

Leg. Finance - House & Senate Finance Comte Files (1973-74)   
HB 619, 626, 627, 630, 634, 637, 638, 639, 640, 641 255



# RECORDS CERTIFICATION

I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James O. Smith  
Signature of Camera Operator

4/26/89  
Date

Introduced: 2/13/74  
Referred: Health, Education &  
Social Services and Finance

BY THE RULES COMMITTEE BY  
REQUEST OF THE LEGISLATIVE  
COUNCIL BY REQUEST OF THE  
INTERIM COMMITTEE ON NATIVE  
HOUSING AND SCHOOLS

1 IN THE HOUSE

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 619

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 EIGHTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act making a special appropriation to the state  
7 operated school system; and providing for an effective  
8 date."

9 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

10 \* Section 1. The sum of \$6,000 is appropriated from the general fund to  
11 the state operated school system for the purpose of preparing a distribution  
12 plan for providing excess electrical power, where feasible and available, to  
13 remote villages in the state.

14 \* Sec. 2. This Act takes effect on the day after its passage and approval  
15 or on the day it becomes law without approval.

16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

TO: REP. ORAL FREEMAN  
CHAIRMAN FINANCE COMMITTEE

FROM: REP. JACOB LAKTONEN  
CHAIRMAN, INTERIM COMMITTEE NATIVE HOUSING AND SCHOOLS

SUBJECT: HB 619 TESTIMONY

Mr. Homer Marshall, Director of Plant facilities for SOS testified before an Interim Committee on Native housing and schools in Anchorage on December 14th and 15th, 1973, indicating his willingness to share excess power supply which presently exists in 19 remote communities. There are some limitations to how much power SOS can provide and still guarantee themselves enough power to operate the school system.

SOS is presently making a survey of excess power at Twin Hills. The following factors of consideration are:

- (1) Use of a load limiting device
- (2) A signed Agreement with the community
- (3) Working very close with RDA in the case of Twin Hills and other areas.

Money would have to be provided to put together a distribution system for the village which SOS could not finance. As a matter of fact, there have been requests submitted to provide SOS with some money to make further studies in other areas.

It is the policy in SOS to co-operate in any and every way possible where this power is available, to at least provide the villages with some minimum source of power.

Mr. Marshall stated that between \$5,000 and \$7,000 would probably lower their cost without any problems. This money would be used to prepare for the distribution of excess power.

The contract would be based on actual cost for providing power, which is twenty (20) cents per kilowatt. The contract will be written so that the village is responsible for the payment of the power and the village would, in turn, bill the customers themselves. SOS would continue to assume the responsibility of maintenance of the generating unit, but the distribution system would be the responsibility of the community, or the customers themselves.

The locations where excess power is available are:

Allakaket	Ekwok	Nelson Lagoon	
Atmautluak	Ivanoff Bay	Nikolai	
Chignik	Kobuk	Nondalton	
Chuofibuk	Kokhanok	Ouzinkie	
Clarks Point	Levelock	Pedro Bay	
Port Heiden	Russian Mission	Stony River	Twin Hills

The size of generators range between 50 kw and 75kw. In many cases, there is a very definite advantage to increase the load in order to improve the function of the unit.

Under this program of co-operation with SOS, some or all of the funding will be recovered.

Eventually SOS will be out of the power business and instead will purchase power from the community source when it becomes available, but SOS must be assured that there is power available.



# RECORDS



# CERTIFICATION

I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James O. Smith  
Signature of Camera Operator

4/26/89  
Date

8

The Legislature of the State of Alaska  
FISCAL NOTE  
Second Session - Eighth Legislature

I. REQUEST

Bill Identification: House Bill No. 626  
 Title: Appropriation for a feasibility study of health sciences center in Anch  
 Requested by: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: March 6, 1974  
 Return Date Requested: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Agency: Department of Health & Social Services Program: Public Health

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Budget Request Unit(s) Affected: 06 31 02 02  
 A. EXPENDITURES: (Thousands of dollars)

OBJECT	FY 74	FY 75	FY 76	FY 77	FY 78	FY 79
100 PERSONAL SERVICES						
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL	-0-	41.0	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
400 COMMODITIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.						
TOTAL	-0-	41.0	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-

B. FUNDING: (Thousands of dollars)

GENERAL FUND	-0-	41.0	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER						

C. POSITIONS:

PERMANENT/TEMPORARY	/	/	/	/	/	/
MAN MONTHS (P./T.)	/	/	/	/	/	/

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

IV. ATTACHMENTS

V. DATE: March 6, 1974 PREPARED BY: Liz Anne Norman

Original: Legislative Finance  
 cc: Budget and Management  
 Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

Introduced: 2/14/74  
Referred: Health, Education &  
Social Services and Finance

BY THE HEALTH, EDUCATION AND  
SOCIAL SERVICES COMMITTEE

1 IN THE HOUSE

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 626

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 EIGHTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act making a special appropriation to the Depart-  
7 ment of Health and Social Services for a feasibility  
8 study of a health sciences center in Anchorage; and  
9 providing for an effective date."

10 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

11 \* Section 1. The sum of \$41,000 is appropriated from the general fund to  
12 the Department of Health and Social Services to conduct a feasibility study  
13 of a health sciences center in Anchorage.

14 \* Sec. 2. The unexpended and unobligated portion of this appropriation  
15 lapses into the general fund June 30, 1975.

16 \* Sec. 3. This Act takes effect on the day after its passage and approval  
17 or on the day it becomes law without approval.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29



# RECORDS CERTIFICATION



I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James O. Smith  
Signature of Camera Operator

4/26/89  
Date

Introduced: 2/14/74  
Referred: Health, Education  
& Social Services and  
Finance

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY ORSINI

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 627

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 EIGHTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act establishing the Harvey Golub Memorial  
7 Scholarship Loan Fund; and providing for an effective  
8 date."

9 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

10 \* Section 1. AS 14.40 is amended by adding new sections to read:

11 ARTICLE 11. HARVEY GOLUB MEMORIAL  
12 SCHOLARSHIP LOAN FUND.

13 Sec. 14.40.891. DECLARATION OF PURPOSE. The purpose of the Harvey  
14 Golub Memorial Scholarship Loan Fund is to perpetuate the memory of  
15 Harvey Golub, of Juneau, who was chief engineer of the bridge design  
16 section of the Department of Highways of the State of Alaska and whose  
17 accidental and untimely death September 13, 1971, cut short a widely-  
18 respected career in civil engineering.

19 Sec. 14.40.893. FUND CREATED. There is created a scholarship  
20 revolving loan fund. The fund shall be used to provide educational  
21 scholarship loans to students selected under secs. 891 - 907 of this  
22 chapter. All repayments of principal shall be paid into the scholarship  
23 revolving loan fund and shall be used to make new scholarship loans.

24 Sec. 14.40.895. LIMITS ON LOANS. A scholarship loan to a re-  
25 cipient may not exceed \$2,500 a school year for an undergraduate  
26 student or \$5,000 a school year for a graduate student. Loans may not  
27 be made to a student for more than six years.

28 Sec. 14.40.897. CONDITIONS OF LOANS. (a) A loan may be used  
29 only to pursue a degree program in an accredited college or university

1 in civil, mechanical, electrical, electronic, petroleum, mining, traffic  
2 and transportation, sanitary, chemical or other recognized field of  
3 engineering.

4 (b) The recipient shall be a resident of Alaska and either

5 (1) enrolled as a full-time undergraduate or graduate student  
6 leading to an Associate Baccalaureate or Graduate degree program in a  
7 field of study listed in (a) of this section;

8 (2) a graduate of a high school, or scheduled for graduation  
9 from a high school within six months, with sufficient academic credits  
10 to be admitted to a college or university intending to pursue a course  
11 of study leading to a degree in one of the fields listed in (a) of this  
12 section; or

13 (3) an officer or employee of a department or agency in the  
14 state who intends to pursue a course of study in his professional field  
15 leading to a degree in one of the fields listed in (a) of this section.

16 (c) The recipient must at all times continue to be enrolled as a  
17 full-time student in good standing at a college or university.

18 (d) In any year in which the scholarship revolving loan fund  
19 created under sec. 893 of this chapter has inadequate receipts to fund a  
20 loan, no loan may be offered and the receipts will be added to the  
21 amount available in the succeeding year.

22 (e) The administering authority may provide conditions in the note  
23 signed by the recipient or in a separate document or communication that  
24 will help it carry out the provisions of secs. 891 - 907 of this chapter.

25 Sec. 14.40.899. REPAYMENT OF LOANS. (a) Scholarship loans shall  
26 be noninterest-bearing and security for the loan may not be required.  
27 However, the note signed by the recipient shall provide for the payment  
28 of attorney fees, costs of court and skip-tracing fees if any are in-  
29 curred in collection of the unpaid amount owed on the loan.

1  
2 (b) No part of a loan made under secs. 891 - 907 of this chapter  
3 need be repaid during an academic year in which the student is attending  
4 a college or university as a full-time student.

5 (c) Loans may be repaid at an accelerated rate at the option of  
6 the student.

7 (d) If a loan is in default, the administering authority shall  
8 notify the student that repayment of the remaining balance is acceler-  
9 ated and due by sending the student a notice by registered or certified  
10 mail.

11 (e) A recipient of a scholarship loan under secs. 891 - 907 of  
12 this chapter who graduates from a degree program shall receive foregive-  
13 ness of one-fifth of loan indebtedness for each one-year period he is  
14 employed full-time in Alaska in a recognized branch of the engineering  
15 profession or other closely related fields. That portion of the loan  
16 that is forgiven shall be considered a grant to the recipient.

17 (f) A student who does not qualify for forgiveness of all or a  
18 part of the loan made to him under secs. 891 - 907 of this chapter shall  
19 begin repayment of the unforgiven portion within six months after  
20 leaving employment in a recognized branch of the engineering profession  
21 or other closely related fields in the state or within six months after  
22 terminating his studies. The unforgiven portion shall be repaid in an  
23 amount, and at a monthly rate, to be determined by the administering  
24 authority after consultation with the student, but in any event not less  
25 than \$50 a month.

26 Sec. 14.40.901. SELECTION. (a) In selecting from among eligible  
27 applicants a person who will be granted a loan under secs. 891 - 907 of  
28 this chapter, the administering authority shall consider the following:

- 29 (1) the applicant's career goals and aspirations;  
(2) the applicant's prior academic record;

1 (3) the financial need of the applicant; and

2 (4) other items that may be considered relevant by the  
3 administering authority to determine whether an applicant will receive  
4 a loan.

