

H B

2 3 9

April 12, 1989

HB 239, Sectional analysis

Thank you for the opportunity to hear this bill. HB 239 would establish the Good Paster Public Use Area by adding 4 new sections under AS 41.23. Title 41:Public Resources, Chap 23:MultUseMgmt of PubRes

41.23.140: PURPOSE;

1. protect and maintain fish & wildlife habitat
(SUGGEST AMENDMENT:
Page 1, line 15, after "habitat," insert "caribou migratory habitat, perrigrine falcon nesting habitat,")
- 2-4. protect and maintain public enjoyment of habitat, general public recreation, winter recreation.
5. allow additional public uses compatible with 1-4.

41.23.150: MANAGEMENT;

- a. Commissioner has power to restrict uses after public testimony, shall consult with F&G in revising plan.
- b. F&G responsible for management of f&g.
- c. private land within or abutting cannot be acquired by eminent domain.
- d. no land disposals.
- e. neither state nor muni can build a road, trail, or hiway.
- f. no timber disposals, BUT open to personal use.
See attached, 38.05.110-120[relating to timber sales], 41.15[relating to forests], 41.17[relating to forest resources and practices].
- g. DNR may not manage as a unit of state park system.

41.23.160: INCOMPATIBLE USES;

- a. commissioner may prohibit or restrict incompatible's with mgmt.(150) of area(170).
- b. commissioner may restrict fishing & hunting under reg.'s of Board of Game or Board of Fisheries.
- c. area shall be open to mineral entry under article 7[mining rights] of 38.05 [Alaska Land Act].
- d. shall allow traditional access including motorized or non-motorized means (as of effective date?).
NOTE: there are 3 active claims in the area, not in production, owned by Jack Stewart of Delta.
- e. commissioner places incompatible uses into the plans; what, when, where.

41.23.170: GOODPASTER PUBLIC USE AREA;

1-19. describe the boundaries.

NOTE: cabins within and their permit status.

discuss overlap of: see maps

a. Tanana Basin Area Plan

b. Delta/Salcha Area Plan

c. Tanana Valley State Forest & plan.see stat

STATE OF ALASKA

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER

STEVE COWPER, GOVERNOR

400 WILLOUGHBY AVE.
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99801-1796
PHONE: (907) 465-2400

April 12, 1989

The Honorable Curt Menard
Co-Chairman
House Resources Committee
P.O. Box V
Juneau, AK 99811

Dear Representative Menard:

Subject: House Bill 239, An act establishing the Goodpaster public use area.

Background: House Bill 239 designates approximately 450,000 acres for a public use area. This area includes 75,000 acres presently within the Tanana State Forest, and 375,000 acres currently designated for multiple use, of which 47,000 acres of river corridor is designated for recreation.

Position: The Department of Natural Resources prefers addressing the concerns of this bill (e.g., access, timber harvest and land disposal) through a review of the Tanana Basin Area Plan. In that regard, the Department of Natural Resources would commit to the following:

- Immediately open up the Tanana Basin Area Plan for public review and comment.
- Guarantee that the plan review and any subsequent revision or amendments will be completed by November 1, 1990.
- Guarantee that no timber harvest activities, land disposals, access improvements or other actions in the Goodpaster drainage (as defined in the legislation) occur prior to completion of the plan review.
- Guarantee that the plan review will specifically address and reconsider the issues of access, timber harvest and land disposals.

Representative Menard


-2-

April 12, 1989

Changes to the existing plan would depend primarily on the desire and interests expressed by the public during the review period. Assuming that the majority of the public does want to restrict access and prohibit timber harvest and land disposals in the Goodpaster area, the plan would be amended to reflect those desires.

Thanks for giving us the opportunity to comment on this legislation. We look forward to working with the committee and staff on this issue.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Lennie Gorsuch for". The signature is written in dark ink and is positioned above the typed name.

Lennie Gorsuch
Commissioner

cc: Committee Members
Bill Sponsor
Denby Lloyd, Special Staff Assistant
Office of the Governor
Bob Evans, Legislative Liaison
Office of the Governor
Gary Gustafson, Director
Division of Land and Water Management
Department of Natural Resources

STATE OF ALASKA
1989 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

BILL VERSION: HB 239
PUBLISH DATE: _____

FISCAL NOTE

REQUEST:

Revision Date: 11-Apr-89 Agency Affected: Natural Resources
 Title: An Act establishing the Goodpasture BRU: Land & Water Mgmt
 Public Use Area: _____
 Sponsor: Boyer & Koponen Components: Land & Water Mgmt
 Requestor: House Resources

EXPENDITURES/REVENUES: (Thousands of Dollars)

OPERATING	FY 89	FY 90	FY 91	FY 92	FY 93	FY 94
PERSONAL SERVICES		0.0	0.0			
TRAVEL		0.0	0.0			
CONTRACTUAL		0.0	0.0			
SUPPLIES						
EQUIPMENT						
LAND&STRUCTURES						
GRANTS,CLAIMS						
MISCELLANEOUS						
TOTAL OPERATING	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CAPITAL						
REVENUE						

FUNDING: (Thousands of Dollars)

GENERAL FUND		0.0	0.0		
FEDERAL FUNDS					
OTHER					
TOTAL	0.0	0.0	0.0		

POSITIONS:

FULL-TIME		0.0	0.0		
PART-TIME		0.0	0.0		
TEMPORARY			0.0		

ANALYSIS: (Attach a separate page if necessary)

Prepared by: Larry Ostrovsky Phone: 465-2400
 Division: Commissioner's Office Date: 11-Apr-89
 Approved by Commissioner: Lennie Gorsuch Date: 11-Apr-89
 Agency: Department of Natural Resources

Distribution (by preparer) :
 Legislative Finance
 Legislative Sponsor
 Requestor
 Office of Management and Budget
 Impacted Agency(ies)

TESTIMONY ON BEHALF OF THE
GOODPASTER RIVER PROPERTY HOLDERS ASSOCIATION

Testimony Given Before the House Resources Committee
April 12, 1989

Mr. Chairman and committee members, my name is Barry Haight. I am President of the Goodpaster River Property Holders Association. The Goodpaster River Property Holders formed in 1982 as year round-use of the river increased causing concern for the future of the watershed.

The Goodpaster is one of only three navigable clear water streams reasonably near Fairbanks. Our concerns were amplified as we have watched degradation of the other two rivers by processes similar to those proposed for the Goodpaster. The Chena River suffers from a variety of impacts, including a road much of its length and it was recently revealed to have suffered a seriously depleted fishery. Roads have been fended off in the Salcha River drainage, but it has been adversely impacted by excessive land disposals on many miles of the river.

Since forming, our organization has maintained continuous involvement in various public processes which have involved the Goodpaster River area. Our efforts have received strong support from the public and river users who do not own property.

Our association's ability to effectively participate in various plans involving the Goodpaster have been hampered by several stumbling blocks, including: proposed plans not available on a timely basis, limited copies of plans only available for review at FWR offices, maps not available for public use, plans advanced for land disposals despite public opposition, and the fact that the planning procedure was not yet complete. As it stands today, the upper Goodpaster is in the Tanana Basin Area Plan, the lower river in the Delta-Salcha Plan, with small areas of overlap by the Tanana Valley State Forest.

All of this was seriously at odds with Goodpaster Association requests that planning for the entire watershed should be done in an integrated rather than piecemeal fashion.

The difficulties we have faced are not new, and our concerns have been communicated to all Interior legislators at various times since 1982. It is with this background and a variety of proposals for roads into the Goodpaster drainage, that our association asked for introduction of CSSB 167 and HB 239. HB 239 and CSSB 167 differ from the original Senate Bill in two ways; first, mineral entry is specifically allowed and, second, the proposed public use area has been reduced from approximately 970,000 acres to

about 420,000 acres. A reduction of 57%.

It is important to know that Native lands, as well as the area most likely to have mineral potential, are now excluded from HB 239.

Public use areas are not a new idea. The South Central region of the state has four, ranging up to 3 million acres in size. Currently there are proposals for at least three others not including the Goodpaster River. Despite certain areas of disagreement, a review of the two major plans covering the Goodpaster drainage shows that they largely support our contention that the highest and best use for the area is recreation and wildlife habitat. In fact, the Tanana Basin Area Plan suggests that the river corridor be considered for future legislative designation as a recreation area.

The plans frequently reference the area as having high recreational values and as good wildlife habitat. The Goodpaster is an important Grayling producer and King Salmon spawning river, and is the last such stream in the Tanana drainage.

Portions of two subunits of the Tanana Valley Forest Plan overlap into the proposed public use area. A small part of subunit 10A falls within HB 239 boundaries. The forest plan itself describes the timber values here as low.

About half of subunit 9A is within HB 239 boundaries and curiously has emerged as an area of contention. The color coded map issued with the forest plan is not accurate as it is based on aerial photos which have not been field checked. Also, for convenience, the boundaries and color coding follow township and section lines and trees don't grow that way.

Specifically, we dispute the existing quantity and quality of harvestable timber. We dispute the future potential for timber. We question the feasibility of harvest due to terrain and for economic reasons, and lastly fear damage to the area in attempting to harvest.

In an April 1987, letter to us, Senator Coghill quoted Division of Forestry personnel saying "The forestry folks recognized that the timber in the area was not expansive, but felt that it was sufficient for some limited harvesting."

In the Delta area, about half the allowable cut for timber is outside the present state forest boundaries, and harvest is not limited just to state forest lands. We have offered active support to access other timber in the Delta area which does not impact our interests.

The Goodpaster River is an important hunting and fishing area to Interior Alaskans. HB 239 would help maintain the high quality of these uses and minimize user impact and conflicts for the greatest number of Alaskans. HB 239 provides for a broad range of uses with minimum exclusions and regulations. Like the Tanana Basin Plan suggested, we feel that legislative designation is a proper vehicle for Goodpaster area use, and the legislature is a proper forum. It is our intent to promote HB 239 on merits of the issue and the legitimacy of our concerns.

Meanwhile, we are aware of various inflammatory accusations that current users or property owners seek only to maintain a private domain, and exclude others. That absentee landlords seek to dominate other users and, of course, to lockup resources. Such claims are not true and are only calculated to stir emotions. They certainly fail to address the central question of--What is the carrying capacity of the Goodpaster River?

Use of the Goodpaster area is much like other areas of the state such as the Denali Highway, which is heavily used by Anchorage hunters and fishermen, or the Chitina dipnetting area where most users come from elsewhere in the state.

It is simply a historical fact that most of the users of the Goodpaster River, property owners or not, have been from the Fairbanks area. No one has exact numbers, and it shouldn't matter. What does matter is the goal to designate land to be used in traditional ways as it always has been for all Alaskans.

We recognize that with the passage of time and a growing population, the itinerant visitor will become the dominant user of the area. The public will need a place to go. We believe those visitors will seek, as we have, an uncrowded place to camp and enjoy, while avoiding the various user restrictions which most Alaskans seem to abhor.

Alaska State Legislature

REPRESENTATIVE
MARK BOYER

VICE-CHAIRMAN, HOUSE
HEALTH, EDUCATION AND
SOCIAL SERVICES COMMITTEE

MEMBER, HOUSE LABOR AND
COMMERCE COMMITTEE

CHAIR, CHILDREN'S CAUCUS

FAIRBANKS

1096 LAKEVIEW TERRACE
FAIRBANKS, ALASKA 99701
(907) 456-6473

JUNEAU

P.O. BOX V
STATE CAPITOL
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99811
(907) 465-3466

House of Representatives

MEMORANDUM

DATE: April 10, 1989
TO: House Resources Committee members
FROM: Representative Mark Boyer
RE: HB 239

HB 239 would establish the Goodpaster Public Use Area. The intent of this legislative action is to preserve the historic value, traditional uses and environmental integrity of the Goodpaster River drainage. The public use area would encompass approximately 420,000 acres of which 83,897 are considered to be potential timber lands by the State Department of Natural Resources and most of that acreage falls within the Tanana Valley State Forest. The building of roads, land disposals, and commercial timber extraction would be prohibited within the Public Use Area, but all other existing uses would be maintained such as mining, dog sledding, hunting, trapping, skiing, boating, hiking, fishing, camping, etc. The DNR has stated that they would rather see changes to their plans done through the planning process rather than through legislative designation. The proposed use area overlaps part of two area plans; the Delta-Salcha Area Plan and the Tanana Basin Area Plan.

The Goodpaster river is one of the last pristine rivers that feed into the Tanana river remaining in it's original state. The wildlife habitat is diverse and rich; home to the Ferrigrine falcon, moose, bear and caribou. Having been used for decades by the people of the Interior and other parts of the state, this area remains one of the few unbridled places left for recreation use by Alaska residents and people of the Interior. Thank you for your consideration.

MB/BH

FAIRBANKS 20B

STATE OF ALASKA

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER

STEVE COWPER, GOVERNOR

400 WILLOUGHBY AVE.
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99801-1796
PHONE: (907) 465-2400

March 7, 1989

The Honorable Mark Boyer
Alaska State Representative
P.O. Box V
Juneau, AK 99811

*Bring
for our
file*

Dear Representative Boyer:

Because of your interest in the proposed Goodpaster legislation, I thought it would be helpful to inform you of the department's position with respect to issues in that area.

