

SB

91

SFIN

FILE

SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE REPORT

REPORTED OUT
APR 03 2002
SENATE FINANCE
COMMITTEE

DATE: 4/12/01

FURTHER:

DATE TURNED IN TO OFFICE: 3 April 2002

Finance Committee considered **SENATE BILL NO. 91**

ABORTION: INFORMED CONSENT; INFORMATION

"An Act relating to information and services available to pregnant women and other persons; and ensuring informed consent before an abortion may be performed, except in cases of medical emergency."

and recommends:

- be replaced with _____ CS _____ (_____)
- adopt previous _____ CS SB 91 (HES)
- attached amendment(s)
- adopt Letter of Intent by _____ Committee
- further referral to _____ Committee

- Senate Bill:**
 same title
 new title
- House Bill:**
 same title
 technical title
 new: SCR # _____

NEW FISCAL NOTE(S):

Department	Date	Fiscal	Zero	FN#
HES	4/12/02	227.5		
Replaced With:				
HES	4/9/02	110.0		

PREVIOUS FISCAL NOTE(S):

Department	Date	Fiscal	Zero	FN#

APPROPRIATION - no fiscal note

Motion was to report bill from Cmte with "fluxuating f/n's"

SIGNATURES AND RECOMMENDATIONS:	DO PASS	DO NOT PASS	NO REC	AMEND
<i>John Beer</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>John C. ...</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>...</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>...</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>...</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>John A. ...</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>...</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
COCHAIR: <i>...</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
COCHAIR: <i>...</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

FISCAL NOTE

STATE OF ALASKA
2002 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

Fiscal Note Number: _____
Bill Version: CS SB91 (HES)
() Publish Date: _____

Filing Date/Time (Note if correction): _____ Dept. Affected: Health & Social Services
Title: INFORMED CONSENT FOR ABORTION BRU: State Health Services
Component: Maternal, Child, & Family Hlth
Sponsor: WARD
Requestor: SENATE (FIN) Component Number: 290

Expenditures/Revenues (Thousands of Dollars)

Note: Amounts do not include inflation unless otherwise noted below.

OPERATING EXPENDITURES	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008
Personal Services						
Travel						
Contractual	109.0	89.0	39.0	89.0	89.0	89.0
Supplies	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Equipment						
Land & Structures						
Grants & Claims						
Miscellaneous						
TOTAL OPERATING	110.0	90.0	90.0	90.0	90.0	90.0

CAPITAL EXPENDITURES						
-----------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

CHANGE IN REVENUES (0)						
---------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

FUND SOURCE (Thousands of Dollars)

1002 Federal Receipts						
1003 GF Match						
1004 GF	110.0	90.0	90.0	90.0	90.0	90.0
1005 GF/Program Receipts						
1037 GF/Mental Health						
Other (Specify Type--do not abbrevia						
TOTAL	110.0	90.0	90.0	90.0	90.0	90.0

Estimate of any current year (FY2002) cost: _____

Check this box (X) if funding for this bill is included in the Governor's FY 2003 budget proposal:

POSITIONS

Full-time						
Part-time						
Temporary						

ANALYSIS: (Attach a separate page if necessary)

CONTRACTUAL: \$109.0 in Year 1; \$89.0 subsequent years
Professional services contract for compilation of information and production of booklet - \$25.0 in Year 1 for initial production; \$5.0 in subsequent years for updates
Printing - \$2.50 per booklet x 20,000 booklets = \$50.0/year
Postage - \$4.0/year
Advertising - monthly advertisement in AK papers = \$30.0/year

SUPPLIES: \$1.0
Supplies for mailing (envelopes, boxes, mailing labels, etc.)

