

LEG. FINANCE - BILLS 1977 - 1978 808

HB 785 cont. thru HB 793

November 30, 1977

Criminal Justice Planning Agency
State of Alaska
Pouch AJ
Juneau, Alaska 99811


Attention: Ms. Barbara McPherson

RE: Kenai Peninsula Community
Care Center/Kenai, Alaska

Dear Ms. McPherson:

The Kenai Peninsula Community Care Center has served an important part in dealing with adolescent social problems within the City of Kenai. It has the support of the City Council and the City Administration and will receive whatever additional aid the City may render.

Sincerely,



Vincent O'Reilly, Mayor

VOR: sp



EX 580
KENAI, ALASKA 99611
Telephone 283 7333

City of Kenai

November 29, 1977

Ms. Barbara McPherson
Criminal Justice Planning

Dear Ms. McPherson:

We understand that the Kenai Peninsula Community Care Center is in the process of submitting a grant proposal to Criminal Justice Planning. It may be helpful at this time to put into writing our support and enthusiasm for this program.


As one of several agencies who work directly with the Care Center, we have seen not only the tremendous need for such a service, but also that the need is being satisfied by a very professional and deeply committed staff. They have attended workshops and meetings in order to further their range of knowledge and ability; they have utilized our services for their students, as well as for consultation; and they operate the Care Center in an organized and reliable manner.

We are particularly concerned and interested in the Care Center's continuance. As mentioned above, they meet an urgent and vital need on the Peninsula and there are many adolescents who have gained and grown because of them, where otherwise they might have had no opportunity to do so.

We respectfully urge your support of funding for the Kenai Peninsula Community Care Center.

Sincerely,

C. P. M. H. C.



Lee Maxwell, Ph.D.
Director

WOMEN'S RESOURCE CENTER
P.O. Box 247
Kenai, Alaska 99611
285-3416

November 29, 1977

Ms. Barbara McPherson
Criminal Justice Planning

Dear Ms. McPherson:

It has come to our attention that the Kenai Community Care Center is in the process of making application for funding through Criminal Justice Planning.

We should like to take this opportunity to comment on the importance and the necessity of the Care Center program and also to strongly recommend and respectfully urge the allocation of funds so this program may continue.

Billie Hardy and the staff at the Care Center have created an atmosphere within the Center itself which is conducive to personal growth and gainful insights, which every adolescent must have to function fully; this might not have been possible for these children otherwise.

We feel committed to the Care Center program and hope your agency will see the need for monetary support.

Sincerely,



Linda Hawthorne
Secretary

STATE OF ALASKA

JAY S. HAMPTON, GOVERNOR

DEPT. OF HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES

DIVISION OF FAMILY AND CHILDREN SERVICES, KENAI DISTRICT OFFICE

P.O. BOX 3613 - KENAI 99511

November 30, 1977

Barbara McPherson
Governor's Commission on Administration of Justice
Pouch A-J
Juneau, Alaska 99811

Re: Harding: Kenai Peninsula Community Care Center

Dear Ms. McPherson;


I am writing to highly recommend that the Kenai Peninsula Community Care Center be given the grant for which they have applied, in order to build a replacement building. This building is imperative at this point.

The Division of Social Services has made extensive use of the care center in the past, with generally good results. Since my employment with the division in April 1977, I have enjoyed a close working relationship with the director and staff of the center. I have found the director and staff to be cooperative, concerned and effective in dealing with the children who have been placed in their care. I have been impressed by the individual, flexible program set up for each child.

The convenience of having this facility locally cannot be overemphasized. Even though a child may be unable to live at home, it is important to maintain contact with the family. This becomes difficult, if not impossible, when the child must be placed out of the community. Therefore, the loss of this facility would be a step backward in the provision of social services.

A positive response to the request for funds will result in improved delivery of social services on the Kenai Peninsula.


Sincerely yours,



Coda G. Hampton, SW III

cc: Kenai Peninsula Community Care Center

CGH/jb



CITY OF KENAI
"Oil Capital of Alaska"

P. O. BOX 530 KENAI, ALASKA 97611
TELEPHONE 283 - 7535

November 29, 1977

Barbara McPherson
Juvenile Justice Planner
Criminal Justice Planning Agency
Pouch AJ
Juneau, Alaska 99801


Dear Ms. McPherson,

The Kenai Police Department endorses the operation of the Kenai Care Center. We have found it to function to a high degree of success as a diversionary program. It fills a vital gap in meeting the needs of the community in providing a structured program to meet the needs of those young people who can be helped and guided while remaining and functioning within the community.


Our Agency has called upon the resources of this institution on many occasions. We have always found them to be fully cooperative in meeting our request for acceptance of runaways and minor offenders in need of supervision, pending Social Services or Juvenile Authority notification and referral.

The continued operation of their program is of extreme importance to our Community. Your consideration of this program for any support from the Criminal Justice Planning Agency, to the degree necessary to assure this continued operation is both solicited and appreciated.

Sincerely,


Richard A. Ross
Chief of Police
Kenai Police Department

RAR: rmc



Trial Courts

State of Alaska

THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT

DRAWER 1
KENAI, ALASKA
99511

CHILDREN'S INTAKE OFFICE
Eric W. Weatherby

November 30, 1977

Barbara McPherson
Governor's Commission on
Administrative Justice
Pouch A-J
Juneau, Alaska 99811

Dear Ms. McPherson:

I understand that the Kenai Peninsula Community Care Center is making application to your agency for a grant which, if approved, will provide funds for desperately needed capital improvements; specifically, two new group home facilities and one short term emergency care facility. Each of these facilities are a great need of the Kenai Peninsula communities and I, therefore, wish to accentuate my support of the Care Center and their application.

In assuming the duties of Juvenile Intake Officer for the Kenai Peninsula in August of this year I was extremely discouraged to find that there exists such a limited availability of emergency short term or long term treatment placement options on the Peninsula. With the exception of a very few foster homes, which are generally committed to full custody children, the only alternative to placing a child in either group placement in Anchorage or the McLaughlin Youth Center detention facility has been the Kenai Peninsula Community Care Center. In three years experience of juvenile intake in Anchorage it has been one of my observations that children from areas less sophisticated than the Anchorage Municipality have had tremendous difficulty adjusting to the differences encountered in Anchorage, frequently to the detriment of their treatment. Because of the "cultural shock", experience by children transplanted to Anchorage group homes I have encouraged any alternative providing for a child to remain nearer his or her home on the Peninsula.

Barbara McPherson

November 30, 1977


Page 2

The Kenai Care Center has thus far proven to me to be a more than suitable alternative to a stressed and tense placement back into the home following crisis. I feel that the children whom I have placed at the Care Center have not only had their basic needs met but they also receive individual attention essential to successful future diversion.

I am sad to have to report that approximately thirty percent of my referrals to the Care Center, on an emergency basis, have been rejected for placement. In each instance denial was made on the basis of a lack of available space at that point in time. In more than one instance this lack of space has resulted in detention for the child.

In closing I would like to again stress that the grant applied for by the Care Center is of major importance to the Kenai Peninsula. I do not like to see a child placed outside of the home in other than a last ditch effort to resolve a crisis. However, there exist a very real need in the Peninsula Communities for alternative placements and I feel the Care Center is capable, if properly funded, to more than suitably provide those services.

Very truly yours,



ERIC WEATHERBY
Juvenile Intake Officer

EW: rf

NOVEMBER 29, 1977

MS. BARBARA McPHERSON
CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLANNING

DEAR MS. McPHERSON;

SINCE FEBRUARY OF 1974 THE KENAI CARE CENTER HAS FILLED A DESPERATE NEED IN THE KENAI-SOLDOTNA AREA. FROM FIVE SPACES FOR CHILDREN AND ONE STAFF MEMBER TO TEN SPACES FOR CHILDREN AND FIVE STAFF MEMBERS HAS BEEN A LONG AND TEDIOUS PROCESS. NOW, THE KENAI CARE CENTER IS READY TO ATTEMPT A MORE AMBITIOUS BUT STILL DESPERATELY NEEDED PLACE WITH-IN OUR COMMUNITIES. WITH A PERMANENT SPACE TO BUILD AND FULL-FILL LONG RANGE PLANS THE KENAI CARE CENTER WOULD BE ABLE TO CO-ORDINATE WITH OTHER SERVING SOURCES IN OUR COMMUNITIES TO GIVE PRACTICAL AND LONG-RANGE CARE TO NOT ONLY TEEN-AGERS, BUT, IN EMERGENCIES, TO CHILDREN WHO ARE VICTIMS OF BATTERY. WE, THE JUDITH GROUP, FEEL THIS IS A CRITICAL NEED. AS TAX-PAYERS, CONCERNED MEMBERS OF OUR COMMUNITIES, AND PARENTS, WE FEEL IT IS NO LONGER SUFFICIENT FOR THESE CHILDREN TO BE REMOVED FROM THEIR COMMUNITIES; BUT, RATHER, THAT PROVISIONS MUST BE MADE TO SUPPORT, PROVIDE, AND CHERISH THEM HERE. THE KENAI CARE CENTER WILL HELP TO FULL-FILL THIS DESIRE. THE JUDITH GROUP: A RAPE/INCEST ADVOCATE GROUP SOLIDLY SUPPORTS THE KENAI CARE CENTER. THANK YOU,

Don E. Taylor
PREFECT
BOX 7136 N.R.B.
KENAI, ALASKA 99611

Exhibit 31

CLARION

907-283-7551

P.O. Box 1341

Kenai, Alaska 99611

November 29, 1977

Barbara McPherson
Governor's Committee on the
Administration of Justice
Pouch AJ
Juneau, Alaska 99811


Dear Barbara:

The Peninsula Clarion would like to add its voice of support to the grant application being submitted by the Kenai Peninsula Care Center. We have publicly supported the concepts and valuable work done at the center for the teenagers in the entire peninsula region. Also we have reasons to urge your immediate consideration of this grant, because the center is faced with a lapsing lease.

The peninsula cannot afford to lose the services of this valuable service, and we urge you to give prompt and affirmative consideration to their request for building grant funds.

Should you wish to review our editorial files on the subject and the work being done by the center, we would feel pleased to forward them to you to aid their cause.

Respectfully


Harry V. Martin
Editor

Clyde G. Smith
Box 1083
Kenai, Alaska 99611

November 30, 1977

Ms. Barbara McPherson
Criminal Justice Agency on Planning

RE: Kenai Peninsula Community Care Center.

