

**HB**

**48**

→ Why → Subject ← Gross testing in  
→ Why

→ How fully mechanism will relate to  
Bill

→ Answer Gross - A.G. under Hammond

Votes vote in all position

Principles: No definition of  
Capital. ~~etc~~

Capital C to

Capital → build

and clear his for

New system checks → set up  
Committee

New build for government

→ A.G.

→ <sup>when</sup> <sup>new</sup> <sup>new</sup> when does it have to be government  
What does it mean when SRS

it has to be new. How big resources  
to new → new

Lease agreement. if lease  
cost  $\uparrow$  500,000 total cost 0.5  
total 2.5 million

Lease not to extend 40 years  
Some states for a lease-purchase  
agreement

→ have to be approved for lease-purchase  
agreement by the legislature

# ALASKA STATE LEGISLATURE



*Representative Bill Stoltze*  
*House District 16*

*Representative Carl Gatto*  
*House District 13*

## House Bill 48

### Capitol Cost Disclosure Act

*"An Act relating to a determination of costs attributable to relocating the legislature or the state capital or of constructing a new capitol building in the present capital city, and to a determination of all costs of retaining the existing capitol building and keeping the state capital and legislature in the present capital city; relating to voter approval of certain bondable costs; and providing for an effective date."*

The most recent version of the FRANK statute was approved by voters in 1994, and has been the key component in thwarting efforts to move the capital out of Juneau in recent statewide elections-most recently in 2002. Existing law only requires voter approval for costs associated with moving the capital from Juneau but does not address costs for constructing a new capitol building in Juneau.

Today, the City of Juneau is moving forward with a plan to build a new legislative hall. Prompted by this action, House Bill 48 will enable the voters of Alaska to decide on any state cost that might be incurred with the moving of the legislature out of its current building.

The changes proposed to the FRANK initiative will:

- Include constructing a new legislative hall or capitol in the current capitol city.
- Expand the scope of the costs examined to include all other costs associated with relocation, not just bondable costs.
- Set the commission to determine all costs attributable to constructing a new capitol in the capital city.

I ask for your consideration and support of HB 48 to enable the voters of Alaska to either approve or disapprove on the costs for construction of a new building or legislative hall in the current capital city. Trust the people.

DISTRICT 16

BIRCHWOOD • BUTTE • CHUGIAK • EKLUTNA • FAIRVIEW LOOP  
KNIK RIVER ROAD • LAZY MOUNTAIN • PALMER • PETERS CREEK

*Mulligan*

24-LS0185

*Handwritten signatures and scribbles at the top right of the page.*

HOUSE BILL NO. 48

IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA  
TWENTY-FOURTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

BY REPRESENTATIVES STOLTZE AND GATTO, Neuman

Introduced: 1/10/05  
Referred: State Affairs, Finance

*Bond - Turnover OK  
Capitol - \$  
who can negotiate  
and what \$ amount*

A BILL

FOR AN ACT ENTITLED

1 "An Act relating to a determination of costs attributable to relocating the legislature or  
2 the state capital or of constructing a new capitol building in the present capital city, and  
3 to a determination of all costs of retaining the existing capitol building and keeping the  
4 state capital and legislature in the present capital city; relating to voter approval of  
5 all possible certain bondable costs; and providing for an effective date."

6 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

7 \* Section 1. The uncodified law of the State of Alaska is amended by adding a new section  
8 to read:

9 SHORT TITLE. This Act may be known as the Capitol Cost Disclosure Act.

10 \* Sec. 2. The uncodified law of the State of Alaska is amended by adding a new section to  
11 read:

12 LEGISLATIVE INTENT. It is the intent of the legislature that this Act provide all  
13 residents the opportunity to know the full and true costs of proposals involving the location of

1 the state capital and construction of a new capitol building in the present capital city so that  
 2 the residents will have the opportunity to express their opinions regarding those proposals.

3 \* **Sec. 3.** AS 44.06.050 is amended to read:

4 **Sec. 44.06.050. Purpose of AS 44.06.050 - 44.06.060.** The purpose of  
 5 AS 44.06.050 - 44.06.060 is to guarantee to the people their right to know and to  
 6 approve in advance all costs of relocating the capital or the legislature and all costs of  
 7 constructing a new capitol in the present capital city; to ensure [INSURE] that the  
 8 people will have an opportunity to make an informed and objective decision on  
 9 relocating the capital or the legislature or constructing a new capitol in the present  
 10 capital city with all pertinent data concerning the costs to the state; and to ensure  
 11 [INSURE] that the costs of relocating the capital or the legislature or constructing a  
 12 new capitol will not be incurred by the state without the approval of the electorate.

13 \* **Sec. 4.** AS 44.06.055 is amended to read:

14 **Sec. 44.06.055. Relocation expenditures.** State money may be expended to  
 15 relocate physically the capital or the legislature from the present location only after a  
 16 majority of those voting in a statewide election have approved a bond issue that  
 17 includes all bondable costs to the state of the relocation of a functional state legislature  
 18 or capital to the new site over the 12-year [TWELVE-YEAR] period following that  
 19 [SUCH] approval. State money may be expended to construct or relocate the  
 20 legislature or any other state office to a new capitol in the present capital city  
 21 only after a majority of those voting in a statewide election have approved a bond ←  
 22 issue that includes all bondable costs of constructing the new capitol. The  
 23 commission established in AS 44.06.060 shall determine all bondable costs and all  
 24 other [TOTAL] costs attributable to a proposal to relocate the legislature or  
 25 capital or to a proposal to construct a new capitol in the present capital city  
 26 including, [BUT NOT LIMITED TO,] the costs of moving personnel and offices to  
 27 the relocation site or the new capitol; the social, economic, and environmental costs  
 28 to the present and relocation sites or to the existing and new capitols; and the costs  
 29 to the state of planning, building, furnishing, using, and financing facilities at least  
 30 equal to those provided by the present capital city or the existing capitol. In  
 31 considering a proposal to relocate the legislature or the capital, the commission

1        shall also determine all costs attributable to keeping the state capital and  
2        legislature in the present capital city. In considering a proposal to construct a  
3        new capitol in the present capital city, the commission shall also determine all  
4        costs attributable to retaining the existing capitol and all costs of keeping the  
5        state capital and legislature in the present capital city.

