

LEG. FINANCE - BILLS 1979 - 1980 1393

CSSCR 20 cont., thru SCR 25 1393

## INTRODUCTION

As a site for Alaskan industrial development, Point MacKenzie offers some substantial advantages. The Matanuska-Susitna Borough is growing rapidly, and its administrators and residents show an exceptional willingness to support large-scale industrial development.

The Borough is prepared to supply significant financial incentives to industrial developers. Low tax base land is available and low-interest financial assistance can be provided on short notice through the Borough's Power of Ports and other means. Planning and design efforts are now underway for extension of a road to the Point MacKenzie area, and long-term electrical power will be available on site due to hydroelectric projects planned for the Susitna River. Further, the Borough is in the process of preparing a comprehensive land-use planning document to assure compatibility of industrial development at the site with existing and future uses. The Point MacKenzie site is located about 50 road miles and less than 15 air miles from the major metropolitan city of Anchorage. This close proximity to the population center of the state provides additional economic advantages. Transportation costs are minimized, and all services and suppliers are readily accessible.

The location, together with the current trend toward development of attractive residential subdivisions in the area, enhances the overall desirability of the Matanuska-Susitna Borough as a location in which to settle. Residential settings offer full utilities and community services, as well as excellent access to recreational and cultural opportunities.

Physical features of the Point MacKenzie location, including climatic, geologic, and marine characteristics provide a site suitable for port development and large-scale industry. The following text describes in greater detail the character of the Point MacKenzie area and its natural and economic features.

For additional information regarding industrial development in the Matanuska-Susitna Borough, please contact the Borough offices in Palmer, Alaska. A list of contacts has been provided on page 26 for your convenience.


## Land Access

Existing highway, as well as railroad access to the Point MacKenzie area is good, and site specific access needs can be met within one construction season. Land access to the Point MacKenzie area is gained through utilization of the existing Goose Bay Road, which is a high-quality paved road extending from Wasilla to a point about 0.8 miles north of an airstrip shown on Figure 1.

Several alternatives exist for funding the extension of the road system to a given site in the Point MacKenzie area. These consist of: 1) providing a secondary road under the provisions for "pioneer access roads" approved by the Borough and the State, 2) seeking cooperative state/federal funding for design and construction of a secondary road, or 3) the Borough can provide a secondary road extending to the port area. Planning and design work for the road extension project is currently under contract with construction of a road due to be completed by the Borough in 1979. The third alternative, above, represents the most economic and expeditious means of supporting immediate access needs for construction of industrial facilities. This road can be upgraded at any time in the future. It is anticipated that the required type of road access can be provided within a given specified time insuring that industrial developers can achieve timely initiation of their projects.

It is felt that the construction of access to the Point MacKenzie area will rekindle the State's interest in providing ferry service between the Matanuska-Susitna Borough and the Municipality of Anchorage.

The construction of a 23-mile railroad spur would connect Point MacKenzie to the Alaska Railbelt and provide a means of moving heavy bulk cargo to and from Point MacKenzie.



INTRODUCTION

As a site for Alaskan industrial development, Point MacKenzie offers some substantial advantages. The Matanuska-Susitna Borough is growing rapidly, and its administrators and residents show an exceptional willingness to support large-scale industrial development.

The Borough is prepared to supply significant financial incentives to industrial developers. Low tax base land is available and low-interest financial assistance can be provided on short notice through the Borough's Power of Ports and other means. Planning and design efforts are now underway for extension of a road to the Point MacKenzie area, and long-term electrical power will be available on site due to hydroelectric projects planned for the Susitna River. Further, the Borough is in the process of preparing a comprehensive land-use planning document to assure compatibility of industrial development at the site with existing and future uses. The Point MacKenzie site is located about 50 road miles and less than 15 air miles from the major metropolitan city of Anchorage. This close proximity to the population center of the state provides additional economic advantages. Transportation costs are minimized, and all services and suppliers are readily accessible.

The location, together with the current trend toward development of attractive residential subdivisions in the area, enhances the overall desirability of the Matanuska-Susitna Borough as a location in which to settle. Residential settings offer full utilities and community services, as well as excellent access to recreational and cultural opportunities.

Physical features of the Point MacKenzie location, including climatic, geologic, and marine characteristics provide a site suitable for port development and large-scale industry. The following text describes in greater detail the character of the Point MacKenzie area and its natural and economic features.

For additional information regarding industrial development in the Matanuska-Susitna Borough, please contact the Borough offices in Palmer, Alaska. A list of contacts has been provided on page 26 for your convenience.

## Land Access

Existing highway, as well as railroad access to the Point MacKenzie area is good, and site specific access needs can be met within one construction season. Land access to the Point MacKenzie area is gained through utilization of the existing Goose Bay Road, which is a high-quality paved road extending from Wasilla to a point about 0.8 miles north of an airstrip shown on Figure 1.

Several alternatives exist for funding the extension of the road system to a given site in the Point MacKenzie area. These consist of: 1) providing a secondary road under the provisions for "pioneer access roads" approved by the Borough and the State, 2) seeking cooperative state/federal funding for design and construction of a secondary road, or 3) the Borough can provide a secondary road extending to the port area. Planning and design work for the road extension project is currently under contract with construction of a road due to be completed by the Borough in 1979. The third alternative, above, represents the most economic and expeditious means of supporting immediate access needs for construction of industrial facilities. This road can be upgraded at any time in the future. It is anticipated that the required type of road access can be provided within a given specified time insuring that industrial developers can achieve timely initiation of their projects.

It is felt that the construction of access to the Point MacKenzie area will rekindle the State's interest in providing ferry service between the Matanuska-Susitna Borough and the Municipality of Anchorage.

The construction of a 23-mile railroad spur would connect Point MacKenzie to the Alaska Railbelt and provide a means of moving heavy bulk cargo to and from Point MacKenzie.




FIGURE 1

VICINITY MAP

- ++++ RAILROAD
- == PAVED ROAD
- - - UNPAVED ROAD
- .... EXISTING WINTER TRAILS
- ▨ AREA CONTAINING 7,700 CONTIGUOUS ACRES
- ▨ NEW CAPITAL

0 5 10 15 20 miles

## INTRODUCTION

As a site for Alaskan industrial development, Point MacKenzie offers some substantial advantages. The Matanuska-Susitna Borough is growing rapidly, and its administrators and residents show an exceptional willingness to support large-scale industrial development.

The Borough is prepared to supply significant financial incentives to industrial developers. Low tax base land is available and low-interest financial assistance can be provided on short notice through the Borough's Power of Ports and other means. Planning and design efforts are now underway for extension of a road to the Point MacKenzie area, and long-term electrical power will be available on site due to hydroelectric projects planned for the Susitna River. Further, the Borough is in the process of preparing a comprehensive land-use planning document to assure compatibility of industrial development at the site with existing and future uses. The Point MacKenzie site is located about 50 road miles and less than 15 air miles from the major metropolitan city of Anchorage. This close proximity to the population center of the state provides additional economic advantages. Transportation costs are minimized, and all services and suppliers are readily accessible.

The location, together with the current trend toward development of attractive residential subdivisions in the area, enhances the overall desirability of the Matanuska-Susitna Borough as a location in which to settle. Residential settings offer full utilities and community services, as well as excellent access to recreational and cultural opportunities.

Physical features of the Point MacKenzie location, including climatic, geologic, and marine characteristics provide a site suitable for port development and large-scale industry. The following text describes in greater detail the character of the Point MacKenzie area and its natural and economic features.

For additional information regarding industrial development in the Matanuska-Susitna Borough, please contact the Borough offices in Palmer, Alaska. A list of contacts has been provided on page 26 for your convenience.

## Land Access

Existing highway, as well as railroad access to the Point MacKenzie area is good, and site specific access needs can be met within one construction season. Land access to the Point MacKenzie area is gained through utilization of the existing Goose Bay Road, which is a high-quality paved road extending from Wasilla to a point about 0.8 miles north of an airstrip shown on Figure 1.

Several alternatives exist for funding the extension of the road system to a given site in the Point MacKenzie area. These consist of: 1) providing a secondary road under the provisions for "pioneer access roads" approved by the Borough and the State, 2) seeking cooperative state/federal funding for design and construction of a secondary road, or 3) the Borough can provide a secondary road extending to the port area. Planning and design work for the road extension project is currently under contract with construction of a road due to be completed by the Borough in 1979. The third alternative, above, represents the most economic and expeditious means of supporting immediate access needs for construction of industrial facilities. This road can be upgraded at any time in the future. It is anticipated that the required type of road access can be provided within a given specified time insuring that industrial developers can achieve timely initiation of their projects.

It is felt that the construction of access to the Point MacKenzie area will rekindle the State's interest in providing ferry service between the Matanuska-Susitna Borough and the Municipality of Anchorage.

The construction of a 23-mile railroad spur would connect Point MacKenzie to the Alaska Railbelt and provide a means of moving heavy bulk cargo to and from Point MacKenzie.




FIGURE 1

VICINITY MAP

- ++++ RAILROAD
- == PAVED ROAD
- - - UNPAVED ROAD
- .... EXISTING WINTER TRAILS
- ▨ AREA CONTAINING 7,700 CONTIGUOUS ACRES
- ▨ NEW CAPITAL

0 5 10 15 20 miles

## INTRODUCTION

As a site for Alaskan industrial development, Point MacKenzie offers some substantial advantages. The Matanuska-Susitna Borough is growing rapidly, and its administrators and residents show an exceptional willingness to support large-scale industrial development.

