

LEG. FINANCE - BILLS 1977 - 1978 798


CSHB 750 thru HB 760




# RECORDS CERTIFICATION



I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

  
Signature of Camera Operator

  
Date

**COMMITTEE REPORT**  
**SENATE**

FURTHER: \_\_\_\_\_

5/26/78

Date: June 3, 1978

Mr. President:

The Committee on FINANCE has had CSHB 750 (Fin)  
special appropriation to the University of Alaska Geophysical Institute

under consideration and (a majority of the committee) (the committee reports it back as follows)

- recommends it do pass                       recommends it do not pass
- recommends it do pass with attached amendment(s)
- recommends it be replaced with CS for \_\_\_\_\_
  
- and \_\_\_\_\_  new title       same title
- AND attaches a Letter of Intent               New Fiscal Note
- reports it back without recommendation
- and recommends it be referred to the \_\_\_\_\_ Committee

MEMBERS SIGNING DO PASS:

OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Wm. L. ...*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Chairman

CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 750 (FINANCE)

This amended version of HB 750 calls for an appropriation of \$214,000 to provide the Geophysical Institute, University of Alaska with funds to perform a demonstration of the utility of real-time interpretation of satellite imagery of Alaska. The amount requested has been reduced from the original sum of \$570,000. The reason is that some rather expensive equipment which would have further enhanced the project has been deleted from the demonstration project.

The demonstration calls for Geophysical Institute personnel to collect and analyze existing real-time satellite imagery of Alaska and to notify the appropriate state agency, local government, firm, etc. concerning the information gathered. Also contained in the bill is approximately \$50,000 to train state agency personnel in the use of satellite data. This function of the appropriation reflects requests from DNR and DPDP. Finally, the bill calls for a report to the legislature in early February on the results of the demonstration project and recommendations concerning the utility found and what enhancement could be gained through the use of other receiving systems such as the system deleted from the original bill.

The Quick Look satellite system is expected to provide utility in the following areas:

Forest fire detection. Neglecting federal lands, a considerable quantity of land now belongs to the State of Alaska. The Federal Government fights these fires at State expense. The demonstration project would hope to 1. provide earlier detection of fires; 2. provide state personnel with information useful to determine the relative value of the material being burned; and 3. provide fire fighting forces with information concerning the fuels available to the fire.

Sea ice reconnaissance. Satellite imagery of the Arctic pack ice can provide not only navigation information for barge traffic to the North Slope, but also the location of open leads in spring used by migrating whales. This latter information has been considered very useful in helping determine the total number of bowhead whales undergoing migration -- a number of considerable interest to many Alaskans today.

Flooding. It is anticipated that the extent of major flooding and location of major ice jams can be determined through the use of satellite imagery.

Logistical planning. Many state agency personnel await particular environmental conditions before performing certain field operations. Often it would be possible for them to save considerable time if they were able to see whether these conditions existed on satellite imagery rather than having to fly to the location.

Oil spills. Oil spilled on water is generally difficult to detect. However, the flow of water in Alaskan coastal areas is usually detectable through the patterns of suspended sediment seen on satellite imagery. It is anticipated that in the event of an oil spill in the coastal areas of Alaska, a prediction of its trajectory could be made.

Upwellings. Although the feasibility of this use of satellite imagery has not yet been investigated, a serious effort would be made to identify oceanic upwellings through use of the satellite's thermal IR imagery and make this information known to Alaskans engaged in the fishing industry.

6001

<u>Job Title</u>	<u>Full-Time Monthly Equivalents</u>	<u>Salary</u>
1. Project Coordinator	6	\$ 24,656
2. Quick Look Facilitator	12	25,252
3. Landform Specialist	1	2,980
4. Vegetation Specialist	1	2,595
5. Training Coordinator & Legislative Liaison	3	8,835
6. Photographer	3	7,151
7. Secretary	4	4,856
8. Electronic Technician	2	4,112
9. Data Aid	8	9,951
		<u>\$ 88,528</u>

\* Reserve for audited overhead, annual leave, sick leave, holiday, mandated salary increments, and staff benefits

TOTAL MANPOWER COSTS

\$ 81,296  
\$ 169,624

OTHER COSTS:

1. Temporary Equipment for Landsat RBV System Quick Look		\$ 10,000
2. Photographic Supplies		8,000
3. Communications (telephone, mail, expedited package delivery)		7,000
4. Local Surface Travel		5,000
5. Travel & Per Diem for operations and communications		5,000
6. Training Program for State personnel		9,576
		<u>\$ 44,576</u>

In addition to salaries 3, 4, and 5 above:

- a. Travel & expenses to Fairbanks for State personnel (15 trainees transportation at \$150 each (\$2250))
- b. Room & board for 15 trainees at \$60 each per day for 4 days each (\$3600)
- c. Training materials (\$5526)

TOTAL BUDGET

\$214,000

\* This figure differs from a normal Geophysical Institute budget in two ways:

1. Any legislatively mandated salary increments will be paid out of other funds available to the Geophysical Institute.
2. The normal overhead rate of 57.9% has been reduced to ~~50.9%~~ based on information concerning FY 79 University budgeting procedures. This reflects monies normally transferred to the University from Geophysical Institute which will no longer be needed.

CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 750 (FINANCE)

This amended version of HB 750 calls for an appropriation of \$211,000 to provide the Geophysical Institute, University of Alaska with funds to perform a demonstration of the utility of real-time interpretation of satellite imagery of Alaska. The amount requested has been reduced from the original sum of \$570,000. The reason is that some rather expensive equipment which would have further enhanced the project has been deleted from the demonstration project.

The demonstration calls for Geophysical Institute personnel to collect and analyze existing real-time satellite imagery of Alaska and to notify the appropriate state agency, local government, firm, etc. concerning the information gathered. Also contained in the bill is approximately \$50,000 to train state agency personnel in the use of satellite data. This function of the appropriation reflects requests from DNR and DPDP. Finally, the bill calls for a report to the legislature in early February on the results of the demonstration project and recommendations concerning the utility found and what enhancement could be gained through the use of other receiving systems such as the system deleted from the original bill.

The Quick Look satellite system is expected to provide utility in the following areas:

Forest fire detection. Neglecting federal lands, a considerable quantity of land now belongs to the State of Alaska. The Federal Government fights these fires at State expense. The demonstration project would hope to 1. provide earlier detection of fires; 2. provide state personnel with information useful to determine the relative value of the material being burned; and 3. provide fire fighting forces with information concerning the fuels available to the fire.

Sea ice reconnaissance. Satellite imagery of the Arctic pack ice can provide not only navigation information for barge traffic to the North Slope, but also the location of open leads in spring used by migrating whales. This latter information has been considered very useful in helping determine the total number of bowhead whales undergoing migration -- a number of considerable interest to many Alaskans today.

Flooding. It is anticipated that the extent of major flooding and location of major ice jams can be determined through the use of satellite imagery.

Logistical planning. Many state agency personnel await particular environmental conditions before performing certain field operations. Often it would be possible for them to save considerable time if they were able to see whether these conditions existed on satellite imagery rather than having to fly to the location.

Oil spills. Oil spilled on water is generally difficult to detect. However, the flow of water in Alaskan coastal areas is usually detectable through the patterns of suspended sediment seen on satellite imagery. It is anticipated that in the event of an oil spill in the coastal areas of Alaska, a prediction of its trajectory could be made.

Upwellings. Although the feasibility of this use of satellite imagery has not yet been investigated, a serious effort would be made to identify oceanic upwellings through use of the satellite's thermal IR imagery and make this information known to Alaskans engaged in the fishing industry.

CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 750 (FINANCE)

This amended version of HB 750 calls for an appropriation of \$214,000 to provide the Geophysical Institute, University of Alaska with funds to perform a demonstration of the utility of real-time interpretation of satellite imagery of Alaska. The amount requested has been reduced from the original sum of \$570,000. The reason is that some rather expensive equipment which would have further enhanced the project has been deleted from the demonstration project.

The demonstration calls for Geophysical Institute personnel to collect and analyze existing real-time satellite imagery of Alaska and to notify the appropriate state agency, local government, firm, etc. concerning the information gathered. Also contained in the bill is approximately \$30,000 to train state agency personnel in the use of satellite data. This function of the appropriation reflects requests from DNR and DPDP. Finally, the bill calls for a report to the legislature in early February on the results of the demonstration project and recommendations concerning the utility found and what enhancement could be gained through the use of other receiving systems such as the system deleted from the original bill.

The Quick Look satellite system is expected to provide utility in the following areas:

Forest fire detection. Neglecting federal lands, a considerable quantity of land now belongs to the State of Alaska. The Federal Government fights these fires at State expense. The demonstration project would hope to 1. provide earlier detection of fires; 2. provide state personnel with information useful to determine the relative value of the material being burned; and 3. provide fire fighting forces with information concerning the fuels available to the fire.

Sea ice reconnaissance. Satellite imagery of the Arctic pack ice can provide not only navigation information for barge traffic to the North Slope, but also the location of open leads in spring used by migrating whales. This latter information has been considered very useful in helping determine the total number of bowhead whales undergoing migration -- a number of considerable interest to many Alaskans today.

Flooding. It is anticipated that the extent of major flooding and location of major ice jams can be determined through the use of satellite imagery.

Logistical planning. Many state agency personnel await particular environmental conditions before performing certain field operations. Often it would be possible for them to save considerable time if they were able to see whether these conditions existed on satellite imagery rather than having to fly to the location.

Oil spills. Oil spilled on water is generally difficult to detect. However, the flow of water in Alaskan coastal areas is usually detectable through the patterns of suspended sediment seen on satellite imagery. It is anticipated that in the event of an oil spill in the coastal areas of Alaska, a prediction of its trajectory could be made.

Upwellings. Although the feasibility of this use of satellite imagery has not yet been investigated, a serious effort would be made to identify oceanic upwellings through use of the satellite's thermal IR imagery and make this information known to Alaskans engaged in the fishing industry.

CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 750 (FINANCE)

This amended version of HB 750 calls for an appropriation of \$211,000 to provide the Geophysical Institute, University of Alaska with funds to perform a demonstration of the utility of real-time interpretation of satellite imagery of Alaska. The amount requested has been reduced from the original sum of \$570,000. The reason is that some rather expensive equipment which would have further enhanced the project has been deleted from the demonstration project.

The demonstration calls for Geophysical Institute personnel to collect and analyze existing real-time satellite imagery of Alaska and to notify the appropriate state agency, local government, firm, etc. concerning the information gathered. Also contained in the bill is approximately \$50,000 to train state agency personnel in the use of satellite data. This function of the appropriation reflects requests from DNR and DPDP. Finally, the bill calls for a report to the legislature in early February on the results of the demonstration project and recommendations concerning the utility found and what enhancement could be gained through the use of other receiving systems such as the system deleted from the original bill.

The Quick Look satellite system is expected to provide utility in the following areas:

Forest fire detection. Neglecting federal lands, a considerable quantity of land now belongs to the State of Alaska. The Federal Government fights these fires at State expense. The demonstration project would hope to 1. provide earlier detection of fires; 2. provide state personnel with information useful to determine the relative value of the material being burned; and 3. provide fire fighting forces with information concerning the fuels available to the fire.

Sea ice reconnaissance. Satellite imagery of the Arctic pack ice can provide not only navigation information for barge traffic to the North Slope, but also the location of open leads in spring used by migrating whales. This latter information has been considered very useful in helping determine the total number of bowhead whales undergoing migration -- a number of considerable interest to many Alaskans today.

