

SB

81

SFIN

FILE

SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE REPORT

Oliver

DATE: 3/28/95

FURTHER:

DATE TURNED INTO OFFICE: 4-25-95

The Finance Committee considered SENATE BILL NO. 81

"An Act classifying the wolf as a predator and providing for a bounty on wolf."

and recommends:

- be replaced with CS SB 81 (FIN) (FIN)
- adopt previous CS _____
- attached amendment(s)
- adopt Letter of Intent by _____ Committee
- further referral to the _____ Committee

Senate Bill:
 same title
 new title
 House Bill:
 same title
 technical change
 new: SCR# _____

SIGNING <u>DO</u> PASS	DP	OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS	NR	DNP	AM
<i>[Signature]</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>[Signature]</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
		<i>[Signature]</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
		<i>[Signature]</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Co-Chair: <i>[Signature]</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Co-Chair: <i>[Signature]</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				

NEW FISCAL NOTE(S):

Department Date Zero Fiscal

DFG	4/26/95		85.0
New F.N.			
forthcoming			
DFG			

PREVIOUS FISCAL NOTE(S):*

Department Date Zero Fiscal

APPROPRIATION -- no fiscal note

*include fiscal notes accompanying Governor's bill

FISCAL NOTE

STATE OF ALASKA
1995 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

BILL NO. SSB 81(FIN)

Revision Date: 4/28/95 Dept. Affected: Fish and Game
 Title: Classifying wolf as a predator BRU: Wildlife Conservation
 Component: Wildlife Conservation
 Sponsor: Senator Sharp
 Requester: Senate Finance COMPONENT SERIAL NO. 473

Expenditures/Revenues (Thousands of Dollars)

OPERATING EXPENDITURES	FY 96	FY 97	FY 98	FY 99	FY 00	FY 01
PERSONAL SERVICES						
TRAVEL						
CONTRACTUAL	85.0	85.0	85.0	85.0	85.0	85.0
SUPPLIES						
EQUIPMENT						
LAND & STRUCTURES						
GRANTS, CLAIMS						
MISCELLANEOUS						
TOTAL OPERATING	85.0	85.0	85.0	85.0	85.0	85.0

CAPITAL EXPENDITURES						
----------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

CHANGE IN REVENUES ()	(50.0)	(50.0)	(50.0)	(50.0)	(50.0)	(50.0)
------------------------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------

FUND SOURCE (Thousands of Dollars)

1002 Federal Receipts						
1003 GF Match						
1004 GF	85.0	85.0	85.0	85.0	85.0	85.0
1005 GF/Program Receipts						
1006 GF/MHTIA						
Other						
TOTAL	85.0	85.0	85.0	85.0	85.0	85.0

Estimate of any current year (FY95) cost: \$ 0.0

POSITIONS

FULL-TIME						
PART-TIME						
TEMPORARY						

ANALYSIS: (Attach a separate page if necessary)

ASSUMPTIONS:

1. Loss of revenue from sale of nonresident tags, which are eliminated by this bill is expected to be constant over the next few years at \$50.0/annum.
2. Incentive payments will be made from special annual general fund appropriations.
3. Expenditures based on Board of Game approval of harvest incentives for GMUs 13, 19D, 20A and 20D.
4. No funding estimates are included for enforcement against fraudulent harvest incentives paid for wolves taken from areas outside those identified by the board. Any enforcement costs will be assumed by Dept. of Public Safety.
5. For identified subunits, 350 wolves will be turned in for payment annually--slightly higher than present.
6. Program will commence in winter/spring 95-96 under expedited regulations adopted by the board.

