

LEG. FINANCE - BILLS 1975 - 1976 466

HB 748 cont., thru CSHB 763 466

The following recommendations should be considered in evaluating land use proposals for the Farmers Loop Planning Area: The recommended plan for extending public water and sewer systems along Farmers Loop Road should be implemented to properly regulate the density and intensity of development in the area. The efficiency of Farmers Loop Road as a major transportation route should be maintained and enhanced by limiting access to the Road from abutting lands. The use and development of lands south of Farmers Loop Road should be strictly controlled due to marginal soil conditions in the area. Agricultural uses should be protected from intrusion by strictly residential uses. Innovative development concepts should be considered, based upon public or private water and sewer systems, which promote the concentration of living units yet provide sufficient open space so that the overall density range is equal to or less than that of two to four units per acre. A definitive Open Space-Trail System plan should be prepared along with programs for implementation. The subdivision of lands along the trail system should involve dedicating or setting aside appropriate land areas. Development of lands having steep slopes should be subject to regulations especially created for hillside development. The development of hinterlands should be carefully related to the community's ability to provide services.

STEESE HIGHWAY The Steese Highway Planning Area covers approximately 22 square miles, northeast of Fairbanks. The area forms the northeastern portion of the Fairbanks Bowl. Physical characteristics of the area include the eastern half of the relatively wide Isabella Creek drainage area and a series of hills and valleys generally running north/northeast from the Birch Hills Bluffs to Engineer Creek. Soils in the area include the Salcha, Fairbanks, Minto and Gold Stream series, of which the last is considered the least desirable for development purposes. Existing development is generally concentrated along the Steese Highway and secondary transportation routes.

Land use proposed for three suggested sub-planning areas is described as follows: **GILMORE TRAIL** Very low density rural residential development on suitable soils and slopes, generally contained on ridge lines. **CHENA HOT SPRINGS ROAD** Agricultural uses on appropriate lands near the road, with very low suburban to rural residential uses extending inland. **STEESE HIGHWAY CORRIDOR** Low density urban residential development extending north from Fairbanks on both sides of the highway on public/community water and sewer systems. Concentrated commercial service centers at the intersection of Farmers Loop and Chena Hot Springs Road. North of Chena Hot Springs Road intersection, low density suburban residential development.

The following recommendations should be considered in evaluating land use proposals for the Steese Highway Planning Area: The recommended plan for extending public water and sewer systems along the Steese Highway at least as far as the Farmers Loop Road intersection should be implemented to properly regulate the density and intensity of development in the area. Soils in much of the planning area, particularly west of the Steese Highway in the Isabella Creek drainage area, are considered extremely poor for development purposes. These lands should be retained in their natural form and utilized as part of the area-wide Open Space-Trail System. The existing and potential use of land in level areas for agricultural purposes should be protected from suburban and rural residential intrusion. The efficiency of the Steese Highway as a major transportation route should be protected and enhanced by containing commercial or industrial development to certain areas, and by limiting access to the highway from abutting lands. Extending development into hinterland areas should be carefully related to the community's ability to provide services.

FAIRBANKS The Fairbanks Planning Area covers approximately 50 square miles. The area contains approximately half the population of the Fairbanks North Star Borough and nearly all of its major facilities, institutions and transportation terminals. The physical characteristics of the area include a relatively flat plain through which passes the Chena River, running from east to west/southwest, emptying into the Tanana River. Lands on both sides of the Chena River (and the Chena Slough) are well drained gravel soils, excellent for development. Lands immediately north of the Tanana River are poorly-drained Gold Stream soils.

Land use proposed for four suggested sub-planning areas is described as follows: (all land use proposals assume a complete utility system) **UNIVERSITY** See University of Alaska Master Land Use Plan. Areas bordering the University should be developed for low to medium density urban residential uses with appropriate commercial convenience services at central locations. **INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT** See Fairbanks International Airport Master Plan. The areas bordering the airport lands should be developed for related and compatible commercial and industrial uses. Lands bordering the Chena and Tanana River should have multiple usage for flood control as well as part of the area-wide Open Space-Trail System. **FAIRBANKS** See Fairbanks City Center Plan. The areas bordering the downtown commercial core area should develop into high density urban residential uses with appropriate public facilities. The initial elements of the park and Open Space-Trail System should begin in the City Center and extend out accordingly. **SOUTH FAIRBANKS** Lands south of the City Center should be developed for medium to high density urban residential uses, with appropriate parks and public facilities. Lands further south, now having marginal soil conditions, will be improved by the levee project and should ultimately develop into heavy commercial and industrial uses.

The following recommendations should be considered in evaluating land use proposals in the planning area: A full service utility system is a prerequisite for optimum development of the urban area. Due to the proposed concentration of population, and the planned density and intensity of development, strict controls will be required relating to air pollution in the urban area. Urban facilities such as public transportation should be more economical to implement because of these factors. Primary concentration of financial, government and commercial services should remain within the downtown core area. Dispersion of such major services to outlying commercial areas, or allowing strip commercial high-way development, will dilute the effectiveness of the central business district and may increase environmental problems by hindering solutions.

Increasing residential development around the north boundary of the airport should be discouraged for reasons of safety, noise and effective airport operation during differing wind conditions.

FORT WAINWRIGHT The Fort Wainwright planning area covers approximately 24 square miles immediately east of the City of Fairbanks, and extending from the Tanana River north about six and one-half miles. It was the first permanent Army post in central Alaska, and was earlier called Ladd Army Air Field. At the present time there are over 7,000 military personnel, dependents and civilian employees involved in the Base's activities. The military operations involve the Arctic Light Infantry forces, Bassett Army Hospital, Arctic Medical Research Laboratory, Heavy Equipment Helicopter operations, plus several support organizations.

Recently, approximately 300 acres of Fort Wainwright land were determined to be excess to the Army's needs. The Bureau of Land Management took over approximately 200 acres for its airplane fire-fighting operation. Under an arrangement with General Services Administration, the Alyeska Pipeline Service Co. leased certain buildings and facilities involving approximately 100 acres. The physical characteristics of the land are similar to those of the City of Fairbanks, involving a relatively flat plain through which the Chena River passes from east to west. Soils in the area include the Fairbanks and Minto classifications constituting the Birch Hills; Goldstream and Lemeta north of the Chena River; and Salchaket and Tanana soils south of the Chena to the Tanana River.

The proposed land use plan for Fort Wainwright is a supplement to this report, added after the public hearings were concluded. The plan is based upon the assumption that the military will release additional lands, primarily those not actively used in the existing base operations.

Land use proposed for four sub-planning areas is described as follows:

BIRCH HILL Low density residential uses extending along the top of Birch Hill east from the Steese Highway; the south slopes of Birch Hill and the lowlands extending to the Chena River to be used as part of the Open Space/Trail System.

GAFFNEY TOWN This area would include lands on both sides of the Chena River, extending south to the north runway, and extending east and west to the present military boundaries. Land use would consist of industrial and air-related uses adjacent to the runway; institutional and high density urban residential uses, including commercial convenience services, where the existing military housing and barracks are located; and low density urban residential uses on the north side of the Chena Slough, on suitable soils; open space as part of the Open Space-Trail System to remain at both ends of the Runway, and along the Chena River. All development would be served by the existing, or extensions of, the military utility system.

FORT WAINWRIGHT This area would constitute the revised boundary of the military base and would contain all military operational uses and buildings, south of the north runway, and south of the Richardson Highway to include the existing rifle range.

MENAIR WOODS This area would include those of former military lands north and west of Badger Road and south of the Richardson Highway. Land use would consist of urban and suburban residential uses on an extension of the military utility system; air-related light industrial uses along the south side of Montgomery Road; industrial uses bordering the railroad line and extending south of the Richardson Highway. Commercial facilities near the intersection of Badger Road and Richardson Highway. The Open Space-Trail System would separate the military from civilian lands, extending south from the Chena River to connect with the Open Space-Trail System extending along the levee.

The following recommendations should be considered in evaluating land use proposals in the planning area: Operation of the existing runways must be protected from incompatible uses; All land use proposals are intended to operate off the existing utility system; Due to the proposed concentration of density and intensity of use, strict controls will be required regarding air pollution; Lands in the Badger Road area and also south of Fort Richardson may be suitable for natural resource extraction prior to ultimate development; Commercial uses proposed for the Gaffney Town area should not dilute the effectiveness of the downtown area.