Dear Ms. McPherson:

Our Kenai Peninsula area is rapidly expanding and the Community Care Center provides a much needed service for our youth.

I fully encourage the support of the Kenai Peninsula Community Care Center.

Most Sincerely,



Clyde G. Smith
Vice Chairman
Kenai Peninsula Board of Director
Salvation Army

STATE OF ALASKA

DEPT. OF HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES
DIVISION OF CORRECTIONS

JAY S. WELLS, DISTRICT SUPERVISOR

STATE COURT BUILDING
P. O. BOX 3311
KENAI 99611
PHONE: (907) 283-3125

December 1, 1977


Ellie Hardy, Director
Kenai Peninsula Care Center
Drawer 3573
Kenai, AK 99611

Greetings,

This to convey my on-going support for your program and your efforts. I am particularly enthusiastic about your plans to expand and to diversify at least to the extent of providing separate emergency shelter facilities from the on-going group home program facilities. The resources you have provided are ones that are indispensable to our on-going functioning here on the Peninsula. Frankly I would hate to consider functioning without your facility being available. Please receive my on-going endorsement and support.

Please feel free to apply this letter as you deem appropriate. Also, I would be glad to discuss directly my utilization of the Care Center with anyone you should care to refer.

Sincerely,

Stan Wells
District Supervisor
Kenai Office
Division of Corrections

SW/mk

*file
7-20-66*

Kenai Peninsula Community Care Center

Drawer 3573

Kenai, Alaska 99611

907-285-7635

Resident Background

About 60% of the female residents have been sexually molested by a family member.

About 75% of all male residents have been physically abused.

About 50% of female residents have been physically abused.

A much greater percentage of abuse is of the emotional and neglect category.

About 90% come from broken homes, multiple marriages and divorces.

About 70% come from families with alcohol related problems.

10% of our residents are Alaska Native.

20% are adjudicated Delinquent by the Court.

100% have experienced family problems to a degree which led to their temporary or permanent removal from the family.

Many children refer themselves for help, but not until they're desperate and don't know what else to do. Running away for a child is usually a very difficult decision and a last resort.

Committing a crime is often a statement that they need help and don't know how else to get it.

COUNSELING . . .

As a residential treatment program for the Peninsula's youth, the staff offers a secure, caring, home-like atmosphere for the ten 13-17 year-olds who live here. Both individual and group counseling are offered, with house group meetings weekly or more often if necessary.

STUDY . . .

We are not a detention facility, and in all ways require and encourage active participation in public school attendance, employment, recreation and other social functions necessary to the development of our young people.

WHAT IS THE CARE CENTER?

The product of a group of concerned citizens, the Center began receiving teenagers in February of 1974. The program serves youth from the entire Peninsula, and on occasion from other areas of the state.

The Care Center serves as an alternative living situation for a young person experiencing legal or family difficulties. They are referred by the Division of Family & Children Services or the Division of Corrections. Before the Care Center was formed there was no alternative to institutionalization available in this area.

During our first year of service 39 kids resided at the Center, 64 percent of whom returned to their own homes or to foster homes, while 36 percent went elsewhere to Job Corps, McLaughlin Youth Center, etc.

Our main goal is to return the young people to their own homes and, until that end is accomplished, we provide a family-oriented environment where they receive counseling, love and discipline to help them become productive and happy members of society.

SUSTENANCE . . .

A renovated house overlooking the Kenai River and Cook Inlet is home to the kids who live there. A staff of four works to create not just a house where you eat and sleep, but also a home where mental and emotional growth, self discipline, self respect and respect for others is developed and fostered. We work with the youth, their family, school and total environment to accomplish these goals.

AFTER THE CARE CENTER . . .

Length of residence can vary from one day to a year, though the average stay is a little over two months. Returning to their own homes, to licensed foster homes, Job Corps, Seward Skill Center, Jesse Lee Home, McLaughlin Youth Center, or on their own are alternatives for our teenagers upon leaving the Center.

A HELPING HAND...

We are a private, non-profit organization licensed by the State of Alaska. Any donations of commodities or financial assistance are needed and greatly appreciated, as well as tax deductible. Your help is needed to keep this community project in operation.

(Mrs.) Billie Hardy, Director
Kenai Peninsula Community Care Center
Drawer 3573
Kenai, Alaska 99611
283-7635

Kenai Peninsula Community Care Center



...AN ALTERNATIVE...

COMMITTEE REPORT

HOUSE

3/23/78

FURTHER: _____

Date: _____

Mr. Speaker:

The Committee on FINANCE has had HB 785

"An Act making a special appropriation to the Department of Community and Regional Affairs for capital improvements to the Kenai Care Center; effective date."

under consideration and (a majority of the committee) (the committee reports it back as follows)

- recommends it do pass recommends it do not pass
 recommends it do pass with attached amendment(s)
 recommends it be replaced with CS for _____

and _____ new title same title

- AND attaches a Letter of Intent New Fiscal Note
 reports it back without recommendation
 and recommends it be referred to the _____ Committee

MEMBERS SIGNING DO PASS:

OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:

COMMITTEE REPORT

HOUSE

2/10/78

FURTHER: FINANCE

Date: _____

Mr. Speaker:

The Committee on HESS has had HB 785
"An Act making a special appropriation to the Department of Community and Regional
Affairs for capital improvements to the Kenai Care Center; eff. date."

under consideration and (a majority of the committee) (~~the committee~~
~~reports it back as follows~~)

() recommends it do pass () recommends it do not pass

() recommends it do pass with attached amendment(s)

() recommends it be replaced with CS for _____

and _____ () new title () same title

() AND attaches a Letter of Intent () New Fiscal Note

() reports it back without recommendation

() and recommends it be referred to the _____ Committee

MEMBERS SIGNING DO PASS:

OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:

Don Bennett Do Pass

DO PASS

DO PASS

Sam Cook Do Pass

RODOLFO PALLI Do Pass

T Buchholdt

Mike Beitz

Charles Han Do Pass

Charles Han

Chairman

4917
Chenoweth

Introduced: 2/10/78
Referred: Health, Education &
Social Services and Finance

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY MALONE

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 785

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 TENTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act making a special appropriation to the Department
7 of Community and Regional Affairs for capital improve-
8 ments to the Kenai Care Center; and providing for an
9 effective date."

10 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

11 * Section 1. The sum of \$250,000 is appropriated from the general fund to
12 the Department of Community and Regional Affairs for payment as a grant to
13 the Kenai Care Center, a community residential treatment program for ado-
14 lescents, to complete capital improvements.

15 * Sec. 2. This Act takes effect immediately in accordance with AS 01.10.-
16 070(c).

29 # COMMITTEE COPY

ALASKA STATE LEGISLATURE

THIRTY-THIRD Legislature SECOND Session

HOUSE BILL NO. 785

By MALONE

"An Act making a special appropriation to the Department of Community and Regional Affairs for capital improvements to the Kenai Care Center; and providing for an effective date."

Kenai Care Center

Introduced in the House 2-10-73

HISTORY IN THE HOUSE

1978

Feb. 10

Read first time and referred to Committee on RESS and Finance

Reported back with recommendation that

Read second time and

Read third time and

PASS	Effective Date
Yeas	Yeas
Nays	Nays
Absent	Absent
Excused	Excused

Reconsideration

PASS	Effective Date
Yeas	Yeas
Nays	Nays
Absent	Absent
Excused	Excused

Reported correctly engrossed
Signed by Speaker
Sent to Senate

CHIEF CLERK OF THE HOUSE

HISTORY IN THE SENATE

19

Read first time and referred to Committee on

Reported back with recommendation that

Read second time and

Read third time and

PASS	Effective Date
Yeas	Yeas
Nays	Nays
Absent	Absent
Excused	Excused

Reconsideration

PASS	Effective Date
Yeas	Yeas
Nays	Nays
Absent	Absent
Excused	Excused

Reported correctly engrossed
Signed by President
Returned to House

SECRETARY OF THE SENATE

HISTORY IN THE HOUSE

19

Received from Senate

Concurred in Senate amendment thus adopting:

Failed to concur in Senate amendment; asked Sen. to recede

Senate receded from amendment

Senate failed to recede from amendment

FCC appointed by House

FCC appointed by Senate

FCC adopted

To enrolling

Reported correctly enrolled

Sent to Governor

..... by Governor

Filed with Lt. Governor

Chapter No.



RECORDS CERTIFICATION

I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James O. Smith
Signature of Camera Operator

2/23/90
Date

Introduced: 2/10/78
Referred: State Affairs and
Finance

BY SCHAEFFER, AKERS, ANDERSON,
BRADLEY, BUCHHOLDT, COTTEN,
DANKWORTH, DUNCAN, FREEMAN, GUY,
HAYES, KELLY, LETHIN, MALONE,
MEEKINS, OSE, OSTERBACK AND
URION

1 IN THE HOUSE

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 787

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 TENTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act providing for the issuance of general obliga-
7 tion bonds in the amount of \$20,233,743 for the purpose
8 of paying the cost of airport lighting; and providing
9 for an effective date."

10 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

11 * Section 1. For the purpose of paying the cost of airport lighting,
12 general obligation bonds of the state in the principal amount of not more
13 than \$20,233,743 shall be issued and sold. The full faith, credit and re-
14 sources of the state are pledged to the payment of the principal of and
15 interest and redemption premium, if any, on these bonds. These bonds shall
16 be issued under the provisions of AS 37.15 as those provisions read at the
17 time of issuance.

