

COMMITTEE REPORT

SENATE

FURTHER:

3/5/05

Date _____

Mr. President

The Committee on FINANCE considered SB 204

contracts for architectural, engineering, and land surveying services.

and (a majority of the committee) (the committee) reports it back with the following recommendations:

- do pass
- do pass with attached amendment(s)
- replace with/or adopt CS for _____
- new title
- same title and recommends " DO PASS "
- and attached a "LETTER OF INTENT" NEW FISCAL NOTE
BE FN (A/B)
- reports it back without recommendation
- recommends referral to _____ Committee

MEMBERS SIGNING
DO PASS

MEMBERS HAVING
OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS

Chairman

Chairman recommendation

Original sponsors: Sturgulewski and Rodey

1 IN THE SENATE

BY THE FINANCE COMMITTEE

2 CS FOR SENATE BILL NO. 204 (Finance)

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 FOURTEENTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to contracts for architectural,
7 engineering, and land surveying services; and provid-
8 ing for an effective date."

9 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

10 * Section 1. AS 36.90 is amended by adding a new section to read:

11 Sec. 36.90.100. COMPLIANCE OF CONTRACTS WITH PROFESSIONAL REGIS-
12 TRATION REQUIREMENTS. The state or a political subdivision of the
13 state may not award a contract for architectural, engineering, or land
14 surveying services to

15 (1) an individual who is not registered under AS 08.48 to
16 perform the architectural, engineering, or land surveying services
17 required by the contract;

18 (2) a partnership that is not qualified under AS 08.48.251
19 to provide the architectural, engineering, or land surveying services
20 required by the contract; or

21 (3) a corporation that is not authorized under AS 08.48.241
22 to offer the architectural, engineering, or land surveying services
23 required by the contract.

24 * Sec. 2. AS 36.98 is amended by adding a new section to read:

25 Sec. 36.98.043. ARCHITECTURAL, ENGINEERING, AND LAND SURVEYING
26 CONTRACTS. (a) Notwithstanding the provisions of AS 36.98.010(3) and
27 36.98.040, a state agency shall negotiate a contract with the most
28 qualified and suitable firm or person of demonstrated competence for
29 architectural, engineering, or land surveying services. The state

1 agency shall award a contract for those services at fair and reason-
2 able compensation as determined by the state agency, after considera-
3 tion of the estimated value of the services to be rendered, and the
4 scope, complexity, and professional nature of the services.

5 (b) If negotiations with the most qualified and suitable firm or
6 person under (a) of this section are not successful, the state agency
7 shall negotiate a contract with other qualified persons or firms of
8 demonstrated competence, in order of public ranking. The state agency
9 may reject all or part of a proposal.

10 (c) This section does not apply to contracts awarded in a situa-
11 tion of public necessity if the person responsible for execution of
12 the contract on behalf of the state agency certifies in writing that a
13 situation of public necessity exists.

14 (d) Notwithstanding the other provisions of this section, a
15 state agency may include price as a factor in selecting architectural,
16 engineering, and land surveying services when, in the judgment of the
17 state agency, the services required are repetitious in nature, and the
18 scope, nature, and amount of services required are sufficiently de-
19 fined by measurable and objective standards to reasonably enable firms
20 and individuals making proposals to compete with a clear understanding
21 and interpretation of the services required. In order to include
22 price as a factor in selection, the state agency shall involve in the
23 evaluation of the proposals at least one person who is registered in
24 the state to perform the architectural, engineering, or land surveying
25 services that are the primary services to be provided by the contract.

26 (e) This section does not apply to a contract that incorporates
27 both design and construction services.

28 * Sec. 3. This Act applies to requests for bids or proposals for archi-
29 tectural, engineering, and land surveying services issued after the

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

effective date of this Act.

* Sec. 4. This Act takes effect immediately in accordance with AS 07.-
10.070(c).

