

HB

167

- file 2 -

RECEIVED  
FEB 29 1988

HB 167

Dear Senator Abood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the state of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,


Stan L. Casper  
Name

7500 DeBar Rd #326  
Street

Anchorage Ak 99504  
City, State, Zip Code

**A.B.A.T.E. of ALASKA**

ANCHORAGE CHAPTER  
PO BOX 92213  
ANCHORAGE AK 99509-2213



THIRD CLASS BULK RATE

Senator Mitch Abood  
Alaska State Legislature  
Post Office Box V (MS 3100)  
Juneau, Alaska 99811

**"Ride Safe - Ride Free"**

RECEIVED  
FEB 29 1988

Dear Senator \_\_\_\_\_:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.


There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.


Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,


  
Name

  
Street

  
City, State, Zip Code

**A.B.A.T.E. of ALASKA**

ANCHORAGE CHAPTER  
PO BOX 92213  
ANCHORAGE AK 99509-2213



THIRD CLASS BULK RATE

Senator Mitch Abood  
Alaska State Legislature  
Post Office Box V (MS 3100)  
Juneau, Alaska 99811

**"Ride Safe - Ride Free"**

L  
MAY 1988  
Dear Senator ABOOD :

✓  
HB 167  
20

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

CARL E. CAIN

Name

18213 SANDTERRY DR


Street

EAGLE RIVER, ALASKA 99577

City, State, Zip Code

**A.B.A.T.E. of ALASKA**

ANCHORAGE CHAPTER  
PO BOX 92213  
ANCHORAGE AK 99509-2213



THIRD CLASS BULK RATE

Senator Mitch Abood  
Alaska State Legislature  
Post Office Box V (MS 3100)  
Juneau, Alaska 99811

Dear Senator

Aboud

MAR 18 1988

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,


Kia Isham  
Name

380 Villa Circle  
Street

Anchorage AK 99504  
City, State, Zip Code

**A.B.A.T.E. of ALASKA**

ANCHORAGE CHAPTER  
PO BOX 92213  
ANCHORAGE AK 99509-2213



THIRD CLASS BULK RATE

Senator Mitch Abood  
Alaska State Legislature  
Post Office Box V (MS 3100)  
Juneau, Alaska 99811

Ride Safe - Ride Free™



Dear Senator Aburd :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,


Carole Bevan  
Name

8840 Weimer #3  
Street

Anchorage 99502  
City, State, Zip Code

**A.B.A.T.E. of ALASKA**

ANCHORAGE CHAPTER  
PO BOX 92213  
ANCHORAGE AK 99509-2213



THIRD CLASS BULK RATE

Senator Mitch Abood  
Alaska State Legislature  
Post Office Box V (MS 3100)  
Juneau, Alaska 99811

**"Ride Safe - Ride Free"**

ALASKA LEGISLATURE COMMITTEE FILES 1987-1988 8672

5564 SSTA HB 167 (file 2)

1/36

Dear Senator Abouel :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,


Joe Bob Bruste  
Name

1900 East View Rd.  
Street

Anchorage, AK, 99507  
City, State, Zip Code

**A.B.A.T.E. of ALASKA**

ANCHORAGE CHAPTER  
PO BOX 92213  
ANCHORAGE AK 99509-2213



THIRD CLASS BULK RATE

Senator Mitch Abood  
Alaska State Legislature  
Post Office Box V (MS 3100)  
Juneau, Alaska 99811

**"Ride Safe - Ride Free"**

Dear Senator Aboud :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,


Debbie Baker  
Name

P.O. Box 231714  
Street

Anch, AK 99523  
City, State, Zip Code

**A.B.A.T.E. of ALASKA**

ANCHORAGE CHAPTER  
PO BOX 92213  
ANCHORAGE AK 99509-2213



THIRD CLASS BULK RATE

Senator Mitch Abood  
Alaska State Legislature  
Post Office Box V (MS 3100)  
Juneau, Alaska 99811

**"Ride Safe - Ride Free"**

Dear Senator Abou 1 :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,


Linda Rustigan  
Name

2537 McKenzie  
Street

Anchorage, AK 99517  
City, State, Zip Code

**A.B.A.T.E. of ALASKA**

ANCHORAGE CHAPTER  
PO BOX 92213  
ANCHORAGE AK 99509-2213



THIRD CLASS BULK RATE

Senator Mitch Abood  
Alaska State Legislature  
Post Office Box V (MS 3100)  
Juneau, Alaska 99811

**"Ride Safe - Ride Free"**

Dear Senator Abold :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,


Baptist R. R. R. R.  
Name

D.O. 91432  
Street

ANC. AK. 99509-1432  
City, State, Zip Code

**A.B.A.T.E. of ALASKA**

ANCHORAGE CHAPTER  
PO BOX 92213  
ANCHORAGE AK 99509-2213



THIRD CLASS BULK RATE

Senator Mitch Abood  
Alaska State Legislature  
Post Office Box V (MS 3100)  
Juneau, Alaska 99811

**"Ride Safe - Ride Free"**

Dear Senator Abord :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our striking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,


*Tom M. Kelly*  
Name

5901 E 6<sup>th</sup> #269  
Street

Anchorage AK 99504  
City, State, Zip Code

**A.B.A.T.E. of ALASKA**

ANCHORAGE CHAPTER  
PO BOX 92213  
ANCHORAGE AK 99509-2213



THIRD CLASS BULK RATE

Senator Mitch Abood  
Alaska State Legislature  
Post Office Box V (MS 3100)  
Juneau, Alaska 99811

**"Ride Safe - Ride Free"**

Dear Senator Abso d :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility, upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.


The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For those reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,


  
Name

P.O. Box 4178  
Street

ANCH. AK. 99501  
City, State, Zip Code

**A.B.A.T.E. of ALASKA**

ANCHORAGE CHAPTER  
PO BOX 92213  
ANCHORAGE AK 99509-2213



THIRD CLASS BULK RATE

Senator Mitch Abood  
Alaska State Legislature  
Post Office Box V (MS 3100)  
Juneau, Alaska 99811

**"Ride Safe - Ride Free"**

Dear Senator Abel :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,


Jessie R. Brown  
Name

2107 Pembroke Circle  
Street

Anch AK 99504  
City, State, Zip Code

**A.B.A.T.E. of ALASKA**

ANCHORAGE CHAPTER  
PO BOX 92213  
ANCHORAGE AK 99509 2213



THIRD CLASS BULK RATE

Senator Mitch Abood  
Alaska State Legislature  
Post Office Box V (MS 3100)  
Juneau, Alaska 99811

**"Ride Safe - Ride Free"**

Dear Senator Aboud \_\_\_\_\_ :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of act on as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,


Wayne A. Brown  
Name

2107 Pembroke Ct  
Street

ANCHORAGE AK 99504  
City, State, Zip Code

**A.B.A.T.E. of ALASKA**

ANCHORAGE CHAPTER  
PO BOX 92213  
ANCHORAGE AK 99509-2213



THIRD CLASS BULK RATE

Senator Mitch Abood  
Alaska State Legislature  
Post Office Box V (MS 3100)  
Juneau, Alaska 99811

