

Leg. Finance - Finance Comte Files (1971-72) 8879

SCR 24, 36, 31, SB 6, 10, SCR 28, SB 30, 42 ~~55~~



RECORDS CERTIFICATION



I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James D. Smith
Signature of Camera Operator

4/4/89
Date

Introduced: 3/17/72
Referred: State Affairs

BY THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT
COMMITTEE BY REQUEST

1 IN THE SENATE

2 SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION NO. 24

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 SEVENTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 Relating to the upgrading and main-
6 tenance of the road from the Steese
7 Highway to Circle Hot Springs.

8 BE IT RESOLVED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

9 WHEREAS the Circle Hot Springs area is continually attracting more
10 permanent residents and many other persons travel from distant locations
11 to obtain supplies at Circle Hot Springs; and

12 WHEREAS the Circle Hot Springs area is rapidly becoming one of the
13 major tourist attractions available in Interior Alaska; and

14 WHEREAS the Bureau of Land Management officially encourages use of
15 various recreation areas in the vicinity of Circle Hot Springs; and

16 WHEREAS Alaskans and tourists alike are often prevented from enjoying
17 the scenic wonders and facilities of the area due to the deplorable and
18 sometimes impassable condition of the road from the Steese Highway to
19 Circle Hot Springs, especially during breakup periods; and

20 WHEREAS lack of continued maintenance of this eight-mile stretch of
21 road not only causes uncalled for hardship and frustrations for Alaskans
22 and visitors alike, but courts economic failure for persons and businesses
23 that must rely on a short tourist season for their livelihood;

24 BE IT RESOLVED by the Alaska Legislature that the Governor is respect-
25 fully requested to direct the Commissioner of Highways to take immediate
26 action to upgrade the eight-mile stretch of existing road from the Steese
27 Highway to Circle Hot Springs and to maintain that road on a continuing
28 basis, especially during the spring breakup period.

29 COMMITTEE COPY

ALASKA STATE LEGISLATURE

7th Legislature SECOND Session

SENATE CONCR. RESOL. NO. 24

By THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE BY REQUEST

Relating to the upgrading and maintenance of the road from the Steese Highway to Circle Hot Springs.

(upgrading & maint. road Steese hwy Circle Hot Springs)

Introduced in the Senate 3/17, 19 72

HISTORY IN THE SENATE

19 72

3 17

Read first time and referred to Committee on State Affairs

4 15

Reported back with recommendation that *Report*
7/24/72

4 23

Read second time and *Report*
7/24/72

Read third time and

4 24

PASS : Yeas
: Nays
: Absent
: Excused

Effective Date

PASS : Yeas
: Nays
: Absent
: Excused

Reported correctly engrossed
Signed by President
Sent to House

SECRETARY OF THE SENATE

HISTORY IN THE HOUSE

19 72

Read first time and referred to Committee on

Reported back with recommendation that

Read second time and

Read third time and

PASS : Yeas
: Nays
: Absent
: Excused

Effective Date

PASS : Yeas
: Nays
: Absent
: Excused

Reported correctly engrossed
Signed by Speaker
Returned to Senate

CHIEF CLERK OF THE HOUSE

HISTORY IN THE SENATE

19 72

Received from House

Reported correctly enrolled

Sent to Governor

By Governor

Filed with Secy. State

Chapter No.

Relating to the upgrading and maintenance of the road from the Steese Highway to Circle Hot Springs

Committee Report

H O U S E O F R E P R E S E N T A T I V E S

4/19/72

_____ Date

Mr. Speaker

The Committee on FINANCE has had SCR 24 am under consideration. A majority of the members of the Committee

- recommends it do pass
- recommends it do not pass
- recommends it do pass with attached amendment(s)
- recommends it be replaced with CS for _____ and that CS for _____ do pass
- (and) recommends it be referred to the _____ committee
- reports it back without recommendation
- (other) _____

MEMBERS SIGNING THE MAJORITY REPORT:

_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

MEMBERS NOT CONCURRING IN THE MAJORITY REPORT:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ CHAIRMAN

Committee Report

FINANCE

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

4/13/72

April 18, 1972 Date

Mr. Speaker

The Committee on STATE AFFAIRS has had SCR NO. 24 amended under consideration. A majority of the members of the Committee

- recommends it do pass
recommends it do not pass
recommends it do pass with attached amendment(s)
recommends it be replaced with CS for and that CS for do pass
(and) recommends it be referred to the committee
reports it back without recommendation
(other)

MEMBERS SIGNING THE MAJORITY REPORT:

Handwritten signatures of committee members including Helen M. Fischer, John H. Green, and others.

MEMBERS NOT CONCURRING IN THE MAJORITY REPORT:

- recommends:
recommends:
recommends:
recommends:
recommends:

Helen M. Fischer, Vice Chm CHAIRMAN

The Legislature of the State of Alaska
 FISCAL NOTE
 Second Session - Seventh State Legislature

I. REQUEST

Bill Identification: SCR: 24 "Relating to the upgrading
 Title: and maintenance of the road from Steese..."
 Requested by: Legislative Finance Date: APRIL 20, 1972
 Return Date Requested: APRIL 28, 1972
 Agency: DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS Program: _____

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Budget Request Unit(s) Affected: _____

A. EXPENDITURES: (Thousands of dollars)

OBJECT	FY 72	FY 73	FY 74	FY 75	FY 76	FY 77
100 PERSONAL SERVICES		35,000				
200 TRAVEL & Subsistence		7,000				
300 CONTRACTUAL - Equip. Rental		43,000				
400 COMMODITIES		15,000				
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.						
TOTAL		100,000				

B. FUNDING: (Thousands of dollars)

GENERAL FUND						
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER						

C. POSITIONS:

PERMANENT/TEMPORARY	/	1 / 8	/	/	/	/
MAN MONTHS (P./T.)	/	1 / 24	/	/	/	/

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

This roadway is presently in 5-year program for preliminary engineering in fiscal 1976. The above work is over and above funds in fiscal 1973 Interior District budget.

IV. ATTACHMENTS

V. DATE: April 26, 1972

PREPARED BY: T. A. Johnson
 Administrative Director

Original: Legislative Finance
 cc: Budget and Management
 Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

Introduced: 3/17/72
Referred: State Affairs

1 IN THE SENATE

BY THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT
COMMITTEE BY REQUEST

2 SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION NO. 24

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 SEVENTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 Relating to the upgrading and main-
6 tenance of the road from the Steese
7 Highway to Circle Hot Springs.

8 BE IT RESOLVED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

9 WHEREAS the Circle Hot Springs area is continually attracting more
10 permanent residents and many other persons travel from distant locations
11 to obtain supplies at Circle Hot Springs; and

12 WHEREAS the Circle Hot Springs area is rapidly becoming one of the
13 major tourist attractions available in Interior Alaska; and

14 WHEREAS the Bureau of Land Management officially encourages use of
15 various recreation areas in the vicinity of Circle Hot Springs; and

16 WHEREAS Alaskans and tourists alike are often prevented from enjoying
17 the scenic wonders and facilities of the area due to the deplorable and
18 sometimes impassable condition of the road from the Steese Highway to
19 Circle Hot Springs, especially during breakup periods; and

20 WHEREAS lack of continued maintenance of this eight-mile stretch of
21 road not only causes uncalled for hardship and frustrations for Alaskans
22 and visitors alike, but courts economic failure for persons and businesses
23 that must rely on a short tourist season for their livelihood;

24 BE IT RESOLVED by the Alaska Legislature that the Governor is respect-
25 fully requested to direct the Commissioner of Highways to take immediate
26 action to upgrade the eight-mile stretch of existing road from the Steese
27 Highway to Circle Hot Springs and to maintain that road on a continuing,
28 matter-of-course basis, especially during the spring breakup period.

29



RECORDS CERTIFICATION



I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James O. Smith
Signature of Camera Operator

4/4/89
Date

4/29/72

Committee Report

H O U S E O F R E P R E S E N T A T I V E S

_____ Date

Mr. Speaker

The Committee on FINANCE has had SCR 36
under consideration. A majority of the members of the Committee

- recommends it do pass
- recommends it do not pass
- recommends it do pass with attached amendment(s)
- recommends it be replaced with CS for _____ and that
CS for _____ do pass
- (and) recommends it be referred to the _____
committee
- reports it back without recommendation
- (other) _____

MEMBERS SIGNING THE MAJORITY REPORT:

_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

MEMBERS NOT CONCURRING IN THE MAJORITY REPORT:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ CHAIRMAN

36
8A

Introduced: 4/24/72
Referred: State Affairs

BY THE RULES COMMITTEE
BY REQUEST

1 IN THE SENATE

2 SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION NO. 36

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 SEVENTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 Relating to the construction of
6 bush airfield facilities.

