and Anchorage.

18 (d) The dollar amount which shall appear on the ballot proposition set
19 out in (b) of this section shall be the net cost of relocation, as determined
20 by the Alaska Capital City Development Corporation in accordance with AS 44.-
21 07.

22 (e) The relocation completion date to be entered on the ballot proposi-
23 tion set out in (b) of this section is the date determined by the Alaska
24 Capital City Development Corporation in accordance with AS 44.07 to be the
25 earliest practical date by which a functional state capital can be estab-
26 lished in the new capital site at Willow.

27 (f) In this section:

28 (1) "functional state capital" means a seat of state government
29 which has public facilities necessary to accomodate:

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

(A) the governor's office;

(B) the legislature; and

(C) all offices of the heads of the principal departments of
of state government except the Department of Military Affairs;

(2) "relocate physically" means the actual physical movement of
necessary personnel, files, furniture and related items from Juneau and
Anchorage to the new capital site at Willow.



Alaska State Legislature

Senate

Committee on State Affairs

Pouch V
State Capitol
Juneau, Alaska 99811

Official Business

FEB. 13, 1981

SENATE STATE AFFAIRS COMMITTEE REPORT

ON

CSSB 86 ENTITLED "AN ACT RELATING TO EXPENDITURE OF STATE MONEY FOR RELOCATION OF THE STATE CAPITAL, AND AMENDING THE LAW ADDED BY THE INITIATIVE POPULARLY KNOWN AS THE 'FRANK INITIATIVE'; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE."

BILL SUMMARY

The Committee Substitute for Senate Bill 86 would amend AS 44.06.196, popularly known as the "FRANK Initiative", in the following ways:

- 1) It specifies that the proposition that must be put before the voters prior to any expenditures for capital relocation would include all "bonded and appropriated" costs, rather than "bondable" costs;
- 2) The Legislature would determine the total cost to the state for the move; and
- 3) It removes the requirement that the new capital city be planned for a population of 30,000 people.

These amendments are necessary if the existing statutes for capital relocation are to be pursued.

BACKGROUND

In 1974 Alaskan voters approved an initiative to move the capital (AS 44.06.100 - 44.06.190). Two years later the same voters selected Willow as the new capital site. The New Capital Site Planning Commission was then established to plan the new city and to determine its cost (AS 44.06.200 - 44.06.260). By law, the new capital city had to be designed for a population of 30,000 people. Using some of the best talent in the country, the New Capital Site Planning Commission completed its work in time to put a bond proposition before the voters in 1978. The bond proposition amounted to more than \$900,000,000 and the proposition was defeated.

At this same election in 1978, Alaskans approved what is popularly known as the "FRANK Initiative". This law required that before any state monies could be expended on the capital move, voters would have to approve the bondable costs of capital relocation. "Bondable costs" has since been interpreted to cover not just actual but all potential costs to the state, so that making realistic cost estimates has become virtually impossible.

PURPOSE OF COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE SB 86

The committee feels that there has been an effective standoff on the capital relocation since the 1978 bond proposition. In view of the fact that Alaskans have voted for relocation, the standoff must be resolved.

The first step in resolving this issue, is revising the "FRANK Initiative" to provide the basis for a workable solution. The committee substitute allows for a reasonable size capital move proposition to go before the voters. This proposition would allow the voters to vote on all actual projected costs of relocation by amending the term "bondable" to include all bonded and appropriated costs of relocation. This substitute also gives the Legislature the authority to determine the size and cost of the move. The Capital Site Planning Commission is not a practical vehicle for deciding the size and cost of the move, in that it is no longer a functioning body.

CSSB 86 maintains 1992 as the time to which costs of relocation are to be calculated, as in the original "FRANK Initiative"; SB 86 would have used 1986 for this purpose. In view of previous delays and projected schedules of site development, it is not likely that the Willow capital site would be first occupied until 1985 at the earliest, more likely not until 1986. Using a date prior to 1992 for cost calculations could readily leave the impression that only initial 1986 relocation costs would be subjected to voter approval, with higher costs being sneaked in immediately thereafter. Since the intent is to achieve a minimum cost move -- and to also be completely honest with the public -- full costs of capital relocation will be best reflected by using the 1992 date.

The State Affairs Committee is currently working on preparing legislation for the proposition to go before the voters. One

member of the committee questioned whether the proposition should give the comparative costs between maintaining the capital in Juneau versus the cost of moving to Willow. The committee is also analyzing all the alternatives, so that the move can have a minimal impact on Juneau. The intention of the committee is that this "FRANK Initiative" amendment would assure that a basis will exist for further action on "move legislation" during this session of the Legislature.

SECTION ANALYSIS

Section 1 amends AS 44.06.196 entitled "Capital Relocation Expenditures".

Lines 17 and 18 are amended to allow voters to vote on all bonded and appropriated costs of capital relocation. The existing law states that the voters must approve a bond issue of all bondable costs of relocation.

Lines 19, 20, and 21 state that the Legislature will determine all bonded and appropriated costs. The existing law states that the Capital Relocation Commission would determine the bondable costs to be voted on at an election.

In lines 27, 28, and 29 the language "having facilities equal to those provided by the present capital city and those required by the 1974 capital move initiative" has been deleted. This deletion removes the requirement that the new capital city be planned for a population of 30,000 people.

HCS CSSB 86 (Fin) Summary

(changes from CSSB 86 Rules)

1. Calls for voter approval of a "functional state capital," which ~~is and is specifically defined as~~ includes at least the governor's office, the legislature, and the commissioners' offices.
2. Specifically directs the Alaska Capital City Development Corp. to determine:
 - a. relocation completion date
 - b. public facilities to be built at Willow
 - c. number of central state employees to be relocated from Anchorage and Juneau
 - d. (total) cost of relocating a functional state capital
 - e. estimated revenues from land sales and leases at Willow
 - f. net cost of relocation.
3. Defines net cost as (total) cost minus land sales/leases revenue.

roads,
streets,
public
utilities
and

COMMITTEE REPORT

SENATE

FURTHER: None

2/16/81

Date: February 19, 1981

Mr. President:

The Committee on FINANCE has had SB 86
expenditure of state money

under consideration and (a majority of the committee) (the committee)
reports it back ~~with the following recommendations:~~

as follows:

- do pass do not pass
- do pass with attached amendments(s)
- replace with CS for SB 86 (S.A.) same title
 new title
- and recommends _____
- AND attaches a "Letter of Intent" New Fiscal Note
- reports it back without recommendation
- referred to the _____ Committee

MEMBERS SIGNING
DO PASS

[Signature]

MEMBERS HAVING
OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:

[Signature]

[Signature]

[Signature] DO NOT MOVE

[Signature]

CHAIRMAN

Original sponsors: Kerttula, Dankworth,
Stimson, et al

Offered: 2/16/81
Referred: Finance

1 IN THE SENATE

BY THE STATE AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

2 CS FOR SENATE BILL NO. 86 (State Affairs)

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 TWELFTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to expenditure of state money for
7 relocation of the state capital, and amending the law
8 added by the initiative popularly known as the 'FRANK
9 Initiative'; and providing for an effective date."

10 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

11 * Section 1. AS 44.06.196 is amended to read:

12 Sec. 44.06.196. CAPITAL RELOCATION EXPENDITURES. State money may
13 be expended to relocate physically the present functions of the state
14 government, including but not limited to, the legislature, the gover-
15 nor's office and all offices of the heads of the principal departments
16 from their present location only after a majority of those voting in a
17 statewide election have approved a proposition submitted to the voters
18 [BOND ISSUE] which includes all bonded and appropriated [BONDABLE]
19 costs of the relocation to the state through the year 1992, as deter-
20 mined by [A COMMISSION CREATED BY] the legislature [TO PLAN THE RELOCA-
21 TION]. The legislature [IN DETERMINING THE BONDABLE COSTS TO THE STATE
22 THE COMMISSION] shall also determine the total costs to the state, in-
23 cluding [. THOSE COSTS SHALL INCLUDE], but not [BE] limited to, the
24 costs of moving personnel and offices to the relocation site; the
25 social, economic and environmental costs to the present and relocation
26 sites; and, the cost to the state of planning, building, furnishing,
27 using and financing a new capital city [HAVING FACILITIES EQUAL TO THOSE
28 PROVIDED BY THE PRESENT CAPITAL CITY AND THOSE REQUIRED BY THE 1974
29 CAPITAL MOVE INITIATIVE].

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

* Sec. 2. This Act takes effect immediately in accordance with AS 01.10.-
070(c).

Introduced: 1/15/81
Referred: State Affairs and
Finance

BY KERTTULA, DANKWORTH, STIMSON,
STURGULEWSKI AND KELLY

1 IN THE SENATE

2 SENATE BILL NO. 86

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 TWELFTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to expenditure of state money; and
7 providing for an effective date."

8 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

9 * Section 1. AS 44.06.196 is amended to read:

10 Sec. 44.06.196. CAPITAL RELOCATION EXPENDITURES. State money may
11 be expended to relocate physically the present functions of the state
12 government, including but not limited to, the legislature, the gover-
13 nor's office and all offices of the heads of the principal departments
14 from their present location only after a majority of those voting in a
15 statewide election have approved a bond issue which includes all bonded
16 [BONDABLE] costs of the relocation to the state through the year 1986
17 [1992], as determined by a commission created by the legislature to
18 plan the relocation or to develop the new capital city. In determining
19 the bonded [BONDABLE] costs to the state the commission shall also
20 determine the total costs to the state. Those costs shall include, but
21 not be limited to, the costs of moving personnel and offices to the
22 relocation site; the social, economic and environmental costs to the
23 present and relocation sites; and, the cost to the state of planning,
24 building, furnishing, using and financing a new capital city [HAVING
25 FACILITIES EQUAL TO THOSE PROVIDED BY THE PRESENT CAPITAL CITY AND
26 THOSE REQUIRED BY THE 1974 CAPITAL MOVE INITIATIVE].

27 * Sec. 2. This Act takes effect immediately in accordance with AS 01.10.-
28 070(c).

29



Official Business

Senate
Committee on State Affairs

Pouch V
State Capitol
Juneau, Alaska 99811

FEB. 13, 1981

SENATE STATE AFFAIRS COMMITTEE REPORT

ON

CSSB 86 ENTITLED "AN ACT RELATING TO EXPENDITURE OF STATE MONEY FOR RELOCATION OF THE STATE CAPITAL, AND AMENDING THE LAW ADDED BY THE INITIATIVE POPULARLY KNOWN AS THE 'FRANK INITIATIVE'; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE."

BILL SUMMARY

The Committee Substitute for Senate Bill 86 would amend AS 44.06.196, popularly known as the "FRANK Initiative", in the following ways:

- 1) It specifies that the proposition that must be put before the voters prior to any expenditures for capital relocation would include all "bonded and appropriated" costs, rather than "bondable" costs;
- 2) The Legislature would determine the total cost to the state for the move; and
- 3) It removes the requirement that the new capital city be planned for a population of 30,000 people.

These amendments are necessary if the existing statutes for capital relocation are to be pursued.

BACKGROUND

In 1974 Alaskan voters approved an initiative to move the capital (AS 44.06.100 - 44.06.190). Two years later the same voters selected Willow as the new capital site. The New Capital Site Planning Commission was then established to plan the new city and to determine its cost (AS 44.06.200 - 44.06.260). By law, the new capital city had to be designed for a population of 30,000 people. Using some of the best talent in the country, the New Capital Site Planning Commission completed its work in time to put a bond proposition before the voters in 1978. The bond proposition amounted to more than \$900,000,000 and the proposition was defeated.

At this same election in 1978, Alaskans approved what is popularly known as the "FRANK Initiative". This law required that before any state monies could be expended on the capital move, voters would have to approve the bondable costs of capital relocation. "Bondable costs" has since been interpreted to cover not just actual but all potential costs to the state, so that making realistic cost estimates has become virtually impossible.