Prepared by: Karen E. Pearson, MS Phone 465-3090
Division: Public Health Date/Time 4/3/2002 12:43 p.m
Approved by: Elmor A. Lindstrom, Deputy Commissioner Date _____
Agency: Department of Health & Social Services

For distribution information, call the Governor's Legislative Office

REPORTED 001
 APR 03 2002
 SENATE SERVICES

FISCAL NOTE

NOTE: Bill R/O with "fluxuating" f/n - This was subsequently replaced 4/3/02 12:48pm

STATE OF ALASKA 2002 LEGISLATIVE SESSION
 Fiscal Note Number: _____
 Bill Version: CS SB 91 (HES)
 () Publish Date: _____

Revision Date/Time (Note if correction): _____ Dept. Affected: Health & Social Services
 Title: INFORMED CONSENT FOR ABORTION BRU: State Health Services
 Component: Maternal, Child, & Family Hlth

Sponsor: WARD
 Requestor: SENATE (FIN) Component Number: 290

Expenditures/Revenues (Thousands of Dollars)

Note: Amounts do not include inflation unless otherwise noted below.

OPERATING EXPENDITURES	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008
Personal Services	75.0	75.0	75.0	75.0	75.0	75.0
Travel	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Contractual	140.5	140.5	140.5	140.5	140.5	140.5
Supplies	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Equipment						
Land & Structures						
Grants & Claims						
Miscellaneous						
TOTAL OPERATING	227.5	227.5	227.5	227.5	227.5	227.5

CAPITAL EXPENDITURES						
-----------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

CHANGE IN REVENUES (0)						
---------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

FUND SOURCE (Thousands of Dollars)

FUND SOURCE	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008
1002 Federal Receipts						
1003 GF Match						
1004 GF	227.5	227.5	227.5	227.5	227.5	227.5
1005 GF/Program Receipts						
1037 GF/Mental Health						
Othe (Specify Type-do not abbrevia						
TOTAL	227.5	227.5	227.5	227.5	227.5	227.5

Estimate of any current year (FY2002) cost: _____

Check this box (X) if funding for this bill is included in the Governor's FY 2003 budget proposal:

POSITIONS

POSITIONS	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008
Full-time	1	1	1	1	1	1
Part-time	1	1	1	1	1	
Temporary						1

ANALYSIS: (Attach a separate page if necessary)

PERSONNEL: \$75.0
 0.5 FTE Advanced Nurse Practitioner to 1) develop the booklet with required information and update annually, 2) conduct on-going review and provide updates when new information becomes available, 3) provide quality assurance, and 4) train providers on new requirements.
 1.0 FTE Administrative Clerk II to 1) maintain database of providers, 2) compile information for booklet, 3) box and ship booklets, 4) maintain inventory, and 5) prepare and process advertising orders.

TRAVEL: \$10.0
 Travel to areas in Alaska to do training on the new requirements.

Prepared by: Karen E. Pearson, MS Phone 465-3090
 Division: Public Health Date/Time 04/02/2002
 Approved by: Elmer A. Lindstrom, Deputy Commissioner Date 04/03/2002
 Agency: Department of Health & Social Services

For distribution information, call the Governor's Legislative Office

FISCAL NOTE #

STATE OF ALASKA
2002 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

BILL NO. CS SB 91 (HES)

ANALYSIS CONTINUATION

CONTRACTUAL: \$146.5

Printing - \$5.00 per booklet x 20,000 booklets = \$100.0/year

Storage - \$200.0 per month x 12 months = \$2.4/year

Postage - \$20.00 per box x 400 boxes = \$8.0/year

Advertising - monthly advertisement in AK papers = \$30.1/year

SUPPLIES: \$2.0

400 boxes for shipping x \$5.00 per box = \$2.0/year



SENATOR JERRY WARD
ALASKA STATE LEGISLATURE

SPONSOR STATEMENT FOR SB 91

Ensuring Informed Consent

SB 91 elevates Alaska's current informed consent requirement from regulation to statute. This legislation would ensure that a patient is given the appropriate information about an abortion procedure without obstructing a physician's ability to tailor information to the individual needs of the patient.

Since the early 1970s, Alaska regulations have required physicians to advise patients seeking abortion of the "medical implications and the possible emotional and physical sequelae of the procedure." (12 AAC 40.070). However, Alaska's informed consent regulation lacks specificity and is not uniform in its application.

