

HB

36



ALASKA STATE LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE

CHAIRMAN
Miss Patricia Oakes
Box 30009
Central, AK 99730
(907) 520-5227

VICE CHAIRMAN
Mr. R. W. Pavitt
130 Seward Street, #205
Juneau, AK 99801
(907) 586-2066

SECRETARY
Mrs. Marian R. Triggs
475 Panorama Drive
Fairbanks, AK 99712
(907) 457-4386

1/21/88

Senator Jalmar Kerttula, Chairman
Senate Judiciary Committee
PO Box V
Juneau, AK 99811

JAN 27 1988
TK you we are bringing you two

Dear Senator Kerttula:

I am writing to encourage the Senate Judiciary Committee to approve CSHB 36, "An Act requiring certain motor vehicle insurance policies to provide reduced rates for certain persons."

This legislation provides a strong incentive for safer driving on Alaska's highways. Safer driving not only makes our highways safer for everyone, but also reduces medical costs and vehicle repair costs to insurance companies. This legislation carries no fiscal note-- and in the long run, it may save money for the State of Alaska in reducing medical costs to some state programs and in decreasing court costs which arise from driving mishaps.

Your strong support in getting this legislation through committee and through the Senate will be deeply appreciated.

Sincerely yours,

Patricia Oakes

Miss Patricia Oakes, Chair
AARP State Legislative Committee

FISCAL NOTE

REQUEST: _____

Revision Date: _____

Agency Affected: Public Safety

Title: An Act requiring certain motor vehicle insurance policies to provide....

BRU: Division of Motor Vehicles

Sponsor: Gruessendorf

Components: _____

Requestor: _____

EXPENDITURES/REVENUES: (Thousands of Dollars)

OPERATING	FY 88	FY 89	FY 90	FY 91	FY 92	FY 93
PERSONAL SERVICES						
TRAVEL						
CONTRACTUAL						
SUPPLIES						
EQUIPMENT						
LAND & STRUCTURES						
GRANTS, CLAIMS						
MISCELLANEOUS						
TOTAL OPERATING	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-

CAPITAL						
---------	--	--	--	--	--	--

REVENUE						
---------	--	--	--	--	--	--

FUNDING: (Thousands of Dollars)

GENERAL FUND						
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER						
TOTAL	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-

POSITIONS:

FULL-TIME						
PART-TIME						
TEMPORARY						

ANALYSIS : (Attach a separate page if necessary)

No fiscal impact on this department.

Prepared by: Jay N. Dulany JND Phone: 269-5551
Division: Motor Vehicles Date: 1/12/88

Approved by Commissioner: Charles A. Hooten, Dep Comm. Date: 1-22-88
Agency: Dept of Public Safety

Distribution (by preparer):
Legislative Finance
Legislative Sponsor
Requestor
Office of Management and Budget
Impacted Agency(ies)

*MS
JND
1/22/88*



ALASKA STATE LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE

CHAIRMAN
Miss Patricia Oakes
Box 30009
Central, AK 99730
(907) 520-5227

VICE CHAIRMAN
Mr. R. W. Pavitt
130 Seward Street, #205
Juneau, AK 99801
(907) 586-2066

SECRETARY
Mrs. Marian R. Triggs
475 Panorama Drive
Fairbanks, AK 99712
(907) 457-4386

POSITION PAPER

**Automobile Insurance Discount
For Older Drivers**

The National Safety Council reports that when the number of miles driven is taken into account, older drivers have a substantially higher violation and accident rate than their percentage of the driving population warrants.

Motor vehicle operators presently over the age of 55 usually learned to drive well before the advent of driver-training programs in the public school systems. The older driver who has completed a formal driver-training course is thus the exception rather than the rule.

While age alone is not a fair criterion for determining driving competence, there is no question that driving ability can be affected by the aging process. The gradual loss of sensory acuity associated with aging reduces the ability of the individual to react to traffic situations with the speed and judgement that current safe driving practice requires. Older drivers often have problems when involved in driving situations requiring quick response. Typical violations include failure to yield right-of-way, improper turning, incorrect lane changing, passing, and entering or leaving expressways.

The U.S. Department of Transportation, aware of the statistics regarding older drivers, sees a significant highway safety problem emerging as older drivers become more numerous on the nation's roads. DOT identifies older drivers as a group which requires special consideration in the development of driver-improvement training procedures.

The American Association of Retired Persons (AARP) has responded to the challenge by developing a driver-improvement course especially geared to the needs of older drivers. The Alaska Department of Public Safety has approved the course, and the program called 55 ALIVE/MATURE DRIVING is presently available in the state's urban areas.

Driver improvement courses for older motorists have proven so successful in promoting highway safety that 22 states and the District of Columbia have adopted legislation requiring insurance companies to award appropriate reductions of automobile liability insurance premiums to older drivers who have successfully completed a state-approved program.

Economic incentives have proven very effective in persuading people to do things that they really ought to do anyway. Many senior drivers have availed themselves of the insurance discounts offered in these states by taking the driver-improvement course and have, consequently, become better and safer drivers.

American Association of Retired Persons (over)
1909 K Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20049 (202) 872-4700

John T. Denning *President* Jack Carlson *Executive Director*

2--Automobile Insurance Discount for Older Drivers

Better drivers on the road lead to a reduction in preventable accidents, resulting in decreased costs for emergency services and medical welfare systems, as well as savings to the court system. It is interesting to note that no state which has adopted such legislation has ever repealed it.

Early in the 1987 legislative session, HB 36 was introduced by Speaker Grussendorf to accomplish similar objectives in Alaska. The bill was adopted by the House on March 13, 1987, but ran out of time before clearing the two Senate committees of referral--Labor and Commerce and Judiciary. There is no cost to the state resulting from passage of this bill; it carries no fiscal note.

The Alaska State Legislative Committee of AARP, on behalf of its 27,000 members in Alaska and in the interest of promoting public safety on our streets and highways for all Alaskans, strongly endorses adoption of CSHB 36 at the earliest possible time in the 1988 Alaska Legislative Session.

Adopted 9/87
State Legislative Committee
AAPP, Alaska

AARP Highlights

12-1-87

White House Honors 55 ALIVE Program

AARP's innovative 55 ALIVE/MATURE DRIVING Program received national recognition earlier this year when it was awarded the President's Citation for Private Sector Initiatives. The citation is one of the highest honors that can be bestowed on a private sector program.