**"Ride Safe - Ride Free"**

Dear Senator Asood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Lucid Neubauer

Name

Box 671127


Street

CHUGIAK, AK. 99567

City, State, Zip Code

**A.B.A.T.E. of ALASKA**

ANCHORAGE CHAPTER  
PO BOX 92213  
ANCHORAGE AK 99509-2213



THIRD CLASS BULK RATE

Senator Mitch Abood  
Alaska State Legislature  
Post Office Box V (MS 3100)  
Juneau, Alaska 99811

**"Ride Safe - Ride Free"**

Dear Senator Aboud :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Natalie Neubauer

Name

P.O. Box 671122


Street

Chugiak, AK 99567

City, State, Zip Code

**A.B.A.T.E. of ALASKA**

ANCHORAGE CHAPTER  
PO BOX 92213  
ANCHORAGE AK 99509-2213



THIRD CLASS BULK RATE

Senator Mitch Abood  
Alaska State Legislature  
Post Office Box V (MS 3100)  
Juneau, Alaska 99811

**"Ride Safe - Ride Free"**

Dear Senator Abou :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.


For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely, Paul Babcock  
Paul Babcock  
Name  
Bar 2114 W  
Street  
Wasilla AK  
City, State, Zip Code

99687

**A.B.A.T.E. of ALASKA**

ANCHORAGE CHAPTER  
PO BOX 92213  
ANCHORAGE AK 99509-2213



THIRD CLASS BULK RATE

Senator Mitch Abood  
Alaska State Legislature  
Post Office Box V (MS 3100)  
Juneau, Alaska 99811

**"Ride Safe - Ride Free"**

Dear Senator Gibson :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Heather McChesney  
Name

1531 Eagle #1  
Street

Greenbush AK 99501  
City, State, Zip Code

**A.B.A.T.E. of ALASKA**

ANCHORAGE CHAPTER  
PO BOX 92213  
ANCHORAGE AK 99509-2213

— BULK RATE  
— U S POSTAGE  
— PAID  
— PERMIT NO. 250  
— ANCH. AK.

THIRD CLASS BULK RATE

Senator Mitch Abood  
Alaska State Legislature  
Post Office Box V (MS 3100)  
Juneau, Alaska 99811

**"Ride Safe - Ride Free"**

Dear Senator Aboud:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Lynn Wilcock

Name

P.O. Box 875307

Street

Wasilla, Ak 99657

City, State, Zip Code

Lynn Wilcock

**A.B.A.T.E. of ALASKA**

ANCHORAGE CHAPTER  
PO BOX 92213  
ANCHORAGE AK 99509-2213

— BULK RATE  
— U S POSTAGE  
— PAID  
— PERMIT NO. 255  
— ANCH, AK

THIRD CLASS BULK RATE

Senator Mitch Aboud  
Alaska State Legislature  
Post Office Box V (MS 3100)  
Juneau, Alaska 99811

**"Ride Safe - Ride Free"**

Dear Senator

Mitch Abood

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

JOE HOERA  
Name

831 MERLIN LOOP  
Street

ANCH AK 99518  
City, State, Zip Code

**A.B.A.T.E. of ALASKA**

ANCHORAGE CHAPTER  
PO BOX 92213  
ANCHORAGE AK 99509-2213

— BULK RATE  
— U S POSTAGE  
— PAID  
— PERMIT NO. 254  
— ANCH. AK

THIRD CLASS BULK RATE

Senator Mitch Abood  
Alaska State Legislature  
Post Office Box V (MS 3100)  
Juneau, Alaska 99811

**"Ride Safe - Ride Free"**

Dear Senator

Mitch Abood

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For those reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

DAVE RICKENBACHIER  
Name

3300 F 112  
Street

ANCH AK  
City, State, Zip Code

**A.B.A.T.E. of ALASKA**

ANCHORAGE CHAPTER  
PO BOX 92213  
ANCHORAGE AK 99509-2213

— BULK RATE  
— U.S. POSTAGE  
— PAID  
— PERMIT NO. 250  
— ANCHORAGE

THIRD CLASS BULK RATE

Senator Mitch Abood  
Alaska State Legislature  
Post Office Box V (MS 3100)  
Juneau, Alaska 99811

**"Ride Safe - Ride Free"**

Dear Senator Mitch Abaso :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Martha Waterman  
Name

9363 Hiland Dr  
Street

Eagle River AK 99577  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Good :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska's law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to pass it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For those reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Francie Grey

Name

9363 Inland

Street

Eagle River AK

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mitch Abando :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For those reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Sharon Maxwell  
Name

Box 364  
Street

Seldovia Ak. 99669  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abesed:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who decide!

Sincerely,

Connie Franchini  
Name

5411-323 Mockingbird  
Street

Anchorage AK 99507  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Mitch Abbott:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

L. Maxwell - J. Maxwell

Name

P.O. Box 364

Street

Seldovia AK 99669

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Aboud :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

  
Name

S111-323 Mockingbird Dr.  
Street:

Anchorage AK 99505  
City, State, ~~Zip~~ Code

Dear Senator

Absood

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

George Grindler

Name

Po Box 67-0635

Street

Chugiak, AK 99567

City, State./Zip Code

Dear Senator Absood \_\_\_\_\_ :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Julie Grindler

Name

Po Box 67-2635

Street

Chugiak, AK 99567

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mitch Aboud :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Walter Luchs

Name

5918 Prosperity Dr.

Street

ouch ak. 99504

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

LABOOD  
Lisa Danut

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For those reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Lisa Danut  
Name

RT 1 Box 235  
Street

Delhi Louisiana  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mich ABCO.D;

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Bramly Low Seder  
Name

905 Richardson Road #205  
Street

ANCH AK. 99501  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Alford :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Randy R. Rowland  
Name

905 Richardson Vista Rd.  
Street

ANCH. AK 99501  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator <sup>ABOOD</sup> ~~ATOR KOWSKI~~ :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Ryan Hathaway  
Name

2221 Muldoon Rd. SP. #401  
Street

Anchorage, AK 99504  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Mitch Abood;

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of these decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Carl D. Smith

Name

6403 E 16th

Street

ANCH. AK. 99504

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator ABOOD :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Fred Heiber

Name

7651 DEL RIDGE CIR

Street

ANCH, AK 99507

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator ABOOD :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

BILL ANTON  
Name

SR 1, Box 21663  
Street

CHUGIAK AK 99567  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator STEVENS \_\_\_\_\_ :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Name

7020 PECK AVE  
Street

ANCH. AK 99505  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abodo :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For those reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Name Joy Cressall

Street 2824 North Cir.

City, State, Zip Code Anch AK 99507

Dear Senator Mitch Abaso :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Rammy Smith  
Name

1458 St Gotthard  
Street

Anch. AK. 99508  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mitch Abbott :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

M. K. [Signature]

Name

Wood Boney Road DR.