The Department of Natural Resources prefers addressing your concerns (e.g., access, timber harvest and land disposal) through a review of the Tanana Basin Area Plan. In that regard, the Department of Natural Resources would commit to the following:


- Immediately open up the Tanana Basin Area Plan for public review and comment.
- Guarantee that the plan review and any subsequent revision or amendments will be completed by November 1, 1990.
- Guarantee that no timber harvest activities, land disposals, access improvements or other actions in the Goodpaster drainage (as defined in the legislation) occur prior to completion of the plan review.
- Guarantee that the plan review will specifically address and reconsider the issue of access, timber harvest and land disposals.

March 7, 1989


Changes to the existing plan would depend, primarily on the desire and interests expressed by the public during the review period. Assuming that the majority of the public does want to restrict access and prohibit timber harvest and land disposals in the Goodpaster area, the plan would be amended to reflect those desires.

Like you, I'm anxious to resolve this issue to everyone's satisfaction. I would be glad to meet with you to discuss this matter further.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Lennie Gorsuch". The signature is written in dark ink and is positioned above the printed name and title.

Lennie Gorsuch
Commissioner



Brian Bill file

STATE OF ALASKA

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES



SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION BOARD

STEVE COWPER, GOVERNOR

Alaska Soil & Water
Conservation Board

March 16, 1989

RECEIVED

Rep. Dick Shultz
P.O. Box V
Juneau, Alaska 99811

MAR 16 1989

Dear Representative Shultz;

The Alaska Soil and Water Conservation Board strongly opposes SB 167, "An Act establishing the Goodpaster Public Use Area."

The state land described in the bill has previously been addressed in the Salcha - Big Delta Area Management Plan, the Tanana Basin Plan, The Tanana Valley State Forest Plan. Since land uses have been thoroughly designated administratively, we do not see any need for this legislation.

The Soil and Water Conservation Board endorses orderly, environmentally sound resource development which conserves soil and water resources. In that light, we believe SB 167 is an unnecessary withdrawal of a public resource to benefit a few select recreational property owners.

Sincerely,

Norman Cosgrove, Chairman
Alaska Soil and Water Conservation Board

cc: Governor Cowper
All Legislators

GOODPASTER RIVER PROPERTY HOLDERS ASSOCIATION

991 Vail View Drive, Fairbanks, Alaska 99712

March 29, 1989

Brim/ple-

The Honorable Arliss Sturgulewski
Senator
Box A
Juneau, Alaska 99811

Dear Arliss,

Since we last talked, I have been told second hand that you may now have reservations about SB 167 establishing the Goodpaster Public Use Area. If this is true, I would appreciate knowing your specific objections and would ask for an opportunity to address your concerns.

I have always enjoyed, and felt comfortable with, our discussions over the past years and feel you have too. If problems have arisen, I hope you will inform me at your earliest convenience.

I was sorry you were unable to attend the Resource Committee hearing on March 17, as Senator Fahrenkamp introduced amendments to the bill, and I feel they are significant in addressing potential objections.

First, even though the original bill did not prohibit mining; the substitute now specifically allows "mineral entry".

Second, and perhaps more important, the substitute reduces the area from 970,000 to approximately 420,000 acres. It is also important to point out that the acreage now excluded from the public use area includes all Native lands, and the area most likely to have mineral potential.

I think you will agree, these are major changes.

Sincerely,

GOODPASTER RIVER PROPERTY
HOLDERS ASSOCIATION

Barry

Barry Haight
President

file/goodpaster

STEVE COWPER, GOVERNOR

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

DIVISION OF FORESTRY

PO BOX 7005
ANCHORAGE ALASKA 99507
PHONE 907/561 2021

9-3112.7

August 2, 1988

Mr. Barry L. Haight
991 Vail View Drive
Fairbanks, AK 99709

Dear Barry:

Thank you very much for meeting with us in Anchorage on July 13, 1988 to discuss the Tanana Valley State Forest Plan. You are an effective advocate for the recreation uses of the Goodpaster River drainage. Your recognition of other appropriate uses in the subunit is also appreciated. The result of our meeting is heightened awareness of the area's recreation values and how they are affected by access development and adoption by the Department of the Tanana State Forest Plan.

We recognize your concern about increased access into the Goodpaster River area and its potential for altering the present recreational character of the river. We expect that you will utilize the additional opportunities available to you and the public to review any planned road construction and timber sale contract proposals which will be detailed in the step down planning for the unit.

At this meeting, Les Fortune indicated that current harvesting activities will continue in the Rapid Creek drainage. Any plans for access into other drainages will not occur until some specific access planning is completed and publicly reviewed and is not likely for at least two years. This planning will be available for review in the process mentioned above. Development of these plans will give consideration to your concerns and the concerns of others who use the Quartz Lake and Goodpaster River area.

At your suggestion the proposal from the Delta Area Office to establish helispots in the Quartz Lake bowl area for fire protection will be closely reviewed for its effect on surface access. This type of management activity may occur without public review but any additional comments and suggestions you may furnish on the number and location of landing sites would certainly be appreciated. No action on this project is anticipated during the remainder of this field season.

Les also mentioned a potential harvest area just east of the Quartz Lake road which is not within the State Forest. If the DOF develops any sales plans for this location, you will be notified so you may see what, if any, effect it will have on your access concerns.

Thank you again for your interest and cooperation in this matter. Should you have additional concerns, please contact Les at the Fairbanks Department of Natural Resources Office. I am available either at the Commissioner's Office in Anchorage or Juneau.

Sincerely,

Tom Hawkins

TOM HAWKINS
Acting State Forester

cc: Judith M. Brady
Representative Mark Boyer
Representative Mike Davis
Senator Bettye Fahrenkamp
Rod Swope
Rebecha Miller
Les Fortune
Joe Wehrman

3/11/89

Habitat Biologist's Notes on the Value
of the Goodpaster River for
King Salmon, Chum Salmon and Grayling Production

As you might expect, there is no valid way to quantitatively compare this stream with everything else in the state. However, there are qualitative ways to assess it.

King Salmon - The Goodpaster River is less important as a king producer than several other streams in the Tanana drainage (for example, the Chena or the Salcha). However, biologists have felt that the aggregate production from small and medium producers may well be greater than the production from the few "big" producers. Although the Goodpaster is not a leading producer of kings, it is important as a part of the complex that provides king runs in the Tanana. In turn, the Tanana is a very important component of the Yukon drainage production.

The following is survey data for the Goodpaster and is useful as index material to compare run strength between years, but it underestimates the number of fish in the river, especially since they are the result of one-time surveys.

Dates of king salmon records: 7/16/54 81 fish, 7/31/60 126, 7/27/61 402, 8/8/65 60, 8/2/70 239, 8/?/72 8 (not a survey, just an observation), 8/8/72 21, 8/7/73 14, 7/29/74 194, 7/29/76 65 (partial survey), 8/3/77 29, 7/29/83 148, 8/8/84 165, 8/15/85 132.

For comparison, the Salcha, which is usually the big producer on the Tanana had numbers in the thousands for many of those years. So did the Andreafsky River, the Chena River in some years, and Canadian/Yukon streams in aggregate.

Chum Salmon - Although the production of chum salmon in the Goodpaster is not high, radio-tracking surveys by department biologists indicate the important contribution that areas of lesser importance, when taken together, make to the overall chum salmon production in a larger, major drainage system.

Grayling - Although the Goodpaster is again not a real heavy producer, it is important for grayling since it has been shown that fish produced in the river move elsewhere and provide fishing at heavily used areas like the Delta Clearwater. Also, the Goodpaster has been used for grayling egg take by ADF&G.

The Goodpaster is a heavily used recreation stream - again not as much as the Salcha, which has road access to its mouth, a larger run of kings, is closer to Fairbanks and the military bases, and is more easily boatable. The Goodpaster does support a lot of use, much of it coming from the boat ramp on the Tanana at Delta. In summary, the Goodpaster is a well-known, heavily-used river affording high-quality fishing/hunting opportunities.



Alaska Environmental Lobby, Inc.

P.O. Box 22151 Juneau, Alaska 99802

907-586-2345

AEL ISSUE PAPER: SB 167 - GOODPASTER PUBLIC USE AREA

The Alaska Environmental Lobby supports SB 167. The Goodpaster River is a classic Yukon-Tanana Uplands stream: rich in history, wildlife, and beauty. It is an excellent area for boating, hunting, fishing, snowmachining, dogmushing, and skiing. Its easy accessibility by trail, boat, or air from the nearby road system make it a "backyard" for the local people, and a favorite spot for others to visit.

The traditional public uses of the area are dependent on the maintenance of its roadless status, unspoiled character, and public land base. This bill restricts only those uses which would displace the existing ones, while ensuring that traditional public use can continue unhindered.

The bill has been carefully drawn to minimize conflict. Boundaries have been adjusted to leave out native lands, areas with hardrock mining claims, and as much forest land as possible. Mineral entry is specifically permitted. The proposal includes only the lower portions of the drainage, close to those stretches commonly used by riverboats and winter travelers.

March 17, 1989
Bill Glude

ALASKA CENTER FOR THE ENVIRONMENT • ALASKA CHAPTER SIERRA CLUB • JUNEAU GROUP SIERRA CLUB • SITKA GROUP SIERRA CLUB
KATIK GROUP SIERRA CLUB • DENALI GROUP SIERRA CLUB • ANCHORAGE AUDUBON SOCIETY • ARCTIC AUDUBON SOCIETY
DENALI TENS COUNCIL • ALASKA FRIENDS OF THE EARTH • JUNEAU AUDUBON SOCIETY • KACHEMAK BAY CONSERVATION SOCIETY
KENAI PENINSULA AUDUBON SOCIETY • KODIAK AUDUBON SOCIETY • LYNN VALLEY CONSERVATION • ALASKA WILDLIFE ALLIANCE
SITKA CONSERVATION SOCIETY • NORTHERN ALASKA ENVIRONMENTAL CENTER • SOUTHEAST ALASKA CONSERVATION COUNCIL
KNIK KANGERS AND KAYAKERS

GOODPASTER RIVER PROPERTY HOLDERS ASSOCIATION

1 1112 FAIRBANKS, ALASKA 99707 (907) 456-8494

April 3, 1987

Representative Dick Shultz
P.O. Box V
Juneau, Alaska 99811

Dear Representative Shultz:

The Goodpaster River Property Holders Association, a non-profit corporation, with 40 member families is extremely concerned with the present direction of the Forestry Division of the Department of Natural Resources. At present the Division of Forestry is in the process of planning proposed activities and policies for the Tanana Valley State Forest. Portions of the Goodpaster River drainage are included in the Tanana Valley State Forest.

In November 1986 the Division of Forestry distributed a questionnaire which dealt with a broad range of issues concerning the forest. The Division distributed a summary of responses in its "Public Response to Management Alternatives" in February 1987. It appears that one of the primary goals of the Division of Forestry is to construct both all-weather roads and winter-only roads into the Goodpaster drainage. These roads are ostensibly to access the area for timber harvest.

Members of our organization have traveled over this area on numerous occasions by snow machine and believe that the vast amount of quality marketable timber claimed by the Division of Forestry does not exist in the Goodpaster drainage. While some smaller stands of timber do exist, discussion with local timber harvesters indicates that the haul distances involved are far too great to make a timber harvest in this area economically viable.

There presently exists a winter road into the Rapid Creek drainage via the Shaw Creek Flats. The Rapid Creek drainage is adjacent to the Goodpaster drainage, and was the scene of a recent forest fire and therefore the Division of Forestry is anxious to have timber in this more readily accessible area harvested as soon as possible. At a recent auction for timber in this area there were no bids. Subsequently, some of the timber in this area was sold over-the-counter. Some timber harvesters stated that just the cost of winter maintenance and the haul distance to the Rapid Creek area made the timber uneconomical to harvest. Construction and maintenance of a longer new road into the Goodpaster drainage is obviously not economically viable.

*11:00-5:00
Nat. Sec.
Exchange
Delta J.
Valdez
Juneau*


A new road into the Goodpaster drainage would only serve to create negative impacts to existing wildlife and recreation uses. Therefore, the Goodpaster River Property Holders Association opposes the construction of any road into the Goodpaster River drainage.

The property holders Association maintains the position that the highest and best use of the Goodpaster River drainage is for wildlife habitat and compatible recreational purposes. This Goodpaster drainage is used for hunting, hiking, fishing, trapping, boating, dogsledding, skiing, etc. Recent years have shown a significant increase in intensity of use for these purposes. However, with access limited to those traditional means of boat and float plane in summer and snowmachine and skisplane in winter the impact is manageable and does not substantially alter the wilderness character of the area. This cannot be said for access by means of a road.

Our views are shared by numerous other Fairbanks and Delta area residents who do not own property in the Goodpaster drainage but use the area on a regular basis.

We believe that the idea of a road or roads into the Goodpaster drainage is ill conceived, will not yield any positive ratio of benefits to costs, and will permanently and negatively impact this area.