5 (b) To assist the administering authority in selecting eligible  
6 applicants for award of scholarship loans under secs. 891 - 907 of this  
7 chapter, and the student loan and tuition grant selection committee in  
8 reviewing this loan program, the committee may be augmented by two mem-  
9 bers of the state Board of Registration for Architects, Engineers and  
10 Land Surveyors selected annually by the board from among its engineer  
11 members.

12 Sec. 14.40.903. DISCRIMINATION PROHIBITED. The scholarship loan  
13 program shall be carried out without regard to the race, creed, sex,  
14 color, ancestry, national origin, or membership in fraternal or politi-  
15 cal organizations of the applicant.

16 Sec. 14.40.905. ADMINISTERING AUTHORITY. (a) The scholarship  
17 loans provided for under secs. 891 - 907 of this chapter shall be admin-  
18 istered by the executive secretary of the student loan and tuition  
19 grant selection committee under secs. 753 and 757 of this chapter, sub-  
20 ject to review by the committee and those regulations the committee may  
21 prescribe to carry out the purposes of secs. 891 - 907 of this chapter.

22 (b) To the extent that they are not in conflict with the provisions  
23 of secs. 891 - 907 of this chapter, the provisions of secs. 751 - 806  
24 of this chapter relating to scholarship loans are applicable to loans  
25 made under secs. 891 - 907 of this chapter.

26 Sec. 14.40.907. FUNDING. (a) The scholarship revolving loan fund  
27 provided for under sec. 893 of this chapter shall be funded by voluntary  
28 contributions by state employees who may contribute the value of one or  
29 more days of annual leave a year to the scholarship revolving loan fund.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

(b) The Department of Administration shall pay to the account of the scholarship revolving loan fund established under sec. 893 of this chapter an amount equal to the value of the total number of days of annual leave contributed by state employees under (a) of this section.

(c) The administering authority may accept contributions from private sources for the scholarship revolving loan fund created under sec. 893 of this chapter, and these contributions shall be deposited in the scholarship revolving loan fund created under sec. 893 of this chapter.

\* Sec. 2. This Act takes effect on the day after its passage and approval or on the day it becomes law without approval.



# RECORDS CERTIFICATION



I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James A. Smith  
Signature of Camera Operator

4/26/89  
Date

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY RANDOLPH

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 630

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 EIGHTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to the business license tax on banks  
7 and financial institutions; and providing for an  
8 effective date."

9 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

10 \* Section 1. AS. 43.70.030(b) is amended to read:

11 (b) The license fee for each national bank and state bank, trust  
12 company and savings and loan association is 7 [SEVEN] per cent of its  
13 net income less than \$500,000; 9 per cent of net income above \$500,000  
14 but less than \$1,000,000; 11 per cent of net income above \$1,000,000  
15 but less than \$2,000,000; and 13 per cent of net income in excess of  
16 \$2,000,000. Net income means the taxable income of each such taxpayer  
17 before net operating loss deduction and special deductions, computed  
18 as required under the Internal Revenue Code of the United States and  
19 includes all other income including income from federal, state or  
20 municipal obligation. Each of these taxpayers shall submit a copy of  
21 the income tax return which it files with the United States Collector  
22 of Internal Revenue and shall notify the commissioner of revenue in  
23 writing of any alteration or modification of the federal income tax  
24 return and of a recomputation of tax or determination of deficiency.  
25 The taxpayer who files his federal return on a fiscal year basis shall  
26 in addition submit a statement setting out all information which would  
27 be required and reported in a federal income tax return prepared for  
28 the calendar year. This statement shall be in the same form as a fed-  
29 eral income tax return and the net income shall be computed as required

1 under the Internal Revenue Code. The statement shall be submitted to  
2 the commissioner of revenue before the sixteenth day of April after  
3 the close of the calendar year.

4 \* Sec. 2. This Act takes effect on the day after its passage and  
5 approval or on the day it becomes law without approval.  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

The Legislature of the State of Alaska  
FISCAL NOTE  
Second Session - Eighth Legislature

I. REQUEST

Bill Identification: HB 630  
 Title: Business License Tax on Banks  
 Requested by: Randolph Date: 2-14-74  
 Return Date Requested: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Agency: Revenue Program: Individual & Business Taxes

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Budget Request Unit(s) Affected: Individual & Business Taxes  
 A. EXPENDITURES: (Thousands of dollars)

OBJECT	FY 74	FY 75	FY 76	FY 77	FY 78	FY 79
100 PERSONAL SERVICES						
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL						
400 COMMODITIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.						
<b>TOTAL</b>	None	None	None	None	None	None

B. FUNDING: (Thousands of dollars)

GENERAL FUND						
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER						

C. POSITIONS:

PERMANENT/TEMPORARY	-0- /	-0- /	-0- /	-0- /	-0- /	-0- /
MAN MONTHS (P./T.)	/	/	/	/	/	/

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

IV. ATTACHMENTS

See memorandum from F. P. Boetsch, Director of Audit to Ralph Kimlinger, Deputy Commissioner, dated February 22, 1974 and letter from Fred Boetsch to The Honorable Richard Randolph dated March 13, 1974.

V. DATE: 3/14/74 PREPARED BY: Ralph Kimlinger  
 Deputy Commissioner  
 Department of Revenue

Original: Legislative Finance  
 cc: Budget and Management  
 Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

STATE  
of ALASKA

## MEMORANDUM

TO:

Ralph Kimlinger  
Deputy Commissioner  
Department of RevenueDEPT. OF REVENUE  
RECEIVED

FEB 22 11 04 AM '74

DATE :

February 22, 1974

FILE

FROM: Frederick P. Boetsch  
Director  
Audit Division

SUBJECT:

HB 630

At your request I have analyzed House Bill 630 which proposes a graduated tax rate on the net income of banks under the Business License Act.

The table below indicates the projections which I have made of the effects of this tax on revenues. In arriving at this table it was necessary for me to project the income of each of the banks doing business in our state. We have noted a consistent growth rate over the past few years which would indicate that the total income from the banks will grow at least one million dollars per year between now and 1979. I think that this is probably a conservative estimate.

I have assumed for purposes of the calculation that the share of total bank income for each bank would remain the same throughout the period, that is that each bank would continue its share of the total market and its share of the total income. While this assumption is certainly subject to question, it is the only reasonable assumption we can make at this time. I then calculated the tax which would be due from each bank under House Bill 630 and in the table below have compared that with the amounts which would be received on the same income under the current law. The projections are as follows:

Projected Revenues

	<u>FY 75</u>	<u>FY 76</u>	<u>FY 77</u>	<u>FY 78</u>	<u>FY 79</u>
HB 630	\$ 1,437,000	\$ 1,551,000	\$ 1,667,000	\$ 1,783,000	\$ 1,890,000
Current Law	<u>980,000</u>	<u>1,050,000</u>	<u>1,120,000</u>	<u>1,190,000</u>	<u>1,260,000</u>
Net Gain In Treasury	<u>\$ 457,000</u>	<u>\$ 501,000</u>	<u>\$ 547,000</u>	<u>\$ 593,000</u>	<u>\$ 630,000</u>

The only administrative problem that we see with the Act comes in Section 2 - the effective date. As with all tax laws we prefer to see the effective date take place at the beginning of the calendar year, i.e., January 1. If the effective date of the law occurs during the calendar year which would be the case as Section 2 is presently stated, then it become necessary to calculate the income for the period prior to the effective date in order to apply the old rate and for the period subsequent to the effective date in order to apply the new schedule. This creates quite an accounting burden for the taxpayer, especially if that date occurs within the month rather than at month end. In many accounting systems it may well be impossible to come up with an accurate figure to subject to each of the tax rates if the effective date is other than at the calendar year end. I would therefore recommend that the effective date be changed to either January 1, 1974 or January 1, 1975.

W  
March 13, 1974

The Honorable Richard Randolph  
Chairman  
House Commerce Committee  
Pouch V  
Juneau, AK 99301

Dear Representative Randolph:

The other day you asked a question in relation to House Bill 630 which seeks to change the tax rate on banks from the existing 7% to a graduated scale from 7% to 13% of net income. Specifically, you were interested in knowing what rate the typical business in Alaska might pay on its net income through both the Net Income Tax Act and the Gross Receipts Act.

In order to answer this question, I refer you to research we have done on House Bill 738 and to my memorandum to Deputy Commissioner Ralph Kimlinger dated March 7, copies of which were passed out before your committee on Friday, March 8. That bill would repeal the Gross Receipts Tax and replace it with a tax based on net income. In order to raise the same amount of revenue as currently raised by the Gross Receipts Tax, we concluded that it would be necessary to impose an additional tax of 3.6% on net income. In other words, for the typical Alaskan business, the Gross Receipts Tax burden is 3.6% of its income.

Therefore in order to determine the total tax burden of a typical Alaska business from these two taxes it is necessary to combine the tax rates. The corporate tax rate for income in excess of \$25,000 is 9.36% of taxable income. When we add this to the 3.6% which equates to the Gross Receipts Tax burden we come up with a combined rate of 12.96%. A corporation therefore pays about 13% on its net income in excess of \$25,000. For the first \$25,000 of net income the combined net income and gross receipts tax rate is 7.5%. In order to be completely comparable, then, a rate schedule for banking institutions should be set up which would tax the first \$25,000 of net income at 7.5% and everything over \$25,000 at 13%.

If you have any further questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Best regards,

Frederick P. Boatsch, CPA  
Director  
Audit Division

FPB:jf



# RECORDS CERTIFICATION

I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James O. Smith  
Signature of Camera Operator

4/26/89  
Date

Introduced: 2/14/74  
Referred: Resources and  
Finance

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY MCGILL, ELIASON AND NAUGHTON

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 634

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 EIGHTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act making a special appropriation to the Depart-  
7 ment of Fish and Game for an x-ray spectroscopy pro-  
8 gram; and providing for an effective date."

9 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

10 \* Section 1. The sum of \$58,800 is appropriated from the general fund  
11 to the Department of Fish and Game for the purpose of instituting a fisheries  
12 x-ray spectroscopy program.