SB 91 requires the Department of Health and Social Services to develop a pregnancy informational pamphlet to be made available to the public. The pamphlet would list factual, nonbiased information about pregnancy and abortion, as well as pregnancy and abortion alternative resources, and state services available to women in Alaska.

SB 91 reinforces the current ethical standards by protecting them from possible systematic abuse in the future, putting a statutory safeguard into place for both women and physicians.

ALASKA STATE LEGISLATURE



Interim:
600 East Railroad Avenue
Wasilla, Alaska 99654
(907) 376-3370
(907) 376-3157 Fax

Session:
State Capitol
Juneau, Alaska 99801-1182
(907) 465-6600
(907) 465-3805 Fax

SENATE HEALTH, EDUCATION AND SOCIAL SERVICES COMMITTEE SENATOR LYDA GREEN, CHAIR

PROPOSED SENATE HESS COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE FOR

SB 91 ABORTION: INFORMED CONSENT; INFORMATION

SB 91 would require the Department of Health & Social Services to prepare an informational pamphlet relating to pregnancy and pregnancy alternatives under guidelines described in the bill.

The proposed Senate Health, Education and Social Services Committee substitute for SB 91 addresses the distribution of the pamphlet. The committee substitute replaces subsection (c) of Section 1, which begins on page 3, line 9, to read as follows:

The department shall advertise the availability of the information required under (a) of this section and distribute the information free of charge on request and in appropriate volume to the requester. The department shall also place the information in public hospitals, clinics, or other health facilities throughout the state and, upon request of an administrator, in a private hospital, clinic, or health facility so that members of the public may obtain the information voluntarily, without request.

SENATOR LOREN LEMAN, VICE-CHAIR
SENATOR JERRY WARD, SENATOR GARY WILKEN, SENATOR BETTYE DAVIS

SB 91 – Backup Information

This bill requires the Department of Health to prepare and distribute an informational pamphlet, applying similar methods and standards for the preparation and distribution of the information found in the Alaska Statute 18.05.035.

Title 18. HEALTH, SAFETY, AND HOUSING

Chapter 18.05. ADMINISTRATION OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND RELATED LAWS

Sec. 18.05.035. Planned parenthood information.

The department shall prepare information regarding planned parenthood. The department shall place the information in public hospitals, clinics, or other health facilities throughout the state, and upon request of its administrator, in a private hospital, clinic, or health facility, so that members of the public may obtain the information voluntarily, without request. The department shall also advertise the availability of the information and distribute it to any person upon written request.

History –

(Sec. 1 ch 57 SLA 1966)

Alaska Civil Liberties Union

An Affiliate of the American Civil Liberties Union

P. O. Box 201844, Anchorage, AK 99520-1844

Phone: (907) 258-0044 Fax: (907) 258-0288 Email: akclu@alaska.net

To: All members of the Alaska Senate
From: Jennifer Rudinger, Executive Director
Date: Friday, April 20, 2001

Re: Opposition to (CS)SB 91 – MANDATORY EXTRA "COUNSELING" FOR ABORTION

The US Supreme Court's 1992 decision in Planned Parenthood of Southeastern Pennsylvania v. Casey upheld, among other restrictions, a Pennsylvania law requiring that physicians provide women with state-prepared anti-choice materials prior to the abortion procedure. The law forces a doctor to provide every woman seeking an abortion with information that is intended to discourage the procedure -- even if the information is irrelevant, unnecessary, and ultimately harmful to her health.

Such "biased counseling" laws are currently enforced in more than a dozen states. In a number of other states, these laws have been enacted but are enjoined or otherwise unenforced. Often introduced under the deceptive label of "Informed Consent" or "Women's Right to Know," biased counseling laws in fact serve to hamper women's access to abortion.

