

# COMMITTEE REPORT

## SENATE

FURTHER:

4/3/86

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Mr. President

The Committee on FINANCE considered SB 413

relating to illegally controlled enterprises and the forfeiture of property that is used in violation of state law; efd.

and (a majority of the committee) (the committee) reports it back with the following recommendations:

- do pass
- do pass with attached amendment(s)
- replace with/or adopt CS for \_\_\_\_\_
- new title
- same title and recommends \_\_\_\_\_
- and attached a "LETTER OF INTENT"  NEW FISCAL NOTE
- reports it back without recommendation
- recommends referral to \_\_\_\_\_ Committee

MEMBERS SIGNING  
DO PASS

MEMBERS HAVING  
OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Chairman

\_\_\_\_\_  
Chairman recommendation

COMMITTEE REPORT  
SENATE

FURTHER: FINANCE

2/14/86

Date 4/2/86

Mr. President

The Committee on JUDICIARY considered SB 413

~~relating to~~ illegally controlled enterprises and the forfeiture of property that is used in violation of state law; efd.

and (a majority of the committee) (the committee) reports it back with the following recommendations:

- do pass
- do pass with attached amendment(s)
- replace with/or adopt CS for \_\_\_\_\_
- new title
- same title and recommends \_\_\_\_\_
- and attached a "LETTER OF INTENT"  NEW FISCAL NOTE
- reports it back without recommendation
- recommends referral to \_\_\_\_\_ Committee

MEMBERS SIGNING  
DO PASS

Jan Parks

Tim Kelly

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

MEMBERS HAVING  
OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS

3 sigler - N/R. Do we really need this type legislation?

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Patrick Boyley

Chairman

DO PASS

Chairman recommendation

Introduced: 2/14/86  
Referred: Judiciary  
and Finance

BY RODEY, FAIKS,  
AND KERTTULA

1 IN THE SENATE

2 SENATE BILL NO. 413

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 FOURTEENTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to illegally controlled enterprises  
7 and the forfeiture of property that is used in vio-  
8 lation of state law; and providing for an effective  
9 date."

10 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

11 \* Section 1. DECLARATION OF LEGISLATIVE PURPOSE. The legislature has  
12 determined that the acquisition, establishment, or operation of legitimate  
13 and illegitimate enterprises in Alaska through a pattern of criminal activ-  
14 ity is inimical to the continued health of our economic and social systems.  
15 The purpose of this Act is to provide appropriate penalties and severe  
16 financial disincentives that can be applied to combat this type of conduct.  
17 The legislature intends that this Act be liberally construed to effectuate  
18 its remedial purpose.

19 \* Sec. 2. AS 11 is amended by adding a new chapter to read:

20 CHAPTER 59. ILLEGALLY CONTROLLED ENTERPRISES.

21 ARTICLE 1. PROHIBITED ACTIVITIES.

22 Sec. 11.59.010. UNLAWFUL ACTS. It is unlawful for a person to

23 (1) acquire or maintain, directly or indirectly, an inter-  
24 est in or control of an enterprise through racketeering;

25 (2) participate in or conduct, directly or indirectly, the  
26 affairs of an enterprise through racketeering; or

27 (3) use or invest property derived, directly or indirectly,  
28 from racketeering, or the proceeds of that property, to acquire or  
29 maintain an interest in or control of an enterprise or to participate  
30

1 in or conduct the affairs of an enterprise.

2 Sec. 11.59.030. PROOF OF RACKETEERING. (a) The instances of  
3 illegal activity used to establish racketeering must include

4 (1) one instance of illegal activity that is in violation  
5 of state law;

6 (2) one instance of illegal activity that occurred after  
7 the effective date of this Act; and

8 (3) one instance of illegal activity that was committed  
9 three years before or after the alleged acquisition or maintenance of  
10 an interest in or control of the enterprise, or the alleged participa-  
11 tion in or conducting of the affairs of the enterprise as described in  
12 AS 11.59.010.

13 (b) The requirements of (a) of this section may be satisfied by  
14 a single instance of illegal activity.

15 (c) Past illegal activity may be used to establish racketeering  
16 if less than five years have elapsed between the date of the most  
17 recent instance of illegal activity and the immediately preceding  
18 instance of illegal activity.

19 (d) Illegal activity that is used to establish racketeering may  
20 be proved by

21 (1) a certified copy of a judgment of conviction;

22 (2) proof beyond a reasonable doubt in a criminal prose-  
23 cution under AS 11.59.040 or 11.59.050; or

24 (3) proof by a preponderance of the evidence in a proceed-  
25 ing under AS 11.59.070 - 11.59.120.

26 (e) For purposes of calculating the three-year period specified  
27 in (a)(3) of this section and the five-year period specified in (c) of  
28 this section, a period of imprisonment, probation, parole, conditional  
29 executive clemency, suspended imposition of sentence, formal deferred

1 prosecution or formal pretrial diversion must be excluded.

2 ARTICLE 2. CRIMES INVOLVING ILLEGALLY  
3 CONTROLLED ENTERPRISES.

4 Sec. 11.59.040. ILLEGAL CONTROL OF AN ENTERPRISE IN THE FIRST  
5 DEGREE. (a) A person commits the crime of illegal control of an  
6 enterprise in the first degree if the person violates AS 11.59.050,  
7 and if one of the instances of illegal activity used to establish  
8 racketeering was

9 (1) an unclassified or class A felony in the state; or

10 (2) a crime in the state or in another jurisdiction having  
11 elements similar to a current class A felony or unclassified felony in  
12 the state.

13 (b) Illegal control of an enterprise in the first degree is an  
14 unclassified felony and is punishable as specified in AS 12.55.125(i).

15 Sec. 11.59.050. ILLEGAL CONTROL OF AN ENTERPRISE IN THE SECOND  
16 DEGREE. (a) A person commits the crime of illegal control of an  
17 enterprise in the second degree if the person violates AS 11.59.010 or  
18 attempts or solicits a violation of AS 11.59.010.

19 (b) Illegal control of an enterprise in the second degree is a  
20 class A felony.

21 Sec. 11.59.060. CHARGING UNDERLYING ACT. In a criminal prose-  
22 cution under AS 11.59.040 or 11.59.050, a violation of a criminal law  
23 that is used to prove racketeering may be charged as a separate count  
24 in the same indictment or information as the violation of AS 11.59.040  
25 or 11.59.050.

26 ARTICLE 3. CIVIL REMEDIES.

27 Sec. 11.59.070. EFFECT OF CONVICTION ON OTHER PROCEEDINGS. A  
28 criminal conviction for a violation of AS 11.59.040 or 11.59.050  
29 estops the defendant from denying the essential allegations of the

1 crime in a subsequent proceeding brought by a party under this chap-  
2 ter, a forfeiture proceeding under AS 09.50, or under another pro-  
3 vision of law.

4 Sec. 11.59.080. CIVIL ACTION FOR TREBLE DAMAGES. (a) A person,  
5 including the state or other governmental agency, that is injured in  
6 business or property by reason of a violation of AS 11.59.010 may  
7 bring an action in the superior court for three times the amount of  
8 damages sustained.

9 Sec. 11.59.090. PROPERTY SUBJECT TO FORFEITURE. Property, or  
10 the proceeds of property, is subject to forfeiture to the state under  
11 AS 09.50 if

12 (1) acquired or maintained in violation of, or in the  
13 course of violating, AS 11.59.010;

14 (2) used or invested in violation of, or in the course of  
15 violating, AS 11.59.010; or

16 (3) derived, directly or indirectly, from racketeering.

17 Sec. 11.59.100. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF. (a) In addition to any  
18 other action authorized by law, the attorney general may bring a  
19 separate ex parte action in the superior court to enjoin a violation  
20 of AS 11.59.010. The superior court may prevent or restrain viola-  
21 tions of AS 11.59.010 by issuing appropriate temporary or permanent  
22 orders that may include divestiture of an interest in an enterprise,  
23 performance bonds, reasonable restrictions on future activities or in-  
24 vestments, the attachment and freezing of assets, prohibitions against  
25 engaging in the same type of activities as the enterprise engaged in,  
26 and dissolution or reorganization of an enterprise, making appropriate  
27 provision for the rights of innocent persons.

28 (b) At any time after a civil or criminal proceeding arising out  
29 of a violation of AS 11.59.010 has been instituted, the superior court

1 may issue appropriate orders and injunctive relief that may include  
2 the remedies listed in (a) of this section, or another order to pre-  
3 vent disposal or diminution in value of property subject to forfeiture  
4 under AS 11.59.090(1) or (2) or subject to a claim for damages under  
5 AS 11.59.080.

6 (c) Upon a criminal conviction or a civil judgment, including an  
7 order of forfeiture, arising out of a violation of AS 11.59.010, the  
8 superior court may issue appropriate orders that may include the  
9 remedies listed in (a) of this section.

10 Sec. 11.59.110. CIVIL INVESTIGATIVE DEMAND. (a) Whenever there  
11 is reason to believe that a person or enterprise may be in possession,  
12 custody, or control of a document or other material that may be rele-  
13 vant to an investigation relating to a violation of AS 11.59.010, the  
14 attorney general may, before the institution of a civil or criminal  
15 proceeding, issue a written investigative demand requiring the produc-  
16 tion of the material for examination.

17 (b) A demand for material must

18 (1) state the nature of the conduct that is under inves-  
19 tigation;

20 (2) describe the class or classes of documentary or other  
21 material to be produced with such definiteness and certainty as to  
22 permit the material to be readily identified; and

23 (3) state that the demand must be complied with immediately  
24 if there is reason to believe that the material sought may be con-  
25 cealed, destroyed, or tampered with, or specify a date that will  
26 provide a reasonable period of time within which the material may be  
27 assembled and made available for inspection and copying or reproduc-  
28 tion.

29 (c) Service of a demand for materials under this section may be

1 made by

2 (1) delivering a copy to a partner, executive officer,  
3 managing agent, or general agent of an enterprise, or to an agent  
4 authorized to receive service of process on behalf of an enterprise,  
5 or to an individual person;

6 (2) delivering a copy to the principal office or place of  
7 business of the person to be served; or

8 (3) depositing a copy in the United States mail, by regis-  
9 tered or certified mail addressed to the principal office or place of  
10 business of the person to be served.

11 (d) A person upon whom a demand issued under this section has  
12 been served shall make the material available for inspection and  
13 copying by the attorney general at the principal place of business of  
14 the person, or at another place the attorney general may direct.  
15 Failure to comply with a civil investigative demand under this section  
16 is punishable in the superior court as contempt, to the same extent as  
17 contempt of an order issued from that court.

18 (e) The attorney general may take physical possession of the  
19 materials produced, and is responsible for their return under this  
20 section. Material may not be made available for examination by an  
21 individual other than the attorney general, without the consent of the  
22 person who produced the material. Under the terms the attorney gen-  
23 eral prescribes, documentary material must be available for examina-  
24 tion by the person who produced the material, or an authorized rep-  
25 resentative of that person.

26 (f) Within 90 days after the production of an original document  
27 or other material, or upon the completion of the investigation for  
28 which the original material was produced under this section, or upon  
29 completion of a case or proceeding arising from an investigation,

1 whichever is sooner, the attorney general shall return all original  
2 material that has not passed into the control of a court or grand  
3 jury. For good cause, the superior court may grant the attorney  
4 general an extension of time to return the material.

5 Sec. 11.59.120. ATTEMPT OR SOLICITATION TO VIOLATE AS 11.59.010.  
6 In AS 11.59.070 - 11.59.120, the term "violation of AS 11.59.010", or  
7 a similar phrase, includes an attempt or solicitation under AS 11.31  
8 to violate AS 11.59.010.

9 ARTICLE 4. GENERAL PROVISIONS.

10 Sec. 11.59.900. DEFINITIONS. (a) In this chapter, unless the  
11 context requires otherwise,

12 (1) "enterprise" includes an individual, partnership,  
13 corporation, association, or other legal entity, and a union or group  
14 of persons associated in fact although not a legal entity;

15 (2) "illegal activity" means

16 (A) a felony against the person under AS 11.41;

17 (B) a crime against property under AS 11.46, punish-  
18 able as a class B felony;

19 (C) a felony against public administration under  
20 AS 11.56, a felony against public order under AS 11.61, a felony  
21 involving alcoholic beverages under AS 04 or a felony involving  
22 securities or takeover bids under AS 45.55 or 45.57;

23 (D) a crime involving controlled substances under  
24 AS 11.71, punishable as an unclassified or class A or B felony;

25 (E) promoting prostitution in the first degree under  
26 AS 11.66.110, promoting gambling in the first degree under  
27 AS 11.66.210; and possession of gambling records in the first  
28 degree under AS 11.66.230;

29 (F) felony conduct that is defined as "racketeering

1 activity" under 18 U.S.C. 1961(1);

2 (3) "property" means a thing of value, including real or  
3 personal property, claims against or interests in business or proper-  
4 ty, contractual rights, securities, income, profits, an interest in an  
5 enterprise, or other business or financial interest;

6 (4) "racketeering" means a pattern of illegal activity that  
7 involves two or more instances of illegal activity.

8 (b) In this section, a "pattern" of illegal activity means that  
9 the instances of illegal activity had the same or similar purposes,  
10 results, victims, participants, or methods of commission, or were  
11 interrelated by distinguishing characteristics.

12 \* Sec. 3. AS 09.50 is amended by adding new sections to read:

13 ARTICLE 7. FORFEITURE.

14 Sec. 09.50.400. PROCEDURES APPLICABLE IN FORFEITURE PROCEEDINGS.

15 The state is authorized to initiate a proceeding to forfeit property  
16 if the property is made subject to forfeiture by state law. Unless  
17 otherwise specifically provided in a state law authorizing forfeiture,  
18 the procedures applicable to the forfeiture of property are specified  
19 in AS 09.50.400 - 09.50.480.

20 Sec. 09.50.410. SEIZURE AND CUSTODY OF PROPERTY. (a) Property

21 may be seized by a peace officer under an order issued by a court upon  
22 a showing of probable cause that the property is subject to forfei-  
23 ture. The property may be seized without a court order if

24 (1) constitutionally permissible or otherwise authorized by  
25 law;

26 (2) the property has been the subject of a judgment in  
27 favor of the state in a forfeiture proceeding; or

28 (3) there is probable cause to believe that the property is  
29 subject to forfeiture and is easily movable; property seized under

1 this paragraph may not be held for more than 48 hours without a court  
2 order, which may be obtained in an ex parte proceeding, based on  
3 probable cause that the property is subject to forfeiture.

4 (b) Property seized under (a) of this section must be held in  
5 the custody of the commissioner of public safety or a municipal law  
6 enforcement agency authorized by the commissioner to retain custody,  
7 subject only to the orders and decrees of the court. If property is  
8 seized under this section, the commissioner of public safety or an  
9 authorized municipal law enforcement agency may

10 (1) place the property under seal;

11 (2) remove the property to a place designated by the court;

12 or

13 (3) take custody of the property and remove it to an appro-  
14 priate location for disposition in accordance with law.

15 (c) Within 10 days after a seizure under this section, the  
16 commissioner of public safety or authorized municipal law enforcement  
17 agency shall make an inventory of property seized, including con-  
18 trolled substances, and shall estimate the value of the items seized  
19 other than controlled substances. In this section, "controlled sub-  
20 stance" includes "imitation controlled substance" as defined in  
21 AS 11.73.099.

22 Sec. 09.50.420. NOTICE OF SEIZURE AND FORFEITURE ACTION; AN-  
23 SWERS. (a) Within 30 days after a seizure under AS 09.50.410, the  
24 commissioner of public safety shall, in a manner authorized for ser-  
25 vice of process under rules of civil procedure, give notice of the  
26 seizure to any person known to have an interest in the property if it  
27 has an estimated value of \$500 or more, or whose interest in the  
28 property is ascertainable from official registration numbers, li-  
29 censes, or other state, federal, or municipal numbers on the property.