The Borough is prepared to supply significant financial incentives to industrial developers. Low tax base land is available and low-interest financial assistance can be provided on short notice through the Borough's Power of Ports and other means. Planning and design efforts are now underway for extension of a road to the Point MacKenzie area, and long-term electrical power will be available on site due to hydroelectric projects planned for the Susitna River. Further, the Borough is in the process of preparing a comprehensive land-use planning document to assure compatibility of industrial development at the site with existing and future uses. The Point MacKenzie site is located about 50 road miles and less than 15 air miles from the major metropolitan city of Anchorage. This close proximity to the population center of the state provides additional economic advantages. Transportation costs are minimized, and all services and suppliers are readily accessible.

The location, together with the current trend toward development of attractive residential subdivisions in the area, enhances the overall desirability of the Matanuska-Susitna Borough as a location in which to settle. Residential settings offer full utilities and community services, as well as excellent access to recreational and cultural opportunities.

Physical features of the Point MacKenzie location, including climatic, geologic, and marine characteristics provide a site suitable for port development and large-scale industry. The following text describes in greater detail the character of the Point MacKenzie area and its natural and economic features.

For additional information regarding industrial development in the Matanuska-Susitna Borough, please contact the Borough offices in Palmer, Alaska. A list of contacts has been provided on page 26 for your convenience.


## Land Access

Existing highway, as well as railroad access to the Point MacKenzie area is good, and site specific access needs can be met within one construction season. Land access to the Point MacKenzie area is gained through utilization of the existing Goose Bay Road, which is a high-quality paved road extending from Wasilla to a point about 0.8 miles north of an airstrip shown on Figure 1.

Several alternatives exist for funding the extension of the road system to a given site in the Point MacKenzie area. These consist of: 1) providing a secondary road under the provisions for "pioneer access roads" approved by the Borough and the State, 2) seeking cooperative state/federal funding for design and construction of a secondary road, or 3) the Borough can provide a secondary road extending to the port area. Planning and design work for the road extension project is currently under contract with construction of a road due to be completed by the Borough in 1979. The third alternative, above, represents the most economic and expeditious means of supporting immediate access needs for construction of industrial facilities. This road can be upgraded at any time in the future. It is anticipated that the required type of road access can be provided within a given specified time insuring that industrial developers can achieve timely initiation of their projects.

It is felt that the construction of access to the Point MacKenzie area will rekindle the State's interest in providing ferry service between the Matanuska-Susitna Borough and the Municipality of Anchorage.

The construction of a 23-mile railroad spur would connect Point MacKenzie to the Alaska Railbelt and provide a means of moving heavy bulk cargo to and from Point MacKenzie.



## INTRODUCTION

As a site for Alaskan industrial development, Point MacKenzie offers some substantial advantages. The Matanuska-Susitna Borough is growing rapidly, and its administrators and residents show an exceptional willingness to support large-scale industrial development.

The Borough is prepared to supply significant financial incentives to industrial developers. Low tax base land is available and low-interest financial assistance can be provided on short notice through the Borough's Power of Ports and other means. Planning and design efforts are now underway for extension of a road to the Point MacKenzie area, and long-term electrical power will be available on site due to hydroelectric projects planned for the Susitna River. Further, the Borough is in the process of preparing a comprehensive land-use planning document to assure compatibility of industrial development at the site with existing and future uses. The Point MacKenzie site is located about 50 road miles and less than 15 air miles from the major metropolitan city of Anchorage. This close proximity to the population center of the state provides additional economic advantages. Transportation costs are minimized, and all services and suppliers are readily accessible.

The location, together with the current trend toward development of attractive residential subdivisions in the area, enhances the overall desirability of the Matanuska-Susitna Borough as a location in which to settle. Residential settings offer full utilities and community services, as well as excellent access to recreational and cultural opportunities.

Physical features of the Point MacKenzie location, including climatic, geologic, and marine characteristics provide a site suitable for port development and large-scale industry. The following text describes in greater detail the character of the Point MacKenzie area and its natural and economic features.

For additional information regarding industrial development in the Matanuska-Susitna Borough, please contact the Borough offices in Palmer, Alaska. A list of contacts has been provided on page 26 for your convenience.


## Land Access

Existing highway, as well as railroad access to the Point MacKenzie area is good, and site specific access needs can be met within one construction season. Land access to the Point MacKenzie area is gained through utilization of the existing Goose Bay Road, which is a high-quality paved road extending from Wasilla to a point about 0.8 miles north of an airstrip shown on Figure 1.

Several alternatives exist for funding the extension of the road system to a given site in the Point MacKenzie area. These consist of: 1) providing a secondary road under the provisions for "pioneer access roads" approved by the Borough and the State, 2) seeking cooperative state/federal funding for design and construction of a secondary road, or 3) the Borough can provide a secondary road extending to the port area. Planning and design work for the road extension project is currently under contract with construction of a road due to be completed by the Borough in 1979. The third alternative, above, represents the most economic and expeditious means of supporting immediate access needs for construction of industrial facilities. This road can be upgraded at any time in the future. It is anticipated that the required type of road access can be provided within a given specified time insuring that industrial developers can achieve timely initiation of their projects.

It is felt that the construction of access to the Point MacKenzie area will rekindle the State's interest in providing ferry service between the Matanuska-Susitna Borough and the Municipality of Anchorage.

The construction of a 23-mile railroad spur would connect Point MacKenzie to the Alaska Railbelt and provide a means of moving heavy bulk cargo to and from Point MacKenzie.



THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA  
ELEVENTH LEGISLATURE

FISCAL NOTE

I. REQUEST

Bill/Resolution No. Resource/Finance Committee SCR 20  
Title Senator Kerttula  
Requested by above Date 3/15/79

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Agency Affected DOT/PF  
Program Category Affected \_\_\_\_\_  
Budget Request Unit(s) Affected \_\_\_\_\_

EXPENDITURES (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 79	FY 80	FY 81	FY 82	FY 83	FY 84
100 PERSONAL SERVICES						
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL						
400 COMMODITIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.						
		210,000				
<b>TOTAL</b>						

FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

GENERAL FUND		10,000				
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER (Specify)						
Expected Borough Mat-Su		200,000				

POSITIONS

	NO NEW POSITIONS				
FULL TIME					
PART TIME					
TEMPORARY					

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

See (SB 230 and HB 371  
for Fiscal Note for  
Const.

Estimate for following

Feasibility and Study \$ 10,000  
Design - (6%) \$8.0 M = \$480,000  
Const. Costs - See Fiscal Note for SB 230 or HB 371

IV. DATE 3/15/79 PREPARED BY DOT/PF *W. K. Savage*  
AGENCY Design and Construction  
PHONE \_\_\_\_\_

Original: Legislative Finance  
cc: Budget and Management  
Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

## INTRODUCTION

As a site for Alaskan industrial development, Point MacKenzie offers some substantial advantages. The Matanuska-Susitna Borough is growing rapidly, and its administrators and residents show an exceptional willingness to support large-scale industrial development.

The Borough is prepared to supply significant financial incentives to industrial developers. Low tax base land is available and low-interest financial assistance can be provided on short notice through the Borough's Power of Ports and other means. Planning and design efforts are now underway for extension of a road to the Point MacKenzie area, and long-term electrical power will be available on site due to hydroelectric projects planned for the Susitna River. Further, the Borough is in the process of preparing a comprehensive land-use planning document to assure compatibility of industrial development at the site with existing and future uses. The Point MacKenzie site is located about 50 road miles and less than 15 air miles from the major metropolitan city of Anchorage. This close proximity to the population center of the state provides additional economic advantages. Transportation costs are minimized, and all services and suppliers are readily accessible.

The location, together with the current trend toward development of attractive residential subdivisions in the area, enhances the overall desirability of the Matanuska-Susitna Borough as a location in which to settle. Residential settings offer full utilities and community services, as well as excellent access to recreational and cultural opportunities.

Physical features of the Point MacKenzie location, including climatic, geologic, and marine characteristics provide a site suitable for port development and large-scale industry. The following text describes in greater detail the character of the Point MacKenzie area and its natural and economic features.

For additional information regarding industrial development in the Matanuska-Susitna Borough, please contact the Borough offices in Palmer, Alaska. A list of contacts has been provided on page 26 for your convenience.

## Land Access

Existing highway, as well as railroad access to the Point MacKenzie area is good, and site specific access needs can be met within one construction season. Land access to the Point MacKenzie area is gained through utilization of the existing Goose Bay Road, which is a high-quality paved road extending from Wasilla to a point about 0.8 miles north of an airstrip shown on Figure 1.

Several alternatives exist for funding the extension of the road system to a given site in the Point MacKenzie area. These consist of: 1) providing a secondary road under the provisions for "pioneer access roads" approved by the Borough and the State, 2) seeking cooperative state/federal funding for design and construction of a secondary road, or 3) the Borough can provide a secondary road extending to the port area. Planning and design work for the road extension project is currently under contract with construction of a road due to be completed by the Borough in 1979. The third alternative, above, represents the most economic and expeditious means of supporting immediate access needs for construction of industrial facilities. This road can be upgraded at any time in the future. It is anticipated that the required type of road access can be provided within a given specified time insuring that industrial developers can achieve timely initiation of their projects.

It is felt that the construction of access to the Point MacKenzie area will rekindle the State's interest in providing ferry service between the Matanuska-Susitna Borough and the Municipality of Anchorage.

The construction of a 23-mile railroad spur would connect Point MacKenzie to the Alaska Railbelt and provide a means of moving heavy bulk cargo to and from Point MacKenzie.




