

LEG. FINANCE - BILLS

1975 - 1976

463

HB 731 thru HB 739

468



RECORDS CERTIFICATION



I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James O. Smith
Signature of Camera Operator

2/6/90
Date

COMMITTEE REPORT

~~XXXX~~ 5/5/76

SENATE

Mr. President:

Date _____

The Committee on Finance has had HB 731 spec. appro. to the Dept. of Commerce & Economic Development for tourism under consideration. A Majority of the members of the Committee study

- recommends it DO PASS
- recommends it DO NOT PASS
- recommends it DO PASS WITH ATTACHED AMENDMENT(S)
- recommends it BE REPLACED WITH CS FOR _____ AND THAT
CS FOR _____ DO PASS
- "and" recommends it BE REFERRED TO THE _____
COMMITTEE
- reports it back WITHOUT RECOMMENDATION
- "other"

Members signing the Majority report:

_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

Members NOT concurring in the Majority report:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ Chairman

COMMITTEE REPORT

3/30/76!

SENATE

Finance

Mr. President:

Date 5-5-76

The Committee on Commerce has had HB 731 spec. approp. to Dept. of Commerce & Economic Development for tourism study under consideration. A Majority of the members of the Committee

- recommends it DO PASS
- recommends it DO NOT PASS
- recommends it DO PASS WITH ATTACHED AMENDMENT(S)
- recommends it BE REPLACED WITH CS FOR _____ AND THAT CS FOR _____ DO PASS
- "and" recommends it BE REFERRED TO THE _____ COMMITTEE
- reports it back ~~WITH~~ ^{instructed} RECOMMENDATION
- "other"

Members signing the Majority report:

<u>J. Kutza</u>	<u>Do-pass</u>
<u>Ed Willis</u>	<u>Do-pass</u>
<u>Collitta</u>	<u>Do pass most needed</u>
<u>Brad Bradley</u>	<u>No pass</u>

Members NOT concurring in the Majority report:

3ugh recommends: no rec.

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

J. Kutza Chairman

2122
Hall

Introduced: 2/11/76
Referred: Commerce and Finance

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY DUNCAN

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 731

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 NINTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act making a special appropriation to the Depart-
7 ment of Commerce and Economic Development for a study
8 of the economic impact of tourism and the establishment
9 of a data collection system; and providing for an effec-
10 tive date."

11 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

12 * Section 1. The sum of \$125,000 is appropriated from the general fund
13 to the Department of Commerce and Economic Development to conduct a study of
14 the economic impact of tourism on the state and for the establishment of a
15 visitor data collection system.

16 * Sec. 2. The unexpended and unobligated portion of this appropriation
17 lapses into the general fund June 30, 1977.

18 * Sec. 3. This Act takes effect immediately in accordance with AS 01.10.-
19 070(c).

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
COMMITTEE COPY

ALASKA STATE LEGISLATURE

NINETY Legislature SECOND Session

HOUSE BILL NO. 731

By: ...

"An Act making a special appropriation to the Department of Commerce and Economic Development for a study of the economic impact of tourism and the establishment of a data collection system; and providing for an effective date."

Study/tourism - data collection

Introduced in the House ...2/11... 1976.

HISTORY IN THE HOUSE

1976	Feb 11	Read first time and referred to Committee on Commerce and Finance
	Mar 8	Reported back with recommendation that committee report to Finance
	Mar 19	Referred to Rules
	Mar 26	Read second time and Referred from Calendar to Rules
	Mar 29	Read third time and
	Mar 29	PASS 44 Effective Date Yeas 33 Nays Absent Excused 6
		Reconsideration PASS Effective Date Yeas Nays Absent Excused
	Mar 29	Reported correctly engrossed
	" 29	Signed by Speaker
	" 29	Sent to Senate
		<i>Gene Rasken</i> CHIEF CLERK OF THE HOUSE

HISTORY IN THE SENATE

1976	3 20	Read first time and referred to Committee on Commerce
	5 5	Reported back with recommendation that committee report to Finance
		Read second time and
		Read third time and
		PASS Effective Date Yeas Nays Absent Excused
		Reconsideration PASS Effective Date Yeas Nays Absent Excused
		Reported correctly engrossed
		Signed by President
		Returned to House
		SECRETARY OF THE SENATE

HISTORY IN THE HOUSE

19		Received from Senate
		Reported correctly enrolled
		Sent to Governor
		By Governor
		Filed with Lt. Governor
		Chapter No.

THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA
FISCAL NOTE

Second Session - Ninth Legislature

I. REQUEST

Bill No. HB 731

Title: Economic Impact of Tourism, Establish Tourist Data Collection System

Requested by: _____ Date: _____

Return Date Requested: _____

Agency: Commerce & Economic Development Program: Economic Enterprise - Economic Planning Section

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Budget Request Unit(s) Affected: _____

A. EXPENDITURES: (Thousands of dollars)

OBJECT	FY 75	FY 77	FY 78	FY 79	FY 80	FY 81
100 PERSONAL SERVICES		18	14			
200 TRAVEL		4	3			
300 CONTRACTUAL		110	80			
400 COMMODITIES		3	3			
500 EQUIPMENT		-0-	-0-			
600 LAND & STRUCTURES		-0-	-0-			
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.		-0-	-0-			
TOTAL		135	100			

B. FUNDING: (Thousands of dollars)

GENERAL FUND		125	91			
FEDERAL FUNDS		10	9			
OTHER						

C. POSITIONS:

PERMANENT/TEMPORARY	/	1/	1/	/	/	/
M.N MONTHS (P./T.)	/	9/	7/	/	/	/

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

Program summary on attached page.

The federal funding of 11 B will be drawn from an existing program of long-range economic research which is 75 percent funded by a federal grant.

The positions shown in item C are not new positions, people filling existing positions will be utilized.

IV. ATTACHMENTS

V. DATE: February 23, 1976 PREPARED BY: James R. Deagen

Original: Legislative Finance
cc: Budget and Management
Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

Issue Statement

Generally, tourism has been considered a substantial contributor to the Alaskan economy, and a potential source of perpetual economic activity that might be more fully developed. At least two studies have been made to assess the potential for increasing the economic activity in the tourist industry: 1) Cresap, McCormick, Paget Alaska Tourism Study of 1968, 2) More recently, the Alaska tourism industry has been criticized for being a "drain" on the economy, 3) Another study made for the states of Idaho, Oregon and Washington criticized the tourist industry for its seasonality and lack of potential upward mobility for its employees. None of the studies addressed all the questions of considerable significance:

1. Revenues generated at a specific time in the tourist industry.
2. Employment, both permanent and seasonal, in the industry.
3. Secondary income and employment created in other Alaskan industries as a result of that in the tourist industry.
4. Revenues to local and State Government generated from activity in the tourist industry.
5. State costs associated with providing special services to the tourist industry - advertising, ferry system, parks, campground, etc.
6. The number of tourist that visit Alaska and the regions they tour.
7. The method of travel to Alaska.

Objectives

The objectives of this study are to assess the present day contributions of the tourist industry to Alaska and its regional economies and the costs and revenues to the respective governments. Hopefully, the study will provide an indication as to whether the industry should be promoted with public funds and to what extent, which is an even more difficult problem. As a result of the study the Division of Tourism may want to modify its promotional efforts to achieve a greater gain to the citizens of Alaska.

Methodology

The proposal is addressed to the problem of collecting detailed data on tourist expenditures through direct contact of firms in the tourist industry, state and local revenues obtained from the industry, state costs associated with encouraging tourism, and the general characteristics of tourists to Alaska. To obtain answers to these questions, two surveys will have to be conducted, as well as an analysis of public data:

1. A systematic survey will be made of firms considered to be included in the tourist industry which is defined to include segments of transportation and transportation services, gasoline service stations, eating and drinking establishments, lodging places, and amusement and recreation services. The survey will be directed toward such questions as: (a) 1975 gross revenues, (b) number and type of employees, (c) the areas from which the firms purchase their goods and services, and (d) the taxes paid by type to State and local governments.
2. Stratified random sample will be conducted of persons entering Alaska by mode of travel. The questionnaire will ask such questions as: (a) the purpose of their trip, (b) their origin and destination, (c) points in Alaska to be visited, (d) number in the party, and (e) expected expenditures in Alaska.

A comprehensive perusal of data publicly available and on file with State agencies should provide: (a) additional information to test individual hypothesis and, (b) a method by which to determine the reliability of the results obtained from the questionnaires.

The study will attempt to measure the contribution of the tourist industry to the Alaskan economy. Likewise, the costs and potential and benefits from the State's promotional activities will be measured at one point in time. No attempt will be made to determine the long-run growth potential of tourism in Alaska.



RECORDS CERTIFICATION



I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James O. Smith
Signature of Camera Operator

2/6/90
Date

"An Act making a special appropriation to the Department of Public Works for pier and float construction; effective date."

COMMITTEE REPORT

3/4/76

HOUSE

Mr. Speaker:

Date _____

The Committee on FINANCE has had HB 736

under consideration. A Majority of the members of the Committee

recommends it DO PASS

recommends it DO NOT PASS

recommends it DO PASS WITH ATTACHED AMENDMENT(S)

recommends it BE REPLACED WITH CS FOR _____ AND THAT

CS FOR _____ DO PASS

"and" recommends it BE REFERRED TO THE _____

COMMITTEE

reports it back WITHOUT RECOMMENDATION

"other"

Members signing the Majority report:

_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

Members NOT concurring in the Majority report:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ Chairman

"An Act making a special appropriation to the Department of Public Works for pier and float construction; and providing for an effective date."

COMMITTEE REPORT

2/11/76

HOUSE

FINANCE

Mr. Speaker:

Date 3-3-76

The Committee on STATE AFFAIRS has had HB 736

under consideration. A Majority of the members of the Committee

recommends it DO PASS

recommends it DO NOT PASS

recommends it DO PASS WITH ATTACHED AMENDMENT(S)

recommends it BE REPLACED WITH CS FOR _____ AND THAT

CS FOR _____ DO PASS

"and" recommends it BE REFERRED TO THE _____

COMMITTEE

reports it back WITHOUT RECOMMENDATION

"other"

Members signing the Majority report:

<u>Joe McKinnon</u>	<u>DO PASS</u>	_____
<u>Ben Park</u>	_____	_____
<u>Joe McKinnon</u>	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

Members NOT concurring in the Majority report:

_____ recommends: no action

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

Joe McKinnon Chairman

THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA
FISCAL NOTE
 Second Session - Ninth Legislature

I. REQUEST

Bill No. House Bill No. 736
 Title: Float Construction at Sand Point & King Cove
 Requested by: House State Affairs Date: February 17, 1976
 Return Date Requested: February 17, 1976
 Agency: Public Works Program: Water & Harbors

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Budget Request Unit(s) Affected: Water & Harbors

A. EXPENDITURES: (Thousands of dollars)

OBJECT	FY 76	FY 77	FY 78	FY 79	FY 80	FY 81
100 PERSONAL SERVICES		24.0				
200 TRAVEL		16.0				
300 CONTRACTUAL		760.0				
400 COMMODITIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.						
TOTAL		800.0				

B. FUNDING: (Thousands of dollars)

GENERAL FUND		800.0				
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER						

C. POSITIONS:

PERMANENT/TEMPORARY	/	/	/	/	/	/
MAN MONTHS (P./T.)	/	/	/	/	/	/

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

It is assumed that the appropriation will be added to the Water and Harbors program and the project completed early in the 1978 construction season. Not more than 5% administrative, engineering and travel costs are anticipated.