Flooding. It is anticipated that the extent of major flooding and location of major ice jams can be determined through the use of satellite imagery.

Logistical planning. Many state agency personnel await particular environmental conditions before performing certain field operations. Often it would be possible for them to save considerable time if they were able to see whether these conditions existed on satellite imagery rather than having to fly to the location.

Oil spills. Oil spilled on water is generally difficult to detect. However, the flow of water in Alaskan coastal areas is usually detectable through the patterns of suspended sediment seen on satellite imagery. It is anticipated that in the event of an oil spill in the coastal areas of Alaska, a prediction of its trajectory could be made.

Upwellings. Although the feasibility of this use of satellite imagery has not yet been investigated, a serious effort would be made to identify oceanic upwellings through use of the satellite's thermal IR imagery and make this information known to Alaskans engaged in the fishing industry.

CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 750 (FINANCE)

This amended version of HB 750 calls for an appropriation of \$214,000 to provide the Geophysical Institute, University of Alaska with funds to perform a demonstration of the utility of real-time interpretation of satellite imagery of Alaska. The amount requested has been reduced from the original sum of \$570,000. The reason is that some rather expensive equipment which would have further enhanced the project has been deleted from the demonstration project.

The demonstration calls for Geophysical Institute personnel to collect and analyze existing real-time satellite imagery of Alaska and to notify the appropriate state agency, local government, firm, etc. concerning the information gathered. Also contained in the bill is approximately \$30,000 to train state agency personnel in the use of satellite data. This function of the appropriation reflects requests from DNR and BPDF. Finally, the bill calls for a report to the legislature in early February on the results of the demonstration project and recommendations concerning the utility found and what enhancement could be gained through the use of other receiving systems such as the system deleted from the original bill.

The Quick Look satellite system is expected to provide utility in the following areas:

Forest fire detection. Neglecting federal lands, a considerable quantity of land now belongs to the State of Alaska. The Federal Government fights these fires at State expense. The demonstration project would hope to 1. provide earlier detection of fires; 2. provide state personnel with information useful to determine the relative value of the material being burned; and 3. provide fire fighting forces with information concerning the fuels available to the fire.

Sea ice reconnaissance. Satellite imagery of the Arctic pack ice can provide not only navigation information for barge traffic to the North Slope, but also the location of open leads in spring used by migrating whales. This latter information has been considered very useful in helping determine the total number of bowhead whales undergoing migration -- a number of considerable interest to many Alaskans today.

Flooding. It is anticipated that the extent of major flooding and location of major ice jams can be determined through the use of satellite imagery.

Logistical planning. Many state agency personnel await particular environmental conditions before performing certain field operations. Often it would be possible for them to save considerable time if they were able to see whether these conditions existed on satellite imagery rather than having to fly to the location.

Oil spills. Oil spilled on water is generally difficult to detect. However, the flow of water in Alaskan coastal areas is usually detectable through the patterns of suspended sediment seen on satellite imagery. It is anticipated that in the event of an oil spill in the coastal areas of Alaska, a prediction of its trajectory could be made.

Upwellings. Although the feasibility of this use of satellite imagery has not yet been investigated, a serious effort would be made to identify oceanic upwellings through use of the satellite's thermal IR imagery and make this information known to Alaskans engaged in the fishing industry.

CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 750 (FINANCE)

This amended version of HB 750 calls for an appropriation of \$214,000 to provide the Geophysical Institute, University of Alaska with funds to perform a demonstration of the utility of real-time interpretation of satellite imagery of Alaska. The amount requested has been reduced from the original sum of \$570,000. The reason is that some rather expensive equipment which would have further enhanced the project has been deleted from the demonstration project.

The demonstration calls for Geophysical Institute personnel to collect and analyze existing real-time satellite imagery of Alaska and to notify the appropriate state agency, local government, firm, etc. concerning the information gathered. Also contained in the bill is approximately \$50,000 to train state agency personnel in the use of satellite data. This function of the appropriation reflects requests from DNR and DPOF. Finally, the bill calls for a report to the legislature in early February on the results of the demonstration project and recommendations concerning the utility found and what enhancement could be gained through the use of other receiving systems such as the system deleted from the original bill.

The Quick Look satellite system is expected to provide utility in the following areas:

Forest fire detection. Neglecting federal lands, a considerable quantity of land now belongs to the State of Alaska. The Federal Government fights these fires at State expense. The demonstration project would hope to 1. provide earlier detection of fires; 2. provide state personnel with information useful to determine the relative value of the material being burned; and 3. provide fire fighting forces with information concerning the fuels available to the fire.

Sea ice reconnaissance. Satellite imagery of the Arctic pack ice can provide not only navigation information for barge traffic to the North Slope, but also the location of open leads in spring used by migrating whales. This latter information has been considered very useful in helping determine the total number of bowhead whales undergoing migration -- a number of considerable interest to many Alaskans today.

Flooding. It is anticipated that the extent of major flooding and location of major ice jams can be determined through the use of satellite imagery.

Logistical planning. Many state agency personnel await particular environmental conditions before performing certain field operations. Often it would be possible for them to save considerable time if they were able to see whether these conditions existed on satellite imagery rather than having to fly to the location.

Oil spills. Oil spilled on water is generally difficult to detect. However, the flow of water in Alaskan coastal areas is usually detectable through the patterns of suspended sediment seen on satellite imagery. It is anticipated that in the event of an oil spill in the coastal areas of Alaska, a prediction of its trajectory could be made.

Upwellings. Although the feasibility of this use of satellite imagery has not yet been investigated, a serious effort would be made to identify oceanic upwellings through use of the satellite's thermal IR imagery and make this information known to Alaskans engaged in the fishing industry.

CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 750 (FINANCE)

This amended version of HB 750 calls for an appropriation of \$214,000 to provide the Geophysical Institute, University of Alaska with funds to perform a demonstration of the utility of real-time interpretation of satellite imagery of Alaska. The amount requested has been reduced from the original sum of \$570,000. The reason is that some rather expensive equipment which would have further enhanced the project has been deleted from the demonstration project.

The demonstration calls for Geophysical Institute personnel to collect and analyze existing real-time satellite imagery of Alaska and to notify the appropriate state agency, local government, firm, etc. concerning the information gathered. Also contained in the bill is approximately \$50,000 to train state agency personnel in the use of satellite data. This function of the appropriation reflects requests from DNR and DPDP. Finally, the bill calls for a report to the legislature in early February on the results of the demonstration project and recommendations concerning the utility found and what enhancement could be gained through the use of other receiving systems such as the system deleted from the original bill.

The Quick Look satellite system is expected to provide utility in the following areas:

Forest fire detection. Neglecting federal lands, a considerable quantity of land now belongs to the State of Alaska. The Federal Government fights these fires at State expense. The demonstration project would hope to 1. provide earlier detection of fires; 2. provide state personnel with information useful to determine the relative value of the material being burned; and 3. provide fire fighting forces with information concerning the fuels available to the fire.

Sea ice reconnaissance. Satellite imagery of the Arctic pack ice can provide not only navigation information for barge traffic to the North Slope, but also the location of open leads in spring used by migrating whales. This latter information has been considered very useful in helping determine the total number of bowhead whales undergoing migration -- a number of considerable interest to many Alaskans today.

Flooding. It is anticipated that the extent of major flooding and location of major ice jams can be determined through the use of satellite imagery.

Logistical planning. Many state agency personnel await particular environmental conditions before performing certain field operations. Often it would be possible for them to save considerable time if they were able to see whether these conditions existed on satellite imagery rather than having to fly to the location.

Oil spills. Oil spilled on water is generally difficult to detect. However, the flow of water in Alaskan coastal areas is usually detectable through the patterns of suspended sediment seen on satellite imagery. It is anticipated that in the event of an oil spill in the coastal areas of Alaska, a prediction of its trajectory could be made.

Upwellings. Although the feasibility of this use of satellite imagery has not yet been investigated, a serious effort would be made to identify oceanic upwellings through use of the satellite's thermal IR imagery and make this information known to Alaskans engaged in the fishing industry.

JOB TITLE

RESPONSIBILITIES/DUTIES

Project Coordinator

Overall coordination of project. Works with federal agencies providing satellite data at administrative level to insure cooperation toward data acquisition. Works with state and federal agencies requiring satellite data at administrative level to insure mechanisms for use of "quick look" data are set up. Keeps record of utility gained by use of quick look data. Provides report for use of legislature as provided in HB 750.

Quick Look Facilitator.

Performs day to day operation of project. Collects and examines satellite data. Informs appropriate state and federal personnel of pertinent results from interpretation of data. Distributes data to appropriate personnel when deems necessary.

Landform and  
Vegetation Specialist.

Available to aid Quick Look Facilitator. Chief responsibility is to train state personnel in use of satellite data on informal basis during project and on formal basis during training program provided by project.

Training Coordinator &  
Legislative Liaison.

Responsible for organization of training program to acquaint state personnel with use of satellite data. Maintains communication with appropriate legislative committees and staff during project. Responsible to see that legislative intent is carried out.

MEMO

JUNE 1st, 1978

TO: REP. MERLE G. SNIDER

FROM: R.P. MERRITT, Legislative Consultant on  
Telecommunications

SUBJECT: SEASAT.

In the latter part of June a new satellite will be launched by NASA for NOAA providing previously high altitude imagery of 95% of the oceans of the world. The data obtained will be primarily of the ocean area including information on surface winds, water temperatures, current wave heights and ice conditions. The Geophysical Institute will include the data obtained from SeaSat in the comparative analysis of other satellite imagery proposed in the house bill no. 750. Of particular interest is a new system called Simulated aperture radar. This image system, with a 25 meter resolution, requires a very heavy power drain on the satellite and is therefore only usable on a limited basis for short periods of time. The data covers a strip 25 miles wide requiring a very wide band data handling capability. These data will not be available in real time in the foreseeable future. The Geophysical Institute of the University of Alaska has submitted several proposals to NOAA to provide contract analysis of the Sea Sat data. the proposed areas of interest suggested for Alaska were:

Analysis of river and lake ice.

Buildup and movement of glacier and ice fields.

Study of lakes and wetland in the Arctic coastal plane.

Compare high latitude weather factors with sea surface measurements.

Study Bering sea ice movements with sea surface temperature.

Relate sea surges and wind tides in Arctic.

The SeaSat system is an experimental program that may develop into an operation system at a later time. The sensors on board the satellite include Radio Altimeter, Infrared and micro wave radiometer and micro-wave ice hearing coefficient measurements.

Original sponsor: All Members of the House

Offered: 5/23/78  
Referred: Rules

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY THE FINANCE COMMITTEE

2 CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 750 (Finance)

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 TENTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act making a special appropriation to the  
7 University of Alaska Geophysical Institute for imple-  
8 mentation of an immediate satellite Quick Look System  
9 and demonstration of the utility of information of that  
10 nature to the State of Alaska; and providing for an  
11 effective date."

12 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

13 \* Section 1. The sum of \$214,000 is appropriated from the general fund to  
14 the University of Alaska Geophysical Institute for the purpose of

15 (1) funding the immediate operation of a satellite Quick Look  
16 System utilizing existing available real-time satellite imagery of the State  
17 of Alaska;

18 (2) conducting a training program for state personnel in the use of  
19 satellite remotely sensed data;

20 (3) conducting a demonstration of the utility of Quick Look satel-  
21 lite data from existing real-time sources within the State of Alaska; and

22 (4) preparing a report to the legislature no later than February 1,  
23 1979 of the results of the demonstration project and to make recommendations  
24 concerning continuation of a Quick Look System, further implementation of  
25 such data by state agencies, and additional satellite data receiving systems  
26 which would enhance Quick Look capabilities for the state.