FIGURE 1

VICINITY MAP

- ++++ RAILROAD
- == PAVED ROAD
- - - UNPAVED ROAD
- .... EXISTING WINTER TRAILS
- AREA CONTAINING 7,700 CONTIGUOUS ACRES
- ▨ NEW CAPITAL

0 5 10 15 20 miles

FISCAL NOTE

I. REQUEST  
 Bill/Resolution No. S.B. 230  
 Title Road from Goose Bay to Point MacKenzie.  
 Requested by Kerttula Date 2/23/79

II. FISCAL DETAIL  
 Agency Affected DOT/PF  
 Program Category Affected Design & Construction  
 Budget Request Unit(s) Affected Highway Design & Construction

EXPENDITURES (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 79	FY 80	FY 81	FY 82	FY 83	FY 84
100 PERSONAL SERVICES		100				
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL		8600				
400 COMMODITIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES		370				
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.						
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>9070</b>				

FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

GENERAL FUND		9070				
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER (Specify)						

POSITIONS

FULL TIME						
PART TIME						
TEMPORARY						

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

The Department's Highway Construction Cost Index indicates construction costs are increasing around 10% annually. The estimate in the Reconnaissance was \$8,270,000 and was made in the fall of 1978. The 1978 estimate has been adjusted to 1979 dollars.

IV. DATE 2-28-79 PREPARED BY Harry Keller  
 AGENCY DOT/PF  
 PHONE 364-3323  
 Original: Legislative Finance  
 cc: Budget and Management  
 Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)



# RECORDS CERTIFICATION



I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James O. Smith  
Signature of Camera Operator

3/23/90  
Date

Introduced: 3/2/79  
Referred: Resources

1 IN THE SENATE

BY COLLETTA, TILLION, SUMNER  
AND KERTTULA

2 SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION NO. 21 am

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 ELEVENTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

5 Relating to the Commission for  
6 Northern Operations of Rail Trans-  
7 portation and Highways.

8 BE IT RESOLVED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

9 WHEREAS the Commission for Northern Operations of Rail Transportation  
10 and Highways, commonly known as the NORTH Commission, was established by  
11 AS 44.19.700 - 44.19.714; and

12 WHEREAS the need for access roads in the northern regions of Alaska with  
13 eventual construction of permanent highway, railroad and pipeline facilities  
14 for economic development of northern Alaska continues to exist; and

15 WHEREAS, although authorized by law, the NORTH Commission has not func-  
16 tioned for several years and currently has no appointed members of the com-  
17 mission; and

18 WHEREAS the state would derive significant benefit from a NORTH Commis-  
19 sion performing the duties charged to the commission by law;

20 BE IT RESOLVED by the Alaska State Legislature that the Governor is  
21 respectfully requested to reactivate the Commission for Northern Operations  
22 of Rail Transportation and Highways which is established in the Office of the  
23 Governor, and to appoint members to the commission in time for their confir-  
24 mation by the legislature this session so that the commission can be func-  
25 tional as soon as possible.

26  
27  
28  
29

Introduced: 3/2/79  
Referred: Resources

1 IN THE SENATE

BY COLLETTA, TILLION, SUMNER  
AND KERTTULA

2 SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION NO. 21 am

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 ELEVENTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

5 Relating to the Commission for  
6 Northern Operations of Rail Trans-  
7 portation and Highways.

8 BE IT RESOLVED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

9 WHEREAS the Commission for Northern Operations of Rail Transportation  
10 and Highways, commonly known as the NORTH Commission, was established by  
11 AS 44.19.700 - 44.19.714; and

12 WHEREAS the need for access roads in the northern regions of Alaska with  
13 eventual construction of permanent highway, railroad and pipeline facilities  
14 for economic development of northern Alaska continues to exist; and

15 WHEREAS, although authorized by law, the NORTH Commission has not func-  
16 tioned for several years and currently has no appointed members of the com-  
17 mission; and

18 WHEREAS the state would derive significant benefit from a NORTH Commis-  
19 sion performing the duties charged to the commission by law;

20 BE IT RESOLVED by the Alaska State Legislature that the Governor is  
21 respectfully requested to reactivate the Commission for Northern Operations  
22 of Rail Transportation and Highways which is established in the Office of the  
23 Governor, and to appoint members to the commission in time for their confir-  
24 mation by the legislature this session so that the commission can be func-  
25 tional as soon as possible.

Introduced: 3/2/79  
Referred: Resources

1 IN THE SENATE

BY COLLETTA, TILLION, SUMNER  
AND KERTTULA

2 SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION NO. 21 am

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 ELEVENTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

5 Relating to the Commission for  
6 Northern Operations of Rail Trans-  
7 portation and Highways.

8 BE IT RESOLVED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

9 WHEREAS the Commission for Northern Operations of Rail Transportation  
10 and Highways, commonly known as the NORTH Commission, was established by  
11 AS 44.19.700 - 44.19.714; and

12 WHEREAS the need for access roads in the northern regions of Alaska with  
13 eventual construction of permanent highway, railroad and pipeline facilities  
14 for economic development of northern Alaska continues to exist; and

15 WHEREAS, although authorized by law, the NORTH Commission has not func-  
16 tioned for several years and currently has no appointed members of the com-  
17 mission; and

18 WHEREAS the state would derive significant benefit from a NORTH Commis-  
19 sion performing the duties charged to the commission by law;

20 BE IT RESOLVED by the Alaska State Legislature that the Governor is  
21 respectfully requested to reactivate the Commission for Northern Operations  
22 of Rail Transportation and Highways which is established in the Office of the  
23 Governor, and to appoint members to the commission in time for their confir-  
24 mation by the legislature this session so that the commission can be func-  
25 tional as soon as possible.

26

27

28

29

A M E N D M E N T

Offered in the HOUSE

By the Commerce Committee

TO: SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION No. 21 am

Page 1, line 12:

Following "access" delete "roads"

Page 1, line 13:

Following "railroad" insert ", river transportation"

THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA  
ELEVENTH LEGISLATURE

FISCAL NOTE

I. REQUEST

Bill/Resolution No. SCR 21  
 Title Relating to the Commission for Northern Operations of Rail Trans. & Highways  
 Requested by Senate Finance Date March 8, 1979

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Agency Affected Office of the Governor  
 Program Category Affected Transportation  
 BRU, Program, or Subprogram(s) Affected NORTH Commission  
 (Note: If more than one budget component is affected, separate line-item amounts and funding for each component in the analysis section.)

EXPENDITURES (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 79	FY 80	FY 81	FY 82	FY 83	FY 84
100 PERSONAL SERVICES		86.4	92.5	98.9	105.8	115.2
200 TRAVEL		18.4	19.2	20.1	21.0	21.9
300 CONTRACTUAL		55.0	60.0	63.0	66.1	69.4
400 COMMODITIES		1.0	1.0	1.1	1.2	1.5
500 EQUIPMENT		1.5				
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANT S. CLAIMS, ETC.						
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>162.3</b>	<b>172.7</b>	<b>183.1</b>	<b>194.1</b>	<b>205.8</b>

FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 79	FY 80	FY 81	FY 82	FY 83	FY 84
GENERAL FUND		162.3	172.7	183.1	194.1	205.8
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER (Specify Fund Source)						

POSITIONS

	FY 79	FY 80	FY 81	FY 82	FY 83	FY 84
FULL TIME		3	3	3	3	3
PART TIME						
TEMPORARY						

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

By statute, the NORTH Commission consists of five members from Alaska, and five members representing other areas of the U.S. This fiscal note is based on the assumption that the out-of-state members will be selected as follows: one from the Pacific Northwest, one from the Northern Tier states, one from the Midwest, one from the Southwest, and one from the East Coast. The further assumption is made that the NORTH Commission will function in much the same manner as it did during 1968-70, holding an average of three meetings per year; one in Juneau, one in Fairbanks or Anchorage, and one in Washington, D.C.

Personal Services:

Executive Director, range 23	\$45.8
Research Analyst I, range 13	23.2
Clerk III, range 8	17.4
	<u>\$86.4</u>

IV. DATE March 9, 1979 PREPARED BY Jane Green

AGENCY Office of the Governor

Original: Legislative Finance

PHONE 465-3545

cc: Budget and Management

Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

Analysis, continued:

Travel:

In-state travel & per diem	\$ 6,075
Out-of-state travel & per diem.	<u>12,275</u>
	\$18,350

Includes \$2,000 for staff travel.

Contractual services:

Office expense and operation	\$ 5,000
Professional fees and services	50,000

Professional consultant services to review, analyze, summarize all existing transportation plans, studies, reviews, etc. and make recommendations to the Commission on future directions.

In 1968 the Commission initiated four reports totalling \$260,000.

Assumes an average 5.5% inflation rate in future years.

February 16, 1979

M E M O R A N D U M

TO: Ron Lind - Budget and Management  
Dick Holden - Department of Transportation

FROM: J. H. Hogan, Director  
Legislative Finance Division

At the February 16 meeting of the Budget and Audit Committee, the question was raised regarding the scheduling of bond projects for both sale of bonds and eventual project construction. Specifically, the question dealt with remaining authorized, unissued bonds from years 1972 through 1976. But, the same question applies to those projects approved in November 1978.

Using the enclosed page from the most recent general obligation bond official statement, please provide us as soon as possible with a list of the individual projects or portions of projects remaining to be funded from the \$90.4 million in "remaining authorization unissued" column, 1972 through 1976 acts. The list should include a statement of the Administration's plans for funding, construction and completion of each of these projects.

On a second listing, which presumably will take more time to develop, please provide project funding, construction and completion schedules for those projects approved in the approximately \$270 million worth of bonds approved by the Voters in November.

JHH:pw

Attachment

COPY

Richard Holden  
Deputy Commissioner  
DOT/PF

February 13, 1979

230H-5400

586-2195

Don Statter <sup>DS</sup> Director  
Division of Harbor Design  
and Construction

78 Bond Projects

Please consider this a request to borrow \$1,600,000 from the general fund monies available to the Department for early starts on 1978 Boat Harbor General Obligation Bond projects (Ch 95, SLA 78). These funds will be used as follows:

Ketchikan	\$500,000
Hoonah	500,000
Metlakatla	500,000
Whittier	100,000

The Ketchikan, Hoonah and Metlakatla projects are combined Federal/State funded harbors and will be advertised for bid within the next two months. Federal regulations require that the State share be deposited with the Corps of Engineers before bids can be called for. The Whittier harbor expansion will be 100% State funded and is expected to be underway this summer. The advance funds will be utilized for final engineering and design.

