

John

Walsh

Alaska Juvenile Crime Commission

P.O. Box 4-2850 Anchorage, Alaska 99509 Ph. (907) 276-2066

Jan. 29, 1985

OFFICERS-

Marroyce Hall
Director/Chairman

Levi Gudde
Vice Chairman

Mark P. Ernst
Treasurer

Nancy Sullivan
Secretary

Denise Grogg
Recording Secretary

Board Members

Alyce Hanley
Representative

Joe Hoffbeck

Mark Paulson

Ernales ...

Carl Dial

Myrna Klingel

Barbara Gudde

Sam Dolman

Dave Duncan

Cheri Kernbergen

Paul Edgar

Margaret Behrend

William J. Sharow
Brig. General, Ret.

LAW ENFORCEMENT ADVISORY

Capt. Richard Cummings
Fairbanks Police Dept.

Chief Louis Bencardina
Seward Police Dept.

Kellie Post
Supervisor, Palmer
Investigative Unit
Ak. State Troopers

Detective Frank Feichtinger
Anchorage Police Dept.
Exploited Childrens Unit

Officer Preston Chapman
Anch. Police Dept.

Trooper Roy Segraves
Anchorage Exploited
Childrens Unit

Robert Erwin
Ak. Supreme Court Justice, Ret.

Chief Richard Rosa
Kenai Police Dept.

NATIONAL CONSULTANTS

John Rabun
Deputy Director,
National Center for Missing
and Exploited Children
Washington D.C.

Dr. Vincent J. Fontana
Professor of Clinical Pediatrics,
New York University, College
of Medicine
Medical Director, New York
Founding Hospital

Senator Edna Armstrong-DeVries
Pouch V
Juneau, Ak 99811

Dear Senator DeVries:

Enclosed is the information you requested regarding the establishment of an exploited child unit. I've included a draft of legislation which I hope will be helpful to you. Also, I've included letters from Anchorage Police Chief Brian Porter, Alaska State Trooper Colonel Mike Kolivosky and Corrections Commissioner Roger Endell which detail the concept of the unit. Please keep in mind these letters specifically referred to the unit as it was initially conceived, with a different manpower breakdown. What we envision now is an eleven-man unit staffed with five agents from the Anchorage Police Dept., four agents from the Alaska State Troopers and one from the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The total cost would be 985-thousand dollars a year.

Thank you very much for your efforts, further information on the problem of exploited children will be forthcoming.

Sincerely,

Marroyce Hall
Marroyce Hall,
Director

BY xxxxxxx

BILL NO.

IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

FOURTEENTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

A BILL

For an act entitled: "An Act relating to the establishment of a special unit for the investigation of criminally exploited and missing children."

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

*Section 1. LEGISLATIVE FINDINGS The legislature finds that there exists an increasing escalation of crime committed by and against juveniles and that delinquent acts are frequently the result of criminal and sexual exploitation of children. There exists a need to establish a cooperative local, state and federal effort to combat the incidents of juvenile pornography, prostitution, drug sales and use and the involvement of juveniles in organized burglary and larceny offenses.

*Sec. 2. AS 18.65 is amended by adding a new section to read:

Sec. 18.65.xx. CRIMINALLY EXPLOITED AND MISSING CHILDREN INVESTIGATIVE UNIT. (a) There is established in the Department of Public Safety, division of state troopers, a special unit for the purpose of investigating incidents of missing children and combatting the criminal exploitation of juveniles.

(b) The commissioner of public safety shall submit to the legislature, within 30 days from the date the legislature convenes, a report concerning the activities of the special unit on criminal exploitation of juveniles and missing children. The report shall include, but is not limited to, the number of arrests made in cases of juvenile exploitation, the number of missing children reports investigated and solved, the sentences received by persons convicted of juvenile exploitation and an overall view of the missing children and juvenile exploitation problem in the state.

*Sec. 3. This act takes effect July 1, 1985.

LETTER OF INTENT REGARDING PROPOSED
SPECIAL UNIT FOR INVESTIGATION OF CRIMINALLY EXPLOITED
AND MISSING CHILDREN

It is the intent of the Legislature that funding for the special unit be used to establish a cooperative effort to combat the problem of juvenile exploitation and missing children. It is envisioned that such an effort would consist of . . . state troopers, 5 Anchorage police Department officers, a supervisory investigation position and an assigned FBI agent.

The intent in establishing the juvenile unit is to create an investigative force for juvenile exploitation crimes which will function similar to the METRO units in place around the state which have been effective in combatting illegal narcotic abuse.

Alaska Juvenile Crime Commission

P.O. Box 4-2850 Anchorage, Alaska 99509 Ph. (907) 276-2066

SPECIAL UNIT FOR THE INVESTIGATION OF CRIMINALLY EXPLOITED AND MISSING CHILDREN.

The Child Exploitation Unit would be upgraded to encompass missing children and will be developed on the concept of the Metro Drug Unit with cooperation between law enforcement agencies.

This concept would be an efficient liason to actively work cases in connection with the new State Trooper Centralized Missing Persons Bureau.

The majority of Alaska's missing juveniles live in Anchorage or migrate from the Bush and rural community to Anchorage. Missing and runaway juveniles and children run the highest risk of exploitation by criminal adults. This co-effort will provide vital informational linkages in cases originating locally as well as State-wide.

MANPOWER UNIT

	<u>Current Positions</u>	<u>New Positions</u>	<u>Total</u>
State Trooper	1	3	4
APD Officer	1	4	5
Supervisory/Investigation	0	1	1
FBI Agent (Assigned)	1	0	1
Clerical			<u>1</u>

Alaska Juvenile Crime Commission

P.O. Box 4-2850 Anchorage, Alaska 99509 Ph. (907) 345-0277

CHILD EXPLOITATION UNIT

Juvenile Unit - Funded by direct appropriation apart from the current law enforcement budget. A new and separate investigative section would be established consisting of a minimum of four investigators and a supervisor with appropriate clerical support. These investigators should be officers with experience in working with juveniles and in investigative techniques that relate to semi-organized and organized criminal activities. The purpose of the section would be to initiate and follow through investigation into the forces responsible for juvenile prostitution, both male and female, and those forces behind the rising juvenile drug problem and fencing operations involving juveniles. The notable difference between this unit and other units presently in existence is that they would self-initiate these investigations, rather than responding to isolated cases presented to them. It would allow this section to expand upon other investigations presented by other units and to initiate investigations based on intelligence reports from other agencies or information provided by informants. This unit should have the flexibility to work varying hours and have available communications equipment to permit discreet investigation and surveillance.

Specific areas of concern should be (1) juvenile progography, both the sale and distribution of and the making of, (2) adults and groups who gain by juvenile prostitution activities, (3) organized or semi-organized activities involving the sale and use of drugs by juveniles, (4) organized or semi-organized activities in which juveniles are used as the source of stolen property to be fenced.


As cases in these areas begin to be made, consideration should be given to the establishment of a special prosecutor to be funded to handle these cases from beginning to end for the purpose of maintaining the necessary confidentiality of any investigation involving juveniles as victims and/or participants in sensitive areas and for the purpose of maintaining direction in the legal system throughout investigations that will necessarily be involved, complicated and sensitive.

Alaska Juvenile Crime Commission

P.O. Box 4-2850 Anchorage, Alaska 99509 Ph. (907) 345-0277

To be effective, this unit must have available to it sources of funds to be used for the paying of confidential informants and to be used for such special operations as purchases of stolen property and drugs through undercover operatives. In addition, this unit must have the capability to operate discreetly, involving the use of unmarked cars and certain kinds of surveillance equipment and office security equipment.

The Juvenile Unit would be utilized as appropriate to assist, coordinate and work with local law enforcement efforts in juvenile crime and exploitation cases, using the metro concept of cooperative efforts in populated areas.



TONY KNOWLES
MAYOR

ANCHORAGE POLICE DEPARTMENT

625 C STREET • ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99501-3599
TELEPHONE (907) 279-1441



BRIAN S. PORTER
CHIEF

August 26, 1983

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

We have been asked to briefly outline the scope and estimated cost of a Special Unit for the Investigation of Criminally Exploited Children.

Background:

I have discussed this proposition with Colonel Mike Kolivosky, Director of the Alaska State Troopers, and have agreed that:

1. Such a unit could be beneficial if operated under the task force concept of the current Metropolitan Drug Enforcement Unit.
2. While these offenses are an important area of concern, neither AST nor APD could lose existing budget to begin this function as an independent unit.
3. If funded, the unit would operate, as the Metro Drug Unit, in the Greater Anchorage Area and periodically in the Matanuska-Susitna Valley.

Scope:

Initial and followup investigation of cases involving juveniles:

1. Prostitution.
2. Organized burglary/larceny offenses.
3. Narcotics.
4. Runaway.


Exploited Children-Proposal
August 26, 1983
Page Two

This unit would place emphasis on the exploitive aspects of these crimes. Priority would be given as listed above. The unit would coordinate with the Metropolitan Drug Unit and regular investigation units of the Anchorage Police Department and Alaska State Troopers as required.

General Considerations:

Funding should begin at least eight (8) months in advance of operational implementation so as to provide for recruitment and training of replacement personnel.

Sincerely,


Brian S. Porter
Chief of Police

BSP:vka
Attachments

STATE OF ALASKA

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY
DIVISION OF STATE TROOPERS

Bill Sheffield, Governor

ROBERT J. SUNDBERG
COMMISSIONER

P. O. BOX 6188, ANNEX
ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99502

September 12, 1983

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

The Alaska State Troopers have been asked for input in the creation of a Special Unit for the Investigation of Criminally Exploited Children.

At this time it appears that the Alaska State Troopers would be able to furnish one person of the rank of Sergeant on a part-time basis with the responsibility for initiating and conducting investigations in the State Trooper area of jurisdiction on matters pertaining to juvenile exploitation and victimization to include juvenile pornography; juvenile prostitution and other crimes involving juveniles which are considered to be organized or semi organized.

If a new position and adequate funding was authorized, the position would be that of a full time Sergeant. The Trooper Sergeant would in the performance of his duties act as a member of this special unit, which would be housed in the Anchorage area, and in cooperation with the Special Unit leader, he would be responsible for coordinating or working with other members of the Task Force in conducting investigations of matters pertaining to juvenile exploitation and victimization. He would be responsible for developing sources of information concerning these areas and in coordination with the Special Unit Leader develop, collect and furnish pertinent intelligence information to further enforcement efforts. He would, as a member of the Special Unit assist other members in their child exploitation victimization investigations. He will also assist other law enforcement agencies and activities in this type of investigation when requested.

The Sergeant will be responsible for the coordination enforcement efforts involving similar offenses with criminal and juvenile justice agencies in other states. He will also coordinate enforcement and investigative efforts with appropriate federal agencies on interstate matters.

The person assigned to this Special Unit must be prepared to cope with juvenile personalities and problems and have a Proclivity for communicating with juveniles

The total anticipated expenses for the Department of Public Safety, State of Alaska, would be \$79,084. These expenses are brcken down as follows:

- | | |
|---|----------|
| 1. One State Trooper Sergeant assigned to the Criminal Investigation Bureau, Anchorage - salary and benefits (78C - 12 O/T) | \$68,392 |
| 2. One lease car | 4,452 |
| 3. Travel and Per Diem | 2,000 |
| 4. Telephone | 1,020 |
| 5. Miscellaneous (office supplies, vehicle expenses, etc.) | 3,220 |
| 6. Clerical support (use existing) | -0- |

TOTAL ANTICIPATED EXPENSES: \$79,084

Sincerely,

Michael C. Kolivosky
Colonel Michael C. Kolivosky
Director
Alaska State Troopers

MEMORANDUM


State of Alaska

TO: Allen Blume
Special Assistant

DATE: October 31, 1983

FILE NO:

TELEPHONE NO:

FROM: 
Roger V. Endell
Deputy Commissioner
Adult Corrections Agency

SUBJECT: Alaska Juvenile Crime
Commission Report

Thank you for forwarding the Alaska Juvenile Crime Commission Report to me. The connection between child sexual abuse and delinquent behavior is clear to people and agencies working with such children. The various components of the justice system can and must work together on this problem. In the material furnished by Ms. Marroyce Hall, the geometric progression of cost to the State both in monetary and human terms, from just one instance of child sexual abuse, is well illustrated.

The commission is recommending that a statewide special unit for the investigation of sexually exploited children be developed and funded. Corrections would support such a move. Although in the short range, it may result in further overcrowding of our already overcrowded prison system, in the long range, the fewer children exploited, the fewer children become delinquents and possibly adult criminals.

The commission makes a number of recommendations for legislative action. All these recommendations merit further examination; in particular, I believe the following are worthwhile:

1. Strengthening child prostitution and pornography laws.
2. Funding specialized training for State personnel involved with handling exploited children and juvenile offenders with a history of exploitation (This would include McLaughlin Youth Center staff and Juvenile Probation staff as well as law enforcement personnel).
3. Fund juvenile emergency centers.
4. Provide incentive for the creation of non-profit group, halfway and foster homes, but ensure quality care through adherence to rigorous standards and frequent program monitoring and audits.
5. Establish a 24-hour information and referral hotline for exploited and abused children.

In the prevention section of the commission's report, of particular interest is the in-district suspension from school to include counseling and further referral if necessary. This appears to be a possible alternative to complete suspension from school.

Page two

Another way in which the State can help is in its support of daycare, job sharing arrangements and flexible working schedules for parents, so that parents can arrange their schedules to be with their children as much as possible. The possibility of child exploitation is diminished in this manner.

RVE:SH-B:ac2

✓cc: Susan Humphrey-Barnett, Director
Statewide Programs

MEMORANDUM

State of Alaska

TO: Jay Hogan, Associate Director
 Division of Budget Review
 Office of Management and Budget
 Office of the Governor

DATE: January 6, 1984

FILE NO:

TELEPHONE NO:

465-432

SUBJECT:

Criminal Child Abuse

FROM: Jos Mapranath, Director
 Division of Administrative Services
 Department of Public Safety



We have reviewed the materials submitted on criminally exploited children in Alaska and wish to express our support for the formation of a special law enforcement unit in Anchorage to deal with this serious problem. The unit would be a joint State and local cooperative effort similar to the successful Anchorage Metro Drug Unit. The cost is estimated at \$375.0 for FY 85 and would include one Anchorage P.D. Sergeant, one Anchorage Police Officer, one Anchorage clerical position, and one State Trooper Sergeant.

While we recognize the seriousness of criminal exploitation of children and that this is a growing problem, it is not feasible at this time for either the Anchorage Police Department or the Division of Alaska State Troopers to divert limited existing resources to form the proposed special unit. We would, however, be pleased to participate in such an effort if funding is provided. Therefore, I urge your support of an appropriation in the amount of \$375.0 to fund a special investigative unit on criminally exploited children.

Further details are available upon request. Your consideration of the requested appropriation to deal with this problem is appreciated.

cc: Commissioner Robert J. Sundberg
 Commissioner Roger Endell
 Peter B. McDowell, OMB
 Brian Porter, Chief, APD
 ✓ Colonel Michael C. Kolivosky, AST
 Marroyce Hall, AK Juvenile Crime Commission
 Sandra Borbridge, Spec. Assistant to the Governor
 Allen Blume, Spec. Staff Assistant to the Governor

BILL S. EFFIELD, GOVERNOR

REPLY TO:

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF PROSECUTOR
POUCH KC
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99811
PHONE: (907) 465-3428

OFFICE OF SPECIAL PROSECUTION
AND APPEALS
1031 WEST 41H AVENUE, SUITE 311
ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99501-5993
PHONE: (907) 279-7424

DEPARTMENT OF LAW

CRIMINAL DIVISION

March 30, 1984

The Honorable John Sackett
The Honorable Don Bennett
Co-Chairman, Committee on Finance
Alaska State Senate
Pouch V
Juneau, Alaska 99811

Re: Special Investigative Unit
Criminal Exploitation of Children

Dear Senators Sackett and Bennett:

I have been requested by Senator Kelly's office to provide you with fiscal information describing the impact on the Department of Law's prosecution program by the inclusion in the operating budget of a line-item grant of \$200.0 to the Municipality of Anchorage for the creation of a special child exploitation investigative unit. This appropriation is presently included in the House budget within the Department of Public Safety, Commissioner's Office.

The creation of such a unit was originally proposed with a funding level of \$375,000 to create a five member investigation team within the Alaska State Troopers and the Anchorage Police Department. In response to this proposal, we provided the Office of Management and Budget with a description of resources that would be required for the Anchorage District Attorney's Office in order to properly handle the cases which the State Troopers and the Anchorage Police believed would result from the unit's efforts. Those needed resources included a senior level prosecuting attorney, a paralegal assistant and a legal secretary for total costs of \$224,600.

As I understand the intent of the funding presently included in the House budget, the proposed unit would be reduced to three members and located exclusively in the Anchorage Police Department. Chief Porter had previously estimated that the work of the unit as originally proposed would result in approximately 25 additional major felony cases per year. It can be expected that considerably fewer cases would result at the reduced level and the impact on our department would be reduced accordingly.