18 * Sec. 2. If the issuance of these bonds is authorized by the qualified
19 voters of the state, a special fund of the state to be known as the "1978
20 Airport Lighting Fund" shall be established, to which shall be credited the
21 proceeds of the sale of the bonds described in sec. 1 of this Act except for
22 the accrued interest and premiums. The amount of \$20,233,743 is appropriated
23 from the "1978 Airport Lighting Fund" to the Department of Transportation and
24 Public Facilities. The proceeds of these bonds shall be allocated as follows:

25 (1) Akiak	\$132,000
26 (2) Akiachak	114,000
27 (3) Alakanuk	90,000
28 (4) Allakaket	180,000
29 (5) Ambler	102,000

(6)	Angoon Seaplane Float	\$ 1,440
(7)	Anvik	120,000
(8)	Baranof Seaplane Float	1,000
(9)	Basin Creek	120,000
(10)	Beaver	251,850
(11)	Big Lake 2	165,600
(12)	Black Rapids	144,000
(13)	Bluff	69,000
(14)	Boundary	126,000
(15)	Brevig Mission	1,000
(16)	Buckland	117,900
(17)	Cache Creek	66,000
(18)	Candle 2	192,000
(19)	Central	192,000
(20)	Chalkyitsik	150,000
(21)	Chandalar Lake	310,500
(22)	Chefornak	150,000
(23)	Chevak	150,000
(24)	Chicken	156,000
(25)	Chignik	132,000
(26)	Chignik Lagoon	132,000
(27)	Chignik Lake	156,000
(28)	Circle City	174,000
(29)	Clarks Point	102,000
(30)	Craig Seaplane Float	1,440
(31)	Dahl Creek	271,860
(32)	Deering	180,000
(33)	Diomede	1,000
(34)	Dutch Harbor	296,700

1	(35) Lgegik	\$114,000
2	(36) Ekwok	133,200
3	(37) Elfin Cove Seaplane Float	1,000
4	(38) Elim	118,500
5	(39) Emmonak	120,000
6	(40) English Bay	120,000
7	(41) Excursion Inlet Seaplane Float	2,160
8	(42) False Pass	156,000
9	(43) Flat	282,900
10	(44) Funter Bay Seaplane Float	2,160
11	(45) Girdwood	139,020
12	(46) Goodnews Bay	93,000
13	(47) Grayling	150,000
14	(48) Gustavus	517,500
15	(49) Haines	316,158
16	(50) Hawk Inlet Seaplane Float	2,160
17	(51) Haycock	115,200
18	(52) Holikachuk	132,000
19	(53) Holy Cross	276,000
20	(54) Hoonah	168,000
21	(55) Hope	103,800
22	(56) Hughes	317,400
23	(57) Huslia	180,000
24	(58) Hydaburg Seaplane Float	1,440
25	(59) Hyder Seaplane Float	1,000
26	(60) Igiugig	162,000
27	(61) Kake Seaplane Float	1,440
28	(62) Kalskag	132,000
29	(63) Kaltag	132,000

1	(64) Karluk	\$ 84,000
2	(65) Kasaan Seaplane Float	1,080
3	(66) Kasilof	168,000
4	(67) Ketchikan Seaplane Float	1,000
5	(68) Kiana	197,400
6	(69) King Cove	276,000
7	(70) Kipnuk	144,000
8	(71) Klawak Float	1,200
9	(72) Klawock	1,000
10	(73) Kokhanok	72,000
11	(74) Koyuk	120,000
12	(75) Koyukuk	108,000
13	(76) Lake Louise	147,000
14	(77) Lawing	138,000
15	(78) Lost River 1	251,850
16	(79) Manley Hot Springs	165,600
17	(80) May Creek	276,000
18	(81) McCarthy	174,240
19	(82) Medfra	132,000
20	(83) Metlakatla Seaplane Float	1,080
21	(84) Minto	120,000
22	(85) Mountain Village	126,000
23	(86) Myers Chuck Seaplane Float	1,000
24	(87) Napakiak	144,000
25	(88) Napaiskiak	162,000
26	(89) New Stuyahok	132,000
27	(90) Ninilchik	150,000
28	(91) Noatak	144,000
29	(92) Nondalton	133,500

1	(93) Noorvik	\$120,000
2	(94) Northway	355,143
3	(95) Nulato	168,000
4	(96) Old Harbor	120,000
5	(97) Ophir	94,260
6	(98) Pedro Bay	77,520
7	(99) Pelican Seaplane Float	1,000
8	(100) Perryville	108,000
9	(101) Pilot Point	210,000
10	(102) Pilot Station	138,000
11	(103) Platinum	280,692
12	(104) Point Baker Seaplane Float	1,000
13	(105) Port Alexander Seaplane Float	1,200
14	(106) Port Graham	108,000
15	(107) Port Lions	156,000
16	(108) Quartz Creek/Kougarok	199,200
17	(109) Quartz Creek	168,000
18	(110) Quinhagak	117,600
19	(111) Rampart	150,000
20	(112) Red Devil	276,000
21	(113) Ruby	156,000
22	(114) Russian Mission	89,400
23	(115) St. Michael	118,500
24	(116) Salmon Lake	99,120
25	(117) Sand Point	262,200
26	(118) Seldovia	156,000
27	(119) Seward	327,750
28	(120) Shageluk	132,000
29	(121) Shaktoolik	144,000

1	(122) Sheep Mountain	\$132,000
2	(123) Shishmaref	120,000
3	(124) Shungnak	66,780
4	(125) Skagway	248,400
5	(126) Sleetmute	112,560
6	(127) Solomon	108,480
7	(128) Stebbins	119,400
8	(129) Stevens Village	132,000
9	(130) Stony River	144,000
10	(131) Takotna	148,380
11	(132) Tanacross	351,900
12	(133) Tanunak	144,660
13	(134) Tazlina	99,000
14	(135) Teller	127,920
15	(136) Tenakee Float	1,200
16	(137) Thompson Pass	108,000
17	(138) Togiak	182,400
18	(139) Tooksook Bay	117,600
19	(140) Tongass Seaplane Float	1,000
20	(141) Tonsina	103,200
21	(142) Twin Hills	120,000
22	(143) Tuluksak	150,000
23	(144) Wales	150,000
24	(145) Wasilla	129,000
25	(146) Waterfall Seaplane Float	1,000
26	(147) White Mountain	144,000
27	(148) Willow	345,000
28	(149) Wiseman	180,000

* Sec. 3. If the issuance of these bonds is authorized by the qualified

1 voters of the state, the amount of \$70,830 or as much of that amount as is
2 found necessary is appropriated from the general fund of the state to the
3 state bond committee to carry out the provisions of this Act and to pay ex-
4 penses incident to the sale and issuance of the bonds authorized in this Act.
5 The amounts expended from the appropriation authorized by this section shall
6 be reimbursed to the general fund from the proceeds of the sale of the bonds
7 authorized by this Act.

8 * Sec. 4. The question whether the bonds authorized in this Act are to be
9 issued shall be submitted to the qualified voters of the state at the next
10 general election and shall read substantially as follows:

11 Proposition

12 State General Obligation Airport Lighting
13 Bonds \$20,233,743

14 Shall the State of Alaska issue its general obligation bonds
15 in the principal amount of not more than \$20,233,743 for the
16 purpose of paying the cost of airport lighting?

17 Bonds Yes []
18 Bonds No []

19 * Sec. 5. This Act takes effect immediately in accordance with AS 01.10.-
20 070(c).

21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

Original sponsors: Schaeffer, Akers,
Anderson, et al

Offered: 5/18/78
Referred: Finance

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY THE STATE AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

2 CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 787

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 TENTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act providing for the issuance of general obliga-
7 tion bonds in the amount of \$16,007,220 for the purpose
8 of paying the cost of airport lighting; and providing
9 for an effective date."

10 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

11 * Section 1. For the purpose of paying the cost of airport lighting,
12 general obligation bonds of the state in the principal amount of not more
13 than \$16,007,220 shall be issued and sold. The full faith, credit and re-
14 sources of the state are pledged to the payment of the principal of and
15 interest and redemption premium, if any, on these bonds. These bonds shall
16 be issued under the provisions of AS 37.15 as those provisions read at the
17 time of issuance.

18 * Sec. 2. If the issuance of these bonds is authorized by the qualified
19 voters of the state, a special fund of the state to be known as the "1978
20 Airport Lighting Fund" shall be established, to which shall be credited the
21 proceeds of the sale of the bonds described in sec. 1 of this Act except for
22 the accrued interest and premiums. The amount of \$16,007,220 is appropriated
23 from the "1978 Airport Lighting Fund" to the Department of Transportation and
24 Public Facilities. The proceeds of these bonds shall be allocated as fol-
25 lows:

26	(1) Alakanuk	\$ 90,000
27	(2) Allakaket	180,000
28	(3) Anvik	120,000
29	(4) Beaver	251,850

1	(5) Big Lake 2	165,600
2	(6) Boundary	126,000
3	(7) Brevig Mission	241,500
4	(8) Buckland	117,900
5	(9) Central	192,000
6	(10) Chalkyitsik	150,000
7	(11) Cheforak	150,000
8	(12) Chevak	150,000
9	(13) Chignik Lagoon	132,000
10	(14) Circle City	174,000
11	(15) Clark's Point	102,000
12	(16) Deering	180,000
13	(17) Diomede	1,000
14	(18) Egegik	114,000
15	(19) Ekwok	133,200
16	(20) Eklak	60,000
17	(21) Flim	118,500
18	(22) Emmonak	120,000
19	(23) False Pass	156,000
20	(24) Golovin	144,000
21	(25) Goodnews Bay	93,000
22	(26) Grayling	150,000
23	(27) Haines	316,158
24	(28) Holy Cross	276,000
25	(29) Hoonah	168,000
26	(30) Hughes	317,400
27	(31) Huslia	180,000
28	(32) Hooper Bay	276,000
29	(33) Igiugig	162,000

1	(34) Kalskag	132,000
2	(35) Kaltag	132,000
3	(36) Karluk	84,000
4	(37) Kasilof	168,000
5	(38) Kiana	197,400
6	(39) King Cove	276,000
7	(40) Kipnuk	144,000
8	(41) Kokhanok	72,000
9	(42) Koliganek	132,000
10	(43) Kongiganak	132,000
11	(44) Kotlik	120,000
12	(45) Koyuk	120,000
13	(46) Koyukuk	108,000
14	(47) Kwethluk	108,000
15	(48) Kwigillingok	150,000
16	(49) Larsen Bay	144,000
17	(50) Levelock	96,000
18	(51) Manley Hot Springs	165,600
19	(52) Manokotak	156,000
20	(53) May Creek	200,000
21	(54) McCarthy	150,000
22	(55) Medfra	132,000
23	(56) Minto	120,000
24	(57) Mountain Village	126,000
25	(58) Naknek	72,000
26	(59) Napakiak	144,000
27	(60) Napaiskak	162,000
28	(61) Nelson Lagoon	241,500
29	(62) New Stuyahok	132,000