STATE OF ALASKA 1986 LEGISLATIVE SESSION
FISCAL NOTE

Revision Date : _____

REQUEST

Bill/Resolution No. : CS SB 204
 Title : An Act Relating to Contracts for Arch., Engr., & Land Surveying Services
 Sponsor : Sturgulewski, Rodey
 Requestor : _____
 Date of Request : 3/18/85

FISCAL DETAIL

Agency Affected : DOT&PF, DEC, DNR, DOA
 BRU : _____
 Components : _____

EXPENDITURES/REVENUES : (Thousands of Dollars)

OPERATING	FY 86	FY 87	FY 88	FY 89	FY 90	FY 91
PERSONAL SERVICES						
TRAVEL						
CONTRACTUAL						
SUPPLIES						
EQUIPMENT						
LAND & STRUCTURES						
GRANTS, CLAIMS						
MISCELLANEOUS						
TOTAL OPERATING	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-

CAPITAL	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
---------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

REVENUE	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
---------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

FUNDING : (Thousands of Dollars)

GENERAL FUND	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
FEDERAL FUNDS	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
OTHER	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
TOTAL	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-

POSITIONS :

FULL-TIME	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
PART-TIME	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
TEMPORARY	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-

ANALYSIS : Attach a separate page if necessary

See Attachment

Prepared by : John O. Simpson Phone : 465-2951
 Division : Standards & Technical Services Date : December 17, 1985

Approved by Commissioner : _____ Date : 12/31/85
 Agency : Department of Transportation & Public Facilities

Distribution (by Agency preparing fiscal note) :

- Legislative Finance
- Legislative Sponsor
- Requestor
- Office of Management and Budget
- Impacted Agency(ies)

28

CONTINUATION of FISCAL NOTE ANALYSIS

For Bill/Resolution No. CS SB 204

ANALYSIS - S B 204

NEW ANALYSIS:

It is not possible to estimate the fiscal impact of this bill on state agencies but it is believed to be insignificant. For the most part the provisions of the bill are very similar to those in current practice.

There may be a fiscal impact to some political subdivisions which receive grants or Transfer of Responsibility Agreements (TORA) from state agencies for projects that require engineering, architectural or land surveying services. To properly identify these costs the Alaska Municipal League or the Political Subdivisions should be contacted directly.

STATE OF ALASKA 1986 LEGISLATIVE SESSION
FISCAL NOTE

Revision Date: _____

REQUEST Bill/Resolution No.: <u>CSSB 204 C&RA</u> Title: <u>Contracts for Architects, Engineers, Surveyors Sturgiewski & Rodey</u> Sponsor: _____ Requestor: _____ Date of Request: _____	FISCAL DETAIL Agency Affected: <u>A11</u> BRU: <u>A11</u> Components: _____ All those contracting for Architects, Engineers and Surveyors
---	---

EXPENDITURES/REVENUES: (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 86	FY 87	FY 88	FY 89	FY 90	FY 91
OPERATING						
PERSONAL SERVICES						
TRAVEL						
CONTRACTUAL						
SUPPLIES						
EQUIPMENT						
LAND & STRUCTURES						
GRANTS, CLAIMS						
MISCELLANEOUS						
TOTAL OPERATING	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
CAPITAL	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
REVENUE	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-

FUNDING: (Thousands of Dollars)

GENERAL FUND						
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER						
TOTAL	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-

	FY 86	FY 87	FY 88	FY 89	FY 90	FY 91
POSITIONS:	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
FULL-TIME						
PART-TIME						
TEMPORARY						

ANALYSIS: Attach a separate page if necessary

No direct financial impact on the Department of Administration. It is difficult to calculate the increased cost of contracts for the services of architects, engineers and surveyors when price is removed from consideration in the award of their contracts, but there will be a definite premium paid without price competition.

Prepared By: Robert J. Link *[Signature]* Phone: 465-2250
 Division: General Services & Supply *[Signature]* Date: December 18, 1985
 Approved by Commissioner: Eleanor Andrews *[Signature]* Date: _____
 Agency: Department of Administration

Distribution (by Agency preparing fiscal note):
 Legislative Finance
 Legislative Sponsor
 Requestor
 Office of Management and Budget+
 Impacted Agency(ies)

STATE OF ALASKA 1985 LEGISLATIVE SESSION
FISCAL NOTE

Revision Date: _____ Page 1 of 1

REQUEST
 Bill/Resolution No.: SB 204
 Title: Contracts for Architects,
 Engineers, Surveyors
 Sponsor: Sturgulewski & Rodey
 Requestor: _____
 Date of Request: _____

FISCAL DETAIL
 Agency Affected: ALL
 Program Category Affected: ALL
 BRU, Program or Subprogram(s) Affected:
ALL THOSE CONTRACTING FOR ARCHITECTS,
 ENGINEERS AND SURVEYORS

EXPENDITURES/REVENUES: (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 85	FY 86	FY 87	FY 88	FY 89	FY 90
OPERATING						
100 PERSONAL SERVICES						
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL						
400 SUPPLIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS						
800 MISCELLANEOUS						
TOTAL OPERATING	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
CAPITAL	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
REVENUE	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-

FUNDING: (Thousands of Dollars)

GENERAL FUND						
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER						
TOTAL	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-

POSITIONS: -0- -0- -0- -0- -0- -0-

FULL-TIME						
PART-TIME						
TEMPORARY						

ANALYSIS: (Attach a separate page if necessary)

No direct financial impact on the Department of Administration. It is difficult to calculate the increased cost of contracts for the services of architects, engineers and surveyors when price is removed from consideration in the award of their contracts, but there will be a definite premium paid without price competition.