Accepting the award July 23 at the White House on behalf of 55 ALIVE's 3,900 volunteers nationwide, then AARP Executive Director Cyril Brickfield remarked, "Our 55 ALIVE/MATURE DRIVING Program has helped thousands of older Americans improve their driving skills. I'm extremely proud of the AARP volunteers who make this program work and who made it possible for the Association to win this great distinction."

But the celebration didn't stop there. Word of the award spread quickly among the program's volunteers, creating a wave of enthusiasm that rippled across the country. In South Dakota, for example, 55 ALIVE State Coordinator Pete Gregor forwarded the news to Governor George Mickelson, who declared August 18, the day he was to meet with Gregor to discuss the program, "55 ALIVE Day" in the state. In his Executive Proclamation, Mickelson commended the volunteer instructors "for their time and efforts on behalf of the state of South Dakota."

★ ★ ★

AARP's commitment to driver education for older Americans began in 1969, when it started teaching the National Safety Council's Defensive Driving Course. After a decade of dramatic growth, the Association replaced that program with 55 ALIVE/MATURE DRIVING, the nation's first comprehensive driving curriculum tailored specifically to the needs of motorists age 50 and older. So far, the course has graduated more than 650,000 older drivers.

"Older drivers need a classroom course that emphasizes normal age-related physical and perceptual changes, and teaches them techniques to compensate for these changes," says Doris Pratt, 55 ALIVE Field Coordinator in Area IX. "They also need to review the rules of the road, local driving problems, and accident-prevention measures."

The drivers apparently agree, because they sign up, regardless of how long they've been driving. George Gebhardt, Assistant State Coordinator in Oregon, reports teaching a class recently in which he had a 95-year-old student. When Gebhardt asked the woman why at 95 she wanted to take a driver training course, she waggishly replied, "Because my husband told me that I'd better learn

to drive." Actually, she had been driving for more than 76 years.

In Maryland, the Montgomery County Commission on Aging was so impressed with the program that this summer the commissioners, their spouses, and drivers for the county's senior transportation programs took the course. From that class, four people were recruited as instructors to fill a shortage of instructors and courses in the county. Assistant State Coordinator Carmen Koefed notes, "We hope the Commission's involvement will set a precedent for aging commissions throughout the nation."

Perhaps the most eloquent testimony for the success of 55 ALIVE is that graduates often are eager to volunteer as instructors after they've completed the course. A case in point is Eva Studley of Grass Lake, Michigan, who took the course in 1977, at the age of 70, and who now serves as a volunteer instructor and Assistant State Coordinator, "We had 882 grads in Michigan last year from this course," says Studley. "I love it! If I can keep one person from being an accident victim I will have done something."

★ ★ ★

55 ALIVE also helps older people hold down the high cost of automobile insurance. Twenty-two states and the District of Columbia have enacted—and other states are considering—legislation requiring all automobile insurance companies conducting business in those states to provide a premium discount to graduates of state-approved driver improvement courses. 55 ALIVE is an approved course in every one of these states.

In addition, several automobile insurance companies in selected states voluntarily give discounts on premiums to 55 ALIVE graduates.

This is the second time an AARP volunteer program has been selected to receive the President's Citation. Last year Tax-Aide, AARP's free



55 ALIVE-MATURE DRIVING
Alaska State Coordinator
Mr. Joe Russo
1505 W. 35th Avenue
Anchorage, AK 99503
(907) 274-4149

JAN 21 1988

Jan. 17, 1988

Senator Jalmar Kesttola
Alaska State Legislature
P.O. Box 6 (MS 3100)
Juneau Alaska 99811

Beth

Dear Senator:

I am the State Coordinator of AARP 55 Alive/Mature Driving program for Alaska, and I am requesting your assistance in passage of the Mandatory Premium Reduction Bill known as H.B. 36.

I am enclosing a list of states which have passed the Premium Reduction Bill & these are circled. This list shows 20 states as approved.

I believe there are now 22 states that have approved this legislation & at least 2 more are under consideration.

This legislation, approved, will benefit the state of Alaska & its senior population, by reducing the risk of accidents & loss of lives, and will also be a great incentive for seniors to take the defensive training courses, regardless of who pays for the course. Also it is a cost saver.

I understand you are the Chairman of the Senate Judiciary Committee and this bill is now being considered by your committee. ←

I request that you read this letter to the members of your committee so that all your committee members will be aware of my views.

Thank you for your consideration of this important piece of legislation & hopefully your entire committee support for passage.

Sincerely
Joe Russo
(202) 872-4700

American Association of Retired Persons 1909 K Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20049

John F. Denang President Cyril F. Brickfield Executive Director

C.C.T

*circled states have mandatory premium no. reduction
 laws in full force & effect*

1986 BUDGET
 GRADUATE PROJECTIONS
 ASSISTANT COORDINATOR TOTALS

AREA/ STATE	BUDGET	PROJECTED GRADUATES	AC's	AREA/ STATE	BUDGET	PROJECTED GRADUATES	AC's
I	\$42,000 (9,000)	6,900	29	VI	\$52,700 (10,500)	9,550	31
CT	12,000	4,000	10	IA	3,000	250	4
ME	1,500	300	2	KS	4,000	400	3
MA	4,100	600	6	MN	14,500	6,000	8
NH	2,500	400	4	MO	5,500	700	4
RI	9,400	1,200	3	NE	3,200	400	3
VT	3,500	400	4	ND	8,000	1,500	5
				SD	4,000	300	4
II	\$115,800 (10,500)	22,650	28	VII	\$121,000 (14,500)	27,500	57
DE	7,300	1,000	3	AR	15,700	4,000	9
NJ	2,000	150	3	LA	8,300	1,500	7
NY	87,000	20,000	16	NM	3,500	500	2
PA	9,000	1,500	6	OK	11,000	1,500	9
				TX	68,000	20,000	30
III	\$50,300 (8,700)	8,000	30	VIII	\$39,300 (12,500)	2,950	22
DC	1,200	500	2	CO	5,800	1,000	8
KY	9,000	2,000	4	MT	6,600	400	6
MD	5,000	700	5	UT	3,500	350	4
VA	18,000	3,800	10	WY	10,900	1,200	4
NC	4,000	500	4				
WV	4,400	500	5				
IV	\$95,900 (13,000)	19,050	55	IX	\$46,100 (13,000)	7,100	34
AL	2,400	350	4	AZ	7,500	1,800	7
FL	56,000	15,000	35	CA	20,000	4,800	24
GA	5,000	700	2	HI	3,300	300	1
MS	2,000	200	2	NV	2,300	200	2
SC	4,500	200	2				
IN	13,000	2,600	10				
V	\$52,800 (11,500)	8,700	41	X	\$38,800 (15,800)	3,600	26
IL	21,000	6,500	20	AK	2,000	200	2
IN	4,000	500	4	ID	3,500	200	6
MI	3,800	300	6	OR	8,000	1,200	8
OH	7,000	1,000	7	WA	9,500	2,000	10
WI	5,500	400	4				