Sincerely,



John H. Huber, Jr.
President

xc: Bill Stroecker, GPRPHA, Board of Directors
Bob Compeau, GPRPHA, Board of Directors
Barry Haight, GPRPHA, Board of Directors
Richard Levine, GPRPHA, Board of Directors
Byron Haley, GPRPHA, Board of Directors
James Matthews, GPRPHA, Board of Directors
Jim Movius, GPRPHA, Board of Directors
Governor Steve Cowper
Senator Bettye Fahrenkamp
Senator Don Bennett
Senator Jack Coghill
Representative Mark Boyer
Representative Steve Frank
Representative Mike Miller
Representative Mike Davis
Representative Niilo Koponen

Brian

John Barry
HBCF...

Goodbaster Watershed
A review of Public
Testimony

Since the Goodbaster Property Holders Association was established August 11, 1982, to enhance and protect wildlife and recreational values of this pristine drainage, steady input has been made into Public Planning processes. Objectives of the input have focused on development of planning and management processes consistent with orderly use and maximizing enjoyment of a fine outdoor recreational resource by Interior Alaska residents.

A first public testimony occurred November 10, 1982 with both testimony and a written report submitted to Alaska's Division of Lands commenting on the Delta-Salona area plan, the first of many plans affecting the watershed. The Association's report at this early stage already reflected growing concern with a trend towards management proposing actions such as land disposal before planning was complete.

March 10, 1983, the Directors of the Association met with Alaska's Division of Lands staff Bill Cappeland, Chris Guinn and Mike Weidner to discuss status of the Delta Draft Plan. Division managers indicated then they would not be in a position to recommend Land Disposal until a management plan for the Goodbaster area was formulated and approved.

On August 26, 1983, the Association received correspondence from District Lands manager Jerry Brossara expressing appreciation that the Association had identified E trespass cabin sites and indicating these had been posted and the Division was following up with a resolution expected in the near future. Correspondence also indicated that the Delta-Salona Area Plan was nearing completion and would be available soon.

~~November 15, 1983~~ The Association indirectly received notice that land disposal was planned for the upper Goodbaster despite the fact that no management plan existed. Although Division staff readily stated this was not the case, higher administrative pressures to dispose of land could not be put off.

Curiously, the land to be affected in the disposal for the Goodbaster concerned the upper watershed, was located within the Tanana Basin area plan rather than the Salona-Delta Plan, and the 2 plans did not

~~over~~ This deficiency was seriously at odds with Association requests that planning for the entire watershed should be done in an integrated rather than piecemeal fashion. Association input was also hampered by not having copies of the Delta-Salcha plan because of Division budget limits, although copies could be viewed at the Division office.

Draft plans for the Tanana Basin Area were also not available until mid 1984, hampering effective input by the Association. January 4, 1984 correspondence with Mr. Brossia reflected concern with the upper river (Sand Creek disposal) requesting again that a serious environmental assessment be made before plans were finalized. In response to the Association, Mr. Brossia noted April 2, 1984, that no objections to disposal had occurred at the inter-agency planning team level. He also indicated that staff did not believe a small disposal would be damaging to the river environment. In that response Mr. Brossia also indicated "At this time we have not decided when or if a management plan will be done for the Goodpaster River Management unit."

Following April and May 1984 hearings, significant negative public response at these very first public hearings on the Sand Creek disposal caused Division Land Managers for the Northern Region to shelve such disposal plans.

July 1, 1983, saw the establishment of the Tanana Valley State Forest, Alaska's first such unit defined in an evolving forest management system. The management plan for the Forest, published in final form July 1, 1987, did not have public testimony from the Association as members believed that the plan did not extend into the Goodpaster watershed. However in November 1986 the Division of Forestry distributed a questionnaire followed by a summary of responses reported in February of 1987. After reviewing the report the Association corresponded with Representative Dick Schultz, Governor Cowper and Interior legislators. This communication emphasized concerns of the Association about what appeared to an acceleration of road development activity into the watershed from the adjoining Quartz Lake section of the State forest. The Association expressed high concern that road cost would be added to by substantial negative impact on wildlife and recreation values. Recovery of forest products in the area did not appear to merit such development investment, although again

definitive planning had not occurred. At initial meetings in 1987 following clarification of boundaries and when it became obvious that some overlap occurred on the northwest limits of the Goodpaster Watershed, Association input was again hampered by final maps not being available for public use.

Thus, 3 major Public Plans existed, each concerned to some extent with the Goodpaster watershed and each relatively independent of the other two. The Association, after much consideration, decided in 1988 to pursue legislation that would clarify a management system. S.B. 167 and H.R. 239 introduced in the 1989 legislative session seek that important objective.

The confusion surrounding the lack of integration in existing plans is perhaps best exemplified by the Commissioner of Alaska's Department of Natural Resources suggesting to the Governor that instead of new legislation, the existing Tanana Basin Area Plan could be reopened. Since that plan affects only a small and more remote part of the watershed, his suggestion appears to continue the fragmentation rather than integrated planning and management.

PREPARE,
BY GEORGE
HOLLETT

George

Senate Bill 167 CS

The Tanana Valley State Forest (TVSF) was established on July 1, 1983 and included the State Forest area covered by SB 167. Some ten years of planning effort has taken place in arriving at what lands were to be included in the TVSF. The Delta-Salcha Area Plan completed in 1982 started the process and the Tanana Basin Plan adopted in 1985 added the rest. The TVSF has approximately half (1.1 million acres) of the Tanana Basin's productive forest land with 85 percent located within 20 miles of the state highway system. Two types of timberland have been established for management purposes as follows:

1. Suitable Timberland - Lands that supports timber whose long-term value is capable of offsetting development costs of access and reforestation under current conditions. (Looking at a 20 year period and shown as dark green on the map.)
2. Marginal Timberland - Lands that supports timber whose value is not capable of offsetting development cost under current conditions. (Mostly birch and aspen and shown as next lighter green on the map.)

Approximately 392,000 acres or 38.5 percent of the forest land base within the TVSF, has been identified as containing suitable timberland. This is estimated to provide an average annual sale quantity of 9.6 million board feet of white spruce sawtimber.

Of the 392,000 acres some 70,300 acres or 18 percent are located in the Delta area. SB 167 will withdraw approximately 19,840 acres or 28 percent of the Delta area available suitable timberland.

The average annual sale quantity for the Delta area based on the 70,300 acres of suitable timberlands is projected at 1.3 million board feet. With SB 167 removing 28 percent of the acres the annual sale quantity will drop by 364,000 board feet or to 936,000 board feet. This reduction is also a 13.5 percent cut in the overall annual sale quantity of the TVS.

Presently there are approximately 218,700 acres of marginal timberland within the Delta area and SB 167 will remove some 54,850 of the acres or 25 percent. A change in demand for hardwoods could make these acres become suitable and very much in demand.

One area that is not in the TVSF but falls within SB 167 lies South and East of Quartz Lake (shown in blue on overlay) has 2,534 acres of suitable timberland and is estimated to have 18.7 million board feet of white spruce. Not all of the acreage would be harvestable but at even 50 percent an additional 100,000 board feet could be added to the Delta area annual cut. This area is reachable from the Golden Valley power line and the Quartz Lake road.

Total potential forest acres that would be removed by SB 167 are:

1. State Forest - 74,690 acres
2. Other State land between the Richardson Highway and the State Forest boundary - 9,207 acres

WHITE SAWCE
\$25/1000 bd ft.

marginal green - birch + aspen

600,000 bd ft / sale

INDUSTRIAL FORESTRY IN THE NORTHERN FOREST OF ALASKA IS THE

OPPORTUNITY ZONE

RECEIVED

Edmond C. Hickey
Assistant Professor of Forest Management
Agricultural and Forestry Experiment Station
University of Alaska Fairbanks

APR 7 1989

Presented at Unified Fairbanks Luncheon, 5 April 1989

I am an industrial forester and proud to be one; this uniform is worn with pride because it represents challenges rarely found in other professions. I spent fifteen years with MacMillan Bloedel Limited, then the largest forest products company in Canada, before joining the University of Alaska in 1983. I am firmly committed to two basic concepts, multiple-use and sustained-yield. I am also committed to seeing the Alaskan forest properly developed to provide revenue to the people of Alaska and to provide economically satisfying employment opportunities. I am committed to the most good to the most people and in my book, Alaskans come first. The challenges come from the special interest groups; right or wrong the challenges must be considered in a professional, objective manner.

The situation regarding the Northern Forest resource of interior and southcentral Alaska is difficult to explain. After six years, I can now describe it simply with a medical equation:

ENVIRONMENTAL DIARRHEA = ECONOMIC CONSTIPATION

This is, however, no simple problem. To break the juggernaut before the opportunity is gone requires a concerted effort. So let us begin with the left side of the equation. First some definitions are needed! If you do not understand these basic terms, you are part of the left side of the equation!

CONSERVATION is the wise use of natural resources. Jeffers (1943) defined it as having "to do with the proper rate of use of a resource." Ford-Robertson (1971) defined it as the "continuing protection and management of natural resources in accordance with principles that assure their optimum economic social enjoyment." Conservation should not be confused with preservation; however, preservation can be considered a special case of conservation--one where the "proper rate" is zero (Leary 1985).

FORESTRY is "the science, art, and practice of managing and using for human benefit the natural resources that occur on and in association with forest lands" (Ford-Robertson 1971).

INDUSTRIAL FORESTRY is the practice of forestry to sustain a given industrial enterprise such as a sawmill, pulpmill, chemical

plant, or a combination of these. Note that the words "proper rate" can be used for the word "sustain."

SUSTAINED YIELD means the achievement and maintenance in perpetuity of a high-level annual or regular periodic output of the various renewable resources...without impairment of the productivity of the land; Schwarz et al. (1976) equate this to sustained production. Ford-Robertson (1971) states that it is the yield that a renewable resource can produce continuously at a given intensity of management. Again, note that the words "proper rate" can be substituted for "annual or regular periodic output" or "produce continuously."

MULTIPLE USE simply means that forests and wildlands have more than one use (Zivnuska 1961). However, "the greatest difficulty with the term is the almost complete disagreement on what it means" (Teeguarden 1979). It is a planning concept and not a practice to be applied to every unit of the land at the same time. Hence you can have some uses occurring concurrently on the same piece of land and at other times different uses occurring. Essential to the concept is the understanding that all uses don't have to occur on the same piece of land at the same time.

CITED LITERATURE

- Ford-Robertson, F.C. (ed.) 1971. Technology of forest science, technology practice and products. Washington, DC: Society of American Foresters. 349 p.
- Jeffers, D.S. 1943. Multiple use of wild lands in the Rocky Mountains and intermountain region. *J. Forestry* 41: 627-632.
- Leary, R.A. 1985. Interaction theory in forest ecology and management. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Martinus Nijhoff/Dr. W. Junk Publishers. 219 p.
- Schwarz, C.F.; Thor, E.C.; Elsner, G.H. 1976. Wildland planning glossary. USDA Forest Service Gen. Tech. Rept. PSW-13. 252 p.
- Teeguarden, D.E. 1979. Multiple services. In: Quern, W.A., Teeguarden, D.E.; Christiansen, N.B.; Gustenberg, S. Philadelphia, PA: W.B. Saunders Co. Pp. 276-290.

Matanuska-Susitna Legislative Information Office

Office - (907) 376-3704

Fax - (907) 376-6180

TO: House Resources FAX: _____ PHONE: _____

FROM: Mat-Sus LIO PHONE: _____

INSTRUCTIONS: tele conf 4-12 # 89-04-053
on HD 239

RECEIVED: Date 4-12-89 Time _____

SENT: Date _____ Time _____

DISPOSAL OF ORIGINAL: Discard _____ Hold for Pickup _____

NUMBER OF PAGES: 1 (Not counting cover sheet)

SENT BY: Charlotte



Alaska State Legislature

Please enter into the record my testimony to the HOUSE RESOURCES
committee name

committee on HB 239 , dated 4/12/89
bill/subject

Thank you for the opportunity to testify -
 I do not see the need for HB # 239 as the
 opportunity for multiple-use fully exists under
 the Tanana Valley State Forest Plan.
 I would encourage everyone to read the Alaska Constitution
 which allows for the management and wise use of our
 natural resources by all people, not a select few.
 What I see in HB 239 is a small special interest
 group locking up public resources for their gain.
 Multiple-use is not going to happen if this bill passes.
 The result will be private-use by a select few over
 a large acreage.
 This bill is not in the best interest of all Alaskans.

Signed: James P. Page
Testifier

Representing (Optional)
P.O. 872573 WASILLA ALASKA 99687
 Address
376-7721
 Phone No.

