

HB

356

<TARGET><BILL>HB 356</BILL><SUBJECT>HB
356</SUBJECT><COMM>SSTA28</COMM></TARGET>

Alaska State Legislature

State Capitol Room 102
Juneau, Alaska 99801-1182
(907) 465-2689
Fax: (907) 465-3472
1-800-665-2689



270 W Pioneer Ave. Suite B
Homer, Alaska 99603
(907) 235-2921
Fax: (907) 235-4008
1-800-665-2689

REPRESENTATIVE PAUL SEATON HOUSE DISTRICT 30

Memorandum

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Paul K. Seaton".

From: Representative Paul Seaton
To: Senate State Affairs Committee Chairman, Senator Fred Dyson
Date: April 17, 2014
RE: HB 356 Advisory Committee on Wellness Hearing Request

I respectfully request that HB 356 be heard in Senate State Affairs at your earliest possible convenience.

HB 356 requires the Commissioner of the Department of Administration to implement procedures for decreasing the incidence of disease in Alaska in order to hold the inflation of healthcare costs of active and retired Alaska state employees to 2% per year.

Alaska State Legislature

State Capitol Room 102
Juneau, Alaska 99801-1182
(907) 465-2689
Fax: (907) 465-3472
1-800-665-2689



270 W Pioneer Ave. Suite B
Homer, Alaska 99603
(907) 235-2921
Fax: (907) 235-4008
1-800-665-2689

REPRESENTATIVE PAUL SEATON HOUSE DISTRICT 30

Sponsor Statement

HB 356

HB 356 requires the Commissioner of the Department of Administration to implement procedures for decreasing the incidence of disease in Alaska in order to hold the inflation of healthcare costs of active and retired Alaska state employees to 2% per year.

According to the Institute of Social and Economic Research, total health care spending in Alaska topped \$7.5 billion in Alaska in 2010, with state government employers paying over \$400 million. A major component of our \$12 billion unfunded pension liability is retiree healthcare costs. HB 356 requires the Commissioner of Administration to put in place programs that will decrease the incidence of disease in State of Alaska employees, both current and retired, in order to hold the inflation of costs to 2% per year.

This bill focuses on preventing the incidence of disease as opposed to treatment of disease. Prevention of disease is the policy approach unanimously requested of the Governor by the legislature through HCR 5 in 2011. This is an area of healthcare where the most economic impact can be achieved. For instance, recent studies show that an action as simple as taking a daily supplement of 5,000 IU of vitamin D can dramatically reduce the risk of heart disease, diabetes, cancer, autism, gingivitis, and many other conditions.

HB 356 creates an Advisory Committee on Wellness which is charged with making recommendations to the Commissioner of Administration on ways to decrease the incidence of disease in Alaska. HB 356 will enforce a paradigm shift for the Department of Administration and the Department of Health and Social Services. It will require the agencies to implement policies to keep Alaska state employees healthy by preventing disease, rather than the common, reactive policy of waiting until people get sick and then treating them.

Fiscal Note

State of Alaska
2014 Legislative Session

Bill Version: HB 356
Fiscal Note Number: _____
() Publish Date: _____

Identifier: HB356-DOA-DRB-03-14-14
Title: ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON WELLNESS
Sponsor: SEATON
Requester: House Health and Social Services

Department: Department of Administration
Appropriation: Centralized Administrative Services
Allocation: Retirement and Benefits
OMB Component Number: 64

Expenditures/Revenues

Note: Amounts do not include inflation unless otherwise noted below. (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY2015 Appropriation Requested	Included in Governor's FY2015 Request	Out-Year Cost Estimates				
			FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
OPERATING EXPENDITURES							
Personal Services							
Travel							
Services							
Commodities							
Capital Outlay							
Grants & Benefits							
Miscellaneous							
Total Operating	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Fund Source (Operating Only)

None							
Total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Positions

Full-time							
Part-time							
Temporary							

Change in Revenues							
---------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Estimated SUPPLEMENTAL (FY2014) cost: 0.0 *(separate supplemental appropriation required)*
(discuss reasons and fund source(s) in analysis section)

Estimated CAPITAL (FY2015) cost: 0.0 *(separate capital appropriation required)*
(discuss reasons and fund source(s) in analysis section)

ASSOCIATED REGULATIONS

Does the bill direct, or will the bill result in, regulation changes adopted by your agency? No
If yes, by what date are the regulations to be adopted, amended or repealed? N/A

Why this fiscal note differs from previous version:

Not applicable, initial version.

Prepared By: <u>Mike Barnhill, Deputy Commissioner</u>	Phone: <u>(907)465-2200</u>
Division: <u>Department of Administration</u>	Date: <u>03/14/2014 08:48 AM</u>
Approved By: <u>Curtis Thayer, Commissioner</u>	Date: <u>03/14/14</u>
Agency: <u>Department of Administration</u>	

FISCAL NOTE ANALYSIS

**STATE OF ALASKA
2014 LEGISLATIVE SESSION**

BILL NO. HB 356

Analysis

HB 356 establishes an advisory committee on wellness in the Department of Administration and requires the Department to focus on wellness in an effort to limit the annual increase in health care costs for State of Alaska Employees to less than 2%.


The wellness committee would consist of at least seven volunteer members appointed by the Commissioner of the Department of Administration. The committee would make recommendations to the Commissioner of Administration regarding to adoption of wellness initiatives to improve the health of State of Alaska employees.

HB 356 also requires, to the extent legally and reasonably practicable, the Department of Administration shall work to hold the escalation of health care cost growth to less than 2% for health insurance plans administered by the Department.

The costs associated with the creation of the committee and coordinating committee meetings would be minimal and absorbed in the cost of normal business for the Department. The costs of specific initiatives recommended by the advisory committee on wellness, some of which could be substantial, cannot be estimated at this time. Therefore, the Department submits a zero fiscal note.

ALASKA HEALTHCARE COSTS

Figure 1. Who Pays for Health Care in Alaska?
(2010 Spending: \$7.5 Billion)



^aInsufficient data to break out categories

^bThe federal and state governments share the cost of Medicaid.

Source: Authors' estimates

Health-care spending for Alaskans reached about \$7.5 billion in 2010. For comparison, that's close to half the wellhead value of all the oil produced in Alaska that year. It's also roughly equal to half the wages Alaskans collected in 2010.

The state's health-care spending has been rising fast, tripling since 1990 and jumping 40% just between 2005 and 2010—and at current trends it could double by 2020, reaching more than \$14 billion.

Here we report on who's paying the bills, what we're buying, what's contributing to the growth, and other aspects of health-care spending. We conclude with a discussion of how Alaska could get better value for its health-care dollars.

• **Who pays the bills?** Individual Alaskans directly pay about 20%, state and federal programs around 40%, and private and government employers another 40% (Figure 1 and page 2).

• **What's the biggest cost?** Medicaid is the largest single expense, making up nearly 18% of all Alaska health-care spending. But that's down from 20% of total spending in 2005. Why? Because spending for Medicaid didn't grow as fast as other kinds of spending (page 3).

• **Are costs shifting?** Every category of spending increased since 2005—but because spending by individuals and private employers increased faster, their shares of total spending increased (page 4).

• **What are we buying?** Hospitals and doctors account for nearly 60% of total spending—but the next largest cost is the 10% that goes for administering private and government health insurance (page 4).

• **What's driving spending?** Over the past 50 years, technology, income growth, medical-price inflation, changing insurance coverage, and a growing, aging population have driven health-care spending (page 5).

• **How many Alaskans are uninsured?** The answer varies depending on how "uninsured" is measured and when. But recent estimates say about 18% of adults and 9% of children are uninsured. Based on 2010 census figures, that would be about 17,000 children and 94,000 adults (page 6).

• **How many Alaska businesses offer health insurance?** More than 90% of large firms offer insurance, compared with just 30% of small businesses—and that's down from 35% in 2003 (page 7).

• **Are prices higher in Alaska?** Yes. But Alaska's isolation, small markets, and other factors contribute to those higher prices—a day in the hospital costs on average 50% more than in the U.S. as a whole, and costs for common procedures are roughly 35% higher (page 8).

• **How is spending distributed?** Just 10% of Americans are responsible for two-thirds of all health-care spending in an average year (page 9).

• **What about the future?** Expanded insurance coverage; an aging population; and continued growth in technology, incomes, and medical prices will keep driving growth in health-care spending in the coming years. Controlling that growth will be an ongoing challenge (page 11).

HOW HAVE PATTERNS OF SPENDING CHANGED?

Every category of health-care spending increased between 2005 and 2010, but the shares of spending shifted slightly among the various payers. We don't have enough information to say exactly what caused this shift—but several things likely contributed, as we describe below.

- Individuals paid 20% of Alaska's health-care bills in 2010, up from 19% in 2005. As costs of health-care benefits increased rapidly, employers shifted more of those costs to employees (see page 7). Also, prices for policies individuals buy directly increased significantly.

- Private employers' share of spending increased from 17% to 18%. That increase was in part because private industry added nearly four times more jobs than governments did since 2005—and at least some of that bigger base of employees had health-care coverage.

- Government employers' share of spending was about the same, at 22%.

- Government health programs accounted for a somewhat smaller share of spending, down from about 41% to 39%.

The federal and state governments have attempted to hold down growth in costs of health programs—but federal programs alone continue to make up nearly a third of all Alaska's health-care spending. Local government spending for health programs remains small, relative to that of the state and federal governments, and the increase in local spending was smaller as well.

WHAT DO HEALTH-CARE DOLLARS BUY?