13 \* Sec. 2. This Act takes effect on the day after its passage and approval  
14 or on the day it becomes law without approval.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

The Legislature of the State of Alaska

FISCAL NOTE

Second Session - Eighth Legislature

I. REQUEST

Bill Identification: HB 634

Title: X-Ray Spectroscopy - Appropriation for

Requested by: Legislative Finance Date: 2/23/74

Return Date Requested: March 1, 1974

Agency: Fish and Game Program: Natural Resources Management & Environmental Conservation

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Budget Request Unit(s) Affected: Commercial Fisheries - Research

A. EXPENDITURES: (Thousands of dollars)

OBJECT	FY 74	FY 75	FY 76	FY 77	FY 78	FY 79
100 PERSONAL SERVICES		13,100	13,100	13,100	13,100	13,100
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL		10,200	5,200	5,500	5,500	5,500
400 COMMODITIES		500	500	500	500	500
500 EQUIPMENT		35,000				
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.						
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>-0-</b>	<b>58,800</b>	<b>18,800</b>	<b>19,100</b>	<b>19,100</b>	<b>19,100</b>

B. FUNDING: (Thousands of dollars)

GENERAL FUND	-0-	58,800	18,800	19,100	19,100	19,100
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER						

C. POSITIONS:

PERMANENT/TEMPORARY	/	1 /	1 /	1 /	1 /	1 /
MAN MONTHS (P./T.)	/	12 /	12 /	12 /	12 /	12 /

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

This project would be composed of purchasing the basic required equipment, stationing it at Anchorage and hiring a full time Fish and Game Technician V to process samples. Actual sample collection, project coordination, etc., would be handled by the existing staff. The continuing costs consist of the Technician's salary, maintenance of machinery, sample processing costs and computer time for sample analysis.

Salary for Technician is based on current salary scale. No inflation is built in to projections.

IV. ATTACHMENTS

Departmental project proposal.

V. DATE: February 26, 1974

PREPARED BY: Vern Roberts

Original: Legislative Finance  
 cc: Budget and Management  
 Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

X-Ray Spectroscopy  
STOCK SEPARATION PROPOSAL

Program Title: Stock Separation Proposal.

Location: Anchorage regional office.

Budget: Phase I - (first year) \$58,800.  
Phase II - (maintenance level) \$17,000.

Brief Description: The Fisheries Research Board of Canada at Nanaimo, British Columbia, has developed the use of x-ray spectroscopy to differentiate between different stocks of fish. Differences in the concentration of elements present in the tissue of fish from different areas have been found and measured by this method. They have achieved a high degree of success in differentiating between samples of smolt and adults from different areas and in separating stocks within mixed fisheries. They have expanded their work to include waterfowl, as well as sockeye and coho salmon. The machinery and methods are still being improved particularly in regards to speed and processing samples but even at the present state of the art very meaningful results are being achieved. The basic setup can be modified to incorporate improvements as they are made particularly in the mechanical processing of samples. There is a need to expand this work to other species and other areas that is beyond the scope of a strictly research organization such as the Fisheries Research Board of Canada.

This project would be composed of purchasing the basic required equipment, stationing it at Anchorage, and hiring a full-time technician to process samples. Actual sample collection, project coordination, etc., could be handled by the existing staff. The second phase consists of the annual operation of this machinery, that is, the technicians salary, maintenance costs, sample processing costs. Included in the operation is computer time for sample analysis.

Application: Separation of stocks is of such importance in management of our fisheries that nearly any example given would understate the case. Recent arguments on Cook Inlet king salmon dramatically point up the need for good methods of stock separation. The commercial fishery is fishing on king salmon bound for several different river systems. The controversy has settled around whether the catch is coming from strictly the clear water sport fishing streams in the northern District or whether it is coming from glacial tributaries not being touched by the

Application (cont.): sport fishery. Additionally, there is some question as to what proportion of the catch is actually produced in the streams south of the forelands that are not of prime importance to the sport fishery. Another example that comes quickly to mind is the sockeye runs in the Nushagak District of Bristol Bay.

These runs are in serious decline. One of the reasons may be stock selectivity by the fishery. The Nushagak District fishery operates on two main river systems. It is possible that the fishery has been taking an unwarranted high proportion of one run while leaving another run relatively untouched. Without some good means to separate these two groups of fish in the fishery, the effect of this is impossible to determine.

Past methods of stock separation have included tagging, analysis of scale characters, measurements of length, differentiation of sex, weight, different parasites present in the body, etc. In most cases, these methods have been lacking in some respect. Whenever fish are handled as in tagging experiments, their migratory characteristics are changed. Additionally, of course, it only proves the point in any one given year and it is expensive and difficult to carry out. A natural tag that the fish carries with him all the time such as would be determined by x-ray spectroscopy is the best answer.

Evaluation:

A recent conference with Dr. Calaprice, the developer of this method, at the Fisheries Research Board of Canada indicates that we can expect the utmost cooperation from this group in development of the use of this method in Alaska. Dr. Calaprice is willing to come to Alaska to lecture on the subject and to loan us technicians experienced in this method to help set it up. This problem of stock separation is too important to all our management aspects to let it lie too much longer. I believe the method is at the stage of development that it would be useful to us.

As well as management of our natural runs, this method could be applicable in evaluation of our rehabilitation efforts. Assessment of the contribution of fish produced through rehabilitation methods to our commercial fisheries will be quite important to evaluate the success of these projects. It seems quite feasible that the x-ray spectroscopy method would be highly successful in picking out hatchery or spawning channel produced fish in the commercial fishery. In point of fact this method is under investigation in Washington and Oregon for identification of hatchery produced coho in the coastal fisheries.

X-RAY SPECTROSCOPY STOCK SEGREGATION

Phase I (First Year)

Line 100	Permanent Tech. V. 12 x 943 + benefits at 16%	13,100
Line 300	Contract FRBC Dr. Calaprice & Technician travel to Anchorage & 2 mos. time technician for equipment setup. =	5,000
	Equipment maintenance. =	0
	Computer time & programming. =	4,200
	Machining sample processor. =	1,000
		10,200
Line 400	Materials for samples. =	500
		500
Line 500	X-ray spectroscopy machinery	35,000
		35,000
	TOTAL	58,800

Phase II (Annual)

	Tech V & maintenance & computer time.	17,000
--	---------------------------------------	--------

Introduced: 2/14, '74  
Referred: Resources and  
Finance

1 IN THE HOUSE BY MCGILL, ELIASON AND NAUGHTON  
2 HOUSE BILL NO. 634  
3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA  
4 EIGHTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION  
5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act making a special appropriation to the Depart-  
7 ment of Fish and Game for an x-ray spectroscopy pro-  
8 gram; and providing for an effective date."

9 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

10 \* Section 1. The sum of \$58,800 is appropriated from the general fund  
11 to the Department of Fish and Game for the purpose of instituting a fisheries  
12 x-ray spectroscopy program.

13 \* Sec. 2. This Act takes effect on the day after its passage and approval  
14 or on the day it becomes law without approval.  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29



# RECORDS



# CERTIFICATION

I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James O. Smith  
Signature of Camera Operator

4/26/89  
Date

Introduced: 2/15/74  
Referred: Community & Regional  
Affairs and Finance

BY THE RULES COMMITTEE  
BY REQUEST OF THE  
SPECIAL PETROLEUM  
IMPACT COMMITTEE

1 IN THE HOUSE

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 637

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 EIGHTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act making a special appropriation to the pipeline  
7 impact fund; and providing for an effective date."

8 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

9 \* Section 1. The sum of \$25,000,000 is appropriated from the general  
10 fund to the pipeline impact fund for the purpose of carrying out the provi-  
11 sions of AS 44.19.581 - 44.19.605.

12 \* Sec. 2. The unexpended and unobligated portion of this appropriation  
13 lapses into the general fund June 30, 1975.

14 \* Sec. 3. This Act takes effect on the effective date of an Act entitled  
15 "An Act creating the Pipeline Impact Agency; and providing for an effective  
16 date."  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

Original sponsor: Rules Committee  
by request of the Special Petroleum  
Impact Committee

Offered: 4/2/74  
Referred: Finance

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY THE COMMUNITY AND  
REGIONAL AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

2 CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 637

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 EIGHTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act making a special appropriation to the pipe-  
7 line impact loan fund; and providing for an  
8 effective date."

9 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

10 \* Section 1. The sum of \$15,000,000 is appropriated from the general  
11 fund to the pipeline impact fund for the purpose of carrying out the  
12 provisions of "An Act creating a loan program for the purpose of assisting  
13 pipeline-impacted municipalities; and providing for an effective date."

14 \* Sec. 2. The unexpended and unobligated portion of this appropriation  
15 lapses into the general fund June 30, 1975.

16 \* Sec. 3. This Act takes effect on the effective date of an Act  
17 entitled "An Act creating a loan program for the purpose of assisting  
18 pipeline-impacted municipalities; and providing for an effective date."  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29



# RECORDS



# CERTIFICATION

I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

*James O Smith*  
Signature of Camera Operator

*4/26/89*  
Date

Introduced: 2/15/74  
Referred: Community &  
Regional Affairs and Finance

BY THE RULES COMMITTEE  
BY REQUEST OF THE  
SPECIAL PETROLEUM  
IMPACT COMMITTEE

1 IN THE HOUSE

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 638

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 EIGHTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act creating the Pipeline Impact Agency; and  
7 providing for an effective date."

8 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

9 \* Section 1. AS 44.19 is amended by adding new sections to read:

10 ARTICLE 8. PIPELINE IMPACT AGENCY.

11 Sec. 44.19.581. PURPOSE. The legislature finds that construction  
12 of the trans-Alaska pipeline, from its commencement to completion over  
13 a period of approximately three years, will impose severe to mild  
14 strains on local and state governmental services and facilities. While  
15 the pipeline construction indubitably will, in the long run, mean  
16 immense growth and development to the communities and areas along the  
17 pipeline route, and to those areas coming under direct pipeline con-  
18 struction influence, the legislature further finds that localities most  
19 affected will be unable to cope with the probable impact on facilities  
20 and services brought about by the anticipated overwhelming and sudden  
21 increases in numbers of citizens to be served. The legislature finds,  
22 also, that communities while likely to be impacted are willing and  
23 ready via local taxation to do all possible themselves to meet impact  
24 requirements, even to the full limits of local taxation tolerance,  
25 nevertheless, recognizing the state will be the prime beneficiary of  
26 pipeline construction via realization of enormous oil development  
27 revenues for the total state, the legislature finds that local impact  
28 financial burdens logically should be borne by the state as its invest-  
29 ment in those future revenues. It is, therefore, the intent of the

1 legislature, in this measure, to provide a means of quickly and deci-  
2 sively determining specific impact problems and, additionally, for  
3 moving quickly and decisively to provide funds, facilities, personnel  
4 or other means for quick solutions. Finally, the legislature intends  
5 via this legislation to meet local and state pipeline construction impact  
6 problems as quickly and efficiently as possible in manners similar to  
7 the handling of disaster impact problems. The legislature finds, too,  
8 that revenue-sharing formulas on pe capita or percentage per capita  
9 increase basis are less desirable, less effective, and more costly than  
10 the case-by-case approach intended in the legislation. Under the  
11 formula approach, provision would have to be made for all probable as  
12 well as all possible impact contingencies, some of which may never  
13 develop.

