

S B

133



Alaska State Legislature

SENATE

Official Business

P.O. Box V
State Capitol
Juneau, Alaska 99811

TO: Members of the Senate Judiciary Committee

FROM: Senator Al Adams, Chair ^{ADA}
Community and Regional Affairs Committee

DATE: January 30, 1989

RE: SB 133 "An Act repealing the authority of the Alaska Court System to impose and of state agencies to collect a probation fee; and providing for an effective date."

My gratitude for the Senate Judiciary Committee's swift scheduling of the aforementioned legislation. The situation this bill will correct is one that was first brought to my attention by a probation officer in Kotzebue. Current law requires that those on probation pay a \$45 per month fee to their probation officers. This bill would remove that requirement from state law.

Probation officers, particularly those in rural areas, have been encumbered in their attempts to enforce a statute that neither pays for itself or results in any positive change in behavior by those subject to paying the fees. In addition, the time spent by probation officers as fee agents is a useless expenditure when their efforts could be better spent at other tasks.

The situation of highly seasonal employment in rural areas creates a situation where those on probation often have no regular income, and frequently a meager amount when they do. The desire to enforce this statute is often met with frustration by both those on probation and those responsible for fee collection in areas on the edge of a cash economy. The option of community work service in lieu of cash is also unsuitable in that the probation officer is then required to supervise that work service has been completed.

This bill is supported by the Department of Corrections and received verbal endorsement from the Criminal Justice Working group during their meeting in Kotzebue this summer. In your packet is a letter of support from the Department of Corrections and another letter of support from a public defender in Nome.

Also enclosed is a listing of those on probation (first name only) and a summary of individual probation fee payment status. Referenced statutes are also enclosed.

Section 1 repeals the authority to require the defendant to pay a probation fee. Accomplishes this by removal from A.S. 12.55.100 (a) under the "Conditions of Probation" a subsection (5) referencing AS 12.55.105 that dictates the probation fee requirements.

Section 2 accomplishes a technical change referencing defaulted loans and the authority to revoke permanent fund dividends.

Section 3 removes court ordered probation fees from AS 43.23.065, section (b) (3) regarding exemptions of permanent fund dividends.

Section 4 is the meat of the bill in removing the probation fee requirement.

Section 5 an applicability section that pertains to how the bill would affect court ordered fees or outstanding debts since June 30, 1986 and before the effective date of this act.

Section 6 makes the bill effective immediately.

My aide Martha Stewart will be present to testify on this bill and perform initial bill introduction. John Cook, a probation officer in Kotzebue, will also be available to testify via teleconference. I understand Susan Humphrey Barnett, the Commissioner of the Department of Corrections may also be present to answer member questions.

Thank you for your consideration of this legislation.

STATE OF ALASKA

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

STEVE COWPER, GOVERNOR

REPLY TO:

P.O. BOX 7
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99811-2000
PHONE (907) 465-3376

October 10, 1988

The Honorable Al Adams
Alaska State Legislature
P.O. Box 333
Kotzebue, Alaska 99752

Dear Representative Adams:

This letter is in support of your proposed legislation to repeal the statute requiring Alaska probationers to pay a monthly fee-for-service.

When the program was started two years ago, the Department of Corrections saw it as an opportunity to instill responsibility in the probationers and to raise revenues to offset the cost of their supervision. However, our experience in this endeavor has proved otherwise.

In FY 88, we collected \$126,200 under the program statewide: \$26,900 in the Northern Region; \$72,900 in the Southcentral Region; and \$26,100 in the Southeast Region. The work required by our Probation Officers to enforce this program has been considerable.

The Probation Officers enforce the court orders that include this \$45.00 monthly fee-for-service. Probationers in extreme financial hardship can substitute community work service in lieu of payment at the rate of \$5.00 per hour or nine hours per month. This, too, must be scheduled and then supervised by the Probation Officer.

When probationers fail to pay or perform community work service, they are in technical violation of their court order and the Probation Officer can then return the probationer to court. The Probation Officer must prove to the court that the probationer is able to pay or perform work but refuses to do so. The Probation Officer is then subject to cross-examination by the probationer's attorney. In fact, no Alaska judge has revoked probation for failure to pay or perform work because the dollar amount is so low (returning a probationer to an \$86.00 per day cell for failing to pay a \$45.00 per month fee).


It is estimated that the three to four workdays per month required of each supervising field Probation Officer statewide is the equivalent of four fulltime officers per year. Add to that the equivalent of two fulltime clerks to handle correspondence, accounting and depositing of the fees balanced against the amount of \$126,200 raised statewide, the program is not cost effective.

The Honorable Al Adams
October 10, 1988
Page Two

Finally, as you know from your own region, requiring regular monthly payments discriminates against those on subsistence or seasonal employment. In the villages, where there is no supervised community work service option, the program makes no sense at all.

The current law applies to those probationers placed on probation after January 1987. Because the number grows each year, these problems will continue to grow. The Department of Corrections supports your efforts to repeal the fee-for-service for probationers' statute.

Sincerely



Susan Humphrey-Barnett
Commissioner

SHB:BP:dlh

FISCAL NOTE

REQUEST:

Revision Date: _____
Title: "An Act repealing the authority of
the Alaska Court System to impose."
Sponsor: Senator Adams
Requestor: _____

Agency Affected: Department of Corrections
BRU: Statewide Operations

Components: Northern Region Probation
Southcentral Region Probation
Southeast Region Probation

EXPENDITURES/REVENUES: (Thousands of Dollars)

OPERATING	FY 89	FY 90	FY 91	FY 92	FY 93	FY 94
PERSONAL SERVICES						
TRAVEL						
CONTRACTUAL						
SUPPLIES						
EQUIPMENT						
LAND & STRUCTURES						
GRANTS, CLAIMS						
MISCELLANEOUS						
TOTAL OPERATING	0	0	0	0	0	0
CAPITAL	0	0	0	0	0	0
REVENUE	-126.2	-126.2	0	0	0	0

FUNDING: (Thousands of Dollars)

GENERAL FUND	62.0	62.0				
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER	-62.0	-62.0				
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0

POSITIONS:

FULL-TIME	0	0	0	0	0	0
PART-TIME						
TEMPORARY						

ANALYSIS : (Attach a separate page if necessary)

This legislation will not result in additional operating costs, but the funding sources for FY89 and FY90 must be changed from program receipts to general fund.

Prepared by: Susan E. Knighton, Director Phone: 465-3376
Division: Administrative Services Date: 1-27-89

Approved by Commissioner: S. Humphrey-Barnett Date: 1-27-89
Agency: Department of Corrections

Distribution (by preparer):

- Legislative Finance
- Legislative Sponsor
- Requestor
- Office of Management and Budget
- Impacted Agency(ies)

P.O. Box 1402
Nome, AK 99762
January 24, 1989

Senator Al Adams
P.O. Box V
Juneau, AK 99811

Dear Senator Adams:

I support your efforts to repeal A.S. 12.55.105 which requires probationers to pay a probationary fee to the Department of Corrections. My experience in Northwest Alaska indicates that the probationary fee requirement creates an undue hardship on persons engaged in the predominately subsistence lifestyle of this region.

The major problem with the present statute is that imposition of the probationary fee is mandatory, that is, the sentencing court has no discretion to consider the probationer's ability to pay and determine whether the fee should be required. Thus, even if a probationer has no income except for the permanent fund dividend, the fee is still ordered. This approach is distinct from the procedures set out for determining the amount, if any, of restitution or a fine.


The second major problem is that the Department of Corrections, without statutory authorizaiton, requires a probationer who cannot pay the fee to perform community work service in lieu thereof. Probation officers are collecting supervision fees and enforcing unlawful community work service requirements instead of providing necessary services to probationers.

It may be that probationers who are able to pay should pay a reasonable probationary fee. However, under the present statute, the judge is not allowed to consider ability to pay. The result is that probationers who truly need their permanent fund dividends to provide family necessities in Northwest Alaska are required to assign their dividends to the Department of Corrections. This is unfair. In the preceeding 16 months I am unaware of even one probationer who was excused by the Department of Corrections from either paying the fee or doing community work service in lieu thereof.

Senator Al Adams
Page 2
January 24, 1989

I am enclosing a copy of the legal memoranda filed by the parties in a case in which the probationary fee was challenged. The issue became moot after the probationer committed a subsequent burglary, so was never fully litigated.

Sincerely,


James V. Gould
Attorney at Law

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF ALASKA
SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT AT NOME

STATE OF ALASKA,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 ALEX I. ASHENFELTER,)
)
 Defendant.)

CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT
State of Alaska
Second Judicial District

APR - 1 1988

Deputy

Case No. 2NO-S86-531Cr.

MOTION TO DISMISS PROBATION REVOCATION

Alex Ashenfelter was convicted of second degree burglary. He was given a suspended imposition of sentence. He is back before the court on a petition to revoke probation which alleges solely that he "has failed to pay the periodic probation supervision fee required by AS 12.55.105 to the Department of Corrections as directed by his probation officer." (petition to revoke p. 2).

Mr. Ashfenfelter's position is that the statutory requirement that he pay a probation supervision fee is facially unconstitutional (in violation of both the U.S. and Alaska constitutions) in that it does not take into account a probationer's ability to pay. AS 12.55.105 provides that:

A court granting probation shall require a periodic probation fee to be paid to the Department of Corrections as a condition of probation.

The amount of that fee has been set by the Department of Corrections at \$45.00 per month. 22 AAC 10.050(b).

Alaska Public Defender Agency
P. O. Box 203
Nome, Alaska 99762
Phone: (907) 443-2281

Alaska Public Defender Agency
P. O. Box 203
Nome, Alaska 99762
Phone: (907) 443-2281

1 Mr. Ashenfelter contends that the statute is facially
2 unconstitutional in that it provides that a condition of
3 probation shall be the payment of a periodic probation fee. In
4 effect then, the statute limits probation to only those able to
5 pay a probation fee. The result of that statute is to
6 discriminate against indigents when no valid basis for that
7 discrimination exists.

8 The SIS statute under which Mr. Ashenfelter was
9 'sentenced' provides that a court may, in its discretion,
10 suspend imposition of sentence and thereby place a person on
11 probation "if it appears that there are circumstances in
12 mitigation of the punishment or that the ends of justice will be
13 served." AS 12.55.085. Mr. Ashenfelter was found to be an
14 appropriate candidate under that qualification for an SIS. But,
15 per the probation fee statute, an SIS in a felony case is
16 further limited in its applicability, to only those defendants
17 who can qualify by an ability to pay a probation fee. Mr.
18 Ashenfelter is not able to pay a probation fee and is thus
19 before the court on a petition to revoke probation. To deny him
20 the benefits of the SIS statute because he does not have money
21 to pay for supervised probation is an unconstitutional
22 limitation.