Prepared by: Wayne L. Regelin, Acting Director *Wayne L. Regelin* Phone: 465-4190
 Division: Wildlife Conservation Date: 4/28/95
 Approved by Commissioner: Frank Rue *Wayne L. Regelin* Date: 4/28/95
 Agency: Fish and Game

PREPARER TO PROVIDE ALL DISTRIBUTION COPIES TO GOVERNOR'S LEGISLATIVE OFFICE

For further distribution information, call the Governor's Legislative Office

April 26, 1995

TO: Val Rader
Dave Skidmore
Cam Toohey

FROM: Norma Strickland, Sec'y
Senate Finance Committee

SUBJECT: CSSB 81 (FIN)

*ok 4/26/95
12:43*

The attached final has been returned from legal. Please review to ensure that the new language is correct. I am holding the new CS for your approval and will pass on to the Senate Secretary once I receive your concurrence.

Please note that Legal worded the intent differently than the conceptual amendment, but the meaning is the same. (page 2, line 3 and 4). Line 7 reflects the amendment to remove "retain" and add "remove".

Thank you,

Norma

Norma Strickland
x4935

April 26, 1995

TO: Val Rader
Dave Skidmore
Cam Toohey

FROM: Norma Strickland, Sec'y
Senate Finance Committee


SUBJECT: CSSB 81 (FIN)



The attached final has been returned from legal. Please review to ensure that the new language is correct. I am holding the new CS for your approval and will pass on to the Senate Secretary once I receive your concurrence.

Please note that Legal worded the intent differently than the conceptual amendment, but the meaning is the same. (page 2, line 3 and 4). Line 7 reflects the amendment to remove "retain" and add "remove".

Thank you,



Norma Strickland
x4935

April 26, 1995

TO: Val Rader *MR*
Dave Skidmore *OK*
Cam Toohey *OK*

FROM: Norma Strickland, Sec'y
Senate Finance Committee

SUBJECT: CSSB 81 (FIN)

The attached final has been returned from legal. Please review to ensure that the new language is correct. I am holding the new CS for your approval and will pass on to the Senate Secretary once I receive your concurrence.

Please note that Legal worded the intent differently than the conceptual amendment, but the meaning is the same. (page 2, line 3 and 4). Line 7 reflects the amendment to remove "retain" and add "remove".

Thank you,

Norma Strickland
x4935

Adopted 4-25-95

9-LS0637\M

CS FOR SENATE BILL NO. 81(RES)

IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

NINETEENTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

BY THE SENATE RESOURCES COMMITTEE

**Offered: 3/28/95
Referred: Finance**

Sponsor(s): SENATORS SHARP, Taylor, Miller

A BILL

FOR AN ACT ENTITLED

1 "An Act amending the Fish and Game Code by removing 'wolf' from the
2 definition of 'big game'; relating to the classification and taking of wolves; and
3 providing for a harvest incentive on wolves taken in areas designated by the
4 Board of Game."

5 **BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:**

6 * Section 1. AS 16.35 is amended by adding new sections to read:

7 **ARTICLE 2. WOLF.**

8 Sec. 16.35.210. WOLF. (a) The wolf is an unclassified game animal and a
9 fur bearing animal. The department and the Board of Game may not classify the wolf
10 as a big game animal and shall designate the wolf as an unclassified game animal.

11 (b) A wolf may be taken by any method or means approved for unclassified
12 game or furbearers in the game management unit or subunit in which the wolf is taken.

13 Sec. 16.35.220. HARVEST INCENTIVE. (a) The department shall pay a
14 harvest incentive of \$200 for each wolf taken in a harvest incentive area designated

1 by the Board of Game and presented for identification and sealing or marking at an
2 office of the department designated by the commissioner or at a regional office of the
3 department designated by the commissioner.

4 (b) A person who claims the harvest incentive on a wolf shall present the raw
5 skin with the right foreleg, including ulna and radius bones, attached in a natural state.
6 The department shall ^{remove} retain the right foreleg and seal or mark each skin presented to
7 indicate that the harvest incentive had been paid for that skin. The claimant may
8 retain the skin after it is sealed or marked. The department shall pay the harvest
9 incentive to the claimant within 30 days after presentment of the skin.