Alaska, however, is one of several states that evaluate restrictions on women's reproductive choices under the stricter standard of judicial review established by the US Supreme Court in 1973 in Roe v. Wade. Therefore, the Casey analysis and conclusion do not apply when an Alaska court reviews laws such as (CS)SB 91, and it is our opinion that (CS)SB 91 may be unconstitutional under the Alaska Supreme Court's decision in Valley Hospital Association, Inc. v. Mat-Su Coalition for Choice, et al. in 1997.

Aside from our concerns that (CS)SB 91 may violate the Alaska Constitution, there are many reasons why the bill is bad public policy.

1. This Mandatory Extra "Counseling" Gives Women Inaccurate and Irrelevant Medical Information.

Mandatory extraneous lectures do not give women accurate or meaningful medical information. (CS)SB 91 puts words in doctors' mouths and forces them to run through a litany of conceivable pro's and con's for abortion and for all alternatives to abortion -- even when those alternatives are not in the patient's best interest and may even *harm* her. Yet, women who are seeking prenatal care in order to carry a pregnancy to term are *not* forced to waste time and money listening to a diatribe about their options and alternatives to

pregnancy and childbirth, even though the mortality risk of full-term pregnancy and childbirth is more than 20 times *greater* than that of a first-trimester abortion.

2. (CS)SB 91 refers to "possible psychological effects that have been associated with having an abortion," substituting politicians' judgment for that of doctors.

This reference is misleading because no such psychological harms have been proven to exist. In fact, according to a 1987-88 investigation by the former Surgeon General of the United States, Dr. C. Everett Koop (who is no champion of choice), as well as a study by the World Health Organization, there is no medical evidence that abortion causes psychological injury. On the contrary, relief is the most common reaction to a voluntary abortion, whereas women who are forced to continue unwanted pregnancies suffer adverse and sometimes severe psychological consequences. It should be left to doctors to decide, based on their best medical judgment, what risks and benefits are relevant to their particular patients and what medical information is scientifically sound.

3. Requiring That Physicians Deliver These Extraneous Lectures Makes Access to Quality Reproductive Health Care More Difficult and Expensive.

(CS)SB 91 prohibits a trained counselor, nurse, or other health care practitioner from providing this mandatory lecture to the patient, requiring instead that a doctor deliver the state's message. This requirement has a direct effect on women's health. Many clinics experience serious difficulty in finding doctors willing and able to perform abortions, and the few doctors who are available often find themselves barely able to meet the needs of their patients. By prohibiting doctors from delegating counseling and related tasks to other trained professionals, these laws make it far more difficult for clinics to provide women with the quality health care they deserve. Furthermore, since a doctor's time costs much more than that of a nurse, clinician, social worker, or counselor, the doctor-only stipulation drives up the costs of abortion and other health services provided by clinics.

4. Informed Consent Is Already Required For All Medical Procedures.

A woman must already give her informed consent before undergoing any surgical procedure, including abortion. The standards of the medical profession, as well as state laws, ensure that health care practitioners provide women with accurate and unbiased information regarding the risks and benefits of their various treatment options and obtain their informed consent. (CS)SB 91 singles out abortion from all other medical procedures. Implicit in the requirement of this lecture is the assumption that women do not adequately think through their abortion decision and that the State must do their thinking for them. This assumption reflects a lack of respect for women's moral decision-making. In fact, virtually all women have carefully considered their decision to have an abortion by the time they arrive at the clinic. Clinics in Alaska routinely refer for additional counseling the small number of women who remain ambivalent.

5. Biased Counseling Requirements Violate Standard Medical Practice and the Doctor/Patient Relationship.

(CS)SB 91 requires a doctor to supply all of the state-mandated information to every woman in every instance in order to avoid liability. This state-imposed litany may conflict with the doctor's ethical obligation to give the best medical advice to the patient, in view of her individual circumstances. For example, it is both pointless and cruel to "inform" a victim of rape or incest that she has the "alternative" of raising the "unborn child" (as though she did not already know this) or to remind a woman carrying a fetus with impairments so severe that it could never survive outside the womb that her "unborn child" will be 20 weeks old at the time of the abortion. Indeed, the American Medical Association has resolved to oppose these types of measures, finding that "informed consent requirements [for specific medical procedures] often are not medically indicated and never are appropriate areas for codification in law." [American Medical Association, "AMA Opposition to 'Procedure Specific' Informed Consent," House of Delegates Resolution 226 (A-99).]