7 BE IT RESOLVED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

8 WHEREAS it is the desire of the legislature to provide at least minimal
9 airfields in all communities of Alaska having no other means of transporta-
10 tion during large portions of the year; and

11 WHEREAS some communities, though large enough to qualify for school
12 facilities, do not have any airfield facilities whatsoever; and

13 WHEREAS the legislature intends that communities with, or which qualify
14 for, school facilities and which do not have airfields of any sort be given
15 the highest priority for available money before money is spent to improve
16 existing airfield facilities; and

17 WHEREAS there may be additional bond money available for bush airfield
18 construction in the near future;

19 BE IT RESOLVED by the Alaska Legislature that if additional money is
20 approved by the voters in the 1972 general election for bush airfields,
21 that the governor is respectfully requested to direct the Department of
22 Public Works to give those communities which have school facilities but do
23 not have existing airport facilities the high priority in the programming
24 and construction of any bush airfields.

29

COMMITTEE COPY

ALASKA STATE LEGISLATURE

7th Legislature SECOND Session

SENATE CONCR RESOL NO. 35

By THE RULES COMMITTEE BY REQUEST

Relating to the construction of bush airfield facilities.

(bush airfield facilities)

Introduced in the Senate 4/24/ 1972

HISTORY IN THE SENATE

1972

4 24 State Affairs

Read first time and referred to Committee on

Reported back with recommendation that

Read second time and

Read third time and

PASS : Yeas Nays Absent Excused

Effective Date

PASS : Yeas Nays Absent Excused

Reported correctly engrossed Signed by President Sent to House

SECRETARY OF THE SENATE

HISTORY IN THE HOUSE

19

Read first time and referred to Committee on

Reported back with recommendation that

Read second time and

Read third time and

PASS : Yeas Nays Absent Excused

Effective Date

PASS : Yeas Nays Absent Excused

Reported correctly engrossed Signed by Speaker Returned to Senate

CHIEF CLERK OF THE HOUSE

HISTORY IN THE SENATE

19

Received from House

Reported correctly enrolled

Sent to Governor

By Governor

Filed with Secy. State

Chapter No.

Introduced: 4/24/72
Referred: State Affairs

1 IN THE SENATE

BY THE RULES COMMITTEE
BY REQUEST

2 SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION NO. 36

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 SEVENTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 Relating to the construction of
6 bush airfield facilities.

7 BE IT RESOLVED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

8 WHEREAS it is the desire of the legislature to provide at least minimal
9 airfields in all communities of Alaska having no other means of transporta-
10 tion during large portions of the year; and

11 WHEREAS some communities, though large enough to qualify for school
12 facilities, do not have any airfield facilities whatsoever; and

13 WHEREAS the legislature intends that communities with, or which qualify
14 for, school facilities and which do not have airfields of any sort be given
15 the highest priority for available money before money is spent to improve
16 existing airfield facilities; and

17 WHEREAS there may be additional bond money available for bush airfield
18 construction in the near future;

19 BE IT RESOLVED by the Alaska Legislature that if additional money is
20 approved by the voters in the 1972 general election for bush airfields,
21 that the governor is respectfully requested to direct the Department of
22 Public Works to give those communities which have school facilities but do
23 not have existing airport facilities the high priority in the programming
24 and construction of any bush airfields.

25

26

27

28

29



RECORDS CERTIFICATION

I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James D. Smith
Signature of Camera Operator

4/4/89
Date

Introduced: 4/5/72
Referred: State Affairs

1 IN THE SENATE

BY THE RULES COMMITTEE BY REQUEST

2 SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION NO. 31

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 SEVENTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 Relating to a study of certain
6 aspects of the state employees
7 retirement system.

8 BE IT RESOLVED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

9 WHEREAS, under the current state employees retirement system, peace
10 officers and firemen receive preferential retirement benefits on the basis
11 that they are engaged in "hazardous duty"; and

12 WHEREAS this group of state employees other than Fish and Game enforce-
13 ment personnel has not suffered a single job-related death since statehood; and

14 WHEREAS employees of certain other state departments experience a
15 substantial on-the-job mortality rate; and

16 WHEREAS this basic fact would seem to indicate some rudimentary in-
17 equities in the present retirement system;

18 BE IT RESOLVED by the Alaska Legislature that the Legislative Council
19 is requested to study and evaluate the preferential retirement provisions
20 now applicable to peace officers and firemen in relation to other groups of
21 state employees; and be it

22 FURTHER RESOLVED that the Legislative Council submit its report,
23 together with its recommended changes in the persons to be included in the
24 "hazardous duty" category, if any, to the First Session of the Eighth Legis-
25 lature.
26
27
28
29

CORRECTION

**THIS DOCUMENT
HAS BEEN REPHOTOGRAPHED
TO ASSURE LEGIBILITY**

Introduced: 4/5/72
Referred: State Affairs

1 IN THE SENATE

BY THE RULES COMMITTEE BY REQUEST

2 SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION NO. 31

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 SEVENTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 Relating to a study of certain
6 aspects of the state employees
7 retirement system.

8 BE IT RESOLVED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

9 WHEREAS, under the current state employees retirement system, peace
10 officers and firemen receive preferential retirement benefits on the basis
11 that they are engaged in "hazardous duty"; and

12 WHEREAS this group of state employees other than Fish and Game enforce-
13 ment personnel has not suffered a single job-related death since statehood; and

14 WHEREAS employees of certain other state departments experience a
15 substantial on-the-job mortality rate; and

16 WHEREAS this basic fact would seem to indicate some rudimentary in-
17 equities in the present retirement system;

18 BE IT RESOLVED by the Alaska Legislature that the Legislative Council
19 is requested to study and evaluate the preferential retirement provisions
20 now applicable to peace officers and firemen in relation to other groups of
21 state employees; and be it

22 FURTHER RESOLVED that the Legislative Council submit its report,
23 together with its recommended changes in the persons to be included in the
24 "hazardous duty" category, if any, to the First Session of the Eighth Legis-
25 lature.

ALASKA STATE LEGISLATURE

7th Legislature SECOND Session

SENATE CONCR. RESOL. NO. 31

By THE RULES COMMITTEE BY REQUEST

Relating to a study of certain aspects of the state employees retirement system.

(state employees retirement study)

Introduced in the Senate 4/5 19 72

HISTORY IN THE SENATE

1972

4 5

Read first time and referred to Committee on State Affairs

Reported back with recommendation that

Read second time and

Read third time and

PASS : Yeas Nays Absent Excused

Effective Date

PASS : Yeas Nays Absent Excused

Reported correctly engrossed Signed by President Sent to House

SECRETARY OF THE SENATE

HISTORY IN THE HOUSE

19

Read first time and referred to Committee on

Reported back with recommendation that

Read second time and

Read third time and

PASS : Yeas Nays Absent Excused

Effective Date

PASS : Yeas Nays Absent Excused

Reported correctly engrossed Signed by Speaker Returned to Senate

CHIEF CLERK OF THE HOUSE

HISTORY IN THE SENATE

19

Received from House

Reported correctly enrolled

Sent to Governor

By Governor

Filed with Secy. State

Chapter No.

Relating to a study of certain aspects of the state employees retirement system.

Committee Report

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

4/20/72

_____ Date

Mr. Speaker

The Committee on FINANCE has had SCR 31 am under consideration. A majority of the members of the Committee

- recommends it do pass
- recommends it do not pass
- recommends it do pass with attached amendment(s)
- recommends it be replaced with CS for _____ and that CS for _____ do pass
- (and) recommends it be referred to the _____ committee
- reports it back without recommendation
- (other) _____

MEMBERS SIGNING THE MAJORITY REPORT:

_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

MEMBERS NOT CONCURRING IN THE MAJORITY REPORT:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ CHAIRMAN

Committee Report

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES **FINANCE**

4/14/72

4/20/72

Date

Mr. Speaker

The Committee on RESOURCES has had SCR NO. 31 amended under consideration. A majority of the members of the Committee

- recommends it do pass
- recommends it do not pass
- recommends it do pass with attached amendment(s)
- recommends it be replaced with CS for _____ and that CS for _____ do pass
- (and) recommends it be referred to the _____ committee
- reports it back without recommendation
- (other) _____

MEMBERS SIGNING THE MAJORITY REPORT:

Mark Swell Edward J. [unclear]

Joe Mc Gill John [unclear]

Don H. [unclear] E. J. [unclear]

Leslie E. Swanson [unclear]

MEMBERS NOT CONCURRING IN THE MAJORITY REPORT:

- _____ recommends:
- _____ recommends:
- _____ recommends:
- _____ recommends:
- _____ recommends:

Mark Swell
CHAIRMAN

STATE OF ALASKA
THE LEGISLATURE
LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS AGENCY

POUCH Y - STATE CAPITOL
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99801

April 26, 1972

M E M O R A N D U M

TO : Division of Legislative Finance
FROM : John M. Elliott, Executive Director
SUBJECT: SCR 31

In response to your request for information relating to the fiscal implications of SCR 31, it is my opinion that no additional costs would be incurred, nor additional money needed in this agency's fiscal 1972-73 budget to accomplish the objective of SCR 31.