10 * Sec. 2. AS 08.54.590(2) is amended to read:

11 (2) "big game" means brown bear, grizzly bear, caribou, moose, black
12 bear, bison, Sitka blacktail deer, elk, mountain goat, musk-ox, [WOLF,] wolverine,
13 mountain or Dall sheep;

14 * Sec. 3. TRANSITION. Notwithstanding AS 16.35.210, as added by sec. 1 of this Act
15 and sec. 4 of this Act, a person who holds an unused big game tag for wolf issued under
16 AS 16.05.340(a) before the effective date of sec. 1 of this Act may take a wolf before
17 January 1, 1996, under big game hunting regulations for wolf as those regulations existed on
18 the day before the effective date of sec. 1 of this Act.

19 * Sec. 4. AS 16.05.340(a)(15)(J) and 16.05.340(a)(21)(K) are repealed.

BB 81

Conceptual Amendment:

page 2, line 4: insert after "wolf", "must be an Alaskan resident"

page 2, line 6: delete: "retain", insert: "remove"

Adopted
4-25-95

Must be an Alaskan resident

FISCAL NOTE

No. 1

Bill Version: SB 81

DI (S) Publish Date: 3-28-95

STATE OF ALASKA
1995 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

Revision Date:	Dept. Affected: <u>Fish and Game</u>
Title: <u>An Act classifying the wolf as a predator and providing for a bounty on wolf</u>	BRU: <u>Wildlife Conservation</u>
Sponsor: <u>Senator Sharo</u>	Component: <u>Wildlife Conservation</u>
Requester: <u>Senate Resources</u>	COMPONENT SERIAL NO. <u>473</u>

Expenditures/Revenues (Thousands of Dollars)

OPERATING EXPENDITURES	FY 96	FY 97	FY 98	FY 99	FY 00	FY 01
PERSONAL SERVICES						
TRAVEL						
CONTRACTUAL	675.0	675.0	574.0	574.0	574.0	574.0
SUPPLIES						
EQUIPMENT						
LAND & STRUCTURES						
GRANTS, CLAIMS						
MISCELLANEOUS						
TOTAL OPERATING	675.0	675.0	574.0	574.0	574.0	574.0

CAPITAL EXPENDITURES						
----------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

CHANGE IN REVENUES (1024)	(50.0)	(50.0)	(50.0)	(50.0)	(50.0)	(50.0)
---------------------------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------

FUND SOURCE (Thousands of Dollars)

FUND SOURCE	FY 96	FY 97	FY 98	FY 99	FY 00	FY 01
1002 Federal Receipts						
1003 GF Match						
1004 GF	675.0	675.0	574.0	574.0	574.0	574.0
1005 GF/Program Receipts						
1006 GF/MHTIA						
Other						
TOTAL	675.0	675.0	574.0	574.0	574.0	574.0

Estimate of any current year (FY95) cost: \$ 0.0

POSITIONS

POSITIONS	FY 96	FY 97	FY 98	FY 99	FY 00	FY 01
FULL-TIME	0	0	0	0	0	0
PART-TIME	0	0	0	0	0	0
TEMPORARY	0	0	0	0	0	0

ANALYSIS: (Attach a separate page if necessary)

Assumptions:

- 1) Annual loss of revenue from sale of nonresident tag fees, which are eliminated by this bill, expected to be constant over next few years.
- 2) Bounty payments made from special annual general fund appropriations.
- 3) Bounty incentive and elimination of restrictions on take will boost harvest of wolves by 25% over short term.
- 4) Within two years harvest in southeast Alaska (15% of statewide total) prohibited by federal listing of Alexander Archipelago wolf as a "threatened" species.