We would anticipate that the work of this unit would result in 12 to 15 major felony cases per year involving

The Honorable John Sackett
The Honorable Don Bennett

March 30, 1984
Page -2-

approximately 15 to 20 defendants. Because of the nature of the cases and based on our past experience in this area, we would anticipate that these cases would involve between 40 and 60 individual criminal offenses.

From our experience prosecuting major felony cases of this nature, we have learned that these cases typically result in complex and lengthy investigations and trials. In addition to the primary crime of sexual exploitation of children, there are also drug, property and vice crimes generally involved. A good example of one of these cases is the prosecution in State v. Rodriguez last year in Anchorage which involved 28 separate counts of child exploitation, sexual assault and prostitution offenses. The defendant was convicted of 25 counts and received a sentence of 133 years with 83 years to servc.

To insure that the cases are properly handled, a prosecuting attorney should be assigned early in the investigative phase and remain with the case as it develops. A senior level prosecuting attorney will be required to properly prepare these cases and prosecute the resulting charges.

Cases of this nature also generally involve multiple victims. The Rodriguez case, for example, involved 11 victims. Due to the sensitive nature of the crimes involved, victim/witness services are required in order to properly prepare youthful victims who face the difficult ordeal of appearing at trial as witnesses. A paralegal assistant is required to perform these services and to generally assist in case preparation and presentation.

In an effort to keep the overall fiscal impact to a minimum, and in light of the reduced capability of the unit reflected at the funding level included in the House budget, we believe that we can do an acceptable job through a prosecuting attorney position at the Attorney IV rather than Attorney V level and a half-time paralegal assistant position. The total costs associated with these positions, which include case related costs for projected witness travel and similar items, is \$127,700. Attached is a detail sheet breaking these costs down by each position and budgetary object code. Please let us know if there is any further information that we can provide.

Very truly yours,

NORMAN C. GORSUCH
ATTORNEY GENERAL

By: Daniel W. Hickey FOR
Daniel W. Hickey
Chief Prosecutor

DWH/gb-59
Enclosure

STATISTICAL PROGRESSION OF SEXUALLY ABUSED MALE JUVENILES INTO CRIMINAL BEHAVIOR

THE FOLLOWING FIGURES APPLY TO SEXUALLY EXPLOITED MALE JUVENILES WHERE THE SUSPECT IS OTHER THAN A FAMILY MEMBER. SIMILAR FIGURES COULD PROBABLY BE APPLIED WHERE FEMALE JUVENILES ARE VICTIMS. INFORMATIONAL SOURCES INCLUDE ANCHORAGE POLICE DEPARTMENT, F.B.I., ALASKA STATE TROOPERS, LOUISVILLE EXPLOITED CHILDRENS UNIT AND McLAUGHLIN YOUTH CENTER.

ASSUMPTIONS

1. THE AVERAGE ADULT MALE ABUSER WILL SEXUALLY EXPLOIT FIVE CHILDREN IN A ONE YEAR PERIOD.
2. SEXUAL ABUSE IS A SIGNIFICANT CONTRIBUTING FACTOR TO SUBSEQUENT DELINQUENT BEHAVIOR.
3. 50% OF THE MALE JUVENILES SO AFFECTED WILL ACT OUT IN A DELINQUENT MANNER.
 - a. Each of this 50% of sexually assaulted youth will commit [average] 10 felony crimes in a one year period.
 - b. Anchorage has approximately 3200 burglaries per year.
 - c. 39.8% of all forceable entry burglar'aries and 30.7% unlawful entry burglary arrests were male juveniles. 42% of all auto thefts were juveniles.
 - d. Average police time expended per burglary is 8 hours. [Police] [Add costs: court, probation, Mc Laughlin, support services].
 - e. 80% OF ALL JUVENILES [MALE] WHO SEXUALLY ABUSE OTHERS, HAVE BEEN SEXUALLY ABUSED THEMSELVES.
 - f. 50% of all male juveniles committing serious felony assaults [homicide and first degree assault] have been sexually exploited.
4. 20% of all boys admitted but not institutionalized at McLaughlin Youth Center have been sexually exploited. [One thousand boys are admitted to Mc Laughlin each year but not institutionalized.]
5. 60% OF ALL THE BOYS INSTITUTIONALIZED AT MYC HAVE BEEN SEXUALLY EXPLOITED.

PROJECTION OF ESTIMATED COST OF ACTIVITIES BASED ON KNOWN STATISTICAL FACTORS. (Cost covers only police man hours and actual dollar cost as a result of burglary property loss as an indicator of cost to the community.

COMPUTATION #1

- 1..... Sexual Exploiter.
- 2.5..... Males exploited (by the exploiter above) act out in a delinquent manner.
- 25..... Burglar'ies committed by the above juveniles in one year.
- \$75,000..... Property loss as a result of the 25 burglaries.
- 200..... Police man hours expended on these burglaries.

COMPUTATION #2

- 1600..... Burglar'ies committed by juveniles in Anchorage each year.
- 800..... Burglar'ies committed by sexually exploited juveniles each year in Anchorage.
- \$2,400,000... Property loss by sexually exploited juveniles in Anchorage each year.
- 6400..... Police man hours/year expended for burglar'ies committed by sexually exploited juveniles.
- 3.08..... Police Officers committed full time to investigating burglar'ies committed by sexually exploited juveniles.

COMPUTATION #3

ASSUMPTION: 23% of institutionalized boys sexually abuse someone else.

- 18.....Boys in MYC in one year have sexually abused someone else.
- 15.....Of these boys have been sexually exploited themselves.

COMPUTATION #4

ASSUMPTION:

Each sexually exploited boy institutionalized at MYC for a term of one year or more have usually been exploited by more than one exploiter.

- 48.....Boys have been sexually exploited in one year.
- 48.....Exploiters are involved.
- 48.....Exploiters have exploited 5 or more boys.
- 120.....Boys exploited by these exploiters will act out delinquently.

- 48.....Boys will be institutionalized as a result.
- 1200.....Felony crimes will be committed in one year by the boys.
- 9600.....Police man hours will be expended investigating these crimes.

- 4.6.....Police Officer detailed full time to these crimes committed by these sexually exploited boys.

COMPUTATION #5

ASSUMPTIONS:

1 EXPLOITER EXPLOITS 60 BOYS IN A 4 YEAR PERIOD. (Rodriquez)

- 30.....Boys will act out delinquently.
- 1200.....Felony crimes will be committed by these boys in a 4 year period.

- 1.2.....Police Officers will work full time for four years investigating these crimes.

ASSUMPTION:

EACH OF THE 30 BOYS COMMITTING THESE CRIMES WILL SPEND 5 YEARS IN JAIL IN A 10 YEAR PERIOD.

- 150.....Years in jail spent by victims of this one exploiter.

ASSUMPTION:

Of the 1200 crimes committed, 1000 will be burglaries.

- 53,000,000.... PROPERTY LOSS IN 4 YEARS AS A RESULT OF THIS ONE EXPLOITER.

COMPUTATION #6

ASSUMPTION:

1. 200 boys admitted to MYC each year have been sexually exploited.
2. 200 additional boys not admitted to MYC have been exploited.
3. 400 additional boys have been exploited but have not displayed subsequent significant delinquent behavior during the year.

400.....Boys exploited who have acted out delinquentlv.
4000.....Felony crimes committed.
32,000.....Police man hours expended in investigation
15.4.....Police Officers investigating these crimes.

COMPUTATION #7

ASSUMPTIONS:

80.....Boys have been exploited each year.
200.....Will engage in a criminal lifestyle.
10.....Felony crimes will be committed by each boy each year.
60%.....Of those crimes will be property crimes with average dollar loss of \$3,000.00.

23%.....Will sexually abuse others during that period.
200,000.....Felony crimes committed.
120,000.....Property crimes committed.
\$36,000,000. Property loss.
46.....Sex offenders created.

ASSUMPTION:

Each of the 200 boys will spend 5 years in jail as a result of their crimes.

1000.....Man years of jail time over a 10 year period for each years victims of sexual exploitation.
10,000..... Man years each 10 years for victims of sexual exploitation.
100.....Exploiters.
250.....Kids/year (Delinquent)
2500..... Felony crimes (80% property crimes).
2000..... Property crimes.
\$6,000,000.... Property loss.
9.6..... Officer full-time investigating victim's crimes.

COMPUTATION #8

ASSUMPTION:

Of the 46 sexual offenders created in one year. 25% will begin to sexually exploit juvenile males within 10 years.

10 years from now =

11..... New and additional sexual exploiters.
550..... Juvenile males sexually exploited by these additional exploiters in subsequent 10 year period.

137..... Of those exploited will lead a criminal lifestyle.
13,700..... Felony crimes will be committed by the juveniles so exploited in 10 year period.
34.....New sexual offenders will be created, at least 25% of whom will enter the exploiter cycle.

CONCLUSIONS:

FROM THESE VERY CONSERVATIVE STATISTICAL PROJECTIONS THE FOLLOWING CONCLUSIONS CAN BE INFERRED:

Sexual exploitation of juvenile males is a significant and highly contributing factor to subsequent delinquency and criminal behavior.

The cycle of victim progressing to criminal and to sexual offender/exploiter, if not broken, will lead to a geometric type progression of criminal behavior, dollar loss to society, and inability of law enforcement to contain criminal behavior.

Dollar loss noted in these statistics applies only to burglary crimes and does not include dollar loss due to other crimes such as larceny, robbery, fraud, etc. that would probably greatly exceed that mentioned.

Dollar loss to society in the form of increased jail requirements, law enforcement expenditures, court system costs, social service expenditures, etc. is not considered and is astronomical in comparison to dollar loss figures from burglary crimes alone.

Crimes that will result against persons such as assault, homicide, robbery, etc. are not addressed.

Sexual exploitation of children also covers categories not addressed in these projections such as female prostitution, male prostitution and incestuous crimes that probably equal if not exceed in dimension the projections listed here.

Sexual exploitation of children is a hidden problem that has been a significant and root cause of today's crime problem and that if left unaddressed and not diminished will geometrically progress into an out of control causal factor of criminal behavior to a greater impact on our state and future.

...of the project.

Barnes was hired because she "knows her way around the halls of Juneau," is effective, and has been a supporter of the project in the past, said Lisa Parker, Cominco Alaska administrative assistant at the company's Anchorage office.

The Anchorage Republican served as House majority leader in her last term. She was defeated last year in a re-election bid, ending a six-year career in the House.

Barnes to release details of her financial arrangement with Cominco Alaska for lobbying services, but Barnes declined to reveal any details.

The former legislator said Monday she would be "doing some lobbying and consulting work" for the mineral development company. Cominco Alaska is her only client to date, she said.

Barnes said she worked on the issue for the past couple of years while in the legislature, and she

budget contains an \$18 million appropriation to the Alaska Industrial Development Authority for its economic development loan fund, to be used as start-up money for road and port facilities necessary for the Red Dog project.

An estimated \$150 million will be needed for road and port construction to open up the area for mineral development. The state's current plan is to build the facilities and then recover its costs by charging user fees for the road and port.

...explained, but closed up shop while in the House to avoid any possible conflict of interest. The company has been revived, she said, and is seeking work in a variety of consulting tasks.

Arctic Research recently submitted a proposal to the Department of Transportation that the transportation facilities would help promote economic development in the area.

Commenting on the chances for legislative passage of the \$18 million appropriation this year, Barnes said, "It's too early for me to assess that."

In addition to Barnes, the Juneau firm of Dawson and Associates works as a lobbyist for Cominco Alaska. Kent Dawson was an aide to former Gov. Jay Hammond.

In addition to her new job as a lobbyist, Barnes is general man-

...job as a joint venture with a New York firm. Barnes said Arctic Research sent Helen Sala Fickes-Munson, a Joe Hayes, who r-

...speaker.

Child-abuse foe crusades in state

by Bruce Scandling
Associated Press

Juneau — When his 6-year-old son was kidnapped and murdered four years ago, John Walsh embarked on an odyssey to stiffen child-protection bills across the country.

His latest focus is Alaska, and Walsh came to Juneau on Tuesday to urge state lawmakers and Gov. Bill Sheffield to beef-up laws that would make life tougher for child abusers in Alaska.

Walsh's visit comes in a year when lawmakers are considering a number of bills that would make life tougher for child abusers.

Some of Alaska's child-related legislation is already solid, he said, especially criminal codes which mandate stiff sentences for child abusers. But he called other areas of state law "wimpy" and "three or four years behind many other states."

Walsh, whose story was aired across the nation in the made-

for-television movie "Adam," told a joint meeting of the House and Senate Judiciary committees that Alaska is just as ripe for child abuse as any other part of the country.

"I've only been in your state for two days and I've seen your newspapers are full of it," Walsh said. "You get a lot of the trash that comes up here from other parts of the country and thinks this is the wild, wild West and they can abuse your children and get away with it."

Walsh's son was taken from a Hollywood, Fla., shopping center in 1981 and found murdered two weeks later. Since that time, he and his wife, Reve, have fought for toughening child-protection laws.

Walsh was named by President Reagan as a special consultant to the newly formed National Center for Missing and Exploited Children. After seeing federal child-protection measures passed in Congress, Walsh has devoted the past year to changing state laws.

He offered the services of the national center and presented legislators with a proposed package of laws he called the "Rolls-Royce bills" of child-abuse.

Lawmakers in Alaska are already studying child-protection bills that would:

- Require fingerprinting and background checks on people who work with children;
- Allow the use of hearsay evidence by grand juries trying to indict alleged child abusers;
- Require school districts to schedule at least one day of child-abuse and sexual-abuse training.

Senator issues budget warning

Associated Press

Juneau — The four-member Democratic minority in the Senate threatened Tuesday to debate the fiscal 1986 budget line-for-line when it hits the floor unless they get a chance to help put the spending plan together.

Sen. Joe Josephson, D-Anchorage, told the Republican-led majority that the first quarter of the session is under everyone's belts, but the minority hasn't been able to act "as full participants" in shaping the budget.

"Yet we understand the 16 members (of the majority caucus) can do whatever they want to do to represent their interests," Josephson said. "We could enjoy the prerogative of second-guessing, but

we'd prefer not to do that.

"We would like to be as accountable as you are with the 1986 budgets," he said. "We would rather be participants . . . Instead of talk about it on the floor.

"We want to be put on notice that the minority expects to be a part of the process," Josephson said. "If we can't do that, we will have no choice but offer our objections on the floor in a very extended way."

Josephson, along with Sens. Bill Ray of Juneau, Bob Ziegler of Ketchikan and Vic Fischer of Anchorage have been on the outside looking in so far this session as majority members have set their budget priorities.

Fruit Corners' Fruit Roll-Ups:
America's favorite fruit roll!



Kids agree Fruit Roll-Ups' are the best-tasting fruit roll. Nationwide taste tests prove it! Try Fruit Roll-Ups in strawberry, grape, cherry, apple, apricot and orange. And treat your kids to real fruit and fun rolled up in one.



Save 25¢
K110 MFR COUPON | NO EXPIRATION DATE

C.R. Lewis Co., Inc.

CUSTOM SHEETMETAL FABRIC

- flashing
- stainless steel hoods
- stainless steel
- marine • aircraft & mining specialties
- sinks
- coppe
- alumi
- mild s
- galvar

MECHANICAL MAINTENANCE

- Pipe cut & Threaded, Grooved or Bev
- Refrigeration & Air Conditioning Repair and Installation
- Boiler Repair ASME Welding

1500 POST ROAD • 276-362

ADD HAWAII
and SAVE to the
WEST COAST



Opinion

JUNEAU EMPIRE

WILLIAM S. MORRIS III
PUBLISHER

JEFFREY A. WILSON
GENERAL MANAGER

CARL SAMPSON
Managing Editor

THOMAS BLUMENSHINE
Production Manager

FRED HOWARD
Circulation Manager

ROBIN HERDMAN PAUL
Advertising Manager

DONNA GRUNOW
Office Manager

Story of Adam must not be repeated—ever

Everyone knows the story of Adam, the 6-year-old child who was kidnapped from a Florida shopping center and found killed two weeks later.

Adam's tragic story was told in a television movie that struck a nerve across the nation. That children can become victims of crime is a thought too outrageous to accept or to ignore.

One of those who chose not to accept or ignore these most serious of crimes is Adam's father, John Walsh.

Mr. Walsh has mounted a crusade against child abuse and other crimes against children. As part of his crusade, Mr. Walsh, a presidentially appointed consultant to the National Center for Missing and Exploited Children, came to the Capital City. His visit, sponsored by the Alaska Juvenile Crime Commission, is aimed at improving the state's laws and safeguards against child crimes.

His suggestions were far-ranging, yet basic. They include:

- Enact legislation requiring *all* persons who work with children to be fingerprinted and submit to background tests.