Street

Anchorage Alaska

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of these decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Joy R. Aarn  
Name

P.O. Box 92052  
Street

Anch. Ak 99509  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Arsood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Keith Stegall

Name

3206 Woodland Pk.

Street

Anch AK 99517

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Albo :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Sue Casey  
Name

4332 Spennard  
Street

Anch Ak 99517  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Alford :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

  
Name

Box 226  
Street

Copper Center, Ak  
City State, Zip Code

99573

Dear Senator Abbot :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

  
Name

276 Raspberry Rd  
Street

Arch AK 99500  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abner Steven :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Chaotic L. Anderson

Name

201F Richardson Dr.

Street

Ft. Richardson AK 99505

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abouel :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Robi M. Edwards

Name

12342 W. Prince of Peace

Street

Eagle River, AK 99547

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Asood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

  
Name

4402 Forest  
Street

Anch. AK  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Aboud :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Bill Orrell

Name

PO 874261

Street

Wasilla AK 99687

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Aboud :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

ANNA BELLIS  
Name

P.O. Box 140455  
Street

anch. AK 99514  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Asood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of their decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Tom Evans  
Name

2740 E. 42nd Blvd  
Street

Anch. AK 99508  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Aboud :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

JENNIE K. DeVries  
Name

P.O. Box 774287  
Street

Eagle River, Alaska 99577  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Matthew Straugh  
Name

PO Box 774287 ER AK  
Street

49 77  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Aboud:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Samuel G. Park Jr.  
Name

751 S Bagich Dr.  
Street

WASILLA AK 99687  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mitch Aboud :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Joyce J. MacBeth

Name

Box 265

Street

Willow AK 99688

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abouf :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Cliff Taylor

Name

8950 Forest Village Dr

Street

Anch AK 99502

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abroad :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Ron Campbell

Name

5308 Artic # 11

Street

Anch AK 99518

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abord :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Colleen Henderson  
Name

3550 W. Diamond #412  
Street

Anch AK 99515  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abced :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Charmica Lynn Brown  
Name

2439 Tulik  
Street

Chugiak AK 99517  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abard :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Name

Street

City, State, Zip Code

*Jerry Conroy*

24139 Duluth

*Anchorage Alaska*

Dear Senator Abrod :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,  
CHAD HINDMAN  
Name  
7812 CHAIMI Loop  
Street  
Anch AK 99504  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abced :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Melissa Lindman

Name

1812 Chaimi Loop

Street

Anch AK 99504

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abard :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

SEAN RUPPERT  
Name

7440 C. Nieboer Cir  
Street

Anch. AK. 99504  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abroad :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

George Hall III  
Name

4231 Laurel #306  
Street

Unalaska Alaska 99508  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abroad :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint laws are entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

John B. Dixon  
Name

5301 Rabbit Creek  
Street

Anchorage AK 99516  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abced :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,  
Lee Byer  
Name  
5901 E 6th  
Street  
Denchorage AK  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Asch :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

  
Name

999E Tudor B204  
Street

Anch AK 99503  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Aboud :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Name

Street

City, State, Zip Code

Jan Halcy  
2031 Olympic Dr  
Anch AK 99517

Dear Senator Arboud :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Carol Ann

Name

17512 S. Julianita Cp

Street

Eagle River AK 99577

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator MITCH ABOOD :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Sharon Deid  
Name

SRI Box 3505 Jayhawk Dr.  
Street

Chugiak 99567  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator MITCH ABSOOD :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Janina L. Schraeder  
Name

Box 7572 NIKISKI AK  
Street

99635  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Aboud :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Sharon A. Desatoff  
Name

P.O. Box 231682  
Street

ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99523  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Aboud :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Arthur D. Mungoff  
Name

P.O. BOX 231682  
Street

ANCH. AK. 99523  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alwood

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

  
Name

Box 147  
Street

COVILLO, AK 99574  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alwood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Edward J. Salter

Name

P.O. Box 300

Street

Cordova AK 99574

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alwood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Sharon Sullivan  
Name

P.O. 2070  
Street

Kodiak, AK 99585  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alford

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.


The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,



\_\_\_\_\_  
Name

1545 S. Hwy #10  
Street

Anchorage AK 99508  
City, State, Zip Code

ALASKA LEGISLATURE COMMITTEE FILES 1987-1988 8672

5565 SSTA HB 167 (file 2)

177

Dear Senator

Alwood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove house Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Thomas J. ...  
Name

420-E 6TH ST.  
Street

Ft. Richardson AK 99505  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Albrod :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

JEFF HUTSON

Name

3808 BARBARA

Street

ANCH AK 99517

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alwood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Robert Bright  
Name

2205 Boniface  
Street

Anch AL 99508  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Albrood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Edward D. Carl

Name

H.P.O. 2 7632 PALMER

Street

AK 99645

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alwood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Jim Higgins

Name

mile c.r. Wasilla fishhook

Street

Wasilla AK 99687

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mitch Abrino :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Yan Paul  
Name

2830 BAYS ST  
Street

Anch AK 99507  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Alwood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Cliff Grell  
Name

2830 BASS  
Street

Anch. AK. 99507  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alford :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

David J. Brennan  
Name

3202 DORIS ST. #5  
Street

Anchorage AK 99517  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alwood

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

[Signature]  
Name

P.O. Box 876271  
Street

Wasilla AK 99687  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alford :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Steve E. Miller  
Name

P.O. Box 876071  
Street

Wasilla AK 99687  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Alwood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

RF Miller  
Name

4035 Box 670A M  
Street

Wasilla, AK 99657-9719  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alford

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

  
Name

6920 ALTOONA #2  
Street

Anch. AK 99502  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alford

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

  
Name

POB 92414  
Street

Anchorage, Alaska 99509  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Wood

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Mary Petersen

Name

P.O. Box 876836

Street

Wasilla AK 99687

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Brook :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Thomas J. Hayes  
Name

708 N. Hoyt #3  
Street

Anchorage AK, 99508  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alwood

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Barbara H. Honea

Name

708 N. Hoyt #3

Street

Anchorage, AK 99508

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alford

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Louis Knapp  
Name

Bc. 891  
Street

Girdwood Al. 99527  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Wood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

*William M. ...*  
Name

4720 SPORTSMAN  
Street

ANCHORAGE AK 99502  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Alford :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

[Signature]  
Name

[Address]  
Street

[City, State, Zip Code]  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alford

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

William R. Katz

Name

327 E 15th Ave

Street

Anchorage AK 99501

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alford

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Name

Street

City, State, Zip Code

Joseph A. ...