Alaska's \$7.5 billion health-care bill includes everything from visits to doctors and dentists to prescriptions and nursing-home care.⁵ Figure 7 summarizes what Alaska's health-care dollars bought in 2010.

- Hospital care was the largest expense, followed closely by payments for doctors and related clinical services—together they accounted for about 60% of Alaska health-care spending in 2010.

- Administering private and public insurance plans cost one of every ten dollars spent for Alaska health care in 2010. That's more than spending for prescriptions and medical equipment, and nearly twice the spending for dentists.

- Spending for nursing homes and home-health care made up only about 3% of total spending, even though spending for home health care has increased rapidly in the past decade. Much of this care is paid for under Medicaid.

Figure 6. Changes in Who Pays for Alaska Health-Care, 2005-2010




How About Health-Care Jobs?

This summary looks at health care from the perspective of spending for care—but it's important to remember that the spending also supports jobs for Alaskans. As the Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development reports in its August 2011 *Alaska Economic Trends*:

- Health-care spending directly supports 31,800 jobs in Alaska. That's one in ten of all wage and salary jobs—in hospitals, offices of doctors and other providers, nursing homes, and many other places.
- Many additional jobs related to health care—in government agencies, and among the self-employed—are not included in that total.
- Alaska employment in health care has been increasing at an annual rate of 4.3% for the past decade.

Figure 7. What Do Alaska's Health-Care Dollars Buy?
(2010 Spending: \$7.5 Billion)



*Other personal and professional care and public health activities.

Source: Mark A. Foster and Associates estimates, based on Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, National Health Expenditure accounts

WHO PAYS THE BILLS?

Individuals, private employers, and governments share the direct costs of health care in Alaska (Figure 1 and Table 1).

Individual Alaskans spent about \$1.5 billion for health care in 2010—20% of total spending.

- *Alaskans with employer-based insurance—both private and government—paid about \$640 million for premiums, and those with individual policies spent \$350 million.*

- *Out-of-pocket costs for Alaskans totaled about \$545 million in 2010. That includes deductibles and co-pays—the part of medical bills insurance doesn't pay. It also includes costs for services not covered by insurance, and money that uninsured Alaskans spent for medical bills.*

Private employers spent about \$1.4 billion—18% of total spending.

- *Alaska businesses spent around \$835 million to self-insure in 2010. They set aside money to pay medical bills themselves, rather than pay insurance premiums. They're betting that the medical bills will be less than the premiums they would have paid—and that their reserves will be enough to cover annual variation in claims. Many self-insured firms carry "stop loss" insurance, to protect them against very large claims. At first only large firms self-insured, but as insurance costs climbed, smaller businesses have also begun self-insuring.*

- *Businesses spent about \$400 million for insurance premiums in 2010. That's only about half what businesses spent to self-insure, showing how widespread the practice of self-insuring is.*

- *Medical bills of employees injured at work cost businesses about \$150 million in 2010. State law requires employers to pay for such injuries.*

Government employers spent \$1.6 billion for health benefits in 2010.

- *Local government employers—including school districts—spent about \$630 million, the federal government nearly \$590 million, and the state \$410 million.*

- *Like businesses, many public employers self-insure, rather than pay insurance premiums—but we don't have enough data to separate out those costs. The federal government also pays medical costs for active-duty and retired military personnel and veterans.*

Governments spent nearly \$3 billion for health programs in 2010.

- *Medicaid spending was nearly \$1.3 billion in 2010—\$871 million in federal money and \$409 million in state money. Medicaid is a federal program, but the state administers it and shares the costs (see page 3).*

- *Medicare spending was \$733 million in 2010, accounting for nearly 10% of all health-care spending. Medicare is a federal program for people 65 and older and those with certain disabilities. Medicare spending is expected to grow rapidly in the next decade, as older Alaskans make up an ever-growing share of the population (see page 5).*

- *The federal government spent close to \$650 million for other health programs in 2010, including the Indian Health Service, which provides medical care for Alaska Natives, and the Veterans Administration, which provides care for military veterans. Spending for these programs depends somewhat on enrollment, but it's also constrained by Congressional appropriations.*

- *Besides its share of Medicaid, the state government spent about \$260 million for a variety of other programs in 2010, including grants to local governments, the state-operated Pioneer Homes for older Alaskans, and the Alaska Psychiatric Institute.*

Table 1. Health-Care Spending in Alaska, 2010
(Total Spending: \$7.5 Billion)

Individuals	\$1,529 million
Employee premiums	\$637
Out-of-pocket costs	\$544
Individual policies	\$348
Private Employers*	\$1,384 million
Insurance premiums	\$395
Self-insurance costs	\$836
Workers' compensation medical	\$153
Government Employers*	\$1,625 million
Federal	\$586
State	\$408
Local	\$631
Federal Health Programs	\$2,250 million
Medicare	\$733
Medicaid	\$871
IHS, VA, Community Health Centers, public health, K-12 health	\$646
State Health Programs	\$670 million
Medicaid	\$409
Local grants, API, Pioneer Homes, K-12 health, WAMI, Department of Corrections	\$261
Local Health Programs	\$45 million
Hospital and health program support	\$40
Other local	\$5

*Includes coverage for current and retired employees.

Source: Authors' estimates. See page 12 for a description of what's included in health-care costs.

- *Local health programs are much smaller, at around \$45 million in 2010, largely support for hospitals and health programs.*

And finally, keep in mind that even though governments and businesses pay most of the *direct* costs of health care, individual Alaskans and other Americans *indirectly* pay all the costs of health care—because they buy goods and services, own businesses, and pay taxes.

ALASKA ACTIVE AND RETIREE HEALTH PLAN DATA

4th Quarter Report 2013

ACTIVE PLAN

Aggregate Risk Profile

Member Information			
Member Count	17338	Avg Forecasted Cost	\$6,670
Avg Age	35	Avg Total Cost	\$6,774
Percent Female	51%	Avg Forecasted Risk Index	1.09
Avg Months Enrolled	11	%/w Acute Impact Score >= 95	1.06%
		%/w Chronic Impact Score >= 95	5.38%
		%/w Motivation Rank >= 95	4.83%

Aggregate Risk Summary					
Risk Drivers	# Members	Avg Risk Contribution	Contribution to Forecast	Risk Contribution	
Demographics	17338	SENIORS SKIN, FRACTURES, FALLS \$345	\$5,987.784	5.18%	X
Acute Respiratory Disorders	2880	TUBERCULOSIS \$1,129	\$3,251.578	2.81%	X
Arrhythmia Disorders	220	\$3,382	\$744.142	0.64%	
CHF Conditions	673	CHRONIC HEART FAILURE \$3,181	\$2,140.732	1.85%	X
Cerebral Vascular Disorder	247	\$4,199	\$1,037.177	0.90%	
Chronic Respiratory Disorders	1728	UPPER RESPIRATORY TRACT \$2,318	\$4,005.542	3.46%	X
Coronary Artery Related Conditions	1655	CORONARY HEART DISEASE \$2,958	\$4,895.538	4.23%	X
Dermatological Disorder	3075	\$1,272	\$3,911.805	3.38%	
Diabetic Disorders	882	TYPE 1 AND TYPE 2 \$5,932	\$5,231.998	4.52%	X
Female Reproductive Conditions	341	PRETERM BIRTHS \$2,510	\$856.045	0.74%	
Gastrointestinal Disorders	2351	COLORECTAL CANCER \$2,011	\$4,728.854	4.09%	
Heart Related Conditions	180	\$5,628	\$1,013.046	0.88%	
Hypertension	1527	BLOOD PRESSURE \$1,983	\$3,028.315	2.62%	X
Hypotensive Drugs	1784	\$2,207	\$3,937.924	3.41%	
Major Infection Related Conditions	2950	MRSA \$2,023	\$5,968.497	5.16%	X
Metabolic Conditions	3077	FIBROMYALGIA \$2,680	\$8,247.421	7.13%	X
Minor Infection Related Conditions	3704	\$1,340	\$4,965.037	4.29%	
Miscellaneous Conditions	4750	\$2,303	\$10,940.696	9.46%	
Musculo-skeletal Disorders	5173	INFANT MUSCLE, SENIOR FALLS \$2,206	\$11,409.047	9.87%	X
Myocardial Infarction Related Conditions	271	\$5,315	\$1,440.328	1.25%	
Neonatal Issues	255	AUTISM, HEART PROGRAMMING \$935	\$238.299	0.21%	X
Neoplastic Related Conditions	638	\$4,332	\$2,763.900	2.39%	
Neurological Disorder	3770	ALZHEIMER'S \$1,435	\$5,409.047	4.68%	X
Non-specific condition	5561	\$140	\$780.877	0.68%	
Pneumonia	243	UPPER RESPIRATORY TRACT \$3,822	\$928.744	0.80%	X
Psychological Disorder	2688	S.A.D. AND DEPRESSION \$2,771	\$7,447.883	6.44%	X
Renal Disorders	309	\$15,145	\$4,679.794	4.05%	
Trauma Related Condition	1822	TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY \$1,455	\$2,651.019	2.29%	X
Urinary Disorders	1381	\$2,170	\$2,996.360	2.59%	

% total diseases directly related to Vitamin D status = 66.08 %

RETIREE PLAN



4th Quarter Report 2013

Aggregate Risk Profile

Member Information			
Member Count	65376	Avg Forecasted Cost	\$15,666
Avg Age	63	Avg Total Cost	\$17,726
Percent Female	54%	Avg Forecasted Risk Index	2.56
Avg Months Enrolled	12	%/w Acute Impact Score >= 95	5.47%
		%/w Chronic Impact Score >= 95	16.63%
		%/w Motivation Rank >= 95	9.70%

