

HB

231

<TARGET><BILL>HB 231</BILL><SUBJECT>HB
231</SUBJECT><COMM>SFIN28</COMM></TARGET>

SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE REPORT

DATE: 2/21/14

FURTHER:

DATE TURNED
IN TO OFFICE: _____

Finance Committee considered HOUSE BILL NO. 231

HB 231 CATTLE BRAND REGISTRATION

"An Act eliminating the Department of Revenue's duty to register cattle brands."

and recommends:

- [] be replaced with SCS _____ (_____) [] Same Title [] Technical Title Change
[] New Title/SCR No. _____
- [] adopt previous SCS _____ (_____) [] Same Title [] Technical Title Change
[] New Title/SCR No. _____
- [] attached amendment(s)
- [] adopt _____ Letter of Intent
- [] further referral to _____ Committee

Dept Abbr.	
ADM	LWF
CED	LAW
COR	LEG
CRT	MVA
EED	DNR
DEC	DPS
DFG	REV
GOV	DOT
DHS	UA

NEW FISCAL NOTE(S)				
Dept.	Fiscal	Indet.	Zero	FN #

PREVIOUS FISCAL NOTE(S)				
Dept.	Fiscal	Indet.	Zero	FN #
REV			✓	1

[] APPROPRIATION - no fiscal note

SIGNATURES AND RECOMMENDATIONS:	PRINTED LAST NAME	DO PASS	DO NOT PASS	NO REC	AMEND
<i>Anna Fairclough</i>	FAIRCLOUGH	✓			
<i>Joseph J. Hoff</i>	Hoffman	✓			
<i>John Hoff</i>	HOFFMAN	✓			
<i>Richard C. ...</i>				✓	
CO-CHAIR: <i>Kelly Meyer</i>	Kelly Meyer	✓			
CO-CHAIR: <i>Ken ...</i>		✓			

Fiscal Note

State of Alaska
2014 Legislative Session

Bill Version: HB 231
Fiscal Note Number: 1
(H) Publish Date: 2/14/14

Identifier: HB231-DOR-TAX-02-08-2014
Title: CATTLE BRAND REGISTRATION
Sponsor: CHENAULT
Requester: (H) FINANCE

Department: Department of Revenue
Appropriation: Taxation and Treasury
Allocation: Tax Division
OMB Component Number: 2476

Expenditures/Revenues

Note: Amounts do not include inflation unless otherwise noted below. (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY2015 Appropriation Requested	Included in Governor's FY2015 Request	Out-Year Cost Estimates				
			FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
OPERATING EXPENDITURES	FY 2015	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
Personal Services							
Travel							
Services							
Commodities							
Capital Outlay							
Grants & Benefits							
Miscellaneous							
Total Operating	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Fund Source (Operating Only)

None							
Total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Positions

Full-time							
Part-time							
Temporary							

Change in Revenues							
---------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Estimated SUPPLEMENTAL (FY2014) cost: 0.0 *(separate supplemental appropriation required)*
(discuss reasons and fund source(s) in analysis section)

Estimated CAPITAL (FY2015) cost: 0.0 *(separate capital appropriation required)*
(discuss reasons and fund source(s) in analysis section)

ASSOCIATED REGULATIONS

Does the bill direct, or will the bill result in, regulation changes adopted by your agency? No
If yes, by what date are the regulations to be adopted, amended or repealed?

Why this fiscal note differs from previous version:

Initial version.

Prepared By: <u>Matt Fonder, Director and Dan Stickel, Assistant Chief Economist</u>	Phone: <u>(907)465-3279</u>
Division: <u>Tax</u>	Date: <u>02/07/2014 10:00 PM</u>
Approved By: <u>Angela M. Rodell, Commissioner</u>	Date: <u>02/08/14</u>
Agency: <u>Department of Revenue</u>	

FISCAL NOTE ANALYSIS #1

STATE OF ALASKA
2014 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

BILL NO. HB 231

Analysis

Bill Language:

This bill will amend AS 44.25.020 to formally remove the Department of Revenue's duty to register cattle brands. This duty is currently carried out by the Department of Natural Resources , Division of Agriculture.

Revenues and Expenditures:

This bill will have no impact on revenues or expenditures for the Department of Revenue.



LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH SERVICES

Alaska State Legislature
Division of Legal and Research Services
State Capitol, Juneau, AK 99801

(907) 465-3991 phone
(907) 465-3908 fax
research@legis.state.ak.us

Research Brief

TO: Representative Mike Chenault
FROM: Patricia Young, Manager
DATE: January 20, 2014
RE: Registering and Recording of Cattle Brands in Alaska
LRS Report 14.132

You asked how it came about that both the Department of Revenue and the Department of Natural Resources have duties pertaining to cattle brands. You also wished to know what the duties of each department entail in this regard.