27 \* Sec. 2. The unexpended and unobligated portion of the appropriation  
28 made by sec. 1 of this Act lapses into the general fund June 30, 1979.

29 \* Sec. 3. This Act takes effect immediately in accordance with AS 01.10.-

1 070(c).

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

Introduced: 2/8/78  
Referred: The Select Committee on  
Telecommunications and Finance

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY ALL THE MEMBERS OF  
THE HOUSE

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 750

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 TENTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act making a special appropriation to the University  
7 of Alaska Geophysical Institute for implementation of  
8 the LANDSAT Quick Look System; and providing for an  
9 effective date."

10 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

11 \* Section 1. The sum of \$70,000 is appropriated from the general fund to  
12 the University of Alaska Geophysical Institute for the purpose of funding  
13 the construction and equipping of a data link from the NASA STADAN Station  
14 outside Fairbanks to the Geophysical Institute.

15 \* Sec. 2. The appropriation made by sec. 1 of this Act is for a capital  
16 project and is subject to the provisions of AS 37.25.020.

17 \* Sec. 3. The sum of \$130,000 is appropriated from the general fund to  
18 the University of Alaska Geophysical Institute for the purpose of funding the  
19 operation of the LANDSAT Quick Look System.

20 \* Sec. 4. The unexpended and unobligated portion of the appropriation made  
21 by sec. 3 of this Act lapses into the general fund June 30, 1979.

22 \* Sec. 5. This Act takes effect immediately in accordance with AS 01.10.-  
23 070(c).

24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

Original sponsor: All Members of the House

Offered: 5/23/78  
Referred: Rules

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY THE FINANCE COMMITTEE

2 CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 750 (Finance)

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 TENTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act making a special appropriation to the  
7 University of Alaska Geophysical Institute for imple-  
8 mentation of an immediate satellite Quick Look System  
9 and demonstration of the utility of information of that  
10 nature to the State of Alaska; and providing for an  
11 effective date."

12 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

13 \* Section 1. The sum of \$214,000 is appropriated from the general fund to  
14 the University of Alaska Geophysical Institute for the purpose of

15 (1) funding the immediate operation of a satellite Quick Look  
16 System utilizing existing available real-time satellite imagery of the State  
17 of Alaska;

18 (2) conducting a training program for state personnel in the use of  
19 satellite remotely sensed data;

20 (3) conducting a demonstration of the utility of Quick Look satel-  
21 lite data from existing real-time sources within the State of Alaska; and

22 (4) preparing a report to the legislature no later than February 1,  
23 1979 of the results of the demonstration project and to make recommendations  
24 concerning continuation of a Quick Look System, further implementation of  
25 such data by state agencies, and additional satellite data receiving systems  
26 which would enhance Quick Look capabilities for the state.

27 \* Sec. 2. The unexpended and unobligated portion of the appropriation  
28 made by sec. 1 of this Act lapses into the general fund June 30, 1979.

29 \* Sec. 3. This Act takes effect immediately in accordance with AS 01.10.-

1 070(c).

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

GEOPHYSICAL INSTITUTE

C. T. LLEY BUILDING  
UNIVERSITY OF ALASKA  
FAIRBANKS, ALASKA 99701

December 22, 1977


Rep. Fred Brown  
Chairman, Subcommittee on Telecommunication  
P. O. Box 1718  
Fairbanks, Alaska 99707

Dear Fred:

Here is my report on the Landsat Workshop for State Legislators that was sponsored last month by the National Council of State Legislators. I hope it will prove useful in mobilizing the State to benefit more extensively from recent spinoffs from space research.

Recently an interagency planning meeting was held in Anchorage to coordinate requests by various users in Alaska for the high-altitude aerial photography that would be desirable for acquisition by NASA research aircraft this summer. While invitations were extended to all potential users, not one representative of the State attended other than myself. Very much remains to be done so that the State can evaluate remote sensing and participate to an appropriate degree. I would like to help in any way I can.

Sincerely,



John M. Miller  
Senior Applications Engineer

JMM:kmb

cc: Prof. R. P. Merritt  
Sen. Kay Poland  
Rep. Alvin Osterback

THE POTENTIAL USE OF EARTH SATELLITE DATA FOR  
RESOURCE MANAGEMENT IN ALASKA

A Report to the Legislative Council Subcommittee on Telecommunications

November 1977



---

John M. Miller  
Senior Applications Engineer  
Geophysical Institute  
University of Alaska

REPORT FOR THE LANDSAT WORKSHOP FOR STATE LEGISLATORS

LAKE TAHOE, NEVADA

November 8 and 9, 1977

The workshop was sponsored by the National Council of State Legislators and designed for the benefit of state legislators who may or may not have had much previous exposure to satellite remote sensing. About 30 people from California attended the Workshop, but it is interesting that California actually makes little use of satellite remote sensing. The head of the California Office of Planning and Research, an office of Governor Brown's administration, said that to make an operational application of Landsat would require a complete conversion of all the existing systems which are in use in California to deliver similar data, and it takes more than just the fact that Landsat is economical and unique before the existing methods should be scrapped. What they are doing is to establish an environmental data center to inventory all the geo-based data references within the various state agencies and also to form a central point to coordinate all the remote-sensing activities and needs of all the state agencies in California. They view it as a service network which will tell people where to go to get the information they need rather than an activity which would gather, interpret, or analyze data, so it would not turn into a data storage entity. For this, they got a \$25,000 grant from the National Science Foundation to aid in the development of the greater use of technology in the government decision-making process. Someone else in the audience spoke up and said that this program within NSF had been funded in the order of two or three million dollars and funds are available in amounts of \$25,000 to the executive branch, and \$25,000 to the legislative branch of state governments for the purpose of technology transfer into state government machinery.

California does like the high-altitude aircraft data, however, and is even kicking around the possibilities of acquiring their own U-2.

Don Coedake of the NASA Office of Applications, Code EK, reviewed NASA's interests and role in transfer of satellite remote sensing to state agencies. NASA is working in addition to the National Council of State Legislators with the National Council of State Governors in parallel fashion to help generate interest in Landsat remote sensing. He showed a portion of a new NASA film titled "Remote Possibilities" which is a good practical explanation of what Landsat is all about. He said Landsat C is still scheduled for launch in February of 1978. There has been no decision to postpone the launch. The all-digital system for Landsat data will not come on stream until the launch of Landsat D which currently is budgeted for development for a launch by 1981. Landsat D would have the thematic mapper with 30 meter resolution and would be compatible with the space shuttle system such that it would be possible to make repairs to the spacecraft in orbit. The EROS Data Center is going to go to a digital system this coming summer which should produce delivery of satellite data to the user within two weeks of acquisition.

He also reviewed the regional applications program that NASA has established for development of Landsat applications. The goal of this program is to generate at least one demonstration project in each state by 1981. The application served by the demonstration project must be "user driven" which is a new buzz word. This term is meant to imply that the State initiates and suggests the application rather than NASA.

Several speakers reviewed the progress of the Pacific Northwest Regional Commission Project (PNRC) which forms a prototype for future NASA applications work. Wally Hedrick is the project director and outlined the main goals in the project which is now nearing completion.

The main goals provide a current and accurate land cover map of key areas in the region of Washington, Oregon, and Idaho. There were five phases: 1) The first phase was a regional overview of an area. Output projects were regional overlays at a rather small scale for various disciplines such as hydrology, forestry, landuse, etc. For this phase only the landuse overlay was generated from Landsat. 2) The second phase involved a preliminary analysis of a satellite image. It served as an initial demonstration project which got state agencies, otherwise unfamiliar with satellite data, involved in a real-life application. 3) The third phase was a remote-sensing project that was generated by the agency and addressed a resource problem of operational significance, and this contained the heart of the overall project. 4) The fourth phase involved the evaluation of the operational project to include the cost benefits and the probable benefits to be expected for future applications of a similar nature. 5) The fifth phase, which will be concluded this coming summer, will address recommendations that the Council will wish to prepare based upon the results of the overall project. Recommendations have not yet been agreed upon, but one possibility is that a regional facility be established in the Pacific Northwest with the hardware, staff, and expertise sufficient to carry on these kinds of remote-sensing projects for all future users in the region.

There were individual presentations by representatives from each of the three states. The Idaho presentation by Murland Packard from the Idaho Division of Water Resources addressed five goals that they reached, based upon their project participation in the PNRC.

1. Maps and acreages of developed irrigation in Idaho.
2. Monitor the yearly changes in developed irrigation. (Typically there are 20 to 30,000 additional acres coming into irrigation every year.)
3. Crop

distribution in the irrigated areas. 4. Identify the sources of the water for irrigation, whether surface or ground water. 5. Identify type of irrigation, by sprinkler or by flood.

It turns out that the fourth item was not directly achievable in remote sensing. Generally the fifth goal was achievable from Landsat because the sprinkler systems tend to be large in size and often appear as circular patterns. Flooding systems are not adaptable to large fields and tend to be small, rectangular patterns. However, the latest development in sprinkler irrigation technology is a device which is programmed to extend itself in length to the corners of square fields then telescope back upon itself for the shorter distance to the sides of fields.

In the afternoon Charles Palmer reviewed the Texas Natural Resources Information System. He has a staff of 14, three of which are full time in remote sensing and a budget of about 1/4 million dollars per year. This has established a rather impressive facility which combines a terminal from the EROS Data Center computer to their assistance facility in Texas and an affiliation with the National Cartographic Information Center (NCIC) to distribute remote-sensing data of all kinds to the state agencies of Texas. They do not have an active display terminal for analysis of digital data but nevertheless have engaged in a wide variety of application projects in many disciplines. They hope to acquire some hardware for manipulating digital data in the future.

Mike Castro then described the Arizona Resource Information System. He has a budget of \$147,000 per year and a staff of four full-time employees. He does much the same thing that the Texas system does including use of remote terminal to EDC, but on a smaller scale. In the first three months since the terminal was installed they have had

searches for 92,000 images including aircraft and satellite images. Remote sensing in Arizona is caught between a cross fire from the cotton growers, the cities, and the copper mining industry, all of which demand more water and do not want state agencies to monitor their activities. Consequently, he's had a hard time keeping ARIS properly funded and functional.

The afternoon concluded with a legislative panel consisting of members of the legislatures from Washington, Oregon, Idaho and Arizona. They concluded that Landsat is particularly useful in areas of sparse population where access is limited and costly, and by stating five things that legislators might do: 1. Keep the users of Landsat and remote-sensing data informed of advances in the transfer of technology. 2. Try to educate the agencies in how to use this new tool. 3. Insist to the federal government upon the continuity of the Landsat system in coming years and its extension to a more functional system for operational use. 4. Provide state funds for support of future applications because long-term NASA funds for satellite remote sensing are uncertain. Increasing levels of participation from states will be required to support applications. 5. There must be a greater lobbying effort to emphasize that satellite remote sensing is worth more than it costs.