Aggregate Risk Summary				
Risk Drivers	# Members	Avg Risk Contribution	Contribution to Forecast	Risk Contribution
Demographics	65376	SENIORS SKIN, FRACTURES, FALLS \$454	\$29,702,943	2.90% X
Acute Respiratory Disorders	9520	TUBERCULOSIS \$1,669	\$15,893,121	1.55% X
Arrhythmia Disorders	5170	\$2,860	\$14,786,038	1.44%
CHF Conditions	10658	CHRONIC HEART FAILURE \$2,758	\$29,389,999	2.87% X
Cerebral Vascular Disorder	5021	\$3,726	\$18,710,595	1.83%
Chronic Respiratory Disorders	11241	UPPER RESPIRATORY TRACT \$3,093	\$34,763,411	3.39% X
Coronary Artery Related Conditions	24057	CORONARY HEART DISEASE \$2,900	\$69,776,210	6.81% X
Dermatological Disorder	15979	\$1,958	\$31,281,265	3.05%
Diabetic Disorders	10689	TYPE 1 AND TYPE 2 \$5,966	\$63,771,119	6.23% X
Female Reproductive Conditions	103	PRETERM BIRTHS \$1,489	\$153,413	0.01% X
Gastrointestinal Disorders	18753	COLORECTAL CANCER \$2,146	\$40,246,314	3.93% X
Heart Related Conditions	4346	\$4,374	\$19,007,254	1.86%
Hypertension	21394	BLOOD PRESSURE \$1,623	\$34,713,887	3.39% X
Hypotensive Drugs	21282	\$1,869	\$39,772,355	3.88%
Major Infection Related Conditions	13879	MRSA \$3,007	\$41,731,745	4.07% X
Metabolic Conditions	28763	FIBROMYALGIA \$2,545	\$73,213,862	7.15% X
Minor Infection Related Conditions	14339	\$1,726	\$24,754,410	2.42%
Miscellaneous Conditions	32888	\$2,762	\$90,833,634	8.87%
Musculo-skeletal Disorders	32886	INFANT MUSCLE, SENIOR FALLS \$2,498	\$82,154,255	8.02% X
Myocardial Infarction Related Conditions	5796	\$3,424	\$19,844,208	1.94%
Neonatal Issues	63	AUTISM, HEART PROGRAMMING \$1,504	\$94,751	0.01% X
Neoplastic Related Conditions	7447	\$3,928	\$29,253,071	2.86%
Neurological Disorder	27775	ALZHEIMER'S \$1,561	\$43,366,164	4.23% X
Non-specific condition	12687	\$113	\$1,429,752	0.14%
Pneumonia	1619	UPPER RESPIRATORY TRACT \$5,098	\$8,254,358	0.81% X
Psychological Disorder	16031	S.A.D. AND DEPRESSION \$2,659	\$42,623,390	4.16% X
Renal Disorders	4750	\$16,668	\$79,174,484	7.73%
Trauma Related Condition	8749	TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY \$1,904	\$16,660,268	1.63% X
Urinary Disorders	11515	\$2,504	\$28,836,425	2.82%

% total diseases directly related to Vitamin D status = 61.16%

AlaskaCare Retiree Plan - 4th Quarter Report 2013

Notes in red by office of Rep. Seaton

DIABETES COST



LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH SERVICES

Alaska State Legislature
Division of Legal and Research Services
State Capitol, Juneau, AK 99801

(907) 465-3991 phone
(907) 465-3908 fax
research@legis.state.ak.us

Research Brief

TO: Representative Paul Seaton
FROM: Tim Spengler, Legislative Analyst
DATE: February 28, 2014
RE: Average Annual Cost Estimates Related to Diabetes and Cancer
LRS Report 14.251

You asked for estimates on the average annual medical costs for individuals with diabetes and cancer. You requested estimates that consider a number of factors including doctor visits, equipment, and expected procedures, if available.¹

Diabetes Cost Estimates

According to a major research study released in March 2013 commissioned by the American Diabetes Association (ADA), the estimated total costs of diagnosed diabetes nationwide have risen by 41 percent from 2007 to 2012.² Nationwide, costs associated with diabetes have increased from \$174 to \$245 billion during this time period. Most of these costs pertain to medical expenses although a portion relates to reduced productivity of diagnosed individuals. This in-depth study addresses the increased financial burden, health resources used, and lost productivity associated with diabetes.³

As for annual costs, the study concludes that people with diagnosed diabetes incur average medical expenditures directly attributable to the condition of around **\$7,900**. The largest medical expenditures are

- hospital inpatient care (43 percent of total medical cost);
- prescription medications (18 percent);
- anti-diabetic agents and diabetic supplies (12 percent);
- physician office visits (9 percent); and
- nursing/residential facility stays (8 percent).

Indirect costs of the disease pertain to the reduced productivity of those with diabetes. Such costs include increased absenteeism, reduced productivity in the workplace, inability to work as a result of disease-related disability, and lost productive capacity due to early mortality. Such costs exist, but were not calculated on a per person average.

The ADA-commissioned study also relates that people with diagnosed diabetes, on the average, have medical expenditures approximately 2.3 times higher than what expenditures would be in the absence of diabetes. Additionally, more than one in ten health care dollars spent in the United States is spent directly on diabetes and its related complications.

¹ You were also interested in the prevalence of diabetes and cancer among active and retired State of Alaska employees. We looked, but found no such data.

² You were particularly interested in costs for type 2 diabetes. While the study does not disaggregate by type, around 95 percent of diabetes cases are of the type 2 variety.

³ The American Diabetes Association-commissioned study, "Economic Costs of Diabetes in the U.S. in 2012," can be accessed at <http://care.diabetesjournals.org/content/36/4/1033.full>.

According to the ADA, in 2012 there were around 22.3 million people—about seven percent of the U.S. population—with diagnosed diabetes. As many as seven million more people, by some estimates, likely have the disease but are, as of yet, unaware of it. Should current trends continue, by 2050, up to one in three American may have diabetes.

Cancer Cost Estimates

We identified the cancer cost estimates in this section from a 2013 study funded by the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) and published by American Cancer Society. The study's findings are presented in a June 2013 original article entitled "State-Level Cancer Treatment Costs," which we include as Attachment A.⁴ According to the authors it is the first time state-level estimates of cancer treatment costs have been published.

The study, which looked at cancer care costs across the nation during 2004 to 2008, concludes that expenditures for cancer treatment were substantial in all states and accounted for a sizable fraction of medical expenditures for all payers: Medicare, Medicaid, and private insurance. The high financial costs that cancer imposes on society underscore the importance of preventing and controlling cancer as one approach to managing state-level costs, according to the article. This is in addition to, of course, the terrible human costs that the disease causes.

The estimated average annual cancer cost per person in Alaska during 2004 to 2008 was right around \$10,000 a year.⁵ This is slightly less than the \$11,100 average for all states. Treatment costs were highest in Michigan at around \$12,600 per year, while Arizona and California were the least expensive at around \$9,600. The study did not disaggregate costs by particular types of cancer.⁶ The article includes a great deal of additional information that you may find of interest. For example, Table 1 estimates the average annual cancer prevalence rates for each state. Alaska's rate for all residents was 3.3 percent compared to the median national average of 4.2 percent. *

Another document that you may find illuminating is the American Cancer Society's "Cancer Facts and Figures, 2014." The document estimates that in 2014 about 1,665,540 new cancer cases are expected to be diagnosed across the country. Of these diagnoses, it is estimated that 3,750 will occur in Alaska.⁷ It also disaggregates the estimated cancers by type; in Alaska, the most commonly diagnosed cancers are predicted to be prostate, breast, lung, and colon in that order.

Finally, you may wish to peruse the CDC's "Cancer Rates by States" (<http://www.cdc.gov/cancer/dccp/data/state.htm>). The site includes both incident and death rates for cancer disaggregated by state and type of cancer. The prevalence rates in Alaska appear to be generally on the middle or lower end of the nationwide spectrum.

We hope this is helpful. If you have questions or need additional information, please let us know.

⁴ An "original" research article is a detailed account of research activity written by the scientists who did the research—not by someone else who is reporting on the research; it is considered a primary resource.

⁵ Considering inflation, \$10,000 in 2008 would be equivalent to around \$11,000 in 2014. *

⁶ Another resource is the CDC's "cost calculator" for various chronic diseases, including cancer. The calculator must be downloaded but worked well for us. The calculator estimates the cost per person to treat cancer in Alaska to be nearly \$10,000, the same cost as "State-Level Cancer Treatment Costs," which the CDC was also involved with.

⁷ This document can be accessed at <http://www.cancer.org/acs/groups/content/@research/documents/document/acspc-041770.pdf>. Information on rates by state can be found on pages five through eight.

**Diabetes Incidence:
Comparing NHANES and D*action (18+ years)**


In a comparison of data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), 2005-2006, and GrassrootsHealth D*action participants in the United States, we found the following:

Incidence of Diabetes:

NHANES: 8.5/1,000 person-years

D*action: 0.9/1,000 person-years

A full 90% reduction in incidence - before adjusting for co-factors.



NHANES blood level 21 ng/ml

D*Action blood level 48 ng/ml

(Both groups had a similar average BMI, within 3 points.)

Rate Ratio = 9.7 (P=0.0002)

Chart Date: 8/6/13

© 2013 GrassrootsHealth. Preliminary data, not yet published.



