

LEG. FINANCE - BILLS 1977 - 1978 831

HB 870 cont., thru HB 880 831

FEDERAL AGENCIES WHICH MAY BE PARTICIPANTS IN THE  
PREPARATION OF AN ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

AGENCY	ACTION
Environmental Protection Agency	Construction grant for sewage treatment facility
Economic Development Administration Department of Housing and Urban Development	Grants to Community projects Grants for water and sewer projects
General Services Administration	Housing loans insured by FHA Construction of new Federal building
Federal Aviation Administration	Airport planning and construction grants
Corps of Engineers	Permits for placement of dredged material Construction of sewage outfall in navigable waters
Bureau of Outdoor Recreation Small Business Administration U. S. Coast Guard	Grants for recreation facilities Loans to small businesses
Alaska Railroad	Construction of bridges over navigable waters
U. S. Fish & Wildlife Service	Construction of railroad spur Construction of rail-highway crossings Review of actions affecting "waters of the U.S."

STATE AGENCIES WHICH WILL BE INVOLVED IN DETERMINING  
DATA ACQUISITION TO SATISFY PERMIT REQUIREMENTS

Agency	Action
Department of Public Safety	Several plan check permits
Department of Commerce and Economic Development	Certificates of Public Necessity and Convenience
Department of Transportation and Public Facilities	Permits for utilities, encroachment and driveways
Department of Health and Social Services	Licenses and permits for institutional and private sector
Department of Environmental Conservation	Permits and licenses for actions that introduce potential pollutants to the environment
Department of Fish and Game	Permits for activities that affect fish and game.
Department of Natural Resources	Permits and plan reviews

## ESTIMATE OF ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT COSTS

	Data Collection Period		Data Analysis and Report Preparation	Total
	Supplemental FY 1978 3/78-6/78	Partial FY 1979 7/78-11/78		
HYDROLOGY & WATER QUALITY	\$ 60,400	\$ 102,800	\$ 170,400	\$ 333,600
GEOTECHNICAL	202,600	127,600	43,600	373,800
U.S.G.S (Water Studies)		42,000	40,000	82,000
ARCHAEOLOGY		21,600	31,600	53,200
BIOLOGY				
FISH	56,000	112,000	224,000	392,000
WILDLIFE	137,200	168,800	353,300	659,300
VEGETATION	16,000	204,200		220,200
METEOROLOGY AND AIR QUALITY	300,000	60,000	130,000	490,000
AIR QUALITY MODELING (Powerplant, Airport, City)			150,000	150,000
NOISE MODELING (Airport)			10,000	10,000
PROJECT MGMT, STAFF AND OPERATIONAL EXPENSES	156,000	210,000	1,394,000	1,760,000
TOTAL	\$ 928,200	\$ 1,049,000	\$ 2,546,900	\$ 4,524,100

## COST ANALYSIS - HYDROLOGICAL AND GEOTECHNICAL

### Project Description

#### Project - Hydrological

1. Identify source location, types and quantities of water pollutants addressing both construction and operational phases.
2. Summarize existing water quantity and quality information, identify historical patterns, annual trends, low flow conditions, temperature patterns, icing problems for all rivers, creeks and lakes in the area.
3. Examine the natural quality and quantity of surface waters, locating seeps, springs and interflow areas.
4. Assess the impact of ground water withdrawal through the use of data acquired in the drilling and testing program, electrical resistivity traverses and computer modeling.
5. Summarize available meteorological data to determine water availability and its part in the total hydrologic regime.
6. Lake profiling and contouring, water sampling, analysis of stream flow data, observations of surface water bodies such as ice thickness measurements, breakup and freeze-up data, installation of rain gauges for analysis in the hydrologic cycle. These efforts require extensive field observations.
7. Identify travel time and routes of surface and subsurface water through the lake drainage system by the use of tracer dyes.
8. Identify water use permits and attend permits and standards meetings with State and Federal agencies.
9. Analyze quantity, quality and impacts of sanitary, storm and casual operational water discharges, of sewage systems, septic systems, erosion, subhumus flow, storm drainage, landfill leachate and waste oil disposal.
10. Conduct a study of the impact of construction and operation of the city on the physical, biological and chemical aspects of Nancy Lake.
11. Examine developmental impacts of phased development and construction schedules, assessing both short and long term impacts on water quality and surface drainage.

12. Assess the impact of planned operation activity of the city including accidents such as oil spills, the long term effects of storm water management, regional water management, icing and ice fog.

### Geotechnical

13. This phase includes test drilling, resistivity surveys, field data collection necessary to prepare maps of surficial geological materials and bedrock locations. This is the field data collection effort necessary to determine land use suitability for such uses as landfills and major structures.
14. Samples collected in Project 13 will be tested to derive physical properties used in determining the suitability of geographic areas for specific land uses.
15. The products of Projects 13 and 14 will be used to prepare detailed terrain unit maps indicated suitability for various land uses.
16. A hazards analysis study will be performed to evaluate geologic hazards such as earthquakes, slope failures and permafrost, seismic analysis and determination of earthquake responses such as ground motion intensity, liquefaction, slope stability, etc.
17. Prepare a preliminary text for initial review, describing the products of the previous projects and identifying impacts in appropriate formats.
18. After review, revisions of text will be made to conform to reviewers comments.

## COST ANALYSIS - U.S.G.S. WATER PROGRAM

Project	3-6/78	7-11/78	12/78-1/80	Total
1		\$42,000	\$40,000	\$82,000

## Project Description

1. Install two continuous gaging stations in FY79 (after 10/78), perform miscellaneous measurements quarterly on Willow and Deception Creeks, perform selected analysis of quarterly samples and perform continuous recording of water levels in three observation wells in proposed water supply test wells.

## COST ANALYSIS - ARCHAEOLOGICAL PROGRAM

Project	3-6/78	7-11/78	12/78-1/80	Total
1		\$21,600	\$31,600	\$53,200

### Project Description

1. The Department of Natural Resources, Division of Parks proposes to conduct a archaeological and historical survey of the development area of the capital city site in the summer of 1978 and the capital reserve area during the summer of 1979.

## COST ANALYSIS - BIOLOGICAL

Project	3-6/78	7-11/78	12/78-1/80	Total
1		\$88,000		\$88,000
2		4,000		4,000
3		4,000		4,000
4	\$8,000	60,200		68,200
5	8,000	48,000		56,000
6	10,800	2,400	\$40,800	54,000
7	36,000	24,000	115,900	175,900
8	2,200	800	3,200	6,200
9	17,600	38,400	56,000	112,000
10	40,400	77,200	64,000	181,600
11	21,000	18,000	53,800	92,800
12	2,000	2,000		4,000
13	2,400		4,800	7,200
14	4,800	6,000	14,800	25,600
15	56,000	112,000	224,000	392,000
16				
17				
<hr/>				
Total	\$209,200	\$485,000	\$577,300	\$1,271,500

## COST ANALYSIS - BIOLOGICAL

### Project Description

#### Project

1. Preparation of a color mosaic at a scale of 1:15,840 for detailed vegetative analysis of habitat type.
2. Determination of detailed vegetative type.
3. Correlation of animal use areas and habitat types to improve knowledge of vegetative types and use.
4. Identify amount of acreage of key moose browse species, conditional and successional stages of all habitat types within a fifteen mile radius of the city.
5. Determine amount of moose habitat lost to construction and investigate methods and areas to create replacement habitat.
6. Conduct aerial surveys to determine areas used by moose at various times of the year, identify moose wintering and calving areas and correlate results with vegetation data from other projects.
7. Radio transmitter collars will be placed on 25 moose within a ten mile radius of the city center and a monitoring program will record their movements throughout the year to assist in establishing seasonal habitat preferences for specific sex and age classes.
8. Conduct aerial wolf census to determine abundance, location, movement and denning locations in and adjacent to the capital site.
9. Conduct aerial and ground reconnaissance of black and brown bear to determine habitat use, abundance, feasibility of ground snaring and tranquilizing from helicopter.
10. Capture twenty to twenty-five brown and black bears with snares and install radio transmitter collars and acquire biological data. Monitoring flights will be conducted to locate dens and critical habitat.
11. Conduct a comprehensive study of furbearers, their movements, habitat use and abundance.
12. Federal regulations require a survey to determine the presence, abundance or absence of endangered species.

13. Conduct aerial nest surveys of hawks, owls and raptors, with special emphasis placed on the areas to be modified by construction.
14. Spring, summer and fall aerial surveys will be conducted to determine presence, abundance and location of water fowl.
15. A total inventory of the fisheries resources in Willow Creek, Lilly Creek and Deception Creek drainages will be made to determine the presence and abundance of both anadromous and resident species.
16. The recreational use of fisheries resources will be assessed in Willow Creek, with major emphasis on a creek census of sport angling during July and August.
17. Preliminary measurements and evaluations of seasonal flows and instream flow needs on Willow Deception and Lilly Creeks.

COST ANALYSIS - METEOROLOGY, AIR QUALITY AND NOISE ANALYSIS

Project	3-6/78	7-11/78	12/78-1/80	Total
1	\$164,000	\$40,000	\$87,000	\$291,000
2	23,000	10,000	23,000	56,000
3	113,000	10,000	20,000	143,000
4			25,000	25,000
5			75,000	75,000
6			50,000	50,000
7			10,000	10,000
Totals	\$300,000	\$60,000	\$290,000	\$650,000

## COST ANALYSIS - METEOROLOGY, AIR QUALITY AND NOISE ANALYSIS

### Project Description

1. Tower (60 meter) at city site, instrumentation, maintenance and operation.
2. Tower (10 meter) installation at Houston, instrumentation, maintenance and operation, for airport analysis.
3. Tower (100 meter) on Willow Creek road, instrumentation, maintenance and operation for power plant analysis.
4. Air quality modeling - airport.
5. Air quality modeling - city.
6. Air quality modeling - power plant.
7. Noise forecast modeling - airport.

