

Leg. Finance - House & Senate Finance Comte Files (1973-74) 89193
HB 11, 14, 18, 19, 23, 27, 29, CSHB 29



RECORDS CERTIFICATION



I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James O. Smith
Signature of Camera Operator

4/26/89
Date

Genard

Eagle River

Ft Rich

Arch

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY M. MILLER AND BOWMAN

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 11

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 EIGHTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to revenue sharing with local govern-
7 ments for providing certain mass transit facilities or
8 services."

9 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

10 * Section 1. AS 43.18.010(a)(6) is amended to read:

11 (6) \$5 per capita to cities and boroughs providing transpor-
12 tation facilities or services for each of the following: [LIMITED TO]
13 municipally-operated small boat harbors, ports, or airports; [OF
14 TRANSIT SYSTEMS.]

15 * Sec. 2. AS 43.18.010(a) is amended by adding a new paragraph to read:

16 (7) \$5 per capita to cities and boroughs providing mass
17 transit facilities or services.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

Original sponsor: M. Miller and Bowmar

Introduced: 1/22/73
Referred: Finance

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY THE LOCAL GOVERN-
MENT COMMITTEE

2 CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 11

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 EIGHTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to revenue sharing with local
7 governments for providing certain mass transit facili-
8 ties or services."

9 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

10 * Section 1. AS 43.18.010(a)(6) is amended to read:

11 (6) \$5 per capita to cities and boroughs providing for
12 [TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES OR SERVICES LIMITED TO] municipally-
13 operated small boat harbors, ports, or airports; [OR TRANSIT SYSTEMS.]

14 * Sec. 2. AS 43.18.010(a) is amended by adding a new paragraph to read:

15 (7) \$5 per capita to cities and boroughs providing mass
16 transit facilities or services.

17 * Sec. 3. AS 43.18.010 is amended by adding a new subsection to read:

18 (k) In (a)(7) of this section "mass transportation" includes
19 transportation by bus, rail, or other conveyance, either publicly or
20 privately owned, which provides to the public general or special
21 service on a regular and continuing basis. The term excludes school
22 bussing, taxicabs, charter or sightseeing services.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29



JUNEAU ALASKA

Alaska State Legislature
House

FINANCE COMMITTEE BILL ASSIGNMENT

TO: Representative Barber DATE: January 24, 1973

FROM: Earl D. Hillstrand,
Chairman
House Finance Committee

BILL NO.: HOUSE BILL 11

TITLE: "An Act relating to revenue sharing with local governments for providing certain mass transit facilities or services."

COMMENTS: This bill has been referred to you for your review and research and eventual presentation to the committee for their consideration.

Report of the Committee of Local Government relates to the multi-city multi-transportation potential.

The Legislature of the State of Alaska
 FISCAL NOTE
 First Session - Eighth Legislature

I. REQUEST

Bill Identification: HB-11
 Title: Mass Transit Revenue Sharing
 Requested by: Legislative Finance Date: 1/24/73
 Return Date Requested: 1/31/73
 Agency: Community & Regional Affairs Program: Development

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Budget Request Unit(s) Affected: Municipal Services Revenue Sharing

A. EXPENDITURES: (Thousands of dollars)

OBJECT	FY 73	FY 74	FY 75	FY 76	FY 77	FY 78
100 PERSONAL SERVICES						
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL						
400 COMMODITIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.		1,301.0	1,483.0	1,498.0	1,513.0	1,528.0
TOTAL		1,301.0	1,483.0	1,498.0	1,513.0	1,528.0

B. FUNDING: (Thousands of dollars)

GENERAL FUND		1,301.0	1,483.0	1,498.0	1,513.0	1,528.0
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER						

C. POSITIONS:

PERMANENT/TEMPORARY	/	/	/	/	/	/
MAN MONTHS (P./T.)	/	/	/	/	/	/

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

Assumptions:

1. Fairbanks North Star Borough (estimated population 33,812) will provide mass transportation services on an area-wide basis beginning FY'75.
2. A one percent (1%) average overall population increase per year FY'75 through FY'78.
3. Mass transportation facilities or services means a municipally-operated bus system.

IV. ATTACHMENTS

Schedule of estimated FY'74 entitlements under present terms of AS 43.18.010 (6) and AS 43.18.010 as amended by HB-11.

V. DATE: Feb. 2, 1973 PREPARED BY: Don Argetsinger, Director, Admin Service

Original: Legislative Finance
 cc: Budget and Management
 Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

The Legislature of the State of Alaska
 FISCAL NOTE
 First Session - Eighth Legislature

I. REQUEST

Bill Identification: CS for HB-11
 Title: Mass Transit Revenue Sharing
 Requested by: Legislative Finance Date: 1/25/73
 Return Date Requested: 1/31/73
 Agency: Community and Regional Affairs Program: Development

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Budget Request Unit(s) Affected: Municipal Services Revenue Sharing

A. EXPENDITURES: (Thousands of dollars)

OBJECT	FY 73	FY 74	FY 75	FY 76	FY 77	FY 78
100 PERSONAL SERVICES						
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL						
400 COMMODITIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.		653.0	829.0	837.0	846.0	854.0
TOTAL		653.0	829.0	837.0	846.0	854.0

B. FUNDING: (Thousands of dollars)

GENERAL FUND		653.0	829.0	837.0	846.0	854.0
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER						

C. POSITIONS:

PERMANENT/TEMPORARY	/	/	/	/	/	/
MAN MONTHS (P./T.)	/	/	/	/	/	/

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

See attached assumptions:

IV. ATTACHMENTS

See attached schedule for HB-11.

V. DATE: February 2, 1973 PREPARED BY: Don Argetsinger, Director - Admin. Serv

Original: Legislative Finance
 cc: Budget and Management
 Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

III Analysis CS HB 11

Assumptions:

(1) Effect of the Committee Substitute is to separate "transit systems" from the present provisions of AS 43.18.010 (a) (6).

(2) Fiscal Analysis prepared without regard to subsection (k), a definition section which conflicts with the proposed paragraph (7) of subsection (a). Subsection (a) paragraph (7) reads:

(a) During each fiscal year the state shall pay to a city or organized borough of any class which has power to provide the following services and exercises that power

(7) \$5 per capita to cities and boroughs providing mass transit facilities or services. (emphasis added)

Subsection (k) defines mass transportation (underscoring provided) to include:

transportation by bus, rail, or other conveyance, either publicly or privately owned, which provides to the public general or special service on a regular or continuing basis. The term excludes school busing, taxicabs, charter or sightseeing services.

While the terms "mass transit facilities or services" and "mass transportation" can be considered synonymous in the above context, paragraph (7) requires municipal corporations to provide the service, not private corporations or individuals. However, in this fiscal analysis we recognize the instance wherein the operation of a municipal bus system could be contracted to a private corporation or individual, with the ultimate responsibility for the system's financial and operational success remaining with the municipal corporation.

(3) In accordance with the above, we assume the City and Borough of Juneau and the Greater Anchorage Area Borough would qualify for funds under paragraph (7) beginning Fiscal Year 1974, based on the operation of a municipal bus system. While we are unaware of any plans by either the City of Fairbanks or the Fairbanks North Star Borough to establish a municipal transit system, for the purposes of this fiscal analysis we are assuming they would do so, and thereby qualify for funds under paragraph (7) beginning in Fiscal Year 1975. We have excluded those local governments transporting school children by some conveyance other than bus, i.e. ferry, boat, or airplane, and the possibility of one of our more expansive boroughs initiating light aircraft service between principal points for public convenience on a regular and continuing basis.

(4) A one percent (1%) average overall population increase per year FY'75 through FY'78.

STATE REVENUE SHARING PROJECTIONS
under AS 43.18.010 as amended by HB-11
FY 1974

	<u>Population</u>	<u>Small Boat Harbor</u>	<u>Ports</u>	<u>Airports</u>	<u>Transit Systems</u>	<u>HB-11 Passes Total Entitlement</u>	<u>Projected Entitle. under AS 43.18.010(6)</u>	<u>Cost of HB-11</u>
Akolmiut	531	\$2,655				\$ 2,655	\$ 2,655	\$ -0-
Anchorage (City)	54,714		\$273,570	\$273,570		547,140	273,570	273,570
Anchorage (Borough)	115,418				\$577,090 (a)	577,090	-0-	577,090
Angoon	404	2,020				2,020	2,020	-0-
Cordova	1,602	8,010	8,010	8,010		24,030	8,010	16,020
Craig	275	1,375				1,375	1,375	-0-
Dillingham	989	4,945		4,945		9,890	4,945	4,945
Haines	1,104	5,520				5,520	5,520	-0-
Homer	1,255	6,275	6,275			12,550	6,275	6,275
Hoonah	755	3,775				3,775	3,775	-0-
Hydaburg	216	1,080				1,080	1,080	-0-
Juneau	15,260	76,300	76,300	76,300	76,300	305,200	76,300	228,900
Kake	557	2,785				2,785	2,785	-0-
Kenai	4,015			20,075		20,075	20,075	-0-
Ketchikan	7,206	36,030		36,030		72,060	36,030	36,030
Kodiak	4,315	21,575	21,575	21,575		64,725	21,575	43,150
Nome	2,640		13,200	13,200		26,400	13,200	13,200
Palmer	1,500			7,500		7,500	7,500	-0-
Pelican	171	855				855	855	-0-
Petersburg	2,289	11,445	11,445			22,890	11,445	11,445
Seldovia	441	2,205	2,205			4,410	2,205	2,205
Seward	1,841	9,205	9,205			18,410	9,205	9,205
Sitka	6,170	30,850	30,850	30,850		92,550	30,850	61,700
Soldotna	1,214			6,070		6,070	6,070	-0-
Valdez	1,117	5,585	5,585			11,170	5,585	5,585
Whittier	117	585	585	585		1,755	585	1,170
Wrangell	2,049	10,245	10,245			20,490	10,245	10,245
		\$243,320	\$469,050	\$498,710	\$653,390	\$1,864,470	\$563,735	\$1,300,735

(a) Based on passage of HB-11 and the assumption that the Greater Anchorage Borough will provide an area wide mass transit system by July 1, 1973.