GrassrootsHealth
A Public Health Promotion Organization

www.grassrootshealth.net

Blood 25-Hydroxy Vitamin D Levels and Incident Type 2 Diabetes

A meta-analysis of prospective studies

1. Yiqing Song, MD, SCD¹ †,
2. Lu Wang, MD, PHD¹,
3. Anastassios G. Pittas, MD, MS²,
4. Liana C. Del Gobbo, PHD³,
5. Cullin Zhang, MD, PHD¹,
6. JoAnn E. Manson, MD, DRPH^{1,5} and
7. Frank B. Hu, MD, PHD^{1,5,6}

± Author Affiliations

1. ¹Division of Preventive Medicine, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts
2. ²Division of Endocrinology, Diabetes and Metabolism, Tufts Medical Center, Boston, Massachusetts
3. ³Department of Nutrition, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts
4. ⁴Epidemiology Branch, Division of Epidemiology, Statistics, and Prevention Research, Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health & Human Development, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland
5. ⁵Department of Epidemiology, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts
6. ⁶Channing Laboratory, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts

1. Corresponding author: Yiqing Song, ysong3@rics.bwh.harvard.edu.

Next Section

Abstract

OBJECTIVE To quantitatively assess the strength and shape of the association between blood 25-hydroxy vitamin D [25(OH)D] levels and incident risk of type 2 diabetes.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS A systematic search of the MEDLINE and Embase databases and a hand search of references from original reports were conducted up to 31 October 2012. Prospective observational studies that assessed the association between blood levels of 25(OH)D and risk of incident type 2 diabetes were included for meta-analysis. DerSimonian and Laird's random-effects model was used. A quadratic spline regression analysis was used to examine the shape of the association with a generalized least-squares trend test performed for the dose-response relation.

RESULTS A total of 21 prospective studies involving 76,220 participants and 4,996 incident type 2 diabetes cases were included for meta-analysis. Comparing the highest to the lowest category of 25(OH)D levels, the summary relative risk for type 2 diabetes was 0.62 (95% CI 0.54–0.70). A spline regression model showed that higher 25(OH)D

38% Lower Risk of Type 2 with higher vitamin D

Active State Of Alaska employees, Retirees and dependents – **83,000**

Employees, Retirees and dependents minus those with Diabetes already – **71,143**

New incidences of diabetes per year – **8.5 per 1,000 per year (.0085)**

Average cost of annual medical expenditures directly attributable to diabetes – **\$7,900**

=

Current Diabetes Cost per year= \$4,777,252

Per year Savings at 90% reduction = \$4,299,527

(GrassrootsHealth D*Action study)

Per year Savings at 38% reduction = \$1,815,356

(Meta-analysis of prospective studies - Song et.al.)

COLORECTAL CANCER

Association Between Vitamin D and Risk of Colorectal Cancer: A Systematic Review of Prospective Studies

Yanlei Ma, Peng Zhang, Feng Wang, Jianjun Yang, Zhihua Liu, and Huanlong Qin

A B S T R A C T

All authors: The Sixth People's Hospital affiliated with Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, People's Republic of China.

Submitted March 4, 2011; accepted June 8, 2011; published online ahead of print at www.jco.org on August 29, 2011.

Supported by Grants No. 11QA1404800 from the Shanghai Rising-Star Program, 81001069 from the National Natural Science Foundation of China, and 2009AA02Z118 from the National 863 High Technology Foundation.

Y.M. and H.Q. contributed equally to this work.

Authors' disclosures of potential conflicts of interest and author contributions are found at the end of this article.

Corresponding author: Huanlong Qin, Department of Surgery, The Sixth People's Hospital affiliated with Shanghai Jiao Tong University, 600 Yishan Rd, Shanghai 200233, People's Republic of China; e-mail: hl-qin@hotmail.com.

© 2011 by American Society of Clinical Oncology

0732-183X/11/2928-3775/\$20.00

DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2011.35.7566

Purpose

To conduct a systematic review of prospective studies assessing the association of vitamin D intake or blood levels of 25-hydroxyvitamin D [25(OH)D] with the risk of colorectal cancer using meta-analysis.

Methods

Relevant studies were identified by a search of MEDLINE and EMBASE databases before October 2010 with no restrictions. We included prospective studies that reported relative risk (RR) estimates with 95% CIs for the association between vitamin D intake or blood 25(OH)D levels and the risk of colorectal, colon, or rectal cancer. Approximately 1,000,000 participants from several countries were included in this analysis.

Results

Nine studies on vitamin D intake and nine studies on blood 25(OH)D levels were included in the meta-analysis. The pooled RRs of colorectal cancer for the highest versus lowest categories of vitamin D intake and blood 25(OH)D levels were 0.88 (95% CI, 0.80 to 0.96) and 0.67 (95% CI, 0.54 to 0.80), respectively. There was no heterogeneity among studies of vitamin D intake ($P = .19$) or among studies of blood 25(OH)D levels ($P = .96$). A 10 ng/mL increment in blood 25(OH)D level conferred an RR of 0.74 (95% CI, 0.63 to 0.89).

Conclusion

Vitamin D intake and blood 25(OH)D levels were inversely associated with the risk of colorectal cancer in this meta-analysis.

33% Lower Risk

J Clin Oncol 29:3775-3782. © 2011 by American Society of Clinical Oncology

INTRODUCTION

25-hydroxyvitamin D [25(OH)D] is the precursor of the physiologically active form of vitamin D. The serum level of 25(OH)D is a result of exposure of the skin to sunlight, total vitamin D intake, and other factors such as age and skin pigmentation.¹⁻² Vitamin D has the ability to inhibit cell proliferation and increase apoptosis in vitro, and several tissues can locally produce the physiologically active form of vitamin D, which has anticarcinogenic properties.³⁻⁶ In addition, many cell types, including colorectal epithelial cells, contain vitamin D receptors. These cells are able to convert the circulating 25(OH)D into active 1 to 25(OH)D metabolites, which in turn bind to the cells' own vitamin D receptors to produce an autocrine effect by inducing cell differentiation and inhibiting proliferation, invasiveness, angiogenesis, and metastatic potential.⁷ Therefore, low vitamin D levels may increase the risk of colorectal cancer through the above potential mechanism. Currently, vitamin D deficiency is an impor-

tant health problem in the industrial world⁸⁻⁹; in the United States, 25% to 58% of adolescents and adults are deficient in vitamin D.¹⁰

The results from prospective studies that have examined the association between vitamin D intake or 25(OH)D levels in the blood and the risk of colorectal cancer have been inconsistent. The aim of this review was to evaluate the evidence from prospective studies on vitamin D intake or blood levels of 25(OH)D and the risk of colorectal cancer by summarizing it quantitatively with a meta-analysis approach.

METHODS

Search Strategy

The literature search was conducted before October 2010 in the MEDLINE and EMBASE databases without restrictions and included articles ahead of publication. The following keywords were used in searching: "vitamin D or 25(OH)D" and "colorectal cancer or colon cancer or rectal cancer." Moreover, we searched

NOTE: Colorectal Cancer death rates in the Alaska Native community are nearly double the Alaska and U.S. Baseline population - Healthy Alaskans 2010 - DHSS

Optimal vitamin D Status for Colorectal Cancer Prevention: A Quantitative Meta-Analysis
Edward D. Gorham, MPH, et. al. Am J Prev Med 2007;32(3)

Meta-analysis




Figure 1. Dose-response gradient for colorectal cancer according to serum 25(OH)D concentration, all five studies combined.^{1,4-7} The five points are the odds ratios for each quintile of 25(OH)D based on combined data from the five studies.

Active State Of Alaska employees, Retirees and dependents – **83,000**

Incidence of Colorectal Cancer per year in AK - **43 per 100,000 (.0043)**

Average cost of annual medical expenditures directly attributable to Colon Cancer
– **\$11,000**

=

AK State Cost for Colorectal Cancer per year **\$ 3,925,900**


50% per year savings with vitamin D

\$1,962,950

(meta-analysis Gorham et. al.)

BREAST CANCER

Meta-analysis of breast cancer risk



Dose-response gradient of risk of breast cancer according to serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentration, pooled analysis.

Active State Of Alaska employees, Retirees and dependents – **83,000**

Female percentage of AK employees and retirees: **53% = 43,990**

Incidence of Breast Cancer per year in AK - **125 per 100,000 (.0125)**

Average cost of annual medical expenditures directly attributable to Breast
Cancer – **\$11,000**

=

Per year AK State Cost for Breast Cancer: **\$ 6,048,625**

50% reduction with vitamin D

Per Year Savings with vitamin D:

\$3,024,312

PRETERM BIRTHS

Select a summary



search

go

news: Fetal and

Alaska

Find maternal and infant health data on a state level, or by county or city. Narrow your results or compare with another region.

Location: Alaska [edit](#)

Topic: Preterm by race/ethnicity [edit](#)

Format: Bar Graph [edit](#)


search

reset

view tray

slides (0)

Preterm by race/ethnicity: Alaska, 2009-2011 Average





Protect Our Children *NOW!*

A community outreach program to reduce the incidence of preterm births quickly, easily, and safely by attending to solving the vitamin D deficiency epidemic through the engagement of pregnant women in a value changing program of Good Health vs 'Treating Illness'

Approximately 1300 infants will be born prematurely in Alaska in 2014 per the March of Dimes. Fully 25-50% of these in the state, 325-650 babies and their families, could possibly have this trauma prevented with vitamin D supplementation to the pregnant mother. Premature births are closely associated with cerebral palsy, mental impairment and permanent hearing loss among other deficiencies.

The March of Dimes estimates that the cost of each premature infant is \$55,000, adding up to a total annual cost of \$72MM of which \$18-36MM could likely be saved (on an annual basis). Data from randomized trials and others works from Dr. Wagner et al. showed a potential reduction of 50% in preterm births and significant reductions in preeclampsia and gestational diabetes as well as other complications of pregnancy. The problem now is getting the results into practice quickly vs waiting the standard 15-25 years.