Prepared By: Robert J. Link *RL* Phone: 465-2250
 Division: General Services & Supply Date: March 7, 1985

Approved by Commissioner: Lisa Rudd *LR* Date: 3/18/85
 Agency: Department of Administration

Distribution (by Agency preparing fiscal note):

- Legislative Finance
- Legislative Sponsor
- Requestor
- Office of Management and Budget
- Impacted Agency(ies)


SB 204

Position Paper
SB 204

The Department of Administration is opposed to this bill.


This legislation would require the State and political subdivisions to award contracts for architectural, engineering and surveying services in compliance with AS 36.98.010(3) and 36.98.040, except that price could not be considered as an award factor in the request for proposals. This effectively creates a separate class of Professional Services contractors.

Price is often a significant factor, among many, in determination of lowest responsible bidder cost. Without price constraints costs would likely rise as the criteria for selection would be increasingly subjective.



Robert J. Link, Director
Division of General Services & Supply
Department of Administration

3/16/85
Date



Commissioner Lisa Rudd
Department of Administration

3/18/85
Date

Analysis for Senate Bill 204

An Act relating to contracts for architectural, engineering, and land surveying services and providing for an effective date

Section 1

Adds a new section to the Miscellaneous Provisions chapter of Title 36 which covers Public Contracts. This section prohibits the state or a municipality from awarding a contract for architectural, engineering or land surveying services to an individual, partnership or corporation that is not licensed by the Board of Architects, Engineers and Land Surveyors.

Section 2

This section adds new language to the statute relating to Professional Services Contracts by creating a specific section on Architectural, Engineering and Land Surveying Contracts. It would require the state to negotiate contracts with:

- 1) the most qualified or competent architects, engineers and land surveyors and award the contract at fair and reasonable compensation. Reasonable compensation would be determined by the state after consideration of the estimated value of the services to be rendered as well as the scope and complexity of the work to be performed.
- 2) If negotiations were not successful with the first individual or firm designated as being most qualified, the state could enter into negotiations with other qualified persons or firms.
- 3) These provisions do not apply in cases of public necessity.
- 4) The state may include price as a factor in determining the selection of architectural, engineering and land surveying services. However, the scope and nature of the work must be sufficiently defined in order to allow individuals or firms to compete with an equal understanding. Price may not exceed 20% of the scoring formula used to select architectural, engineering or land surveying services.
- 5) These provisions do not apply to contracts that incorporate both design and construction services.

Section 3

This bill applies to requests for bids or proposals issued after the effective date of this act.

Section 4

Immediate effective date.

TO: SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE
FROM: ELIZABETH J. HICKERSON
SUBJECT: OVERVIEW OF CONTRACTING PRACTICES OF ARCHITECTS & ENGINEERS
DATE: NOVEMBER 20, 1985

At the request of Senator Jan Faiks, I prepared the following memorandum which provides an overview of contracting practices of architects and engineers.

COMMON LAW TREATMENT

Historically, contracts between governments and architects and engineers were exempt from competitive bidding requirements. When contracting the client initially selected an engineer or architect on the basis of background and reputation, not price. After selection, a satisfactory fee arrangement was negotiated. If the negotiations were determined unsuccessful (the price was unacceptable), the client withdrew the original selection, approached another qualified architect or engineer, and negotiations began anew. This process continued until negotiations with qualified architects or engineers were successful.

The difference between the procedure used for architects and engineers and most other competitive source selections is the point at which price is considered. Justification for this special selection procedure has been supported because of the lack of a definitive scope of work for such services at the time the selection is made, and the importance of selecting the best qualified firm.