(CS)SB 91 is a perfect example of why legislators should not insert themselves into the business of practicing medicine. The definitions of "fertilization" and "gestational age" contained in the bill are medically inaccurate, and the definition and use of the term "unborn child" is both medically inaccurate and inflammatory.

6. Conclusion: (CS)SB 91 Endangers Women's Health and Violates Women's Constitutional Right to Reproductive Choice.

(CS)SB 91 is not created to protect women's health. The purpose is clear: this bill is designed to make a woman's very personal decision even more difficult. Fear of civil sanctions and the intrusive nature of the state-prescribed litany also serve to deter doctors from performing abortions, further exacerbating the alarming present shortage of providers in Alaska.

The AkCLU respectfully urges this body not to place any further burdens on women's rights to choose abortion. Please feel free to call on me if you have any further questions or concerns. I can be reached at (907) 258-0044 most days, from mid-morning until mid-evening.

Thank you very much for your careful consideration.



March 7, 2001

Rep. Fred Dyson

Rep. Peggy Wilson

Rep. John Coghill, Jr.

Rep. Vic Kohring

Rep. Gary Stevens

Rep. Sharon Cissna

Rep. Frogie Joule

I recently read House Bill No. 112 proposed by Representatives Coghill and Dyson. I must object to this bill on multiple grounds. This bill is a thinly veiled attempt squarely aimed at making it more difficult for women of Alaska to receive abortions. It contains biased language throughout, and indirectly suggests placing new limitations on the availability of the abortion procedure.

The bill claims to be about informed consent. As physicians, we are quite familiar with informed consent. If there is a complication of a procedure and informed consent was not obtained, we are painfully aware of the consequences. Getting proper informed consent before an abortion is very high on my list of priorities. Contrary to what some people may think, there is no monetary gain in performing abortions to a physician who does both prenatal care and abortion. If a patient carries a pregnancy to term, our practice will see a much larger revenue stream than if the patient has an abortion. There is no incentive on our part to encourage abortion over an ongoing pregnancy.

The bill starts in a biased manner by saying that it is meant to "ensure informed consent before an abortion may be performed, except in the cases of medical emergency." A pregnancy has several possible outcomes including carrying and delivery, abortion, adoption, miscarriage, and ectopic pregnancy and others. There is no mention of giving informed consent to women regarding carrying a pregnancy to delivery, or giving the pregnancy up for adoption. In my practice as a physician, I perform abortions as well as multiple other procedures including both office and hospital procedures. The legislature has not chosen to pass a bill on how I obtain consent from a person for a C-Section, or hysterectomy - both of which carry far more risk to the patient than an abortion. Clearly, the abortion is being singled out, but not for medical reasons. This bill relates to politics and beliefs, not medicine or the safety of Alaska women.

Throughout the bill the term "unborn child" is used. A review of the 23rd edition of Stedman's medical dictionary reveals that the term "unborn" or phrase "unborn child" are not recognized. There are medical terms such as blastocyst, morula, embryo, fetus, and several others terms referring to the "conceptus." The term "unborn child" is included to incite only emotion. On page 2, line 25 the term "nonjudgmental" is used when the decidedly judgmental phrase "unborn child" is used in the very same sentence, a contradiction of terms.



in pages 1 line 1 through 3, line 23 a "standard pamphlet of information" is described, again using biased terms defined by the legislators, not terms recognized in science. Paragraph (7), page 2, lines 19-27 describes in detail the pictures that need to be included in this pamphlet. Why are these to be included? Are these meant to "educate" the patient regarding the fetal development when she is deciding whether to carry a pregnancy rather than to have an abortion? If so, where are the parallel photographs describing the complications of abortion as well as the complications of carrying a pregnancy to term? Of what value are these pictures? When I counsel patients regarding an ongoing pregnancy or an abortion, should a patient ask me for drawings or photographs of a fetus at various stages of development, I have an encyclopedia containing the information, and I go over it with the patient, but I tailor the information to the needs of the patient. Each person is an individual, and a "standard information packet" alluded to by this bill leaves little room for patient individuality.