PURPOSE OF COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE SB 86

The committee feels that there has been an effective standoff on the capital relocation since the 1978 bond proposition. In view of the fact that Alaskans have voted for relocation, the standoff must be resolved.

The first step in resolving this issue is revising the "FRANK Initiative" to provide the basis for a workable solution. The committee substitute allows for a reasonable size capital move proposition to go before the voters. This proposition would allow the voters to vote on all actual projected costs of relocation by amending the term "bondable" to include all bonded and appropriated costs of relocation. This substitute also gives the Legislature the authority to determine the size and cost of the move. The Capital Site Planning Commission is not a practical vehicle for deciding the size and cost of the move, in that it is no longer a functioning body.

CSSB 86 maintains 1992 as the time to which costs of relocation are to be calculated, as in the original "FRANK Initiative"; SB 86 would have used 1986 for this purpose. In view of previous delays and projected schedules of site development, it is not likely that the Willow capital site would be first occupied until 1985 at the earliest, more likely not until 1986. Using a date prior to 1992 for cost calculations could readily leave the impression that only initial 1986 relocation costs would be subjected to voter approval, with higher costs being sneaked in immediately thereafter. Since the intent is to achieve a minimum cost move -- and to also be completely honest with the public -- full costs of capital relocation will be best reflected by using the 1992 date.

The State Affairs Committee is currently working on preparing legislation for the proposition to go before the voters. One

member of the committee questioned whether the proposition should give the comparative costs between maintaining the capital in Juneau versus the cost of moving to Willow. The committee is also analyzing all the alternatives, so that the move can have a minimal impact on Juneau. The intention of the committee is that this "FRANK Initiative" amendment would assure that a basis will exist for further action on "move legislation" during this session of the Legislature.

SECTION ANALYSIS

Section 1 amends AS 44.06.196 entitled "Capital Relocation Expenditures".

Lines 17 and 18 are amended to allow voters to vote on all bonded and appropriated costs of capital relocation. The existing law states that the voters must approve a bond issue of all bondable costs of relocation.

Lines 19, 20, and 21 state that the Legislature will determine all bonded and appropriated costs. The existing law states that the Capital Relocation Commission would determine the bondable costs to be voted on at an election.

In lines 27, 28, and 29 the language "having facilities equal to those provided by the present capital city and those required by the 1974 capital move initiative" has been deleted. This deletion removes the requirement that the new capital city be planned for a population of 30,000 people.

THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA
TWELFTH LEGISLATURE

FISCAL NOTE

I. REQUEST

Bill/Resolution No. SB 86

Title Act relating to expenditure of state money [for capital relocation]

Requested by _____ Date _____

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Agency Affected Revenue

Program Category Affected _____

BRU, Program, or Subprogram(s) Affected _____

(Note: If more than one budget component is affected, separate line-item amounts and funding for each component in the analysis section.)

EXPENDITURES (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 81	FY 82	FY 83	FY 84	FY 85	FY 86
100 PERSONAL SERVICES						
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL						
400 COMMODITIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.						
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0

FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 81	FY 82	FY 83	FY 84	FY 85	FY 86
GENERAL FUND						
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER (Specify Fund Source)						
	0	0	0	0	0	0

POSITIONS

	FY 81	FY 82	FY 83	FY 84	FY 85	FY 86
FULL TIME	0	0	0	0	0	0
PART TIME	0	0	0	0	0	0
TEMPORARY	0	0	0	0	0	0

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

IV. DATE January 29, 1981

PREPARED BY _____

AGENCY Revenue

PHONE 465-2300

Original: Legislative Finance

cc: Budget and Management

Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

Introduced: 1/15/81
Referred: Resources and
Finance

1 IN THE SENATE

BY KERTTULA

2 SENATE BILL NO. 87

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 TWELFTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to the agricultural revolving loan
7 fund; and providing for an effective date."

8 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

9 * Section 1. AS 03.10.040 is amended to read:

10 Sec. 03.10.040. CREATION OF FUND. There is an agricultural
11 revolving loan fund which shall not exceed \$50,000,000 [\$20,000,000] to
12 carry out the purpose of this chapter.

13 * Sec. 2. This Act takes effect immediately in accordance with AS 01.-
14 10.070(c).

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

COMMITTEE REPORT
SENATE

FURTHER: None

2/10/81

Date: 2/17/81

Mr. President:

The Committee on FINANCE has had SB 38
making a special appropriation to the scholarship revolving loan fund

under consideration and (a majority of the committee) (the committee) reports it back with the following recommendations:

- do pass do not pass
- do pass with attached amendments(s) same title
- replace with CS for _____ new title
- and recommends _____
- AND attaches a "Letter of Intent" New Fiscal Note
- reports it back without recommendation
- referred to the _____ Committee

MEMBERS SIGNING
DO PASS

MEMBERS HAVING
OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:

Mr. Sackett
Mr. Stinson
Mr. Stinson
Mr. Stinson
Mr. Stinson
Mr. Stinson

Mr. Stinson
 do Pass CHAIRMAN

SENATE AMENDMENT

By Senate Finance Committee

To: _____ SENATE BILL No. 88

To: _____ HOUSE BILL No. _____

PAGE:

LINE:

Page 1, line 13: Add a new Section 2. to read:

"The sum of \$3,570,127 is appropriated from the General Fund to the Alaska Post-secondary Education Commission, Scholarship Loan Program for repayment of principal and interest to the Student Loan Marketing Association."

Page 1, line 13: Change existing Section 2 to Section 3.

Change "Funding Information" at top left corner of bill to reflect new total of \$13,570,127.

Funding Information
General Fund \$10,000,000
Other Funds -0-
\$10,000,000

Introduced: 1/15/81
Referred: Health, Education &
Social Services and Finance

1 IN THE SENATE

BY STIMSON, FISCHER, PARR,
KELLY AND STURGULEWSKI

2 SENATE BILL NO. 88

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 TWELFTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act making a special appropriation to the scholar-
7 ship revolving loan fund; and providing for an effec-
8 tive date."

9 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

10 * Section 1. The sum of \$10,000,000 is appropriated from the general
11 fund to the scholarship revolving loan fund (AS 14.40.751(a)) to establish a
12 revolving base for the student loan program.

13 * Sec. 2. This Act takes effect immediately in accordance with AS 01.10.-
14 070(c).

Alaska Student Loan Program

Fact Sheet

1. Total Loans 1971-72 through 1979-80:

Undergraduate	16,359	\$ 30.4 million
Graduate	<u>2,210</u>	<u>7.4 million</u>
Total	18,569	\$ 37.8 million

2. Current Year

(1980-81, as of 1/14/81): 5,880 loans \$ 16.0 million

3. Percent Loans In-State/Out-of-State (1979-80):

	<u>In-State</u>	<u>Out-of-State</u>
Undergraduate	36.1 (43.5)	63.9 (56.5)
Graduate	12.3 (19.0)	87.7 (81.0)

4. Loan Collections (per month average):

1974-75	\$ 19,623
1975-76	38,794
1976-77	95,122
1977-78	99,321
1978-79	115,970
1979-80	133,620
1980-81 (est.)	170,000

5. Loan Cancellations (per month average):

1974-75	\$ 59
1975-76	3,686
1976-77	5,396
1977-78	26,192
1978-79	37,165
1979-80	34,125
1980-81 (est.)	45,000

6. Past Due and Default Loans

Past Due	October		November		December		January	
	No.	Amount	No.	Amount	No.	Amount	No.	Amount
31-60 days	484	1,171,102	478	1,032,186	547	1,174,413	508	1,053,685
61-90	204	451,853	268	649,596	288	585,991	295	585,775
91-120	180	505,039	178	389,083	188	463,252	193	385,664
120+	878	1,981,084	909	2,038,126	954	2,096,983	927	2,154,220
TOTAL	1,746	4,109,078	1,833	4,108,991	1,977	4,320,639	1,923	4,179,344
Default Rate	11.2%		11.2%		11.3%		11.3%	

7. Current Year (as of 1/14/81)

	<u>In-State</u>	<u>Out-of-State</u>	<u>Total</u>
Freshman	864 (46.0)	1,014 (54.0)	1,878
Sophomore	512 (41.1)	734 (58.9)	1,246
Junior	399 (41.0)	575 (59.0)	974
Senior	327 (46.0)	384 (54.0)	711
Vocational	173 (40.9)	250 (59.1)	423
Sub-Total	2,275 (43.5)	2,957 (56.5)	5,232
Graduate	123 (19.0)	525 (81.0)	648
TOTAL	2,398 (40.8)	3,482 (58.2)	5,880

8. Raising Loan Limits

Undergraduate	\$2,500	\$4,500	\$5,000
Graduate	\$6,000	\$7,500	\$7,000
1981-82 Cost	\$1,575,700	\$3,619,600	\$4,041,704

9. Other Issues

- a. Adding a section which states that if a person allows the loan to become default (120+ days past due), all cancellation benefits are forfeited.
- b. Providing additional cancellation for in-state attendance, for example, an additional 5% per year of attendance, for up to a maximum of 20%.
- c. Providing additional cancellation for maintaining a "B" grade average for undergraduates, for example, an additional 5% upon graduation.
- d. Providing grant provisions for Alaskan borrowers age 60 and over.

10. Sample Costs:

a. Single Student College-Approved Budgets (1980-81)

	<u>Undergraduate</u>	<u>Graduate</u>
University of Alaska, Anchorage	\$5,922	\$8,500
University of Alaska, Fairbanks	3,814	5,684
University of Washington	5,654	7,120
Gonzaga University	7,010	7,940
Western Washington University	6,280	7,890
University of Oregon	6,940	8,120
University of Colorado	8,150	9,540
Stanford University	9,800	11,250

b. Tuition and/or Required Fees (1980-81)

	<u>Undergraduate</u>	<u>Graduate</u>
University of Alaska, Fairbanks	\$ 562	\$ 792
University of Arizona*	2,500	2,500
University of Colorado*	3,584	3,752
University of Hawaii	480	582
University of Idaho*	1,990	2,000
University of Oregon*	3,431	2,433
University of Washington*	2,394	2,736

*Non-resident

58 88

Supporting Rationnale for Senate Bill 88

Problems with the Existing System:

Timing: This factor has undoubtably been the most frustrating for loan recipients and their families. Under current state law the Postsecondary Commission must request yearly appropriations from the state legislature from which they award sciolarship loans. The Commission must then wait to finalize the awards until the Governor has signed off on all appropriations. With the recent history of marathon legislative sessions, coupled with the time involved for the Governor to act, and with the time it takes for the final contract to be let and returned by the recipient, it means that students who apply for loans may be attending school by the time their award reaches them. The student and their families trully become trapped by, and victims of, the system.

Projections: For the last three academic school years the Commission, in its annual request for laon funds, has underestimated the demand on the fund and has had consequently needed to seek supplementary appropriations from the state and federal governments. In analyzing the past three years of the loan problems, it must be kept in mind that it is the philosophy of the State of Alaska that all loans are fully funded. With that understanding let's peruse the recent history of the loan program:

1978-1979: Prior to this specific academic year the Commission had always used a linear regression projection model for predicting student loan demands, and previous to this year the model proved adequate. In 1978-79, however, there was experienced a 23% increase in student loan requests. Previously that increase was roughly 15% annually. The Commission was forced to appeal to the Legislature for a \$650,000 supplement to fund all loans. Luckily the Legislature was in session and the special appropriation was granted.

1978-1979: During this academic year the Commission predicated their annual appropriation request based on a historical rate of persons withdrawing from school, those becoming academically ineligible, etc. This year the withdrawal and loan turn-back rate was only 5.1% and the Commission was found to have over-awarded the program. They sought and received from the legislature a \$1.37 million supplemental appropriation which carried them through the summer.