IV. ATTACHMENTS

V. DATE: 2-17-76 PREPARED BY: *John Slatten*
 Director, Division of Water & Harbors

Original: Legislative Finance
 cc: Budget and Management
 Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

See original bills

2034
Bennett

Introduced: 2/11/76
Referred: State Affairs and
Finance

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY OSTERBACK AND ANDERSON

2 HOUSE BILL NO.736

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 NINTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act making a special appropriation to the Depart-
7 ment of Public Works for pier and float construction;
8 and providing for an effective date."

9 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

10 * Section 1. The sum of \$800,000 is appropriated from the general fund
11 to the Department of Public Works for the construction of piers and floats,
12 \$400,000 of which is to be allocated to Sand Point and \$400,000 of which is
13 to be allocated to King Cove.

14 * Sec. 2. This Act takes effect July 1, 1976.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

ALASKA STATE LEGISLATURE

..... Legislature Session

HOUSE BILL NO. 736

By OSTERMANN AND ANDERSON

"An Act to provide a special appropriation for the construction of public docks for pier and float construction and providing for an effective date."

Pier & float construction/appropriation

Introduced in the House 2/11 19 76

HISTORY IN THE HOUSE

19 76	Read first time and referred to Committee on State Affairs and Finance												
Feb 11	Reported back with recommendation that												
	Read second time and												
	Read third time and												
	<table border="0"> <tr> <td>PASS</td> <td>Effective Date</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Yeas</td> <td>Yeas</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Nays</td> <td>Nays</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Absent</td> <td>Absent</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Excused</td> <td>Excused</td> </tr> </table>	PASS	Effective Date	Yeas	Yeas	Nays	Nays	Absent	Absent	Excused	Excused		
PASS	Effective Date												
Yeas	Yeas												
Nays	Nays												
Absent	Absent												
Excused	Excused												
	<table border="0"> <tr> <td colspan="2">Reconsideration</td> </tr> <tr> <td>PASS</td> <td>Effective Date</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Yeas</td> <td>Yeas</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Nays</td> <td>Nays</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Absent</td> <td>Absent</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Excused</td> <td>Excused</td> </tr> </table>	Reconsideration		PASS	Effective Date	Yeas	Yeas	Nays	Nays	Absent	Absent	Excused	Excused
Reconsideration													
PASS	Effective Date												
Yeas	Yeas												
Nays	Nays												
Absent	Absent												
Excused	Excused												
	Reported correctly engrossed												
	Signed by Speaker												
	Sent to Senate												
	CHIEF CLERK OF THE HOUSE												

HISTORY IN THE SENATE

19	Read first time and referred to Committee on												
	Reported back with recommendation that												
	Read second time and												
	Read third time and												
	<table border="0"> <tr> <td>PASS</td> <td>Effective Date</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Yeas</td> <td>Yeas</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Nays</td> <td>Nays</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Absent</td> <td>Absent</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Excused</td> <td>Excused</td> </tr> </table>	PASS	Effective Date	Yeas	Yeas	Nays	Nays	Absent	Absent	Excused	Excused		
PASS	Effective Date												
Yeas	Yeas												
Nays	Nays												
Absent	Absent												
Excused	Excused												
	<table border="0"> <tr> <td colspan="2">Reconsideration</td> </tr> <tr> <td>PASS</td> <td>Effective Date</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Yeas</td> <td>Yeas</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Nays</td> <td>Nays</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Absent</td> <td>Absent</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Excused</td> <td>Excused</td> </tr> </table>	Reconsideration		PASS	Effective Date	Yeas	Yeas	Nays	Nays	Absent	Absent	Excused	Excused
Reconsideration													
PASS	Effective Date												
Yeas	Yeas												
Nays	Nays												
Absent	Absent												
Excused	Excused												
	Reported correctly engrossed												
	Signed by President												
	Returned to House												
	SECRETARY OF THE SENATE												

HISTORY IN THE HOUSE

19	Received from Senate
	Reported correctly enrolled
	Sent to Governor
 By Governor
	Filed with Lt. Governor
	Chapter No.



RECORDS CERTIFICATION



I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James O. Smith
Signature of Camera Operator

2/6/90
Date

"An Act ~~relating~~ making a special appropriation to the Department of Public Works for a small boat harbor feasibility study at St. Paul Island; effective date."

COMMITTEE REPORT

2/17/76

HOUSE

Mr. Speaker:

Date March 12 1976

The Committee on FINANCE has had HB 737

under consideration. A Majority of the members of the Committee

recommends it DO PASS

recommends it DO NOT PASS

recommends it DO PASS WITH ATTACHED AMENDMENT(S)

recommends it BE REPLACED WITH CS FOR _____ AND THAT
CS FOR _____ DO PASS

"and" recommends it BE REFERRED TO THE _____
COMMITTEE

reports it back WITHOUT RECOMMENDATION

"other"

Members signing the Majority report:

<u>[Signature]</u>	_____	_____
<u>[Signature]</u>	_____	_____
<u>[Signature]</u>	_____	_____
<u>[Signature]</u>	_____	_____

Members NOT concurring in the Majority report:

<u>[Signature]</u>	recommends: <u>None</u>
<u>[Signature]</u>	recommends: <u>No Pass</u>
<u>[Signature]</u>	recommends: <u>no rec</u>
<u>[Signature]</u>	recommends: _____
<u>[Signature]</u>	recommends: _____

[Signature] Chairman

Introduced: 2/11/76
Referred: State Affairs and
Finance

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY OSTERBACK AND ANDERSON

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 737

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 NINTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act making a special appropriation to the Depart-
7 ment of Public Works for a small boat harbor feasi-
8 bility study at St. Paul Island; and providing for an
9 effective date."

10 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

11 * Section 1. The sum of \$50,000 is appropriated from the general fund to
12 the Department of Public Works to conduct a feasibility study of the con-
13 struction of a small boat harbor at St. Paul Island.

14 * Sec. 2. The unexpended and unobligated portion of this appropriation
15 lapses into the general fund June 30, 1977.

16 * Sec. 3. This Act takes effect July 1, 1976.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA
FISCAL NOTE

Second Session - Ninth Legislature

I. REQUEST

Bill No. House Bill No. 737
 Title: Study for St. Paul Small Boat Harbor
 Requested by: House State Affairs Date: 2/13/76
 Return Date Requested: _____
 Agency: Public Works Program: Water & Harbors

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Budget Request Unit(s) Affected: _____

A. EXPENDITURES: (Thousands of dollars)

OBJECT	FY 76	FY 77	FY 78	FY 79	FY 80	FY 81
100 PERSONAL SERVICES		2.5				
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL		47.5				
400 COMMODITIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.						
TOTAL		50.0				

B. FUNDING: (Thousands of dollars)

GENERAL FUND		50.0				
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER						

C. POSITIONS:

PERMANENT/TEMPORARY	/	/	/	/	/	/
MAN MONTHS (P./T.)	/	/	/	/	/	/

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)


It is expected that costs associated with administration of a contract to complete the study will not exceed 5% of the contract amount.

NOTE: The Corps of Engineers has an authorized but unfunded study pending on a possible harbor at St. Paul. The study is deferred until such time that the local government can furnish needed economic justification. If such data cannot be submitted a negative report will be submitted. If such justification can be compiled the Corps will seek study funds from the Congress. Preliminary estimates place the cost of a Small Boat Harbor for St. Paul at \$4,000,000.

IV. ATTACHMENTS

V. DATE: 2-13-76 PREPARED BY: Don Statter, Director, Water & Harbors

Original: Legislative Finance
 cc: Budget and Management
 Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)



SAINT PAUL ALASKA

U. S. ARMY ENGINEER DISTRICT, ALASKA
 Planning and Reports Branch
 Prepared by RGS Date 12 Jan 72

TO: Jay S. Hammond, Governor
State of Alaska

RP 76-295

DATE : April 2, 1976

FROM: Andrew S. Warwick, Commissioner
Department of Administration

SUBJECT: Project Reallocation - 1972
Boat Harbor Bond Authorization

The Department of Public Works requests your approval to increase the allocations for the ten small boat harbor projects specifically listed in the \$10,000,000 Chapter 201, SLA 1972 Small Boat Harbor Bond Authorization. In addition the Department requests an initial allocation of funds for the Ketchikan and Kasilof projects from the same 1972 Bond Authorization. The increases in allocations and requested initial allocations total \$3,600,000 which is the amount listed in the bond project list under "Other Harbor Projects".


The original project list contained in the Bond Authorization and requested reallocation is as follows:

<u>Project</u>	<u>Amount In Chapter 201</u>	<u>Recommended Reallocation</u>
King Cove	300,000	400,000
Sand Point	850,000	1,600,000
Hoonah	850,000	1,000,000
Kake	300,000	500,000
Wrangell	700,000	1,400,000
Metlakatla	700,000	1,000,000
Haines	800,000	1,000,000
Kodiak	1,000,000	1,000,000
Unalaska	400,000	400,000
Bethel	500,000	400,000
"Other"	3,600,000	----
Ketchikan	----	900,000
Kasilof	----	400,000
TOTAL	<u>10,000,000</u>	<u>10,000,000</u>

The increases in allocations to the existing ten projects are due to a variety of reasons but generally result from the rapid inflation of estimated construction costs. Federal funds to construct the outer breakwaters have generally been received at a slower rate than originally anticipated. The State funding participation is generally on the inner harbor portion of the projects and can only be expended when the construction of the outer protection is sufficiently in progress to insure protection.


Approval of the increased allocations will result in a more realistic estimated construction cost and will lessen the possibility of misinterpreting the availability of funds for other projects.

No additional appropriations of State funds are required and your approval is requested in accordance with the provisions of SLA 1972, Chapter 201.