14 Sec. 44.19.583. PIPELINE IMPACT AGENCY. There is created in the  
15 office of the governor the Pipeline Impact Agency.

16 Sec. 44.19.585. DIRECTOR. The Pipeline Impact Agency is adminis-  
17 tered by a director of pipeline impact. The director is appointed by  
18 the governor and serves at the pleasure of the governor. The appoint-  
19 ment of the director is subject to confirmation by a majority of the  
20 members of the legislature in joint session.

21 Sec. 44.19.587. PROGRAM TO ASSIST MUNICIPALITIES DURING PIPELINE  
22 CONSTRUCTION. The Pipeline Impact Agency shall administer a state  
23 program to provide assistance to municipalities which are adversely  
24 affected, economically and socially, by pipeline construction.

25 Sec. 44.19.589. POWERS AND DUTIES. (a) The director shall

26 (1) advise and assist the governor in developing planning  
27 assumptions and a broad preparedness plan with respect to the economic  
28 and social impact that will accompany pipeline construction;

29 (2) advise and assist the governor in developing policies,

1 programs and control systems designed to alleviate the economic and  
2 social impact resulting from pipeline construction; and

3 (3) advise and assist the governor with respect to resolving  
4 issues related to pipeline construction impact preparedness responsi-  
5 bilities of state agencies which arise concerning two or more of those  
6 agencies.

7 (b) The director, with the approval of the Special Legislative  
8 Pipeline Impact Review Committee (as provided for in secs. 595 - 605  
9 of this chapter), may

10 (1) make loans and grants and purchase evidences of indebted-  
11 ness with funds from the pipeline impact fund to municipalities economi-  
12 cally or socially adversely affected by pipeline construction;

13 (2) guarantee municipal bonds when a municipality needs to  
14 undertake a capital improvement program on an accelerated basis; and

15 (3) pay (for not more than three years) from the pipeline  
16 impact fund a portion of the debt service or interest or both incurred  
17 by a municipality for undertaking capital improvements made necessary  
18 by pipeline construction.

19 (c) Grants under (b)(1) of this section shall be made on the  
20 basis of percentage increase in population and not on the basis of  
21 per capita increase in population. Applications for grants shall be  
22 made in a form prescribed by the director. A grant shall be allotted  
23 according to an agreement made between the director on behalf of the  
24 state and the mun' ipality receiving the grant. The agreement may  
25 include any provi on agreed upon by the parties and shall include in  
26 substance the following provisions:

27 (1) a schedule of grant disbursements, if, as determined  
28 by the director, a grant is to be disbursed other than in one sum;

29 (2) agreement by the municipality to

1 (A) proceed with and complete the proposed project  
2 or program expeditiously;

3 (B) not discontinue operation or dispose of all or  
4 part of the project or program for which it receives a grant with-  
5 out the approval of the director;

6 (C) apply for, and make reasonable efforts to secure,  
7 federal assistance which may be available for the project or  
8 program, subject to any conditions the agency may require in  
9 order to maximize the amounts of that assistance received or to  
10 be received for all projects or programs in the state;

11 (3) agreement by the municipality that, if federal assistance  
12 for a project or program becomes available to the municipality which  
13 was not included in the calculation of the amount of a grant authorized  
14 and disbursed under this section, the value of the federal assistance  
15 shall be ascertained and subtracted from the total value of the project  
16 or program and the balance shall be proportionately divided between  
17 the state and municipality;

18 (4) provision for alteration or modification of an approved  
19 project or program and for remedies in case of failure to perform the  
20 agreement between the parties or noncompliance with regulations promul-  
21 gated by the director under this section.

22 (d) If funds appropriated by the legislature to provide loans and  
23 grants and purchase evidences of indebtedness under this section are  
24 not adequate to satisfy amounts required by approved grant applications,  
25 funds shall be allocated on the basis of priority established by the  
26 director by regulations promulgated to carry out the provisions of this  
27 section.

28 (e) The director shall provide a quarterly report to the legisla-  
29 ture with respect to grants made under this section.

1 (f) The director shall determine the terms and conditions for  
2 making a loan and purchasing an evidence of indebtedness under this  
3 section.

4 Sec. 44.19.591. AUTHORITY TO ACCEPT SERVICE, GIFTS, GRANTS, AND  
5 LOANS. When the federal government or an agency or officer of the  
6 federal government offers to the state, or through the state to a  
7 municipality, services, equipment, supplies, materials, or funds by  
8 way of gift, grant, or loan, for the purpose of alleviating the social  
9 or economic impact resulting from pipeline construction, the state  
10 acting through the director, or the municipality acting through its  
11 executive officer or governing body, may accept the offer subject to  
12 the terms of the offer and the rules and regulations of the agency  
13 making the offer.

14 Sec. 44.19.593. PIPELINE IMPACT FUND. There is the pipeline  
15 impact fund created for the purpose of carrying out the provisions of  
16 sec. 587(b) of this chapter. The fund consists of all money made  
17 available by appropriations of the state legislature, and from other  
18 appropriated funds, all contributions from whatever source, and income  
19 and interest derived from the investment of money.

20 Sec. 44.19.595. SPECIAL LEGISLATIVE PIPELINE IMPACT REVIEW COM-  
21 MITTEE. There is established the Special Legislative Pipeline Impact  
22 Review Committee composed of three members of the senate appointed by  
23 the president of the senate and three members of the house of represen-  
24 tatives appointed by the speaker of the house. The committee shall  
25 select its own chairman.

26 Sec. 44.19.597. TERM OF MEMBERSHIP. The committee shall be  
27 organized within 15 days after the organization of each legislature.  
28 Members serve for the duration of the legislature during which they  
29 are appointed. If they are reelected or their term of office extends

1 into the next succeeding legislature, they continue to serve until  
2 reappointed or the appointment of their successor.

3 Sec. 44.19.599. VACANCIES. When a vacancy occurs in the member-  
4 ship of the committee, the presiding officer of the house incurring  
5 the vacancy shall choose a successor. If the office of the president  
6 of the senate or speaker of the house of representatives becomes  
7 vacant and a vacancy from the affected house occurs among the member-  
8 ship of the committee, the remaining committee members from the house  
9 incurring the vacancy shall appoint a new member.

10 Sec. 44.19.601. MEETINGS. (a) The committee may meet during  
11 sessions of the legislature and during the interim between sessions at  
12 such times and places in the state as the chairman may determine.  
13 Members may receive, for the minimum time required to get to and from  
14 meetings and for the period while attending meetings, the same travel  
15 and per diem allowances provided by law for members of the legislature  
16 when attending sessions, except that members of the committee receive  
17 no per diem during legislative sessions other than the per diem allow-  
18 ance paid to other members of the legislature.

19 (b) The members of the committee can validly conduct a meeting  
20 and vote by communicating simultaneously with each other by means of  
21 conference telephones or similar communications equipment.

22 (c) A majority of the members of the committee constitute a  
23 quorum for the purpose of carrying out its duties under sec. 603 of  
24 this chapter.

25 Sec. 44.19.603. DUTIES OF COMMITTEE. The committee shall review  
26 and approve or disapprove, in whole or in part, the decisions made  
27 by the Pipeline Impact Agency under sec. 589(b) of this chapter.

28 Sec. 44.19.605. DIVISION OF LEGISLATIVE FINANCE ASSISTANCE. The  
29 division of legislative finance shall cooperate with the committee and

1 shall furnish technical assistance and personnel, if available, upon  
2 request.

3 \* Sec. 2. This Act takes effect on the day after its passage and approval  
4 or on the day it becomes law without approval.

5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

Original sponsor: Rules Committee  
by request of the Special Petroleum  
Impact Committee

Offered: 4/2/74  
Referred: Finance

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY THE COMMUNITY AND REGIONAL  
AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

2 CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 638

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 EIGHTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act creating a loan program for the purpose of  
7 assisting pipeline-impacted municipalities; and  
8 providing for an effective date."

9 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

10 \* Section 1. CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT LOANS. (a) Subject to the review  
11 and approval provisions of sec. 3 of this Act, the Department of Community  
12 and Regional Affairs may make loans to a pipeline-impacted municipality  
13 demonstrating municipal capital improvement needs which are  
14 beyond its reasonable capability to meet from short-term receipts from  
15 current municipal revenue sources and for which no other funds are  
16 reasonably available.

17 (b) A municipality may receive loans under this section for the  
18 following purposes

- 19 (1) pre-engineering studies;  
20 (2) engineering design;  
21 (3) architectural design;  
22 (4) construction costs of capital improvement;  
23 (5) guarantee of municipal bonds when a municipality needs  
24 to undertake a capital improvement program on an accelerated basis;  
25 (6) pay (for not more than three years) a portion of the debt  
26 service or interest or both incurred by a municipality for undertaking  
27 capital improvements made necessary by pipeline construction.

28 (c) Applications for loans shall be made in a form prescribed by  
29 the department. A loan shall be allotted according to an agreement made

1 between the department on behalf of the state and the municipality  
2 receiving the loan but interest may not be charged in excess of the  
3 average rate the state is paying on its obligations plus one-fourth of  
4 one per cent. The agreement may include any provision agreed upon by  
5 the parties but shall include an agreement by the municipality to

6 (1) proceed with and complete the proposed project or program  
7 expeditiously;

8 (2) not discontinue operation or dispose of all or part of the  
9 project or program for which it receives a loan without the approval of  
10 the department;

11 (3) apply for, and make reasonable efforts to secure, federal  
12 assistance which may be available for the project or program, subject to  
13 any conditions the department may require in order to maximize the amounts  
14 of assistance received or to be received for all projects or programs in  
15 the state.

16 \* Sec. 2. PIPELINE IMPACT LOAN FUND. There is created in the Depart-  
17 ment of Community and Regional Affairs the pipeline impact loan fund to  
18 make available to municipalities funds for capital improvements made  
19 necessary by impact due to the construction of the trans-Alaska pipeline  
20 as provided for by this Act.