1 The notice required by this subsection need not be given if the state  
2 has filed a motion to forfeit or a complaint under AS 09.50.430(a)  
3 within 30 days after seizure of the property.

4 (b) Within 30 days after the filing of a civil in rem action or  
5 a motion to forfeit in a civil or criminal action, the commissioner of  
6 public safety shall,

7 (1) in a manner authorized for service of process under  
8 rules of civil procedure, provide a copy of the complaint or motion to  
9 any person known to have an interest in the property, other than the  
10 defendant, when a motion for forfeiture has been filed in a criminal  
11 proceeding; and

12 (2) begin to publish notice of the action to forfeit prop-  
13 erty with an estimated value of \$500 or more in a newspaper of general  
14 circulation in the judicial district where the property was seized, or  
15 if the property has not been seized, the judicial district where the  
16 forfeiture action was filed; if no newspaper is published in that  
17 judicial district, the notice must be published in a newspaper pub-  
18 lished in the state and distributed in that judicial district; the  
19 notice must be published once each week during four consecutive calen-  
20 dar weeks.

21 (c) Upon service of process or publication under (b) of this  
22 section, a person claiming an interest in the property, or a defendant  
23 in a criminal proceeding who has been served with a motion to forfeit,  
24 shall file an answer within the time permitted for answering civil  
25 complaints under applicable rules of civil procedure. The answer must  
26 set out the reasons why the property is not subject to forfeiture or  
27 why the claimant is entitled to remission under AS 09.50.470. The  
28 answer must include the nature of the claimant's interest in the  
29 property, the date it was acquired, the consideration paid, and the

1 circumstances under which it was acquired. If an answer is not filed  
2 within the required time period, the property must be forfeited to the  
3 state without further proceedings or showings.

4 (d) The notice requirements of this section do not apply to  
5 controlled substances under AS 11.71 or imitation controlled sub-  
6 stances under AS 11.73.

7 Sec. 09.50.430. PROCEEDINGS RESULTING IN FORFEITURE; BURDEN OF  
8 PROOF. (a) A forfeiture proceeding is initiated by the state by the  
9 filing of a motion to forfeit in a criminal case or in a civil pro-  
10 ceeding relating to the conduct making the property subject to forfei-  
11 ture, or by the filing of a complaint in a separate in rem proceeding.

12 (b) Questions of fact or law in a forfeiture proceeding under  
13 this section must be determined by the court sitting without a jury.  
14 In a forfeiture proceeding the state must prove by a preponderance of  
15 the evidence that the property is subject to forfeiture under the law  
16 authorizing forfeiture. A forfeiture proceeding, including discovery,  
17 may be held in abeyance until the conclusion of a pending criminal  
18 action relating to the conduct making the property subject to forfei-  
19 ture.

20 Sec. 09.50.440. DEFENSES EXEMPTED. It is not a defense to a  
21 proceeding to forfeit property under AS 09.50.430 that a criminal  
22 proceeding has resulted in a conviction of a lesser included offense  
23 or an acquittal.

24 Sec. 09.50.450. PETITION FOR RELEASE OF SEIZED PROPERTY. (a) A  
25 claimant may at any time petition the court for release of property  
26 seized under AS 09.50.410 if the claimant

27 (1) has filed a timely answer under AS 09.50.420(c); or

28 (2) before the initiation of a forfeiture action, files a  
29 notice of claim setting out the nature of the claimant's interest in

1 the property, the date it was acquired, the consideration paid, and  
2 the circumstances under which it was acquired.

3 (b) The court may release property that is not likely to be used  
4 as evidence by the state or a defendant in a criminal proceeding, or  
5 by any party in a civil proceeding, if

6 (1) the claimant gives adequate assurance that the property  
7 will remain subject to the court's jurisdiction;

8 (2) the court finds that the release is in the best inter-  
9 ests of the state; and

10 (3) the claimant provides a bond or other valid and equiva-  
11 lent security equal to twice the estimated value of the property.

12 Sec. 09.50.460. PETITION FOR DISPOSITION OF SEIZED PROPERTY.

13 (a) The state may petition the court for disposition of seized prop-  
14 erty before the termination of court proceedings. A claimant may also  
15 seek a petition for disposition before the termination of court pro-  
16 ceedings if the claimant

17 (1) has filed a timely answer under AS 09.50.420(c); or

18 (2) before the initiation of a forfeiture action, files a  
19 notice of claim setting out the nature of the claimant's interest in  
20 the property, the date it was acquired, the consideration paid, and  
21 the circumstances under which it was acquired.

22 (b) The court may grant a petition for disposition if the prop-  
23 erty is not likely to be used as evidence by the state or a defendant  
24 in a criminal proceeding, or by a party in a civil proceeding, and the  
25 court finds that the disposition is in the best interests of the state  
26 and the preservation and maintenance of the value of the property  
27 seized. Proceeds from the disposition plus interest to the date of  
28 termination of the court proceedings become the subject of the forfei-  
29 ture action.

1           Sec. 09.50.470. FORFEITURE AND REMISSION. (a) Once the state  
2 has established that property is subject to forfeiture under the law  
3 authorizing forfeiture, the property must be forfeited to the state,  
4 except that a claimant who has filed an answer under AS 09.50.420(c)  
5 may prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the claimant is  
6 entitled to remission because the claimant

7           (1) has a valid interest in the property, acquired in good  
8 faith;

9           (2) did not participate in the conduct that resulted in the  
10 property being subject to forfeiture; and

11           (3) did not know or have reasonable cause to believe that  
12 the property had been or would be used or derived in a manner making  
13 the property subject to forfeiture.

14           (b) Upon a showing that a claimant is entitled to remission  
15 under (a) of this section, the court shall order that

16           (1) if the claimant is entitled to the property, it must be  
17 delivered to the claimant immediately;

18           (2) if the claimant is entitled to some value less than the  
19 total value of the property, the claimant may choose to receive either  
20 the value of the interest or, upon payment of the difference in value,  
21 the entire property.

22           (c) The court may, as part of a sentence, or as a condition of a  
23 probation or suspended imposition of sentence, order the payment of  
24 reasonable maintenance, storage, disposal, publication, attorney fees,  
25 or other costs associated with the forfeiture or remission of prop-  
26 erty.

27           Sec. 09.50.480. STATE DISPOSAL OF FORFEITED PROPERTY. Property  
28 forfeited under this chapter, other than controlled substances, must  
29 be disposed of by the commissioner of administration in accordance

1 with applicable law. Controlled substances and imitation controlled  
2 substances must be disposed of under AS 17.30.126. The commissioner  
3 of administration may, consistent with other applicable law,

4 (1) destroy property harmful to the public;

5 (2) sell the property and use the proceeds for payment of  
6 all proper expenses of the proceedings for forfeiture and sale, in-  
7 cluding expenses of seizure, custody, and court costs;

8 (3) take custody of the property and authorize its use in  
9 the enforcement of the law or transfer it to another agency of the  
10 state or a political subdivision of the state for a use in furtherance  
11 of the administration of justice;

12 (4) take custody of the property and remove it for disposi-  
13 tion in accordance with law;

14 (5) forward it to the United States Department of Justice  
15 for disposition; or

16 (6) transfer ownership of an aircraft to the Alaska Wing,  
17 Civil Air Patrol.

18 \* Sec. 4. AS 11.41.520 is amended by adding a new subsection to read:

19 (e) As used in this section, "obtains the property of another"  
20 includes the collection of a debt that was undertaken with the express  
21 or implied understanding between the debtor and the creditor that  
22 delay in making repayment, or failure to make repayment, could result  
23 in commission of any of the acts described in (a)(1) - (7) of this  
24 section.

25 \* Sec. 5. AS 11.66.270 is amended to read:

26 Sec. 11.66.270. FORFEITURE. If used in violation of AS 11.66.-  
27 200 - 11.66.280, the following property is subject to forfeiture under  
28 AS 09.50 [SHALL BE FORFEITED]:

29 (1) a gambling device or gambling record;

1 (2) money, not found on the person, used as a bet or stake;

2 (3) money used as a bet or stake which is found on the  
3 person of one who conducts, finances, manages, supervises, directs, or  
4 owns all or part of an unlawful gambling enterprise.

5 \* Sec. 6. AS 11.73.060(a) is amended to read:

6 (a) Property used during or in aid of a violation of this chap-  
7 ter may be forfeited to the state to the extent permitted under and in  
8 accordance with the provisions of AS 09.50 and AS 17.30.110 - 17.30.-  
9 126.

10 \* Sec. 7. AS 12.55.035(b) is amended to read:

11 (b) Upon conviction of an offense, a defendant who is not an  
12 organization may be sentenced to pay, unless otherwise specified in  
13 the provision of law defining the offense, a fine of no more than

14 (1) \$75,000 for an unclassified felony [MURDER IN THE FIRST  
15 OR SECOND DEGREE, SEXUAL ASSAULT IN THE FIRST DEGREE, KIDNAPPING, OR  
16 MISCONDUCT INVOLVING A CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE IN THE FIRST DEGREE];

17 (2) \$50,000 for a class A, B, or C felony;

18 (3) \$5,000 for a class A misdemeanor;

19 (4) \$1,000 for a class B misdemeanor;

20 (5) \$300 for a violation.

21 \* Sec. 8. AS 12.55.125(i) is amended to read:

22 (i) A defendant convicted of illegal control of an enterprise in  
23 the first degree, sexual assault in the first degree or sexual abuse  
24 of a minor in the first degree may be sentenced to a definite term of  
25 imprisonment of not more than 30 years, and shall be sentenced to the  
26 following presumptive terms, subject to adjustment as provided in  
27 AS 12.55.155 - 12.55.175:

28 (1) if the offense is a first felony conviction and does  
29 not involve circumstances described in (2) of this subsection, eight

1 years;

2 (2) if the offense is a first felony conviction, and the  
3 defendant possessed a firearm, used a dangerous instrument, or caused  
4 serious physical injury during the commission of the offense, 10  
5 years;

6 (3) if the offense is a second felony conviction, 15 years;

7 (4) if the offense is a third felony conviction, 25 years.

8 \* Sec. 9. AS 17.30.110 is repealed and reenacted to read:

9 Sec. 17.30.110. ITEMS SUBJECT TO FORFEITURE. (a) The following  
10 property is subject to forfeiture under AS 09.50 and AS 17.30.126:

11 (1) a controlled substance that has been manufactured,  
12 distributed, dispensed, acquired, or possessed in violation of this  
13 chapter or AS 11.71;

14 (2) raw materials, products, and equipment that are used or  
15 intended for use in manufacturing, distributing, compounding, process-  
16 ing, delivering, importing, or exporting a controlled substance in  
17 violation of this chapter or AS 11.71;

18 (3) property that is used or intended for use as a con-  
19 tainer for property described in (1) or (2) of this section;

20 (4) a conveyance, including but not limited to aircraft,  
21 vehicles, or vessels, that has been used or is intended for use in  
22 transporting or in any manner in facilitating the transportation,  
23 sale, receipt, possession, or concealment of property described in (1)  
24 or (2) of this section in violation of a felony offense under this  
25 chapter or AS 11.71;

26 (5) books, records, and research products and materials,  
27 including formulas, microfilm, tapes, and data, that are used in  
28 violation of this chapter or AS 11.71;

29 (6) money, securities, negotiable instruments, or other

1 property

2 (A) furnished by a person in exchange for a controlled  
3 substance in violation of this chapter or AS 11.71;

4 (B) used to facilitate a violation of this chapter or  
5 AS 11.71; or

6 (C) that constitute proceeds derived from a violation  
7 of this chapter or AS 11.71; and

8 (7) a firearm carried during, or used in furtherance of a  
9 violation of this chapter or AS 11.71.

10 (b) In this section, "violation of this chapter or AS 11.71"  
11 includes an attempt or solicitation under AS 11.31 to violate this  
12 chapter or AS 11.71.

13 \* Sec. 10. AS 17.30.126 is amended by adding a new subsection to read:

14 (c) As used in this section, "controlled substance" includes  
15 "imitation controlled substance" as defined in AS 11.73.099.

16 \* Sec. 11. AS 17.30.112 - 17.30.124 are repealed.

17 \* Sec. 12. This Act takes effect January 1, 1987.

ALASKA STATE LEGISLATURE

.14th... Legislature ..2nd... Session

SENATE BILL..... NO. ...413.

By RODEY... FAIKS... KERTTULA.....

"An Act relating to illegally controlled enterprises and the forfeiture of property that is used in violation of state law; and providing for an effective date."

Introduced in the Senate .2/14...., 1986.

HISTORY IN THE SENATE

1986

Read first time and referred to Committee on

14 JUDICIARY, FINANCE

3 Reported back with *Judiciary* recommendation that *3 do pass*  
*1 no rec, to Finance.*  
*Fin.*

Read second time and

Read third time and

PASS	Effective Date
Yeas	Yeas
Nays	Nays
Absent	Absent
Excused	Excused

Reconsideration

PASS	Effective Date
Yeas	Yeas
Nays	Nays
Absent	Absent
Excused	Excused

Reported correctly engrossed  
Signed by President  
Sent to House

SECRETARY OF THE SENATE

HISTORY IN THE HOUSE

19

Read first time and referred to Committee on

Reported back with recommendation that

Read second time and

Read third time and

PASS	Effective Date
Yeas	Yeas
Nays	Nays
Absent	Absent
Excused	Excused

Reconsideration

PASS	Effective Date
Yeas	Yeas
Nays	Nays
Absent	Absent
Excused	Excused

Reported correctly engrossed  
Signed by Speaker  
Returned to Senate

CHIEF CLERK OF THE HOUSE

HISTORY IN THE SENATE

19

Received from House

To enrolling

Reported correctly enrolled

Sent to Governor

..... by Governor

Filed with Lt. Governor

Chapter No. ....

Introduced: 2/14/86  
Referred: Judiciary  
and Finance

BY RODEY, FAKS,  
AND KERTTULA

1 IN THE SENATE

2 SENATE BILL NO. 413

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 FOURTEENTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to illegally controlled enterprises  
7 and the forfeiture of property that is used in vio-  
8 lation of state law; and providing for an effective  
9 date."

10 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

11 \* Section 1. DECLARATION OF LEGISLATIVE PURPOSE. The legislature has  
12 determined that the acquisition, establishment, or operation of legitimate  
13 and illegitimate enterprises in Alaska through a pattern of criminal activ-  
14 ity is inimical to the continued health of our economic and social systems.  
15 The purpose of this Act is to provide appropriate penalties and severe  
16 financial disincentives that can be applied to combat this type of conduct.  
17 The legislature intends that this Act be liberally construed to effectuate  
18 its remedial purpose.

19 \* Sec. 2. AS 11 is amended by adding a new chapter to read:

20 CHAPTER 59. ILLEGALLY CONTROLLED ENTERPRISES.

21 ARTICLE 1. PROHIBITED ACTIVITIES.

22 Sec. 11.59.010. UNLAWFUL ACTS. It is unlawful for a person to

23 (1) acquire or maintain, directly or indirectly, an inter-  
24 est in or control of an enterprise through racketeering;

25 (2) participate in or conduct, directly or indirectly, the  
26 affairs of an enterprise through racketeering; or

27 (3) use or invest property derived, directly or indirectly,  
28 from racketeering, or the proceeds of that property, to acquire or  
29 maintain an interest in or control of an enterprise or to participate

1 in or conduct the affairs of an enterprise.