1980-1981: This year the Commission once again related their projections to high school graduation statistics and to the total adult population base. They also estimated a low loan turn-back rate. This year, however, they underestimated the amounts that would be requested by the recipients. The Commission requested and received \$3 million from the federal Student Loan Marketing Association, which must be paid by still yet another special appropriation.

Legislative Sessions: In addition to the timing factor created for the Commission due to the length of the recent legislative sessions, a further problem is caused when the session is not meeting and the Commission must

scramble to find interim funds to float the program until the legislature is in session and a request for a special appropriation is in order.

Note: In defense of the Commission I must personally forward that the problem of inadequate projections lies more with unmeasurable/unpredictable variables than with administrative inadequacy.

Why a Revolving Fund?

Adequate funding of the scholarship revolving loan fund would directly ameliorate the above mentioned problems.

First, if the State of Alaska continues to embrace the philosophy of fully funded scholarship loans, the immediate availability of a source of revenue allows the Commission the flexibility to receive, process and award funds to Alaskan students in a timely fashion. It would also afford the Commission the availability to fund second semester requests of new recipients without worrying about the amount of the special appropriation from the legislature that will be needed to cover the additional loans. In essence this bill would re-instill respectability to the Commission, which is certainly desirable in a lending institution, and increase the state's credibility with its citizens involved with the program.

Secondly, this bill would allow the Commission to fully fund all loan requests without appearing in the financial marketplace for continual special appropriations. The Commission will still need to request annual legislative appropriations for the ensuing fiscal year, yet they will not be held victim by unforeseen projection variables. The money will be available, gathering interest and protecting our interests.

Why not Forward Funding?

Forward funding would solve the question of timely processing of loans in that an assured source of revenue would be available, but as the Commission would not be able to "dip into" future appropriations, it would not solve the problem of inadequate projections necessitating annual special/emergency appropriation requests.

Further Considerations:

If we were really going to prepare the financial security of the scholarship loan program we could introduce an endowment concept that might far exceed the benefits derived from this effort, but with all things considered, this is a good, solid piece of legislation.

STATE OF ALASKA

JAY S. HAMMOND, GOVERNOR

ALASKA COMMISSION ON POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION

POUCH F - STATE OFFICE BUILDING
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99811
(907) 465-2854

RECEIVED

DEC 24 1980

BUDGET AND MANAGEMENT

M E M O R A N D U M

TO: Dr. Ron Lehr
Director of Budget and Management

FROM: Kerry D. Romesburg, Executive Director
Alaska Commission on Postsecondary Education

DATE: December 22, 1980

As you will recall, the Alaska Commission on Postsecondary Education ran short of student loan funds this past fall. In order to provide full funding for this program, we borrowed over \$3 million from the Student Loan Marketing Association. We have funded all eligible loan applicants now and have funds sufficient to carry us through the fiscal year.

We must now seek a supplemental budget appropriation to repay these borrowed funds. The total amount of our needed supplemental is \$3,570,127 consisting of:

\$3,327,127	Principal borrowed
108,000	Oct. - Dec. 31 interest
<u>135,000</u>	Jan. 1 - Mar. 31 interest
\$3,570,127	

If the loan is repaid prior to March 31, there will be savings (of approximately \$9,000 per week). Similarly, if the loan is extended beyond March 31, the cost will escalate proportionately.

Please consider this memorandum our formal request for this supplemental appropriation. If specific forms or more detail is required, please let me know.

MEMORANDUM

RECEIVED

State of Alaska

SUB C5C2

FEB 11 1981


200
2/13

TO: Ron Lehr, Director
Division of Budget and Management
Office of the Governor

BUDGET AND MANAGEMENT DATE: February 10, 1981

FILE NO:

TELEPHONE NO: 465-2875

FROM:  Ray Jensen, Supervisor
Budget and Audit Section
Management, Law and Finance
Department of Education

SUBJECT: FY 81 Supplemental for Foundation
Program Components

Department of Education respectfully submits the following information for an FY 81 Supplemental appropriation of \$4,500.0 for Foundation Program Components.

The Department has received the local district reports and prepared the revised foundation program computations for FY 81. This report establishes the floor level for all districts for the fiscal year in terms of Foundation Support. The attached "Revised Report Recapitulations" indicates that a minimum of \$2,217.0 will be required in supplemental funds for local district support. In addition, the Department has excluded 35 units from these calculations due to insufficient information to support the claims. We anticipate that an additional \$1,750.0 will be required if these units are supported in the final computations at year-end. We also request, an additional \$500.0 to provide for changes in final reports as local districts refine their records for year-end closing. Please note that this \$500.0 would provide for a minimal increase of 10-12 units above the approximately 6,950 units claimed on this report.

If these supplemental funds are not provided, the statutes require the Commissioner to prorate available funds across the board to all districts.

STATE OF ALASKA
DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
PUBLIC SCHOOL FOUNDATION PROGRAM COMPUTATIONS
REVISED REPORT RECAPITULATIONS
1900-01 FISCAL YEAR

School District	Final ADM 1979-80	Revised ADM 1980-81	Instr. Units	Instr. Unit Allotment	Basic Need	Level of State Suppt. (97% Min.)	Final Computation Of Entitlement	Per ADM
Anchorage	32,429	32,302	2,172	34,935	75,070,020	97.9090	74,353,504	2,296
Bristol Bay	209	210	27	54,149	1,462,023	90.0302	1,433,224	6,825
Cordova	462	436	45	40,175	1,007,075	90.0655	1,707,365	4,099
Craig	141	170	24	37,730	905,520	99.5364	901,322	5,302
Dillingham	379	303	43	54,149	2,320,407	99.1505	2,308,627	6,020
Fairbanks	0,330	8,560	606	39,127	23,710,962	97.3215	23,075,064	2,696
Galena	165	130	21	54,149	1,137,129	99.7107	1,133,039	8,216
Haines	396	408	44	40,175	1,767,700	98.9621	1,749,353	4,280
Hoonah	224	200	24	39,127	939,040	99.6630	935,091	4,499
Hydaburg	85	82	15	37,730	565,950	99.5664	563,496	6,072
Juneau	4,015	3,951	307	34,935	10,725,045	90.4763	10,561,627	2,673
Kake	195	192	23	37,730	867,790	99.8433	866,430	4,513
Kenai	5,704	6,070	478	37,730	10,034,940	97.0000	17,493,092	2,802
Ketchikan	2,432	2,420	100	34,935	6,567,780	98.4709	6,467,352	2,672
King Cove	120	123	16	52,402	830,432	99.4704	834,059	6,701
Klawock	82	100	12	37,730	452,760	99.7218	451,500	4,515
Kodiak	2,090	2,025	197	40,525	7,903,425	98.4760	7,861,021	3,802
Mat-Su	4,356	4,304	339	36,332	12,316,540	97.8924	12,056,964	2,750
Menana	216	203	20	41,922	1,173,016	99.7163	1,170,406	5,766
Nome	716	706	60	54,149	3,602,132	99.3226	3,657,109	5,100
North Slope	1,030	1,060	152	54,149	0,230,640	97.0000	7,903,729	7,475
Pelican	39	37	7	39,127	273,009	98.5311	269,066	7,294
Petersburg	547	562	40	36,332	1,743,936	98.0163	1,723,293	3,066
Sand Point	129	115	16	52,402	830,432	99.2316	831,909	7,235
Sitka	1,671	1,673	129	36,332	4,606,028	98.0030	4,597,019	2,740
Slagway	176	170	20	37,730	754,600	97.7079	737,304	4,142
St. Mary's	94	95	10	54,149	974,602	99.8141	972,070	10,241
Unalaska	160	190	23	52,402	1,205,246	98.3247	1,185,054	5,905
Valdez	746	853	83	40,175	3,334,525	97.0000	3,234,409	3,792
Wrangell	479	494	45	36,332	1,634,940	98.9017	1,616,993	3,273
Yakutat	156	142	20	41,922	830,440	99.2409	832,075	5,060
Totals	60,069	60,566	5,230		197,662,260		193,640,476	2,824
Centralized Corres.	615	649	40	34,935	1,397,400	100.0000	1,397,400	2,153

January 16, 1981

STATE OF ALASKA
DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

REVISED ADM 1900-01

INSTRUCTIONAL UNITS

School District	REVISED ADM 1900-01							INSTRUCTIONAL UNITS							Total Inst Units	
	Elem.	Sec.	Comb. Dist.	Voc. Ed. Non-add	Spec. Ed. Non-add	Billng. Non-add	Corres.	Total ADM	Elem.	Sec.	Comb. Dist.	Voc. Ed.	Spec. Ed.	Billng. Ed.		Corres.
Anchorage	16,307	16,050	25	1601	1796	455	-0-	32,302	990	892		91	165	21	-0-	2,172
Bristol Bay	103	107	-0-	19	6	-0-	-0-	210	10	14	-0-	2	1	-0-	-0-	27
Cordova	230	206	-0-	61	31	-0-	-0-	436	15	21	-0-	5	4	-0-	-0-	45
Craig	68	92	-0-	13	11	-0-	10	170	6	11	-0-	2	2	-0-	3	24
Ellingsham	176	207	-0-	43	27	0	-0-	303	14	20	-0-	4	4	1	-0-	43
Fairbanks	4,463	3,956	-0-	481	565	66	141	0,560	275	234	-0-	30	53	4	10	606
Galena	60	78	-0-	11	7	2	-0-	130	5	12	-0-	2	1	1	-0-	21
Galena	196	212	-0-	25	37	-0-	-0-	400	15	21	-0-	3	5	-0-	-0-	44
Grass Valley	115	93	-0-	22	19	-0-	-0-	200	8	11	-0-	2	3	-0-	-0-	24
Healy	43	39	-0-	12	11	0	-0-	82	4	6	-0-	2	2	1	-0-	15
Kenai	1,993	1,920	-0-	335	209	26	40	3,951	124	120	-0-	20	20	3	4	307
Kodiak	93	99	-0-	22	20	-0-	-0-	192	7	11	-0-	2	3	-0-	-0-	23
Nome	3,309	2,509	60	204	352	171	112	6,070	223	175	10	20	33	9	0	470
North Star	1,134	1,217	-0-	201	106	9	69	2,420	73	77	-0-	13	18	1	6	188
Port Heiden	70	53	-0-	11	10	-0-	-0-	123	6	6	-0-	2	2	-0-	-0-	16
Port Lockwood	72	20	-0-	-0-	11	-0-	-0-	100	6	4	-0-	-0-	2	-0-	-0-	12
Port Neches	1,142	833	17	144	202	24	33	2,025	83	72	4	11	20	3	4	197
Port St. Lawrence	2,130	2,015	11	337	270	7	228	4,304	139	134	3	20	27	1	15	339
Port Wales	110	93	-0-	13	17	2	-0-	203	8	14	-0-	2	3	1	-0-	20
Prudhoe Bay	394	312	-0-	84	65	49	-0-	706	24	27	-0-	6	7	4	-0-	60
North Slope	512	556	-0-	177	100	532	-0-	1,060	44	56	-0-	18	10	24	-0-	152
Reliance	20	9	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	37	3		-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	7
St. Michaels	405	157	-0-	34	48	-0-	-0-	562	25	14	-0-	3	6	-0-	-0-	40
St. Pauline	47	68	-0-	13	6	-0-	-0-	115	5	8	-0-	2	1	-0-	-0-	16
St. Peter	1,153	520	-0-	136	104	10	-0-	1,673	76	32	-0-	8	11	2	-0-	129
St. Lawrence	100	78	-0-	19	10	-0-	-0-	178	0	8	-0-	2	2	-0-	-0-	20
St. Mary's	73	22	-0-	26	17	14	-0-	95	6	4	-0-	3	3	2	-0-	18
St. Michael	107	91	-0-	11	19	-0-	-0-	198	8	11	-0-	2	2	-0-	-0-	23
St. Paul	437	416	-0-	69	119	-0-	-0-	853	29	36	-0-	6	12	-0-	-0-	83
St. Petersburg	277	217	-0-	39	32	-0-	-0-	494	17	21	-0-	3	4	-0-	-0-	45
St. Thomas	91	51	-0-	8	13	-0-	-0-	142	7	10	-0-	1	2	-0-	-0-	20
Totals	35,500	32,312	113	4,252	4,390	1,391	633	68,566	2,271	2,094	22	207	436	78	50	5,230
	466	183	-0-	19	-0-	-0-	-0-	649	30	-0-	-0-	2	-0-	-0-	-0-	40