Solving this problem requires nothing less than *Changing Cultural Values*, from 'Early Detection' to 'Primary Prevention'; from 'Affordable Care' to 'Good Health'; from individuals 'Taking Advice' of physicians to 'Consultation' with them. In order to accomplish this, the timing is perfect to link a new, highly accepted technology (internet application) to the new value of HEALTH through the environment of 'MyOWNHealth™' which captures all the essential ingredients of change: the science, the proven recommendations for pregnancy, clear methods for setting priorities for the individual, personal feedback and rewards for performance, a process for total engagement from learning through personal reward systems. This is a personal portal for the patient.

Behind the scenes, information is tracked by the system to provide information to the providers, the insurers, the scientists about what's working, what needs changing, i.e., a complete feedback loop to perfect the process. This will improve processes as well as strengthen public health.

A full demonstration of this process, to serve as a 'seed' for an entire community, has been developed by an international non-profit public health promotion organization, GrassrootsHealth, in conjunction with the leading researcher, Dr. Carol L. Wagner of the Medical University of South Carolina as the Principal Designer/Leader. They have in place not only a vitamin D testing program for the mothers and infants, but all the pieces of the MyOWNHealth™ system: simple, interactive educational programs for participants and physicians, engaging games and reward systems, programs to track the progress of healthy behavioral changes, management feedback to provide ongoing enhancements to the process.

* This community project will involve the active participation of about 500 pregnant women. With the 500 women participating, there could be 25 children saved this problem with a potential cost savings of \$1,375,000 for this group alone in the first implementation.


Next steps to explore this program would include a meeting with Carole Baggerly, director of GrassrootsHealth and Dr. Wagner to highlight the details of a project plan for the community site.

- Approximate number of births per year in Alaska = 11,000
- Assumption: 500 births per year to State of Alaska Employees, Retirees and Dependents
- For each 500 pregnancies in the Alaska insured and dependent category with vitamin D sufficiency 25 preterm births avoided
- Savings to the state by avoiding 25 preterm births = \$1,375,000

UPPER RESPIRATORY TRACT INFECTIONS

Upper Respiratory Tract Infections

Recently, a study was conducted with seven hundred forty-three children ages 3-15 in a Canadian Hutterite Community. **The findings of the study show that children with higher vitamin D blood levels had a 50% lower relative risk of contracting an Upper Respiratory Tract infection.** Those children at the United States national average of 21 ng/ml vitamin D levels were at a 70% greater risk of contracting respiratory infections. Illnesses such as RTI's are commonly a factor in children's absences from school. Making sure your child has sufficient vitamin D will not only increase their health, but will lead to less school absences due to illness.



Low Serum 25 Hydroxyvitamin D level and Risk of Upper Respiratory tract infection in Children and Adolescents Science et. al. Journal of Clinical Infectious Diseases, August 2013 volume 57.

Vitamin D₃ supplementation in patients with frequent respiratory tract infections: a randomised and double-blind intervention study

Peter Bergman,^{1,2,3} Anna-Carin Norlin,^{2,4} Susanne Hansen,² Rokeya Sultana Rekha,⁵ Birgitta Agerberth,⁵ Linda Björkhem-Bergman,⁶ Lena Ekström,⁶ Jonatan D Lindh,⁶ Jan Andersson³

To cite: Bergman P, Norlin A-C, Hansen S, *et al*. Vitamin D₃ supplementation in patients with frequent respiratory tract infections: a randomised and double-blind intervention study. *BMJ Open* 2012;2:e001663. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2012-001663

► Prepublication history and additional material for this paper are available online. To view these files please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2012-001663>).

Received 13 June 2012
Revised 15 November 2012
Accepted 15 November 2012

This final article is available for use under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial 2.0 Licence; see <http://bmjopen.bmj.com>

PB and ACN contributed equally to this study.

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to Dr Peter Bergman; peter.bergman@ki.se

ABSTRACT

Background: Low serum levels of 25-hydroxyvitamin D₃ are associated with an increased risk of respiratory tract infections (RTIs). Clinical trials with vitamin D₃ against various infections have been carried out but data are so far not conclusive. Thus, there is a need for additional randomised controlled trials of effects of vitamin D₃ on infections.

Objective: To investigate if supplementation with vitamin D₃ could reduce infectious symptoms and antibiotic consumption among patients with antibody deficiency or frequent RTIs.

Design: A double-blind randomised controlled trial.

Setting: Karolinska University Hospital, Huddinge.

Participants: 140 patients with antibody deficiency (selective IgA subclass deficiency, IgG subclass deficiency, common variable immune disorder) and patients with increased susceptibility to RTIs (>4 bacterial RTIs/year) but without immunological diagnosis.

Intervention: Vitamin D₃ (4000 IU) or placebo was given daily for 1 year.

Primary and secondary outcome measures: The primary endpoint was an infectious score based on five parameters: symptoms from respiratory tract, ears and sinuses, malaise and antibiotic consumption. Secondary endpoints were serum levels of

25-hydroxyvitamin D₃, microbiological findings and levels of antimicrobial peptides (LL-37, HNP1-3) in nasal fluid.

Results: The overall infectious score was significantly reduced for patients allocated to the vitamin D group (202 points) compared with the placebo group (249 points; adjusted relative score 0.771, 95% CI 0.604 to 0.985, p=0.04).

Limitations: A single study centre, small sample size and a selected group of patients. The sample size calculation was performed using p=0.02 as the significance level whereas the primary and secondary endpoints were analysed using the conventional p=0.05 as the significance level.

Conclusions: Supplementation with vitamin D₃ may reduce disease burden in patients with frequent RTIs.

ARTICLE SUMMARY

Article focus

- Recent evidence suggests that vitamin D₃ has potent extraskeletal effects, such as suppression of inflammation and strengthening of mucosal immunity by induction of antimicrobial peptides.
- Data from observational studies suggest that low levels of 25-hydroxyvitamin D₃ are associated with an increased risk of respiratory tract infections.
- Results from a limited number of randomised controlled trials on the protective role of vitamin D₃ against respiratory tract infections are inconclusive and thus additional studies are warranted.

Key messages

- Therefore we designed and carried out a randomised controlled trial where a large dose (4000 IU) of vitamin D₃ was given to patients with an increased susceptibility to infections for 1 year.
- The main conclusion is that vitamin D₃ supplementation reduces symptoms and antibiotic consumption among patients with an increased frequency of respiratory tract infections. Thus, vitamin D₃ supplementation may be an alternative strategy to reduce antibiotic use among patients with recurrent respiratory tract infections.



Strengths and limitations of this study

- A high daily dose of vitamin D₃ was used, the study time was a full year covering all seasons and patients with an increased frequency of respiratory tract infections were studied.
- A single study centre, small sample size (n=140) and a selected group of patients.

INTRODUCTION

Vitamin D was discovered when it was noted that rachitic children were improved by exposure to sunlight.¹ It was later shown by Holick *et al*² that vitamin D₃ is synthesised in the skin under the influence of ultraviolet light. Vitamin D₃ is further hydroxylated in the liver

INFLAMMATION



Hiremath VP, Rao CB, Naik V, Prasad KVV. Anti-inflammatory Effect of Vitamin D on Gingivitis: A Dose-Response Randomised Control Trial. Oral Health Prev. Dent. 2013; 11(1):61-69. (notes by Seaton)



MUSCLE FUNCTION



JAMDA

journal homepage: www.jamda.com



Original Study

Vitamin D and Muscle Function: Is There a Threshold in the Relation?

Hennie C.J.P. Janssen MD^{a,*}, Marielle H. Emmelot-Vonk MD, PhD^a, Harald J.J. Verhaar MD, PhD^a, Yvonne T. van der Schouw Prof^b

^aDepartment of Geriatrics, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands

^bJulius Center for Health Sciences and Primary Care, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands

A B S T R A C T

Keywords:
Vitamin D
muscle function
performance
elderly

Objectives: First, to determine the association between serum 25 hydroxyvitamin D (25OHD) concentration and muscle mass, strength, and performance. Second, to explore if there is a threshold in the association.

Design: Cross-sectional, single-center study.

Setting: The central part of the Netherlands (52° Northern latitude).

Participants: A total of 802 independently living men and postmenopausal women 40 to 80 years of age.

Measurements: Health-related and lifestyle factors, including physical activity, 25OHD concentration, lean mass, handgrip strength, knee extension strength, and physical performance were determined.

Results: Overall, higher 25OHD level was significantly associated with higher lean mass (22.6 g per nmol/L, 95% CI 7.3–37.9), handgrip strength (0.020 kg per nmol/L, 95% CI 0.001–0.038), and physical performance (0.006 points per nmol/L, 95% CI 0.001–0.012), after adjustment for various confounders. This association was most pronounced below a 25OHD level of 60 nmol/L, with lean mass increase 79.6 g per nmol/L (95% CI 40.8–118.4, $P < .01$), handgrip strength 0.09 kg per nmol/L (95% CI 0.045–0.141, $P < .01$), and physical performance 0.02 points per nmol/L (95% CI 0.005–0.032, $P < .01$), and these significant associations attenuated to null above this threshold.

Conclusion: In middle aged men and (postmenopausal) women, a higher 25OHD level was significantly associated with higher lean mass, muscle strength, and performance. These associations were most pronounced below 60 nmol/L and absent above 60 nmol/L, indicating a ceiling effect.

Copyright © 2013 - American Medical Directors Association, Inc.