Attached is a cash-flow analysis for the four projects through May, at which time we expect that proceeds from the sale of bonds will be available. We would appreciate your early consideration of this request.

cc: Warren Sparks





BOND FUND LOAN  
ALLOCATION

PROJECTED CASH FLOW - CHAPTER 138 PROJECTS

	JANUARY	FEBRUARY	MARCH	APRIL	TOTAL	ADVER. DATE	COMPLETION DATE
AVIATION - REGION I							
BETHEL	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	20,000	7-79	10-80
DILLINGHAM	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	16,000	5-79	10-80
SO. NAKNEK	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	20,000	6-79	10-79
TALKEETNA	6,000	0	0	6,000	12,000	6-79	8-79
DUTCH HARBOR	0	0	5,000	5,000	10,000	6-80	12-80
TOGIAK	0	0	5,000	5,000	10,000	6-79	9-80
REGION II							
FT. YUKON	20,000	5,000	0	0	25,000	6-79	9-79
REGION III							
YAKUTAT	0	4,000	4,000	4,000	12,000	5-79	7-80
KETCHIKAN	9,000	20,000	9,000	5,000	43,000	6-80	9-80
REGION IV							
KOTZEBUE	0	0	0	5,000	5,000	6-79	10-80
KIANA	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	40,000	6-79	8-80
ST. MICHAEL	0	0	0	5,000	5,000	6-79	10-79
STEBBIN	0	0	0	5,000	5,000	7-79	10-79
REGION V					0		
STATEWIDE							
ADVANCED ENGINEERING	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	20,000	NA	NA
SKID RESISTANT SEAL COATS							
SHISMAREF	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	20,000	6-79	8-80
WRANGELL	0	5,000	10,000	5,000	20,000	6-79	8-80

STATE OF ALASKA  
THE LEGISLATURE  
LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS AGENCY

POUCH Y - STATE CAPITOL  
JUNEAU ALASKA 99811  
907-465-3800

MEMORANDUM

March 7, 1979

SUBJECT: NORTH Commission - Addendum to W. O. #6744  
TO: The Honorable Mike Colletta  
FROM: Elke Kallab *EK jm*  
Policy Analyst

As I promised you today, please find attached a list of the membership of the NORTH Commission and their occupations or company affiliations.

I am also enclosing the budget as of December 31, 1968 of the NORTH Commission and a breakdown of how the appropriation was spent. As I indicated to you, I will attempt to obtain their final report, of which there is apparently a copy in Anchorage, and will forward updated budget figures for the NORTH Commission to you if they are included in the report.

I will also excerpt for your consideration any information that I believe may be of interest or use to you. If I can be of further assistance, please let me know.

EK:jm  
Attachments

*Elke*  
*To Manning per file*

*recd  
3/8/79  
dvs  
on Mike's Dec 2 3/9*

STATE OF ALASKA

WALTER J. HICKEL, GOVERNOR

THE NORTH COMMISSION

Mr. Albert C. Swalling, Chairman  
President,  
Swalling Construction Company  
Anchorage, Alaska

Mr. John B. Coghill  
Consignee-Distributor,  
Union Oil Co. of California  
Nenana, Alaska

The Honorable Everett Hutchinson  
Under Secretary for  
Transportation  
Washington, D. C.

Mr. William P. Lear  
Chairman of the Board,  
Lear Jet Industries Corporation  
Wichita, Kansas

Mr. John E. Manley  
General Manager,  
Alaska Railroad  
Anchorage, Alaska

Mr. Samuel F. Pryor, Jr.  
Vice President (Ret.),  
Pan American World Airlines  
Greenwich, Connecticut

Mr. Russell G. Smith  
Former Executive Vice President,  
Bank of America  
Ross, California

Mr. C. W. Snedden  
Publisher,  
"Fairbanks Daily News-Miner"  
Fairbanks, Alaska

Mr. Jack H. White  
Jack H. White Company,  
Real Estate and Insurance  
Anchorage, Alaska

Mr. Reginald N. Whitman  
General Manager,  
Great Northern Railway Company  
St. Paul, Minnesota

Mr. Morris Thompson, Executive Secretary  
Pouch A. Juneau, Alaska 99801

NORTH COMMISSION  
WINTER RECONNAISSANCE SURVEY  
December 31, 1968

DESCRIPTION	AUTHORIZATION	1967-68 EXPENDITURES	BALANCE 6/30/68	CURRENT YEAR EXPENDITURES	BALANCE 12/31/68
PERSONAL SERVICES:	58,500.00	52,510.05	5,989.95	4,974.92	1,015.03
TRAVEL:					
In-State Travel	1,550.00	1,443.93	106.07	120.00	(13.93)
CONTRACTUAL SERVICES:	72,350.00				
Communications Svc.		448.45		77.30	
Long Distance Tele.		378.26		86.91	
Printing & Advertising		195.50		1,274.15	
Repairs		142.18		1,069.06	
Transportation of things--(freight)		96.69		-0-	
Equipment Rental		14,528.65		6,058.18	
Insurance & Bonding		72.80		3.96	
Professional Fees		13,220.70		266.65	
Other Fees & Services		<u>1,322.68</u>		<u>162.50</u>	
TOTAL		30,405.91	41,944.09	8,998.71	32,945.38
COMMODITIES:	38,100.00				
Food		2,689.22		77.30	
Fuel--Non-vehicular		385.51		-0-	
Materials		2,981.53		-0-	
Equipment Parts & Supplies		8,648.92		572.30	
Professional & Scientific Supplies		424.91		37.05	
Office Supplies & Stationery		108.26		19.90	
Other Supplies		<u>1,754.23</u>		<u>-0-</u>	
TOTAL		16,992.58	21,107.42	706.55	20,400.87
EQUIPMENT:	4,500.00	2,214.54	2,285.46		
Refund	<u>-0-</u>	<u>-0-</u>	<u>-0-</u>	<u>(539.85)</u>	<u>2,825.31</u>
GRAND TOTAL	175,000.00	103,567.01	71,432.99	14,260.33	<u>57,172.66</u>

NORTH COMMISSION  
December 31, 1968

DESCRIPTION	AUTHORIZATION	1967-68 EXPENDITURES	BALANCE 6/30/68	CURRENT YEAR EXPENDITURES	BALANCE 12/31/68
TOTAL AUTHORIZATIONS	750,000.00				
PERSONAL SERVICES:		17,211.55		10,456.13	
TRAVEL:					
In Alaska		7,334.58		5,784.43	
Outside Alaska		8,864.36		3,852.97	
CONTRACTUAL SERVICES:					
Communications Svc.		605.69		51.65	
Long Distance Tele.		2,033.71		557.62	
Printing & Advertising		393.08		160.80	
Transportation of things--(freight)		26.00		48.43	
Equipment Rental		61.43		305.28	
Airplane Charter		2,484.96		-0-	
Insurance & Bonding		72.98		21.31	
Professional Fees		75,602.53*		12,994.15*	
Other Fees & Services		107.23		13.75	
COMMODITIES:					
Food		106.15		-0-	
Book, Library & Ref.		96.13		8.68	
Office Supplies & Stationery		1,171.90		173.92	
Other Supplies		849.96		16.90	
EQUIPMENT:		-0-		222.74	
WINTER RECONNAISSANCE SURVEY PROJECT:		<u>103,567.01**</u>		<u>14,260.33**</u>	
TOTAL	750,000.00	220,589.25	529,410.75	48,929.09	480,481.66

\* See Schedule B

\*\* See Schedule A



# RECORDS CERTIFICATION

I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James O. Smith  
Signature of Camera Operator

3/23/90  
Date

COMMITTEE REPORT  
SENATE

FURTHER: None

3/8/79

Date: March 12, 1979

Mr. President:

The Committee on FINANCE has had SCR 21

relating to Commission for Northern Operations of Rail Transportation and Highways

under consideration and (a majority of the committee) (the committee) reports it back with the following recommendations:

- do pass  do not pass
- do pass with attached amendments(s)
- replace with CS for \_\_\_\_\_  same title  
 new title
- and recommends \_\_\_\_\_
- AND attaches a "Letter of Intent"  New Fiscal Note
- reports it back <sup>without</sup> without recommendation
- referred to the \_\_\_\_\_ Committee

MEMBERS SIGNING  
DO PASS

[Signature]

[Signature]

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

MEMBERS HAVING  
OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:

Do [Signature] - No Rec

Allen Hackney NO REC

Rep. Kay NO REC

[Signature] - No Rec

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

[Signature]  
CHAIRMAN

Introduced: 3/2/79  
Referred: Resources

BY COLLETTA, TILLION, SUMNER  
AND KERTTULA

1 IN THE SENATE

2 SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION NO. 21

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 ELEVENTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

5 Relating to the Commission for  
6 Northern Operations of Rail Trans-  
7 portation and Highways.

8 BE IT RESOLVED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

9 WHEREAS the Commission for Northern Operations of Rail Transportation  
10 and Highways, commonly known as the NORTH Commission, was established by  
11 AS 44.19.700 - 44.19.714; and

12 WHEREAS the need for access roads to the northern regions of Alaska with  
13 eventual construction of permanent highway, railroad and pipeline facilities  
14 for economic development of northern Alaska continues to exist; and

15 WHEREAS, although authorized by law, the NORTH Commission has not func-  
16 tioned for several years and currently has no appointed members of the com-  
17 mission; and

18 WHEREAS the state would derive significant benefit from a NORTH Commis-  
19 sion performing the duties charged to the commission by law;

20 BE IT RESOLVED by the Alaska State Legislature that the Governor is  
21 respectfully requested to reactivate the Commission for Northern Operations  
22 of Rail Transportation and Highways which is established in the Office of the  
23 Governor, and to appoint members to the commission in time for their confir-  
24 mation by the legislature this session so that the commission can be func-  
25 tional as soon as possible.