JME:hg

Introduced: 4/5/72
Referred: State Affairs

1 IN THE SENATE BY THE RULES COMMITTEE BY REQUEST

2 SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION NO. 31

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 SEVENTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 Relating to a study of certain
6 aspects of the state employees
7 retirement system.

8 BE IT RESOLVED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

9 WHEREAS, under the current state employees retirement system, peace
10 officers and firemen receive preferential retirement benefits on the basis
11 that they are engaged in "hazardous duty"; and

12 WHEREAS this group of state employees other than Fish and Game enforce-
13 ment personnel has not suffered a single job-related death since statehood; and

14 WHEREAS employees of certain other state departments experience a
15 substantial on-the-job mortality rate; and

16 WHEREAS this basic fact would seem to indicate some rudimentary in-
17 equities in the present retirement system;

18 BE IT RESOLVED by the Alaska Legislature that the Legislative Council
19 is requested to study and evaluate the preferential retirement provisions
20 now applicable to peace officers and firemen in relation to other groups of
21 state employees; and be it

22 FURTHER RESOLVED that the Legislative Council submit its report,
23 together with its recommended changes in the persons to be included in the
24 "hazardous duty" category, if any, to the First Session of the Eighth Legis-
25 lature.

26
27
28
29

Introduced: 4/5/72
Referred: State Affairs

1 IN THE SENATE

BY THE RULES COMMITTEE BY REQUEST

2 SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION NO. 31

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 SEVENTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 Relating to a study of certain
6 aspects of the state employees
7 retirement system.

8 BE IT RESOLVED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

9 WHEREAS, under the current state employees retirement system, peace
10 officers and firemen receive preferential retirement benefits on the basis
11 that they are engaged in "hazardous duty"; and

12 WHEREAS this group of employees has not suffered a single job-related
13 death since statehood; and

14 WHEREAS employees of certain other state departments experience a
15 substantial on-the-job mortality rate; and

16 WHEREAS this basic fact would seem to indicate some rudimentary in-
17 equities in the present retirement system;

18 BE IT RESOLVED by the Alaska Legislature that the Legislative Council
19 is requested to study and evaluate the preferential retirement provisions
20 now applicable to peace officers and firemen in relation to other groups of
21 state employees; and be it

22 FURTHER RESOLVED that the Legislative Council submit its report,
23 together with its recommended changes in the persons to be included in the
24 "hazardous duty" category, if any, to the First Session of the Eighth Legis-
25 lature.



RECORDS



CERTIFICATION

I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James D. Smith
Signature of Camera Operator

4/4/89
Date

Committee Report

S E N A T E

_____ Date

Mr. President:

The Committee on _____ has had _____
under consideration. A majority of the members of the Committee

- recommends it do pass
- recommends it do not pass
- recommends it do pass with attached amendment(s)
- recommends it be replaced with CS for _____ and that
CS for _____ do pass
- (and) recommends it be referred to the _____
committee
- reports it back without recommendation
- (other) _____

MEMBERS SIGNING THE MAJORITY REPORT:

MEMBERS NOT CONCURRING IN THE MAJORITY REPORT:

_____ recommends:
_____ recommends:
_____ recommends:
_____ recommends:
_____ recommends:

CHAIRMAN

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

IN THE SENATE

RULES COMMITTEE BY REQUEST OF THE
LEGISLATIVE AUDIT COMMITTEE

SENATE BILL NO. 6

IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA
SEVENTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

A BILL

For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to the introduction of bills."

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

* Section 1. AS 24.30.060(b) is amended to read:

(b) Bills introduced by the legislative council shall be delivered with a letter of explanation to the rules committee of either house and bear the inscription "Rules Committee by Request of the Legislative Council"; bills introduced by the legislative audit committee shall be delivered with a letter of explanation to the rules committee of either house and bear the inscription "Rules Committee by Request of the Legislative Audit Committee." Bills presented by the governor shall be delivered with a letter to the rules committee of either house and bear the inscription "Rules Committee by Request of the Governor." Bills so presented and inscribed shall be received as bills carrying the approval of the governor as to policy and budget impact. The governor [OR EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL] may submit a statement of purpose and effect with each bill and appear personally or through a representative before any committee considering legislation.



RECORDS CERTIFICATION



I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James D. Smith
Signature of Camera Operator

4/4/89
Date

Committee Report

S E N A T E

_____ Date

Mr. President:

The Committee on _____ has had _____
under consideration. A majority of the members of the Committee

- recommends it do pass
- recommends it do not pass
- recommends it do pass with attached amendment(s)
- recommends it be replaced with CS for _____ and that
CS for _____ do pass
- (and) recommends it be referred to the _____
committee
- reports it back without recommendation
- (other) _____

MEMBERS SIGNING THE MAJORITY REPORT:

MEMBERS NOT CONCURRING IN THE MAJORITY REPORT:

_____ recommends:
_____ recommends:
_____ recommends:
_____ recommends:
_____ recommends:

CHAIRMAN

MEMORANDUM

State of Alaska

TO: Honorable C. R. Lewis
State Senate

DATE : February 9, 1971

FROM: *Irene E. Ryan*
Irene E. Ryan, Commissioner
Department of Economic Development

SUBJECT: Kodiak Slaughterhouse

The attached recapitulation of events pertaining to the Kodiak Slaughter facility indicates that the \$125,000 capital improvement appropriation should have been made to the Department of Natural Resources.

As mentioned in the summary paragraph of the attached memorandum, the time limitations placed upon the project by the Federal Wholesome Meat Act required that the facility be completed prior to the upcoming slaughtering period.

STATE OF ALASKA

WILLIAM A. EGAN, Governor

DEPARTMENT OF LAW

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

POUCH K, STATE CAPITOL — JUNEAU 99801

February 11, 1971

Senator C.R. Lewis
Alaska State Senate
Pouch V, Capitol Building
Juneau, Alaska 99801

Dear Senator Lewis:


Attorney General John Havelock has asked that I respond to your letter of February 9, 1971 regarding Senate Bill No. 10.

It is our office's understanding that the funds in question were previously appropriated by the legislature to the Department of Economic Development. The departments involved analyzed the situation and determined that it was more appropriate that these funds be transferred to the Department of Natural Resources, Agricultural Revolving Loan Fund. Our office was advised of this anticipated transfer during the calendar year 1970. We advised the departments that such inter-departmental transfer was improper.

The Department of Economic Development is desirous of making this transfer, as the Department of Natural Resources, Agricultural Revolving Loan Fund is in fact more directly involved in such matters. Because of the expertise within the Department of Natural Resources it would appear that this department is in a better position to administer this fund.

Very truly yours,

JOHN E. HAVELOCK
ATTORNEY GENERAL

By 
Ray M. Harding
Assistant Attorney General

JEH:RMH:dw

MEMORANDUM

State of Alaska

TO:

Chuck Herbert, Commissioner
Department of Natural Resources

DATE : January 26, 1971

FROM: Irene E. Ryan, Commissioner
Department of Economic Development

SUBJECT: Kodiak Slaughterhouse

The following is a chronological listing of circumstances pertaining to the Kodiak Slaughterhouse facility:

- 3-25-70 Kodiak Stockgrowers Association met with members of Senate and House concerning the proposed project. Mr. Ray Manning, Legislative Council, drafted proposal for inclusion of funds in the Department's FY 1970-71 appropriation.
- 4-1-70 Department conducted economic feasibility study and cash flow analysis.
- 4-28-70 Department forwarded memorandum to Governor's Office outlining the need for the new facility and recommending that the State complete the project and enter into a lease-purchase agreement with the ranchers. The disposition of the requested appropriation was to be discussed.
- 5-6-70 Letter from Governor Miller to House and Senate Finance Committees calling for the appropriation of \$125,000 for the stated purpose.
- 6-19-70 Notice of FY 1970-71 appropriation of \$125,000 to the Capital Improvements program for the Department was forwarded to Division of Buildings. *Alain*
- 7-13-70 Memorandum from T. E. Kelly to E. W. Bunes suggested transferring the appropriation to the Agricultural Revolving Loan Fund which would change the State's involvement from one of ownership and lessor of the project to one of loaning the appropriation to the Stockgrowers' Association.
- 7-14-70 It was determined that the Senate had not recorded minutes of the subject discussion; the House Committee did not discuss the project; Budget & Management had no mention of legislative intent; the Governor's Office had no additional stipulations for the appropriation.