Andrew S. Warwick, Commissioner
Department of Administration

Approved this 6 day of May, 1976


Jay S. Hammond, Governor
State of Alaska



RECORDS CERTIFICATION



I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James O. Smith
Signature of Camera Operator

2/6/90
Date

COMMITTEE REPORT

SENATE

5/15/76

_____ Date

Mr. President:

The Committee on Finance has had HB 737
spec. approp. to Dept. of Public Works for small boat harbor study at St.
under consideration. A majority of the members of the Committee Paul Island

recommends it do pass

recommends it do not pass

recommends it do pass with attached amendment(s)

recommends it be replaced with CS for _____ and that
CS for _____ do pass

(and) recommends it be referred to the _____
committee

reports it back without recommendation

AND attaches a report of its intent

(other) _____

MEMBERS SIGNING THE MAJORITY REPORT:

MEMBERS NOT CONCURRING IN THE MAJORITY REPORT:

_____ recommends: _____
_____ recommends: _____
_____ recommends: _____

Chairman

THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA
FISCAL NOTE

Second Session - Ninth Legislature

I. REQUEST

Bill No. House Bill No. 737
 Title: Study for St. Paul Small Boat Harbor
 Requested by: House State Affairs Date: 2/13/76
 Return Date Requested: _____
 Agency: Public Works Program: Water & Harbors

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Budget Request Unit(s) Affected: _____

A. EXPENDITURES: (Thousands of dollars)

OBJECT	FY 76	FY 77	FY 78	FY 79	FY 80	FY 81
100 PERSONAL SERVICES		2.5				
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL		47.5				
400 COMMODITIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.						
TOTAL		50.0				

B. FUNDING: (Thousands of dollars)

GENERAL FUND		50.0				
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER						

C. POSITIONS:

PERMANENT/TEMPORARY	/	/	/	/	/	/
MAN MONTHS (P./T.)	/	/	/	/	/	/

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

It is expected that costs associated with administration of a contract to complete the study will not exceed 5% of the contract amount.

NOTE: The Corps of Engineers has an authorized but unfunded study pending on a possible harbor at St. Paul. The study is deferred until such time that the local government can furnish needed economic justification. If such data cannot be submitted a negative report will be submitted. If such justification can be compiled the Corps will seek study funds from the Congress. Preliminary estimates place the cost of a Small Boat Harbor for St. Paul at \$4,000,000.

IV. ATTACHMENTS

V. DATE: 2-13-76 PREPARED BY: Don Statter, Director, Water & Harbors

Original: Legislative Finance
 cc: Budget and Management
 Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

2110
Hall

Introduced: 2/11/76
Referred: State Affairs and
Finance

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY OSTERBACK AND ANDERSON

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 737

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 NINTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act making a special appropriation to the Depart-
7 ment of Public Works for a small boat harbor feasi-
8 bility study at St. Paul Island; and providing for an
9 effective date."

10 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

11 * Section 1. The sum of \$50,000 is appropriated from the general fund to
12 the Department of Public Works to conduct a feasibility study of the con-
13 struction of a small boat harbor at St. Paul Island.

14 * Sec. 2. The unexpended and unobligated portion of this appropriation
15 lapses into the general fund June 30, 1977.

16 * Sec. 3. This Act takes effect July 1, 1976.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

#

COMMITTEE COPY

-1-

HB 737 Engrossed

ALASKA STATE LEGISLATURE

1976 Legislature SECOND Session

HOUSE BILL NO. 737

By OSTENBACH AND ANDERSON

"An Act making a special appropriation to the Department of Public Works for a small boat harbor feasibility study at St. Paul Island; and providing for an effective date."

Small boat harbor study/St. Paul Is.

Introduced in the House ... 7/11, 1976

HISTORY IN THE HOUSE

19 76	Feb 11	Read first time and referred to Committee on State Affairs and Finance										
	Feb 17	Reported back with recommendation that <i>proceed from Dept of Finance</i>										
	May 11	<i>Finance 5 notes & report to Rules</i>										
	May 12	Read second time and <i>considered</i>										
	May 12	Read third time and										
	May 13	<i>Reconsideration not taken up</i>										
	May 12	<table border="0"> <tr><td>PASS <i>d</i></td><td>Effective Date</td></tr> <tr><td>Yeas 35</td><td>Yeas</td></tr> <tr><td>Nays 3</td><td>Nays <i>none</i></td></tr> <tr><td>Absent -</td><td>Absent</td></tr> <tr><td>Excused 2</td><td>Excused</td></tr> </table>	PASS <i>d</i>	Effective Date	Yeas 35	Yeas	Nays 3	Nays <i>none</i>	Absent -	Absent	Excused 2	Excused
PASS <i>d</i>	Effective Date											
Yeas 35	Yeas											
Nays 3	Nays <i>none</i>											
Absent -	Absent											
Excused 2	Excused											
	May 15	<i>Water</i> Reconsideration <i>eliminated</i>										
		<table border="0"> <tr><td>PASS</td><td>Effective Date</td></tr> <tr><td>Yeas</td><td>Yeas</td></tr> <tr><td>Nays</td><td>Nays</td></tr> <tr><td>Absent</td><td>Absent</td></tr> <tr><td>Excused</td><td>Excused</td></tr> </table>	PASS	Effective Date	Yeas	Yeas	Nays	Nays	Absent	Absent	Excused	Excused
PASS	Effective Date											
Yeas	Yeas											
Nays	Nays											
Absent	Absent											
Excused	Excused											
	May 13	Reported correctly engrossed										
	May 13	Signed by Speaker										
	May 13	Sent to Senate										
		<i>Arne Carlson</i> CHIEF CLERK OF THE HOUSE										

HISTORY IN THE SENATE

19 76	May 15	Read first time and referred to Committee on Finance										
		Reported back with recommendation that										
		Read second time and										
		Read third time and										
		<table border="0"> <tr><td>PASS</td><td>Effective Date</td></tr> <tr><td>Yeas</td><td>Yeas</td></tr> <tr><td>Nays</td><td>Nays</td></tr> <tr><td>Absent</td><td>Absent</td></tr> <tr><td>Excused</td><td>Excused</td></tr> </table>	PASS	Effective Date	Yeas	Yeas	Nays	Nays	Absent	Absent	Excused	Excused
PASS	Effective Date											
Yeas	Yeas											
Nays	Nays											
Absent	Absent											
Excused	Excused											
		Reconsideration										
		<table border="0"> <tr><td>PASS</td><td>Effective Date</td></tr> <tr><td>Yeas</td><td>Yeas</td></tr> <tr><td>Nays</td><td>Nays</td></tr> <tr><td>Absent</td><td>Absent</td></tr> <tr><td>Excused</td><td>Excused</td></tr> </table>	PASS	Effective Date	Yeas	Yeas	Nays	Nays	Absent	Absent	Excused	Excused
PASS	Effective Date											
Yeas	Yeas											
Nays	Nays											
Absent	Absent											
Excused	Excused											
		Reported correctly engrossed										
		Signed by President										
		Returned to House										
		SECRETARY OF THE SENATE										

HISTORY IN THE HOUSE


19	Received from Senate
	Reported correctly enrolled
	Sent to Governor
 By Governor
	Filed with Lt. Governor
	Chapter No.




RECORDS CERTIFICATION



I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.


Signature of Camera Operator


Date

COMMITTEE REPORT

SENATE

3/29/76

Mr. President:

Date

4/30/76

The Committee on Finance has had CSHB 738 ^{supp. approp. to Dept. of Law for miscellaneous court awards} under consideration. A Majority of the members of the Committee

- recommends it DO PASS
- recommends it DO NOT PASS
- recommends it DO PASS WITH ATTACHED AMENDMENT(S)
- recommends it BE REPLACED WITH CS FOR _____ AND THAT
CS FOR _____ DO PASS
- "and" recommends it BE REFERRED TO THE _____
COMMITTEE
- reports it back WITHOUT RECOMMENDATION
- "other"

Members signing the Majority report:

<u>Bill Kay</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Members NOT concurring in the Majority report:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

Bill Kay Chairman

Original sponsor: Rules Committee by
request of the Governor

Offered: 3/15/76
Referred: Rules

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY THE FINANCE COMMITTEE

2 CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 738

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 NINTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act making a supplemental appropriation to the
7 Department of Law for miscellaneous court awards; and
8 providing for an effective date."

9 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

10 * Section 1. The sum of \$37,633 is appropriated from the general fund to
11 the Department of Law for the purpose of paying miscellaneous court awards.

12 * Sec. 2. This Act takes effect immediately in accordance with AS 01.10.-
13 070(c).

Original sponsor: Rules Committee by
request of the Governor

Offered: 3/15/76
Referred: Rules

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY THE FINANCE COMMITTEE

2 CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 738

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 NINTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act making a supplemental appropriation to the
7 Department of Law for miscellaneous court awards; and
8 providing for an effective date."

9 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

10 * Section 1. The sum of \$37,633 is appropriated from the general fund to
11 the Department of Law for the purpose of paying miscellaneous court awards.

12 * Sec. 2. This Act takes effect immediately in accordance with AS 01.10.-
13 070(c).

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

#

THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA
FISCAL NOTE
 Second Session - Ninth Legislature

I. REQUEST
 Bill No. HB 738
 Title: Supplemental Appropriation to the Dept. of Law for misc court
 Requested by: awards Date: _____
 Return Date Requested: _____
 Agency: Dept. of Law Program: General Government

II. FISCAL DETAIL
 Budget Request Unit(s) Affected: Legal Services
 A. EXPENDITURES: (Thousands of dollars)

OBJECT	FY 76	FY 77	FY 78	FY 79	FY 80	FY 81
100 PERSONAL SERVICES						
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL						
400 COMMODITIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.	35.9					
TOTAL	35.9					

B. FUNDING: (Thousands of dollars)

GENERAL FUND	35.9					
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER						

C. POSITIONS:

PERMANENT/TEMPORARY	/	/	/	/	/	/
MAN MONTHS (P./T.)	/	/	/	/	/	/

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

To pay miscellaneous court awards as follows:

United States v. Alaska	10,426.00
State of Alaska v. Pankratz	5,872.67
Abrams, et al. v. State, et al	5,082.00
Park v. Lowell Thoms	781.83
Douglas v. Williamson	1,000.00
Stevens et al v. McGinnis et al	12,800.00
	<u>35,932.50</u>

IV. ATTACHMENTS

V. DATE: 3-30-76 PREPARED BY: Richard I. Pegues,
Legal Administrator

Original: Legislative Finance ✓
 cc: Budget and Management
 Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

HB 738

HB 738

February 11, 1976

The Honorable Mike Bradner
Speaker of the House
Alaska State Legislature
Juneau, Alaska 99811

Dear Mr. Speaker:

In accordance with AS 24.30.060(b) and the Uniform Rules of the Alaska State Legislature, I am transmitting a bill making a supplemental appropriation to the Department of Law to pay miscellaneous court awards. Decisions in five cases have resulted in state obligations as follows:

<u>United States v. Alaska</u>	\$10,426.00
<u>State of Alaska v. Pankratz</u>	5,872.67
<u>Abrams, et al. v. State, et al.</u>	5,082.00
<u>Park v. Lowell Thomas</u>	751.83
<u>Douglas v. Williamson</u>	1,000.00

Another case, Stevens et al. v. McGinnis et al., has been decided by the Alaska Supreme Court, but the final award of costs has not yet been made. We estimate that it will be \$12,800.