- Set up a state sexual abuse and exploitation unit to streamline the method of handling abuse cases. A major contribution such a unit would make is reducing the number of times victims would have to describe what hap-

City continues work, despite order to stop

By BETSY LONGENBAUGH

The Juneau Empire Juneau City-Borough may be facing a fine of up to \$10,000 a day from the Corps of Engineers for continuing to place fill along First and Douglas streets in Douglas after being ordered to stop doing so, a Corps representative said Wednesday.

The city claims, however, it was only repairing damage from the Wednesday storm—action that does not require a Corps permit. And city officials say a lack of communication caused the work to continue after the Corps' cease and desist order.

Jan Vellinga, an environmental activist with the Corps' Anchorage office, was in Juneau Tuesday and Wednesday to investigate complaints about the city's work.

Vellinga said the city is violating the Clean Water Act in putting in fill below the high tide mark along the Douglas street without a permit.

Vellinga said she had first complained about the problem in late November. She had asked for fill to be taken out of the area, which it was. She received a complaint about fill being put in above the high tide mark in December, and issued a cease and desist order Jan. 4.

On Feb. 8, she was told the work was continuing and came here this week to

Wednesday.

Frank Morris, a city engineer, said his department continued the work because of "lack of communication."

"We came in to riprap it," he said. "We weren't aware the cease and desist order had happened. ... Johnson's in a different department."

Although Morris and Johnson said their work was only replacing fill washed out by the storm, Vellinga said it is very obvious the city had gone beyond the scope of repair work.

"They went way beyond the extension of shore damage," said Vellinga.

Vellinga said First and Dock streets have been widened by the city work by between 10 and 25 feet and damaged intertidal areas.

Morris said the engineering department had not made plans to expand the road, but had also not done a survey before beginning the repair work.


"Basically, what we did was try to restore two-way traffic," he said. "It was an eyeball operation to try to get the thing fixed before we got another bad storm."

Teague said the city "is attempting to resolve the issue with the Corps of Engineers."

Vellinga was to return to Anchorage today to continue investigations and consider seeking legal action against the city. If found in civil violation, the

opened

- Use television monitors to shield victims from public during trials. This is being used in several child abuse cases in the Lower 48.
- Permit hearsay evidence in grand jury presentations.
- Mandate that all reports of child abuse get immediate attention.
- Establish and expand child-abuse education programs in schools.
- Some of these steps have already been taken in several areas of the state, but to assure that all child-abuse victims receive adequate, effective and immediate attention from the proper authorities will require statewide action.
- Gov. Bill Sheffield has made the state's battle against child abuse one of his top priorities.
- Of course, the governor can set all the priorities. Wants and people like Mr. Walsh can make all the suggestions they want, but without swift legislative action the efforts will go for naught.
- We urge Alaska's legislators to join Gov. Sheffield in making the fight against child abuse a top priority session.
- Only the Legislature can make sure these most innocent victims are protected against all forms of crime.



Bob Hallinen of The Times

REFLECTION

Gorgeous Alaskan mountain peaks reflect off the Hunt building at 550 W. 7th Ave. in downtown Anchorage Wednesday. The photo was taken from the J.C. Penney parking garage.

Children's crusader heads to Alaska

Father of abducted child to speak on adopting child protection laws

by Earl Swift
Times Writer

The father of a 6-year-old Florida boy whose 1981 abduction and murder attracted national headlines, spawned a movie and prompted child protection legislation in several states will meet with community members and legislators in Anchorage, Wasilla and Juneau early next week.

John Walsh, a consultant to Washington, D.C.'s National Center for Missing and Exploited Children, will appear in Anchorage and Wasilla next Sunday and Monday and in meetings with the governor and legislators Tuesday, Alaska Juvenile Crime

Commission director Marge Hall said Monday.

Walsh — whose personal crusade for federal and state laws making it easier for law enforcement agencies to track down missing children was a driving force behind the U.S. Justice Department's establishment of the national center last year — will discuss the adoption of child protection laws here that are currently used in a number of states, Hall said.

"I am delighted that John Walsh is coming," Hall said. "He is considered to be the number-one spokesman for parents of missing and exploited children."

He lived through his tragedy and he went on to enact legislation that would assist other parents."

Walsh gained national notoriety when his son, Adam, was abducted from a Sears store in Hollywood, Fla., in July 1981. The boy's severed head was found floating in a Florida canal two weeks later. The rest of his body was never recovered.

The tragedy prompted him to quit a lucrative consulting career and embark on a two-year fight to bring the country's missing children — of whom there are an estimated 1.8 million reported each year — to the attention of law enforcement agencies.

sexually touch him.

Betty Anderson allegedly molested the boy twice and the girl once, according to court documents.

The mother told jurors the children became extremely upset when the doctor attempted to examine them for evidence of sexual assault. She also told jurors how her children exhibited other evidence of sexual abuse.

Both children suffered from nightmares after the alleged abuses, she said, in which "they screamed 'no,' and just screamed."

The incidence of the nightmares is "not as frequent, but it still happens," the mother said, noting that earlier her son suffered nightmares about three times a week.

The mother said she began to be worried when her son began to show self-destructive tendencies.

An Anchorage psychologist testified earlier Wednesday that self-destructive tendencies are one of the many symptoms of sexual abuse.

The self-destructive tendencies exhibited by the boy included pounding his head against

investigators and by Assistant District Attorney Betsy Sholey.

In her opening statement Wednesday morning, Sholey said testimony of physicians will show the physical evidence of abuse and mental health professionals and child abuse experts will testify on the emotional and behavioral scars left behind.

Richard Anderson's defense attorney, David Weed, reserved his opening statement for later in the trial but Jensen reminded jurors that Sholey's statements are not to be taken as evidence but only what she hopes it will show.

Parents repeatedly had told state licensing officials that the care their children received at the Andersons' "was exceptional," Jensen said.

As for the testimony expected from the girl, Jensen said, "the only consistent thing" in her testimony will be "the inconsistencies."

The charges against the couple were handed down by an Anchorage grand jury after a two-month investigation by the Anchorage Police Department's Juvenile Division.



JOHN WALSH
Brought attention to children

Times staff

Federal investigators expect to finish on-site ex-

we're examining. Both engines are up in Anchorage," Seidien said this morning. "I will close shop here tonight and we'll be in Anchorage tomorrow."

Team members also were review company records to check whether the plane had received proper maintenance and whether personnel had

Alaska Juvenile Crime Commission

P.O. Box 4-2850 Anchorage, Alaska 99509 Ph. (907) 276-2066

OFFICERS

Marroyce Hall
Director/Chairman

Levi Gudde
Vice Chairman

Mark P. Frost
Treasurer

Nancy Sullivan
Secretary

Denise Gnagy
Recording Secretary

Board Members

Alyce Hanley
Representative

Joe Helfbeck

Marlene Poulson

Ermalee Hinkel

Gail Dial

Myrna Klingel

Barbara Gudde

Sue Dolman

Dave Duncan

Chert K. .bergen

Paul Edgar

Margaret Behrend

William J. Sharrow
Brig. General, Ret.

LAW ENFORCEMENT ADVISORY

Capt. Richard Cummings
Fairbanks Police Dept.

Chief Loula Bencardino
Seward Police Dept.

Rollie Port
Supervisor, Palmer
Investigative Unit
Ak. State Troopers

Detective Frank Felchtlinger
Anchorage Police Dept.
Exploited Childrens Unit

Officer Preston Chapman
Anch. Police Dept.

Trooper Roy Sagraves
Anchorage Exploited
Childrens Unit

Robert Erwin
Ak. Supreme Court Justice, Ret.

Chief Richard Rosa
Kenai Police Dept.

NATIONAL CONSULTANTS

John Rabun
Deputy Director,
National Center for Missing
and Exploited Children
Washington D.C.

Dr. Vincent J. Fontana
Professor of Clinical Pediatrics,
New York University, College
of Medicine
Medical Director, New York
Foundling Hospital

JOHN WALSH

Sunday, February 10, 1985 - Anchorage

11:00 a.m. -- Anchorage Times Reporter Interview

Daily News Reporter Interview (tentative)

Law Enforcement (tentative)

7:30 p.m. -- Public Meeting (Wasilla)

8:30 p.m. -- Reception

HOTEL CONFIRMED AT THE CAPTIAN COOK FOR SATURDAY AND SUNDAY

Monday, February 11, 1985 - Anchorage

9:45 a.m. -- Mayor Tony Knowles (8th Floor, Hill Building)

10:30 a.m. -- PSA (NBC Channel 2)

11:45 a.m. - 1:00 p.m. -- Lunch at Sheraton

7:40 p.m. -- depart Anchorage Alaska Airlines Flight 70B

9:05 p.m. -- arrive in Juneau (Flight 70B)

HOTEL RESERVATIONS CONFIRMED AT THE CAPE FOX

Tuesday, February 12, 1985 - Juneau

8:30 a.m. - 9:00 a.m. -- Senator Jan Faiks - call to confirm

10:00 a.m. - 10:30 a.m. -- Senator Kerttula (CONFIRMED) (Beth Kerttula)

11:00 a.m. - 12:00 p.m. -- Open

12:00 p.m. - 1:15 p.m. -- Lunch sponsored by Senator DeVries,
Representative Hanley, and Senator Fahrenkamp

1:30 p.m. -- Speaking before combined Senate and House Judiciary
Committee chaired by Senator Pat Rodey and Representative M. Mike Miller

2:00 p.m. -- Governor Sheffield, Commissioner Pugh (HESS), and
Marsha Hubbard (CONFIRMED)

2:30 p.m. -- Public Safety Finance Committee (Representative Larson)
(need to confirm)

4:30 p.m. -- Joint Community & Regional Affairs chaired by Senator
DeVries and Representative Goll (TEN) (House and Senate) (Evyonne Alford)

5:30 p.m. -- Reception for John Walsh hosted by President of Senate, Don
Bennett, Senator Faiks, and Senator Kerttula

HOTEL CONFIRMED AT THE CAPE FOX

Depart Juneau Wednesday, February 13, at 7:00 a.m. Alaska Airlines



Official Business

Alaska State Legislature

Senate

Pouch V
State Capitol
Juneau, Alaska 99811

FEB. 11, 1985

TO: ALL LEGISLATORS

FROM: SENATOR JAY KERITULA 

RE: JOHN WALSH

ON TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 12, MR. JOHN WALSH WILL BE IN JUNEAU. MR. WALSH IS A LEADING ADVOCATE IN THE AREA OF CHILD ABDUCTION. I URGE ALL OF YOU TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF THE OPPORTUNITY TO MEET HIM, AND HAVE ATTACHED A COPY OF HIS SCHEDULE FOR YOU.

SENATE PRESIDENT DON BENNETT, SENATOR FAIKS AND I ARE HOSTING A RECEPTION FOR MR. WALSH TOMORROW AT 5:30 IN THE BELTZ ROOM, I HOPE THAT YOU WILL BE ABLE TO ATTEND, ESPECIALLY IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A CHANCE TO MEET MR. WALSH EARLIER.



Official Business

Alaska State Legislature

Senate

Pouch V
State Capitol
Juneau, Alaska 9981

SENATE PRESIDENT DON BENNETT

AND

SENATORS KERTTULA AND FAIKS

INVITE YOU

TO A RECEPTION

FOR MR. JOHN WALSH

ON

TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 12, 1985

AT 5:30pm

IN THE BUTROVICH ROOM

Alaska State Legislature

BETTYE FAHRENKAMP, Chairman
ARLISS STURGULEWSKI, Vice Chairman
JOE JOSEPHSON
PAUL FISCHER
EDNA ARMSTRONG-DE VRIES



POUCH V
STATE CAPITAL
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99811
(907) 465-3634
(907) 465-3835

Senate Committee on Health, Education and Social Services

M E M O R A N D U M

TO: Members, Senate and House Committees on Health, Education and Social Services

FROM: Senator Bettye Fahrenkamp, Chairman *Bettye*
Senate Committee on Health Education and Social Services

Senator Edna DeVries, Chairman *Edna*
Senate Community and Regional Affairs Committee

RE: Luncheon Meeting with John Walsh

DATE: February 4, 1985

The Alaska Juvenile Crime Commission is sponsoring a visit to Alaska by John Walsh, Vice Chairman of the National Center for Missing and Exploited Children. Mr. Walsh is the father of Adam, whose abduction was the subject of a recent movie shown on national television by NBC. He will discuss model laws that are being drawn up by the Center concerning child exploitation.

Mr. Walsh's presentation will be made on Tuesday, February 12, from noon to 1:00 pm in the Butrovich Room. Please call Senator DeVries' office (465-4712) if you plan to attend the luncheon.



Official Business

Alaska State Legislature

Senate

Committee on Community and Regional Affairs

Senator Edna DeVries, Chairman
Members
Senator Ferguson, Vice Chairman
Senator Coghill
Senator Sturgulewski
Senator V. Fischer

Pouch V
Juneau, Alaska 99811

January 26, 1985

Rep W

TO: Representative Goll, Chairman
House Community and Regional Affairs

FROM: Senator Edna DeVries, Chair *Edna*
Senate Community and Regional Affairs

SUBJ: Joint House/Senate C&RA Meetings

I look forward to the two joint meetings we have planned:

Feb 5 - 3:30p Beltz Rm (211) Capitol

The annual report to the Legislature by the Local Boundary Commission. Annexations to the Cities of Fairbanks and Togiak to be considered.

Feb 12 - 4:30p ~~Beltz Rm (211)~~ Butrovich-Rm 205 Capitol

A report from John Walsh, Vice Chairman of the National Center for Missing and Exploited Children.

The Beltz Room will be set up for the House and Senate Committee Members to sit at the dais. If you have questions, please give me a call at 465-4712.

Alaska State Legislature

Senate

Senator Edna DeVries, Chairman

Members

Senator Ferguson, Vice Chairman

Senator Cognill

Senator Sturgulewski

Senator V. Fischer

Pouch V

Juneau, Alaska 99811



Official Business

Committee on Community and Regional Affairs

January 26, 1985

Rep W

TO: Representative Goll, Chairman
House Community and Regional Affairs

FROM: Senator Edna DeVries, Chair *Edna*
Senate Community and Regional Affairs

SUBJ: Joint House/Senate C&RA Meetings

I look forward to the two joint meetings we have planned:

Feb 5 - 3:30p Beltz Rm (211) Capitol

The annual report to the Legislature by the Local Boundary Commission. Annexations to the Cities of Fairbanks and Togiak to be considered.

Feb 12 - 4:30p ~~Beltz Rm (211)~~ Capitol *Butrovich - Rm 205*

A report from John Walsh, Vice Chairman of the National Center for Missing and Exploited Children.

The Beltz Room will be set up for the House and Senate Committee Members to sit at the dais. If you have questions, please give me a call at 465-4712.

**The
National
Center for
MISSING &
EXPLOITED
Children**

December 19, 1984


Marroyce Hall
P.O. Box 4-2850
Anchorage, Alaska 99509

Dear Marroyce,

This letter serves as confirmation that John Walsh will be in Anchorage on February 10 through 12, 1985. As we get closer to that date I will be in touch with you to firm up travel arrangements, etc.

In the meantime if I can be of further assistance to you, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,



Maureen E. DeJulius,
Division Assistant

Alaska State Legislature

BETTYE FAHRENKAMP, Chairman
ARLISS STURGULEWSKI, Vice Chairman
JOE JOSEPHSON
PAUL FISCHER
EDNA ARMSTRONG-DE VRIES



POUCH V
STATE CAPITAL
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99811
(907) 465-3834
(907) 465-3835

Senate Committee on Health, Education and Social Services

M E M O R A N D U M

TO: Members, Senate and House Committees on Health, Education and Social Services

FROM: Senator Bettye Fahrenkamp, Chairman *Bettye Fahrenkamp*
Senate Committee on Health Education and Social Services

Senator Edna DeVries, Chairman *Edna DeVries*
Senate Community and Regional Affairs Committee

RE: Luncheon Meeting with John Walsh

DATE: February 4, 1985

The Alaska Juvenile Crime Commission is sponsoring a visit to Alaska by John Walsh, Vice Chairman of the National Center for Missing and Exploited Children. Mr. Walsh is the father of Adam, whose abduction was the subject of a recent movie shown on national television by NBC. He will discuss model laws that are being drawn up by the Center concerning child exploitation.

Mr. Walsh's presentation will be made on Tuesday, February 12, from noon to 1:00 pm in the Butrovich Room. Please call Senator DeVries' office (465-4712) if you plan to attend the luncheon.

hand-delivered to Fahrenkamp
10:45 1/31/85

January 31, 1985

To: Senate and House HESS Committees


From: Senator Bettye Fahrenkamp, Chairman Senate Hess
Senator Edna DeVries, Chairman Senate C&RA *Edna*

Subj: Luncheon Meeting Invitation
February 12 -- 12:00p to 1:00p

The Alaska Juvenile Crime Commission is sponsoring a visit to Alaska by John Walsh, the Vice Chairman of the National Center for Missing and Exploited Children. Mr. Walsh is the Father of Adam, whose abduction was the subject of a recent movie shown on national television by NBC. He will discuss some of the model laws that are being drawn up by the Center concerning child exploitation.