26

27

28

29

THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA  
ELEVENTH LEGISLATURE

FISCAL NOTE

I. REQUEST  
 Bill/Resolution No. SCR 21  
 Title Relating to the Commission for Northern Operations of Rail Trans. & Highways  
 Requested by Senate Finance Date March 8, 1979

II. FISCAL DETAIL  
 Agency Affected Office of the Governor  
 Program Category Affected Transportation  
 BRU, Program, or Subprogram(s) Affected NORTH Commission  
 (Note: If more than one budget component is affected, separate line-item amounts and funding for each component in the analysis section.)

EXPENDITURES (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 79	FY 80	FY 81	FY 82	FY 83	FY 84
100 PERSONAL SERVICES		86.4	92.5	98.9	105.8	113.2
200 TRAVEL		18.4	19.2	20.1	21.0	21.9
300 CONTRACTUAL		55.0	60.0	63.0	66.1	69.4
400 COMMODITIES		1.0	1.0	1.1	1.2	1.3
500 EQUIPMENT		1.5				
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.						
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>162.3</b>	<b>172.7</b>	<b>183.1</b>	<b>194.1</b>	<b>205.8</b>

FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

<u>GENERAL FUND</u>		162.3	172.7	183.1	194.1	205.8
<u>FEDERAL FUNDS</u>						
<u>OTHER (Specify Fund Source)</u>						

POSITIONS

<u>FULL TIME</u>		3	3	3	3	3
<u>PART TIME</u>						
<u>TEMPORARY</u>						

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

By statute, the NORTH Commission consists of five members from Alaska, and five members representing other areas of the U.S. This fiscal note is based on the assumption that the out-of-state members will be selected as follows: one from the Pacific Northwest, one from the Northern Tier states, one from the Midwest, one from the Southwest, and one from the East Coast. The further assumption is made that the NORTH Commission will function in much the same manner as it did during 1968-70, holding an average of three meetings per year; one in Juneau, one in Fairbanks or Anchorage, and one in Washington, D.C.

Personal Services:

Executive Director, range 23	\$45.8
Research Analyst I, range 13	23.2
Clerk III, range 8	17.4
	<u>\$86.4</u>

IV. DATE March 9, 1979 PREPARED BY Janet Green  
 AGENCY Office of the Governor  
 Original: Legislative Finance PHONE 465-3545 JD  
 cc: Budget and Management  
 Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

Analysis, continued:

Travel:

In-state travel & per diem	\$ 6,075
Out-of-state travel & per diem	<u>12,275</u>
	\$18,350

Includes \$2,000 for staff travel.

Contractual services:

Office expense and operation	\$ 5,000
Professional fees and services	50,000

Professional consultant services to review, analyze, summarize all existing transportation plans, studies, reviews, etc. and make recommendations to the Commission on future directions.

In 1968 the Commission initiated four reports totalling \$260,000.

Assumes an average 5.5% inflation rate in future years.

February 16, 1979

MEMORANDUM

TO: Ron Lind - Budget and Management  
Dick Holden - Department of Transportation

FROM: J. H. Hogan, Director  
Legislative Finance Division

At the February 16 meeting of the Budget and Audit Committee, the question was raised regarding the scheduling of bond projects for both sale of bonds and eventual project construction. Specifically, the question dealt with remaining authorized, unissued bonds from years 1972 through 1976. But, the same question applies to those projects approved in November 1978.

Using the enclosed page from the most recent general obligation bond official statement, please provide us as soon as possible with a list of the individual projects or portions of projects remaining to be funded from the \$90.4 million in "remaining authorization unissued" column, 1972 through 1976 acts. The list should include a statement of the Administration's plans for funding, construction and completion of each of these projects.

On a second listing, which presumably will take more time to develop, please provide project funding, construction and completion schedules for those projects approved in the approximately \$270 million worth of bonds approved by the Voters in November.

JHH:pw

Attachment

COPY

Richard Holden  
Deputy Commissioner  
DOT/PF

February 13, 1979

230H-5400

586-2195

Don Statter, <sup>DS</sup> Director  
Division of Harbor Design  
and Construction

78 Bond Projects

Please consider this a request to borrow \$1,600,000 from the general fund monies available to the Department for early starts on 1978 Boat Harbor General Obligation Bond projects (Ch 95, SLA 78). These funds will be used as follows:

Ketchikan	\$500,000
Hoonah	500,000
Metlakatla	500,000
Whittier	100,000

The Ketchikan, Hoonah and Metlakatla projects are combined Federal/State funded harbors and will be advertised for bid within the next two months. Federal regulations require that the State share be deposited with the Corps of Engineers before bids can be called for. The Whittier harbor expansion will be 100% State funded and is expected to be underway this summer. The advance funds will be utilized for final engineering and design.

Attached is a cash-flow analysis for the four projects through May, at which time we expect that proceeds from the sale of bonds will be available. We would appreciate your early consideration of this request.

cc: Warren Sparks





STATE OF ALASKA  
THE LEGISLATURE  
LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS AGENCY

POUCH Y - STATE CAPITOL  
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99811  
907-465-3800

*Elke*  
*To file in file*

MEMORANDUM

March 7, 1979

*recd  
3/8/79  
done  
on Mike's Dec 2 3/9*

SUBJECT: NORTH Commission - Addendum to W. O. #6744  
TO: The Honorable Mike Colietta  
FROM: Elke Kallab *EK*  
Policy Analyst *jm*

As I promised you today, please find attached a list of the membership of the NORTH Commission and their occupations or company affiliations.

I am also enclosing the budget as of December 31, 1968 of the NORTH Commission and a breakdown of how the appropriation was spent. As I indicated to you, I will attempt to obtain their final report, of which there is apparently a copy in Anchorage, and will forward updated budget figures for the NORTH Commission to you if they are included in the report.

I will also excerpt for your consideration any information that I believe may be of interest or use to you. If I can be of further assistance, please let me know.

EK:jm  
Attachments

STATE OF ALASKA

WALTER J. HICKEL, GOVERNOR

THE NORTH COMMISSION

Mr. Albert C. Swalling, Chairman  
President,  
Swalling Construction Company  
Anchorage, Alaska

Mr. John B. Coghill  
Consignee-Distributor,  
Union Oil Co. of California  
Nenana, Alaska

The Honorable Everett Hutchinson  
Under Secretary for  
Transportation  
Washington, D. C.

Mr. William P. Lear  
Chairman of the Board,  
Lear Jet Industries Corporation  
Wichita, Kansas

Mr. John E. Manley  
General Manager,  
Alaska Railroad  
Anchorage, Alaska

Mr. Samuel F. Pryor, Jr.  
Vice President (Ret.),  
Pan American World Airlines  
Greenwich, Connecticut

Mr. Russell G. Smith  
Former Executive Vice President,  
Bank of America  
Ross, California

Mr. C. W. Snedden  
Publisher,  
"Fairbanks Daily News-Miner"  
Fairbanks, Alaska

Mr. Jack H. White  
Jack H. White Company,  
Real Estate and Insurance  
Anchorage, Alaska

Mr. Reginald N. Whitman  
General Manager,  
Great Northern Railway Company  
St. Paul, Minnesota

Mr. Morris Thompson, Executive Secretary  
Pouch A. Juneau, Alaska 99801

NORTH COMMISSION  
WINTER RECONNAISSANCE SURVEY  
December 31, 1968

DESCRIPTION	AUTHORIZATION	1967-68 EXPENDITURES	BALANCE 6/30/68	CURRENT YEAR EXPENDITURES	BALANCE 12/31/68
PERSONAL SERVICES:	58,500.00	52,510.05	5,989.95	4,974.92	1,015.03
TRAVEL:					
In-State Travel	1,550.00	1,443.93	106.07	120.00	(13.93)
CONTRACTUAL SERVICES:	72,350.00				
Communications Svc.		448.45		77.30	
Long Distance Tele.		378.26		86.91	
Printing & Advertising		195.50		1,274.15	
Repairs		142.18		1,069.06	
Transportation of things--(freight)		96.69		-0-	
Equipment Rental		14,528.65		6,053.18	
Insurance & Bonding		72.80		3.96	
Professional Fees		13,220.70		266.65	
Other Fees & Services		1,322.68		162.50	
TOTAL		30,405.91	41,944.09	8,998.71	32,945.38
COMMODITIES:	38,100.00				
Food		2,689.22		77.30	
Fuel--Non-vehicular		385.51		-0-	
Materials		2,981.53		-0-	
Equipment Parts & Supplies		8,648.92		572.30	
Professional & Scientific Supplies		424.91		37.05	
Office Supplies & Stationery		108.26		19.90	
Other Supplies		1,754.23		-0-	
TOTAL		16,992.58	21,107.42	706.55	20,400.87
EQUIPMENT:	4,500.00	2,214.54	2,285.46		
Refund	-0-	-0-	-0-	(539.85)	2,825.31
GRAND TOTAL	175,000.00	103,567.01	71,432.99	14,260.33	57,172.66