Barber
H.B.11

~~GREATER ANCHORAGE AREA BOROUGH~~

~~3500 TUDOR ROAD
ANCHORAGE ALASKA 99507~~

January 29, 1973

~~PLANNING DEPARTMENT~~



C
L
E
A
N

A
I
R

*

C
L
E
A
N

W
A
T
E
R

*

A

C
L
E
A
N

C
O
M
M
U
N
I
T
Y

The Honorable Earl D. Hillstrand
Chairman
House Finance Committee
Pouch "V"
Juneau, Alaska 99801

Dear Mr. Hillstrand:

Enclosed you will find copies of the latest information and reports on mass transit for the Anchorage area.

I hope this information will assist you and your Committee in reaching a favorable recommendation on House Bill 11 - Mass Transit Revenue Sharing.

The Greater Anchorage Area Borough Planning and Zoning Commission's Public Transportation Committee has done a considerable amount of work and research on the issue of Mass Transit in Anchorage. Should your Committee have need for more specific information, please let us know. Perhaps we have the answers.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Paul A. Carr, Secretary to
Public Transportation Committee

PAC:rvd

cc: Judy Hopkins
505 Baranoff Hotel
Juneau, Alaska 99801

House Finance Committee Members



JUNEAU ALASKA

Alaska State Legislature
House

*filed HB 11
Revenue-sharing
referred to
Barber*

January 31, 1973

Ms. Grace Wolf
1227 East 27th Ave.
Anchorage, Alaska

RE: Mass transit -- revenue sharing

Dear Ms. Wolf:

This will acknowledge receipt of your telegram of January 25 regarding the above subject.

It has been referred to the appropriate sub-committee for possible hearings and they will recommend for the Committee of the Whole a position to take with regard to the subject.

Sincerely,

Earl D. Hillstrand
Chairman
House Finance Committee

TELEGRAM

RCA ALASKA COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

PHONE: 585-6440

JUNEAU, ALASKA 99801

ZCZC 01013 POM ANCHORAGE ALASKA 15⁰⁷⁷ 01-25 207P AST 20

PMS HON EARL HILLSTRAND

JUN 1220

BT

URGE YOUR SUPPORT OF REVENUE SHARING OR OTHER FINANCING

FOR MASS TRANSIT IN ANCHORAGE

GRACE WOLF 1227 EAST 27 AVE ANCHORAGE.

The Legislature of the State of Alaska
FISCAL NOTE
First Special Session - Eighth Legislature

I. REQUEST

Bill Identification: HB 11
 Title: Sales Tax
 Requested by: Legislative Finance Date: 10/30/73
 Return Date Requested: A.S.A.P.
 Agency: Revenue Program: _____

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Budget Request Unit(s) Affected: _____
 A. EXPENDITURES: (Thousands of dollars)

OBJECT	FY 74	FY 75	FY 76	FY 77	FY 78	FY 79
100 PERSONAL SERVICES						
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL						
400 COMMODITIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.						
TOTAL		UNABLE TO DETERMINE AT THIS TIME				

B. FUNDING: (Thousands of dollars)

	UNABLE TO DETERMINE AT THIS TIME					
GENERAL FUND						
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER						

C. POSITIONS:

	UNABLE TO DETERMINE AT THIS TIME					
PERMANENT/TEMPORARY	/	/	/	/	/	/
MAN MONTHS (P./T.)	/	/	/	/	/	/

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

See attached memo and revenue estimate.

IV. ATTACHMENTS

V. DATE: November 1, 1973

PREPARED BY: L.P. Carroll

L. P. Carroll, Chief
 Miscellaneous Tax Section
 Department of Revenue

Original: Legislative Finance
 cc: Budget and Management
Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

STATE
of ALASKA

MEMORANDUM

TO: R. D. Stevenson
Commissioner
Department of Revenue

DATE : November 1, 1973

FROM:

L. P. Carroll, Chief *LPCarroll*
Miscellaneous Tax Section
Department of Revenue

SUBJECT: Research on HB 11

Per your request I have reviewed HB 11 proposing a sales and use tax of 6% on all oil and gas real and personal property and submit herewith a fiscal note.

Effect on Treasury:

As the language of the bill does not indicate or make clear any specific exemptions regarding acquired property currently in use or for use in oil and gas exploration, transportation or production both within and without the State, we were required to make certain broad assumptions to arrive at any kind of a potential revenue estimate on this measure. We do not have the specific data required to project anticipated revenue with any degree of certainty. Listed below are the assumptions utilized in arriving at the figures illustrated in the attached table.

1. All existing Kenai/Cook Inlet property is exempt from the proposed sales and use tax.

2. The Trans-Alaska Pipeline has a value of \$3.3 billion, of which 60% is considered intangible and not subject to this tax; i.e., labor, transportation, administrative costs, etc.; 40% of the cost, or \$1.32 billion, represents the value of real or personal tangible property subject to the tax. This amount is spread over the construction period on an estimated percentage basis as follows: FY 74 - 20%; FY 75 - 30%; FY 76 - 20%; FY 77 - 20%; FY 78 - 10%, and maintenance in FY 79 estimated at 5% of the original taxable value.

3. North Slope production facilities, excluding wells, were valued at \$588.6 million, of which 60% is considered to be intangible and exempt from this tax; 40% of this value, or \$235.4 million, represents the value of property taxable. This amount was also spread on the estimated percentage basis used for the TAPS line over the six year period FY 74 - FY 79.

As the proposed legislation specifically includes the intangible cost of drilling and development, wells were valued at \$1.5 million apiece and the number of wells added each year is as follows:

<u>Base Year</u>	<u>FY 75</u>	<u>FY 76</u>	<u>FY 77</u>	<u>FY 78</u>	<u>FY 79</u>
90	12	12	124	85	75

4. Exploration costs subject to tax were estimated at \$50.0 million per year.

The resulting figures must be considered as pure estimates as there are so many variable factors which are not expressly defined in the legislation.

Problems of Administration:

As noted above, the primary problem is the lack of any "flooring" provisions in this legislation. We are not able to determine if existing properties are subject to the 6% use tax. Furthermore, there is no real definition of what constitutes the intangible portion of any property acquired for use under this act. Are transportation expenses, labor and administrative costs includable or excludable? Further, how can intangible expenses be taxed on wells, development and exploration yet be excluded on pipelines and production equipment? Clearly, retail sales in Alaska are taxable, but it will be difficult for retailers to determine if their sales are ultimately subject to the taxes and will be for all intents and purposes impossible to audit by this Department effectively. In addition, we will have no facility to audit costs of taxable property acquired outside this State and brought in by either the prime contractor or by any one of the potential hundreds of sub-contractors. Without question, primary materials, whether acquired within or without the State, will be purchased at the manufacturer or wholesale level placing the burden of tax in the use category and payable by the purchaser directly to the State. In this situation we will be in the position of relying solely on the information voluntarily submitted by the taxpayer without recourse to any effective means of audit.

At this point, with the magnitude of the value of the property involved, and with so many unresolved questions, we do not feel in any position to accurately forecast the cost of audit to administer this program. If the job is to be done correctly the costs will be substantial. Field auditing of company records either on a monthly or quarterly basis would

R. D. Stevenson, Commissioner

November 1, 1973

-3-

comprise another function and with the potential of several thousand taxpayers would require a large staff with considerable travel allowance.

At such time as the true magnitude of this proposed legislation is known we will attempt to comply with all fiscal note requirements and remain at the disposal of anyone wishing to discuss this matter further.

LPC: agm
Attachment

	<u>FY 74</u>	<u>FY 75</u>	<u>FY 76</u>	<u>FY 77</u>	<u>FY 78</u>	<u>FY 79</u>
Taps Only	264.0	396.0	264.0	264.0	132.0	66.0
North Slope						
Wells	135.0	18.0	18.0	186.0	127.5	112.5
Other Facilities	47.0	70.6	47.0	47.0	23.5	11.7
Exploration Properties	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0
	486.0	534.6	379.0	547.0	333.0	240.2
Tax at 6%						
Millions of \$	\$29.1	\$32.0	\$22.7	\$32.8	\$19.9	\$14.4



RECORDS CERTIFICATION

I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James O. Smith
Signature of Camera Operator

4/26/89
Date

"An Act relating to fishing, hunting, and trapping licenses; and providing for an effective date."

COMMITTEE REPORT

HOUSE

1/19/73

Mr. Speaker:

Date Feb. 7, 1973

The Committee on FINANCE has had HB 14

under consideration. A Majority of the members of the Committee

recommends it DO PASS without Recourse Committee Amendment

recommends it DO NOT PASS

recommends it DO PASS WITH ATTACHED AMENDMENT(S)

recommends it BE REPLACED WITH CS FOR _____ AND THAT

CS FOR _____ DO PASS

"and" recommends it BE REFERRED TO THE _____

COMMITTEE

reports it back WITHOUT RECOMMENDATION

"other"

Members signing the Majority report:

<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	_____
<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	_____
<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	_____
<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	_____

Members NOT concurring in the Majority report:

[Signature] recommends: do not pass

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

[Signature] Chairman

Page

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY FISCHER

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 14

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 EIGHTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to fishing, hunting, and trapping
7 licenses; and providing for an effective date."

8 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

9 * Section 1. AS 16.05.400(b) is amended to read:

10 (b) A sport fishing, hunting or trapping license is not
11 required of a resident who is 60 years of age or more [AND HAS BEEN
12 A RESIDENT FOR 30 CONSECUTIVE YEARS OR MORE,] as long as he remains
13 a resident.

14 * Sec. 2. This Act takes effect on the day after its passage and
15 approval or on the day it becomes law without approval.