2512 Guaman

Anch. AK 99507

Dear Senator Booth :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Donald Hill  
Name

17117 15 58  
Street

ENCLAVE River AK 99577  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Alwood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Brent A. Custer  
Name

3307 Bonifare #135  
Street

anch, ak, 99504  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alford

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,



Name

Box 3110

Street

Palmer AK 99645

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Alford :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Swinton J. Lites

Name

PO Box 1118

Street

Chickaloon AK

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alford :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Doulette L. Limburg  
Name

2902 W. 32<sup>nd</sup> #2  
Street

Anchorage, Alaska 99507  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alford

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Sarah M. Lindstrom

Name

3005 Arctic #661

Street

Anch. AK 99503

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Aboud :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Laura Woodland

Name

SRA Box 8307

Street

RIVERCREEK AK

City, State, Zip Code

99540

Dear Senator

Albrod :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Daniel J. Flou

Name

30-383<sup>e</sup> Cherry DR. EAFB

Street

Anchorage, Alaska 99506

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Albrood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

*David M. Cresswell*  
Name

PO Box 201425  
Street

Anch, AK 99520  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alford

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Billy Kharris  
Name

Tides Inn Restaurant  
Street

Big Creek, AK - 99540  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Brood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

C. A. Roth

Name

3311 Chapman Cr.  
Street

Anch. AK 99502  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Mitch Hoode :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Frank Mize

Name

HC 2 Box 932

Street

SOLDINA AK. 99669

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator ABOOD \_\_\_\_\_:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Jack Mize  
Name

300 EGANIK DRIVE #1  
Street

ANCHORAGE, AK 99503  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Alford :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

*J. ...*  
Name

15331 Puller Rd  
Street

Anchorage, AK 99516  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator BARBOO :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

  
Name

5800 LAKE OTIS #322  
Street

ANCH AK 99507  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Abood

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Cory Cook  
Name

1723 Abene  
Street

Anch., Ak 99508  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Aboud :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely, *Gary Peterson*

GARY PETERSON

Name

3638 GARDNER #2

Street

ANCH. AK 99508

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator     Abood     :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

    Stephen J Anderson      
Name

    4740 Folkem #16      
Street

    Anchorage AK 99508      
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Alford :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Benny Matteson  
Name

4334 Spensard Rd.  
Street

Anchorage AK 99517  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Aboud :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Z. Hunter  
Name

2224 D St. #10  
Street

North Anch. 99503  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abouid :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Mike McGuire  
Name

636 W 45<sup>th</sup> #6  
Street

Anch AK 99503  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Aboud :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Gordon W. Bantfield

Name

PO Box 271236

Street

Eagle River 99577

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abard :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Cordie Deters  
Name

9541 Victor  
Street

Anchorage AK 99575  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Aboud :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.


The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,



Name

9541 Victoria Rd

Street

Anchorage AK 99514

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abroad :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

  
Name

2200 BARRIE #102  
Street

ANCIT AK 99501  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Aboud :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Alan Paban

Name

1921 Sunrise Dr

Street

Anch AK 99508

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Asood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,  
Arnold L. Partridge  
Name  
501 Park Hwy  
Street  
Wasilla Ak 99683  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator A. Good :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Barbara K. Grace

Name

P.O. Box 93043

Street

Anchorage AK 99509

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator A. Good :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Burt B. Burt  
Name

P.O. Box 93043  
Street

Anchorage 99509  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator A. Good :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

STEVEN SILVERSTEIN  
Name

1527 WINTERGREEN  
Street

ANCHORAGE, AK 99508  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely, Roslyn Cochrane

Roslyn Cochrane  
Name

6209 Chevigny St.  
Street

Anchorage, AK 99502  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Aboud :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

David Saulte

Name

3632 North Point Drive  
Street

Anchorage, Alaska 99515  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Aboud :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Doreen Payfette  
Name

6241 High Rd.  
Street

Anch. Ak 99502  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Asood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Charles J. Arthur III  
Name

HC 33 BOX 2853  
Street

WASILLA AK 99687  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Abner Stevens :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For those reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Alfred E. ...  
Name

1200 W Diamond #201  
Street

Anch AK 99515  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Aboud \_\_\_\_\_ :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Caroline Kenayah  
Name

Box-226  
Street

Copper Center ak 99573  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator     Abrod     :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

    Diane Faude      
Name

    4831 Boritta Lane      
Street

    Anchorage, Ak 99587      
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alford

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.


For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Roger Hitchcock  
Name

8345 JEWEL LK RD  
Street

ANCHORAGE AK 99502  
City, State, Zip Code

C HB 167 

Dear Senator Alford:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the state of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Michelle A. Crisp  
Name

2800 Nelson # 506  
Street

Barrow AK 99501  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator MICHAEL ABOOD :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Wm A. Cook

Name

929 West 32nd

Street

Anch. AK. 99503

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mark A. Beggs:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,  
[Signature]  
Name  
Box 1337  
Street  
Ketchikan AK 99901  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator MITCH ABOOD

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

WALTER G. MAWARA *Walter G. Mawara*  
Name

2432 W. 54TH ST.  
Street

CHICAGO, ILL. 60632  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mitch Abouf:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Edward C Parker

Name

10276 Jamestown Dr.

Street

Anch AK 99507

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mitch Abbott :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Paul G. Phillet  
Name

1102 Chugach way  
Street

Anchorage Alaska 99503  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator ABDO :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Timothy McDaniel

Name

1813 EAST 26TH

Street

ANCHORAGE AK 99508

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Aboud \_\_\_\_\_ :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Polly Dougherty  
Name

3515 W. 42nd St.  
Street

Anchorage AK. 99517  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator MICHAEL ABOOD :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Arnold R. St. Clair  
Name

3434 BRIAR CLIFF  
Street

ANCHORAGE AK 99503  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator A. Bond :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Caleb Bragg  
Name

815 W. 70<sup>th</sup>  
Street

ANCH, AK 99517  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mitch Albion:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

  
Name

3439 Briarcliff  
Street

anch, ak, 99508  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mitch Albrow:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Ottie M. Smith  
Name

234 East 2nd #9  
Street

Anch, Ak 99501  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

M. Oberer :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Tracy Braag  
Name

1211 Chino Avenue  
Street

Anch AK 99515  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mitch Adcox :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Willy P. Crager  
Name

1211 Chamberlayne  
Street

Anch. AK 99515  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

*Mitch Abood*

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

*Sharon Dragg*  
Name

815 W. 70<sup>th</sup>

Street

Unak. Ak. 99578

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mitch Abbot :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Sally Packliff  
Name

519 W 75<sup>th</sup> Ave #3  
Street

Anchorage, Alaska 99504  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mike Alford :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Ernest J. Smith  
Name

1771 E. Central Ave.  
Street

Barrow, Alaska 99507  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Mitch Albrow

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Stephen Crutcher

Name

14687 Sanctuary Dr.

Street

EAGLE RIVER AK. 99597

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mitch Aboud :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Bill Peace  
Name

100 McCain Sp14A  
Street

ANCH AK 99508  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator MITCH ABOOD

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Howard D. Murray  
Name

2916 E 10E ST  
Street

ANCHORAGE AK 99503  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator ABOOD :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Jungay B. Falk  
Name

4908 Rogers Dr.  
Street

Anch. AK. 99507  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator ABOOD :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Bernard Wheeler  
Name

PO Box 81  
Street

Hope AK 99605  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator ABOOD :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,  
Marc L. Rogner  
Name  
1850 E 221#2  
Street  
Anch AK 99507  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator ABOOD :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

TAM EVANS  
Name

2210 Daybreak CT.  
Street

Anchorage, AK 99501  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator ABCOD :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

STEVE AUGUS  
Name

Box # 2 Box 4776  
Street

E. WINDORF AFB AR  
City, State, Zip Code

99506

Dear Senator ABOOD \_\_\_\_\_ :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Name

12831  
Street

Anchorage AK 99515  
City, State, Zip Code

ALASKA LEGISLATURE COMMITTEE FILES 1987-1988 8672  
5566 SSTA HB 167 (file 2) 133

Dear Senator ABOOD :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

[Signature]  
Name

12910 Piquette  
Street

ANCHORAGE AK 99516  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator ABOOD \_\_\_\_\_ :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

M. Mel K. K. K.  
Name

8000 St 4th Apt. B  
Street

Anch AK 99504  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator ARCOD :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Patricia L. White  
Name

1805 Anna Plaza  
Street

Anchorage AK 99501  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator ABOOD :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

*10 - Mr. Dwyer*  
Name

*1805 Ocean Pl*  
Street

*ANKHORAGE AK 99501*  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abund.