2 Sec. 11.59.030. PROOF OF RACKETEERING. (a) The instances of  
3 illegal activity used to establish racketeering must include

4 (1) one instance of illegal activity that is in violation  
5 of state law;

6 (2) one instance of illegal activity that occurred after  
7 the effective date of this Act; and

8 (3) one instance of illegal activity that was committed  
9 three years before or after the alleged acquisition or maintenance of  
10 an interest in or control of the enterprise, or the alleged participa-  
11 tion in or conducting of the affairs of the enterprise as described in  
12 AS 11.59.010.

13 (b) The requirements of (a) of this section may be satisfied by  
14 a single instance of illegal activity.

15 (c) Past illegal activity may be used to establish racketeering  
16 if less than five years have elapsed between the date of the most  
17 recent instance of illegal activity and the immediately preceding  
18 instance of illegal activity.

19 (d) Illegal activity that is used to establish racketeering may  
20 be proved by

21 (1) a certified copy of a judgment of conviction;

22 (2) proof beyond a reasonable doubt in a criminal prose-  
23 cution under AS 11.59.040 or 11.59.050; or

24 (3) proof by a preponderance of the evidence in a proceed-  
25 ing under AS 11.59.070 - 11.59.120.

26 (e) For purposes of calculating the three-year period specified  
27 in (a)(3) of this section and the five-year period specified in (c) of  
28 this section, a period of imprisonment, probation, parole, conditional  
29 executive clemency, suspended imposition of sentence, formal deferred

1 prosecution or formal pretrial diversion must be excluded.

2 ARTICLE 2. CRIMES INVOLVING ILLEGALLY  
3 CONTROLLED ENTERPRISES.

4 Sec. 11.59.040. ILLEGAL CONTROL OF AN ENTERPRISE IN THE FIRST  
5 DEGREE. (a) A person commits the crime of illegal control of an  
6 enterprise in the first degree if the person violates AS 11.59.050,  
7 and if one of the instances of illegal activity used to establish  
8 racketeering was

- 9 (1) an unclassified or class A felony in the state; or  
10 (2) a crime in the state or in another jurisdiction having  
11 elements similar to a current class A felony or unclassified felony in  
12 the state.

13 (b) Illegal control of an enterprise in the first degree is an  
14 unclassified felony and is punishable as specified in AS 12.55.125(i).

15 Sec. 11.59.050. ILLEGAL CONTROL OF AN ENTERPRISE IN THE SECOND  
16 DEGREE. (a) A person commits the crime of illegal control of an  
17 enterprise in the second degree if the person violates AS 11.59.010 or  
18 attempts or solicits a violation of AS 11.59.010.

19 (b) Illegal control of an enterprise in the second degree is a  
20 class A felony.

21 Sec. 11.59.060. CHARGING UNDERLYING ACT. In a criminal prose-  
22 cution under AS 11.59.040 or 11.59.050, a violation of a criminal law  
23 that is used to prove racketeering may be charged as a separate count  
24 in the same indictment or information as the violation of AS 11.59.040  
25 or 11.59.050.

26 ARTICLE 3. CIVIL REMEDIES.

27 Sec. 11.59.070. EFFECT OF CONVICTION ON OTHER PROCEEDINGS. A  
28 criminal conviction for a violation of AS 11.59.040 or 11.59.050  
29 estops the defendant from denying the essential allegations of the

1 crime in a subsequent proceeding brought by a party under this chap-  
2 ter, a forfeiture proceeding under AS 09.50, or under another pro-  
3 vision of law.

4 Sec. 11.59.080. CIVIL ACTION FOR TREBLE DAMAGES. (a) A person,  
5 including the state or other governmental agency, that is injured in  
6 business or property by reason of a violation of AS 11.59.010 may  
7 bring an action in the superior court for three times the amount of  
8 damages sustained.

9 Sec. 11.59.090. PROPERTY SUBJECT TO FORFEITURE. Property, or  
10 the proceeds of property, is subject to forfeiture to the state under  
11 AS 09.50 if

12 (1) acquired or maintained in violation of, or in the  
13 course of violating, AS 11.59.010;

14 (2) used or invested in violation of, or in the course of  
15 violating, AS 11.59.010; or

16 (3) derived, directly or indirectly, from racketeering.

17 Sec. 11.59.100. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF. (a) In addition to any  
18 other action authorized by law, the attorney general may bring a  
19 separate ex parte action in the superior court to enjoin a violation  
20 of AS 11.59.010. The superior court may prevent or restrain viola-  
21 tions of AS 11.59.010 by issuing appropriate temporary or permanent  
22 orders that may include divestiture of an interest in an enterprise,  
23 performance bonds, reasonable restrictions on future activities or in-  
24 vestments, the attachment and freezing of assets, prohibitions against  
25 engaging in the same type of activities as the enterprise engaged in,  
26 and dissolution or reorganization of an enterprise, making appropriate  
27 provision for the rights of innocent persons.

28 (b) At any time after a civil or criminal proceeding arising out  
29 of a violation of AS 11.59.010 has been instituted, the superior court

1 may issue appropriate orders and injunctive relief that may include  
2 the remedies listed in (a) of this section, or another order to pre-  
3 vent disposal or diminution in value of property subject to forfeiture  
4 under AS 11.59.090(1) or (2) or subject to a claim for damages under  
5 AS 11.59.080.

6 (c) Upon a criminal conviction or a civil judgment, including an  
7 order of forfeiture, arising out of a violation of AS 11.59.010, the  
8 superior court may issue appropriate orders that may include the  
9 remedies listed in (a) of this section.

10 Sec. 11.59.110. CIVIL INVESTIGATIVE DEMAND. (a) Whenever there  
11 is reason to believe that a person or enterprise may be in possession,  
12 custody, or control of a document or other material that may be rele-  
13 vant to an investigation relating to a violation of AS 11.59.010, the  
14 attorney general may, before the institution of a civil or criminal  
15 proceeding, issue a written investigative demand requiring the produc-  
16 tion of the material for examination.

17 (b) A demand for material must

18 (1) state the nature of the conduct that is under inves-  
19 tigation;

20 (2) describe the class or classes of documentary or other  
21 material to be produced with such definiteness and certainty as to  
22 permit the material to be readily identified; and

23 (3) state that the demand must be complied with immediately  
24 if there is reason to believe that the material sought may be con-  
25 cealed, destroyed, or tampered with, or specify a date that will  
26 provide a reasonable period of time within which the material may be  
27 assembled and made available for inspection and copying or reproduc-  
28 tion.

29 (c) Service of a demand for materials under this section may be

1 made by

2 (1) delivering a copy to a partner, executive officer,  
3 managing agent, or general agent of an enterprise, or to an agent  
4 authorized to receive service of process on behalf of an enterprise,  
5 or to an individual person;

6 (2) delivering a copy to the principal office or place of  
7 business of the person to be served; or

8 (3) depositing a copy in the United States mail, by regis-  
9 tered or certified mail addressed to the principal office or place of  
10 business of the person to be served.

11 (d) A person upon whom a demand issued under this section has  
12 been served shall make the material available for inspection and  
13 copying by the attorney general at the principal place of business of  
14 the person, or at another place the attorney general may direct.  
15 Failure to comply with a civil investigative demand under this section  
16 is punishable in the superior court as contempt, to the same extent as  
17 contempt of an order issued from that court.

18 (e) The attorney general may take physical possession of the  
19 materials produced, and is responsible for their return under this  
20 section. Material may not be made available for examination by an  
21 individual other than the attorney general, without the consent of the  
22 person who produced the material. Under the terms the attorney gen-  
23 eral prescribes, documentary material must be available for examina-  
24 tion by the person who produced the material, or an authorized rep-  
25 resentative of that person.

26 (f) Within 90 days after the production of an original document  
27 or other material, or upon the completion of the investigation for  
28 which the original material was produced under this section, or upon  
29 completion of a case or proceeding arising from an investigation,

1           whichever is sooner, the attorney general shall return all original  
2 material that has not passed into the control of a court or grand  
3 jury. For good cause, the superior court may grant the attorney  
4 general an extension of time to return the material.

5           Sec. 11.59.120. ATTEMPT OR SOLICITATION TO VIOLATE AS 11.59.010.  
6 In AS 11.59.070 - 11.59.120, the term "violation of AS 11.59.010", or  
7 a similar phrase, includes an attempt or solicitation under AS 11.31  
8 to violate AS 11.59.010.

9                                   ARTICLE 4. GENERAL PROVISIONS.

10           Sec. 11.59.900. DEFINITIONS. (a) In this chapter, unless the  
11 context requires otherwise,

12                           (1) "enterprise" includes an individual, partnership,  
13 corporation, association, or other legal entity, and a union or group  
14 of persons associated in fact although not a legal entity;

15                           (2) "illegal activity" means

16                                   (A) a felony against the person under AS 11.41;

17                                   (B) a crime against property under AS 11.46, punish-  
18 able as a class B felony;

19                                   (C) a felony against public administration under  
20 AS 11.56, a felony against public order under AS 11.61, a felony  
21 involving alcoholic beverages under AS 04 or a felony involving  
22 securities or takeover bids under AS 45.55 or 45.57;

23                                   (D) a crime involving controlled substances under  
24 AS 11.71, punishable as an unclassified or class A or B felony;

25                                   (E) promoting prostitution in the first degree under  
26 AS 11.66.110, promoting gambling in the first degree under  
27 AS 11.66.210; and possession of gambling records in the first  
28 degree under AS 11.66.230;

29                                   (F) felony conduct that is defined as "racketeering

1 activity" under 18 U.S.C. 1961(1);

2 (3) "property" means a thing of value, including real or  
3 personal property, claims against or interests in business or proper-  
4 ty, contractual rights, securities, income, profits, an interest in an  
5 enterprise, or other business or financial interest;

6 (4) "racketeering" means a pattern of illegal activity that  
7 involves two or more instances of illegal activity.

8 (b) In this section, a "pattern" of illegal activity means that  
9 the instances of illegal activity had the same or similar purposes,  
10 results, victims, participants, or methods of commission, or were  
11 interrelated by distinguishing characteristics.

12 \* Sec. 3. AS 09.50 is amended by adding new sections to read:

13 ARTICLE 7. FORFEITURE.

14 Sec. 09.50.400. PROCEDURES APPLICABLE IN FORFEITURE PROCEEDINGS.

15 The state is authorized to initiate a proceeding to forfeit property  
16 if the property is made subject to forfeiture by state law. Unless  
17 otherwise specifically provided in a state law authorizing forfeiture,  
18 the procedures applicable to the forfeiture of property are specified  
19 in AS 09.50.400 - 09.50.480.

20 Sec. 09.50.410. SEIZURE AND CUSTODY OF PROPERTY. (a) Property  
21 may be seized by a peace officer under an order issued by a court upon  
22 a showing of probable cause that the property is subject to forfei-  
23 ture. The property may be seized without a court order if

24 (1) constitutionally permissible or otherwise authorized by  
25 law;

26 (2) the property has been the subject of a judgment in  
27 favor of the state in a forfeiture proceeding; or

28 (3) there is probable cause to believe that the property is  
29 subject to forfeiture and is easily movable; property seized under

1 this paragraph may not be held for more than 48 hours without a court  
2 order, which may be obtained in an ex parte proceeding, based on  
3 probable cause that the property is subject to forfeiture.

4 (b) Property seized under (a) of this section must be held in  
5 the custody of the commissioner of public safety or a municipal law  
6 enforcement agency authorized by the commissioner to retain custody,  
7 subject only to the orders and decrees of the court. If property is  
8 seized under this section, the commissioner of public safety or an  
9 authorized municipal law enforcement agency may

10 (1) place the property under seal;

11 (2) remove the property to a place designated by the court;

12 or

13 (3) take custody of the property and remove it to an appro-  
14 priate location for disposition in accordance with law.

15 (c) Within 10 days after a seizure under this section, the  
16 commissioner of public safety or authorized municipal law enforcement  
17 agency shall make an inventory of property seized, including con-  
18 trolled substances, and shall estimate the value of the items seized  
19 other than controlled substances. In this section, "controlled sub-  
20 stance" includes "imitation controlled substance" as defined in  
21 AS 11.73.099.

22 Sec. 09.50.420. NOTICE OF SEIZURE AND FORFEITURE ACTION; AN-  
23 SWERS. (a) Within 30 days after a seizure under AS 09.50.410, the  
24 commissioner of public safety shall, in a manner authorized for ser-  
25 vice of process under rules of civil procedure, give notice of the  
26 seizure to any person known to have an interest in the property if it  
27 has an estimated value of \$500 or more, or whose interest in the  
28 property is ascertainable from official registration numbers, li-  
29 censes, or other state, federal, or municipal numbers on the property.

1 The notice required by this subsection need not be given if the state  
2 has filed a motion to forfeit or a complaint under AS 09.50.430(a)  
3 within 30 days after seizure of the property.

4 (b) Within 30 days after the filing of a civil in rem action or  
5 a motion to forfeit in a civil or criminal action, the commissioner of  
6 public safety shall,

7 (1) in a manner authorized for service of process under  
8 rules of civil procedure, provide a copy of the complaint or motion to  
9 any person known to have an interest in the property, other than the  
10 defendant, when a motion for forfeiture has been filed in a criminal  
11 proceeding; and

12 (2) begin to publish notice of the action to forfeit prop-  
13 erty with an estimated value of \$500 or more in a newspaper of general  
14 circulation in the judicial district where the property was seized, or  
15 if the property has not been seized, the judicial district where the  
16 forfeiture action was filed; if no newspaper is published in that  
17 judicial district, the notice must be published in a newspaper pub-  
18 lished in the state and distributed in that judicial district; the  
19 notice must be published once each week during four consecutive calen-  
20 dar weeks.

21 (c) Upon service of process or publication under (b) of this  
22 section, a person claiming an interest in the property, or a defendant  
23 in a criminal proceeding who has been served with a motion to forfeit,  
24 shall file an answer within the time permitted for answering civil  
25 complaints under applicable rules of civil procedure. The answer must  
26 set out the reasons why the property is not subject to forfeiture or  
27 why the claimant is entitled to remission under AS 09.50.470. The  
28 answer must include the nature of the claimant's interest in the  
29 property, the date it was acquired, the consideration paid, and the

1 circumstances under which it was acquired. If an answer is not filed  
2 within the required time period, the property must be forfeited to the  
3 state without further proceedings or showings.

4 (d) The notice requirements of this section do not apply to  
5 controlled substances under AS 11.71 or imitation controlled sub-  
6 stances under AS 11.73.

7 Sec. 09.50.430. PROCEEDINGS RESULTING IN FORFEITURE; BURDEN OF  
8 PROOF. (a) A forfeiture proceeding is initiated by the state by the  
9 filing of a motion to forfeit in a criminal case or in a civil pro-  
10 ceeding relating to the conduct making the property subject to forfei-  
11 ture, or by the filing of a complaint in a separate in rem proceeding.

12 (b) Questions of fact or law in a forfeiture proceeding under  
13 this section must be determined by the court sitting without a jury.  
14 In a forfeiture proceeding the state must prove by a preponderance of  
15 the evidence that the property is subject to forfeiture under the law  
16 authorizing forfeiture. A forfeiture proceeding, including discovery,  
17 may be held in abeyance until the conclusion of a pending criminal  
18 action relating to the conduct making the property subject to forfei-  
19 ture.

20 Sec. 09.50.440. DEFENSES EXEMPTED. It is not a defense to a  
21 proceeding to forfeit property under AS 09.50.430 that a criminal  
22 proceeding has resulted in a conviction of a lesser included offense  
23 or an acquittal.

24 Sec. 09.50.450. PETITION FOR RELEASE OF SEIZED PROPERTY. (a) A  
25 claimant may at any time petition the court for release of property  
26 seized under AS 09.50.410 if the claimant

27 (1) has filed a timely answer under AS 09.50.420(c); or

28 (2) before the initiation of a forfeiture action, files a  
29 notice of claim setting out the nature of the claimant's interest in

1 the property, the date it was acquired, the consideration paid, and  
2 the circumstances under which it was acquired.

