


HB

323



ALASKA COURT SYSTEM
State of Alaska
Office of the Administrative Director

820 West 4th Avenue
Anchorage, Alaska 99501-2005
(907) 264-8265
FAX (907) 264-8291

Doug Wooliver
Administrative Attorney

February 3, 2010

The Honorable Jay Ramras
Chair, House Judiciary Committee
Alaska State Legislature
State Capitol
Juneau, Alaska 99801-1182

Dear Representative Ramras:

The Alaska Supreme Court respectfully requests that the House Judiciary Committee schedule a hearing on HB 323, which creates a new superior court judge position in Anchorage. The judge will handle civil cases.

An additional judge is needed because Anchorage superior court judges are the busiest in the state and a combination of more time being devoted to Child in Need of Aid cases and an ever-increasing number of unrepresented litigants has created a workload that is unsustainable.

Adding one new judge will not solve this problem (more judges will likely be needed in the very near future), but it will provide some relief as the court works to develop alternative ways to manage the workload.

A fiscal note and sponsor statement are attached. Thank you for considering this request.

Sincerely,

Doug Wooliver
Administrative Attorney
Alaska Court System

Sponsor Statement HB 323

The Alaska Supreme Court is asking for a new superior court judge in Anchorage. The judge would be assigned to civil cases.

An additional judge is needed because Anchorage superior court judges have the highest caseloads in the state and a combination of devoting more time to Child in Need of Aid (CINA) cases and an ever-increasing number of unrepresented litigants has created a workload that is unsustainable.

Although judges' workloads are increasing, some of the additional work is the result of the court system doing a better job meeting the needs of those who come before it. This is particularly true with CINA cases.

Prior CINA practice was to have standing masters preside over all phases of a case with the exception of contested hearings. If a hearing was contested it would be assigned to an available superior court judge who would resolve the contested issue and then return the case to the standing master. Although that practice saved superior court time, it led to other problems.

The new practice begins the same as the old; a CINA case starts with a master until there is a contested issue. However, once that occurs, the case is permanently assigned to a superior court judge; it does not return to the master. Having a judge permanently assigned to the case is a better model in a number of ways, one of which is that it eliminates shuffling the family back and forth between a standing master and any number of superior court judges.

In addition to CINA cases, another prime driver behind the current workload is the prevalence of unrepresented litigants in domestic relations cases. Although we do not have historical statistics that track these cases, Alaska judges, like judges from across the country, have seen a significant increase over the past several years. What we know from current data is that when first filed about 37% of the cases have attorneys for both parties, 25% have an attorney for only one party and in 37% of the cases neither party has an attorney. The percentage of litigants appearing without counsel increases as the cases progress and increases significantly once litigants are filing motions after the initial decree is entered. Most of these post-judgment motions relate to child custody disputes.

Judges cite a list of time-consuming challenges that arise when counsel is absent from one side or both sides. Frequent motion practice, arguments unrelated to the relevant legal issues, delays stemming from the failure to submit needed information and judicial time spent calculating child support awards are some of those challenges. However, even though these litigants take more time, their cases are as important as those where the parties have lawyers and the judiciary is committed to ensuring that they too can meaningfully access the court.

Adding one new judge will not significantly reduce the workload of the Anchorage superior court (more judges will likely be needed in the very near future), but it will provide some relief as the court works to develop alternative ways to manage that workload. Thank you for considering this request.

FISCAL NOTE

STATE OF ALASKA
2010 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

Fiscal Note Number: _____
 Bill Version: HB323-ACS-02-03-10
 () Publish Date: _____

Identifier (file name): _____

Dept. Affected: _____

Title An Act Relating to the Number of Superior Court Judges RDU Alaska Court System

Component Trial Courts

Sponsor House Rules Committee

Requester Alaska Supreme Court Component Number _____

Expenditures/Revenues (Thousands of Dollars)

Note: Amounts do not include inflation unless otherwise noted below.