16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29



JUNEAU ALASKA

Alaska State Legislature
House

FINANCE COMMITTEE BILL ASSIGNMENT

TO: Representative Barber DATE: January 22, 1973
House Finance Committee

FROM: Earl D. Hillstrand
Chairman
House Finance Committee

BILL NO.: HOUSE BILL 14

TITLE: "An Act relating to fishing, hunting, and trapping licenses; and providing for an effective date."

COMMENTS: This bill has been referred to you for your review and research and eventual presentation to the committee for their consideration.

STATE
of ALASKA

MEMORANDUM

TO: R. D. Stevenson
Acting Commissioner
Dept. of Revenue

DATE : January 25, 1973

FROM: Ralph Kimlinger, Manager
Juneau Field Office
Dept of Revenue

SUBJECT: HB 14 House Resources Committee
Report

Recommended admendment by Resources committee would raise the age of eligibility from 60 to 65 for individuals to be exempted from the requirement of purchasing a license to hunt, sport fish or trap in Alaska.

Statistics as provided by the Department of Health & Social Services and the Department of Fish & Game indicate that 830 resident individuals who now purchase licenses would be exempted by the admendment. This is compared to the 1,795 individuals who would have been exempted by the original bill as introduced (see my memo of 1/10/73).

Annual Gross Revenue loss is calculated to be \$4,292 as indicated below.

<u>Class of License</u>	<u>No. Sold</u>	<u>Gross Revenue</u>
1. Res. Fishing	226	\$1,130
2. Res. Hunting	108	756
3. Res. Spt. Fish & Hunt	155	1,860
4. Res. Spt Fish-hunt-trap	26	390
3A Res Hunt & Trap	15	150
3B Res. Trapping	2	6
5A 25¢ Hunt-Fish-Trap	298	0
Totals	830	\$4,292

RK/rd

STATE
of ALASKA

MEMORANDUM

DEPT. OF REVENUE
RECEIVED

JAN 11 9 03 AM '73

JUNEAU, ALASKA

DATE : FILE ~~January 10, 1973~~

TO: [R. D. Stevenson
Acting Commissioner
Dept. of Revenue

FROM: Ralph Kimlinger, Manager *A*
Juneau Field Office
Dept. of Revenue

SUBJECT: HB 14 Sport Fishing & Hunting
License Exemption

Passage of HB 14 will extend the exemption granted to elderly residents of Alaska, as it applies to Sport Fishing, Hunting & Trapping licenses, to any resident over 60 years of age. Present law, passed in the last legislative session, grants exemption to those residents over 60 years of age who have been continuously in Alaska for 30 or more years.

Using statistics as provided by the Dept. of Health & Social Services and the Dept. of Fish & Game we find that there are approximately 13,200 resident Alaskans over the age of 60. Of these, approximately 3,800 will participate in sport fishing, hunting and trapping activities. Of the 3,800, approximately 2,005 are presently exempted as they have been in Alaska 30 years or more. The loss to the treasury, if HB 14 is passed, is therefore computed on the additional 1,795 residents who would be exempted.

Approximately 645 of the 1,795 would have been purchasing the 25¢ license from which the state receives little, if any, remuneration. Therefore, 1,150 individuals who now purchase full price licenses would not be licensing upon enactment of the provisions of HB 14.

Annual Gross Revenue loss of \$9,165.00 is projected and was computed as follows:

	<u>CLASS</u>	<u>NUMBER SOLD</u>	<u>GROSS REVENUE LOSS</u>
1.	Res. Fish License	508	\$2,540.00
2.	Res. Hunting License	214	1,498.00
3.	Res. Spt. Fish & Hunt Lic.	329	3,948.00
4.	Res. Spt. Fish, Hunt & Trap Lic	56	840.00
3A.	Res. Hunting & Trapping	30	300.00
3B.	Res. Trapping License	13	39.00
		<u>1,150</u>	<u>\$9,165.00</u>

Problems of Administration

Since this is an exemption from the licensing provision and will be administered by the Dept. of Fish & Game who already have designed a system for AS 16-05-400 (b) as passed last year, NO problems are anticipated.

RK/rd



RECORDS



CERTIFICATION

I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James O. Smith
Signature of Camera Operator

4/26/89
Date

"An Act relating to legislation and ballot measures authorizing the issuance of general obligation bonds."

COMMITTEE REPORT

2-20-73

HOUSE

Mr. Speaker:

Date Feb 28, 1973

The Committee on FINANCE has had HB 18

under consideration. A Majority of the members of the Committee

recommends it DO PASS

recommends it DO NOT PASS

recommends it DO PASS WITH ATTACHED AMENDMENT(S)

recommends it BE REPLACED WITH CS FOR _____ AND THAT

CS FOR _____ DO PASS

"and" recommends it BE REFERRED TO THE _____

COMMITTEE

reports it back WITHOUT RECOMMENDATION

"other"

Members signing the Majority report:

_____	<u>do not pass</u>	_____
<u>Speaker</u>	" "	_____
<u>_____</u>	" "	_____
_____	" "	_____

Members NOT concurring in the Majority report:

_____	recommends:	<u>Do not pass</u>
<u>_____</u>	recommends:	<u>Do not pass</u>
<u>_____</u>	recommends:	<u>DO PASS</u>
<u>_____</u>	recommends:	<u>DO PASS</u>
<u>_____</u>	recommends:	<u>_____</u>

_____ Chairman

Committee Report

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Jan. 29, 1973

Date

Mr. Speaker

The Committee on XXXX FINANCE has had HOUSE BILL NO. 18

under consideration. A majority of the members of the Committee

- recommends it do pass
- recommends it do not pass
- recommends it do pass with attached amendment(s)
- recommends it be replaced with CS for _____ and that
CS for _____ do pass
- (and) recommends it be referred to the _____
committee
- reports it back without recommendation
- (other) _____

MEMBERS SIGNING THE MAJORITY REPORT:

<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>WATZUK</u>	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

MEMBERS NOT CONCURRING IN THE MAJORITY REPORT:

<u>[Signature]</u>	recommends:	<u>it do pass</u>
<u>[Signature]</u>	recommends:	<u>it do pass</u>
<u>[Signature]</u>	recommends:	<u>Do Pass</u>
<u>[Signature]</u>	recommends:	<u>no recommendation</u>
_____	recommends:	<u>[Signature]</u>

CHAIRMAN

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY J. MILLER

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 18

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 EIGHTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to legislation and ballot measures
7 authorizing the issuance of general obligation bonds."

8 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

9 * Section 1. AS 24.30 is amended by adding a new section to read:

10 Sec. 24.30.037. GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND BILLS. A bill
11 authorizing the issuance of general obligation bonds creating a
12 state debt for capital improvements shall contain a concise statement
13 of the scope of each project included in the proposed bond issue.
14 The statement shall include a brief description of each capital
15 improvement project, its location, and that portion of the total
16 bond issue to be allocated to the project.

17 * Sec. 2. AS 15.15.040 is amended by adding a new subsection to read:

18 (b) The lieutenant governor shall prepare and issue, or make
19 available, with each sample ballot a concise statement of the scope
20 of each project included in a proposed general obligation bond issue
21 creating a state debt for capital improvements that is submitted
22 to the electorate for ratification, under AS 15.15.030(11). The
23 statement shall include a brief description of each capital improvement
24 project, its location and that portion of the total bond issue to be
25 allocated to the project.
26
27
28
29

Original sponsor: J. Miller

Offered: 2/20/73
Referred: Finance

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY THE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE

2

CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 18

3

IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4

EIGHTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

5

A BILL

6

For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to legislation and ballot measures

7

authorizing the issuance of general obligation bonds."

8

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

9

* Section 1. AS 15.15.040 is amended by adding a new subsection to read:

10

(b) The lieutenant governor shall prepare and issue, or make

11

available, with each sample ballot a statement of the scope of each

12

major project included in a proposed general obligation bond issue

13

creating a state debt for capital improvements that is submitted to the

14

electorate for ratification, under AS 15.15.030(11).

15

* Sec. 2. AS 24.20.201(a) is amended by adding a new paragraph to read:

16

(8) review and approve or disapprove, as the case may be,

17

any proposed executive transfer of funds authorized in a general obliga-

18

tion bond issue between capital improvement projects included in that

19

bond issue or any transfer of general obligation bond funds to projects

20

not authorized in the bond issue.

21

* Sec. 3. AS 24.30 is amended by adding a new section to read:

22

Sec. 24.30.037. GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND BILLS. A bill authorizing

23

the issuance of general obligation bonds creating a state debt for

24

capital improvements shall contain a statement of the scope of each

25

major project included in the proposed bond issue.

26

27

28

29

GEORGE HERRINGTON
ERIC SUTCLIFFE
NATHAN D. ROWLEY
WILLIAM H. ORRICK, JR.
WALTER G. OLSON
WILLIAM D. MCKEE
EDWARD E. MITCHELL
SIDNEY E. ROBERTS
JAMES H. BENNEY
C. RICHARD WALKER
JAMES F. CRAFTS, JR.
ANDREW DOWNEY ORRICK
JAMES K. HAYNES
RICHARD C. SALLADIN

RICHARD J. LUCAS
CARLO S. FOWLER
DONALD A. SLICHTER
PAUL A. WEBBER
JAMES R. MADISON
DILLMAN C. RINGELL, JR.
WILLIAM L. HOISINGTON
THOMAS R. SHEARER, JR.
CAMERON W. WOLFE, JR.
JOSEPH J. HUGHES
RALPH C. WALKER
M. PETER LILLEVAND
WILLIAM E. DONOVAN

ORRICK, HERRINGTON, ROWLEY & SUTCLIFFE
COUNSELORS AND ATTORNEYS AT LAW
ELEVENTH FLOOR
600 MONTGOMERY STREET
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111
TELEPHONE (415) 392-1122

W. H. ORRICK SR.
OF COUNSEL

CABLE "ORRICK"
TELEX 34-0973

February 27, 1973

Mr. R. D. Stevenson
Acting Commissioner of Revenue
State of Alaska
Pouch S, Alaska Office Building
Juneau, Alaska 99801

Re: Committee Substitute for House Bill No. 18

Dear Bob:

In response to your letter of February 21:

Committee Substitute for House Bill No. 18 is substantially improved as compared with the original version of the bill, which I commented on in my letter of January 19. I believe that the authorization of general obligation bonds could proceed more efficiently if the amendments proposed by the bill, even in its present version, were not adopted. However, I believe that I can understand the arguments for a bill of this kind, and it is considerably less objectionable than the initial version.