This method of selecting architects and engineers has been supported by their professional organizations. Until 1978, The Canon of Ethics of the National Society of Professional Engineers prohibited competitive bidding by its members. The United States Supreme Court held that this prohibition violated the Sherman Anti-trust Act. By the time this decision was rendered, the Brooks Bill had codified the traditional means of procuring architect and engineer services by the federal government. A copy of National Society of Professional Engineers v. United States is attached.

BROOKS BILL

Federal procurement of architectural and engineering services is governed by the Brooks Bill, which was enacted in 1972. The bill establishes a selection procedure for architects and engineers which is based on the traditional method. The procedure includes: notice of requirements for services, submission of annual qualification statements by architects and engineers, and the evaluation of qualifications for each project. Architects and engineers are ranked based on their qualifications and ability to perform the service. At least three firms are selected for each project. Negotiations are then held with the highest ranking firm to arrive at a fair and reasonable price. If agreement is not reached, negotiations are begun with the next most qualified firm.

STATE STATUTES

The United States Supreme Court has held that the federal anti-trust laws do not prevent states from enacting certain competitive restraints. The following statistics were compiled in 1982 by the American Consulting Engineers Council:

competitive bidding for architectural/engineering services is prohibited by law in two states, Tennessee and Texas;

architectural/engineering services are exempted from general bidding requirements in 14 states; and,

design firms are ranked according to their qualifications and fees negotiated only with top-ranked firms in 21 states.

An attached article, "More Low Bidding Ahead for Engineering Services?" provides specific information on these statistics.

ALASKA LAW

Procurement of professional services are governed under AS 36.98. Professional services contractors registers are maintained by the commissioners of administration and transportation and public facilities. A statement of qualifications and performance data relating to the contractor and other information are made part of the register record. When an agency proposes to enter into contracts for professional services, public notice soliciting proposals for the services must be published. The notice must include: a general description of the proposed project and the procedure by which a person or firm may make its proposal to the agency for consideration.

Evaluation of proposals must consist of assigning point values to factors considered by the agency in evaluating each proposal. Each proposal received must be evaluated using the same factors as those set out in the request for proposal. When the scope of the service requested can be defined, price is often one factor of the evaluation.

SUPPORT FOR BROOKS BILL LEGISLATION

Professional organizations have lobbied in support of state legislation similar to the federal Brooks Bill. The Alaska Chapter of the American Institute of Architects issued a statement of policy on the issue. The Chapter supports qualifications based procurement of A/E service because:

_____ the scope of work cannot be sufficiently defined so as to provide the basis upon which to make a price quotation;

_____ creative exercise of reasoning, judgment, and design cannot be reduced to dollars and cents price quotations; and,

when price and quality are considered together in selecting a firm all too often disproportionate weight is given the low price bidder at the expense of quality.

This statement summarizes the general arguments in support. A complete statement of policy is attached, as well as other supporting articles.

OPPOSITION TO BROOKS BILL LEGISLATION

Articles opposing legislation similar to the federal Brooks Bill have appeared in national publications. Most authors have had previous experience in federal and/or state procurement and conclude that the absence of requirements for competitive price negotiation in the A/E selection process causes excessive profits.

According to Paul J. Andrews, "the absence of price comparison results in a 600 to 700 percent price spread, in the cost of A/E services. . . . the Brooks Act alone insures that A/E services which could be obtained for \$50,000, will cost the federal government as much as \$350,000." Mr. Andrews also believes that price comparison for A/E services is the trend for the private sector. See attached article, "The 700% Solution-A Billion Dollar Ripoff".

The U. S. Department of Transportation reported on the audit of DOT Grant Funded Construction and found that:

some grantee A/E contract award practices provided little assurance that quality services were acquired at reasonable prices. Out of 102 A/E contracts reviewed, over half were priced without adequate cost estimates, analyses, or sufficient documentation to support reasonableness of price. Twenty-nine percent were awarded under conditions which precluded effective competition.

Attached is a copy of the complete report. The Deficit Reduction Act of 1984 calls for a study of procurement of professional services, including architects and engineers.

Opponents also point to the fact that architects and engineers are the only professionals that are exempted from competitive bidding.




Dept. of Transportation & Public Facilities

Position Paper

BILL NO: CS for SB 204 (C&RA)

TITLE: An Act Relating to Contracts for
Architectural, Engineering and Land
Surveying Services

APPROVED: 
R. J. Knapp
Commissioner

DATE: 1/22/86

The Department of Transportation and Public Facilities acknowledges the merits of the argument that competitive bid selection for selection of professional design services could lead to undesirable cost and operating efficiency problems. In certain cases the practice of bidding for such services could put the public in a position of paying for facilities which are not in their best interest even though substantial sums appear to have been saved in the design phase.