Please call Senator DeVries office (465-4712) and advise if you will attend the luncheon. Luncheon details will be provided upon confirmation of attendance.

Help for the lost children



ADAM'S DAD: John Walsh, vice chairman of the National Center for Missing and Exploited Children, stands in front of photos that were broadcast after the second showing of the TV movie *Adam*. Photos by Rick McKay, US TODAY

Missing kids now have a capital friend

By Desda Moss
USA TODAY

WASHINGTON — Nancy Duggins' agony began March 15, 1982 — the day her 4½-year-old daughter Tara Elizabeth disappeared.

"I almost wish I had cancer," said Duggins, a 40-year-old mother of five from Louisville, Ky., "because someone could help me."

Tara Elizabeth is one of 39 children whose photographs are on display at the National Center for Missing and Exploited Children, which opened here Wednesday.

Duggins joined several other parents of missing children observing the center's opening. "It's like a transfusion," she said. "When they first told me it would happen, I didn't believe them."

The center's mission: to help parents, law enforcement agencies, schools and community groups concerned about missing children. The center has been averaging 100 calls a day, even before it opened.

"Three years ago, there was nothing," said John Walsh, a leading spokesman

for the plight of missing children since his son, Adam, disappeared and was found dead in 1981.

"Many police departments are not large enough to have training programs," he said. "If this center saves one child ... it will be worth it." Walsh is vice chairman of the center.

President Reagan held a ceremony at the White House Wednesday to call attention to the opening.

"Sadly, our children are at risk," said Reagan, appearing for the third time in a month with John and Reve Walsh and their daughter Meghan.

Just as Reagan said a "voice is calling out to protect our children and keep them safe," a baby in the audience cried.

Funded by a \$3.3 million two-year grant from the Justice Department, the center will act as a clearinghouse for data on missing and exploited children. It will offer advice on how to conduct searches and coordinate independent agencies.

By late summer, the center will begin operating a toll-



MISSING: Nancy Duggins holds a photo of her daughter, Tara Black, whom she has not seen since March 1982.

free number which people can call for information about missing children. Until then, the center can be contacted at 202-634-9312.

The center is planning a nationwide conference on missing children in the fall.

As many as 1.5 million children are reported missing each year. Most are classified

as "runaways." Between 20,000 and 50,000 cases annually remain unsolved.

Like the others, Duggins is hopeful. But, she says, having Tara home again may be a bittersweet victory if her daughter has been abused.

Says Duggins: "Even if I find her, what am I going to get back?"

USA TODAY

The aftermath of 'Adam'

Parents wait, hope and pray

By Sam Meddis
USA TODAY

For Noreen Gosch of West Des Moines, Iowa, Monday's TV film *Adam* was "like getting kicked in the belly."

A weeping Sandra Coleman of Surfside Beach, S.C., described the nationally televised two-hour movie as a "present."

Both of the women are mothers of missing children whose photographs were shown on the NBC program.

Both are praying that among the thousands of calls flooding into missing-children agencies, some clue may emerge about their vanished sons.

The mothers said film producer Linda Otto has accomplished her goal — which Otto said was "to create a national awareness that America's children are not safe."

The movie was a true story of 6-year-old Adam Walsh, who disappeared July 27, 1981, from a shopping mall in Hollywood, Fla. Adam's head was found weeks later.

Coleman said the enormous publicity might help locate her son, Marlyn Ryan Shlien. Coleman, who said she has legal custody of the boy, said he was taken from his first-grade classroom by his father seven years ago.

It's a claim she can't prove unless she finds them — often a major problem in parental abduction cases.

She has sued her ex-husband's relatives to try to find their whereabouts.

Marlyn will be 12 this Friday. The film was "the best birthday present anybody could give," Coleman said.

Gosch said she wishes "some

lead or something soon" might develop from all the media attention. Her son, John, disappeared while delivering newspapers last year.

Watching the reluctance of law enforcement authorities to become involved in the televised Walsh case was "like getting kicked in the belly — it hurt deeply" because, she said, police and the FBI didn't do enough to help find her son.

Both Gosch and Coleman cited an important difference between them and the Walsh family. "The Walsh family went through a living hell," Gosch said, but "at least they now know what the result is. We just have an empty room."

There are an estimated 1.8 million missing children across the USA, said Jay Howell, an investigator with the Senate Committee on Labor and Human Resources.

While most are runaways, some 100,000 are victims of parental abductions. Between 20,000 and 50,000 missing children are not heard from for at least a year, Howell said.

There are no firm figures on missing children — a reflection of society's past lack of concern about the problem, said Child Find Inc. spokeswoman Kristin Brown.

But one grim fact is undisputed: About 2,500 unidentified juveniles are found slain each year, says the U.S. Justice Department's Alfred S. Regnery.

The federal government is moving to help the families of missing children.

Researchers are planning a national missing-children tracking system using FBI computers linked to consoles of 52,000 law enforcement agencies. The system could begin within a year, Regnery said.

ACTION, the government's volunteer organization, is planning a nationwide program to help local authorities fingerprint children.



Calls poured in from across the USA responding to photos of missing children shown on TV following the movie *Adam*.



Cinda Leann Pallett, Oklahoma City, Okla.



Robert Joseph Fritz, Fond du Lac, Wis.



Raylene Susan Helsley, Marion, La.



Nyleen Kay Marshall, Clancy, Mont.



Lisa Michelle Stock, San Antonio, Texas.



John Gosch, Des Moines, Iowa.



Becky Scott, Los Angeles, Calif.

Missing kids: TV prompts flood of calls

By Sam Meddis and Ben Beach
USA TODAY

Thousands of calls have poured into agencies that track missing children following a nationally televised movie Monday about a boy who was kidnapped and slain.

"It's been just phenomenal," said Kristin Brown of Child Find Inc., which received 100

to 150 phone calls an hour since *Adam* aired on NBC, which said the film was seen by 38 million.

The agencies were so inundated by the calls that they immediately began relaying reported sightings of missing children to parents and police

across the USA.

At the end of the two-hour film, the photographs of 55 missing children were shown. In several cities, more than one caller reported seeing the same pictured child, Brown said. A child who saw a photo in TV Guide contacted family

members and Monday night returned home.

At the Adam Walsh Child Resource Center in Fort Lauderdale, Fla., volunteers received about 3,000 phone calls. Child Find's phone number is 914-255-1848; outside New York state, 800-431-5005.

JOHN AND REVE WALSH

In the aftermath of the tragic death of their son Adam, John and Reve Walsh have turned their attention to the plight of other missing children in the United States. During the past two years, they have helped to achieve tremendous gains on behalf of these youngsters and their distraught parents.

Reve founded the Adam Walsh Outreach Center for Missing Children in Broward County, Florida, which is now called the Adam Walsh Resource Center. This non-profit organization, which works in the interest of missing, abused, and neglected children, is carrying out programs that include fingerprinting tens of thousands of school age youngsters, teaching "safety with strangers" rules to young children and placing trained observers in courtrooms where child molestation cases are being heard. Recently, the Center presented its first two "Cracked Gavel Awards" to judges who refused to allow child-victims to testify in such cases.

John has carried the Walshes' campaign to Washington several times, testifying before Congress and the Presidential Task Force on Victims of Crime. Thanks in large measure to his determined efforts, the Missing Children Act was passed in October of 1982. The law created a national clearinghouse of information on child disappearance cases by establishing separate files in the FBI's crime information computer on missing children and unidentified bodies. It also allows parents to contact the FBI directly should local law enforcement officials be unable or unwilling to do this.

John, Reve, and their young daughter Meghan Jane, born a year after Adam's death, were present in the Rose Garden when President Reagan signed the Missing Children Act into law.

John's testimony before Congress also led to an internal FBI rules change permitting the agency to enter a child abduction case before a ransom note has been received or evidence has been obtained that the kidnapper crossed state lines.

The Walshes pressed for implementation of the federal Violent Criminal Apprehension Program, which has now been funded and has provided a system for tracking and arresting serial murderers, whose victims are most often women and children.

In Florida, the Walshes successfully worked for passage of a bill that set up a statewide computer index on missing children and established a toll-free 800 number for the use of parents of missing children.

Nationally televised programs that the couple has appeared on include "Donahue" (selected best single television talkshow of 1981 by Time Magazine), "Good Morning America," "20/20," and "Real People."

Reve, 32, is a native of Williamsville, New York, and a longtime student and enthusiast of interior design.

John, 38, was born in Auburn, New York, and is a graduate of the University of Buffalo. John formerly was a partner in a hotel management consulting firm and currently serves as chairman of both the Adam Walsh Resource Center and the National Child Tragedies Coalition, a two-year-old organization that brings together all groups interested in children's causes. He also works with the newly created National Center for Missing and Exploited Children, to increase public awareness on this critical issue.

In 1982, he was named the Man of the Year by the National Association of District Attorneys for his work in the area of child abduction legislation. In 1984, Esquire magazine named him one of "The Best of the New Generation," men and women under forty who are changing America.

Since September of 1983, John Walsh has played a major role in the passage of several important pieces of child protection legislation in Florida and introduction of the Missing Children's Assistance Act in the U.S. Congress. The most comprehensive package of child protection laws ever written was submitted to the 1984 Florida legislature. After receiving vigorous support from John Walsh, Governor Bob Graham, State Representative Fred Lippman, and other dedicated legislators, several of the bills passed, including the vital omnibus child abuse bill. One of John's goals is to see similar legislation introduced and passed in other state legislatures around the country. To that end, he recently addressed a joint assembly of the South Carolina legislature, and travels around the country speaking on behalf of legislation of this type.


The Missing Children's Assistance Act, which would provide long-term funding to the the National Center for Missing and Exploited Children, was passed by Congress in mid-October, 1984.



NATIONAL
CENTER FOR
**MISSING
& EXPLOITED**
CHILDREN

Selected State Legislation

**A
Guide for
Effective
State Laws
to
Protect
Children**



The National Center for Missing and Exploited Children

Some people take children for granted . . .

Children are our most precious resource. But we often do not treat them as though they were important people. Our efforts to prevent crimes against children and to protect the child victim of abduction or exploitation have not kept pace with the increasing vulnerability of our youngest citizens. Although America is the most technologically advanced society in the world, in many ways we have failed to appreciate and understand the true nature of child victimization. The tragic experience of the child victim in our criminal justice system is evidence of the need to update and improve the laws that protect children.

. . . Others just take them!

Each year hundreds of thousands of children disappear and, while many return home safely, thousands are exposed to serious danger, exploitation, and even death. These missing children come from every part of American life, yet they have one thing in common: They are all in danger! The criminal and sexual exploitation of children is a growing epidemic confronting families, communities, and the agencies of our youth services and criminal justice system.

Now, a nationwide effort to protect children . . .

Shocked by the grim tales of missing and exploited children, Americans from all walks of life are demanding that action be taken. The National Center for Missing and Exploited Children was established to initiate a nationwide effort to protect children and to provide direct assistance in handling cases of child molestation, child pornography, and child prostitution. The Center is a nonprofit corporation chartered for the purpose of operating a national resource and technical center to deal with the issues of missing and exploited children.

The Center employs a select group of former criminal justice system and youth services professionals who have worked on the state and local level around the country and who have broad expertise in handling cases of child victimization. Initial funding for the Center came from the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention at the United States Department of Justice, which is the federal agency responsible for administering the missing and exploited children's program.

In addition, because of the nationwide demand that effective action be taken to protect our children, the Congress of the United States mandated by law that such a National Center exist.

Toll-Free Hotline

The Center maintains a toll-free telephone number for those who have information that could lead to the location and recovery of a missing child. Because these calls can literally be a matter of life or death, we ask that the Hotline number be used by those individuals who have this critical information. If you know the location of a missing child, please call this number:

1-800-843-5678

continued on inside of back cover

Selected State Legislation

**A Guide for Effective
State Laws to Protect Children**


January 1985

National Center for Missing & Exploited Children

The resources to print this guide were generously provided by people who care about children: Commtron Corporation and video software dealers from around the country.

Prepared under Cooperative Agreement #84-JS-AX-K016 from the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, Office of Justice Assistance, Research, and Statistics, U.S. Department of Justice.

Points of view or opinions in this brochure are those of the author and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the U.S. Department of Justice.



Bob Hollinen of The Times

REFLECTION

Gorgeous Alaskan mountain peaks reflect off the Hunt building at 550 W. 7th Ave. in downtown Anchorage Wednesday. The photo was taken from the J.C. Penney parking garage.

town Anchorage Wednesday. The photo was taken from the J.C. Penney parking garage.

Children's crusader heads to Alaska

Father of abducted child to speak on adopting child protection laws

by Earl Swift
Times Writer

The father of a 6-year-old Florida boy whose 1981 abduction and murder attracted national headlines, spawned a movie and prompted child protection legislation in several states will meet with community members and legislators in Anchorage, Wasilla and Juneau early next week.

John Walsh, a consultant to Washington, D.C.'s National Center for Missing and Exploited Children, will appear in Anchorage and Wasilla next Sunday and Monday and in meetings with the governor and legislators Tuesday, Alaska Juvenile Crime

Commission director Marge Hall said Monday.

Walsh — whose personal crusade for federal and state laws making it easier for law enforcement agencies to track down missing children was a driving force behind the U.S. Justice Department's establishment of the national center last year — will discuss the adoption of child protection laws here that are currently used in a number of states, Hall said.

"I am delighted that John Walsh is coming," Hall said. "He is considered to be the number-one spokesman for parents of missing and exploited children.

He lived through his tragedy and went on to enact legislation that would assist other parents."

Walsh gained national notoriety when his son, Adam, was abducted from a Sears store in Hollywood, Fla., in July 1981. The boy's severed head was found floating in a Florida canal two weeks later. The rest of his body was never recovered.

The tragedy prompted him to quit a lucrative consulting career and embark on a two-year fight to bring the country's missing children — of whom there are an estimated 1.8 million reported each year — to the attention of lawmakers.

was accused of forcing them to sexually touch him.

Betty Anderson allegedly molested the boy twice and the girl once, according to court documents.

The mother told jurors the children became extremely upset when the doctor attempted to examine them for evidence of sexual assault. She also told jurors how her children exhibited other evidence of sexual abuse.

Both children suffered from nightmares after the alleged abuses, she said, in which "they screamed 'no,' and just screamed."

The incidence of the nightmares is "not as frequent, but it still happens," the mother said, noting that earlier her son suffered nightmares about three times week.

The mother said she began to be worried when her son began to show self-destructive tendencies.

An Anchorage psychologist testified earlier Wednesday that self-destructive tendencies are one of the many symptoms of sexual abuse.

The self-destructive tendencies exhibited by the boy included pounding his head against

investigators and by Assistant District Attorney Betsy Sheley.

In her opening statement Wednesday morning, Sheley said testimony of physicians will show the physical evidence of abuse and mental health professionals and child abuse experts will testify on the emotional and behavioral scars left behind.

Richard Anderson's defense attorney, David Weed, reserved his opening statement for later in the trial but Jensen reminded jurors that Sheley's statements are not to be taken as evidence but only what she hopes it will show.

Parents repeatedly had told state licensing officials that the care their children received at the Andersons' "was exceptional," Jensen said.

As for the testimony expected from the girl, Jensen said, "the only consistent thing" in her testimony will be "the inconsistencies."

The charges against the couple were handed down by an Anchorage grand jury after a two-month investigation by the Anchorage Police Department's Juvenile Division.



JOHN WALSH
Brought attention to children

Times staff

Federal investigators expect to finish on-site ex-

we're examining. Both engines are up in Anchorage," Seldien said this morning. "I will close shop here tonight and we'll be in Anchorage tomorrow.

Team members also were reviewed company records to check whether the plane had received proper maintenance and whether personnel had

Alaska Juvenile Crime Commission

P.O. Box 4-2850 Anchorage, Alaska 99509 Ph. (907) 276-2066

OFFICERS-

Manoyce Hall
Director/Chairman

Levi Gudde
Vice Chairman

Mark F. Fernal
Treasurer

Nancy Sullivan
Secretary

Denise Gnagy
Recording Secretary

Board Members

Alyce Hanley
Representative

Joe Hoffbeck

Marlene Poulson

Ermalee Hinkel

Gail Dial

Myrna Klingel

Barbara Gudde

Sue Dolman

Dave Duncan

Cheri Kernbergen

Paul Edgar

Margaret Behrend

William J. Sharrow
Brig. General, Ret.

LAW ENFORCEMENT ADVISORY

Capt. Richard Cummings
Fairbanks Police Dept.

Chief Louis Seward
Seward Police Dept

Rollie Port
Supervisor, Palmer
Investigative Unit
Ak. State Troopers

Detective Frank Falchinger
Anchorage Police Dept.
Exploited Childrens Unit

Officer Preston Chapman
Anch. Police Dept.

Trooper Roy Sagraves
Anchorage Exploited
Childrens Unit

Robert Erwin
Ak. Supreme Court Justice, Ret.

Chief Richard Ross
Kenai Police Dept.