January 16, 1981

STATE OF ALASKA
DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

School District	Revised ADI 1st Qtr. 1980-81	1-1-79 Property Value	Value Per ADI	Index	Level of State Support (97% Min.)	Matching Ratio: 1 Local Support
Anchorage	32,382	6,540,804,000	201,989	.67006	97.9898	48.75
Bristol Bay	210	41,564,600	197,927	.65659	98.0302	49.77
Cordova	436	49,704,500	114,001	.37018	98.8655	87.14
Craig	170	7,918,600	46,580	.15452	99.5364	214.72
Dillingham	303	32,693,000	85,362	.28318	99.1505	116.71
Fairbanks	8,560	2,303,862,300	269,143	.09284	97.3215	36.33
Galena	138	4,011,200	29,067	.09642	99.7107	344.70
Haines	408	42,550,000	104,209	.34596	98.9621	95.35
Hoonah	208	7,026,900	33,783	.11207	99.6638	296.43
Hydaburg	82	3,572,500	43,567	.14453	99.5664	229.64
Juneau	3,951	604,923,000	153,106	.50790	98.4763	64.63
Kake	192	3,022,500	15,742	.05222	99.8433	637.30
Kenai	6,070	2,050,959,770	337,885	1.12088	97.0000	32.33
Ketchikan	2,420	371,820,000	153,645	.50969	98.4709	64.40
King Cove	123	6,446,300	52,409	.17386	99.4704	190.73
Klawock	100	2,795,000	27,950	.09272	99.7218	358.51
Kodiak	2,025	309,925,500	153,050	.50772	98.4768	64.65
Mat-Su	4,384	928,419,970	211,775	.70253	97.8924	46.45
Metana	203	5,786,500	28,505	.09456	99.7163	351.51
Nome	706	47,054,100	60,065	.22580	99.3226	146.63
North Slope	1,060	5,105,508,490	4,780,439	15.85831	97.0000	32.33
Pelican	37	5,467,000	147,595	.48962	98.5311	67.00
Petersburg	562	66,846,200	118,943	.39457	98.8163	83.48
Sand Point	115	8,878,900	77,208	.25612	99.2316	129.15
Sitka	1,673	322,127,700	192,545	.63874	98.0838	51.19
Slagway	178	40,956,100	230,315	.76403	97.7079	42.63
St. Mary's	95	1,775,000	18,684	.06190	99.8141	536.79
Unalaska	198	33,331,900	168,343	.55845	98.3247	58.69
Valdez	853	1,652,877,200	1,937,722	6.42807	97.0000	32.33
Wrangell	494	54,520,100	110,365	.36612	98.9017	90.05
Yakutat	142	10,830,510	76,271	.25302	99.2409	130.74
Totals	68,566	20,669,014,140				
Average		301.447				

January 16, 1981

STATE OF ALASKA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
PUBLIC SCHOOL FOUNDATION PROGRAM COMPUTATIONS
REVISED REPORT RECAPITULATION
1900-01 FISCAL YEAR

RIAA	Final ADH 1979-00	1900-01 Revised 1st Qtr. ADH	Instr. Units	Instr. Unit Allotment	Basic Head	Eqv. of Local Suppt Estimate \$930 ADH	Less PL 874	Revised Computation of Entitlement.	Per ADH
Alak	569	572	50	40,909	2,445,450	503,360	1,023,461	1,925,349	3,366
Alaska Gateway	436	460	70	41,922	2,934,540	411,840	376,250	2,970,122	6,346
Aleutian	118	111	32	52,402	1,676,864	97,680	232,239	1,542,305	13,895
Annette	336	362	37	36,332	1,344,284	318,560	744,177	910,667	2,530
Berling Strait	441	440	94	54,149	5,090,006	307,200	967,217	4,509,989	10,250
Chatham	210	191	35	37,730	1,320,550	160,000	426,796	1,061,834	5,559
Chugach	54	53	11	41,922	461,142	46,640	33,945	473,037	8,940
Copper River	612	609	76	40,175	3,053,300	535,920	367,263	3,221,957	5,291
Delta Greely	857	848	79	41,922	3,311,830	746,240	747,334	3,310,744	3,904
Iditarod	300	311	57	54,149	3,006,493	273,600	515,956	2,844,217	9,145
Kuspuk	347	350	69	54,149	3,736,201	315,040	559,596	3,491,725	9,753
Lake & Peninsula	362	349	81	54,149	4,306,069	307,120	741,791	3,951,390	11,322
Lower Kuskokwim	1671	1795	200	54,149	15,161,720	1,579,600	2,619,500	14,121,740	7,867
Lower Yukon	1017	1135	153	54,149	0,284,797	990,000	2,281,529	7,002,068	6,169
Northwest Arctic	1435	1453	192	54,149	10,396,600	1,278,640	2,371,526	9,303,722	6,403
Pribilof	159	160	22	52,402	1,152,844	140,000	371,327	922,317	5,764
Rallbell	337	330	50	41,922	2,096,100	290,400	159,773	2,226,727	6,740
Southeast Island	374	439	76	37,730	2,867,480	386,320	456,363	2,797,437	6,372
Southwest Region	496	449	93	54,149	5,035,857	395,120	1,203,410	4,227,559	9,415
Yukon Flats	305	297	64	54,149	3,465,536	261,360	483,444	3,243,452	10,921
Yukon Koyukuk	591	572	94	54,149	5,090,006	503,360	1,160,079	4,433,287	7,751
Totals	11035	11302	1715		86,397,765	9,945,760	17,843,072	78,500,453	6,946

January 15, 1981

STATE OF ALASKA
DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

REVISED ADH 1900-01

INSTRUCTIONAL UNITS

REAA	REVISED ADH 1900-01								INSTRUCTIONAL UNITS							
	Elem.	Sec.	Combined	Voc Ed Non-add	Spec. Ed. Non-add	Dilling. Non-add	Corres.	Total ADH	Elem.	Sec.	Combined	Voc. Ed.	Spec. Educ.	Dilling. Educ.	Corres.	Total Units
Adak	397	175	-0-	32	27	-0-	-0-	572	24	19	-0-	3	4	-0-	-0-	50
Alaska Gateway	223	104	27	44	43	25	34	468	22	25	5	5	5	3	4	70
Alcutian	21	13	77	6	9	4	-0-	111	3	4	21	1	2	1	-0-	32
Annette	190	172	-0-	27	22	-0-	-0-	362	13	10	-0-	3	3	-0-	-0-	37
Bering Strait	89	280	47	78	37	119	24	440	11	40	9	11	5	7	3	94
Chatham	100	66	12	9	9	-0-	5	191	15	10	4	1	2	-0-	3	35
Chugach	24	10	19	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	53	3	4	4	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	11
Copper River	203	251	17	29	40	4	50	609	26	30	6	3	5	1	5	76
Delta Greely	472	326	-0-	47	105	-0-	50	848	30	29	-0-	4	11	-0-	5	79
Iditarod	119	83	89	21	10	17	20	311	13	16	10	3	2	2	3	57
Kuspuk	139	123	83	37	11	-0-	13	350	10	26	15	5	2	-0-	3	69
Lake & Peninsula	179	101	69	24	22	25	-0-	349	31	24	17	3	3	3	-0-	81
Lower Kuskokwim	655	1125	15	203	173	016	-0-	1795	48	140	4	35	17	36	-0-	280
Lower Yukon	603	500	32	105	83	243	-0-	1135	50	66	5	11	9	12	-0-	153
Northwest Arctic	714	702	-0-	158	97	151	37	1453	62	89	-0-	19	10	8	4	192
Pribilof	117	43	-0-	7	20	1	-0-	160	11	6	-0-	1	3	1	-0-	22
Railbelt	141	150	31	23	16	-0-	-0-	330	14	24	5	4	3	-0-	-0-	50
Southeast Island	161	52	130	-0-	-0-	-0-	96	439	26	14	29	-0-	-0-	-0-	7	76
Southwest	210	193	46	35	36	219	-0-	449	20	32	12	5	5	11	-0-	93
Yukon Flats	109	101	77	21	10	44	10	297	12	20	20	3	2	4	3	64
Yukon-Koyukuk	210	167	132	40	38	37	63	572	25	24	24	7	5	3	6	94
Totals	5164	4025	903	1034	800	1705	410	11302	405	669	190	127	98	92	46	1715

January 15, 1901

FOUNDATION SUPPORT PROGRAMS
Revised FY 1981 Budget Estimates
Cities and Boroughs

mmf
01-21-81

School District	Elem I.U.	Elem \$ FNDH.	Sec. I.U.	Sec. \$ FNDH.	Comb E/S I.U.	Comb E/S \$ FNDH.	Spec. Ed I.U.	Spec. Ed \$ FNDH.	Voc Ed I.U.	Voc Ed & FNDH.	C/S Local I.U.	C/S Local \$ FNDH.	Billing I.U.	Billing \$ FNDH.	
Anchorage	998	34,164,271	892	30,535,601	5	171,164	165	5,648,402	91	3,115,179	-	-	21	718,887	2,72
Bristol Bay	10	530,824	14	743,153	-	-	1	53,082	2	106,165	-	-	-	-	27
Cordova	15	595,788	21	1,231,296	-	-	4	158,877	5	198,596	-	-	-	-	45
Craig	6	225,330	11	413,106	-	-	2	75,111	2	75,111	3	112,665	-	-	21
Dillingham	14	751,646	20	1,073,780	-	-	4	214,756	4	214,756	-	-	1	53,689	43
Fairbanks	275	10,471,720	234	8,910,482	-	-	53	2,018,186	30	1,142,369	10	300,790	4	152,316	626
Galena	5	323,954	12	647,908	-	-	1	53,992	2	107,985	-	-	1	53,992	21
Haines	15	596,370	21	834,918	-	-	5	198,790	3	119,274	-	-	-	-	21
Hoonah	8	311,964	11	428,950	-	-	3	116,986	2	77,991	-	-	-	-	21
Hydaburg	4	150,266	6	225,398	-	-	2	75,133	2	75,133	-	-	1	37,566	15
Juneau	124	4,300,337	120	4,403,545	-	-	20	963,275	20	608,054	4	137,611	3	103,208	307
Kake	7	263,696	11	414,380	-	-	3	113,013	2	113,013	-	-	-	-	33
Kenai	223	8,413,790	175	6,404,668	10	365,981	33	1,207,737	20	731,962	8	292,705	7	329,383	478
Ketchikan	73	2,545,660	77	2,648,862	-	-	18	619,215	13	447,211	6	206,405	1	34,401	185
King Cove	6	312,772	6	312,772	-	-	2	104,257	2	104,257	-	-	-	-	16
Klawock	6	263,375	4	150,500	-	-	2	75,250	-	-	-	-	-	-	12
Kodiak	83	3,312,341	72	2,873,356	4	159,631	20	838,062	11	438,935	4	159,631	3	119,723	197
Mat-Su	139	4,943,711	134	4,765,880	3	106,699	27	960,289	20	711,325	15	533,494	1	35,566	337
Metana	8	334,425	14	585,243	-	-	3	125,409	2	83,606	-	-	-	-	38
Nome	24	1,290,773	27	1,452,119	-	-	7	376,475	6	322,693	-	-	4	215,129	63
North Slope	44	2,416,128	56	2,911,374	-	-	10	787,868	18	997,966	3	157,574	24	1,260,589	152
Pelican	3	115,657	4	154,209	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7
Petersburg	25	897,548	14	502,627	-	-	6	215,412	3	107,706	-	-	-	-	48
Sand Point	5	259,997	8	415,995	-	-	1	51,999	2	103,999	-	-	-	-	16
Sitka	76	2,708,321	32	1,140,346	-	-	11	391,994	8	285,086	-	-	2	71,272	129
Skagway	8	294,922	8	294,922	-	-	2	73,730	2	73,730	-	-	-	-	20
St. Mary's	6	324,290	4	216,193	-	-	3	162,145	3	162,145	-	-	2	108,097	18
Unalaska	8	412,193	11	566,765	-	-	2	103,048	2	103,048	-	-	-	-	23
Valdez	29	1,130,123	36	1,402,911	-	-	12	506,607	6	272,788	-	-	-	-	53
Wrangell	17	610,860	21	754,592	-	-	4	143,732	3	107,799	-	-	-	-	45
Yakutat	7	291,226	10	416,038	-	-	2	83,208	1	83,208	-	-	-	-	28
Totals	2,271	82,574,278	2,094	77,861,889	22	803,475	436	16,516,040	287	11,170,990	50	1,980,955	78	3,335,621	