6        \* Sec. 5. The uncodified law of the State of Alaska is amended by adding a new section to  
7 read:

8                RETROACTIVITY. This Act is retroactive to January 1, 2005.

9        \* Sec. 6. This Act takes effect immediately under AS 01.10.070(c).

# FISCAL NOTE

**STATE OF ALASKA**  
**2005 LEGISLATIVE SESSION**

Fiscal Note Number: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Bill Version: HB48  
 ( ) Publish Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Revision Date/Time (Note if correction): \_\_\_\_\_ Dept. Affected: OOG  
 Title Capital Cost Disclosure Act RDU Elections  
 Component Elections  
 Sponsor Representative Stoltze and Gatto  
 Requester (H) State Affairs, Finance Component No. 21

**Expenditures/Revenues** (Thousands of Dollars)

Note: Amounts do not include inflation unless otherwise noted below.

OPERATING EXPENDITURES	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
Personal Services						
Travel						
Contractual		1.5				
Supplies						
Equipment						
Land & Structures						
Grants & Claims						
Miscellaneous						
<b>TOTAL OPERATING</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>

<b>CAPITAL EXPENDITURES</b>						
-----------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

<b>CHANGE IN REVENUES ( )</b>						
-------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

**FUND SOURCE** (Thousands of Dollars)

1002 Federal Receipts						
1003 GF Match						
1004 GF		1.5				
1005 GF/Program Receipts						
1037 GF/Mental Health						
Other (Specify Type—Do not abbreviate)						
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>

Estimate of any current year (FY2005) cost: 0.0  
 Mark this box (X) if funding for this bill is included in the Governor's FY 2006 budget proposal:

**POSITIONS**

Full-time						
Part-time						
Temporary						

**ANALYSIS:** (Attach a separate page if necessary)  
 If this bond question is added to the 2006 ballot, the cost of providing information about this issue in the Official Election Pamphlet, as required by AS 15.58 is \$1.5 (in thousands). Should the addition of this question require printing an 8 1/2 by 18 inch ballot, or multiple cards, the cost will increase to \$22.0.

Prepared by: Gumesindo Rosales, Elections Special Assistant Phone 465-4611  
 Division: Elections Date/Time 2/18/05 12:32 PM  
 Approved by: Laura A. Glaiser, Director Date 2/18/2005  
 Agency: Office of the Lt. Governor, Division of Elections

Sponsor: Assemblymember Kvalheim  
Adopted: 03/15/05

**MATANUSKA-SUSITNA BOROUGH  
RESOLUTION SERIAL NO. 05-035**

A RESOLUTION OF THE MATANUSKA-SUSITNA BOROUGH ASSEMBLY SUPPORTING THE CONSTRUCTION OF A NEW LEGISLATIVE OFFICE COMPLEX AND AUTHORIZING THE MANAGER TO PREPARE A PROPOSAL FOR SITING AND FINANCING OF THE LEGISLATIVE COMPLEX.

---

WHEREAS, the present office building of the Alaska State Legislature is over capacity and poorly suited to handle the routine demands of the Alaska State Legislature; and

WHEREAS, the present office building of the Alaska State Legislature is poorly suited to provide adequate interaction between the residents of the State and their elected Representatives and Senators; and

WHEREAS, the present location of the Alaska State Legislature office building makes it very difficult and expensive for the residents of the State to personally visit with their elected officials; and

WHEREAS, the cost of improving surface access to the present location of the Alaska State Legislature is in excess of \$280 million; and

WHEREAS, there is a critical shortage of housing for the State Legislators and staff and others visiting the present site; and

WHEREAS, a municipality has offered to build a new office complex for the Alaska State Legislature utilizing annual lease payments paid by the state of Alaska to retire the cost of construction; and

WHEREAS, a new office complex for the Alaska State Legislature

should be built in a location that allows for convenient, safe, and affordable travel by the residents of the State; and

WHEREAS, the Matanuska-Susitna Borough is centrally located and allows for convenient and affordable travel by several modes of transportation including highway, air, and rail; and

WHEREAS, the Matanuska-Susitna Borough has a large supply of affordable housing available and the Borough is located within a short distance of the homes of the majority of state legislators; and

WHEREAS, the Matanuska-Susitna Borough is located near the major population centers of the State.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Matanuska-Susitna Borough Assembly recommends that the Matanuska-Susitna Borough submit a letter of interest to the Alaska State Legislature to site and construct a new office complex for the Alaska State Legislature; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Manager is authorized to identify proposed sites and to prepare a proposal to construct the new office complex that utilizes lease payments to fund construction costs or a similar method of financing the construction.

ADOPTED by the Matanuska-Susitna Borough Assembly this 15 day  
of March, 2005.

TIMOTHY L. ANDERSON, Borough Mayor

ATTEST:

MICHELLE M. MCGEHEE, CMC, Borough Clerk

(SEAL)

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY: Woods, Colberg, Kvalheim, Simpson, Colver, and  
Vehrs