3 (b) The court may release property that is not likely to be used  
4 as evidence by the state or a defendant in a criminal proceeding, or  
5 by any party in a civil proceeding, if

6 (1) the claimant gives adequate assurance that the property  
7 will remain subject to the court's jurisdiction;

8 (2) the court finds that the release is in the best inter-  
9 ests of the state; and

10 (3) the claimant provides a bond or other valid and equiva-  
11 lent security equal to twice the estimated value of the property.

12 Sec. 09.50.460. PETITION FOR DISPOSITION OF SEIZED PROPERTY.

13 (a) The state may petition the court for disposition of seized prop-  
14 erty before the termination of court proceedings. A claimant may also  
15 seek a petition for disposition before the termination of court pro-  
16 ceedings if the claimant

17 (1) has filed a timely answer under AS 09.50.420(c); or

18 (2) before the initiation of a forfeiture action, files a  
19 notice of claim setting out the nature of the claimant's interest in  
20 the property, the date it was acquired, the consideration paid, and  
21 the circumstances under which it was acquired.

22 (b) The court may grant a petition for disposition if the prop-  
23 erty is not likely to be used as evidence by the state or a defendant  
24 in a criminal proceeding, or by a party in a civil proceeding, and the  
25 court finds that the disposition is in the best interests of the state  
26 and the preservation and maintenance of the value of the property  
27 seized. Proceeds from the disposition plus interest to the date of  
28 termination of the court proceedings become the subject of the forfei-  
29 ture action.

1           Sec. 09.50.470. FORFEITURE AND REMISSION. (a) Once the state  
2 has established that property is subject to forfeiture under the law  
3 authorizing forfeiture, the property must be forfeited to the state,  
4 except that a claimant who has filed an answer under AS 09.50.420(c)  
5 may prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the claimant is  
6 entitled to remission because the claimant

7           (1) has a valid interest in the property, acquired in good  
8 faith;

9           (2) did not participate in the conduct that resulted in the  
10 property being subject to forfeiture; and

11           (3) did not know or have reasonable cause to believe that  
12 the property had been or would be used or derived in a manner making  
13 the property subject to forfeiture.

14           (b) Upon a showing that a claimant is entitled to remission  
15 under (a) of this section, the court shall order that

16           (1) if the claimant is entitled to the property, it must be  
17 delivered to the claimant immediately;

18           (2) if the claimant is entitled to some value less than the  
19 total value of the property, the claimant may choose to receive either  
20 the value of the interest or, upon payment of the difference in value,  
21 the entire property.

22           (c) The court may, as part of a sentence, or as a condition of a  
23 probation or suspended imposition of sentence, order the payment of  
24 reasonable maintenance, storage, disposal, publication, attorney fees,  
25 or other costs associated with the forfeiture or remission of prop-  
26 erty.

27           Sec. 09.50.480. STATE DISPOSAL OF FORFEITED PROPERTY. Property  
28 forfeited under this chapter, other than controlled substances, must  
29 be disposed of by the commissioner of administration in accordance

1 with applicable law. Controlled substances and imitation controlled  
2 substances must be disposed of under AS 17.30.126. The commissioner  
3 of administration may, consistent with other applicable law,

4 (1) destroy property harmful to the public;

5 (2) sell the property and use the proceeds for payment of  
6 all proper expenses of the proceedings for forfeiture and sale, in-  
7 cluding expenses of seizure, custody, and court costs;

8 (3) take custody of the property and authorize its use in  
9 the enforcement of the law or transfer it to another agency of the  
10 state or a political subdivision of the state for a use in furtherance  
11 of the administration of justice;

12 (4) take custody of the property and remove it for disposi-  
13 tion in accordance with law;

14 (5) forward it to the United States Department of Justice  
15 for disposition; or

16 (6) transfer ownership of an aircraft to the Alaska Wing,  
17 Civil Air Patrol.

18 \* Sec. 4. AS 11.41.520 is amended by adding a new subsection to read:

19 (e) As used in this section, "obtains the property of another"  
20 includes the collection of a debt that was undertaken with the express  
21 or implied understanding between the debtor and the creditor that  
22 delay in making repayment, or failure to make repayment, could result  
23 in commission of any of the acts described in (a)(1) - (7) of this  
24 section.

25 \* Sec. 5. AS 11.66.270 is amended to read:

26 Sec. 11.66.270. FORFEITURE. If used in violation of AS 11.66.-  
27 200 - 11.66.290, the following property is subject to forfeiture under  
28 AS 09.50 [SHALL BE FORFEITED]:

29 (1) a gambling device or gambling record;

- 1                   (2) money, not found on the person, used as a bet or stake;  
2                   (3) money used as a bet or stake which is found on the  
3 person of one who conducts, finances, manages, supervises, directs, or  
4 owns all or part of an unlawful gambling enterprise.

5 \* Sec. 6. AS 11.73.060(a) is amended to read:

6                   (a) Property used during or in aid of a violation of this chap-  
7 ter may be forfeited to the state to the extent permitted under and in  
8 accordance with the provisions of AS 09.50 and AS 17.30.110 - 17.30.-  
9 126.

10 \* Sec. 7. AS 12.55.035(b) is amended to read:

11                   (b) Upon conviction of an offense, a defendant who is not an  
12 organization may be sentenced to pay, unless otherwise specified in  
13 the provision of law defining the offense, a fine of no more than

14                   (1) \$75,000 for an unclassified felony [MURDER IN THE FIRST  
15 OR SECOND DEGREE, SEXUAL ASSAULT IN THE FIRST DEGREE, KIDNAPPING, OR  
16 MISCONDUCT INVOLVING A CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE IN THE FIRST DEGREE];

17                   (2) \$50,000 for a class A, B, or C felony;

18                   (3) \$5,000 for a class A misdemeanor;

19                   (4) \$1,000 for a class B misdemeanor;

20                   (5) \$300 for a violation.

21 \* Sec. 8. AS 12.55.125(i) is amended to read:

22                   (i) A defendant convicted of illegal control of an enterprise in  
23 the first degree, sexual assault in the first degree or sexual abuse  
24 of a minor in the first degree may be sentenced to a definite term of  
25 imprisonment of not more than 30 years, and shall be sentenced to the  
26 following presumptive terms, subject to adjustment as provided in  
27 AS 12.55.155 - 12.55.175:

28                   (1) if the offense is a first felony conviction and does  
29 not involve circumstances described in (2) of this subsection, eight

1 years;

2 (2) if the offense is a first felony conviction, and the  
3 defendant possessed a firearm, used a dangerous instrument, or caused  
4 serious physical injury during the commission of the offense, 10  
5 years;

6 (3) if the offense is a second felony conviction, 15 years;

7 (4) if the offense is a third felony conviction, 25 years.

8 \* Sec. 9. AS 17.30.110 is repealed and reenacted to read:

9 Sec. 17.30.110. ITEMS SUBJECT TO FORFEITURE. (a) The following  
10 property is subject to forfeiture under AS 09.50 and AS 17.30.126:

11 (1) a controlled substance that has been manufactured,  
12 distributed, dispensed, acquired, or possessed in violation of this  
13 chapter or AS 11.71;

14 (2) raw materials, products, and equipment that are used or  
15 intended for use in manufacturing, distributing, compounding, process-  
16 ing, delivering, importing, or exporting a controlled substance in  
17 violation of this chapter or AS 11.71;

18 (3) property that is used or intended for use as a con-  
19 tainer for property described in (1) or (2) of this section;

20 (4) a conveyance, including but not limited to aircraft,  
21 vehicles, or vessels, that has been used or is intended for use in  
22 transporting or in any manner in facilitating the transportation,  
23 sale, receipt, possession, or concealment of property described in (1)  
24 or (2) of this section in violation of a felony offense under this  
25 chapter or AS 11.71;

26 (5) books, records, and research products and materials,  
27 including formulas, microfilm, tapes, and data, that are used in  
28 violation of this chapter or AS 11.71;

29 (6) money, securities, negotiable instruments, or other

1       property

2                   (A) furnished by a person in exchange for a controlled  
3       substance in violation of this chapter or AS 11.71;

4                   (B) used to facilitate a violation of this chapter or  
5       AS 11.71; or

6                   (C) that constitute proceeds derived from a violation  
7       of this chapter or AS 11.71; and

8                   (7) a firearm carried during, or used in furtherance of a  
9       violation of this chapter or AS 11.71.

10           (b) In this section, "violation of this chapter or AS 11.71"  
11       includes an attempt or solicitation under AS 11.31 to violate this  
12       chapter or AS 11.71.

13       \* Sec. 10. AS 17.30.126 is amended by adding a new subsection to read:

14           (c) As used in this section, "controlled substance" includes  
15       "imitation controlled substance" as defined in AS 11.73.099.

16       \* Sec. 11. AS 17.30.112 - 17.30.124 are repealed.

17       \* Sec. 12. This Act takes effect January 1, 1987.

**STATE OF ALASKA 1986 LEGISLATIVE SESSION  
FISCAL NOTE**

Revision Date : \_\_\_\_\_

**REQUEST**

Bill/Resolution No. : SB 413  
 Title : Anti-Racketeering Crime Bill  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Sponsor : Senator Rodey  
 Requestor : OMB/Governor's Office  
 Date of Request : 4/14/86

**FISCAL DETAIL**

Agency Affected : Department of Law  
 BRU : Prosecution  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Components : Third Judicial District

**EXPENDITURES/REVENUES : (Thousands of Dollars)**

OPERATING	FY 86	FY 87	FY 88	FY 89	FY 90	FY 91
PERSONAL SERVICES		43.7	52.5	54.1	55.7	57.4
TRAVEL		5.0	5.2	5.3	5.5	5.6
CONTRACTUAL		35.6	36.7	37.8	38.9	40.1
SUPPLIES		3.5	2.1	2.1	2.2	2.3
EQUIPMENT		1.5	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
LAND & STRUCTURES						
GRANTS, CLAIMS						
MISCELLANEOUS						
<b>TOTAL OPERATING</b>		<b>89.3</b>	<b>96.5</b>	<b>99.3</b>	<b>102.3</b>	<b>105.4</b>

CAPITAL						
---------	--	--	--	--	--	--

REVENUE						
---------	--	--	--	--	--	--

**FUNDING : (Thousands of Dollars)**

GENERAL FUND		89.3	96.5	99.3	102.3	105.4
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER						
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>89.3</b>	<b>96.5</b>	<b>99.3</b>	<b>102.3</b>	<b>105.4</b>

**POSITIONS :**

FULL-TIME		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
PART-TIME						
TEMPORARY						

**ANALYSIS :** Attach a separate page if necessary

-Please see attached analysis.-

Prepared by : Richard I. Pegues, Director  
 Division : Administrative Services Division  
 Approved by Commissioner : Harold M. Brown, Attorney General  
 Agency : Department of Law

Phone : 465-3672  
 Date : 4/14/86  
 Date : 4/14/86

Distribution (by Agency preparing fiscal note):

- Legislative Finance
- Legislative Sponsor
- Requestor
- Office of Management and Budget
- Impacted Agency(ies)

## CONTINUATION of FISCAL NOTE ANALYSIS

For Bill/Resolution No. SB 413

This bill would provide both criminal and civil sanctions to combat organized racketeering activities through the illegal control of enterprises in Alaska. The bill provides both stiff penalties and severe financial disincentives to the infiltration into legitimate business through criminal activity. The bill is also designed to address the operation of an enterprise through a pattern of illegal activity. This level of criminal activity usually involves the use of highly sophisticated economic structures. Enactment of the bill will provide the state with the legal tools necessary to respond to the increasingly sophisticated criminal activity being experienced in Alaska.

The Department of Law estimates that two to three investigations/prosecutions of this nature will be undertaken annually, requiring painstaking examination and evaluation of large numbers of financial documents and business transactions to track and identify the funds that originate from criminal activities and the individuals who control those funds. The bill can be implemented with existing prosecutor resources because of the power granted to the Attorney General to conduct investigations into suspected organized criminal activity. The department believes, however, that the addition of case preparation resources will enable it to do a far more effective job under the bill. The department therefore recommends the addition of an Associate Attorney II to conduct primary research of financial records of suspected criminal activities. These two positions would be assigned to the Office of Special Prosecutions and Appeals to assist existing attorneys "make" these types of cases. The department also recommends setting aside sufficient funds to contract for the services of document analysis experts and accountants for use as expert witnesses during the preparation for and conduct of trials.

Fiscal Analysis RICO Bill  
Cost Schedule

FY 87

	<u>Assoc. Atty. II</u>	<u>Expert Witness Contractors</u>	<u>Total</u>
100	43.7		43.7
200	5.0		5.0
300	5.6	30.0	35.6
400	3.5		3.5
500 (one-time expense)	1.5		1.5
TOTAL	<u>59.3</u>	<u>30.0</u>	<u>89.3</u>

Contractor's time, including the costs for travel and the preparation of court room visual displays, is estimated at \$75 per hour for 400 hours of services.

Costs beyond FY 87 include a 3% annual inflation factor.

Position Title <b>Associate Attorney II</b>			No. of Positions 1	Range/Step 19/A	Barg. Unit PX	Gov.	Approv.	Disapp.
Time Status PFT	Staff Months 10	RP Number	Location Anchorage		Election District 8	Leg.		
Type of Expenditure			Justification					
1		2	This position is needed to implement the RICO bill. The position will serve as case legal researcher and collect, organize and analyze the substantial amounts of evidence required to prove the infiltration of criminal activity into legitimate enterprises. Because of the complex nature of the evidence used in these types of cases, allocation to the senior paraprofessional classification of Associate Attorney II is recommended.					
Amount		3						
Salary	33,530 x 10	33,530						
Benefits		10,195						
Premium Pay								
Other								
Total Personal Services			43,725					
Travel			5,000					
Contractual			5,600					
Commodities			3,500					
Equipment			1,500					
Other								
Total Cost			59,325					
Receipt Code	Funding Source							
	Federal Receipts 1002							
	G. F. Match 1003							
	General Funds 1004		59,325					
	I-A Receipts 1005							
	Program Receipts 1028							
	CIP Receipts 1061							
	Other							
For B&M Use Only								
Key Number _____								

**Request For  
New Position**

Agency Department of Law  
 BRU Prosecution  
 Component Third Judicial District

**FY 87**

Page 1 of 1  
 Revised Date \_\_\_\_\_

**STATE OF ALASKA 1986 LEGISLATIVE SESSION  
FISCAL NOTE**

Revision Date : \_\_\_\_\_

**REQUEST**

Bill/Resolution No. : SB 413  
 Title : An Act relating to illegally controlled enterprises..forfeiture of property..."  
 Sponsor : Senator Rodey  
 Requestor : Senate Judiciary  
 Date of Request : 3/4/86

**FISCAL DETAIL**

Agency Affected : Public Safety  
 BRU : Alaska State Troopers  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Components : \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

**EXPENDITURES/REVENUES : (Thousands of Dollars)**

OPERATING	FY 86	FY 87	FY 88	FY 89	FY 90	FY 91
PERSONAL SERVICES						
TRAVEL						
CONTRACTUAL						
SUPPLIES						
EQUIPMENT						
LAND & STRUCTURES						
GRANTS, CLAIMS						
MISCELLANEOUS						
<b>TOTAL OPERATING</b>		0	0	0	0	0

<b>CAPITAL</b>						
----------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

<b>REVENUE</b>						
----------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

**FUNDING : (Thousands of Dollars)**

GENERAL FUND						
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER						
<b>TOTAL</b>		0	0	0	0	0

**POSITIONS :**

FULL-TIME						
PART-TIME						
TEMPORARY						

**ANALYSIS :** Attach a separate page if necessary

Prepared by : Kathy Niles, Admin Assistant Phone : 465-4336  
 Division : Commissioner's Office Date : 3/4/86

Approved by Commissioner : [Signature] Date : 3/4/86  
 Agency : Public Safety

Distribution (by Agency preparing fiscal note) :

- Legislative Finance
- Legislative Sponsor
- Requestor
- Office of Management and Budget
- Impacted Agency(ies)

SB 413

3/10/86  
3(Jed)

# STATE OF ALASKA 1986 LEGISLATIVE SESSION FISCAL NOTE

Revision Date: 2/28/86

**REQUEST**

Bill/Resolution No.: SB 413  
 Title: "An act relating to illegally controlled enterprises..."