C Everett Koop, and the American College of Obstetrics and Gynecology, after extensively reviewing the literature, concluded that there is no solid scientific data suggesting that there are long-term negative psychological effects from an abortion. Yet paragraph 8, page 2, line 31 refers to "possible psychological effects" that have been associated with having an abortion. Why should a patient be subjected to this concept when there is no proof that it exists, and will only serve to frighten the patient with false information? Informed consent should only involve only actual scientific information, not conjecture. ("Actual scientific information" is referred to in line 26, page 2.) If this reference remains in the bill, where is the comparable line referring to the possible psychological risks of adopting a baby out?

This bill is not about science, nor about medicine. This bill is not about information or informed consent. This bill is simple bias, placing more obstructions in the paths of women seeking an abortion. The suggested body of information is already available, and gathering it as suggested is a duplication of efforts. The requirements of HB 112 serve only as an obstacle intended to discourage patients from choosing a procedure that is recognized as one of the safest performed in medicine.

The persons being served are not the patients, but the legislators who wish to further obstruct abortion in Alaska.

Jan Whitefield

Medical Director, Alaska Women's Health Services

Valdez Medical Clinic

Andrew R. Embick, M.D.
Kathleen G. Todd, M.D.
John S. Cullen, M.D.
Joseph H. O. Roth, M.D.

PO Box 1829
Valdez, Alaska 99686

Telephone
(907) 835-4811
Telefax
(907) 835-5162

Please do not pass House Bill 112 or Senate Bill 91
or even waste more committee time on them!

House Bill 112, though carefully written to try to be
fair in presenting information to pregnant women making difficult
decisions, is in fact not fair or reasonable and should not become
law.

- 1) It singles out a single type of informed consent for special
treatment. Why not insist that physicians tell those choosing to
remain pregnant all the same things? Informed consent is
already required by our legal/medical malpractice system
and these regulations, for a single type of procedure, are
not needed (unless their true intent is harassing providers
rather than protecting patients)
- 2) The pamphlets required to be prepared will be costly,
potentially biased no matter how many resources go into making
them less so, frequently out of date, and not very useful
in obtaining informed consent. Realistically, they will
probably be more useful to those who oppose abortion
to hand out to interested parties at the State Fair than to
use in doctor's offices. Is this what we should be
doing with DHSS's budget?
- 3) Several definitions in the bill do not match currently
accepted definitions or are controversial. This is a prime
example of why the state should stay out of medical matters.
- 4) There is no evidence that counseling by physicians
is superior to counseling by trained office staff, and some
evidence to the contrary. This is another indication
that the legislation appears to create difficulties rather

then inform,

Please leave this bill where it belongs - stuck in
Committee and not the law of Alaska.

Nathan G. Judd MD

SENATE COMMITTEE REPORT

DATE: 4/3/01

FURTHER: Finance

DATE TURNED
IN TO OFFICE: 4-12-01

Judiciary Committee considered **SENATE BILL NO. 91**

ABORTION: INFORMED CONSENT; INFORMATION

"An Act relating to information and services available to pregnant women and other persons; and ensuring informed consent before an abortion may be performed, except in cases of medical emergency."

and recommends:

- be replaced with _____ CS _____ (_____)
- adopt previous _____ CS SB 91 (HES)
- attached amendment(s)
- adopt Letter of Intent by _____ Committee
- further referral to _____ Committee

Senate Bill:

- same title
- new title

House Bill:

- same title
- technical title
- new: SCR # _____

NEW FISCAL NOTE(S):

Department	Date	Fiscal	Zero	FN#

PREVIOUS FISCAL NOTE(S):