PROJECT MANAGEMENT, STAFF AND

OPERATIONAL EXPENSES

	3-6/78	7-11/78	12/78-1/80	Total
PROJ MGMT	\$120,000	\$150,000	\$390,000	\$660,000
SECRETARIAL	24,000	45,000	117,000	186,000
TECHNICAL EDITOR			120,000	120,000
TECHNICAL ILLUSTRATOR			100,000	100,000
PROFESSIONAL STAFF			600,000	600,000
OPERATIONAL EXPENSES	12,000	15,000	42,000	69,000
PRINTING			25,000	25,000
TOTAL	\$156,000	\$210,000	\$1,394,000	\$1,760,000

## PROFESSIONAL STAFF

Professional staff will participate in design of the program, monitor progress and prepare final document. Their time will be allocated as follows:

25%	3/78 - 6/78
25%	7/78 - 11/78
50%	12/78 - 1/80

### PROFESSIONALS

	Total - Man Mos.
Meteorology and Air Quality	4
Water-surface, subsurface, quality	4
Archaeology	2
History	2
Soils	2
Vegetation	4
Forestry	2
Fish	4
Wildlife	4
Modelling-air, noise	5
Transportation-air, roads, rail	4
Aesthetics	2
Energy	4
Mineral resources	3
Recreation	2
Sociology	4
Economics	4
Power plant engineering	4

Seismology

1

Geology - surface, subsurface

4

Soils engineer

4

Health and Social Services

4

Housing

3

Total Man Months

76

MEMORANDUM

To: Janet Green

TO: [

Jay S. Hammond  
Governor

DATE: February 13, 1978

FILE NO:

Thru: Michael C. Harper  
Administrative Assistant  
Office of the Governor

TELEPHONE NO:

FROM:

SUBJECT:

FEB 14 1978  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE

Charles E. Behlke  
Chairman  
New Capital Site Planning Commission  
and

*Charles E. Behlke*

Ron Evans  
Administrative Director  
New Capital Site Planning Commission

SUBJECT: FY78 Special Appropriation Request

The New Capital Site Planning Commission, in the course of completing its financial and development plans per A.S. 33.06.230 (f) and (j), has identified the need for conducting a further study to understand the full implications of a capital move.

Data collection and analyses needed to complete this study dictates that the Commission submit a request for a Special Budget Appropriation of \$62,000 to cover work to be accomplished during the remaining months of FY78 (March-June), since no funding was included in the general appropriation bill to handle this undertaking:

(A) Project Description: Analysis of the impact on Juneau and on state financial resources of retaining the capital in Juneau.

Should the capital move not take place, Commission consultants estimate that substantial additions of state office space would be required in Juneau by 1994. Population in the City and Borough would continue to grow rapidly.

As an initial assessment of cost considerations, Commission consultants have estimated construction cost only for state offices and community facilities and services utilizing indices derived for the Willow site. More definitive estimates are necessary for the legislature and the public to evaluate relative costs of state growth at Willow and Juneau. Such information will also be valuable if the Environmental Impact Statement for Willow requires full exploration of a "no-action" alternative--retention of capital functions in Juneau.

RECEIVED  
FEB 15 1978

FISCAL OFFICE

Several factors concerning Juneau's physical setting mandate more extensive evaluation to establish costs. Relatively little open area exists within the city itself. Contiguous expansion of present state facilities would thus require: considerably higher densities than the basis for cost estimation at Willow; redevelopment of property largely in private use; concentration of parking in parking structures; acquisition of land. All of these conditions could alter substantially costs based on Willow indices.

Portions of state facilities expansion could conceivably be decentralized to other locations in the Juneau region. This could affect both capital costs and the operations of state government.

Accommodation of increased population affords additional issues which have public cost, efficiency, and environmental implications. The topographic and geologic features of Juneau, and their limitations, differ considerably from Willow. These may affect both the location and density of development, and therefore, construction and land acquisition cost requirements.

This study would take place between March 15 and June 1, 1978 and would involve the following activities:

1. Discussions with the Commissioner of Administration on factors affecting centralization/decentralization of additional state facilities in Juneau.
2. Establishment of a phased program for state facility expansion over the period 1980-1994. This would also include identification of specific sites or areas for expansion, establishment of floor space densities and structure parking requirements.
3. Estimation of land acquisition and construction cost requirements for state facilities based on the program, topographic and geologic information.
4. Similar analyses for other public office and support facilities (federal and local) and for private economic development (offices, hotels, commercial, etc.) likely to locate over the period.
5. Allocation of population growth to areas within the Borough at densities related to natural features, zoning requirements and service capacities.
6. Estimation of housing investment requirements.
7. Estimation of public service and facilities requirements to support state government, other public and private economic development, and the residential population over the period.

These would include investments for roads, schools, public health and welfare, public safety, water and sewer, parks and recreation, airports, port, etc.

8. Identification of methods of financing for the infrastructure, and the levels of government (state, federal, local) responsible for each facility type.

9. A detailed study to establish a basis of facts sufficient to make costs of growth in Juneau comparable in every way to the cost of the new capital in Willow.

10. Presentations of conclusions to the Commission and/or legislature and preparation of a report.

Cooperation of City and Borough of Juneau officials has been enlisted and current city-sponsored investigations on public facilities requirements for a population of 30,000 will be utilized in the investigation. Results should be of particular value both to Juneau officials and to the state administration regardless of the outcome of capital move decisions.

(B) Budget

Principal	150 hrs. @ \$30	=	\$ 4,500
Senior	230 hrs. @ \$20	=	4,600
Professional 1	75 hrs. @ \$15	=	1,125
Professional 2	<u>50 hrs. @ \$ 8</u>	=	<u>400</u>
	405 hrs.		\$ 10,625
Overhead at 126%			<u>\$ 13,387</u>
			\$ 24,012
Fee at 10%			<u>\$ 2,401</u>
			26,413
<u>Expenses</u>			
Travel and Subsistence - 5 trips at \$1,000			5,000
L.D. Telephone, Reproduction, Misc.			<u>\$ 1,587</u>
			\$ 33,000
<u>Applied Economics Inc.</u>	25 hrs. @ \$40	=	1,000

<u>Sub-Contractor (Consultant)</u>	\$ 24,000
Principal, Civil Engineer 18 to 30 man days @ 8 hours/day	
Civil Engineer, Sr. Staff, Registered 18 to 30 man days @ 8 hours/day	
Civil Engineer, Staff 9 to 15 man days @ 8 hours/day	
Draftsmen 2 Draftsmen for 15 man days each @ 8 hours/day	
Clerical Assistance 6 to 10 man days @ 8 hours/day	
Incurred Expenses (Prints, Long Distance Telephone, Xerox Copying, Etc.) \$500 to \$750	
Contingencies for additional travel and expenses	\$ <u>4,000</u>
TOTAL REQUEST	\$ <u><u>62,000</u></u>

CEB:RE:Sgm

ALASKA STATE LEGISLATURE

TENTH Legislature SECOND Session

HOUSE BILL NO. 870

By THE RULES COMMITTEE BY REQUEST OF THE GOVERNOR (by request of the New Capital Site Planning Commission)

An Act making a special appropriation to the New Capital Site Planning Commission; and providing for an effective date."

New Cap. Site Plan Com, spec \$

Introduced in the House ...3/3/..., 19..78

HISTORY IN THE HOUSE

19 78		Read first time and referred to Committee on State Affairs and Finance
Mar 3		
Mar 7		Reported back with recommendation that State Affairs do pass to Finance
Mar 24		Finance replaces CS - 3 do pass 1 do not pass 5 no rec to Rules
Mar 28		Read second time and CS adopted, amended
Mar 28		Read third time and
Mar 29		Reconsideration adopted
Mar 28		<b>PASS</b> Effective Date
	Yeas 31	Yeas
	Nays 11	Nays <i>same</i>
	Absent -	Absent
	Excused 2	Excused
Mar 28		Reconsideration
Mar 29		<b>PASS</b> Effective Date
	Yeas 26	Yeas 38
	Nays 13	Nays 1
	Absent -	Absent -
	Excused 1	Excused 1
Mar 29		Reported correctly engrossed
" 29		Signed by Speaker
" 29		Sent to Senate
		<i>Herbert Chastain</i>
		CHIEF CLERK OF THE HOUSE

HISTORY IN THE SENATE

19 78		Read first time and referred to Committee on
3 30		<i>S.A. Fin</i>
4 25		Reported back with recommendation that <i>replace w/SCS + 2 do pass, 3 do pass am to Finance</i>
		Read second time and
		Read third time and
		<b>PASS</b> Effective Date
	Yeas	Yeas
	Nays	Nays
	Absent	Absent
	Excused	Excused
		Reconsideration
		<b>PASS</b> Effective Date
	Yeas	Yeas
	Nays	Nays
	Absent	Absent
	Excused	Excused
		Reported correctly engrossed
		Signed by President
		Returned to House
		SECRETARY OF THE SENATE

HISTORY IN THE HOUSE

19		Received from Senate
		Concurred in Senate amendment thus adopting:
		Failed to concur in Senate amendment; asked Sen. to recede
		Senate receded from amendment
		Senate failed to recede from amendment
		FCC appointed by House
		FCC appointed by Senate
		FCC adopted
		To enrolling
		Reported correctly enrolled
		Sent to Governor
		..... by Governor
		Filed with Lt. Governor
		Chapter No. ....

THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA  
TENTH LEGISLATURE

FISCAL NOTE

I. REQUEST  
 Bill/Resolution No. HB 875  
 Title "An Act authorizing payment of an amount equivalent..."  
 Requested by House Community & Regional Affairs Date 4-11-78

II. FISCAL DETAIL  
 Agency Affected Community & Regional Affairs  
 Program Category Affected Development  
 Budget Request Unit(s) Affected National Forest Receipts

EXPENDITURES (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 77	FY 78	FY 79	FY 80	FY 81	FY 82
100 PERSONAL SERVICES						
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL						
400 COMMODITIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.			17.4	18.4	19.5	20.7
TOTAL			17.4	18.4	19.5	20.7

FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

GENERAL FUND			17.4	18.4	19.5	20.7
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER (Specify)						

POSITIONS

FULL TIME						
PART TIME						
TEMPORARY						

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

Assumptions

1. Since the exact acreage of National Forest Lands located within first class cities in the unorganized borough is unknown, the total acreage within each city was estimated to be National Forest Land. This assumption is very liberal, and should be considered only a high upper limit of grants to be distributed.
2. Inflation will be approximately 6% per year, and State receipts will inflate at that rate.