Sincerely,

Jay S. Hammond
Governor

Introduced: 2/11/76
Referred: Judiciary and
Finance

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY THE RULES COMMITTEE BY
REQUEST OF THE GOVERNOR

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 738

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 NINTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act making a supplemental appropriation to the
7 Department of Law for miscellaneous court awards;
8 and providing for an effective date."

9 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

10 * Section 1. The sum of \$35,900 is appropriated from the general fund
11 to the Department of Law for the purpose of paying miscellaneous court
12 awards.

13 * Sec. 2. This Act takes effect immediately in accordance with AS 01.-
14 10.070(c).

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

MEMORANDUM

4A


TO:

V. Kent Dawson
 Director
 Division of Budget
 & Management
 Department of Administration

DATE : January 23, 1976

FROM:

Wilson L. Condon
 Deputy Attorney General



SUBJECT: Supplemental
 Appropriation -
 Legal Fees and
 Court Costs

The following judgment for costs and attorney's fees have been entered against the State. The Department of Law does not have sufficient funds to pay these judgments and therefore we request that supplemental appropriations be sought to cover these amounts.

1.	<u>United States v. Alaska</u>	\$10,426.00
2.	<u>State of Alaska v. Pankratz</u>	5,872.67
3.	<u>Abrams, et al. v. State, et al.</u>	5,082.00
4.	<u>Park v. Lowell Thomas</u>	751.83
5.	<u>Douglas v. Williamson</u>	1,000.00

1. In the case of the United States v. Alaska, the dispute centered on ownership of Lower Cook Inlet. The federal government prevailed and has been awarded the costs set forth above by the United States Supreme Court.

2. In the case of Pankratz, the dispute centered on who owned a gravel bar in the Chenega River directly contiguous to an island owned by Pankratz. The State filed a lawsuit to support its contention that the gravel bar was state-owned. Pankratz prevailed in the Supreme Court and has been awarded costs and attorney's fees.

3. In Abrams, the dispute concerned the constitutionality of ch. 145, SLA 1974 which concerned the Eagle River-Chugiak Borough. Abrams prevailed in the case and was awarded court costs and attorney's fees.

2013-17
 12-1-76
 357

January 23, 1976

4. The Park case was brought when Dail Park was denied the issuance of a notary seal because he was an alien. Park prevailed and portions of AS 44.50.020 were found unconstitutional. He was awarded costs and attorney's fees of \$751.83.

5. The Douglas case concerned the validity of certain emergency regulations reducing the kinds of drugs available under the General Relief Medical Program of the Department of Health and Social Services. The regulations were determined to be invalid due to the lack of any emergency and plaintiff was awarded \$1,000 in costs and attorney's fees.

Another award of costs and attorney's fees for which we certainly will have to seek funds was granted in the case of Stevens, et al v. McGinnis, et al. This case, which dealt with procedures in correctional institutions and prisoners' rights, was recently decided by our Supreme Court. The final award of costs has not yet been made; we estimate it will be \$12,800. To keep supplemental requests to a minimum, we suggest that you may want to request the \$12,800 for this case at this time also. 14, 468

If any further information is needed, please notify the Department of Law at 465-3600.

WLC:jeh



RECORDS CERTIFICATION



I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James O. Smith
Signature of Camera Operator

2/6/90
Date

"An Act making a supplemental appropriation to the Department of Law for miscellaneous court awards; effective date."

COMMITTEE REPORT

2/20 '76

HOUSE

Mr. Speaker:

Date 2/25/76

The Committee on FINANCE has had HB 738

under consideration. A Majority of the members of the Committee

() recommends it DO PASS

() recommends it DO NOT PASS

() recommends it DO PASS WITH ATTACHED AMENDMENT(S)

(x) recommends it BE REPLACED WITH CS FOR HB 738 AND THAT

CS FOR HB 738 DO PASS

() "and" recommends it BE REFERRED TO THE _____

COMMITTEE

() reports it back WITHOUT RECOMMENDATION

() "other"

Members signing the Majority report:

<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	_____
<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	_____
<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	_____

Members NOT concurring in the Majority report:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

[Signature] Chairman

HB 738



February 6, 1976

M E M O R A N D U M

TO: The Honorable Jay S. Hammond
Governor

FROM: Avrum M. Gross
Attorney General

RE: Attached supplemental appropriation to
Department of Law

Attached is a supplemental appropriation bill, requested by this department and approved by the Budget Review Committee, to pay miscellaneous court awards. Also attached is Wil Condon's January 23 memo to Kent Dawson, explaining the request in more detail. Here is a draft transmittal letter:

D R A F T

In accordance with AS 24.30.060(b) and the Uniform Rules of the Alaska State Legislature, I am transmitting a bill making a supplemental appropriation to the Department of Law to pay miscellaneous court awards. Decisions in five cases have resulted in state obligations as follows:

<u>United States v. Alaska</u>	\$10,426.00
<u>State of Alaska v. Pankratz</u>	5,872.67
<u>Abrams, et al. v. State, et al.</u>	5,082.00
<u>Park v. Lowell Thomas</u>	751.83
<u>Douglas v. Williamson</u>	1,000.00

Introduced: 2/11/76
Referred: Judiciary and
Finance

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY THE RULES COMMITTEE BY
REQUEST OF THE GOVERNOR

2 CS HOUSE BILL NO. 738 (*Finance*)

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 NINTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act making a supplemental appropriation to the
7 Department of Law for miscellaneous court awards;
8 and providing for an effective date."

9 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

10 * Section 1. The sum of ^{#37,633} ~~\$35,900~~ is appropriated from the general fund
11 to the Department of Law for the purpose of paying miscellaneous court
12 awards.

13 * Sec. 2. This Act takes effect immediately in accordance with AS 01.-
14 10.070(c).

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MEMORANDUM

4A


TO:

V. Kent Dawson
 Director
 Division of Budget
 & Management
 Department of Administration

DATE : January 23, 1976

FROM:

Wilson L. Condon
 Deputy Attorney General



SUBJECT: Supplemental
 Appropriation -
 Legal Fees and
 Court Costs

The following judgment for costs and attorney's fees have been entered against the State. The Department of Law does not have sufficient funds to pay these judgments and therefore we request that supplemental appropriations be sought to cover these amounts.

1.	<u>United States v. Alaska</u>	\$10,426.00
2.	<u>State of Alaska v. Pankratz</u>	5,872.67
3.	<u>Abrams, et al. v. State, et al.</u>	5,082.00
4.	<u>Park v. Lowell Thomas</u>	751.83
5.	<u>Douglas v. Williamson</u>	1,000.00

1. In the case of the United States v. Alaska, the dispute centered on ownership of Lower Cook Inlet. The federal government prevailed and has been awarded the costs set forth above by the United States Supreme Court.

2. In the case of Pankratz, the dispute centered on who owned a gravel bar in the Chenega River directly contiguous to an island owned by Pankratz. The State filed a lawsuit to support its contention that the gravel bar was state-owned. Pankratz prevailed in the Supreme Court and has been awarded costs and attorney's fees.

3. In Abrams, the dispute concerned the constitutionality of ch. 145, SLA 1974 which concerned the Eagle River-Chugiak Borough. Abrams prevailed in the case and was awarded court costs and attorney's fees.

January 23, 1976

4. The Park case was brought when Daill Park was denied the issuance of a notary seal because he was an alien. Park prevailed and portions of AS 44.50.020 were found unconstitutional. He was awarded costs and attorney's fees of \$751.83.

5. The Douglas case concerned the validity of certain emergency regulations reducing the kinds of drugs available under the General Relief Medical Program of the Department of Health and Social Services. The regulations were determined to be invalid due to the lack of any emergency and plaintiff was awarded \$1,000 in costs and attorney's fees.

Another award of costs and attorney's fees for which we certainly will have to seek funds was granted in the case of Stevens, et al v. McGinnis, et al. This case, which dealt with procedures in correctional institutions and prisoners' rights, was recently decided by our Supreme Court. The final award of costs has not yet been made; we estimate it will be \$12,800. To keep supplemental requests to a minimum, we suggest that you may want to request the \$12,800 for this case at this time also.

If any further information is needed, please notify the Department of Law at 465-3500.

WLC:jeh

CORRECTION

THIS DOCUMENT
HAS BEEN REPHOTOGRAPHED
TO ASSURE LEGIBILITY

HB 738

February 6, 1976

M E M O R A N D U M

TO: The Honorable Jay S. Hammond
Governor

FROM: Avrum M. Gross
Attorney General

RE: Attached supplemental appropriation to
Department of Law

Attached is a supplemental appropriation bill, requested by this department and approved by the Budget Review Committee, to pay miscellaneous court awards. Also attached is Wil Condon's January 23 memo to Kent Dawson, explaining the request in more detail. Here is a draft transmittal letter:

D R A F T

In accordance with AS 24.30.060(b) and the Uniform Rules of the Alaska State Legislature, I am transmitting a bill making a supplemental appropriation to the Department of Law to pay miscellaneous court awards. Decisions in five cases have resulted in state obligations as follows:

<u>United States v. Alaska</u>	\$10,426.00
<u>State of Alaska v. Pankratz</u>	5,872.67
<u>Abrams, et al. v. State, et al.</u>	5,082.00
<u>Park v. Lowell Thomas</u>	751.83
<u>Douglas v. Williamson</u>	1,000.00

Introduced: 2/11/76
Referred: Judiciary and
Finance

BY THE RULES COMMITTEE BY
REQUEST OF THE GOVERNOR

1 IN THE HOUSE

2 CS HOUSE BILL NO. 738 (*Finance*)

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 NINTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act making a supplemental appropriation to the
7 Department of Law for miscellaneous court awards;
8 and providing for an effective date."

9 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

10 * Section 1. The sum of ~~\$35,900~~^{\$37,633} is appropriated from the general fund
11 to the Department of Law for the purpose of paying miscellaneous court
12 awards.

13 # Sec. 2. This Act takes effect immediately in accordance with AS 01.-
14 10.070(c).