REPRESENTATIVE
BEN GRUSSENDORF

P. O. Box 928
SITKA, ALASKA 99835
(907) 747-8458

RULES COMMITTEE
LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

DISTRICT 3
ELFIN COVE
PELICAN
PORT ALEXANDER
SITKA
TENAKEE

Alaska State Legislature



WHILE IN JUNEAU
P.O. Box V
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99811
(907) 465-3824
(907) 465-3720

House of Representatives
SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE

MEMORANDUM

*Both filed
& zeroed*

TO: Senator Jay Kerttula
Chairman
Senate Judiciary Committee

FROM: Rep. Ben Grussendorf
Speaker

DATE: January 28, 1988

RE: HB 36 - "An Act requiring certain motor vehicle insurance policies to provide reduced rates for certain persons; and providing for an effective date."

Thank you for your consideration in scheduling HB 36 for a hearing in your committee. The primary purpose of the bill is to provide an incentive for people to take the 55 Alive driver improvement course which is offered by the AARP so that they become safer drivers. Statistics show that changing physical characteristics in those 55 years or older cause these drivers to have more accidents per mile. This course has been shown to be successful in dramatically reducing accidents. It is voluntary. The terms of any reduction in insurance premiums are left to the insurance companies, which gives the companies all the flexibility they desired and allows them to use their own actuarial findings to determine how much they can afford to reduce the rates.

I am attaching a copy of the AARP position paper and some concerns and responses. Mr. Bob Pavitt of the local AARP would like to testify on this bill at the hearing on Tuesday, February 2.

According to Michael Seaton of the national organization of the American Association of Retired Persons in Washington, D.C., there

are 22 states and the District of Columbia that already have similar legislation in place and there are four other states that are expected to pass similar legislation this year -- not counting Alaska. Those with similar legislation on the books:

Arkansas	Louisiana	Rhode Island
California	Minnesota	Tennessee
Connecticut	Mississippi	Texas
Delaware	Montana	Virginia
District of Columbia	North Dakota	Washington State
Florida	New Mexico	West Virginia
Kentucky	New York	Wyoming
Illinois	Oklahoma	

In Utah the 55 Alive Program has passed the House, in South Dakota it has passed the House Commerce Committee, in Ohio it has passed the House and in Alabama the insurance lobbyists have agreed to remain neutral on this legislation and there is good support for the legislation.

Almost all of the legislation in other states includes the provision to re-take the course every 3 years, though some states have set the time limit for every 2 years. There are two recent reports that have been done on the program, copies of which we hope to receive on Friday, January 29. One was done in New York State concerning the 150,000 graduates of the program. And another followed 84,000 people both before and after they took the course. According to Mr. Seaton these show a great reduction in accidents by those who take the course.

Position Paper

Automobile Insurance Discount

The State Legislative Committee of the American Association of Retired Persons proposes that legislative steps be taken to reduce automobile insurance premiums for motor vehicle operators age 55 and older who complete a state-approved driver education course.

Rationale: Motor vehicle operators age 55 and older are a unique population and have specific physiological considerations and driving problems. How do these individuals compare with age brackets in safe driving practice and incidence of accident?

In order to obtain an accurate picture it is not enough to consider only age and number of accidents, its necessary to factor in the annual number of miles driven per year. Research shows that the number of annual miles driven by motor vehicle operators begins to decline significantly after age 55. Therefore, an important consideration with regard to the safe driving practices and abilities of older persons is the criteria used to determine accident involvement statistics.

The record of the older driver is good when calculated on the basis of accidents per driver. When the same figures are examined on the basis of miles driven annually, a different picture emerges. This more significant and meaningful statistic highlights the urgent need for corrective measures to re-educate older drivers.

Because older persons drive fewer miles, corrections must be made for driving exposure. When this factor is included in accident involvement rates, and the involvement per exposure is determined, a U-shaped curve of accidents versus age results. Violation and accident rates per mile are higher for the youngest and oldest drivers and lower for those in the middle ranges. Although one can quibble over the exact placement of the curve, a general "U" configuration has emerged in every major study undertaken during the past 10 years.

The National Safety Council reports that when the number of miles driven is taken into account, drivers age 55 and over have a poorer accident record than drivers in their middle years.

The U. S. Department of Transportation identifies the older driver as being age 60 and older and in a group which requires special consideration in the development of driver improvement training procedures. The National Highway Safety Forecast points out that the older driver is adjudged at fault more than middle aged drivers, and due to the population age shifting currently underway in America, is perhaps one of the fastest growing highway safety problem areas.

Older drivers have problems when involved in driving situations requiring quick response, full vision and interaction with other drivers. Typical violations include failure to yield right-of-way, improper turning, incorrect lane changing, passing, and entering and leaving expressways.

The older driver learned to drive during the first forty years of this century, well before the advent of formal driver education programs in the public school systems. The older driver that has completed a formal driver training course is the exception rather than the rule.

These individuals may experience physical changes which affect driving abilities and attitudes. People age at different rates, so age alone is not a fair criterion for determining driving competence. There is no question, however, that driving ability can be affected by the aging process. The gradual failure of sensory acuity associated with aging reduces the quantity and accuracy of information capable of being processed. This reduces the ability of the individual to respond or react to his environment with the speed and judgment current traffic often requires.

Eighty-five to ninety percent of all sensory input needed to drive comes via the eye. Unfortunately, as one ages the need for more illumination increases, glare sensitivity rises, dark adaptation lessens, and peripheral vision narrows. Hearing loss also presents problems for older drivers. It is also broadly accepted that as one ages muscles tend to weaken or atrophy.

Primary Objective: By passage of this legislation, will create an economic incentive for older drivers to take a driver improvement course and thereby improve their driving capabilities. This reduces their chances of accident and accident claim filings, and creates a safer driving environment for all. Notably, the proposed legislation brings this about without cost to the state.

The older driver earns the driver improvement discount by taking a positive, preventive step. This incentive discount is given in addition to any other marketing discounts, such as for non-smokers, seat-belt wearers, those reaching a certain age, etc.