At the evening banquet I cornered Don Godacke to push the need for a Landsat quick-look facility in Alaska. Don said there was very little chance that NASA would establish a quick-look facility in Fairbanks unless there was substantial involvement by the State. I tried to describe BLM's interest and the fact that BLM might as an agency approach NASA. He suggested using one of NASA's mobile readout terminals, but this requires a five- to seven-man crew by NASA's contractor in order to operate it. So it would not be any less expensive than a facility installed at Gilmore.

The first speaker Wednesday morning was Don Lauer from EROS Data Center. He generally outlined the activities of the Center and implied that states should begin looking to NCIC for much of their future interaction for remote-sensing products. Don introduced from the audience Mr. Dale Eggers from NCIC. He stated that they hoped to have one outlet operational in each state by 1980. Don Lauer also said they expect to have a digital Landsat system operational by mid summer which should ease the turnaround time on data products considerably. In response to a question from the floor, Don said that EDC does not routinely archive foreign Landsat data which is read out in real time to foreign ground stations.

The next speaker was Dr. Dale Lumb from NASA Ames Research Center representing the Western Regional Applications Program (WRAP). This Center will interact with state personnel throughout the western United States with a suitable demonstration project and necessary training aimed at adapting Landsat data to routine operations within state government. This activity, however, requires a commitment of state funds and/or personnel. He calls it a user-driven technology in which the users must come up with the original idea and must participate fully in the program.

Dale then introduced Dr. Robin Welch who is the director of user assistance in the WRAP program. He described in detail the goals of this activity. Basically there are two thrusts: One is the education of potential users and the other is the education of educators. The latter includes elementary, secondary, college and university levels. Short courses, for example, in the summer for faculty and teachers, could also be presented at a facility in the field. Also planned are special programs involving education, workshops, orientation around syllabus organization, and other training aids that Ames might prepare or provide at user facilities. The education of educators is just

getting underway, and on June 19-23 Ames plans a conference for educators that use remote sensing. For this purpose travel funds will be available for a limited number of faculty from various disciplines from the University of Alaska.

In private conversation Pabin outlined a provision for personnel exchange which is nothing more than a legal mechanism to get around the ceiling on hiring. Federal agencies are able to pick up partial and possibly full-time support for two years for a coordinator for activities statewide. The individual remains attached to his parent institution and NASA would pick up his salary while the individual serves NASA purposes. Another mechanism to accomplish the same purpose is the use of mini-grants of \$10,000 or less which can be devoted to similar activities.

Ames' existing commitments occupy them fully until about mid-March. In the meantime we could be giving consideration to what sorts of organizational structures or needs that might be appropriate here. Things that come to mind might be the formation of a University-wide advisory committee with representation from each educational unit which could conceivably be impacted with education related to remote sensing. A separate committee could represent those departments which are interested in the mapping aspect of remote sensing or perhaps statewide coordinator of remote-sensing use by state agencies.

The other thrust of the WRAP program with education relates to that of the users themselves. NASA is prepared to help establish formal courses within the traditional curriculum structure of the University or by special short courses at the University, and by workshops for key agency personnel to be conducted at Ames or on-job training at user agency from the field.

Just before Bob Merritt and I left for the airport we had a tour of the Mobile Analysis and Telecommunication Experiment (MATE). This is a large, self-propelled van from Ames which is dedicated to support demonstration projects in remote sensing in the western states. It is designed to meet the need of a state agency which cannot afford its own computer-based digital analysis of Landsat data. It is intended to give state people a chance to use remote display-terminals at various locations while interacting with Landsat images being processed by computer in a central place such as Ames. The data can travel to the MATE van from Ames through leased telephone lines, by satellite relay, or the van can be used as a self-contained digital image processing laboratory. The van is fully committed until next summer, so there is little chance of its being sent to Alaska in the near future. Basically the hardware in the MATE van consists of an I<sup>2</sup>S model 500 digital image system. This is a little brother to the System 101 and it has a smaller mini-computer than the HP3000. However, it is able to classify an entire Landsat scene in about 1 1/2 hours. Bob Merritt and I left at noon to catch an airplane.

#### SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The Workshop was professionally conducted and an effective means for interaction between state legislatures and centers of expertise in the new technology of remote sensing of the environment. If other areas of activity by NCSL are equally timely and parallel to the interests of the State, the legislature would do well to reconsider active membership in this organization. There are two future publications of NCSL specifically relating to Landsat which should be of value.

One is the Landsat Guide for Legislators, which will contain information on State needs for natural resource data, potential information sources, an overview of the Landsat program, a discussion of typical Landsat applications for State policy-making and program implementation, and a list of sources for assistance.

The other publication is a slide/tape presentation titled Landsat: Getting the Big Picture which will contain an overview of Landsat, how it works, and examples of typical State applications and information products. This would be of value for legislators and their staff as well as division heads in those State agencies which are operationally involved with the management or use of natural resources, such as DEC, DNR, DPW, DCRA, DFG, DII, and the University of Alaska.

Other recommendations are twofold:

1. The legislature, the Office of the Governor, or both should pursue the need for a quick-look recording facility in Alaska for Landsat images. I have been involved with this problem for several years and would welcome the opportunity to help generate formal State support in cooperation with several federal agencies for this capability.

2. The legislature should insist on participation by State agencies in the Western Regional Applications Program (WRAP) at NASA Ames Research Center. Two immediate steps that can go forward at once are:

- a) Establish liaison for remote-sensing activities between the legislature, the Office of the Governor, and key state agencies which are potential beneficiaries and participants in applications of this new technology.

- b) Arrange for a live TV briefing and demonstration by communications satellite of a joint session of the House and Senate Resource Committees from NASA Ames Research Center sometime during the upcoming legislative session.

Remote sensing both by satellite and high-altitude aircraft is an important new tool for resource management. For various reasons, the State has made little use of remote sensing to date. With the need for informed decision-making for the management of the State's 104-million acres, this is an appropriate time to take a careful look at remote-sensing techniques--not in the sense of joining a band wagon, but in the real world of what we need and what we can afford.



# RECORDS CERTIFICATION

I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James O. Smith  
Signature of Camera Operator

2/23/90  
Date

6/5/78

SSHB 751

committee referral  
waived. Bill  
returned to chief  
clerk's office.

Introduced: 3/7/78  
Referred: State Affairs and  
Finance

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY NAKAK

2 SPONSOR SUBSTITUTE FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 751

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 TENTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act establishing an Iditarod Trail Race Lottery;  
7 and providing for an effective date."

8 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

9 \* Section 1. AS 05.15 is amended by adding new sections to read:

10 ARTICLE 4. IDITAROD TRAIL RACE LOTTERY.

11 Sec. 05.15.220. IDITAROD TRAIL RACE LOTTERY AUTHORIZED. The  
12 Iditarod Trail Race Lottery Commission is authorized to conduct an  
13 annual lottery the net proceeds of which are to be used exclusively for  
14 payment of expenses of the commission and for purposes which the commis-  
15 sion determines will further the success of the Iditarod Trail Race.  
16 Net proceeds of a given year may be retained for use in subsequent  
17 years, but if the unexpended and unobligated total assets from lottery  
18 proceeds exceed \$250,000, the amount over \$250,000 shall be paid into  
19 the general treasury of the state. The provisions of secs. 10 - 210 of  
20 this chapter do not apply to this lottery except a fee of one per cent  
21 of the net proceeds shall be paid annually to the Department of Revenue  
22 on or before June 30 of each year.

23 Sec. 05.15.230. IDITAROD TRAIL RACE LOTTERY COMMISSION ESTAB-  
24 LISHED. There is established within the Department of Revenue the  
25 Iditarod Trail Race Commission. The commission consists of five members  
26 appointed by the governor from a list of at least 10 names submitted to  
27 him by the Iditarod Trail Race Committee. Two of the members shall be  
28 residents of the City of Nome. Three members shall be from the state at  
29 large appointed with due regard for geographical representation. The

1 commission shall annually elect a chairman from among its members.  
2 Three members constitute a quorum for the transaction of business and  
3 the exercise of the powers of the commission.

4 Sec. 05.15.240. TERM OF OFFICE. Members of the commission serve  
5 at the pleasure of the governor for terms of five years and may be re-  
6 appointed. Terms shall be staggered. Initial terms shall be one member  
7 serving for one year, one member for two years, one member for three  
8 years, one member for four years, and one member for five years as  
9 designated by the governor.

10 Sec. 05.15.250. COMPENSATION AND EXPENSES. Members of the commis-  
11 sion serve without compensation but are entitled to per diem and travel  
12 expenses authorized by law for other boards and commissions.

13 Sec. 05.15.255. POWERS AND DUTIES. The commission shall operate  
14 and administer the lottery. It shall establish regulations governing  
15 the operation including but not limited to

- 16 (1) the type of lottery to be conducted;
- 17 (2) the price of tickets in the lottery;
- 18 (3) the numbers and sizes of the prizes on the winning  
19 tickets;
- 20 (4) the manner of selecting the winning tickets;
- 21 (5) the manner of payment of prizes to the holders of winning  
22 tickets;
- 23 (6) the frequency of the drawings or selections of winning  
24 tickets;
- 25 (7) without limit as to number, the types of locations at  
26 which tickets may be sold;
- 27 (8) the method to be used in selling tickets;
- 28 (9) the use of vending machines or mechanical devices of any  
29 kind;

1 (10) the compensation to licensed sellers of lottery tickets,  
2 but only where the commission finds that compensation is necessary to  
3 assure adequate availability of lottery tickets, and that the public  
4 convenience will be served.

5 Sec. 05.15.260. LOTTERY SALES AGENT. The commission may license  
6 persons as agents to sell lottery tickets, but no license may be issued  
7 to any person to engage in business exclusively as a lottery sales  
8 agent. No license may be issued to a person unless the commission has  
9 provided by regulation that licenses may be issued to persons engaged in  
10 the type or class of business or activity in which the person is en-  
11 gaged. In addition, before issuing a license to any person engaged in  
12 that type or class of business or activity, the commission shall con-  
13 sider

- 14 (1) financial responsibility and security of the business or  
15 activity;
- 16 (2) accessibility of the place of business or activity to the  
17 public;
- 18 (3) sufficiency of existing licenses to serve public conven-  
19 ience;
- 20 (4) whether the place of business or activity is predomi-  
21 nantly frequented by minors;
- 22 (5) volume of expected sales.

23 Sec. 05.15.270. SUSPENSION AND REVOCATION OF LICENSES. The com-  
24 mission may suspend or revoke, after notice and hearing, any license  
25 issued under sec. 260 of this chapter. A license may be temporarily  
26 suspended by the commission without prior notice, pending any prosecu-  
27 tion, investigation or hearing. A license may be suspended or revoked  
28 by the commission for one or more of the following reasons:

- 29 (1) failure to

1 (A) account for lottery tickets received or for the  
2 proceeds of the sale of lottery tickets,

3 (B) file a bond if required by the commission, or

4 (C) comply with instructions of the commission concerning  
5 the licensed activity;

6 (2) conviction of any offense as defined by law;

7 (3) failure to

8 (A) file any return or report,

9 (B) keep records, or

10 (C) pay any tax required by this chapter;

11 (4) fraud, deceit, misrepresentation or conduct prejudicial  
12 to public confidence in the state lottery;

13 (5) number of lottery tickets sold by the lottery sales agent  
14 is insufficient to meet administrative costs and public convenience is  
15 adequately served by other licensees;

16 (6) a material change, since issuance of the license, with  
17 respect to any matters required to be considered by the commission under  
18 sec. 260 of this chapter.