IV. DATE 4-18-78 PREPARED BY Bruce Aronson  
 AGENCY Community & Regional Affairs  
 PHONE 465-4707  
 Original: Legislative Finance  
 cc: Budget and Management  
 Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

Introduced: 3/7/78  
Referred: Community & Regional  
Affairs and Finance

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY THE FINANCE COMMITTEE

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 875

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 TENTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act authorizing payment of an amount equivalent to  
7 a share of national forest receipts to home rule and  
8 first class cities of the unorganized borough; and pro-  
9 viding for an effective date."

10 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

11 \* Section 1. AS 41.15 is amended by adding a new section to read:

12 Sec. 41.15.185. EQUIVALENT PAYMENTS TO CITIES WHICH ARE SCHOOL  
13 DISTRICTS. (a) The state shall pay to each home rule and first class  
14 city which is located in the unorganized borough and in which national  
15 forest land is located an amount determined by applying to the amount  
16 received by the state under 16 U.S.C. 500 a percentage constituting the  
17 proportion of the area of the national forest located within the boun-  
18 daries of the city to the total area of that national forest.

19 (b) The entitlement received by a city under this section shall be  
20 expended by it only for public schools or roads.

21 (c) Payments under this section shall be made from a general fund  
22 appropriation made for the purpose. If amounts appropriated are insuffi-  
23 cient for the purpose of paying the full entitlement of each city under  
24 this section, the funds which are available shall be distributed pro  
25 rata.

26 \* Sec. 2. This Act takes effect July 1, 1978.

# COMMITTEE REPORT

4/19/78

HOUSE

FURTHER: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Mr. Speaker:

The Committee on FINANCE has had HR 875  
"An Act authorizing payment of an amount equivalent to a share of national forest receipts to home rule and first class cities of the unorganized borough; effective date."

under consideration and (a majority of the committee) (the committee reports it back as follows)

( ) recommends it do pass ( ) recommends it do not pass

( ) recommends it do pass with attached amendment(s)

( ) recommends it be replaced with CS for \_\_\_\_\_

and \_\_\_\_\_ ( ) new title ( ) same title

( ) AND attaches a Letter of Intent ( ) New Fiscal Note

( ) reports it back without recommendation

( ) and recommends it be referred to the \_\_\_\_\_ Committee

MEMBERS SIGNING DO PASS:

OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Chairman

# COMMITTEE REPORT

## HOUSE

3/7/78

FURTHER: FINANCE

Date: 4-19-78

Mr. Speaker:

The Committee on C&RA has had HB 875  
"An Act authorizing payment of an amount equivalent to a share of national forest receipts to home rule and first class cities of the unorganized borough; eff. date."  
4 members  
under consideration and (a majority of the committee) (~~the committee~~ reports it back as follows)

recommends it do pass                      ( ) recommends it do not pass

( ) recommends it do pass with attached amendment(s)

( ) recommends it be replaced with CS for \_\_\_\_\_

and \_\_\_\_\_ ( ) new title                      ( ) same title

( ) AND attaches a Letter of Intent                      ( ) New Fiscal Note

( ) reports it back without recommendation

( ) and recommends it be referred to the \_\_\_\_\_ Committee

### MEMBERS SIGNING DO PASS:

Peter Lorsch  
Alvin D. Jones  
Richard Anderson  
Don D. Pass

### OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:

① R. D. & P. D. No Rec.  
Tim Kee No Rec.  
③ LS Rudd - do not pass

LS Rudd  
Chairman

5090  
Clenowitz

Introduced: 3/7/78  
Referred: Community & Regional  
Affairs and Finance

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY THE FINANCE COMMITTEE

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 875

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 TENTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act authorizing payment of an amount equivalent to  
7 a share of national forest receipts to home rule and  
8 first class cities of the unorganized borough; and pro-  
9 viding for an effective date."

10 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

11 \* Section 1. AS 41.15 is amended by adding a new section to read:

12 Sec. 41.15.185. EQUIVALENT PAYMENTS TO CITIES WHICH ARE SCHOOL  
13 DISTRICTS. (a) The state shall pay to each home rule and first class  
14 city which is located in the unorganized borough and in which national  
15 forest land is located an amount determined by applying to the amount  
16 received by the state under 16 U.S.C. 500 a percentage constituting the  
17 proportion of the area of the national forest located within the boun-  
18 daries of the city to the total area of that national forest.

19 (b) The entitlement received by a city under this section shall be  
20 expended by it only for public schools or roads.

21 (c) Payments under this section shall be made from a general fund  
22 appropriation made for the purpose. If amounts appropriated are insuffi-  
23 cient for the purpose of paying the full entitlement of each city under  
24 this section, the funds which are available shall be distributed pro  
25 rata.

26 \* Sec. 2. This Act takes effect July 1, 1978.

ALASKA STATE LEGISLATURE

TENTH Legislature SECOND Session

HOUSE BILL NO. 875

By THE FINANCE COMMITTEE

"An Act authorizing payment of an amount equivalent to a share of national forest receipts to home rule and first class cities of the unorganized borough; and providing for an effective date "

equiv. payments/school districts

Introduced in the House 3-7-78, 19....

HISTORY IN THE HOUSE

19 78	Read first time and referred to Committee on C&RA and Finance																						
Mar. 7	Reported back with recommendation that																						
	Read second time and																						
	Read third time and																						
	<table border="0"> <tr> <td>PASS</td> <td>Effective Date</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Yeas</td> <td>Yeas</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Nays</td> <td>Nays</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Absent</td> <td>Absent</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Excused</td> <td>Excused</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Reconsideration</td> </tr> <tr> <td>PASS</td> <td>Effective Date</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Yeas</td> <td>Yeas</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Nays</td> <td>Nays</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Absent</td> <td>Absent</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Excused</td> <td>Excused</td> </tr> </table>	PASS	Effective Date	Yeas	Yeas	Nays	Nays	Absent	Absent	Excused	Excused	Reconsideration		PASS	Effective Date	Yeas	Yeas	Nays	Nays	Absent	Absent	Excused	Excused
PASS	Effective Date																						
Yeas	Yeas																						
Nays	Nays																						
Absent	Absent																						
Excused	Excused																						
Reconsideration																							
PASS	Effective Date																						
Yeas	Yeas																						
Nays	Nays																						
Absent	Absent																						
Excused	Excused																						
	Reported correctly engrossed																						
	Signed by Speaker																						
	Sent to Senate																						

CHIEF CLERK OF THE HOUSE

HISTORY IN THE SENATE

19	Read first time and referred to Committee on																						
	Reported back with recommendation that																						
	Read second time and																						
	Read third time and																						
	<table border="0"> <tr> <td>PASS</td> <td>Effective Date</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Yeas</td> <td>Yeas</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Nays</td> <td>Nays</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Absent</td> <td>Absent</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Excused</td> <td>Excused</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Reconsideration</td> </tr> <tr> <td>PASS</td> <td>Effective Date</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Yeas</td> <td>Yeas</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Nays</td> <td>Nays</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Absent</td> <td>Absent</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Excused</td> <td>Excused</td> </tr> </table>	PASS	Effective Date	Yeas	Yeas	Nays	Nays	Absent	Absent	Excused	Excused	Reconsideration		PASS	Effective Date	Yeas	Yeas	Nays	Nays	Absent	Absent	Excused	Excused
PASS	Effective Date																						
Yeas	Yeas																						
Nays	Nays																						
Absent	Absent																						
Excused	Excused																						
Reconsideration																							
PASS	Effective Date																						
Yeas	Yeas																						
Nays	Nays																						
Absent	Absent																						
Excused	Excused																						
	Reported correctly engrossed																						
	Signed by President																						
	Returned to House																						

SECRETARY OF THE SENATE

HISTORY IN THE HOUSE

19	Received from Senate
	Concurred in Senate amendment thus adopting:
	Failed to concur in Senate amendment; asked Sen. to recede
	Senate receded from amendment
	Senate failed to recede from amendment
	FCC appointed by House
	FCC appointed by Senate
	FCC adopted
	To enrolling
	Reported correctly enrolled
	Sent to Governor
	..... by Governor
	Filed with Lt. Governor
	Chapter No. ....



# RECORDS CERTIFICATION



I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James O. Smith  
Signature of Camera Operator

2/23/90  
Date

# COMMITTEE REPORT

## HOUSE

3-29-78

FURTHER: \_\_\_\_\_

(LABOR & MANAGEMENT waived)

Date: 4 \_\_\_\_\_

Mr. Speaker:

The Committee on FINANCE has had HB 877

"An Act making a supplemental appropriation to the Department of Labor, industrial safety, state inspection; effective date."

under consideration and (a majority of the committee) (the committee reports it back as follows)

- recommends it do pass                      ( ) recommends it do not pass
- ( ) recommends it do pass with attached amendment(s)
- ( ) recommends it be replaced with CS for \_\_\_\_\_
- and \_\_\_\_\_ ( ) new title              ( ) same title
- ( ) AND attaches a Letter of Intent              ( ) New Fiscal Note
- ( ) reports it back without recommendation
- ( ) and recommends it be referred to the \_\_\_\_\_ Committee

MEMBERS SIGNING DO PASS:

OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:

[Signature]  
[Signature]  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

[Signature]  
Chairman

Introduced: 3/8/78  
Referred: Labor & Management and  
Finance

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY THE RULES COMMITTEE BY  
REQUEST OF THE GOVERNOR

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 877

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 TENTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act making a supplemental appropriation to the  
7 Department of Labor, industrial safety, state inspec-  
8 tion and providing for an effective date."