NORTH POLE The North Pole Planning Area covers approximately 67 square miles east of Fairbanks and Ft. Wainwright, generally along Badger Road and the New Richardson Highway. The physical characteristics of the area are similar to those of Fairbanks, involving a broad level plain through which the Chena Slough winds. Lands north of the Chena Slough are well drained, with gravel soils and good tree cover. Numerous creeks and streams flow through the area. Lands generally south of the Chena Slough towards the Tanana River are poorly drained Bradway and Tanana Soils. Existing land use is concentrated in the North Pole area and along the Old and New Richardson Highways, and along Badger Road.

Land use proposed for three suggested sub-planning areas is described as follows: **CLEAR CHEEK** Concentration of urban and suburban, commercial and residential development around the intersection of Badger and Dennis Roads with the New Richardson Highway, on a public water and sewer system. Industrial uses extend south of the highway and southeast along the railroad tracks. Further south, agricultural land use along the levee. **BADGER ROAD** Low density suburban and rural subdivision development of limited depth along the highway, with convenience commercial service areas at spaced locations. Lands abutting the Chena Slough and other major drainage areas should be retained as part of the area-wide Open Space-Trail System. The use of lands for agricultural purposes in the Bradway Road area, as well as other parts of the planning area, should be retained.

NORTH POLE Concentrated commercial and urban and suburban residential development in the City Center area south of the New Richardson Highway on the existing water and sewer system. Development of land outside of the limits of the system should be at low suburban rural densities on lands suitable for development. Lands along the Chena Slough should be retained as part of the Open Space-Trail System.

The following recommendations should be considered in evaluating land use proposals for the planning area: The use of land for strictly rural residential purposes should be confined to areas where the soils are suitable for on-site water and sewer systems. The multiple use of certain lands for agricultural-residential purposes should be encouraged, however, purely residential uses should not be allowed to intrude on agricultural areas. 3) The development of hinterland areas should be carefully related to the community's ability to provide services.

TWO RIVERS The Two Rivers Planning Area covers approximately 80 square miles, generally on both sides of the Chena Hot Springs Road, east of Fairbanks and terminates at the State Park Reserve. Chena Hot Springs, a tourist hotel and resort, is open all year. The physical characteristics of the planning area include a broad, relatively level plain draining from the northeast to southwest through which the Little Chena River winds. On the north and east sides of the river are rolling hills, punctuated with numerous valleys, creeks and drainage areas. Soils in the area include the Gilmore, Fairbanks, Minto, Ester, Gold Stream, Lomita, Salcha and Tanana series, of which the latter five are considered the least desirable for development purposes. Generally, most of the lands in the valley areas are considered unsuitable for development due to high water table and flooding conditions during the spring breakup. Existing development is generally confined to elevated land areas along the Chena Hot Springs Road.

Land use proposed for two suggested sub-planning areas is described as follows: **West Chena Hot Springs Road:** Agricultural uses on appropriate soil and land areas adjacent to the highway. Very low density rural residential uses on suitable slopes and soils in the hill areas. Lodges and commercial convenience centers should concentrate at appropriate distances along the Road. **Two Rivers School:** Very low density rural residential development on appropriate soils and slopes. Commercial convenience and tourist facilities should concentrate at appropriate locations and distances along the road areas. Camper-trailer pull-off areas should be developed at appropriate locations for picnicking and camping.

The following recommendations should be considered in evaluating land use proposals for the planning area: Purely residential uses should not be allowed to intrude upon existing or potential agricultural areas. Development of land in the valley areas should be strictly regulated due to poor soil conditions and frequent spring flooding. Development of the hinterland areas for residential uses should be carefully related to the community's ability to provide services.




Photograph by The Alaska Railroad.

Aerial view of downtown Fairbanks near crest of flood on Chena River, August 15, 1967. Arrow indicates direction of flow of river.



Photograph by U.S. Bureau of Land Management.

Aerial view of western part of Fairbanks near crest of flood on Chena River, August 15, 1967. Arrow indicates direction of flow of river.



NOTED ON GEOLOGICAL SURVEY, WASHINGTON, D.C.—REPLACEMENT




FIGURE 3.—Flood-crest profiles of Chena River at Fairbanks (1948 profile adapted from Corps of Engineers data).

The flood of August 1967 was so much greater than any previous flood event of record on the Chena River that a reliable determination of its frequency cannot be made. However, a rough concept of how rare the 1967 flood was can be seen from the fact that the peak discharge was 2.6 times the discharge of the 50-year flood at Fairbanks.

Additional data.—Additional information pertaining to floods and floodflow characteristics on the Chena River can be obtained at the offices of the U.S. Geological Survey in Anchorage and Fairbanks, Alaska, and from the following report:

Berwick, V. K., Childers, J. M., and Kuentzel, M. A., 1964, Magnitude and frequency of floods in Alaska, south of the Yukon River: U.S. Geol. Survey Circ. 493, 15 p.





FIGURE 4.—Frequency of floods at gaging station on Chena River at Fairbanks, Alaska.



FLOOD PROFILES AT FAIRBANKS, ALASKA

By
 [Name] and James P. Meckel
 1967




FIGURE 2.—Hydrographs of stage and discharge for floods of May 1948 and August 1967, Chena River at gaging station at Hall Street Bridge at Fairbanks, Alaska.


Depths of inundation can be estimated from the map by subtracting the ground elevation at a specific point from the water-surface elevation at the same point as indicated by the profiles in figure 3. Ground elevations can be estimated from contours on the map, although more accurate elevations can be obtained by leveling to nearby bench marks. The depth of inundation in 1967 at the site of most high-water marks is shown in blue numerals on the flood map.

Flood discharge.—Discharge is the rate at which water flows, expressed as volume per unit time, usually cubic feet per second (cfs). Peak discharge is the maximum value of the discharge attained during a flood. The peak discharge of the Chena River on August 15, 1967, was 74,400 cfs. The discharge hydrograph for August 1967 is shown in figure 2.

Flood frequency.—Flood-frequency relations based upon discharge have been defined for the Fairbanks area (Berwick, and others, 1964). The relation between stage and frequency is depend-

ent on the relation between stage and discharge. Changes in the physical conditions of channels, flood plains, and structures constricting the stream will affect the stage-discharge relation. The frequency curve in figure 4 is based on channel conditions existing in 1967 and is limited to a recurrence interval of 50 years. Large errors may result if the flood-frequency curve is extrapolated beyond the limits shown.

Recurrence interval, as applied to flood events, is expressed in years and is the average interval of time within which a given flood will be equaled or exceeded once. Frequency can also be stated as a probability, which is virtually the reciprocal of the recurrence interval for floods greater than the 10-year flood. Thus, a 50-year flood would have 1 chance in 50, or a 2-percent chance, of being equaled or exceeded in any given year. Because the 50-year flood can occur in any year or even in successive years, any inference that such a flood will occur only once during a 50-year period or at regular intervals would be misleading.



FLOOD OF AUGUST 1967

Joseph M. Childer

FLOOD OF AUGUST 1967 AT
FAIRBANKS, ALASKA

The disastrous flood of August 12-20, 1967, on the Chena River at Fairbanks, Alaska, is described. The map and graphs show the results of analysis of data on the depth, extent, and recurrence interval of this flood. These data serve as a basis for making sound decisions concerning development of the flood plain.

A general rainstorm covering the Chena River basin and adjacent watersheds caused the August 1967 flood. Total precipitation during the period August 8-15 at Chena Hot Springs, 60 miles upstream from Fairbanks, was 6.93 inches. Storm runoff caused numerous slides on headwater hillsides, washed out roads and tree-covered river terraces, and covered the flood plain at Fairbanks with water up to 5 feet deep. About half of the 30,000 inhabitants in the Fairbanks area were evacuated, and five deaths were reported. Urban Fairbanks and nearby Fort Wainwright, both on the flood plain near the mouth of the Chena River, were damaged seriously. Final estimates of flood damage exceed \$170 million. The crest stage, 18.82 feet, at the U.S. Geological Survey stream-gaging station on the Chena River at Fairbanks was 2.7 feet higher than the previous maximum recorded stage which occurred May 21, 1948. The Chena River basin upstream from Fairbanks has its own built-in flood control. The present natural channel, old oxbows, and wide flood plains provide considerable upstream storage; therefore, the flood crest at Fairbanks was delayed and reduced. About half the rain fell on Saturday, August 12, but the crest at Fairbanks occurred on Tuesday, August 15.

The extent of inundation in the vicinity of Fairbanks is shown on the map. Runoff from the Chena River and adjacent streams caused a flood on the low flood plain along the lower Tanana River from above Fairbanks to the mouth. However, flow from the Tanana River did not contribute to flooding in the Chena River valley. Floodmark elevations throughout the Fairbanks area indicate a general downward slope from the Chena River to the Tanana River.