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

MEMORANDUM

4A

TO:

V. Kent Dawson
 Director
 Division of Budget
 & Management
 Department of Administration

DATE : January 23, 1976

FROM:

Wilson L. Condon
 Deputy Attorney General

SUBJECT: Supplemental
 Appropriation -
 Legal Fees and
 Court Costs

The following judgment for costs and attorney's fees have been entered against the State. The Department of Law does not have sufficient funds to pay these judgments and therefore we request that supplemental appropriations be sought to cover these amounts.

1.	<u>United States v. Alaska</u>	\$10,426.00
2.	<u>State of Alaska v. Pankratz</u>	5,872.67
3.	<u>Abrams, et al. v. State, et al.</u>	5,082.00
4.	<u>Park v. Lowell Thomas</u>	751.83
5.	<u>Douglas v. Williamson</u>	1,000.00

1. In the case of the United States v. Alaska, the dispute centered on ownership of Lower Cook Inlet. The federal government prevailed and has been awarded the costs set forth above by the United States Supreme Court.

2. In the case of Pankratz, the dispute centered on who owned a gravel bar in the Chené River directly contiguous to an island owned by Pankratz. The State filed a lawsuit to support its contention that the gravel bar was state-owned. Pankratz prevailed in the Supreme Court and has been awarded costs and attorney's fees.

3. In Abrams, the dispute concerned the constitutionality of ch. 145, SLA 1974 which concerned the Eagle River-Chugiak Borough. Abrams prevailed in the case and was awarded court costs and attorney's fees.

22-13-176
 12 8 1976
 357

January 23, 1976

4. The Park case was brought when Dail Park was denied the issuance of a notary seal because he was an alien. Park prevailed and portions of AS 44.50.020 were found unconstitutional. He was awarded costs and attorney's fees of \$751.83.

5. The Douglas case concerned the validity of certain emergency regulations reducing the kinds of drugs available under the General Relief Medical Program of the Department of Health and Social Services. The regulations were determined to be invalid due to the lack of any emergency and plaintiff was awarded \$1,000 in costs and attorney's fees.

Another award of costs and attorney's fees for which we certainly will have to seek funds was granted in the case of Stevens, et al v. McGinnis, et al. This case, which dealt with procedures in correctional institutions and prisoners' rights, was recently decided by our Supreme Court. The final award of costs has not yet been made; we estimate it will be \$12,800. To keep supplemental requests to a minimum, we suggest that you may want to request the \$12,800 for this case at this time also.

If any further information is needed, please notify the Department of Law at 465-3600.

WLC:jeh

Council

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF ALASKA

FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT AT JUNEAU

H.C.R. STEVENS, and all other persons
presently imprisoned, in custody, or in
any manner detained under the authority
of the Commissioner of the Department of
Health and Social Services,

Plaintiffs,

v.

FREDERICK P. MCGINNIS, Commissioner of
the Department of Health and Social
Services; and CHARLES G. ADAMS, JR.,
Director of the Division of Corrections,
Department of Health and Social Services,

Defendants.

RECEIVED

Department of Law

FEB 19 1976

Office of the Attorney General
Anchorage Branch
Anchorage, Alaska

RECEIVED

Department of Law

FEB 23 1976

AM 7 8 9 10 11 12 1 2 3 4 5 6 PM

4

No. 71-330

ORDER

Upon hearing argument of counsel and examination of the record and
the court being fully advised, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this Court's award of
TWELVE THOUSAND EIGHT HUNDRED FORTY-TWO DOLLARS AND SIXTY-TWO
CENTS (\$12,842.62) attorney's fees and costs is affirmed and that plaintiff is
further awarded interest thereon at the rate of eight percent (8%) from July 25,
1974 until paid.

DATED this _____ day of February, 1976.

VICTOR CARLSON
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

*T.C. vs. Wagstaff 2/23
pay basic judgment with
interest at our best appropriate
rate*

WAGSTAFF & MIDDLETON
500 L STREET
ANCHORAGE, ALASKA
277-0282
272-9811



RECORDS CERTIFICATION



I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James O. Smith
Signature of Camera Operator

2/6/90
Date

"An Act making a special appropriation to the office of the Governor for the purpose of a special statewide census; effective date."

COMMITTEE REPORT

2/17/76

HOUSE

Mr. Speaker:

Date 3-12-76
~~3-11-76~~

The Committee on FINANCE has had HB 739

under consideration. A Majority of the members of the Committee

() recommends it DO PASS

() recommends it DO NOT PASS

() recommends it DO PASS WITH ATTACHED AMENDMENT(S)

() recommends it BE REPLACED WITH CS FOR _____ AND THAT

CS FOR _____ DO PASS

() "and" recommends it BE REFERRED TO THE _____

COMMITTEE

() "other" ~~reports it back~~ without recommendation if he replaced with CS

() "other" ~~reports it back~~ without recommendation for HB 739 and reports it back without recommendation

Members signing the Majority report:

_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

Members NOT concurring in the Majority report:

_____ recommends: No Recommendation

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends: No Rec

_____ recommends: Do not Pass

_____ recommends: No Rec

_____ Chairman

Original sponsor: Rules Committee by
request of the Governor

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY THE FINANCE COMMITTEE

2 CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 739

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 NINTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act making a special appropriation to the Office
7 of the Governor for the purpose of a special statewide
8 census; and providing for an effective date."

9 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

10 * Section 1. The sum of \$750,000 is appropriated from the general fund
11 to the Office of the Governor, division of policy development and planning,
12 for fiscal years 1976 and 1977, for the purpose of conducting a special
13 statewide census. This appropriation is expressly conditioned upon the
14 gathering of complete data of the racial composition of the Alaska Native
15 population.

16 * Sec. 2. This Act takes effect immediately in accordance with AS 01.10.-
17 070(c).
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Introduced: 2/11/76
Referred: State Affairs
and Finance

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY THE RULES COMMITTEE BY
REQUEST OF THE GOVERNOR

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 739

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 NINTH LEGISLATURE -- SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act making a special appropriation to the
7 Office of the Governor for the purpose of a special
8 statewide census; and providing for an effective
9 date."

10 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

11 * Section 1. The sum of \$750,000 is appropriated from the general fund
12 to the Office of the Governor, Division of Policy Development and Planning,
13 for Fiscal Years 1976 and 1977, for the purpose of conducting a special
14 statewide census.

15 * Sec. 2. This Act takes effect immediately in accordance with AS 01.-
16 10.070(c).

17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

"An Act making a special appropriation to the Office of the Governor for the purpose of a special statewide census; and providing for an effective date."

COMMITTEE REPORT

2/11/76

HOUSE

FINANCE

Mr. Speaker:

Date 2-13-76

The Committee on STATE AFFAIRS has HB 739

under consideration. A Majority of the members of the Committee

~~(X)~~ recommends it DO PASS

() recommends it DO NOT PASS

(X) recommends it DO PASS WITH ATTACHED AMENDMENT(S)

() recommends it BE REPLACED WITH CS FOR _____ AND THAT

CS FOR _____ DO PASS

() "and" recommends it BE REFERRED TO THE _____

COMMITTEE

() reports it back WITHOUT RECOMMENDATION

() "other"

Members signing the Majority report:

W. H. ...
Joe McKinnon
John ...
Bin ...

Members NOT concurring in the Majority report:

_____ recommends:
_____ recommends:
_____ recommends:
_____ recommends:
_____ recommends:

Joe McKinnon Chairman

AMENDMENT #1

OFFERED IN THE HOUSE:

BY: HOUSE STATE AFFAIRS

To: _____ HOUSE BILL No. 739

SENATE BILL No. _____

PAGE: 1

LINE: 11 and 13

Page 1, Line 11: Between "the" and "sum", insert "total".

Page 1, Line 13: Between "for" and "fiscal", insert "use during".

3-11-76

HB 739

BUCHOLDT	yes
COWPER	yes
DUNCAN	no
GRUENING	no
GUY	yes
HAUGEN	no
ITTA	yes
NAUGHTON	yes
MALONE	yes



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Bureau of the Census
Washington, D.C. 20233

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR

SPECIAL DELIVERY

FEB 27 1976

Honorable Hugh Malone
Chairman, House Finance Committee
Alaska House of Representatives
Juneau, Alaska 99801

Dear Mr. Chairman:

In response to your request of Mr. Post during his testimony before your Committee on February 25, 1976, I am enclosing a copy of the Special Census Fee Scale for places under 50,000 population. This fee schedule will be effective on March 15, 1976. The three rate structures differ slightly because of differing pay scales required in certain parts of the country.

Since 1970, only Homer city has had a special census conducted. The population of Homer city on August 18, 1975 was 1,538 and the cost to the city for the census was \$1,565.

The following areas expressed interest in a special census but no action was taken after the Bureau provided each area with a cost estimate:

Greater Anchorage Borough
Kenai City
Kenai Peninsula Borough
Kodiak Island Borough

In addition, a request from Seward city was recently received and a response to their request will be prepared shortly.

I would like to express my appreciation to you and the members of the Committee for the consideration shown to Mr. Post. If you require any further information, please feel free to call on me.

Sincerely,

DANIEL B. LEVINE
Associate Director
Bureau of the Census

Enclosure



SPECIAL CENSUS FEE SCALE

<u>Size of Place - Population</u>	<u>Bureau Fee (\$)</u>	<u>-Rate 1 Total Cost (\$)</u>	<u>Rate 2 Total Cost (\$)</u>	<u>Rate 3 Total Cost (\$)</u>
100	790	835	835	835
200	790	845	845	845
300	790	860	860	860
400	790	870	870	870
500	990	1,035	1,075	1,075
600	990	1,095	1,085	1,085
700	990	1,105	1,105	1,095
800	990	1,130	1,120	1,110
900	990	1,140	1,130	1,120
1,000	1,200	1,375	1,365	1,355
1,100	1,200	1,385	1,375	1,365
1,200	1,200	1,395	1,385	1,375
1,300	1,200	1,420	1,400	1,390
1,400	1,200	1,430	1,410	1,400
1,500	1,235	1,480	1,460	1,450
1,600	1,235	1,500	1,480	1,460
1,700	1,235	1,515	1,495	1,475
1,800	1,235	1,535	1,515	1,495
1,900	1,235	1,555	1,530	1,505
2,000	1,275	1,610	1,590	1,570
2,100	1,275	1,630	1,605	1,580
2,200	1,275	1,645	1,615	1,595
2,300	1,275	1,655	1,625	1,605
2,400	1,275	1,670	1,640	1,620
2,500	1,540	1,965	1,935	1,905
2,600	1,540	1,975	1,945	1,915
2,700	1,540	1,990	1,960	1,930
2,800	1,540	2,000	1,970	1,940
2,900	1,540	2,015	1,985	1,955
3,000	1,565	2,050	2,020	1,990
3,100	1,565	2,070	2,035	2,000
3,200	1,565	2,085	2,045	2,015
3,300	1,565	2,095	2,065	2,025
3,400	1,565	2,120	2,090	2,050
3,500	1,595	2,175	2,135	2,095
3,600	1,595	2,190	2,150	2,110
3,700	1,595	2,200	2,160	2,120
3,800	1,595	2,220	2,170	2,130
3,900	1,595	2,235	2,195	2,145
4,000	1,625	2,275	2,235	2,185
4,100	1,625	2,310	2,260	2,210
4,200	1,625	2,320	2,270	2,220
4,300	1,625	2,330	2,285	2,230
4,400	1,625	2,355	2,235	2,245
4,500	1,665	2,405	2,355	2,305
4,600	1,665	2,420	2,370	2,320
4,700	1,665	2,430	2,380	2,330
4,800	1,665	2,455	2,395	2,345
4,900	1,665	2,475	2,425	2,365