Sponsor: Rodey, Falks, Kerttula  
 Requestor: Judiciary and Finance  
 Date of Request: \_\_\_\_\_

**FISCAL DETAIL**

Agency Affected: Department of Administration  
 BRU: Public Defender Agency

Components: Third Judicial District

**EXPENDITURES/REVENUES : (Thousands of Dollars)**

OPERATING	FY 86	FY 87	FY 88	FY 89	FY 90	FY 91
PERSONAL SERVICES		99.8	103.8	108.0	112.3	116.8
TRAVEL		5.0	5.2	5.4	5.6	5.8
CONTRACTUAL		10.0	10.4	5.4	5.6	5.8
SUPPLIES		2.5	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.9
EQUIPMENT		6.0				
LAND & STRUCTURES						
GRANTS, CLAIMS						
MISCELLANEOUS						
<b>TOTAL OPERATING</b>	<b>-0-</b>	<b>123.3</b>	<b>122.0</b>	<b>121.5</b>	<b>126.3</b>	<b>131.3</b>
<b>CAPITAL</b>						
<b>REVENUE</b>						

**FUNDING : (Thousands of Dollars)**

GENERAL FUND	-0-	123.3	122.0	121.5	126.3	131.3
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER						
<b>TOTAL</b>						

**POSITIONS :**

FULL-TIME	-0-	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
PART-TIME						
TEMPORARY						

**ANALYSIS :** Attach a separate page if necessary

(See Attached)

Prepared by: Dana Fabe  
 Division: Public Defender Agency

Phone: 279-7541  
 Date: 2/28/86

Approved by Commissioner: Eleanor Andrews  
 Agency: Department of Administration

Date: 3/6/86

- Distribution (by Agency preparing fiscal note):
- Legislative Finance
  - Legislative Sponsor
  - Requestor
  - Office of Management and Budget
  - Impacted Agency(ies)

38413

Fiscal Note Analysis  
Prepared by Division of Public Defender Agency  
Department of Administration  
February 28, 1986

This bill is patterned after the federal racketeering statute. Although at first glance a statute relating to racketeering and organized crime would not appear to impact an agency providing public counsel to the indigent, a more detailed analysis of this bill reveals that both the Public Defender Agency and the Office of Public Advocacy will undoubtedly be appointed to represent defendants charged under this statute.

The definition of racketeering in this bill requires proof of a pattern of illegal activity involving two or more instances of that activity. Illegal activity is defined as almost any felony found under AS 11. The commentary to this legislation indicates specifically that the bill is not limited to "organized crime".

This bill is modeled on federal law, and the experience in federal cases is that the legislation has been applied to a wide variety of defendants, including members of the Hell's Angels motorcycle club, a factory worker at an automobile plant, and employees of a court system. Various states with similar bills have urged inclusion of a wide range of defendants within the statute, from members of prison gangs to members of narcotics trafficking operations. There appears to be a wide range of offenses which could be included within the purview of this statute, and while some persons involved in a criminal enterprise may be able to afford their own attorney, this by no means provides assurance that all members of an illegal enterprise under this statute could do so.

Of particular interest in analyzing whether persons charged under this statute may qualify for public counsel are the provisions in the statute which provide for forfeiture of illegal proceeds arising from the activity. The language of the bill is intended to permit seizure of assets and proceeds of the illegal enterprise, and the illegal profits will not become "legal" merely because they have been subsequently committed to a legal investment. Thus, even if a person charged with this offense has assets resulting from the illegal enterprise in which he is involved, those assets can be seized prior to his hiring a lawyer, making it necessary for the court to appoint the Public Defender Agency or Office of Public Advocacy to represent him.

Cases filed under racketeering bills routinely involve substantial attorney time, particularly for preparation of pre-trial motions. Due to the fact that the Department of Law's investigation activity will apparently focus on the Anchorage area, the Public Defender Agency is requesting one experienced attorney and one clerk typist to handle representation of clients charged under this bill.

Fiscal Analysis

<u>Personal Services:</u>	Attorney IV	72.4	
	Clerk-Typist III	27.4	
			99.8
<u>Travel:</u>	Expert witnesses and investigation		5.0
<u>Contractual:</u>	Expert witnesses, space, etc.		10.0
<u>Supplies:</u>	Office, law library, etc.		2.5
<u>Equipment:</u>	(one time) Furniture, office machines, etc.		<u>6.0</u>
	Total		123.3

290

Position Title <b>Attorney, IV</b>			No. of Positions 1	Range/Step 24/A	Barg. Unit PX	Gov.	Approv.	Disapp.
Time Status PFT	Staff Months 12.0	RP Number	Location Anchorage		Election District 8	Leg.		
			<b>Justification</b>  This bill, which relates to illegally controlled enterprises is broad enough in scope that indigents may be included, thereby requiring the services of the Public Defender Agency. Since the felony caseload of the agency would be increased, an experienced Attorney IV is requested for Anchorage. The travel and contractual monies would go for professional experts and investigations.					
Type of Expenditure		Amount						
1	2	3						
Salary 4687 x 12	56,244							
Benefits	16,109							
Premium Pay								
Other								
Total Personal Services		72,353						
Travel		5,000						
Contractual		10,000						
Commodities		1,500						
Equipment		1,500						
Other								
Total Cost		90,353						
Receipt Code	Funding Source							
	Federal Receipts 1002							
	G. F. Match 1003							
	General Funds 1004 90,353							
	I-A Receipts 1005							
	Program Receipts 1028							
	CIP Receipts 1061							
	Other							
For B&M Use Only Key Number _____								

**Request For  
New Position**

Agency Department of Administration  
BRU Public Defender Agency  
Component Third Judicial District

Page 4 of 5  
Revised Date \_\_\_\_\_

**FY 87**

Position Title <b>Clerk/Typist III</b>			No. of Positions <b>1</b>	Range/Step <b>8A</b>	Barg. Unit <b>CG</b>	Gov.	Approv.	Disapp.
Time Status <b>PFT</b>	Staff Months <b>12.0</b>	RP Number	Location <b>Anchorage</b>		Election District <b>8</b>	Leg.		
Justification								
Type of Expenditure			Amount					
1	2	3						
Salary	1631 x 12	19,572						
Benefits		7,804						
Premium Pay								
Other								
Total Personal Services			27,376					
Travel			-0-					
Contractual			-0-					
Commodities			1,000					
Equipment			4,500					
Other								
Total Cost			32,876					
Receipt Code			Funding Source					
			Federal Receipts 1002					
			G. F. Match 1003					
			General Funds 1004 32,876					
			I-A Receipts 1005					
			Program Receipts 1028					
			CIP Receipts 1061					
			Other					
For B&M Use Only Key Number _____								

This bill, which relates to illegally controlled enterprises, is broad enough in scope that indigents may be included, thereby requiring the services of the Public Defender Agency. Since the felony caseload of the agency would be increased, a Clerk Typist III is requested for Anchorage to provide necessary support services.

**Request For  
New Position**

Agency Department of Administration  
 BKU Public Defender Agency  
 Component Third Judicial District

Page 5 of 5  
 Revised Date

**FY 87**

SECTIONAL ANALYSIS FOR SB 413  
April 14, 1986

Introduction: This bill will allow the state to address the problem of the acquisition and operation of businesses through a pattern of illegal activity. The bill will authorize civil and criminal penalties for three forms of conduct: (1) the use of a pattern criminal activity to acquire an interest in a business; (2) the use of a pattern of criminal activity to conduct some or all of the affairs of a legitimate or completely illegitimate business; and (3) the use of the ill-gotten gains from a pattern of criminal activity to acquire an interest in a business or conduct the affairs of a business.

Many provisions in this bill have been based on the federal Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations title of the Organized Crime Control Act of 1970. That legislation has served as a model for 19 states that have enacted legislation authorizing a state response to some of the same concerns addressed by Congress in 1970. Although in most circumstances the coverage of the federal and state laws will be the same, the federal law is restricted to enterprises. This bill does, however, require that at least one of the acts forming the pattern of illegal activity be in violation of Alaska law.

In addition to its criminal provisions, this bill establishes significant civil remedies for the victim of illegal activity. It creates a private right of action for treble damages that is available to a person who is injured as a result of the prohibited acts described in the legislation. The bill provides another deterrent against those who desire to victimize Alaskans and it authorizes compensation to the victim on the criminal activity and provides an incentive for that person to seek recovery by authorizing the award of treble damages. Finally, the forfeiture procedures included in the bill insure that a defendant will not be able to profit from illegal activity.

The bill also provides for preliminary measures, such as injunctions and other court orders, to stop illegal activity before it actually succeeds in taking over a legitimate business. Additionally, the attorney general is authorized to conduct investigations into racketeering activity and is given the authority to obtain evidence necessary to successfully complete that investigation.

In Summary: In 1970, Congress passed the Organized Crime Control Act. The federal law, and this bill after which it is modelled, is based on the premise that a pattern of sophisticated and at times conspiratorial crime engaged in by a single person, or an organized group of persons, poses a much greater danger to society than individual unrelated criminal acts.

Concerned that repeated and sometimes highly sophisticated crime was being used to finance the infiltration and takeover of legitimate businesses, and that crime had effectively become a business, Congress enacted new statutes to help respond to these serious problems. The federal legislation, however, only applies to conduct which affects interstate commerce. This proposed legislation covers situations where at least one crime is committed in Alaska and is also applicable to solely in-state enterprises.

#### Section 1.

Declaration of Purpose: This section makes it clear that the overall purpose of the bill is to provide specific statutory provisions to combat the acquisition, establishment or operation of businesses through a pattern of criminal activity.

#### Section 2.

##### Article 1. Prohibited Acts:

Section 11.59.010. Three types of prohibited conduct form the basis for both the criminal penalties and civil remedies that are authorized in this legislation. They are: (1) taking over an enterprise through racketeering; (2) running an enterprise through racketeering; and (3) using income from racketeering to take over or run an enterprise.

Section 11.59.030. addresses several issues pertaining to the type of evidence that can be used to establish the element of racketeering.

##### Article 2. Illegal Control of an Enterprise in the First and Second Degree.

Section 11.59.040. and 11.59.050. define the only two crimes created by this legislation. The first degree crime is an unclassified felony punishable by presumptive sentencing and a maximum sentence of 30 years. Additionally, the defendant will be subject to a maximum \$75,000 fine if the defendant is a natural person, or a higher fine if an organization is charged. The second degree crime is a class A felony punishable by up to 20 years imprisonment, as well as substantial fines.

In order to convict a person on the first degree crime, it is necessary to establish that one of the crimes used to prove racketeering is identical or substantially similar to an unclassified or class A felony under Alaska law.

Section 11.59.060. deals with technical issues that may arise in charging a defendant under this legislation.

#### Article 3. Civil Remedies:

Section 11.59.070. precludes a defendant who has been convicted of illegal control of an enterprise from denying the essential elements of the crime in subsequent litigation. Since the defendant's violation has already been established beyond a reasonable doubt in the earlier criminal prosecution, there is no reason to require a private plaintiff in a civil proceeding brought against the same defendant to relitigate the basis of the criminal conviction.

Section 11.59.080. creates a civil action for triple damages available to any person, including the state, who is injured as a result of a violation of AS 11.59.010.

Section 11.59.090. provides that property used and assets acquired in violation of proposed AS 11.59.010 is subject to forfeiture.

Section 11.59.100. provides a mechanism to insure that equitable relief can be obtained to minimize the harm caused by racketeering as well as to preserve the assets of the defendant for future recovery in both civil and criminal proceedings.

Section 11.59.110. provides the state with the necessary mechanism to insure that investigations can be completed successfully. The provisions are largely self explanatory, and considering that no appellate cases have arisen under the similar federal statute in the fourteen years since enactment, the provisions of this section will apparently present no problems in administration.

#### Article 4. General Provisions.

Section 11.59.900. offers a definition of "racketeering". In order to establish racketeering, it must be shown that the defendant engaged in a pattern of illegal activity. Unlike federal law, this legislation specifically defines the term "pattern" in subsection (c). The definition is based on a definition of "pattern" appearing in several state statutes and court cases.

#### Section 3:

#### Article 7. Forfeiture.

This section of the bill has two related purposes. First, it specifies the procedures applicable to the forfeiture of property authorized in proposed AS 11.59.090. Secondly, it effectively consolidates many state forfeiture procedures in a single new article added to Title 9 (Civil Procedure). The consolidation of state forfeiture procedures accomplished by this legislation will minimize the possibility of unintended inconsistencies in coverage.

#### Sections 4, 5, and 6:

These sections make several conforming amendments to insure that gambling paraphernalia and records, controlled substances are subject to the forfeiture procedures specified in section 3 of the bill.

#### Section 7

This section insures that all unclassified felonies, including Illegal Control of an Enterprise in the First Degree are subject to the fines authorized for a defendant who is not an organization in this section.

#### Section 8

Adds "illegal control of an enterprise" to the lists of presumptive terms and is necessary to authorize imprisonment for a violation of AS 11.59.040.

#### Section 9, 10, and 11

This section repeals several statutes pertaining to procedures in Section 3 applicable in drug forfeiture cases which are unnecessary with the enactment of the bill, of the new article in AS 09. Note that existing AS 17.30.126 which pertains to the summary forfeiture of certain controlled substances, is not repealed.

#### Section 12

This section specifies a January 1, 1987, effective date.

COMMENTARY AND SECTIONAL ANALYSIS TO THE 1985 ACT  
RELATING TO ILLEGALLY CONTROLLED ENTERPRISES

Introduction

In 1970, Congress passed the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations title of the Organized Crime Control Act of 1970. The legislation was designed to provide adequate criminal penalties and civil remedies to combat large-scale and sometimes highly sophisticated criminal activity. The federal law was based on the premise that a pattern of crime that was engaged in by a single person, or an organized group of persons, posed a much greater danger to society than individual unrelated criminal acts. Concerned that repeated instances of criminal activity were being used to finance the infiltration and takeover of legitimate businesses, and that crime itself had effectively become a business, Congress enacted new statutes to help respond to these two serious problems.

The federal legislation only applies to conduct which affects interstate commerce. That showing might be possible in many cases. Nevertheless, individual states have recognized that the resources available to the federal government are generally inadequate to respond to criminal activity that primarily affects state interests. Additionally, the prosecution of criminal conduct that occurs

within a state and does not directly affect federal interests has traditionally been viewed primarily as a state, rather than a federal responsibility.

During the past 14 years, at least 19 states have adopted legislation which has authorized a state response to some of the concerns addressed by Congress in 1970. (A list of those states is included as Appendix "A".) Significantly, states such as Oregon and Arizona, which like Alaska had only recently revised their criminal codes, concluded that existing laws were inadequate to respond to the problems addressed by the federal legislation. While each of the 19 states has relied on the federal legislation as a model, none has simply enacted the federal law verbatim. Instead, each has selected the best features of the federal legislation.