Prepared by: Wayne L. Reagin, Acting Director *WR*
 Division: Wildlife Conservation
 Approved by Commissioner: Frank Ruse
 Agency: Alaska Department of Fish and Game

Phone: 465-4192
 Date: 2/15/95
 Date: 2/16/95

PREPARER TO PROVIDE ALL DISTRIBUTION COPIES TO GOVERNOR'S LEGISLATIVE OFFICE

For further distribution information, call the Governor's Legislative Office

L 2124

2124/95 #1
(S) RES

FISCAL NOTE

STATE OF ALASKA
1995 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

BILL NO. SB 81 1

Revision Date: _____ Dept. Affected: Fish and Game
 Title: An Act classifying the wolf as a predator and providing for a bounty on wolf BRU: Wildlife Conservation
 Component: Wildlife Conservation
 Sponsor: Senator Sharp
 Requester: Senate Resources COMPONENT SERIAL NO. 473

Expenditures/Revenues (Thousands of Dollars)

OPERATING EXPENDITURES	FY 96	FY 97	FY 98	FY 99	FY 00	FY 01
PERSONAL SERVICES						
TRAVEL						
CONTRACTUAL SUPPLIES	675.0	675.0	574.0	574.0	574.0	574.0
EQUIPMENT						
LAND & STRUCTURES						
GRANTS, CLAIMS						
MISCELLANEOUS						
TOTAL OPERATING	675.0	675.0	574.0	574.0	574.0	574.0

CAPITAL EXPENDITURES						
----------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

CHANGE IN REVENUES (1024)	(50.0)	(50.0)	(50.0)	(50.0)	(50.0)	(50.0)
---------------------------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------

FUND SOURCE (Thousands of Dollars)

1002 Federal Receipts						
1003 GF Match						
1004 GF	675.0	675.0	574.0	574.0	574.0	574.0
1005 GF/Program Receipts						
1006 GF/MHTIA						
Other						
TOTAL	675.0	675.0	574.0	574.0	574.0	574.0

Estimate of any current year (FY95) cost: \$ 0.0

POSITIONS

FULL-TIME	0	0	0	0	0	0
PART-TIME	0	0	0	0	0	0
TEMPORARY	0	0	0	0	0	0

ANALYSIS: (Attach a separate page if necessary)

Assumptions:

- 1) Annual loss of revenue from sale of nonresident tag fees, which are eliminated by this bill, expected to be constant over next few years.
- 2) Bounty payments made from special annual general fund appropriations.
- 3) Bounty incentive and elimination of restrictions on take will boost harvest of wolves by 25% over short term.
- 4) Within two years harvest in southeast Alaska (15% of statewide total) prohibited by federal listing of Alexander Archipelago wolf as a "threatened" species.

Prepared by: Wayne L. Regelin, Acting Director
 Division: Wildlife Conservation
 Approved by Commissioner: Frank Rose
 Agency: Alaska Department of Fish and Game

Phone: 465-4192
 Date: 2/15/95
 Date: 2.16.95

PREPARER TO PROVIDE ALL DISTRIBUTION COPIES TO GOVERNOR'S LEGISLATIVE OFFICE

For further distribution information, call the Governor's Legislative Office

5581

Alaska State Legislature

SENATOR
BERT SHARP
DISTRICT P
CHAIRMAN
SENATE STATE AFFAIRS COMMITTEE
MEMBER
FINANCE COMMITTEE
RULES COMMITTEE



FAIRBANKS
DENALI BANK BUILDING
119 N. CUSHMAN, SUITE 201
FAIRBANKS, ALASKA 99701
(907) 452-7505/7886
SESSION ADDRESS
STATE CAPITOL, ROOM 514
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99801-1182
(907) 465-3004/4921

Senate

SPONSOR STATEMENT

CSSB-81

Why It's Time to Re-instate a Bounty on Wolves

1. History of season accessibility by Alaskan Hunters.

	<u>Moose</u>	<u>Caribou</u>
1965	Aug. 20 - Sept. 30 - 40 Nov. 15 - 30 - <u>15</u> 55	Aug. 10 - Sept. 30 - 50 Nov. 10 - Feb. 28 - <u>110</u> 160

1995 Sept. 1 - 10/5 - 15

Many with no general
open seasons.

Most with no general
open seasons.

Why were the seasons so liberal in the '60's?