23 Such a limitation has been struck down in a number of
24 analogous contexts.

25 In Hood v. Smedley, 498 P.2d 120 (Alaska 1972) the
26 Alaska Supreme Court struck down, as violative of equal

1 protection, a statute (former AS 12.55.010) which provided that
2 a defendant who fails to pay a fine could be imprisoned until
3 the fine is satisfied with a dollar amount credit per day in
4 jail. The court said:

5 Because imprisonment stemmed solely from the
6 indigency of the defendant, it was held to
7 work the same invidious discrimination which
8 was held unconstitutional in Williams v.
9 Illinois, 399 U.S. 235 (1970).

10
11 We must, therefore, hold that [AS
12 12.55.010], when applied to an indigent
13 defendant is unconstitutional.

14 Id, at 121. To allow the SIS statute and the other statutes
15 providing for probationary sentences to be limited in
16 applicability to only non-indigents, is to imprison defendants
17 based on ability to pay. Those that can pay can be given
18 probation, those that cannot pay will have probation revoked and
19 be imprisoned (or at the least be given a permanent record of
20 conviction). That result was disallowed in Hood v. Smedley and
21 it must be disallowed here.

22 Lominac v. Anchorage, 658 P.2d 792 (Alaska App. 1983)
23 considered a similar issue to the one presented by Ashenfelter's
24 case. In Lominac, the defendant was given an SIS on condition
25 of monthly payments toward restitution. The payments were not
26 made and the state petitioned to revoke Lominac's SIS. At a
hearing on Lominac's failure to pay, the trial judge found that
the failure was not wilful - that Lominac had not been shown to
have an ability to pay. but the trial judge nevertheless revoked

Alaska Public Defender Agency
P. O. Box 203
Nome, Alaska 99762
Phone: (907) 443-2281

1 her probation and sentenced her to jail. The court of appeals
2 did not reach the constitutional issue presented but did reverse
3 the trial court's decision and reinstated Lominac's SIS. The
4 court of appeals opinion was based on AS 12.55.051 which
5 disallows imprisonment as a consequence of failure to pay a fine
6 or restitution unless the failure to pay is intentional - in
7 other words unless there is an ability to pay proven. That
8 statute does not refer to probationary fees - only to fines and
9 restitution.

10 Karr v. State, 686 P.2d 1192 (Alaska 1984) dealt with
11 the question of ordering a defendant to pay restitution. Karr's
12 appeal alleged that the restitution order was invalid as she was
13 ordered to pay \$300,000 in restitution without the judge first
14 making a serious inquiry into her (Karr's) ability to pay that
15 amount. The Supreme Court agreed with Karr, vacated the
16 restitution order, and remanded for an ability to pay hearing.
17 In the course of reaching that result, the court makes some
18 comments which are applicable to Mr. Ashenfelter's case. The
19 court said:

20 Restitution should not only compensate the
21 victim for the harm inflicted by the
22 offender, but should further the rehabili-
23 tation of the offender. If restitution is
24 ordered in an amount that is clearly
25 impossible for the offender to pay, the
26 offender's rehabilitation will be inhibited
and not furthered. If the offender is haled
into court for nonpayment of restitution
under AS 12.55.051(a), or if the offender
petitions the court under AS 12.55.051(c) to
avoid this sanction, his reintegration into
society will be disrupted. Also, an

Alaska Public Defender Agency
P. O. Box 203
Nome, Alaska 99762
Phone: (907) 443-2281

1 offender might simply give up and make no
2 payments at all if the restitution ordered
3 is clearly impossible to pay. This could
4 result in the offender's incarceration under
5 AS 12.55.051(a), or in his fleeing the
6 jurisdiction to avoid this sanction, neither
7 of which further the dual goals behind
8 restitution.

9 Id, at 1197. (footnote omitted). To order M. Ashenfelter to
10 pay a probationary fee when he is financially unable to pay that
11 fee suffers from all the same problems that the court noted
12 would result where an impossible amount of restitution was
13 ordered.

14 A situation similar to Mr. Ashenfelter's was presented
15 to the New Jersey courts in State v. DeVatt, 413 A.2d 973, a
16 case in which the lower court had allowed terminating DeVatt's
17 participation in a pretrial intervention program and permitted
18 the state to proceed to prosecute the criminal charges, on the
19 grounds that he had failed to make restitution. The appellate
20 court reversed the lower court and held that the mere failure to
21 make restitution was insufficient, in the absence of a
22 consideration of the surrounding circumstances, to support the
23 court's termination of pretrial intervention.

24 Although the appellate court's primary concern in
25 DeVatt was that a defendant be given a full due process hearing
26 before terminating the pretrial intervention, the lower court
27 was further directed to "determine whether a factual basis
28 exists for the conclusion that defendants have wilfully violated
29 Pretrial Intervention conditions in a manner or under such

Alaska Public Defender Agency
P. O. Box 203
Nome, Alaska 99762
Phone: (907) 443-2281

1 circumstances as to justify termination of their right to
2 further participation in the program." DeVatt, 413 A.2d 973 at
3 976 (emphasis added). Mr. Ashenfelter here has the same
4 opportunities under his suspended imposition of sentence as the
5 defendants in DeVatt had under their pretrial intervention
6 program, i.e., the opportunity to avoid incarceration and the
7 opportunity to avoid having a permanent record of conviction.
8 Before Mr. Ashenfelter can be deprived of those opportunities,
9 this court should require, as the DeVatt court required, a
10 wilfull violation of probationary conditions.

11 Mr. Ashenfelter is not asking this court to find that
12 supervised probationers can never be required to pay a probation
13 fee - he is only asking this court to construe the statute to
14 require such payment only from those with an ability to pay.
15 Other states requiring the payment of a probation fee have
16 explicitly written ability to pay into their statutes. (See
17 Oregon ORS § 423.570 and Florida Fla. Stat. § 945.30.). This
18 court has only to construe the Alaska Statute to have implicitly
19 included such a qualification into AS 12.55.105 to save its
20 constitutionality.


21 In the absence of a finding of ability to pay and
22 therefore a wilful violation of the order to pay the probation
23 fee, Mr. Ashenfelter's probation should not be revoked. To
24 revoke it without such a finding is violative of the equal
25 protection clauses of the U.S. and Alaska constiutions and is
26 further in violation of the Alaska constitutional provision

1 which limits sentencing considerations in criminal cases to the
2 two valid penological purposes of reformation and protecting the
3 public. (Alaska Constitution, Art. I § 12).


4 CONCLUSION

6 For the foregoing reasons the probation revocation
8 petition must be dismissed.

7 DATED this 31 day of March, 1988.

9 
10 Valerie Leonard
11 Assistant Public Defender

12
13
14
15
16
17 I certify that a true and
18 correct copy of the Motion
19 to Dismiss Probation Revo-
20 cation was served to the
21 District Attorney's office
22 and the Probation Office
23 this 1st day of April, 1988.

24 

25 Alex I. Ashenfelter, 2NO-S86-531Cr.
26 Motion to Dismiss Probation Revocation

Page 2

1 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF ALASKA

2 SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT AT NOME

3 STATE OF ALASKA,)
 4)
 5 Plaintiff,)
 6)
 7 vs.)
 8)
 9 ALEX I. ASHENFELTER,)
 10)
 11)
 12)
 13)
 14)
 15)
 16)
 17)
 18)
 19)
 20)
 21)
 22)
 23)
 24)
 25)
 26)
 27)
 28)
 29)
 30)
 31)
 32)
 33)
 34)
 35)
 36)
 37)
 38)
 39)
 40)
 41)
 42)
 43)
 44)
 45)
 46)
 47)
 48)
 49)
 50)
 51)
 52)
 53)
 54)
 55)
 56)
 57)
 58)
 59)
 60)
 61)
 62)
 63)
 64)
 65)
 66)
 67)
 68)
 69)
 70)
 71)
 72)
 73)
 74)
 75)
 76)
 77)
 78)
 79)
 80)
 81)
 82)
 83)
 84)
 85)
 86)
 87)
 88)
 89)
 90)
 91)
 92)
 93)
 94)
 95)
 96)
 97)
 98)
 99)
 100)

Case No. 2NO-S86-531 CR

11 OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS
12 PROBATION REVOCATION PETITION

13 The State has brought a petition to revoke Alex
14 Ashenfelter's probation, alleging that he has not made any
15 effort to pay the probation supervision fee required by AS
16 12.55.105. Defendant contends that the statute is
17 unconstitutional in that it discriminates against individuals
18 unable to afford the probation supervision fee.

19 The statute, AS 12.55.105, was enacted in 1986 as part
20 of a larger measure designed to enhance state revenues. 1986
21 SLA. Ch. 138. AS 12.55.105(a) provides:

22 A court granting probation shall require a
23 periodic probation fee to be paid to the
24 Department of Corrections as a condition of
25 probation. The fee amount shall be
26 established by regulation adopted by the
27 commissioner of corrections.

28 Section (b) of the statute authorizes assignment of permanent
29 fund dividends to meet the probation fee obligation. The amount

DISTRICT ATTORNEY, STATE OF ALASKA
P. O. BOX 160, NOME, ALASKA 99762
PHONE: (907) 443-2296

DISTRICT ATTORNEY, STATE OF ALASKA
P. O. BOX 160, NOME, ALASKA 99762
PHONE: (907) 443-2296

1 of the probation fee has been established by regulation as being
2 \$45 per month. 22 AAC 10.050(b). The regulations also,
3 however, recognize that there may be probationers who are
4 unable to pay that amount:

5 The regional administrator of probation may
6 temporarily defer, excuse, or authorize an
7 alternate form of probation fee payment in a
8 form and by procedures established by the
9 commissioner if justified by a probationer's
10 verified inability to pay.

11 22 AAC 10.050(d). As part of the regulatory framework, the
12 Department of Corrections has specifically authorized community
13 work service where a probationer has a demonstrated inability to
14 pay the required fee. See Exhibit "A" -- Department of
15 Corrections, Policies and Procedures, Section 902.09 (VI)(E).
16 Mr. Ashenfelter's probation officer, Steve Korenek, has
17 testified that Mr. Ashenfelter, apparently having an inability
18 to pay the fee (and failing to file for his permanent fund
19 dividend), has been offered the community work service option
20 and has made no effort towards compliance.