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

E. Lorraine Moon  
Name

8541 MENTRA  
Street

Anchorage AK 99518  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator     A Boock     :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Joe Bengio  
Name

Box 985  
Street

Chugiak, AK 99567  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Rick Santorum:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Alastair S. Jamieson  
Name

Box 1682  
Street

Chugiak AK 99567  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Murkowski

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Margaret D. Hersey

Name

1969 E 20th Vista Dr.

Street

FBIKS AK 99709

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Murkowski:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Delia P. Rothman  
Name

P.O. Box 10055  
Street

FBK, AK 99710  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator \_\_\_\_\_:

*Donsley*

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

*John D. McDonald*

Name

*13605 Arctic*

Street

*Anchorage A-K*

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Thomas K. Ralston  
Name THOMAS K. RALSTON  
8230 STRATTON CIRCLE  
Street  
ANCH. AK. 99507  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Aboud :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

  
Name

3302 WOODLAND PK, DR  
Street

ANIC, AK 99509  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Hood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Daniel Pearson  
Name

4000 Harrison #1  
Street

Anch AK 99503  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator MITCH ARSCOP :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

James Savage  
Name

Box 3615

Street

PACMER AK 99645  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Mitch Abcock

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Ving W. Campbell  
Name

Box 33  
Street

Moose Pass Ak 99631  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mitch Abouf

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Doug Jonas  
Name

11316 UPPER SUNNY CIRCLE  
Street

EAGLE RIVER AK 99577  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Miliboond

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

*[Handwritten Signature]*

Name

620 Jack St

Street

Anchorage AK

City, State, Zip Code

99575

Dear Senator Aboud :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Laura K. West

Name

2608 W 30<sup>th</sup> J

Street

Anchorage, AK 99517

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mitch Abood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Ray Reese  
Name

1136 Gilmore Ct.  
Street

Anchorage AK 99503  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mitch Aband :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Cheryl Jacobs  
Name

PO Box 874032  
Street

Wasilla AK 99657  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Mitch Abood

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Don Ashcraft  
Name

3245 Sherrie St  
Street

Anchorage, AK 99504  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abard :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Royal Hull  
Name

P.O. Box 10-3921  
Street

Anchorage 99510  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator FAIKS :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

  
Name

7510 Chasot Ct  
Street

Quak AK 99576  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Fair :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Sue Janzen  
Name

7510 Chautauk Ct.  
Street

Anchorage AK 99516  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abard :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Jane A. GRAY  
Name

HC79, Box 4317  
Street

Chugiak, AK 99507  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator ABOOD \_\_\_\_\_ :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Michael J. Chiswick  
Name

9320 Shorecrest Dr.  
Street

Anch AK 99515  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator ABOOD :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Henry House  
Name

2000 Wilbur Spc 227  
Street

Anch, AK 99504  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator ABOOD :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Jeff J. Long  
Name

8078 Resurrection Dr.  
Street

Anchorage AK 99504  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator ABOOD \_\_\_\_\_ :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Ricarda Lopez  
Name

4540 South Park  
Street

ANCHORAGE AK  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator ABOOD :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

\_\_\_\_\_  
Name

303 674102  
Street

CLUGBANK AK 99502  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator ABOOD :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Ed White

Name

5750 JORDAN CR

Street

ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99504

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator ABOOD \_\_\_\_\_ :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Pat Williams  
Name

1044 E. 78<sup>th</sup>  
Street

ANCH AK 99518  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator ABCOOD :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

\_\_\_\_\_  
Name

\_\_\_\_\_  
Street

\_\_\_\_\_  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Alboord :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

James F. Andrews  
Name

3250 LATOUCHE ST., APT. J-18  
Street

ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99508-4245  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator ARCOD :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

David W. Marshall  
Name

P.O. Box 210904  
Street

Healy, AK 99521  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator ABOOD :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Michael P. Citti MICHAEL P. CITTI  
Name

9013 A Jewel Terrace  
Street

ANCHORAGE AK 99502  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Alford :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167 which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Uplanda Wilson  
Name

P.O. Box 670802  
Street

Chugiak AK 99577  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator AROOD :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

ROSE MARIE CITT  
Name

7801 SEAHORN 'B'  
Street

ANCHORAGE, AK 99518  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Aboud :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Lee Palmer

Name

Box 770435 Eagle River

Street

Alaska (99577)

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator ABOOD :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Jim Dehachis  
Name

2804 W 31  
Street

Anch. Ak  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator MR. MICHAEL ABRAHAM

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Mark S. Adams  
Name

8951 Woodstade Circle  
Street

ANCHORAGE AL 99502  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator ABOOD :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Wm. W. STEARNS  
Name

3140 LARK ST. #R  
Street

ANCHORAGE, AK. 99507  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator ABOOD :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.


The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

  
Name

3071 LOIS DRIVE #705  
Street

ANCHORAGE, AK 99517  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator ABOOD :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Stephen S. Rybczak  
Name

250 B Oklahoma  
Street

Anch AK 99504  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

*Mark A. ...*

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

*Wendy M. Fisher*  
Name

*100 Bunnell TA*  
Street

*Anchorage AK 99508*  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator ABOOD :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Berry Parkham  
Name

2510 Curlew Cir  
Street

Anch AK 99515  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator ABOOD :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Beigeth Johnson  
Name

Pow W. 21<sup>st</sup> #8  
Street

Anch. Ak. 99503  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator ABOOD :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Charley Williams  
Name

PO Box 43  
Street

HEALY AK 99743  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator ABOOD :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

  
Name

Box 2995  
Street

Alaska AK 99645  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator ABOOD :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Stacey Blackler  
Name

Edward Jurg  
Street

Seldotna Ak. 99669  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator ABOOD :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Shirley L. Blumenthal  
Name

6627 Fairweather Row DR.  
Street

Anchorage AK. 99518  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator ABCOD :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

  
Name

40 POP 106  
Street

ELMENDORF AFB, AK  
City, State, Zip Code

99506-0106

Dear Senator ABOOD :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Brian Atkins (BRIAN ATKINS)  
Name

6838 SHELVA PL.#B  
Street

ANCH. ALASKA 99561  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator ABOOD \_\_\_\_\_ :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.