9 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

10 \* Section 1. The sum of \$55,000 is appropriated from the general fund  
11 to the Department of Labor for the purpose of state industrial safety  
12 inspections.

13 \* Sec. 2. The sum of \$55,000, appropriated from "other funds" to the  
14 Department of Labor, industrial safety, state inspection, by sec. 9, ch.  
15 153 SLA 1977, at page 26, line 26, is hereby lapsed.

16 \* Sec. 3. This Act takes effect immediately in accordance with AS 01.-  
17 10.070(c).

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

## STATE OF ALASKA

## THE LEGISLATURE

BUDGET AND AUDIT COMMITTEE

AUDIT DIVISION  
POUCH W—ALASKA OFFICE BUILDINGFINANCE DIVISION  
POUCH WF—STATE CAPITOL

JUNEAU 99801

MEMORANDUM

TO: Representative Fred Brown

FROM: J. V. Hogan, Director  
Legislative Finance Division

DATE: March 30, 1978

SUBJ: HB 877

Yesterday afternoon, you called me requesting information on House Bill 877, "An Act making a supplemental appropriation to the Department of Labor." From our conversation, it was apparent that the Commissioner of Labor, Mr. Orbeck, had left you with the impression that this was some problem caused by the Budget and Audit Committee. I would like to set the record straight.

The attached Memo from Dan Dawson to Ron Lind explains the circumstances behind the short fall in program receipts. (In this case, program receipts are inspection fees generated by pressure vessel inspections.) According to the Memo, last year the Governor's Budget Review Committee decided that 50% of this program could be funded from program generated fees. The estimate has apparently proved erroneous, and now the agency is requesting \$55,000 from the State general fund to continue the program through the end of the fiscal year.

Thus, clearly the "problem" is not one allegedly concocted by the Budget and Audit Committee, but rather a difference between two administrative agencies -- the Department of Labor and the Governor's Budget Office -- over what fees were to be generated by the pressure vessel inspection program.

cc: Senator Hohman, Chairman  
Budget and Audit Committee

Representative Cowper, Chairman  
House Finance Committee

JHH:bf

0010-100-101  
STATE  
of ALASKA


# MEMORANDUM

TO:  Ron Lind, Director  
Division of Budget & Management

DATE: February 17, 1978

FILE NO:

TELEPHONE NO:

FROM:  Dan Dawson, Budget Analyst  
Division of Budget & Management  
Office of the Governor

SUBJECT: Department of Labor  
Supplemental Request  
Dated 2/10/78

The purpose of this memo is to explain the position adopted by this office in support of the Department's request for the State Inspection appropriation.

In accordance with the Governor's FY 78 budget recommendation, the Legislature determined that 50% of the funding for State Inspection would come from program receipts. The bulk of the State Inspection Program deals with pressure vessel safety. There are 7 authorized Pressure Vessel Inspector positions including the Chief Pressure Vessel Inspector position. The other functions performed by this program are electrical inspections and the issuance of certificates of fitness for plumbers and electricians. One Elevator Inspector position was added by the Legislature in FY 78. Program receipts are generated from inspection and certificate fees.

During review of the FY 78 budget, the Division of Budget & Management requested the Department of Labor to propose a Pressure Vessel Inspection Fee Schedule that would pay the cost of these inspections. The Governor's Budget Review Committee felt that the cost of assuring the safety of boilers and other pressure vessels to the public was more properly the responsibility of the vessel owners than the tax payers.

Due to the limited time for review and the lack of sufficient information, the cost of the various types of pressure vessel inspections could not be accurately ascertained. However, based on the information obtained, the Division of Budget & Management did recommend that the program support itself 50% with program receipts.

From the beginning of FY 78 the Department has attempted to increase the efficiency of pressure vessel inspections and has kept this office posted on the progress. The Department's main effort in this regard has been the development of a computer program to list locations and inspection dates of all pressure vessels in Alaska under the State's jurisdiction. This program is nearing completion and has already revealed a significant number of pressure vessels overdue for inspection. The computer program will also serve to increase efficiency by tight scheduling of inspections in locations away from the inspector's duty station.

February 17, 1978

A modest fee increase is also being prepared by the Department in both inspections and inspection certificates. The Department has also requested legislation authorizing the setting of fees for elevator inspections.

Because of the diligence displayed by the Department in increasing the program's self-sufficiency and the assurances that a revenue shortfall will not prompt a supplemental request for FY 79, this office is in support of the Department's supplemental request.

The Department states that \$55,000 is the maximum general funds required to maintain the program and fill the vacant Pressure Vessel Inspector position and the Elevator Inspector position for the remainder of FY 78. This is based on a minimum projection of program receipts. If the supplemental is granted by the Legislature, any amount of program receipts collected above the minimum projected would increase the receipts to the General Fund.

R.L. 2-21


# MEMORANDUM

TO:  Ron Lind, Director  
Division of Budget & Management

DATE: February 17, 1978

FILE NO:

TELEPHONE NO:

FROM:  Dan Dawson, Budget Analyst  
Division of Budget & Management  
Office of the Governor

SUBJECT: Department of Labor  
Supplemental Request  
Dated 2/10/78

The purpose of this memo is to explain the position adopted by this office in support of the Department's request for the State Inspection appropriation.

In accordance with the Governor's FY 78 budget recommendation, the Legislature determined that 50% of the funding for State Inspection would come from program receipts. The bulk of the State Inspection Program deals with pressure vessel safety. There are 7 authorized Pressure Vessel Inspector positions including the Chief Pressure Vessel Inspector position. The other functions performed by this program are electrical inspections and the issuance of certificates of fitness for plumbers and electricians. One Elevator Inspector position was added by the Legislature in FY 78. Program receipts are generated from inspection and certificate fees.

During review of the FY 78 budget, the Division of Budget & Management requested the Department of Labor to propose a Pressure Vessel Inspection Fee Schedule that would pay the cost of these inspections. The Governor's Budget Review Committee felt that the cost of assuring the safety of boilers and other pressure vessels to the public was more properly the responsibility of the vessel owners than the tax payers.

Due to the limited time for review and the lack of sufficient information, the cost of the various types of pressure vessel inspections could not be accurately ascertained. However, based on the information obtained, the Division of Budget & Management did recommend that the program support itself 50% with program receipts.

From the beginning of FY 78 the Department has attempted to increase the efficiency of pressure vessel inspections and has kept this office posted on the progress. The Department's main effort in this regard has been the development of a computer program to list locations and inspection dates of all pressure vessels in Alaska under the State's jurisdiction. This program is nearing completion and has already revealed a significant number of pressure vessels overdue for inspection. The computer program will also serve to increase efficiency by tight scheduling of inspections in locations away from the Inspector's duty station.

February 17, 1978

A modest fee increase is also being prepared by the Department in both inspections and inspection certificates. The Department has also requested legislation authorizing the setting of fees for elevator inspections.

Because of the diligence displayed by the Department in increasing the program's self-sufficiency and the assurances that a revenue shortfall will not prompt a supplemental request for FY 79, this office is in support of the Department's supplemental request.

The Department states that \$55,000 is the maximum general funds required to maintain the program and fill the vacant Pressure Vessel Inspector position and the Elevator Inspector position for the remainder of FY 78. This is based on a minimum projection of program receipts. If the supplemental is granted by the Legislature, any amount of program receipts collected above the minimum projected would increase the receipts to the General Fund.

Rf 2-21

00

HB 877

31-01LH

# STATE OF ALASKA

## THE LEGISLATURE

BUDGET AND AUDIT COMMITTEE

AUDIT DIVISION  
POUCH W—ALASKA OFFICE BUILDING


FINANCE DIVISION  
POUCH WF—STATE CAPITOL

JUNEAU 99801

### MEMORANDUM

TO: Representative Fred Brown

DATE: March 30, 1978

FROM:  J.V.H. Hogan, Director  
Legislative Finance Division

SUBJ: HB 877

Yesterday afternoon, you called me requesting information on House Bill 877, "An Act making a supplemental appropriation to the Department of Labor." From our conversation, it was apparent that the Commissioner of Labor, Mr. Orbeck, had left you with the impression that this was some problem caused by the Budget and Audit Committee. I would like to set the record straight.

The attached Memo from Dan Dawson to Ron Lind explains the circumstances behind the short fall in program receipts. (In this case, program receipts are inspection fees generated by pressure vessel inspections.) According to the Memo, last year the Governor's Budget Review Committee decided that 50% of this program could be funded from program generated fees. The estimate has apparently proved erroneous, and now the agency is requesting \$55,000 from the State general fund to continue the program through the end of the fiscal year.

Thus, clearly the "problem" is not one allegedly concocted by the Budget and Audit Committee, but rather a difference between two administrative agencies -- the Department of Labor and the Governor's Budget Office -- over what fees were to be generated by the pressure vessel inspection program.

cc: Senator Hohman, Chairman  
Budget and Audit Committee

Representative Cowper, Chairman  
House Finance Committee

JHH:bf

2HB 877

March 8, 1978

The Honorable Hugh Malone  
Speaker of the House  
Alaska State Legislature  
Juneau, Alaska 99811

Dear Mr. Speaker:

Under the authority of art. III, sec. 18 of the Alaska Constitution, and in accordance with AS 24.30.060(b) and the Uniform Rules of the Alaska State Legislature, I am transmitting a bill making a supplemental appropriation to the Department of Labor, industrial safety, state inspection, in the amount of \$55,000.

This appropriation (increasing the general funds portion and decreasing the other funds portion) has been requested by the department to prevent a layoff of a pressure vessel inspector and continuing vacancies in two other positions. Such a program cutback would disrupt inspection schedules, cause the loss of an experienced inspector, and further curtail the program's ability to collect program receipts. The total appropriation (from both the general fund and other funds) made in ch. 153 SLA 1977 for this purpose remains the same. (See page 26, line 26 of ch. 153 SLA 1977.)