21 Defendant makes much of the cases dealing with failure
22 to make restitution and the requirement that such a failure be
23 shown to be "willful" before probation may be revoked. See Karr
24 v. State, 686 P.2d 1192 (Alaska 1984). Defendant's
25 constitutional argument need not be addressed by the court. The
26 court has the obligation to construe statutory provisions to
avoid the dangers of unconstitutionality. State v. Rice, 626
P.2d 104, 108 (Alaska 1981); Keith v. State, 612 P.2d 977
(Alaska 1980). Thus legislation will be read, if possible, such

1 as to imply matters requisite to constitutional validity. See
2 Kimoktoak v. State, 584 P.2d 25 (Alaska 1978). Assuming
3 defendant to be correct in his assertion that determination of
4 ability to pay or "willfulness" is somehow a constitutional
5 requirement of the probation fee statute, the court may construe
6 the statute accordingly without a determination of its
7 constitutionality. With this-in mind, it is apparent that the
8 statute and its regulations adequately account for a person's
9 ability to pay. If a person is unable to pay, alternatives are
10 available. If a person is unable to perform any of the
11 alternatives, for a legitimate reason, the fee may be waived.
12 There simply is no danger that a person might be incarcerated
13 simply because he is poor.

14 What the court really must address is not a
15 constitutional question, but a factual question: is Mr.
16 Ashenfelter in non-compliance with the terms of his probation
17 and is that non-compliance willful? Mr. Korenek has testified
18 that Mr. Ashenfelter has not complied with the conditions or
19 alternatives that have been offered to him. Ashenfelter states
20 that he has a "lack of interest" in certain available jobs.
21 (Exhibit "B" - Ashenfelter's monthly report for January). He
22 apparently has a lack of interest in anything resembling work.
23 Anybody who neglects to apply for their permanent fund dividend
24 can be said to be truly lazy. Mr. Ashenfelter should obviously
25 be given an opportunity to explain himself (should he manage to
26 bestir himself long enough to acknowledge the current court


DISTRICT ATTORNEY, STATE OF ALASKA
P. O. BOX 180, NOME, ALASKA 99762
PHONE: (907) 443-2296

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

proceedings), but, absent some compelling explanation, the factual question before the court must be resolved against Mr. Ashenfelter.

DATED this 20 day of April, 1988, at Nome, Alaska.

GRACE BERG SCHAIBLE
ATTORNEY GENERAL



John R. Vacek
District Attorney

True copy to
James Gould, Public
Defender's court box
at the Nome trial court.

LB 4/20/88

DISTRICT ATTORNEY, STATE OF ALASKA
P. O. BOX 160, NOME, ALASKA 99762
PHONE: (907) 443-2296

Subject: PROBATION SUPERVISION FEE

- interstate transfer of probation supervision. The investigating/supervising officer shall enter the probation fee obligation into the interstate documentation and ensure that it is accepted by the sending state and the probationer as a condition of probation prior to accepting the case for supervision in Alaska; and
2. A probationer transferred out of Alaska for interstate transfer of supervision will pay the probation fee of the receiving state, if there is one, as a condition of the transfer of supervision. If the receiving state does not have a probation fee, the probationer will not be required to pay the probation fee obligation while supervised outside of Alaska and/or in a state that does not have a probation fee.
- E. An alternate form of probation fee payment may be authorized, as follows:
1. A probationer may choose to assign his or her permanent fund dividend received under AS 43.23.005 to the Department as credit toward or payment of the probation fee. This option may be exercised in coordination with the supervising probation officer in accordance with procedures established for this purpose. A permanent fund dividend received per this section will be receipted, recorded and transmitted as outlined under B.4. above;
 2. A probationer's permanent fund dividend may be attached for non-payment of probation fees in accordance with AS 12.55.105(c). The Probation Officer shall prepare the paperwork in conformance with procedures established in coordination with the Department of Revenue. The dividend must be considered as a source of payment ahead of any alternate form or excused payment authorization. A dividend received under these circumstances will be receipted, recorded and transmitted as outlined under B.4. above;
 3. For a probationer who is unemployed, the probation fee may be excused for up to 30 days. A probationer who remains unemployed for more than 30 days shall be assigned to a community work service project by or through his or her probation officer. The probationer shall perform unpaid community service and will be credited with \$5.00 for each hour of community service work toward his or her probation fee. Community service performed in lieu of payment of probation fees must be worked during the month for which the probation fee is due. This alternate form of probation fee payment is an exception and may not be routinely applied to any probation case for longer than three months during the term of probation. However, upon the recommendation of the District or Unit Supervisor, the Regional Administrator may, at his or her discretion, extend this alternative form of payment on a month-to-month basis beyond the three month limit on a case-by-case basis;

EXHIBIT "A"

11/14 4 1988
 Nome

PLEASE PRINT

DATE 12-18-87

Name	Date of Birth	Telephone Number
Alex Ashenfelter	11.06.66	None
Mailing Address		
1111 1st St White Mountain Bldg 411		
Who did you work for this month?	How Many Days?	

What is your employer's address?	Telephone Number	

What kind of work did you do this month?		
No jobs or not qualified		
If you didn't work this month, what was the reason?		
None		
Did you have any contacts with the Police this month?		
YES <input type="radio"/> (NO) <input checked="" type="radio"/> (circle one) If yes, explain below. _____		
Money received this month:		Money spent this month:
Ø		Ø
Your take-home pay from wages or salary:	\$ Ø	Living Expenses: \$ Ø
Your mates take-home pay from wages or salary:	\$ Ø	Payments on Debts: \$ Ø
Unemployment Compensation:	\$ Ø	Restitution Paid: \$ Ø
Welfare Benefits:	\$ Ø	Child Support Paid: \$ Ø
Pension and other sources of income:	\$ Ø	Fines Paid: \$ Ø
Total Received:	\$ Ø	Total Spent: \$ Ø
Comment here on how you are doing, and mention any problems you are having or questions you may have. (Use back if necessary)		
<p>Doing okay so far, no luck with any jobs here. I'm always not qualified enough or I have a lack of interest in that certain job, but I am still waiting for Kawarak to contact me about trade school so I can get some experience in my cooking field. Merry X-mas and have a happy new year.</p>		

EXHIBIT "B"

Alex Ashenfelter

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF ALASKA
SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT AT NOME, ALASKA

STATE OF ALASKA,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 ALEX I. ASHENFELTER,)
)
 Defendant.)

Case No. 2NO-S86-531Cr.

REPLY MEMORANDUM

COMES NOW Alex Ashenfelter, through counsel, and submits the following memorandum in reply to the state's opposition to Ashenfelter's motion to dismiss.

I. Introduction

In its response the state does not challenge Ashenfelter's central argument that imprisonment because of indigency is unconstitutional. Neither does the state challenge Ashenfelter's argument that a statute which results in imprisonment because of indigency is unconstitutional. The state takes the position that this particular statute, A.S. 12.55.105, can be interpreted by this court in a manner consistent with the constitution, that is, that the statute must be interpreted to require a consideration of ability to pay. Thus, at a probation revocation hearing Ashenfelter would be able to defend on the grounds that his failure to pay the probation fee was not willful, that is, that payment of the fee was unreasonable in light of his financial situation.

Alaska Public Defender Agency
P. O. Box 203
Nome, Alaska 99762
Phone: (907) 443-2281

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

II. Argument

Up to this point, there appears to be agreement between the parties. However, there is disagreement on two additional and critical points: 1) whether ability to pay must be considered at the time the court sets conditions of probation as well as at the revocation hearing, and 2) whether a consideration of ability to pay can be lawfully performed by the Department of Corrections rather than by the court?

a. Consideration at Time of Sentencing

The above two questions overlap to some degree. The first question has been answered in a weak affirmative by the State of Alaska. The Department of Corrections has adopted regulations and policies providing for pre-revocation review of the requirement that the probation fee be paid. However, the court should make a determination of ability to pay at the time of sentencing. Admittedly, the cases which hold that at the time of sentencing the court must determine a defendant's ability to pay a fine or restitution and set the amount accordingly are based upon an interpretation of the relevant statutes. (A.S. 11.55.035, A.S. 12.55.045). Each of these statutes explicitly requires the sentencing court to take into account the financial resources of the defendant and the nature of the burden its payment will impose. However, since no revocation can occur for non-payment of the probation fee unless the non-payment was willful, just as no revocation can occur for non-payment of a fine or of restitution unless the non-payment

Alaska Public Defender Agency
P. O. Box 203
Nome, Alaska 99762
Phone: (907) 443-2281

1 was willful, a similar requirement must be read into the
2 probation fee statute to save its constitutionality. Since
3 ability to pay is a necessary consideration at the revocation
4 hearing, it is also a necessary consideration at the time the
5 court imposes the payment requirement. See Karr v. State, 686
6 P.2d 1192, 1197 n.14 (Alaska 1984)(in many jurisdictions courts
7 must consider ability to pay even in the absence of a statutory
8 requirement).

9 b. Determination by the Department

10 The state also argues that the statute is
11 constitutional since the Department of Corrections has adopted
12 regulations and policies which provide for alternate forms of
13 payment of the probation fee. This argument is falacious.

14 Conditions of probation must be reasonably related
15 to the goals of rehabilitation. Oyoghok v. Municipality of
16 Anchorage, 641 P.2d 1267, 1270 (Alaska App. 1982). Ashenfelter
17 has a statutory and common law right to be free of any condition
18 of probation not reasonably related to his rehabilitation.
19 Tiedeman v. State, 576 P.2d 114, 116 (Alaska 1978); Sprague v.
20 State, 590 P.2d 410, 418 (Alaska 1979). The probation fee
21 statute is a revenue measure designed to secure funding for the
22 Department of Corrections. See A.S. 12.55.105(b-d). This
23 condition of probation has nothing to do with the goals of
24 sentencing. Therefore, it is unlawful to substitute community
25 work service which is related to the goals of sentencing for the
26 probation fee, which is unrelated to the goals of sentencing.

1 Additionally, there is no authority for the Department
2 to impose community work service as a condition of probation.
3 Under A.S. 12.55.055 the court may allow a defendant to
4 substitute community work service for a fine or for
5 imprisonment. However, there is no authorization for the
6 Department to make that substitution. Unlike the probation fee,
7 both a fine and imprisonment are related to the goals of
8 sentencing. Substitution of community work service for a fine
9 or imprisonment is therefore both reasonable and lawful.

10 Finally, the Department's assumption of the power to
11 determinaton whether payment of the probation fee should be
12 excused is unlawful. Determination of whether a probation fee
13 should be ordered, the amount, and whether it should be excused
14 is a judicial responsibility. See Kerr v. State, 686 P.2d 1192,
15 1197 n.15 (Alaska 1984); Brezenoff v. State, 658 P.2d 1359,
16 1363-64 (AlaskaApp. 1983) (probation officer may not be delegated
17 the judicial responsibility of determining the amount of
18 restitution to be paid and the terms of payment). Sentencing is
19 a judicial function rather than an administrative function.