A similar approach was followed by the Alaska legislature in 1978 when it revised the criminal code. While individual sections were based on provisions appearing in the Model Penal Code, the criminal code revision was tailored to respond to particular Alaskan problems and concerns. A similar approach has also been followed in this legislation. While this bill differs from federal law in a number of important respects, the basic goal remains the same: to assist public officials and individual citizens in their effort to combat the criminal infiltration of

legitimate businesses and to provide appropriate penalties against those who engage in the business of crime.

Section 1. Declaration of Legislative Purpose

This section states the purpose of this bill and requires that its provisions be interpreted liberally by the courts to effectuate that remedial purpose. The purpose of the bill has already been addressed in the introduction to this commentary. The direction that the Act be liberally construed by the courts extends to both the civil and criminal provisions included in this bill. See U.S. v. Forsythe, 560 F.2d 1127, 1135 (3rd Cir. 1975).

Section 2. Illegally Controlled Enterprises

ARTICLE 1. PROHIBITED ACTIVITIES

Sec. 11.59.010. UNLAWFUL ACTS

This section defines the three prohibited acts that form the basis for both the criminal penalties and civil remedies that are authorized in this bill. The section is based substantially on 18 U.S.C. sec. 1962, and it is expected that the numerous federal decisions interpreting the scope of that statute will be of assistance to Alaska courts in interpreting any ambiguities in the Alaska

statutory language. As a general matter, if a specific decision under the federal legislation is intended to be binding on the Alaska courts in interpreting this Act, it is expressly cited in this commentary.

Each of the three instances of prohibited conduct require that "racketeering" be involved. The term "racketeering" is defined in AS 11.59.020, and is discussed in the commentary accompanying that section. It should be noted that at least two instances of illegal activity will be required to establish racketeering. Additionally, the instances of illegal activity must be part of a pattern of illegal activity, and not simply two isolated unrelated crimes.

Each of the three unlawful acts also requires that an "enterprise" be involved. The term enterprise is defined in AS 11.59.900(1), as including any "individual, partnership, corporation, association, or other legal entity, and any union or group of persons associated in fact although not a legal entity." As noted by the United States Supreme Court "[t]here is no restriction upon the associations embraced by the definition: an enterprise includes any union or group of individuals associated in fact." United States v. Turkette, 452 U.S. 576, 580 (1981). The scope of this definition is discussed further in the commentary accompanying AS 11.59.900(1).

The three unlawful acts described in this section prohibit (1) acquiring or maintaining an interest in or control of an enterprise through racketeering (primarily, the use of a pattern of criminal activity to acquire an interest in a legitimate business); (2) conducting the affairs of an enterprise through racketeering (the use of a pattern of criminal activity to conduct some or all of the affairs of legitimate or completely illegitimate business); and (3) the use of the proceeds of racketeering to acquire or maintain an interest in an enterprise or to conduct the affairs of an enterprise (the use of the ill-gotten gains from a pattern of criminal activity in what would otherwise be a legal attempt to acquire an interest in or run an enterprise). The three prohibited acts can be committed by any person. The term "person" is defined in AS 11.81.900(b). Note that this section merely describes the type of conduct that can result in criminal or civil liability under this legislation. It does not specify the penalties for that conduct, which appear in other sections of this bill. See, e.g., AS 11.59.040.

AS 11.59.010(1) covers the conduct of acquiring or maintaining an interest in or control over an enterprise through racketeering. This prohibition is aimed primarily at the use of illegal activity to take over a legitimate business, although it is broad enough to cover an attempt

by one illegitimate enterprise to take over another illegitimate enterprise.

The conduct prohibited by paragraph (1) covers any attempt to take over an enterprise by the type of illegal activity defined as racketeering in AS 11.59.020. For example, a defendant may violate this paragraph by assaulting the owner of a business and setting fire to property belonging to the owner with the intent of "persuading" the owner to sell the business to the defendant or to take the defendant as a partner. Alternatively, several persons may violate this paragraph if together they engage in the prohibited conduct. For example, assume that two defendants join in an effort to acquire an interest in a legitimate business through racketeering. One defendant bribes a municipal inspector to deny a needed permit to the business while the other commits a felony assault on the owner with the intent of persuading the owner to sell an interest in the business. Together, both defendants have satisfied the definition of racketeering in AS 11.59.020 if the illegal activity of each defendant is chargeable to the other under the general principles of criminal liability specified in AS 11.16, and both have therefore engaged in conduct prohibited by paragraph (1).

As is the case with each of the three prohibited acts described by AS 11.59.010, there is no requirement that the

conduct of the defendants be part of "organized crime" or that the defendant is a member of "organized crime." See Bennett v. Berg, 685 F.2d 1053, 1063-64 (8th Cir. 1982) cert. denied, 104 S.Ct. 527 (1983), and cases cited therein. It is apparent, however, that some of the conduct prohibited by this legislation will indeed fall within a commonly accepted definition of "organized crime." See, e.g., United States v. Brooklier, 685 F.2d 1208, 1213 (9th Cir. 1982). Nevertheless, it is the intent of this legislation that no "organized crime" connection need be shown in any criminal prosecution or civil proceeding authorized by this chapter.

AS 11.59.010(2) is aimed at the person who participates in or conducts the affairs of an enterprise through racketeering. There is no requirement in the definition of enterprise that the enterprise constitute a legal entity. Consequently, this paragraph would apply to an enterprise that has been established solely to further illegal purposes. United States v. Turkette, 452 U.S. 576 (1980). See also commentary accompanying AS 11.59.900(1).

Paragraph (2) requires that the affairs of the enterprise be conducted "through" racketeering. There is no requirement that the racketeering benefit the enterprise or result in profits for the enterprise. It is sufficient that the defendant engaged in the racketeering activity as part

of the enterprise or that the illegal activity is related to the activities of the enterprise. See United States v. Webster, 669 F.2d 185 (4th Cir. 1982), cert. denied, 456 U.S. 935 (1982); United States v. Welch, 656 F.2d 1039, 1960-62 (5th Cir. 1981), cert. denied, 456 U.S. 915 (1982); United States v. Scotto, 641 F.2d 47 (2d Cir. 1980). There is no requirement that the illegal activity that is used to establish racketeering be part of the day-to-day business operation of the enterprise. Engl v. Berg, 511 F.Supp. 1146, 1156 (E.D. Pa. 1981) (quoting United States v. DeFalma, 461 F.Supp. 778 (S.D.N.Y. 1978)). It is enough, for example, that the enterprise was used as a front for illegal activity. See United States v. Swiderski, 593 F.2d 1246 (D.C. Cir. 1978), cert. denied, 441 U.S. 993 (1979).

One example of the type of conduct covered by paragraph (2) is summarized in a recent opinion by the United States Supreme Court:

Briefly, the evidence showed that a group of individuals associated for the purposes of committing arson with the intent to defraud insurance companies. This association in fact enterprise, composed of an insurance adjuster, homeowner, promoters, investors, and arsonists, operated to destroy properties in Tampa and Miami, Florida between July 1973 and April 1976. The panel summarized the ring's operations as follows: 'At first the arsonists only burned buildings already owned by those associated with the ring. Following a burning, the building owner filed an inflated proof of loss statement

and collected the insurance proceeds from which his co-conspirators were paid. Later, ring members bought buildings suitable for burning, secured insurance in excess of value and, after a burning, made claims for the loss and divided the proceeds' (footnote omitted).

Russello v. United States, 104 S.Ct. 296, 298 (1983) (quoting United States v. Martino, 681 F.2d 952, 953 (5th Cir. 1982) (en banc)). Other examples of conduct that is intended to be covered by paragraph (2) are provided in United States v. Sutton, 642 F.2d 1001 (6th Cir. 1980), cert. denied, 453 U.S. 912 (1981); United States v. Whitehead, 618 F.2d 523 (4th Cir. 1980); United States v. Swiderski, 593 F.2d 1246 (D.C. Cir. 1978), cert. denied, 441 U.S. 993 (1979).

AS 11.59.010(3) primarily prohibits the use of property derived from racketeering, or the proceeds of that property, to obtain an interest in an enterprise. See United States v. McNary, 620 F.2d 621 (7th Cir. 1980). The term "property" (defined in AS 11.59.900(2)) has been used rather than the undefined term "income" which appears in the federal statute.

At first glance the prohibition described in paragraph (3) appears similar to that contained in paragraph (1). However, unlike paragraph (1), which requires that otherwise illegal means be used to acquire an interest in an enterprise, this paragraph makes unlawful specified conduct

relating to an enterprise when the property used to finance that conduct has been derived from racketeering. Unlike federal law, there is no exception for investments that take the form of securities purchased in the open market amounting to less than one percent of the total securities available in the enterprise. Only four of the state statutes that are based on the federal provision contain a similar provision, and there seems to be little justification for exempting this particular class of investment from the coverage of this legislation.

Considered in conjunction with AS 11.59.100, the prohibition in paragraph (3) will be of significant importance in civil proceedings where a legitimate enterprise attempts to require that the defendant divest himself of any interest in the enterprise that was obtained through the use of property derived from racketeering. This prohibition may also be of assistance in cases where the state seeks forfeiture of the defendant's illegally obtained profits from racketeering since it effectively prevents the racketeer from "sheltering" those gains by investing in a legal enterprise. See AS 11.59.090. Note that there is no requirement that the investment in the enterprise be otherwise illegal. Rather, the investment becomes illegal since it was made possible by using the fruits of racketeering.

Paragraph (3) also prohibits using the "proceeds" of property derived from racketeering. This language is intended to permit tracing of assets derived from racketeering in order to prove that such assets were, in effect, used to take over a legitimate business. Thus illegal profits do not later become legal merely because they have been laundered, or augmented, by an intervening legal investment.

Another point should be noted regarding the applicability of paragraph (3) to cases where the defendant claims that it is impossible to establish that the particular investment in the enterprise was derived from racketeering. The "sufficient nexus" test adopted by the court in United States v. McNary, 620 F.2d 621 (7th Cir. 1980), is intended to apply to such cases. In McNary, the court emphasized that the federal prohibition similar to paragraph (3) is violated if the gains from racketeering "allowed or facilitated" a subsequent investment even though the money derived from racketeering was not directly invested. Id. at 628-29.

Also note that there is no requirement that the defendant himself participate in the racketeering under paragraph (3) -- it is enough that the circumstance exists that the property was derived from racketeering. There may be cases under paragraph (3) where the defendant claims that he or she had no knowledge that the property was derived

from racketeering. In such cases, it is intended that the burden of proof be placed on the state to establish that the defendant acted at least recklessly as to the circumstance that the property was derived from racketeering.

Sec. 11.59.020. DEFINITION OF "RACKETEERING"

In defining the prohibited acts that can form the basis of a criminal prosecution or civil action authorized by this chapter, each of the three paragraphs in AS 11.59.010 uses the term "racketeering." AS 11.59.020 defines that term.

In order to establish racketeering, it must be shown that the defendant engaged in "a pattern of illegal activity that involves two or more instances of illegal activity." Proof of two instances of illegal activity that are held to meet the pattern requirement are sufficient to constitute racketeering. There is no requirement that the two or more instances of illegal activity involve different crimes. See United States v. Davis, 576 F.2d 1065 (3d Cir. 1978), cert. denied, 439 U.S. 836 (1978).

Unlike federal law, this legislation specifically defines the term "pattern" in AS 11.59.020(c). The definition is based on a definition of "pattern" appearing in several state statutes. That definition in turn was derived from United States v. Stofsky, 409 F.Supp. 609, 613-14

(S.D.N.Y. 1973), aff'd, 527 F.2d 237 (2nd Cir. 1975), cert. denied, 429 U.S. 819 (1976), where the court held that the "pattern" requirement could not be satisfied by mere accidental or unrelated acts.

The fact that there was but one objective underlying separate acts of racketeering does not place the conduct outside the definition. United States v. Starnes, 644 F.2d 673, 678 (7th Cir. 1981), cert. denied, 454 U.S. 826 (1981). The issue is rather whether the illegal acts, undertaken to further a single objective or multiple objectives, constitute a pattern of illegal activity.

To establish a pattern of illegal activity, it must be shown that two or more instances of illegal activity were involved. The acts that are sufficient to constitute illegal activity for purposes of the definition are described in subsection (b).

One common characteristic of each crime listed in AS 11.-59.020(b)(1) -- (6) is that they are all classified as felonies. In this regard this legislation differs from federal law which allows prosecution based on underlying crimes that are misdemeanors. In view of the substantial penalties that will arise from a violation of this legislation, it seems appropriate to require that the underlying illegal activity be serious enough to be classified

by the legislature as a felony. The felonies that are listed have been chosen either on the basis that they pose a danger to personal physical security, are crimes that may be used in the effort to obtain control over an enterprise, or are crimes that may be committed by an enterprise that is in the business of crime.

AS 11.59.020(b)(6) refers to felony conduct that has been defined as "racketeering activity" under federal law. It should be noted that this paragraph does not have the effect of granting the state jurisdiction over conduct that exclusively involves federal interests. AS 11.59.030(a)(1) specifically requires that at least one instance of illegal activity that is used to establish a pattern of racketeering must violate Alaska law. A person, for example, is not covered by this legislation for acquiring an interest in an Alaskan business through a pattern of illegal activity that involved the out-of-state bribery of a federal official and the interstate transportation of stolen property between Washington and Oregon. However, if one of the instances of illegal activity involved conduct falling within AS 11.59.020(b)(1) -- (5), a federal crime listed in 18 U.S.C. sec. 1961(1) will be sufficient to sustain an action under this chapter.

Note finally that there is no requirement that the defendant was previously convicted of the illegal activity that

is used to establish racketeering. See USACO Coal v. Carbornin Energy, Inc., 689 F.2d 94, 95 n.1 (6th Cir. 1982) and cases cited therein. To the extent that a recent opinion by the Second Circuit Court of Appeals is inconsistent with this approach, it is expressly rejected as not reflecting the intent of this legislation. See Sedima, S.P.R.L. v. Imrex Co., 53 U.S.L.W. 2062 (2d Cir. July 15, 1984).

Sec. 11.59.030. PROOF OF RACKETEERING

While AS 11.59.020 defines racketeering, AS 11.59.030 addresses several issues pertaining to the type of evidence that can be used to establish the requirements of that definition.

Subsection (a) places three restrictions on the type of illegal activity that can be used to satisfy AS 11.59.020(b)(1) -- (6). AS 11.59.030(a)(1) requires that one of the instances of illegal activity used to establish racketeering must be in violation of Alaskan law. As discussed in the commentary accompanying AS 11.59.020, this limitation prevents the institution of a proceeding authorized by this Act based on conduct that exclusively involves federal interests.

AS 11.59.030(a)(2) requires that at least one instance of

illegal activity that is used to establish racketeering must occur after the effective date of this Act, thus eliminating any ex post facto concerns in criminal prosecutions. See United States v. Campanale, 518 F.2d 352 (9th Cir. 1975), cert. denied, 423 U.S. 1050 (1976).

AS 11.59.030(a)(3) requires that at least one instance of illegal activity used to establish racketeering must occur within a three-year period either before or after the defendant becomes involved with an enterprise under the circumstances prohibited by AS 11.59.010. This restriction effectively creates an automatic bar to a finding of racketeering if both instances of illegal activity occur outside the three-year period. While a similar provision does not apply in federal law, the restriction appears appropriate to add to this legislation.

AS 11.59.030(b) clarifies a point that is probably already implicit in the language of subsection (a): the same instance of illegal activity may be used to satisfy each of the requirements specified in (a)(1) -- (3). For example, if one of the instances of illegal activity used to obtain control over an enterprise on December 15, 1985, was an assault committed in Alaska on November 15, 1985, each of the three paragraphs of subsection (a) will be satisfied, assuming that this legislation took effect on January 1, 1985.