Department	Date	Fiscal	Zero	FN#
DH+SS	3/16/01	✓		

APPROPRIATION - no fiscal note

SIGNATURES AND RECOMMENDATIONS:	Do PASS	Do NOT PASS	NO REC	AMEND
<i>[Signature]</i>				X
<i>[Signature]</i>				X
CHAIR: <i>[Signature]</i>	✓			

*work with Dept to correct cost while compliance with

**SENATE COMMITTEE REPORT
First Committee of Referral**

DATE: 2/14/01

FURTHER: Judiciary
Finance

Date of 5-Day Notice: 03/08/01
(in accordance with Uniform Rule 23)

DATE TURNED
IN TO OFFICE: 04/02/01

Health, Education and Social Services Committee considered SENATE BILL NO. 91

"An Act relating to information and services available to pregnant women and other persons; and ensuring informed consent before an abortion may be performed, except in cases of medical emergency."

and recommends:

- be replaced with CS SB91 (HES)
- adopt previous CS ()
- attached amendment(s)
- adopt Letter of Intent by Committee
- further referral to Committee

- Senate Bill:**
 same title
 new title
- House Bill:**
 same title
 technical title
 new: SCR #

NEW FISCAL NOTE(S):

Department	Date	Fiscal	Zero	FN#
Health & Social Svcs	3/4/01	X		1

PREVIOUS FISCAL NOTE(S):

Department	Date	Fiscal	Zero	FN#

APPROPRIATION - no fiscal note

SIGNATURES AND RECOMMENDATIONS:	DO PASS	DO NOT PASS	NO REC	AMEND
<i>Drew A. Skuman</i>	✓			
<i>Gary White</i>			✓	
<i>Ken Ward</i>	✓			
<i>[Signature]</i>				
<i>[Signature]</i>				
CHAIR: <i>[Signature]</i> <i>[Signature]</i>	✓			

SB 91-ABORTION: INFORMED CONSENT; INFORMATION
SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE

SIGN-IN

NAME: Karen Pearson Subject/Bill No: SB 91
Co./Dept./Title: DHSS / Dir Public Health Phone: 465-8613
Address: PO Box 110610 Juneau Zip: 99811
Do you wish to testify? Yes No Respond To Questions

NAME: Jennifer Ruderger Subject/Bill No: SB 91
Co./Dept./Title: Alaska Civil Liberties Union Phone: 258-0044
Address: PO Box 201844 Anchorage Zip: 99520-1844
Do you wish to testify? Yes No Respond To Questions

NAME: _____ Subject/Bill No: _____
Co./Dept./Title: _____ Phone: _____
Address: _____ Zip: _____
Do you wish to testify? Yes No Respond To Questions

NAME: _____ Subject/Bill No: _____
Co./Dept./Title: _____ Phone: _____
Address: _____ Zip: _____
Do you wish to testify? Yes No Respond To Questions

SITE: ANCHORAGE LIO
 COMMITTEE: SFIN
 DATE: 4-3-02

SUBJECT OF MEETING:
 SB 91
 UPDATE #: 1



P R I N T YOUR NAME ADDRESS (MAILING & ZIP) REPRESENTING DO YOU WANT TO TESTIFY?
 Y or N

✓ Bob Lynn		AK Right to Life	Y-SB 91
Email address:			
✓ Victoria Halcro		Planned Parenthood	Y-SB 91
Email address:			
✓ Robin Smith			Y-SB 91
Email address:			
Pauline Utter			Y-SB 91
Email address:			
Email address:			
Email address:			
Email address:			

SITE: FAIRBANKS LIO

SUBJECT OF MEETING:

COMMITTEE: SFIN

SB 91:

DATE: 4/3/2002

UPDATE # 1:



PLEASE SIGN IN

P R I N T YOUR NAME

DO YOU WANT
ADDRESS (MAILING & ZIP)

REPRESENTING

TO TESTIFY?

Y or N

Anne Harrison			Y SB 91
Email address:			
Email address:			
Email address:			
Email address:			
Email address:			