The automobile insurance industry writes policies on accidents per age group, and thus considers older drivers to be a reduced risk because of the fewer miles that they drive. In fact, some insurance companies reduce premiums for drivers after age 55 or 65, much the same as they do for drivers after age 25. The issue is not whether some automobile insurance companies may or may not reduce rates for older drivers as a marketing tool. The primary issue is reducing accidents per mile involving drivers age 55 and older.

Action in other states: Legislation has been enacted in 16 states and the District of Columbia which require all automobile insurance companies conducting business in those states to provide a premium reduction to graduates of state-approved driver improvement courses. The list now includes:

<u>State</u>	<u>Discount</u>	<u>Age</u>	<u>Effective Date</u>
AR	Approp. 5-20%	55+	1981
CT	Approp. Min. 5%	62+	1983
DE	10% on liab. & personal injury protection	16+	1982
DC	Approp. 5-10%	55+	1985
FL	Approp. reduction	65+	1986
KY	Approp. reduction	55+	1984

<u>State</u>	<u>Discount</u>	<u>Age</u>	<u>Effective Date</u>
IL	Approp. 5-10%	55+	1982
LA	Approp. 5-10%	55+	1984
MN	Approp. reduction	65+	1985
ND	Approp. 8-10%	55+	1983
NY	Min. 10% on liability	16+	1981
OK	Approp. reduction	55+	1986
RI	Approp. reduction	55+	1984
TN	Approp. 8-10%	55+	1984
TX	10% of premium	16+	1974
VA	Approp. reduction	55+	1985
WY	Not less than 10% of premium	60+	1983

Many other state legislatures are considering this legislation. It is hoped that will add this legislation to its statutes.

CONCERNS AND RESPONSES REGARDING OLDER
DRIVER DISCOUNT LEGISLATION

Prepared by AARP's State Legislative Committee

- A. CONCERN: Since statistics seem to show drivers age 55 and older among the safest on the road, what is the rationale for legislation that would encourage participation at a driver improvement course?

RESPONSE: The National Safety Council finds that drivers age 55 and older have a poorer accident record, considering the number of miles driven, than do drivers in their middle years. The U. S. Department of Transportation statistics agree: older drivers are at fault more frequently than middle age drivers in accidents and violations reported by law enforcement officials.

It is not enough to consider only age and the number of accidents. From this limited perspective one sees that drivers 55 and older make up 24% of the driving population, yet are involved in only 18% of the accidents. But when driving exposure is considered, measured by the number of miles driven, older drivers have a higher percentage of accidents than their population warrants. Violation and accident rates per mile is higher for the youngest and oldest drivers, and lower for those in the middle range.

Research shows that the aging processes that affect driving generally become significant when persons reach their fifties. The U. S. Department of Transportation recommends that special consideration be given to older drivers when driver improvement courses are developed.

- B. CONCERN: Since a number of driver improvement courses already exist, why aren't older drivers enrolling?

RESPONSE: Older drivers do enroll in driver improvement courses, nationwide and here in . . . Many more will do so, however, when an economic incentive is available through legislative mandate. Experience in other states that have passed this type of legislation has shown that most people need an economic incentive to actively seek improvement of their driving skills. In . . . of our neighboring states, . . . experience with this legislation and . . . of older drivers are now enrolling there. Should . . . enact this bill, there will be accident claim reductions and fewer injuries and fatalities on our roads as well.

- C. CONCERN: It seems that older drivers are being singled out for special consideration. Isn't this age discrimination? Why not allow drivers of all ages to participate in any mandated insurance incentive program?

CONCERNS AND RESPONSES

Page Two

RESPONSE: The American Association of Retired Persons is interested in resolving problems of older persons. However, if will pass legislation allowing discounts for all drivers attending driver improvement courses, we would not be opposed. We have been informed by numerous insurance companies and insurance trade associations that they are against legislation involving the younger driver. In fact they have fought against including drivers below age 55 in many states where legislation has been enacted for drivers age 55 and over. This legislation does not establish a special category of drivers in any discriminating sense. It simply recognizes an area of need and provides an incentive to help older drivers and the insurance industry reduce accidents and accident claims.

- D. CONCERN: Many automobile insurance companies already offer discounts for older drivers based on accidents per age group. Would this legislation jeopardize these discounts?

RESPONSE:

Sixteen states and the District of Columbia have already enacted similar legislation. The discount provided to graduates of approved driver improvement courses is the last discount applied. The automobile insurance industry writes policies on accidents per age group and thus considers older drivers a good risk, due to the fewer miles that they drive. In fact, some insurance companies reduce premiums for drivers after age 55 or 65, much the same as they do for drivers after age 25.

The goal of mandated legislation, however, is to provide an incentive discount on automobile insurance premiums that encourages older drivers to take a driver improvement course and to reduce the chances of accidents and claim filings. The driver earns the discount by taking a positive prevention step, unrelated to any other discount.

The discount given graduates of approved driver education courses is given in addition to any other marketing discounts provided to non smokers, seat belt wearers, those reaching a certain age, etc. None of these marketing discounts was dropped when states mandated this legislation.

- E. CONCERN: Would drivers not involved in driver improvement courses have to subsidize the discount provided to those who complete one of the approved courses by paying higher premiums?

RESPONSE: This has not been the case in the other mandated states. In Texas where the insurance discount has been in effect for 10 years, the phrase used by insurance companies is that the discount is "fully earned". Although most states recently enacted this legislation, it is our belief that time will show an accident and violation reduction. The insurance companies will save money due

CONCERNS AND RESPONSES
Page Three

to fewer accident claim filings. As a consequence drivers not participating in the mandated insurance driver improvement program will not pay a higher premium, but will be encouraged by their insurance companies to enroll in a driver improvement course.

- F. CONCERN: Why must the word mandated to be included in the language? Can't the language be changed to allow voluntary participation?

RESPONSE: Any company may provide a voluntary discount at present. Unfortunately, few have chosen to do so. If all are required to participate, insurance companies have said that they would go along.

- G. CONCERN: The legislation includes the term "appropriate reduction" and contains a retake feature. What does this mean?

RESPONSE: The term "appropriate reduction" would allow competition within the insurance industry of _____ to set the reduction percentage. In other words, if the bill becomes law, no one would dictate the terms of an "appropriate reduction" to the state's insurance industry. Each company in the state would set its own discount rate and be able to raise or lower the percentage each year based on the accident claims experience of policyholders that graduate from approved driver improvement courses.

Experience in other states with similar legislation has demonstrated the competitiveness of this feature. Companies have selectively increased refresher course discounts as a marketing tool to attract new clients.