EDUCATION SUPPORT PROGRAMS
 Revised FY 1981 Budget Estimates
 Rural Education Attendance Areas

01-21-81

REAA	Elem I.U.	Elem. FUND. \$ (Less 074)	Sec. I.U.	Sec. FUND. \$ (Less 074)	Comb. E/S I.U.	Comb. E/S FUND. \$	Spec. Ed. I.U.	Spec. Ed. FUND \$	Voc. Ed. I.U.	Voc. Ed. FUND \$	C/S-Local I.U.	C/S Local FUND \$	Dilg. I.U.	Dilg FUND \$
Adak	24	620,143	19	001,846	-0-	-0-	4	195,636	3	146,727	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0- 50
Alaska Gateway	22	1,172,546	26	1,305,736	5	209,610	5	209,610	5	209,610	4	167,688	3	125,766 70
Aleutians	3	57,675	4	76,900	21	1,100,442	2	104,004	1	52,402	-0-	-0-	1	52,402 32
Annette	13	160,242	10	221,073	-0-	-0-	3	103,996	3	100,996	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0- 37
Bering Strait	11	415,310	40	1,012,264	9	487,341	5	270,745	11	703,937	3	162,447	7	379,043 74
Chatham	15	309,072	10	206,502	4	150,920	2	75,460	1	37,730	3	113,190	-0-	-0- 35
Chuyach	3	111,210	4	140,291	4	167,688	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	11
Copper River	26	074,035	30	1,000,502	6	241,050	5	200,075	3	160,700	5	241,050	1	40,175 74
Delta Greely	30	077,660	29	040,404	-0-	-0-	11	461,142	4	167,688	5	209,610	-0-	-0- 79
Iditarod	13	472,646	16	501,719	10	974,682	2	100,298	3	162,447	3	162,447	2	100,298 57
Kuspuk	10	745,736	26	1,077,201	15	812,235	2	100,298	5	324,894	3	162,447	-0-	-0- 169
Lake & Peninsula	31	1,260,510	24	975,005	17	920,533	3	162,447	3	162,447	-0-	-0-	3	162,447 81
Lower Kuskokwim	48	1,930,323	140	5,630,109	4	216,596	17	920,533	35	1,095,215	-0-	-0-	36	1,949,364 33
Lower Yukon	50	1,631,257	66	2,153,259	5	270,745	9	487,341	11	649,788	-0-	-0-	12	649,788 153
Northwest Arctic	62	2,428,452	09	3,430,670	-0-	-0-	10	541,490	19	1,191,270	4	216,596	8	433,192 52
Pribilof	11	336,152	6	183,355	-0-	-0-	3	157,206	1	52,402	-0-	-0-	1	52,402 22
Railbelt	14	528,044	24	905,219	5	209,610	3	125,766	4	167,688	-0-	-0-	-0-	53
Southeast Island	26	684,344	14	368,493	29	1,094,170	-0-	75,460	-0-	75,460	7	264,110	-0-	-0- 76
Southwest Region	28	990,204	32	1,101,467	12	649,788	5	270,745	5	270,745	-0-	-0-	11	595,639 73
Yukon Flats	12	460,497	20	780,027	20	1,082,980	2	100,298	3	162,447	3	162,447	4	216,596 61
Yukon Koyukuk	25	761,848	24	731,374	24	1,299,576	5	270,745	7	379,043	6	324,894	3	162,447 94
Totals	476	16,844,742	669	24,429,979	190	9,887,966	100	4,963,895	137	7,001,644	47	2,180,926	92	4,297,559

81 SUPPLEMENTAL REQUEST ANALYSIS

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
	FY 79 ACTUAL	FY 80 FINAL AUTH.	FY 80 ACTUAL	FY 81 GOV. BUDGET	FY 81 INITIAL AUTH.	FY 81 CURRENT AUTH.	FY 81 EXPENDITURES + ENCUMBRANCES 7/1/ to 12-31	FY 81 OTHER OBLIGATIONS 7/1/ to	FY 81 PROJECTED EXPENDI- TURES + ENCUMBRANCES to 6/30	FY 81 (DEFICIT) OR EXCESS	FY 81 COMMITMENT
CLERICAL SERVICES	641.2	840.5	810.0	774.8	758.1	758.1	416.1		342.0		1,235.3
LABOR SERVICES	27.6	52.4	40.1	72.0	72.0	72.0	13.3		58.7		80.0
JUDICIAL SERVICES	185.6	297.8	276.2	209.7	251.4	251.4	115.9		135.5		742.4
FEES	216.1	206.2	172.8	258.9	258.9	258.9	109.2		149.7		205.9
TRAVEL	43.0	4.0	3.7	7.3	7.3	7.3			7.3		5.0
BLDG. ...	4.9	14.0	13.9	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.9		.1		5.0
CLAIMS	194,546.0	215,515.9	215,455.0	215,067.9	262,816.8	262,798.8	234,999.0		32,299.8	(4,500.0)	346,085.8
LIABILITIES											
TOTAL	195,664.4	216,930.8	216,771.7	216,395.6	264,169.5	264,151.5	235,658.4		32,993.1	(4,500.0)	347,904.4
RECEIPTS		120.0	120.0	125.0	125.0	125.0	4.0				125.0
STATE MATCHING											
GENERAL FUND	194,546.0	215,515.9	215,455.0	215,067.9	262,841.8	262,823.8	234,998.9			(4,500.0)	346,085.8
Program Receipts											
GRANT RECEIPTS	140.0	100.0	44.0	150.0	150.0	150.0					150.0
OTHER	978.4	1,194.9	1,152.7	1,052.7	1,052.7	1,052.7	655.5				1,543.6
TOTAL	195,664.4	216,930.8	216,771.7	216,395.6	264,169.5	264,151.5					347,904.4

Education

BRU: Foundation Program Components

COMPONENT: Foundation Program Components

REVISED:

MEMORANDUM

State of Alaska

RECEIVED

FEB 10 1981

TO: Ron Lehr, Director
Division of Budget and Management
Office of the Governor


DATE: February 10, 1981

BUDGET AND MANAGEMENT

FILE NO:

SC10505

TELEPHONE NO: 465-2875


FROM: Ray Jensen, Supervisor
Budget and Audit Section
Management, Law and Finance
Department of Education

SUBJECT: FY 81 Supplemental for Debt
Retirement - Local

Department of Education respectfully submits the following information for an FY 81 Supplemental appropriation of \$9,800.0 for Debt Retirement - Local Component of Financial Support - Other BRU.

Debt Retirement - Local Component provides repayment for debt expenses of districts under provisions of AS 43.18.100. The payments are based on audited expenditures of the second prior year. Due to large increases in expenditures in Fairbanks, Kenai and Kodiak, and an approximate \$5 million interest and principle payments by North Slope for a bond series, the Department had not been notified of during its initial calculations, the entitlement for FY 81 exceeds the budget authorization by \$9,800.0.

FY 81 SUPPLEMENTAL REQUEST ANALYSIS

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
	FY 79 ACTUAL	FY 80 FINAL AUTH.	FY 80 ACTUAL	FY 81 GOV. BUDGET	FY 81 INITIAL AUTH.	FY 81 CURRENT AUTH.	FY 81 EXPENDITURES + ENCUMBRANCES 7/1/ to ___	FY 81 OTHER OBLIGATIONS 7/1/ to ___	FY 81 PROJECTED EXPENDI- TURES + ENCUMBRANCES to 6/30	FY 81 (DEFICIT) OR EXCESS	FY 81 CONTI
PERSONAL SERVICES											
TRAVEL											
QUERACTUAL SERVICES						243.0			243.0	(243.0)	
COMMODITIES											
EQUIPMENT											
GRANTS, BLDG. ...											
WARRANTS, CLAIMS	6,416.4	9,970.0	9,298.9	12,000.0	12,000.0	15,327.2			15,327.2	(3,327.2)	
MISCELLANEOUS											
TOTAL	6,416.4	9,970.0	9,298.9	12,000.0	12,000.0	15,570.2			15,570.2	(3,327.2)	
FEDERAL RECEIPTS				456.0	456.0	456.0			456.0		
REQUIRED GF MATCHING											
THRU GENERAL FUND	3,600.0	8,120.0	8,120.0	9,494.0	9,494.0	9,494.0			9,494.0	(3,570.2)	
THRU-AGENCY RECEIPTS											
Program Receipts	2,816.4	1,850.0	1,178.9	2,050.0	2,050.0	2,050.0			2,050.0		
Borrowed Funds						3,570.2			3,570.2	Repaid	
TOTAL	6,416.4	9,970.0	9,298.9	12,000.0	12,000.0	15,570.0			15,570.2		

AGENCY: EDUCATION BRU: Postsecondary Commission-Grants & Loans COMPONENT: Scholarship Program REVISED: 2-18-81



NEA - ALASKA

AFFILIATED WITH THE NATIONAL EDUCATION ASSOCIATION

JUNEAU OFFICE
147 SOUTH FRANKLIN #207
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99801
PHONE: (907) 586-3090

ANCHORAGE REGIONAL OFFICE
1411 WEST 33rd
ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99503
PHONE: (907) 274-0536

FAIRBANKS REGIONAL OFFICE
825 COLLEGE ROAD
FAIRBANKS, ALASKA 99701
PHONE: (907) 456-4435

Robert C. Manners
Executive Secretary
Juneau Office

Robert C. Cooksey
Deputy Executive Secretary
Juneau Office

James D. Alter
Field Staff
Juneau Office

Charles L. O'Connell
Deputy Executive Secretary
Anchorage Office

Dianne Anderson
Field Staff
Anchorage Office

Steve Pulkkinen
Field Staff
Anchorage Office

Mary Ann Eininger
Deputy Executive Secretary
Fairbanks Office

February 9, 1981

TO: Chairman Parr
Members of the Senate HESS Committee

FROM: NEA-Alaska

RE: SB 88 - Special Appropriation to Scholarship
Revolving Loan Fund

SB 120 - Amending the Graduate and Undergraduate
Scholarship Loan Program

MEMORANDUM OF SUPPORT

NEA-Alaska strongly supports and urges passage of SB 88 and SB 120.

Inflation has probably adversely impacted the cost of a college education as severely, if not more so, as anything in the basic economy today.