MEMORANDUM: Chuck Herbert
January 26, 1971
Page Two

- 7-22-70 Borough of Kodiak executed quitclaim deed for the land upon which the project was to be completed, transferring title to the State.
- 8-12-70 Hubbard visited slaughterhouse site and returned to Anchorage to recommend that the appropriation be made available to the Loan Fund within the Department of Natural Resources.
- 9-8-70 Kodiak Livestock Co-op (name changed from Kodiak Stockgrowers Association) contracted with Lewis J. Beaty to supervise all activities pertaining to the erection of the slaughterhouse.
- 10-14-70 E. W. Bunes advised T. E. Kelly that it would be administratively impossible to transfer the \$125,000 Capital Improvement appropriation to Natural Resources. Inasmuch as the State was to own and lease the facility there would be three sequential steps which must be taken in order to culminate the project.
- 10-21-70 Department of Public Works was notified of the above situation and that it would be necessary for the facility to be constructed under the supervision and at the direction of the State.
- 11-3-70 The National Bank of Alaska, Kodiak, was advised that the State would subsequently contract with Beaty for the construction of the facility and was assured that financing costs incurred because of State caused delays would be paid by the State.
- 11-?-70 A meeting with Public Works, Natural Resources and Economic Development deemed that the purpose and intent of the appropriation dictated that the project proceed expeditiously and with all due process. It was suggested and affirmed that the Agricultural Loan Fund Board consider the project with the knowledge that the Legislature would be requested to transfer the \$125,000 appropriation from Economic Development to reimburse the Loan Fund. Certain members of the Legislature affirmed this proposal and an enabling bill was pre-filed.

In summary, the appropriation was made to the Department with the intent that Public Works would administer the project. Due to the time limitations dictated by the Federal Wholesome Meat Act, the project was commenced by the contractor using his own capital and resources. Inability to transfer a capital improvement appropriation between departments resulted in the suggestion that the Agricultural Loan Fund be utilized as an interim method of financing the project. Fund stipulation calling for first deed of trust for loan security has halted action.

The purpose and intent of the appropriation was to provide an approved slaughter facility to serve the cattle industry of Alaska, particularly on Kodiak and adjacent islands. Keeping this in mind, I am confident that acceptable fiscal arrangements can be made.

SENATE BILL 10

This bill simply transfers the \$125,000 which was appropriated last year to the Department of Economic Development for the purpose of building a slaughter house facility in Kodiak to the Agricultural revolving Loan Fund. The reason is that the Department of Economic Development, through the Department of Public Works, could not complete the facility in time to comply with the deadline of the Federal Wholesome Meat Act. So the necessary monies for the project were obtained from the Agricultural Revolving Loan fund. Senator Poland and I assured them that we would introduce this piece of legislation transferring the \$125,000 to the Loan Fund.

In other words, the money that was appropriated for the Kodiak facility is going to the Kodiak facility but via the Agricultural Revolving Loan Fund.

If anyone so desires, I would be happy to go into this deeper.

1 IN THE SENATE

BY PALMER AND POLAND

2 SENATE BILL NO. 10

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 SEVENTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act transferring funds from the Department of
7 Economic Development to the Department of Natural
8 Resources, Agricultural Revolving Loan Fund; and
9 providing for an effective date."

10 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

11 * Section 1. The sum of \$125,000, which was appropriated by ch. 250
12 SLA 1970 to the Department of Economic Development for slaughterhouse
13 facilities at Kodiak, is transferred from the Department of Economic
14 Development to the Department of Natural Resources, Agricultural Revolving
15 Loan Fund.

16 * Sec. 2. This Act takes effect on the day after its passage and approval
17 or on the day it becomes law without approval.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29



RECORDS



CERTIFICATION

I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James D. Smith
Signature of Camera Operator

4/4/89
Date

Committee Report

S E N A T E

_____ Date

Mr. President:

The Committee on _____ has had _____
under consideration. A majority of the members of the Committee

- recommends it do pass
- recommends it do not pass
- recommends it do pass with attached amendment(s)
- recommends it be replaced with CS for _____ and that
CS for _____ do pass
- (and) recommends it be referred to the _____
committee
- reports it back without recommendation
- (other) _____

MEMBERS SIGNING THE MAJORITY REPORT:

_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

MEMBERS NOT CONCURRING IN THE MAJORITY REPORT:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ CHAIRMAN

Introduced: 3/9/71
Referred: Finance

1 IN THE SENATE

BY RAY AND GROH

2 SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION NO. 28

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 SEVENTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

5 Relating to jurors' fees under
6 Rule 17(a), Rules Governing the
7 Administration of all Courts.

8 BE IT RESOLVED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

9 WHEREAS, to promote the fair administration of justice and lessen the
10 burden of jury service on individuals, it is necessary to provide them a
11 reasonably adequate fee; and

12 WHEREAS, with the implementation of 1970 House Concurrent Resolution
13 No. 1, requesting the Alaska Supreme Court to raise jurors' fees from \$10
14 to \$21, the court has found that jury costs have reached too high a level;
15 and

16 WHEREAS Rule 17(a), Rules Governing the Administration of all Courts,
17 sets out the fee for jurors, and art. IV, sec. 15 of the Alaska Constitution
18 distinguishes between these rules and rules governing practice and procedure,
19 and the history of that section indicates that only the latter type may be
20 changed by the legislature;

21 BE IT RESOLVED that the Alaska Supreme Court is urged to amend
22 Rule 17(a), Rules Governing the Administration of all Courts, by reducing
23 to \$10 the fee for persons called for jury service but not actually serving
24 in a trial or in a grand jury inquiry.



RECORDS



CERTIFICATION

I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James D. Smith
Signature of Camera Operator

4/4/89
Date

The Legislature of the State of Alaska
FISCAL NOTE
Second Session - Seventh State Legislature

I. REQUEST

Bill Identification: SB 30
 Title: Act appropriating \$50,000 to Department of Natural Resources
 Requested by: Legislative Finance Date: 1/10/72
 Return Date Requested: 1/18/72
 Agency: Natural Resources Program: Natural Resources

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Budget Request Unit(s) Affected: IV F Nat Res & En Con - Parks & Recreation

A. EXPENDITURES: (Thousands of dollars)

OBJECT	73 FY 72	74 FY 73	75 FY 74	76 FY 75	77 FY 76	78 FY 77
100 PERSONAL SERVICES	(?) 30.0	100.7	(?) 469.0	? 917.0	917.0	917.0
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL	6.0	16.0	32.0	64.0	64.0	64.0
400 COMMODITIES	1.0		(?) 14.0	? 28.0	28.0	28.0
500 EQUIPMENT	13.0	33.0	22.0	25.0	25.0	25.0
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.						
TOTAL	50.0	149.7	? 537.0	? 1,034.0	1,034.0	1,034.0

B. FUNDING: (Thousands of dollars)

GENERAL FUND	50.0	30.0	107.4	206.6	206.8	206.8
FEDERAL FUNDS		119.7	429.6	827.2	827.2	827.2
OTHER						

C. POSITIONS:

PERMANENT/TEMPORARY	(?) 7 / 50	14 / 200	57 / 1000	107 / 2000	107 / 2000	107 / 2000
MAN MONTHS (P./T.)	22 / 150	44 / 600	174 / 3000	324 / 6000	324 / 6000	324 / 6000

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

Assumptions: ACAC enrollees salaries are \$25/week; program lasts 12 weeks.
 Supervisors are available for 14 weeks. S-2454 will pass & provide 80% federal matching assistance in FY 1974.

Program Summary: In FY 1973, 50 enrollees will be used. This will require 5 field supervisors and 2 overhead. See issue analysis attached for detail of program.

(Continues on attached page)

IV. ATTACHMENTS

- ① Issue analysis
- ② *Staff comments; Fiscal Note corrections (revised) RL*

V. DATE: 1/13/72 PREPARED BY: T. G. Smith, Director,
 Division of Parks

Original: Legislative Finance
 cc: Budget and Management
 Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

Analysis: (cont'd)

<u>New Positions</u>	<u>FY 73</u>	<u>FY 74</u>	<u>FY 75</u>	<u>FY 76</u>	<u>Total</u>
10 Park Ranger II	1	1	1	-	3
14 Clerk-Typist III	1	1	1	-	3
Admin. Assist. II <i>From P.</i>	-	-	1	-	1
17 Park Ranger I	5	5	40	50	100
18 Enrollees	50	150	300	1000	2000

1. Organizational structure will be integral with Division of Parks. Each Park Ranger I will have 10 enrollees and will be responsible to a Park Ranger II who will coordinate work programs and be responsible to the District Parks Supervisor.
2. Long range plans are for improvement of the park system, principally in trail development, facility repair, landscaping, etc. Benefits include better maintenance and more facilities in park system and an opportunity for useful work for youth.