The insurance trade associations also feel that any individual graduating from an approved course should retake an approved curriculum every two or three years. This keeps information current, and also refreshes the driver on necessary skills and techniques to remember.

- II. CONCERN: What research is available to prove driver improvement courses work?

RESPONSE: Numerous studies have been conducted on the well known courses, and the results demonstrate effectiveness. It is worth noting that no state has rescinded this type of legislation, and to remember the 10 years' experience in Texas that finds discounts to be "fully earned".

The insurance industry, however, considers these evaluations to be limited tests that do not demonstrate 'statistical significance' in their accident or violation reduction findings. In order to

CONCERNS AND RESPONSES
Page Four

satisfy the insurance industry, and demonstrate 'statistical significance', an older driver course evaluation must involve 20,000-30,000 students, randomly assigned to a control group that doesn't take the course and a treatment group that completes the course. Both groups need to be followed for a period of time via questionnaires and a sample of Department of Motor Vehicle records must be accessed to validate self report forms. Financially and logistically, this has proved impossible for course developers. The insurance industry has not been willing to initiate a study of this size or to work with course developers.

I. CONCERN: Does AARP make money on its driver improvement course?

RESPONSE: No. AARP actually subsidizes approximately two-thirds of overall program costs, and charges each participant a minimal fee to offset the balance of expenses. Sponsors' purpose behind driver improvement courses, at least for the major well-known programs, is education rather than financial reward. But AARP is not in a position to speak conclusively for other organizations.

J. CONCERN: Why is it that several of the states which have passed this legislation have only a small percentage of eligible drivers participating to date?

RESPONSE: Although approved courses are widely publicized, they need help from the insurance companies to notify potential participants. Automobile insurance companies doing business in _____ should be requested to notify their eligible policyholders that the discount can be obtained upon completion of an approved course.

K. CONCERN: How would the legislation be implemented?

RESPONSE: In the proposed legislation, an appropriate state agency is designated to select the courses that will be approved for the mandated insurance discount program. In most states the Department of Motor Vehicles has been selected. Program regulations and guidelines are usually drawn up in consultation with officials of states which have already passed similar legislation.

L. CONCERN: Will this legislation cost the state anything?

RESPONSE: There is no fiscal note for the state.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION REGARDING THIS PROGRAM WRITE:

AARP
Traffic & Driver Safety Program
1909 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20049

Summary Analysis 55 Alive/Mature Driving

Rank Among 52
Jurisdictions

AREA	# of 1983 Graduates	# of 1984 Graduates	# of 1985 Graduates	# + or - 1984-1985	% + or - 1984-1985	Penetration Rate (% Grads to 50+ Driver Population)	Rank Among 52 Jurisdictions		
							# Grads	+ #	- %
AREA IX	2,208	3,725	6,212	2,487	67%	.112%	7	6	6
AZ	871	900	1,461	561	62%	.249%	12	21	37
CA	1,212	2,661	4,599	1,938	73%	.099%	6	6	34
HI	113	70	76	6	9%	.051%	47	47	47
NV	12	94	76	-18	-19%	.043%	48	48	50
AREA X	710	1,087	2,973	1,886	174%	.185%	9	9	3
AK	-	28	128	100	357%	.272%	44	38	8
ID	33	19	70	51	268%	.038%	49	41	10
OR	130	390	1,165	775	199%	.209%	15	12	16
WA	547	650	1,610	960	148%	.197%	11	10	25
TOTAL	61,179	60,177	98,941	38,764	64%	.218%			



55 ALIVE/MATURE DRIVING

Background

The AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF RETIRED PERSONS was founded in 1958. Today the Association is the nation's leading nonprofit, nonpartisan organization that provides a vital fellowship for men and women age 50 and over whether they are still actively employed, semi-retired or retired.

Currently the Association's membership stands at just over 19 million and continues to grow at a rate of 200,000 new members each month. Approximately 1 out of every 3 Americans age 50 and over belongs to AARP. For interested members there are more than 5,000 chapters nationwide which work for local community welfare, carry on programs to support the goals of the national organization, and provide educational and social programs.

One of AARP's most significant services is to inform and rally members around legislative issues being considered by older persons.

Recognizing the need to help older drivers improve their skills and prevent traffic accidents, AARP offers 55 ALIVE/MATURE DRIVING to all motorists age 50 and over. The eight hour classroom refresher is the first nationwide, comprehensive curriculum designed especially for the older motorist. 55 ALIVE/MATURE DRIVING is available to both Association members and non-members.

AARP's involvement in Driver Improvement education for older Americans began in 1969. In that year the Association commenced teaching the National Safety Council's (NSC) Defensive Driving Course (DDC) to older Americans nationwide. The program grew dramatically each year and by 1979, when the DDC was phased out, more than 400,000 older Americans had completed the course. In addition, the National Safety Council honored AARP as the number one civilian trainer of drivers every year between 1969 and 1979. The ten consecutive awards cite outstanding contributions to adult driver education.

One reason for the program's extraordinary growth rate was the enthusiastic response from Association members who volunteered to become instructors. More than 4,000 instructors age 50 and over were trained by AARP during the involvement with DDC.

Beneficial as this training effort was, the DDC program had some limitations for older motorists. It was felt that another program was needed. The DDC was not geared to compensate for the age-related physical changes of older persons. It was designed for all drivers age 16 and over. As such, areas which are not seen as serious problems for the older driver are given considerable emphasis. Age-related areas of importance are not covered in detail during the DDC presentation. In developing a specific classroom refresher curriculum for older motorists it was concluded that age-related physical changes, declining perceptual skills, rules of the road, local driving problems and license renewal merited prime consideration.

An additional change deemed necessary was in the manner of program presentation. Older adults learn best and have the greatest retention rate when the opportunity to participate in the learning process is maximized.

Convinced that older drivers should have a training program of their own, AARP decided to create one. Data was collected from all the nation's state departments of motor vehicles, state agencies on aging, state offices of highway safety and state departments of transportation. Along with that canvassing, materials were reviewed and contributions received from Safety Councils, Associations, Universities and various agencies of the federal government. Discussions were held with many of the nation's distinguished traffic safety educators to obtain their views regarding the needs of older drivers. During this process a total of 12 older driver courses were uncovered that had been developed since 1961. Most were shortlived but they were examined for their successes and failures. The result is the first comprehensive driver education program fashioned specifically to meet the needs of older motorists. The new program is titled 55 ALIVE/MATURE DRIVING.