20 III. Conclusion

21 The statute is unconstitutional on its face for it
22 does not provide for a consideration of ability to pay. (See
23 A.S. 12.55.035 and .045 for properly written statutes). The
24 statute can be saved by interpretating it as requiring a
25 consideration of ability to pay, therefore avoiding imprisonment
26 for indigency. However, such an interpretation must also

1 provide for a meaningful judicial determination of ability to
2 pay. Therefore, if this court saves the statute through
3 interpretation, this court should also conclude that a judicial
4 consideration of ability to pay must be made at the time
5 sentence is imposed and that substitution of community work
6 service for the revenue producing probation fee requirement is
7 unlawful.¹

8 DATED this 25 day of April, 1988 at Nome, Alaska.

9
10 James V. Gould
11 James V. Gould
Assistant Public Defender

12
13 I certify that a copy of the
14 above was placed in the box
15 in the Clerk's Office, Nome.
16 This 25 day of April

17 10 ff in
Wacek

18 Public Defender Agency
19 By: J.V. Gould

Alaska Public Defender Agency
P. O. Box 203
Nome, Alaska 99762
Phone: (907) 443-2281

20
21
22
23
24 1. See James v. Strange, 407 US 128, 32 LEd2d 600, 92
25 S.Ct. 2027 (1972); Fuller v. Oregon, 417 US 40, 40 LEd2d 642, 94
26 S.Ct. 2116 (1974); State v. Ayela, 623 P.2d 584 (N.M. App.
1981); Peterson v. State, 384 So.2d 965 (Fla. 1980); State v.
Rowton, 645 P.2d 551 (Ore. App.1982).

Sec. 12.55.090. Granting of probation.

NOTES TO DECISIONS

- I. General Consideration.
- II. Five-Year Limitation.

I. GENERAL CONSIDERATION.

Sentence on revocation of probation. — It is not unreasonable to impose a sentence on revocation of probation consecutively to a sentence imposed for crimes committed while on probation. *Hernandez v. State*, Ct. App. Op. No. 424 (File Nos. A-186, A-193), 691 P.2d 287 (1984).

II. FIVE-YEAR LIMITATION.

Tolling of period.

The running of probation is tolled by the filing of a petition to revoke probation. *Gage v. State*, Ct. App. Op. No. 484 (File No. A-643), 702 P.2d 646 (1985).

Where a petition to revoke probation formally charging a probationer with committing a violation is filed and the court subsequently determines that the alleged violation was in fact committed,

there can be no legitimate justification for allowing the probationer to claim credit for time served on probation during the period between the filing of the petition and its ultimate adjudication. *Gage v. State*, Ct. App. Op. No. 484 (File No. A-643), 702 P.2d 646 (1985).

Revocation for offense discovered after probationary period has run. — Where a defendant is convicted of an offense and placed on probation for two years and commits a further offense within the two-year period which is not discovered by the division of corrections until after the two-year period runs, the trial court may revoke probation so long as the petition to revoke probation was filed within the five-year maximum probation period authorized by statute. *Galaktionoff v. State*, Ct. App. Op. No. 686 (File No. A-1625), 733 P.2d 628 (1987).

Sec. 12.55.100. Conditions of probation. (a) While on probation and among the conditions of probation, the defendant may be required

- (1) to pay a fine in one or several sums;
- (2) to make restitution or reparation to aggrieved parties for actual damages or loss caused by the crime for which conviction was had;
- (3) to provide for the support of any persons for whose support the defendant is legally responsible;
- (4) to perform community work in accordance with AS 12.55.055; and
- (5) to pay a periodic probation fee as provided in AS 12.55.105.

(b) The defendant's liability for a fine or other punishment imposed as to which probation is granted shall be fully discharged by the fulfillment of the terms and conditions of probation. (§ 8.10 ch 34 SLA 1962; am § 13 ch 166 SLA 1978; am § 3 ch 104 SLA 1984; am § 13 ch 138 SLA 1986)

Effect of amendments. — The 1986 amendment added paragraph (5) of subsection (a) and made a related grammatical change.

Editor's note: Catchline "Enquiring paym the main par. Sec the note line."

Limitation fine. — The relative limit amount of a fine the apparent provided for un keeping with tory construc solved in favo two statutes. No. 421 (File (1984).

The \$5,000 in former AS plied limitati impose a fine under subsec App. Op. No P.2d 22 (198

Remand f ing capaciti Though a mended resti defendant's e of jobs that

Sec. 12 shall requ Correction lished by

(b) A pr by assignu under AS form to th of Revenu

(c) The dividend

(d) Mon general fi account fo general fu the accou the depar SLA 1986

NOTES TO DECISIONS

Editor's notes. — The note under the catchline "Enforcement of court orders requiring payment of fines or restitution" in the main pamphlet should be disregarded. See the note below under the same catchline.

Limitation on authority to impose fine. — The existence of an express legislative limitation on the permissible amount of a fine effectively conflicts with the apparent open ended authority provided for under subsection (a)(1), and in keeping with accepted principles of statutory construction, this conflict must be resolved in favor of the more specific of the two statutes. Stone v. State, Ct. App. Op. No. 421 (File No. A-245), 690 P.2d 22 (1984).

The \$5,000 maximum fine provided for in former AS 17.10.010 constitutes an implied limitation on the court's authority to impose a fine as a condition of probation under subsection (a)(1). Stone v. State, Ct. App. Op. No. 421 (File No. A-245), 690 P.2d 22 (1984).

Remand for determination of earning capacity for restitution order. — Though a presentence report recommended restitution, it did not discuss the defendant's earning capacity or the kinds of jobs that she could reasonably be ex-

pected to perform in the future; it was therefore necessary that the case be remanded to the trial court for further findings. Zimmerman v. State, Ct. App. Op. No. 524 (File No. A-921), 706 P.2d 343 (1985).

Enforcement of court orders requiring payment of fines or restitution. — AS 12.55.051(a) prescribes a specific method for dealing with enforcement of court orders requiring the payment of fines or restitution, regardless of whether such orders are directly imposed as part of the original sentence, under AS 12.55.045, or indirectly imposed as a condition of probation, under this section; AS 12.55.051 expressly provides that imprisonment for failure to pay court-ordered restitution is permissible only if the failure to pay was intentional or the result of bad faith. Lominac v. Municipality of Anchorage, Ct. App. Op. No. 220 (File No. 5960), 658 P.2d 792 (1983).

Quoted in Hood v. Smedley, Sup. Ct. Op. No. 800 (File No. 1406), 498 P.2d 120 (1972); Thibedeau v. State, Sup. Ct. Op. No. 2182 (File No. 4325), 617 P.2d 759 (1980).

Cited in Golden Valley Elec. Ass'n v. Revel, Sup. Ct. Op. No. 3063 (File No. S-1011), 719 P.2d 263 (1986).

Sec. 12.55.105. Probation fee. (a) A court granting probation shall require a periodic probation fee to be paid to the Department of Corrections as a condition of probation. The fee amount shall be established by regulation adopted by the commissioner of corrections.

(b) A probationer may choose to make the probation fee payments by assignment of the probationer's permanent fund dividend received under AS 43.23.005. The Department of Corrections shall provide a form to the probationer to indicate this preference to the Department of Revenue.

(c) The commissioner of corrections may attach the permanent fund dividend of a probationer that is in arrears on the probation fee.

(d) Money collected under this section shall be deposited in the general fund. The commissioner of administration shall separately account for money that the Department of Corrections deposits in the general fund under this subsection. The annual estimated balance in the account may be used by the legislature to make appropriations to the department to carry out the purposes of AS 33.05. (§ 14 ch 138 SLA 1986)

justification for
claim credit
during the
of the petition
ion. Gage v.
184 (File No.
5).
discovered
has run. —
cted of an of-
tion for two
rther offense
which is not
of corrections
iod runs, the
ation so long
robation was
maximum pro-
by statute.
App. Op. No.
33 P.2d 628

probation
e required

for actual
was had;
support the

12.55.055;

12.55.105.
nt imposed
by the ful-
ch 34 SLA
am § 13 ch

d grammati-

Commissioner may, at any time, upon application of administration, credit to the individual's annuity account any amount credited to the individual's account. If the individual has been in the state for less than 10 years, the debt shall be paid within three years." — The 1988 Act, ch. 54, SLA 1988, January 1, 1989.

fund is established as a permanent fund dividend fund to be invested by AS 37.10.070. Each year the amount of the dividend shall be 50 percent of the amount of the permanent fund dividend for the fiscal year ending on the first day of the distribution.

Act, the unavailability of the fund to supplement this section shall not be a ground for determining the amount of the dividend as provided in AS 37.10.070, ch. 54, SLA 1985;

added subsection

Department shall establish a permanent fund dividend fund; the Administrative Procedure Act (AS 44.62) shall not apply to the application of the permanent fund dividend to an individual who is eligible for the dividend at the time the dividend is paid.

Administrative Procedure Act (AS 44.62) shall not apply to an individual who is eligible for the dividend at the time the dividend is paid.

upon emancipation or upon reaching majority to apply for permanent fund dividends not received during minority because the parent, guardian, or other authorized representative did not apply on behalf of the individual;

(4) assist residents of the state, particularly in rural areas, who because of language, disability, or inaccessibility to public transportation need assistance to establish eligibility and to apply for permanent fund dividends;

(5) annually determine, in cooperation with the Department of Corrections, the number and identity of individuals ineligible for a permanent fund dividend under AS 43.23.005(d); and

(6) adopt regulations that are necessary to implement AS 43.23.005(d). (§ 1 ch 102 SLA 1982; am § 2 ch 55 SLA 1983; am § 3 ch 43 SLA 1984; am § 3 ch 54 SLA 1988)

Revisor's notes. — Section 11, ch. 99, SLA 1985, amends this section. The amendment is effective if § 1, ch. 99, SLA 1985 is repealed (see § 25, ch. 99, SLA 1985). If the amendment becomes law, the section will read: "The department shall

"(1) annually make payments to individuals who elect to receive cash under AS 43.23.005(d);

"(2) adopt regulations under the Administrative Procedure Act (AS 44.62) that establish procedures and time limits for claiming a permanent fund dividend or for electing an annuity credit; the department shall set the time limit for applications for permanent fund dividends so that the number of eligible applicants is determined by October 1 of the year for which the dividend is declared and permanent fund dividends for a year are paid before April 30 of the year following that year;

"(3) adopt regulations under the Administrative Procedure Act (AS 44.62) that establish procedures and time limits for an individual upon emancipation or upon reaching majority to apply for permanent fund dividends not credited or received

during minority because the parent, guardian, or other authorized representative did not apply on behalf of the individual;

"(4) assist residents of the state, particularly in rural areas, who because of language, disability, or inaccessibility to public transportation need assistance to establish eligibility and to apply for permanent fund dividends; and

"(5) provide the commissioner of administration with information necessary to maintain individual annuity account records and administer the annuity program."