19 Sec. 05.15.280. ANNUAL AUDIT. The commission shall have its  
20 financial records audited annually by an independent outside auditor.  
21 The legislative auditor may prescribe the form and content of the finan-  
22 cial records of the commission and shall have access to these records at  
23 any time.

24 Sec. 05.15.290. ANNUAL REPORT. Before September 30 of each year  
25 the commission shall submit to the governor and the legislature a com-  
26 prehensive report describing operations, income, and expenditures for  
27 the preceding 12-month period.

28 \* Sec. 2. This Act takes effect immediately in accordance with AS 01.10.-

29 070(c).

Original sponsor: Nakak

Offered: 4/20/78  
Referred: Finance

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY THE STATE AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

2 CS FOR SPONSOR SUBSTITUTE FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 751

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 TENTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act establishing an Iditarod Trail Race Sweepstakes;  
7 and providing for an effective date."

8 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

9 \* Section 1. AS 05.15 is amended by adding new sections to read:

10 ARTICLE 4. IDITAROD TRAIL RACE SWEEPSTAKES.

11 Sec. 05.15.220. IDITAROD TRAIL RACE SWEEPSTAKES AUTHORIZED. The  
12 Iditarod Trail Race Sweepstakes Commission is authorized to conduct an  
13 annual sweepstakes the net proceeds of which are to be used exclusively  
14 for payment of expenses of the commission and for purposes which the  
15 commission determines will further the success of the Iditarod Trail  
16 Race. Net proceeds of a given year may be retained for use in subse-  
17 quent years, but if the unexpended and unobligated total assets from  
18 sweepstakes proceeds exceed \$250,000, the amount over \$250,000 shall be  
19 paid into the general treasury of the state. The provisions of secs.  
20 10 - 210 of this chapter do not apply to this sweepstakes except that  
21 for each year after the first calendar year of operation a fee of one per  
22 cent of the net proceeds shall be paid annually to the Department of  
23 Revenue on or before June 30 of each year.

24 Sec. 05.15.230. IDITAROD TRAIL RACE SWEEPSTAKES COMMISSION ESTAB-  
25 LISHED. There is established within the Department of Revenue the  
26 Iditarod Trail Race Sweepstakes Commission. The commission consists of  
27 five members appointed by the governor from a list of at least 10 names  
28 submitted to him by the Iditarod Trail Race Committee. One of the  
29 members shall be a resident of the City of Nome, one a resident of the

1 City of McGrath, one a resident of the City of Anchorage, one a resident  
2 of the Matanuska-Susitna borough, and one member from the state at  
3 large. The commission shall annually elect a chairman from among its  
4 members. Three members constitute a quorum for the transaction of  
5 business and the exercise of the powers of the commission.

6 Sec. 05.15.240. TERM OF OFFICE. Members of the commission serve  
7 at the pleasure of the governor for terms of five years and may be re-  
8 appointed. Terms shall be staggered. Initial terms shall be one member  
9 serving for one year, one member for two years, one member for three  
10 years, one member for four years, and one member for five years as  
11 designated by the governor.

12 Sec. 05.15.250. COMPENSATION AND EXPENSES. Members of the commis-  
13 sion serve without compensation but are entitled to per diem and travel  
14 expenses authorized by law for other boards and commissions.

15 Sec. 05.15.255. POWERS AND DUTIES. The commission shall operate  
16 and administer the sweepstakes. It shall establish regulations govern-  
17 ing the operation including but not limited to

- 18 (1) the type of sweepstakes to be conducted;
- 19 (2) the price of tickets in the sweepstakes;
- 20 (3) the numbers and sizes of the prizes on the winning tic-  
21 kets;
- 22 (4) the manner of selecting the winning tickets;
- 23 (5) the manner of payment of prizes to the holders of winning  
24 tickets;
- 25 (6) the frequency of the drawings or selections of winning  
26 tickets;
- 27 (7) without limit as to number, the types of locations at  
28 which tickets may be sold;
- 29 (8) the method to be used in selling tickets;

1 (9) the use of vending machines or mechanical devices of any  
2 kind;

3 (10) the compensation to licensed sellers of sweepstakes  
4 tickets, but only where the commission finds that compensation is neces-  
5 sary to assure adequate availability of sweepstakes tickets, and that  
6 the public convenience will be served.

7 Sec. 05.15.260. SWEEPSTAKES SALES AGENT. The commission may  
8 license persons as agents to sell sweepstakes tickets, but no license  
9 may be issued to any person to engage in business exclusively as a  
10 sweepstakes sales agent. No license may be issued to a person unless  
11 the commission has provided by regulation that licenses may be issued to  
12 persons engaged in the type or class of business or activity in which  
13 the person is engaged. In addition, before issuing a license to any  
14 person engaged in that type or class of business or activity, the  
15 commission shall consider

16 (1) financial responsibility and security of the business or  
17 activity;

18 (2) accessibility of the place of business or activity to the  
19 public;

20 (3) sufficiency of existing licenses to serve public conven-  
21 ience;

22 (4) whether the place of business or activity is predomi-  
23 nantly frequented by minors;

24 (5) volume of expected sales.

25 Sec. 05.15.270. SUSPENSION AND REVOCATION OF LICENSES. The com-  
26 mission may suspend or revoke, after notice and hearing, any license  
27 issued under sec. 260 of this chapter. A license may be temporarily  
28 suspended by the commission without prior notice, pending any prosecu-  
29 tion, investigation or hearing. A license may be suspended or revoked

1 by the commission for one or more of the following reasons:

2 (1) failure to

3 (A) account for sweepstakes tickets received or for the  
4 proceeds of the sale of sweepstakes tickets,

5 (B) file a bond if required by the commission, or

6 (C) comply with instructions of the commission concern-  
7 ing the licensed activity;

8 (2) conviction of any offense as defined by law;

9 (3) failure to

10 (A) file any return or report,

11 (B) keep records, or

12 (C) pay any tax required by this chapter;

13 (4) fraud, deceit, misrepresentation or conduct prejudicial  
14 to public confidence in the state sweepstakes;

15 (5) number of sweepstakes tickets sold by the sweepstakes  
16 sales agent is insufficient to meet administrative costs and public  
17 convenience is adequately served by other licensees;

18 (6) a material change, since issuance of the license, with  
19 respect to any matters required to be considered by the commission under  
20 sec. 260 of this chapter.

21 Sec. 05.15.280. ANNUAL AUDIT. The commission shall have its  
22 financial records audited annually by an independent outside auditor.  
23 The legislative auditor may prescribe the form and content of the finan-  
24 cial records of the commission and shall have access to these records at  
25 any time.

26 Sec. 05.15.290. ANNUAL REPORT. Before September 30 of each year  
27 the commission shall submit to the governor and the legislature a com-  
28 prehensive report describing operations, income, and expenditures for  
29 the preceding 12-month period.

1 \* Sec. 2. This Act takes effect immediately in accordance with AS 01.10.-  
2 070(c).

3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

#

THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA  
TENTH LEGISLATURE

FISCAL NOTE

I. REQUEST

Bill/Resolution No. Sponsor Substitute for House Bill No. 751  
 Title An Act establishing an Iditarod Trail Race Lottery  
 Requested by House State Affairs & Finance Committees Date 3/10/78

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Agency Affected \_\_\_\_\_ Revenue \_\_\_\_\_  
 Program Category Affected Fiscal Services  
 Budget Request Unit(s) Affected Iditarod Trail Race Commission

EXPENDITURES (Thousands of Dollars) None

	FY 78	FY 79	FY 80	FY 81	FY 82	FY 83
100 PERSONAL SERVICES						
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL						
400 COMMODITIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.						

TOTAL

FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars) None

GENERAL FUND						
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER (Specify)						

POSITIONS None

FULL TIME						
PART TIME						
TEMPORARY						

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

See memorandum to R. D. Stevenson dated 3/10/78

IV. DATE March 10, 1978 PREPARED BY *Henry Berlin*  
 AGENCY AUDIT DIVISION  
 Original: Legislative Finance PHONE 465-2320  
 cc: Budget and Management  
 Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

HB 751

STATE  
of ALASKA

## MEMORANDUM

TO:  R. D. Stevenson  
Special Assistant  
Department of Revenue

DATE: March 10, 1978

FILE NO:

TELEPHONE NO:

FROM: Gary L. Jenkins  
Director  
Audit DivisionSUBJECT: Sponsor Substitute for  
House Bill No. 751

The Sponsor Substitute for HB 751 has dealt with all the issues that I brought up in my memorandum concerning the original bill. In reviewing the Sponsor Substitute, there is only one other issue that I noted which the Committee may want to deal with. In the proposed Sec. 05.15.270 dealing with the suspension or revocation of a license, reason #2 states, "conviction of any offense as defined by law". Literally interpreted, this would mean that a permit could be suspended or revoked for such a thing as illegal parking. It seems to me that perhaps the Legislature might want to be more specific in defining the type of offense about which they are concerned.

The modifications which have been made to the bill eliminated any need for funding out of the General Fund.

THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA  
TENTH LEGISLATURE

FISCAL NOTE

I. REQUEST

Bill/Resolution No. House Bill No. 751  
Title An Act establishing an Iditarod Trail Race Lottery  
Requested by House State Affairs & Finance Committees Date 2/27/78

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Agency Affected \_\_\_\_\_ Revenue \_\_\_\_\_  
Program Category Affected Fiscal Services  
Budget Request Unit(s) Affected Iditarod Trail Race Commission

EXPENDITURES (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 78	FY 79	FY 80	FY 81	FY 82	FY 83
100 PERSONAL SERVICES						
200 TRAVEL		17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5
300 CONTRACTUAL		5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
400 COMMODITIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.						
TOTAL		22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5

FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)


GENERAL FUND		22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER (Specify)						

POSITIONS NONE

FULL TIME						
PART TIME						
TEMPORARY						

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

See memorandum dated 2/27/78 to R. D. Stevenson

IV. DATE February 27, 1978 PREPARED BY   
AGENCY AUDIT DIVISION  
PHONE 465-2320  
Original: Legislative Finance  
cc: Budget and Management  
Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

HB 751

STATE  
of ALASKA

## MEMORANDUM

TO:  R. D. Stevenson  
Special Assistant  
Department of Revenue

DATE: February 27, 1978

FILE NO:

TELEPHONE NO:

FROM: Gary L. Jenkins  
Director  
Audit Division

SUBJECT: House Bill No. 751

In preparing the Fiscal Note for this House Bill, I have assumed that the Racing Commission would have a need to meet up to ten times during the year at a cost of \$350 per trip per person and that they would have need for contracted secretarial services in the amount of up to \$5,000.

The bill is not clear regarding whether the expenses of the Commission would be funded out of the General Fund or out of the lottery proceeds. If the Commission expenses are to be funded from lottery proceeds, perhaps consideration should be given to amending the bill to so state and the Fiscal Note would then become "0" as far as any direct funding being required from the State.

One other thing which is unclear is whether the Commission would still be required to obtain a lottery permit which is issued under the same chapter of the Alaska Statutes. It would seem superfluous to require the issuance of a permit to a Commission which has been established by law; however, at this time the issue is not spoken to in the proposed bill. Further, it is unclear as to whether the expenses incurred by the Commission for the operation of the lottery would have to be in accordance with the regulations in effect for other organizations operating a game of chance and skill. If it is the intent of the Legislature that the Commission be given exclusive authority to determine what expenses may be incurred, it is recommended that the bill be amended to so state.