It is incumbent on us to make the fullest opportunity for a comprehensive public and college education available to all youth in Alaska who possess the motivation, skills, and desires to do so. Our youth are our greatest asset and natural resource. Their access to a comprehensive education is our best hope for solutions to the problems of this and future generations.

It is our feeling that SB 88 and SB 120 greatly enhance this potential.

Respectfully submitted:

Robert Manners
Executive Secretary

RM:jw

MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Ron Lehr
Director of Budget and Management

FROM: Kerry D. Romesburg, Executive Director
Alaska Commission on Postsecondary Education

DATE: December 22, 1980


As you will recall, the Alaska Commission on Postsecondary Education ran short of student loan funds this past fall. In order to provide full funding for this program, we borrowed over \$3 million from the Student Loan Marketing Association. We have funded all eligible loan applicants now and have funds sufficient to carry us through the fiscal year.

We must now seek a supplemental budget appropriation to repay these borrowed funds. The total amount of our needed supplemental is \$3,570,127 consisting of:

\$3,327,127	Principal borrowed
100,000	Oct. - Dec. 31 interest
135,000	Jan. 1 - Mar. 31 interest
<u>\$3,570,127</u>	

If the loan is repaid prior to March 31, there will be savings (of approximately \$9,000 per week). Similarly, if the loan is extended beyond March 31, the cost will escalate proportionately.

Please consider this memorandum our formal request for this supplemental appropriation. If specific forms or more detail is required, please let me know.



Alaska Statewide Student Association

P.O. BOX 548
DOUGLAS, ALASKA 99824

REPRESENTING STUDENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF ALASKA STATEWIDE SYSTEM

Director - Bill Zybach

Senate Bill 88

Senator Charlie Parr, Chairman
Senate HESS Committee
Alaska State Legislature

Feb. 9, 1981

Mr. Chairman,

The Alaska Statewide Student Association is very concerned with the passage of SB 88. Because of our continued interest in the State Loan Program over the past four years, we hail this legislation as a Bill which will resolve a problem which has been of continued irritation for some time, the problem of prompt availability of State Loan funds.

ASSA supports and endorses the passage of the needed legislation in SB 88, and urges the committee to make a favorable recommendation.

With Respect,

Bill Zybach
Director, ASSA

ASSA

STATE OF ALASKA

JAY S. HAMMOND, GOVERNOR

ALASKA COMMISSION ON POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION

POUCH F - STATE OFFICE BUILDING
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99811
(907) 465-2854

The Alaska Commission on Postsecondary Education

The basic purpose of the Alaska Commission on Postsecondary Education is to provide for the coordinated efficient use of Alaska's financial resources in providing postsecondary educational services to the citizens of the state. The Commission is charged with coordinating the development of comprehensive plans for the systematic growth of postsecondary education in Alaska, advising as to functions and purposes of colleges and universities operating in the state, reviewing annual budget requests from colleges and universities in the state, serving as the consumer protection agency for the citizens of the state in obtaining postsecondary education, and advising the Governor's Office, the Legislature, and other appropriate federal and state agencies and institutional boards on postsecondary education issues and concerns in and for Alaska.

Through a 13-member public board and a series of advisory councils, the Commission serves as the impartial coordinating board for all Alaskan postsecondary education. Specific programs and services provided through the Commission include:

1. authorization of postsecondary education institutions to operate in Alaska
2. serving as consumer complaint agency for postsecondary education services
3. serving as Alaska's state approval agency for veteran's educational benefits and program approval
4. administration of the federal Continuing Education and Community Service Program (Title I, HEA)
5. administration of the federal Guaranteed Student Loan Program (Title IV, HEA)
6. administration of the federal Postsecondary Education Planning Grants Program (Title XII, HEA)
7. administration of the Alaska State Student Loan Program
8. administration of the combined federal/state State Education Incentive Grant Program SEIG (Title IV, HEA)
9. administration of the State Memorial Scholarship Loan Programs
10. serving as Alaska's agency for coordinating activities in the 13-state Western Interstate Commission on Higher Education (WICHE)
11. administration of the WICHE Student Exchange Program for Alaska
12. administration of the WICHE Fellows Program for Alaska
13. coordination of federal reporting for the Higher Education General Information Survey (HEGIS)
14. serving as the State EEO agency for postsecondary education
15. administration of the Federal Higher Education Facilities Grant Program (Title VII, HEA)
16. administration of Alaska's participation in the Federal Education Information Centers, EIC Program (Title IV, HEA)
17. providing oversight and arbiter services for consortia agreements between and among public and private institutions.

COMMITTEE REPORT

HOUSE

FURTHER:

3/9/81

(11)

Date: Mar. 12, 81

Mr. Speaker:

The Committee on FINANCE has had SB 88am

"An Act making a special appropriation to the scholarship revolving loan fund; and providing for an effective date."

under consideration and reports it back as follows:

do pass do not pass

do pass with attached amendments(s)

replace with CS for _____ same title
 new title
and recommends _____

AND attaches a "Letter of Intent" New Fiscal Note

reports it back without recommendation

referred to the _____ Committee

MEMBERS SIGNING
DO PASS

MEMBERS HAVING
OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:

Freeman
San Galt
Wickham
Buchheit
Wynn
Quinn Adams
John G. Loney
Haugen
Montgomery
H. W. Jones

San Galt

CHAIRMAN

Don Rogers Do Pass with honors

A M E N D M E N T

OFFERED IN THE HOUSE:

By: Finance Committee

To: _____ HOUSE BILL No. _____

SENATE BILL No. SB 88 am

PAGE: 1

LINE: 6 & 7

~~DELETE:~~ "An Act making a special appropriation to the
scholarship revolving loan fund;"

INSERT "An Act making special appropriations for the
scholarship loan program;"

Funding Information
General Fund \$13,570,127
Other Funds 0-
\$13,570,127

Introduced: 1/15/81
Referred: Health, Education &
Social Services and Finance

1 IN THE SENATE

BY STIMSON, FISCHER, PARR,
KELLY AND STURGULEWSKI

2 SENATE BILL NO. 88 am

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 TWELFTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act making a special appropriation to the scholar-
7 ship revolving loan fund; and providing for an effec-
8 tive date."

9 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

10 *Section 1. The sum of \$10,000,000 is appropriated from the general
11 fund to the scholarship revolving loan fund (AS 14.40.751(a)) to establish a
12 revolving base for the student loan program.

13 * Sec. 2. The sum of \$3,570,127 is appropriated from the general fund to
14 the Alaska Postsecondary Education Commission, scholarship loan program for
15 repayment of principal and interest to the Student Loan Marketing Association.

16 * Sec. 3. This Act takes effect immediately in accordance with AS 01.10.-
17 070(c).

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

Funding Information
General Fund \$13,570,127
Other Funds 0-
\$13,570,127

Introduced: 1/15/81
Referred: Health, Education &
Social Services and Finance

1 IN THE SENATE

BY STIMSON, FISCHER, PARR,
KELLY AND STURGULEWSKI

2 SENATE BILL NO. 88 am

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 TWELFTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act making ^{for} a special appropriation ^{to} the scholar-
7 ship revolving loan fund; ^{Program} and providing for an effec-
8 tive date."

9 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

10 * Section 1. The sum of \$10,000,000 is appropriated from the general
11 fund to the scholarship revolving loan fund (AS 14.40.751(a)) to establish a
12 revolving base for the student loan program.

13 * Sec. 2. The sum of \$3,570,127 is appropriated from the general fund to
14 the Alaska Postsecondary Education Commission, scholarship loan program for
15 repayment of principal and interest to the Student Loan Marketing Association.

16 * Sec. 3. This Act takes effect immediately in accordance with AS 01.10.-
17 070(c).

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

STATE OF ALASKA
THE LEGISLATURE

POUCH Y - STATE CAPITOL
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99811
907-465-3800

LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS AGENCY

MEMORANDUM

March 10, 1981

SUBJECT: Scholarship loan program appropriation
(SB 88 am)

TO: Representative Samuel R. Cotten
Chairman, House Finance Committee

FROM: Donna Spragg Pegues *D. Spragg Pegues*
Co-Revisor of Statutes

SB 88 am is pending in the House Finance Committee. In reviewing the bill today I noted that the Senate amendment is not reflected in the title. The added appropriation is not to "the scholarship revolving loan fund". A better title would be

"An Act making special appropriations for the scholarship loan program; and providing for an effective date."

Please let me know if you want amendments or a committee substitute prepared.

DSP:ljb

771

Funding Information
General Fund \$13,570,127
Other Funds 0-
\$13,570,127

Introduced: 1/15/81
Referred: Health, Education &
Social Services and Finance.

1 IN THE SENATE

BY STIMSON, FISCHER, PARR,
KELLY AND STURGULEWSKI

2 SENATE BILL NO. 88 am

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 TWELFTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act making a special appropriation to the scholar-
7 ship revolving loan fund; and providing for an effec-
8 tive date."

9 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

10 * Section 1. The sum of \$10,000,000 is appropriated from the general
11 fund to the scholarship revolving loan fund (AS 14.40.751(a)) to establish a
12 revolving base for the student loan program.

13 * Sec. 2. The sum of \$3,570,127 is appropriated from the general fund to
14 the Alaska Postsecondary Education Commission, scholarship loan program for
15 repayment of principal and interest to the Student Loan Marketing Association.

16 * Sec. 3. This Act takes effect immediately in accordance with AS 01.10.-
17 070(c).

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

STATE OF ALASKA

JAY S. HAMMOND, GOVERNOR

ALASKA COMMISSION ON POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION

POUCH F - STATE OFFICE BUILDING
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99811
(907) 465-2854

RECEIVED
DEC 24 1980
BUDGET AND MANAGEMENT

MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Ron Lehr
Director of Budget and Management

FROM: Kerry D. Romesburg, Executive Director
Alaska Commission on Postsecondary Education

DATE: December 22, 1980

As you will recall, the Alaska Commission on Postsecondary Education ran short of student loan funds this past fall. In order to provide full funding for this program, we borrowed over \$3 million from the Student Loan Marketing Association. We have funded all eligible loan applicants now and have funds sufficient to carry us through the fiscal year.

We must now seek a supplemental budget appropriation to repay these borrowed funds. The total amount of our needed supplemental is \$3,570,127 consisting of:

\$3,327,127	Principal borrowed
108,000	Oct. - Dec. 31 interest
<u>135,000</u>	Jan. 1 - Mar. 31 interest
\$3,570,127	

If the loan is repaid prior to March 31, there will be savings (of approximately \$9,000 per week). Similarly, if the loan is extended beyond March 31, the cost will escalate proportionately.

Please consider this memorandum our formal request for this supplemental appropriation. If specific forms or more detail is required, please let me know.

58 88

Supporting Rationale for Senate Bill 88

Problems with the Existing System:

Timing: This factor has undoubtedly been the most frustrating for loan recipients and their families. Under current state law the Postsecondary Commission must request yearly appropriations from the state legislature from which they award scholarship loans. The Commission must then wait to finalize the awards until the Governor has signed off on all appropriations. With the recent history of marathon legislative sessions, coupled with the time involved for the Governor to act, and with the time it takes for the final contract to be let and returned by the recipient, it means that students who apply for loans may be attending school by the time their award reaches them. The student and their families truly become trapped by, and victims of, the system.

Projections: For the last three academic school years the Commission, in its annual request for loan funds, has underestimated the demand on the fund and has had consequently needed to seek supplementary appropriations from the state and federal governments. In analyzing the past three years of the loan problems, it must be kept in mind that it is the philosophy of the State of Alaska that all loans are fully funded. With that understanding let's peruse the recent history of the loan program:

1978-1979: Prior to this specific academic year the Commission had always used all linear regression projection model for predicting student loan demands, and previous to this year the model proved adequate. In 1978-79, however, there was experienced a 23% increase in student loan requests. Previously that increase was roughly 15% annually. The Commission was forced to appeal to the Legislature for a \$650,000 supplement to fund all loans. Luckily the Legislature was in session and the special appropriation was granted.

