

7) Bar members have approximately three weeks to complete and return the Bar Poll. (Day 49). The Bar Polls for the current vacancy must be returned by February 18, 1985. The results are tabulated and analyzed within 14 days following the survey return deadline. (Day 63).

8) The candidates are advised of the bar survey results and the report is made public. (Day 63).

9) Applicant files are screened and applicants selected are advised of the time, date and place of their interviews. (Day 63)

10) Interviews are ordinarily held within the next 30 days (Day 70-93). Interviews for the current judicial vacancy are tentatively scheduled to be held on March 27-28, 1985. Council members vote following the interviews. The Governor and the candidates are immediately notified of the Council's vote and a press release is then issued.

11) The following day, the names of nominees are formally submitted to the Governor, along with copies of nominees' applications and a copy of the Bar Survey. The Governor then has up to 45 days to make an appointment from the list.

S B

1 2 5

BILL FILE LOG

BILL # SB 125

2/4 Bill introduced

2/7 Statutory references

2/7 Bill heard in Committee

U  
Sec. 22.10.030. Where actions are to be brought. (a) All actions in ejectment or for the recovery of the possession of, quieting title to, for the partition of, or the enforcement of liens upon, real property shall be commenced in the superior court in the judicial district in which the real property, or any part of it affected by the action, is situated.

(b) If, in a civil action other than one specified in (a) of this section, a defendant can be personally served within a judicial district of the state, the action against that defendant shall be commenced in that judicial district or in the judicial district in which the claim arose.

(c) All prosecutions for crimes and offenses shall be commenced in the judicial district in which the crime or offense was committed.

(d) Subject to AS 22.10.040, a trial and any precedent or antecedent hearings in an action shall be conducted in a senate district within the judicial district at a location which would best serve the convenience of the parties and witnesses. However, if there is any part of more than one senate district within the boundaries of a borough, the trial and related hearings shall be conducted within the borough's boundaries at a location which would best serve the convenience of the parties and witnesses. If the presiding judge of the district determines that there are no facilities, reasonably suited to the purpose, available for the trial or related hearings in the senate district specified in this subsection, the presiding judge may direct the proceedings to be held in the nearest senate district with reasonably suitable facilities.

(e) Actions in cases not covered by this section may be commenced in any judicial district of the state.

(f) Failure to make timely objection to improper venue waives the requirements of this section.

(g) The chief justice of the supreme court may make exceptions to the requirements of this section if, consistent with the state and federal constitutions, the chief justice determines that transportation facilities reasonably require venue in an urban center in an adjoining judicial or senate district. (§ 17(2) ch 50 SLA 1959; am § 1 ch 126 SLA 1971; am § 1 ch 66 SLA 1972; am § 1 ch 137 SLA 1984)

Cross references. — For judicial district in which action may be brought to compel compliance with surface coal mining laws, see AS 27.21.950(d); for commencement of civil actions by persons adversely affected by failure to comply

with Alaska Surface Coal Mining Control and Reclamation Act, see AS 27.21.950(d).

Effect of amendments. — The 1984 amendment, effective July 3, 1984, added subsection (g).

STATE OF ALASKA 1985 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

FISCAL NOTE

Revision Date: \_\_\_\_\_

REQUEST

Bill/Resolution No.: SB125

Title: "An Act relating to setting of venue by supreme court rule"

Sponsor: Senate Judiciary Comm.

Requestor: \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Request: \_\_\_\_\_

FISCAL DETAIL

Agency Affected: Alaska Court System

Program Category Affected: \_\_\_\_\_

BRU, Program or Subprogram(s) Affected: \_\_\_\_\_

EXPENDITURES/REVENUES: (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 85	FY 86	FY 87	FY 88	FY 89	FY 90
<b>OPERATING</b>						
100 PERSONAL SERVICES						
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL						
400 SUPPLIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS						
800 MISCELLANEOUS						
<b>TOTAL OPERATING</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0

<b>CAPITAL</b>						
----------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

<b>REVENUE</b>						
----------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

FUNDING: (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 85	FY 86	FY 87	FY 88	FY 89	FY 90
GENERAL FUND						
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER						
<b>TOTAL</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0

POSITIONS:

	FY 85	FY 86	FY 87	FY 88	FY 89	FY 90
FULL-TIME						
PART-TIME						
TEMPORARY						

ANALYSIS: Attach a separate page if necessary

Prepared By: Roger Lewis, Committee Aide  
 Division: Senate Judiciary Committee

Phone: 465-3717  
 Date: 2/7/85

Approved by Commissioner: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Agency: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: 2/7/85

Distribution (by Agency preparing fiscal note):

- Legislative Finance
- Legislative Sponsor
- Requestor
- Office of Management and Budget
- Impacted Agency(ies)

7/1/84