Sincerely,

S/JS11

Jay S. Hammond  
Governor

STATE  
of ALASKA

# MEMORANDUM

TO: Ron Lind, Director  
Division of Budget & Management  
Office of the Governor

DATE : February 10, 1978

FROM: *Dale W Cheek*  
Dale W. Cheek, Director  
Wage & Hour/Mechanical Engineering  
Department of Labor

SUBJECT: State Inspection Supplemental

Prior to the current fiscal year, this BRU was totally funded by general funds.

The FY '78 budget mandated that the State Inspection section generate program receipts amounting to one-half of the budget, or \$196.6. *Inspection + certificate fees, pressure vessel*

When the FY '78 budget passed, the State Inspection section was operating with an antiquated record-keeping system that made it virtually impossible to calculate the dollar revenue that could be generated, or the amount the inspection fees would have to be raised to generate these funds, because there was not an accurate count of boilers or pressure vessels.

The first attempt to raise the inspection fees to meet the goal mandated in the FY '78 budget was based upon erroneous figures as to the number of units to be inspected and the actual amount of fees that could be collected. Based upon such erroneous information, an exorbitant fee schedule was proposed. The subsequent hearings to implement these regulations brought on tremendous objections to the proposed fee increases from the public and legislators.

It was at this point that we realized that a complete revision of the record-keeping system was necessary. Arrangements were made to automate the State Inspection section. For the past nine months, we have been processing the pressure vessel records onto a computer system. Although not fine-tuned, these records now give us enough information to adjust the fee schedule in a realistic manner and we are certain that with a modest increase in inspection and certification fees, we can meet future budget requirements.

The regulations containing this new schedule of fees is presently at the Attorney General's Office for approval as to form.

To keep the State Inspection section functioning through the remainder of FY '78, a total of \$55.0 will be needed. This is not an increase in the budget, but the shortfall that came about because we were unable to generate program receipts with the current fee schedule.

We feel that if the fee schedule, presently prepared, is accepted and put into effect, we will be able to generate \$10,000 to \$12,000 more during the remainder of FY '78.

Ron Lind  
February 10, 1978  
Page 2

The State Inspection section has Electrical and Elevator Inspectors (one each) which are directed to make inspections by Statute, yet do not have authority to charge for these inspections. These positions should be 100% general funds.

DWC:RDC/bs

FY 78 SUPPLEMENTAL REQUEST ANALYSIS

	FY 76 ACTUAL	FY 77 FINAL AUTH.	FY 77 ACTUAL	FY 78 Gov. BUDGET	FY 78 INITIAL AUTH.	FY 78 CURRENT AUTH.	EXPENDITURES + ENCUMBRANCES 7/1 - 10/31	OTHER OBLIGATIONS 7/1 - 10/31	PROJECTED EXPENDITURES + ENCUMBRANCES 11/1 - 6/30	FY 78 (DEFICIT) OR EXCESS	FY 79 MAINTENANCE REQUEST
PERSONAL SERVICES	221.5	298.6	288.5	316.8	301.4	318.1	68.2	9.7	193.5	46.7	385.0
TRAVEL	25.2	31.2	30.3	33.7	30.2	30.2	6.9		23.3	-0-	36.0
CONTRACTUAL SERVICES	79.9	90.6	88.8	106.6	50.2	50.2	23.0		27.2	-0-	59.8
COMMODITIES	7.5	5.8	5.4	6.1	6.2	6.2	1.7		4.5	-0-	6.7
EQUIPMENT	4.5			2.2	.5	.5	-0-		.5	-0-	4.5
LANDS, BLDGS. ...			3.9	4.6	4.6	4.6	-0-		4.6	-0-	2.3
GRANTS, CLAIMS, ...											
MISCELLANEOUS											
TOTAL	338.6	426.2	416.9	470.0	393.1	409.8	99.8	9.7	253.6	46.7	494.3
FEDERAL RECEIPTS REQUIRED OF MATCHING											
OTHER GENERAL FUND	338.6	426.2	416.9	235.0	196.5	204.9	99.8	9.7	149.6	need 55.0	247.1
INTER-AGENCY RECEIPTS Program Receipts				235.0	196.6	204.9	-0-	-0-	103.2	unrealized 101.7	247.2

AGENCY Labor

BRII State Inspection

COMPONENT State Inspection

REVISED

STATE  
of ALASKA

## MEMORANDUM

TO:  Ron Lind, Director  
Division of Budget & Management

DATE: February 17, 1978

FILE NO:

TELEPHONE NO:

FROM: Dan Dawson, Budget Analyst  
Division of Budget & Management  
Office of the GovernorSUBJECT: Department of Labor  
Supplemental Request  
Dated 2/10/78

The purpose of this memo. is to explain the position adopted by this office in support of the Department's request for the State Inspection appropriation.

In accordance with the Governor's FY 78 budget recommendation, the Legislature determined that 50% of the funding for State Inspection would come from program receipts. The bulk of the State Inspection Program deals with pressure vessel safety. There are 7 authorized Pressure Vessel Inspector positions including the Chief Pressure Vessel Inspector position. The other functions performed by this program are electrical inspections and the issuance of certificates of fitness for plumbers and electricians. One Elevator Inspector position was added by the Legislature in FY 78. Program receipts are generated from inspection and certificate fees.

During review of the FY 78 budget, the Division of Budget & Management requested the Department of Labor to propose a Pressure Vessel Inspection Fee Schedule that would pay the cost of these inspections. The Governor's Budget Review Committee felt that the cost of assuring the safety of boilers and other pressure vessels to the public was more properly the responsibility of the vessel owners than the tax payers.

Due to the limited time for review and the lack of sufficient information, the cost of the various types of pressure vessel inspections could not be accurately ascertained. However, based on the information obtained, the Division of Budget & Management did recommend that the program support itself 50% with program receipts.

From the beginning of FY 78 the Department has attempted to increase the efficiency of pressure vessel inspections and has kept this office posted on the progress. The Department's main effort in this regard has been the development of a computer program to list locations and inspection dates of all pressure vessels in Alaska under the State's jurisdiction. This program is nearing completion and has already revealed a significant number of pressure vessels overdue for inspection. The computer program will also serve to increase efficiency by tight scheduling of inspections in locations away from the inspector's duty station.

February 17, 1978

A modest fee increase is also being prepared by the Department in both inspections and inspection certificates. The Department has also requested legislation authorizing the setting of fees for elevator inspections.

Because of the diligence displayed by the Department in increasing the program's self-sufficiency and the assurances that a revenue shortfall will not prompt a supplemental request for FY 79, this office is in support of the Department's supplemental request.

The Department states that \$55,000 is the maximum general funds required to maintain the program and fill the vacant Pressure Vessel Inspector position and the Elevator Inspector position for the remainder of FY 78. This is based on a minimum projection of program receipts. If the supplemental is granted by the Legislature, any amount of program receipts collected above the minimum projected would increase the receipts to the General Fund.

RL 2-21

COMMITTEE REPORT  
SENATE

4/18/78

FURTHER: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: April 25, 1978

Mr. President:

The Committee on FINANCE has had HB 877  
supplemental appropriation to Dept. of Labor, industrial safety, state  
inspection

under consideration and (a majority of the committee) (the committee  
reports it back as follows)

- recommends it do pass                      ( ) recommends it do not pass
- ( ) recommends it do pass with attached amendment(s)
- ( ) recommends it be replaced with CS for \_\_\_\_\_

and \_\_\_\_\_ ( ) new title              ( ) same title

- ( ) AND attaches a Letter of Intent              ( ) New Fiscal Note
- ( ) reports it back without recommendation
- ( ) and recommends it be referred to the \_\_\_\_\_ Committee

MEMBERS SIGNING DO PASS:

OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:

[Signature]

Clem Tillion

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

[Signature]  
Chairman

Introduced: 3/8/78  
Referred: Labor & Management and  
Finance

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY THE RULES COMMITTEE BY  
REQUEST OF THE GOVERNOR

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 877

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 TENTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act making a supplemental appropriation to the  
7 Department of Labor, industrial safety, state inspec-  
8 tion; and providing for an effective date."

9 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

10 \* Section 1. The sum of \$55,000 is appropriated from the general fund  
11 to the Department of Labor for the purpose of state industrial safety  
12 inspections.

13 \* Sec. 2. The sum of \$55,000, appropriated from "other funds" to the  
14 Department of Labor, industrial safety, state inspection, by sec. 9, ch.  
15 153 SLA 1977, at page 26, line 26, is hereby lapsed.

16 \* Sec. 3. This Act takes effect immediately in accordance with AS 01.-  
17 10.070(c).

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

# COMMITTEE REPORT

## SENATE

FURTHER: FINANCE

4/11/78

Date: 4-15-78

Mr. President:

The Committee on LABOR & MANAGEMENT has had HB 877 supplemental appropriation to Dept. of Labor, industrial safety, state inspecti

under consideration and (a majority of the committee) (the committee reports it back as follows)

- recommends it do pass                       recommends it do not pass  
 recommends it do pass with attached amendment(s)  
 recommends it be replaced with CS for \_\_\_\_\_

and \_\_\_\_\_  new title                       same title

- AND attaches a Letter of Intent                       New Fiscal Note  
 reports it back without recommendation  
 and recommends it be referred to the \_\_\_\_\_ Committee

MEMBERS SIGNING DO PASS:

1- J. J. ... Do Pass  
1- Boletta Do Pass  
2- Francis ... No Rec.  
2- Bill Ray No Rec.  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

J. J. ...  
Chairman

243 877

March 8, 1978

The Honorable Hugh Malone  
Speaker of the House  
Alaska State Legislature  
Juneau, Alaska 99811

Dear Mr. Speaker:

Under the authority of art. III, sec. 18 of the Alaska Constitution, and in accordance with AS 24.30.060(b) and the Uniform Rules of the Alaska State Legislature, I am transmitting a bill making a supplemental appropriation to the Department of Labor, industrial safety, state inspection, in the amount of \$55,000.