Acknowledgments.— This atlas was prepared under the direction of Harry Hulsing, Alaska district chief, Water Resources Division, U.S. Geological Survey, with technical assistance from Howard F. Matthai, hydraulic specialist.

The Corps of Engineers furnished flood-profile data and aerial photographs. The Bureau of Land Management and The Alaska Railroad also furnished photographs. Many residents of Fairbanks gave valuable information.

Basin description.— The Chena River is about 100 miles long and drains an area of 1,980 square miles. It rises about 80 miles east of Fairbanks at elevations ranging from 4,000 to 5,000 feet and flows generally west to the Tanana valley lowlands where it empties into the Tanana River about 7 miles southwest of Fairbanks. The principal tributaries are the North Fork Chena River and Little Chena River from the north and South Fork Chena River and Munson Creek from the south.




FIGURE 1.— Annual floods above 434-foot elevation at Fairbanks, 1930-67, Chena River at Fairbanks (Hall Street Bridge).


Flood heights.— The height of a flood at a gaging station is usually stated in terms of height or stage, which is the elevative water surface above a selected datum. Elevations in this report are in feet above sea level. Gage heights for the Chena River at Fairbanks, located at the Hall Street Bridge, which is also known as the Wendell Bridge, can be converted to elevation above mean sea level by adding 422.92 feet.

The gage height and year of occurrence of the highest peak stage above 434-foot elevation at the gaging station, Chena River at Fairbanks, for the years 1930, 1937, and the period 1948-67 are shown in figure 1. All data have been referred to the present site and datum.

The stage hydrographs for the flood of May 21, 1948, and August 15, 1967 are shown in figure 2.

Flood-crest profiles.— The profiles of flood crests of May 21, 1948, and August 15, 1967, are shown in figure 3. The base line for the flood profile was drawn along Airport Way and projected westward from the airport and eastward to Cushman Street as shown on the map. Elevations of high-water marks left by the August 1967 flood have been plotted on the profile and are the basis for the 1967 flood profile. Stationing for a specific point is obtained from a projection perpendicular to the base line. The 1967 profile is applicable to the Chena River upstream from mile 9 and only to the south or left bank downstream from mile 9.

Profiles of floods are a reflection of the capacity of the main channel, the degree to which bridge openings are obstructed, and the extent of man's encroachment on the flood plain. The 1967 flood profile, figure 3, is smooth with minor breaks in slope. This smooth profile indicates that bridges and other structures are not serious obstructions to the floodflow. About two-thirds of the peak discharge occurs in the flood plains rather than within the channel. Figure 2 shows that the increase in discharge from 40,000 cfs to 74,400 cfs raised the stage less than a foot.



Base from U.S. Geological Survey
Fairbanks D-2, 1955



RECORDS CERTIFICATION



I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James O. Smith
Signature of Camera Operator

2/6/90
Date

COMMITTEE REPORT

SENATE

4/6/75

Mr. President:

Date

5/27/75

The Committee on FINANCE has had CSHB 749 special appropriation to the Depart. of Education for community schools under consideration. A Majority of the members of the Committee

- () recommends it DO PASS
- () recommends it DO NOT PASS
- () recommends it DO PASS WITH ATTACHED AMENDMENT(S)
- () recommends it BE REPLACED WITH CS FOR _____ AND THAT
CS FOR _____ DO PASS
- () "and" recommends it BE REFERRED TO THE _____
COMMITTEE
- () reports it back WITHOUT RECOMMENDATION
- () "other"

Members signing the Majority report:

<u>Neil Ray</u>	<u>Gene Chance</u>	_____
<u>John Sutcliffe</u>	<u>Raymond</u>	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

Members NOT concurring in the Majority report:

_____ recommends:
_____ recommends:
_____ recommends:
_____ recommends:
_____ recommends:

Neil Ray Chairman

Original sponsors: Bradner, H. Beirne
and Miller

Offered: 4/3/76
Referred: Rules

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY THE FINANCE COMMITTEE

2 CS FOR HCUSE BILL NO. 749

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 NINTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act making a special appropriation to the Depart-
7 ment of Education for community schools; and providing
8 for an effective date."

9 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

10 * Section 1. The sum of \$834,000 is appropriated from the general fund to
11 the Department of Education for support to districts operating an approved
12 community schools program as provided in AS 14.36.030.

13 * Sec. 2. The unexpended and unobligated portion of this appropriation
14 lapses into the general fund June 30, 1977.

15 * Sec. 3. This Act takes effect July 1, 1976.

Introduced: 2/12/76
Referred: Select Committee on
Education and Finance

BY BRADNER, H.BEIRNE AND
MILLER

1 IN THE HOUSE

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 749

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 NINTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act making a special appropriation to the Depart-
7 ment of Education for community schools; and providing
8 for an effective date."

9 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

10 * Section 1. The sum of \$1,620,000 is appropriated from the general fund
11 to the Department of Education for support to districts operating an approved
12 community schools program as provided in AS 14.36.030.

13 * Sec. 2. This Act takes effect July 1, 1976.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

#



RECORDS CERTIFICATION



I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James O. Smith
Signature of Camera Operator

2/6/90
Date

"An Act making a special appropriation to the Department of Education for community schools; effective date."

COMMITTEE REPORT

3/5/76

HOUSE

Mr. Speaker:

Date March 30, 1976

The Committee on FINANCE has had HB 749

under consideration. A Majority of the members of the Committee

~~recommends it DO PASS~~

recommends it DO NOT PASS

recommends it DO PASS WITH ATTACHED AMENDMENT(S)

recommends it BE REPLACED WITH CS FOR HB 749 ^{FINANCE} AND THAT

CS FOR HR 749 ^{FINANCE} DO PASS

"and" recommends it BE REFERRED TO THE _____
COMMITTEE

reports it back WITHOUT RECOMMENDATION

"other"

Members signing the Majority report:

<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	_____
<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	_____
<u>[Signature]</u>		_____
<u>[Signature]</u>		_____

Members NOT concurring in the Majority report:

<u>[Signature]</u>	recommends: <u>no rec</u>
_____	recommends:
_____	recommends:
_____	recommends:
_____	recommends:

[Signature] Chairman

Introduced: 2/12/76
Referred: Select Committee on
Education and Finance

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY BRADNER, H.BEIRNE AND
MILLER

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 749

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 NINTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act making a special appropriation to the Depart-
7 ment of Education for community schools; and providing
8 for an effective date."

9 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

10 * Section 1. The sum of \$1,620,000 is appropriated from the general fund
11 to the Department of Education for support to districts operating an approved
12 community schools program as provided in AS 14.36.03G.

13 * Sec. 2. This Act takes effect July 1, 1976.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

#

Original sponsors: Bradner, H. Beirne
and Miller

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY THE FINANCE COMMITTEE

2 CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 749

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 NINTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act making a special appropriation to the Depart-
7 ment of Education for community schools; and providing
8 for an effective date."

9 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

10 * Section 1. The sum of \$834,000 is appropriated from the general fund to
11 the Department of Education for support to districts operating an approved
12 community schools program as provided in AS 14.36.030.

13 * Sec. 2. The unexpended and unobligated portion of this appropriation
14 lapses into the general fund June 30, 1977.

15 * Sec. 3. This Act takes effect July 1, 1976.

16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

#



RECORDS CERTIFICATION



I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James O. Smith
Signature of Camera Operator

2/6/90
Date

COMMITTEE REPORT

2/13/76

HOUSE

Mr. Speaker:

Date 2 13 1976

The Committee on FINANCE has had HB 760

under consideration. A Majority of the members of the Committee

() recommends it DO PASS

() recommends it DO NOT PASS

() recommends it DO PASS WITH ATTACHED AMENDMENT(S)

() recommends it BE REPLACED WITH CS FOR _____ AND THAT

CS FOR _____ DO PASS

() "and" recommends it BE REFERRED TO THE _____

COMMITTEE

() reports it back WITHOUT RECOMMENDATION

() "other"

Members signing the Majority report:

<u>[Signature]</u>	_____	_____
<u>[Signature]</u>	_____	_____
<u>[Signature]</u>	_____	_____
<u>[Signature]</u>	_____	_____

Members NOT concurring in the Majority report:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

[Signature] Chairman

A M E N D M E N T

OFFERED IN THE HOUSE:

By: Finance Committee

To: _____ HOUSE BILL No. 760

SENATE BILL No. _____

PAGE: 1

LINE: 27

Delete the word "item"

2

1

Delete the word "item"

2

1

Delete the word "item"

2

1

Delete the word "item" both places where it occurs,

BY NAUGHTON, ANDERSON, BRADLEY, BRADNER,
BROWN, BUCHHOLDT, COTTEN, COWFER, DAVIS,
DUNCAN, FREEMAN, GARDINER, GRUENING, GUY,
HACKNEY, HAUGEN, HUNTINGTON, ITTA, KELLEY,
MCKINNON, MALONE, MILLER, OSE, OSTERBACK,
OSTROSKY, PARKER, PARR, RUDD, SMITH,
SULLIVAN, SWANSON AND WALLIS

1 IN THE HOUSE

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 760

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 NINTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to the Executive Budget Act."