I do have the following specific comments as to CSHB 18:

1. I think that one possible source of problems could be eliminated by changing section 1 (proposed AS §15.15.040(b)) so as to indicate that the "statement of the scope of each major project" to be issued or made available by the Lieutenant Governor is to be the same statement as that included in the authorization bill pursuant to section 3 (proposed AS §24.30.037). I see no point in authorizing or permitting the Lieutenant Governor to prepare or issue a statement different from that already included in the bill by the Legislature. Variations between the two statements would simply lead to unnecessary problems. Moreover, I can imagine a future Legislature adopting a bond authorization act which does not include any statement of scope, in which case I think it would be best not to require or permit the Lieutenant Governor to prepare a statement without definite knowledge as to what the Legislature intended.

2. I suggest that the last several words of section 2 (proposed AS §24.20.201(a)(8)) be deleted. These words seem to contemplate the possibility of a "transfer

ORRICK, HERRINGTON, ROWLEY & SUTCLIFFE

Mr. R. D. Stevenson
February 27, 1973
Page 2

of general obligation bond funds to projects not authorized in the bond issue." I believe that any such transfer would ordinarily be contrary to Article IX, Section 8, of the Constitution. If the voters have authorized bonds for particular capital improvements, e.g., highways (and particularly if the scope of each major project included has been described to them in a statement accompanying each sample ballot, as required by the bill), the funds derived from those bonds should not be transferred to projects which were not authorized by the voters, e.g., airports.

In the same section, in line 17, "authorized" seems to me to be the wrong word. I would suggest changing "funds authorized in" to "funds derived from" or "the proceeds of."

3. I still believe that section 3 can not bind future Legislatures, and that it will constitute only a recommendation or suggested rule of procedure.

Sincerely yours,

C. Richard Walker

cc: Mr. Kenneth W. Kadow
Mr. Joseph R. Henri
Miss Donna D. Spragg
Mr. Terrance Adlhock



JUNEAU ALASKA

Alaska State Legislature
House

FINANCE COMMITTEE BILL ASSIGNMENT

TO: Rep. Saylor DATE: February 20, 1973

FROM: Earl D. Hillstrand
Chairman
House Finance Committee

BILL NO.: HB 18

TITLE: An Act relating to legislation and ballot measures
authorizing the issuance of general obligation bonds.

COMMENTS: This bill has been referred to you for your review
and research and eventual presentation to the
committee for their consideration.



JUNEAU ALASKA

Alaska State Legislature
House

FINANCE COMMITTEE BILL ASSIGNMENT

TO: Representative Saylor
House Finance Committee

DATE: January 19, 1973

FROM: Earl D. Hillstrand
Chairman
House Finance Committee

BILL NO.: HOUSE BILL 18

TITLE: "An Act relating to legislation and ballot measures
authorizing the issuance of general obligation bonds."

COMMENTS: This bill has been referred to you for your review
and research and eventual presentation to the
committee for their consideration.

Why wasn't this referred to Judiciary?

STATE OF ALASKA

DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER

POUCH 5 — JUNEAU 99801

WILLIAM A. EGAN, GOVERNOR

January 23, 1973

Mr. Jay Hogan, Fiscal Analyst
Division of Legislative Finance
State Capitol
Juneau, Alaska

Re: House Bill No. 18

Dear Mr. Hogan:

As Secretary of the State Bond Committee I requested by letter dated January 9, 1972, copy of which is enclosed, a review of House Bill No. 18, an Act relating to legislation and ballot measures authorizing the issuance of general obligation bonds, by the State's Bond Counsel, C. Richard Walker of Orrick, Herrington, Rowley & Sutcliffe.

Attached is a copy of a letter from the State's Bond Counsel, C. Richard Walker, indicating his review of the entire bill.

Very truly yours,



R. D. Stevenson
Acting Commissioner

RDS: agm
Attachments

January 9, 1972

C. Richard Walker, Esq.
Orrick, Herrington, Rowley & Sutcliffe
Counselors and Attorneys at Law
600 Montgomery Street
San Francisco, California 94111

Re: House Bill No. 18
1973 State Legislature

Dear Mr. Walker:

Enclosed is a copy of House Bill No. 18 which was recently introduced in the Alaska State Legislature concerning legislation and ballot measures authorizing the issuance of general obligation bonds.

It would be appreciated if you would review the bill for the benefit of the State Bond Committee and furnish comment as to its need in light of present statutes and indicate, if possible, any corrections or amendments.

Very truly yours,

R. D. Stevenson
Acting Commissioner

RDS: agm
Enclosure

GEORGE HERRINGTON
ERIC SUTCLIFFE
NATHAN D. ROWLEY
WILLIAM H. ORRICK, JR.
WALTER G. OLSON
WILLIAM T. FREE
EDWARD J. MITCHELL
SIDNEY T. ROBERTS
JAMES I. DENNEY
C. RICHARD WALKER
JAMES J. CRAFTS, JR.
ANDREW DOWNEY ORRICK
JAMES R. HAYNES
RICHARD C. SALLADIN

RICHARD J. LUCAS
CARLO S. FOWLER
DONALD A. SLICHTER
PAUL A. WEBER
JAMES R. MADISON
WILLIAM C. HINSELL, JR.
WILLIAM L. HOISINGTON
THOMAS R. SHEARER, JR.
CAMERON W. WOLFE, JR.
JOSEPH J. HUGHES
RALPH C. WALKER
M. PETER LILLEVAND
WILLIAM E. DONOVAN

ORRICK, HERRINGTON, ROWLEY & SUTCLIFFE

COUNSELORS AND ATTORNEYS AT LAW

ELEVENTH FLOOR

600 MONTGOMERY STREET

SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111

TELEPHONE (415) 392-1122

January 19, 1973

DEPT. OF REVENUE
RECEIVED

JAN 23 9 04 AM '73

JUNEAU, ALASKA

FILE _____

W. H. ORRICK, SR.
OF COUNSEL

CABLE "ORRICK"
TELEX 34-0973

Mr. R. D. Stevenson
Acting Commissioner of Revenue
State of Alaska
Pouch S, Alaska Office Building
Juneau, Alaska 99801

Re: House Bill No. 18

Dear Mr. Stevenson:

In response to your letter of January 9, I have the following comments with respect to House Bill No. 18:

Section 1 of this bill seeks to require bond authorization acts to include a brief description of each project to be financed with the proposed bonds, "its location, and that portion of the total bond issue to be allocated to the project."

The extent to which the Legislature should specify the details of the projects to be financed with particular bond issues is, of course, to some extent a matter of political philosophy. However, in many cases the kind of specification sought by House Bill No. 18 would be impractical and would result in unnecessary inflexibility, confusion and delay in carrying out needed capital improvements.

As an example, Chapter 182, SLA 1970, authorized the issuance of \$29,200,000 principal amount of bonds for highways and roads. The language of House Bill No. 18 would have required a brief description of each highway project to be financed with these bonds, its location and that portion of the total bond issue to be allocated to the project. If such a list of projects had been included in Chapter 182, it is quite likely that, in issuing the bonds and expending the proceeds in 1971, 1972 and 1973, it would have become apparent that changes in the list were necessary, obviously desirable and agreeable to all concerned. Yet the use of the bond proceeds in specific amounts for specific stretches of particular highways would have been frozen into the mold determined in 1970, requiring further legislative action and, by reason of section 2 of House Bill No. 18, quite possibly requiring another bond election, before any changes could be made.

The extent to which the uses of bond proceeds ought to be specified in advance by the Legislature will, of course,

ORRICK, HERRINGTON, ROWLEY & SUTCLIFFE

Mr. R. D. Stevenson
January 19, 1973
Page 2

vary from one bond issue to another. In the past, in adopting each bond authorization act, the Legislature has necessarily determined at the time how specific the requirements as to the use of the proceeds should be. For example, Chapter 223, SLA 1970, simply authorized \$21,000,000 principal amount of bonds for capital improvements to the state ferry system and provided that the specific uses of the proceeds should be determined by the Governor. On the other hand, Chapter 201, SLA 1972, authorizing the issuance of \$20,000,000 principal amount of bonds for flood control projects and small boat harbor projects, contained a list of more than 10 specific small boat harbor projects, with amounts assigned to each. It seems to me that it would be far better for the Legislature, in authorizing a particular issue of bonds, to determine at that time to what extent the projects to be financed are to be specified, rather than deciding by House Bill No. 18 that every future bond authorization act must contain some particular degree of specificity, no matter what the purpose of the bond issue.

The foregoing probably makes evident another major objection to section 1 of House Bill No. 18, namely, that it would simply have no effect. Each time the Legislature authorizes an issue of general obligation bonds, it necessarily adopts an act which supersedes any such prior legislation as House Bill No. 18. The only way to effectuate the idea of section 1 of House Bill No. 18 would be by constitutional amendment.

Section 2 of the bill is subject generally to the same objections, some to a lesser and some to a greater degree.

Sincerely yours,

C. Richard Walker

cc: Mr. Kenneth W. Kadow
Mr. Joseph R. Henri
Miss Donna D. Spragg



RECORDS CERTIFICATION



I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James O. Smith
Signature of Camera Operator

4/26/89
Date

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY RANDOLPH

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 19

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 EIGHTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act providing incentives for continuous year-
7 round school programs within local school districts;
8 and providing for an effective date."