NORTH COMMISSION  
December 31, 1968

DESCRIPTION	AUTHORIZATION	1967-68 EXPENDITURES	BALANCE 6/30/68	CURRENT YEAR EXPENDITURES	BALANCE • 12/31/68
TOTAL AUTHORIZATIONS	750,000.00				
PERSONAL SERVICES:		17,211.55		10,456.13	
TRAVEL:					
In Alaska		7,334.58		5,784.43	
Outside Alaska		8,864.36		3,852.97	
CONTRACTUAL SERVICES:					
Communications Svc.		605.69		51.65	
Long Distance Tele.		2,033.71		557.62	
Printing & Advertising		393.08		160.80	
Transportation of things--(freight)		26.00		48.43	
Equipment Rental		61.43		305.28	
Airplane Charter		2,484.96		-0-	
Insurance & Bonding		72.98		21.31	
Professional Fees		75,602.53*		12,994.15*	
Other Fees & Services		107.23		13.75	
COMMODITIES:					
Food		106.15		-0-	
Book, Library & Ref.		96.13		8.68	
Office Supplies & Stationery		1,171.90		173.92	
Other Supplies		849.96		16.90	
EQUIPMENT:		-0-		222.74	
WINTER RECONNAISSANCE SURVEY PROJECT:		<u>103,567.01**</u>		<u>14,260.33**</u>	
TOTAL	750,000.00	220,589.25	529,410.75	48,929.09	480,481.66

\* See Schedule B

\*\* See Schedule A

BOND FUND LOAN  
ALLOCATION

PROJECTED CASH FLOW - CHAPTER 138 PROJECTS

	JANUARY	FEBRUARY	MARCH	APRIL	TOTAL	ADVER. DATE	COMPLETION DATE
AVIATION - REGION I							
BETHEL	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	20,000	7-79	10-80
DILLINGHAM	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	16,000	5-79	10-80
SG. NAKNEK	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	20,000	6-79	10-79
TALKEETNA	5,000	0	0	6,000	12,000	6-79	8-79
DUTCH HARBOR	0	0	5,000	5,000	10,000	6-80	12-80
TOGIAK	0	0	5,000	5,000	10,000	6-79	9-80
REGION II							
FT. YUKON	20,000	5,000	0	0	25,000	6-79	9-79
REGION III							
YAKUTAT	0	4,000	4,000	4,000	12,000	5-79	7-80
KETCHIKAN	9,000	20,000	9,000	5,000	43,000	6-80	9-80
REGION IV							
KOTZEBUE	0	0	0	5,000	5,000	6-79	10-80
KIANA	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	40,000	6-79	8-80
ST. MICHAEL	0	0	0	5,000	5,000	6-79	10-79
STEBBIN	0	0	0	5,000	5,000	7-79	10-79
REGION V					0		
STATEWIDE							
ADVANCED ENGINEERING	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	20,000	NA	NA
SKID RESISTANT SEAL COATS							
SHISMAREF	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	20,000	6-79	8-80
WRANGELL	0	5,000	10,000	5,000	20,000	6-79	8-80

COMMITTEE REPORT  
SENATE

*Finance*  
*[Signature]*

3/2/79

FURTHER: None

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Mr. President:

The Committee on Resources has had SCR 21  
relating to Commission for Northern Operations of Rail Transportation and  
Highways

under consideration and (a majority of the committee) (the committee)  
reports it back with the following recommendations: *Reports it back*  
*as follows*

- do pass  do not pass
- do pass with attached amendments(s)
- replace with CS for \_\_\_\_\_  same title  
 new title
- and recommends \_\_\_\_\_
- AND attaches a "Letter of Intent"  New Fiscal Note
- reports it back without recommendation
- referred to the \_\_\_\_\_ Committee

MEMBERS SIGNING  
DO PASS

MEMBERS HAVING  
OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:

*1 Betts Johnson*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
*2 Kestel* *But against*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

*3 Donkowitz NO Rec*  
*3 Meland NO Rec*  
*3 Sen Bennett No Rec*  
*Al. Swaling Chairman (again)*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

*1 Summer* *Do Pass*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
CHAIRMAN



# RECORDS CERTIFICATION



I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James O. Daniel  
Signature of Camera Operator

3/23/90  
Date



Introduced: 3/19/79  
Referred: Resources and  
Finance

1 IN THE SENATE

BY RAY AND ZIEGLER

2 SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION NO. 24

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 ELEVENTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

5 Relating to the application of  
6 Schnabel Lumber Co., Inc. for finan-  
7 cial assistance from the Alaska  
8 Renewable Resources Corporation.

9 BE IT RESOLVED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

10 WHEREAS Schnabel Lumber Co., Inc., of Haines, has applied to the Alaska  
11 Renewable Resources Corporation for financial assistance in the form of a  
12 \$3,000,000 equity investment in the firm; and

13 WHEREAS the proposed investment would help finance an electrical gener-  
14 ating facility fueled by waste from the Schnabel Lumber Co. operations  
15 thereby providing maximum utility of the harvested timber; and

16 WHEREAS the electrical generating facility would meet the power needs of  
17 the Haines community and operate within the parameters of existing state and  
18 federal air quality control regulations, while reducing petroleum consumption  
19 significantly; and

20 WHEREAS the Alaska Renewable Resources Corporation must evaluate and  
21 approve each application before providing financial assistance; and

22 WHEREAS under AS 37.12.080 investments of that magnitude must be  
23 approved by the legislature; and

24 WHEREAS the legislature needs the evaluations and recommendations of the  
25 Alaska Renewable Resources Corporation before it considers approving the  
26 investment;

27 BE IT RESOLVED that the Alaska State Legislature respectfully requests  
28 the Board of Trustees of the Alaska Renewable Resources Corporation to ex-  
29 peditiously consider the application of Schnabel Lumber Co., Inc. for finan-

1 cial assistance, and to supply its evaluations and recommendations to the  
2 Alaska State Legislature for consideration.

3 COPIES of this resolution shall be sent to the Board of Trustees of the  
4 Alaska Renewable Resources Corporation.

5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29



# RECORDS



# CERTIFICATION

I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James O. Smith  
Signature of Camera Operator

3/23/90  
Date

# COMMITTEE REPORT

## HOUSE

FURTHER:

April 16, 1979

Date: 4/29/79

Mr. Speaker:

The Committee on FINANCE has had SJR 25

Urging the United States Government to release its interests in the improvements at the Aniak White Alice Site to the State of Alaska.

under consideration and (a majority of the committee) (the committee) reports it back with the following recommendations:

- do pass  do not pass
- do pass with attached amendments(s)
- replace with <sup>House</sup> CS for SJR 25  same title  
 new title
- and recommends that it do pass
- AND attaches a "Letter of Intent"  New Fiscal Note
- reports it back without recommendation
- referred to the \_\_\_\_\_ Committee

**MEMBERS SIGNING  
DO PASS**

Freeman  
Smith  
Rosen  
Blum  
Staff

**MEMBERS HAVING  
OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:**

No Rec. Montgomery

Freeman  
 Vice CHAIRMAN

Original sponsor: Eohman

1 IN THE SENATE

BY THE FINANCE COMMITTEE

2 HOUSE CS FOR SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 25(Finance)

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 ELEVENTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

5 Urging the United States Government to  
6 release its interests in the improvements  
7 at the Aniak, Fort Heiden, and Unalakleet  
8 White Alice sites and in property at the  
9 Unalakleet airport to the State of Alaska.

10 BE IT RESOLVED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

11 WHEREAS, by deed dated December 23, 1965, the United States government  
12 conveyed to the state title to certain real property in the vicinity of  
13 Aniak, Alaska, currently in use as a "White Alice" communications site; and

14 WHEREAS the instrument of conveyance reserves to the United States the  
15 right of use of the improvements on the land conveyed, but authorizes trans-  
16 fer of these interests to the state at a future date; and

17 WHEREAS the Alaska Command was to have vacated the Aniak White Alice  
18 site on or about April 1, 1979; and

19 WHEREAS officials of the Kuspuk School District, a regional educational  
20 attendance area of the state's unorganized borough, have expressed interest  
21 in use of the facilities of the Aniak White Alice site for educational pur-  
22 poses; and

23 WHEREAS the Alaska Command will soon vacate and make available as sur-  
24 plus the White Alice site near Fort Heiden; and

25 WHEREAS the school board of the Lake and Peninsula School District, a  
26 regional educational attendance area of the state's unorganized borough, has  
27 adopted a resolution calling on the Alaska State Legislature to secure title  
28 to the land and facility; and

29 WHEREAS the Lake and Peninsula School District has a plan to develop the

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423  
424  
425  
426  
427  
428  
429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
440  
441  
442  
443  
444  
445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
500  
501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
510  
511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617  
618  
619  
620  
621  
622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
680  
681  
682  
683  
684  
685  
686  
687  
688  
689  
690  
691  
692  
693  
694  
695  
696  
697  
698  
699  
700  
701  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708  
709  
710  
711  
712  
713  
714  
715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724  
725  
726  
727  
728  
729  
730  
731  
732  
733  
734  
735  
736  
737  
738  
739  
740  
741  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767  
768  
769  
770  
771  
772  
773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778  
779  
780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816  
817  
818  
819  
820  
821  
822  
823  
824  
825  
826  
827  
828  
829  
830  
831  
832  
833  
834  
835  
836  
837  
838  
839  
840  
841  
842  
843  
844  
845  
846  
847  
848  
849  
850  
851  
852  
853  
854  
855  
856  
857  
858  
859  
860  
861  
862  
863  
864  
865  
866  
867  
868  
869  
870  
871  
872  
873  
874  
875  
876  
877  
878  
879  
880  
881  
882  
883  
884  
885  
886  
887  
888  
889  
890  
891  
892  
893  
894  
895  
896  
897  
898  
899  
900  
901  
902  
903  
904  
905  
906  
907  
908  
909  
910  
911  
912  
913  
914  
915  
916  
917  
918  
919  
920  
921  
922  
923  
924  
925  
926  
927  
928  
929  
930  
931  
932  
933  
934  
935  
936  
937  
938  
939  
940  
941  
942  
943  
944  
945  
946  
947  
948  
949  
950  
951  
952  
953  
954  
955  
956  
957  
958  
959  
960  
961  
962  
963  
964  
965  
966  
967  
968  
969  
970  
971  
972  
973  
974  
975  
976  
977  
978  
979  
980  
981  
982  
983  
984  
985  
986  
987  
988  
989  
990  
991  
992  
993  
994  
995  
996  
997  
998  
999  
1000

Port Heiden White Alice site as a vocational educational facility for the district; and

WHEREAS the Alaska Command will soon vacate and make available as surplus the White Alice site near Unalakleet; and

WHEREAS the school board of the Bering Straits School District, a regional educational attendance area of the state's unorganized borough, could develop the Unalakleet White Alice site and facilities on lot 1, block 5 at Unalakleet airport as educational facilities for the district;

BE IT RESOLVED by the Alaska State Legislature that federal officials are respectfully requested to formally convey the outstanding interests of the United States Government in the improvements of the Aniak, Port Heiden and Unalakleet White Alice sites and in lot 1, block 5 at Unalakleet airport to the State of Alaska.