21 \* Sec. 3. LEGISLATIVE BUDGET AND AUDIT COMMITTEE APPROVAL. No capital  
22 improvement loan may be approved or disbursed without the review and  
23 approval of the Legislative Budget and Audit Committee.

24 \* Sec. 4. TERMINATION DATE FOR APPLICATIONS. The department may not  
25 approve an application for a loan under this Act after midnight of  
26 June 30, 1975.

27 \* Sec. 5. EFFECTIVE DATE. This Act takes effect on the day after its  
28 passage and approval or on the day it becomes law without approval.  
29

The Legislature of the State of Alaska  
 FISCAL NOTE  
 Second Session - Eighth Legislature

*This fiscal note plus  
 Schedule B serves  
 as a fiscal note for  
 CSHB 638.*

I. REQUEST

Bill Identification: CSSB 382  
 Title: Act relating to assisting pipeline impacted municipalities  
 Requested by: Legislative Finance Date: April 2, 1974  
 Return Date Requested: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Agency: Community and Regional Affairs Program: Development

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Budget Request Unit(s) Affected: Local Government Assistance  
 A. EXPENDITURES: (Thousands of dollars)

OBJECT	FY 74	FY 75	FY 76	FY 77	FY 78	FY 79
100 PERSONAL SERVICES	3.7	81.8				
200 TRAVEL	.5	11.0				
300 CONTRACTUAL	.2	7.6				
400 COMMODITIES		.5				
500 EQUIPMENT	.3	2.3				
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.						
TOTAL	4.7	103.2				

B. FUNDING: (Thousands of dollars)

GENERAL FUND	4.7	103.2				
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER						

C. POSITIONS:

PERMANENT/TEMPORARY	1/6/	5/	/	/	/	/
MAN MONTHS (P./T.)	2/	60/	/	/	/	/

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

The above funds are requested for the Division of Local Government Assistance to fund (a) a Local Government Specialist II position for two months in FY 74 and for FY 75 to administer the Grant programs; (b) a Municipal Finance Analyst, a Loan Examiner II, an Accountant II and a Clerk Typist III for FY 75 to administer the Capital Improvement Loan program. Functions and duties of these positions are outlined in the attached correspondence to Mr. Jay Hogan.

IV. ATTACHMENTS

Schedules A and B

V. DATE: April 2, 1974

PREPARED BY: Don Argetsinger  
 Deputy Commissioner

Original: Legislative Finance  
 cc: Budget and Management  
 Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

## Schedule A

CSSB 382

## Section 2 and 3 FORMULA GRANTS AND DISCRETIONARY GRANTS

Personal Services

Local Government Specialist II	16,344	
Benefits 16%	<u>2,615</u>	
	18,959	18,959

Travel

Providing technical assistance to Municipalities (will allow LGS II to spend approximately 15% of his time in the field)	3,000	3,000
--	-------	-------

Contractual

Phone @ 14.90/month	180	
Long Distance @ \$60/month	720	
Xeroxing, postage and Advertising of position vacancy	<u>250</u>	
Transportation of new employee's personal goods	<u>1,000</u>	
	2,150	2,150

Commodities

Office Supplies and Reference materials	200	200
---	-----	-----

Equipment

One desk	170	
One chair	<u>50</u>	
	220	220
		<u>24,529</u>

## Schedule B

CSSB 382

## Section 4 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT LOANS

Personal Services

Municipal Financial Analyst	18,948	
Loan Examiner II	16,344	
Accountant II	11,316	
Clerk Typist III	7,548	
	<u>54,156</u>	
Benefits 16%	8,664	
	<u>62,820</u>	62,820

Travel

Municipal Financial Analyst	5,000	
Loan Examiner II	3,000	
	<u>8,000</u>	8,000

Contractual

Four phones @ \$4.90/phone	715	
Long Distance @ \$80/month professional position	1,920	
Printing and Advertising of position vacancies	500	
Xeroxing and postage	<u>300</u>	
Transportation of new employee's personal goods	2,000	
	<u>5,435</u>	5,435

Commodities

Office supplies and Reference materials	300	300
---	-----	-----

Equipment

4 desks @ \$170	680	
4 chairs @ \$50	200	
1 typewriter	570	
1 calculator	600	
	<u>2,050</u>	2,050
		<u>78,605</u>

# STATE OF ALASKA

## DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER

WILLIAM A. EGAN, GOVERNOR

POUCH S—JUNEAU 99801

April 16, 1974

The Honorable Oral Freeman  
Chairman, House Finance Committee  
Alaska State Legislature  
State Capitol  
Juneau, Alaska 99801

In Re: CSHB 638, an Act Creating a Loan Program for the  
Purpose of Assisting Pipeline Impacted Municipalities

Dear Representative Freeman:

I have been requested by Mr. Byron I. Mallott, Commissioner, Department of Community and Regional Affairs to review certain portions of CSHB 638, an Act creating a loan program for the purpose of assisting pipeline impacted municipalities.

Such bill, I am informed, evolved at the request of the Legislature's Special Petroleum Impact Committee and authorizes establishment in the Department of Community and Regional Affairs of a loan program for municipal capital improvements necessitated by pipeline impact.

A copy of the bill was forwarded by the writer to Mr. C. Richard Walker, Attorney of the firm of Orrick, Herrington, Rowley & Sutcliffe, 600 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, California 94111, for review. For your information such firm has been employed by the State of Alaska as bond counsel. Attached is a copy of my request letter dated April 10, 1974.

There is also attached a copy of a letter dated April 12, 1974 from Mr. C. Richard Walker containing comments concerning the subject legislation.

Very truly yours,



R. D. Stevenson  
Commissioner

RDS: agm  
Attachments

cc: The Honorable Byron I. Mallott  
Commissioner  
Department of Community & Regional Affairs

GEORGE HERRINGTON  
ERIC SUTCLIFFE  
NATHAN O. ROWLEY  
WILLIAM H. ORRICK, JR.  
WALTER G. OLSON  
WILLIAM D. MCKEE  
EDWARD F. MITCHELL  
SIDNEY E. RODERTS  
JAMES H. BENNEY  
C. RICHARD WALKER  
JAMES F. CRAFTS, JR.  
ANDREW DOWNEY ORRICK  
JAMES K. HAYNES  
RICHARD C. SALLADIN

RICHARD J. LUCAS  
CARLO S. FOWLER  
DONALD A. SLICHTER  
PAUL A. WEBBER  
JAMES R. MADISON  
DILLMAN C. KINSSELL, JR.  
WILLIAM L. HOISINGTON  
THOMAS R. SHEARER, JR.  
CAMERON W. WOLFE, JR.  
JOSEPH J. HUGHES  
RALPH C. WALKER  
M. PETER LILLEVAND  
WILLIAM E. DONOVAN  
ROBERT J. OLOSTEIN  
W. REECE BADER

ORRICK, HERRINGTON, ROWLEY & SUTCLIFFE  
COUNSELORS AND ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
ELEVENTH FLOOR  
600 MONTGOMERY STREET  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111  
TELEPHONE (415) 392-1122

W. H. ORRICK, SR.  
OF COUNSEL

CABLE "ORRICK"  
TELEX 34-0973

April 12, 1974

Mr. R. D. Stevenson  
Commissioner of Revenue  
State of Alaska  
Pouch S, Alaska Office Building  
Juneau, Alaska 99801

Re: Committee Substitute for House Bill No. 638

Dear Bob:

In answer to your letter of April 10:

I do not entirely understand section 1(b) (5) of this bill. I can understand how the State might make loans for engineering, architectural and construction costs, or for the payment of debt service as it becomes due, but a loan for a "guarantee of municipal bonds" does not make much sense to me. The loan would not occur until the money was needed for debt service. If the State is to guaranty the payment of municipal bonds, this provision should be separately set forth in the bill and separated from the list of loan purposes.

However, I think that a legislative provision for the guaranty of municipal bonds would be unenforceable unless combined with an appropriation of moneys sufficient to pay the entire amount for which the State might be called upon under the guaranty. If there is appropriated and set aside an amount sufficient to pay the entire amount of debt service which may become due upon the municipal bonds in question, I believe that the State could guaranty those bonds, as seems to be proposed by this bill. However, if that amount is not so appropriated and set aside, the guaranty in the bill, it seems to me, is either invalid because it seeks to contract a debt of the State without ratification by the electorate, or it amounts to a mere statement of intention by the Legislature (a kind of "moral obligation") to pay the debt service on the municipal bonds if necessary. In either case, the proposed guaranty is not enforceable.

I assume that a contribution of a portion of debt service by the State under section 1(b) (6) or an enforceable guaranty of debt service by the State would make the municipal bonds more marketable.

Sincerely yours,

*C. Richard Walker*



# RECORDS CERTIFICATION



I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James O. Smith  
Signature of Camera Operator

4/26/89  
Date

SB 383

Introduced: 2/15/74  
Referred: State Affairs and  
Finance

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY MCVEIGH

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 639

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 EIGHTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to early service credit under the  
7 public employees' retirement system; and providing for  
8 an effective date."

9 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

10 \* Section 1. AS 39.35.360(a) is amended to read:

11 (a) An employee who completes three years of service with the  
12 state after January 1, 1961, for which he makes contributions required  
13 by this chapter is entitled to service credit for employment rendered  
14 to the State and former Territory of Alaska before January 1, 1961,  
15 regardless of the office, department, division, or agency of the state  
16 or territory in which he was employed, including the United States  
17 District Court and the United States Commissioner's Court serving the  
18 Territory and State of Alaska succeeded to by the Alaska court system.  
19 The retirement benefits payable to an employee under this section which  
20 are attributable to employment rendered to the State and former Terri-  
21 tory of Alaska before January 1, 1961, shall be reduced by the amount  
22 of the retirement pension benefits paid to him by the United States  
23 government for the same period of prior service.

24 \* Sec. 2. AS 39.35.360 is amended by adding a new subsection to read:

25 (e) Notwithstanding other provisions of this section, an employee  
26 who is in the employment of the state or a participating political sub-  
27 division on the effective date of this Act who, before January 3, 1959,  
28 served as a peace officer within the Territory of Alaska as a municipal  
29 police officer, United States marshal or deputy marshal, or other

1 federal, municipal or territorial peace officer is entitled to service  
2 credit for this service, in addition to the other service credit to  
3 which he may be entitled under this chapter. Retroactive contributions  
4 are not required to be made to obtain this service credit.

5 \* Sec. 3. This Act takes effect on the day after its passage and approval  
6 or on the day it becomes law without approval.