The State inherited large healthy game populations from the Fed's at the time of statehood. Fed's vigorously managed predators by aerial hunting and a poison program. The state continued the bounty program for approximately 7 - years.

What happened?



REPRESENTING
GOLDEN HEART
OF ALASKA

The last four Governors, Sheffield, Cowper, Hickel and now Knowles have consistently ignored the recommendations of the Department of Fish and Game calling for intensive predator control actions. The Department's actions that based on bookcases full of scientific studies, game survey results, and years of public testimony.

The actions of Hickel and Knowles have twice squashed a pilot wolf reduction program which meticulously evolved out of years of planning, public input and Board actions, and it only applied to 6,500 square miles, less than 1% of our state lands.

Previous governors choose to thwart Department, Board, Public and Legislative directions for predator control programs by executive orders, removal of key personnel and shifting legislatively approved funding to other passive management areas.

Last year this legislature passed intensive game management mandate legislation.

At the December and March Board of Game meetings, public proposals called for intensive management implementation in Game management Units 13, 19, 20D and 20A.

Review Unit 13 Department presentation.

1. Another deep snow tough winter this year which will make it an unprecedented four in a row.
2. Moose populations down 20-25% and continuing down.
3. Moose calf and "15 month yearling" populations are at extremely low levels which will cause additional deterioration of Unit 13 Moose numbers.
4. A higher than average wolf population with strong indications of a much lower wolf harvest by trappers and hunters this season.
5. Continued record high levels of Grizzly bear populations levels.

The Department of Fish and Game ignored these warning signals and gave indications of business as usual, proposing reduced seasons. Continue managing people not the resource.

See memo from PRC Members dated 12/15/94.

The only positive action coming out of the March Board meeting was reluctant approval to liberal bear harvest in Unit 13. No predator control was authorized.

My constituents tell me to cut the state budget first where the state isn't doing their job. I would like to continue to work toward getting the Department resources focused on resource management not people management. Budget shifts can get the job done with no increase in costs.

Some who will come forward in opposition of this bill will justify their testimony by saying we must keep politics out of the game management process.

I can only reply that we are at this point only because of blackmail politics by special interest groups who are financed primarily by outside interest.

This bill is a simple statement. Alaskans demand that this resource be managed with their best interest being the paramount issue.

This bill is here because of politics destroying wise game management in our state.

This bill simply stated puts the power back into the hands of the people in an arena where government has miserably failed.

Careful examination of this bill reveals another feature. It's an Alaskan Hire piece of legislation. Thank you.

Alaska State Legislature

SENATOR
BERT SHARP

DISTRICT 7

CHAIRMAN
SENATE STATE AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

MEMBER
FINANCE COMMITTEE
RULES COMMITTEE

FAIRBANKS

SENATE BARRACK BUILDING
1114 CUSHMAN SUITE 201
FAIRBANKS, ALASKA 99701
907-452-7451/416

RESIDENCE ADDRESS

STATE CAPITOL ROOM 514
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99801-1132
907-465-2004/1121

Senate

Department of Fish and Game's Program Review Committee (PRC) indicates that they recommend business as usual - managing people not the resource.

MEMORANDUM

STATE OF ALASKA
DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME

TO: Wayne Regelin
Acting Director
Division of Wildlife Conservation

DATE: December 13, 1994

FROM: PRC Members

SUBJECT: Recommendations on
Wolf Management

The PRC (minus Peterson and Pitcher) met December 13 to discuss wolf management issues. Members present reached consensus on several recommendations for your consideration. We did not reach consensus on one recommendation; minority reports on that point are included below.