7 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

8 * Section 1. AS 37.07.080(c)(3) is repealed.

9 * Sec. 2. AS 37.07.080(e) is amended to read:

10 (e) Transfers [APPROPRIATION TRANSFERS] or changes [AS] between
11 objects of expenditures or between allocations [ACTIVITY AREAS WITHIN A
12 PROGRAM] may be made by the head of a state agency upon approval of the
13 division. [APPROPRIATION TRANSFERS OR CHANGES BETWEEN PROGRAMS WITHIN
14 AN AGENCY MAY BE MADE UPON REVIEW BY THE DIVISION AND APPROVAL OF THE
15 GOVERNOR, AND SHALL BE REPORTED TO THE LEGISLATURE QUARTERLY.] No
16 transfers may be made between appropriations [AGENCIES].

17 * Sec. 3. AS 37.07.080 is amended by adding a new subsection to read:

18 (g) The governor may direct the withholding or reduction of
19 appropriations to a state agency at any time during the fiscal year only
20 if he determines that

21 (1) the planned expenditures can no longer be made due to
22 factors outside the control of the state which make the expenditure
23 factually impossible; or

24 (2) estimated receipts and surpluses will be insufficient to
25 provide for appropriations.

26 * Sec. 4. AS 37.07.120 is amended by adding new paragraphs to read:

27 (4) "appropriation item" means a maximum amount available for
28 expenditure by a state agency for a stated purpose set out in an appro-
29 priation Act;

1 (5) "allocation item" means an amount set out as a legisla-
2 tive guideline for expenditure by a state agency for a stated purpose
3 within the total amount of an appropriation item;

4 (6) "object of expenditure" means a line item of expenditure
5 within an allocation item or an appropriation item.
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

STATE OF ALASKA

JAY S. HAMMOND, GOVERNOR

DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER

POUCH C - JUNEAU 99811

February 18, 1976

Honorable Hugh Malone
Chairman
House Finance Committee
Alaska State Legislature
Pouch V - State Capitol
Juneau, Alaska 99811


Dear Representative Malone:

In response to your request for our written comments on HB 760 as discussed at both Finance Committee hearings on February 17, we submit the following.

Although virtually impossible to accurately estimate it is our opinion that the passage of HB 760 would greatly increase the likelihood that a lesser percentage of funds would lapse at the end of each fiscal year.

This, we feel, would happen since one more check in the path of agency spending momentum would be removed; the overall spending pattern of the State would be under less control as agencies progress through a fiscal year. The Governor, who is duly elected to manage the Executive Branch, would be considerably hampered in doing so. Such a situation could only cost more money.

Very truly yours,



Andrew S. Warwick
Commissioner

ASW/mjc

This ref.
p. 360

HOUSE JOURNAL

HB 760

Section 1 repeals the Division of Budget and Management's existing authority to "modify or withhold the planned expenditures" of any state agency if the division finds "the expenditures are greater than those necessary to execute the program". The argument has been raised that in repealing this authority the legislature is removing the executive's power to induce efficiency and economy in the operation of state government. Section 3 of this bill gives the Governor certain authority to withhold or reduce expenditures as opposed to the existing general delegation of this authority to a staff agency, Budget and Management.

Section 2 limits the authority to transfer funds to transfers between (1) objects of expenditure (for example, between commodities and contractual services) or (2) allocations for central district highway maintenance and the interior district highway maintenance). These transfers may be made by the head of the agency with the approval of Budget and Management. Transfers between appropriations are prohibited. Again, using an example from the current budget, no funds could be transferred from the operation of the marine highway system to the operation of trunk and secondary airports or vice versa.

The proposed language is substantially more liberal in allowing for transfers than the existing language of the Executive Budget Act. The existing language limits transfers to those that can be accomplished within an "agency", and "agency" is defined as ". . . a department, officer, institution, board, forming the state government. . .". The existing law has been made workable by an as yet unchallenged ruling of the Department of Administration that the word "agency", in spite of its definition, means "department". A strict interpretation of the "agency" definition as written would prohibit virtually all transfers that currently occur in state government.

Also, of course, a new legislative session begins six months after the beginning of a fiscal year. Necessary revisions including transfers may be made at that time by the legislature. This has been done in prior years.

Assuming the legislature would continue to follow the format used in recent General Appropriation Acts, the changes proposed in section (e) would simply formalize existing procedures. Funds are not usually transferred between major program uses, and since appropriations would in all probability continue to be set at current levels, no infringement on managerial operating flexibility should be anticipated.

Section 3 adds a new subsection granting the Governor the authority to withhold or reduce appropriations for two specific reasons: 1) The planned expenditure for some reason has become "factually impossible", e.g. the appropriation is for the operation of a state facility that has been destroyed by fire and thus a reduced expenditure is in order, or 2) estimated receipts and surpluses are insufficient to fund the budget, e.g. a natural disaster damages some of the oil producing equipment located within the

HOUSE JOURNAL

HB 760


Page 2

state, sharply reducing estimated property and severance tax receipts. This would cause the Governor to make reductions in his revenue estimates and subsequently the budget.

The argument has been raised that this provision perhaps unnecessarily restricts the flexibility of the Governor to effect economics and efficiencies in government operations. It was pointed out that the Governor has only 25-30 days to study the general appropriations bill before he must allow it to become law or sign it into law. Thus, he should have additional time during the early part of the fiscal year to consider possible reductions in appropriations, as was the case this year.

This argument does not appear persuasive for the following reasons: 1) The Governor and his executive agencies prepare all of the extensive documentation that goes into the budget and thus are at least as informed as to detail and aware of ramifications of the budget as anyone in the legislative branch. 2) All meetings of the legislative committees including the Free Conference on the Budget, are open to the administration and members of the general public, thus there is no decision during the three or four months of the legislation session that is not open to question and inquiry by members of the executive budget staff. 3) The Governor has 25-30 days following the close of the legislative session to examine at his leisure all aspects of the proposed budget, including any recommendations Budget and Management may have for reductions. Under the authorities granted to him by the constitution, he may strike any item of the general appropriations bill that he feels improper or for the same reasons reduce any appropriation contained in the bill. This would provide sufficient time for executive reflection on the budget.

Section 4 adds definitions of the words "appropriations", "allocation", and "object of expenditure" to the definitions section of the Executive Budget Act. There are no new concepts contained in any of these definitions. The words have simply been defined in terms of their currently understood and generally accepted meaning for placement in the statutes.


Hugh Malone, Chairman
House Finance Committee



RECORDS CERTIFICATION

I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James O. Smith
Signature of Camera Operator

2/6/90
Date

COMMITTEE REPORT

2/24/76

SENATE

Mr. President:

Date 3/3/76

The Committee on FINANCE has had HB 760 amended
relating to the Executive Budget Act
under consideration. A Majority of the members of the Committee

- recommends it DO PASS
- recommends it DO NOT PASS
- recommends it DO PASS WITH ATTACHED AMENDMENT(S)
- recommends it BE REPLACED WITH CS FOR _____ AND THAT
CS FOR _____ DO PASS
- "and" recommends it BE REFERRED TO THE _____
COMMITTEE
- reports it back WITHOUT RECOMMENDATION
- "other"

Members signing the Majority report:

[Signature] _____

[Signature] _____

[Signature] _____

[Signature] _____

Members NOT concurring in the Majority report:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

[Signature] Chairman

March 2, 1976

Honorable Bill Ray
Chairman, Senate Finance Committee
Alaska State Legislature
State Capitol - Pouch V
Juneau, Alaska 99811

Dear Senator Ray:

A further review of HB 760 leads us to request that it be amended to include a section excluding Chapter 209, SLA 1975 from the new provisions of AS 37.07.030(e). State agencies have been operating during the current year under the assumption that they would be allowed to make transfers between appropriations. If this authority were removed prior to completion of activities related to Chapter 209, it would probably lead to considerable confusion and the processing of a number of transfers in anticipation of problems or conditions which might never actually occur. I feel it would be much more orderly to finish operations under Chapter 209 using the current rules and then operate under the new rules for future operating appropriations and capital projects which exist.