The following bills now under consideration should be amended to to include the following additional wording:

Bill

Change Suggested

HB 289

Add "service as a commissioned officer of the United States Public Health Service" on line 16 following the word including and preceding the word the at the beginning of line 17

SB 378

Add "service as a commissioned officer of the United States Public Health Service" on line 17 following the word including and preceding the word the.

SB 383

Add "service as a commissioned officer of the United States Public Health Service" on line 16 following the word including and preceding the word the.

HB 639

Add "service as a commissioned officer of the United States Public Health Service" on line 16 following the word including and preceding the word the.

Your favorable consideration of the above action and support to enact this provision into law will be appreciated.



Amos J. Alter, Box 1581, Fairbanks, Alaska 99707  
Telephone 456-7908

STATEMENT

I, AMOS J. ALTER OF POST OFFICE BOX 1581, (RESIDING AT 2015 HILTON AVENUE, FAIRBANKS, ALASKA ) FAIRBANKS, ALASKA 99707 SERVED THE TERRITORY OF ALASKA AS AN EMPLOYEE OF THE ALASKA TERRITORIAL DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, STATIONED AT JUNEAU, ALASKA AND KETCHIKAN, ALASKA, AND WORKING UNDER THE GENERAL SUPERVICION OF DR. C. C. CARTER, COMMISSIONER OF HEALTH AND UNDER THE DIRECT SUPERVICION OF MR. RICHARD S. GREEN, SUPERVISOR OF SANITATION AND ENGINEERING WORK FOR THE TERRITORIAL DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH DURING THE PERIOD FEBRUARY 24, 1944 THROUGH FEBRUARY 28, 1946. AS AN EMPLOYEE OF THE TERRITORIAL DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND THEREBY AN EMPLOYEE OF THE TERRITORY OF ALAKSA , I BELIEVE I AM ENTITLED TO PRIOR SERVICE CREDIT FOR THIS PERIOD OF TIME UNDER PROVISIONS OF THE ALASKA PUBLIC EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM. I SHALL RECEIVE NO RETIREMENT FROM OTHER SOURCES AS A RESULT OF MY EMPLOYMENT DURING THE ABOVE MENTIONED PERIOD OF TIME AND I WAS TOLD BOTH BY THE U. S. PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE AND THE TERRITORY OF ALASKA THAT FOR ALL BENEFITS OTHER THAN ACTUAL RECEIPT OF MONTHLY SALARY CHECK I WAS SERVING AS AN EMPLOYEE OF ALASKA. MY LEAVE, WORK ASSIGNMENTS, TRAVEL ARRANGEMENTS AND ENTIRE SUPERVISION WAS BY THE TERRITORY OF ALASKA. I WAS PROVIDED WITH AN IDENTIFICATION PAPER WHICH STATED THAT I WAS AN OFFICER OF THE ALASKA TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT

MY ASSIGNMENT TO THE TERRITORIAL DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH TO SERVE AS AN EMPLOYEE OF THE TERRITORY OF ALASKA ALTHOUGH I HELD ACTIVE COMMISSION STATUS IN THE RESERVE COPRS OF THE U. S. PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE WAS THE RESULT OF A SPECIAL EMERGENCY HEALTH AND SANITATION ACTIVITIES FUNCTION PROVIDED BY CONGRESS THROUGH THE U. S. PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE TO ASSURE STAFFING OF ESSENTIAL CIVIL HEALTH POSITIONS SUCH AS THOSE IN THE ALASKA TERRITORIAL DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH. AS A RESULT OF THE FEDERAL SOCIAL SECURITY LEGISLATION OF THE MID THIRTIES, CERTAIN MONEY GRANTS WERE MADE TO STATES AND TERRITORIES OF THE UNITED STATES TO PROVIDE HEALTH SERVICES BUT THIS WAS NOT ADEQUATE DURING THE EMERGENCIES OF WORLD WAR II IN PARTICULARLY CRITICAL AREAS SUCH AS ALASKA. ALTHOUGH MONEY WAS AVAILABLE, PEROPLE WERE NOT AVAILABLE AND THE COMMISSIONING IN THE RESERVE AND PAYMENT OF SALARIES FROM EMERGENCY HEALTH AND SANITATION SOURCES MADE IT POSSIBLE TO STAFF CIVIL PROGRAMS DEEMED ESSENTIAL SUCH AS THE ONE IN WHICH I SERVED AND WORKED AS AN EMPLOYEE OF THE TERRITORIAL DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH.

DATE 11 TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 1974

SIGNED

Amos J. Alter

WITNESS

Richard H. Birt



# RECORDS



# CERTIFICATION

I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James O. Smith  
Signature of Camera Operator

4/26/89  
Date

Introduced: 2/15/74  
Referred: State Affairs and  
Finance

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY FERGUSON AND PETERSEN

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 640

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 EIGHTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act providing for the issuance of general obliga-  
7 tion bonds in the amount of \$19,930,000 for the purpose  
8 of paying the cost of waters and harbor development  
9 projects; and providing for an effective date."

10 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

11 \* Section 1. For the purpose of paying the cost of waters and harbor  
12 development projects, general obligation bonds of the state in the principal  
13 amount of not more than \$19,930,000 shall be issued and sold. The full  
14 faith, credit and resources of the state are pledged to the payment of the  
15 principal of and interest and redemption premium, if any, on these bonds.  
16 These bonds shall be issued under the provisions of AS 37.15 as those  
17 provisions read at the time of issuance.

18 \* Sec. 2. If the issuance of these bonds is authorized by the qualified  
19 voters of the state, a special fund of the state to be known as the "1974  
20 Waters and Harbors Development Fund" shall be established, to which shall  
21 be credited the proceeds of the sale of the bonds described in sec. 1 of  
22 this Act except for the accrued interest and premiums. There is appropriated  
23 from the "1974 Waters and Harbors Development Fund" to the Department of  
24 Public Works the sum of \$19,930,000. The proceeds of these bonds shall be  
25 allocated as follows:

26	(1) Anchorage	\$1,810,500
27	(2) Angoon	\$ 123,000
28	(3) Baranof	\$ 60,500
29	(4) Barrow	\$2,000,000

1	(5) Bethel	\$1,000,000
2	(6) Cordova	\$ 303,000
3	(7) Craig, Klawock, Hydaburg	\$ 372,500
4	(8) Dillingham	\$ 500,000
5	(9) Douglas	\$ 612,500
6	(10) emergency repairs - statewide	\$ 198,000
7	(11) Haines	\$ 750,000
8	(12) Homer area	\$ 265,500
9	(13) Juneau	\$ 303,000
10	(14) Kasilof	\$ 181,500
11	(15) Kenai	\$ 500,000
12	(16) Ketchikan	\$ 623,500
13	(17) Kodiak	\$1,000,000
14	(18) Kotzebue	\$ 248,500
15	(19) Naknek	\$ 349,000
16	(20) Nome	\$2,007,500
17	(21) Ninilchik	\$ 294,000
18	(22) Northwest Alaska Harbors	\$ 490,000
19	(23) Palmer - Kenai Boat Ramp	\$ 20,000
20	(24) Pelican	\$ 470,000
21	(25) Petersburg - area harbor	\$ 293,500
22	(26) Petersburg - harbor	\$ 121,000
23	(27) Point Hope - dock and harbor	\$ 850,000
24	(28) St. Mary's	\$ 250,000
25	(29) Seward	\$ 583,500
26	(30) Sitka - harbor	\$ 767,500
27	(31) Skagway - launching ramps	\$ 67,500
28	(32) Skagway - harbor	\$ 490,000
29	(33) Tanana	\$ 250,000

1	(34) Valdez - harbor	\$ 424,000
2	(35) Water and Harbors - various	\$ 352,500
3	(36) Wrangell	\$1,000,000

4 \* Sec. 3. If the issuance of these bonds is authorized by the qualified  
5 voters of the state, the amount of \$69,755 or as much of that amount as is  
6 found necessary is appropriated from the general fund of the state to the  
7 state bond committee to carry out the provisions of this Act and to pay  
8 expenses incident to the sale and issuance of the bonds authorized in this  
9 Act. The amounts expended from the appropriation authorized by this section  
10 shall be reimbursed to the general fund from the proceeds of the sale of  
11 the bonds authorized by this Act.

12 \* Sec. 4. The question whether the bonds authorized in this Act are to  
13 be issued shall be submitted to the qualified voters of the state at the  
14 next state general election and shall read substantially as follows:

Proposition

State General Obligation Waters and Harbors  
Development Bonds \$19,930,000

18 Shall the State of Alaska issue its general obligation bonds  
19 in the principal amount of not more than \$19,930,000 for the  
20 purpose of paying the cost of capital improvements for waters  
21 and harbors development projects?

Bonds Yes [ ]  
Bonds No [ ]

24 \* Sec. 5. This Act takes effect on the day after its passage and approval  
25 or on the day it becomes law without approval.



# RECORDS



# CERTIFICATION

I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James O. Smith  
Signature of Camera Operator

4/26/89  
Date

# COMMITTEE REPORT

SENATE

4/23/74

Mr. President:

Date 4-25-74

The Committee on FINANCE has had HB 641  
g.o. bonds for acquisition of, construction of & equipment for library facilities  
under consideration. A Majority of the members of the Committee

- recommends it DO PASS
- recommends it DO NOT PASS
- recommends it DO PASS WITH ATTACHED AMENDMENT(S)
- recommends it BE REPLACED WITH CS FOR \_\_\_\_\_ AND THAT  
CS FOR \_\_\_\_\_ DO PASS
- "and" recommends it BE REFERRED TO THE \_\_\_\_\_  
COMMITTEE
- reports it back WITHOUT RECOMMENDATION
- "other"

Members signing the Majority report:

<u>Buel Ray</u>	<u>No REC</u>	_____
<u>F. J. ...</u>	<u>...</u>	_____
<u>...</u>	<u>...</u>	_____

Members NOT concurring in the Majority report:

<u>John Sackett</u>	recommends:	<u>Do Pass</u>
<u>...</u>	recommends:	<u>...</u>
<u>...</u>	recommends:	<u>NO REC</u>
_____	recommends:	
_____	recommends:	

G. P. O. H. Chairman

Introduced: 2/15/74  
Referred: Health, Education &  
Social Services and Finance

BY BRADNER, BEIRNE, BOWMAN, CARROL,  
CHANCE, FISCHER, FRITZ, HACKNEY, HUBER,  
MCVEIGH, MALONE, MEEKINS, J. MILLER,  
M. MILLER, PARKER, RANDOLPH, URION AND  
WINGROVE

1 IN THE HOUSE

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 641

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 EIGHTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act providing for the issuance of general obliga-  
7 tion bonds in the amount of \$7,900,000 for the purpose  
8 of paying the cost of acquisition of, construction of,  
9 and equipment for library facilities in the state; and  
10 providing for an effective date."