The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

  
Name

9620 MOUNTAINVIEW RD #11A  
Street

ANCH. AK. 99505  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator ABOOD \_\_\_\_\_ :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Mike Alexander  
Name

7630 Randamar  
Street

Anch AK 99507  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator ABCDD :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely

Judy Chadwick  
Name

3941 E. 86th Ave  
Street

Anchorage, AK 99507  
City, State, Zip/Code

Dear Senator ABOOD :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Jerry LEMINSE  
Name

P.O. Box 91103  
Street

Anch, AK 99509  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Albood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Phil Johnson  
Name

P.O. Box 2455

Street

PALMER ALASKA 99645

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy; wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Larry E. Ryherd -  
Name

1013 - E. Diamond #275  
Street

Enc. Ak. 99575  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Aboud

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please .. let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Name

Trudy Wells

Street

PO Box 230228

City, State/Zip Code

Anch AK. 99523

Dear Senator Mike Davis :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

*Even as a ex-paramedic  
with China-Goldstein  
we still have the right to  
choose.*

Sincerely,

Steve Bannenberg  
Name

P.O. Box 190671  
Street

Anchorage Alaska 99519  
City, State, Zip Cod

Dear Senator Nilo Koponen :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

*Even as an ex-Paramedic  
with Shona-Goldstream VFD  
I realize the right to choose  
to wear or not wear seat belts.*

Sincerely,

Steve Barenburg  
Name

P.O. Box 190671  
Street

Anchorage, AK 99519  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Susan Decker  
Name

9107 E. Broadway St  
Street

Juneau AK 99801  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alwood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

W. G. Dickson

Name

9107 HONEY SUCKLE

Street

ANCHORAGE AK. 99502

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Alhood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Bob Sefering  
Name

303 West 16<sup>th</sup>  
Street

Unalaska AK 99501  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mitch Abbott:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Allen F. Perman  
Name

Bld. 31-270 Room 233.2  
Street

EAFB, AK 99506  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Aboué :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

WARREN GARRISON  
Name

1734 Parkway Dr  
Street

Anchorage AK 99504  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Ado Casanova  
Name

P.O. Box 230537  
Street

ANC AK 99523  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Alford :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Richard R. ...  
Name

41010 Allen Pl. S  
Street

Kenai Alaska 99508  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abood:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

John Rynn  
Name

1900 Northwestern  
Street

Anch. AK 99508  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator ABOOD \_\_\_\_\_ :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Charles P. Williams  
Name

3635 Emory  
Street

Wasilla, AK 99517  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator A BOOD. :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Paul Swensen

Name

3232 WOLCOTT CR #3.

Street

ANCH AK 99507.

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abouad :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Robert R. Swan

Name

3605 Arctic Blvd #1023

Street

Anchorage Alaska 99503

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Ascoff :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.


The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

  
Name

5305 Chisholm  
Street

Anch AK 99508  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator MITCH ABOOD :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

James Calk  
Name

1417 COLUMBINE  
Street

ANCHorage AK 99518  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator MITCH AHOOD :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

James Walker  
Name

1214 Petersburg  
Street

Anch AK 99507  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mitch Abcock :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.


The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,



Name

9045 Honeysuckle

Street

Anch Ak 99502

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Abesad

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

TODD GIGER

Name

Box 711

Street

Girdwood AK 99587

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator ABOZO \_\_\_\_\_ :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Daniel A. MERRICK  
Name

3450 GOLDEN ST #3  
Street

Anchorage, AK 99502  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator MITCH ABOON

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

*Jeff Alan Hardy*  
Name

19557 ENDICOTT  
Street

ANCH AK  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Mitch Abroad:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Jeffrey L. Botling  
Name

3108 Dawson #  
Street

Anchorage, ALASKA 99501  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator  Mitch-Abode  :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Thomas Kapoff   
Name

1122 E 19th #201   
Street

Anch. AK 99501   
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator *Alwood* :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

*Jerry Dunlop*  
Name

*2800 Lore Rd.*  
Street

*Anch Ak 99507*  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Aboud :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Terry M. Schultz  
Name

6010 E. 11th Ave  
Street

Anchorage Ak 99507  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alwood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Name

Street

City, State, Zip Code

Jerry Schell  
6540 F. 11th  
Anch. Ak 99504

ALASKA LEGISLATURE

COMMITTEE FILES

1987-1988

8672

5567

SSTA

HB 167

(FILE 2)

139

Dear Senator Wood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Mike Warner

Name

P.O. Box 516

Street

Kasilof, AK 99610

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alford

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let these who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Pat A. Burnett

Name

8030 Williwaw Ave

Street

Anchorage AK 99504

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alwood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

ROBERT T BERRY  
Name

10263 JAMES TOWN DR  
Street

ANCH AK 99507  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Wood:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Tom Burns  
Name

205 E 4th Ave.  
Street

Anchorage AK 99501  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alwood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Michelle Williams

Name

W44E 78<sup>th</sup>

Street

Amor. AK 99018

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Wood

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Joseph R. Griffin  
Name

SRB 7632  
Street

Palmer Alaska 99645  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Wesling \_\_\_\_\_ :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Bob Kinicki

Name

1328 Kinicki St.

Street

Anchorage AK 99508

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Aboud

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

G. B. Burman

Name

P.O. Box 870598

Street

WASILLA ALASKA

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Mitch Ahoood:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

EM Pepin  
Name

6024 Camden Circle  
Street

Anchorage, Alaska  
City, State, Zip Code

99504

Dear Senator

Mitch Abood:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Shawn Canyon

Name

PO Box 771218

Street

# Eagle River AK 99577

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Mitch Abood:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

*Troy Pierce*

Troy Pierce  
Name

3841 Patricia Ln.  
Street

Anch., AK. 99504  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Mitch Abood:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Thomas B. Pe...  
Name

13600 DAVIS ROAD  
Street

ANCH AK 99516  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Mitch Abood

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

G.R. Pederson

Name

D.U. Box 201712

Street

Anch AK 99520

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mitch Abbott :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

*Jessie Harmon*  
Name

*Anchorage Ak*  
Street

*99503*  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Mitch Abood.