In addition to the above I would like you to consider changing Section 2 of House Bill 760am to only preclude transfers between capital projects and between capital and operating appropriations. I feel that there have been very few cases where transfers between operating appropriations have been opposed by members of the legislature. In fact a number of the transfers between appropriations which occurred this fiscal year have been for the benefit of if not at the request of members of the legislature. We ask that our input be considered as we have researched the situation considerably since the bill was introduced in the House.

Sincerely,

V. Kent Dawson
Director

VKD/RL/lw

Bcc: Commissioner Warwick

JAY S. HAMMOND, GOVERNOR

DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER

POUCH C - JUNEAU 99811

February 18, 1976

Honorable Hugh Malone
Chairman
House Finance Committee
Alaska State Legislature
Pouch V - State Capitol
Juneau, Alaska 99811


Dear Representative Malone:

In response to your request for our written comments on HB 760 as discussed at both Finance Committee hearings on February 17, we submit the following.

Although virtually impossible to accurately estimate it is our opinion that the passage of HB 760 would greatly increase the likelihood that a lesser percentage of funds would lapse at the end of each fiscal year.

This, we feel, would happen since one more check in the path of agency spending momentum would be removed; the overall spending pattern of the State would be under less control as agencies progress through a fiscal year. The Governor, who is duly elected to manage the Executive Branch, would be considerably hampered in doing so. Such a situation could only cost more money.

Very truly yours,


Andrew S. Warwick
Commissioner

ASW/mjc

File No. 11
p. 269

HOUSE JOURNAL

HB 760

Section 1 repeals the Division of Budget and Management's existing authority to "modify or withhold the planned expenditures" of any state agency if the division finds "the expenditures are greater than those necessary to execute the program". The argument has been raised that in repealing this authority the legislature is removing the executive's power to induce efficiency and economy in the operation of state government. Section 3 of this bill gives the Governor certain authority to withhold or reduce expenditures as opposed to the existing general delegation of this authority to a staff agency, Budget and Management.

Section 2 limits the authority to transfer funds to transfers between (1) objects of expenditure (for example, between commodities and contractual services) or (2) allocations for central district highway maintenance and the interior district highway maintenance). These transfers may be made by the head of the agency with the approval of Budget and Management. Transfers between appropriations are prohibited. Again, using an example from the current budget, no funds could be transferred from the operation of the marine highway system to the operation of trunk and secondary airports or vice versa.

The proposed language is substantially more liberal in allowing for transfers than the existing language of the Executive Budget Act. The existing language limits transfers to those that can be accomplished within an "agency", and "agency" is defined as ". . . a department, officer, institution, board, forming the state government. . .". The existing law has been made workable by an as yet unchallenged ruling of the Department of Administration that the word "agency", in spite of its definition, means "department". A strict interpretation of the "agency" definition as written would prohibit virtually all transfers that currently occur in state government.

Also, of course, a new legislative session begins six months after the beginning of a fiscal year. Necessary revisions including transfers may be made at that time by the legislature. This has been done in prior years.

Assuming the legislature would continue to follow the format used in recent General Appropriation Acts, the changes proposed in section (e) would simply formalize existing procedures. Funds are not usually transferred between major program uses, and since appropriations would in all probability continue to be set at current levels, no infringement on managerial operating flexibility should be anticipated.


Section 3 adds a new subsection granting the Governor the authority to withhold or reduce appropriations for two specific reasons: 1) The planned expenditure for some reason has become "factually impossible", e.g. the appropriation is for the operation of a state facility that has been destroyed by fire and thus a reduced expenditure is in order, or 2) estimated receipts and surpluses are insufficient to fund the budget, e.g. a natural disaster damages some of the oil producing equipment located within the

state, sharply reducing estimated property and severance tax receipts. This would cause the Governor to make reductions in his revenue estimates and subsequently the budget.

The argument has been raised that this provision perhaps unnecessarily restricts the flexibility of the Governor to effect economics and efficiencies in government operations. It was pointed out that the Governor has only 25-30 days to study the general appropriations bill before he must allow it to become law or sign it into law. Thus, he should have additional time during the early part of the fiscal year to consider possible reductions in appropriations, as was the case this year.

This argument does not appear persuasive for the following reasons: 1) The Governor and his executive agencies prepare all of the extensive documentation that goes into the budget and thus are at least as informed as to detail and aware of ramifications of the budget as anyone in the legislative branch. 2) All meetings of the legislative committees including the Free Conference on the Budget, are open to the administration and members of the general public, thus there is no decision during the three or four months of the legislation session that is not open to question and inquiry by members of the executive budget staff. 3) The Governor has 25-30 days following the close of the legislative session to examine at his leisure all aspects of the proposed budget, including any recommendations Budget and Management may have for reductions. Under the authorities granted to him by the constitution, he may strike any item of the general appropriations bill that he feels improper or for the same reasons reduce any appropriation contained in the bill. This would provide sufficient time for executive reflection on the budget.

Section 4 adds definitions of the words "appropriations", "allocation", and "object of expenditure" to the definitions section of the Executive Budget Act. There are no new concepts contained in any of these definitions. The words have simply been defined in terms of their currently understood and generally accepted meaning for placement in the statutes.


Ruth Malone, Chairman
House Finance Committee

BY NAUGHTON, ANDERSON, BRADLEY, BRADNER,
BROWN, BUCHHOLDT, COTTEN, COWPER, DAVIS,
DUNCAN, FREEMAN, GARDINER, GRUENING, GUY,
HACKNEY, HAUGEN, HUNTINGTON, ITTA, KELLEY,
MCKINNON, MALONE, MILLER, OSE, OSTERBACK,
OSTROSKY, PARKER, PARR, RUDD, SMITH,
SULLIVAN, SWANSON AND WALLIS

1 IN THE HOUSE

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 760 am

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 NINTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to the Executive Budget Act."

7 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

8 * Section 1. AS 37.07.080(c)(3) is repealed.

9 * Sec. 2. AS 37.07.080(e) is amended to read:

10 (e) Transfers [APPROPRIATION TRANSFERS] or changes [AS] between
11 objects of expenditures or between allocations [ACTIVITY AREAS WITHIN A
12 PROGRAM] may be made by the head of a state agency upon approval of the
13 division. [APPROPRIATION TRANSFERS OR CHANGES BETWEEN PROGRAMS WITHIN
14 AN AGENCY MAY BE MADE UPON REVIEW BY THE DIVISION AND APPROVAL OF THE
15 GOVERNOR, AND SHALL BE REPORTED TO THE LEGISLATURE QUARTERLY.] No
16 transfers may be made between appropriations [AGENCIES].

17 * Sec. 3. AS 37.07.080 is amended by adding a new subsection to read:

18 (g) The governor may direct the withholding or reduction of
19 appropriations to a state agency at any time during the fiscal year only
20 if he determines that

21 (1) the planned expenditures can no longer be made due to
22 factors outside the control of the state which make the expenditure
23 factually impossible; or

24 (2) estimated receipts and surpluses will be insufficient to
25 provide for appropriations.

26 * Sec. 4. AS 37.07.120 is amended by adding new paragraphs to read:

27 (4) "appropriation" means a maximum amount available for
28 expenditure by a state agency for a stated purpose set out in an appro-
29 priation Act;

1 (5) "allocation" means an amount set out as a legisla-
2 tive guideline for expenditure by a state agency for a stated purpose
3 within the total amount of an appropriation;

4 (6) "object of expenditure" means a line item of expenditure
5 within an allocation or an appropriation.
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29



RECORDS CERTIFICATION



I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James O. Smith
Signature of Camera Operator

2/6/90
Date

"An Act making a special appropriation to the Department of Highways; effective date."

COMMITTEE REPORT

3/11/76

HOUSE

Mr. Speaker:

Date _____

The Committee on FINANCE has had HB 761

under consideration. A Majority of the members of the Committee

recommends it DO PASS

recommends it DO NOT PASS

recommends it DO PASS WITH ATTACHED AMENDMENT(S)

recommends it BE REPLACED WITH CS FOR _____ AND THAT

CS FOR _____ DO PASS

"and" recommends it BE REFERRED TO THE _____

COMMITTEE

reports it back WITHOUT RECOMMENDATION

"other"

Members signing the Majority report:

Members NOT concurring in the Majority report:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ Chairman

"An Act making a special appropriation to the Department of Highways; and providing for an effective date."