1978-1979: During this academic year the Commission predicated their annual appropriation request based on a historical rate of persons withdrawing from school, those becoming academically ineligible, etc. This year the withdrawal and loan turn-back rate was only 5.1% and the Commission was found to have over-awarded the program. They sought and received from the legislature a \$1.37 million supplemental appropriation which carried them through the summer.

1980-1981: This year the Commission once again related their projections to high school graduation statistics and to the total adult population base. They also estimated a low loan turn-back rate. This year, however, they underestimated the amounts that would be requested by the recipients. The Commission requested and received \$3 million from the federal Student Loan Marketing Association, which must be paid by still yet another special appropriation.

Legislative Sessions: In addition to the timing factor created for the Commission due to the length of the recent legislative sessions, a further problem is caused when the session is not meeting and the Commission must

scramble to find interim funds to float the program until the legislature is in session and a request for a special appropriation is in order.

Note: In defense of the Commission I must personally forward that the problem of inadequate projections lies more with unmeasurable/unpredictable variables than with administrative inadequacy.

Why a Revolving Fund?

Adequate funding of the scholarship revolving loan fund would directly ameliorate the above mentioned problems.

First, if the State of Alaska continues to embrace the philosophy of fully funded scholarship loans, the immediate availability of a source of revenue allows the Commission the flexibility to receive, process and award funds to Alaskan students in a timely fashion. It would also afford the Commission the availability to fund second semester requests of new recipients without worrying about the amount of the special appropriation from the legislature that will be needed to cover the additional loans. In essence this bill would re-instill respectability to the Commission, which is certainly desirable in a lending institution, and increase the state's credibility with its citizens involved with the program.

Secondly, this bill would allow the Commission to fully fund all loan requests without appearing in the financial marketplace for continual special appropriations. The Commission will still need to request annual legislative appropriations for the ensuing fiscal year, yet they will not be held victim by unforeseen projection variables. The money will be available, gathering interest and protecting our interests.

Why not Forward Funding?

Forward funding would solve the question of timely processing of loans in that an assured source of revenue would be available, but as the Commission would not be able to "dip into" future appropriations; it would not solve the problem of inadequate projections necessitating annual special/emergency appropriation requests.

Further Considerations:

If we were really going to prepare the financial security of the scholarship loan program we could introduce an endowment concept that might far exceed the benefits derived from this effort, but with all things considered, this is a good, solid piece of legislation.

Alaska Student Loan Program

Fact Sheet

1. Total Loans 1971-72 through 1979-80:

Undergraduate	16,359	\$ 30.4 million
Graduate	<u>2,210</u>	<u>7.4 million</u>
Total	18,569	\$ 37.8 million

2. Current Year
(1980-81, as of 1/14/81): 5,880 loans \$ 16.0 million

3. Percent Loans In-State/Out-of-State (1979-80):

	<u>In-State</u>	<u>Out-of-State</u>
Undergraduate	36.1 (43.5)	63.9 (56.5)
Graduate	12.3 (19.0)	87.7 (81.0)

4. Loan Collections (per month average):

1974-75	\$ 19,623
1975-76	38,794
1976-77	95,122
1977-78	99,321
1978-79	115,970
1979-80	133,620
1980-81 (est.)	170,000

5. Loan Cancellations (per month average):

1974-75	\$ 59
1975-76	3,686
1976-77	5,396
1977-78	26,192
1978-79	37,165
1979-80	34,125
1980-81 (est.)	45,000

6. Past Due and Default Loans

Past Due	October		November		December		January	
	No.	Amount	No.	Amount	No.	Amount	No.	Amount
31-60 days	484	1,171,102	478	1,032,186	547	1,174,413	508	1,053,685
61-90	204	451,853	268	649,596	288	585,991	295	585,775
91-120	180	505,039	178	389,083	188	463,252	193	385,664
120+	878	1,981,084	909	2,038,126	954	2,096,983	927	2,154,220
TOTAL	1,746	4,109,078	1,833	4,108,991	1,977	4,320,639	1,923	4,179,344
Default Rate	11.2%		11.2%		11.3%		11.3%	

7. Current Year (as of 1/14/81)

	<u>In-State</u>	<u>Out-of-State</u>	<u>Total</u>
Freshman	864 (46.0)	1,014 (54.0)	1,878
Sophomore	512 (41.1)	734 (58.9)	1,246
Junior	399 (41.0)	575 (59.0)	974
Senior	327 (46.0)	384 (54.0)	711
Vocational	173 (40.9)	250 (59.1)	423
Sub-Total	2,275 (43.5)	2,957 (56.5)	5,232
Graduate	123 (19.0)	525 (81.0)	648
TOTAL	2,398 (40.8)	3,482 (58.2)	5,880

8. Raising Loan Limits

Undergraduate	\$2,500	\$4,500	\$5,000
Graduate	\$6,000	\$7,500	\$7,000
1981-82 Cost	\$1,575,700	\$3,619,600	\$4,041,704

9. Other Issues

- a. Adding a section which states that if a person allows the loan to become default (120+ days past due), all cancellation benefits are forfeited.
- b. Providing additional cancellation for in-state attendance, for example, an additional 5% per year of attendance, for up to a maximum of 20%.
- c. Providing additional cancellation for maintaining a "B" grade average for undergraduates, for example, an additional 5% upon graduation.
- d. Providing grant provisions for Alaskan borrowers age 60 and over.

10. Sample Costs:

a. Single Student College-Approved Budgets (1980-81)

	<u>Undergraduate</u>	<u>Graduate</u>
University of Alaska, Anchorage	\$5,922	\$8,500
University of Alaska, Fairbanks	3,814	5,684
University of Washington	5,654	7,120
Gonzaga University	7,010	7,940
Western Washington University	6,280	7,890
University of Oregon	6,940	8,120
University of Colorado	8,150	9,540
Stanford University	9,800	11,250

b. Tuition and/or Required Fees (1980-81)

	<u>Undergraduate</u>	<u>Graduate</u>
University of Alaska, Fairbanks	\$ 562	\$ 792
University of Arizona*	2,500	2,500
University of Colorado*	3,584	3,752
University of Hawaii	480	582
University of Idaho*	1,990	2,000
University of Oregon*	3,431	2,433
University of Washington*	2,394	2,736

*Non-resident

COMMITTEE REPORT

HOUSE

(11)

FURTHER:

5/17/82

Date: 5/19/82

Mr. Speaker:

The Committee on Finance has had CSSB 89(Rls) am

"An Act amending the child protection laws; and providing for an effective date."

under consideration and reports it back as follows:

- do pass do not pass
- do pass with attached amendments(s)
- replace with ^HCS for CSSB 89 (Finance) same title
 new title
- and recommends do pass
- AND attaches a "Letter of Intent" New-Fiscal Note
- reports it back without recommendation
- referred to the _____ Committee

MEMBERS SIGNING
DO PASS

MEMBERS HAVING
OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:

CHAIRMAN

THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA
TWELFTH LEGISLATURE

FISCAL NOTE

I. REQUEST
Bill/Resolution No. CS for Senate Bill No. 89 (Rules) am
Title "An Act amending the child protection laws: & providing for an eff. date."
Requested by The Rules Committee Date _____

II. FISCAL DETAIL
Agency Affected Department of Health and Social Services
Program Category Affected _____
BRU, Program, Or Subprogram(s) Affected _____
(Note: If more than one budget component is affected, separate line-item amounts and funding for each component in the analysis section.)

EXPENDITURES (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 82	FY 83	FY 84	FY 85	FY 86	FY 87
100 PERSONAL SERVICES						
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL						
400 COMMODITIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.						
TCTAL	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-

FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

GENERAL FUND	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
FEDERAL FUNDS	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
OTHER (Specify Source)	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-

POSITIONS

FULL TIME	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
PART TIME	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
TEMPORARY	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instruction, Section III)

CS for Senate Bill No. 89 (Rules) am has no fiscal impact on the Department of Health and Social Services.

IV. DATE 2/16/82 PREPARED BY John R. Pugh, Director
AGENCY Division of Family & Youth Services /cc
Original: Legislative Finance PHONE 465-3170
cc: Budget and Management
Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)
33-001 (Rev. 12/81)

Original sponsors: Parr, Fahrenkamp,
Fischer and Stimson

1 IN THE SENATE

BY THE FINANCE COMMITTEE

2 HOUSE CS FOR CS FOR SENATE BILL NO. 89 (Finance)

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 TWELFTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act amending the child protection laws; and pro-
7 viding for an effective date."

8 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

9 * Section 1. AS 47.10.010(a)(2) is amended by adding a new subparagraph
10 to read:

11 (F) the child having suffered substantial physical abuse
12 or neglect as a result of conditions created by the child's parent,
13 guardian or custodian.

14 * Sec. 2. AS 47.10.142(a) is amended by adding a new paragraph to read:

15 (4) the minor has been sexually abused under circumstances
16 listed in AS 47.10.010(a)(2)(D).

17 * Sec. 3. AS 47.17.010 is amended to read:

18 Sec. 47.17.010. PURPOSE. In order to protect children whose
19 health and well-being may be adversely affected through the infliction,
20 by other than accidental means, of harm through physical abuse or neglect
21 or sexual abuse or sexual exploitation [REQUIRING THE ATTENTION OF A
22 PRACTITIONER OF THE HEALING ARTS], the legislature requires the reporting
23 of these cases by practitioners of the healing arts and others to the
24 appropriate public authorities. It is the intent of the legislature
25 that, as a result of these reports, protective services will be made
26 available in an effort to prevent further harm to the child, to safeguard
27 and enhance the general well-being of the children in this state, and to
28 preserve family life whenever possible.

29 * Sec. 4. AS 47.17.020(a)(2) is amended to read:

1 (2) school teachers and school administrative staff members;

2 * Sec. 5. AS 47.17.020(a) is amended by adding a new paragraph to read:

3 (6) individuals involved in day care and foster care.

4 * Sec. 6. AS 47.17 is amended by adding a new section to read:

5 Sec. 47.17.025. DUTIES OF PUBLIC AUTHORITIES. (a) A law enforce-
6 ment agency shall immediately notify the department of the receipt of a
7 report of harm to a child from abuse. Upon receipt from any source of a
8 report of harm to a child from abuse, the department shall notify the
9 Department of Law and investigate the report and, within 72 hours of the
10 receipt of the report, shall provide a written report of its investiga-
11 tion of the harm to a child from abuse to the Department of Law for
12 review.

13 (b) The report of harm to a child from abuse required from the
14 department by this section shall include:

15 (1) the names and addresses of the child and his parent or
16 other persons responsible for his care, if known;

17 (2) the age and sex of the child;

18 (3) the nature and extent of the harm to the child from
19 abuse;

20 (4) the name and age and address of the person known or
21 believed to be responsible for the harm to the child from abuse, if
22 known;

23 (5) information that the department believes may be helpful
24 in establishing the identity of the person believed to have caused the
25 harm to the child from abuse.

26 (c) The Department of Law shall review the report of the depart-
27 ment and, in consultation with the department, take appropriate action
28 to protect the child from further harm.

29 * Sec. 7. AS 47.17 is amended by adding new sections to read:

1 Sec. 47.17.064. PHOTOGRAPHS AND X-RAYS. A person required under
2 this chapter to report that a child suffered substantial harm as a
3 result of physical abuse or neglect may without the permission of the
4 parents

5 (1) take or have taken photographs of the areas of trauma
6 visible on the child; and

7 (2) if medically indicated, have a radiological examination
8 of the child performed.

9 Sec. 47.17.068. PENALTY FOR FAILURE TO REPORT. A person required
10 to file a report of abuse or neglect under AS 47.17.020 who willfully or
11 knowingly fails or refuses to report the harm required under AS 47.17.-
12 020 is guilty of a class B misdemeanor.