Finally, there is also the question of whether the Commission would be required to pay the 1 percent net proceeds fee which is currently paid by all other permittees. It would appear that this issue should be spoken to in the proposed bill.

THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA  
TENTH LEGISLATURE

FISCAL NOTE

I. REQUEST

Bill/Resolution No. CS for SS HB 751  
 Title An Act establishing an Iditarold Trail Race Sweepstakes  
 Requested by House Finance Committee Date 4/26/78

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Agency Affected Revenue  
 Program Category Affected Fiscal Services  
 Budget Request Unit(s) Affected Iditarod Trail Race Commission

EXPENDITURES (Thousands of Dollars) None

	FY 78	FY 79	FY 80	FY 81	FY 82	FY 83
100 PERSONAL SERVICES						
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL						
400 COMMODITIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.						

TOTAL

FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars) None

GENERAL FUND						
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER (Specify)						

POSITIONS None

FULL TIME						
PART TIME						
TEMPORARY						

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

See memorandum to R. D. Stevenson dated 4/26/78.

IV. DATE April 26, 1978 PREPARED BY *Ray Perkins*  
 AGENCY AUDIT DIVISION  
 PHONE 465-2320  
 Original: Legislative Finance  
 cc: Budget and Management  
Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

CS for SS HB 751

STATE  
of ALASKA

## MEMORANDUM

TO:  R. D. Stevenson  
Special Assistant  
Department of Revenue

DATE: April 26, 1978

FILE NO:

TELEPHONE NO:

FROM: Gary L. Jenkins  
Director  
Audit Division

SUBJECT: CSSSHB No. 751

The Committee Substitute for Sponsor Substitute for HB No. 751 includes two changes. First of all, the word, "lottery" throughout the bill has been changed to "sweepstakes". The exact reasoning for this change is not indicated. The bill does not attempt to define what is meant by the word, "sweepstakes". I presume it is the intent of the Legislature to allow the Commission to make that determination. However, it should be noted that as it is currently written, the bill would permit unlimited wagering on the Iditarod Trail Race, as long as the activity would fit under the general definition of a sweepstakes.

The Committee Substitute also added the phrase in regard to the one percent fee based on net proceeds that this fee shall be paid annually "for each year after the first calendar year of operation". The addition of the quoted phrase makes it unclear as to exactly which year would be the first year for which the fee would be paid. Without the phrase, we would take the position that the fee was due during the year following the first year in which they had operations. Does the addition of the phrase delay that yet another year or does it mean the same thing? It would seem advantageous that this be positively clarified.

One other item which has been in the bill since its initial draft is the reference in Sec. 05.15.290 to the annual report which provides that before September 30 of each year the Commission shall submit a report for the preceding 12 month period. The bill does not define what the 12 month period is to be. Is it to be September 1 through August 31, or is it for the previous calendar year which could be inferred from the above quoted phrase from Sec. 05.15.220?


The Committee Substitute would not appear to require any additional costs of administration and there would not be any need for funding from the General Fund.




# RECORDS CERTIFICATION



I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature of Camera Operator

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

Introduced: 2/8/78  
Referred: State Affairs and  
Finance

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY GRUENING

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 752

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 TENTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to the granting of athletic leave."

7 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

8 \* Section 1. AS 39.20 is amended by adding a new section to read:

9 Sec. 39.20.335. ATHLETIC LEAVE. (a) Athletic leave shall be  
10 granted to each public employee who qualifies as a member of the team  
11 for athletic competition on the world, Pan-American or Olympic level,  
12 for purposes of participating in official competition. The employee is  
13 entitled to leave with pay and to retain all benefits, for a period not  
14 to exceed 90 days, exclusive of holidays, within any two consecutive  
15 years, or for the period of official competition, whichever is less.

16 (b) If the public employee granted athletic leave under (a) of  
17 this section is a classified or certificated school employee or an  
18 employee of the University of Alaska, either the Department of Education,  
19 or the Department of Administration, as appropriate, shall reimburse the  
20 school district, regional educational attendance area, or University of  
21 Alaska for the actual cost of employing a substitute.

22 (c) In this section,

23 (1) "member of the team" includes a group leader, coach,  
24 official or athlete who comprises part of the official delegation of the  
25 United States in world, Pan-American or Olympic competition;

26 (2) "official competition" means preparation and training at  
27 an official training camp and actual competition, including reasonable  
28 travel time to and from the training camp or competition, in a sport  
29 contested in either the Pan-American or Olympic Games;

1 (3) "public employee" means a state employee who is classi-  
2 fied as full time, whether permanent or temporary, as defined in sec.  
3 680(5) of this chapter; a classified or certificated school employee  
4 under AS 14; and an employee of the University of Alaska.

5 \* Sec. 2. AS 14.20 is amended by adding a new section to read:

6 ARTICLE 8. GENERAL PROVISIONS.

7 Sec. 14.20.660. ATHLETIC LEAVE FOR CLASSIFIED OR CERTIFICATED  
8 SCHOOL EMPLOYEES. A classified or certificated school employee is  
9 entitled to athletic leave as provided in AS 39.20.335.

10 \* Sec. 3. AS 14.40 is amended by adding a new section to read:

11 Sec. 14.40.120. ATHLETIC LEAVE FOR UNIVERSITY EMPLOYEES. An  
12 employee of the University of Alaska is entitled to athletic leave as  
13 provided in AS 39.20.335.  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

Original sponsor: Gruening

Offered: 3/15/78  
Referred: Finance

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY THE STATE AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

2 CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 752

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 TENTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to the granting of athletic leave."

7 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

8 \* Section 1. AS 39.20 is amended by adding a new section to read:

9 Sec. 39.20.335. ATHLETIC LEAVE. (a) Athletic leave shall be  
10 granted to each public employee who qualifies as a member of the team  
11 for athletic competition on the world, Pan-American or Olympic level,  
12 for purposes of participating in official competition. After exhausting  
13 all annual leave to which he may be entitled, the employee is entitled  
14 to leave with pay and to retain all benefits, for a period not to exceed  
15 90 days, exclusive of holidays, within any two consecutive years, or for  
16 the period of official competition, whichever is less.

17 (b) If the public employee granted athletic leave under (a) of  
18 this section is a classified or certificated school employee or an  
19 employee of the University of Alaska, either the Department of Education,  
20 or the Department of Administration, as appropriate, shall reimburse the  
21 school district, regional educational attendance area, or University of  
22 Alaska for the actual cost of employing a substitute.

23 (c) In this section,

24 (1) "member of the team" includes a group leader, coach,  
25 official or athlete who comprises part of the official delegation of the  
26 United States in world, Pan-American or Olympic competition;

27 (2) "official competition" means preparation and training at  
28 an official training camp and actual competition, including reasonable  
29 travel time to and from the training camp or competition, in a sport

1 contested in either the Pan-American or Olympic Games;

2 (3) "public employee" means a state employee who is classi-  
3 fied as full time, whether permanent or temporary, as defined in sec.  
4 680(5) of this chapter; a classified or certificated school employee  
5 under AS 14; and an employee of the University of Alaska.

6 \* Sec. 2. AS 14.20 is amended by adding a new section to read:

7 ARTICLE 8. GENERAL PROVISIONS.

8 Sec. 14.20.660. ATHLETIC LEAVE FOR CLASSIFIED OR CERTIFICATED  
9 SCHOOL EMPLOYEES. A classified or certificated school employee is  
10 entitled to athletic leave as provided in AS 39.20.335.

11 \* Sec. 3. AS 14.40 is amended by adding a new section to read:

12 Sec. 14.40.120. ATHLETIC LEAVE FOR UNIVERSITY EMPLOYEES. An  
13 employee of the University of Alaska is entitled to athletic leave as  
14 provided in AS 39.20.335.

A M E N D M E N T

OFFERED IN THE SENATE:

By: SENATE HESS

To: \_\_\_\_\_ SENATE BILL No. \_\_\_\_\_

HOUSE BILL No. CSHB 752

PAGE: 2

LINE: 1

line 1: delete "either the"  
insert "world,"

COMMITTEE REPORT

SENATE

5/5/78

FURTHER: Finance

Date: 5/17/78

Mr. President:

The Committee on HEALTH, EDUCATION AND SOCIAL SERVICES has had CSHB 752 granting of athletic leave

under consideration and (a majority of the committee) (the committee reports it back as follows)

- recommends it do pass
- recommends it do not pass
- recommends it do pass with attached amendment(s)
- recommends it be replaced with CS for \_\_\_\_\_

and \_\_\_\_\_  new title  same title

AND attaches a Letter of Intent  New Fiscal Note

reports it back without recommendation AND AMENDMENT

and recommends it be referred to the \_\_\_\_\_ Committee

MEMBERS SIGNING DO PASS:

1 [Signature]

1 Bred Bradley

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:

2 [Signature] - 110/PEC

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

1 [Signature]  
Chairman  
DOPASS

THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA  
TENTH LEGISLATURE

FISCAL NOTE

I. REQUEST  
 Bill/Resolution No. HB 752  
 Title Granting athletic leave  
 Requested by House Finance Committee Date April 5, 1978

II. FISCAL DETAIL  
 Agency Affected Dept. of Education  
 Program Category Affected \_\_\_\_\_  
 Budget Request Unit(s) Affected \_\_\_\_\_

EXPENDITURES (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 77	FY 78	FY 79	FY 80	FY 81	FY 82
100 PERSONAL SERVICES			\$9.0	\$9.0		
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL						
400 COMMODITIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.						
TOTAL			\$9.0	\$9.0		

FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

GENERAL FUND			\$9.0	\$9.0		
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER (Specify)						

POSITIONS

FULL TIME						
PART TIME						
TEMPORARY			5	5		

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

Using assumptions presented to the House Finance Committee:

5 temporary employees hired to carry out duties of five permanent employees on leave for three months	\$29,295
Accumulated leave of permanent employees, two-year period	(11,290)
Personal Services cost over two-year period	\$18,005
$\$18,005 \div 2$ fiscal years = COST PER FISCAL YEAR	<u>\$ 9,002</u>

IV. DATE \_\_\_\_\_ PREPARED BY Legislative Finance, A. Farman  
 AGENCY \_\_\_\_\_  
 Original: Legislative Finance PHONE \_\_\_\_\_  
 cc: Budget and Management  
 Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA  
TENTH LEGISLATURE

FISCAL NOTE

I. REQUEST

Bill/Resolution No. CS HB 752  
 Title Granting Athletic Leave  
 Requested by Senate Finance Committee Date June 4, 1978

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Agency Affected Dept. of Education  
 Program Category Affected \_\_\_\_\_  
 Budget Request Unit(s) Affected \_\_\_\_\_

EXPENDITURES (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 77	FY 78	FY 79	FY 80	FY 81	FY 82
100 PERSONAL SERVICES			\$14.6	\$14.6		
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL						
400 COMMODITIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.						
TOTAL			\$14.6	\$14.6		

FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

GENERAL FUND			\$14.6	\$14.6		
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER (Specify)						

POSITIONS

FULL TIME						
PART TIME						
TEMPORARY						

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

Salary & benefits cost of \$29,295 for 5 temporary employees to carry out duties of 5 permanent employees on leave for three months

Leave of 90 days over two year period  $\frac{\$29,295}{2} = \$14,647$

IV. DATE June 4, 1978 PREPARED BY A. J. [Signature]  
 AGENCY Legislative Finance  
 PHONE 465-3795  
 Original: Legislative Finance  
 cc: Budget and Management  
 Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