Effect of amendments. — The 1984 amendment substituted "October" for "December" in paragraph (2).

The 1988 amendment, effective May 26, 1988, deleted "and" at the end of paragraph (3), and added paragraphs (5) and (6).

Editor's notes. — Section 4, ch. 54, SLA 1988 provides that the amendments made to this section by ch. 54, SLA 1988 apply "only to eligibility for permanent fund dividends for years after 1988."

Sec. 43.23.065. Exemption of permanent fund dividends.

(a) Except as provided in (b) of this section, 50 percent of the annual permanent fund dividend payable to an individual is exempt from levy, execution, garnishment, attachment, or any other remedy for the collection of debt. This exemption applies to an eligible individual's permanent fund dividend both before and after payment is made to the individual.

(b) An exemption is not available under this section for permanent fund dividends taken to satisfy

(1) child support obligations required by court order or decision of the child support enforcement agency under AS 47.23.140 — 47.23.220;

(2) court ordered restitution under AS 12.55.045 — 12.55.051 or 12.55.100;

(3) a court ordered probation fee under AS 12.55.105; or

(4) a debt owed by an eligible individual to an agency of the state, unless the debt is contested and an appeal is pending, or the time limit for filing an appeal has not expired.

(c) Claims listed in (b) of this section have priority in the order listed over other claims on a permanent fund dividend. (§ 1 ch 102 SLA 1982; am § 1 ch 157 SLA 1984; am § 1 ch 57 SLA 1985; am § 67 ch 138 SLA 1986)

Revisor's notes. — Sections 12 and 13, ch. 99, SLA 1985, amend this section and add new (b) and (c). The amendments are effective if § 1, ch. 99, SLA 1985 is repealed (see § 25, ch. 99, SLA 1985). If the amendments become law, the section will read: "(a) Fifty percent of a cash permanent fund dividend payment is exempt from levy, execution, garnishment, attachment, or any other remedy for the collection of debt. This exemption applies to an eligible individual's permanent fund dividend both before and after payment is made to the individual. An exemption is not available under this section for cash permanent fund dividend payments taken to satisfy (1) child support obligations required by court order or decision of the child support enforcement agency under AS 47.23.140 — 47.23.220; (2) a debt owed by an eligible individual to an agency of the state, unless the debt is contested and an appeal is pending, or the time limit for filing an appeal has not expired; or (3) court ordered restitution under AS 12.55.045 — 12.55.051 or 12.55.100. A child support obligation under (1) of this section has priority over a debt owed to an agency of the state, and a permanent fund dividend may not be taken to satisfy a debt under (2) of this section until any portion of the dividend necessary to satisfy a child support obligation has been taken.

"(b) The department shall require an in-

dividual to take 100 percent of the permanent fund dividend in cash if the department receives a levy, execution, garnishment, attachment or other legal remedy for the collection of a past due debt described in (a)(1) or (2) of this section.

"(c) The courts of this state may, as a condition of any civil judgment or restitution order under AS 12.55.045 — 12.55.051 or 12.55.100, require the defendant to take the defendant's permanent fund dividend in cash."

Effect of amendments. — The 1984 amendment added the last sentence and, in the next-to-last sentence, substituted "An exemption is not" for "No exemption is," inserted "(1)," and added the language beginning with "(2) a debt" at the end of the sentence. Section 2, ch. 157, SLA 1984 limits the application of the 1984 amendment to dividends issued for 1984 and subsequent years.

The first 1985 amendment added "or (3) court ordered restitution under AS 12.55.045 — 12.55.051 or 12.55.100" at the end of the next-to-last sentence and in the last sentence inserted "or court ordered restitution" and "and court ordered restitution."

The second 1985 amendment, effective if § 1, ch. 99, SLA 1985 is repealed, rewrote subsection (a) and added subsections (b) and (c).

The 1986 amendment rewrote this section.

Sec. 43.
09.38 does
14.43.120
AS 14.43.2
departmer

(1) the
dividend i

(2) the

(3) a st:

(A) the

has been r
ary Educa

(B) if th

Alaska Co

ing, the ti

been resol

(b) Upo

shall not

address pr

tion and 1

(1) the

(2) notic

does not e

Commissi

leases the

after the

(c) AS 4

individual

received b

ment shal

the amour

amount of

Sec. 43

Revisor's
SLA 1985,
amendment
1985 is repe
1985). If the
section will
eligibility of
assistance p
Department
in which elig
on financia
Health and
sider a perr
come or resc
ent of public

Sec. 14.43.105. Administration of program. The executive secretary shall administer the programs subject to review by the committee and in accordance with the regulations adopted by the committee. The adoption of these regulations is subject to the Administrative Procedure Act (AS 44.62). A summary of the regulations shall be distributed to each applicant. (§ 1 ch 98 SLA 1971; am § 5 ch 136 SLA 1974)

Revisor's notes. — Formerly AS 14.40.757. Renumbered in 1982.

Sec. 14.43.110. Undergraduate loans. The student financial aid committee may make a loan, not to exceed \$5,500 in any one school year, to an undergraduate student eligible under AS 14.43.125. The committee may make a loan for a summer term, even if the total loan for the school year exceeds the \$5,500 maximum, if the loan for the summer term is counted against the \$5,500 maximum for the following school year. (§ 1 ch 98 SLA 1971; am § 6 ch 136 SLA 1974; am § 1 ch 153 SLA 1978; am § 1 ch 89 SLA 1981; am § 1 ch 34 SLA 1985; am § 1 ch 65 SLA 1986)

Revisor's notes. — Formerly AS 14.40.759. Renumbered in 1982.

Effect of amendments. — The 1985 amendment added the second sentence of the section.

The 1986 amendment inserted "student financial aid" in the first sentence and substituted "\$5,500" for "\$6,000" in three places in the section.

Sec. 14.43.115. Graduate loans. The student financial aid committee may make a loan, not to exceed \$6,500 in any one school year, to a graduate student who is eligible under AS 14.43.125 and is pursuing an advanced degree. The committee may make a loan for a summer term, even if the total loan for the school year exceeds the \$6,500 maximum, if the loan for the summer term is counted against the \$6,500 maximum for the following school year. (§ 1 ch 98 SLA 1971; am § 7 ch 136 SLA 1974; am § 2 ch 89 SLA 1981; am § 2 ch 34 SLA 1985; am § 2 ch 65 SLA 1986)

Revisor's notes. — Formerly AS 14.40.761. Renumbered in 1982.

Effect of amendments. — The 1985 amendment added the second sentence.

The 1986 amendment inserted "student financial aid" in the first sentence and substituted "\$6,500" for "\$7,000" in three places in the section.

Sec. 14.43.120. Conditions of loans. (a) Proceeds from scholarship loans may only be used for books, tuition and required fees, and for room and board.

(b) Scholarship loans may only be used to attend a

(1) career education program that has been approved by the commission before July 1, 1986, or has been operating for at least two years before the borrower attends; or

(2) ;
(A)
has be
(B)
recogn
proved
(C) i
States
(c) T
full-tin
college
sion sh
this su
(d) S
(1) fi
(2) fi
(3) fi
ate stu
(4) to
total a
institut
ceeds tl
regulat
(e) L
of this s
section;
paid in
(f) In
the rate
on a loa
is in de
(g) R
later th
loan sh
installn
repaym
commis
the bor
A borro
tion.
(h) S
shall be
the am
(i) If
that re
sending

14.43.120

ive secre-
committee
ittee. The
ve Proce-
e distrib-
(LA 1974)

ncial aid
ne school
.125. The
total loan
n for the
e follow-
4; am § 1
1985; am

ed "student
ntence and
0" in three

aid com-
ool year,
is pursu-
r a sum-
e \$6,500
ainst the
LA 1971;
1 34 SLA

ed "student
ntence and
0" in three

scholar-
fees, and

the com-
east two

§ 14.43.120

EDUCATION

§ 14.43.120

(2) a college or university that

(A) has been approved by the commission before July 1, 1986, or has been operating for at least two years before the borrower attends;

(B) is accredited by a national or regional accreditation association recognized by the Council on Postsecondary Accreditation or is approved by the commission; and

(C) if the loans are federally insured, is approved by the United States Secretary of Education.

(c) To maintain a loan the student must continue to be enrolled as a full-time student in good standing in a career education program, college or university designated under (b) of this section. The commission shall adopt regulations defining "good standing" for purposes of this subsection.

(d) Scholarship loans may not be made to a student

(1) for more than five years of undergraduate study;

(2) for more than five years of graduate study;

(3) for more than a total of eight years of undergraduate and graduate study;

(4) to attend an institution, other than a nonprofit institution, if the total amount of scholarship loans made to students to attend that institution exceeds \$100,000 and the default rate on those loans exceeds the program default rate by more than 150 percent as defined by regulation.

(e) Loans are interest bearing while a student is enrolled under (c) of this section or is receiving a deferment of payments under (k) of this section; however, a student is entitled to have a portion of the interest paid in accordance with (l) of this section.

(f) Interest on a loan given under AS 14.43.090 — 14.43.160 is at the rate of eight percent a year unless the loan is in default. Interest on a loan that is in default is 10 percent a year for the period the loan is in default.

(g) Repayment of the principal and interest on the loan begins no later than one year after the borrower's studies are terminated. The loan shall provide for repayment of the total amount owed in periodic installments in not more than 10 years from the commencement of repayment, except as provided in (k) and (m) of this section. If the commission and the borrower agree to a different repayment schedule, the borrower shall repay the loan in accordance with the agreement. A borrower may make payments earlier than required by this subsection.

(h) Security may not be required for a loan; however, provision shall be made for payment of all fees and costs incurred in collection of the amount owed on the loan if it becomes delinquent or in default.

(i) If a loan is in default, the commission shall notify the borrower that repayment of the remaining balance is accelerated and due by sending the borrower a notice by registered or certified mail. The

permanent fund dividend of a borrower may be taken under AS 43.23.065(b)(4) to satisfy the balance due on the defaulted loan.