	Appropriation Required	Information						
		FY 2011	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
OPERATING EXPENDITURES								
Personal Services	440.5	440.5	440.5	440.5	440.5	440.5	440.5	440.5
Travel								
Contractual	18.8	18.8	18.8	18.8	18.8	18.8	18.8	18.8
Supplies	39.8	39.8	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0
Equipment								
Land & Structures								
Grants & Claims								
Miscellaneous								
TOTAL OPERATING	499.1	499.1	465.3	465.3	465.3	465.3	465.3	465.3

CAPITAL EXPENDITURES								
-----------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

CHANGE IN REVENUES ()								
-------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

FUND SOURCE (Thousands of Dollars)

1002 Federal Receipts								
1003 GF Match								
1004 GF	499.1	499.1	465.3	465.3	465.3	465.3	465.3	465.3
1005 GF/Program Receipts								
1037 GF/Mental Health								
Other Interagency Receipts								
TOTAL	499.1	499.1	465.3	465.3	465.3	465.3	465.3	465.3

Estimate of any current year (FY2010) cost: _____

POSITIONS

Full-time	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Part-time							
Temporary							

ANALYSIS: (Attach a separate page if necessary)

House Bill 323 adds a new superior court judge position in the third judicial district. This fiscal note reflects the cost of that new position.

Prepared by: Doug Wooliver, Administrative Attorney
 Division: Alaska Court System
 Approved by: Doug Wooliver for Christine Johnson, Administrative Director
Alaska Court System

Phone 907-463-4750
 Date/Time 2/03/2010 @ 1:30 pm
 Date 2/3/2010

Alaska Court System
 Fiscal Note Calculations for HB 323

Personal Services

Superior Court Judge - Anchorage	257,300
In-Court Clerk - Anchorage, Range 12A	61,400
Law Clerk - Anchorage, Range 13D	60,400
Administrative Assistant - Anchorage, Range 12A	61,400
Subtotal Personal Services	<u>440,500</u>

WAN Fees, Software & Licensing

18,800

Supplies (desk, computer, courtroom electronics, and chair) for four PFT positions

39,800

Fiscal Note Total

499,100

Breakdown by Expense Category:

Personal Services Total	440,500
Contractual Total	18,800
Supplies Total	39,800

Total 499,100

FISCAL NOTE

STATE OF ALASKA
2010 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

Fiscal Note Number: _____
 Bill Version: HB 323
 () Publish Date: _____

Identifier (file name): HB323-AJC-AJC-2-8-10
 Title Increasing Number of Superior Court Judges
 Sponsor Rules Committee by request
 Requester Alaska Court System

Dept. Affected: Alaska Judicial Council
 RDU Alaska Judicial Council
 Component Alaska Judicial Council
 Component Number _____

Expenditures/Revenues (Thousands of Dollars)

Note: Amounts do not include inflation unless otherwise noted below.

	Appropriation Required	Information						
		FY 2011	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
OPERATING EXPENDITURES								
Personal Services	0.0							
Travel	0.0							
Contractual	0.0							
Supplies	0.0							
Equipment	0.0							
Land & Structures	0.0							
Grants & Claims	0.0							
Miscellaneous	0.0							
TOTAL OPERATING	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

CAPITAL EXPENDITURES								
-----------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

CHANGE IN REVENUES ()								
-------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

FUND SOURCE (Thousands of Dollars)

1002 Federal Receipts								
1003 GF Match								
1004 GF								
1005 GF/Program Receipts								
1037 GF/Mental Health								
Other Interagency Receipts								
TOTAL	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Estimate of any current year (FY2010) cost: _____

POSITIONS

Full-time								
Part-time								
Temporary								

ANALYSIS: (Attach a separate page if necessary)

Ordinarily, the Alaska Judicial Council incurs costs when a new judgeship is created. This new judgeship will coincide with another judicial vacancy on the Anchorage Superior Court. The Council intends to coordinate the solicitation and screening of applicants for these two positions. As a result, the increased cost to the Council is negligible.

Prepared by: Larry Cohn, Executive Director
 Division: Alaska Judicial Council
 Approved by: Larry Cohn, Executive Director

Phone 279-2526
 Date/Time 2/8/10 12:00 AM
 Date 2/8/2010