Evidence on the diverse actions of vitamin D has been growing exponentially over the past decades. In addition to its well-known role in bone metabolism, vitamin D involvement has been reported in autoimmune disease, reproductive function, malignancy, mood disorder, the metabolic syndrome, and, recently, even in sleep disorders.^{1,2} One of the major fields of investigation regarding vitamin D has been in the prevention of falls and fractures in the elderly.^{3–12}

Annually, at least 30% of independently living older people experience a fall,¹³ with a quarter of those who fall having serious

injury requiring medical attention and about 6% experiencing a fracture.¹⁴ This has profound implications on quality of life,¹⁵ and in a US population-based survey, no fewer than 50% of independently living patients with a fall-related injury admitted to hospital were discharged to a nursing home.¹⁶

Several mechanisms have been postulated for a causal role of vitamin D deficiency in falls and fractures. First, vitamin D deficiency may impair bone metabolism and thereby increase proneness to fracture, should a fall occur.¹⁷ Second, vitamin D deficiency may cause muscle weakness,⁶ and, finally, it may exert a negative effect on postural stability and body sway.^{18,19}

In severe vitamin D deficiency, vitamin D supplementation, with or without calcium, improved muscle function and balance.^{18,20–22} However, evidence from meta-analyses on falls and fractures is still inconclusive.^{23–26} This may be partly because it is unclear what serum hydroxyvitamin D concentration constitutes adequate vitamin D status with regard to bone health and extraskelatal vitamin D actions. International guidelines advise a serum hydroxyvitamin D concentration of 50 nmol/L²⁷ and 75 nmol/L as adequate.²⁸ However, in a meta-analysis on fall prevention in older people, a minimum serum hydroxyvitamin D concentration of 60 nmol/L was necessary

This study was funded by ZON-MW (grant no. 2100.0011) and the International Health Foundation, Geneva, Switzerland. ZON-MW and The International Health Foundation neither controlled nor influenced the contents of the research or of this article, nor played any part in the decision to submit this manuscript for publication. The costs of the vitamin D measurements were partly sponsored by Roche Diagnostics Nederland b.v. Roche Diagnostics neither controlled nor influenced the contents of this research.

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

* Address correspondence to Hennie C.J.P. Janssen, MD, Department of Geriatrics, B05.256, University Medical Center Utrecht, PO Box 85500, 3508 GA Utrecht, The Netherlands.

E-mail address: hcjp.janssen@gmail.com (H.C.J.P. Janssen).

A randomized study on the effect of vitamin D₃ supplementation on skeletal muscle morphology and vitamin D receptor concentration in older women

Lisa Ceglia^{1,2}, Sathit Niramitmahapanya^{2,3}, Mauricio da S. Morais⁴, Donato A. Rivas⁴, Susan S. Harris², Heike Bischoff-Ferrari^{2,5,6}, Roger A. Fielding⁴, Bess Dawson-Hughes²

¹Division of Endocrinology, Diabetes and Metabolism, Tufts Medical Center, Boston, MA; ²Bone Metabolism Laboratory and ⁴Nutrition, Exercise Physiology and Sarcopenia Laboratory, Jean Mayer USDA Human Nutrition Research Center on Aging at Tufts University, Boston, MA; ³Department of Medicine, Rajavithi Hospital, College of Medicine, Rangsit University, Bangkok, Thailand; ⁵Centre on Aging and Mobility, University of Zurich and ⁶City Hospital Waid; Department of Geriatrics and Aging Research, University Hospital Zurich

Context: Studies examining whether vitamin D supplementation increases muscle mass or muscle-specific vitamin D receptor (VDR) concentration are lacking.

Objective: To determine whether vitamin D₃ 4000 IU/d alters muscle fiber cross-sectional area (FCSA) and intramyonuclear VDR concentration over 4 months.

Design and Setting: Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study in a single center.

Participants: 21 mobility-limited women (aged ≥ 65 years) with serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25OHD) levels 22.5–60 nmol/L.

Main Outcome Measures: Baseline and 4-month FCSA and intramyonuclear VDR were measured from *vastus lateralis* muscle cross-sections probed for muscle fiber type (I/IIa/IIx) and VDR using immunofluorescence.

Results: At baseline, mean (\pm SD) age was 78 ± 5 years; body mass index (BMI) was 27 ± 5 kg/m²; 25OHD was 46.3 ± 9.5 nmol/L; and a short physical performance battery score was 7.95 ± 1.57 out of 12. At 4 months, 25OHD level was 52.5 ± 17.1 (placebo) vs. 80.0 ± 11.5 nmol/L (VD; $P < 0.01$) and change in 25OHD level was strongly associated with percent change in intramyonuclear VDR concentration independent of group ($r = 0.87$, $P < 0.001$). By treatment group, percent change in intramyonuclear VDR concentration was $7.8 \pm 18.2\%$ (placebo) vs. $29.7 \pm 11.7\%$ (VD; $P = 0.03$) with a more pronounced group difference in type II vs. I fibers. Percent change in total (type I/II) FCSA was $-7.4 \pm 18.9\%$ (placebo) vs. $10.6 \pm 20.0\%$ (VD; $P = 0.048$).



Conclusion: Vitamin D₃ supplementation increased intramyonuclear VDR concentration by 30% and increased muscle fiber size by 10% in older, mobility-limited, vitamin D-insufficient women. Further work is needed to determine whether the observed effect of vitamin D on fiber size is mediated by the VDR and to identify which signaling pathways are involved.

Low vitamin D status has been associated with reduced muscle mass, strength, and performance in older adults (1–5). Several intervention studies have reported

that vitamin D supplementation increases appendicular muscle strength and improves physical function particularly in older women with low vitamin D status (6–9).

ISSN Print 0021-972X ISSN Online 1945-7197

Printed in U.S.A.

Copyright © 2013 by The Endocrine Society

Received July 11, 2013. Accepted October 7, 2013.

Abbreviations:

Maternal Antenatal Vitamin D Status and Offspring Muscle Development: Findings From the Southampton Women's Survey

Nicholas C. Harvey,* Rebecca J. Moon,* Avan Aihie Sayer, Georgia Ntani, Justin H. Davies, M. Kassim Javaid, Sian M. Robinson, Keith M. Godfrey, Hazel M. Inskip, Cyrus Cooper, and The Southampton Women's Survey Study Group

Medical Research Council Lifecourse Epidemiology Unit (N.C.H., R.J.M., A.A.S., G.N., S.M.R., K.M.G., H.M.I., C.C.), University of Southampton, Southampton SO16 6YD, United Kingdom; National Institute for Health Research Southampton Biomedical Research Centre (N.C.H., K.M.G., C.C.), University of Southampton and University Hospital Southampton National Health Service Foundation Trust, Southampton SO16 6YD, United Kingdom; Paediatric Endocrinology (R.J.M., J.H.D.), University Hospital Southampton National Health Service Foundation Trust, Southampton SO16 6YD, United Kingdom; and National Institute for Health Research Musculoskeletal Biomedical Research Unit (M.K.J., C.C.), University of Oxford, Nuffield Orthopedic Centre, Headington, Oxford OX3 7HE, United Kingdom

Context: Maternal 25-hydroxyvitamin D [25(OH)D] status in pregnancy has been associated with offspring bone development and adiposity. Vitamin D has also been implicated in postnatal muscle function, but little is known about a role for antenatal 25(OH)D exposure in programming muscle development.

Objective: We investigated the associations between maternal plasma 25(OH)D status at 34 weeks of gestation and offspring lean mass and muscle strength at 4 years of age.

Design and Setting: We studied a prospective UK population-based mother-offspring cohort: the Southampton Women's Survey (SWS).

Participants: Initially, 12 583 nonpregnant women were recruited into the SWS, of whom 3159 had singleton pregnancies; 678 mother-child pairs were included in this analysis.

Main Outcomes Measured: At 4 years of age, offspring assessments included hand grip strength and whole-body dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry, yielding lean mass and percent lean mass. Physical activity was assessed by 7-day accelerometry in a subset of children ($n = 326$).

Results: The maternal serum 25(OH)D concentration in pregnancy was positively associated with offspring height-adjusted hand grip strength ($\beta = 0.10$ SD/SD, $P = .013$), which persisted after adjustment for maternal confounding factors, duration of breastfeeding, and child's physical activity at 4 years ($\beta = 0.13$ SD/SD, $P = .014$). Maternal 25(OH)D was also positively associated with offspring percent lean mass ($\beta = 0.11$ SD/SD, $P = .006$), but not total lean mass ($\beta = 0.06$ SD/SD, $P = .15$). However, this association did not persist after adjustment for confounding factors ($\beta = 0.09$ SD/SD, $P = .11$).

Conclusions: This observational study suggests that intrauterine exposure to 25(OH)D during late pregnancy might influence offspring muscle development through an effect primarily on muscle strength rather than on muscle mass. (*J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 99: 330–337, 2014)



SENIOR FALLS AND FRACTURES

Vitamin D project helps prevent falls and saves health costs

Published By [Live News](#) / August 8, 2013 / [No Comments](#)

Source: New Zealand Government – Press Release/Statement:

Headline: Vitamin D project helps prevent falls and saves health costs

Associate Minister of Health Jo Goodhew says MidCentral DHB's vitamin D project is a good example of how a simple intervention can improve lives and save health dollars.

In 2010 the DHB, in partnership with ACC, began encouraging health professionals to prescribe vitamin D to residents in aged care facilities. Between March 2010 and June 2012 the uptake of vitamin D by aged care residents increased from 15 to 74 per cent.