TELECOPY COVER SHEET

Fairbanks Legislative Information Office

Office - (907) 452-4448

Fax - (907) 456-3348

TO: Naama Rosendal Conn. FAX: _____ PHONE: 465-4945

JAMES MATTHEWS - JANICE EYTH - BYRON HALEY - WANDA HUBER -
FROM: JOHN BENEVENTO - THOMAS MALONE PHONE: _____
RICHARD GAOBURY

INSTRUCTIONS: Witness testimony for Naama Rosendal
teleconference 4/12/89

RECEIVED: Date _____ Time _____

SENT: Date 4/12/89 Time _____

DISPOSAL OF ORIGINAL: Discard _____ Hold for Pickup _____

NUMBER OF PAGES: 11 (Not counting cover sheet)

SENT BY: Fran



Alaska State Legislature

Please enter into the record my testimony to the House Resource Committee
 committee name
 committee on H.B. 239, dated 4/12/89
 bill/subject

H.B. 239 & its companion S.B. 167 speak to enhancement & maintenance of a valuable outdoor recreation resource. We believe that public use unconstrained is too valuable to not move towards systematically

The proposed legislation provides a coherent management approach to the watershed. Three existing plans the Delta/Salcha, Tanana Basin Area Plan & Tanana Valley State Forest Plan each relatively independent of the other do not provide an integrated planning framework.

We have been frustrated by this deficiency for more than a decade of input into public planning processes.

We also are concerned that many witnesses had arranged schedules to testify & were preempted by casual off the cuff remarks particularly from Amodeo.

Signed: James W. Matthews
 Testifier

Landowner Property Holder. Asst.
 Representing (Optional)

1072 McGrath Rd.
 Address

Fairbanks, Alaska 99712

Phone No. 457-3537



Alaska State Legislature

Please enter into the record my testimony to the Dev. Resources Committee
committee name

committee on HB 234, dated 4-12-89
bill/subject

Driving three hours to the bridge at Delta,
ascending the trail, loading & launching the boat in the
turbulent Tanana, then dodging sand & gravel bars for
an hour or more just to experience once again the
special tranquility of an isolated wilderness area is not
everyones cup of tea. We Choopecto River folk have
become accustomed to strained muscles, skinned knuckles,
barked shins, and wet feet that apparently cannot be
avoided no matter how hard we try. We simply cannot
accept these minor inconveniences as part of the price we
must pay to protect one small piece of Alaska.

"Opening up the" watershed of the Choopecto in any way
especially any expansion of the secondary road system - to provide
easy access will destroy the only thing of real value in this
tiny remote valley with its echoes of the past. It's fragile
ecosystem. In the future there need be no ringing of hands
or lamenting about putting toothpaste back in the tube.

Signed: Testifier in the tank we need only keep the
or oil back Cap on it now.

James E. Krutzer representing (Optional)
1625 2nd Avenue Way Address 99709
907/479/9542 Phone No.



Alaska State Legislature

Please enter into the record my testimony to the House Resources Committee
 committee name
 committee on HB 239, dated 4-12-89
 bill/subject

I am a property owner in District 17,
 The Delta Area, my property is situated on the
 Goodpastor River. I believe in H.B. 239. This
 Bill will protect a fragile environment
 The Goodpastor River is a well known heavily
 used river offering quality hunting & fishing.
 The bill protects the Goodpastor for use now & in
 the future. Lets protect this!!

I object to not being able to testify at their
 hearing. I also object to the man from Anchorage
 using 1/2 of the testimony time & not being
 prepared!

Signed:

Janice M. Eyth
 Testifier

LES
 Representing (Optional)

1625 old Pioneer Way / Fairbanks AK
 Address

907 / 479-2542
 Phone No.

99709



Alaska State Legislature

Please enter into the record my testimony to the House Resources
 committee name
 committee on HB 239, dated 4-12-89
 bill/subject

Signed: Byron W. Haley
 Testifier *Property*
Self and Handwritten Property Holdings Assoc
 Representing (Optional)
1007 Pioneer Rd Fairbanks, AK 99701
 Address
456-4426
 Phone No.

(4)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Testimony on HB 239 at Teleconfer-
ence ~~at~~ with House Resource Committee


PREPARED BY Haley
4-12-89

I am Byron Haley, 1002 Pioneer Rd, Fairbanks 99701.
I also own a 2 1/2 acres home site with a house
on it in the Delta area. District # 17

I whole heartily support HB 239. I have been
using this area since 1954 for recreational
needs and I have a cabin which is 50 mile up the
Goodpaster River and I received this cabin site
when the land was still under Federal Control.

This area has always been open to the public
for all recreation uses and is used by many
besides property owners. It is accessible in
the summer by boat and float plane and
there is a landing strip at Central which is
around 50 miles above my cabin. There is some
mining in the Central area and any access need
is by a winter trail so the miners are not
locked out as some people has stated, from
all indication the mineral deposits are very ^{low} ~~small~~.

By allowing roads to be built into this area
would destroy the wilderness area of the upper
part of the North Fork of the Goodpaster River.
This is area is one of the most beautiful parts of
the river by my estimation and the people
who use this river do not want to see it
destroyed. I am speaking mainly of the upper
river as this is the area I use. However I
travel the lower ~~to~~ part of the river also



1
2 and although it is not as wilderness as the
3 North Fork account cabins. ~~the~~ the lower part of
4 the river ~~is~~ is still very beautiful and has
5 the feeling of wilderness. Allowing any access
6 by a road ^{of road,} take away ^{the} beauty and wilderness
7 aspects of this River.

8 The people who use this river know of its
9 beauty & wilderness and they protect it and keep
10 it clean. By allowing access by roads would
11 most likely start a pollution problem which
12 does not now exist.

13 Any road that would allow access to the
14 winter trail ~~that~~ would allow access to the
15 lower river and also the upper part of the
16 river where the winter trail crosses the
17 river about 3 miles above my cabin. The
18 winter trail goes to Central and maybe farther.
19 With this bill you will protect the habitat
20 for fish and wildlife. This is also anadromous
21 river used King, Chum and Coho salmon spawn
22 in the river. This river is closed to salmon fishing
23 on all of the meeting I went to on the
24 forest I plan to harvest the timber there was not
25 to be any person roads.

26 Byron W. Haley
27 1002 Pioneer Rd.
28 Ebb, AK 99701
29

industry in the roads.

As a property owner in Dist 17, contrary to Schultz's remarks, ~~we~~ ~~is~~ ~~is~~ heavily impacted adversely if this HB 239 is not passed!



Alaska State Legislature

Please enter into the record my testimony to the House Resource
committee name

commit: on HB 239, dated 4/12/89
bill/subject

I have lived the Grandparent river for over 25 years, and have shared it with many people. The logging industry can only see a picture area. The cost per output just is not there.

This bill will keep the area for future generation to use. We are not against timber harvest as long as the river area is protected it better than this bill does that.

Trees that I have used to build my cabin approximately 12" in diameter took over 150 years to grow. I cannot believe that this can be called a "renewable resource!!" It was mentioned by the testimony from Anchorage that we have not heard of the timber reforestation act. I sure have seen the results of the rape & ruin caused by the timber industry!!

Signed: John Bennett
Testifier

Representing (Opt.) ""
1371 Farmers loop Rd. FBKs
Address
479-5203
Phone No.



Alaska State Legislature

Please enter into the record my testimony to the

House Resources

committee name

committee on

Goodpastor

bill/subject

, dated

April 12, 1989

I object to bill S 167 & HB 239. The state needs to diversify our economy. The Tanana Valley State Forest bill was a step in the right direction. Now you want to cut down the acreage of the TVSF. This sends a negative message to the timber industry.

This is a lock up (special interest bill). The general public in Alaska doesn't need or want this type of legislation.

I'm a conservationist. I believe in the wise use of our natural resources. I believe that the TVSF Plan addresses the multiple use concept that would be most beneficial to the Goodpastor area.

Signed:

Thomas Malone

Testifier

Representing (Optional)

P.O. Box 83783 FBKS AK 99708

Address

455-6769

Phone No.



Alaska State Legislature

Please enter into the record my testimony to the House Resources Committee
committee name
committee on HR 239, dated 4/12/89
bill/subject

I support HR ²³⁹ ~~238~~. I believe the best potential of the area lies in public recreation use. I believe this would best serve the population. I also believe that the timber potential in this area has been vastly over stated. I would like to see the area remain as it is.

Signed:

Richard J. Gadbury
Testifier

Home owner
Representing (Optional)

P.O. Box 55680, Anchorage, AK 99705
Address

907 452-1192
Phone No.



TELECOPY COVER SHEET

Delta Junction Legislative Information Office

Office - (907) 895-4236

Fax - (907) 895-5017

TO: House Resources FAX: _____ PHONE: _____

FROM: _____ PHONE: _____

INSTRUCTIONS: Testimony for H. Res. 4/12 teleconf
on HB239 - Goodpaster

RECEIVED: Date 4-12-89 Time _____

SENT: Date 4-12-89 Time _____

DISPOSAL OF ORIGINAL: Discard _____ Hold for Pickup _____

NUMBER OF PAGES: 7 (Not counting cover sheet)

SENT BY: Liz



Alaska State Legislature

Please enter into the record my testimony to the House Resources
 committee name
 committee on HB 239, dated 4-12-89
 bill/subject

No Bill of this magnitude of resource lockup should be passed until extensive hearings are held locally. I feel it is a moral ^{per} outrage to lockup 43,000 acres / so called "families" Is it not a state constitution mandate to develop resources?

I personally think this is the worst piece of legislation I have seen in 20 years.

The Federal land lockup is enough for Alaskans for all time.

Signed: Mike Culson
 Testifier

Chairman Salata - Big Delta Soil & Water Conservation District

Representing (Optional)

Box 953

Address

895 4819

Phone No.



Alaska State Legislature

Please enter into the record my testimony to the House Resource Committee
committee name
committee on H.B. 239, dated 4/12/89
bill/subject

The question here is not the need to protect and preserve the Goodpasture area. Everyone is in agreement to the value of the Goodpasture and its drainage.

The main question is the proper way to manage resources. Multiple use land management is in effect in the State Forest & Area plans. This has provided for a positive approach to resource management to protect valuable areas and develop those that allow it. This can be used to develop both the resources such as timber and maintain wildlife & recreational use. Single use or selective use land management has never worked as a land use management system.

I urge the committee to hold a hearing on this legislation in Delta prior to making a decision and possibly to actually visit the area.

It is not good public policy to allow absentee landowners or land users to change land & resource management systems without the local people's input.

Signed: Gay N. Chaplin
Testifier

Representing (Optional)

Box 428 Delta Junction AK. 99737

Address

895 - 5004

Phone No.

2



Alaska State Legislature

Please enter into the record my testimony to the Resources
 committee name
 committee on House Bill 239, dated 4/11/89
 bill/subject

This bill will prevent the local people from utilizing this area's valuable natural resources.

I feel that this area can be used not only by recreation seekers but also by loggers, trappers and miners with a proper and regulated conservation minded approach.

Signed: Wayne Mark Carpenter
 Testifier
Carpenter Lumber Co. & Carpenter Contracting
 Representing (Optional)
Box 765 Delta Pt Ak 99737
 Address
495-4071
 Phone No.

3



Alaska State Legislature

Please enter into the record my testimony to the House Resources
committee name
committee on HB 239, dated 4-12-89
bill/subject

I oppose HB 239 as well as SB167 because of the manner in which it is written, as well as the way it was introduced. The bill does not provide for a definition of a Public Use Area; it states that one person, the "commissioner", will decide what uses are incompatible with the purpose. There are incompatibilities within the purpose on the first page of the bill. ^{as per stated} Just because Delta's don't ~~use~~ own land on the river doesn't mean they don't use it. I request hearings in Delta in the evening with plenty of notice beforehand.

Signed: Mary B. Malvala
Testifier

myself
Representing (Optional)

Box 256, Delta, AK 99737
Address

895-4959 or 895-4691
Phone No.



Alaska State Legislature

Please enter into the record my testimony to the HOUSE RESOURCES
 committee name
 committee on HB - 239, dated 3/23/89
 bill/subject

I WOULD LIKE TO REQUEST THIS COMMITTEE HOLD A PUBLIC HEARING IN DELTA JUNCTION TO ADDRESS THE MERITS OF THIS BILL. I KNOW OF NO EXISTING CONFLICT WHICH THIS BILL WOULD RECTIFY.

WITH THE EXCEPTION OF TWO 85 PRIVATE LOTS ALL OF THE LAND IN QUESTION IS PUBLIC LAND WHICH BELONGS TO ALL OF US. GIVE THE PUBLIC A CHANCE TO PARTICIPATE IN THE PLANNING PROCESS. WE ALREADY HAVE A DELTA LAND USE PLAN AND A TANANA VALLEY STATE FOREST PLAN. THESE PLANS WERE COMPLETED WITH HONEST PARTICIPATION OF THE PUBLIC. LET'S REVIEW THE GOALS AND OBJECTIVES OF THESE PLANS AND THEN DECIDE IF THIS BILL IS NEEDED. MY THOUGHTS PARALLEL THOSE STATED BY OUR REPRESENTATIVE DICK SHUE

Signed:

Don Quarberg (DON QUARBERG)
 Testifier

Testifier

SELF

Representing (Optional)

P.O. BOX 349 DELTA JCT.
 Address

Address

(907) 895-4215
 Phone No.

Phone No.