COMMITTEE REPORT

2/13/76

HOUSE

FINANCE

Mr. Speaker:

Date 3-10-76

The Committee on STATE AFFAIRS has had HB 761

under consideration. A Majority of the members of the Committee

recommends it DO PASS

recommends it DO NOT PASS

recommends it DO PASS WITH ATTACHED AMENDMENT(S)

recommends it BE REPLACED WITH CS FOR _____ AND THAT

CS FOR _____ DO PASS

"and" recommends it BE REFERRED TO THE _____

COMMITTEE

reports it back WITHOUT RECOMMENDATION

"other"

Members signing the Majority report:

<u>Mr. Muller</u>	<u>DO PASS</u>	_____
<u>Bin Pahn</u>	<u>" "</u>	_____
<u>Mr. [Signature]</u>	<u>do pass</u>	_____
<u>Joe McKinnon</u>	<u>" "</u>	_____

Members NOT concurring in the Majority report:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

Joe McKinnon Chairman

THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA
FISCAL NOTE
 Second Session - Ninth Legislature

I. REQUEST

Bill No. HB 761
 Title: Appropriating \$700,000 to construct a road from Sand Point to airport.
 Requested by: House Finance Committee Date: February 17, 1976
 Return Date Requested: _____
 Agency: Highways Program: _____

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Budget Request Unit(s) Affected: _____
 A. EXPENDITURES: (Thousands of dollars)

OBJECT	FY 76	FY 77	FY 78	FY 79	FY 80	FY 81
100 PERSONAL SERVICES						
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL						
400 COMMODITIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.						
TOTAL						

B. FUNDING: (Thousands of dollars)

GENERAL FUND		700				
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER						

C. POSITIONS:

PERMANENT/TEMPORARY	/	/	/	/	/	/
MAN MONTHS (P./T.)	/	/	/	/	/	/

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

In programming this project for the coming construction season, one assumption has been made: That a source of material for roadbed can be found without excessive time and /or cost to develop. Funds provided in the bill will be sufficient to build a 4-mile, 20 ft. top roadway.

IV. ATTACHMENTS

V. DATE: February 23, 1976 PREPARED BY: *[Signature]*

Dep. Commissioner of Highways

Original: Legislative Finance
 cc: Budget and Management
 Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

2080-
water

Introduced: 2/13/76
Referred: State Affairs and
Finance

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY OSTERBACK

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 761

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 NINTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act making a special appropriation to the Depart-
7 ment of Highways; and providing for an effective date."

8 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

9 * Section 1. The sum of \$700,000 is appropriated to the Department of
10 Highways for the purpose of constructing a highway from Sand Point to the
11 airport serving Sand Point.

12 * Sec. 2. The unexpended and unobligated portion of this appropriation
13 lapses into the general fund June 30, 1977.

14 * Sec. 3. This Act takes effect immediately in accordance with AS 01.10.-
15 070(c).

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

COMMITTEE COPY

#

ALASKA STATE LEGISLATURE

NINTH Legislature SECOND Session

HOUSE BILL NO. 761

By OSMYRACK

"An act making a special appropriation to the Department of Highways and providing for an effective date."

Dept. of Highways/annex.

Introduced in the House 1976

HISTORY IN THE HOUSE

19 76

Feb 13

Read first time and referred to Committee on

State Affairs and Finance

Reported back with recommendation that

Read second time and

Read third time and

PASS	Effective Date
Yeas	Yeas
Nays	Nays
Absent	Absent
Excused	Excused

Reconsideration

PASS	Effective Date
Yeas	Yeas
Nays	Nays
Absent	Absent
Excused	Excused

Reported correctly engrossed
Signed by Speaker
Sent to Senate

CHIEF CLERK OF THE HOUSE

HISTORY IN THE SENATE

19

Read first time and referred to Committee on

Reported back with recommendation that

Read second time and

Read third time and

PASS	Effective Date
Yeas	Yeas
Nays	Nays
Absent	Absent
Excused	Excused

Reconsideration

PASS	Effective Date
Yeas	Yeas
Nays	Nays
Absent	Absent
Excused	Excused

Reported correctly engrossed
Signed by President
Returned to House

SECRETARY OF THE SENATE

HISTORY IN THE HOUSE

19

Received from Senate

Reported correctly enrolled

Sent to Governor

..... By Governor

Filed with Lt. Governor

Chapter No.



RECORDS CERTIFICATION



I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James O. Smith
Signature of Camera Operator

2/6/90
Date

"An Act making a special appropriation to the Department of Public Works; and providing for an effective date."

COMMITTEE REPORT

3/16/76

HOUSE

Mr. Speaker:

Date _____

The Committee on FINANCE has had HB 762

under consideration. A Majority of the members of the Committee

recommends it DO PASS

recommends it DO NOT PASS

recommends it DO PASS WITH ATTACHED AMENDMENT(S)

recommends it BE REPLACED WITH CS FOR _____ AND THAT

CS FOR _____ DO PASS

"and" recommends it BE REFERRED TO THE _____

COMMITTEE

reports it back WITHOUT RECOMMENDATION

"other"

Members signing the Majority report:

Members NOT concurring in the Majority report:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

Chairman

COMMITTEE REPORT

2/13/76

HOUSE

FINANCE

Mr. Speaker:

Date 3-16-76

The Committee on STATE AFFAIRS has had HB 762

under consideration. A Majority of the members of the Committee

() recommends it DO PASS

() recommends it DO NOT PASS

() recommends it DO PASS WITH ATTACHED AMENDMENT(S)

() recommends it BE REPLACED WITH CS FOR _____ AND THAT

CS FOR _____ DO PASS

() "and" recommends it BE REFERRED TO THE _____

COMMITTEE

() reports it back ~~WITHOUT~~ RECOMMENDATION

Invd.

() "other"

Members signing the Majority report:

<u><i>Tom Stiles</i></u>	<u>DO PASS</u>	_____
<u><i>W. W. O'Connell</i></u>	<u>" "</u>	_____
<u><i>Jim Dale</i></u>	<u>" "</u>	_____

Members NOT concurring in the Majority report:

<u><i>Paul Simon</i></u>	recommends:	<u><i>no rec.</i></u>
<u><i>H. Fisher</i></u>	recommends:	<u><i>no rec.</i></u>
<u><i>Joe McKinnon</i></u>	recommends:	<u>" "</u>
_____	recommends:	
_____	recommends:	

Joe McKinnon Chairman

THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA
FISCAL NOTE

Second Session - Ninth Legislature

I. REQUEST

Bill No. House Bill No. 762
 Title: Nelson Lagoon Airport Construction
 Requested by: Legislative Finance Date: February 20, 1976
 Return Date Requested: February 23, 1976
 Agency: Public Works Program: Aviation Transportation

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Budget Request Unit(s) Affected: Trunk & Secondary - Capital Improvements

A. EXPENDITURES: (Thousands of dollars)

OBJECT	FY 76	FY 77	FY 78	FY 79	FY 80	FY 81
100 PERSONAL SERVICES		14.8	24.3			
200 TRAVEL		.6	.3			
300 CONTRACTUAL		40.0	360.0			
400 COMMODITIES		-0-	-0-			
500 EQUIPMENT		-0-	-0-			
600 LAND & STRUCTURES		-0-	-0-			
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.						
Contingency		3.6	56.4			
TOTAL	-0-	59.0	441.0	-0-	-0-	-0-

B. FUNDING: (Thousands of dollars)

GENERAL FUND		59.0	441.0			
FEDERAL FUNDS		-0-	-0-			
OTHER	z	-0-	-0-			

C. POSITIONS:

PERMANENT/TEMPORARY	/	/	/	/	/	/
MAN MONTHS (P./T.)	/	/	/	/	/	/

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

Attached

IV. ATTACHMENTS

V. DATE: February 23, 1976 PREPARED BY: Roger Shaw

Roger Shaw
Public Works Review Officer

Original: Legislative Finance
 cc: Budget and Management
 Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

ANALYSIS ASSUMPTIONS:

1. Projects would provide new airstrip in a village where an adequate airstrip does not now exist.
2. Planning, design and land acquisition could begin during FY 77.
3. Construction would not likely commence before the end of FY 77 and continue through part of FY 78.
4. Lack of adequate Federal ADAP funds will require 100% General Fund authorization.
5. Planning and design to be accomplished by continuing currently authorized CIP personnel positions.
6. Necessary land will be donated to the State.

THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA
FISCAL NOTE
 Second Session - Ninth Legislature

I. REQUEST

Bill No. House Bill No. 762
 Title: Nelson Lagoon Airport Construction
 Requested by: Legislative Finance Date: _____
 Return Date Requested: February 23, 1976
 Agency: Public Works Program: Trunk & Secondary - Regional
Operations

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Budget Request Unit(s) Affected: _____

A. EXPENDITURES: (Thousands of dollars)

OBJECT	FY 76	FY 77	FY 78	FY 79	FY 80	FY 81
100 PERSONAL SERVICES						
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL			8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0
400 COMMODITIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.						
TOTAL			8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0

B. FUNDING: (Thousands of dollars)

GENERAL FUND			8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER						

C. POSITIONS:

PERMANENT/TEMPORARY	/	/	/	/	/	/
MAN MONTHS (P./T.)	/	/	/	/	/	/

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

Field maintenance will be necessary after completion of the construction project.

IV. ATTACHMENTS

V. DATE: February 23, 1976 PREPARED BY: _____

Roger Shaw
 Roger Shaw
 Public Works Review Officer

Original: Legislative Finance
 cc: Budget and Management
 Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

2052
Walker

Introduced: 2/13/76
Referred: State Affairs and
Finance

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY OSTERBACK

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 762

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 NINTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act making a special appropriation to the Depart-
7 ment of Public Works; and providing for an effective
8 date."

9 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

10 * Section 1. The sum of \$500,000 is appropriated to the Department of
11 Public Works for the purpose of constructing an airport facility at Nelson
12 Lagoon.

13 * Sec. 2. The unexpended and unobligated portion of this appropriation
14 lapses into the general fund June 30, 1977.

15 * Sec. 3. This Act takes effect immediately in accordance with AS 01.10.-
16 070(c).

ALASKA STATE LEGISLATURE

NINTH Legislature SECOND Session

HOUSE BILL NO. 762

By ...

In the ... a special appropriation to the Department of Public Works, and providing for an effective date.

Dept. of Public Works/Aviation

Introduced in the House ... 1976

HISTORY IN THE HOUSE

19 76

Feb 13

Read first time and referred to Committee on

State Affairs and Finance

Reported back with recommendation that

Read second time and

Read third time and

PASS Effective Date
Yeas Yeas
Nays Nays
Absent Absent
Excused Excused

Reconsideration

PASS Effective Date
Yeas Yeas
Nays Nays
Absent Absent
Excused Excused

Reported correctly engrossed
Signed by Speaker
Sent to Senate

CHIEF CLERK OF THE HOUSE

HISTORY IN THE SENATE

19

Read first time and referred to Committee on

Reported back with recommendation that

Read second time and

Read third time and

PASS Effective Date
Yeas Yeas
Nays Nays
Absent Absent
Excused Excused

Reconsideration

PASS Effective Date
Yeas Yeas
Nays Nays
Absent Absent
Excused Excused

Reported correctly engrossed
Signed by President
Returned to House

SECRETARY OF THE SENATE

HISTORY IN THE HOUSE

19

Received from Senate

Reported correctly enrolled

Sent to Governor

..... By Governor

Filed with Lt. Governor

Chapter No.



RECORDS CERTIFICATION



I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James O. Smith
Signature of Camera Operator

2/6/90
Date

COMMITTEE REPORT

3/12/76

HOUSE

Mr. Speaker:

Date May 25 1976

The Committee on FINANCE has had HB 763

under consideration. A Majority of the members of the Committee

recommends it DO PASS

recommends it DO NOT PASS

recommends it DO PASS WITH ATTACHED AMENDMENT(S)

recommends it BE REPLACED WITH CS FOR HB 763 AND THAT

CS FOR HB 763 DO PASS

"and" recommends it BE REFERRED TO THE _____

COMMITTEE

reports it back WITHOUT RECOMMENDATION

"other"

Members signing the Majority report:

<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	_____
<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	_____
<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	_____
_____	_____	_____

Members NOT concurring in the Majority report:

[Signature] recommends: No Rec

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

[Signature] [Signature] Chairman

Original sponsor: Osterback

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY THE FINANCE COMMITTEE

2 CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 753 (Finance)

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 NINTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to instructional unit allotments
7 under the public school foundation program."

8 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

9 * Section 1. AS 14.17.051(a)(6, and (8) are amended to read:

10 (6) if the district or area is in that part of the state ly-
11 ing within the boundaries of election district [12,] 13 [OR 18,] the
12 district or area shall receive 126.25 per cent of the base instructional
13 unit allotment;

14 (8) if the district or area is in that part of the state ly-
15 ing within the boundaries of election district 12, 15, 16 (north of the
16 Arctic Circle), [OR] 17 or 18, the district or area shall receive 133.75
17 per cent of the base instructional unit allotment.

29

Offered: 3/12/76
Referred: Finance

Original sponsor: Osterback

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY THE SELECT COMMITTEE
ON EDUCATION

2 CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 763

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 NINTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to instructional unit allotments
7 under the public school foundation program."

8 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

9 * Section 1. AS 14.17.051(a)(6), (7) and (8) are amended to read:

10 (6) if the district or area is in that part of the state ly-
11 ing within the boundaries of election district [12,] 13 or 18, the
12 district or area shall receive 126.25 per cent of the base instructional
13 unit allotment;

14 (7) if the district or area is in the part of the state lying
15 within the boundaries of election district 14 [OR 19], the district or
16 area shall receive 130 per cent of the base instructional unit allo-
17 ment;

18 (8) if the district or area is in that part of the state ly-
19 ing within the boundaries of election district 12, 15, 16 (north of the
20 Arctic Circle), [OR] 17 or 19, the district or area shall receive 133.75
21 per cent of the base instructional unit allotment.

Introduced: 2/13/76
Referred: Select Committee on
Education and Finance

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY OSTERBACK

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 763

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 NINTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to instructional unit allotments
7 under the public school foundation program."

8 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

9 * Section 1. AS 14.17.051(a)(6) and (8) are amended to read:

10 (6) if the district or area is in that part of the state ly-
11 ing within the boundaries of election district [1?,] 13 or 18, the
12 district or area shall receive 126.25 per cent of the base instructional
13 unit allotment;

14 (8) if the district or area is in that part of the state ly-
15 ing within the boundaries of election district 12, 15, 16 (north of the
16 Arctic Circle), or 17, the district or area shall receive 133.75 per
17 cent of the base instructional unit allotment.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

#

THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA
FISCAL NOTE

Second Session - Ninth Legislature

I. REQUEST

Bill No. HB 763
 Title: An Act relating to IU allotments under public school foundation
 Requested by: Rep. Osterback Date: 2/k7/76
 Return Date Requested: _____
 Agency: Education Program: Financial Support

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Budget Request Unit(s) Affected: Financial Support

A. EXPENDITURES: (Thousands of dollars)

OBJECT	FY 76	FY 77	FY 78	FY 79	FY 80	FY 81
100 PERSONAL SERVICES						
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL						
400 COMMODITIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.		295.9	304.7	313.9	323.3	333.0
TOTAL						

B. FUNDING: (Thousands of dollars)

GENERAL FUND		295.9	304.7	313.9	323.3	333.0
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER						

C. POSITIONS:

PERMANENT/TEMPORARY	/	/	/	/	/	/
MAN MONTHS (P./T.)	/	/	/	/	/	/

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

	<u>Increase</u>		<u>Increase</u>
Akutan	3,938	Chignik	5,907
Atka	3,938	Chignik Lagoon	3,938
Belkofski	1,969	Chignik Lake	5,907
Cold Bay	1,876	St. Paul Island	3,938
False Pass	3,938	St. George Island	5,907
King Cove	92,134	Adak	88,605
Nelson Lagoon	3,938	Perryville	5,907
Nikolski	3,938	Ivanoff Bay	1,969
Sand Point	23,628	Unalaska	28,505

Projection based on 3% increase.