(j) *[Repealed, § 19 ch 92 SLA 1987.]*

(k) Periodic installments of principal shall be deferred, but interest shall accrue and be paid unless the student is eligible for interest payment benefits under (l) of this section during any of the following:

(1) return to student status as provided in (c) of this section;

(2) serving an initial period of up to six years on active duty as a member of the armed forces of the United States;

(3) serving, for up to three years, as a full-time volunteer under the Peace Corps Act;

(4) serving, for up to three years, as a full-time volunteer under the Domestic Volunteer Service Act of 1973;

(5) for a one-time period up to 12 months in which the borrower is seeking and unable to find employment in the United States; or

(6) if the borrower becomes 50 percent or more disabled as certified by competent medical authority.

(l) The state shall pay the interest on that portion of a loan that is not federally insured during

(1) the period in which the borrower is a full-time student;

(2) deferments under (k) of this section.

(m) In case of hardship, the committee may extend repayment of a loan for an additional period of up to five years in increments no longer than 12 months each.

(n) *[Repealed, § 11 ch 89 SLA 1981.]*

(o) *[Repealed, § 19 ch 92 SLA 1987.]*

(p) For purposes of this section, a person qualifies as a resident if the person is physically present in the state with the intent to remain permanently in the state or, if not physically present in the state, the person intends to return to the state and is absent due to military service.

(q) For the purposes of this section a loan is in default if a loan payment is 120 or more days past due.

(r) The rate of interest, time of payment of an installment of principal or interest, or other terms of a scholarship loan may be modified if required to establish or maintain tax-exempt status under 26 U.S.C. 103 (Internal Revenue Code of 1986), as amended, for the interest on bonds issued by the Alaska Student Loan Corporation. (§ 1 ch 98 SLA 1971; am § 4 ch 150 SLA 1972; am § 6 ch 78 SLA 1974; am § 8 ch 136 SLA 1974; am §§ 1 — 4 ch 99 SLA 1977; am §§ 3 — 8 ch 87 SLA 1979; am §§ 3 — 9, 11 ch 89 SLA 1981; am §§ 2 — 4 ch 158 SLA 1984; am § 3 ch 34 SLA 1985; am §§ 3, 4 ch 65 SLA 1986; am §§ 5 — 9, 19 ch 92 SLA 1987)

Revisor
14.40.763.

In 1987,
"Commis
to conform

Effect
amendm
subsection
and added
at the end
subsection
fault" for
plaint as a
state or by
ment of the
under AS

The 198
tial period
(2) of subs

The 198
tion (b) an
"eight" for

Sec. 1
for and c

(1) is

(A) enr
baccalaur

(B) a g
graduatio
credits to
ited colle;

(2) is n
ship loan;

(3) is a
for purpos
if at the

(A) has
immediate

(B) is d
guardian
ately befo
been pres
preceding

vote, actin
to the req
one year c

(C) has
parent or g
least two y
state and

Reg	Dist	Name	Obscis #	YTD Paid:	LastActv	Balance Due
NR	BARD	[REDACTED], Arnold L.	168072	180.00	08/25/88	0.00
NR	BARD	[REDACTED] James	120015	225.00	11/25/88	69.00
NR	BARD			405.00		69.00
NR	BTHL	[REDACTED] Edward	68631	180.00	12/23/88	45.00
NR	BTHL	[REDACTED] Carl G.	66285	90.00	10/13/88	90.00
NR	BTHL	[REDACTED] Joseph	132690	135.00	12/23/88	0.00
NR	BTHL	[REDACTED] Dale	126336	45.00	10/13/88	0.00
NR	BTHL	[REDACTED] Golga	108441	225.00	12/23/88	0.00
NR	BTHL	[REDACTED] Charles	98802	225.00	12/23/88	0.00
NR	BTHL	[REDACTED] John Jr.	128073	45.00	12/23/88	0.00
NR	BTHL	[REDACTED] Preston	69441	44.00	10/13/88	1.00
NR	BTHL	[REDACTED] James	143619	225.00	12/23/88	0.00
NR	BTHL	[REDACTED] Joseph	141060	45.00	12/23/88	189.00
NR	BTHL	[REDACTED] Edwin	117795	45.00	12/23/88	213.00
NR	BTHL	[REDACTED] Leonty	128079	180.00	12/23/88	16.50
NR	BTHL			1484.00		554.50
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Gerald	140340	0.00	11/28/88	45.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Allen	127500	135.00	10/31/88	45.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Bill	24417	0.00	08/25/88	-7.50
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Charles	148806	0.00	08/25/88	0.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Terry	112332	285.00	11/25/88	0.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Raymond	127425	180.00	11/25/88	0.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Orville	133683	744.09	10/13/88	-264.09
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Dennis	143427	90.00	08/24/88	0.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] John	132612	283.50	11/25/88	26.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Guy	153411	225.00	10/13/88	0.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Jerry A.	127659	0.00	11/28/88	-605.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Chester	88293	0.00	11/28/88	-35.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Michelle Y.	178959	100.00	11/29/88	5.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Tony	162756	105.00	10/31/88	33.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Martin	162960	225.00	11/25/88	0.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Richard	154611	157.50	11/01/88	0.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Patrick	127191	135.00	11/25/88	0.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Claude	155130	90.00	10/13/88	0.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Donald	136788	0.00	11/01/88	16.31
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Michelle	136833	61.31	11/01/88	0.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Blane	68898	280.50	10/12/88	0.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Jesse	1773	135.00	10/13/88	0.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Eric J.	169905	93.00	11/01/88	0.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] William	104349	135.00	11/01/88	-90.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Samuel	143424	360.00	11/01/88	42.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Jack	131364	270.00	11/25/88	0.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Clinton	121926	180.00	11/25/88	45.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Donald	101688	50.00	10/12/88	109.50
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] William	40929	100.00	10/13/88	40.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Leroy	129954	528.00	10/13/88	0.00

Reg	Dist	Name	Obscis #	YTD Paid:	LastActv	Balance Due
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Vickie S.	133410	0.00	11/28/88	-555.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] John	148812	135.00	10/13/88	0.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Howard	139581	135.00	11/25/88	13.50
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Darlene	160563	193.50	11/25/88	45.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Roger Eric	112815	14.00	11/25/88	222.50
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Lazaro	137751	45.00	11/25/88	142.50
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Selena	157577	115.00	10/31/88	24.50
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Gerald	53966	30.00	08/25/88	0.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Larry	165168	90.00	10/13/88	0.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Michael T.	166338	90.00	10/13/88	25.50
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Willard	147378	90.00	11/01/88	95.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Allen	158652	0.00	08/25/88	0.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Merle	132510	180.00	11/01/88	70.50
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Linda S.	173967	0.00	11/28/88	45.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Robert	162755	67.50	10/13/88	45.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Robert	162753	45.00	11/01/88	112.50
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Russell	69468	150.00	11/01/88	63.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Ron	117798	200.00	11/25/88	104.50
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Frank	119541	181.50	08/25/88	0.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Floyd	135633	156.00	10/13/88	0.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] William	142731	45.00	10/13/88	190.50
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Mark	116052	180.00	10/13/88	0.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Michael	157305	404.00	12/07/88	0.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Wayne	157824	200.00	11/01/88	25.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] John	157821	180.00	11/01/88	0.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Brenda	136083	100.00	11/25/88	148.50
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Larry	161115	150.00	11/01/88	55.50
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Christine L.	159309	0.00	10/13/88	110.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Paul L.	128082	175.00	10/13/88	39.50
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] William T.	158619	407.00	11/28/88	1.50
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Brandon	131217	0.00	11/28/88	89.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Juan	170799	0.00	11/28/88	-135.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Walter	76623	230.00	11/25/88	48.50
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Tamara	153951	0.00	11/28/88	45.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Thomas	125913	180.00	10/31/88	0.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Raymond	27759	0.00	10/25/88	312.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Chad	137175	100.00	10/13/88	80.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Melvin	34785	200.00	11/01/88	-20.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Arnold	157833	90.00	11/01/88	0.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Wesley	130950	151.00	10/31/88	45.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Gary F.	83943	180.00	11/01/88	10.50
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Ronald	64596	0.00	11/28/88	-265.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] John	167076	405.00	08/24/88	-315.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] John	94779	0.00	08/25/88	7.50
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Beverly	132447	162.50	08/25/88	0.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Robert D.	143501	0.00	11/28/88	0.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Jonathan	45933	0.00	11/28/88	16.50
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Alan D.	158241	0.00	11/28/88	-420.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Edward	126378	40.00	10/13/88	140.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Jacqueline	142005	90.00	11/25/88	178.50
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] Gene	164202	189.50	10/31/88	45.00
NR	FRBK	[REDACTED] James	125256	90.00	11/01/88	110.50

Reg	Dist	Name	Obscis #	YTD Paid:	LastActv	Balance Due
NR	FREK			10814.40		402.72
NR	KOTZ	[REDACTED] George	129687	225.00	12/23/88	0.00
NR	KOTZ	[REDACTED] Chris	146259	225.00	12/23/88	0.00
NR	KOTZ	[REDACTED] Kenneth	144939	270.00	11/01/88	0.00
NR	KOTZ	[REDACTED] Michael	174135	225.00	12/23/88	0.00
NR	KOTZ	[REDACTED] Thomas Sr.	176517	90.00	12/23/88	0.00
NR	KOTZ	[REDACTED] Ricky C.	150615	240.00	10/13/88	0.00
NR	KOTZ	[REDACTED] John	101148	90.00	12/23/88	135.00
NR	KOTZ			1365.00		135.00
NR	NOME	[REDACTED] Robert	62601	45.00	10/13/88	45.00
NR	NOME	[REDACTED] Shirlene	82467	225.00	11/25/88	0.00
NR	NOME	[REDACTED] Patty	141099	241.50	12/23/88	21.00
NR	NOME	[REDACTED] George I. Jr.	156279	0.00	11/25/88	0.00
NR	NOME	[REDACTED] Robert	33387	0.00	11/25/88	460.50
NR	NOME	[REDACTED] Larry	41559	180.00	11/25/88	13.50
NR	NOME	[REDACTED] Thomas	146256	0.00	11/01/88	-90.00
NR	NOME	[REDACTED] Thomas	144942	326.00	11/01/88	0.00
NR	NOME	[REDACTED] Joel L.	74877	0.00	11/25/88	400.00
NR	NOME	[REDACTED] John	124626	225.00	11/25/88	0.00
NR	NOME	[REDACTED] Warren	23631	45.00	12/23/88	10.00
NR	NOME	[REDACTED] Wayne	50370	540.00	08/26/88	-450.00
NR	NOME	[REDACTED] Margaret	130335	0.00	11/25/88	111.00
NR	NOME	[REDACTED] Dennis	114363	225.00	11/25/88	0.00
NR	NOME	[REDACTED] Karen	87477	135.00	10/13/88	0.00
NR	NOME	[REDACTED] Scott	141141	180.00	11/25/88	180.00
NR	NOME			2367.50		701.00
NR				16435.90		1862.22
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Jeffrey	136173	180.00	08/30/88	0.50
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Robert Michael	177159	109.00	11/29/88	2.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Raymond C.	117369	135.00	12/01/88	45.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Fannie	128604	135.00	08/30/88	135.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Samuel J.	145995	90.00	08/30/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Crystal	175923	90.00	11/29/88	61.50
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Robert	141726	175.00	12/01/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Pamela O.	148557		09/02/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Ean S.	133113	90.00	11/29/88	537.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] William E.	148188	-25.05	09/13/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Richard	139353	19.50	08/30/88	0.00