STATE OF ALASKA

THE LEGISLATURE

BUDGET AND AUDIT COMMITTEE

AUDIT DIVISION
POUCH W — ALASKA OFFICE BUILDING

FINANCE DIVISION
POUCH WF — STATE CAPITOL

JUNEAU 99801

MEMORANDUM

TO: Rich A. Guthrie
Senate Fiscal Analyst
Legislative Finance

DATE: January 21, 1972

FROM: Milt B. Barker *MBB*
Fiscal Analyst
Legislative Finance

SUBJ: Staff Comments on
Fiscal Note for SB 30

In response to your requests for comments on the Fiscal Note for SB 30, I would like to point out the following:

1. Attached for your consideration is a revised Fiscal Note developed in consultation with Mr. T. G. Smith due to a number of inaccuracies and inconsistencies in his original submission.
2. SB 30 takes effect on the effective date of SB 29. SB 29 does not take effect until Federal funds are appropriated for such a program. Therefore, assuming Federal appropriations are not forthcoming before FY 73, SB 29 must be amended if a program is to be inaugurated in FY 73. This would be at the level shown for FY 73 in the revised Fiscal Note: \$46,400. If Federal appropriations are available before FY 73, Mr. Smith indicates the program would be inaugurated at the level shown for FY 74, requiring a State contribution of \$30,000.
3. Factors relating to the probability of passage of the necessary Federal legislation are election year politics and sponsorship by a Presidential contender, Mr. Smith points out. He feels that appropriations are the greater uncertainty. Yet, once an appropriation is made, it should be sufficient to fund Alaska's program at the contemplated levels.

MBB/db

Attachments

The Legislature of the State of Alaska
FISCAL NOTE
Second Session - Seventh State Legislature

I. REQUEST

Bill Identification: SB 30
 Title: Act Appropriating \$50,000 to the Dept. of Natural Resources
 Requested by: _____ Date: _____
 Return Date Requested: _____
 Agency: Natural Resources Program: Natural Resources

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Budget Request Unit(s) Affected: IV F Nat. Res. & En. Con.-Parks & Rec.

A. EXPENDITURES: (Thousands of dollars)

OBJECT	73 FY 72-	74 FY 73-	75 FY 74-	76 FY 75-	77 FY 76-	78 FY 77-
100 PERSONAL SERVICES	26.4	100.7	361.0	701.0	701.0	701.0
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL	6.0	16.0	32.0	64.0	64.0	64.0
400 COMMODITIES	1.0		148.4	296.8	296.8	296.8
500 EQUIPMENT	13.0	33.0	22.0	25.0	25.0	25.0
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.						
TOTAL	46.4	149.7	563.4	1,086.8	1,086.8	1,086.8

B. FUNDING: (Thousands of dollars)

GENERAL FUND	46.4	30.0	112.7	217.4	217.4	217.4
FEDERAL FUNDS		119.7	450.7	869.4	869.4	869.4
OTHER						

C. POSITIONS:

PERMANENT/TEMPORARY	/57	/226	1/1056	1/2106	1/2106	1/2106
MAN MONTHS (P./T.)	/172	/606	12/2696	12/5346	12/5346	12/5346

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

NEW POSITIONS	FY 73	FY 74	FY 75	FY 76	TOTAL
Park Ranger II	1	2	---	---	3
Clerk-Typist III	1	2	---	---	3
Admin.-Assist. II	---	---	1	---	1
Park Ranger I	5	15	30	50	100
Enrollees	50	150	800	1000	2000

IV. ATTACHMENTS

Further Analysis

V. DATE: 1/21/72 PREPARED BY: Milt Barker
 Fiscal Analyst
 Legislative Finance

Original: Legislative Finance
 cc: Budget and Management
 Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

MEMORANDUM**State of Alaska**DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
DIVISION OF PARKSTO: Harry Aase
Departmental Administrative Officer

DATE : January 4, 1972

FROM:

Theodore G. Smith *TGS*
DirectorSUBJECT: Fiscal Year 73 Issue
Analysis File Reference 1530-5

The following information is provided to supplement the issue analysis of the Youth Conservation Corps which was submitted with our budget request last fall.

Senator Henry M. Jackson has introduced into the Congress S-2454, a bill to amend the Youth Conservation Corps Act of 1970 to expand the Youth Conservation Corps pilot program. The bill makes available for youths in the Youth Conservation Corps program "existing but unoccupied Federal facilities and surplus and/or unused equipment of all types including military facilities and equipment". Additionally, it provides for establishment of a pilot Federal/State cost sharing program and sets the Federal share of any such program at 80%. It requires that the total number of employees of the YCC in State programs shall not exceed 25% nor be less than 10% of the total number of employees. It carries an appropriation authorization of 150 million dollars annually.

STATE
of ALASKA

MEMORANDUM

NATURAL RESOURCES
DIVISION OF PARKS

TO: Harry Aase
Administrative Officer

DATE: September 13, 1971

FROM: Theodore G. Smith

SUBJECT: Issue Analysis
(1530-5)

Attached is our version of an issue analysis, specifically the one concerning the Alaska Conservation Corps. I will be in Juneau on the 20th if there are any questions.

STATE
of ALASKA

MEMORANDUM

Issue Analysis
Alaska Conservation Corps

TO:

Background: The last two sessions of the legislature have considered bills to establish an "Alaska Conservation Action Corps". Under terms of the latest bill (S1329 in the 1971 session) this corps would be located in the Division of Parks, Department of Natural Resources and would perform a variety of tasks for various state and local agencies.

The question of implementation of such an organization was suggested by the Division of Parks for issue analysis in May. The issue was determined to be one for analysis and was assigned to the Division of Parks in July.

The preliminary issue specification cited the need to (1) provide summer employment opportunities and (2) improve the State Park System. The assignment of the issue analysis included the requirements that it be coordinated with all needs of park and recreation development, that it teach useful skills, and that it benefit from maximum federal participation.

Problems and Objectives: The issue specification cited above states a twin problem: seasonal unemployment among youth and the need for improvement of the State Park System. The legislation proposed has a broader focus than just state parks, therefore the objectives of this analysis will be to define a program which will provide seasonal employment for young people in the field of conservation.

Dates: The Department of Labor advises that as of July 31 their youth (16-21) applications totaled 3,089 for full time employment and 2,166 for summer employment.

Of the state agencies queried, only the Department of Fish & Game responded with a work program. No local agencies were queried.

State minimum wage is \$2.10 per hour. Costs of room and board at University of Alaska and AMU was in the range of \$100-\$135 per week per person.

Public Law 91-378, August 13, 1970, provided a pilot program designated as the Youth Conservation Corps. The program was authorized for 3 years at \$3,500,000/year. The Secretaries of Agriculture and Interior were directed to prepare a report within one year of the date of the act "indicating the most feasible and efficient method for initiating a cost sharing youth conservation program with state natural resource, conservation, or outdoor recreation agencies". A copy of the report has been requested, but not received. A telephone conversation with Mr. Patrick Felker, planning team member representing the Department of Interior, indicates that the administration, based on this report, will recommend such a cost sharing program to the Congress.

1530-5

Alternatives: The only program that will meet the objectives of the study is one to provide funds to conservation agencies to hire youths. The only alternative to such a program is no program; however, there are many alternatives available within such a program.

Participants

Age: Very young participants would not contribute greatly to the second objective. Older participants should be well on the road to a career and would need a higher salary to finance schooling.

Sex: A male only corps would be easier to administer, i.e., no problems with housing, interchangeability of assignments, etc. It would not meet the first objective of providing employment for youth (all youths).

Health: A program restricted to non-handicapped should be easier to administer but would not provide opportunities for all youths.

Program

Duration: Over half of the unemployed youths tallied were looking for permanent employment. A summer only program should not exceed 3 months--the approximate duration of school vacations. Year round employment could probably best be handled by increasing appropriations for personal services to various agencies rather than establishment of a year round corps.

Salary: A low pay range would permit enrollment of more youths for a given amount. It would not, however, provide sufficient funds to enable saving for school or assist in family living expenses. Existing welfare programs and school assistance grants are a more logical vehicle for these objectives.

Type: A residential program would be more efficient in providing work opportunity in relatively remote areas. It would, however, be more costly. This could be reduced by using mobile corps--trailers, tents, etc. A non-residential program would require purchase or rental of man-haul equipment.

Sponsors: A program for state agencies only would provide fewer jobs than one including local and private agencies.

Eligibility

Residence: A state-federal program could restrict participation to Alaska residents. Federal participation would probably preclude this.

Economic: A program restricted to a specific level of family income would be difficult to police and would not provide maximum job opportunities.

Recommended Program: Participants should be at least 15 years of age but not have reached their 19th birthday. There should be no other restrictions.

The program should be non-residential for at least the first year. The second year it could be expanded to include mobile corps. The orientation should be

volunteer, i.e. salaries should be minimal. The program should cover 10 weeks in mid-summer. Eligible sponsors should include state, local, and private conservation agencies.

Legislation establishing the program should include waiver of the child labor laws and minimum wage laws. The appropriation for the program should be for the specific summer period rather than for a fiscal year.

The first year program should be a pilot operation. The later years should aim at providing 2,000-2,500 summer jobs.