Development

55 ALIVE/MATURE DRIVING concentrates on those driver education concerns important for older Americans.

In the United States, there are approximately 45 million registered drivers age 50 and over. This constitutes 30% of all drivers on the nation's roadways. According to U.S. Government figures this is expected to increase significantly by 1990. It is anticipated that women drivers over age 65 will increase 129% over the next five years.

Drivers over age 50 are a unique population and have specific physiological considerations and driving problems. 55 ALIVE/MATURE DRIVING is aimed at this target population. How do these individuals compare with other age brackets in safe driving practice and incidence of accidents? In order to obtain an accurate picture it is not enough to consider only age and number of accidents, it is necessary to factor in the annual number of miles driven per year. Research shows that the number of annual miles driven by motor vehicle operators begins to decline significantly after age 55. Therefore, an important consideration with regard to the safe driving practices and abilities of older persons is the criteria used to determine accident involvement statistics. The record of the older driver is good when calculated on the basis of accidents per driver. When the same figures are examined on the basis of miles driven annually a different picture emerges. This more significant and meaningful statistic highlights the urgent need for corrective measures to re-educate older drivers.

Since older persons drive fewer miles, corrections must be made for driving exposure. When this factor is included in accident involvement rates, and the involvement per exposure is determined, a U-shaped curve of accidents versus age results. Violation and accident rates per mile are higher for the youngest and oldest drivers and lower for those in the middle ranges. Although one can quibble over the exact placement of the curve, a general "U" configuration has emerged in every major study undertaken during the past 30 years.

The National Safety Council reports that when the number of miles driven is taken into account, drivers age 55 and over have a poorer accident record than drivers in their middle years.

The U.S. Department of Transportation identifies the older driver as being age 60 and older and a group which requires special consideration in the development of driver improvement training procedures. The National Highway Safety Forecast points out that the older driver is adjudged at fault more frequently than middle aged drivers, and due to the population age shifting currently underway in America, is perhaps *one of the fastest growing highway safety problem areas*.

Older drivers do not commit traffic violations such as speeding, drunk driving or reckless driving to any significant degree.

Older drivers do have problems when involved in driving situations requiring quick response, full vision and interaction with other drivers. Typical violations include failure to yield right-of-way, improper turning, incorrect lane changing, passing, and entering and leaving expressways.

The older driver learned to drive during the first forty years of this century, well before the advent of formal driver education programs in the public school systems. The older driver that has completed a formal driver training course is the exception rather than the rule.

These individuals may experience physical changes which affect driving abilities and attitudes. People age at different rates so age alone is not a fair criterion for determining driving competence, and there is no question that driving ability can be affected by the aging process. The gradual failure of sensory acuity associated with aging reduces the quantity and accuracy of information capable of being processed. This reduces the ability of the individual to respond or react to his environment with the speed and judgement current traffic often requires.

Eighty-five to ninety percent of all sensory input needed to drive comes via the eye. Unfortunately, as one ages the need for more illumination increases, glare sensitivity rises, dark adaption lessens, and peripheral vision narrows. Hearing loss also presents problems for older drivers. It is also broadly accepted that as one ages muscles tend to weaken or atrophy.

Research shows that normal age related physical changes begin to accelerate at age 55. Accidents per mile driven begin to increase at this same age. This is the reason we call the course 55 ALIVE/MATURE DRIVING. The course title has nothing to do with the 55 mph speed limit.

We accept all motor vehicle drivers age 50 and over because this action allows the 50-54 year old age group to prepare for the normal age related physical changes and anticipate accident behavior. It gives them a head start.

Curriculum: Edition II

The 55 ALIVE/MATURE DRIVING curriculum consists of six separate sessions. The course is given three sessions at a time over a two day period. Each three session segment lasts four hours.

Session One: Overview

To define course content, a series of slides describes the characteristics of the driver age 55 and over and establishes the relevance of the curriculum that will follow. Group discussion centers on driving frustrations and effects of aging on individual driving behavior.

Session Two: Physical Changes

Discussion is held on the many unrecognized but normal losses in vision, hearing and reaction time as they relate to driving performance. The session concludes with an examination of the effects of alcohol and medication on driving.

Session Three: interacting with Traffic

Basic rules of driving particularly pertinent to the 55+ driver are discussed. These include right-of-way, intersections, turning and passing. A review of the shapes, colors and types of road signs as well as pavement markings is featured.

Session Four: Interacting with Traffic Continued . . . and Safety Belts

A discussion of the rules of the road continues with entering and leaving freeways, parking and backing. Safety belt usage and nonusage is considered as well as what a driver can expect if involved in an accident while not wearing a safety belt.

Session Five: Accident Prevention Measures, Adverse Driving Conditions, Other Road Users and Auto Maintenance

Accident prevention measures and the effect of adverse driving conditions such as night, inclement weather and rush hour driving are considered. Discussion of other road users spotlights pedestrians, bicycles, trucks, motorcycles, towed vehicles, and stray animals. Proper techniques for handling unexpected driving emergencies are reviewed. Fuel economy measures are recommended. Suggestions are made regarding comparison shopping for automobile insurance. The proper way to maintain your automobile is discussed.

Session Six: Perception and Course Wrap-up

A series of slides present perceptual problems likely to be encountered in various driving environments. Group discussion focuses on major driving hazards in specific driving environments. A brief review of the previous sessions conclude the course.

Conduct Of Courses

Courses are conducted by volunteers aged 50 and over utilizing the peer concept. These volunteers are recruited and trained by AARP in a three phase process. An Instructor Training Session provides the overall training to conduct educational discussion groups and review educational learning skills. In the second phase the Instructor's first course is conducted as a practice teaching session in that it is monitored by the trainer. The last phase is an on-going supervision process supplemented by in-service training workshops held regularly. All of these costs are subsidized by the Association.

Each participant in 55 ALIVE/MATURE DRIVING is charged a minimal fee to help offset overall program costs which include instructor recruitment and training, instructor out-of-pocket expenses, ongoing supervisory training nationwide, and program materials and their distribution. AARP subsidizes the remaining expenses amounting to 50%. Each Instructor volunteers his/her time and recruits sponsors who provide a rental free facility and slide projector.

Evaluation

Between 1979 and 1981 55 ALIVE/MATURE DRIVING was subjected to one of the most exhaustive, independent and penetrating evaluations of any driver education curriculum on the market today by the U.S. Department of Transportation.