NATIONAL CONSULTANTS

John Rabun
Deputy Director,
National Center for Missing
and Exploited Children
Washington D.C.

Dr. Vincent J. Fontana
Professor of Clinical Pediatrics,
New York University, College
of Medicine
Medical Director, New York
Foundling Hospital

JOHN WALSH

Sunday, February 10, 1985 - Anchorage

11:00 a.m. -- Anchorage Times Reporter Interview

Daily News Reporter Interview (tentative)

Law Enforcement (tentative)

7:30 p.m. -- Public Meeting (Wasilla)

8:30 p.m. -- Reception

HOTEL CONFIRMED AT THE CAPTIAN COOK FOR SATURDAY AND SUNDAY

Monday, February 11, 1985 - Anchorage

9:45 a.m. -- Mayor Tony Knowles (8th Floor, Hill Building)

10:30 a.m. -- PSA (NBC Channel 2)

11:45 a.m. - 1:00 p.m. -- Lunch at Sheraton

7:40 p.m. -- depart Anchorage Alaska Airlines Flight 70B

9:05 p.m. -- arrive in Juneau (flight 70B)

HOTEL RESERVATIONS CONFIRMED AT THE CAPE FOX

Tuesday, February 12, 1985 - Juneau

8:30 a.m. - 9:00 a.m. -- Senator Jan Faiks - call to confirm

10:00 a.m. - 10:30 a.m. -- Senator Kerttula (CONFIRMED) (Beth Kerttula)

11:00 a.m. - 12:00 p.m. -- Open

12:00 p.m. - 1:15 p.m. -- Lunch sponsored by Senator DeVries,
Representative Hanley, and Senator Fahrenkamp

1:30 p.m. -- Speaking before combined Senate and House Judiciary
Committee chaired by Senator Pat Rodey and Representative M. Mike Miller

2:00 p.m. -- Governor Sheffield, Commissioner Pugh (HESS), and
Marsha Hubbard (CONFIRMED)

2:30 p.m. -- Public Safety Finance Committee (Representative Larson)
(need to confirm)

4:30 p.m. -- Joint Community & Regional Affairs chaired by Senator
DeVries and Representative Goll (TEN) (House and Senate) (Evonne Alford)

5:30 p.m. -- Reception for John Walsh hosted by President of Senate, Don
Bennett, Senator Faiks, and Senator Kerttula

HOTEL CONFIRMED AT THE CAPE FOX

Depart Juneau Wednesday, February 13, at 7:00 a.m. Alaska Airlines



Official Business

Alaska State Legislature

Senate

Pouch V
State Capitol
Juneau, Alaska 99811

FEB. 11, 1985

TO: ALL LEGISLATORS

FROM: SENATOR JAY KERTTULA *JMK*

RE: JOHN WALSH

ON TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 12, MR. JOHN WALSH WILL BE IN JUNEAU. MR. WALSH IS A LEADING ADVOCATE IN THE AREA OF CHILD ABDUCTION. I URGE ALL OF YOU TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF THE OPPORTUNITY TO MEET HIM, AND HAVE ATTACHED A COPY OF HIS SCHEDULE FOR YOU.

SENATE PRESIDENT DON BENNETT, SENATOR FAIKS AND I ARE HOSTING A RECEPTION FOR MR. WALSH TOMORROW AT 5:30 IN THE BELTZ ROOM, I HOPE THAT YOU WILL BE ABLE TO ATTEND, ESPECIALLY IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A CHANCE TO MEET MR. WALSH EARLIER.



Official Business

Alaska State Legislature

Senate

Pouch V
State Capitol
Juneau, Alaska 9981

SENATE PRESIDENT DON BENNETT

AND

SENATORS KERITULA AND FAIKS

INVITE YOU

TO A RECEPTION

FOR MR. JOHN WALSH

ON

TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 12, 1985

AT 5:30pm

IN THE BUTROVICH ROOM

Alaska State Legislature

BETTYE FAHRENKAMP, Chairman
ARLISS STURGULEWSKI, Vice Chairman
JOE JOSEPHSON
PAUL FISCHER
EDNA ARMSTRONG-DE VRIES



POUCH V
STATE CAPITAL
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99811
(907) 465-3834
(907) 465-3835

Senate Committee on Health, Education and Social Services

M E M O R A N D U M

TO: Members, Senate and House Committees on Health, Education and Social Services

FROM: Senator Bettye Fahrenkamp, Chairman *Bettye*
Senate Committee on Health Education and Social Services

Senator Edna DeVries, Chairman *Edna*
Senate Community and Regional Affairs Committee

RE: Luncheon Meeting with John Walsh

DATE: February 4, 1985

The Alaska Juvenile Crime Commission is sponsoring a visit to Alaska by John Walsh, Vice Chairman of the National Center for Missing and Exploited Children. Mr. Walsh is the father of Adam, whose abduction was the subject of a recent movie shown on national television by NBC. He will discuss model laws that are being drawn up by the Center concerning child exploitation.

Mr. Walsh's presentation will be made on Tuesday, February 12, from noon to 1:00 pm in the Butrovich Room. Please call Senator DeVries' office (465-4712) if you plan to attend the luncheon.



Official Business

Alaska State Legislature

Senate

Committee on Community and Regional Affairs

Senator Edna DeVries, Chairman

Members

Senator Ferguson, Vice Chairman

Senator Cognill

Senator Sturgulcwski

Senator V. Fischer

Pouch V

Juneau, Alaska 99511

January 26, 1985

Rep W

TO: Representative Goll, Chairman
House Community and Regional Affairs

FROM: Senator Edna DeVries, Chair *Edna*
Senate Community and Regional Affairs

SUBJ: Joint House/Senate C&RA Meetings

I look forward to the two joint meetings we have planned:

Feb 5 - 3:30p Beltz Rm (211) Capitol

The annual report to the Legislature by the Local Boundary Commission. Annexations to the Cities of Fairbanks and Togiak to be considered.

Feb 12 - 4:30p ~~Beltz Rm (211)~~ Butrovich-Rm 205 Capitol

A report from John Walsh, Vice Chairman of the National Center for Missing and Exploited Children.

The Beltz Room will be set up for the House and Senate Committee Members to sit at the dais. If you have questions, please give me a call at 465-4712.

Alaska State Legislature

Senate

Committee on Community and Regional Affairs

Senator Edna DeVries, Chairman
Members
Senator Ferguson, Vice Chairman
Senator Cognill
Senator Sturgulewski
Senator V Fiscner

Pouch V
Juneau, Alaska 99811



Official Business

January 26, 1985

Rep W

TO: Representative Goll, Chairman
House Community and Regional Affairs

FROM: Senator Edna DeVries, Chair *Edna*
Senate Community and Regional Affairs

SUBJ: Joint House/Senate C&RA Meetings

I look forward to the two joint meetings we have planned:

Feb 5 - 3:30p Beltz Rm (211) Capitol

The annual report to the Legislature by the Local Boundary Commission. Annexations to the Cities of Fairbanks and Togiak to be considered.

Feb 12 - 4:30p ~~Beltz Rm (211)~~ Butrovich-Rm 205 Capitol

A report from John Walsh, Vice Chairman of the National Center for Missing and Exploited Children.

The Beltz Room will be set up for the House and Senate Committee Members to sit at the dais. If you have questions, please give me a call at 465-4712.

**The
National
Center for
MISSING &
EXPLOITED
Children**

December 19, 1984

Marroyce Hall
P.O. Box 4-2850
Anchorage, Alaska 99509

Dear Marroyce,

This letter serves as confirmation that John Walsh will be in Anchorage on February 10 through 12, 1985. As we get closer to that date I will be in touch with you to firm up travel arrangements, etc.

In the meantime if I can be of further assistance to you, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,



Maureen E. DeJulius,
Division Assistant

Alaska State Legislature

BETTYE FAHRENKAMP, Chairman
ARLISS STURGULEWSKI, Vice Chairman
JOE JOSEPHSON
PAUL FISCHER
EDNA ARMSTRONG-DE VRIES



POUCH V
STATE CAPITAL
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99811
(907) 465-3834
(907) 465-3835

Senate Committee on Health, Education and Social Services

M E M O R A N D U M

TO: Members, Senate and House Committees on Health, Education and Social Services

FROM: Senator Bettye Fahrenkamp, Chairman *Bettye*
Senate Committee on Health Education and Social Services

Senator Edna DeVries, Chairman *Edna*
Senate Community and Regional Affairs Committee

RE: Luncheon Meeting with John Walsh

DATE: February 4, 1985

The Alaska Juvenile Crime Commission is sponsoring a visit to Alaska by John Walsh, Vice Chairman of the National Center for Missing and Exploited Children. Mr. Walsh is the father of Adam, whose abduction was the subject of a recent movie shown on national television by NBC. He will discuss model laws that are being drawn up by the Center concerning child exploitation.

Mr. Walsh's presentation will be made on Tuesday, February 12, from noon to 1:00 pm in the Butrovich Room. Please call Senator DeVries' office (465-4712) if you plan to attend the luncheon.

hand-delivered to Fahrenkamp

10:45 1/31/85

January 31, 1985

To: Senate and House HESS Committees


From: Senator Bettye Fahrenkamp, Chairman Senate Hess
Senator Edna DeVries, Chairman Senate C&RA *Edna*

Subj: Luncheon Meeting Invitation
February 12 -- 12:00p to 1:00p

The Alaska Juvenile Crime Commission is sponsoring a visit to Alaska by John Walsh, the Vice Chairman of the National Center for Missing and Exploited Children. Mr. Walsh is the Father of Adam, whose abduction was the subject of a recent movie shown on national television by NBC. He will discuss some of the model laws that are being drawn up by the Center concerning child exploitation.

Please call Senator DeVries office (465-4712) and advise if you will attend the luncheon. Luncheon details will be provided upon confirmation of attendance.

Help for the lost children



ADAM'S DAD: John Walsh, vice chairman of the National Center for Missing and Exploited Children, stands in front of photos that were broadcast after the second showing of the TV movie *Adam*. Photos by Ron McKay, USA TODAY

Missing kids now have a capital friend

By Desda Moss
USA TODAY

WASHINGTON — Nancy Duggins' agony began March 15, 1982 — the day her 4½-year-old daughter Tara Elizabeth disappeared.

"I almost wish I had cancer," said Duggins, a 40-year-old mother of five from Louisville, Ky., "because someone could help me."

Tara Elizabeth is one of 39 children whose photographs are on display at the National Center for Missing and Exploited Children, which opened here Wednesday.

Duggins joined several other parents of missing children observing the center's opening. "It's like a transfusion," she said. "When they first told me it would happen, I didn't believe them."

The center's mission: to help parents, law enforcement agencies, schools and community groups concerned about missing children. The center has been averaging 100 calls a day, even before it opened.

"Three years ago, there was nothing," said John Walsh, a leading spokesman

for the plight of missing children since his son, Adam, disappeared and was found dead in 1981.

"Many police departments are not large enough to have training programs," he said. "If this center saves one child ... it will be worth it." Walsh is vice chairman of the center.

President Reagan held a ceremony at the White House Wednesday to call attention to the opening.

"Sadly, our children are at risk," said Reagan, appearing for the third time in a month with John and Reva Walsh and their daughter Meghan.

Just as Reagan said a "voice is calling out to protect our children and keep them safe," a baby in the audience cried.

Funded by a \$3.3 million two-year grant from the Justice Department, the center will act as a clearinghouse for data on missing and exploited children. It will offer advice on how to conduct searches and coordinate independent agencies.

By late summer, the center will begin operating a toll-



MISSING: Nancy Duggins holds a photo of her daughter, Tara Black, whom she has not seen since March 1982.

free number which people can call for information about missing children. Until then, the center can be contacted at 202-634-9812.

The center is planning a nationwide conference on missing children in the fall.

As many as 1.5 million children are reported missing each year. Most are classified

as "runaways." Between 20,000 and 50,000 cases annually remain unsolved.

Like the others, Duggins is hopeful. But, she says, having Tara home again may be a bittersweet victory if her daughter has been abused.

Says Duggins: "Even if I find her, what am I going to get back?"

USA TODAY

The aftermath of 'Adam'

Parents wait, hope and pray

By Sam Meddis
USA TODAY

For Noreen Gosch of West Des Moines, Iowa, Monday's TV film Adam was "like getting kicked in the belly."

A weeping Sandra Coleman of Surfside Beach, S.C., described the nationally televised two-hour movie as a "present."

Both of the women are mothers of missing children whose photographs were shown on the NBC program.

Both are praying that among the thousands of calls flooding into missing-children agencies, some clue may emerge about their vanished sons.

The mothers said film producer Linda Otto has accomplished her goal — which Otto said was "To create a national awareness that America's children are not safe."

The movie was based on the true story of 6-year-old Adam Walsh, who disappeared on July 27, 1981, from a store in Hollywood, Fla. Adam's severed head was found two weeks later.

Coleman said the enormous publicity might help locate her son, Martyn Ryan Shirien.

Coleman, who said she has legal custody of the boy, says he was taken from his first-grade classroom by his father seven years ago.

It's a claim she can't prove unless she finds them — often a major problem in parental abduction cases.

She has sued her ex-husband's relatives to try to find their whereabouts.

Martyn will be 12 this Friday. The film was "the best birthday present anybody could give," Coleman said.

Gosch said she wishes "some

lead or something soon" might develop from all the media attention. Her son, John, disappeared while delivering newspapers last year.

Watching the reluctance of law enforcement authorities to become involved in the televised Walsh case was "like getting kicked in the belly — it hurt deeply" because, she said, police and the FBI didn't do enough to help find her son.

Both Gosch and Coleman cited an important difference between them and the Walsh family. "The Walsh family went through a living hell," Gosch said, but "at least they now know what the result is. We just have an empty room."

There are an estimated 1.8 million missing children across the USA, said Jay Howell, an investigator with the Senate Committee on Labor and Human Resources.

While most are runaways, some 100,000 are victims of parental abductions. Between 20,000 and 50,000 missing children are not heard from for at least a year, Howell said.

There are no firm figures on missing children — a reflection of society's past lack of concern about the problem, said Child Find Inc. spokeswoman Kristin Brown.

But one grim fact is undisputed. About 2,500 unidentified juveniles are found slain each year, says the U.S. Justice Department's Alfred S. Regnery.

The federal government is moving to help the families of missing children.

Researchers are planning a national missing-children tracking system using FBI computers linked to consoles of 52,000 law enforcement agencies. The system could begin within a year, Regnery said.

ACTION, the government's volunteer organization, is planning a nationwide program to help local authorities fingerprint children.



Calls poured in from across the USA responding to photos of missing children shown on TV following the movie Adam.



Cinda Leann Pallert, Oklahoma City, Okla.



Robert Joseph Fritz, Fond du Lac, Wis.



Raylene Susan Helsley, Marion, La.



Nyleen Kay Marshall, Clancy, Mont.



Lisa Michelle Stock, San Antonio, Texas



John Gosch, Des Moines, Iowa



Becky Scott, Los Angeles, Calif.

Missing kids: TV prompts flood of calls

By Sam Meddis and Ben Beach
USA TODAY

Thousands of calls have poured into agencies that track missing children following a nationally televised movie Monday about a boy who was kidnapped and slain.

"It's been just phenomenal," said Kristin Brown of Child Find Inc., which received 100

to 150 phone calls an hour since Adam aired on NBC, which said the film was seen by 38 million.

The agencies were so inundated by the calls that they immediately began relaying reported sightings of missing children to parents and police

across the USA.

At the end of the two-hour film, the photographs of 35 missing children were shown. In several cities, more than one caller reported seeing the same pictured child, Brown said. A child who saw a photo in TV Guide contacted family

members and Monday night returned home.

At the Adam Walsh Child Resource Center in Fort Lauderdale, Fla., volunteers received about 3,000 phone calls. Child Find's phone number is 914-255-1849, outside New York state, 800-431-5005.

CALENDAR

Los Angeles Times

Thursday, March 15, 1984



LORI SHIEFLER

John, Reve Walsh with daughter Meghan before taping of TV spot. Adam is in picture behind them.

'ADAM' POINTS UP TV'S POWER

By LEE MARGULIES,
Times Staff Writer

Five months after the national telecast of a docudrama about the abduction and murder of their 6-year-old son, the parents of Adam Walsh remain both awed and unsettled by the film's impact—grateful for the many positive effects, disturbed that it took a TV movie to inspire them.

In Los Angeles last week to film a new ending to "Adam," which NBC plans to air again April 30, John and Reve Walsh, their 19-month-old daughter, Meghan, playing quietly nearby, said the two-hour movie seemed to raise "the consciousness of the country" about the thousands of children who are kidnaped, abused, molested and/or killed each year.

"For two years I went around this

*'For Years I Went Around This Country
Begging People to Talk to Me,' says Walsh.
'Now Everyone Will Talk to Us.'*

country begging congressmen and law enforcement people to talk to me," John Walsh said. "Now we go to Washington and everyone will talk to us."

That's only one example. In a remarkable testament to the power and influence that TV can wield, the Walshes ticked off a string of events that have followed in the wake of "Adam's" broadcast Oct. 10.