Recommendations:

1. In future deliberations regarding wolf control, the department should provide an objective analysis of the benefits and costs of wolf control and management other options. The department should not advocate for or against wolf control.
2. The majority of the PRC (3 members) believe DWC should recommend continued suspension of wolf control until the governor's review process is complete. Two minority views on this recommendation follow:

Minority view #1: The potential negative consequences of a decision by this group to recommend continued suspension of the 20A control program may be far greater than any potential positive effects of making such a recommendation on our professional credibility. The responsible action would have been to objectively consider all reasonable alternatives, including continued suspension, and to identify the potential costs, benefits and/or liabilities associated with recommendations regarding each alternative prior to making a decision. To make recommendation #2 without following the process outlined above is reactionary and ill advised. (2 Members)

Minority view #2: Regardless of the merits of continued suspension to future discussion and resolution of the controversy over wolf control, recommendation #2 conflicts with Recommendation #1. If the division is to remain neutral on wolf control as called for in #1, it is contradictory to take any position regarding the existing program in GMS 20A. (1 Member)

December 15, 1994

3. An impartial review of the state's wolf management policies and programs should be conducted.

4. A credible survey of Alaska public attitudes is essential to developing a balanced statewide wolf management policy.

5. The current Board of Game decision-making processes are not producing broadly supportable, sustainable wolf management policies. We recommend that those processes be reviewed.

If you need elaboration on any of the points, please feel free to contact any of us individually.

cc:

PRC Members

SECTIONAL ANALYSIS

CSSB-81

Section 1. AS 16.35 is amended to add a new section to read:

ARTICLE 2. WOLF.

Section 16.35.21 Classifies wolf as predator.

(a) a wolf as a predator not a big game animal or a furbearing animal. The Department of Fish & Game may not classify the wolf as such.

(b) there are no restrictions as to the method or means of taking a wolf.

Section 16.35.220 Establishes a HARVEST INCENTIVE.

(a) directs the department of Fish and Game to pay a \$200 harvest incentive for each wolf taken in the state. The wolf must be presented to an office designated by the Commissioner, or a regional office of the department for identification and sealing or marking.

(b) a person claiming a harvest incentive must present to the department the raw skin with right foreleg attached in a natural state, including ulna and radius bones. To indicate the harvest incentive has been paid, the department shall keep the foreleg and mark or seal the skin. After the skin has been sealed or marked, the claimant may keep the skin. The department must pay the harvest incentive within 30 days after the skin has been presented.

Section 2. AS 08.54.590(2) is amended to read:

(2) defines "big game" to mean brown bear, grizzly bear, caribou, moose, black bear, bison, Sitka blacktail deer, elk, mountain goat, musk-ox, wolverine, mountain or Dall sheep.

Section 3. TRANSITION. Notwithstanding AS 16.35.210 as added by sec. 1 and sec 4 of this Act, a person holding an unused tag for wolf issued under AS 16.05.340(a) before the effective date of section 1 of this Act, as those regulations existed on the day before the effective date of section 1, may take a wolf before January 1, 1996

Section 4.. Repeals AS 16.05.340(a)(15)(J) and AS 16.05.340(a)(21)(K).

DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME
POSITION PAPER

Bill No: SB 81

Sponsor: Senator Sharp

Division: Wildlife Conservation

Bill Title: "An Act classifying the wolf as a predator and providing for a bounty on wolf."

Department Position: Oppose

Background/Legislative Intent: This bill would offer monetary incentives and eliminate state regulatory restrictions on the taking of wolves in order to (1) increase the number of wolves taken in Alaska, (2) reduce predation by wolves on their prey, and (3) increase the availability of ungulate populations for human consumptive uses.


Analysis of Bill/Program Effects: If enacted into law, the bill would produce few, if any, positive wildlife management benefits. This bill would have negative biological, fiscal, enforcement, political, and public relations impacts.