1	(63) Ninilchik	150,000
2	(64) Noatak	144,000
3	(65) Nondalton	133,500
4	(66) Noorvik	120,000
5	(67) Nulato	168,000
6	(68) Ohgsenakale	120,000
7	(69) Old Harbor	120,000
8	(70) Pedro Bay	77,520
9	(71) Perryville	108,000
10	(72) Pilot Point	210,000
11	(73) Pilot Station	138,000
12	(74) Platinum	280,692
13	(75) Port Lions	156,000
14	(76) Quinhagak	117,600
15	(77) Rampart	150,000
16	(78) Ruby	156,000
17	(79) Russian Mission	89,400
18	(80) St. Michael	118,500
19	(81) Sand Point	262,200
20	(82) Seldovia	156,000
21	(3) Shageluk	132,000
22	(84) Shaktoolik	144,000
23	(85) Shishmaref	120,000
24	(86) Shungnak	66,780
25	(87) Skagway	248,400
26	(88) Sleetmute	112,560
27	(89) South Naknek	150,000
28	(90) Stebbins	119,400
29	(91) Stevens Village	132,000

1	(92) Stony River	144,000
2	(93) Takotna	148,380
3	(94) Tanacross	351,900
4	(95) Tanunak	144,660
5	(96) Tazlina	99,000
6	(97) Teller	127,920
7	(98) Togiak	182,400
8	(99) Tooksook Bay	117,600
9	(100) Tonsina	103,200
10	(101) Tuluksak	150,000
11	(102) Tuntutuliak	180,000
12	(103) Twin Hills	120,000
13	(104) Ugashik	90,000
14	(105) Wales	150,000
15	(106) Wasilla	129,000
16	(107) White Mountain	144,000

17 * Sec. 3. If the issuance of these bonds is authorized by the qualified
18 voters of the state, the amount of \$56,025 or as much of that amount as is
19 found necessary is appropriated from the general fund of the state to the
20 state bond committee to carry out the provisions of this Act and to pay
21 expenses incident to the sale and issuance of the bonds authorized in this
22 Act. The amounts expended from the appropriation authorized by this section
23 shall be reimbursed to the general fund from the proceeds of the sale of the
24 bonds authorized by this Act.

25 * Sec. 4. The amount withdrawn from the public facility planning fund for
26 the purpose of advance planning for the improvements financed under this Act
27 shall be reimbursed to the fund from the proceeds of the sale of bonds autho-
28 rized by this Act.

29 * Sec. 5. The question whether the bonds authorized in this Act are to be

1 issued shall be submitted to the qualified voters of the state at the next
2 general election and shall read substantially as follows:

3 Proposition

4 State General Obligation Airport Lighting

5 Bonds \$16,007,220

6 Shall the State of Alaska issue its general obligation bonds
7 in the principal amount of not more than \$16,007,220 for the
8 purpose of paying the cost of airport lighting?

9 Bonds Yes []

10 Bonds No []

11 * Sec. 6. This Act takes effect immediately in accordance with AS 01.10.-
12 C70(c).

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29



287

REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIP GUY

Alaska State Legislature

POUCH V

JUNEAU, ALASKA 99811

April 7, 1978

COMMITTEES:
HOUSE FINANCE

DISTRICT 17

AKIACHAK
AKIAK
ANIAK
ATMAUTLUAK
BETHEL
CHEFORNAK
EEK
KALSKAG
KASIGLUK
KWETHLUK
LOWER KALSKAG
MEKORYUK
NAPAKIAK
NAPASKIAK
NEWTOK
NIGHTMUTE
NUNAPITCHUK
NYAC
OSCARVILLE
TOKSOOK BAY
TULUKSAK
TUNTUTULIAK
TUNUNAK

A.V.C.P., INC.
KUSKOKWIM . YUKON

AKIACHAK
AKIAK
ALAKANUK
ANDREAFSKY
ANIAK
ATMAUTLUAK
BETHEL
CHEFORNAK
CHEVAK
CROOKED CREEK
EEK
EMMONAK
GEORGETOWN (K)
GOODNEWS BAY
HAMILTON
HOLITNA
HOOPER BAY
KASIGLOOK
KIPNUK
KONGIGANAK
KOTLIK
KWETHLUK
KWIGILLINGOK
LIME VILLAGE
LOWER KALSKAG
FORTUNA LEDGE
(MARSHALL)
MEKORYUK
MOUNTAIN VILLAGE
NAPAIMUTE
NAPAKIAK
NAPASKIAK
NEWTOK
NIGHTMUTE
NUNAPITCHUK
NYAC
OHOGAMIUT
OSCARVILLE
PILOT STATION
PITKAS POINT
PLATINUM
RUSSIAN MISSION (K)
RUSSIAN MISSION (Y)
RED DEVIL
SCAMMON BAY
SHELDON'S POINT
SLEETMUTE
ST. MARY'S
STONY RIVER
TOKSOOK BAY
TULUKSAK
TUNTUTULIAK
TUNUNAK
UPPER KALSKAG

The Honorable Steve Cowper
Chairman, House Finance Committee
State House of Representatives
Pouch V
Juneau, Alaska 99811

Dear Steve:

Please find enclosed a copy of Resolution No. 78-1 which I recently received from the Tuntutuliak Village Council requesting that the Tuntutuliak Airport be included in H.B. 787, providing for the issuance of general obligation bonds in the amount of \$20,233,743 for the purpose of paying the cost of airport lighting.

The exclusion of Tuntutuliak from the list of recipient villages was an unintentional omission. I am requesting Mr. Alexander Hoke of Legislative Research to assess the cost of the installation of airport lighting at Tuntutuliak. Once a cost estimate has been established, I will request that amount be added to the amount of the obligation bonds in H.B. 787.

The resolution also calls for the surfacing of the Tuntutuliak Airport. Senate Bill 260, sponsored by Senator George Hohman, addresses this need by appropriating \$750,000 for surfacing airports at six villages, including Tuntutuliak.

I respectfully request your support of S.B. 260 and the above described addition to H.B. 787 when the bills come before us in both the House Finance Committee and the House floor. I thank you for your consideration of these matters.

Sincerely,

Phil Guy
Phil Guy

enclosure
PG/khf

cc: Wassilie Pleasant, President, Tuntutuliak Village Council
cc: HFC

Resolution No. 12
Tuntutuliak, Alaska
April 10, 1964

Whereas the village council and the village people and

Whereas discussed the bill on improving the airport with lights and cutting gravel on it, and

Whereas the village of Tuntutuliak was not included in the bill.

Now, therefore be it resolved that the village of Tuntutuliak be included in the bill.

Passed on this 22nd day of March 1964, By the village people, and the village council of Tuntutuliak, Alaska.

President Wasili Pessant
Vice President Nick Lujin
Secretary John Lujin
Member Alphonse Lujin
Member John Lujin

Attest: David E. ...

Village Administrator.

HB 787

C-05LH

STATE OF ALASKA

JAY S. HAMMOND, GOVERNOR

DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER

FOUCH 5 - JUNEAU 93311

February 14, 1978

The Honorable Bob Bradley
Chairman
House State Affairs Committee
Alaska State Legislature
State Capitol Building - Room 123 A
Juneau, Alaska

Re: House Bill No. 787

Dear Mr. Bradley:

House Bill No. 787, an Act providing for the issuance of general obligation bonds in the amount of \$20,233,743 for the purpose of paying the cost of airport lighting, was introduced in the House on February 10, 1978 and was referred to the House State Affairs and Finance Committee.

The State's Bond Counsel, Mr. C. Richard Walker of Orrick, Herrington, Rowley & Sutcliffe has advised that general obligation bonds of the State and nearly all other state and local government bonds are issued in the denomination of \$5,000, the standard unit.

Using House Bill No. 787 as an example, according to Mr. Walker, this would result in issuing one odd bond in the denomination of \$3,743 along with 4,046 bonds in the usual denomination of \$5,000.

Issuing a bond in an odd denomination requires extra time and expense in connection with the preparation of the resolution, notice of sale, official statement and other documents relating to the bonds and the printing of the one odd bond with all its special coupons.

On the other hand, it would be highly unusual to find an estimate of expenditures, used in connection with determining the principal amount of a twenty million dollar bond issue, that was within \$5,000 of being a perfectly precise estimate.

Consequently, it is respectfully recommended that the proposed legislation be amended to allow for rounding off the principal amount of the proposed bond issue to the nearest \$5,000 by making the principal amount in the proposed bill to read \$20,235,000 rather than \$20,233,743 and make an upward adjustment of \$1,257 to one of the projects enumerated in the bill.

Very truly yours,

R. D. Stevenson
Special Assistant

HB 787

879

cc: The Honorable Steve Cowper
Chairman
House Finance Committee
Alaska State Legislature
State Capitol Building
Juneau, Alaska

Sterling Gallagher
Commissioner of Revenue
Secretary, State Bond Committee

David Klemmer
Debt Manager, Treasury Division
Department of Revenue

C. Richard Walker
Orrick, Herrington, Rowley & Sutcliffe
600 Montgomery Street
San Francisco, California 94111

COMMITTEE REPORT

5/18/78

HOUSE

FURTHER: _____

Date: _____

Mr. Speaker:

The Committee on FINANCE has had HB 787

"An Act providing for the issuance of g.o. bonds in the amount of \$20,233,743 for the purpose of paying the cost of airport lighting; e.d."

under consideration and (a majority of the committee) (the committee reports it back as follows)

recommends it do pass recommends it do not pass

recommends it do pass with attached amendment(s)

recommends it be replaced with CS for _____

and _____ new title same title

AND attaches a Letter of Intent New Fiscal Note

reports it back without recommendation

and recommends it be referred to the _____ Committee

MEMBERS SIGNING DO PASS:

OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:

Chairman

COMMITTEE REPORT

HOUSE

FURTHER: FINANCE

2/10/78

Date: May 17, 1978

Mr. Speaker:

The Committee on STATE AFFAIRS has had HR 787
"An Act providing for the issuance of g.o. bonds in the amount of \$20,233,743
for the purpose of paying the cost of airport lighting; eff. date."

under consideration and (~~a majority of the committee~~) (the committee
~~reports it back as follows~~)

() recommends it do pass () recommends it do not pass

() recommends it do pass with attached amendment(s)

() recommends it be replaced with CS for HB-787

and that it be reported back w/ind. accs. () new title () same title

() AND attaches a Letter of Intent () New Fiscal Note

() reports it back without recommendation

() and recommends it be referred to the _____ Committee

MEMBERS SIGNING DO PASS:

A.S. Bradley
W.C. ...

OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:

Tom Kelly - No Rec
Kris W. ... - No Rec.
Joe McKinnon - No Rec

A.S. Bradley
Chairman

4858
10/14

Original sponsors: Schaeffer, Akers,
Anderson, et al

Offered: 5/18/78
Referred: Finance

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY THE STATE AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

2 CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 787

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 TENTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act providing for the issuance of general obliga-
7 tion bonds in the amount of \$16,007,220 for the purpose
8 of paying the cost of airport lighting; and providing
9 for an effective date."

10 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

11 * Section 1. For the purpose of paying the cost of airport lighting,
12 general obligation bonds of the state in the principal amount of not more
13 than \$16,007,220 shall be issued and sold. The full faith, credit and re-
14 sources of the state are pledged to the payment of the principal of and
15 interest and redemption premium, if any, on these bonds. These bonds shall
16 be issued under the provisions of AS 37.15 as those provisions read at the
17 time of issuance.

18 * Sec. 2. If the issuance of these bonds is authorized by the qualified
19 voters of the state, a special fund of the state to be known as the "1978
20 Airport Lighting Fund" shall be established, to which shall be credited the
21 proceeds of the sale of the bonds described in sec. 1 of this Act except for
22 the accrued interest and premiums. The amount of \$16,007,220 is appropriated
23 from the "1978 Airport Lighting Fund" to the Department of Transportation and
24 Public Facilities. The proceeds of these bonds shall be allocated as fol-
25 lows:

26	(1) Alakanuk	\$ 90,000
27	(2) Allakaket	180,000
28	(3) Anvik	120,000
29	(4) Beaver	251,850

1	(5) Big Lake 2	165,600
2	(6) Boundary	126,000
3	(7) Brevig Mission	241,500
4	(8) Buckland	117,900
5	(9) Central	192,000
6	(10) Chalkyitsik	150,000
7	(11) Chefornek	150,000
8	(12) Chevak	150,000
9	(13) Chignik Lagoon	132,000
10	(14) Circle City	174,000
11	(15) Clark's Point	102,000
12	(16) Deering	180,000
13	(17) Diomede	1,000
14	(18) Egegik	114,000
15	(19) Ekwok	133,200
16	(20) Ekuk	60,000
17	(21) Elim	118,500
18	(22) Emmaonak	120,000
19	(23) False Pass	156,000
20	(24) Golovin	144,000
21	(25) Goodnews Bay	93,000
22	(26) Grayling	150,000
23	(27) Haines	316,158
24	(28) Holy Cross	276,000
25	(29) Hoonah	168,000
26	(30) Hughes	317,400
27	(31) Huslia	180,000
28	(32) Hooper Bay	276,000
29	(33) Igiugig	162,000

1	(34)	Kalskag	132,000
2	(35)	Kaltag	132,000
3	(36)	Karluk	84,000
4	(37)	Kasilof	168,000
5	(38)	Kiana	197,400
6	(39)	King Cove	276,000
7	(40)	Kipnuk	144,000
8	(41)	Kokhanok	72,000
9	(42)	Koliganek	132,000
10	(43)	Kongiganak	132,000
11	(44)	Kotlik	120,000
12	(45)	Koyuk	120,000
13	(46)	Koyukuk	108,000
14	(47)	Kwethluk	108,000
15	(48)	Kwigillingok	150,000
16	(49)	Larsen Bay	144,000
17	(50)	Levelock	96,000
18	(51)	Manley Hot Springs	165,600
19	(52)	Manokotak	156,000
20	(53)	May Creek	200,000
21	(54)	McCarthy	150,000
22	(55)	Medfra	132,000
23	(56)	Minto	120,000
24	(57)	Mountain Village	126,000
25	(58)	Naknek	72,000
26	(59)	Napakiak	144,000
27	(60)	Napaiskak	162,000
28	(61)	Nelson Lagoon	241,500
29	(62)	New Stuyahok	132,000

1	(63) Minnichik	150,000
2	(64) Noatak	144,000
3	(65) Nondalton	133,500
4	(66) Noorvik	120,000
5	(67) Nulato	168,000
6	(68) Ohgsenakale	120,000
7	(69) Old Harbor	120,000
8	(70) Pedro Bay	77,520
9	(71) Perryville	108,000
10	(72) Pilot Point	210,000
11	(73) Pilot Station	138,000
12	(74) Platinum	280,692
13	(75) Port Lions	156,000
14	(76) Quinhagak	117,600
15	(77) Rampart	150,000
16	(78) Ruby	156,000
17	(79) Russian Mission	89,400
18	(80) St. Michael	118,500
19	(81) Sand Point	262,200
20	(82) Seldovia	156,000
21	(83) Shageluk	132,000
22	(84) Shaktoolik	144,000
23	(85) Shishmaref	120,000
24	(86) Shungnak	66,780
25	(87) Skagway	248,400
26	(88) Sleetmute	112,560
27	(89) South Naknek	150,000
28	(90) Stebbins	119,400
29	(91) Stevens Village	132,000

1	(92) Stony River	144,000
2	(93) Takotna	148,380
3	(94) Tanacross	351,900
4	(95) Tanunak	144,660
5	(96) Tazlina	99,000
6	(97) Teller	127,920
7	(98) Togiak	182,400
8	(99) Tooksook Bay	117,600
9	(100) Tonsina	103,200
10	(101) Tuluksak	150,000
11	(102) Tuntutuliak	180,000
12	(103) Twin Hills	120,000
13	(104) Ugashik	90,000
14	(105) Wales	150,000
15	(106) Wasilla	129,000
16	(107) White Mountain	144,000

17 * Sec. 3. If the issuance of these bonds is authorized by the qualified
18 voters of the state, the amount of \$56,025 or as much of that amount as is
19 found necessary is appropriated from the general fund of the state to the
20 state bond committee to carry out the provisions of this Act and to pay
21 expenses incident to the sale and issuance of the bonds authorized in this
22 Act. The amounts expended from the appropriation authorized by this section
23 shall be reimbursed to the general fund from the proceeds of the sale of the
24 bonds authorized by this Act.

25 * Sec. 4. The amount withdrawn from the public facility planning fund for
26 the purpose of advance planning for the improvements financed under this Act
27 shall be reimbursed to the fund from the proceeds of the sale of bonds autho-
28 rized by this Act.

29 * Sec. 5. The question whether the bonds authorized in this Act are to be

Introduced: 2/10/78
Referred: State Affairs and
Finance

4858
Guthrie

BY SCHAEFFER, AKERS, ANDERSON,
BRADLEY, BUCHHOLDT, COTTEN,
DANKWORTH, DUNCAN, FREEMAN, GUY,
HAYES, KELLY, LETHIN, MALONE,
MEEKINS, OSE, OSTERBACK AND
URION *Miller*

1 IN THE HOUSE

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 787

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 TENTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act providing for the issuance of general obliga-
7 tion bonds in the amount of \$20,233,743 for the purpose
8 of paying the cost of airport lighting; and providing
9 for an effective date."

10 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

11 * Section 1. For the purpose of paying the cost of airport lighting,
12 general obligation bonds of the state in the principal amount of not more
13 than \$20,233,743 shall be issued and sold. The full faith, credit and re-
14 sources of the state are pledged to the payment of the principal of and
15 interest and redemption premium, if any, on these bonds. These bonds shall
16 be issued under the provisions of AS 37.15 as those provisions read at the
17 time of issuance.

18 * Sec. 2. If the issuance of these bonds is authorized by the qualified
19 voters of the state, a special fund of the state to be known as the "1978
20 Airport Lighting Fund" shall be established, to which shall be credited the
21 proceeds of the sale of the bonds described in sec. 1 of this Act except for
22 the accrued interest and premiums. The amount of \$20,233,743 is appropriated
23 from the "1978 Airport Lighting Fund" to the Department of Transportation and
24 Public Facilities. The proceeds of these bonds shall be allocated as follows:

(1) Akiak	\$132,000
(2) Akiachak	114,000
(3) Alakanuk	90,000
(4) Allakaket	180,000
(5) Ambler	102,000

1	(6) Angoon Seaplane Float	\$ 1,440
2	(7) Anvik	120,000
3	(8) Baranof Seaplane Float	1,000
4	(9) Basin Creek	120,000
5	(10) Beaver	251,850
6	(11) Big Lake 2	165,600
7	(12) Black Rapids	144,000
8	(13) Bluff	69,000
9	(14) Boundary	126,000
10	(15) Brevig Mission	1,000
11	(16) Buckland	117,900
12	(17) Cache Creek	66,000
13	(18) Candle 2	192,000
14	(19) Central	192,000
15	(20) Chalkyitsik	150,000
16	(21) Chandalar Lake	310,500
17	(22) Chefornak	150,000
18	(23) Chevak	150,000
19	(24) Chicken	156,000
20	(25) Chignik	132,000
21	(26) Chignik Lagoon	132,000
22	(27) Chignik Lake	156,000
23	(28) Circle City	174,000
24	(29) Clarks Point	102,000
25	(30) Craig Seaplane Float	1,440
26	(31) Dahl Creek	271,860
27	(32) Deering	180,000
28	(33) Dikmede	1,000
29	(34) Dutch Harbor	296,700

1	(35) Egegik	\$114,000
2	(36) Ekwok	133,200
3	(37) Elfin Cove Seaplane Float	1,000
4	(38) Elim	113,500
5	(39) Emmonak	120,000
6	(40) English Bay	120,000
7	(41) Excursion Inlet Seaplane Float	2,160
8	(42) False Pass	156,000
9	(43) Flat	282,900
10	(44) Funter Bay Seaplane Float	2,160
11	(45) Girdwood	139,020
12	(46) Goodnews Bay	93,000
13	(47) Grayling	150,000
14	(48) Gustavus	517,500
15	(49) Haines	316,158
16	(50) Hawk Inlet Seaplane Float	2,160
17	(51) Haycock	115,200
18	(52) Holikachuk	132,000
19	(53) Holy Cross	276,000
20	(54) Hoonah	168,000
21	(55) Hope	103,800
22	(56) Hughes	317,400
23	(57) Huslia	180,000
24	(58) Hydaburg Seaplane Float	1,440
25	(59) Hyder Seaplane Float	1,000
26	(60) Igiugig	162,000
27	(61) Kake Seaplane Float	1,440
28	(62) Kalskag	132,000
29	(63) Kaltag	132,000