Reg	Dist	Name	Obscis #	YTD Paid:	LastActv	Balance Due
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Anthony	130059	190.00	11/29/88	205.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Gust	127395	45.00	08/30/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Bienvenido	101571	180.00	12/01/88	34.50
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Jim	136233	180.00	08/30/88	22.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Beth	175578	90.00	12/01/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Michael R.	144486		09/02/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Richard	108327	135.00	09/01/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Sandra	157746	135.00	12/01/88	172.50
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Allen K.	21984		09/02/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] George A.	92256	40.00	11/29/88	392.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] James L.	145107	180.00	12/01/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Larry	177195	109.50	11/29/88	18.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] John	91125	90.00	09/29/88	49.50
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Ron	174153	90.00	11/29/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Shannon	168549	135.00	09/28/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] John	141852	60.00	08/30/88	138.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Sean	133140	180.00	10/27/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Eric J.	123930	135.00	09/29/88	45.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Jeff	150015	100.00	08/30/88	123.50
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Jeffrey	128847	180.00	09/29/88	84.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Aaron	161205	135.00	09/29/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Jose L.	63660	114.50	08/30/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Denise	93912	180.00	12/01/88	1.50
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Robert E.	77562	70.00	08/30/88	227.50
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Leonard W.	1623		09/02/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] William	165858	81.00	11/29/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Robert	105504	180.00	11/29/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Jerrell	134454	135.00	09/28/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Tammy	161937	135.00	12/01/88	16.50
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Robert Martin	132513	80.00	11/29/88	10.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Stacy L.	127752	90.00	08/30/88	-22.69
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] James A.	161643		09/02/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Sherwyn Miles	128097	200.00	11/29/88	169.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Ronald	77416	45.00	12/01/88	10.50
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Rose	155931	45.00	08/30/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Linda L.	136149	708.19	08/30/88	10.31
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Andrew R.	113424	114.00	08/30/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Gerald	108906	90.00	08/30/88	135.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Robert R.	148146	90.00	08/30/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] John F.	120429	90.00	09/29/88	57.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Todd R.	184095	20.00	11/29/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Peter	2277		09/02/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Valton	140139	180.00	12/01/88	84.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Peter A.	129780		09/02/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Deborah K.	168555	135.00	12/01/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Deborah L.	140970	135.00	12/01/88	49.50
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Troy	44466	45.00	12/01/88	9.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Thomas W.	101885		09/02/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Linda E.	140616	20.00	08/30/88	175.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Richard D.	67311	50.00	12/01/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Randy	118059	180.00	12/01/88	45.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] James	174879	45.00	11/29/88	7.50
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Trena K.	154116	75.00	08/30/88	150.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Paul	112026	75.00	12/01/88	0.00

Reg	Dist	Name	Obscis #	YTD Paid:	LastActv	Balance Due
SC	ANCH	Patrick J.	171834		09/02/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	Christopher P.	162864	225.00	11/29/88	12.00
SC	ANCH	Tyrnil	128142	45.00	08/30/88	42.00
SC	ANCH	Tom	58236	270.00	12/01/88	37.00
SC	ANCH	Tammy	126075	270.00	11/29/88	45.00
SC	ANCH	Garland Darrel	123666	225.00	09/29/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	Terry	122841	202.00	08/30/88	-18.50
SC	ANCH	John C.	148470	135.00	09/29/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	Connie L.	151083	50.00	08/30/88	355.00
SC	ANCH	Steven C.	132402	180.00	11/29/88	-0.50
SC	ANCH	Roscoe L.	2922		09/02/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	Michael T.	167301	135.00	11/29/88	55.50
SC	ANCH	Daniel	24732	90.00	08/30/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	Albert	155196	90.00	11/29/88	43.50
SC	ANCH	Dennis H.	162867	100.00	12/01/88	105.50
SC	ANCH	Betty	160044	90.00	12/01/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	Ronda	127344	225.00	12/01/88	315.00
SC	ANCH	Byong Hak	115251	135.00	11/29/88	301.50
SC	ANCH	Gale	153645	135.00	09/29/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	John W.	135234	192.00	11/29/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	James	125313	45.00	08/31/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	Zane T.	169695	90.00	11/29/88	127.50
SC	ANCH	Walter	139545	65.00	11/29/88	145.00
SC	ANCH	Michael	139509	180.00	12/02/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	Cesar	163632	135.00	12/02/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	Louis	115530	45.00	08/31/88	42.20
SC	ANCH	Edna F.	152157	45.00	09/29/88	315.00
SC	ANCH	Gerald	19494	135.00	09/29/88	1.50
SC	ANCH	Mhammad	159240	225.00	11/29/88	45.00
SC	ANCH	Deborah A.	137256	10.00	12/02/88	385.50
SC	ANCH	Dennis	145755	225.00	12/02/88	112.50
SC	ANCH	Kirk	178173	45.00	12/02/88	88.50
SC	ANCH	Darlene (Shockly	154119	180.00	08/31/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	Terry	167766	90.00	08/31/88	45.00
SC	ANCH	Forrest	157602	135.00	11/29/88	123.00
SC	ANCH	Simon	49764	135.00	11/29/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	Vincent W.	119367	225.00	12/02/88	-0.50
SC	ANCH	Bradley	145122	180.00	11/29/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	Kathy	156261	45.00	08/31/88	90.00
SC	ANCH	Yvonne	174150	45.00	08/31/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	Charles	166617	225.00	01/12/89	0.00
SC	ANCH	Lamar	73953	135.00	11/29/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	Christopher	127761	90.00	12/02/88	135.00
SC	ANCH	Carmen	174762	94.00	11/29/88	50.00
SC	ANCH	George E.	126888	4680.00	12/02/88	-4455.00
SC	ANCH	Kimberly	161883	200.00	10/27/88	103.50
SC	ANCH	Daniel C.	120423	135.00	11/29/88	90.00
SC	ANCH	Carlos A.	130125	135.00	08/31/88	135.00
SC	ANCH	Charles	136689	312.00	12/02/88	45.00
SC	ANCH	Elikisone	148422	180.00	11/29/88	10.50
SC	ANCH	Eddie	141348	360.00	11/29/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	Nelson	172092	90.00	11/29/88	13.50
SC	ANCH	Daryl	113898	135.00	12/02/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	Brian	155937	135.00	10/27/88	0.00

Reg	Dist	Name	Obscis #	YTD Paid:	LastActv	Balance Due
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Kenneth C.	71163	45.00	08/31/88	120.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Susan P.	159969	185.00	12/02/88	85.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Steven	170187	135.00	12/02/88	16.50
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Dedrick	133437	90.00	12/02/88	90.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Darrell R.	42423	180.00	12/02/88	45.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Jeffrey	166356	45.00	10/27/88	100.50
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Brad	174597	49.50	09/29/88	10.50
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Russell D.	114384	45.00	08/31/88	225.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Linda	162297	135.00	11/29/88	90.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Philip	126843	180.00	09/29/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Mavis	167013	90.00	12/02/88	55.50
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Ivan	139065	135.00	09/29/88	25.50
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Sabrina	145866	135.00	12/02/88	45.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Charles W. Jr.	60402	200.00	12/02/88	349.50
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] David	147240	225.00	09/29/88	45.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Lynwood J.	149943	231.00	12/02/88	30.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Brian	158106	120.00	09/29/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Arthur	138111	760.00	12/02/88	-20.50
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Gary Richard	118536	90.00	11/29/88	118.50
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Gus A.	174888	0.00	09/02/88	45.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Calvin	110286	180.00	12/02/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Randy	147798	135.00	09/29/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Sally Jo	135030	30.00	11/29/88	-4.50
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Dean	50043	90.00	08/31/88	-10.50
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Kevin	181032	102.00	11/29/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Fei	45729	180.00	11/29/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Jason A.	136113	180.00	11/29/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Timothy	148449	10.00	08/31/88	230.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Norman	37413	135.00	12/02/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Denali Beth	143448	106.50	08/31/88	45.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Ted	167748	90.00	08/31/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Mark M.	151350	225.00	12/02/88	45.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Rebecca	120138	135.00	11/29/88	180.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Ethan D.	160560		09/02/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Dennis	155037	360.00	12/02/88	-16.50
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Nancy	124959	180.00	12/02/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Bryson	151911	180.00	12/02/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Charlotte	177549	45.00	12/02/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Robert	163725	60.00	12/02/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Donald	136908	90.00	09/29/88	45.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Tony	167754	108.00	08/31/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Kerry	59976	90.00	09/29/88	45.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Sandy	139644	135.00	09/29/88	35.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Thomas C.	49524		09/02/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Sheila E.	140748	225.00	12/02/88	0.00
SC	ANCH	[REDACTED] Michael A.	116415	135.00	09/29/88	0.00
SC	ANCH			25057.64		4209.82
SC	KENI	[REDACTED] Clarence	158577	66.00	08/23/88	0.00
SC	KENI	[REDACTED] Perry	147786	270.00	12/22/88	0.00
SC	KENI	[REDACTED] Michael	70188	315.00	11/29/88	0.00