COPIES of this resolution shall be sent to the Honorable John C. Stetson, Secretary of the U. S. Department of the Air Force; to Lieutenant General Winfield W. Scott, Jr., Commander, Alaskan Air Command; to Mr. Paul Goulding, Acting Administrator, General Services Administration; and to the Honorable Ted Stevens and the Honorable Mike Gravel, U. S. Senators, and the Honorable Don Young, U. S. Representative, members of the Alaska delegation in Congress.



# RECORDS CERTIFICATION



I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James O. Smith  
Signature of Camera Operator

3/23/90  
Date



Introduced: 2/13/79  
Referred: Commerce and  
Finance

1 IN THE SENATE

BY HOHMAN

2

SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 25

3

IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4

ELEVENTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

5

Urging the United States Government to

6

release its interests in the improvements

7

at the Aniak White Alice Site to the State

8

of Alaska.

9

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

10

WHEREAS, by deed dated December 23, 1965, the United States government

11

conveyed to the state title to certain real property in the vicinity of

12

Aniak, Alaska, currently in use as a "White Alice" communications site; and

13

WHEREAS the instrument of conveyance reserves to the United States the

14

right of use of the improvements on the land conveyed, but authorizes trans-

15

fer of these interests to the state at a future date; and

16

WHEREAS the Alaska Command will vacate the Aniak White Alice Site on or

17

about April 1, 1979; and

18

WHEREAS officials of the Kuspuk School District, a regional educational

19

attendance area of the state's unorganized borough, have expressed interest

20

in use of the facilities of the Aniak White Alice Site for educational pur-

21

poses;

22

BE IT RESOLVED by the Alaska State Legislature that federal officials

23

are respectfully requested to formally convey the outstanding interests of

24

the United States Government in the improvements of the Aniak White Alice

25

Site to the State of Alaska.

26

COPIES of this resolution shall be sent to the Honorable John C.

27

Stetson, Secretary of the U. S. Department of the Air Force; to Lieutenant

28

General Winfield W. Scott, Jr., Commander, Alaskan Air Command; to Mr. Joel

29

W. Solomon, Administrator, General Services Administration; and to the

1 Honorable Ted Stevens and the Honorable Mike Gravel, U. S. Senators, and the  
2 Honorable Don Young, U. S. Representative, members of the Alaska delegation  
3 in Congress.

4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

COMMITTEE REPORT  
SENATE

2/13/79

FURTHER: Finance

Date: March 16, 1979

Mr. President:

The Committee on COMMERCE has had SJR 25 urging the United States Government to release its interests in the improvements at the Aniak White Alice Site to the State of Alaska

under consideration and (a majority of the committee) ~~(the committee)~~ reports it back with the following recommendations:

do pass

do not pass

do pass with attached amendments(s)

replace with CS for \_\_\_\_\_

same title  
 new title

and recommends \_\_\_\_\_

AND attaches a "Letter of Intent"  New Fiscal Note

reports it back without recommendation

referred to the \_\_\_\_\_ Committee

MEMBERS SIGNING  
DO PASS

MEMBERS HAVING  
OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:

Edward Bradley  
1 Tom Kelly  
1 Curtis Stimpert  
1 Jerry Stimpert  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

1 Edward Bradley  
CHAIRMAN

Introduced: 2/13/79  
Referred: Commerce and  
Finance

1 IN THE SENATE

BY HOHMAN

2 SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 25  
3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA  
4 ELEVENTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

5 Urging the United States Government to  
6 release its interests in the improvements  
7 at the Aniak White Alice Site to the State  
8 of Alaska.

9 BE IT RESOLVED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

10 WHEREAS, by deed dated December 23, 1965, the United States government  
11 conveyed to the state title to certain real property in the vicinity of

12 Aniak, Alaska, currently in use as a "White Alice" communications site; and

13 WHEREAS the instrument of conveyance reserves to the United States the  
14 right of use of the improvements on the land conveyed, but authorizes trans-  
15 fer of these interests to the state at a future date; and

16 WHEREAS the Alaska Command will vacate the Aniak White Alice Site on or  
17 about April 1, 1979; and

18 WHEREAS officials of the Kuspuk School District, a regional educational  
19 attendance area of the state's unorganized borough, have expressed interest  
20 in use of the facilities of the Aniak White Alice Site for educational pur-  
21 poses;

22 BE IT RESOLVED by the Alaska State Legislature that federal officials  
23 are respectfully requested to formally convey the outstanding interests of  
24 the United States Government in the improvements of the Aniak White Alice  
25 Site to the State of Alaska.

26 COPIES of this resolution shall be sent to the Honorable John C.  
27 Stetson, Secretary of the U. S. Department of the Air Force; to Lieutenant  
28 General Winfield W. Scott, Jr., Commander, Alaskan Air Command; to Mr. Joel  
29 W. Solomon, Administrator, General Services Administration; and to the

1 Honorable Ted Stevens and the Honorable Mike Gravel, U. S. Senators, and the  
2 Honorable Don Young, U. S. Representative, members of the Alaska delegation  
3 in Congress.

4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29



# RECORDS CERTIFICATION



I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James O. Smith  
Signature of Camera Operator

3/23/90  
Date

COMMITTEE REPORT  
SENATE

3/20/79

FURTHER:

Date: March 23, 1979

Mr. President:

The Committee on FINANCE has had SCR 25  
directing the legislature to carry out a study of minority relations

under consideration and (a majority of the committee) (the committee)  
reports it back with the following recommendations:

- do pass  do not pass
- do pass with attached amendments(s)
- replace with CS for \_\_\_\_\_  same title  
 new title
- and recommends \_\_\_\_\_
- AND attaches a "Letter of Intent"  New Fiscal Note
- reports it back without recommendation
- referred to the \_\_\_\_\_ Committee

MEMBERS SIGNING  
DO PASS

MEMBERS HAVING  
OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

CHAIRMAN

THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA  
ELEVENTH LEGISLATURE

FISCAL NOTE

I. REQUEST  
 Bill/Resolution No. SCR 25  
 Title Directing the legislature to carry out a study of minority relations  
 Requested by Senator Stimson Date April 4, 1979

II. FISCAL DETAIL  
 Agency Affected \_\_\_\_\_  
 Program Category Affected \_\_\_\_\_  
 BRU, Program, or Subprogram(s) Affected \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Note: If more than one budget component is affected, separate line-item amounts and funding for each component in the analysis section.)  
EXPENDITURES (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 79	FY 80	FY 81	FY 82	FY 83	FY 84
100 PERSONAL SERVICES		\$30,660				
200 TRAVEL		1,000				
300 CONTRACTUAL		2,135				
400 COMMODITIES		500				
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.						
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>34,295</b>				

FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

GENERAL FUND		34,295				
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER (Specify Fund Source)						

POSITIONS

FULL TIME						
PART TIME						
TEMPORARY		2				

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

IV. DATE April 4, 1979 PREPARED BY *Emily J. Stimson*  
 AGENCY \_\_\_\_\_  
 PHONE \_\_\_\_\_  
 Original: Legislative Finance  
 cc: Budget and Management  
 Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

Introduced: 3/20/79  
Referred: Finance

1 IN THE SENATE

BY STIMSON

2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION NO. 25  
IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA  
ELEVENTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

Directing the legislature to carry  
out a study of minority relations.

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

WHEREAS specific problems relating directly to the racial status of  
persons exist in minority relations with government at all levels, with  
business, and in human relationships; and

WHEREAS a legislative study to coordinate the efforts to meet these  
problems is needed in the interest of more efficient government;

BE IT RESOLVED by the Alaska State Legislature that under the provisions  
of AS 24.20.090 and Uniform Rule 48(c) the legislative council is directed to  
establish an interim committee of the council to study the problems of  
uncoordinated and overlapping efforts of various committees, boards and  
commissions focusing on discrimination and minority relations in a variety of  
fields of human relationships, to seek means to foster cooperation and  
conciliation among the groups and elements of the population of the state and  
to recommend policies or legislation which in its judgment will assist in  
coordinating the efforts of the many groups which function to meet problems  
unique to minorities.

LAW OFFICES OF  
ALASKA LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION

185 SOUTH FRANKLIN STREET  
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99801

TELEPHONE 586-6425

March 22, 1979

Senator Terry Stimson  
Pouch V  
Juneau, Alaska 99811

Subject: SCR 25

Dear Senator Stimson:

This letter is to support the intent of SCR 25 relating to a study of minority relations. In our representation of low-income people in Alaska - a large proportion of whom are minorities - we see a great deal of confusion and displeasure at the uncoordinated and overlapping jurisdictions of various agencies and commissions concerned with discrimination. Our clients often find it all but impossible to understand what is expected of them if they wish to complain about alleged discrimination in schools, housing, employment, etc.

The following brief list of agencies and commissions should suffice to show the difficulties our clients face: Anchorage Municipal Equal Rights Commission, DOT & PF Equal Employment Opportunity Section, Equal Employment Opportunity Committee, Department of Administration Equal Employment Opportunity Division, Alaska Human Rights Commission, HUD Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity Section, HEW Office of Civil Rights, Equal Employment Opportunity Commission. If you add the Office of the Ombudsman, and, should the sentencing study in HB 195 and 196 be approved, the Alaska Judicial Council, the confusion is complete.