1	(64) Karluk	\$ 84,000
2	(65) Kasaan Seaplane Float	1,080
3	(66) Kasilof	168,000
4	(67) Ketchikan Seaplane Float	1,000
5	(68) Kiana	197,400
6	(69) King Cove	276,000
7	(70) Kipnuk	144,000
8	(71) Klawak Float	1,200
9	(72) Klawock	1,000
10	(73) Kokhanok	72,000
11	(74) Koyuk	120,000
12	(75) Koyukuk	108,000
13	(76) Lake Louise	147,000
14	(77) Lawing	138,000
15	(78) Lost River 1	251,850
16	(79) Manley Hot Springs	165,600
17	(80) May Creek	276,000
18	(81) McCarthy	174,240
19	(82) Medfra	132,000
20	(83) Metlakatla Seaplane Float	1,080
21	(84) Minto	120,000
22	(85) Mountain Village	126,000
23	(86) Myers Chuck Seaplane Float	1,000
24	(87) Napakiak	144,000
25	(88) Napaiskiak	162,000
26	(89) New Stuyahok	132,000
27	(90) Ninilchik	150,000
28	(91) Noatak	144,000
29	(92) Nondalton	133,500

1	(93) Noorvik	\$120,000
2	(94) Northway	355,143
3	(95) Nulato	168,000
4	(96) Old Harbor	120,000
5	(97) Ophir	94,260
6	(98) Pedro Bay	77,520
7	(99) Pelican Seaplane Float	1,000
8	(100) Perryville	108,000
9	(101) Pilot Point	210,000
10	(102) Pilot Station	138,000
11	(103) Platinum	280,692
12	(104) Point Baker Seaplane Float	1,000
13	(105) Port Alexander Seaplane Float	1,200
14	(106) Port Graham	108,000
15	(107) Port Lions	156,000
16	(108) Quartz Creek/Kougarok	199,200
17	(109) Quartz Creek	168,000
18	(110) Quinhagak	117,600
19	(111) Rampart	150,000
20	(112) Red Devil	276,000
21	(113) Ruby	156,000
22	(114) Russian Mission	89,400
23	(115) St. Michael	118,500
24	(116) Salmon Lake	99,120
25	(117) Sand Point	262,200
26	(118) Seldovia	156,000
27	(119) Seward	327,750
28	(120) Shageluk	132,000
29	(121) Shaktoolik	144,000

1	(122) Sheep Mountain	\$132,000
2	(123) Shishmaref	120,000
3	(124) Shungnak	66,780
4	(125) Skagway	248,400
5	(126) Sleetmute	112,560
6	(127) Solomon	108,480
7	(128) Stebbins	119,400
8	(129) Stevens Village	132,000
9	(130) Stony River	144,000
10	(131) Takotna	148,380
11	(132) Tanacross	351,900
12	(133) Tanumak	144,660
13	(134) Tazlina	99,000
14	(135) Teller	127,920
15	(136) Tenakee Float	1,200
16	(137) Thompson Pass	108,000
17	(138) Togiak	182,400
18	(139) Tooksook Bay	117,600
19	(140) Tongass Seaplane Float	1,000
20	(141) Tonsina	103,200
21	(142) Twin Hills	120,000
22	(143) Tuluksak	150,000
23	(144) Wales	150,000
24	(145) Wasilla	129,000
25	(146) Waterfall Seaplane Float	1,000
26	(147) White Mountain	144,000
27	(148) Willow	345,000
28	(149) Wiseman	180,000

* Sec. 3. If the issuance of these bonds is authorized by the qualified

HB 787

1 voters of the state, the amount of \$70,830 or as much of that amount as is
2 found necessary is appropriated from the general fund of the state to the
3 state bond committee to carry out the provisions of this Act and to pay ex-
4 penses incident to the sale and issuance of the bonds authorized in this Act.
5 The amounts expended from the appropriation authorized by this section shall
6 be reimbursed to the general fund from the proceeds of the sale of the bonds
7 authorized by this Act.

8 * Sec. 4. The question whether the bonds authorized in this Act are to be
9 issued shall be submitted to the qualified voters of the state at the next
10 general election and shall read substantially as follows:

11 Proposition

12 State General Obligation Airport Lighting

13 Bonds \$20,233,743

14 Shall the State of Alaska issue its general obligation bonds
15 in the principal amount of not more than \$20,233,743 for the
16 purpose of paying the cost of airport lighting?

17 Bonds Yes []

18 Bonds No []

19 * Sec. 5. This Act takes effect immediately in accordance with AS 01.10.-
20 070(c).

ALASKA STATE LEGISLATURE

TENTH... Legislature SECOND Session

HOUSE BILL NO. 787

By SCHAEFFER, AKERS, ANDERSON,

BRADLEY, BUCHHOLDT, COTTEN,
DANKWORTH, DUNCAN, FREEMAN, GUY,
HAYES, KELLY, LETHIN, MALONE, MEEKINS,
ROSE, OSTERBACK AND URION

"An Act providing for the issuance of general obligation bonds in the amount of \$20,233,743 for the purpose of paying the cost of airport lighting; and providing for an effective date."

\$20,233,743 g.o. bonds airports

Introduced in the House 2-10-78, 19....

HISTORY IN THE HOUSE

19 78

Feb. 10

Read first time and referred to Committee on State Affairs and Finance
Reported back with recommendation that

Read second time and

Read third time and

PASS	Effective Date
Yeas	Yeas
Nays	Nays
Absent	Absent
Excused	Excused

Reconsideration

PASS	Effective Date
Yeas	Yeas
Nays	Nays
Absent	Absent
Excused	Excused

Reported correctly engrossed
Signed by Speaker
Sent to Senate

CHIEF CLERK OF THE HOUSE

HISTORY IN THE SENATE

19

Read first time and referred to Committee on

Reported back with recommendation that

Read second time and

Read third time and

PASS	Effective Date
Yeas	Yeas
Nays	Nays
Absent	Absent
Excused	Excused

Reconsideration

PASS	Effective Date
Yeas	Yeas
Nays	Nays
Absent	Absent
Excused	Excused

Reported correctly engrossed
Signed by President
Returned to House

SECRETARY OF THE SENATE

HISTORY IN THE HOUSE

19

Received from Senate

Concurred in Senate amendment thus adopting:

Failed to concur in Senate amendment; asked Sen. to recede

Senate receded from amendment

Senate failed to recede from amendment

FCC appointed by House

FCC appointed by Senate

FCC adopted

To enrolling

Reported correctly enrolled

Sent to Governor

..... by Governor

Filed with Lt. Governor


Chapter No.




RECORDS CERTIFICATION



I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.



Signature of Camera Operator



Date

Introduced: 2/10/78
Referred: State Affairs and
Finance

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY DANKWORTH AND HAYES

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 789

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 TENTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to medical leave."

7 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

8 * Section 1. AS 39.20.260(d) is amended to read:

9 (d) When a member of an officer's or employee's immediate family
10 is afflicted with a medical disability requiring the attendance of the
11 officer or employee, or where his presence at his job would jeopardize
12 the health of his follow employees, [AND EITHER OF THESE CONTINGENCIES
13 IS SUPPORTED BY A DOCTOR'S CERTIFICATE,] the officer or employee may,
14 with the consent of the employee's department or agency head, avail him-
15 self of medical leave with pay, within the limits prescribed by this
16 section, the same as if he were personally under a medical disability.
17 If the absence exceeds three working days, the department or agency head
18 may require a doctor's certificate showing the disability.

COMMITTEE REPORT
SENATE

5/25/78

FURTHER: _____

Date: _____

Mr. President:

The Committee on FINANCE has had HB 789
medical leave

under consideration and (a majority of the committee) (the committee reports it back as follows)

- recommends it do pass recommends it do not pass
- recommends it do pass with attached amendment(s)
- recommends it be replaced with CS for _____

and _____ new title same title

- AND attaches a Letter of Intent New Fiscal Note
- reports it back without recommendation
- and recommends it be referred to the _____ Committee

MEMBERS SIGNING DO PASS:

OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:

Chairman

COMMITTEE REPORT

SENATE

3/16/78

FURTHER: _____

FINANCE

Date: _____

5-24-78

Mr. President:

The Committee on HEALTH, EDUCATION AND SOCIAL SERVICES has had HB 789 medical leave

under consideration and (a majority of the committee) (the committee reports it back as follows)

() recommends it do ^{not} pass () recommends it do not pass

() recommends it do pass with attached amendment(s)

() recommends it be replaced with CS for _____

and _____ () new title () same title

() AND attaches a Letter of Intent () New Fiscal Note

() reports it back without recommendation

() and recommends it be referred to the _____ Committee

MEMBERS SIGNING DO PASS:

OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:

Patrick Roney

[Signature]

[Signature]
Chairman

4949
Brodley

Introduced: 2/10/78
Referred: State Affairs and
Finance

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY DANKWORTH AND HAYES

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 789

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 TENTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to medical leave."

7 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

8 * Section 1. AS 39.20.260(d) is amended to read:

9 (d) When a member of an officer's or employee's immediate family
10 is afflicted with a medical disability requiring the attendance of the
11 officer or employee, or where his presence at his job would jeopardize
12 the health of his fellow employees, [AND EITHER OF THESE CONTINGENCIES
13 IS SUPPORTED BY A DOCTOR'S CERTIFICATE,] the officer or employee may,
14 with the consent of the employee's department or agency head, avail him-
15 self of medical leave with pay, within the limits prescribed by this
16 section, the same as if he were personally under a medical disability.
17 If the absence exceeds three working days, the department or agency head
18 may require a doctor's certificate showing the disability.