9 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

10 * Section 1. AS 14.17 is amended by adding a new section to read:

11 Sec. 14.17.222. INCENTIVES FOR CONTINUOUS YEAR-ROUND SCHOOL
12 PROGRAM. (a) In addition to other state aid for school purposes
13 authorized under this chapter and other state law, a district having
14 or planning a continuous year-round school program is entitled to
15 incentive funds in amounts as follows:

16 (1) an amount to be determined by the department from funds
17 appropriated to the public school foundation account for study of
18 program feasibility or planning, or both;

19 (2) an amount not less than five per cent nor more than
20 10 per cent of the allocation to the district from the public school
21 foundation account in the same fiscal year, for each of the first three
22 years during which a continuous year-round school program is opera-
23 tional; the amount shall be determined by the department on the basis
24 of cost analysis of the program;

25 (3) an amount equal to five per cent of such foundation
26 account funds, for each year following the first three operational
27 years during which a continuous year-round school program is continued.

28 (b) To qualify for incentive funds under (a)(2) and (3) of this
29 section, a district need not implement a continuous year-round school

1 program in all schools of the system. However, if the program is
2 implemented within fewer than all schools of the system, the amount
3 of funds authorized as incentives in (a)(2) and (3) of this section
4 shall be reduced in the same proportion as the number of students
5 in average daily membership to whom the program is not made available
6 within the district bears to the total number of students in average
7 daily membership within the district.

8 (c) Allocation of funds under (a) of this section shall be made
9 in the same manner and at the same times as provided in this chapter
10 for allocation of funds from the public school foundation account.

11 (d) In this section "continuous year-round school program" means
12 bona fide 12-months operation of school facilities at a uniform level,
13 with at least 180 days in membership required of all students during
14 the 12-months period. A program shall be developed in accordance with
15 regulations to be promulgated by the Department of Education. A pro-
16 gram must be approved by the department before implementation by a
17 district.

18 * Sec. 2. AS 14.17.225 is amended by adding a new subsection to read:

19 (f) The continuous year-round school program supplemental
20 incentive account is established. Funds to carry out the provisions
21 of sec. 222 of this chapter may be appropriated annually by the legis-
22 lature to the account. If amounts in the account are insufficient to
23 meet the allocations authorized under sec. 222 of this chapter, such
24 funds as are available shall be allocated by the department on a
25 priority basis for the purposes authorized under sec. 222(a)(1) of
26 this chapter, and the remainder shall be distributed pro rata among
27 districts eligible under the provisions of sec. 222(a)(2) and (3) of
28 this chapter.

29 * Sec. 3. This Act takes effect July 1, 1973.

Original sponsor: Randolph, M. Miller,
Warwick et al

Offered: 2/20/73
Referred: Finance

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY THE HEALTH, EDUCATION AND
SOCIAL SERVICES COMMITTEE

2 CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 19

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 EIGHTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to year-round school programs within
7 local school districts."

8 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

9 * Section 1. AS 14.17.220 is amended to read:

10 Sec. 14.17.220. PURPOSE. It is the intention of the legislature,
11 in enacting this public school foundation program, to assure an adequate
12 level of educational opportunities for those in attendance in the
13 public schools of the state. It is the further intention of the
14 legislature that a public school district may exercise its option to
15 provide a continuous year-round school program under this chapter.
16 This chapter may [SHALL] not be interpreted as preventing a public
17 school district from providing educational services and facilities
18 beyond those assured by the foundation program.

19 * Sec. 2. AS 14.17.250(1) is amended to read:

20 (1) "average daily membership" means the aggregate days of
21 membership of pupils divided by 180 days [THE ACTUAL NUMBER OF DAYS IN
22 SESSION FOR THE SCHOOL TERM];

The Legislature of the State of Alaska
FISCAL NOTE
First Session - Eighth Legislature

I. REQUEST

Bill Identification: HB #19
 Title: Year-Round School Program
 Requested by: Legislative Finance Date: 2/20/73
 Return Date Requested: 2/28/73
 Agency: Education Program: Pre-Elem.-Secondary

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Budget Request Unit(s) Affected: Financial Support Programs

A. EXPENDITURES: (Thousands of dollars)

OBJECT	FY 73	FY 74	FY 75	FY 76	FY 77	FY 78
100 PERSONAL SERVICES						
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL						
400 COMMODITIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.		1,000.0	1,650.0	2,650.0	3,570.0	3,690.0
TOTAL		1,000.0	1,650.0	2,650.0	3,570.0	3,690.0

B. FUNDING: (Thousands of dollars)

GENERAL FUND		1,000.0	1,650.0	2,650.0	3,570.0	3,690.0
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER						

C. POSITIONS:

PERMANENT/TEMPORARY	/	/	/	/	/	/
MAN MONTHS (P./T.)	/	/	/	/	/	/

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

Assumptions: 1) 10% participation for first year and an increase of 10% per year thereafter up to 40%. 2) 10% of Foundation for first three years and 5% for each year thereafter. 3) Planning funds of \$250,000 per year for five years.

IV. ATTACHMENTS

V. DATE: 3/1/73

PREPARED BY:

Nathaniel Cole

Original: Legislative Finance
 cc: Budget and Management
 Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

The Legislature of the State of Alaska
 FISCAL NOTE
 First Session - Eighth Legislature

I. REQUEST

Bill Identification: CS HB #19
 Title: Year-Round School Program
 Requested by: Legislative Finance Date: 2/20/73
 Return Date Requested: 2/28/73
 Agency: Education Program: Pre-Elem. Secondary

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Budget Request Unit(s) Affected: Financial Support Programs

A. EXPENDITURES: (Thousands of dollars)

OBJECT	FY 73	FY 74	FY 75	FY 76	FY 77	FY 78
100 PERSONAL SERVICES						
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL						
400 COMMODITIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.		1,863.0	3,900.0	6,000.0	8,300.0	8,800.0
TOTAL		1,863.0	3,900.0	6,000.0	8,300.0	8,800.0

B. FUNDING: (Thousands of dollars)

GENERAL FUND		1,863.0	3,900.0	6,000.0	8,300.0	8,800.0
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER						

C. POSITIONS:

PERMANENT/TEMPORARY	/	/	/	/	/	/
MAN MONTHS (P./T.)	/	/	/	/	/	/

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

Assumption: 1) 10% participation for 25% extension of school year in FY 74
 and 10% increased participation per year up to a maximum of 40%.

FY 74: $.10 \times .25 \times \$74,500,000$ (Est. FY. 74 Foundation Support) = 1,863.0.

IV. ATTACHMENTS

V. DATE: 3/1/73

PREPARED BY:

Nathaniel Cole

Original: Legislative Finance
 cc: Budget and Management
 Prime Sponsor: (First Legislator Named)



RECORDS CERTIFICATION



I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James O. Smith
Signature of Camera Operator

4/26/89
Date

"An Act authorizing pari-mutuel betting on certain horse races."

COMMITTEE REPORT

HOUSE

3-23-73

Mr. Speaker:

Date March 30, 1973

The Committee on Finance has had HB 23

under consideration. A Majority of the members of the Committee

() recommends it DO PASS

() recommends it DO NOT PASS

() recommends it DO PASS WITH ATTACHED AMENDMENT(S)

(X) recommends it BE REPLACED WITH CS FOR HB 23 AND THAT

CS FOR HB 23 DO PASS

() "and" recommends it BE REFERRED TO THE _____

COMMITTEE

() reports it back WITHOUT RECOMMENDATION

() "other"

Members signing the Majority report:

<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	_____
<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	_____
<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	_____
<u>[Signature]</u>	_____	_____

Members NOT concurring in the Majority report:

[Signature] recommends: _____

[Signature] recommends: do not pass

_____ recommends: _____

_____ recommends: _____

_____ recommends: _____

[Signature] Chairman

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY OSE

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 23

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 EIGHTH LEGISLATURE . FIRST SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act authorizing pari-mutuel betting on certain
7 horse races."

8 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

9 * Section 1. AS 05.15 is amended by adding new sections to read:

10 CHAPTER 15. BINGO, RAFFLES, PARI-MUTUEL BETTING

11 AND ICE POOLS.

12 ARTICLE 4. PARI-MUTUEL BETTING.

13 Sec. 05.15.300. AUTHORIZATION AND LIMITATIONS. (a) Pari-mutuel
14 betting on horse racing may be permitted under this chapter and to the
15 extent permitted may not be held to be in violation of the state's
16 gaming or gambling laws.

17 (b) Permits for the activity in (a) of this section may be issued
18 by the commissioner of revenue only to members of Alaskan Fairs,
19 Associated, otherwise qualified for a permit under this chapter.

20 (c) All activity connected with pari-mutuel betting must be con-
21 ducted within the confines of the permittees' own fairgrounds and a
22 violation of this provision is grounds for revocation of the permit and
23 other disciplinary measures provided for under this chapter.

24 * Sec. 2. AS 05.15.100 is amended to read:

25 S. c. 05.15.100. COMMISSIONER OF REVENUE MAY ISSUE PERMITS. The
26 commissioner of revenue may issue a permit to a qualified organization.
27 The permit gives the organization the privilege of conducting bingo,
28 raffles and lotteries, ice classics, dog mushers' contests, fish
29 derbies, horse racing with pari-mutuel betting and contests of skill.

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY OSE

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 23

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 EIGHTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act authorizing pari-mutuel betting on certain
7 horse races."

8 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

9 * Section 1. AS 05.15 is amended by adding new sections to read:

10 CHAPTER 15. BINGO, RAFFLES, PARI-MUTUEL BETTING

11 AND ICE POOLS.

12 ARTICLE 4. PARI-MUTUEL BETTING.

13 Sec. 05.15.300. AUTHORIZATION AND LIMITATIONS. (a) Pari-mutuel
14 betting on horse racing may be permitted under this chapter and to the
15 extent permitted may not be held to be in violation of the state's
16 gaming or gambling laws.

17 (b) Permits for the activity in (a) of this section may be issued
18 by the commissioner of revenue only to members of Alaskan Fairs,
19 Associated, otherwise qualified for a permit under this chapter.

20 (c) All activity connected with pari-mutuel betting must be con-
21 ducted within the confines of the permittees' own fairgrounds and a
22 violation of this provision is grounds for revocation of the permit and
23 other disciplinary measures provided for under this chapter.