13 * Sec. 8. AS 47.17.070(1) is amended to read:

14 (1) "child abuse or neglect" means the physical injury or
15 neglect, sexual abuse, sexual exploitation, or maltreatment of a child
16 under the age of 18 by a person who is responsible for the child's
17 welfare under circumstances which indicate that the child's health or
18 welfare is harmed or threatened thereby;

19 * Sec. 9. AS 47.17.070 is amended by adding a new paragraph to read:

20 (7) "sexual exploitation" means

21 (A) permission or encouragement to a child for prosti-
22 tution prohibited by AS 11.66.100 - 11.66.150 by a person responsi-
23 ble for the child's welfare;

24 (B) permission, encouragement, or activity involved in
25 the unlawful exploitation of a minor prohibited by AS 11.41.455 by
26 a person responsible for the minor's welfare.

27 * Sec. 10. This Act takes effect July 1, 1982.
28
29

Original sponsors: Parr, Fahrenkamp,
Fischer and Stimson

Offered: 2/8/82

1 IN THE SENATE

BY THE ~~RULES~~ COMMITTEE

2 *HOUSE CS* CS FOR SENATE BILL NO. 89 (~~Rules~~) am *Finance*
3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 TWELFTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act amending the child protection laws; and pro-
7 viding for an effective date."

8 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

9 * Section 1. AS 47.10.010(a)(2) is amended by adding a new subparagraph
10 to read:

11 (F) the child having suffered substantial physical abuse
12 or neglect as a result of conditions created by the child's parent,
13 guardian or custodian.

14 * Sec. 2. AS 47.10.142(a) is amended by adding a new paragraph to read:

15 (4) the minor has been sexually abused under circumstances
16 listed in AS 47.10.010(a)(2)(D).

17 * Sec. 3. AS 47.17.010 is amended to read:

18 Sec. 47.17.010. PURPOSE. In order to protect children whose
19 health and well-being may be adversely affected through the infliction,
20 by other than accidental means, of harm through physical abuse or neglect
21 or sexual abuse or sexual exploitation [REQUIRING THE ATTENTION OF A
22 PRACTITIONER OF THE HEALING ARTS], the legislature requires the reporting
23 of these cases by practitioners of the healing arts and others to the
24 appropriate public authorities. It is the intent of the legislature
25 that, as a result of these reports, protective services will be made
26 available in an effort to prevent further harm to the child, to safeguard
27 and enhance the general well-being of the children in this state, and to
28 preserve family life whenever possible.

29 * Sec. 4. AS 47.17.020(a)(2) is amended to read:

1 (2) school teachers and school administrative staff members;

2 * Sec. 5. AS 47.17.020(a) is amended by adding a new paragraph to read:

3 (6) individuals involved in day care and foster care.

4 * Sec. 6. AS 47.17 is amended by adding new sections to read:

5 *> Add new copy (Sec. 7 from HCS CSSB 89 (Jed))*
6 Sec. 47.17.064. PHOTOGRAPHS AND X-RAYS. A person required under

7 this chapter to report that a child suffered substantial harm as a
8 result of physical abuse or neglect may without the permission of the
9 parents

10 (1) take or have taken photographs of the areas of trauma
11 visible on the child; and

12 (2) if medically indicated, have a radiological examination
13 of the child performed.

14 Sec. 47.17.068. PENALTY FOR FAILURE TO REPORT. A person required
15 to file a report of abuse or neglect under AS 47.17.020 who willfully or
16 knowingly fails or refuses to report the harm required under AS 47.17.-
17 020 is guilty of a class B misdemeanor.

18 * Sec. 7. AS 47.17.070(1) is amended to read:

19 (1) "child abuse or neglect" means the physical injury or
20 neglect, sexual abuse, sexual exploitation, or maltreatment of a child
21 under the age of 18 by a person who is responsible for the child's
22 welfare under circumstances which indicate that the child's health or
23 welfare is harmed or threatened thereby;

24 * Sec. 8. AS 47.17.070 is amended by adding a new paragraph to read:

25 (7) "sexual exploitation" means

26 (A) permission or encouragement to a child for prosti-
27 tution prohibited by AS 11.66.100 - 11.66.150 by a person responsi-
28 ble for the child's welfare;

29 (B) permission, encouragement, or activity involved in
the unlawful exploitation of a minor prohibited by AS 11.41.455 by

1 section from reporting instances of harm to a child which have come to
2 the attention of the person in a nonprofessional capacity nor does it
3 prohibit any other person from reporting harm to a child that the person
4 has cause to believe is a result of abuse or neglect. A report shall be
5 made to the nearest office of the department or to a law enforcement
6 agency.

7 * Sec. 5. AS 47.17.020(c) is repealed and reenacted to read:

8 (c) If it appears reasonable from a report made under this section
9 that immediate action is necessary for the well-being of a child, the
10 department or law enforcement agency or peace officer shall take action
11 to protect the child.

12 * Sec. 6. AS 47.17.020 is amended by adding a new subsection to read:

13 (d) A form for a report of harm to a child from abuse or neglect
14 may be obtained from the department, a local police department, or the
15 Department of Public Safety.

16 * Sec. 7. AS 47.17 is amended by adding a new section to read:

17 Sec. 47.17.025. DUTIES OF PUBLIC AUTHORITIES. (a) A law enforce-
18 ment agency shall immediately notify the department of the receipt of a
19 report of harm to a child from abuse ~~or neglect~~. Upon receipt from any
20 source of a report of harm to a child from abuse ~~or neglect~~, the depart-
21 ment shall notify the Department of Law and investigate the report and,
22 within 72 hours of the receipt of the report, shall provide a written
23 report of its investigation of the harm to a child from abuse ~~or neglect~~
24 to the Department of Law for review.

25 (b) The report of harm to a child from abuse ~~or neglect~~ required
26 from the department by this section shall include:

27 (1) the names and addresses of the child and his parent or
28 other persons responsible for his care, if known;

29 (2) the age and sex of the child;

1 (3) the nature and extent of the harm to the child from abuse
2 ~~(or neglect)~~

3 (4) the name and age and address of the person known or
4 believed to be responsible for the harm to the child from abuse ~~(or~~
5 ~~neglect)~~ if known;

6 (5) information that the department believes may be helpful
7 in establishing the identity of the person believed to have caused the
8 harm to the child from abuse ~~(or neglect)~~

9 (c) The Department of Law shall review the report of the depart-
10 ment and, in consultation with the department, take appropriate action
11 to protect the child from further harm.

12 * ~~Sec. 9. AS 47.17 is amended by adding a new section to read:~~

13 Sec. 47.17.064. PHOTOGRAPHS AND X-RAYS. The department or a
14 person required under this chapter to report that a child suffered
15 substantial harm as a result of physical abuse or neglect may without
16 the permission of the parents and at the expense of the department

17 (1) take or have taken photographs of the areas of trauma
18 visible on the child; and

19 (2) if medically indicated, have a radiological examination
20 of the child performed.

21 * Sec. 9. AS 47.17.070(1) is amended to read:

22 (1) "child abuse or neglect" means the physical injury or
23 neglect, sexual abuse, or maltreatment of a child under the age of 18 by
24 a person who is responsible for the child's welfare under circumstances
25 which indicate that the child's health or welfare is harmed or threatened
26 thereby;

27 * Sec. 10. This Act takes effect July 1, 1982.
28
29

COMMITTEE REPORT

5/17

HOUSE

(7)

FURTHER: FINANCE

3/24/82

Date:

May 15, 1982

Mr. Speaker:

The Committee on JUDICIARY has had CSSB 89(Rls)am

"An Act amending the child protection laws; and providing for an effective date."

under consideration and (a-majority-of-the-committee)-(the-committee)--- reports it back with the following recommendations:

[] do pass [] do not pass

[] do pass with attached amendments(s)

[X] replace with CS for ^{House} CS for Senate Bill 89 Judiciary [X] same title new title and recommends

[] AND attaches a "Letter of Intent" [] New Fiscal Note

[X] reports it back without ^{recommendation} recommendation

[] referred to the _____ Committee

MEMBERS SIGNING DO PASS

Fabrice A. Gault IE NOT AMENDED

MEMBERS HAVING OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:

Mr. G. L. Gubman Buchholt - Do Pass if amended

RODOLFO PEREZ D.P. if amended Gomez Barrera No Rec

Chairman

A M E N D M E N T

OFFERED IN THE HOUSE:

By: H.E.S.S.

To: CS HOUSE BILL No. _____

→ SENATE BILL No. 89(rls)am

PAGE: 2

LINE: 1

Delete: administrative staff members

Insert: administrators

Original sponsors: Parr, Fahrenkamp,
Fischer and Stimson

Offered: 5/17/82
Referred: Rules

1 IN THE SENATE

BY THE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE

2 HOUSE CS FOR CS FOR SENATE BILL NO. 89 (Judiciary)

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 TWELFTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act amending the child protection laws; and pro-
7 viding for an effective date."

8 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

9 * Section 1. AS 47.10.010(a)(2) is amended by adding a new subparagraph
10 to read:

11 (F) the child having suffered substantial physical abuse
12 or neglect as a result of conditions created by the child's parent,
13 guardian or custodian.

14 * Sec. 2. AS 47.10.142(a) is amended by adding a new paragraph to read:
15 (4) the minor has been sexually abused under circumstances
16 listed in AS 47.10.010(a)(2)(D).

17 * Sec. 3. AS 47.17.010 is amended to read:

18 Sec. 47.17.010. PURPOSE. In order to protect children whose
19 health and well-being may be adversely affected through the infliction,
20 by other than accidental means, of harm through physical abuse or neglect
21 or sexual abuse requiring the attention of a practitioner of the healing
22 arts, the legislature requires the reporting of these cases by practi-
23 tioners and others to the appropriate public authorities. It is the
24 intent of the legislature that, as a result of these reports, protective
25 services will be made available in an effort to prevent further harm to
26 the child, to safeguard and enhance the general well-being of the chil-
27 dren in this state, and to preserve family life whenever possible.

28 * Sec. 4. AS 47.17.020(b) is repealed and reenacted to read:

29 (b) This section does not prohibit a person listed in (a) of this

1 section from reporting instances of harm to a child which have come to
2 the attention of the person in a nonprofessional capacity nor does it
3 prohibit any other person from reporting harm to a child that the person
4 has cause to believe is a result of abuse or neglect. A report shall be
5 made to the nearest office of the department or to a law enforcement
6 agency.

7 * Sec. 5. AS 47.17.020(c) is repealed and reenacted to read:

8 (c) If it appears reasonable from a report made under this section
9 that immediate action is necessary for the well-being of a child, the
10 department or law enforcement agency or peace officer shall take action
11 to protect the child.

12 * Sec. 6. AS 47.17.020 is amended by adding a new subsection to read:

13 (d) A form for a report of harm to a child from abuse or neglect
14 may be obtained from the department, a local police department, or the
15 Department of Public Safety.

16 * Sec. 7. AS 47.17 is amended by adding a new section to read:

17 Sec. 47.17.025. DUTIES OF PUBLIC AUTHORITIES. (a) A law enforce-
18 ment agency shall immediately notify the department of the receipt of a
19 report of harm to a child from abuse or neglect. Upon receipt from any
20 source of a report of harm to a child from abuse or neglect, the depart-
21 ment shall notify the Department of Law and investigate the report and,
22 within 72 hours of the receipt of the report, shall provide a written
23 report of its investigation of the harm to a child from abuse or neglect
24 to the Department of Law for review.

25 (b) The report of harm to a child from abuse or neglect required
26 from the department by this section shall include:

- 27 (1) the names and addresses of the child and his parent or
28 other persons responsible for his care, if known;
29 (2) the age and sex of the child;