29 # COMMITTEE COPY

ALASKA STATE LEGISLATURE

TENTH Legislature SECOND Session

HOUSE BILL NO. 789
By BANKWORTH AND HAYES

"An Act relating to medical leave."

medical leave

Introduced in the House 1976

HISTORY IN THE HOUSE

1978
Feb. 10 Read first time and referred to Committee on State Affairs and Finance *(Finance wanted 7/28)*
Feb 28 Reported back with recommendation that *State Affairs agree (Finance wanted) to Rules*
Mar 15 Read second time and *COV*
Mar 15 Read third time and
Mar 15 PASS *ed* Effective Date
Yeas 35 Yeas
Nays 0 Nays
Absent Absent
Excused 5 Excused
Reconsideration
PASS Effective Date
Yeas Yeas
Nays Nays
Absent Absent
Excused Excused
3 15 Reported correctly engrossed
4 15 Signed by Speaker
3 15 Sent to Senate
J. R. ...
CHIEF CLERK OF THE HOUSE

HISTORY IN THE SENATE

1978
3 16 Read first time and referred to Committee on *HESS - Fin*
5 25 Reported back with *HESS* recommendation that *to pass to Finance.*
Read second time and
Read third time and
PASS Effective Date
Yeas Yeas
Nays Nays
Absent Absent
Excused Excused
Reconsideration
PASS Effective Date
Yeas Yeas
Nays Nays
Absent Absent
Excused Excused
Reported correctly engrossed
Signed by President
Returned to House
SECRETARY OF THE SENATE

HISTORY IN THE HOUSE

19
Received from Senate
Concurred in Senate amendment thus adopting:
Failed to concur in Senate amendment; asked Sen. to recede
Senate receded from amendment
Senate failed to recede from amendment
FCC appointed by House
FCC appointed by Senate
FCC adopted
To enrolling
Reported correctly enrolled
Sent to Governor
..... by Governor
Filed with Lt. Governor
Chapter No.



RECORDS CERTIFICATION



I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James O. Smith
Signature of Camera Operator

2/23/90
Date

Introduced: 2/10/78
Referred: State Affairs and
Finance

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY DANKWORTH AND HAYES

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 789

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 TENTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to medical leave."

7 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

8 * Section 1. AS 39.20.260(d) is amended to read:

9 (d) When a member of an officer's or employee's immediate family
10 is afflicted with a medical disability requiring the attendance of the
11 officer or employee, or where his presence at his job would jeopardize
12 the health of his follow employees, [AND EITHER OF THESE CONTINGENCIES
13 IS SUPPORTED BY A DOCTOR'S CERTIFICATE,] the officer or employee may,
14 with the consent of the employee's department or agency head, avail him-
15 self of medical leave with pay, within the limits prescribed by this
16 section, the same as if he were personally under a medical disability.
17 If the absence exceeds three working days, the department or agency head
18 may require a doctor's certificate showing the disability.
19

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29



RECORDS CERTIFICATION



I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James O. Smith
Signature of Camera Operator

2/23/90
Date

COMMITTEE REPORT

HOUSE

3/9/78

FURTHER: _____

Date: _____

Mr. Speaker:

The Committee on FINANCE has had HB 795

"An Act making a supp. approp. to the Dept. of CRA for the benefit of the Fairbanks Town and Village Association for Development, Inc.; e.d."

under consideration and (a majority of the committee) (the committee reports it back as follows)

- recommends it do pass recommends it do not pass
- recommends it do pass with attached amendment(s)
- recommends it be replaced with CS for _____
- and _____ new title same title
- AND attaches a Letter of Intent New Fiscal Note
- reports it back without recommendation
- and recommends it be referred to the _____ Committee

MEMBERS SIGNING DO PASS:

OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:

Mark Stinson

John O'Connell

Chairman

Introduced: 2/10/78
Referred: Community & Regional
Affairs and Finance

BY AKERS, ANDERSON, BROWN,
ELIASON, GUY, HAYES, MCKINNON,
NAKAK, OSTERBACK, PARR, RUDD,
SCHAEFFER, SMITH AND SNIDER

1 IN THE HOUSE

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 795

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 TENTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act making a supplemental appropriation to the
7 Department of Community and Regional Affairs for the
8 benefit of the Fairbanks Town and Village Association
9 for Development, Inc.; and providing for an effective
10 date."

11 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

12 * Section 1. The sum of \$37,500 is appropriated from the general fund to
13 the Department of Community and Regional Affairs for a grant to the Fairbanks
14 Town and Village Association for Development, Inc., to implement community
15 planning as part of the organization's rural capital improvements program,
16 for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1978.

17 * Sec. 2. The unexpended and unobligated portion of the funds appro-
18 priated in sec. 1 of this Act lapses into the general fund June 30, 1978.

19 * Sec. 3. This Act takes effect immediately in accordance with AS 01.10.-
20 070(c).

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

#

THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA
TENTH LEGISLATURE

FISCAL NOTE

I. REQUEST

Bill/Resolution No. HB 795
 Title "An Act...supplemental appropriation..for..Fairbanks Town & Village Assn., for Dev.
 Requested by Legislative Finance Date 3/15/78 Inc.

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Agency Affected Community & Regional Affairs
 Program Category Affected Development
 Budget Request Unit(s) Affected Community Planning Assistance

EXPENDITURES (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 77	FY 78	FY 79	FY 80	FY 81	FY 82
100 PERSONAL SERVICES						
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL						
400 COMMODITIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.						
TOTAL	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-

FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

GENERAL FUND						
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER (Specify)						

POSITIONS

FULL TIME						
PART TIME						
TEMPORARY						

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

No fiscal impact. The Department is currently administering a legislative grant to Fairbanks TVA. The amount appropriated under this bill would be included as an amendment to the existing contract.

IV. DATE 3/15/78 PREPARED BY Lynn A. Wegener, Admin. Director
 AGENCY Community & Regional Affairs
 Original: Legislative Finance PHONE 465-4709
 cc: Budget and Management
 Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

HB 795

Introduced: 2/10/78
Referred: Community & Regional
Affairs and Finance

BY AKERS, ANDERSON, BROWN,
ELIASON, GUY, HAYES, MCKINNON,
NAKAK, OSTERBACK, PARR, RUDD,
SCHAEFFER, SMITH AND SNIDER

1 IN THE HOUSE

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 795

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 TENTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act making a supplemental appropriation to the
7 Department of Community and Regional Affairs for the
8 benefit of the Fairbanks Town and Village Association
9 for Development, Inc.; and providing for an effective
10 date."

11 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

12 * Section 1. The sum of \$37,500 is appropriated from the general fund to
13 the Department of Community and Regional Affairs for a grant to the Fairbanks
14 Town and Village Association for Development, Inc., to implement community
15 planning as part of the organization's rural capital improvements program,
16 for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1978.

17 * Sec. 2. The unexpended and unobligated portion of the funds appro-
18 priated in sec. 1 of this Act lapses into the general fund June 30, 1978.

19 * Sec. 3. This Act takes effect immediately in accordance with AS 01.10.-
20 070(c).

Introduced: 2/10/78
Referred: Community & Regional
Affairs and Finance

BY AKERS, ANDERSON, BROWN,
ELIASON, GUY, HAYES, MCKINNON,
NAKAK, OSTERBACK, PARR, RUDD,
SCHAEFFER, SMITH AND SNIDER

1 IN THE HOUSE

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 795

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 TENTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act making a supplemental appropriation to the
7 Department of Community and Regional Affairs for the
8 benefit of the Fairbanks Town and Village Association
9 for Development, Inc.; and providing for an effective
10 date."

11 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

12 * Section 1. The sum of \$37,500 is appropriated from the general fund to
13 the Department of Community and Regional Affairs for a grant to the Fairbanks
14 Town and Village Association for Development, Inc., to implement community
15 planning as part of the organization's rural capital improvements program,
16 for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1978.

17 * Sec. 2. The unexpended and unobligated portion of the funds appro-
18 priated in sec. 1 of this Act lapses into the general fund June 30, 1978.

19 * Sec. 3. This Act takes effect immediately in accordance with AS 01.10.-
20 070(c).

1. INTRODUCTION

A. Purpose

The Rural Capital Improvements Program (RCIP) was initiated in November, 1978 through a legislative appropriation to the Fairbanks Town and Village Association for Development (FTVAD). The program was established to meet three primary needs.

Item One: To identify and prioritize needed capital improvement projects in forty-six rural communities as assessed by residents in each community.

Item Two: To encourage and assist the forty-six villages in the study region to begin community growth and economic development planning.

Item Three: To identify the existing infrastructure of the villages, to enable state and federal planners to make informed decisions on the scheduling of construction programs in each community.

B. History

The concept of the RCIP was developed as an out-growth of the Rural Impact Information Program (RIIP), which was initially set up to monitor the effect of the Trans-Alaska Pipeline Project on rural Alaska.

The results of that project, summarized in The Impact of the Trans-Alaska Oil Pipeline on Rural Communities in Alaska's Interior, dated June, 1977, illustrated the need for a major investigation into the development needs of rural Alaska.

Initial funding for the RCIP came as a legislative appropriation to the Department of Community and Regional Affairs, in the amount of \$37,500. The original request amount was for \$75,000, but was reduced during the final budget process.

questions on the Alaska gas line, subsistence, and community economic development planning.

These questions were phrased in such a manner as to encourage public discussion on the potential effect of development in these general categories.

In subsequent discussions with the State Department of Community and Regional Affairs, Commerce and Economic Development, Department of Environmental Conservation, and Transportation and Public Facilities, it was decided that additional and expanded questions on these and additional subjects be included for a second phase look at each community.

Subject to full response by each community, the data contained in the survey form will be incorporated into the CFS, and a draft Overall Economic Development Program prepared for village review and comment.

CORRECTION

**THIS DOCUMENT
HAS BEEN REPHOTOGRAPHED
TO ASSURE LEGIBILITY**

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Purpose

The Rural Capital Improvements Program (RCIP) was initiated in November, 1978 through a legislative appropriation to the Fairbanks Town and Village Association for Development (FTVAD). The program was established to meet three primary needs.

Item One: To identify and prioritize needed capital improvement projects in forty-six rural communities as assessed by residents in each community.

Item Two: To encourage and assist the forty-six villages in the study region to begin community growth and economic development planning.

Item Three: To identify the existing infrastructure of the villages, to enable state and federal planners to make informed decisions on the scheduling of construction programs in each community.

B. History

The concept of the RCIP was developed as an out-growth of the Rural Impact Information Program (RIIP), which was initially set up to monitor the effect of the Trans-Alaska Pipeline Project on rural Alaska.

The results of that project, summarized in The Impact of the Trans-Alaska Oil Pipeline on Rural Communities in Alaska's Interior, dated June, 1977, illustrated the need for a major investigation into the development needs of rural Alaska.

Initial funding for the RCIP came as a legislative appropriation to the Department of Community and Regional Affairs, in the amount of \$37,500. The original request amount was for \$75,000, but was reduced during the final budget process.