24 * Sec. 2. AS 05.15.100 is amended to read:

25 Sec. 05.15.100. COMMISSIONER OF REVENUE MAY ISSUE PERMITS. The
26 commissioner of revenue may issue a permit to a qualified organization.
27 The permit gives the organization the privilege of conducting bingo,
28 raffles and lotteries, ice classics, dog mushers' contests, fish
29 derbies, horse racing with pari-mutuel betting and contests of skill.

1 * Sec. 3. AS 05.15.180 is amended to read:

2 Sec. 05.15.180. LIMITATIONS ON AUTHORIZED ACTIVITY. (a) This
3 chapter does not authorize the use of playing cards, dice, roulette
4 wheels, coin-operated instruments or machines, or other objects or
5 instruments used, except those needed for conducting pari-mutuel
6 betting, designed, or intended primarily for gaming or gambling or any
7 other method or implement not expressly authorized by the commissioner.

8 (b) No activity may be licensed under this chapter except pari-
9 mutuel betting as provided for under sec. 300 of this chapter, unless it
10 existed in the state in substantially the same form and was conducted
11 in substantially the same manner before January 1, 1959.

12 * Sec. 4. AS 05.15.210 is amended by adding a new paragraph to read:

13 (19) "pari-mutuel betting" means a system of betting on
14 horse races in which those who bet on the winners of the first three
15 places share the total stakes minus a percentage for the management.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

The Legislature of the State of Alaska
FISCAL NOTE
First Session - Eighth Legislature

I. REQUEST

Bill Identification: H.B. 23
 Title: Pari-mutuel betting on certain horse races.
 Requested by: Legislative Finance Date: 3/27/73
 Return Date Requested: ASAP
 Agency: Revenue Program: Individual & Business Taxes

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Budget Request Unit(s) Affected: _____

A. EXPENDITURES: (Thousands of dollars)

OBJECT	FY 73	FY 74	FY 75	FY 76	FY 77	FY 78
100 PERSONAL SERVICES						
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL						
400 COMMODITIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.						
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0

B. FUNDING: (Thousands of dollars)

GENERAL FUND	0	0	0	0	0	0
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER						

C. POSITIONS:

PERMANENT/TEMPORARY	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0
MAN MONTHS (P./T.)	/	/	/	/	/	/

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

SEE ATTACHED MEMO TO R. D. STEVENSON FROM L. P. CARROLL

IV. ATTACHMENTS

V. DATE: March 29, 1973 PREPARED BY: *R.D. Stevenson*
 Commissioner of Revenue

TO: [R. D. Stevenson
Acting Commissioner
Department of Revenue

DATE : March 29, 1973

FROM: L. P. Carroll, Chief
Miscellaneous Tax Section

SUBJECT: House Bill No. 23
Authorizing Pari-mutuel Betting
on Certain Horse Races.

House Bill No. 23 permits pari-mutuel betting on horse races and allows issuance of permits for this activity only to members of Alaskan Fairs, Associated, otherwise qualified for a permit under Title 05.15. Our research indicated three such permittees now in existence, those being:

- (1) Alaska State Fair Association
- (2) Ninilchick State Fair Association
- (3) Tanana Valley State Fair Association

Effect on Treasury:

As the Bill provides no additional levy of tax other than the initial \$10.00 permit fee, there will be no effect on the General Fund.

General Comments:

It is noted that many other States impose a special wagering tax separate from the general Games of Chance and Skill provision of their law. I have listed below some of the rates for selected States.

-Tax on Pari-mutuel Betting-

- Illinois - 5 3/4% tax on the first \$5,000,000 to 9 1/4% in excess of \$60,000,000 on wagers.
- California - 6.1% of first \$20,000,000 to 7.45% on all excess of \$180,000,000 handled in wagers.
- Arizona - 4% of gross pools up to \$100,000; 7% above \$100,000, except 2% where the daily handle is less than \$100,000.
- Oregon - Non-Profit Associations 1.5% of gross mutuel wagering, plus 5% if average daily activity exceeds \$100,000. \$250.00 special fee per race day.
- Washington - 6% of gross receipts, except 5% where gross does not exceed \$200,000 and meet is less than six days and 1% for Non-Profits where gross is less than \$200,000 and meet is less than six days.

MEMO

R. D. Stevenson

January 22, 1973

Page 2

Other States which impose a more complicated pari-mutuel tax are Louisiana and Florida. As we can anticipate this to be a minimal activity in Alaska it is suggested that a rate equal to 2% of gross pari-mutuel handle be imposed on all permit holders of this nature. This tax is in line with our alternate proposal submitted with the Games of Chance and Skill report delivered to the Legislature on January 17, 1973 as required by Statutes. (Journal attached)

Problems of Administration:

For all practical purposes it is not possible to perform an effective audit on any of the activities now being conducted under the Chance and Skill permits. This activity will probably pose less of a problem to administer by virtue of the accounting required to conduct pari-mutuel betting - we can foresee no imminent problems of administration.

LPC:fd

JOURNAL
SUPPLEMENT

January 17, 1973

HOUSE

No. 1

"STATE OF ALASKA
Department of Revenue

January 17, 1973

The Honorable Tom A. Fink
Speaker of the House
Alaska State Legislature
Juneau, Alaska 99801

Dear Representative Fink:

The Department of Revenue is charged with the administration of Chapter 15 of Title 5 of the Alaska Statutes. Such Chapter concerns the former Chapter 27, SLA 1960, an Act "To Sanction and Authorize the Conduct of Bingo and Certain Raffles and Ice Pools of a Bona Fide Non-Profit Nature under Permit from the Department of Revenue."

Section 05.15.090 of the Alaska Statutes provides, in part, that within ten days after the convening of the Legislature, the Commissioner of Revenue shall submit a detailed report containing a summary of all reports required by permittees and recommend a permit fee scale that will cover the cost of administration and enforcement.

Beginning the year January 1, 1972, 457 regular permits were issued covering the activities of the qualified organizations making application. A statistical report is attached indicating the financial activities of the 1972 permit holders who have filed year-end reports by January 15, 1973.

Projecting a 1972 experience, it is felt that for 1974 the statute may be effectively administered for the following costs:

Personal Services	\$39,000.00
Contractual	2,000.00
Travel	2,500.00
Commodities	350.00
	<u>\$43,850.00</u>

Personal Services represents a request for full-time services of a revenue auditor for field investigation and enforcement as well as the clerical and stenographic help in Juneau.

January 17, 1973

The Honorable Tom A. Fink
Speaker of the HouseJanuary 17, 1973
-2-

I am attaching a financial summary which discloses that the State of Alaska received \$4,570 in permit fees for the issuance of 457 permits during the Calendar Year 1972.

Gross receipts reported from all activities reported to date amounted to \$1,928,404.67 while total expenses amounted to \$1,621,008.40. Accordingly, net revenues amounted to \$307,396.27.

Two recommended permit fee scales are described on the attached sheet, page 4, to cover the estimated administrative and enforcement costs of the Act. We believe that some amendment to the fee schedule must be made to provide funds for adequate administration of the program.

Additional copies of our attached financial summary may be made available upon your request.

Sincerely,


R. D. Stevenson
Acting CommissionerRDS:LPC:agm
AttachmentsDEPARTMENT OF REVENUE - STATE OF ALASKA
ALASKA OFFICE BUILDING
JUNEAU, ALASKA

January 15, 1973

LEGISLATIVE REPORT

Summary of 1972 annual financial reports required of permittees of Games of Chance and Skill from information available January 15, 1973.

Applications Received:	486
Applications Rejected:	
(1) Non-Qualified Organizations	14
(2) Non-Qualified Activity	-0-
(3) Improve Dedication of Funds	11
(4) Disapproved by City, Borough or State Police	-0-
(5) Failure to Submit Permit Fee	4
Total Applications Rejected	29
Permits Issued	457
Fees Collected @ \$10.00	\$4570.00
Permits Revoked	1

Activities Authorized

(1) Bingo	<u>242</u>
(2) Raffles & Lotteries	<u>405</u>
(3) Ice Classics	<u>6</u>
(4) Dog Musher's Contests	<u>22</u>
(5) Fish Derbies	<u>31</u>
(6) Contests of Skill	<u>120</u>

Note: Some permits authorize two or more activities

Receipts:

(1) Bingo	<u>\$1,188,620.37</u>
(2) Raffles & Lotteries	<u>309,275.01</u>
(3) Ice Classics	<u>208,274.18</u>
(4) Dog Musher's Contests	<u>40,500.77</u>
(5) Fish Derbies	<u>75,319.92</u>
(6) Contests of Skill	<u>83,903.55</u>
(7, 8 & 9) Miscellaneous Income	<u>22,710.87</u>
Total Receipts	<u>\$1,928,404.67</u>
Total Expenses	<u>1,621,008.40</u>
Net	<u>307,396.27</u>

Proposed Fees to Cover Cost of Administration for
1974

Projected Receipts

Proposal #1

\$40.00 Basic Permit Fee and Annual Gross Receipts Formula

Estimated Permits for 1974 - 450 @ \$40.00		\$16,000.00
Estimated Gross Receipts for 1973	\$2,500,000.00	
With Additional Fee of 1% Tax on Gross Receipts		<u>25,000.00</u>
Total Revenue		\$43,000.00

Alternate Proposal #2

\$10.00 Basic Permit Fee and Flat 2% of the Gross Receipts

450 Permits @ \$10.00		\$ 4,500.00
Estimated Gross Receipts for 1973	\$2,500,000.00	
With Additional Fee of 2% Tax on Gross Receipts		<u>50,000.00</u>
Total Revenue		\$54,500.00

We believe that some amendment must be made to the fee schedule to compensate for the time involved in administering this program.

In addition, we will submit alternate tax methods with our research on House Bill 23 which authorizes pari-mutuel betting on certain horse races."