This appropriation (increasing the general funds portion and decreasing the other funds portion) has been requested by the department to prevent a layoff of a pressure vessel inspector and continuing vacancies in two other positions. Such a program cutback would disrupt inspection schedules, cause the loss of an experienced inspector, and further curtail the program's ability to collect program receipts. The total appropriation (from both the general fund and other funds) made in ch. 153 SLA 1977 for this purpose remains the same. (See page 26, line 26 of ch. 153 SLA 1977.)

Sincerely,

*JSH*

Jay S. Hammond  
Governor

STATE  
of ALASKA

## MEMORANDUM

TO: Ron Lind, Director  
Division of Budget & Management  
Office of the Governor

DATE : February 10, 1978

FROM: *Dale W Cheek*  
Dale W. Cheek, Director  
Wage & Hour/Mechanical Engineering  
Department of Labor

SUBJECT: State Inspection Supplemental

Prior to the current fiscal year, this BRU was totally funded by general funds.

The FY '78 budget mandated that the State Inspection section generate program receipts amounting to one-half of the budget, or \$196.6.

When the FY '78 budget passed, the State Inspection section was operating with an antiquated record-keeping system that made it virtually impossible to calculate the dollar revenue that could be generated, or the amount the inspection fees would have to be raised to generate these funds, because there was not an accurate count of boilers or pressure vessels.

The first attempt to raise the inspection fees to meet the goal mandated in the FY '78 budget was based upon erroneous figures as to the number of units to be inspected and the actual amount of fees that could be collected. Based upon such erroneous information, an exorbitant fee schedule was proposed. The subsequent hearings to implement these regulations brought on tremendous objections to the proposed fee increases from the public and legislators.

It was at this point that we realized that a complete revision of the record-keeping system was necessary. Arrangements were made to automate the State Inspection section. For the past nine months, we have been processing the pressure vessel records onto a computer system. Although not fine-tuned, these records now give us enough information to adjust the fee schedule in a realistic manner and we are certain that with a modest increase in inspection and certification fees, we can meet future budget requirements.

The regulations containing this new schedule of fees is presently at the Attorney General's Office for approval as to form.

To keep the State Inspection section functioning through the remainder of FY '78, a total of \$55.0 will be needed. This is not an increase in the budget, but the shortfall that came about because we were unable to generate program receipts with the current fee schedule.

We feel that if the fee schedule, presently prepared, is accepted and put into effect, we will be able to generate \$10,000 to \$12,000 more during the remainder of FY '78.

Ron Lind  
February 10, 1978  
Page 2

The State Inspection section has Electrical and Elevator Inspectors (one each) which are directed to make inspections by Statute, yet do not have authority to charge for these inspections. These positions should be 100% general funds.

D:IC:RDC/bs

FY 78 SUPPLEMENTAL REQUEST ANALYSIS

	FY 76 ACTUAL	FY 77 FINAL AUTH.	FY 77 ACTUAL	FY 78 GOV. BUDGET	FY 78 INITIAL AUTH.	FY 78 CURRENT AUTH.	EXPENDITURES + ENCUMBRANCES 7/1 - 10/31	OTHER OBLIGATIONS 7/1 - 10/31	PROJECTED EXPENDITURES + ENCUMBRANCES 11/1 - 6/30	FY 78 (DEFICIT) OR EXCESS	FY 79 MAINTENANCE REQUEST
PERSONAL SERVICES	221.5	298.6	288.5	316.8	301.4	318.1	68.2	9.7	193.5	46.7	385.0
TRAVEL	25.2	31.2	30.3	33.7	30.2	30.2	6.9		23.3	-0-	36.0
CONTRACTUAL SERVICES	79.9	90.6	83.8	106.6	50.2	50.2	23.0		27.2	-0-	59.8
COMMODITIES	7.5	5.8	5.4	6.1	6.2	6.2	1.7		4.5	-0-	6.7
EQUIPMENT	4.5			2.2	.5	.5	-0-		.5	-0-	4.5
LANDS, BLDGS. ...			3.9	4.6	4.6	4.6	-0-		4.6	-0-	2.3
GRANTS, CLAIMS, ...											
MISCELLANEOUS											
TOTAL	338.6	426.2	416.9	470.0	393.1	409.8	99.8	9.7	253.6	46.7	494.3
FEDERAL RECEIPTS REQUIRED OF MATCHING											
OTHER GENERAL FUND	338.6	426.2	416.9	235.0	196.5	204.9	99.8	9.7	149.6	need 55.0	247.1
INTER-AGENCY RECEIPTS											
Program Receipts				235.0	196.6	204.9	-0-	-0-	103.2	unrealized 101.7	247.2

Agency 10102

Prep State Inspection

Comments State Inspection

Revised

STATE  
of ALASKA


## MEMORANDUM

TO:  Ron Lind, Director  
Division of Budget & Management

DATE: February 17, 1978

FILE NO:

TELEPHONE NO:

FROM:  Dan Dawson, Budget Analyst  
Division of Budget & Management  
Office of the GovernorSUBJECT: Department of Labor  
Supplemental Request  
Dated 2/10/78

The purpose of this memo is to explain the position adopted by this office in support of the Department's request for the State Inspection appropriation.

In accordance with the Governor's FY 78 budget recommendation, the Legislature determined that 50% of the funding for State Inspection would come from program receipts. The bulk of the State Inspection Program deals with pressure vessel safety. There are 7 authorized Pressure Vessel Inspector positions including the Chief Pressure Vessel Inspector position. The other functions performed by this program are electrical inspections and the issuance of certificates of fitness for plumbers and electricians. One Elevator Inspector position was added by the Legislature in FY 78. Program receipts are generated from inspection and certificate fees.

During review of the FY 78 budget, the Division of Budget & Management requested the Department of Labor to propose a Pressure Vessel Inspection Fee Schedule that would pay the cost of these inspections. The Governor's Budget Review Committee felt that the cost of assuring the safety of boilers and other pressure vessels to the public was more properly the responsibility of the vessel owners than the tax payers.

Due to the limited time for review and the lack of sufficient information, the cost of the various types of pressure vessel inspections could not be accurately ascertained. However, based on the information obtained, the Division of Budget & Management did recommend that the program support itself 50% with program receipts.

From the beginning of FY 78 the Department has attempted to increase the efficiency of pressure vessel inspections and has kept this office posted on the progress. The Department's main effort in this regard has been the development of a computer program to list locations and inspection dates of all pressure vessels in Alaska under the State's jurisdiction. This program is nearing completion and has already revealed a significant number of pressure vessels overdue for inspection. The computer program will also serve to increase efficiency by tight scheduling of inspections in locations away from the inspector's duty station.

February 17, 1978

A modest fee increase is also being prepared by the Department in both inspections and inspection certificates. The Department has also requested legislation authorizing the setting of fees for elevator inspections.

Because of the diligence displayed by the Department in increasing the program's self-sufficiency and the assurances that a revenue shortfall will not prompt a supplemental request for FY 79, this office is in support of the Department's supplemental request.

The Department states that \$55,000 is the maximum general funds required to maintain the program and fill the vacant Pressure Vessel Inspector position and the Elevator Inspector position for the remainder of FY 78. This is based on a minimum projection of program receipts. If the supplemental is granted by the Legislature, any amount of program receipts collected above the minimum projected would increase the receipts to the General Fund.

RF 2-21

Original sponsor: Rules Committee by  
request of the Governor

Offered: 4/12/78  
Referred: Rules

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY THE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE

2 CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 97 (Judiciary)

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 TENTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to security interests under the Uni-  
7 form Commercial Code; and providing for an effective  
8 date."

9 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

10 \* Section 1. AS 45.05.774(a) is amended to read:

11 (a) If there is no outstanding secured obligation and no commit-  
12 ment to make advances, incur obligations, or otherwise give value, the  
13 secured party must terminate a filed financing statement by filing  
14 [, ON WRITTEN DEMAND BY THE DEBTOR, SEND THE DEBTOR] a termination  
15 statement that he no longer claims a security interest under the fi-  
16 nancing statement, which shall be identified by file number. A termi-  
17 nation statement signed by a person other than the secured party of  
18 record must include or be accompanied by the assignment or a statement  
19 by the secured party of record that he has assigned the security inter-  
20 est to the signer of the termination statement. The uniform fee for  
21 filing and indexing such an assignment or statement is as prescribed by  
22 the administrative director of courts. If the affected secured party  
23 fails to file [SEND] the termination statement within 10 days after the  
24 date of satisfaction of the obligation or commitment to make advances,  
25 incur obligations, or otherwise give value which is secured by the filed  
26 financing statement [AFTER PROPER DEMAND FOR IT], he is liable [TO THE  
27 DEBTOR FOR \$100, AND IN ADDITION,] for any loss caused to the debtor by  
28 this failure.

29 \* Sec. 2. AS 45.05.768(a)(3) is amended to read:

1 (3) in all other cases, in the office of the Department of  
2 Commerce and Economic Development [ADMINISTRATION].

3 \* Sec. 3. AS 45.05.734(e) is amended to read:

4 (e) The requirements of secs. 768(a)(1) and (2), 772(b), (c), and  
5 (e) and 780 of this chapter do not apply to a security interest in  
6 personal property of any description created by a deed of trust or mort-  
7 gage made by a corporation primarily engaged in the business of a common  
8 carrier by rail, the furnishing of telephone or telegraph service, the  
9 transmission of oil, gas or petroleum products by pipeline, or the  
10 production, transmission or distribution of electricity, steam, gas, or  
11 water, but this security interest may be perfected under secs. 690 - 794  
12 of this chapter by filing the deed of trust or mortgage in the office of  
13 the commissioner of the Department of Commerce and Economic Development  
14 [ADMINISTRATION]. When filed, the instrument remains effective until  
15 terminated, without the need for filing a continuation statement.  
16 Assignments and releases of the instrument may also be filed in the  
17 office of the commissioner of the Department of Commerce and Economic  
18 Development [ADMINISTRATION]. This commissioner is a filing officer for  
19 the foregoing purposes, and the uniform fee for filing, indexing and  
20 furnishing filing data under this subsection is \$10.