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

  
Name

Street PO BOX 204057  
Anchorage Alaska 99520  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

3, Tella Bood

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Philippe Bood  
Name

819 ORCA  
Street

Anch AK 99501  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mr. Aboob :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Charles M. Bill  
Name

P.O. Box 227  
Street

Kodiak AK 99615  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Mitch Abbott

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

*Warren Garrison*

Name

1734 Parkway Dr.

Street

Anchorage Ak 99504

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator MITCH AISCOD

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Stephan A. Walker  
Name

7854 Sunda Lane  
Street

June AK 99518  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Mitch Abbott:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

David Peatth

Name

8950 E. Elm

Street

Anch. AK 99507

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mitchell :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Lobby Miller  
Name

1017 Alameda Ave  
Street

Juneau, AK  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mueck Aftad:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Ray McArthur  
Name

33391 Bush Street  
Street

Wrangell Alaska 993 07  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mark Alford :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Orville E. See Jr.  
Name

1839 Benquet Place  
Street

Anch. Ak. 99504  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abroad :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

DANIEL GREEN  
Name

GREEN DRIVE  
Street

Chugiak Alaska 99567  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Michael Almond :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

KATHLEEN R O'KEEFE

Name

2003 W 96TH

Street

ANCH. AK 99517

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator       Mitch       :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away th ir freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our chi'dren, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,       Derek P. Gushnow      

      Derek P. Gushnow        
Name

      2221 Muddan Sp286        
Street

      Anch. AK 99504        
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Mitch Abasad :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Dorothy A. Graham  
Name

2221 Newdawn Rd Sp 286  
Street

Anchorage AK 99504  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mitch A. Board

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

M. E. Board  
Name

5901 E 6 Ave., #240  
Street

Anchorage, AK 99504  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Aboud :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Debbie Nettencott  
Name

1641 CARA LOOP  
Street

ANCH. ALASKA 99515  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Aboud :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Dina Lynn Grumbine  
Name

6910 E 12th Avenue  
Street

Anchorage Alaska 99504  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Alwood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Michael Page  
Name

3307 Bonifare 10-C  
Street

ANCHORAGE, AK 99501  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Aboud :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Shirley Pemberton  
Name

2820 W 91<sup>st</sup>  
Street

Anchor AK 99502  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mitch Abbott :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

William W. King  
Name

P.O. Box 173655  
Street

Wasilla Ak. 99645 99687  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mitch Abood \_\_\_\_\_ :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

James R. ...  
Name

Windsor  
Street

Wasilla Ak 99687  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Aboud :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

A. A. Anker  
Name

733 "K" Street  
Street

Anchorage, AK. 99501  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Mitch Albrow:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Robert G. Gage  
Name

8000 Resurrection  
Street

Anch AK 99504  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator     A. B. O.     :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

    ROBERT COLLARD     *Robt Collard*  
Name

    3605 ARCTIC FLIGHTS - ANCHORAGE      
Street

    ANCHORAGE 99501      
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Jay R. Johnson  
Name

1909 Thunderbird Pl.  
Street

Anchorage AK 99508  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mitch Aboud :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Kenneth O. Peltz

Name

1800 E 147<sup>th</sup>

Street

Anch, AK 99516

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Witchell :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

  
Name

Box 35  
Street

Stebing, AK 99672  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mitch Abood:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,  
M. G. Olson  
Name  
2246 MAUDEST PI #A  
Street  
Anch. AK 99508  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mitch Abood:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Cricket Chryson  
Name

2246 Maudest Pl. #A  
Street

Anchorage, AK 99508  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Alford :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Robert J. Brannellette II  
Name

448 PEARL DR # A  
Street

ANCH AK 99518  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator ABOOD :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Ernie Miller  
Name

Lovers Loop  
Street

NIKISKI AK 99635  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alwood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Al Bushby

Name

PO Box 214

Street

Denali AK 99753

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alwood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

J. David Longacre  
Name

P.O. Box 105553  
Street

ANCHORAGE, AK. 99510  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Dugh O. Meneer  
Name

P.O. Box 396  
Street

Kealy AK 99743  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alford

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Greg Bliss

Name

7016 Weimer

Street

Anch, AK 99502

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Aboud :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Ryan White  
Name

P.O. Box 280212  
Street

Anch AK 99520-0212  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alford

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Sandy Wilbur  
Name  
530 W. 24th  
Street  
Anch Ak 99503  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Alford \_\_\_\_\_ :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

John P. Barnklaug J.P.B. kel  
Name

5005 KOBUK AVE  
Street

ANCHORAGE AK 99508  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Alford \_\_\_\_\_ :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Danny Wright  
Name  
Box 104901  
Street  
Juneau, AK 99510  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Alford :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

David M. Cornell  
Name

7800 DAWER AVE  
Street

BUCK, AK 99504  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alford :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Philip I. Fisk

Name

P.O. Box 873233

Street

Wasilla, Alaska 99687

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alford

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Carl F. Jones  
Name

2260 Paul Rd  
Street

Wasilla, AK 99657  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Alford \_\_\_\_\_ :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Richard C. Wilkins  
Name

5403 B DORBRANDT  
Street

ANCHORAGE, AK 99518  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator     *Arnd*    :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

    *Ms. Elizabeth Skouran*      
Name

    5403 B Dorbrandt      
Street

    Anch Ak 99518      
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alwood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Richard BELFIELD

Name

PO BOX 13307

Street

TRAPPER CREEK AK 99683

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Al Nelson :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Al Nelson

Name

110 Eastgate

Street

Anch, AK, 99504

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alwood

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Robert E. Foley  
Name

2327 - E - 57th  
Street

Anch., AK, 99507  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alford

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Rhonda J. JEX

Name

4334 Spruce Rd

Street

Chugiak AK 99503

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alford

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action, as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

CARL STEINHAUSE  
Name

#29 - HARRIET ST  
Street

FBX, AK - 99701  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alford

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

  
Name

1300 W 70<sup>th</sup>  
Street

Anch AK 99502  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abund \_\_\_\_\_ :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Dennis Dealegh  
Name

1700 E 15th St 2  
Street

Anchorage, AK 99507  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Aboud

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

BOB NESBITT

Name

915 COLLEGE RD

Street

FBK AK 9970

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abroad :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,  
David O'Malley Keyes  
Name  
9614 New Haven Ln  
Street  
Anchorage, AK  
City, State, Zip/Code  
99507

Dear Senator

Alford

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Dale I Todd  
Name

1523 Juneau #5  
Street

Anch AK 99501  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alred :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Ron B...

Name

6120 'A' ST

Street

ANCH AK 99518

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alwood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Steven S. Fairbank

Name

P.O. Box 104197

Street

Anchorage, Ak. 99510

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alford

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American Democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely, Kerry M. Young

Kerry M Young  
Name

1066 W. 23rd  
Street

Anch Ak 99503  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alford :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Susan R. Adams  
Name

4211 Kupneanof  
Street

99507  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

ABCOO

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

George Rites  
Name

4650 Reka Dr.  
Street

Anchorage, AK 99508  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Aboud

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Erin Lemm

Name

Alexander

Street

ANCH 99508

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alwood

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

James Smith  
Name

941 W. 77th  
Street

Arach AK 99505  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alwood

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

R.D. Bankney

Name

PO Box 190008

Street

ANCHORAGE AK 99519

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Aboud :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Walter L. Litch  
Name

8204 MONTANA ST  
Street

ANCHORAGE AK 99518  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator ABOOD :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Ang Threlk  
Name

1351 W 27th  
Street

Anch. AK 99503  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Abouel

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Lloyd C. Sargent  
Name

6231 Collins Way  
Street

Ind Ak 99503  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Alford \_\_\_\_\_:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Rebecca S. McNeil  
Name  
4501 Parsons Sp B  
Street  
Anchorage AK  
City, State, Zip Code 99508