RECORDS



CERTIFICATION

I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James O. Smith
Signature of Camera Operator

4/26/89
Date

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY FRITZ

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 27

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 EIGHTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act providing for the issuance of general obliga-
7 tion bonds in the amount of \$2,400,000 for the purpose
8 of paying the cost of designing, constructing, acquir-
9 ing and equipping a Yukon River ferry (The River Road);
10 and providing for an effective date."

11 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

12 * Section 1. For the purpose of paying the cost of designing, construct-
13 ing, acquiring and equipping a Yukon River ferry general obligation bonds of
14 the state in the principal amount of not more than \$2,400,000 shall be issued
15 and sold. The full faith, credit and resources of the state are pledged to
16 the payment of the principal of and interest and redemption premium, if any,
17 on these bonds. These bonds shall be issued under the provisions of AS 37.-
18 15 as those provisions read at the time of issuance.

19 * Sec. 2. If the issuance of these bonds is authorized by the qualified
20 voters of the state, a special fund of the state to be known as the "1973
21 Yukon River Ferry Fund" shall be established, to which shall be credited the
22 proceeds of the sale of the bonds described in sec. 1 of this Act except for
23 the accrued interest and premiums. There is appropriated from the "1973
24 Yukon River Ferry Fund" to the Department of Public Works the sum of
25 \$2,400,000. The specific uses of the proceeds of these bonds shall be
26 determined by the governor.

27 * Sec. 3. If the issuance of these bonds is authorized by the qualified
28 voters of the state, the amount of \$8,400 or as much of that amount as is
29 found necessary is appropriated from the general fund of the state to the

1 state bond committee to carry out the provisions of this Act and to pay
2 expenses incident to the sale and issuance of the bonds authorized in this
3 Act. The amounts expended from the appropriation authorized by this section
4 shall be reimbursed to the general fund from the proceeds of the sale of
5 the bonds authorized by this Act.

6 * Sec. 4. The question whether the bonds authorized in this Act are to
7 be issued shall be submitted to the qualified voters of the state at the
8 next state general election and shall read substantially as follows:

9 Proposition

10 State General Obligation Yukon River Ferry

11 Bonds \$2,400,000

12 Shall the State of Alaska issue its general obligation
13 bonds in the principal amount of not more than \$2,400,000
14 for the purpose of paying the cost of designing, construct-
15 ing, acquiring and equipping a Yukon River ferry?

16 Bonds Yes []

17 Bonds No []

18 * Sec. 5. This Act takes effect on the day after its passage and
19 approval or on the day it becomes law without approval.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29



JUNEAU ALASKA

Alaska State Legislature House

FINANCE COMMITTEE BILL ASSIGNMENT

TO: Representative Specking
House Finance Committee

DATE: February 13, 1973

FROM: Earl D. Hillstrand
Chairman
House Finance Committee

BILL NO.: HB 27

TITLE: An Act providing for the issuance of general obligation bonds in the amount of \$2,400,000 for the purpose of paying the cost of designing, etc. Yukon River ferry

COMMENTS: This bill has been referred to you for your review and research and eventual presentation to the committee for their consideration.

The Legislature of the State of Alaska
 FISCAL NOTE
 First Session - Eighth Legislature

I. REQUEST

Bill Identification: HB 27
 Title: GO Bonds - Yukon River Ferry
 Requested by: Legislative Finance Date: 2/9/73
 Return Date Requested: 2/16/73
 Agency: _____ Program: _____

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Budget Request Unit(s) Affected: _____

A. EXPENDITURES: (Thousands of dollars)

OBJECT	FY 73	FY 74	FY 75	FY 76	FY 77	FY 78
100 PERSONAL SERVICES						
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL						
400 COMMODITIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.						
TOTAL						

B. FUNDING: (Thousands of dollars)

GENERAL FUND						
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER						

C. POSITIONS:

PERMANENT/TEMPORARY	/	/	/	/	/	/
MAN MONTHS (P./T.)	/	/	/	/	/	/

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

IV. ATTACHMENTS

Please refer to attached letter to Representative Fritz, dated January 23, 1973 relative to HB No. 27. This is the extent of the information this Department can provide until a complete feasibility study has been conducted.

V. DATE: _____ PREPARED BY: Bert A. Esmond
 February 14, 1973 Bert A. Esmond

Original: Legislative Finance
 cc: Budget and Management
 Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

January 23, 1973

Honorable Milo H. Fritz
House of Representatives
Pouch V
State Capitol Building

Dear Dr. Fritz:

This is in response to your verbal request to Bert Esmond, Deputy Director of the Division of Marine Transportation, for comments on House Bill No. 27 which provides for the issuance of \$2,400,000 for the purpose of constructing and equipping of a Yukon River ferry.

BACKGROUND: House Concurrent Resolution No. 4 was passed in the First Session of the Fifth Legislature which provided that a study be undertaken by the Division of Marine Transportation to determine the feasibility of a Yukon River ferry service. The report was prepared in 1968 and specifically studied the route on the Yukon River from Nenana to Kaltag with intermediate stops at Fairbanks, Minto, Tolovana, Hot Springs, Koschakat, Tanana, Ruby, Galena, Koyukuk and Nulato. The report was prepared in large part by Captain H. L. Adams who holds a Master's Certificate and Pilot's License on the Yukon River. The report recommended the construction of a vessel with a capacity of 32 automobiles having an overall length of 162 feet, with a beam of 46 feet and a draft of 3' 6" providing for 150 passengers. The cost of the vessel was estimated at \$1,200,000 with a construction time of eight to nine months. The vessel was scheduled for operation from mid May to mid October each year at an annual cost of \$336,611 and an annual revenue of \$349,164 for an annual operating profit of approximately \$12,500. This assumption of revenue is based upon 50% loading of passengers and vehicles for the 552-mile route. A roundtrip was estimated to require ten days based upon a down-stream speed of 18 knots and up-stream speed of 6 knots. The report further emphasized the need for the inclusion of terminals at each of the locations in addition to a system of dams and locks for flood control. Connecting roadways were envisioned in the report, but particular reference was made to a road connecting Fairbanks and Nome or Nome with Koyukuk. In addition, considerable dredging was anticipated by the U. S. Corps of Engineers.

On February 13, 1970, House Concurrent Resolution No. 35 was introduced in the Second Session of the Sixth Legislature providing that the Governor be requested to initiate plans to develop surface transportation systems on the Yukon River through public investment or through agreements with State participation. The Department of Public Works' report raised questions as to the impact of a State owned Yukon River Ferry System on existing barge operations.

CURRENT ESTIMATED COSTS: It is estimated that the ferry vessel envisioned in 1968 would cost approximately \$2,400,000 to build and equip. The cost of providing terminals at each of the villages, assuming minimal facilities, would approximate \$200,000 each for a total cost of \$2,400,000. Dredging costs would normally be financed by the U. S. Corps of Engineers without cost to the State on an as-needed basis and no cost was included for this function. The total capital cost therefore, is estimated at \$4,800,000. This figure is preliminary and should not be construed as a firm construction estimate.

Operating costs are estimated at 1.5 times those costs estimated in 1968 which would provide for an annual operating cost of \$505,000. Normal repair including annual overhaul is estimated at approximately \$200,000 each year. This would include repairs to terminal facilities as well as vessel repairs as may be required by U. S. Coast Guard for passenger services as well as routine operational repairs. The total operating cost therefore is estimated at \$705,000 annually.

ANTICIPATED REVENUES: Although revenue projections are shown in the 1968 report based upon 50% occupancy of the vessel, no study has been made of either tourist, local passengers or freight demands and no study has been made as to a potential rate structure. The principal of roll-on, roll-off traffic would obviously not apply since there is a minimal connecting roadway system. Freight, therefore, would undoubtedly be limited to bulk freight which is normally transported by existing barge service. Assuming bulk freight, the vessel would come under the jurisdiction of the Federal Interstate Commerce Commission for interstate freight and under the State of Alaska Transportation Commission if legislation is adopted for water carriers. The establishment of a rate, therefore, would be dependent upon the submission of a proposed rate to the regulatory body in question and could be instituted only after proper notices and hearings. It is reasonable to assume, however, that in order to be competitive with airline rates for similar services for passengers, the passenger rate structure should necessarily be sufficiently low to encourage usage. No attempt is made here to establish such a rate, but it is reasonable to assume that the bulk of the revenue would come from freight in lieu of passenger service. The potential tourist traffic that could be generated for a ten-day round trip is difficult to estimate. Estimates of freight are equally difficult to estimate. The preponderance of freight currently delivered on the Yukon River originates in Seattle and is transported up stream on the Yukon. In contrast, this proposal would provide for a distribution of freight along the Yukon from Fairbanks. It is reasonable to assume that most of the commodities have a common origin in Seattle. The Yukon River Ferry System would provide for more frequent service in the disposition of freight, but it would require trans-shipment via Anchorage and thence by either the Alaska Railroad or by truck to Fairbanks for final destination via the Yukon Ferry System. This trans-shipment of freight would probably be more expensive than the current method of providing barge service direct from Seattle. Back-haul deliveries of freight from Kaltag up river could be possible by providing a transfer point from existing barge carriers to Kaltag. No attempt is made

January 23, 1973

in this report to evaluate the potential success of such connection as it relates to existing carriers on the Yukon River. Based on the preceding, no revenue projections are attempted as a part of this preliminary report. It is reasonable to assume, however, that expenses will exceed revenues.

CONCLUSIONS: Although the 1968 report provided considerable information on the history of river traffic on the Yukon River it did not provide an in-depth analysis of the feasibility of the service. Such an analysis would require a complete study of freight and passenger demand as they relate to potential rate structures and detailed cost estimates for the construction of terminal facilities. If it is the intent of the legislature to pursue the matter further, it is recommended that a feasibility study be authorized and funding provided for such a study. Upon an expression for this study from the legislature, a detailed cost estimate of such a study can be provided. The Department of Public Works is not staffed to accomplish such a study and although we have available considerable expertise in the operation of salt water vessels, we have a limited capability in the field of river transportation.