11 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

12 \* Section 1. For the purpose of paying the cost of acquiring, construc-  
13 ting and equipping library facilities, general obligation bonds of the  
14 state in the principal amount of not more than \$7,900,000 shall be issued  
15 and sold. The full faith, credit and resources of the state are pledged to  
16 the payment of the principal of and interest and redemption premium, if  
17 any, on these bonds. These bonds shall be issued under the provisions of  
18 AS 37.15 as those provisions read at the time of issuance.

19 \* Sec. 2. If the issuance of these bonds is authorized by the qualified  
20 voters of the state, a special fund of the state to be known as the "1974  
21 Library Construction Bond Fund" shall be established, to which shall be  
22 credited the proceeds of the sale of the bonds described in sec. 1 of this  
23 Act except for the accrued interest and premiums. There is appropriated  
24 from the "1974 Library Construction Bond Fund" to the Department of Educa-  
25 tion the sum of \$7,900,000. The proceeds of these bonds shall be allocated  
26 as follows:

27 (1) \$4,900,000 - Library Resource Centers (AS 14.56.065) -  
28 Land, buildings, equipment and furniture, as follows:

29 (A) \$2,900,000 - Anchorage

Introduced: 2/15/74  
Referred: Health, Education &  
Social Services and Finance

BY BRADNER, BEIRNE, BOWMAN, CARROL,  
CHANCE, FISCHER, FRITZ, HACKNEY, HUBER,  
MCVEIGH, MALONE, MEEKINS, J. MILLER,  
M. MILLER, PARKER, RANDOLPH, URION AND  
WINGROVE

1 IN THE HOUSE

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 641

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 EIGHTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act providing for the issuance of general obliga-  
7 tion bonds in the amount of \$7,900,000 for the purpose  
8 of paying the cost of acquisition of, construction of,  
9 and equipment for library facilities in the state; and  
10 providing for an effective date."

11 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

12 \* Section 1. For the purpose of paying the cost of acquiring, construc-  
13 ting and equipping library facilities, general obligation bonds of the  
14 state in the principal amount of not more than \$7,900,000 shall be issued  
15 and sold. The full faith, credit and resources of the state are pledged to  
16 the payment of the principal of and interest and redemption premium, if  
17 any, on these bonds. These bonds shall be issued under the provisions of  
18 AS 37.15 as those provisions read at the time of issuance.

19 \* Sec. 2. If the issuance of these bonds is authorized by the qualified  
20 voters of the state, a special fund of the state to be known as the "1974  
21 Library Construction Bond Fund" shall be established, to which shall be  
22 credited the proceeds of the sale of the bonds described in sec. 1 of this  
23 Act except for the accrued interest and premiums. There is appropriated  
24 from the "1974 Library Construction Bond Fund" to the Department of Educa-  
25 tion the sum of \$7,900,000. The proceeds of these bonds shall be allocated  
26 as follows:

27 (1) \$4,900,000 - Library Resource Centers (AS 14.56.065) -  
28 Land, buildings, equipment and furniture, as follows:

29 (A) \$2,900,000 - Anchorage

1 (B) \$2,000,000 - Fairbanks

2 (2) \$3,000,000 - Community Libraries (AS 14.56.200 -  
3 14.56.240) - Land, buildings including but not limited to shared facilities,  
4 equipment, furniture and basic library collection.

5 \* Sec. 3. If the issuance of these bonds is authorized by the qualified  
6 voters of the state, the amount of \$22,750 or as much of that amount as is  
7 found necessary is appropriated from the general fund of the state to the  
8 state bond committee to carry out the provisions of this Act and to pay  
9 expenses incident to the sale and issuance of the bonds authorized in this  
10 Act. The amounts expended from the appropriation authorized by this section  
11 shall be reimbursed to the general fund from the proceeds of the sale of  
12 the bonds authorized by this Act.

13 \* Sec. 4. The question whether the bonds authorized in this Act are to  
14 be issued shall be submitted to the qualified voters of the state at the  
15 next state general election and shall read substantially as follows:

16 Proposition

17 State General Obligation 1974 Library

18 Construction Bonds \$7,900,000

19 Shall the State of Alaska issue its general obligation bonds  
20 in the principal amount of not more than \$7,000,000 for the  
21 purpose of paying the cost of acquiring, constructing, and  
22 equipping library facilities in the state?

23 Bonds Yes [ ]

24 Bonds No [ ]

25 \* Sec. 5. This Act takes effect on the day after its passage and approval  
26 or on the day it becomes law without approval.  
27  
28  
29

The Legislature of the State of Alaska  
FISCAL NOTE  
First Session - Eighth Legislature

I. REQUEST

Bill Identification: All G.O. Bond Authorization Bills  
Title: \_\_\_\_\_  
Requested by: Jay Ross Date: 2-20-71  
Return Date Requested: \_\_\_\_\_ ASMP \_\_\_\_\_  
Agency: Administration Program: Debt Service

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Budget Request Unit(s) Affected: Debt Service

A. EXPENDITURES: (Thousands of dollars)

OBJECT	FY 73	FY 74	FY 75	FY 76	FY 77	FY 78
100 PERSONAL SERVICES						
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL						
400 COMMODITIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.						
800 Debt Service				15.0	45.0	60.0
TOTAL	--	--	--	15.0	45.0	60.0

B. FUNDING: (Thousands of dollars)

GENERAL FUND				15.0	45.0	60.0
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER						

C. POSITIONS:

PERMANENT/TEMPORARY	/	/	/	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0
MAN MONTHS (P./T.)	/	/	/	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

Dollars above are per \$1 million. If, for instance, a \$30 million bond issue is being considered, the above amounts should be multiplied by 30.

IV. ATTACHMENTS

Assumptions and schedule for additional years

V. DATE: 2-26-71 PREPARED BY: M. R. Charney

Original: Legislative Finance  
cc: Budget and Management  
Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

## MEMORANDUM

State of Alaska

TO M. R. Charney, Director  
Division of Budget and Management  
Department of Administration

DATE: February 22, 1974

FILE NO:

TELEPHONE NO:

FROM Murray D. Snyder, Capital Planner  
Division of Budget and Management  
Department of Administration

SUBJECT: Future Year Cost Per 1.0  
Million New G.O. Bond  
Authorization

The effect of approval in FY 75 per each 1.0 million in new General Obligation Bond authorization on the debt amortization cost is approximately as follows:<sup>1</sup>

	Amount of Interest and Principal Due Per 1M (in thousands)
FY 75	00.0
FY 76 (Interest only assuming 25% authorization issued)	15.0
FY 77 (Interest only assuming 75% auth. had been issued)	45.0
FY 78 (Interest only assuming 100% auth. had been issued)	60.0
FY 79 (Interest and principal payment)	64.7 <sup>2</sup>
FY 80 (Interest and principal payment)	73.8
FY 81 (Interest and principal payment)	77.6
FY 82 (Interest and principal payment)	79.5
FY 83 (Interest and principal payment)	84.2
FY 84 (Interest and principal payment)	85.4
FY 85 (Interest and principal payment)	83.4
FY 86 (Interest and principal payment)	80.4
FY 87 - 95 (not computed)	

1. Assumed interest rate of 6%
2. Principal repayment amounts based on recent experience

SPACE NEEDS  
COMMUNITY LIBRARIES

over 1,000 population

<u>Community</u>	<u>Population</u>	<u>Present space</u>	<u>Space needs</u>	<u>Remarks</u>
Anchorage				
Central	124,542	12,500	78,250	See Resources Library Analysis.
Branches				
Birdwood	144	420	-	
Eagle River	5,005	2,025	3,500	Very busy area branch.
Grandview Gardens	-	4,100	-	Not a heavily used branch - could be remodeled for operational use.
Mt. View		4,000	-	New branch (1973) constructed with grant assistance.
Sand Lake	4,168	4,025	-	New store front branch (1973).
Sparrard	18,089	3,400	10,000	Busiest branch with larger circulation than central.
Barrow	2,104	-	2,500	Could possibly be located in school but not staffed or stocked for general public.
Bethel	2,416	3,000	-	New building (1970) constructed with grant funds.
Bristol Bay Borough (Naknek)	1,147	1,500	-	New building constructed with grant assistance.
Cardova	1,164	3,400	-	New building constructed with grant assistance in 1971.
Fairbanks North Star Borough	45,864	2,000	34,500	In desperate need of new central building. See Resources Library Analysis.
Haines Borough	1,351	1,200	2,000	Have indicated interest in grant.
Homestead	1,083	800	2,000	Presently in decrepit wood building.
Juneau				
Central	13,556	7,000	19,600	Good present building but not possible to expand. See Resources Library Analysis.
Branches				
Douglas	1,243	1,500	-	Second floor remodeled facility.
Mendenhall Valley	4,174	2,000	-	Shared facility in Jr. High School.
Korai	3,533	3,800	-	New building just starting with grant assistance.
Ketchikan	6,994	4,582	2,400	New building constructed with grant funds is too small and will need expansion to serve borough population of 10,041.
Kodiak	3,798	5,300	2,000	New building constructed in 1968 with grant assistance. Will need expansion soon to meet demands for services.
Kotzebue	1,696	460	2,500	Completely inadequate facility.
Metlakatla	1,050	-	2,000	Probably should be combined with new high school.
Nome	2,428	176	2,500	Desperately need new facility, but has difficulty with 40% local match.
Palmer	1,140	1,764	-	Combined with city hall. Built in 1968 with grant assistance.

over 1,000 population (continued)

Petersburg	2,042	2,325	-	Second floor of municipal building.
Seward	1,587	1,265	1,250	Remodeled building with grant assistance.
Sitka Borough	6,109	3,104	1,000	New building in 1969 with grant assistance. Needs expansion.
Soldotna	1,202	3,500	-	New building in 1972 with grant assistance.
Valdez	1,005	2,100	3,000	Will need new structure to meet growth.
Wrangell	2,029	3,280	-	New building now under construction with grant assistance.

subtotal	<u>260,273</u>	<u>83,526</u>	<u>169,000</u>
less Resources Libraries	183,962	42,970	132,350
TOTAL	76,311	40,556	23,150