**COMMITTEE REPORT**  
**SENATE**

FURTHER: \_\_\_\_\_

5/18/78

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Mr. President:

The Committee on FINANCE has had CSHB 752  
granting of athletic leave

under consideration and (a majority of the committee) (the committee reports it back as follows)

- recommends it do pass                       recommends it do not pass
- recommends it do pass with attached amendment(s)
- recommends it be replaced with CS for \_\_\_\_\_
- and \_\_\_\_\_  new title               same title
- AND attaches a Letter of Intent               New Fiscal Note
- reports it back without recommendation
- and recommends it be referred to the \_\_\_\_\_ Committee

MEMBERS SIGNING DO PASS:

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Chairman

COMMITTEE REPORT

SENATE

5/5/78

FURTHER: Finance

Date: 5/17/78

Mr. President:

The Committee on HEALTH, EDUCATION AND SOCIAL SERVICES has had CSHB 752 granting of athletic leave

under consideration and (a majority of the committee) (the committee reports it back as follows)

- ( ) recommends it do pass ( ) recommends it do not pass
- () recommends it do pass with attached amendment(s)
- ( ) recommends it be replaced with CS for \_\_\_\_\_

and \_\_\_\_\_ ( ) new title ( ) same title

- ( ) AND attaches a Letter of Intent ( ) New Fiscal Note
- () reports it back without recommendation AND AMENDMENTS
- ( ) and recommends it be referred to the \_\_\_\_\_ Committee

MEMBERS SIGNING DO PASS:

OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:

1 Ed Miller

1 Brad Bradley

2 Zeigler - NO/REC

1 Glenn Hadsorny  
Chairman  
DO PASS

A M E N D M E N T

OFFERED IN THE SENATE:

By: SENATE HESS

To: \_\_\_\_\_ SENATE BILL No. \_\_\_\_\_

HOUSE BILL No. CSHB 752

PAGE: 2

LINE: 1

line 1: delete "either the"  
insert "world,"

4711  
Bennett

Original sponsor: Gruening

OFFERED: 3/15/78  
Referred: Finance

1 IN THE HOUSE BY THE STATE AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

2 CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 752

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 TENTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to the granting of athletic leave."

7 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

8 \* Section 1. AS 39.20 is amended by adding a new section to read:

9 Sec. 39.20.335. ATHLETIC LEAVE. (a) Athletic leave shall be  
10 granted to each public employee who qualifies as a member of the team  
11 for athletic competition on the world, Pan-American or Olympic level,  
12 for purposes of participating in official competition. After exhausting  
13 all annual leave to which he may be entitled, the employee is entitled  
14 to leave with pay and to retain all benefits, for a period not to exceed  
15 90 days, exclusive of holidays, within any two consecutive years, or for  
16 the period of official competition, whichever is less.

17 (b) If the public employee granted athletic leave under (a) of  
18 this section is a classified or certificated school employee or an  
19 employee of the University of Alaska, either the Department of Education,  
20 or the Department of Administration, as appropriate, shall reimburse the  
21 school district, regional educational attendance area, or University of  
22 Alaska for the actual cost of employing a substitute.

23 (c) In this section,

24 (1) "member of the team" includes a group leader, coach,  
25 official or athlete who comprises part of the official delegation of the  
26 United States in world, Pan-American or Olympic competition;

27 (2) "official competition" means preparation and training at  
28 an official training camp and actual competition, including reasonable  
29 travel time to and from the training camp or competition, in a sport

1 contested in <sup>world</sup> (either the) Pan-American or Olympic Games;

2 (3) "public employee" means a state employee who is classi-  
3 fied as full time, whether permanent or temporary, as defined in sec.  
4 680(5) of this chapter; a classified or certificated school employee  
5 under AS 14; and an employee of the University of Alaska.

6 \* Sec. 2. AS 14.20 is amended by adding a new section to read:

7 ARTICLE 8. GENERAL PROVISIONS.

8 Sec. 14.20.660. ATHLETIC LEAVE FOR CLASSIFIED OR CERTIFICATED  
9 SCHOOL EMPLOYEES. A classified or certificated school employee is  
10 entitled to athletic leave as provided in AS 39.20.335.

11 \* Sec. 3. AS 14.40 is amended by adding a new section to read:

12 Sec. 14.40.120. ATHLETIC LEAVE FOR UNIVERSITY EMPLOYEES. An  
13 employee of the University of Alaska is entitled to athletic leave as  
14 provided in AS 39.20.335.  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

ALASKA STATE LEGISLATURE

TENTH Legislature SECOND Session

HOUSE BILL NO. 752

By CRUENING

"An Act relating to the granting of athletic leave."

athletic leave

Introduced in the House 2-8-78, 19.....

HISTORY IN THE HOUSE

1978	Feb. 8	Read first time and referred to Committee on State Affairs and Finance
	Mar 15	Reported back with recommendation that <i>disapproved prior to passage of same</i>
	Apr 5	<i>disapproved in House</i>
	May 4	Read second time and <i>32 adopted</i>
	May 4	Read third time and
	May 4	PASS 21 Effective Date Yeas 31 Nays 3 Absent Excused
	May 4	Reconsideration PASS Effective Date Yeas Nays Absent Excused
	May 4	Reported correctly engrossed Signed by Speaker Sent to Senate <i>James Carlson</i> CHIEF CLERK OF THE HOUSE

HISTORY IN THE SENATE

1978	5 5	Read first time and referred to Committee on <i>HSS - Fin</i>
	5 18	Reported back with <i>HSS - 3</i> recommendation that <i>disapproved</i> <i>1 no rec. to Finance</i>
		Read second time and
		Read third time and
		PASS Effective Date Yeas Nays Absent Excused
		Reconsideration PASS Effective Date Yeas Nays Absent Excused
		Reported correctly engrossed Signed by President Returned to House
		SECRETARY OF THE SENATE

HISTORY IN THE HOUSE

19	Received from Senate
	Concurred in Senate amendment thus adopting:
	Failed to concur in Senate amendment; asked Sen. to recede
	Senate receded from amendment
	Senate failed to recede from amendment
	FCC appointed by House
	FCC appointed by Senate
	FCC adopted
	To enrolling
	Reported correctly enrolled
	Sent to Governor
	..... by Governor
	Filed with Lt. Governor
	Chapter No. ....



# RECORDS CERTIFICATION



I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James O. Irish  
Signature of Camera Operator

2/23/90  
Date

# COMMITTEE REPORT

## HOUSE

3/15/78

FURTHER: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Mr. Speaker:

The Committee on FINANCE has had 118 752

"An Act relating to the granting of athletic leave."

under consideration and (a majority of the committee) (the committee reports it back as follows)

- recommends it do pass                       recommends it do not pass
- recommends it do pass with attached amendment(s)
- recommends it be replaced with CS for \_\_\_\_\_

and \_\_\_\_\_  new title       same title

- AND attaches a Letter of Intent                       New Fiscal Note
- reports it back without recommendation
- and recommends it be referred to the \_\_\_\_\_ Committee

MEMBERS SIGNING DO PASS:

OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:

[Signature]  
\_\_\_\_\_  
[Signature]  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
[Signature]  
\_\_\_\_\_  
[Signature]  
\_\_\_\_\_  
[Signature]  
\_\_\_\_\_  
[Signature]  
\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Chairman

Introduced: 2/8/78  
Referred: State Affairs and  
Finance

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY GRUENING

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 752

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 TENTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to the granting of athletic leave."

7 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

8 \* Section 1. AS 39.20 is amended by adding a new section to read:

9 Sec. 39.20.335. ATHLETIC LEAVE. (a) Athletic leave shall be  
10 granted to each public employee who qualifies as a member of the team  
11 for athletic competition on the world, Pan-American or Olympic level,  
12 for purposes of participating in official competition. The employee is  
13 entitled to leave with pay and to retain all benefits, for a period not  
14 to exceed 90 days, exclusive of holidays, with any two consecutive  
15 years, or for the period of official competition, whichever is less.

16 (b) If the public employee granted athletic leave under (a) of  
17 this section is a classified or certificated school employee or an  
18 employee of the University of Alaska, either the Department of Education,  
19 or the Department of Administration, as appropriate, shall reimburse the  
20 school district, regional educational attendance area, or University of  
21 Alaska for the actual cost of employing a substitute.

22 (c) In this section,

23 (1) "member of the team" includes a group leader, coach,  
24 official or athlete who comprises part of the official delegation of the  
25 United States in world, Pan-American or Olympic competition;

26 (2) "official competition" means preparation and training at  
27 an official training camp and actual competition, including reasonable  
28 travel time to and from the training camp or competition, in a sport  
29 contested in either the Pan-American or Olympic Games;

1 (3) "public employee" means a state employee who is classi-  
2 fied as full time, whether permanent or temporary, as defined in sec.  
3 680(5) of this chapter; a classified or certificated school employee  
4 under AS 14; and an employee of the University of Alaska.

5 \* Sec. 2. AS 14.20 is amended by adding a new section to read:

6 ARTICLE 8. GENERAL PROVISIONS.

7 Sec. 14.20.660. ATHLETIC LEAVE FOR CLASSIFIED OR CERTIFICATED  
8 SCHOOL EMPLOYEES. A classified or certificated school employee is  
9 entitled to athletic leave as provided in AS 39.20.335.

10 \* Sec. 3. AS 14.40 is amended by adding a new section to read:

11 Sec. 14.40.120. ATHLETIC LEAVE FOR UNIVERSITY EMPLOYEES. An  
12 employee of the University of Alaska is entitled to athletic leave as  
13 provided in AS 39.20.335.

Original sponsor: Gruening

Offered: 3/15/78  
Referred: Finance

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY THE STATE AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

2 CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 752

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 TENTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to the granting of athletic leave."

7 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

8 \* Section 1. AS 39.20 is amended by adding a new section to read:

9 Sec. 39.20.335. ATHLETIC LEAVE. (a) Athletic leave shall be  
10 granted to each public employee who qualifies as a member of the team  
11 for athletic competition on the world, Pan-American or Olympic level,  
12 for purposes of participating in official competition. After exhausting  
13 all annual leave to which he may be entitled, the employee is entitled  
14 to leave with pay and to retain all benefits, for a period not to exceed  
15 90 days, exclusive of holidays, within any two consecutive years, or for  
16 the period of official competition, whichever is less.

17 (b) If the public employee granted athletic leave under (a) of  
18 this section is a classified or certificated school employee or an  
19 employee of the University of Alaska, either the Department of Education,  
20 or the Department of Administration, as appropriate, shall reimburse the  
21 school district, regional educational attendance area, or University of  
22 Alaska for the actual cost of employing a substitute.

23 (c) In this section,

24 (1) "member of the team" includes a group leader, coach,  
25 official or athlete who comprises part of the official delegation of the  
26 United States in world, Pan-American or Olympic competition;

27 (2) "official competition" means preparation and training at  
28 an official training camp and actual competition, including reasonable  
29 travel time to and from the training camp or competition, in a sport

1           contested in either the Pan-American or Olympic Games;

2                   (3) "public employee" means a state employee who is classi-  
3           fied as full time, whether permanent or temporary, as defined in sec.  
4           680(5) of this chapter; a classified or certificated school employee  
5           under AS 14; and an employee of the University of Alaska.