In legislation passed at statehood, the Department of Revenue was given the explicit statutory duty of registering cattle brands. In a different piece of legislation passed the same year, the Division of Agriculture within the Department of Natural Resources was given the responsibility for recording cattle brands. This bifurcated responsibility may have occurred because while the Division of Agriculture seemed like the appropriate place for such duties, all duties associated with cattle brands just prior to statehood had resided with the Territorial Department of Finance, progenitor of the State Department of Revenue. Lawmakers may have justified the split by the use of differing terms—*recording* versus *registering*—though what they meant by the distinction is unclear.

The oddity of the situation was not lost on Governor Egan, who remarked as follows in his letter approving the 1959 bill that gave recording duty to the Division of Agriculture within the Department of Natural Resources:

. . . my attention has been called to [the provision] whereby facsimiles of proposed livestock brands shall be filed with the Director of the Division of Agriculture, and that Chapter 64 SLA 1959, requires the Department of Revenue to register, among other things, cattle brands.

In my judgment, it is appropriate that such brands be recorded with the Division of Agriculture . . . Registration also with the Department of Revenue, if believed by another session of the legislature to conflict with [this act], may be remedied at such time.¹

Since that time, the Division of Agriculture has been the recorder of cattle brands, by way of the *Official Brand Book for the State of Alaska*, a bucolic version of the recording provided by Recorder's Office in the Department of Natural Resources for transactions involving lands.²

While the precise cause of the bifurcations remains obscure, it is nevertheless clear that the Department of Revenue performs no duties pertaining to cattle brands other than receiving the small amount of fees associated with their recording. Until 1974, the Department's *Revenue Sources Books* included line amounts for income from branding of domestic animals although those amounts were minimal. From 1974 forward, those amounts, if any, have been consolidated along with a number of other smaller sources of income and documented as unrestricted revenue under Charges for Services.

Chronology of Responsibility

As of 1923, the recording of brands was the duty of the Secretary of the Territory.³ The Secretary was to keep a log describing each brand in the office and to annually send to each US Commissioner in whose precinct there might be livestock, a similar

¹ *Journal of the State Senate*, First State Legislature, First Session, 1959, pp. 1095-1096.

² This small pamphlet, published every five years, is available from the Division of Agriculture.

list with drawings or facsimiles of each recorded brand.⁴ The Commissioner was to conspicuously and securely post the list.

Ten years later, the duty to record each brand, issue a certificate, keep a book with a facsimile of all brands, and to annually forward a copy of the book to pertinent US Commissioners for posting was transferred to the Auditor of the Territory, a position which had been created in 1929 along with the position of Treasurer of the Territory.⁵ Fees collected were to be remitted to the Treasurer among whose duties it was to keep a “fair, true, just and comprehensive account of all moneys received, showing the source thereof.”

In 1945, the Territorial Department of Agriculture was established with a commissioner who was charged with the direction of efforts to develop the agricultural industry, including dairying and cattle raising as well as horticulture, fur farming and other agricultural pursuits. The commissioner was required to keep a “complete record” of all proceedings and to remit all fees and monies received to the Treasurer, as well as to procure and preserve all information pertaining to the development of the agricultural industry and to disseminate such information to the public at large.⁶ The recording of cattle brands was not, however, explicitly listed as a duty of the Department and so continued to reside with the Auditor.

When the Office of the Auditor was abolished in 1955, all powers and duties were transferred to the Director of the newly created Territorial Department of Finance. The Director was to have the “legal custody of all records, memoranda, writing, entries, prints, representations or combinations thereof, of any act, transaction, occurrence or event of the Department.”⁷ By this act, the responsibilities associated with cattle brands officially headed down the chute toward what would become the State Department of Revenue.

In 1959, legislation to organize the new state’s executive branch created the Department of Revenue and, among many other things, abolished the Department of Finance and the Office of the Treasurer. With this act, functions and authority previously performed by the Department of Finance and the Treasurer were transferred to the Department of Revenue—including what was termed the *registration* of cattle brands. Another section of the same act created the position of commissioner of Natural Resources, and transferred the functions and authority previously held by several related territorial departments—including the Territorial Department of Agriculture—to the newly created State Department of Natural Resources.⁸ Because the responsibility for recording cattle brands had not resided with the Territorial Department of Agriculture, that responsibility did not flow to the new Department of Natural Resources. However, in other legislation passed *the same year*, the responsibility for *recording* brands was given to the Director of the Division of Agriculture—within the Department of Natural Resources.⁹

We hope this is helpful. If you have questions or need additional information, please let us know.