At the same time the Department has occasion to procure engineering and architectural services for classes of projects ranging from the most complex to the most simple and straight forward. To accommodate selection of these services for projects that cover the entire spectrum, flexible selection criteria, including price where applicable, is essential. There are numerous classes of design professional contracts wherein price consideration can continue to be of value to the state (architectural reviews for code compliance, projects which have a well defined design and construction scope, and various sorts of consulting services).

For the most part the bill's provisions for selection of engineering and architectural services are very similar to those used in current practice and the Department has no objections or suggested changes to offer.



Dept. of Transportation & Public Facilities

Position Paper

BILL NO: CS for SB 204 (C&RA)

APPROVED: *Wm S. Sp...*
R. J. Knapp
Commissioner

TITLE: An Act Relating to Contracts for Architectural, Engineering and Land Surveying Services.

DATE: 1-31-86

This bill specifies the basis on which the State would negotiate and award contracts for architectural, engineering and land surveying services.

The Department of Transportation and Public Facilities acknowledges the merits of the argument that competitive bid selection for selection of professional design services could lead to undesirable cost and operating efficiency problems. In certain cases the practice of bidding for such services could put the public in a position of paying for facilities which are not in their best interest even though substantial sums appear to have been saved in the design phase.

At the same time the Department has occasion to procure engineering and architectural services for classes of projects ranging from the most complex to the most simple and straight forward. To accommodate selection of these services for projects that cover the entire spectrum, flexible selection criteria, including price where applicable, is essential.


The bill provides this flexibility and its provisions for selection of engineering and architectural services are very similar to those used in current practice. Therefore the Department supports this bill.

Position Paper
CSSB 204

The Department of Administration supports this bill.

This legislation would require the State to award contracts for architectural, engineering and surveying services in compliance with AS 36.98.043 and 36.90.100.


Except under the specified conditions, when seeking the services of an architectural, engineering, or land surveying firm, the requesting agency would rank competing firms. They would then negotiate with the highest ranked firm. If they did not feel the proposed contract price was fair they could move on to the next most qualified firm. If the price were made a consideration it could not be given a weight greater than 20%. For the selection of professional services contractors other than architects, engineers or land surveyors, the requesting agency would have no restrictions when considering price in making their ranking and selections.



Robert J. Link, Director
Division of General Services & Supply
Department of Administration

2/3/86

Date



Commissioner Eleanor Andrews
Department of Administration

2/17/86

Date

Offered: 4/19/85
Referred: Labor and Commerce

Original sponsors: Sturgulewski and Rodey

1 IN THE SENATE

BY THE COMMUNITY AND REGIONAL
AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

2 CS FOR SENATE BILL NO. 204 (C&RA)

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 FOURTEENTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to contracts for architectural,
7 engineering, and land surveying services; and provid-
8 ing for an effective date."

9 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

10 * Section 1. AS 36.90 is amended by adding a new section to read:

11 Sec. 36.90.100. COMPLIANCE OF CONTRACTS WITH PROFESSIONAL REGIS-
12 TRATION REQUIREMENTS. The state or a political subdivision of the
13 state may not award a contract for architectural, engineering, or land
14 surveying services to

15 (1) an individual who is not registered under AS 08.48 to
16 perform the architectural, engineering, or land surveying services
17 required by the contract;

18 (2) a partnership that is not qualified under AS 08.48.251
19 to provide the architectural, engineering, or land surveying services
20 required by the contract; or

21 (3) a corporation that is not authorized under AS 08.48.241
22 to offer the architectural, engineering, or land surveying services
23 required by the contract.

24 * Sec. 2. AS 36.98 is amended by adding a new section to read:

25 Sec. 36.98.043. ARCHITECTURAL, ENGINEERING, AND LAND SURVEYING
26 CONTRACTS. (a) Notwithstanding the provisions of AS 36.98.010(3) and
27 36.98.040, a state agency shall negotiate a contract with the most
28 qualified and suitable firm or person of demonstrated competence for
29 architectural, engineering, or land surveying services. The state

1 agency shall award a contract for those services at fair and reason-
2 able compensation as determined by the state agency, after considera-
3 tion of the estimated value of the services to be rendered, and the
4 scope, complexity, and professional nature of the services.