6   \* Sec. 2. AS 14.20 is amended by adding a new section to read:

7                           ARTICLE 8. GENERAL PROVISIONS.

8                   Sec. 14.20.660. ATHLETIC LEAVE FOR CLASSIFIED OR CERTIFICATED  
9           SCHOOL EMPLOYEES. A classified or certificated school employee is  
10          entitled to athletic leave as provided in AS 39.20.335.

11   \* Sec. 3. AS 14.40 is amended by adding a new section to read:

12                   Sec. 14.40.120. ATHLETIC LEAVE FOR UNIVERSITY EMPLOYEES. An  
13          employee of the University of Alaska is entitled to athletic leave as  
14          provided in AS 39.20.335.

THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA  
TENTH LEGISLATURE

FISCAL NOTE

I. REQUEST  
 Bill/Resolution No. HB 752  
 Title Granting athletic leave  
 Requested by House Finance Committee Date April 5, 1978

II. FISCAL DETAIL  
 Agency Affected Dept. of Education  
 Program Category Affected \_\_\_\_\_  
 Budget Request Unit(s) Affected \_\_\_\_\_

EXPENDITURES (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 77	FY 78	FY 79	FY 80	FY 81	FY 82
100 PERSONAL SERVICES			\$9.0	\$9.0		
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL						
400 COMMODITIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.						
TOTAL			\$9.0	\$9.0		

FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

GENERAL FUND			\$9.0	\$9.0		
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER (Specify)						

POSITIONS

FULL TIME						
PART TIME						
TEMPORARY			5	5		

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

Using assumptions presented to the House Finance Committee:

5 temporary employees hired to carry out duties of five permanent employees on leave for three months	\$29,295
Accumulated leave of permanent employees, two-year period	<u>(11,290)</u>
Personal Services cost over two-year period	<u>\$18,005</u>
$\$18,005 \div 2$ fiscal years = COST PER FISCAL YEAR	<u>\$ 9,002</u>

IV. DATE \_\_\_\_\_ PREPARED BY Legislative Finance, A. Farnan  
 AGENCY \_\_\_\_\_  
 PHONE \_\_\_\_\_  
 Original: Legislative Finance  
 cc: Budget and Management  
 Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)



# RECORDS CERTIFICATION



I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James O. Smith  
Signature of Camera Operator

2/23/90  
Date

# COMMITTEE REPORT

## HOUSE

2/27/78

FURTHER: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Mr. Speaker:

The Committee on FINANCE has had SSHB 760

"An Act relating to the registration tax on motor vehicles."

under consideration and (a majority of the committee) (the committee reports it back as follows)

recommends it do pass  recommends it do not pass

recommends it do pass with attached amendment(s)

recommends it be replaced with CS for \_\_\_\_\_

and \_\_\_\_\_  new title  same title

AND attaches a Letter of Intent  New Fiscal Note

reports it back without recommendation

and recommends it be referred to the \_\_\_\_\_ Committee

MEMBERS SIGNING DO PASS:

OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:

[Signature]  
\_\_\_\_\_  
[Signature]  
\_\_\_\_\_  
[Signature]  
\_\_\_\_\_  
[Signature]  
\_\_\_\_\_  
[Signature]  
\_\_\_\_\_  
[Signature]  
\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

[Signature]  
Chairman



1	specified					
2	in sec.					
3	200(b)(3)					
4	of this					
5	chapter	60	50	40	30	20
6	(4) vehicles					
7	specified					
8	in sec.					
9	200(b)(4)					
10	of this					
11	chapter					
12	5,000					
13	pounds					
14	or less	60	50	40	30	20
15	5,001-					
16	12,000					
17	pounds	100	80	60	50	40
18	12,001-					
19	18,000					
20	pounds	150	120	100	80	60
21	18,001					
22	pounds					
23	or over	200	160	130	100	80
24	(5) vehicles					
25	specified					
26	in sec.					
27	200(b)(5)					
28	of this					
29	chapter	100	80	60	50	40

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

(6) vehicles  
specified  
in sec.  
200(b)(6)  
of this  
chapter 8 7 6 5 4

(7) vehicles  
specified  
in sec.  
200(b)(7)  
of this  
chapter 60 50 40 30 20

(8) vehicles  
specified  
in sec.  
200(b)(8)  
of this  
chapter 60 50 40 30 20

(9) vehicles  
specified  
in sec.  
250 of  
this  
chapter 40

\* Sec. 2. AS 28.10.255 is amended by adding a new subsection to read:

(i) A resident 65 years of age or older is entitled to an exemption from tax levied under (b)(2) of this section for one motor vehicle subject to license tax under sec. 200(b)(2) of this chapter. No exemption may be granted except upon written application for exemption on a

1 form prescribed by the department. The state shall reimburse a borough  
2 or city, as appropriate, for the tax revenues lost to it by the opera-  
3 tion of this subsection.  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA  
TENTH LEGISLATURE

FISCAL NOTE

I. REQUEST  
 Bill/Resolution No. CS HB 760  
 Title An Act relating to the registration tax on motor vehicles  
 Requested by Representative Phillips Date 2/27/78

II. FISCAL DETAIL Department of Public Safety  
 Agency Affected \_\_\_\_\_  
 Program Category Affected n/a  
 Budget Request Unit(s) Affected n/a

EXPENDITURES (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 77	FY 78	FY 79	FY 80	FY 81	FY 82
100 PERSONAL SERVICES						
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL						
400 COMMODITIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.						
<b>TOTAL</b>		-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-

FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

GENERAL FUND			30.0	30.0	32.0	35.0
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER (Specify)						
Program Receipts			(30.0)	(30.0)	(32.0)	(35.0)

POSITIONS

FULL TIME						
PART TIME						
TEMPORARY						

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

Does not appreciably change fiscal impact from that of HB 760, which is

\$10 - reduction of collection on each vehicle over 5 years old

\$64,000 - vehicles effected by reduction at 5% commission rate

Net result is \$30,000.00 estimated loss of program receipt revenue in FY'79 &'80, \$32,000 in '81 and \$35,000 in '82.

IV. DATE 2/27/78 PREPARED BY Trygve R. Hermann, Director, Adm. Services  
 AGENCY Department of Public Safety  
 PHONE 465-4322  
 Original: Legislative Finance  
 cc: Budget and Management  
 Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

fiscal note (adopted 3/2/78)

Clark Gruening

12-L5LH

# STATE OF ALASKA

JAY S. HAMMOND, GOVERNOR

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY

DIVISION OF MOTOR VEHICLES

The Honorable Randy Phillips  
Alaska State Legislature  
Pouch V  
Juneau, Alaska 99811

February 28, 1978

RE: SSHB 760

Dear Representative Phillips:

In an attempt to respond to your request for a fiscal note dealing with Section 2, of SSHB 760, I want to make you aware of the fact that the following figures are based upon certain assumptions. The department does not have the data required to give you an accurate projection of lost revenue in these communities.

According to the Office of the Aging there are approximately 2432 people in the three communities affected by this bill who are age sixty-five (65) or older. There are 1727 in Anchorage, 540 in Ketchikan and 165 in Petersburg.

In order to provide you with approximations the following assumptions were made:


- (1) We assumed that 65% of the people in this category own vehicles.
- (2) We assumed that the average age of these vehicles was four years.
- (3) We assumed that all of these vehicles were passenger vehicles.

Given these assumptions the projected revenue loss is \$47,430.00. This is broken down as follows:

	# 65 or older	# Vehicles	Revenue Lost
Anchorage	1727	1123	33690
Ketchikan	540	351	10530
Petersburg	165	107	3210
Totals:	2432	1581	47430

If we can be of further assistance please contact us again.

Sincerely yours,



Robert J. Stickles  
Chief of Driver Services  
Division of Motor Vehicles

RJS: pv

Introduced: 2/27/78  
Referred: Finance

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY PHILLIPS, GRUENING AND  
MEEKINS

2 SPONSOR SUBSTITUTE FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 760  
3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA  
4 TENTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to the registration tax on motor  
7 vehicles."

8 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

9 \* Section 1. AS 28.10.255(b) is amended to read:

10 (b) The tax is levied upon motor vehicles subject to the license  
11 tax under sec. 200 of this chapter, not including mobile homes, and is  
12 based upon the age of vehicles as determined by model year according to  
13 the following schedule:

14 Tax According to Age of  
15 Vehicle

16 Since Model Year:

17	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	<u>6th</u>	<u>7th</u>	<u>8th</u>
18					or over			<u>or over</u>
19					<u>(unless</u>			
20					<u>prescribed)</u>			

21 Motor Vehicle

22	(1) motorcycle	\$ 8	\$ 7	\$ 6	\$ 5	\$ 4		
23	(2) vehicles							
24	specified							
25	in sec.							
26	200(b)(2)							
27	of this							
28	chapter	60	50	40	30	20	<u>\$15</u>	<u>\$10</u>
29	(3) vehicles							<u>\$ 5</u>

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

specified					
in sec.					
200(b)(3)					
of this					
chapter	60	50	40	30	20
(4) vehicles					
specified					
in sec.					
200(b)(4)					
of this					
chapter					
5,000					
pounds					
or less	60	50	40	30	20
5,001-					
12,000					
pounds	100	80	60	50	40
12,001-					
18,000					
pounds	150	120	100	80	60
18,001					
pounds					
or over	200	160	130	100	80
(5) vehicles					
specified					
in sec.					
200(b)(5)					
of this					
chapter	100	80	60	50	40

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

(6) vehicles  
specified  
in sec.  
200(b)(6)  
of this  
chapter 8 7 6 5 4

(7) vehicles  
specified  
in sec.  
200(b)(7)  
of this  
chapter 60 50 40 30 20

(8) vehicles  
specified  
in sec.  
200(b)(8)  
of this  
chapter 60 50 40 30 20

(9) vehicles  
specified  
in sec.  
250 of  
this  
chapter 40

\* Sec. 2. AS 28.10.255 is amended by adding a new subsection to read:

(i) A resident 65 years of age or older is entitled to an exemption from tax levied under (b)(2) of this section for one motor vehicle subject to license tax under sec. 200(b)(2) of this chapter. No exemption may be granted except upon written application for exemption on a

1 form prescribed by the department. The state shall reimburse a borough  
2 or city, as appropriate, for the tax revenues lost to it by the opera-  
3 tion of this subsection.  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA  
TENTH LEGISLATURE

FISCAL NOTE

I. REQUEST

Bill/Resolution No. House Bill No. 760

Title "An Act relating to the registration tax on motor vehicles."

Requested by Phillips, Gruening and Meekins

Date 2/8/78

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Agency Affected Department of Public Safety

Program Category Affected n/a

Budget Request Unit(s) Affected n/a

EXPENDITURES (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 77	FY 78	FY 79	FY 80	FY 81	FY 82
100 PERSONAL SERVICES						
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL						
400 COMMODITIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.						
TOTAL		-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-

FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

GENERAL FUND			30.0	30.0	32.0	35.0
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER (Specify)						
Program Receipts			(30.0)	(30.0)	(32.0)	(35.0)

POSITIONS

FULL TIME						
PART TIME						
TEMPORARY						

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

\$10-reduction of collection on each vehicle over 5 years old

\$64,000 - vehicles effected by reduction at 5% commission rate

Net result is \$30,000.00 estimated loss of program receipt revenue

IV. DATE 2/16/78

PREPARED BY Irygve R. Hermann, Director, Admin. Services

AGENCY Department of Public Safety

PHONE 465-4322

Original: Legislative Finance

cc: Budget and Management

Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)