The two-year evaluation included random assignment of participants into a control group and treat-

ment group. The control group did not take the course but filled out a series of questionnaires, at one year intervals, on their driving behavior and attitudes; personal accident and violation data were requested for the last three years. The control group also completed several knowledge tests during this same period.

The treatment group completed 55 ALIVE/MATURE DRIVING and filled out the same questionnaires as the control group in the same one-year intervals over a two-year period. Project staff accessed state DMV records on a good sample of treatment and control group members to validate the self-report questionnaires completed by both groups.

Evaluation staff members have been concerned from the outset that, due to reduced annual mileage after age 55, older drivers take longer to have accidents, and it would be difficult in this limited evaluation to demonstrate statistical significance in accident and violation reduction. This proved to be the case.

Project researchers found a large and statistically significant increase in knowledge in the treatment group that was retained during the entire evaluation period. Drivers taking the 55 ALIVE/MATURE DRIVING course showed a trend in violation reduction; although numbering several thousand, the evaluation participants were not large enough in numbers to demonstrate statistical significance regarding accidents.

Insurance Reduction

Currently several automobile insurance companies voluntarily provide premium reductions to graduates of 55 ALIVE/MATURE DRIVING in selected states. In addition legislation has been enacted in 14 states and the District of Columbia which require all Automobile Insurance companies conducting business in those states to provide a premium discount to graduates of state-approved Driver Improvement courses. AARP's 55 ALIVE/MATURE DRIVING is approved in every state.

The list now includes:

<i>State</i>	<i>Discount</i>	<i>Age</i>	<i>Effective Date</i>
AR	Approp. 5-20%	55 +	1981
CT	Approp. Min. 5%	62 +	1983
DE	10% on liab. & personal injury protection	16 +	1982
DC	Approp. 5-10%	55 +	1985
KY	Approp. reduction	55 +	1984
IL	Approp. 5-10%	55 +	1982
LA	Approp. 5-10%	55 +	1984
MN	Approp. reduction	65 +	1985
ND	Approp. 8-10%	55 +	1983
NY	Min. 10% on liability	16 +	1981
RI	Approp. reduction	55 +	1984
TN	Approp. 8-10%	55 +	1984
TX	10% of premium	16 +	1974
VA	Approp. reduction	55 +	1985
WY	Not less than 10% of premium	60 +	1983

Many other states are currently considering this mandated legislation.

We know that 55 ALIVE/MATURE DRIVING will substantially improve the driving skills of older motorists. We're convinced the program will help older drivers update driving knowledge, sharpen skills and remain on the road longer. Under this program we will be able to reach out to the entire community and offer the services to all people age 50 and over, not just Association members. As a result, a lot more people will benefit. In fact, we believe in time the program could emerge as a national model for traffic safety officials.

For Further Information Regarding This Program Write:

AARP
 Traffic & Driver Safety Program
 Program Department
 1909 K Street, N.W.
 Washington, DC 20049

STATE OF ALASKA
1988 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

BILL VERSION: SCS CSHB 36(Jud)
PUBLISH DATE: 02/05/88

FISCAL NOTE

REQUEST:

Revision Date: 02/05/88
Title: Motor vehicle insurance policies
to provide reduced rates
Sponsor: Senate Judiciary
Requester: Senate Judiciary

Agency Affected: Commerce & Economic Dev.
BRU: Insurance
Components: Public Protection

EXPENDITURES / REVENUES : (Thousands of Dollars)

OPERATING	FY 88	FY 89	FY 90	FY 91	FY 92	FY 93
PERSONAL SERVICES						
TRAVEL						
CONTRACTUAL						
SUPPLIES						
EQUIPMENT						
LAND & STRUCTURES						
GRANTS, CLAIMS						
MISCELLANEOUS						
TOTAL OPERATING	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

CAPITAL	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
---------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

REVENUE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
---------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

FUNDING: (Thousands of dollars)

GENERAL FUND						
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER						
TOTAL	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

POSITIONS:

FULL-TIME	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
PART-TIME						
TEMPORARY						

ANALYSIS: (Attach a separate page if necessary.)

Prepared by: John L. George, Director *Prepared for John George* Phone: 465-2515
Division: Division of Insurance Date: February 5, 1988
Approved by Commissioner: J. Anthony Smith *James J. Smith* Date: February 8, 1988
Agency: Department of Commerce and Economic Development

Distribution (by preparer):

Legislative Finance
Legislative Sponsor
Requestor
Office of Management and Budget
Impacted Agency(ies)

page 1 of 1

dg1/0644K/020588a

5-0267N ✓
Ford
2/3/88

Original sponsors: Grussendorf and
Gruenberg

1 IN THE HOUSE BY THE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE

2 SENATE CS FOR CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 36 (Judiciary)

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 FIFTEENTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act requiring certain motor vehicle insurance
7 policies to provide reduced rates for certain per-
8 sons; and providing for an effective date."

9 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

10 * Section 1. AS 21.89.020 is amended by adding new subsections to read:

11 (f) An insurer shall provide an appropriate reduction in the
12 premium charged for a motor vehicle casualty insurance policy when the
13 principal operator of the motor vehicle covered by the insurance
14 policy

15 (1) is 55 years of age or older;

16 (2) requests the insurer to provide the reduction;

17 (3) provides the insurer with proof satisfactory to the
18 director that the operator has within the three years before request-
19 ing the reduction taken and successfully completed a motor vehicle
20 accident prevention course approved by the Department of Public Safety
21 under AS 28.05.035; and

22 (4) did not take and complete the accident prevention
23 course described in (3) of this subsection as a result of an order or
24 sentence imposed by a court.

25 (g) An insurer may cancel a rate reduction provided under (f) of
26 this section if during the policy period the principal operator of the
27 insured motor vehicle is

28 (1) involved in an accident caused by the operator; or

29 (2) convicted of a moving traffic violation.

1 (h) The reduced rate provided for an operator under (f) of this
2 section may not extend beyond three years after the last day of the
3 operator's most recently successfully completed motor vehicle accident
4 prevention course described in (f)(3) of this section.

5 * Sec. 2. AS 28.05 is amended by adding a new section to read:

6 Sec. 28.05.035. COMMISSIONER MAY APPROVE ACCIDENT PREVENTION
7 COURSES. For the purposes of AS 21.89.020(f)(3), the commissioner may
8 approve driver education courses intended to prevent motor vehicle
9 accidents and promote safe driving practices.

10 * Sec. 3. APPLICABILITY. This Act applies to policies of motor vehicle
11 casualty insurance entered into or renewed on or after October 1, 1988.