21 \* Sec. 4. Sections 2 and 3 of this Act take effect immediately in accor-  
22 dance with AS 01.10.070(c).

23 \* Sec. 5. Section 1 of this Act takes effect July 1, 1978.  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29



# RECORDS CERTIFICATION



I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James O. Smith  
Signature of Camera Operator

2/23/90  
Date

# COMMITTEE REPORT

## HOUSE

4/17/78

FURTHER: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: 5-11-78

Mr. Speaker:

The Committee on FINANCE has had HB 378

"An Act relating to oil and gas taxes; e.d."

under consideration and (a majority of the committee) (the committee reports it back as follows)

- recommends it do pass                      ( ) recommends it do not pass
- ( ) recommends it do pass with attached amendment(s)
- ( ) recommends it be replaced with CS for \_\_\_\_\_
- and \_\_\_\_\_ ( ) new title                      ( ) same title
- ( ) AND attaches a Letter of Intent                      ( ) New Fiscal Note
- ( ) reports it back without recommendation
- ( ) and recommends it be referred to the \_\_\_\_\_ Committee

MEMBERS SIGNING DO PASS:

OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

AGO 765340

\_\_\_\_\_  
Chairman

Introduced: 3/9/78  
Referred: Resources and Finance

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY THE RULES COMMITTEE BY  
REQUEST OF THE GOVERNOR

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 878

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 TENTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to oil and gas taxes; and providing  
7 for an effective date."

8 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

9 \* Section 1. AS 43.55.011(c) is amended to read:

10 (c) The cents-per-barrel amount equals \$0.634 [~~\$0.60~~] per barrel  
11 of taxable old crude oil produced from the lease or property, and  
12 \$0.968 [~~\$0.80~~] per barrel for all other taxable oil produced from the  
13 lease or property, both as adjusted by sec. 12 of this chapter.

14 \* Sec. 2. AS 43.55.012(a) is repealed and re-enacted to read:

15 (a) The amounts set out in sec. 11(c) and sec. 16(c) of this  
16 chapter shall be increased or decreased by a percentage equal to the  
17 percentage of change in the Gross National Product Deflator published  
18 by the Bureau of Economic Analysis of the United States Department of  
19 Commerce, using 1972 as the base period for the deflator (1972 = 100).  
20 Changes in tax rates will be computed based on changes in the Gross  
21 National Product Deflator from the First Quarter 1978 Gross National  
22 Product Deflator. The department shall post the changes in the tax  
23 rates periodically and shall send notice of the changes to every  
24 person producing oil within the stat

25 \* Sec. 3. AS 43.55.016(c) is amended to read:

26 (c) The cents-per-Mcf amount equals \$0.068 [~~\$0.064~~] per thousand  
27 cubic feet of taxable gas produced from the lease or property as  
28 adjusted by sec. 12 of this chapter.

29 \* Sec. 4. This Act is retroactive to include production occurring

1 at any time after December 31, 1977.

2 \* Sec. 5. This Act takes effect immediately in accordance with AS  
3 01.10.070(c).

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

CONTRADICTIONS  
 BETWEEN THE OIL COMPANIES' PUBLIC STATEMENTS  
 AND THE FIGURES THEY REPORTED FOR ROYALTY  
 PURPOSES TO THE STATE

(Based on December 1977 reports)

	<u>As Stated</u>	<u>As Reported</u>	<u>Difference</u>
1/3/77, ARCO			
Wellhead Price	\$7.58	\$6.41	(1.71)
TAPS Tariff	5.24	6.15	.91
Tanker to West Coast	.70	.88	.18
2/7/77, SOHIO			
Wellhead Price	7.25	6.14	(1.11)
TAPS Tariff	5.80	6.27	1.27
Tanker to West Coast	.75	.89	.14
3/21/77, ARCO			
Wellhead Price	7.20	6.41	(.79)
TAPS Tariff	5.60	6.15	.55
Tanker to West Coast	.70	.88	.18
3/21 and 23/77, SOHIO			
TAPS Tariff	5.00-6.00	6.25-6.28	0.25-1.28
Tanker to San Francisco or L.A.	.75	.89	.14
Wellhead (net back from San Fran. or L.A.)	7.00-8.00	6.14	(1.86) - (.86)
Tanker to Gulf Coast	2.75	3.61	.86
Wellhead (netback from Gulf Coast)	5.00-6.00	3.53	(2.47) - (1.47)
5/26/77, ARCO			
Wellhead Price	"about 7.00"	6.41	(.59)
TAPS Tariff	5.50-6.00	6.15	.15-.65
Tanker to West Coast	.75-.90	.88	(.02)-.13

AGO 765343

<u>Date</u>	<u>Source</u>	<u>Delivered Price</u>	<u>Tanker</u>	<u>TAPS Tariff</u>	<u>Wellhead Price</u>
4/76	Drexel Burnham & Co., <u>The North Slope: Paradise Lost?</u> pp. IV-11	13.00	.50	4.60	7.90
11/76	Mortada International, <u>The Determination of Equitable Pricing Levels for North Slope Alaskan Crude</u> , pp. II-18 III-10, III-12, IV-24, VII-6 and VII-7				
	Delivered to Los Angeles	13.05*	0.671*	4.20-4.50	7.88-8.18
	Delivered to Puget Sound		0.459*	4.20-4.50*	
	Average Case				7.90*
	*Figures in 1976 dollars.				
1/7/77	Washington Analysis Corp., <u>Entitlements Program Impact on North Slope Profits</u>				
	Delivered to West Coast	13.52	0.60	5.00	7.92
2/28/77	Merrill, Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc., <u>Institutional Report, "Petroleum Notes, Prudhoe Bay Crude Oil, Entitlements Program,"</u> p.4				
	Delivered to West Coast	13.40	0.55	5.40	7.45
4/1/77	Wainwright Securities, Inc., <u>Industry Review, "Petroleum Industry, North Slope Oil and Gas,"</u> pp. 25 (Table 7), 43 (Table 10)				
	Delivered to Puget Sound	13.27	0.50	4.28*	8.49
	Delivered to San Francisco	13.33	0.80	4.28*	8.30
	Delivered to Long Beach	13.32	0.70	4.28*	8.34
	Delivered to Houston	13.57	2.45	4.28*	6.84
	*includes 5¢ for TAPS liability fund				
4/15/77	The President of the United States, <u>Report to Congress on the Pricing of Alaska North Slope (ANS) Crude Oil</u> , pp. 63-64 (Table IX-3)				
	Delivered to Puget Sound (120 MB/D)	14.55	0.74	5.10	8.69
	Delivered to San Francisco (250 MB/D)	14.55	0.96	5.10	8.47
	Delivered to Los Angeles (340 MB/D)	14.55	1.01	5.10	8.42
	Delivered to Gulf Coast (490 MB/D)	14.83	2.98	5.10	6.75
	Weighted Average	---	--	--	7.77

Thus for royalty purposes the producers during the month of December reported values as follows:

<u>Producer</u>	<u>Royalty Value</u>
Amerada Hess	\$5.48
ARCO	5.77
Chevron	7.70
Exxon (West Coast)	5.28
Exxon (Valdez)	5.35
Exxon (Fairbanks)	5.50
Getty	5.60
Hunt Group	6.48
Louisiana Land & Exploration	5.85
Marathon	6.35
Mobil	5.12
Phillips	5.32
Placid	5.71
Sohio (West Coast)	5.48
Sohio (Gulf Coast)	2.87
Sohio (Valdez)	5.62

Thus with claimed field costs, Sohio for example, is claiming a wellhead value of \$2.87 for the oil which it is shipping to the Gulf Coast. The weighted average wellhead value of Prudhoe Bay oil for royalty purposes for all producers during the month of December is \$4.79 per barrel.

In contrast to the values being reported to the State of Alaska for severance tax and royalty purposes, an objective comparison of the value of North Slope oil using comparable market refining prices on the West Coast and reasonable transportation charges from the market to the North Slope oil field yields a value substantially above the reported values.

Producer	Quantity	Sale	Market Price	Tanker Cost	TAPS Tariff	Reported Value*
Amerada Hess	119,585	Valdez	\$12.60	--	\$6.44	\$6.16
ARCO	4,507,962	West Coast	13.44	.88	6.16	6.40
Chevron	187,488	--	--	--	--	7.70
EXXON	3,913,000	West Coast	13.16	1.08	6.27	5.81
FXXON	514,699	Valdez	12.30	.15	6.27	5.88
EXXON	41,736	Fairbanks	12.30	--	6.274	6.026
Getty	121,920	Prudhoe Bay	6.38	--	--	6.38
Hunt Group	21,191	Valdez	12.62	--	6.14	6.48
Louisiana Land & Expl.	8,839	Valdez	11.89	--	6.04	5.85
Marathon	11,094	--	--	--	--	6.35
Mobil	465,495	Prudhoe Bay	6.23	--	--	6.23
Phillips	454,632	West Coast	13.38	.81	6.22	6.35
Placid	9,415	Valdez	12.62	--	6.14	6.48
Sohio	4,550,000	West Coast	13.30	.89	6.27	6.14
Sohio	6,097,000	Gulf Coast	13.42	3.61	6.28	3.53
Sohio	1,170,000	Valdez	12.53	--	6.25	6.28

\*Not including field cost deductions

THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA  
TENTH LEGISLATURE

FISCAL NOTE

I. REQUEST

Bill/Resolution No. HB 878  
 Title An Act relating to oil and gas taxes.  
 Requested by House Resources Committee Date 3/17/78

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Agency Affected Revenue  
 Program Category Affected General Government  
 Budget Request Unit(s) Affected Petroleum Revenue

HB 878

EXPENDITURES (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 78	FY 79	FY 80	FY 81	FY 82	FY 83
100 PERSONAL SERVICES						
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL						
400 COMMODITIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.						
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>-0-</b>	<b>-0-</b>	<b>-0-</b>	<b>-0-</b>	<b>-0-</b>	<b>-0-</b>

FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 78	FY 79	FY 80	FY 81	FY 82	FY 83
GENERAL FUND						
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER (Specify)						

POSITIONS

	FY 78	FY 79	FY 80	FY 81	FY 82	FY 83
FULL TIME						
PART TIME						
TEMPORARY						

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

The Department of Revenue has projected additional production tax revenues over the next 3 years under this bill as follows:

FY 79	\$ 32.2 million
FY 80	\$ 33.4 million
FY 81	\$114.9 million

IV. DATE 3/20/78 PREPARED BY John R. Messenger  
 AGENCY Revenue  
 PHONE 465-2300  
 Original: Legislative Finance  
 cc: Budget and Management  
Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

Becky:

head of  
your present  
note

In transcribing my  
question on oil  
companies threat of  
leaving Alaska if taxes  
on oil go up, etc. +  
John Messenger's reply.  
please try as close  
as possible to transcribe  
it verbatim - (you  
could skip the  
uhs + ohs + snorts)

I'd like to have a copy  
as soon as possible. Take to  
Rm. 113

Thanks. Thelma

Original sponsor: Rules Committee by  
request of the Governor

Offered: 4/17/78  
Referred: Finance

1 IN THE HOUSE BY THE RESOURCES COMMITTEE

2 CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 878

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 TENTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to oil and gas taxes; and providing  
7 for an effective date."