—Eleven of the 55 missing children whose photographs were displayed at the end of "Adam" have been found, plus two siblings who also were missing.

—Impressed by the success of the movie in finding those youngsters,

the five TV stations that NBC owns, including KNBC Channel 4 here, jointly launched a weekly feature in their news programs to help locate other missing children. Two have been located since the series began in January.

—The Reagan Administration allocated \$1.5 million to help establish a National Center for Missing and Exploited Children. John Walsh has taken a year's leave from his business and the family plans to move to Washington, D.C., in the next few weeks to help set up the center, which initially will have a staff of about 25. (Long-range funding from Congress is still uncertain.)

JOHN AND REVE WALSH

In the aftermath of the tragic death of their son Adam, John and Reve Walsh have turned their attention to the plight of other missing children in the United States. During the past two years, they have helped to achieve tremendous gains on behalf of these youngsters and their distraught parents.

Reve founded the Adam Walsh Outreach Center for Missing Children in Broward County, Florida, which is now called the Adam Walsh Resource Center. This non-profit organization, which works in the interest of missing, abused, and neglected children, is carrying out programs that include fingerprinting tens of thousands of school age youngsters, teaching "safety with strangers" rules to young children and placing trained observers in courtrooms where child molestation cases are being heard. Recently, the Center presented its first two "Cracked Gavel Awards" to judges who refused to allow child-victims to testify in such cases.

John has carried the Walshes' campaign to Washington several times, testifying before Congress and the Presidential Task Force on Victims of Crime. Thanks in large measure to his determined efforts, the Missing Children Act was passed in October of 1982. The law created a national clearinghouse of information on child disappearance cases by establishing separate files in the FBI's crime information computer on missing children and unidentified bodies. It also allows parents to contact the FBI directly should local law enforcement officials be unable or unwilling to do this.

John, Reve, and their young daughter Meghan Jane, born a year after Adam's death, were present in the Rose Garden when President Reagan signed the Missing Children Act into law.

John's testimony before Congress also led to an internal FBI rules change permitting the agency to enter a child abduction case before a ransom note has been received or evidence has been obtained that the kidnapper crossed state lines.

The Walshes pressed for implementation of the federal Violent Criminal Apprehension Program, which has now been funded and has provided a system for tracking and arresting serial murderers, whose victims are most often women and children.

Page 2

John and Reve Walsh

In Florida, the Walshes successfully worked for passage of a bill that set up a statewide computer index on missing children and established a toll-free 800 number for the use of parents of missing children.

Nationally televised programs that the couple has appeared on include "Donahue" (selected best single television talkshow of 1981 by Time Magazine), "Good Morning America," "20/20," and "Real People."

Reve, 32, is a native of Williamsville, New York, and a longtime student and enthusiast of interior design.

John, 38, was born in Auburn, New York, and is a graduate of the University of Buffalo. John formerly was a partner in a hotel management consulting firm and currently serves as chairman of both the Adam Walsh Resource Center and the National Child Tragedies Coalition, a two-year-old organization that brings together all groups interested in children's causes. He also works with the newly created National Center for Missing and Exploited Children, to increase public awareness on this critical issue.

In 1982, he was named the Man of the Year by the National Association of District Attorneys for his work in the area of child abduction legislation. In 1984, Esquire magazine named him one of "The Best of the New Generation," men and women under forty who are changing America.

Since September of 1983, John Walsh has played a major role in the passage of several important pieces of child protection legislation in Florida and introduction of the Missing Children's Assistance Act in the U.S. Congress. The most comprehensive package of child protection laws ever written was submitted to the 1984 Florida legislature. After receiving vigorous support from John Walsh, Governor Bob Graham, State Representative Fred Lippman, and other dedicated legislators, several of the bills passed, including the vital omnibus child abuse bill. One of John's goals is to see similar legislation introduced and passed in other state legislatures around the country. To that end, he recently addressed a joint assembly of the South Carolina legislature, and travels around the country speaking on behalf of legislation of this type.

The Missing Children's Assistance Act, which would provide long-term funding to the the National Center for Missing and Exploited Children, was passed by Congress in mid-October, 1984.

The National Center for Missing and Exploited Children

Some people take children for granted . . .

Children are our most precious resource. But we often do not treat them as though they were important people. Our efforts to prevent crimes against children and to protect the child victim of abduction or exploitation have not kept pace with the increasing vulnerability of our youngest citizens. Although America is the most technologically advanced society in the world, in many ways we have failed to appreciate and understand the true nature of child victimization. The tragic experience of the child victim in our criminal justice system is evidence of the need to update and improve the laws that protect children.

. . . Others just take them!

Each year hundreds of thousands of children disappear and, while many return home safely, thousands are exposed to serious danger, exploitation, and even death. These missing children come from every part of American life, yet they have one thing in common: They are all in danger! The criminal and sexual exploitation of children is a growing epidemic confronting families, communities, and the agencies of our youth services and criminal justice system.

Now, a nationwide effort to protect children . . .

Shocked by the grim tales of missing and exploited children, Americans from all walks of life are demanding that action be taken. The National Center for Missing and Exploited Children was established to initiate a nationwide effort to protect children and to provide direct assistance in handling cases of child molestation, child pornography, and child prostitution. The Center is a nonprofit corporation chartered for the purpose of operating a national resource and technical center to deal with the issues of missing and exploited children.

The Center employs a select group of former criminal justice system and youth services professionals who have worked on the state and local level around the country and who have broad expertise in handling cases of child victimization. Initial funding for the Center came from the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention at the United States Department of Justice, which is the federal agency responsible for administering the missing and exploited children's program.

In addition, because of the nationwide demand that effective action be taken to protect our children, the Congress of the United States mandated by law that such a National Center exist.

Toll-Free Hotline

The Center maintains a toll-free telephone number for those who have information that could lead to the location and recovery of a missing child. Because these calls can literally be a matter of life or death, we ask that the Hotline number be used by those individuals who have this critical information. If you know the location of a missing child, please call this number:

1-800-843-5678

continued on inside of back cover

The resources to print this guide were generously provided by people who care about children: Commtron Corporation and video software dealers from around the country.

Prepared under Cooperative Agreement #84-JS-AX-K016 from the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, Office of Justice Assistance, Research, and Statistics, U.S. Department of Justice.

Points of view or opinions in this brochure are those of the author and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the U.S. Department of Justice.

Selected State Legislation

**A Guide for Effective
State Laws to Protect Children**

January 1985

National Center for Missing & Exploited Children

Contents

Foreword

A Message to the Citizen

1. Missing Children 1
 - State Boards and Clearinghouses 1
 - Unidentified Deceased Persons 3
 - Eliminating Waiting Periods 3
 - Requiring Data Entry into the National Computer 4
 - Kentucky Legislation 4
2. Sexual Abuse and Exploitation 9
 - Reporting and Investigating Cases of Child Sexual Exploitation 9
 - Child Protection Teams 12
 - Payment for Physical Exams 14
 - Emergency Protection for the Child 14
 - Limiting the Number of Interviews 14
3. Criminal Code Provisions 17
 - Time Limits (Statute of Limitations) 17
 - "Consent" and Past Sexual Experiences of the Child Victim 17
 - Mandatory Prison Sentences for Sexual Offenders 17
 - Registering Sexual Offenders 18
 - Paroling Sexual Offenders 18
4. The Child in the Courtroom 19
 - Courtroom Procedures Protecting the Child Victim or Witness 19
 - Anatomically Correct Dolls 21
 - Prompt Disposition 22
 - The Rights of Child Victims or Witnesses 22
5. Protecting the Privacy of the Child Victim 23
 - Protecting the Child's Identity 23
 - Model State Legislation for Protecting the Privacy of Child Victims of Sexual Assault 24

6. Education and Prevention	25
State Programs	25
Regional or Community Programs	26
7. Schools	27
Protection and Other Programs in the Schools	27
Lists of Missing Children	28
Report of Arrest of School Employee	29
8. Licensing and Criminal History Information	31
Criminal History Information	31
Licensing Child Care Institutions	34
9. Training for Youth System, Social Services, and Criminal Justice Professionals	35
10. Treatment and Rehabilitation of the Child Victim	37
11. Court-Appointed Advocates	39
The Guardian Ad Litem in Criminal Proceedings	39
12. Parental Kidnapping	41
Traditional Problems in Enforcement	41
State Legislation for Protecting the Child Against Parental Kidnapping	41
Civil Provisions	43
13. Child Pornography and Child Prostitution	45
Child Pornography	45
Child Prostitution	47
Additional Sources	49
Index	53

Foreword

Each year in this country hundreds of thousands of children disappear, and thousands more become the victims of criminal and sexual exploitation. Our efforts to prevent crimes against children and to treat cases of child victimization have not been adequate to assure the safety and protection of all children.

There has been an urgent demand from all walks of American life that initiatives be undertaken to address the problem of missing and exploited children. Effective legislation at the state level will have a dramatic impact on our society's ability to prevent crimes against children and to deal with the child victim of abduction or exploitation.

Is Your State Legislation Adequate?

The professionals who deal with cases of missing and exploited children have learned that it is critical that methods for dealing with child victimization be updated and improved. New programs are desperately needed to educate children and their families on prevention techniques. We have made good progress, but in every state we can do more to protect our children.

Some states have passed laws to meet the growing need for comprehensive legislation to address the issue of child victimization. Some state codes, however, remain seriously deficient in provisions protecting children. There is a critical need for state and local agencies to share information about the most effective and innovative child protection programs, many of which were made law in 1984.

By comparing the examples of legislation in this book with your own state code, you will be able to see where your statutes may need improvement. Each state, however, has its own systems for criminal, youth, and social services. Users of this selection should recognize that existing legislation serves a particular jurisdiction and that the examples and model legislation must be modified to meet each state's special needs.

The National Center for Missing and Exploited Children

The National Center for Missing and Exploited Children, in cooperative agreement with the U.S. Department of Justice, was chartered as a clearinghouse of information and assistance on the issues of missing children and the criminal and sexual exploitation of children. The Center's staff is made up of professionals and experts trained in the treatment of missing and exploited children.

The Center has received thousands of letters and calls from governors, legislators, concerned organizations, and citizens requesting information about legislative measures that can be taken to protect our children. To develop this legislation package, the Center obtained copies of the existing effective state legislation and then analyzed and summarized it. In instances where there is no legislation addressing a certain child protection issue, this package includes basic principles or models for guidance.

The professionals who screened and analyzed this legislation constitute a multi-disciplinary team of attorneys, prosecutors, youth service providers, child advocates, law-enforcement officers, and others interested in the welfare and protection of children.

The Purpose of This Selection of State Legislation

This information package is designed to highlight some of the most effective state laws that concern child victimization. It is a resource intended for use by state legislators, governors, and state officials as well as all citizens concerned about protecting children.

This legislation package is not comprehensive. For example, it does not include any statistical data, nor does it cover all child protection issues or all existing state legislation in these areas. Rather, it contains a sampling of varied legislation from a number of states around the country. The samples chosen demonstrate how the complex issues of missing and exploited children are treated most effectively in each state.

How You Can Contribute

The National Center for Missing and Exploited Children will be expanding this legislation package as more information becomes available. We urge you to submit any information you may have about child protection issues and actions. Even if only a small part of the legislation seems new or worthwhile, please send it to the Center. We intend to act as a central resource in this area and would greatly appreciate any and all contributions.

A Message to the Citizen

There are a number of different ways in which you can be instrumental in effecting new laws in your state. The most common approach is to contact your state representatives or state senators and explain what provisions you feel are needed. Give them a copy of this selection of state laws. If they support the concepts in the laws, they will introduce a bill in your state legislature.

A second approach is to contact your governor, who can, in many instances, initiate legislation. Even when your state representative is introducing a bill, it is helpful to secure the backing of the governor. Remember, the governor is definitely in a position to influence legislation.

No matter which approach you take, though, it is wise to elicit the support of community organizations and local interest groups. You may want to organize a meeting between your state representatives and senators and those individuals and organizations that are working for the safety and protection of children.

Getting a bill through the state legislature is not a mystery. A few general rules apply. You should contact elected representatives from both sides of the legislature if your state has a two-part legislature. It is also wise to contact members of both parties: Laws to protect children should transcend party affiliation. In addition, bills that are cosponsored and have bipartisan support are the most likely to succeed.

Finally, you should make an effort to track the bill throughout the legislative process. Citizens can attend hearings, assess the bill's problems, and lobby for its passage by contacting state representatives who seem undecided about the issue. Furthermore, some states have provisions that allow citizens to comment directly on pending bills.

1. Missing Children

The problem of missing children is one of the most pressing concerns in our country today. While no one is sure of the exact numbers, it is estimated that at least 1,500,000 children are missing from their homes each year, many of whom end up abused or even victims of homicide.

The U.S. Congress highlighted this problem and took important steps to resolve it by passing the Missing Children Act in 1982 and, later, the Missing Children Assistance Act in 1984. Establishment of the National Center for Missing and Exploited Children is another example of the federal government's commitment to solving the problem of missing and exploited children.

Much more can be done on the state level, however. Comprehensive state legislation is critically needed to address the particular needs of missing and exploited children and to help solve problems that are unique to the state level.

State Boards and Clearinghouses

Several states have enacted important legislation to address the problem of missing and criminally or sexually exploited children. Such legislation includes creating a *state board or commission* with a variety of responsibilities, or establishing a *clearinghouse* or central registry for the state.

Kentucky The State of Kentucky (1984, H.B. 486) recently enacted comprehensive legislation addressing the problem of missing and exploited children in the state. The Kentucky statute creates a special state child abuse and exploitation prevention board within the office of the attorney general that does the following:

1. Coordinates and exchanges information on prevention programs.
2. Provides educational and public information seminars on prevention of child sexual abuse and exploitation.
3. Encourages the development of community prevention programs.
4. Recommends to the governor and the state assembly changes in state programs and policies that will reduce the problem of child sexual abuse and exploitation.
5. Provides prevention services to children and parents or guardians.
6. Authorizes a trust fund as a resource for a private nonprofit or public organization to develop or operate a prevention program.
7. Funds local task forces.

One of the most important provisions of the Kentucky statute is the creation of a state clearinghouse on missing children. Kentucky established a Kentucky Missing Child Information Center that serves as a central repository, or clearinghouse, of information about Kentucky children believed to be missing and children from other states believed to be located in Kentucky. The Missing Child Information Center is required to issue flyers with descriptive information about these children. And, a very important provision of this law is that within 24 hours after completing a missing chil-

dent report, the local law-enforcement agency is required to send the report to the Kentucky Missing Child Information Center and, from there, to the National Crime Information Center computer at the FBI.

The provisions of the Kentucky legislation on this state board appear on pages 4-7.

New Jersey Like Kentucky, the State of New Jersey (1984, Com. Sub. A. 1121, 1647) recently established a State Commission on Missing Persons. This Commission is mandated to provide state action plans and guidance for future legislation to address the problem of missing and exploited children. The New Jersey statute also establishes a Missing Persons Unit in the Department of Law and Public Safety, which does the following:

1. Coordinates, files, and investigates all missing children cases in the state and creates a central office on missing children.
2. Collects and maintains data on missing children and unidentified bodies in New Jersey and throughout the United States.
3. Coordinates with other states and with the federal government in investigating cases of missing children and unidentified bodies.
4. Provides special training to law-enforcement officers and medical examiners to help them handle cases of missing children and unidentified bodies.

Illinois The State of Illinois (1984, S.B. 1655) has also passed comprehensive legislation addressing the problem of missing and exploited children. The Illinois legislation established local units that do the following:

1. Establish a data system to collect and disseminate information that can assist agencies in recovering missing children.
2. Require law-enforcement agencies to furnish to the Department of Law Enforcement any information relating to sex crimes in their areas.
3. Set up education and prevention programs and provide prevention guidelines for children.

Florida, Kansas, and Minnesota Both Florida (\$937,033) and Kansas (1984, S.B. 803) have established clearinghouses that allow parents to report cases of missing children to a central file. Florida has created a Missing Children Information Clearinghouse within the Department of Law Enforcement. The clearinghouse is a centralized file of information on missing children that allows any parent, guardian, or legal custodian to submit a missing children report. It requires all state and local law-enforcement agencies to submit a missing children report to the clearinghouse—information which is then transmitted to the National Crime Information Center of the FBI. Florida has also set up a statewide 1-800 telephone line to receive reports on missing children.

The clearinghouse maintained by the State of Kansas allows for the comparison of reports of unidentified deceased persons with reports of missing children. In addition, the Kansas statute mandates that any law-enforcement agency that fails to make reports of missing children is liable to pay a civil penalty.

The State of Minnesota (1984, H.F. 1428) has placed the responsibility upon the Commissioner of Public Safety to perform a variety of services, including the following:

1. Compiling annual statistics on the number of missing children.
2. Developing recommendations for better reporting and use of computer systems.
3. Providing the necessary equipment for the use of the National Crime Information Center by all local law-enforcement agencies.