IV. ATTACHMENTS

V. DATE: Feb. 23, 1976 PREPARED BY: Samuel C. Greiner

Original: Legislative Finance
 cc: Budget and Management
 Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)



RECORDS CERTIFICATION



I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James O. Smith
Signature of Camera Operator

2/6/90
Date

COMMITTEE REPORT

SENATE

5/27/76

5/27/76 Date

Mr. President:

The Committee on FINANCE has had CS HB 763 (Fin)
Instructional unit allotments under the public school foundation program
under consideration. A majority of the members of the Committee

- recommends it do pass
- recommends it do not pass
- recommends it do pass with attached amendment(s)
- recommends it be replaced with CS for _____ and that
CS for _____ do pass
- (and) recommends it be referred to the _____
committee
- reports it back without recommendation
- AND attaches a report of its intent
- (other) _____

MEMBERS SIGNING THE MAJORITY REPORT:

Bill Ray _____
Walter _____
Raymond _____
James _____

MEMBERS NOT CONCURRING IN THE MAJORITY REPORT:

_____ recommends: _____
_____ recommends: _____
_____ recommends: _____

Bill Ray
Chairman

THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

FISCAL NOTE

Second Session - Ninth Legislature

I. REQUEST ^{CS} [127] →
 Bill No. HB 763
 Title: An Act relating to IU allotments under public school foundation
 Requested by: Rep. Osterback Date: 2/17/76
 Return Date Requested:
 Agency: Education Program: Financial Support

II. FISCAL DETAIL
 Budget Request Unit(s) Affected: Financial Support

A. EXPENDITURES: (Thousands of dollars)

OBJECT	FY 76	FY 77	FY 78	FY 79	FY 80	FY 81
100 PERSONAL SERVICES						
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL						
400 COMMODITIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.		539.9	304.7	313.9	323.3	333.0
TOTAL						

B. FUNDING: (Thousands of dollars)

GENERAL FUND	539.9	304.7	313.9	323.3	333.0
FEDERAL FUNDS					
OTHER					

C. POSITIONS:

PERMANENT/TEMPORARY	/	/	/	/	/	/
MAN MONTHS (P/T.)	/	/	/	/	/	/

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

	Increase		Increase
Akutan	3,938	Chignik	5,907
Atka	3,938	Chignik Lagoon	3,938
Belkofski	1,969	Chignik Lake	5,907
Cold Bay	7,876	St. Paul Island	3,938
False Pass	3,938	St. George Island	5,907
King Cove	92,134	Adak	88,695
Nelson Lagoon	3,938	Perryville	5,907
Nikolski	3,938	Ivanoff Bay	1,969
Sand Point	23,628	Unalaska	28,505

Projection based on 3% increase.

IV. ATTACHMENTS

V. DATE: Feb. 23, 1976 PREPARED BY: Samuel C. Linn

Original: Legislative Finance
 cc: Budget and Management
 Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

FISCAL NOTE

Second Session - Ninth Legislature

I. REQUEST ^{CS} [127] →
 Bill No. HD 763
 Title: An Act relating to IU allotments under public school foundation
 Requested by: Rep. Osterback Date: 2/17/76
 Return Date Requested: _____
 Agency: Education Program: Financial Support

II. FISCAL DETAIL
 Budget Request Unit(s) Affected: Financial Support
 A. EXPENDITURES: (Thousands of dollars)

OBJECT	FY 76	FY 77	FY 78	FY 79	FY 80	FY 81
100 PERSONAL SERVICES						
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL						
400 COMMODITIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC			304.7	313.9	323.3	335.0
		<u>539.9</u>				
TOTAL						

B. FUNDING: (Thousands of dollars)

GENERAL FUND	<u>539.9</u>	304.7	313.9	323.3	335.0
FEDERAL FUNDS					
OTHER					

C. POSITIONS:

PERMANENT/TEMPORARY	FY 76	FY 77	FY 78	FY 79	FY 80	FY 81
MAN MONTHS (P./T.)	/	/	/	/	/	/

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

	<u>Increase</u>		<u>Increase</u>
Akutan	3,938	Chignik	5,907
Atka	3,938	Chignik Lagoon	3,938
Belkofski	1,969	Chignik Lake	5,907
Cold Bay	7,876	St. Paul Island	3,938
False Pass	3,938	St. George Island	5,907
King Cove	92,134	Adak	88,605
Nelson Lagoon	3,938	Perryville	5,907
Nikolski	3,938	Ivanoff Bay	1,969
Sand Point	23,628	Unalaska	28,505

Projection based on 3% increase.

IV. ATTACHMENTS

V. DATE: Feb. 23, 1976 PREPARED BY: Samuel P. Jensen

Original: Legislative Finance
 cc: Budget and Management
 Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

FISCAL NOTE

Second Session - Ninth Legislature

I. REQUEST CS [127] →
 Bill No. HB 763
 Title: An Act relating to III allotments under public school foundation
 Requested by: Rep. Osterback Date: 2/17/76
 Return Date Requested:
 Agency: Education Program: Financial Support

II. FISCAL DETAIL
 Budget Request Unit(s) Affected: Financial Support
 A. EXPENDITURES: (Thousands of dollars)

OBJECT	FY 76	FY 77	FY 78	FY 79	FY 80	FY 81
100 PERSONAL SERVICES						
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL						
400 COMMODITIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.		295.9	304.7	313.9	323.3	333.0
		539.9				
TOTAL		539.9				

B. FUNDING: (Thousands of dollars)

GENERAL FUND	539.9	304.7	313.9	323.3	333.0
FEDERAL FUNDS	295.9				
OTHER					

C. POSITIONS:

PERMANENT/TEMPORARY	/	/	/	/	/	/
MAN MONTHS (P./T.)	/	/	/	/	/	/

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

	Increase		Increase
Akutan	3,938	Chignik	5,907
Atka	3,938	Chignik Lagoon	3,938
Belkofski	1,969	Chignik Lake	5,907
Cold Bay	7,876	St. Paul Island	3,938
False Pass	3,938	St. George Island	5,907
King Cove	92,134	Adak	88,605
Nelson Lagoon	3,938	Perryville	5,907
Nikolski	3,938	Ivanoff Bay	1,969
Sand Point	23,628	Unalaska	28,505

Projection based on 3% increase.

IV. ATTACHMENTS

V. DATE: Feb. 23, 1976 PREPARED BY: Samuel C. Green

Original: Legislative Finance
 cc: Budget and Management
 Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

Offered: 3/12/76
Referred: Finance

Original sponsor: Osterback

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY THE SELECT COMMITTEE
ON EDUCATION

2 CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 763

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 NINTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to instructional unit allotments
7 under the public school foundation program."

8 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

9 * Section 1. AS 14.17.051(a)(6), (7) and (8) are amended to read:

10 (6) if the district or area is in that part of the state ly-
11 ing within the boundaries of election district [12,] 13 or 18, the
12 district or area shall receive 126.25 per cent of the base instructional
13 unit allotment;

14 (7) if the district or area is in the part of the state lying
15 within the boundaries of election district 14 [OR 19], the district or
16 area shall receive 130 per cent of the base instructional unit allot-
17 ment;

18 (8) if the district or area is in that part of the state ly-
19 ing within the boundaries of election district 12, 15, 16 (north of the
20 Arctic Circle), [OR] 17 or 19, the district or area shall receive 133.75
21 per cent of the base instructional unit allotment.

Offered: 3/12/76
Referred: Finance

Original sponsor: Osterback

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY THE SELECT COMMITTEE
ON EDUCATION

2 CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 763

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 NINTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to instructional unit allotments
7 under the public school foundation program."

8 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

9 * Section 1. AS 14.17.051(a)(6), (7) and (8) are amended to read:

10 (6) if the district or area is in that part of the state ly-
11 ing within the boundaries of election district [12,] 13 or 18, the
12 district or area shall receive 126.25 per cent of the base instructional
13 unit allotment;

14 (7) if the district or area is in the part of the state lying
15 within the boundaries of election district 14 [OR 19], the district or
16 area shall receive 130 per cent of the base instructional unit allot-
17 ment;

18 (8) if the district or area is in that part of the state ly-
19 ing within the boundaries of election district 12, 15, 16 (north of the
20 Arctic Circle), [OR] 17 or 19, the district or area shall receive 133.75
21 per cent of the base instructional unit allotment.

Introduced: 2/13/76
Referred: Select Committee on
Education and Finance

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY OSTERBACK

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 763

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 NINTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to instructional unit allotments
7 under the public school foundation program."

8 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

9 * Section 1. AS 14.17.051(a)(6) and (8) are amended to read:

10 (6) if the district or area is in that part of the state ly-
11 ing within the boundaries of election district [12,] 13 or 18, the
12 district or area shall receive 126.25 per cent of the base instructional
13 unit allotment;

14 (8) if the district or area is in that part of the state ly-
15 ing within the boundaries of election district 12, 15, 16 (north of the
16 Arctic Circle), or 17, the district or area shall receive 133.75 per
17 cent of the base instructional unit allotment.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

#

Introduced: 2/13/76
Referred: Select Committee on
Education and Finance

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY OSTERBACK

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 763

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 NINTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to instructional unit allotments
7 under the public school foundation program."

8 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

9 * Section 1. AS 14.17.051(a)(6) and (8) are amended to read:

10 (6) if the district or area is in that part of the state ly-
11 ing within the boundaries of election district [12,] 13 or 18, the
12 district or area shall receive 126.25 per cent of the base instructional
13 unit allotment;

14 (8) if the district or area is in that part of the state ly-
15 ing within the boundaries of election district 12, 15, 16 (north of the
16 Arctic Circle), or 17, the district or area shall receive 133.75 per
17 cent of the base instructional unit allotment.

18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

#