“Comparisons from before and after the start of the project show a 32 per cent reduction in aged residential care residents going to the emergency department with falls-related fractures, and a 41 per cent reduction in their hospital admissions due to these fractures.” Mrs Goodhew said.

“The benefits of preventing falls in older people cannot be overstated. Preventing falls enables older people to maintain their independence and confidence.

“Of older people who suffer a hip fracture, nearly 20 per cent will die within a year. Almost half will require long-term care and half will require help at home. Half of those who walked without help before fracturing a hip will be unable to walk without assistance in the year following the fracture.”

The vitamin D project is also estimated to have saved MidCentral DHB more than \$540,000 because of fewer people coming to the emergency department and reduced admissions to hospital. Further savings are also likely because of reduced need for clinical support, hospital pharmacy services, and physiotherapy and rehabilitation services. *

International evidence shows that taking vitamin D significantly reduces older adults' risk of falling.

“We know older people are less likely to fall and injure themselves if they keep their muscles and bones in good condition.

Vitamin D has been shown to increase the number and size of type II muscle fibres, which play an important role in balance and mobility. Vitamin D also helps maintain bone strength,” MidCentral DHB pharmacy advisor Andrew Orange says.

The Health Quality & Safety Commission's national patient safety campaign *Open for better care* is currently focusing on falls prevention. For more information about the *Open* campaign, go to www.open.hqsc.govt.nz.

PURPOSE:

To standardize and optimize vitamin D supplementation for people living in Fraser Health (FH) residential care facilities.

BACKGROUND

Vitamin D supplementation has been extensively studied as a treatment to prevent both falls and fractures¹⁻³. Vitamin D is an important nutrient involved in calcium metabolism, bone health, and muscle function, hence its direct beneficial effect on falls and fracture prevention. In addition, prospective epidemiologic studies have suggested that vitamin D may reduce the risk of cardiovascular disease and some forms of cancer, and may have positive effects on immune responses and anti-inflammatory benefits^{4, 5}.

Vitamin D deficiency can arise from limited sun exposure, impaired ability of the liver or kidneys to activate vitamin D, limited dietary intake, or poor absorption from the intestine^{6, 7}. Vitamin D deficiency can cause osteomalacia (characterized by weakness of the bone and muscle), contribute to the development of osteoporosis, immune system dysfunction, and bone pain and is also associated with an increased risk of falls and higher risk of fractures in older adults^{6, 7}.

Despite the evidence that vitamin D is an important treatment for falls and fracture prevention, as well as potential cancer and cardiovascular benefits, vitamin D supplementation is not standard in most residential facilities and the prevalence of vitamin D deficiency is high in institutionalized people^{8, 9}.

To address this gap, FH (with funding from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research), brought together a Specialist Advisory Group (see Appendix A) comprised of health professionals, researchers, decision makers, policy makers, and other relevant stakeholders for a series of meetings with the purpose of developing an evidence based, practical and sustainable vitamin D protocol for residents of residential care facilities. The main objective of the group was to develop recommendations to indicate who should and should not be placed on the vitamin D protocol, how much vitamin D is safe and effective, and what the optimum dosing frequency is with minimal impact on staff workload and cost.

According to the recommendation from the Specialist Advisory Group, the adequate safe and effective dosage for older adult residents living in residential care facilities is 20,000 IU weekly of vitamin D₃ (2X 10,000 IU in tablet form). Only residents with hypercalcaemia^Δ and/or severe renal

* The upper level intakes of Vitamin D set by the Institute of Medicine (4,000 IU/day) and the Endocrine Society Clinical Practice Guideline (10,000 IU/day) represent the safe boundary at the high end of the scale and should not be misunderstood as amounts people need or should strive to consume^{6,10}.

Δ Diagnosing hypercalcaemia should not utilize serum calcium level testing to implement protocol unless physicians have significant evidence to test.



Better health
Best in health care

CLINICAL PROTOCOL: Vitamin D Protocol in Residential Care Facilities

AUTHORIZATION:
RESIDENTIAL CARE &
ASSISTED LIVING PROGRAM,
OLDER ADULT PROGRAM &
THE CLINICAL POLICY OFFICE

Date Released:
November 8,
2011

Page 2 of 6

failure (GFR <20 mL/ min) or those who refuse supplementation, should be excluded from the protocol.

DEFINITIONS

Vitamin D: is a fat soluble steroid hormone derived from cholesterol. Vitamin D₃ (cholecalciferol) is one form that is synthesized in our skin when exposed to sunlight. Another form of vitamin D, vitamin D₂ (ergocalciferol) can be obtained from certain foods, supplements or by prescription.

Vitamin D deficiency: blood serum levels are less than 25 nmol/L

Vitamin D insufficiency: blood serum levels are between 25-75 nmol/L

Vitamin D sufficiency: blood serum levels are between 75-250 nmol/L

Vitamin D toxicity: blood serum levels are above 375 nmol/L

Tolerable Upper Limit: is the highest dose a person can chronically consume without risk of adverse effects

RELATED RESOURCES

- I. Vitamin D: A proven D-fence against falls. 2008. Available at:
http://www.acc.co.nz/PRD_EXT_CSMP/groups/external_ip/documents/publications_promotion/prd_ctrb095324.pdf
- II. Stay on your feet and stay active - with a little help from Vitamin D. 2008. Available at:
http://www.acc.co.nz/PRD_EXT_CSMP/groups/external_ip/documents/publications_promotion/prd_ctrb095323.pdf
- III. Standing up to falls. 2009. Available at:
http://www.acc.co.nz/PRD_EXT_CSMP/groups/external_ip/documents/guide/wim2_059285.pdf
- IV. Vitamin D program - Information for pharmacists. 2011. Available at:
http://www.acc.co.nz/PRD_EXT_CSMP/groups/external_ip/documents/publications_promotion/wp_c087748.pdf
- V. Vitamin D Prescribing Criteria. 2008. Available at: <http://www.acc.co.nz/search-results/index.htm?ssUserText=vitamin+D+prescribing+criteria+>
- VI. Identification of fall risks and interventions for falls and injury reduction - Clinical Practice Guideline (CPG) Residential Complex Care. 2009. Available at:
http://fhpulse/clinical_programs/residential_care_and_assisted_living/resources/CPG/Fraser%20Health/Falls%20CPG%20-%20Residential.pdf
- VII. Hanley DA, Cranney A, Jones G, Whiting SJ, Leslie WD. Vitamin D in adult health and disease: a review and guideline statement from Osteoporosis Canada (summary). *CMAJ*. 2010;182(12):1315-1319



Author(s): Fraser Health

CDST#:

NOTE: This is a controlled document for Fraser Health (FH) internal use only. FH accepts no responsibility for use outside of this health authority. The electronic version of this document in the Clinical Policy Office is the current version - any print versions should be checked against the electronic copy.



REVIEW OF RECENT EVIDENCE ON VITAMIN D AND
HEALTH





Autoimmunity Reviews

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/autrev

Review

Vitamin D effects on musculoskeletal health, immunity, autoimmunity, cardiovascular disease, cancer, fertility, pregnancy, dementia and mortality—A review of recent evidence

Pawel Pludowski ^{a,*}, Michael F. Holick ^b, Stefan Pilz ^{c,d}, Carol L. Wagner ^e, Bruce W. Hollis ^e, William B. Grant ^f, Yehuda Shoenfeld ^g, Elisabeth Lerchbaum ^c, David J. Llewellyn ^h, Katharina Kienreich ^c, Maya Soni ^h

^a Department of Biochemistry, Radioimmunology and Experimental Medicine, The Children's Memorial Health Institute, Warsaw, Poland

^b Department of Medicine, Section of Endocrinology, Nutrition, and Diabetes, Vitamin D, Skin and Bone Research Laboratory, Boston University Medical Center, Boston, MA, USA

^c Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Medical University of Graz, Austria

^d Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, EMGO Institute for Health and Care Research, VU University Medical Centre, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

^e Division of Neonatology, Department of Pediatrics, Children's Research Institute, Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, SC, USA

^f Sunlight, Nutrition, and Health Research Center, San Francisco, CA, USA

^g Zabudowicz Center for Autoimmune Diseases, Chaim Sheba Medical Center, Incumbent of the Laura Schwarz-Kipp Chair for Research of Autoimmune Diseases, Sackler Faculty of Medicine, Tel-Aviv University, Israel

^h University of Exeter Medical School, Exeter, United Kingdom

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 12 February 2013

Accepted 28 February 2013

Available online 28 March 2013

Keywords:

Vitamin D
25(OH)D level
Falls
Fractures
Cardiovascular
Cancer
Immunity
Autoimmunity
Fertility
Pregnancy
Infancy
Infections
Mortality
Dementia

ABSTRACT

Background: Optimal vitamin D intake and its status are important not only for bone and calcium-phosphate metabolism, but also for overall health and well-being. Vitamin D deficiency and insufficiency as a global health problem are likely to be a risk for wide spectrum of acute and chronic illnesses.

Methods: A review of randomized controlled trials, meta-analyses, and other evidence of vitamin D action on various health outcomes.

Results: Adequate vitamin D status seems to be protective against musculoskeletal disorders (muscle weakness, falls, fractures), infectious diseases, autoimmune diseases, cardiovascular disease, type 1 and type 2 diabetes mellitus, several types of cancer, neurocognitive dysfunction and mental illness, and other diseases, as well as infertility and adverse pregnancy and birth outcomes. Vitamin D deficiency/insufficiency is associated with all-cause mortality.

Conclusions: Adequate vitamin D supplementation and sensible sunlight exposure to reach optimal vitamin D status are among the front line factors of prophylaxis for the spectrum of disorders. Supplementation guidance and population strategies for the eradication of vitamin D deficiency must be included in the priorities of physicians, medical professionals and healthcare policy-makers.