Unidentified Deceased Persons

In addition to clearinghouses, there is a great need for state legislation to address the problem of *unidentified deceased persons*. These are people, many of whom are children, who are buried nameless in "John Doe" or "Jane Doe" graves each year.

The Missing Children Act, mentioned above, provides for a nationwide system to identify deceased persons. As a result, the FBI established an extremely sophisticated and comprehensive tracking system both for missing persons and for the unidentified dead. The section of the FBI that houses this operation is called the National Crime Information Center (NCIC). Each year the Center locates thousands of missing children and has also begun to identify deceased individuals who were buried as unknowns. It is critical that the federal systems have the support of each state.

Several states have enacted legislation to set up a centralized file of information crucial to identifying missing and deceased persons. The state file operates on the same principle as the National Crime Information Center computer. The reason for having such a file at the state level, however, is to ensure that all state and local agencies participate in this important program. The National Crime Information Center is an excellent resource, but states and communities must be required to *use* it, by state legislative mandate.

California (§11113, 11114), Michigan (1980, S.B. 961), and Georgia (Act 980) provide that dental records and other descriptive information on missing children be collected at the state level. In addition, the medical examiners and coroners in those states are required to report descriptive information concerning deceased persons who remain unidentified. This information is then correlated with the missing children information. State law, in addition, should require that this information be forwarded to the NCIC national files on missing or deceased individuals.

Eliminating Waiting Periods

A continuing problem with missing children cases is that official action is sometimes delayed because of 24-, 48-, or 72-hour *waiting periods* before an investigation is undertaken. As a result, precious hours are lost—often the most important hours in the investigation. Because of the critical nature of the first few hours of an investigation, some states, such as Iowa (1984, S.F. 517) and Kentucky (1984, H.B. 486), require prompt reporting and *investigating* procedures. Following is an excerpt from the Iowa legislation:

Sec. 3. Report on a Missing Person

1. A law enforcement agency in which a complaint of a missing person has been filed shall prepare, as soon as practicable, a report on a missing person. That report shall include, but is not limited to, the following:

- a. All information contained in the complaint on a missing person.
- b. All information or evidence gathered by a preliminary investigation, if one was made.
- c. A statement, by the law enforcement officer in charge, setting forth that officer's assessment of the case based upon all evidence and information received.
- d. An explanation of the next steps to be taken by the law enforcement agency filing the report.

Sec. 4. Dissemination of Report. Upon completion of the report, a copy of the report shall be forwarded to:

1. All law enforcement agencies having jurisdiction of the location in which the missing person lives or was last seen.
2. All law enforcement agencies considered to be potentially involved by the law enforcement agency filing the report.
3. All law enforcement agencies which the complainant requests the report to be sent to, if the request is reasonable in light of the information contained in the report.

4. Any law enforcement agency requesting a copy of the missing person report.

Requiring Data Entry into the National Computer

Nationwide surveys indicate that not all law-enforcement agencies regularly relay descriptive information about missing children to the appropriate state agency or enter it into the National Crime Information Center (NCIC) computer operated by the FBI. Such data entry into the NCIC computer is critical to ensure an effective nationwide distribution of information on a missing child and to compare missing children data with the records of the unidentified dead.

Both Texas (1983, H.B. 2333) and Minnesota (1984, H.F. 1428) have mandated that appropriate information about missing persons be shared promptly with the FBI National Crime Information Center computer. Minnesota requires that law-enforcement agencies, after a preliminary investigation, immediately enter descriptive information on missing children into the NCIC computer and also requires prompt notification to NCIC when the child is located. Following is an excerpt from the Minnesota legislation:

Sec. 3. [299C.53]

Subdivision 1. Upon receiving a report of a child believed to be missing, a law enforcement agency shall conduct a preliminary investigation to determine whether the child is missing. If the child is determined to be missing, the agency shall immediately enter identifying and descriptive information about the child through the CJIS into the NCIC computer. Law enforcement agencies having direct access to the CJIS and the NCIC computer shall enter and retrieve the data directly and shall cooperate in the entry and retrieval of data on behalf of law enforcement agencies which do not have direct access to the systems.

Subd. 2. Immediately after a missing child is located, the law enforcement agency which located or returned the missing child shall notify the law enforcement agency having jurisdiction over the investigation, and that agency shall cancel the entry from the NCIC computer.

The Texas legislation also requires that every law-enforcement agency provide to the FBI any information that would assist in locating and identifying missing children.

Kentucky Legislation

Following are sections of the Kentucky legislation referred to in the text.

(H.B. 486)

ACT relating to sexually abused, missing and exploited children, including those persons who commit offenses relating thereto.

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the Commonwealth of Kentucky:

SECTION 1. A NEW SECTION OF KRS CHAPTER 15 IS CREATED TO READ AS FOLLOWS:

(1) As used in Section 1 through 9 of this Act:

(a) "Child" means a person under eighteen (18) years of age;

(b) "Child sexual abuse and exploitation" means harm to a child's health or welfare by any person, responsible or not for the child's health or welfare, which harm occurs or is threatened through nonaccidental sexual contact which includes violations of KRS 510.040 to 510.150, 530.020, 530.070, 531.310, 531.320 and 531.370;

(c) "Local task force" means an organization which meets the criteria described in Section 9 of this Act;

(d) "State board" means the state child sexual abuse and exploitation prevention board created in Section 3 of this Act.

(e) "Prevention program" means a system of direct provision of child sexual abuse and exploitation prevention services to a child, parent, or guardian, but shall not include research programs related to prevention of child sexual abuse and exploitation; and

(f) "Trust fund" means the child victims' trust fund established in the office of the state treasurer.

SECTION 2. A NEW SECTION OF KRS CHAPTER 15 IS CREATED TO READ AS FOLLOWS:

(1) The state child sexual abuse and exploitation prevention board is created as an autonomous agency within the office of the attorney general.

(2) The state board may appoint an executive director of the state board to exercise the powers and carry out the duties of the state board.

SECTION 3. A NEW SECTION OF KRS CHAPTER 15 IS CREATED TO READ AS FOLLOWS:

(1) The state board shall be composed of the following members:

(a) The secretary of the human resources cabinet, the secretary of finance and administration cabinet, the superintendent of public instruction, the commissioner of the state police, and the attorney general, or designees authorized to speak on their behalf; and

(b) Ten (10) public members appointed by the governor. It is recommended that, as a group, the public members shall demonstrate knowledge in the area of child sexual abuse and exploitation prevention; shall be representative of the demographic composition of this state; and, to the extent practicable, shall be representative of all the following categories: parents, school administrators, law enforcement, the religious community, the legal community, the medical community, professional providers of child sexual abuse and exploitation prevention services, and volunteers in child sexual abuse and exploitation prevention services

(2) The term of each public member shall be three (3) years, except that of the public members first appointed, three (3) shall serve for three (3) years, three (3) for two (2) years, and four (4) for one (1) year. A public member shall not serve more than two (2) consecutive terms whether partial or full. A vacancy shall be filled for the balance of the unexpired term in the same manner as the original appointment.

(3) The attorney general shall serve as chairman or designate a chairperson of the state board in which case the chairperson shall serve in that position at the pleasure of the attorney general. The state board may elect other officers and committees as it considers appropriate.

(4) There shall be no per diem compensation; however, the schedule for reimbursement of expenses for the public members of the state board shall be the same as for state employees. The reimbursement, executive director and staff salaries, and all actual and necessary operating expenses of the state board shall be paid from the trust fund, pursuant to an authorization as provided in Section 8 of this Act.

SECTION 4. A NEW SECTION OF KRS CHAPTER 15 IS CREATED TO READ AS FOLLOWS:

(1) The business which the state performs shall be conducted at a public meeting of the state board held in compliance with the open meetings act.

(2) A writing prepared, owned, used, in the possession of, or retained by the state board of the performance in an official function shall be made available to the public in compliance with the open records act.

SECTION 5. A NEW SECTION OF KRS CHAPTER 15 IS CREATED TO READ AS FOLLOWS:

(1) The state board shall do all of the following:

(a) Meet not less than twice annually at the call of the chairperson;

(b) One (1) year after the original appointment of the state board, and biennially thereafter, develop a state plan for the distribution of funds from the trust fund. In developing the plan, the state board shall review already existing prevention programs. The plan shall assure that an equal opportunity exists for establishment of prevention programs and receipt of trust fund money among all geographic areas in this state. The plan shall be transmitted to the clerk of the house of representatives, to the clerk of the senate, and to the governor;

(c) Provide for the coordination and exchange of information on the establishment and maintenance of prevention programs;

(d) Develop and publicize criteria for the receipt of trust fund money by eligible local task forces and eligible prevention programs;

(e) Review, approve, and monitor the expenditure of trust fund money by local task forces and prevention programs;

(f) Provide statewide educational and public informational seminars for the purpose of developing appropriate public awareness regarding the prevention of child sexual abuse and exploitation; encourage professional persons and groups to recognize and deal with prevention of child sexual abuse and exploitation; encourage and coordinate the development of local task forces; make information about the prevention of child sexual abuse and exploitation available to the public and organizations and agencies which deal with problems of child sexual abuse and exploitation; and encourage the development of community prevention programs; and

(g) Establish a procedure for an annual, internal evaluation of the functions, responsibilities, and performance of the state board. In a year in which the biennial state plan is prepared, the evaluation shall be coordinated with the preparation of the state plan.

(2) The state board may enter into contracts with public or private agencies to fulfill the requirements of this section. The state board shall utilize existing state resources and staff of participating departments whenever practicable.

SECTION 6. A NEW SECTION OF KRS CHAPTER 15 IS CREATED TO READ AS FOLLOWS:

The state board may recommend to the governor and the general assembly changes in state programs, statutes, policies, budgets, and standards which will reduce the problem of child sexual abuse and exploitation, improve coordination among state agencies that provide prevention services and improve the condition of children and parents or guardians who are in need of prevention program services.

SECTION 7. A NEW SECTION OF KRS CHAPTER 15 IS CREATED TO READ AS FOLLOWS:

The state board may accept federal funds granted by the Congress or executive order for the purposes of this Act as well as gifts and donations from individuals, private organizations, or foundations. All funds received in the manner described in this section shall be transmitted to the state treasurer for deposit in the trust fund, and shall be made available for expenditure as appropriated by the general assembly.

SECTION 8. A NEW SECTION OF KRS CHAPTER 15 IS CREATED TO READ AS FOLLOWS:

(1) The state board may authorize the disbursement of available money from the trust fund, upon legislative appropriations, for exclusively the following purposes, which are listed in the order of preference for expenditure:

(a) To fund a private nonprofit or public organization in the development or operation of a prevention program if at least all of the following conditions are met:

1. The appropriate local task force has reviewed and approved the program. This subparagraph does not apply if a local task force does not exist for the geographic area to be served by the program;

2. The organization demonstrates an ability to match through money fifty percent (50%) of the amount of any trust fund money received;

3. The organization demonstrates a willingness and ability to provide program models and consultation to organizations and communities regarding program development and maintenance; and

4. Other conditions that the state board may deem appropriate.

(b) To fund local task forces; and

(c) To fund the state board created in Section 2 of this Act for the actual and necessary operating expenses that the board incurs in performing its duties.

(2) Authorizations for disbursement of trust fund money under subsection (1)(c) of this section shall be kept at a minimum in furtherance of the primary purpose of the trust fund which is to disburse money under subsec-

tions (1)(a) and (b) of this section to encourage the direct provision of services to prevent child abuse and exploitation.

SECTION 9. A NEW SECTION OF KRS CHAPTER 15 IS CREATED TO READ AS FOLLOWS:

In making grants to a local task force, the state board shall consider the degree to which the local task force meets the following criteria:

(1) Has as its primary purpose the development and facilitation of a collaborative community prevention program in a specific geographical area. The prevention program shall utilize trained volunteers and existing community resources wherever practicable;

(2) Is comprised of local law enforcement and social services representatives and does not exclude any organization or person that the state board deems necessary;

(3) Demonstrates a willingness and ability to provide prevention program models and consultation to organizations and communities regarding prevention program development and maintenance;

(4) Demonstrates an ability to match through money fifty percent (50%) of the amount of any trust fund money received. The amount and types of in-kind services are subject to the approval of the state board; and

(5) Other criteria that the state board deems appropriate.

...

SECTION 11. A NEW SECTION OF KRS CHAPTER 17 IS CREATED TO READ AS FOLLOWS:

(1) The justice cabinet shall establish within the cabinet a "Kentucky Missing Child Information Center," which shall serve as a central repository of and clearinghouse for information about Kentucky children believed to be missing and children from other states believed to be missing in Kentucky.

(2) The cabinet shall provide the missing child information center with computer equipment and a computer program which shall list and be capable of immediately retrieving the name and complete description of any missing Kentucky child referred to in subsection (1) of this section.

(3) The cabinet shall design the computer program so as to accept and generate complete information on a missing child, which information shall be retrievable by the child's name and date of birth, social security number, fingerprint classification, any number of physical descriptions, including hair and eye color and body marks, and known associates and locations.

(4) Only law enforcement agencies shall be authorized to order missing child information entered into or retrieved from the missing child information center computer, except that a parent or guardian may order from the state police information on his or her child to be entered or retrieved when another law enforcement agency has refused to enter or retrieve such missing child information.

(5) The cabinet, through the Kentucky missing child information center, shall regularly issue flyers containing physical and situational descriptions of missing children when requested by a law enforcement agency or when determined by the cabinet.

(6) For purposes of this Act, child shall mean any person under eighteen (18) years of age or any person certified or known to be mentally incompetent or disabled.

(7) A complete written report shall be issued annually by the cabinet, which report shall include statistical information on the numbers of missing children entered on the computer and located and recommendations for more accurate and timely reports and better usage of the computer.

(8) The cabinet may issue regulations in conformance with this section which provide for the orderly receipt of missing child information and requests for retrieval of missing child information.

(9) The Kentucky state police and each city, county, and urban county police department and each sheriff's office shall fingerprint children without charge on forms provided by the cabinet. The completed fingerprint forms shall be delivered to the child's parent or guardian and no copy of the fingerprint form shall be retained by the police department or sheriff's office.

SECTION 12. A NEW SECTION OF KRS CHAPTER 17 IS CREATED TO READ AS FOLLOWS:

(1) Upon notification by a parent or guardian that a child is missing, the law enforcement agency receiving notification shall immediately complete a missing person's report in a form prescribed by the justice cabinet and which shall include such information as the cabinet deems necessary for the identification of the missing child, including the child's physical description, last known location and known associates.

(2) Within twenty-four (24) hours after completion of the missing person's report form, the law enforcement agency shall transmit the report for inclusion within the Kentucky missing child information center computer and shall cause the report to be entered into the national crime information center computer.

(3) Within twenty-four (24) hours thereafter, the law enforcement agency shall investigate the report, shall inform all appropriate law enforcement officers of the existence of the missing child report, and shall communicate the report to every other law enforcement agency having jurisdiction in the area.

(4) Within twenty-four (24) hours after a missing child is located and returned to his parent, guardian or to the state, if a ward of the state, the law enforcement agency which found or returned the missing child shall notify both the missing child information center and the national crime information center of that fact.

...

2. Sexual Abuse and Exploitation

The National Center for Missing and Exploited Children is chartered to address the problem of children who are criminally or sexually exploited, which includes child molestation, child prostitution, and child pornography. State legislation can be effectively used to improve many laws regarding the treatment of criminally or sexually exploited children. The state legislation referred to in this section has proved highly successful in addressing the problems of exploited children. (See also "Child Pornography and Child Prostitution," pages 45-47.)

Reporting and Investigating Cases of Child Sexual Exploitation

Who Must Report? In order to ensure that all cases of child abuse or exploitation are indeed reported, some states include a broad category of citizens who are required to report.

The State of Delaware (§16-903) has mandated that reports are required from physicians, persons in the healing arts, school employees, social workers, psychologists, medical examiners, and *any other person*.

The State of Kentucky (§199.335) requires reports from many of the same professions as the Delaware statute and adds child care personnel as well. Virginia (§63.1-248.3) specifies social workers, nurses, probation officers, mental health professionals, and law-enforcement officers as well. Both the Delaware and Kentucky laws are significant because they include the words *or any other person* to include all citizens in general. It is not appropriate, however, to penalize citizens in the same way that professionals are penalized for failure to report.

North Carolina (§7A-543) requires "any person or institution that has cause to suspect that any juvenile is abused or neglected" to report such cases. South Dakota (§26-10-11) specifically requires abuse reports by hospital and school personnel and notification of the officials in charge. An excerpt from the South Dakota statute follows:

26-10-11. Child abuse reports by hospital personnel—Failure as misdemeanor—Written policy required as to reporting. When the attendance of any person under §26-10-10 with respect to a child is pursuant to the performance of services as a member of a staff of a hospital or similar institution, such person shall, in addition to the report required by §26-10-10, forthwith notify the person in charge of the institution or his designated delegate, who shall report or cause reports to be made in accordance with the provisions of §26-10-12. Any such person in charge or delegate who knowingly and intentionally fails to make a report required of him is guilty of a Class 1 misdemeanor. Each hospital or similar institution shall have a written policy on reporting of child abuse and neglect.