Dear Senator

Aboud

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Janna D. McNeil  
Name

4501 E. Parsons SpB  
Street

Anchorage Ak 99508  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alford

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Margaret Siefert  
Name

6231 Collins Way  
Street

Anchorage Ak 99502  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mitch Abbott :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Janice Bruchner  
Name

1405 W. 27th  
Street

Anchorage AK 99503  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mitch Abbott :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Dave Davala  
Name

1405 W 27 apt 404  
Street

ANCH AK 99503  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator ABRAMS :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

James D. Hill  
Name

281 E. FISHWATER AVE.  
Street

FT. RICHARDS, AK 99505  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mitch Abbott :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

HAROLD FRANKS  
Name

HC 30 Box 5542  
Street

WASILLA, AK 99687  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Brood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

John E. Stey  
Name

1122 E 19<sup>th</sup> AV<sup>th</sup> 201  
Street

anch ak 99501  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Alwood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Jane Hodge  
Name

P.O. Box 771024  
Street

Earth River Ak 99577  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator ABOOD:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Name

Street

City, State, Zip Code

Molly Glement  
Larson Lane  
ANC. AK.

"It should be up to individual"

P.S. I have a metal hip & no way can I wear a belt that could pull hip out of place. P.S. I was an emergency room nurse & I've seen too many cases of people who would have died if belted - it's their parents' cons.

Dear Senator ABOOD :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

MEDO FERNANDEZ  
Name

P.O. Box 141824  
Street

Anch AK 99514  
City, State, Zip Code

ALASKA LEGISLATURE COMMITTEE FILES 1987 - 1988 8672  
5568 SSTA HB 167 (FILE 2) - HR 170

Dear Senator Sen Atwood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Barbara Serrander  
Name

PO 141824  
Street

ANCH AK 99574  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mitch Benson:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Don Kelley  
Name

4140 E 66<sup>th</sup>  
Street

Anch AK. 99507  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator M. ABDOU :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Randy P. Kerzhmerer  
Name

934 Tyowick #1  
Street

ANCH. AK. 99501  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alford :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

J. L. R. Henson

Name

4501 Parsons Sp B

Street

Anchorage Ak

City, State, Zip Code

99508

Dear Senator

Alford

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Jerry Morris  
Name

Box 771127  
Street

Eagle River AK  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator ABCOD :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,  
Dave Farness  
Name  
11506 A DAWY ST  
Street  
Engle River, AK  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Alhoed :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

J. Kubacki  
Name

905 Muldoon Rd A-64  
Street

Anch, AK 99504  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Alford \_\_\_\_\_ :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Tim W. Seik  
Name

3302 Willow Lane  
Street

Anch, ALASKA 99509  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Alford :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Med K. Buher  
Name

9499 BRAYTON 113  
Street

Anch. AK 99507  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Aboud

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than governing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Du Mont Jones

Name

8921 Jewel Terr.

Street

Anch. AK 99502

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Alwood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Don M. Jumper  
Name

7929 Pamela  
Street

Anchorage, Ak.  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Abouf \_\_\_\_\_ :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Robert M. McKenzie  
Name

Box 1034  
Street

Palmer, AK 99645  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Alford:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Bill Hawath  
Name

Box 207  
Street

Glennview AK 99584  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Alford :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely  
 (STEVEN PARTRIDGE)

Name

5309 LIOWHEART #10  
Street

Livakaze, AK 99504  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Alwood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Jana Anne Smith  
Name

17068 Shea  
Street

Hazel Crest Ill. 60429  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Aboud

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Daniel R. Smith

Name

21-640E APRIL AV.

Street

ENFB AK 99506

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Alford :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Don Boydell  
Name

Box 13307  
Street

Trapper Creek, AK 99683  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alford

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

David Pestrikoff

Name

1275 E 9th # 1084

Street

ANC AK 99501

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alford

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Daniel L Cox

Name

2708 EUREKA SP27

Street

ANCHORAGE AK 99504

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator ABOOD \_\_\_\_\_:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.


The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,



\_\_\_\_\_  
Name

1001 BONIFACE PKWY SPIR  
Street

ANCH. AK 99504  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Milish Wood

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Judy Deutscher  
Name

4631 Maus Dr  
Street

Anchorage, Ak  
City, State, Zip Code

99501

Dear Senator

Mitch Abbott :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

David Dutcher

Name

4631 Mar Dr

Street

Anchorage Alaska 99507

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Mitch Abood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Marilyn Ogden

Name

3605 Arctic #608

Street

ANCH AK 99503

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator MITCH ABOOD :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

GLEN R. KENLEY  
Name

10705 MAINTREE  
Street

ANCH. AK. 99507  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Michael A. Boyd :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Michael A. Boyd  
Name

3082 Alekseevna #2  
Street

Unalakleet, Alaska 99517  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

MITCH ABRON:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Chris Green

Name

4001 BARBARA

Street

ANCHORAGE AK 99517

City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Mitch Abbott :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Leah M. Paylor  
Name

3605 Arctic Blvd #1476  
Street

Anchorage, AK 99503  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alford :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Quincy Williams  
Name

Box 127-17  
Street

Unak. AK 99511  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alwood :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Young O Taylor  
Name

12611 Brandon St.  
Street

Anch AK 99515  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator: Alwood \_\_\_\_\_ :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Janice Taylor  
Name

12611 Brandon St  
Street

Anch. ak. 99515  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Alford :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Jay Werthman  
Name  
P.O. Box 112147  
Street  
Anchorage, AK 99511  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator

Alwood

:

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Orchley Worthington  
Name

12601 Branclon  
Street

Anch. AK 99515  
City, State, Zip Code

Dear Senator Aboud :

I am writing to you to express my opposition to House Bill 167, which mandates the use of seat belts by motor vehicle drivers and passengers within the State of Alaska.

I am opposed to this bill because it is highly offensive to the traditions of individual freedom and self-responsibility upon which the State of Alaska was built. These concepts hold that an individual should be free to choose a course of action as long as that choice does not adversely affect the rights of others.

The decision to wear a seat belt is such a choice. Whatever the arguments for or against seat belts, individuals affect only themselves when they make that choice. Therefore, they should be free to make their own decisions and to accept the personal consequences of those decisions. These ideas reflect the principles of limited government and individual responsibility which Alaskans cherish.

There is a far better option to protect Alaskans than a law which tries to protect them by taking away their freedom of choice. That option is education about the merits of seat belts and training on how to use them properly. A program of education adheres to the truest meaning of American democracy wherein government persuades its citizens rather than coercing them. Inform the people, then let them decide.

Furthermore, Alaska law already protects those who are too young to make an informed choice about wearing seat belts. Alaska's child restraint law is entirely sufficient to protect our children, so we do not need to change it. House Bill 167 would treat all Alaskans like children.

For these reasons, I urge you to disapprove House Bill 167 and protect our shrinking personal liberties from further erosion. Thank you for considering my letter, and please ... let those who ride decide!

Sincerely,

Leonard Evans  
Name

2731 Monmouth  
Street

Anchorage AK 99502  
City, State, Zip Code