Summary

		sq. ft.	
under 299	4,963	12,655	13,000 sq. ft.
300 - 999	16,446	8,816	35,400
over 1000	<u>76,311</u>	<u>40,556</u>	<u>36,650</u>
TOTAL	87,720	62,027	85,050
Resource Libraries	<u>183,962</u>	<u>42,970</u>	<u>132,350</u>
	271,682	104,997	217,400

## survey

## SPACE NEEDS

## COMMUNITY LIBRARIES

300 - 1,000 population

<u>Community</u>	<u>Population</u>	<u>Present Space</u>	<u>Space Needs</u>	<u>Remarks</u>
Angoon	400	800	-	New structure under construction with grant assistance.
Anderson	362	-	1,200	
Akiachak	312	-	1,200	
Akulmiut	526	-	1,200	
Butte	448	-	1,200	Library activity is increasing in Mat Su.
Chevak	387	-	1,200	
Craig/Kiawock	485	187	*	New high school in planning stage with Klawock.
Delta Junction	703	-	1,200	
Dillingham	914	1,200	-	New building constructed with grant in 1968.
Eamonak	439	-	*	New high school under construction - community library will be jointly with school. Grant assistance provided.
Ft. Yukon	448	1,400	-	Library is in community center. Equipped with grant funds.
Galena	302	-	1,200	
Garbell	372	-	1,200*	New high school being constructed for St. Lawrence Island, but maybe located too far away to be effective.
Glanallen	363	312	1,200	Perhaps will be combined with school Media Center.
Haaly-Suntrana				
Visebelli	315	-	1,200	
Heonah	748	1,500	-	Located in new school Media Center.
Hooper Bay	490	-	-	
Kake	448	800?	-	Shared facility in new open concept high school.
Kipnuk	325	-	1,200	
Kwethluk	403	-	*	New high school planned.
Kushok	340	-	1,200	
Mountain Village	419	-	*	New high school being constructed.
Nanana	362	192	1,200	
Noorvik	462	-	*	New high school under construction.
Nulato	303	-	1,200	
Point Hope	336	-	1,200	Community has sent representatives to library workshops.
Sand Point	350	625	*	Presently located in school. New high school being planned.
Savoonga	364	-	*	New high school being planned for St. Lawrence Island - see C.
Selawik	429	-	*	New high school under construction.
Seldovia	437	-	1,200	Would be desirable to add to new school Media Center.

300 - 1,000 population (continued)

Skagway	675	600	1,200	Present quarters are dingy, dim and should be abandoned.
St. Mary's	324	-	*	New high school under construction.
Sr. Paul	450	-	1,200	
Thorne Bay	443	-	1,200	Community is now making good use of Book Boat.
Togiak	333	-	*	New high school planned.
Unalakleet	434	-	1,200	
Wainwright	375	-	1,200	
Wasilla	300	1,200	1,200	Needs major remodeling or new quarters and equipment.
TOTAL	16,445	8,816	26,400	
			9,000	
			<u>35,400</u>	Plus space equal to one classroom in 10 proposed new schools - 10 schools at 900 square feet each.

## SPACE NEEDS

## COMMUNITY LIBRARIES

299 and under

<u>Community</u>	<u>Population</u>	<u>Present Space</u>	<u>Space Needs</u>	<u>Remarks</u>
Anchor Point	102	800	-	
Aniak	205	-	*	New High School under construction.
Allakaket	174	-	800	
Cold Bay	255	-	-	Room in club is probably satisfactory.
Eagle	36	458	-	Need assistance for shelving equipment.
Hydaburg	214	-	800	Have application in for expansion of existing community hall.
Kenny Lake	200	900	-	Needs to be remodeled.
Kiana	278	-	*	New High School under construction.
King Cove	283	-	800	Should be shared facility if possible.
McGrath	274	-	800	
Mekoyuk	249	-	800	
Moose Pass	53	300	-	Very active volunteer groups.
Ninilchik	134	1,920	-	Active. Constructed new community center/library with special grant and rural development funds.
Noatak	293	-	800	
North Pole	265	2,000	-	Branch of Fairbanks North Star Library. New building under construction with grant funds. Serves larger population.
Old Harbor	290	-	800	Little action.
Pelican	133	600	800	Remodeled 1/2 of city shop.
Port Lions (Fort Nikiski)	227	130	800	Active library which receives help from Kodiak.
Talkeetna	182	-	800	In operation approximately 1 year on some shelves in stock.
Tanana	120	1,600	-	In school Media Center - good location.
Tok	214	500	800	New in mezzanine of visitors center - space remodeled with grant. May be combined with school.
Tyonek	232	850	-	
Unalaska	173	575	800	Continued interest.
Whittier	138	485	800	Starting to develop program. Remodeling should be feasible.
Willow	38	1,535	-	In basement - needs major remodeling.
Yakutat	190	0	800	School has just started opening to public in evenings.
TOTAL	4,963	12,655	11,200 1,800 13,000	Plus space equal to one classroom for two proposed new schools two times 900 square feet each.

## CAPITAL BUDGET

## PROJECT JUSTIFICATION

FY 76

EDUCATION

STATE LIBRARIES

01 EDUCATION

03 COMMUNITY SERVICES

01 STATE LIBRARY NETWORK

## PRIORITY 1

STATE GOAL OR PLANNING OBJECTIVE:

Informational, educational, cultural library resources for every Alaskan.

RELATED OR OTHER NEED:

To provide these resources equitably and efficiently

Expand Below responses as required—Repeat heading when commencing response—Use Form 23 as continuing sheet—Respond in numerical order—Submit justification each project listed Form 24—Attach feasibility studies when available.

I. DOCUMENTATION OF NEED (Describe qualitative and measurable need)

III. DOCUMENTATION OF ESTIMATED CAPITAL COST (Discuss degree of reliability)

II. ANALYSIS OF FACTORS RELATED TO EXPENSE (Estimate and justify)

IV. IDENTIFICATION OF ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED (State why rejected)

I. Documentation of Need

Comparison of library facilities in Alaska with minimum national standards indicates that at least 85,000 square feet of additional space is needed. This disregards the quality of existing facilities in an estimated 62,000 sq. ft.. Many existing facilities are not capable of rehabilitation. Twenty-two communities of 300 or more have no local library outlet for general public use. Statistical evidence in Alaska as elsewhere indicates new facilities increase both local use and local support for library services. See attached "space needs" Summary.

II. Analysis Impact on Operational Expense

Operational expense is debt service estimated at 7% per year (\$135.7)  
No increase in personnel expense is anticipated except to local jurisdictions.

III. Documentation of Estimated Capital Cost.

This is a grant program. For communities under 1,000 population costs were estimated on the basis of utilizing a compact "Instant Library" or sharing facilities in a new school or community center. "Instant Libraries have been developed and used specifically in West Virginia. The cost was doubled to cover transportation and increase weather protection so that the 800 square foot unit is estimated at \$40,000 and the 1200 square foot one at \$55,000. Furniture and equipment, including minimal audio-visual equipment adds \$10,000. It is proposed to include as part of each package a basic library materials collection composed of 1,500 books, 200 cassettes, 150 records and tapes, approximately 75 reels of microfilm, periodicals and other media. The cost of this collection is approximately \$20,000. The cost in shared facilities exclusive of basic building costs is \$30,000 with \$10,000 for furniture and equipment and \$20,000 for library materials.

There are 12 communities from 300 to 999 population needing new facilities and 18 communities under 299 population. This plan assumes that one half of the structures will commence within the two year period and that 1/3 of these will be in shared facilities.

	<u>Population</u>	<u># of Communities</u>	<u>1/2</u>	<u>1/3</u>
	300-999	12	6	2
	under 299	18	9	3
5 libraries in shared facilities @ \$30,000			\$150,000	
4 instant libraries 800 sq. ft. @ 70,000			280,000	
6 instant libraries 1200 sq. ft. @ 85,000			510,000	

Buildings for communities over 1,000 population. Costs include planning, engineering, furniture and equipment but not land, which is likely to be part of the required 10% local match. / Cost/

<u>Community</u>	<u>Population</u>	<u>Needs</u>	<u>Sq. ft.</u>	<u>Total Cost</u>
Barrow	2,104	2,500	100	\$250,000
Haines Borough	1,351	2,000	70	140,000
Homer	1,083	2,000	70	140,000
Kodiak	3,799	1,000 add.	90	90,000
Kotzebue	1,695	2,000	100	200,000
Sitka	6,009	1,700 add.	70	114,000
Total				934,000

Eight communities are having new Village high schools built. The basic collection, furniture and equipment for these @ \$30,000 per building is \$240,000

These cost estimates are as of August 1973.

#### IV. Identification of Alternatives considered and Reasons Rejected.

- A. Do not continue or improve program of assisting in library facility construction
  1. Contrary to statute and educational aims of state
  2. Few local communities have resources to commit to both a facility and service program.
- B. Continue serving local individuals through monthly mail service.
  1. Present rate of increase in requests for mail service has already diverted personnel from other regular operations and will require additional personnel and resources by next year.

ANCHORAGE

<u>Building Size</u>	<u>Population</u>	<u>Sq. Ft. per Capita</u>	<u>Size</u>
Borough Population	125.0	.5	62,000 Sq. Ft.
Regional Population	65.0	.25	16,250
Total	<u>190.0</u>		<u>78,250</u>

Cost (Anchorage City Estimates)

Construction \$53/sq. ft.  
 Equipment & furniture \$5/sq ft.

Total per Sq. ft. \$58 x 78,250 sq. ft. =  
 Architectural & Engineering

	\$1,498,500
	x 6%
	<u>89,910.00</u>
	4,418,500.00
Total Cost	<u>\$4,758,410</u>
State Share (60%)	x 60

\$2,861,046

FAIRBANKS

<u>Building Size</u>	<u>Population</u>	<u>Sq. Ft. Per Capita</u>	<u>Size</u>
Borough	45.0	.6	27,000 sq. ft.
Regional	25.0	.3	7,500 sq. ft.
Total	<u>70.0</u>		<u>34,500</u>

Cost

Construction \$55/sq. ft.  
 Equipment & Furniture 5/sq. ft. x 34,500  
 Total per sq. ft. \$70 sq. ft. x 34,500 =  
 Architectural & Engineering

	\$2,415,000
	x 10%
	<u>241,500</u>
	2,415,000
Total Cost	<u>\$2,656,500</u>
State Share (60%)	60%

\$1,593,900.0

Total Projects

State Share	\$4,455,000
Local Share	<u>2,459,000</u>
	\$6,914,000

No funds for land acquisition are included in these estimates.

Costs are estimated as of August 1973.