5 (b) If negotiations with the most qualified and suitable firm or
6 person under (a) of this section are not successful, the state agency
7 shall negotiate a contract with other qualified persons or firms of
8 demonstrated competence, in order of public ranking. The state agency
9 may reject all or part of a proposal.

10 (c) This section does not apply to contracts awarded in a situa-
11 tion of public necessity if the person responsible for execution of
12 the contract on behalf of the state agency certifies in writing that a
13 situation of public necessity exists.

14 (d) Notwithstanding the other provisions of this section, a
15 state agency may include price as a factor in selecting architectural,
16 engineering, and land surveying services when, in the judgment of the
17 state agency, the scope, nature, and amount of services required are
18 sufficiently defined to reasonably enable firms and individuals making
19 proposals to compete with a substantially equal understanding and
20 interpretation of the services required. In order to include price as
21 a factor in selection, the state agency shall involve in the evalua-
22 tion of the proposals at least one person who is registered in the
23 state to perform the architectural, engineering, or land surveying
24 services that are the primary services to be provided by the contract.

25 (e) The consideration of price under (d) of this section as a
26 factor in the selection of architectural, engineering, and land sur-
27 veying services may not exceed 20 percent of the scoring formula used
28 in evaluating proposals. The state agency shall base the evaluation
29 of price on a previously established schedule that objectively

1 correlates price with points scored.

2 (f) This section does not apply to a contract that incorporates
3 both design and construction services.

4 * Sec. 3. This Act applies to requests for bids or proposals for archi-
5 tectural, engineering, and land surveying services issued after the effec-
6 tive date of this Act.

7 * Sec. 4. This Act takes effect immediately in accordance with AS 01.--
8 10.070(c).

9

Introduced: 3/1/85
Referred: Community & Regional
Affairs and Finance

1 IN THE SENATE

BY STURGULEWSKI AND RODEY

2

SENATE BILL NO. 204

3

IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4

FOURTEENTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

5

A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to contracts for architectural,
7 engineering, and land surveying services; and provid-
8 ing for an effective date."

9 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

10 * Section 1. AS 36.98 is amended by adding a new section to read:

11 Sec. 36.98.043. ARCHITECTURAL, ENGINEERING, AND LAND SURVEYING
12 CONTRACTS. (a) Notwithstanding the provisions of AS 36.98.010(3) and
13 36.98.040, a state agency shall negotiate a contract with the most
14 qualified and suitable firm or person of demonstrated competence for
15 architectural, engineering, or land surveying services. The state
16 agency shall award a contract for those services at fair and
17 reasonable compensation as determined by the state agency, after
18 consideration of the estimated value of the services to be rendered,
19 and the scope, complexity, and professional nature of the services.

20 (b) If negotiations with the most qualified and suitable firm or
21 person under (a) of this section are not successful, the state agency
22 shall negotiate a contract with other qualified persons or firms of
23 demonstrated competence, in order of public ranking. The state agency
24 may reject all or part of a proposal.

25 (c) This section does not apply to contracts awarded in a situa-
26 tion of public necessity if the person responsible for execution of
27 the contract on behalf of the state agency certifies in writing that a
28 situation of public necessity exists.

29 (d) In this section "state agency" has the meaning given in

1 AS 36.98.080(5), but also includes political subdivisions of the state
2 when the political subdivision seeks architectural, engineering, or
3 land surveying services for a project that is funded entirely or
4 partially by state funds.

5 * Sec. 2. This Act applies to requests for bids or proposals for archi-
6 tectural, engineering, and land surveying services issued after the effec-
7 tive date of this Act.

8 * Sec. 3. This Act takes effect immediately in accordance with AS 01.--
9 10.070(c).

10

COMMITTEE REPORT

SENATE

Labor & Commerce

FURTHER: FINANCE

3/1/85

Date April 18, 1985

Mr. President

The Committee on C&RA considered SB 204

relating to contracts for architectural, engineering, and land surveying services; efd.

and (a majority of the committee) (the committee) reports it back with the following recommendations:

- do pass
- do pass with attached amendment(s)
- replace with/or adopt CS for SB 204 (C&RA)
- new title
- same title and recommends _____
- and attached a "LETTER OF INTENT" NEW FISCAL NOTE
- reports it back without recommendation
- recommends referral to _____ Committee

MEMBERS SIGNING
DO PASS

Arthur Stangulinski
[Signature]
[Signature]

MEMBERS HAVING
OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS

Edo Weimer
 Chairman
Do Pass
 Chairman recommendation