12 * Sec. 4. This Act takes effect October 1, 1988.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

STATE OF ALASKA 1987 LEGISLATIVE SESSION
FISCAL NOTE

REQUEST: _____

Bill Version: CSHB 36(L&C)
Publish Date: HOUSE 2/20/87

Revision Date: _____
Title: An Act requiring certain motor vehicle insurance policies to provide...
Sponsor: Grussendorf
Requestor: House Labor & Commerce Comm.

Agency Affected: Public Safety
BRU: Motor Vehicles
Components: _____

EXPENDITURES/REVENUES: (Thousands of Dollars)

OPERATING	FY 87	FY 88	FY 89	FY 90	FY 91	FY 92
PERSONAL SERVICES						
TRAVEL						
CONTRACTUAL						
SUPPLIES						
EQUIPMENT						
LAND & STRUCTURES						
GRANTS, CLAIMS						
MISCELLANEOUS						
TOTAL OPERATING	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-

CAPITAL						
---------	--	--	--	--	--	--

REVENUE						
---------	--	--	--	--	--	--

FUNDING: (Thousands of Dollars)

GENERAL FUND						
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER						
TOTAL						

POSITIONS:

FULL-TIME						
PART-TIME						
TEMPORARY						

ANALYSIS : (Attach a separate page if necessary)

No fiscal impact on this department.

Prepared by: Bill Brown Phone: 465-4335
Division: Motor Vehicles Date: 1-23-87
Approved by Commissioner: [Signature] Date: 1/23/87
Agency: Public Safety

- Distribution (by preparer):
- Legislative Finance
 - Legislative Sponsor
 - Requestor
 - Office of Management and Budget
 - Impacted Agency(ies)
 - Senate Secretary

JNR
1/23/87

STATE OF ALASKA 1987 LEGISLATIVE SESSION
FISCAL NOTE

REQUEST: _____
 Revision Date: _____
 Title: An Act requiring certain motor vehicle policies to provide reduced rates for certain persons.
 Sponsor: Grussendorf
 Requestor: _____

Bill Version: CSHB 36(L&C)
 Publish Date: HOUSE 2/20/87
 Agency Affected: Commerce & Econ. Dev.
BRU Insurance
 Components: Public Protection

EXPENDITURES/REVENUES: (Thousands of Dollars)

OPERATING	F	FY 88	FY 89	FY 90	FY 91	FY 92
PERSONAL SERVICES						
TRAVEL						
CONTRACTUAL						
SUPPLIES						
EQUIPMENT						
LAND & STRUCTURES						
GRANTS, CLAIMS						
MISCELLANEOUS						
TOTAL OPERATING	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
CAPITAL	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
REVENUE	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-

FUNDING: (Thousands of Dollars)

GENERAL FUND						
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER						
TOTAL	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-

POSITIONS:

FULL-TIME	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
PART-TIME						
TEMPORARY						

ANALYSIS : (Attach a separate page if necessary)

Prepared by: John L. George, Director Phone: 465-2515
 Division: Division of Insurance Date: February 2, 1987

Approved by Commissioner: J. Anthony Smith Date: February, 1987
 Agency: Commerce and Economic Development

Distribution (by preparer):
 Legislative Finance
 Legislative Sponsor
 Requestor
 Office of Management and Budget
 Impacted Agency(ies)
 Senate Secretary

cc.

BILL NO: HB36

DATE: January 23, 1987

TITLE: An Act requiring certain motor vehicle insurance policies to provide reduced rates for certain persons

CONTACT: Bill Brown 465-4335

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY

The bill requires an insurance company to reduce motor vehicle casualty insurance premiums by 10% if an applicant is 55 years of age or older, has recently taken an accident prevention course approved by the Department of Public Safety, and asks for the reduction.

The department currently approves driver improvement courses for a reduction of points under AS 28.15.241(b). Since these courses must meet the criteria set out in Section 2 of this bill, there will be little impact on the department as the same courses would be applicable for the premium reduction.

The department is neutral on this bill.

WILLIAM R. NIX
Acting Commissioner

RECEIVED

backup

BILL NO: SCSCSHB 36(L&C)

DATE: May 13, 1987

TITLE: An Act requiring certain motor vehicle insurance policies to provide reduced rates for certain persons.

CONTACT: Bill Brown
465-4335

MAY 15 1987

DEPARTMENT OF
PUBLIC SAFETY

This bill requires an insurance company to provide an appropriate reduction in the premium charged for motor vehicle casualty insurance if an applicant is 55 years of age or older, has recently taken an accident prevention course approved by the Department of Public Safety, and meets other criteria.

The department currently approves driver improvement courses for a reduction of points under AS 28.15.241(b). Since these courses must meet the criteria set out in Section 2 of this bill, there will be little impact on the department as the same courses would be applicable for the premium reduction.

The department is neutral on this bill.


Arthur English
Commissioner

RECEIVED

STATE OF ALASKA 1987 LEGISLATIVE SESSION
FISCAL NOTE

Bill Version : SCSCSHB 36(L&C)
Publish Date : _____

REQUEST: _____

Revision Date: _____
Title: An Act requiring certain motor vehicle insurance policies to provide...
Sponsor: Grussendorf & Gruenberg
Requestor: Senate Judiciary

Agency Affected: Public Safety
BRU: Motor Vehicles
Components: _____

EXPENDITURES/REVENUES: (Thousands of Dollars)

OPERATING	FY 87	FY 88	FY 89	FY 90	FY 91	FY 92
PERSONAL SERVICES						
TRAVEL						
CONTRACTUAL						
SUPPLIES						
EQUIPMENT						
LAND & STRUCTURES						
GRANTS, CLAIMS						
MISCELLANEOUS						
TOTAL OPERATING	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-

CAPITAL						
---------	--	--	--	--	--	--

REVENUE						
---------	--	--	--	--	--	--

FUNDING: (Thousands of Dollars)

GENERAL FUND						
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER						
TOTAL	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-

POSITIONS:

FULL-TIME						
PART-TIME						
TEMPORARY						

.S : (Attach a separate page if necessary)

No fiscal impact on this department.

JWR
5/13/87

Prepared by: Bill Brown Phone: 465-4335
Division: Motor Vehicles Date: 5-13-87

Approved by Commissioner: [Signature] Date: 5/13/87
Agency: Public Safety

Distribution (by preparer):

- Legislative Finance
- Legislative Sponsor
- Requestor
- Office of Management and Budget
- Impacted Agency(ies)
- Senate Secretary