MAR 15 1973

<u>Size of Place - Population</u>	<u>Bureau Fee (\$)</u>	<u>Rate 1 Total Cost (\$)</u>	<u>Rate 2 Total Cost (\$)</u>	<u>Rate 3 Total Cost (\$)</u>
5,000	2,000	2,870	2,805	2,745
5,500	2,040	2,980	2,920	2,850
6,000	2,250	3,270	3,205	3,130
6,500	2,280	3,395	3,315	3,245
7,000	2,485	3,685	3,605	3,525
7,500	2,520	4,110	4,000	3,890
8,000	2,550	4,210	4,090	3,980
8,500	2,760	4,520	4,390	4,270
9,000	2,785	4,675	4,540	4,420
9,500	2,820	4,795	4,660	4,520
10,000	3,530	5,530	5,490	5,340
11,000	3,605	5,875	5,715	5,565
12,000	3,680	6,165	5,995	5,815
13,000	4,135	7,165	6,950	6,745
14,000	4,210	7,395	7,175	6,955
15,000	4,285	7,680	7,440	7,210
16,000	4,370	8,025	7,765	7,515
17,000	4,440	8,260	7,990	7,730
18,000	4,710	8,765	8,485	8,205
19,000	4,780	9,100	8,700	8,410
20,000	5,165	9,680	9,355	9,050
21,000	5,240	9,910	9,580	9,260
22,000	5,320	10,360	10,010	9,660
23,000	5,395	10,595	10,235	9,875
24,000	5,475	11,285	10,875	10,475
25,000	5,885	11,965	11,535	11,115
26,000	5,965	12,205	11,765	11,325
27,000	6,045	12,440	11,990	11,540
28,000	6,295	12,845	12,385	11,925
29,000	6,370	13,095	12,615	12,145
30,000	6,695	13,775	13,275	12,785
31,000	6,950	14,375	13,855	13,325
32,000	7,025	14,610	14,080	13,550
33,000	7,100	14,840	14,300	13,760
34,000	7,170	15,075	14,525	13,975
35,000	7,260	15,440	14,870	14,300
36,000	7,510	16,140	15,345	14,755
37,000	7,590	16,275	15,670	15,055
38,000	7,660	16,515	15,895	15,275
39,000	7,740	17,015	16,365	15,715
40,000	8,235	17,685	17,020	16,360
41,000	8,310	17,905	17,210	16,565
42,000	8,385	18,255	17,570	16,875
43,000	8,465	18,490	17,790	17,090
44,000	8,540	18,745	18,025	17,315
45,000	8,615	19,295	18,555	17,805
46,000	8,690	19,525	18,780	18,020
47,000	8,775	19,765	19,010	18,240
48,000	8,845	20,115	19,340	18,545
49,000	8,900	20,325	19,535	18,740

STATE
of ALASKA

MEMORANDUM

TO: Hugh Malone
Chairman
House Finance Committee

Refer to: AKA(SS-6-1)

DATE : February 27, 1976

FROM: Roger A. Harman *R. Harman*
Comptroller
Department of Labor

SUBJECT: Special Census Committee Meeting

Attached are the minutes and the attendance roster of the Special Census Committee meeting held in Anchorage last Tuesday, February 24.

Attachments

cc: Kae Allred

RAH: em

Minutes of Special Census Committee Planning
Conference: "Questionnaire Content Determination"
February 24, 1976

The conference was held in the Alaska Room of the Westward Hotel. (See attachment #1 - list of conference participants.) The morning session began at 8:25 a.m. with Special Census Committee Co-Chairman, Roger Harman, introducing Jessie Dodson, Special Assistant from the Office of the Governor. Ms. Dodson subsequently introduced Commissioner Lee McAnerney of Community and Regional Affairs. Commissioner McAnerney conveyed to the audience Governor Hammonds interest in and support for the special census effort.

Following the Commissioner's comments, Jessie Dodson reported on the progress of House Bill 739 which requests a \$750,000 appropriation from the general fund for the special census. She stated that the bill had passed out of the House State Affairs Committee and that it was currently under consideration by the House Finance Committee. Ms. Dodson mentioned that the bill had been tabled by the committee as of February 23, 1976, pending further testimony. She concluded her report by urging audience members to contact their respective State Legislators and transmit their support for the measure's adoption.

At 8:50 a.m. Co-Chairman Harman summarized the schedule and structure for the planning conference. (See attachment #2 - agenda.) He noted that because of their interest and attendance, the audience was the Special Census Committee. Mr. Harman stressed that final questionnaire content would be resolved before the conference adjourned. Before proceeding with the introduction of the symposium speakers, Mr. Harman presignified the presence of the other "head table" speakers: Gerald Post and Dan Martin from the Census Bureau, SCC Co-Chairman Bill Pritchard from Community and Regional Affairs, and Kae Allred from the Governor's Division of Policy Development and Planning.

Upon introduction, Ms. Allred presented a brief overview of her division's role in the special census effort. She indicated that the need for accurate demographic data was integral to planning efforts both in government and business. She underlined Ms. Dodson's appeal for the urgency of local backing of House Bill 739. Ms. Allred noted that the Board of Directors from the Alaska Municipal League unanimously voted to support the plan for the special census.

The symposium continued with Mavis Waarvik, Manpower Economist from the Department of Labor's Research and Analysis Section, addressing the area of population estimates and forecasts. She began by indicating that the Census Bureau's July 1, 1975 estimate of Alaska's population was 352,000. She discussed the Bureau's reliance upon school enrollment data to establish civilian migration rates in their Component Method II estimating technique. Ms. Waarvik mentioned

that pipeline construction has attracted young, childless individuals to the State that are not being counted by the Census Bureau's methodology. She stated that the Department of Labor estimated the July 1, 1975, population of Alaska to be 406,400 based upon a mix between labor force data and school enrollment levels. It was stressed that other independent studies prepared to measure the trans-Alaska oil and gas pipeline population impact on the State also projected 1975 population levels over 400,000. Ms. Waarvik concluded by stating that for state revenue sharing the Department of Community and Regional Affairs estimated the 1975 population at 404,000.

Eileen Sheridan, from the Department of Revenue, began her comments by citing a study which showed that if Alaska's population was actually 390,000, the state could expect to receive annually approximately \$1,000,000 additional federal revenues for four programs analyzed. She continued by stating that population figures are used by her department to predict individual income tax revenues and if the population component is inaccurate the corresponding revenue projections will also be inaccurate.

Special Census Committee Co-Chairman Bill Pritchard from the Department of Community & Regional Affairs was the next speaker. Mr. Pritchard highlighted the importance of population figures on rural Alaska as they relate to the distribution of both state and federal revenue sharing funds. He compared the "official" Census Bureau population estimates of several rural communities with known population counts conducted by local leaders and concluded that in every case the communities were receiving less than their fair share of allocations made using Census Bureau figures. Mr. Pritchard conjectured that similar disproportioned funds were being allocated to the more urbanized areas of the State as well.

Peter Keating, staff assistant to the Special Census Committee, explained the structure of the special census questionnaire. He described the alternative uses for column 8 and 11, and noted that the tabulation costs for additional questions was not included in the appropriation request of \$750,000. Mr. Keating commented that if an expanded racial breakout were selected for column 11 the approximate cross tabulation fee charged by the Census Bureau would be \$25,000 over the base fee of \$100,000. He mentioned that if a second question were asked in the three response blocks of column 8, the increased cost to the State would be calculated under a ceiling of \$25,000, thereby making the total additional cost to the State under \$50,000. In conclusion, he affirmed that the initial thinking of the Special Census Committee was to have any additional question costs supplied by the sponsors of selected questions under some contractual agreement.

The last symposium speaker, Ken Price, from the Department of Commerce and Economic Development addressed the criteria for question development. He began by explaining the physical limitations of the questionnaire form. A question asked had to be a simple, positive and mutually exclusive which could not be left blank. Questions considered should be analyzed in terms benchmarking and cross reference to samples of previous censuses.

Mr. Price asserted that the work groups should consider if the data were available from other sources or if a corresponding measure existed. Work groups should ask if the proposed questions would be beneficial to a number of user groups and if questions could be designed to relate to more than one measure. He further stated that if questions were too difficult or complicated for respondents to answer, enumerator call backs would surely increase the overall cost of the effort. Finally he proposed that all work groups prepare a cost benefit analysis for their proposed questions.

At 9:45 a.m. Co-Chairman Harman adjourned the meeting for a coffee break.

The conference continued at 10:10 a.m. with Co-Chairman Harman's introduction of Gerald Post. Mr. Post joined the Bureau in 1954 with a Bachelors Degree in Economics and a Masters in Statistics. He has been the Assistant Chief of the Demographic Census Staff since 1975, with primary responsibilities in field and geographic areas.

Gerald Post began his remarks on Census Bureau perspectives by clarifying the estimates on additional question cross tabulation costs. He stated that the \$25,000 figure only took into consideration the increased expense for an expanded racial question in column 11. If no additional question were requested for the vacated column 8 response blocks the total fee charged would be \$125,000. He indicated that if an additional question were asked in column 8 the cross tabulation charge would approach \$10,000 to \$15,000, bringing the total fee to \$135,000 or \$140,000.

Mr. Post mentioned that some 300 special censuses had been conducted by the Census Bureau since the 1970 Decennial Census, but that no statewide censuses had been done. He indicated that last year congressional legislation proposing a five year national census did not pass because of the potentially volatile political question of reapportionment. He expressed a belief that before too long a five year national census will be a reality. In passing, it was noted that approximately 200 special censuses or census studies were currently being worked on by the Bureau, some of them in conjunction with 1980 census planning activities.