AS 11.59.030(c) places a limitation on how far back a prosecutor or civil litigant can go in using illegal activity to establish racketeering. If more than five years has elapsed between the most recent instance of illegal activity and the immediately preceding incident of illegal activity, the past instances of illegal activity cannot be considered. For example, assume that this Act takes effect January 1, 1986. On January 1, 1987, the defendant commits one instance of illegal activity. If the prior instance of illegal activity used to establish racketeering occurred on or after January 1, 1982, it and other past acts may be considered in establishing racketeering under AS 11.59.030. However, if the prior instance of illegal activity took place before January 1, 1982, it may not be considered.

Note that AS 11.59.030(e) qualifies the five-year period specified in subsection (c) by providing that the five-year period does not begin to run until the defendant has satisfied all conditions of a sentence, or conditions of an alternative to a prosecution, for the prior instance of illegal activity. Similarly, subsection (e) also qualifies the three-year period specified in paragraph (a)(3).

An example of the relationship between subsection (e) and (c) is provided by considering the case of a defendant who in 1986 is convicted of felony assault and is sentenced to

two years' imprisonment followed by a two-year probationary period. Assume further that the probationary period is successfully completed in 1990 and that the defendant commits another felony assault in 1993. If the other requirements necessary to establish a violation of this legislation can be established, it may be alleged that the 1986 and 1993 assaults were part of a pattern of illegal activity. In this case, subsection (e) provides that the prior conviction may be considered under subsection (c) since the five-year period did not begin until 1990, the year the defendant completed the probationary period on the earlier assault. A provision similar to subsection (e) appears in AS 12.55.145(a)(1). It is intended that the decision in Griffith v. State, 653 P.2d 1057 (Alaska Ct. App. 1982), interpreting the scope of AS 12.55.-145(a)(1) also apply in interpreting the application of subsection (e).

AS 11.59.030(d) specifies how illegal activity that is used to prove racketeering is established in a proceeding brought under this legislation. In any proceeding a certified judgment of conviction for the illegal activity will always be sufficient to establish that the illegal activity occurred. If a conviction has not been obtained, the illegal activity may be established by proof beyond a reasonable doubt in a criminal prosecution and by a preponderance of the evidence in all other proceedings.

ARTICLE 2. CRIMES INVOLVING ILLEGALLY  
CONTROLLED ENTERPRISES

Secs. 11.59.040 and 11.59.050. ILLEGAL CONTROL OF AN EN-  
TERPRISE IN THE FIRST AND SECOND DEGREE

AS 11.59.040 and 11.59.050 define the only two crimes created by this legislation. The first degree crime is an unclassified felony punishable by presumptive sentencing and a maximum sentence of 30 years. Additionally, the defendant will be subject to a maximum \$75,000 fine under AS 12.55.035(b)(1) if the defendant is a natural person. If an organization is charged under this section, a higher fine may be imposed under AS 12.55.035(c).

Key to both crimes is the requirement that the defendant commit an act prohibited by AS 11.59.010 or attempt or solicit such an act. The coverage of AS 11.59.010 has been discussed in the commentary accompanying that section. If the state can only prove a violation of AS 11.59.010, the crime will be Illegal Control of an Enterprise in the Second Degree, a class A felony.

The second degree crime can be aggravated to the more serious first degree offense depending on the seriousness of the illegal activity used to establish racketeering. If one of the instances of illegal activity was an

unclassified or class A felony in Alaska, AS 11.59.040(a)(1) provides that the first degree crime has been established. Additionally, the crime will be first degree under AS 11.59.040(a)(2) if one of the instances of illegal activity used to establish racketeering is a crime in Alaska or in another jurisdiction having elements similar to a class A or unclassified felony. This provision will cover crimes repealed when the revised criminal code became effective in 1980, current crimes in Alaska defined outside the criminal code, and crimes committed in other jurisdictions. For example, if in 1979 the defendant committed conduct that would have constituted Murder in the First Degree under the Alaska statute repealed in 1980, the defendant can be convicted of Illegal Control of an Enterprise in the First Degree provided that the other elements of that crime can be established. Similarly, if the defendant committed conduct in Oregon that would be the equivalent of a class A or unclassified felony in Alaska, the first degree crime may also be established.

Under AS 11.59.040(a)(2), the elements of the offense need only be similar to a current unclassified or class A felony offense in Alaska. An identical standard is followed in calculating prior convictions for purposes of presumptive sentencing. AS 12.55.145(a)(2).

Note finally that no culpable mental state requirement is

specified in either of the two crimes. Consequently, the criminal code's general rules on culpability will be applicable and it will be necessary to establish that conduct was engaged in knowingly and that the defendant acted recklessly as to circumstance and result elements. AS 11.81.610(b).

Sec. 11.59.060. CHARGING UNDERLYING CRIME

This section clarifies an issue that may arise in charging a defendant under this legislation. If the prosecutor decides to proceed against the defendant for both a violation of AS 11.59.040 or 11.59.050, and the underlying illegal activity, he or she may do so in the same charging instrument. In the event that consecutive or concurrent sentences are not otherwise prohibited, they may be appropriate in cases where the underlying criminal activity is charged in addition to a violation of AS 11.59.040 or 11.59.050. See United States v. Bovlan, 620 F.2d 359, 361 (2nd Cir. 1980) cert. denied, 449 U.S. 833 (1980).

### ARTICLE 3. CIVIL REMEDIES

#### Sec. 11.59.070. EFFECT OF CONVICTION ON OTHER PROCEEDINGS

This section, which is based on 18 U.S.C. sec. 1964, precludes a defendant who has been convicted under AS 11.59.040 or 11.59.050 from denying the essential elements of the crime in subsequent litigation. Unlike the federal statute which only estops the defendant in subsequent litigation with the government, this section estops the defendant in all subsequent litigation with any party. Since the defendant's violation of AS 11.59.010 has already been established beyond a reasonable doubt in the earlier criminal prosecution, there is no reason to require the plaintiff in a civil proceeding brought against the same defendant to relitigate the basis of the criminal conviction. This is particularly the case since the burden of the civil litigant to establish a violation of AS 11.59.010 is by a preponderance of the evidence, while the government has already established a violation beyond a reasonable doubt.

#### Sec. 11.59.080. CIVIL ACTION FOR TREBLE DAMAGES

This section creates a civil action for treble damages available to any person, including the state, who is injured in business or property as a result of a violation

of AS 11.59.010. This section serves two purposes. First, it compensates those who have been injured as a result of racketeering. Second, it imposes severe financial disincentives on persons who violate AS 11.59.010 that are over and above any criminal penalty that may be imposed and any forfeiture that is ordered. The civil remedies authorized by this section provide another powerful deterrent against persons who may engage in conduct prohibited by AS 11.59.010. The plaintiff is only required to establish an injury "to business or property". "An-allegation of commercial or competitive injury is not required...." Bennett v. Berg, 685 F.2d 1053 (8th Cir. 1982), cert. denied, 104 S.Ct. 527 (1983).

Assuming that a violation of AS 11.59.010 can be established, there is no requirement that the plaintiff additionally show that the injury to his business or property was caused by the defendant's racketeering, as opposed to the illegal activity that was used to establish racketeering under AS 11.59.020. This nebulous and artificial distinction has been recognized in a few recent cases interpreting the federal law, but it is specifically rejected here as being contrary to the intent of this legislation. See Bankers Trust Co. v. Rhoades, 53 U.S.L.W. 2063 (2d. Cir. July 26, 1984); Moss v. Morgan Stanley Inc., 553 F.Supp. 1347 (S.D. N.Y. 1983), cert. denied, 104 S.Ct. 1280 (1984).

Unlike a criminal prosecution under this legislation where the proof required to establish a violation must be beyond a reasonable doubt, the elements of a civil action brought under this section need only be established by a preponderance of the evidence. See United States v. Capetto, 502 F.2d 1351, 1357 (7th Cir. 1974), cert. denied, 420 U.S. 925 (1975); Herman & MacLean v. Huddleston, 51 U.S.L.W. 4099 (Jan. 24, 1983). As previously discussed, there is no requirement that the defendant be shown to be a part of "organized crime."

AS 11.59.080 does not require that a criminal prosecution against the defendant be instituted or successfully completed as a prerequisite for a person to bring a private cause of action. Consequently, it is the intent of this legislation to specifically reject a recent contrary interpretation of the similar federal law. See Sedima, S.P.R.L. v. Imrey Co., 53 U.S.L.W. 2063 (2d Cir. Aug. 7, 1984). However, if a criminal prosecution is first successfully brought, AS 11.59.070 prevents the defendant from denying the essential allegations of the crime in a subsequent civil action.

In addition to allowing a civil cause of action for treble damages, this legislation authorizes a court to grant a wide variety of equitable relief in connection with an action brought under this section. The person may obtain

a restraining order to prevent future violations of AS 11.59.010, as well as restrictions on the conduct of the enterprise, including its dissolution or reorganization. See AS 11.59.100.

Sec. 11.59.090. PROPERTY SUBJECT TO FORFEITURE

One of the principal goals of the federal law upon which this legislation is based was to remove the profit from criminal activity "by separating the racketeer from the dishonest gain." Russello v. United States, 104 S.Ct. 296, 303 (1983). The mechanism used to accomplish that goal was the adoption of an effective forfeiture law. A similar approach is taken in this legislation, with this section providing that property used in violation of AS 11.59.010 is subject to forfeiture. The procedures specifying how the property is forfeited appear in section 3 of this legislation discussed infra. The term "property" is defined in AS 11.59.900(2) to mean "any thing of value, including real or personal property, claims against or interests in business or property, contractual rights, securities, income, profits, or any other business or financial interest." The key to the definition is that the item, claim, interest or right must be a thing of value.

Also covered by this forfeiture provision are the proceeds of property, including profits acquired from a violation

of AS 11.59.010. In Russello v. United States, 104 S.Ct. 296, 301 (1983), the Supreme Court stressed the importance of covering profits derived from racketeering under a forfeiture statutes.

Forfeiture of an interest in an illegitimate association-in-fact ordinarily would be of little use because an association of that kind rarely has identifiable assets; instead, proceeds or profits usually are distributed immediately. Thus construing [the federal forfeiture statute] to reach only interests in an enterprise would blunt the effectiveness of the provision in combatting illegitimate enterprises, and would mean that "[w]hole areas of organized criminal activity would be placed beyond" the reach of the statute. United States v. Turkette, 452 U.S. at 589.; †

Under AS 11.59.090(1), property is subject to forfeiture if it was acquired or maintained in violation of, or in the course of violating, AS 11.59.010. Thus the defendant's interest in the enterprise itself is subject to forfeiture if it was acquired in violation of AS 11.59.010. For example, if the defendant acquired a business through racketeering, that business will be forfeited to the state. Moreover, property such as firearms and automobiles is subject to forfeiture if acquired in the course of violating AS 11.59.010. Even if such property is never actually used as part of the illegal activity, it is subject to forfeiture if it was intended to be used to conduct or facilitate illegal activity, or to further the goals of the enterprise.

AS 11.59.090(2) subjects to forfeiture any property used or invested in violation of, or in the course of violating, AS 11.59.010. This paragraph in part permits the forfeiture of property obtained through racketeering to obtain an interest in an enterprise or to run an enterprise. This paragraph also covers property that may not have been originally derived from racketeering, but is nonetheless actually used in the course of violating AS 11.59.010. Property such as firearms, automobiles, cash receipts obtained while running the enterprise, or other business equipment or supplies are thus subject to forfeiture.

Finally, AS 11.59.090(3) covers property, or its proceeds, that is derived from racketeering (i.e., from a pattern of illegal activity) without the requirement that some enterprise actually be taken over. Thus if the scheme is stopped before it can infiltrate a legitimate business, the illegitimate gains from the racketeering are nonetheless subject to forfeiture, even though the defendants are not subject to the severe criminal penalties provided under AS 11.59.040 and 11.59.050. Because it is important to take away the profit motive existing in repeated criminal activity, AS 11.59.090 has been included in this bill.

Sec. 11.59.100. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

This section provides a mechanism to insure that equitable relief can be obtained to minimize the harm caused by racketeering as well as to preserve the assets of the defendant for future recovery in the context of civil recovery, a criminal fine, or a forfeiture. The type of equitable relief authorized by this section depends on the stage of the litigation in which it is sought and who is requesting the relief. Subsection (a) applies to relief that is sought before an action under this legislation is actually filed and may only be sought by the attorney general. Subsection (b) applies to relief that may be granted once an action is filed. This relief may be sought by either the attorney general or a civil plaintiff. Subsection (c) applies to equitable relief that may be granted once an action is successfully concluded. The relief may be sought in connection with either a civil or criminal proceeding authorized by this legislation.

In reference to equitable relief that may be sought in conjunction with a civil proceeding, this section is subject to due process requirements governing equitable relief. United States v. Cappetto, 502 F.2d 1351, 1358 (7th Cir. 1974), cert. denied, 420 U.S. 925 (1975). The person seeking the injunction must show some potential injury, but need not show "irreparable injury other than the

injury to the public which [is] inherent in the conduct made unlawful...." Cappetto, 502 F.2d at 1358-59. The breadth of the equitable relief authorized by this action evidences the concern of this legislation that the plaintiff's right to recovery could be seriously impaired by the concealment, disposal, or removal from the jurisdiction of the property at issue.

In reference to equitable relief sought in conjunction with a criminal prosecution, no pre seizure hearing is required if the injunction is necessary to achieve important governmental purposes. Pre seizure notice might defeat the purposes of this section. Moreover, the injunction is initiated by government officials rather than private parties. United States v. Spilotro, 680 F.2d 612, 617 (9th Cir. 1982). Due process requirements are satisfied by a prompt post seizure hearing once the injunction has been entered. Spilotro 680 F.2d at 617. It is within the discretion of the superior court to continue the injunction if it is satisfied that there is probable cause to believe that the defendant is guilty of violating AS 11.59.040 or 11.59.050 and that the property at issue in the injunction is subject to forfeiture under AS 11.59.090. United States v. Spilotro, 680 F.2d 612, 618 (9th Cir. 1982); United States v. Long, 654 F.2d 911, 915 (3rd Cir. 1981). "It is not necessary that the hearing duplicate the criminal trial." The prosecution is required

only to establish the probability that the defendant will be convicted and properties will be subject to forfeiture. Spilotro, 680 F.2d at 618. The likelihood of conviction may be established by such evidence as testimony of law enforcement officials concerning the sources of defendant's income and the legality of that income. See Long, 654 F.2d at 915.

Sec. 11.59.110. CIVIL INVESTIGATIVE DEMAND

This section, which is based on 18 U.S.C. sec. 1963 provides the state with the necessary mechanism to insure that investigations into suspected criminal or civil violations of this legislation can be completed successfully. The provisions are largely self explanatory, and considering that no appellate cases have arisen under the similar federal statute in the 14 years since enactment, the provisions of this section will apparently present no problems in administration.

Sec. 11.59.120. ATTEMPT OR SOLICITATION TO VIOLATE AS 11.59.010

This section is included solely for drafting convenience to insure that the quoted phrase does not have to be repeated in the numerous references in AS 11.59.070 -- 11.59.120 that depend on establishing a violation of

AS 11.59.010.

ARTICLE 4. GENERAL PROVISIONS

Sec. 11.59.900. DEFINITIONS

This section defines two key terms that are used throughout this chapter, "enterprise" and "property."

(1) Enterprise: To commit any of the three prohibited acts described in AS 11.59.010 an "enterprise" must be involved. This section defines that term. The definition is not limited to those examples specifically listed, but is merely illustrative. See United States v. Huber, 603 F.2d 387, 394 (2d Cir. 1979), cert. denied, 444 U.S. 1085 (1980). "There is no restriction upon the associations embraced by the definition: an enterprise includes any union or group of individuals associated in fact". United States v. Turkette, 452 U.S. 576, 580 (1980).