Cost Estimates

Supervision & Administration: Teachers should provide the bulk of the field supervision. Ratio of field supervision will be 1 for every 10 participants. Pay for field supervision is estimated at \$150/week for 12 weeks. In addition 3 regional supervisors and clerical assistance will be required for 14 weeks. Regional supervisor salaries are estimated at \$200/week. Clerical assistance is estimated at \$150/week.

Participant Salaries: This is estimated at \$25/week.

Transportation: One vehicle will be required for each 10 participants. In most cases, school buses can be utilized.

Camps: This will require housing in tents or trailers. Supervisory ratio will be doubled. Meal costs are estimated at \$2/day/person.

Equipment: Consists of hand tools for field crews plus office equipment for regional supervisors and staff.

An assumption is made that 50% of the enrollees would be utilized by state agencies; that the state will pay all salaries but that local or private sponsors will provide transportation, and equipment; that the first year will enroll 200 participants; that mobile camps will be established in the second year; and that by the second year, 1,000 jobs will be available; that in subsequent years, 2,000 jobs will be available.

	<u>First Year</u>	<u>Second Year</u>	<u>Subsequent Year</u>
Supervision			
Field	\$ 36,000	\$198,000	\$ 396,000
Office	14,700	21,000	21,000
Salaries	50,000	250,000	500,000
Transportation	16,000	32,000	64,000
Camps		14,000	28,000
Equipment	<u>33,000</u>	<u>22,000</u>	<u>25,000</u>
Total	\$120,000 147,700	\$537,000	\$1,034,000

Introduced: 1/18/71
Referred: State Affairs;
Resources

1 IN THE SENATE

BY JOSEPHSON

2 SENATE BILL NO. 29

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 SEVENTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act creating the Alaska Conservation Action
7 Corps."

8 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

9 * Section 1. AS 41.20 is amended by adding new sections to read:

10 ARTICLE 5. ALASKA CONSERVATION ACTION CORPS.

11 Sec. 41.20.200. DECLARATION OF PURPOSE. The purpose of secs.
12 200 - 240 of this chapter is to provide avenues of action for youth in
13 the state interested in the preservation of a healthy environment by
14 establishing a volunteer corps to teach and practice conservation on
15 all levels of activities which are environment related. The aim is to
16 foster and supplement programs on the local and state level and provide
17 some of the manpower needed to successfully accomplish the goal of a
18 healthy and pleasant environment in which to live.

19 Sec. 41.20.210. CORPS. There is created in the parks and recre-
20 ation division, Department of Natural Resources, the Alaska Conservation
21 Action Corps.

22 Sec. 41.20.220. ACTIVITIES OF CORPS. The corps may be assigned

23 (1) to provide staff services for public and private agencies
24 involved in planning for the future of our environment;

25 (2) tasks of cleaning litter from city streets, highways,
26 local parks, neighborhoods, beaches, national forests, rivers, creeks,
27 state and national parks on a continuing basis;

28 (3) to participate in neighborhood improvement programs
29 including the creation of neighborhood parks and playgrounds, supple-

1 menting local government efforts on a voluntary basis on request;

2 (4) to fire prevention activities in forest lands, including
3 the cleaning of brush and deadfalls and the construction and mainte-
4 nance of fire trails and firebreaks and firefighting in time of need;

5 (5) the task of building and maintaining hiking and riding
6 trails and campsites, improving wildlife habitats and protection of
7 wildlife refuges and wilderness areas under supervision of the Depart-
8 ment of Fish and Game;

9 (6) to participate in drainage and erosion control improve-
10 ments on public lands and the reforestation of fire-devastated areas;

11 (7) the task of conducting conservation summer camp programs
12 for youths to learn and practice conservation skills;

13 (8) monitoring duties in areas of environmental concern
14 where control and enforcement are maintained such as the monitoring of
15 air and water pollution levels or checking on how well developers are
16 meeting subdivision regulations.

17 Sec. 41.20.230. COMPENSATION. (a) Part-time corps members shall
18 be paid on an hourly basis, to be determined by the Commissioner of the
19 Department of Natural Resources.

20 (b) Compensation for corps members who are involved in continuous
21 service in an away-from-home situation shall be set on a weekly or
22 monthly basis to be determined by the Commissioner of the Department
23 of Natural Resources.

24 Sec. 41.20.240. RESTRICTIONS. AS 23.10.325 - 23.10.370 do not
25 apply to the provisions of secs. 200 - 230 of this chapter.

26 * Sec. 2. This Act takes effect upon certification by the Commissioner
27 of Natural Resources to the Governor that appropriated federal funds are
28 available under P.L. 91-378, or other federal law, to pay compensation to
29 corps members.

1 IN THE SENATE

BY JOSEPHSON

2 SENATE BILL NO. 30

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 SEVENTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act appropriating \$50,000 to the Department of
7 Natural Resources; and providing for an effective
8 date."

9 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

10 * Section 1. The sum of \$50,000 is appropriated from the general fund
11 to the Department of Natural Resources, division of lands, section of parks
12 and recreation, for the purposes of funding the Alaska Conservation Action
13 Corps.

14 * Sec. 2. This Act takes effect on the effective date of Senate Bill
15 No. 29.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

M E M O R A N D U M

TO: Rich A. Guthrie
Senate Fiscal Analyst
Legislative Finance

DATE: January 21, 1972

FROM: Milt B. Barker
Fiscal Analyst
Legislative Finance

SUBJ: Staff Comments on
Fiscal Note for SB 30

In response to your requests for comments on the Fiscal Note for SB 30, I would like to point out the following:

1. Attached for your consideration is a revised Fiscal Note developed in consultation with Mr. T. G. Smith due to a number of inaccuracies and inconsistencies in his original submission.
2. SB 30 takes effect on the effective date of SB 29. SB 29 does not take effect until Federal funds are appropriated for such a program. Therefore, assuming Federal appropriations are not forthcoming before FY 73, SB 29 must be amended if a program is to be inaugurated in FY 73. This would be at the level shown for FY 73 in the revised Fiscal Note: \$46,400. If Federal appropriations are available before FY 73, Mr. Smith indicates the program would be inaugurated at the level shown for FY 74, requiring a State contribution of \$30,000.
3. Factors relating to the probability of passage of the necessary Federal legislation are election year politics and sponsorship by a Presidential contender, Mr. Smith points out. He feels that appropriations are the greater uncertainty. Yet, once an appropriation is made, it should be sufficient to fund Alaska's program at the contemplated levels.

MBB/db

Attachments



RECORDS CERTIFICATION



I, the undersigned, an employee of the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the microfilm images on this microform are accurate reproductions of the original records of the State of Alaska as accumulated during the regular course of business, and that it is the established policy and practice of this State to microfilm its records and to dispose of the original records after microfilm reproductions have been made.

James D. Smith
Signature of Camera Operator

4/4/89
Date

Rep. 9/26/71

Committee Report

S E N A T E

_____ Date

Mr. President:

The Committee on FINANCE has had 31
under consideration. A majority of the members of the Committee

- recommends it do pass
- recommends it do not pass
- recommends it do pass with attached amendment(s)
- recommends it be replaced with CS for _____ and that
CS for _____ do pass
- (and) recommends it be referred to the _____
committee
- reports it back without recommendation
- (other) _____

MEMBERS SIGNING THE MAJORITY REPORT:

_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

MEMBERS NOT CONCURRING IN THE MAJORITY REPORT:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ CHAIRMAN

Original sponsor: Thomas

Offered: 3/26/71
Referred: Finance

1 IN THE SENATE

BY THE HEALTH, WELFARE AND
EDUCATION COMMITTEE

2 CS FOR SENATE BILL NO. 42

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 SEVENTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to state aid for hospitals, health
7 facilities and health services; and providing for
8 an effective date."

9 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

10 * Section 1. AS 43.18.010(h) is amended to read:

11 (h) During each fiscal year the state shall pay to an organized
12 borough or a city outside an organized borough, in which a health
13 facility is operated, a sum equal to \$1,500 [\$1,000] for each bed
14 actually used for patient care within the facility, limited to the
15 maximum number of beds provided for in the construction design of the
16 facility, or \$4,000 for a facility, if the local government elects
17 to accept payment on that basis for a particular facility. Sums
18 received by a local government under this subsection shall be used
19 for expenses of operation, maintenance or health services or facilities,
20 as the local government determines.

21 * Sec. 2. AS 43.18.010 is amended by adding a new subsection to read:

22 (j) If construction of a facility began after January 1, 1968
23 and state matching aid for construction approved for payment to the
24 local government or other facility sponsor constitutes less than 25
25 per cent of the total project cost, the state shall pay to the local
26 government or other facility sponsor each fiscal year a sum equal to
27 \$5,000 a bed for the maximum number of beds provided for in the con-
28 struction design of the facility. State aid provided for in this
29 subsection shall continue until the local government or other facility

1 sponsor has received an amount which, combined with state matching
2 money for construction of the facility, equals 25 per cent of the
3 total project cost. No funds received for construction shall be
4 used for any other purpose.