19

A full-time project supervisor was hired under contract to FTVAD in November, 1977, to establish a workable format for data collection; hire and supervise sub-regional consultants; and establish primary communications with the communities in the study region.

In late November and early December, consultants were hired to work in the United Crow Band, Ingalik, Kutchin and Tena sub-regions of the Tanana Chiefs Conference. A series of workshops were held in December in McGrath and Fairbanks to familiarize village council, city council and local government specialists with the working format and objectives of the RCIP.

Following the training workshops, the RCIP questionnaire was sent to each of the communities within the FTVAD/TCC region, with instructions and advisory notes on the method of completion.

Sub-regional consultants were instructed to follow-up with contacts to each village to assure completion of the survey forms, and to update information contained in the RIIP Community Facilities Summary (CFS), issued in June, 1977.

C. Methodology

Techniques used in the information collection process of the RCIP were based on the use of an "open end" questionnaire and direct contact to significant community leaders, to obtain first hand observations of rural needs.

The initial questionnaire (Appendix A) was drawn from other survey forms used by the University of Alaska Institute of Social and Economic Research (ISER), various state agencies, and various consulting firms which develop comprehensive community plans. In addition to standard economic and planning questions, the form included broad category

questions on the Alaska gas line, subsistence, and community economic development planning.

These questions were phrased in such a manner as to encourage public discussion on the potential effect of development in these general categories.

In subsequent discussions with the State Department of Community and Regional Affairs, Commerce and Economic Development, Department of Environmental Conservation, and Transportation and Public Facilities, it was decided that additional and expanded questions on these and additional subjects be included for a second phase look at each community.

Subject to full response by each community, the data contained in the survey form will be incorporated into the CFS, and a draft Overall Economic Development Program prepared for village review and comment.

II. SCOPE OF SURVEY/OBSERVATIONS

A. FTVAD/TCC/Doyon Region

The Rural Capital Improvements Program survey program is directed at forty-six villages contained within the Tanana Chiefs Conference/Doyon Limited boundaries. This area is further sub-divided into four Athabascan regional administrative units.

These regions are: Crow Band Region, with an administrative center at Tok; Kutchin Region, with Ft. Yukon as the historical center; Tena Region, with the Tanana-Galena axis as the historical center; and the Ingalik Region, with McGrath as the administrative center.

Of the communities included in the study, twenty-three are incorporated second-class cities; three are first-class cities; and the remainder are governed by traditional native councils. Specific communities are listed in Appendix B.

B. Sub-Regional Responses:

Because of limited responses to the questionnaires, to date, it is difficult to present a clear picture of community needs and perspectives on economic growth and planning. The obvious limitations on communications and transportation, plus the different levels of village awareness to growth problems makes evaluation of responses a largely subjective effort. No valid statistical interpretations can be made, until a significantly larger sampling is obtained.

However, based on existing responses, it is possible to generalize some few observations:

1. Communities that have had some measure of continuing communication and transportation access to urban centers view economic expansion as desirable and positive for their interests.

2. Communities historically remote from urban centers see economic expansion as threatening and eventually destructive of cultural and community integrity. Most of these communities give high priority to preserving their subsistence lifestyle.

3. There is a uniform distrust of larger governmental structures, despite a contradictory dependence on these same agencies for provision of what are viewed as "essential" programs.

4. There is an increasing need for rural communities to be trained and informed as to roles and functions of all aspects of government.

5. All communities see a degree of need for community planning. However, much of this "planning" is viewed as an outside attempt to influence economic expansion, often at the expense of local desires.

6. Capital construction in the study communities has a tendency to be based on needs which may not be internally generated. This, in turn, gives rise to a "shopping list" approach to projects, without an understanding of the long term effect of said project on the community's structure.

C. Findings by Sub-Region

1. Crow Band: *11 villages*

This area will be significantly affected by construction of the Alaskan Gas Pipeline, and the attendant population influx. Some degree of community and native corporation planning is being generated, but lack of firm data on project start-up dates and supporting programs has made the effort, to date, largely speculative.

Questionnaire responses indicate an awareness of greater job and investment opportunity, with an improved potential for early conveyance of native lands. The negative effects of pipeline construction center on changes in community structure and lifestyle, an overburdening of existing

service agencies, and a major shift in population. Further, one remote village (Healy Lake) questions such problems as trespass, damage to native lands, and a direct impact on subsistence activities.

The community of Eagle also feels that the construction effort may have an adverse effect on the tourist industry, and may result in forced improvements beyond that desired by the individual communities.

A separate question was asked concerning the effect of economic development on subsistence activities. Generally, the answers were vague, and did not specifically address the effect of growth on the subsistence way of life.

Where a community saw reason to favor economic development as a means of improving an individual's status, they did not connect those changes with long term impact on hunting, fishing or trapping as an alternative economic concept. The failure to make this connection may be a reflection of lesser dependency on subsistence activity than in other regions, but insufficient data exists to substantiate this point.

A general listing of needed capital projects was solicited, with results varying according to the relative awareness of the respondent. Some answers included programs and proposals beyond the scope of capital projects, and ranged to social service programs, and private sector development.

No attempt is made, in this report, to tabulate community needs for general projects. Such data will be included, by village, in the final project summary.

An attempt to assess local ability to fund for projects was sought, but the responses indicated a uniform dependence on external funding sources (grants and direct subsidy).

2. Kutchin Region *10 villages*

To date, two responses have been obtained from the communities in this region.

Although some of the lands within this region will be used for the gas pipeline, village perceptions of its effects and benefits remain minimal. It is reported that several of the village corporations in the region are investigating joint venture opportunities, and there is some discussion of the creation of a third-class borough to encompass the villages in the region.

At present, no effect, beyond residential employment is seen as a derivative of the pipeline construction effort. The primary negative effect of the construction effort is the loss of skilled workers by each village.

Economic development in the region is viewed as a positive influence, in the creation of more jobs, and a general upgrading of individual standards of living. No perceived effect on subsistence styles is noted, with the exception of potential depletion of existing physical resources.

Capital projects listed by the two communities responding include electrical power generation, medical facilities and new or improved community offices and public use facilities. No local financing alternatives were reflected, indicating a continuing dependence on external public funding.

3. Tena Region

12 villages

Questionnaire responses from this region are limited to individual statements completed during village training sessions in early December. Because these responses were for exemplary purposes, to enable the local governments to more effectively consider the question of economic development and planning, the generalizations should not be viewed as indicative of any official position.

Subsequent to the sub-regional training session, the Galena City Council approved the responses submitted by the City Manager, at that time. While the data presented is a fair reflection of needs, it must be judged on the limitations placed during training.

As in the other regions, needed projects covered the range of power generation, health and community recreational facilities to road and sewer and water improvements.

Overall perspectives towards growth and development were marked by a conservative attitude of local determination, with severe restrictions on outside participation.

Where there is an apparent need to develop certain industrial bases, a uniformly negative response to expansion of the tourist and recreational potential was observed.

Local industrial and/or economic growth is desired in the agricultural, fur, timber and fisheries areas, with expansion of energy programs viewed as significant to all areas.

All communities responding showed a continuing dependence on external funding sources for start-up and maintenance of projects, with limited awareness of local taxation options.

Many of the attitudes reflected in the survey responses hinge on the continuance of a subsistence lifestyle, despite a contradictory desire for programs and facilities which may generate pressures to move the villages into a cash economy, and away from the other closed lifestyle.

4. Ingalik Region *9 villages*

One response has been garnered from the communities on the Kuskokwim and Lower Yukon Rivers. Other responses were, as previously noted, provided during the December training sessions, and continue to reflect attitudes prevalent in the Tena region.

Little direct benefit is seen from development of the Northwest gas pipeline, aside from individuals gaining employment. Incidental negative impact is seen in delays in shipment of goods and provision of services to areas outside of the primary construction effort.

No clear perception of the effects of economic development are apparent. It should be noted that the phrase "economic development" if left undefined is generally viewed as externally influenced growth, generated by non-residents seeking definite financial gain.

A clear divergence of opinion exists as to the desirability of such "economic development", which clouds the perception of community goals and planning.

In large measure, these communities perceive a need for fundamental education in the basics of local government, community administration, and in development of a more effective planning process.

Generally there is a stated need for development of some industrial activity on the local level, but with safeguards to community and culturally derived standards. This area echoes most of the communities in the Tena region in their opposition to recreational and tourist related

growth.

Area willingness to defray costs of capital construction is virtually non-existent, because of the absence of a revenue base. Projects contemplated must be funded by grant or direct subsidy.

III. Summary of Activity

To date, the RCIP has dealt with the study communities through individual (telephone and letter) contacts, and the monthly publication of a newsletter. The bulk of the contact has been in response to inquiries about specific problems or programs. For example: The village of Allakaket sought the assistance of the RCIP in resolving a fuel shortage problem that occurred during the week of February 13.

Although this office was unable to do more than advise parties concerned of actions pending, the apparent communications function did facilitate an earlier resolution of the problem than would have resulted had no contact been made.

Further, this office has been active on a continuing basis in working with the Tok area in scheduling and planning for an Alaska Humanities Forum program on Gas Pipeline Impact on April 8 and 9. While much of the planning does not specifically address capital improvements, the obvious connection is in the areas of overall economic development and growth planning.

This office has assisted the villages of Nikolai and Healy Lake in their attempts to gain funding for completion of village electrification programs and is exploring means of obtaining funding for a community recreational program in Beaver.

It should be noted that during the life of the Rural Capital Improvements Program, many issues have been raised which do not appear to be directly related to village capital construction programs. Because of the apparent need, however, by rural residents, to have direct contact with responsible agencies, the RCIP has assumed some of these advocacy roles.

IV. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The effective performance of the Rural Capital Improvements Program hinges on the confidence that village residents are capable of placing on it. To this end, it is imperative that all survey and attitudinal data be collected by on-site contact.

A recurring comment by workshop and training participants, as well as the sub-regional consultants, is that they do not feel "comfortable" rendering decisions away from the consensus bodies of their home villages, and that they will not see the final utilization of whatever data is produced.

Since the RCIP is constituted to address community planning, as well as the immediate capital construction needs, a minimum of one day spent in each of the forty-six villages for "hands on" experience is necessary.

Further, to avoid the appearance of organizational indifference, sufficient weight must be given to each village's perception of needed programs, thereby underwriting community involvement in the planning process.

Appendix A contains representative responses from communities in each region.