4/12/89

4




Alaska State Legislature

Please enter into the record my testimony to the House Resource
committee name

committee on HB 239, dated 4/12/89
bill/subject

LAND surrounding the Goodpastor River should not be put aside for conservation purposes. This remote site get high resource use on the river itself, but not in the surrounding drainage. This area is managed satisfactorily by state officials without precluding development of resources. Too much land is out of public use by private & federal authority at this time. I would hope residents of Delta who would be affected @ great deal by this use area, ~~can~~ be able to voice their concerns in a public meeting. first.

Signed: 
Testifier

Representing (Optional)
Box 605

Address
895-4632

Phone No.

6



Alaska State Legislature

Please enter into the record my testimony to the House Resources
 committee name
 committee on House Bill 239, dated 4-17-89
 bill/subject

I would like to say a few words in support of House Bill 239. A persistent argument I've heard is that the present landowners would like to keep the Goodpaster for their own private rec area. This isn't so it's just that there are too many people to accomodate. For instance, if you divided the 75 miles of river to Central into 5 acre lots - both banks, you would only have 2400 lots. The scenic value of the river would be destroyed and you still wouldn't have enough cabin sites for the people here in Delta.

I guess what I'm trying to say is that if you restricted development now or waited until the river was wall-to-wall cabins, there would still be thousands of people without their dream. Ideally, every one should have their own private retreat but realistically this isn't possible.

So, then you come to the question - what's the solution? I believe House Bill 239 would go a long way in solving these problems and I'd like to see every consideration given to this bill.

Signed: *William R. Johnson*
 Testifier
 William R. Johnson

Representing (Optional)
Bx. 914 Delta, AK. 99737
 Address
895-4862
 Phone No.

7

STATE OF ALASKA

STEVE COWPER, GOVERNOR

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER

400 WILLOUGHBY AVE.
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99801-1796
PHONE: (907) 465-2400

April 12, 1989

The Honorable Dick Shultz
Alaska State Representative
P.O. Box V
Juneau, AK 99811

Dear Representative Shultz:

Thank you for your letter of March 24, requesting information on employment and impact data related to SB 167, the Goodpaster bill. That information follows.


The original proposal has been reduced by about 40% with removal of acres in the southeast and eastern portions. Data presented are calculated on suitable timberland within the Quartz Lake area and Unit 9 of the state forest.

Annual state timber sale receipt loss is projected at \$18,000 to \$19,000 based on present \$25.00 per MBF sawlog stumpage. Present log market value totals between \$100,000 and \$300,000 depending on delivery point. Stumpage value, or value of the standing trees, is derived by taking the market value (\$100,000 - \$300,000) and subtracting production costs such as logging, trucking, scaling profit/risk, etc.

This area is not currently scheduled to be logged. If it were however, and one makes the assumption that the lost volume would be milled into lumber locally, we would project that 5 direct full-time jobs and 2 to 3 ancillary positions will be lost.

Please feel free to contact me or State Forester Bob Dick directly if you have any additional questions.

Sincerely,



Lennie Gorsuch
Commissioner



Representative Shultz

-2-

April 12, 1989

Enclosure

cc: Governor Steve Cowper
Senator Betty Fahrenkamp
Representative Mark Boyer



① House Bill No 239

Senate Bill 167

4/11/89

In the Legislature of the State of
Sixteenth Legislature - First Session Alaska

Pertaining to Senate Bill
 167 and House Bill ~~239~~
²³⁹
 Subject: Goodpasture
 River Public Use Area.

I Jack Stewart being
 a resident of said river
 for fifteen years at Central
 Creek and six years more
 in the vicinity thereof.
 I am ~~concerned~~ con-
 cerned about what these
 bills would do with the
 Goodpasture River if pass-
 ed in present form.

As for recreation
 and sports are concerned,
 I'm for it.

I noticed that canoeing
 and rafting wasn't mention-
 ed. Maybe it was an
 oversight.

As most of you know,
 canoeing and rafting is
 a great and popular
 sport in many places
 of the nation, and also
 several in the State of Alaska.

(2)

Canoeing and rafting are also known as float trips. In order to start a float trip, you need a place up river from where you are going to terminate your trip, to launch your canoe or raft and gear. Unless you could afford to have it flown, or boated in, you would need an access road. I understand that about four years ago, the State appropriated a sum of money to construct a road to the forks on the Goodpasture River. At this point it would give a very pleasant two day float trip down to the Tanana River bridge.

What makes canoeing and rafting a great sport, it is something that everyone can afford, even the low income ~~individual~~ ^{person}. Since there is a

(3)

logging road already
several miles up Shaw
Creek flats, it could be
extended a few more
miles further on and across
the pass on to the
Goodpasture River.

This would bring ~~about~~
it out about three miles
above Central Creek, and
from this point, it would
be a three or four day
float trip to the Tanana
River bridge.

As for the snow mach-
ines they can start at
this point mentioned just
above and take the cat
trail back down to Central
Creek, and intersect the
Winters cat trail that
was built by the miners
back in the '30's. From
this point they could go up
Central Creek another
twenty five or thirty miles
or follow it back to
Quartz Lake.

(4)

Anybody that's been in the area knows the one I'm talking about, It's the same one that somebody wants to discontinue the use for which it was originally constructed. Also with these roads mentioned earlier, one could harvest the timber, and the State could sell home sites.

In the fifteen years I've lived on this river, I've hauled over 100,000 lbs. up there in a boat alone, also freighted in on cat train, airplane and snow machines, with a total expense of at least \$15,000, so you see I would welcome a road above Central Creek, or even one to the forks, but regardless as to whether a road is built at all, I can see no reason ~~for the existing trail~~

(5)

cat land should be so designated for the purpose of recreation reasons only.

Since I have three claims ^{8 miles} above Central Creek on the Goodpasture River, I hope to be moving more materials in the future.

If one took a vote from the towns which should have the controlling say of how the Goodpasture and its tributaries should be managed, meaning Big Delta, Delta Jet and Clearwater, I feel sure that the results would be an over-whelming No for this bill.

In my years on the Goodpasture, about ten years ago, a man and his wife, with a dog team each, stopped in and spent the night with us, and another man alone last spring.

6

came through with a dog team, and a few years back two people passed through on skin's. As for the snow machines other than the ones used on the trapline, there has maybe been a dozen gone or up the river part Central Creek.

Now I ask you, is this justifiable cause to pass this bill?

Concerning the Wildlife and Habitat, if you're a meat eater, spending the winter on the upper part of the river, and depending on meat for survival, I recommend that this meat be gotten before the end of October, because most likely you will not see a moose until the following March.

The thousands of hours that I have maneuvered ~~a~~ a boat and the miles that I have walked the

①

banks, I have yet to see my first otter.

I have seen one fox on the pond in front of my place; ~~now~~ ^{concerning} water fowl nesting habitat, in the spring of the year, they stop by on the ponds, these are stragglers, I think, because they come in one, two, or three at a time, and there are different species. These ducks will stay around from one to two weeks, or until two or three dozen assemble later, then you get up in the morning and they are gone. You have got some smaller ducks where you may find a pair or two on a pond, and there is some fish ducks on the river.

As for the Trumpeter Swan, the only thing I've seen on the river with wings that are larger than a Mallard, are owls, Bayliss and mergansers.

As for as the Land Ani-

(9)

mals, I didn't see where they mentioned saying anything about saving the bears.

As for myself, I do feel as though the people that put this "Bill" together didn't do their homework.

There is approximately fifty - five dwellings on the river and only six of these, plus four trapper cabins, above the forks. I wanted, probably 80% of these dwellings on the river, belong to people from North Pole and Fairbanks vicinity.

My opinion is that if you pass this bill, you will be making a playground for a few people on the lower part of the river, and a killing field for even a fewer amount above the forks.

For the past 15 years, or since the pipeline was built, for one reason

(9)

or another the States -
 natural resources have
 suffered. There has been
 mines closed, timber
 cutting discontinued, and
 now with the oil spill,
 the fishing industry has
 suffered a great blow, but
 the oil still flows.

When it comes to
 tourism, what kind of
 people are going to use
 their vacation, and travel
 3 or 4,000 miles to death
 and destruction, and the
 way that did it.

When the States economy
 becomes 100% dependant
 on oil, don't bother trying
 to get a fair deal at the
 negotiation table.

Thank you for your in-
 dulgence.

Sincerely yours,
 Jackie J. Stewart

Home: Hoodpasture River
 Mailing address: P.O. Box # 633
 Delta, La., Ark. 99737
 Phone # (907) 895-4163

Timber - 1st 1/2

MANAGEMENT UNIT 9
RAPID CREEK

A. SUMMARY OF MANAGEMENT INTENT

This unit includes most of the uplands between Shaw Creek and the Goodpaster River.

Subunit 9A will be managed for commercial timber production while protecting fish and wildlife values near Liscum Slough and Rapid Creek. The state will defer a decision whether or not to designate subunit 9B as a research natural area until further field investigation is completed. Until then, the land will be managed in its natural state. Subunit 9C will be managed passively for multiple use because of currently low timber and recreational values.

B. EXISTING RESOURCES

1. Cultural Resources

Although no cultural sites have been identified in this unit, probability of sites is high along creeks.

2. Fish and Wildlife

Lower elevations contain prime moose, black bear, and furbearer habitat; uplands are prime caribou habitat. Lowland areas are used intensively for trapping. Shaw and Rapid Creeks support spawning silver and chum salmon.

3. Recreation

Most recreation in this area occurs outside of the State Forest near Quartz Lake or in the Goodpaster River Valley. The ridge that bisects the unit offers a good view and is accessed by trails used by local residents. "Lake 992" in subunit 9B has low recreational value.

4. Scientific Resources

Land within subunit 9B appears to contain representative upland and lowland stands of tamarack suitable for research uses.

5. Subsurface Resources

Mineral potential is low to moderate within this unit. No mining claims currently exist in the area.

6. Timber

The Rapid Creek drainage contains substantial stands of spruce sawtimber; hills north of the Goodpaster River support moderate levels of spruce. A 40,000-acre fire destroyed most of the mature forest in the Liscum Slough drainage, but site productivity remains high.

Timber values are low in most of subunit 9C because of remoteness and steep slopes.

7. Transportation and Access

Trails provide the only access to this unit. The historic Fortymile-Big Delta Trail and two spur trails are used chiefly in winter for access to traplines and cabins on the Goodpaster River. This trail is listed as an RS-2477 by DOT/PF. Access to Rapid Creek is via the Indian Creek Trail that links to an old army maneuver trail in Shaw Creek Flats. The army trail is currently being used as a temporary winter road to haul timber salvaged from a fire in lower Rapid Creek.

C. MANAGEMENT GUIDELINES AND ACTIVITIES

1. Minerals. All of this unit will remain open to mineral location and leasing. Mineral exploration or development will be restricted within subunit 9B if they conflict with tentatively identified research values (see below).

2. Research Natural Area. Guidelines for research natural areas (p. 2-56) will apply to subunit 9B until DNR decides whether to amend this plan to designate the area permanently. This decision will be made considering the recommendations of the Interior Alaska Forest Research Advisory Committee. In making its recommendations, the Committee will place priority on finding similar tamarack sites on retained state land outside the State Forest. The subunit will be merged with subunit 9A and managed similarly if permanent designation is not made by October 1, 1988.

3. Streamside and Lakeshore Management. Guidelines for special management zones (p. 2-52) will apply to the following waterbodies:

Lake 992
Liscum Slough
Rapid Creek
Shaw Creek

4. Timber Sales. Suitable lands in subunit 9A will be managed for commercial timber production. Timber on approximately 650 acres will be offered for sale within the next 20 years. Harvest activities during this period will occur chiefly within the Rapid Creek drainage.

Timber sales are prohibited in subunit 9B on an interim basis and are not scheduled in subunit 9C. Timber will be made available in subunit 9C if warranted by a change in demand or accessibility.

5. Trails. Guidelines for trail corridors of regional or statewide significance (p. 2-59) apply to the following trails within the State Forest:

Indian Creek Trail
Prospect Trail
Jolly's Cabins Trail
Fortymile - Big Delta Trail

6. Transportation. Subunit 9A is planned to be accessed by a primary road by upgrading the Army Trail over Shaw Creek Flats or by utilizing a portion of the trail accessed west from Quartz Lake Road to provide winter access to the Rapid Creek drainage. Access to the remaining portion of Unit 9 will be analyzed for alternative routes during the next five year period before inclusion in this plan. Final route location can only be implemented during the five year period provided public consensus is reached.

Approximately 5 miles of secondary winter road will be constructed in subunit 9A within the next 20 years.