5 * Sec. 3. AS 43.18.010(1) is amended to read:

6 (1) In (h) and (j) of this section "health facility" or "facility"
7 includes hospitals, public health centers, clinics, community mental
8 health centers, facilities for the mentally or physically handicapped,
9 nursing homes and convalescent centers which are determined by the
10 commissioner of health and welfare to satisfy minimum standards of
11 safe and adequate patient care [LICENSED BY THE STATE UNDER AS 18.20.-
12 010 - 18.20.130] and are owned or operated or both by a local government
13 or by a nonprofit corporation or other nonprofit sponsor; the term
14 excludes facilities operated or wholly supported by the state or the
15 federal government.

16 * Sec. 4. This Act takes effect July 1, 1971.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

Original sponsor: Thomas

Offered: 3/18/71
Referred: Health, Welfare
and Education

1 IN THE SENATE

BY THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT
COMMITTEE

2 CS FOR SENATE BILL NO. 42

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 SEVENTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSON

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to state aid for hospitals, health
7 facilities and health services; and providing for an
8 effective date."

9 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

10 * Section 1. AS 43.18.010(h) is amended to read:

11 (h) During each fiscal year the state shall pay to an organized
12 borough or a city outside an organized borough, in which a health
13 facility is operated, a sum equal to \$1,500 [~~\$1,000~~] for each bed
14 actually used for patient care within the facility, limited to the
15 maximum number of beds provided for in the construction design of the
16 facility, or \$4,000 for a facility, if the local government elects to
17 accept payment on that basis for a particular facility. In addition,
18 if construction of a facility was begun by a local government after
19 January 1, 1968 and state matching aid for construction approved for
20 payment to the local government constitutes less than 25 per cent of
21 the total project cost, the state shall pay to the local government
22 during each fiscal year a sum equal to \$5,000 per bed for the maximum
23 number of beds provided for in the construction design of the
24 facility, until the local government has received from this aid an
25 amount which, combined with state matching money for construction of
26 the facility, equals 25 per cent of the total project cost. Sums
27 received by a local government under this subsection shall be used for
28 expenses of operation, maintenance or health services or facilities,
29 as the local government determines.

1 * Sec. 2. AS 43.18.010(1) is amended to read:

2 (i) In (h) of this section "health facility" or "facility"
3 includes hospitals, public health centers, clinics, community mental
4 health centers, facilities for the mentally or physically handicapped,
5 nursing homes and convalescent centers which are determined by the
6 commissioner of health and welfare to satisfy minimum standards of
7 safe and adequate patient care [LICENSED BY THE STATE UNDER AS 18.20.-
8 010 - 18.20.130] and are owned or operated or both by a local government
9 or by a nonprofit corporation or other nonprofit sponsor; the term
10 excludes facilities operated or wholly supported by the state or the
11 federal government.

12 * Sec. 3. This Act takes effect July 1, 1971.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

Original sponsor: Thomas

1 IN THE SENATE

BY THE FINANCE COMMITTEE

2 CS FOR SENATE BILL NO. 42

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 SEVENTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to state aid for hospitals, health
7 facilities and health services; and providing for an
8 effective date."

9 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

10 * Section 1. AS 43.16.010 is amended by adding a new subsection to read:

11 (j) If construction of a facility began after January 1, 1963
12 and state matching aid for construction approved for payment to the
13 local government or other facility sponsor constitutes less than 25
14 per cent of the total project cost, the state shall pay to the local
15 government or other facility sponsor each fiscal year a sum equal to
16 \$2,500 a bed for the maximum number of beds provided for in the con-
17 struction design of the facility. State aid provided for in this
18 subsection shall continue until the local government or other facility
19 sponsor has received an amount which, combined with state matching
20 money for construction of the facility, equals 25 per cent of the total
21 project cost. No funds received for construction shall be used for
22 any other purpose.

23 * Sec. 2. This Act takes effect July 1, 1971.

1 IN THE SENATE

BY THOMAS

2 SENATE BILL NO. 42

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 SEVENTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act authorizing state loans and grants for
7 hospitals and related health facilities; and providing
8 for an effective date."

9 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

10 * Section 1. AS 18.25 is amended by adding a new section to read:

11 Sec. 18.25.150. LOAN/GRANT FUND. (a) The department shall
12 establish and administer a revolving loan and grant fund to make
13 payments to local governments, nonprofit corporations and other
14 nonprofit sponsors for the acquisition, construction, modernization,
15 equipping, and other capital improvement of hospitals and related health
16 facilities in accordance with the provisions of this chapter and
17 AS 18.05.051 - 18.05.053. Loans shall bear an interest rate of five
18 per cent and be repayable on terms and conditions and within the time
19 the department prescribes by regulations.

20 (b) The department may make grants from the funds established in
21 this section provided it has been established by the commissioner that
22 the local governments, nonprofit corporations and other nonprofit
23 sponsors applying would have difficulty in repaying a loan.

24 (c) Hospitals and related health facilities under construction on
25 July 1, 1971, and thereafter are eligible for loans under this section.

26 (d) A sum of \$20,000,000 is authorized to be appropriated to the
27 revolving loan/grant fund for allocation during a ten-year period from
28 July 1, 1971.

29 (e) In this section "hospitals and related health facilities"

STATE OF ALASKA

WILLIAM A. EGAN, Governor

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND WELFARE

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER

POUCH H—JUNEAU 99801

MEMORANDUM

TO: The Honorable John Butrovich
Chairman, Senate Finance Committee

FROM: Frederick McGinnis, Commissioner *F. McG.*
Department of Health and Welfare

SUBJECT: Senate Bill 42

DATE: March 31, 1971

Pursuant to your request, attached are eight copies of Fiscal Note pertaining to Senate Bill 42.

FM:JFM:smb
Attachments
cc: Office of Comprehensive Planning

The Legislature of the State of Alaska
 FISCAL NOTE
 First Session - Seventh State Legislature

COPIES: THE CHAIRMAN OF THE COMMITTEE DURING THE HEARING, POWER 7
 THE LEGISLATIVE FINANCE COMMITTEES' STAFF, POWER 7
 THE DIVISION OF BUDGET & MANAGEMENT, POWER C
 RETAIN A COPY FOR YOUR FILES

subject Revenue Sharing HB 276 SC 42
 requested by _____
 referred to _____ date of request _____
 completion date requested _____ date received _____

EXPENDITURE DETAIL	FY 71	FY 72	FY 72
100 TRAVEL		\$ 10,700	\$ 16,700
200 PER DIEM		3,000	11,000
300 CONTRACTUAL SERVICES			
400 OFFICE EQUIPMENT			
500 EQUIPMENT		100	400
600 LABOR AND STRUCTURES			
700 HEALTH CARE & RELATED SERVICES	752,000	1,461,249	569,775
		549,025	
TOTAL	752,000	1,461,249	569,775

FUNDING DETAIL	FY 71	FY 72	FY 72
FEDERAL CONTRIBUTIONS			
STATE FUNDS			
UNRESERVED STATE FUNDS	752,000	1,461,249	569,775
Man Months		12	600,000
Temporary Positions		1	1

FISCAL ANALYSIS:

The expenditure detail codes 100 and 200 reflects the cost of travel and per diem of one additional employee that will be needed to make annual inspections of health facilities to insure they meet minimum standards of safe and adequate patient care as provided for in House Bill 276. Code 100 reflects the cost of office equipment for the employee. Code 700 reflects the increased costs of \$500 per bed and the cost bringing those construction projects started after January 1, 1968 to 25% State participation in the project.

OTHER COMMENTS:

Section 1 (h)

CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS:

Hospitals need construction funds during the planning stage. Disbursing funds on a fiscal year basis would defeat the purpose for which funds are intended. Funds should be allocated during planning stage of project and awarded when construction contract is let.

The department has no review and inspection procedure for health facility construction projects that are not funded under the Hill-Burton program.

The Division of Buildings has agreed to perform this service for the following percentages based on construction cost:

1. Review drawings and specifications 1%
2. Review construction change orders .5%
3. Perform full construction inspection 2%

One example would be ~~the~~ Hospital estimated at \$10,000,000. Applying the above percentages and using \$200,000 for change orders the cost to the department would be \$301,000 for a two year construction project. The Department could hire its own staff consisting of one architect, one mechanical engineer, and one electrical engineer. Costs would be: Salaries \$70,000, Travel \$5,000, Supplies \$6,000, Initial Equipment \$10,000. This would result in a large savings to the State.

DATE: March 24, 1971

PREPARED BY

Lawrence W. Swartz
 Lawrence W. Swartz, Coordinator

DATE: 5-11-71

Health and Welfare Facilities Development

Section 2 (i)

MINIMUM STANDARDS:

All licensing standards are minimum standards. All words licensed by the State under AS 18.20.010 - 18.20.150 need not be deleted. Minimum standards may put some of our facilities out of business. Buildings must meet codes, be covered by the fire department, and comply with codes as to equipment, staffing, and overall care.