I will be pleased to meet with you further and to provide any additional information that you may require.

Sincerely,

George W. Easley
Commissioner

GE/eb/db



JUNEAU ALASKA

Alaska State Legislature
House

February 22, 1973

Dear Representatives Fritz and Bradner:

The House Finance Committee will be considering HOUSE BILL NO. 27, An Act providing for the issuance of GO bonds in the amount of \$2,400,000 for the purpose of paying the cost of designing, constructing, acquiring and equipping a Yukon River ferry (The River Road), on Friday, February 23, 1973 at 8:00 a.m., in Room 421 of the Capitol Building. You are invited to attend and participate in the discussion.

Sincerely,

HOUSE FINANCE COMMITTEE

Earl D. Hillstrand
Chairman



RECORDS CERTIFICATION



I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James O. Smith
Signature of Camera Operator

4/26/89
Date

"An Act providing for the inclusion of a cost-of-living factor in the computation of state aid under the Public School Foundation program; and providing for an effective date."

COMMITTEE REPORT

2-20-73

HOUSE

Mr. Speaker:

Date Mar. 6 1973

The Committee on FINANCE has had HB 29

under consideration. A Majority of the members of the Committee

() recommends it DO PASS

() recommends it DO NOT PASS

() recommends it DO PASS WITH ATTACHED AMENDMENT(S)

(X) recommends it BE REPLACED WITH CS FOR HB 29 AND THAT

CS FOR HB 29 DO PASS

() "and" recommends it BE REFERRED TO THE _____

COMMITTEE

() reports it back WITHOUT RECOMMENDATION

() "other"

Members signing the Majority report:

_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

Members NOT concurring in the Majority report:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ Chairman

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY ELIASON

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 29

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 EIGHTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act providing for the inclusion of a cost-of-
7 living factor in the computation of state aid under
8 the Public School Foundation Program; and providing
9 for an effective date."

10 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

11 * Section 1. AS 14.17.021(a) is amended to read:

12 (a) The amount of state aid for which each school district may
13 qualify is calculated by multiplying the basic need as defined in (b)
14 of this section by the equalized percentage as defined in (c) of this
15 section, then multiplying the product of (b) and (c) by the cost-of-
16 living factor as defined in (d) of this section.

17 * Sec. 2. AS 14.17.021 is amended by adding a new subsection to read:

18 (d) By October 30 of the pre-fiscal year, the Institute of Social,
19 Economic and Government Research of the University of Alaska, by employ-
20 ing Bureau of Labor Statistics, United States Department of Labor,
21 methodology, shall conduct a cost-of-living survey for the state. This
22 survey shall reflect the increase or decrease in the cost-of-living in
23 the state by employing 1970 as the base year established by the Bureau
24 of Labor Statistics for Anchorage, Alaska. The survey shall be employed
25 by the department as the exclusive guide for establishing the cost-of-
26 living factor to be included in the computation of the amount of state
27 aid for which a school district may qualify under (a) of this section.

28 * Sec. 3. This Act takes effect July 1, 1973.
29

Original sponsor: Eliason, Warwick
Randolph et al

Offered: 2/20/73
Referred: Finance

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY THE HEALTH, EDUCATION AND
SOCIAL SERVICES COMMITTEE

2 CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 29

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 EIGHTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to the computation and allocation of
7 stat aid under the public school foundation program;
8 and providing for an effective date."

9 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

10 * Section 1. AS 14.17.021(a) is amended to read:

11 (a) The amount of state aid for which each school district may
12 qualify is calculated by multiplying the basic need as defined in (b)
13 of this section by the equalized percentage as defined in (c) of this
14 section, then multiplying the product of (b) and (c) by the cost-of-
15 living factor as defined in (d) of this section.

16 * Sec. 2. AS 14.17.021 is amended by adding a new subsection to read:

17 (d) The value of the base instructional unit allotment shall be
18 determined by annual reference to the most recent published figure for
19 the Consumer Price Index for the Anchorage Metropolitan Area determined
20 by the United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.
21 The adjusted value of the instructional unit for each succeeding fiscal
22 year shall be in the same ratio to the 1970 value of the base instruc-
23 tional unit as the most recent Consumer Price Index is to the October,
24 1970 figure.

25 * Sec. 3. AS 14.17.051 is repealed and re-enacted to read:

26 Sec. 14.17.051. INSTRUCTIONAL UNIT ALLOTMENT. (a) The instruc-
27 tional unit allotment for each school district is as follows:

28 (1) if the school district is in that part of the state
29 lying within the boundaries of election district 1, 7, or 8, the

1 district shall receive the base instructional unit allotment;

2 (2) if the school district is in that part of the state
3 lying within the boundaries of election district 2 or 9, the district
4 shall receive 103.8 per cent of the base allotment;

5 (3) if the school district is in that part of the state
6 lying within the boundaries of election district 3, 4, 5-E, or 16-S,
7 the district shall receive 107.5 per cent of the base allotment;

8 (4) if the school district is in that part of the state
9 lying within the boundaries of election district 10 or 11, the district
10 shall receive 111.5 per cent of the base allotment;

11 (5) if the school district is in that part of the state
12 lying within the boundaries of election district 6 or 15 (Nenana only),
13 the district shall receive 115.8 per cent of the base allotment;

14 (6) if the school district is in that part of the state
15 lying within the boundaries of election district 5-W or 12, the dis-
16 trict shall receive 120 per cent of the base allotment;

17 (7) if the school district is in that part of the state
18 lying within the boundaries of election district 18, the district shall
19 receive 124.7 per cent of the base allotment;

20 (8) if the school district is in that part of the state
21 lying within the boundaries of election district 13 or 15 (except
22 Nenana), the district shall receive 129.4 per cent of the base allot-
23 ment;

24 (9) if the school district is in that part of the state
25 lying within the boundaries of election district 17, the district shall
26 receive 134.2 per cent of the base allotment; and

27 (10) if the school district is in that part of the state
28 lying within the boundaries of election district 14, 16-N, or 19, the
29 district shall receive 139.3 per cent of the base allotment.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

(b) The election districts used in (a) of this section are those designated by the Proclamation of Reapportionment and Redistricting of December 7, 1961, and retained for the house of representatives by proclamation of the governor September 3, 1965.

* Sec. 4. AS 14.17.056 is amended to read:

Sec. 14.17.056. BASE INSTRUCTIONAL UNIT. Base instructional unit is \$20,300 [\$19,250].

* Sec. 5. This Act takes effect July 1, 1973.



JUNEAU ALASKA

Alaska State Legislature
House

FINANCE COMMITTEE BILL ASSIGNMENT

TO: Rep. Ose DATE: February 20, 1973

FROM: Earl D. Hillstrand
Chairman
House Finance Committee

BILL NO.: HOUSE BILL 29

TITLE: "An Act providing for the inclusion of a cost-of-living factor in the computation of state aid under the Public School Foundation program; and providing for an effective date."

COMMENTS: This bill has been referred to you for your review and research and eventual presentation to the committee for their consideration.

The Legislature of the State of Alaska
 FISCAL NOTE
 First Session - Eighth Legislature

HB 29
 Ch 88

I. REQUEST

Bill Identification: CS HB #29
 Title: Public School Foundation Cost of Living
 Requested by: Legislative Finance Date: 2/20/73
 Return Date Requested: 2/28/73
 Agency: Education Program: Pre-Elem-Secondary

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Budget Request Unit(s) Affected: Financial Support Programs

A. EXPENDITURES: (Thousands of dollars)

OBJECT	FY 73	FY 74	FY 75	FY 76	FY 77	FY 78
100 PERSONAL SERVICES						
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL						
400 COMMODITIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.		6,400.0				
TOTAL		6,400.0				

B. FUNDING: (Thousands of dollars)

GENERAL FUND		6,400.0				
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER						

C. POSITIONS:

PERMANENT/TEMPORARY	/	/	/	/	/	/
MAN MONTHS (P./T.)	/	/	/	/	/	/

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

Estimated cost for FY 74 under CS HB 29 \$80,900,000
 Estimated cost for FY 74 under present law 74,500,000
 Difference \$ 6,400,000

IV. ATTACHMENTS

Note: Legislative Finance--\$1,000,000 is funded under New Legislation; the balance is included under the Foundation Support Education Category of the FY 74 General Appropriations Act.

V. DATE: 3/1/73

PREPARED BY:

Matthew Cole

Original: Legislative Finance
 cc: Budget and Management
 Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)



RECORDS



CERTIFICATION

I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James O. Smith
Signature of Camera Operator

4/26/89
Date

COMMITTEE REPORT

Ref: 3/19/73

SENATE

Mr. President:

Date 3/26/73

The Committee on Finance has had CSHB 29 (Fin)
(public school foundation program)

under consideration. A Majority of the members of the Committee

- recommends it DO PASS
- recommends it DO NOT PASS
- recommends it DO PASS WITH ATTACHED AMENDMENT(S)
- recommends it BE REPLACED WITH CS FOR _____ AND THAT
CS FOR _____ DO PASS
- "and" recommends it BE REFERRED TO THE _____
COMMITTEE
- reports it back WITHOUT RECOMMENDATION
- "other"

Members signing the Majority report:

[Signature] _____
[Signature] _____
[Signature] _____
[Signature] _____

Members NOT concurring in the Majority report:

[Signature] recommends: [Handwritten]
[Signature] recommends: [Handwritten]
 _____ recommends:
 _____ recommends:
 _____ recommends:

[Signature] Chairman

Original sponsor: Eliason, Warwick,
Randolph, et al

Offered: 3/15/73
Referred: Rules

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY THE FINANCE COMMITTEE

2 CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 29

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 EIGHTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to the public school foundation pro-
7 gram; and providing for an effective date."

8 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

9 * Section 1. AS 14.17.056 is amended to read:

10 Sec. 14.17.056. BASE INSTRUCTIONAL UNIT. The base instructional
11 unit is \$20,000 [\$19,250].

12 * Sec. 2. This Act takes effect July 1, 1973.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29