- Regulations governing the taking of wolves would be eliminated. Wolves could be taken by any methods or means including by use of aircraft, helicopters, poison, denning, types and sizes of traps and snares particularly dangerous to the public and nontarget species, radio communications, machine guns, explosives, motor vehicles in motion, and other means currently prohibited.
- Bounty hunters could legally track and target radio-collared wolves that are subjects of scientific research by ADF&G and federal agencies. Destruction of collared animals for bounties would compromise those research projects.
- Seasons, bag limits, hunting/trapping licenses, tags, mandatory sealing and report requirements would be eliminated.
- Enforcement and prosecutions of "methods and means" violations for other game species would be complicated by violators who would claim in defense that they were hunting wolves.
- This bill would allow the live-trapping and possession of wolves, as well as unregulated ownership, transfer, purchase, sale, breeding and exhibition of wolves and wolf hybrids in Alaska.
- Endangered Species Act (ESA) considerations may preempt all wolf harvests in Alaska. Under the ESA, the Secretary of Interior may list species as threatened or endangered due to "the inadequacy of existing regulatory mechanisms." This bill would eliminate all regulatory mechanisms and would contribute to a federal threatened species listing for the wolf in Southeast Alaska (Alexander Archipelago wolf) and possibly elsewhere in the state. In consequence, there would be a complete prohibition on harvest of wolves, and the


resulting increased pressure on prey populations could force the Board of Game to curtail hunting opportunities for ungulates. In addition, restrictions on the modification of endangered species habitat (i.e., logging in the Tongass National Forest) would likely follow. Delisting, at a minimum, would require reestablishment of effective regulations.

- In most, if not all states, statewide bounties on all species have been eliminated as a game management tool because they are costly, difficult to administer, unpopular with the public, and indiscriminate. As in this bill, bounties do not target specific areas where predator control may be effective and useful, and bounties increase harvests where predator populations may be too low, in jeopardy, or already at desirable levels.
- During the past five years, approximately 1170 wolves have been harvested annually. Before the financial incentive of a wolf bounty would yield results in the form of increased harvest, the department would have to spend nearly \$500.0 in bounties for wolves currently taken in the absence of a bounty. In addition, the department would lose about \$50.0 annually from the sale of nonresident big game tags.
- Biological, fiscal and enforcement concerns aside, wolves are important components of healthy, naturally functioning ecosystems and are highly regarded among Americans as the embodiment of wilderness. If passed, this bill inevitably will result in tremendous public controversy, become the centerpiece of animal rights and anti-hunting campaigns, and engender out-of-state boycotts of Alaska and Alaskan products.

Wolves are a highly sought after big game species and should be managed in a manner similar to other wildlife, in balance with their habitat and with human needs. The department believes the existing regulatory system and management programs for wolves are preferable to the proposed bill and can better achieve the desired purpose of reducing predation on specific big game populations, while minimizing the likelihood that federal agencies will assume management authority.



Commissioner's Signature



Date

THE FAR SIDE®..... by Gary Larson



"I know you miss the ADFG Staff, Bobby, but they were weak and stupid people — and that's why we have wolves and other large predators."

SENATE COMMITTEE REPORT
First Committee of Referral

*Need for
 Referral*

DATE: 2/9/95

FURTHER:

fact

Date of 5-Day Notice: 2-16-95
 (in accordance with Uniform Rule 23)

DATE TURNED INTO OFFICE: 3-28-95

Resources Committee considered SENATE BILL NO. 81

"An Act classifying the wolf as a predator and providing for a bounty on wolf."

FN

and recommends:

- be replaced with CS SB 81 (RES)
- adopt previous CS _____ (_____)
- attached amendment(s)
- adopt Letter of Intent by _____ Committee
- further referral to the _____ Committee

- Senate Bill:
 - same title
 - new title
- House Bill:
 - technical change
 - new: SCR# _____

SIGNING <u>DO PASS</u>	DP	OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS	NR	DNP	AM
<i>[Signature]</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>[Signature]</i>			
<i>[Signature]</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>[Signature]</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
<i>[Signature]</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
<i>[Signature]</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
CHAIR: <i>[Signature]</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				

NEW FISCAL NOTE(S):

Department	Date	Zero	Fiscal
DF+G	2-16		675.0

PREVIOUS FISCAL NOTE(S):*

Department	Date	Zero	Fiscal

APPROPRIATION -- no fiscal note

*include fiscal notes accompanying Governor's bill