Bill excludes facilities which are not located within an organized borough or a city outside an organized borough.

EXAMPLE: Glennallen - Faith Hospital

LMS:vm

3/23/71

1467-3000

Introduced: 3/5/72
Referred: Health, Welfare &
Education and Finance

BY BRADNER, KERTTULA, HOLM,
HUBER, MILLER, M. MILLER,
ORPECK, RANDOLPH AND MARWICK

1 IN THE HOUSE

HOUSE BILL NO. 276

IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA
SEVENTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

A BILL

For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to state aid for hospitals, health facilities and health services; and providing for an effective date."

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

* Section 1. AS 43.16.010(h) is amended to read:

(h) During each fiscal year the state shall pay to an organized borough or a city outside an organized borough, in which a health facility is operated, a sum equal to \$1,500 [~~\$1,000~~] for each bed actually used for patient care within the facility, limited to the maximum number of beds provided for in the construction design of the facility, or \$4,000 for a facility, if the local government elects to accept payment on that basis for a particular facility. In addition, if construction of a facility was begun by a local government after January 1, 1968 and state matching aid for construction approved for payment to the local government constitutes less than 25 per cent of the total project cost, the state shall pay to the local government during each fiscal year a sum equal to 15,000 per bed for the maximum number of beds provided for in the construction design of the facility, until the local government has received from this aid an amount which, combined with state matching money for construction of the facility, equals 25 per cent of the total project cost. Money received by a local government under this subsection shall be used for expenses of operation, maintenance or health facilities or facilities, or the local government's expenses.

11

2 (1) In (c) of this section "health facility" or "facility"

3 health centers, facilities for the mentally or physically handicapped,
4 nursing homes and convalescent centers which are determined by the
5 commissioner of health and welfare to satisfy minimum standards of
6 safe and adequate patient care [LICENSED BY THE STATE UNDER AS 10.20.-
7 010 - 10.20.130] and are owned or operated or both by a local government
8 or by a nonprofit corporation or other nonprofit sponsor; the term
9 excludes facilities operated or wholly supported by the state or the
10 federal government.

11
12 * Sec. 3. This Act takes effect July 1, 1971.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS INVOLVING STATE FUNDS
STARTED AFTER JANUARY 1, 1968

FAIRBANKS

FAIRBANKS MEMORIAL HOSPITAL (116 Beds) CONSTRUCTION YEAR STARTED 1970

TOTAL COST OF CONSTRUCTION	\$8,440,000
25% OF TOTAL COST	2,110,000
STATE AID	1,400,000
TOTAL AMOUNT LEFT TO PAY	710,000

JUNEAU

BARTLETT MEMORIAL HOSPITAL (68 Beds) CONSTRUCTION YEAR STARTED 1969

TOTAL COST OF CONSTRUCTION	\$5,017,504
25% OF TOTAL COST	1,254,376
STATE AID	1,000,000
TOTAL AMOUNT LEFT TO PAY	254,376

SOLDOTNA

CENTRAL PENINSULA GENERAL HOSPITAL (309beds) CONSTRUCTION YEAR STARTED 1970

TOTAL COST OF CONSTRUCTION	\$1,155,255
25% OF TOTAL COST	284,065
STATE AID	250,000
TOTAL AMOUNT LEFT TO PAY	34,065

ANCHORAGE

ANCHORAGE COMMUNITY HOSPITAL (40) CONSTRUCTION YEAR STARTED 1970

TOTAL COST OF CONSTRUCTION	\$ 314,851
25% OF TOTAL COST	78,708
STATE AID	-0-
TOTAL AMOUNT LEFT TO PAY	78,708

TOTAL COST TO STATE AS OF JULY 1, 1971 \$1,077,149.

STATE AND WAR HOSPITALS, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
AND HEALTH SERVICES

<u>CITY AND NAME OF FACILITY</u>	<u>NUMBER OF BEDS</u>
ANCHORAGE ANCHORAGE CITY HOSPITAL PROVIDENCE HOSPITAL	150
CORDOVA CORDOVA COMMUNITY HOSPITAL	22
FAIRBANKS FAIRBANKS COMMUNITY HOSPITAL	67
GLENNALLEN FAITH HOSPITAL	3
HOMER HOMER CITY HOSPITAL, INC.	9
JUNEAU GREATER JUNEAU HOSPITAL	68
KETCHIKAN KETCHIKAN GENERAL HOSPITAL	104
KODIAK KODIAK ISLAND HOSPITAL	25
NOME MAYKARD MCDUGALL HOSPITAL	24
PAIMER VALLEY HOSPITAL	27
PETERSBURG PETERSBURG GENERAL HOSPITAL	25
SEWARD SEWARD GENERAL HOSPITAL WESLEYAN HOSPITAL FOR CHRONIC DISEASES, INC.	33 24
SITKA SITKA COMMUNITY HOSPITAL	24
SOLDOTNA CENTRAL PENINSULA GENERAL HOSPITAL	30
WRANGELL WRANGELL GENERAL HOSPITAL	13
TOTAL HOSPITAL BEDS	732
INCREASED COST PER BED	<u>\$500</u>
TOTAL INCREASED BED COST FOR FACILITIES	\$366,000

MEMORANDUM

State of Alaska

TO: JUNIOR/SECTION OF NURSING
 Edna Crawford, Chief
 Aimee Bruce, Assistant Chief

DATE : December 18, 1970

FROM: SCB/MS
 Mary Conrad

SUBJECT: Health Center Maintenance

Following is a rundown on the information you requested Thursday morning, at least all that I have access to in the files here:

1. PALMER HEALTH CENTER - The Valley Hospital Association of the City of Palmer has a contract with the Presbyterian Church to lease the Valley Hospital. It is understood that this is more or less a courtesy-type thing of a dollar a year lease. In turn, the city has agreed to supply the State Health Department with two offices in the hospital for the use of Public Health nursing services. A check of both hospital and Health Center records in the past, however, does not show this anywhere in writing. In addition to providing the work area, the city also pays \$25 per month to the hospital for lights and heat, the complete Health Center telephone bill, and approximately \$50 every four months for postage. Housekeeping services for the Health Center were to be provided by courtesy of the hospital, but again nowhere could this be found in writing and the hospital began to experience troubles in retaining housekeeping personnel. I ask to have a position set up for a housekeeping aide. In addition to the above, the Health Council has been most generous in supplying office equipment; most recently IBM dictating and transcribing equipment amounting to approximately \$900.
2. NAKNEK HEALTH CENTER - The present lease between the Naknek Borough and the State Health Department calls for \$200 per month payments by the State Health Department; in return for this the borough provides office and clinic space, water, heat, electricity, and sewage disposal. We pay only for telephone service. Additionally, the Naknek or King Salmon Health Councils have purchased small pieces of office equipment for the Health Center. Most recently they provided the paint and free labor for painting one of the bedrooms in the living quarters, and have agreed to purchase drapes throughout for the living quarters. This does not include numerous minor repairs and maintenance of equipment and of the building, on a strictly volunteer basis, by several interested community members.

3. MCGRATH HEALTH CENTER - As nearly as I can tell this community contributes absolutely nothing to the Health Center at the present time. They were supposed to contribute \$600 per year in 1957 when they were to contribute \$600 per year. However, as I recall, this was never paid and Dr. Wicks finally agreed in August 1959 that this amount could be reduced to \$250 per year, but our records contain nothing to indicate whether they ever paid anything. At the present time, we pay all the utility bills throughout the Health Center and living quarters as well as all cost of maintenance. A McGrath Medical Fund does exist, and as I recall there is some three to four hundred dollars in the account. This is handled by the McGrath Community Association. I have had the area - we have not made the request of them.
4. DILLINGHAM HEALTH CENTER - Again, we pay all our own utilities at the present time. The Health Council does have a few hundred dollars which they will release for minor emergency repairs if necessary, but it is mainly for use in purchasing equipment for the Health Center; most recently a new desk. There apparently was a good deal of correspondence between Dr. Gibson and the Nushagak Bay Area Public Health Council and Dillingham Public Utility District regarding transfer of the Health Center to the Public Utility District. However, the latter seems to have dropped out of the picture when it began to dawn on them that this would involve considerable expenditure on their part.

Hope this answers your questions.

MC/djc

cc: Area Files

VILLAGE CLINIC FACILITIES - LOCALLY OWNED
AND
HEALTH CENTERS OR VILLAGE FACILITIES - FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

I. The following is a list of villages which have a clinic facility that is owned at the local level:

- A. Brevig Mission
- B. Ekwok
- C. Manokotak
- D. New Stuyahok
- E. Galena
- F. St. Mary's

II. The following is a list of health stations or clinics which are owned by the Federal government:

- A. Heoper Bay
- B. Napaskiak
- C. Gambell
- D. Savoonga
- E. Ussakleet
- F. Yaktat
- G. Nulato