I encourage action to review the responsibilities of organizations concerned with discrimination and to recommend back to the legislature ways in which those organizations can cooperate and avoid duplication.

Thank you.

Sincerely,



DONALD E. CLOCKSIN  
Chief Counsel

DEC/jf

## STATE OF ALASKA

JAY S. HAMMOND, GOVERNOR

## OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR

CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLANNING AGENCY

POUCH A1 - JUNEAU 99811  
PHONE 465 3530


March 22, 1979

Senator Terry Stimson  
Alaska State Legislature  
Pouch V  
Juneau, Alaska 99811

Dear Senator Stimson:

In response to a phone call from your assistant Mr. Mike Williams, this agency has reviewed SCR 25: Directing the legislature to carry out a study of minority realtions. As you know, this agency is presently responding to the policy and directives of the Governor's Commission on the Administration of Justice requiring us to take affirmative steps to discover the form and extent of discriminatory practices within the criminal justice system. We are equally required to plan for the elimination of discriminatory practices once identified. Since the scope of SCR 25, would encompass the mandates of this agency, the Legislative Council can be assured of our full support and cooperation. We look forward to assisting legislative councils coordination effort in any way possible.

Sincerely,



Charles, G. Adams, Jr.  
Executive Director

STATE OF ALASKA  
THE LEGISLATURE

POUCH Y - STATE CAPITOL  
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99811  
907 465-3800

LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS AGENCY

February 8, 1979

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: Alaska Plan Policy Board (W.O. #6088)

TO: The Honorable Terry Stimson

FROM: Sharman Haley *SAH*  
Policy Analyst

The Alaska Plan is a legally binding voluntary affirmative action agreement signed by major contractors, major construction unions, and a coalition of minority organizations. It resulted from pressure from the minority community in the early 1970's for affirmative action in the construction industry, and from the spectre of federally imposed affirmative action.

Federal Executive Order 11246 of 1965, as amended, and State Administrative Order 18 require that affirmative action programs be included in government contracts and subcontracts. The U.S. Department of Labor and the state have approved the Alaska Plan as satisfying their requirements for affirmative action in construction. The Alaska Plan Policy Board, Inc., monitors compliance with the plan and progress toward hiring goals, and administers recruitment, counselling, and referral. Their sole source of funding from 1975 on has been the Office of the Governor. The governor has not requested funding for the Alaska Plan for FY 80. The Alaska Plan Policy Board is still actively seeking state funding under the governor's office in the FY 80 budget. Copies of their FY 80 and FY 79 budget requests and funding levels for previous years are attached.

There appears to be some confusion as to the role of the Alaska Plan since the federal reorganization of equal employment opportunity functions and the new regulations which went into effect last September. Thomas Canfield, Alaska Area Office Director of the Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs (OFCCP) in the U.S. Department of Labor, stated that the Alaska Plan still meets federal approval and the reorganization and new regulations have not affected the status of the Alaska Plan. As long as the Alaska Plan continues to meet federal approval, the Alaska Plan Policy Board, Inc., will be delegated responsibility for monitoring EEO compliance by federal contractors who are signatory to the plan. Only a few, if any, small federal contractors are not signatory to the Plan and must report directly to the OFCCP. If

the Alaska Plan Policy Board were to dissolve due to lack of funding, full responsibility for monitoring federal contractors would revert to the OFCCP. This is the same now as it was prior to the federal reorganization.

There are important differences between the Alaska Plan's jurisdiction and the OFCCP jurisdiction. First of all, the Alaska Plan governs and monitors all signatory contractors, including all contractors in the Association of General Contractors, not just federal contractors. Secondly, the Alaska Plan governs and monitors all signatory unions, which includes all the major construction trades. The OFCCP has no jurisdiction over unions whatsoever. The Alaska office of the OFCCP does not currently have the staff or funding to do any direct monitoring of contractors, so if the Alaska Plan ceased to exist, these activities would cease, for the time being at least.

Until last year when a new executive director was hired, the Alaska Plan Policy Board was not fulfilling its monitoring and enforcement functions. Mr. Canfield of the OFCCP said that the Alaska Plan Policy Board appears to be doing a good job now. Though agencies such as the Alaska Plan are routinely audited annually, there has been no federal audit of the Alaska Plan for over 1½ years, due principally to the federal reorganization. The long overdue audit will be held up again to see if the Alaska Plan Policy Board will receive funding and continue to function beyond June.

Though the original plan was to terminate in March 1979, and the final goals were for December 31, 1978, the plan was amended to extend indefinitely, and the final goal of 28% minority hire across all trades will prevail until such time as it is revised. The plan has also been amended to incorporate federally required goals for female hire, currently set at 3.1% and rising to 6.9% in 1981. With 3.9% female hire on monitored construction projects in November, these goals for female hire are, overall, being met and surpassed. The goals for minority hire in the trades, however are far from being met. As of November, 1978 only 14.4% of the monitored construction workforce was minority. In terms of union membership, three unions have met or surpassed the goals: operating engineers, painters, and electricians. Most of the other unions are roughly halfway to the goal. While affirmative recruitment programs during the pipeline era boosted minority membership in the unions substantially, minority membership has declined since the pipeline, due to the lack of work. Since available work is parcelled out according to union seniority, newer minority members have not gotten enough work to support themselves in the trade, and therefore many have let their union memberships lapse.

The Alaska Plan Policy Board is sending me some reports which I will pass along when they arrive. If you have any further questions on this subject please call me at 3804 and I will be happy to assist you.

SH:dh  
Attachment

ALASKA PLAN

STATE COMMISSIONS

Commission for Human Rights AS 18.80.010 et seq.

Commission on the Status of Women

STATE BOARDS

(see additional boards such as advisory boards)

Advisory board on Equal Employment Opportunity

Council on Aging

Developmental Disabilities

LOCAL BOARDS & COMMISSIONS

Anchorage Equal Rights Commission

Fairbanks Human Rights Commission

Juneau Human Rights Commission

Ketchikan Human Rights Commission

STATE FUNDED POSITIONS

Human Rights staff (Executive Director, Niel Thomas)

Status of Women staff (Executive Director, Lynne Woods)

Vocational Rehabilitation staff (Director, Michael Morgan)

Office on Aging (Coordinator, Maurice Plotnick)

DOL, Minority Advocate position (Tom Siango)

DOL, Wage & Hour (Director, Dale Cheek)

DOTPF Civil Rights Coordinator (Eleanor Ouzts)

H&SS, Div. of Administrative Services (Director, V. L. Iverson)

H&SS, Battered Women's Shelters to be regulated

STATE FUNDED POSITIONS continued

DOE, Sex Equity Coordinator (Roy Henderson)  
DOE, Bilingual-Bicultural Programs (Judy Franklet)  
DOE, Educational Standards (G. Rozalynn Foris)  
DOE, Cross Cultural Education Development (D. M. Murphy)  
Fish & Game, Div. of Subsistence  
Adm., Pioneer Homes, Longevity Bonus

FEDERAL AGENCIES

HEW, Office for Civil Rights, Seattle  
LEAA, Office for Civil Rights, Washington, D.C.  
(Director, Lewis Taylor)  
Labor, Office of Federal Contract Compliance, Anchorage  
Labor, Wage & Hour Division (Jack Hartig)  
Labor, CETA complaints under Titles VI & VII (Les McIntosh, Seattle)  
HUD, housing discrimination complaints accepted by ASCHR and forwarded  
to Anchorage HUD office  
Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) Seattle  
(Director, Donald Muse)  
Justice, Community Relations Services (Director, Bob Lamb)

COMMERCE, ECON. DEV.  CIVIL RIGHTS (Wm. Grimley)

Office of Minority Business Enterprises  
U.S. Department of Commerce  
San Francisco Regional Office  
450 Golden Gate Avenue  
Room 15045  
San Francisco, California 94102

Anchorage MBE Service Center  
P.O. Box 3315  
Anchorage, Alaska 99510

Fairbanks MBE Service Center  
P.O. Box 74406  
Fairbanks, Alaska 99707

SGR-43  
TERRY STIMSON

Personal Services		\$30,660
Administrative Assistant:	2,780 x 7 mo.	19,460
Secretary:	1,600 x 7 mo.	11,200
Travel		1,000
5 trips @ 200/trip		
Contractual		2,135
Phone:	25/mo x 7 mo.	175
Rent:	200 sq. ft. @ 1.40/sq. ft. x 7 mo.	1,960
Commodities		500
Office supplies, typewriter, etc.		
TOTAL		\$34,295

Introduced: 3/20/79  
Referred: Finance

1 IN THE SENATE

BY STIMSON

2 SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION NO. 25

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 ELEVENTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

5 Directing the legislature to carry  
6 out a study of minority relations.

7 BE IT RESOLVED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

8 WHEREAS specific problems relating directly to the racial status of  
9 persons exist in minority relations with government at all levels, with  
10 business, and in human relationships; and

11 WHEREAS a legislative study to coordinate the efforts to meet these  
12 problems is needed in the interest of more efficient government;

13 BE IT RESOLVED by the Alaska State Legislature that under the provisions  
14 of AS 24.20.090 and Uniform Rule 48(c) the legislative council is directed to  
15 establish an interim committee of the council to study the problems of  
16 uncoordinated and overlapping efforts of various committees, boards and  
17 commissions focusing on discrimination and minority relations in a variety of  
18 fields of human relationships, to seek means to foster cooperation and  
19 conciliation among the groups and elements of the population of the state and  
20 to recommend policies or legislation which in its judgment will assist in  
21 coordinating the efforts of the many groups which function to meet problems  
22 unique to minorities.

23

24

25

26

27

28

29