The definition specifically includes legal as well as illegal entities. See United States v. Turkette, 452 U.S. 576 (1980); see also United States v. Griffin, 660 F.2d 996 (4th Cir. 1981), cert. denied 102 S.Ct. 1029 (1982). There is no requirement that the membership of the enterprise remain static throughout its existence. See United States v. Clemones, 577 F.2d 1247, 1253, modified, 582

F.2d 1373 (5th Cir. 1978), cert. denied, 445 U.S. 927 (1980). Further, the definition of enterprise is broad enough to include a single-person enterprise. See United States v. Hartley, 678 F.2d 961, 989 (11th Cir. 1982), cert. denied, 103 S.Ct. 834 (1983); United States v. Benny, 559 F.Supp. 264, 266-71 (N.D. Cal. 1983).

The federal definition of enterprise has been interpreted on numerous occasions to apply to commercial entities, benevolent organizations, and governmental entities. This legislation intends to adopt the federal approach of broadly interpreting the definition of enterprise, as illustrated by such cases as United States v. Weisman, 624 F.2d 1118, 1120 (2d Cir.) cert. denied, 449 U.S. 871 (1980); United States v. Provenzano, 688 F.2d 194, 199-200 (3rd Cir.), cert. denied, 459 U.S. 1071 (1982); United States v. Thompson, 685 F.2d 993, 994-95 (6th Cir.), cert. denied, 459 U.S. 1072 (1982); United States v. Long, 651 F.2d 239, 241 (4th Cir.), cert. denied, 454 U.S. 896 (1981); United States v. Stratton, 649 F.2d 1066, 1074-75 (5th Cir. 1980) and cases cited approvingly therein; United States v. Grzywacz, 603 F.2d 682, 685-87 (7th Cir. 1979), cert. denied, 446 U.S. 935 (1980); United States v. Dozier, 672 F.2d 531, 543 and n. 8 (5th Cir.) cert. denied, 459 U.S. 943 (1982); United States v. Bagaric, 706 F.2d 42 (2d Cir.), cert. denied, 104 S.Ct. 283 (1983).

Establishing a pattern of racketeering is not automatically sufficient by itself to establish the existence of an enterprise. "While the proof used to establish those separate elements may in a particular case coalesce, proof of one does not necessarily establish the other. The 'enterprise' is not the 'pattern of racketeering activity'; it is an entity separate and apart from the pattern of activity in which it engages." United States v. Turkette, 452 U.S. at 583-85. See generally United States v. Mazzei, 700 F.2d 85, 87-90 (2d Cir.), cert. denied, 103 S.Ct. 2124 (1983); United States v. Cagnina, 697 F.2d 915, 921 (11th Cir.), cert. denied, 104 S.Ct. 175 (1983).

(2) Property: This definition will be of primary importance in applying the forfeiture provisions in sec. 3 of the bill. Those forfeiture provisions apply to property and proceeds of property acquired, maintained, used, invested, or derived from violation of AS 11.59.010. Consistent with the recent decision of the Supreme Court in Russello v. United States, 104 S.Ct. 296, the definition of property specifically includes profits.

### Section 3. Forfeitures

This section of the bill has two related purposes. First, it specifies the procedures applicable to the forfeiture of property authorized by this legislation in

AS 11.59.090. Secondly, it effectively consolidates many state forfeiture procedures in a single new article added to AS 9. This consolidation of state forfeiture procedures will minimize the possibilities of unintended inconsistencies in coverage and reduce the volume of laws that are required whenever forfeiture is authorized. Additionally, since many instances of racketeering may involve conduct that violates crimes defined outside this legislation, it is appropriate to include the general procedures pertaining to forfeiture in this legislation.

#### ARTICLE 7. FORFEITURE

##### Sec. 09.50.400. PROCEDURES APPLICABLE IN FORFEITURE PROCEEDINGS

This section accomplishes the consolidation of forfeiture procedures referred to above. For forfeiture procedures to be initiated, forfeiture must be authorized by state law. AS 11.59.090 specifically authorizes the forfeiture of property used in violation of AS 11.59.010, while other state statutes also authorize forfeiture in specified circumstances. See e.g., AS 11.66.270 and AS 17.30.110 as amended in secs. 5 and 9 of this bill.

If forfeiture is authorized by state law, the sections in this article will govern the procedures applicable to the

forfeiture procedure. There is, however, one important exception to the general rule that all forfeiture proceedings are governed by AS 09.50. In cases where the legislature wishes to make property subject to forfeiture procedures that are different from those included in this article, it can specifically do so. However, if different forfeiture procedures are not "otherwise specifically provided in the state law authorizing forfeiture," the property is subject to forfeiture under the procedure specified in this article.

Sec. 09.50.410. SEIZURE AND CUSTODY OF PROPERTY

Property subject to forfeiture may be seized with or without a court order under the provisions of AS 09.50.410(a). When property is seized without a court order under paragraph (a)(3), it may not be held for more than 48 hours unless an extension is obtained from the court.

Once property has been seized, the commissioner of public safety or a local law enforcement agency is responsible for assuming custody of the property under AS 09.50.410(b). Only the court with jurisdiction over the property can require a subsequent movement of the property.

AS 09.50.410(c) provides that the property must be inventoried within 10 days after it is seized, and that the

value of any items, other than controlled substances, must be estimated. The results of this estimate will be of importance in determining the required notices that must be sent under AS 09.50.420.

Sec. 09.50.420. NOTICE OF SEIZURE AND FORFEITURE ACTION;  
ANSWERS

Once property has been seized under AS 09.50.420, the commissioner of public safety is required to comply with the notice provision outlined in this section.

AS 09.50.420(a) pertains to the notice required after seizure of the property but before the state institutes formal forfeiture proceedings. Notice must be sent to any person who has an interest in the property as described in this subsection. However, if a forfeiture proceeding has been instituted within 30 days after seizure of the property, the notice required by subsection (a) need not be given. This is because the notice required in AS 09.50.420(b) will provide sufficient notice to persons with interests in the property.

AS 09.50.420(b) describes a separate and additional notice that must be sent within 30 days after the state actually institutes the forfeiture proceeding. Since a defendant in a criminal case has already received notice of the

proceeding under AS 09.50.430(a), no additional notice need be sent to the defendant.

AS 09.50.420(c) provides a mechanism whereby parties with an interest in the property sought to be forfeited can file an answer in order to argue against forfeiture or for a remission of the property. Since controlled substances and imitation controlled substances are summarily forfeited to the state under AS 17.30.126, AS 09.50.420(d) provides that the notice requirements specified in this section do not apply to the forfeiture of controlled substances.

Sec. 09.50.430. PROCEEDINGS RESULTING IN FORFEITURE; BURDEN OF PROOF

This section lists the underlying proceedings in which forfeiture may be initiated, and specifies the burden of proof requirement in all forfeiture proceedings.

Under AS 09.50.430(a), a forfeiture proceeding may be initiated in one of three proceedings. In the event that the state has instituted a criminal prosecution or civil action relating to the conduct making the property subject to forfeiture, the filing of a motion to forfeit in that proceeding will initiate the forfeiture action. For example, if the defendant is charged under AS 11.59.040 or

11.59.050 for conduct involving the takeover of an enterprise through racketeering, the state may also file a motion seeking forfeiture of the enterprise and all profits obtained by the defendant as a result of the illegal conduct. See AS 11.59.090. Similarly, if the state has filed a civil action for an injunction or for treble damages, it may also include a motion to forfeit property.

Alternatively, the state may institute a forfeiture proceeding simply by filing a complaint seeking forfeiture in an in rem proceeding involving the property subject to forfeiture. In this instance, there is no requirement that any additional civil or criminal action be instituted that relates to the property which is the subject of the forfeiture.

AS 11.50.430(b) provides that forfeiture proceedings are tried before a judge sitting without a jury. At the hearing, the state must establish by a preponderance of the evidence that the property is subject to forfeiture. The same burden of proof applies regardless of whether the forfeiture is sought by motion in a criminal or civil proceeding relating to the property or in an in rem proceeding.

Sec. 09.50.440. DEFENSES EXEMPTED

This section emphasizes that a forfeiture proceeding is distinct from any criminal proceeding involving the property sought to be forfeited. It is therefore irrelevant in the forfeiture proceeding that an earlier criminal prosecution involving the same property that the state seeks to forfeit resulted in an acquittal or a conviction of a lesser included offense. This is because the burden of proof applicable in the civil proceeding is less than required for a criminal conviction. See United States v. One (1) 1969 Buick Riviera, 493 F.2d 553 (5th Cir. 1974); One-Lot Emerald Cut Stones and One Ring v. United States, 409 U.S. 232 (1972); United States v. Kismetoglu, 476 F.2d 269 (9th Cir.) cert. dismissed, 410 U.S. 976 (1973).

In the civil proceeding the state must only prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the property is subject to forfeiture. AS 09.50.430(b). In a criminal prosecution, the violation of the underlying crime must be established beyond a reasonable doubt. Therefore, a jury determination in a criminal case that the defendant is not guilty of the charged offense does not mean that it has also determined that the state has failed to establish the violation of state law under the preponderance of the evidence standard applicable in civil forfeiture proceedings.

Secs. 09.50.450, 09.50.460. PETITION FOR RELEASE AND DISPOSITION OF SEIZED PROPERTY

Under certain circumstances, property may be released or disposed of under AS 09.50.450 and 09.50.460 before the court's decision on forfeiture. AS 09.50.450(b) provides that property that is not likely to be used in a court proceeding can be released if release is found to be in the best interests of the state and the claimant posts adequate security for the property. Additionally, the claimant or state can request disposition of the property before the decision on forfeiture. This may occur, for example, when the property is perishable or when its value may otherwise decrease during the proceedings. The proceeds of the sale are then treated as the property which is subject to forfeiture.

Sec. 09.50.470. FORFEITURE AND REMISSION

Subject only to the right of an innocent party to protect his interest in the property, this section makes forfeiture mandatory once it is established that the property is subject to forfeiture, regardless of the proceeding in which forfeiture is sought. The court does not retain discretion on the issue of forfeiture once it is shown that the property is subject to forfeiture.

The introductory clause of AS 09.50.470(a) refers to "the law authorizing forfeiture." To resolve any possible ambiguity on this point, the law authorizing the forfeiture

of property obtained in violation of AS 11.59.010 is AS 11.59.090. Other laws, besides AS 11.59.090, authorize the forfeiture of property, and once it is shown that the property was subject to forfeiture under those laws, that property must also be forfeited to the state under AS 09.50. For example, AS 17.30.110, as repealed and re-enacted by sec. 9 of this bill, authorizes the forfeiture of property used in violation of the laws involving controlled substances. Once it is shown that the property met the requirements of forfeiture specified in AS 17.30.110, that property must be forfeited to the state in accordance with the procedures specified in this chapter.

The right of an innocent person to obtain the return of his interest in property is sometimes referred to a "remission." Remission is a form of "pardon" of the forfeited property. The Laura, 114 U.S. 411 (1885). Under AS 09.50.470(a)(1) -- (3), a totally innocent person with an interest in property subject to forfeiture may protect his or her interest in the property. In allowing an innocent person to protect his or her interest, this section recognizes that the failure to provide such an opportunity would violate the Alaska Constitution. State v. Rice, 626 P.2d 104, 111-15 (Alaska 1981). Assuming that the claimant can satisfy the requirements of paragraphs (a)(1) -- (3), the court is provided with several options in

AS 09.50.470(b) for protecting the claimant's interest depending on the extent of that interest.

Federal statutory and case law has established that only parties who are ignorant of the illegal use or intended use of property sought to be forfeited, and who are non-negligent in lending or leasing their property, can qualify as claimants entitled to "remission" or "remittance." See, e.g., 18 U.S.C. sec. 3617(b), which codifies case law from the prohibition era. The burden is placed upon the claimant to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that he or she deserves relief under the remission standards. See, e.g., Wilson Motor Co. v. United States, 96 F.2d 29, 30 (9th Cir. 1938); United States v. C.I.T. Corp., 93 F.2d 469, 470 (2d Cir. 1937); United States v. One 1933 Ford V-8 Coach, 14 F.Supp. 243 (E.D. Ill. 1936).

The claimant must establish under AS 09.50.470(a)(1) that he or she had a good faith property interest in the item at the time of the illegal use. Florida Dealers and Growers Bank v. United States, 279 F.2d 673 (5th Cir. 1960); United States v. One 1936 Model Ford Coach, 58 F.Supp. 802 (M.D. Ga. 1944). Additionally, AS 09.50.470(a)(2) and (3) require the claimant to establish that he was ignorant of the illegal use or intended use and was not negligent in lending or leasing the property. This provision is based on 18 U.S.C. sec. 3617(b)(2). See One 1941 Ford 1/2 Ton

Pickup Truck v. United States, 140 F.2d 255 (6th Cir. 1944); Federal Credit Co. v. United States, 109 F.2d 121 (5th Cir. 1940). Compare Calero-Toledo v. Pearson Yacht Leasing Co., 416 U.S. 663 (1974).

Sec. 09.50.480. STATE DISPOSAL OF FORFEITED PROPERTY

Once the property is forfeited, the commissioner of administration is responsible for determining the eventual disposition of the property. Various options are listed in this section.

Sections 4-12. MISCELLANEOUS SECTIONS

The remaining sections of the bill make several miscellaneous complementary amendments to existing laws.

Section 4. This section amends the existing extortion statute to specifically provide that extortion is committed when the defendant makes one of the threats described in AS 11.41.520(a)(1) -- (7) to assist in the collection of a debt. This provision will insure coverage of conduct commonly associated with loan sharking under this legislation.

Sections 5, 6, 9, and 10. These sections make several conforming amendments to insure that gambling, controlled

substances, and imitation controlled substances are subject to the forfeiture proceedings specified in AS 09.50.

Section 7. This amendment insures that all unclassified felonies, including Illegal Control of an Enterprise in the First Degree, are subject to the fine authorized by this section.

Section 8. This amendment is necessary to authorize a term of imprisonment for a violation of AS 11.59.040.

Section 11. REPEALS. This section repeals several statutes pertaining to procedures applicable in drug forfeiture cases which are unnecessary with the enactment, in sec. 3 of the bill, of the new article in AS 09. Note that existing AS 17.30.126, which pertains to the summary forfeiture of certain controlled substances, is not repealed.

Section 12. EFFECTIVE DATE. This section specifies a January 1, 1986, effective date.

APPENDIX A

States that have adopted legislation similar to the federal Racketeering Influenced and Corrupt Organizations title:

1. Ariz. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 13-2312 (1978).
2. Cal. Penal Code § 186 (West Supp. 1983).
3. Colo. Rev. Stat. § 18-17-101 (1981).
4. 1982 Conn. Pub. Acts. 343.
5. Fla. Stat. Ann. § 895.01 (West Supp. 1982).
6. Ga. Code Ann. § 16-14-1 (Sup. 1982).
7. Hawaii Rev. Stat. § 842-1 (1976).
8. Idaho Code § 18-7801 (Supp. 1982).
9. The Illinois Narcotics Profit Forfeiture Act, H.R. 2450 (1982).
10. Ind. Code Ann., § 34-45-6-1 (Burns Sup. 1982).
11. Nevada Rev. Stat., chapter 207 (1983).
12. N.J. Stat. Ann. § 2C:41 (West 1982).
13. N.M. Stat. Ann. § 30-42-1 (Supp. 1978).
14. N.D. Cent. Code § 12.1-106.1 (C.Cupp. 1983).
15. Or. Rev. Stat. § 166-715 (1981).
16. 18 Pa. Cons. Stat. § 911 (1978).
17. R.I. Gen. Laws § 7-15-1 (Supp. 1982).
18. Utah Code Ann. § 76.10-1601 (Supp. 1981).
19. Wis. Stat. Ann. § 946.80 (Supp. 1982).