³ Chapter 99 Laws of Alaska 1923. From 1900 until 1925, the Surveyor General appointed for Alaska acted as Secretary of the Territory. When Congress abolished the office of Surveyor General early in 1925, the Secretary position became operative. Among other responsibilities, the Secretary (who was appointed rather than elected) was the person to perform all duties in case of death, removal, resignation, or absence of the Governor from the Territory. Upon Statehood, this position became the Secretary of State; in 1971 it was changed to Lieutenant Governor.

⁴ The recording fee established in 1923 was \$5, an amount that would equate to roughly \$68 in 2013 dollars. In 1939, the fee was reduced to \$2.50 (about \$42 in 2013 dollars). From the late 1880s, among their other duties, US Commissioners were to act as *ex officio Registrars*; in 1913, they were described as *ex officio Recorders*.

⁵ Chapter 92 Laws of Alaska 1933.

⁶ Chapter 41 Laws of Alaska 1945.

⁷ Chapter 82 Laws of Alaska 1955.

⁸ Chapter 64 SLA 1959.

⁹ Chapter 161 SLA 1959. At that time, the fees for recording and renewal every five years were set at \$2 and \$1, respectively—amounts equating to roughly \$16 and \$8, respectively, in 2013 dollars. These amounts are still in effect, and according to Division of Agriculture personnel, do not cover the cost of administration, which includes publishing the *Official Brand Book for the State of Alaska* once every five years. Since the most recent edition of this small pamphlet was printed in 2010, eight new brands have been added. For these reasons, division personnel suggest that the fees might well be raised or dropped altogether.

Tom Wright

From: Tempel, Esther (DNR) <esther.tempel@alaska.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, January 22, 2014 11:16 AM
To: Tom Wright
Subject: Cattle Branding

Hi Tom,

Here's some information from the Division of Agriculture.

The Division updates the Cattle Brand Book every five years as required in statute (Brands & Marks AS 03.40). The 2010 Brand Book included 130 individual brands for livestock and 9 brands for reindeer. Statute requires that brands be renewed and the book reprinted every five years, so the next update will be done in 2015. Fee's are laid out in statute (AS 03.40.03 Recording) and are as follows: To adopt a brand, there is a \$2 fee, renewal of existing brand is \$1, transfer of a brand is \$1 and any additional copies of the certified copy of record is \$1 each. Books may be sold for no more than \$2. The Division paid \$1,592 for 800 copies (\$1.99 each) of the Brand Book in 2010 and took in \$209 in fees. Administration costs of the program is minimal and requires minimal staff time.

The brand serves as an identifier to livestock owners when animals are mixed in pastures, barns or grazing leases.

Deputy Commissioner Ed Fogels and Franci Havemeister, the Division Director, will be in town tomorrow and Friday if you would like to meet with them.

Esther Cha Tempel

Legislative Liaison – Special Assistant
Office of the Commissioner
Department of Natural Resources
(907) 465-4730
esther.tempel@alaska.gov

Alaska State Legislature

State Capitol, Room 208
Juneau, Alaska 99801-1182
Phone: 907-465-3779
Fax: 907-465-2833
Toll Free: 800-469-3779



145 Main St. Loop
Second Floor
Kenai, Alaska 99611
Phone: 907-283-7223
Fax: 907-283-7184

REPRESENTATIVE MIKE CHENAULT SPEAKER OF THE ALASKA STATE HOUSE

SPONSOR STATEMENT

HOUSE BILL 231: *"An Act eliminating the Department of Revenue's duty to register cattle brands."*

House Bill 231 removes the statute, AS 44.25.020(3), that requires the Department of Revenue to register cattle brands.

Prior to statehood, all duties associated with the registration of cattle brands had resided with the Territorial Department of Finance and later assumed by the Department of Revenue. According to Legislative Research, although the duties of recording cattle brands was delegated, through statute, to the Division of Agriculture, legislators may have "justified the split by the use of differing terms, recording versus registration" though what was meant by the distinction was unclear.

The Legislative Research report that is included within your back-up materials provides the history and other information as it relates to cattle branding within the Department of Revenue.

The elimination of this statute helps clarify that cattle branding clearly resides within the Division of Agriculture and not the Department of Revenue who have not been involved in registering brands for a number of years.