At this point, Mr. Post began describing how the actual tabulation of special census results would appear. He brought with him three tables prepared in Switland, Maryland, showing examples of the cross tabulation matrices. (See attachment #3, #4, and #5.) Briefly, cross tabulations for age, sex and race would be provided by Census Divisions, Boroughs, places of 2,500 or greater and enumeration districts (ES's) of 500 or greater. Data on population and housing units broken out by total, male, female, white male, white female, nonwhite male, nonwhite female, household heads and vacant would be provided for Census Divisions, Boroughs, Places, Tracts, and Enumeration Districts. If an expanded race question were asked, age and sex data would be repeated for Eskimos, Indians and Aleuts for Census Divisions, Boroughs, and Places of 2,500 or more.

At 10:35 a.m. a question and answer period began. From the floor came a question to Gerald Post regarding the ease with which an income question could be asked. Mr. Post responded quite negatively. He stated that in his opinion an income question would be a most difficult question to ask, given the restrictions of space on the special census questionnaire. He said most assuredly that such a question would skyrocket overall enumerator "street time" due to the high predictability of enumerator callbacks. He added that income questions are among the most sensitive questions asked and that confidentiality for income responses would be strictly enforced. He emphatically encouraged the State not to entertain such a question. Another question concerning cross tabulations required clarification. A question concerning enumerator selection was addressed by Dan Martin who indicated that in-state recruitment of enumerators for the special census would be maximized.

With no further general questions from the floor, Co-Chairman Harman called for the nomination of questions at 11:05 a.m. Lee Gorsuch, representing the Alaska Native Foundation, nominated the first two questions for a more definite racial breakout and residency migration. An employment - unemployment question was nominated by Lou Anne Maxwell representing the State Equal Employment Opportunity Office. An income question was nominated by Bill Lawrence from BIA's Division of Employment Assistance. Lou Anne Maxwell again nominated an occupational question. Bill Rose, from the Fairbanks North Star Borough, nominated two questions on occupation: uniformed military - yes/no and federal civilian employee - yes/no. A proxy nomination for Al Robinson from HUD was made pertaining to housing owned or rented. With no further nominations from the floor Co-Chairman Harman adjourned the meeting for lunch at 11:25 a.m.

The afternoon session began at 12:30 p.m. Tables were set up for the general categories of race, residency, income, occupation and housing. Free movement from table to table was permitted during the work group period. Following the criteria for question development all work groups were asked to write the proposed question, define the response block requirements, list the costs (disadvantages), list the benefits (advantages), and state an overall recommendation citing the reasons and needs of question selection.

By 1:55 p.m., work group activity was completed; therefore, Co-Chairman Harman called for work group reports. Only two reports were given. Copies of the question development worksheets were made and handed out to all present. (See attachment #6 and #7.) The first report concerned a racial question with breakouts for White, Black, Eskimo, Alaskan Indian, Aleut, and Other. Such a question would appear in column 11 using six response blocks. The second report on residency would use the three response blocks of column 8 to ask: current (latest) length of residency in Alaska has been: under 2 years, 2 to 6 years, and over 6 years.

It was not determined by either work group who could pay for the additional cross tabulation costs. Kent Dawson, the Director of Budget and Management, suggested that this issue would have to be more closely addressed in Juneau.

During the final discussion period, Kae Allred asked why further racial categories were not proposed such as Japanese, Filipino, or Spanish Speaking. Work group members indicated that they were trying to keep the response block usage down to a minimum. Ms. Allred suggested that the residency question be called a migration question instead. Her motion was carried by a hand vote.

Four ballot categories were listed: 1. race, 2. migration, 3. race and migration, and 4. no additional question.

Co-Chairman Harman asked members if they would opt for a break before voting on question selection began. The consensus was to continue without a break. As Mr. Harman was about to call for a vote a question was raised from the floor. Dan Seiver stated that if ballot item number 3 were voted on it would not be known for sure how many wanted the race question and how many wanted the migration question. After some discussion it was decided that in the event that only one question would be asked, it would be more useful to vote separately on ballot items 1 and 2 and not vote on either 3 or 4. With no objections, a hand vote was taken on item 1, the race question. Twenty hands were counted. A second vote was taken on item 2, the migration question. Twenty-one hands were counted.

Before thanking the membership for their participation Co-Chairman Harman stated that if only one additional question would be asked all those attending the conference would be asked to vote again via telegram to determine which of the two questions would be used. Gerald Post mentioned that an official letter from the SCC to the Bureau would be needed defining the results of the days activities and stating proposed cross tabulation needs. With no further comments the conference was adjourned at 3:00 p.m.

Attachments:

- 1 - list
- 2 - agenda
- 3 - age, race, sex table
- 4 - population - housing table
- 5 - other race table
- 6 - question development sheet - race
- 7 - question development sheet - migration

ATTACHMENT #1

<u>Name</u>	<u>Address</u>	<u>Representing</u>
Ike D. Waits	511 W. 4th Ave., Anch.	Dept. Comm. & Reg. Aff.
Lee McAnerney	Pouch B, Juneau	Dept. Comm. & Reg. Aff.
Diane Burgin	670 Fireweed Lane, Anch.	AFN
Cal Danel	524 W. 5th, Anch.	Dept. of Labor
S.D. Stoneking	P.O. Box 400, Anchorage	Municipality of Anchorage
Bill Lawrence	BIA	BIA - Div. of Employ. Asst.
Bill Viera	P.O. Box 120, Anch.	BIA
Ray Baker	Box B, Palmer	Matanuska-Susitna Borough
Dave Osterback	DOE, 650 Int'l. Airport Rd.	Anchikak
Sherry Spitler	Adak, Alaska	Dept. of Education
Sy Coilsom	Anchorage	Dept. of Education
Lee Gorsuch	880 H. Street, Anchorage	Alaska Native Foundation
Art Patterson	927 W. 19th Ave., Anch.	BIA
Hazel Heath	Box 676, Homer	City of Homer
Kent Dawson	Pouch C, Juneau	Div. Bud. & Management
Peter Keating	P.O. Box 3-7000, Juneau	Dept. of Labor
Lou Ann Maxwell		State EEO Office
Kennith O. Piece	Pouch B, Juneau	Dept. of Comm. & Reg. Aff.
Bill Pritchard	Pouch B, Juneau	Dept. of Comm. & Reg. Aff.
Roger Harman	Box 3-7000, Juneau	Dept. of Labor
Beth Sutfin	833 Gambell, Anchorage	Aleut League
Dan Seiver	Univ. of Alaska, Sons. Lib.	ISEGR

<u>Name</u>	<u>Address</u>	<u>Representing</u>
Ted Vieira	Alaska Native Health Svc.	USPHS
Jessie Dodson	Pouch A, Juneau	Office of the Governor
Gerald Post	Washington, D.C.	U.S. Census Bureau
Dan Martin	Seattle	U.S. Census Bureau
Price D. Leavitt	Barrow	City of Barrow
Vince Fennimore	600 W. 6th, Ave., Anch.	Municipality of Anchorage
Richard Hart	Anchorage	Dept. of Highways
Ed Nygard	Box 3-8000, Juneau	BIA
Bill Rose	Fairbanks	F.N.S.B.
Carl Jeanetta	540 G. St., Anchorage	HQ/AAC
Nan Blunck	Box 400, Anchorage	Municipality of Anchorage
Lee Huskey	Box 400, Anchorage	Municipality of Anchorage
Katherine Allred	Pouch AD, Juneau	Office of the Governor
Sandra Wagenius	Pouch H06B, Juneau	Sec. of Family Health
Ned Kester	H&SS	Public Health
Jone R. Filps	Box 337, Seward	City of Seward
M.H. "Mike" Corneline	P.O. Box 5109	City of North Pole
Eileen Sheridan	Pouch SA, Juneau	Dept. of Revenue
Mavis Waarvik	Box 3-7000, Juneau	Dept. of Labor
Clain E. Dalton	3500 Tudor	Municipality of Anchorage

ATTACHMENT #2

A G E N D A

SPECIAL CENSUS COMMITTEE
PLANNING CONFERENCE

Tuesday, February 24, 1976 - Anchorage, Alaska
Alaska Room, Westward Hotel

"QUESTIONNAIRE CONTENT DETERMINATION"

As was explained in the notification letter for this meeting, questionnaire content will be determined by the end of today's session. The special census questionnaire is brief to begin with, and therefore affords little flexibility for additional questions.

We are determined to make the best use possible out of the nine response blocks available in column 11. (If column 8 is used - twelve blocks.) This will require a spirit of compromise on the part of all who nominate questions for consideration. In most cases, questions not satisfying a general state-wide interest level will be out of order.

The structure for today's proceedings is as follows:

<u>Approx. Time</u>	<u>Item</u>	<u>Speake:r</u>
8:15 a.m.	Introduction of Symposium Panelists and guest speakers.	Roger Harman
8:20 a.m.	Opening remarks: (20 to 40 minutes)	Guest Speaker(s)
9:00 a.m.	Special Census Symposium: (30 to 50 minutes) "Planning Overview" "Population Estimates-Forecasts" "Revenue Needs" "Impact on Rural Alaska" "Question Tabulation Costs and Responsibilities" "Question Development"	Kae Allred Mavis Waarvik Eileen Sheridan Bill Pritchard Peter Keating Ken Price
9:50 a.m.	Coffee Break	
10:05 a.m.	Census Bureau Perspectives	Gerald Post
10:25 a.m.	Question and Answer Period	

<u>Approx. Time</u>	<u>Item</u>	<u>Speaker</u>
11:00 a.m.	Nomination for Questions.	SCC Co-Chairman
11:30 a.m.	Lunch Break	
12:30 p.m.	Work Group Activity: (2 hrs.) Questions nominated will be listed according to general categories (i.e.; race, income, residency, etc.) and smaller groups will be formed to resolve differences by category. Questions will be numbered for ballot transfer purposes.	
2:30 p.m.	Work Group Reports: (30 to 45 min.)	
3:15 p.m.	Coffee Break	
3:30 p.m.	Final Question Discussion (1 hr.)	SCC Co-Chairman
4:30 p.m.	Question Selection and Adjournment (30 min.) If necessary, the meeting can be continued into the evening to resolve question selection.	