© 2013 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Contents

1. Introduction	977
2. Defining vitamin D deficiency and its consequences on skeletal health	977
3. Vitamin D and musculoskeletal system	978

* Sources of support: This work is supported in part by the Grant of MNiSW 5412/B/P01/2010/39, EU Structural Grant # POIG.02.01.00-14-059/09, NIH CTSI Grant # UL1-RR025771, The Thrasher Research Fund, NIH RR01070, UL1 RR029882, The Children's Memorial Health Institute Internal Grants S109/2009 and 181/2007, The Alzheimer's Association Grant # NIRC-11-200737, and the Department of Biochemistry, Radioimmunology and Medicine, The Children's Memorial Health Institute, Warsaw, Poland as well as the Division of Neonatology, Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, SC, USA.

* Corresponding author at: The Children's Memorial Health Institute, Department of Biochemistry, Radioimmunology and Experimental Medicine, Aleja Dzieci Polskich 20, 04-730 Warsaw, Poland.

E-mail address: p.pludowski@czd.p.lodz.pl (P. Pludowski).

Controversy remains regarding the appropriate adjustment for covariates in observational studies of vitamin D in relation to cognitive decline or dementia. For example, the sources of vitamin D itself (sunlight exposure, dietary intake, fortification and supplementation) are not likely to be confounders, and adjustment for these variables or proxy measures such as time spent outdoors or latitude is likely to represent over adjustment. Even adjustment for age is not without controversy, as human skin is known to become less efficient at vitamin D production with age. Age is therefore related to the synthesis of vitamin D and is not just a proxy measure for possible unmeasured confounding.

Ultimately randomized controlled trials are needed to establish whether vitamin D supplementation has clinical relevance in this context and can be used to prevent, delay or treat dementia. At this point no large well-designed randomized controlled trials have been conducted, and the causal relationship between vitamin D and dementia remains uncertain and caution should be exercised. Existing trials on vitamin D and cognitive decline have produced inconclusive results and had a number of important drawbacks including small sample sizes (<100) [195,196] and the use of low doses of vitamin D (<520 IU/day) with a combination of other nutrients [195,197], making interpretation difficult. However, several large trials are currently underway which will provide important new information. The DOHealth trial is being conducted in around 2000 participants aged 70 years and older across eight European cities. Vitamin D3 supplements (2000 IU/day) are one of the three interventions incorporated and cognitive outcomes will be measured over 3 years. Another key trial is the VITAL study in the US that aims to recruit around 20,000 middle aged and older adults. Again one of the interventions investigated will be vitamin D3 supplements (2000 IU/day), although cognitive outcomes over 4.5 years will only be assessed in a subsample of around 10% of participants. Neither trial targets older adults who are known to have low levels of vitamin D and early cognitive changes indicating that they are at high risk for dementia. If these trials do not produce promising results we may be left wondering if a more targeted approach or a different dose of vitamin D supplementation might be more effective.

11. Conclusion

It is now recognized that vitamin D deficiency and insufficiency are a global health problem [1,5,198–201]. A multitude of studies have suggested that vitamin D deficiency and insufficiency not only have negative consequences on bone health but are also likely to be a risk for many acute and chronic illnesses including infectious diseases, autoimmune diseases, cardiovascular disease, type 1 and type 2 diabetes mellitus, several types of cancer, neurocognitive dysfunction and mental illness, and other diseases, as well as infertility and adverse pregnancy and birth outcomes [24,26,37,49,55,75–79,85,90–94,100–105,109,117,118,136,141,146,186,187,202,203].

It is interesting that healthy black children in South Africa have blood levels of 25(OH)D of 49 ± 4 ng/mL [204] similar to adult Maasai herders of 47 ± 10 ng/mL [205]. It is well documented that blood levels of 25(OH)D are maximum at the end of the summer and are at their nadir at the end of the winter even in Denmark [206]. Physiologically it makes no sense to have wide swings in the circulating levels of 25(OH)D. This is the reason why a three-part strategy to maintain circulating levels of 25(OH)D of at least 30 ng/mL should be encouraged. Sensible sun exposure, which remains the major source of vitamin D for most children and adults [1,207], along with including foods that naturally contain or are fortified with vitamin D [1], and taking a daily supplement of vitamin D should be able to sustain blood levels of 25(OH)D in a range similar to our hunter-gatherer forefathers, i.e. 25(OH)D –40–50 ng/mL. Since there is no downside to increasing children's and adults' vitamin D status (with the exception of patients with granulomatous disorders) it is reasonable to attain and maintain a circulating level of 25(OH)D of 40–60 ng/mL as recommended by the Endocrine Society Experts or even slightly lower (30–50 ng/mL)

as recommended in "Practical guidelines for supplementation of vitamin D and treatment of deficits in Central Europe: Recommended vitamin D intakes in general population and groups being at risk of vitamin D deficiency" [208], not only for optimal bone health but also for overall health and well-being.

Take-home messages

- Vitamin D deficiency is a global health problem for children and adults. Vitamin D deficiency is associated with rickets and growth retardation in children and osteoporosis and osteomalacia in adults. Vitamin D deficiency has also been linked to many acute and chronic illnesses including some cancers, autoimmune diseases, cardiovascular disease, type 1 and type 2 diabetes mellitus, infectious diseases and neurocognitive dysfunction and other diseases, as well as infertility and adverse pregnancy and birth outcomes.
- A three-part strategy should be implemented to combat the vitamin D deficiency pandemic which includes:
 - Eating foods that naturally contain vitamin D.
 - Encouraging food fortification with vitamin D in countries that do not practice this fortification and.
 - Providing guidelines for both vitamin D supplementation of general population and for sensible sun exposure as a reliable source of vitamin D.
- Anti-fall and anti-fracture action of vitamin D administration of at least 800 IU/day with at least 24 ng/mL (60 nmol/L) of 25(OH)D serum levels appeared effective and beneficial for musculoskeletal machinery.
- Vitamin D may be instrumental in the immune system homeostasis, and in preventing autoimmune diseases and lowering risk of infections.
- Vitamin D deficient individuals are at increased cardiovascular risk even after adjustments for common cardiovascular risk factors.
- Risk for breast and colorectal cancer decreases as serum 25(OH)D level increases to 30–40 ng/mL (75–100 nmol/L).
- All-cause mortality in general population seems to be the lowest at 25(OH)D levels ranging from 30 to 45 ng/mL (75 to 112.5 nmol/L).
- Vitamin D supplementation up to 4000 IU/day in pregnant woman is safe and effective in achieving sufficiency and improving health not only in the mother but also in the developing fetus, every 10 ng/mL increase in maternal 25(OH)D at delivery reduces the risk of four main comorbidities of pregnancy by 16%.
- It is reasonable to attain and maintain a circulating level of 25(OH)D of 30–60 ng/mL as recommended by the Endocrine Society or even slightly lower (30–50 ng/mL) as recommended in "Practical guidelines for supplementation of vitamin D and treatment of deficits in Central Europe", not only for optimal bone health but also for overall health and well-being.

References

- [1] Holick MF. Vitamin D deficiency. *N Engl J Med* 2007;357:266–81.
- [2] IOM (Institute of Medicine). Dietary reference intakes for calcium and vitamin D. Committee to review dietary reference intakes for calcium and vitamin D. Washington DC: The National Academies Press. Institute of Medicine; 2011.
- [3] Rosen CJ, Abrams SA, Aloia JF, Brannon PM, Clinton SK, Durazo-Arvizu RA, et al. IOM committee members respond to Endocrine Society vitamin D guideline. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2012;97(4):1146–52.
- [4] Holick MF, Binkley NC, Bischoff-Ferrari HA, Gordon CM, Hanley DA, Heaney RP, et al. Evaluation, treatment, and prevention of vitamin D deficiency: an Endocrine Society clinical practice guideline. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2011;96(7):1911–30.
- [5] Holick MF, Binkley NC, Bischoff-Ferrari HA, Gordon CM, Hanley DA, Heaney RP, et al. Controversy in clinical endocrinology: guidelines for preventing and treating vitamin D deficiency and insufficiency revisited. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2012;97:1153–8.
- [6] Holick MF. Resurrection of vitamin D deficiency and rickets. *J Clin Invest* 2006;116(8):2062–72.



KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

Office of Superintendent

Dr. Steve Atwater, Superintendent of Schools

148 North Binkley Street Soldotna, Alaska 99669-7553

Phone (907) 714-8888 Fax (907) 262-9132

March 6, 2014

Representative Paul Seaton
State Capitol, Room 102
Juneau, AK 99801-1182

Dear Representative Seaton,

I am writing on behalf of the Kenai Peninsula Borough School District to support House Bill 356. If passed, the bill will create an Advisory Committee on Wellness which will make recommendations to the Commissioner of Administration on ways to decrease the incidence of disease in Alaska. The intent of the bill is to implement policies to keep Alaska state employees healthy by preventing disease, rather than waiting to react once people are sick. KPBSD welcomes this proactive approach and recognizes that it is a necessary step in ensuring a healthy workforce as well as reducing the amount of funds dedicated to health care.

The cost of health care for my district's employees is increasing. In FY09 the total spent on employee health care was \$15,376,426 while last year it was \$21,541,380. This 40% increase in four years is alarming and unsustainable. KPBSD is thus pleased to support this bill which can help stem increases in district funds to health care.

Please contact me if you require further information for why KPBSD supports passage of HB 356.

Sincerely,

Steve Atwater, Ph.D.
Superintendent of Schools