Reg	Dist	Name	Nbscis #	YTD Paid:	LastPctv	Balance Due
SC	KENI	[REDACTED] Suetta	139329	260.00	12/22/88	10.00
SC	KENI	[REDACTED] John	160935	180.00	11/29/88	0.00
SC	KENI	[REDACTED] Billy	146118	270.00	12/22/88	0.00
SC	KENI	[REDACTED] Edwin Jr.	55677	400.00	11/29/88	5.00
SC	KENI	[REDACTED] Howard	135855	225.00	09/23/88	0.00
SC	KENI	[REDACTED] Duff	72498	360.00	12/22/88	0.00
SC	KENI	[REDACTED] Loren	124818	180.00	11/29/88	0.00
SC	KENI	[REDACTED] Martin	136653	405.00	11/29/88	69.00
SC	KENI	[REDACTED] Mark	17298	298.00	12/22/88	2.00
SC	KENI	[REDACTED] Nathan P.	144432	270.00	12/22/88	0.00
SC	KENI	[REDACTED] Paul	111393	0.00	08/23/88	135.00
SC	KENI	[REDACTED] Robert	144627	585.00	12/22/88	0.00
SC	KENI	[REDACTED] Victor S.	115932	90.00	11/29/88	40.50
SC	KENI	[REDACTED] Scott	162366	270.00	12/22/88	12.00
SC	KENI	[REDACTED] Aaron	139056	691.00	11/29/88	0.00
SC	KENI	[REDACTED] Dwayne	139800	27.00	08/23/88	0.00
SC	KENI	[REDACTED] Raymond	147393	270.00	12/22/88	0.00
SC	KENI	[REDACTED] David	158109	180.00	12/22/88	148.50
SC	KENI	[REDACTED] Leonard B.	168285	180.00	12/22/88	90.00
SC	KENI	[REDACTED] Sidney Bruce	25758	135.00	12/22/88	28.50
SC	KENI	[REDACTED] David	148191	225.00	11/29/88	180.00
SC	KENI	[REDACTED] Paul	140880	90.00	09/23/88	90.00
SC	KENI	[REDACTED] Pamela	120378	45.00	09/23/88	270.00
SC	KENI	[REDACTED] Kelly	164106	270.00	12/22/88	12.00
SC	KENI	[REDACTED] Ronald	139827	25.00	11/29/88	0.00
SC	KENI	[REDACTED] Brian J.	169827	45.00	09/23/88	0.00
SC	KENI	[REDACTED] Robert	131838	225.00	09/23/88	0.00
SC	KENI	[REDACTED] Alfred J.	159060	135.00	09/23/88	0.00
SC	KENI	[REDACTED] Hugh F.	135918	315.00	11/29/88	135.00
SC	KENI	[REDACTED] David	162939	225.00	12/22/88	0.00
SC	KENI			7527.00		1227.50
SC	KODK	[REDACTED] Arthur	15924	45.00	12/22/88	225.00
SC	KODK	[REDACTED] Carl M.	164631	130.00	09/23/88	21.50
SC	KODK	[REDACTED] Dante	156642	40.00	08/23/88	105.00
SC	KODK	[REDACTED] Timothy	49632	279.00	11/29/88	0.00
SC	KODK	[REDACTED] Joseph	84732	630.00	11/30/88	0.00
SC	KODK	[REDACTED] Barbara	163323	270.00	12/22/88	0.00
SC	KODK	[REDACTED] Scott	162234	415.00	12/22/88	-16.00
SC	KODK	[REDACTED] Norman	154494	205.00	11/29/88	105.00
SC	KODK	[REDACTED] Alfred	159363	775.00	11/29/88	-100.00
SC	KODK	[REDACTED] Forrestt	167181	100.00	11/29/88	26.50
SC	KODK	[REDACTED] Richard	101451	225.00	11/29/88	0.00
SC	KODK	[REDACTED] Wenceslao	154497	225.00	11/29/88	0.00
SC	KODK	[REDACTED] Juli	158364	400.00	08/23/88	-55.00
SC	KODK	[REDACTED] George	169017	180.00	11/30/88	0.00
SC	KODK	[REDACTED] Raymond	125622	198.00	11/29/88	90.00
SC	KODK	[REDACTED] Eric	139257	100.00	09/23/88	350.00
SC	KODK	[REDACTED] Michael	162945	45.00	11/29/88	0.00
SC	KODK	[REDACTED] Rick	158370	225.00	11/30/88	0.00
SC	KODK	[REDACTED] Cecilio L.	169923	230.00	12/22/88	45.00

Reg	Dist	Name	Obscis #	YTD Paid:	LastActv	Balance Due
SC	KODK	[REDACTED] RoseAnn	101568	339.00	11/29/88	0.00
SC	KODK	[REDACTED] Joseph	165033	98.50	11/29/88	9.00
SC	KODK	[REDACTED] Peter	124866	150.00	11/30/88	100.00
SC	KODK	[REDACTED] Anthony	180507	168.00	12/22/88	34.50
SC	KODK	[REDACTED] Jeff	171327	366.00	11/29/88	0.00
SC	KODK	[REDACTED] Jacob	53736	225.00	12/22/88	107.50
SC	KODK	[REDACTED] Joseph	165792	185.00	11/29/88	40.00
SC	KODK	[REDACTED] Sean	73707	185.00	11/29/88	125.00
SC	KODK			6433.50		1213.00
SC	PLMR	[REDACTED] John	114747	413.64	12/22/88	36.36
SC	PLMR	[REDACTED] Julie	162246	45.00	08/23/88	0.00
SC	PLMR	[REDACTED] John	167292	225.00	12/22/88	0.00
SC	PLMR	[REDACTED] Arthur	18183	826.93	12/22/88	-0.43
SC	PLMR	[REDACTED] Gordon	145653	145.00	11/30/88	35.00
SC	PLMR	[REDACTED] Dale	142644	1026.93	11/30/88	-936.93
SC	PLMR	[REDACTED] Aaron	121689	33.00	12/22/88	0.00
SC	PLMR	[REDACTED] Justin	143703	45.00	11/30/88	90.00
SC	PLMR	[REDACTED] Lorin	125454	270.00	12/22/88	0.00
SC	PLMR	[REDACTED] Robert	166332	350.00	12/22/88	0.00
SC	PLMR	[REDACTED] Tim	134355	45.00	08/23/88	0.00
SC	PLMR	[REDACTED] Tracy	137091	5.00	11/30/88	0.00
SC	PLMR	[REDACTED] Terr	134064	135.00	11/30/88	0.00
SC	PLMR	[REDACTED] Douglas	144630	135.00	12/22/88	90.00
SC	PLMR	[REDACTED] Ronnie	151203	225.00	12/22/88	0.00
SC	PLMR	[REDACTED] Era L.	175524	6.00	11/30/88	0.00
SC	PLMR	[REDACTED] James	27057	283.50	12/22/88	0.00
SC	PLMR	[REDACTED] Patrick	142482	90.00	11/30/88	10.00
SC	PLMR	[REDACTED] Lars S.	186702	88.50	12/22/88	0.00
SC	PLMR	[REDACTED] Sean	002	0.00	11/30/88	240.00
SC	PLMR	[REDACTED] Mike	178176	90.00	12/22/88	0.00
SC	PLMR	[REDACTED] Martin	142695	250.00	12/22/88	20.00
SC	PLMR	[REDACTED] Brian	82842	90.00	11/30/88	0.00
SC	PLMR	[REDACTED] James	165114	50.00	11/30/88	40.00
SC	PLMR	[REDACTED] Michael	90774	45.00	11/30/88	0.00
SC	PLMR	[REDACTED] Thomas	142674	270.00	12/22/88	0.00
SC	PLMR	[REDACTED] Kenneth	75483	45.00	08/23/88	0.00
SC	PLMR	[REDACTED] Ted	140232	225.00	12/22/88	0.00
SC	PLMR	[REDACTED] Terry	130893	135.00	11/30/88	0.00
SC	PLMR	[REDACTED] Pat	134358	45.00	08/23/88	0.00
SC	PLMR	[REDACTED] Richard F.	175533	90.00	12/22/88	0.00
SC	PLMR	[REDACTED] Mark	122595	270.00	12/22/88	26.50
SC	PLMR	[REDACTED] Danny Lee	147402	410.00	11/30/88	0.00
SC	PLMR	[REDACTED] Gordon	162243	194.00	12/22/88	-9.50
SC	PLMR	[REDACTED] Jim	124446	225.00	12/22/88	0.00
SC	PLMR	[REDACTED] Larry	180525	45.00	11/30/88	0.00
SC	PLMR	[REDACTED] Larry	143781	180.00	08/23/88	0.00
SC	PLMR	[REDACTED] John	142662	45.00	11/30/88	54.00
SC	PLMR	[REDACTED] Sean	142512	45.00	11/30/88	0.00
SC	PLMR	[REDACTED] Otto	125187	225.00	12/22/88	0.00
SC	PLMR	[REDACTED] Patrick	137112	285.00	12/22/88	-1.50

Reg	Dist	Name	Obscis #	YTD Paid:	LastActv	Balance Due
SE	KETN	[REDACTED] Ed	154896	135.00	09/23/88	-24.00
SE	KETN	[REDACTED] Brett	12111	360.00	08/19/88	-90.00
SE	KETN	[REDACTED] Tommy A.	11199	225.00	12/22/88	135.00
SE	KETN	[REDACTED] William	92436	270.00	12/22/88	0.00
SE	KETN	[REDACTED] Edwin	159621	185.00	12/22/88	40.00
				-----		-----
SE	KETN			6880.00		1483.00
				-----		-----
SE	SITK	[REDACTED] Stephen	151704	180.00	11/23/88	0.00
SE	SITK	[REDACTED] Gary R.	171012	45.00	08/19/88	0.00
SE	SITK	[REDACTED] Kathryn A.	171009	45.00	08/19/88	0.00
SE	SITK	[REDACTED] Carl R.	177192	135.00	11/03/88	0.00
SE	SITK	[REDACTED] Jeffrey	57591	135.00	10/31/88	0.00
SE	SITK	[REDACTED] Tom S.	120999	90.00	12/22/88	0.00
SE	SITK	[REDACTED] Theodore	164685	135.00	09/28/88	45.00
SE	SITK	[REDACTED] Bart L.	164265	135.00	10/31/88	45.00
SE	SITK	[REDACTED] Terri	117720	90.00	10/31/88	90.00
SE	SITK	[REDACTED] Richard	12108	180.00	09/28/88	0.00
SE	SITK	[REDACTED] Randall	158769	180.00	11/23/88	0.00
SE	SITK	[REDACTED] Lauren	151701	45.00	10/31/88	0.00
SE	SITK	[REDACTED] David C., Jr.	147318	180.00	12/22/88	45.00
SE	SITK	[REDACTED] Kenda	117051	225.00	10/31/88	90.00
SE	SITK	[REDACTED] James Craig	86814	90.00	11/23/88	0.00
SE	SITK	[REDACTED] Lane B.	169857	270.00	12/22/88	0.00
SE	SITK	[REDACTED] Elizabeth	153972	135.00	12/22/88	0.00
SE	SITK	[REDACTED] John	119220	225.00	12/22/88	0.00
SE	SITK	[REDACTED] Ron	144024	225.00	11/23/88	0.00
SE	SITK	[REDACTED] Mitchell	147474	360.00	10/31/88	135.00
SE	SITK	[REDACTED] Eric	164268	45.00	12/22/88	0.00
				-----		-----
SE	SITK			3150.00		450.00
				-----		-----
				-----		-----
SE				16995.50		4031.00
				-----		-----
				=====		=====
				82683.54		12691.54
				=====		=====