The Forestwide Management Guidelines which also apply to this unit are presented in Chapter 2. Those most likely to apply are:

Fish and wildlife habitat
Timber
Transportation
Riparian and instream flow management
Scientific resources
Trails

LAND USE SUMMARY
 MANAGEMENT AREA: Delta
 UNIT 9 Rapid Creek

SUBUNIT/ ACRES	SUMMARY OF MANAGEMENT INTENT	SUMMARY OF MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES	PRIMARY ROAD ACCESS	-----SUBSURFACE-----		PROHIBITED SURFACE USES*	COMMENTS
				LOCATABLE	LEASABLE		
9A 56,950 A.	Commercial timber production Habitat and public use near water- bodies	Timber sales	Accessible by primary roads	Open to mineral entry	Available for leasing	Remote cabins	
9B 1,870 A.	Assess suitability as research natural area	Research	Accessible by primary winter road	Open, but restricted	Available for leasing	Commercial leases Developed recreation Material sales Remote cabins Timber sales Trapping cabins	Management intent finalized by October 1, 1988
9C 16,360 A.	Passive multiple use	None planned	Inaccessible	Open to mineral entry	Available for leasing	Remote cabins	

*Other uses, such as material sales or land leases, etc., that are not specifically prohibited may be allowed. Such uses will be allowed if consistent with the management intent statement and management guidelines of this unit and with the relevant management guidelines in chapter 2.




Figure 3.5. Shaw Creek Tamarack Potential Research Natural Area.

G. Subregion #8 - Upper Goodpaster

This is one of the most remote subregions in the Basin. Located about 30 miles east of Delta Junction, the unit is a rugged area with no roads and few settlements.

Some trapping and hunting occurs in the area, but the major land use is mining exploration through most of the unit and active mining in the eastern third of the subregion. There are habitats and forests of moderate value in this subregion, but existing information indicates that minerals are the principal resource in much of the region. A few trapping cabins and mining cabins exist, but settlement is sparse due to the lack of access.

This area will be managed primarily for minerals and fish and wildlife habitat.

1. Agriculture

Land in this subregion is at elevations in excess of 2000 feet and is not recommended for agricultural designation.

2. Forestry

In the Upper Goodpaster Subregion of the Tanana Plan, the State Forest will meet the demands for both local use and economic development. There are some fairly high value forests in this subregion which were not included in the State Forest, but they are too remote to be of significance in meeting the foreseeable commercial or personal need for wood products. However, these lands will be open to timber harvesting and other multiple uses.

3. Minerals

The principal mineral potential in this subregion occurs in the Upper Goodpaster River and the Tibbs Creek area. Active placer mining occurs in numerous tributaries of Tibbs Creek. Although there are currently few mining claims located in the subregion, there is moderate to high potential for discovery of economic deposits. No coal or hydrocarbon formations are known within the area, but the Goodpaster Subregion will be left open to mineral entry, coal prospecting, oil and gas leasing, coal leasing and leasing for millsites.

4. Recreation

Due to its remote location and lack of navigable rivers, this area does not have high value for public recreation. However, the trails in the area will be protected and recreational use of the rivers will be ensured.

5. Fish and Wildlife

The habitat of this region is of moderate value. The Goodpaster River corridor, however, is of high value and will be protected for its habitat values. Two settlement areas are designated along the river and will be designed to minimize the impact on fish and wildlife. The rest of this unit will be retained in public ownership and managed jointly for habitat and minerals. Conflicts between these two uses will be addressed in the subunit guidelines.

6. Land Sales in the Upper Goodpaster Subregion

Within the Goodpaster Subregion, a total of 3,400 acres of state land are recommended to be sold for fee simple homesteading.

In the past, there have been no land sales in this region. Because the area is largely inaccessible, only two areas have been identified for sale. These projects are expected to provide adequate opportunity for those wishing to settle or recreate in this remote region of the Basin.

Fee Simple Homesteads:

Sand Creek	400 acres
Upper Goodpaster	3,000 acres

7. Transportation

The following access corridors have been identified by the Department of Transportation and Public Facilities. There are no proposals for actual construction of access within these corridors, but the option to eventually develop access in these areas should not be precluded.

The only identified major transportation impact in this subregion is in the extreme western portion near the Trans-Alaska Pipeline. The construction of a natural gas pipeline could pass through this area. No other major transportation corridors have been identified through this subregion.

Trails and Revised Statute (RS) 2477 Roads: Numerous trails and minor roads exist in this subregion. See Chapter 2, Public Access and Trails Management for additional information.

UPPER GOODPASTER A

HEALY RIVER

MANAGEMENT INTENT: This unit will be retained in public ownership under multiple use management. Primary management objectives are forest management, subsurface development and protection of fish and wildlife resources. There are no lands designated for disposal in this management unit.

Fish and Wildlife:

The headwaters of the Healy River system are prime habitat for moose, caribou, furbearers and grizzly bear. Fish and wildlife habitat is designated a primary use in this unit.

Forestry:

Forestry is designated a primary use in this unit. Both commercial and personal use harvest will be encouraged.

Minerals:

The area has moderate potential for porphyry copper-molybdenum deposits. The Johnson Prospect, on the divide between the South Fork of the Goodpaster River and the Healy River, contains up to 1.2% molybdenum. The area will be open to mineral entry.

Recreation:

Recreation values include boating, fishing and dog mushing along the Healy River. Recreation is designated a secondary use in the unit.

Other Resources:

There are no known significant values for agriculture in the area. Access across Native and State Forest land would cause difficulties for settlement. No lands are designated for disposal.

For additional information about the resources of this unit, see the Resource Element Papers (DNR, DLWM, 1983) available at the Department of Natural Resources in Fairbanks.

MANAGEMENT GUIDELINES

The complete set of statewide and regional management guidelines are presented in Chapter 2 of this report. Outlined below are the categories of guidelines presented in that chapter. All of the guidelines could potentially apply to uses within the management unit; however, those that are most likely to be applicable in this unit are indicated with asterisks.

Agriculture	Transportation	*Trail Management
Recreation	Access	Water Quality
*Forestry	Lakeshore Management	Wetlands Management
Settlement	Instream Flow	Resource Management
*Subsurface	Stream Corridors	*Grazing
*Fish & Wildlife		

Management guidelines that apply just to this management unit are presented below.

1. Remote Cabins

All state-owned land within this management unit will be open to consideration for very low density remote cabin permits. Areas to be opened to remote cabin permits and the number of permits allowed will be determined an interagency team after a more detailed evaluation of the area and prior to announcing the opening to the public.

LAND USE SUMMARY

SUBREGION/MANAGEMENT UNIT: Upper Goodpaster A

LOCATION: Healy River

SUBUNIT	DESCRIPTION	LAND USE DESIGNATIONS		PROHIBITED SURFACE USE(S) ¹	MINERALS		LEGISLATIVE DESIGNATIONS, PROPOSED RELINQUISHMENTS & GENERAL COMMENTS
		PRIMARY USE(S)/PC*	SECONDARY USE(S)		MGMT. OF LOCATABLE MINERALS	MGMT. OF LEASEABLE MINERALS	
A 57,500 acres		Forestry Habitat	Recreation	Land sales Improved pasture grazing	Open	Open	

* Proposed classification

¹ Other uses such as material sales, land leases, remote cabin permits, etc., that are not specifically prohibited may be allowed. Such uses will be allowed if consistent with the management intent and management guidelines of this unit, and with the relevant management guidelines in chapter 2.

UPPER GOODPASTER B

Goodpaster River Drainage

MANAGEMENT INTENT: The Goodpaster River corridor will be managed to encourage a variety of uses. Primary management objectives are to encourage subsurface development, forest management and recreation, and to protect fish and wildlife resources. Two disposals are planned for the unit.

Fish and Wildlife:

The Goodpaster watershed provides a range of habitats from alpine tundra to marshy flats that support populations of all major wildlife species found in the Tanana Basin (with the exception of bison). This unit contains prime habitat for black and grizzly bear, caribou, moose, furbearers and sheep.

The Goodpaster River riparian corridor provides the highest quality wildlife habitat in this unit. The Goodpaster River contains critical habitat for spawning salmon and prime habitat for resident fish. The Goodpaster River supports an important grayling fishery.

Fish and wildlife is designated a primary use in subunits B-2 and C. These subunits will be managed to maintain habitat values described above and related human use.

Forestry:

With the exception of Shaw Creek bottom lands and high elevations, moderate-site commercial forests are found on most of the better drained slopes. Forestry is designated a secondary use in subunit B-2. Both commercial and personal use harvests will be permitted.

Minerals:

There is moderate to high mineral potential in this unit, particularly along the Eisenmenger Fork of the Upper Goodpaster River, Tibbs Creek and Michigan Creek. The only reported lode mining production in the Goodpaster District is from gold-antimony quartz veins near the head of Tibbs Creek. Active placer mining also occurs along Tibbs Creek and its tributaries. Molybdenum bearing veins cut granitic rocks near Black Mountain. A little gold, including a half ounce nugget, was recovered in 1916 on Michigan Creek.

Subunit B-2 will remain open to mineral entry.

Recreation:

Important recreational access areas in this unit include the Goodpaster winter trail and the navigable portions of the Goodpaster River. The river corridor will be considered in the future for possible legislative designation as a State Recreation River. Recreation is designated a primary use in the unit.

Settlement:

Two homestead offerings, Upper Goodpaster and Sand Creek, totaling 3,400 acres are planned for this unit. Sand Creek is scheduled for FY'86; Upper Goodpaster is not yet scheduled for disposal.

During the layout of the Upper Goodpaster and Sand Creek disposals, sites for public recreational use will be identified and reserved.

Other Resources:

There are no significant agricultural values in the unit.

For additional information about the resources of this unit, see the Resource Element Papers (DNR, DLWM, 1983) available at the Department of Natural Resources in Fairbanks.

MANAGEMENT GUIDELINES

The complete set of statewide and regional management guidelines are presented in Chapter 2 of this report. Outlined below are the categories of guidelines presented in that chapter. All of the guidelines could potentially apply to uses within the management unit; however, those that are most likely to be applicable in this unit are indicated with asterisks.

Agriculture	Transportation	*Trail Management
*Recreation	Access	Water Quality
Forestry	Lakeshore Management	Wetlands Management
*Settlement	Instream Flow	Resource Management
*Subsurface	Stream Corridors	*Grazing
*Fish & Wildlife		

Management guidelines that apply just to this management unit are presented below.

1. Remote Cabins

All state-owned land within subunit B-2 will be open to consideration for remote cabin permits. Areas to be opened to remote cabin permits and the number of permits allowed will be determined by an inter-agency team after a more detailed evaluation of area and prior to announcing the opening to the public.

LAND USE SUMMARY

SUBREGION/MANAGEMENT UNIT: Upper Goodpaster B

LOCATION: Goodpaster Watershed

SUBUNIT	DESCRIPTION	LAND USE DESIGNATIONS		PROHIBITED SURFACE USE(S) ¹	MINERALS		LEGISLATIVE DESIGNATIONS, PROPOSED RELINQUISHMENTS & GENERAL COMMENTS
		PRIMARY USE(S)/PC*	SECONDARY USE(S)		MGMT. OF LOCATABLE MINERALS	MGMT. OF LEASEABLE MINERALS	
B-1 46,720 gross acres	Upper Goodpaster H.S. Sand Creek HS	Settlement	Recreation Habitat	Remote cabins	Closed	Open to oil & gas Closed to coal	
B-2 621,000 acres	Goodpaster watershed	Habitat Recreation	Forestry	Improved & unimproved pasture grazing	Open	Open	

* Proposed classification

¹ Other uses such as material sales, land leases, remote cabin permits, etc., that are not specifically prohibited may be allowed. Such uses will be allowed if consistent with the management intent and management guidelines of this unit, and with the relevant management guidelines in chapter 2.

GOODPASTER C

SHAW CREEK FLATS

MANAGEMENT INTENT: This unit will be retained in public ownership under multiple use management. The primary management objective is to protect fish and wildlife resources. Other resource values in this unit are low. No lands are designated for disposal in the unit. The unit will remain open to mineral entry.

Fish and Wildlife:

The upper Shaw Creek Flats is an extremely important area to local wildlife populations. This area includes prime habitat for moose, black bear, furbearers, waterfowl and resident fish. The area has special value as waterfowl habitat and a calving area for moose.

Fish and wildlife is designated a primary use in this unit. The area will be managed to preserve the ecologic integrity of the wetlands. Because of the abundance and diversity of wildlife, the unit is considered a special value area recommended for single use as a special, completely unadulterated habitat area.

Other Resources:

There are few known forestry, recreation, agricultural or mineral values in the unit. The value of the area for settlement is low due to the marshy conditions. There are no lands designated for disposal in the unit. The unit will remain open to mineral entry; however, enforcement of water quality guidelines will be a priority.

For additional information about the resources of this unit, see the Resource Element Papers (DNR, DLWM, 1983) available at the Department of Natural Resources in Fairbanks.

MANAGEMENT GUIDELINES

The complete set of statewide and regional management guidelines are presented in Chapter 2 of this report. Outlined below are the categories of guidelines presented in that chapter. All of the guidelines could potentially apply to uses within the management unit; however, those that are most likely to be applicable in this unit are indicated with asterisks.