8 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

9 \* Section 1. AS 43.55.011(c) is amended to read:

10 (c) The cents-per-barrel amount equals \$0.634 [\$0.60] per barrel  
11 of taxable old crude oil produced from the lease or property, and \$0.968  
12 [\$0.80] per barrel for all other taxable oil produced from the lease or  
13 property, both as adjusted by sec. 12 of this chapter.

14 \* Sec. 2. AS 43.55.016(c) is amended to read:

15 (c) The cents-per-Mcf amount equals \$0.068 [\$0.064] per thousand  
16 cubic feet of taxable gas produced from the lease or property as adjusted  
17 by sec. 12 of this chapter.

18 \* Sec. 3. This Act is retroactive to include production occurring at any  
19 time after December 31, 1977.

20 \* Sec. 4. This Act takes effect immediately in accordance with AS 01.10.-  
21 070(c).

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

22

# **CORRECTION**

**THIS DOCUMENT  
HAS BEEN REPHOTOGRAPHED  
TO ASSURE LEGIBILITY**

Becky:

head of  
your present  
self

In transcribing my question on oil companies threat of leaving Alaska if taxes on oil go up, etc. + John Messenger's reply, please try as close as possible to transcribe it verbatim - (you could skip the uhs + ohs + snorts)

I'd like to have a copy as soon as possible.

Take to  
Rem. 113

Thanks.

Thelma

Becky Fritz

Original sponsor: Rules Committee by  
request of the Governor

Offered: 4/17/78  
Referred: Finance

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY THE RESOURCES COMMITTEE

2 CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 878

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 TENTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to oil and gas taxes; and providing  
7 for an effective date."

8 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

9 \* Section 1. AS 43.55.011(c) is amended to read:

10 (c) The cents-per-barrel amount equals \$0.634 [\$0.60] per barrel  
11 of taxable old crude oil produced from the lease or property, and \$0.968  
12 [\$0.80] per barrel for all other taxable oil produced from the lease or  
13 property, both as adjusted by sec. 12 of this chapter.

14 \* Sec. 2. AS 43.55.016(c) is amended to read:

15 (c) The cents-per-Mcf amount equals \$0.068 [\$0.064] per thousand  
16 cubic feet of taxable gas produced from the lease or property as adjusted  
17 by sec. 12 of this chapter.

18 \* Sec. 3. This Act is retroactive to include production occurring at any  
19 time after December 31, 1977.

20 \* Sec. 4. This Act takes effect immediately in accordance with AS 01.10.-  
21 070(c).

22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

Introduced: 3/9/78  
Referred: Resources and Finance

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY THE RULES COMMITTEE BY  
REQUEST OF THE GOVERNOR

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 878

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 TENTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to oil and gas taxes; and providing  
7 for an effective date."

8 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

9 \* Section 1. AS 43.55.011(c) is amended to read:

10 (c) The cents-per-barrel amount equals \$0.634 [\$0.60] per barrel  
11 of taxable old crude oil produced from the lease or property, and  
12 \$0.968 [\$0.80] per barrel for all other taxable oil produced from the  
13 lease or property, both as adjusted by sec. 12 of this chapter.

14 \* Sec. 2. AS 43.55.012(a) is repealed and re-enacted to read:

15 (a) The amounts set out in sec. 11(c) and sec. 16(c) of this  
16 chapter shall be increased or decreased by a percentage equal to the  
17 percentage of change in the Gross National Product Deflator published  
18 by the Bureau of Economic Analysis of the United States Department of  
19 Commerce, using 1972 as the base period for the deflator (1972 = 100).  
20 Changes in tax rates will be computed based on changes in the Gross  
21 National Product Deflator from the First Quarter 1978 Gross National  
22 Product Deflator. The department shall post the changes in the tax  
23 rates periodically and shall send notice of the changes to every  
24 person producing oil within the state.

25 \* Sec. 3. AS 43.55.016(c) is amended to read:

26 (c) The cents-per-Mcf amount equals \$0.068 [\$0.064] per thousand  
27 cubic feet of taxable gas produced from the lease or property as  
28 adjusted by sec. 12 of this chapter.

29 \* Sec. 4. This Act is retroactive to include production occurring

1 at any time after December 31, 1977.

2 \* Sec. 5. This Act takes effect immediately in accordance with AS  
3 01.10.070(c).

4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29



# RECORDS CERTIFICATION



I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James O. Smith  
Signature of Camera Operator

2/23/90  
Date

Introduced: 3/10/78  
Referred: Finance

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY THE RESOURCES COMMITTEE

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 880

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 TENTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act making a special appropriation to the Depart-  
7 ment of Commerce and Economic Development for the  
8 underutilized fisheries experimental vessel account  
9 within the commercial fishing revolving loan fund; and  
10 providing for an effective date."

11 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

12 \* Section 1. The sum of \$4,000,000 is appropriated from the general fund  
13 to the Department of Commerce and Economic Development for the underutilized  
14 fisheries experimental vessel account within the commercial fishing revolving  
15 loan fund.

16 \* Sec. 2. This Act takes effect upon the effective date of a version of  
17 an Act entitled "An Act establishing the underutilized fisheries experimental  
18 vessel account within the commercial fishing revolving loan fund" or on  
19 July 1, 1978, whichever is later.

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

#

**COMMITTEE REPORT**  
**HOUSE**

3/10/78

FURTHER: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Mr. Speaker:

The Committee on FINANCE has had HB 880  
"An Act making a special appropriation to the Department of Commerce and  
Economic Development for the underutilized fisheries experimental vessel  
account within the commercial fishing revolving loan fund: eff. date"  
under consideration and (a majority of the committee) (the committee  
reports it back as follows)

- recommends it do pass                       recommends it do not pass
- recommends it do pass with attached amendment(s)
- recommends it be replaced with CS for \_\_\_\_\_  
and \_\_\_\_\_  new title               same title
- AND attaches a Letter of Intent               New Fiscal Note
- reports it back without recommendation
- and recommends it be referred to the \_\_\_\_\_ Committee

MEMBERS SIGNING DO PASS:

OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

5137  
Vassar

Introduced: 3/10/78  
Referred: Finance

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY THE RESOURCES COMMITTEE

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 880

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 TENTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act making a special appropriation to the Depart-  
7 ment of Commerce and Economic Development for the  
8 underutilized fisheries experimental vessel account  
9 within the commercial fishing revolving loan fund; and  
10 providing for an effective date."

11 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

12 \* Section 1. The sum of \$4,000,000 is appropriated from the general fund  
13 to the Department of Commerce and Economic Development for the underutilized  
14 fisheries experimental vessel account within the commercial fishing revolving  
15 loan fund.

16 \* Sec. 2. This Act takes effect upon the effective date of a version of  
17 an Act entitled "An Act establishing the underutilized fisheries experimental  
18 vessel account within the commercial fishing revolving loan fund" or on  
19 July 1, 1978, whichever is later.

20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
HB 880

ALASKA STATE LEGISLATURE

TENTH... Legislature SECOND... Session

HOUSE BILL..... NO. 889...

By THE RESOURCES COMMITTEE...

"An Act making a special appropriation to the Department of Commerce and Economic Development for the underutilized fisheries experimental vessel account within the commercial fishing revolving loan fund; and providing for an effective date."

spec. approp. to Dept. of Comm.  
Econ. Dev. for loan funds  
Introduced in the House ...3-10... 1978.

HISTORY IN THE HOUSE

19 78

Mar. 10

Read first time and referred to Committee on

Finance

Reported back with recommendation that

Read second time and

Read third time and

PASS	Effective Date
Yeas	Yeas
Nays	Nays
Absent	Absent
Excused	Excused

Reconsideration

PASS	Effective Date
Yeas	Yeas
Nays	Nays
Absent	Absent
Excused	Excused

Reported correctly engrossed  
Signed by Speaker  
Sent to Senate

CHIEF CLERK OF THE HOUSE

HISTORY IN THE SENATE

19

Read first time and referred to Committee on

Reported back with recommendation that

Read second time and

Read third time and

PASS	Effective Date
Yeas	Yeas
Nays	Nays
Absent	Absent
Excused	Excused

Reconsideration

PASS	Effective Date
Yeas	Yeas
Nays	Nays
Absent	Absent
Excused	Excused

Reported correctly engrossed  
Signed by President  
Returned to House

SECRETARY OF THE SENATE

HISTORY IN THE HOUSE

19

Received from Senate

Concurred in Senate amendment thus adopting:

Failed to concur in Senate amendment; asked Sen. to recede

Senate receded from amendment

Senate failed to recede from amendment

FCC appointed by House

FCC appointed by Senate

FCC adopted

To enrolling

Reported correctly enrolled

Sent to Governor

..... by Governor

Filed with Lt. Governor

Chapter No. ....