SPECIAL CENSUS
STATE OF ALASKA
SEPTEMBER 1, 1976

	-----POPULATION-----						-----HOUSING----- UNITS		
	-----TOTAL-----			-----WHITE-----		-----NON-WHITE-----		<u>Heads</u>	<u>Vacant</u>
	<u>Total</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>		
State	350,000	175,000	175,000	150,000	150,000	25,000	25,000	100,000	10,000
Anchorage Census Division	130,000	65,000	65,000	30,000	30,000	5,000	5,000	40,000	800
Greater Anchorage Area Borough	110,000	55,000	55,000	50,000	50,000	5,000	5,000	37,000	700
Anchorage City	50,000	25,000	25,000	22,000	22,000	3,000	3,000	17,000	250
Tract 1001	6,000	3,000	3,000	2,900	2,900	100	100	2,000	25
ED 001	850	425	425	400	400	25	25	275	10
ED 002	750	375	375	350	350	25	25	250	10
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

ATTACHMENT #4

This tabulation will be provided for Divisions, Boroughs, Places, Tracts and Enumeration Districts

ATTACHMENT #5

SPECIAL CENSUS
STATE OF ALASKA
SEPTEMBER 1, 1976

RACE OTHER THAN WHITE BY AGE AND SEX

TOTAL OTHER RACES

<u>STATE TOTAL</u>	<u>BOTH SEXES</u>	<u>MALE</u>	<u>FEMALE</u>
ALL AGES	696 064	344 955	351 109
UNDER 1 YEAR	10 374	5 236	5 138
1 YEAR	9 999	5 099	4 900
2 YEARS	10 817	5 586	5 231
3 YEARS	11 302	5 866	5 436
4 YEARS	12 535	6 413	6 122
5 YEARS	12 540	6 454	6 086
6 YEARS	11 886	6 056	5 830
7 YEARS	11 181	5 761	5 420
8 YEARS	11 296	5 706	5 590
9 YEARS	12 450	6 448	6 002
10 YEARS	13 240	6 750	6 490
11 YEARS	13 989	7 140	6 849
12 YEARS	14 188	7 162	7 026
13 YEARS	14 165	7 030	7 135
14 YEARS	14 793	7 614	7 179
15 YEARS	14 598	7 577	7 021
16 YEARS	14 092	7 310	6 782
17 YEARS	14 316	7 350	6 966
18 YEARS	13 819	7 209	6 610
19 YEARS	14 107	7 418	6 689
20 TO 24 YEARS	62 202	31 754	30 448
20 YEARS	13 153	6 816	6 337
21 YEARS	12 575	6 566	6 009
25 TO 29 YEARS	55 750	28 538	27 212
30 TO 34 YEARS	45 844	22 807	23 037
35 TO 39 YEARS	39 047	19 249	19 798
40 TO 44 YEARS	36 712	18 394	18 318
45 TO 49 YEARS	36 010	17 500	18 510
50 TO 54 YEARS	36 534	17 588	18 946
55 TO 59 YEARS	31 082	15 018	16 064
60 TO 64 YEARS	28 610	13 453	15 157
65 TO 69 YEARS	23 565	10 702	12 863
70 TO 74 YEARS	19 096	8 573	10 523
75 TO 79 YEARS	12 805	5 331	7 474
80 TO 84 YEARS	7 631	2 939	4 692
85 AND OVER	5 489	1 924	3 565
MEDIAN AGE	27.7	26.7	28.8
ANCHORAGE CENSUS DIVISION			
ALL AGES	130,000	65,000	65,000
UNDER 1 YEAR	1,000	500	500
ETC.			

This table will be repeated for Eskimos, Indians, and Aleuts and also be shown for Divisions, Boroughs, and Places of 2,500 or more.

ATTACHMENT #6

R A C E

SPECIAL CENSUS COMMITTEE

QUESTION DEVELOPMENT WORKSHEET

Question Number 1

Question as it will appear on questionnaire:

" White/Black/Eskimo/Alaskan Indian/Aleut/Other
_____ "

Response Blocks Needed: 6

<u>Costs</u> (Disadvantages)	<u>Benefits</u> (Advantages)
1.	1. Accurate count of natives.
2.	2. Comparison of base data.
3.	3. To have figures available needed for grants.
4.	4. Distribution of federal funds based on population.
5.	5.
6.	6.
7.	7.
8.	8.
9.	9.
10.	10.

Work Group Recommendation: " Ask legislature for the money. If not
available, private funding. Use Eskimo, Alaska Indian, Aleut breakdown
if at all possible. As last resort group them in Alaska Native.
_____ "

ATTACHMENT #7

R E S I D E N C Y

SPECIAL CENSUS COMMITTEE

QUESTION DEVELOPMENT WORKSHEET

Question Number 2

Question as it will appear on questionnaire:
(Latest)

" Your (current) length of residency in Alaska has been:
_____ "

Response Blocks Needed: 3. 1 - under 2 yrs; 2 - 2-6 yrs; 3 - over 6 yrs.

Costs (Disadvantages)

Benefits (Advantages)

1. Financing of additional tabulation costs.
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
- 7.
- 8.
- 9.
- 10.

- KEY {
1. Planning for population impact of future resource development in Alaska.
 - 2.
 3. Easy enumeration.
 4. Establish age-sex pattern of migration to the state.
 5. Some evidence of community stability.
 - 6.
 - 7.
 - 8.
 - 9.
 - 10.

Work Group Recommendation: "Tabulation by sex and age. Question is
of state-wide importance and is crucial for planning; definitely merits
inclusion in questionnaire.
_____ "

THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA
FISCAL NOTE
 Second Session - Ninth Legislature

I. REQUEST

Bill No. HB 739

Title: Appropriation for purpose of a special statewide census

Requested by: Governor Date: 2/11/76

Return Date Requested: _____

Agency: Office of the Governor Program: Policy Development & Planning

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Budget Request Unit(s) Affected: _____

A. EXPENDITURES: (Thousands of dollars)

OBJECT	FY 76	FY 77	FY 78	FY 79	FY 80	FY 81
100 PERSONAL SERVICES	0	451.5				
200 TRAVEL	5.1	96.0				
300 CONTRACTUAL	126.3	71.1				
400 COMMODITIES	0	0				
500 EQUIPMENT	0	0				
600 LAND & STRUCTURES	0	0				
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.	0	0				
TOTAL	131.4	618.6				

B. FUNDING: (Thousands of dollars)

GENERAL FUND	131.4	618.6				
FEDERAL FUNDS	0	0				
OTHER	0	0				

C. POSITIONS:

PERMANENT/TEMPORARY	/	/34	/	/	/	/
MAN MONTHS (P./T.)	/	409	/	/	/	/

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

\$100,000 is to be paid to the U.S. Bureau of the Census; remainder of total is to be spent within the State to pay workers and other costs of census.

Assumptions: That a special census will prove that Alaska's population on September 1, 1976 is at least 390,000 persons (official estimates indicate 400,000+ in contrast to Bureau of Census estimates of 351,000 for July 1, 1975).

That federal fund distributions in programs analyzed by the Special Census Committee will continue through FY77 at their current levels, which would yield additional income due to increased population sufficient to repay State for costs of census.

IV. ATTACHMENTS

None

V. DATE: February 20, 1976 PREPARED BY: Katharine J. Allen

Original: Legislative Finance
 cc: Budget and Management
 Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

UNIFIED HOME RULE MUNICIPALITIES AND ORGANIZED BOROUGHS

<u>COST</u>	<u>POPULATION</u>	<u>TOTAL COST (\$)</u>
Municipality of Anchorage		
Chugiak	3,882	2,145
Eagle River	4,558	2,320
Muldoon	21,010	9,260
Rabbit Creek	6,203	3,130
Sand Lake	12,508	6,745
Spennard	34,478	14,300
Service Area #30	6,386	3,245
Military	17,892	8,205
Total is overlapping of service areas	175,697	
Bristol Bay Borough	1,147	1,375
Fairbanks North Star Borough	50,029	18,740 +
Haines Borough	2,011	1,570
Kenai Peninsula Borough	19,345	8,410
Ketchikan Gateway Borough	11,052	5,565
Kodiak Island Borough	8,018	3,980
Matanuska-Susitna Borough		
Butte	1,698	1,475
Palmer	1,644	1,475
Sutton	524	1,075
Wasilla	2,197	1,595
Total is overlapping of service areas	10,834	5,565
North Slope Borough	8,634	4,270
City and Borough of Sitka	6,966	3,525

1976

SECOND SESSION OF THE NINTH LEGISLATIVE SESSION

LOBBYISTS

1431	Larry Vavra 2040 Paxon Anchorage, Alaska 99502	Union Oil Company of Calif.
1432	Philip D. Maloney 519 W. 4th Anchorage, Alaska 99501	The Anchorage Firefighters Assoc.
1433	Lewis M. Dischner 1518 "D" Street Anchorage, Alaska 99501	Trust Consultants
1434	Sam Kito, Jr. 2450 Tagalak Drive Anchorage, Alaska 99504	Alaska Federation of Natives
1435	Roger Bonney P.O. Box 392 Houston, Texas 77001	Exxon Company, USA
1436	Ronald R. Hawk 129 7th Street Apt. B Juneau, Alaska 99801	Sierra Club, Alaska Chapter
1437	James A. Lundquist 215 Slater Street Fairbanks, Alaska 99701	Tanana Valley Group
1438	Richard J. Stitt Route 5, Box 5186 Juneau, Alaska 99801	Sealaska Corporation
1439	Don Dickey 208 NBA Bldg. Juneau, Alaska 99801	Alaska State Chamber of Commerce
1440	Bernard J. Dougherty 717 K Street Anchorage, Alaska 99501	Hamilton Bros.
1441	Robert L. Hartig 717 K Street Anchorage, Alaska 99501	Hamiltor Brothers
1442	Gloria Houston Way Box 1775 Juneau, Alaska 99802	League of Women Voters of Juneau

INCORPORATED CITIES

<u>CITY</u>	<u>POPULATION</u>	<u>TOTAL COST(\$)</u>
Akhiok	102	835
Akiachak	371	870
Akolmiut	608	1,085
Alakanuk	512	1,075
Ambler	217	845
Anderson	463	1,075
Angoon	475	1,075
Aniak	273	860
Barrow	2,307	1,605
Bethel	2,921	1,955
Brevig Mission	120	835
Buckland	119	835
Chefornak	182	845
Chevak	447	1,075
Cordova	2,406	1,620
fire service area	2,746	1,620
Craig	467	1,075
Deering	87	835
Delta Junction	892	1,120
fire service area	1,617	1,460
Dillingham	1,025	1,355
Eagle	172	845
Elim	205	845
Emmonak	545	1,085
Fairbanks	30,462	13,325
military annex	6,412	3,245
Fort Yukon	637	1,085
Fortuna Ledge	200	845

PHILLIPS PETROLEUM COMPANY (con't)

Sale #23 - September 10, 1969

<u>ADL #</u>	<u>Bonus Bid</u>	<u>Percentage Owned</u>
47423	\$ 385,100	50
47424	646,100	50
47450	18,660,000	33 1/3
47451	18,130,000	"
47452	41,230,000	"
47453	15,276,000	"
47454	3,221,000	"
47521	1,045,200	50
47555	276,150	"
47557	528,100	"
47558	353,150	"
47563	791,100	"
47565	526,150	"
47566	793,100	"
47573	1,547,100	"
47574	796,050	"
47575	795,150	"
47578	1,551,100	"
		<u>32,172,301.161</u>
		<u>4,501,175</u>