Agriculture	Transportation	*Trail Management
Recreation	Access	Water Quality
Forestry	Lakeshore Management	*Wetlands Management
Settlement	Instream Flow	Resource Management
*Subsurface	Stream Corridors	*Grazing
*Fish & Wildlife		

LAND USE SUMMARY

SUBREGION/MANAGEMENT UNIT: Upper Goodpaster C

LOCATION: Shaw Creek Flats


SUBUNIT	DESCRIPTION	LAND USE DESIGNATIONS		PROHIBITED SURFACE USE(S) ¹	MINERALS		LEGISLATIVE DESIGNATIONS, PROPOSED RELINQUISHMENTS & GENERAL COMMENTS
		PRIMARY USE(S)/PC*	SECONDARY USE(S)		MGMT. OF LOCATABLE MINERALS	MGMT. OF LEASEABLE MINERALS	
C 46,000 acres	Wetlands	Habitat		Remote Cabins Land sales Improved & unimproved pasture grazing	Open	Open	

* Proposed classification

¹ Other uses such as material sales, land leases, remote cabin permits, etc., that are not specifically prohibited may be allowed. Such uses will be allowed if consistent with the management intent and management guidelines of this unit, and with the relevant management guidelines in chapter 2.

Delta-Salcha Area Plan

Management Unit Index



- 1. Johnson Road
- 2. Salchakot River
- 3. Salcha River
- 4. Harding / Birch Lake
- 5. Salcha Forest
- 6. Tanana River
- 7. Shaw Creek
- 8. Delta Creek
- 10. Quartz Lake
- 11. Tanana Uplands
- 12. Bluff Cabin Ridge
- 13. Goodpastor River
- 14. Volkmar
- 15. Delta Junction
- 16. Clearwater Creek
- 17. Delta 2
- 18. Delta 1
- 19. Healy Lake
- 20. Delta Bison
- 21. Greely Reserve
- 22. Delta Wildlands
- 23. Dry Creek
- 24. Maccomb Plateau

Tanana Uplands Management Unit

GENERAL DESCRIPTION

Extending immediately north of the Goodpaster River is the Tanana Uplands which consists primarily of rolling hills with elevations ranging between 1,000 to 2,000 feet. The area plan boundary forms the northern limit of this management unit, while the Quartz Lake unit establishes the western perimeter. Slopes are moderate to steep and covered with a mixed forest vegetation consisting of white spruce, paper birch and quaking aspen.

These well-drained upland slopes were designated forestry in the original planning study. Evaluation of lands for forest value was based upon available data which at the time was the U.S. Forest Service Tanana Basin inventory unit, intended only for reconnaissance planning. Inventory information for this forest area remains generally weak. Actual harvest and management of sites would require field data collection.

HISTORICAL USE

Little is known or documented about the land in this area. Overland access has not been developed. The potential forest resource has not been tapped due to the availability of presently accessible commercial timber elsewhere.

The state's current emphasis on large-scale agricultural production will likely cause a rapid depletion of accessible timber in the Delta region.

Local market demands for fuelwood and small commercial sawlog sales are increasing while land and agricultural disposals are simultaneously diminishing the forest management land base. In the next few years the timber markets may be saturated with timber salvage products from agricultural development, but eventually demand may precipitate the construction of access roads to new commercial timber areas within this unit.

MANAGEMENT INTENT

State land within subunit A shall be retained for forest resources and managed for multiple use and sustained yield. An inventory of timber cover, type, size classification and crown closure should be conducted. A transportation plan should be developed to provide access to timber and other resources on these forest lands. Access to the immediate area will originate from the Alaska Highway across the Quartz Lake management unit. Feasible access for logging activities should be considered during disposal access planning in the Quartz Lake unit. Subunit B will be managed primarily for recreation with opportunities for private recreation with opportunities for private recreation disposal. Suitable disposal sites will be determined during the management planning process for the Goodpaster River unit.

LAND USE DESIGNATIONS

Primary

Forest (A)
Recreation (A&B)

Secondary

Fish and Wildlife (A&B)
Settlement (B)

MANAGEMENT GUIDELINES

Forest

- 1. Development of access into proposed timber sales shall coordinate with land disposal access roads in the adjacent Quartz Lake unit.

Materials


- 1. Material sites/sales are available in this unit. Where feasible, material sites should be located to provide extended use and minimize proliferation.


Recreation and Access

- 1. The Goodpaster Trail shall be protected by a 100 foot access easement to allow continued traditional use and preserve its historic significance.
- 2. The existing trail network shall remain available for traditional, recreational access.

Terrace Uplands

(page 1 of 2)



scale: 1 Inch = 1 mile 



Land Use Designations

Primary Uses

- Forestry (A)
- Recreation (A)(B)


Secondary Uses

- Fish & Wildlife (A)(B)
- Settlement (B)

Unit Size: 58,989 Acres

Tanana Uplands

(page 2 of 2)



Land Use Designations

- Primary Uses**
 - Forestry (A)
 - Recreation (AMB)
- Secondary Uses**
 - Fish & Wildlife (AWD)
 - Settlement (B)

scale: 1 inch = 1 mile



Unit Size: 50,000 Acres

COPY OF 1988 TRACT FOR E. LEFT. OF TITLED PROPERTY

Goodpaster Management Unit

GENERAL DESCRIPTION

The Goodpaster unit is comprised of the Goodpaster River corridor and the wetlands to the south. The river is a major tributary of the Tanana River flowing in a southwesterly direction. The easily accessible river is a popular fishing, hunting and general recreation area for the Fairbanks/Delta region. The Goodpaster River was cited in Volume II of the Delta Land Management Planning Study as one of "only two known king salmon spawning streams in the upper Tanana drainage."

The Goodpaster Flats area is a roadless wetland, providing year-round range and important calving grounds for moose. It also provides habitat for black bears, furbearers, and waterfowl.

HISTORICAL USE

Settlement along the river took place under the federal homestead laws and later under the state's open-to-entry (OTE) program. During the years 1968-1973 approximately 80 OTE parcels were staked along the Goodpaster River. In the fall of 1982, 55 cabins were sighted along the lower 55 miles of the Goodpaster River. Since the closure of the O.T.E. program in 1973, no additional land has been made available for private use based on the recommendations in volumes II and IV of the Delta Land Management Planning Study.

MANAGEMENT INTENT

Goodpaster River

Land abutting the river will be managed for public and private recreation. Traditional access, including the Goodpaster Trail is to be retained for public use.

The Division of Land and Water Management will prepare a management plan to specify appropriate private recreation disposal lands within this overall public recreation unit.

Goodpaster Flats

The Goodpaster Flats is to be managed for habitat, wetlands and recreational values.

LAND USE DESIGNATIONS

Goodpaster River (Subunit A)

Primary

Recreation
Fish and Wildlife

Secondary

Settlement

Goodpaster Flats (Subunit B)

Primary

Fish and Wildlife
Recreation

MANAGEMENT GUIDELINES

Fish and Wildlife

1. Game management plans being developed by the Department of Fish and Game should include monitoring and manipulation of wildlife population levels, establishment of harvest levels, and habitat manipulation where appropriate.

Recreation and Access

1. The existing trail network shall remain available for traditional recreational access.
2. The Goodpaster trail will be protected by a 100 foot access easement to provide for continued traditional use and recognize its historical significance.
3. Establishing new access trails for recreational use or to reach other state land and resources must be compatible with maintaining the overall habitat value of this unit.

Settlement

1. Approximately 200 acres may be made available for future disposals for private recreation.
2. The identification of lands suitable for disposal shall be determined under a management plan to be produced by the Division of Land and Water Management.
3. A public access/building setback easement a minimum of 100 feet wide from mean high water on all navigable channels shall be reserved.

Materials and Timber

1. Personal use of materials may be made where consistent with and not detrimental to the primary management intent.
2. Personal timber use, including house log sales, is allowed, consistent with the Forest Resources and Practices Act and primary management intent.

PUBLIC OPINION MESSAGE

PUBLIC OPINION MESSAGE

DEAR: REPRESENTATIVE MENARD

NAME: KAYLE SCHOENBORN
TITLE:
ADDRESS: 440 SHANNON DRIVE
CITY: FAIRBANKS
PHONE: 452-8683
BILL NO: HB 239
SUBJECT: GOODPASTER PUBLIC USE AREA
MESSAGE: I URGE YOU TO CONTINUE YOUR PROTECTION OF ALASKAN LANDS BY SUPPORTING THIS BILL. PLEASE NOTE THAT I AM NOT A PROPERTY OWNER IN THIS AREA.
EOM/MJO

ZIP: 99701

PGMID: 07153457
DATE: 03/29/89
TIME: 15:34:57
LIONAME: FAIRBANKS LIO

COPIES: REPRESENTATIVES

BOYER
KOPONEN
DAVIDSON
JACKO
FOSTER
NAVARRE
FURNACE
SHARP
HUDSON
DAVIS, M.

DEAR: REPRESENTATIVE MENARD

NAME: GEORGE BACON
TITLE:
ADDRESS: BOX 82046
CITY: FAIRBANKS
PHONE: 479-4413
BILL NO: HB 239
SUBJECT: GOODPASTER PUBLIC USE AREA
MESSAGE: I AM NOT A PROPERTY OWNER ON THE GOODPASTER BUT I SUPPORT HB239. PLANS TO DISPOSE OF ADDITIONAL CADIN SITES OR FOR RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT WILL HAVE AN ADVERSE IMPACT. LIMITED ACCESS AND LOW DENSITY USAGE HAVE BEEN PRIME REASONS I HAVE ENJOYED THIS AREA OVER THE PAST YEARS.

ZIP: 99708

POMID: 07092730
DATE: 03/29/89
TIME: 09:27:30
LIONAME: FAIRBANKS LIO

COPIES: REPRESENTATIVES

BOYER
DAVIDSON
JACKO
FOSTER
NAVARRE
FURNACE
SHARP
HUDSON
DAVIS, M.

PUBLIC OPINION MESSAGE

PUBLIC OPINION MESSAGE

DEAR: REPRESENTATIVE MEHARD

DEAR: REPRESENTATIVE MEHARD

NAME: NATHAN & LINDA KOE

NAME: JAMES MATTHEWS

TITLE:

TITLE:
ADDRESS: 1072 MCGRATH RD.

ZIP: 99712

ADDRESS: BOX 55048

ZIP: 99705

CITY: NORTH POLE

CITY: FAIRBANKS

PHONE: 488-2632

PHONE: 457-3537

BILL NO: HB 239

BILL NO: HB 239

SUBJECT: GOODPASTER PUBLIC USE AREA

SUBJECT: GOODPASTER PUBLIC USE AREA

MESSAGE: WE ARE IN FAVOR OF THIS BILL AND WOULD LIKE TO SEE IT PASSED. WE WOULD APPRECIATE ALL THE HELP WE COULD GET. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

MESSAGE: I STRONGLY SUPPORT THE INTENT OF HB239. I BELIEVE IT WILL BRING TOGETHER PLANNING AND MANAGEMENT RESOURCES FOR THE GOODPASTER WATERSHED IN AN INTEGRATED PATTERN THAT HAS BEEN LACKING IN THE PAST. THANK YOU FOR YOUR SUPPORT.

EOH/MJO

POMID: 07103439

POMID: 07103109

DATE: 03/30/89

DATE: 03/29/89

TIME: 10:34:39

TIME: 10:31:09

LIONAME: FAIRBANKS LIO

LIONAME: FAIRBANKS LIO

COPIES: REPRESENTATIVES SENATORS

COPIES: REPRESENTATIVES

DAVIDSON

FAHRENKAMP

JACKO

FRANK

FOSTER

COGHILL

NAVARRE

FURNACE

SHARP

HUDSON

DAVIS, M.

BOYER

KOPONEN

MILLER

DAVIDSON

JACKO

FOSTER

NAVARRE

FURNACE

SHARP

HUDSON

DAVIS, M.

PUBLIC OPINION MESSAGE

DEAR: REPRESENTATIVE MENARD

NAME: RICHARD GADBURY
TITLE:
ADDRESS: P.O. BOX 55680
CITY: NORTH POLE ZIP: 99708
PHONE: 488-2360
BILL NO: HB 237
SUBJECT: GOODPASTER PUBLIC USE AREA
MESSAGE: I URGE YOUR SUPPORT OF HB 239 LEGISLATION AFFECTING THE GOODPASTER
RAINAGE AREA. I HAVE USED THIS AREA FOR MANY YEARS, AND WOULD LIKE TO SEE IT
MAINTAIN ITS INTEGRITY.

EOM-FZ

POHID: 07110610
DATE: 03/30/89
TIME: 11:06:10
LIONAME: FAIRBANKS LIO

COPIES: REPRESENTATIVES

DAVIDSON
JACKO
FOSTER
NAVARRE
FURNACE
SHARP
HUDSON
DAVIS, M.

PUBLIC OPINION MESSAGE

DEAR: REPRESENTATIVE MENARD

NAME: JIM RICE
TITLE:
ADDRESS: P.O. 1622
CITY: FAIRBANKS ZIP: 99707
PHONE: 488-3018
BILL NO: HB 239
SUBJECT: GOODPASTER PUBLIC USE AREA
MESSAGE: I SUPPORT HB 239 ON THE GOOD PASTER PUBLIC USE AREA. I AM NOT A LAND
OWNER IN THE AREA, BUT I OCCAISIONALLY USE THE AREA. THANK YOU.EOM/CLS

POHID: 07150244
DATE: 03/30/89
TIME: 15:02:44
LIONAME: FAIRBANKS LIO

COPIES: REPRESENTATIVES

DAVIDSON
JACKO
FOSTER
NAVARRE
FURNACE
SHARP
HUDSON
DAVIS, M.

PUBLIC OPINION MESSAGE

DEAR: REPRESENTATIVE MENARD

NAME: GARY WOODY
TITLE:
ADDRESS: PO BOX 55189
CITY: NORTH POLE ZIP: 99705
PHONE: 488-0296
BILL NO: HB 239
SUBJECT: GOODPASTER PUBLIC USE AREA
MESSAGE: I SUPPORT THE PROTECTION OF THE GOODPASTER AREA AS PROVIDED FOR IN
THIS BILL. EOM

POMID: 07104211
DATE: 04/06/89
TIME: 10:42:11
LIONAME: FAIRBANKS LIO

COPIES: REPRESENTATIVES

BOYER
KOPONEN
DAVIDSON
JACKO
FOSTER
NAVARRE
FURNACE
SHARP
HUDSON
DAVIS, M.

PUBLIC OPINION MESSAGE

DEAR: REPRESENTATIVE MENARD

NAME: BETTE NISTLER
TITLE:
ADDRESS: 6369 NISTLER ROAD
CITY: DELTA JUNCTION, AK ZIP: 99737
PHONE: 895-4886
BILL NO: HB 239
SUBJECT: GOODPASTER PUBLIC USE AREA
MESSAGE: I SUPPORT HB 239 WHICH IS A COMPANION BILL TO SB 167.

POMID: 02105942
DATE: 04/03/89
TIME: 10:59:42
LIONAME: DELTA JUNCTION LIO

COPIES: REPRESENTATIVES SENATORS

BOYER
SHULTZ
DAVIDSON
JACKO
FOSTER
NAVARRE
FURNACE
SHARP
HUDSON
DAVIS, M.
COGHILL
FAHRENKAMP

PUBLIC OPINION MESSAGE

PUBLIC OPINION MESSAGE

DEAR: REPRESENTATIVE MENARD

NAME: SANDRA GADBURY
 TITLE:
 ADDRESS: P.O. BOX 55680
 CITY: NORTH POLE
 PHONE: 488-2360
 BILL NO: HB 239
 SUBJECT: GOODPASTER PUBLIC USE AREA
 MESSAGE: I URGE YOUR SUPPORT OF HB 239, LEGISLATION AFFECTING THE GOODPASTER
 RAINAGE AREA.

ZIP: 99705

EDM-FZ

POMID: 07131042
 DATE: 04/06/89
 TIME: 13:10:42
 LIONAME: FAIRBANKS LIO

COPIES: REPRESENTATIVES

DAVIDSON
 JACKO
 FOSTER
 NAVARRE
 FURNACE
 SHARP
 HUDSON
 DAVIS, M.

DEAR: REPRESENTATIVE MENARD

NAME: JOHN ALFONSI
 TITLE:
 ADDRESS: PO BOX 1202
 CITY: DELTA JUNCTION
 PHONE: N/R-
 BILL NO: HB 239
 SUBJECT: GOODPASTER PUBLIC USE AREA
 MESSAGE: NO WAY OPEN THE GOODPASTER TO TIMBER SALES. RECREATIONAL POTENTIAL
 IS TOO HIGH AND COSTS ARE TOO GREAT. HALF OF FAIRBANKS USES THAT AREA FOR
 ITS REMOTENESS. IT IS THE ONE ACCESSIBLE PLACE LEFT WITHOUT A ROAD. I SUPPORT
 SENATOR FAHRENKAMP'S BILL WHOLEHEARTEDLY. EDM/HJO

ZIP: 99737

POMID: 07110622
 DATE: 04/05/89
 TIME: 11:06:22
 LIONAME: FAIRBANKS LIO

COPIES: REPRESENTATIVES REPRESENTATIVES SENATORS

BARNES	BOUCHER	ADAMS
BOYER	BROWN	BINKLEY
CATO	COLLINS	COGHILL
COTTEN	DAVIDSON	DUNCAN
DAVIS, C.	DAVIS, M.	ELIASON
DONLEY	ELLIS	FAHRENKAMP
FOSTER	FURNACE	FAIKS
GOLL	GRUENBERG	FISCHER
GRUSSENDORF	HANLEY	FRANK
HOFFMAN	HUDSON	HALFORD
JACKO	KOPONEN	JONES
LARSON	LEMAN	KELLY
MACLEAN	MARTIN	KERTTULA
MILLER	NAVARRE	PEARCE
PETTYJOHN	PHILLIPS	POURCHOT
RIEGER	SHARP	RODEY
SHULTZ	SPOHNHOLZ	STURGULEWSKI
SWACKHAMMER	TAYLOR	SZYMANSKI
ULMER	WALLIS	UEHLING
ZAWACKI		ZHAROFF

PUBLIC OPINION MESSAGE

DEAR: REPRESENTATIVE MENARD

NAME: LILY GALLATIN

TITLE:

ADDRESS: PO BOX 341

CITY: COPPER CENTER

ZIP: 99573

PHONE: 474-8258

BILL NO: HB 239

SUBJECT: GOODPASTER PUBLIC USE AREA

MESSAGE: I LIKE THE GOODPASTER RIVER'S SECLUSION AND RECREATIONAL POTENTIAL.

PLEASE DO NOT DEVELOP ANYTHING IN THAT AREA. THANK YOU. EOM/HJO

POMID: 07120146

DATE: 04/05/89

TIME: 12:01:46

LOCATION: FAIRBANKS LIO

COPIES: REPRESENTATIVES REPRESENTATIVES SENATORS

BARNES	BOUCHER	ADAMS
BOYER	BROWN	BINKLEY
CATO	COLLINS	COGHILL
COTTEN	DAVIDSON	DUNCAN
DAVIS, C.	DAVIS, M.	ELIASON
DONLEY	ELLIS	FAHRENKAMP
FOSTER	FURNACE	FAIKS
GOLL	GRUENBERG	FISCHER
GRUSSENDORF	HANLEY	FRANK
HOFFMAN	HUDSON	HALFORD
JACKO	KOPONEN	JONES
LARSON	LEMAN	KELLY
MACLEAN	MARTIN	KERTTULA
MILLER	NAVARRE	PEARCE
PETTYJOHN	PHILLIPS	POURCHOT
RIEGER	SHARP	RODEY
SHULTZ	SPOHNHOLZ	STURGULENSKI
SWACKHAMMER	TAYLOR	SZYMANSKI
ULMER	WALLIS	UEHLING
ZAWACKI		ZHAROFF

PUBLIC OPINION MESSAGE

DEAR: REPRESENTATIVE MENARD

NAME: MIKE CARLSON, PRESIDENT, SALCHA BIG
 TITLE: DELTA SOIL & WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT
 ADDRESS: P.O. BOX 953
 CITY: DELTA JCT. ZIP: 99737
 PHONE: 895-4697
 BILL NO: SB 167

SUBJECT: AND HB 239 - GOODPASTER PUBLIC USE AREA
 MESSAGE: THIS IS THE WORST SET OF BILLS THIS SESSION. WHY LOCK UP FOREVER A
 RESOURCE BASE THAT MAY BE THE SALVATION OF THIS AREA OF THE STATE. IT
 CASTRATES THE INTENT OF THE TANANA STATE FOREST AND SEEMS TO BE QUESTIONABLE
 AS TO ITS CONSTITUTIONALITY. THERE SHOULD AT LEAST BE LOCAL HEARINGS.

POMID: 02130905
 DATE: 04/06/89
 TIME: 13:09:05

LIONAME: DELTA JUNCTION LIO

COPIES: REPRESENTATIVES SENATORS

SHULTZ	FAHRENKAMP
DAVIDSON	COGHILL
JACKO	KERTTULA
FOSTER	ELIASON
NAVARRE	FRANK
FURNACE	HALFORD
SHARP	STURGULEWSKI
HUDSON	ZHAROFF
DAVIS, M.	

PUBLIC OPINION MESSAGE

DEAR: REPRESENTATIVE MENARD

NAME: JACKIE J. STEWART
 TITLE:
 ADDRESS: P.O. BOX 633
 CITY: DELTA JCT. ZIP: 99737
 PHONE: 895-4163
 BILL NO: SB 167

SUBJECT: AND HB 239 - GOODPASTER PUBLIC USE AREA
 MESSAGE: FIFTEEN YEARS AGO, FUTURE DESTROYED BY STATE AND FEDERAL GOVERNMENTS.
 MOVED 100 MILES UP GOODPASTER AND LIVED WITH GOD'S CREATION IN PEACE. PRAY
 THE ANIMAL PART OF THIS CREATION NEVER VENTURES TO LOWER PART OF GOODPASTER
 RIVER TO DRINK HIPPOCRITES. MAY GOD GIVE EVERYONE 50 WORDS TO DEFEND HIS
 SOUL.

POMID: 02131456
 DATE: 04/07/89
 TIME: 13:14:56

LIONAME: DELTA JUNCTION LIO

COPIES: REPRESENTATIVES SENATORS

SHULTZ	FAHRENKAMP
DAVIDSON	KERTTULA
JACKO	ELIASON
FOSTER	FRANK
NAVARRE	HALFORD
FURNACE	STURGULEWSKI
SHARP	ZHAROFF
HUDSON	COGHILL
DAVIS, M.	

PUBLIC OPINION MESSAGE

DEAR: REPRESENTATIVE MENARD

NAME: JOHN B. VANSANTFORD

TITLE:

ADDRESS: P.O. BOX 35117

CITY: FORT WAINWRIGHT

ZIP: 99703

PHONE: 452-7749

BILL NO: SB 167

SUBJECT: GOODPASTER PUBLIC USE AREA

MESSAGE: I DO NOT SUPPORT THIS BILL.

EOM-FZ

POMID: 07122012

DATE: 03/20/89

TIME: 12:20:12

LIONAME: FAIRBANKS LIO

COPIES: REPRESENTATIVES REPRESENTATIVES SENATORS

BARNES	BOUCHIER	ADAMS
BOYER	BROWN	BINKLEY
CATO	COLLINS	COGHILL
COTTEN	DAVIDSON	DUNCAN
DAVIS, C.	DAVIS, M.	ELIASON
DONLEY	ELLIS	FAHRENKAMP
FOSTER	FURNACE	FAIKS
GOLL	GRUENBERG	FISCHER
GRUSSENDORF	HANLEY	FRANK
HOFFMAN	HUDSON	HALFORD
JACKO	KOPONEN	JONES
LARSON	LEMAN	KELLY
MACLEAN	MARTIN	KERTTULA
MILLER	NAVARRE	PEARCE
PETTYJOHN	PHILLIPS	POURCHOT
RIEGER	SHARP	RODEY
SHULTZ	SPOHNHOLZ	STURGULEWSKI
SWACKHAMMER	TAYLOR	SZYMAWSKI
ULMER	WALLIS	UEHLING
ZAWACKI		ZHAROFF

PUBLIC OPINION MESSAGE

DEAR: REPRESENTATIVE MENARD

NAME: DON QUARBERG

TITLE:

ADDRESS: BOX 349

CITY: DELTA JUNCTION, ALASKA

ZIP: 99737

PHONE: 895-4215

BILL NO: HB 239

SUBJECT: GOODPASTER PUBLIC USE AREA

MESSAGE: I STRONGLY URGE YOUR REJECTION OF THIS BILL. IT REEKS OF PROTECTION-ISM FOR THE LAND OWNERS ALONG THE RIVER, IT USURPS THE MANAGEMENT OF DNR. IT ESSENTIALLY ESTABLISHES A RECREATION AREA FOR THE FEW CABIN OWNERS ALONG THE GOODPASTER. IT WOULD SET A PRECEDENT FOR LOCKING UP ALL OTHER WATERSHEDS. THANK YOU.

POMID: 02093728

DATE: 03/24/89

TIME: 09:37:28

LIONAME: DELTA JUNCTION LIO

COPIES: REPRESENTATIVES SENATOR

SHULTZ	COGHILL
DAVIDSON	
JACKO	
FOSTER	
NAVARRE	
FURNACE	
SHARP	
HUDSON	
DAVIS, M.	

PUBLIC OPINION MESSAGE

DEAR: REPRESENTATIVE MENARD

NAME: MARY MALCOLM
TITLE:
ADDRESS: P.O. BOX 256
CITY: DELTA JCT. ZIP: 99737
PHONE: 895-4691
BILL NO: HB 239
SUBJECT: GOODPASTER PUBLIC USE AREA
MESSAGE: I OPPOSE HB 239 CONCERNING GOODPASTER PUBLIC USE AREA. I BELIEVE
THIS IS LEGISLATION SUBMITTED TO BENEFIT A SMALL SPECIAL INTEREST GROUP AND
WILL NOT BE A BENEFIT TO THE DELTA AREA.

POHID: 02155204
DATE: 03/28/89
TIME: 15:52:04
LIONAME: DELTA JUNCTION LIO

COPIES: REPRESENTATIVES SENATOR

SHULTZ COGHILL
DAVIDSON
JACKO
FOSTER
NAVARRE
FURNACE
SHARP
HUDSON
DAVIS, M.