26-10-11.1. Child abuse reports by school personnel—Failure as misdemeanor—Written policy required as to reporting. When the presence of any person under §26-10-10 is pursuant to the performance of services as a teacher, school nurse, school counselor, school official or administrator, such person shall, in addition to the report required by §26-10-10, notify the school principal or school superintendent or his designate who shall report or cause reports to be made in accordance with the provisions of §26-10-12.

Any such school principal or superintendent or their delegate, who knowingly and intentionally fails to make a report required of him is guilty of a Class 1 misdemeanor. Each school district shall have a written school district policy on reporting of child abuse and neglect.

What Kinds of Abuse Must Be Reported? It is critical that state legislation require that cases of *sexual assault* and *exploitation* be included in the definition of child abuse. The State of Delaware (§16-903) defines child abuse and neglect to include physical injury or mental and emotional injury resulting from abuse, neglect, sexual abuse, or exploitation. This definition of child abuse includes *exploitation*—which would encompass sexual exploitation, as in child pornography. Therefore, in Delaware, child pornography would constitute child abuse even though the child was not physically harmed or even touched. Louisiana (1984, H.B. 1206) recently enacted legislation that includes sexual exploitation in the definition of abuse. It is critical that sexual abuse and exploitation be subject to abuse reporting requirements.

To Whom Are the Reports Made? Many communities have had difficulties in handling cases of child sexual assault because not all the appropriate agencies are notified. The District of Columbia (§6-2102) provides that, upon receipt of a report, the social services division immediately inform the police. Following is an excerpt from the District of Columbia statute:

§ 6-2102. Handling of reports—By Division.

(a) Upon the receipt of an oral report, the Division shall immediately inform the police of the contents of the report, if it alleges a child is or may have been an abused child.

Some states, such as New Mexico (§32-1-15), require that the report be made to the proper social services agency *or* to the local district attorney. The State of Kentucky (§199.335) mandates that the person making the report also make an oral report to a law-enforcement agency.

The State of Florida (1984, Com. Sub., H.B. 988) has taken important action to ensure that reports of child abuse or neglect by school employees be immediately reported to the school board itself. In addition, Florida requires that the Social Services Department make a full written report to the local prosecutor within three days of receiving the oral report.

Sharing Information Among Agencies Law-enforcement and social service agencies are often unable or are not required to *share information* about cases of child exploitation. Kentucky (§199.335) has solved this problem by mandating that social service agencies report cases of child abuse to other medical, psychological, social service, or law-enforcement agencies. Florida (1984, Com. Sub., H.B. 988) requires a joint criminal investigation conducted by both law-enforcement authorities and social service agencies in cases involving sexual assault, abuse, or death of a child.

Temporary Protection for the Child Simply because a case of child abuse or exploitation has been reported does not mean that the child is not still in grave danger. The State of Alabama (§26-14-6) addressed this problem by ensuring *temporary protection* for the child. In Alabama, in a case of child abuse, a law-enforcement officer, state or county employee, hospital official, or physician may keep the child in his or her custody without the consent of the parent or guardian. The State of Kentucky (§199.335) allows similar procedures for hospitals and physicians.

Kentucky's legislation also allows for a *search warrant* to be issued if there is cause to suspect child abuse or neglect. If the child is indeed in danger, he or she may be removed by a police officer. Following is an excerpt from the Kentucky statute:

(4) A search warrant shall be issued upon a showing of probable cause that a child is being abused or neglected. If, pursuant to a search under a

warrant a child is discovered and appears to be in imminent danger, the child may be removed by the local law enforcement officer. In the event a child who is in a hospital or under the immediate care of a physician appears to be in such certain danger of injury or death if he is returned to the persons having custody of him, the physician or hospital administrator may hold a child in the physician's office or the hospital without court order provided that an attempt is made to obtain such court order at the earliest practicable time not to exceed seventy-two (72) hours. Any appropriate law enforcement officer may take a child into protective custody and may hold that child in protective custody without the consent of the parent or other person responsible for such child, if the officer has reasonable cause to believe that there exists an imminent danger to the child's life or health.

Protecting Individuals or Institutions That Report Many cases of child abuse may go unreported because people are afraid that they will be penalized in some way. Therefore, a number of states have instituted *immunity* and *protective measures* for those who report. The following examples of state legislation provide critical support and protection measures for those who report child abuse, neglect, and exploitation.

The states of Mississippi (§43-21-355) and South Dakota (§26-10-14) protect those who report "in good faith." The State of Kentucky (§199.335) protects those who report based upon a "reasonable cause." Further, Mississippi law (§43-21-355) provides for *immunity from liability*, civil or criminal, for individuals who are acting "in good faith." That means that a civil or criminal suit cannot be brought against those who report. Criminal liability can mean a possible jail sentence or fine.

The State of Vermont (T.33§683) provides for the *confidentiality of the name* of the person making the report or any person mentioned in the report. The State of Colorado (§19-10-110) ensures that the person reporting "in good faith" is immune from civil or criminal liability or termination of employment that otherwise might result. Following is an excerpt from the Colorado statute:

19-10-110. Immunity from liability—persons reporting. Any person participating in good faith in the making of a report or in a judicial proceeding held pursuant to this title, the taking of photographs or X rays, or the placing in temporary protective custody of a child pursuant to this article or otherwise performing his duties or acting pursuant to this article shall be immune from any liability, civil or criminal, or termination of employment that otherwise might result by reason of such reporting. For the purpose of any proceedings, civil or criminal, the good faith of any person reporting child abuse, any person taking photographs or X rays, and any person who has legal authority to place a child in protective custody shall be presumed.

Kentucky (§199.335) provides for immunity from liability for photographs, x-rays and other appropriate medical procedures taken without the consent of the parent as part of an investigation. Finally, Rhode Island (1984, H. 7519) recently provided that those who report child abuse be advised about the agency efforts that may have taken place because of the report.

Penalties for Failure to Report Many states impose criminal sanctions or fines upon those professionals who do not report cases of child abuse, neglect, or exploitation. For example, the State of Michigan (§722.633) provides that a person required to report an instance of child abuse is civilly liable for the damages caused by the failure. Of course, this kind of penalty should apply only to professionals required to report.

But in states requiring regular citizens to report such cases, it is not fair to make the penalties as high for the ordinary citizen as they are for the trained professional. A civil fine is as serious as the penalty should be for a citizen. And, certain protections, such as immunity from liability, should also apply to the average individual who reports child abuse, neglect, and exploitation.

Child Protection Teams

Child abuse, neglect, and exploitation are highly complicated cases involving many different interests—the child's physical, mental, and emotional health, the family, and the legal concerns. Therefore, a few states have mandated the use of *child protection teams* to handle such reports. The child protection team concept ensures that, at the community level, a seasoned group of professionals from different disciplines will handle the cases. The team concept, however, can only benefit child victims if *exploitation* is included in the state's definition of child abuse.

Tennessee (§37-1-407) has mandated a multi-disciplinary advisory team that is composed of representatives from the following:

1. Department of Human Services
2. Physicians
3. Psychologists or psychiatrists
4. Social workers
5. Local juvenile court
6. Local law-enforcement agency

Following is an excerpt from the Tennessee statute:

37-1-407. Child abuse review teams.—(a) The department shall make available to each community a multi-disciplinary advisory team to be known as the child abuse review team.

(b) The team shall be composed of at least the following persons: a representative of the department of human services who shall serve as team coordinator, a physician, a psychologist or psychiatrist, and a social worker. A representative of the local juvenile court may participate if desired by the juvenile judge, and a representative of the local law-enforcement agency may participate if requested by the district attorney general. . . . The department shall choose its representative and all other persons on the team with the exception of the representatives of the local law-enforcement agency and the local juvenile court who shall be chosen by the chief officer of their respective operating units.

Indiana (§31-6-11-14) has added a requirement that local law-enforcement agencies participate as well as persons from local schools, nurses, attorneys, and those trained in mental health and mental retardation. Indiana's child protection team is required to be supplied with copies of reports of child abuse and neglect. This ensures that all reports are received and reviewed by the entire team.

The Florida (Chapter 84-226) team concept includes a variety of responsibilities, which are listed in the statute below:

Section 9. Section 415.5055, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

415.5055 Child protection teams; services; eligible cases.—The department shall develop, maintain, and coordinate the services of one or more multidisciplinary child protection teams in each of the department's service districts. Such teams may be composed of representatives of appropriate health, mental health, social service, legal service, and law enforcement agencies.

(1) The department shall utilize and convene the teams to supplement the single intake and protective services activities of the children, youth, and families program of the department. Nothing in this section shall be construed to remove or reduce the duty and responsibility of any person to report all suspected or actual cases of child abuse or neglect or sexual abuse of a child pursuant to s. 415.504. The role of the teams shall be to support activities of the program and to provide services to abused and neglected children upon referral as deemed by the teams to be necessary and appropriate for such children. The specialized diagnostic assessment, evaluation, co-

ordination, consultation, and other supportive services that the teams shall be capable of providing include, but are not limited to, the following:

(a) Medical diagnosis and evaluation services, including provision or interpretation of X-rays and laboratory tests, and related services, as needed, and documentation of findings relative thereto.

(b) Telephone consultation services in emergencies and in other situations.

(c) Medical evaluation related to abuse or neglect, as defined by department policy or rule.

(d) Such psychological and psychiatric diagnosis and evaluation services for the child, parent or parents, guardian or guardians, or other care givers, or any other individual involved in a child abuse or neglect case, as a child protection team may determine to be needed.

(e) Short-term psychological treatment. It is the intent of the Legislature that short-term psychological treatment be limited to no more than 6 months' duration after treatment is initiated, except that the appropriate district administrator may authorize such treatment for individual children beyond this limitation if he deems it appropriate.

(f) Expert medical, psychological, and related professional testimony in court cases.

(g) Case staffings to develop, implement, and monitor treatment plans for a child whose case has been referred to a child protection team. A child protection team may provide consultation on any other child who has not been referred to a team, but who is alleged or is shown to be abused, which consultation shall be provided at the request of a representative of the children, youth, and families program or at the request of any other professional involved with a child, his parent or parents, guardian or guardians, or other care givers. In all such child protection team case staffings, consultations, or staff activities involving a child, a children, youth, and families program representative shall attend and participate.

(h) Case service coordination and assistance, including the location of services available from other public and private agencies in the community.

(i) Such training services for program and other department employees as is deemed appropriate to enable them to develop and maintain their professional skills and abilities in handling child abuse and neglect cases.

(j) Educational and community awareness campaigns on child abuse and neglect in a effort to enable citizens more successfully to prevent, identify, and treat child abuse and neglect in the community.

(2) Child abuse and neglect cases that are appropriate referrals by the children, youth, and families program to child protection teams for support services as set forth in subsection (1) include, but are not limited to, cases involving:

(a) Bruises, burns, or fractures in a child under the age of 3 years and in a nonambulatory child of any age.

(b) Unexplained or implausibly explained bruises, burns, fractures, or other injuries in a child of any age.

(c) Sexual abuse of a child in which vaginal or anal penetration is alleged, or in which other unlawful sexual conduct has been determined to have occurred.

(d) Venereal disease, or any other sexually transmitted disease, in a pre-pubescent child.

(e) Reported malnutrition of a child and failure of a child to thrive.

(f) Reported medical, physical, or emotional neglect of a child.

(g) Any family in which one or more children have been pronounced dead on arrival at a hospital or other health care facility, or have been injured and later died, as a result of suspected abuse or neglect, where any sibling or other child remains in the home.

(h) Symptoms of serious emotional problems in a child where emotional or other abuse or neglect is suspected.

In all instances where a child protection team is providing certain services to abused or neglected children, other offices and units of the department shall avoid duplicating the provision of those services.

Payment for Physical Exams

Unfortunately, in many states, the victim of a sexual assault must, in addition to suffering from the effects of the crime, pay for the required medical examination. Some states have protected the victim by mandating that such exams be paid for out of social services, law enforcement, or other community funds. Following is a Montana statute (§46-15-411) that requires the local law-enforcement agency to pay for the required exam.

46-15-411. Payment for medical evidence. (1) The local law enforcement agency within whose jurisdiction an alleged incident of sexual intercourse without consent occurs shall pay for the medical examination of a victim of alleged sexual intercourse without consent when the examination is directed by such agency and when evidence obtained by the examination is used for the investigation or prosecution of an offense.

(2) This section does not require a law enforcement agency to pay any costs of treatment for injuries resulting from the alleged offense.

Also, the State of Minnesota (§609.35) has mandated that the cost of the examination of the victim of a sexual assault will not be charged to the victim.

Emergency Protection for the Child

Because of the unique nature of child sexual assault and exploitation, special measures are often necessary to provide immediate protection for the child. The State of Colorado (§19-10-116) has allowed its juvenile courts to issue *restraining orders* to prevent sexual offenses. This emergency protection includes preventing anyone from threatening, molesting, or injuring a child. It also can exclude someone from the family home or prevent someone from contacting the child elsewhere. An excerpt from the Colorado statute follows:

19-10-116. Restraining orders and emergency protection orders.

(1) (a) The juvenile court and the district court shall have authority to issue restraining orders to prevent an unlawful sexual offense . . . when requested by the local law enforcement agency, county department, or a responsible person who asserts, in a verified petition supported by affidavit, that there are reasonable grounds to believe that a child is in danger in the reasonably foreseeable future of being the victim of an unlawful sexual offense, based upon an allegation of a recent actual unlawful sexual offense or threat of the same.

The Colorado law also provides that the person who disobeys the restraining order can be held in contempt of court.

Limiting the Number of Interviews

The demands of the social services and criminal justice system often mean that a child victim of a sexual assault may be interviewed about the assault as many as a dozen times—by a social services investigator, the police, the local prosecutor's office, therapists, and many others. This would be a great strain on any adult, let alone a child already under extreme stress.

The State of Florida (1984, S.B. 890) recently considered a bill that would require the chief judge of each local circuit to provide for *reasonable limits on the number of interviews* a child victim would have to undergo. An excerpt from the Florida legislation is reproduced below:

Section 1. Child abuse and sexual abuse victims under age 12; limits on interviews.—The chief judge of each judicial circuit, after consultation with

the state attorney for the judicial circuit and the sheriff of each county within the judicial circuit, shall provide by rule for reasonable limits on the number of interviews a victim of a violation of s. 794.011, s. 827.03, or s. 827.04, Florida Statutes, who is under 12 years of age must submit to for law enforcement or discovery purposes. The rule shall, to the extent possible, protect the victim from the psychological damage of repeated interrogation while preserving the rights of the public, the victim, and the person charged with the violation.

3. Criminal Code Provisions

The provisions of state *criminal codes* dealing with child abuse, sexual offenses, and kidnapping directly affect the issue of missing and exploited children. State criminal codes determine what acts are considered crimes and how these crimes are punished. In addition, criminal code provisions determine who is released early from prison (paroled) and under what circumstances. State legislation can be used to strengthen state criminal codes to protect missing and exploited children.

Time Limits (Statute of Limitations)

A recurring difficulty in prosecuting cases of child victimization is the fact that many cases go unreported for years. Because the children are often very young, confused, and feel responsible for the act, they are afraid to report or may not even know that what happened is indeed a crime. This is especially true in incest cases, but it also occurs in cases involving molestation by those other than family members. As a result, many cases of child victimization cannot be prosecuted simply because the child did not report it until years later and the *statute of limitations* had expired.

Many states, therefore, are extending their statute of limitations for crimes involving children. These extensions ensure that crimes against children can be prosecuted even several years after the offense has occurred. The State of Minnesota (Chapter 496) recently lengthened its statute of limitations from three years to seven years for any criminal or sexual conduct involving a minor. Utah (1984, H.B. 209) extended this time limitation to eight years. Florida (Chapter 84-86) took a different approach and mandated that if the victim is under the age of 16, the time limitation does not begin until the victim has reached the age of 16 or until the violation is reported, whichever is earlier. Actually, the statute of limitations in these cases should be at least 15 years.

"Consent" and Past Sexual Experiences of the Child Victim

Two obstacles sometimes encountered in prosecuting child victimization cases are 1) a requirement to prove that the child did not consent to the act, and 2) an inquiry into the child's prior sexual experiences. The State of Florida (Chapter 84-86) now requires that neither the victim's lack of chastity nor the victim's consent is a defense for certain sexual offenses committed against children. Utah (1984, H.B. 209) provides that a seizure, confinement, detention, or transportation is considered against the will of any victim under 14, if it is without the consent of the parent or guardian. Of course, this provision was not intended to apply to cases of parental kidnapping.

Mandatory Prison Sentences for Sexual Offenders

Some states have enacted legislation that provides for mandatory prison sentences for those convicted of certain specified sexual crimes against children (California Penal Code §1203.066; Kentucky, 1984, Chapter 382; Utah, 1984, H.B. 209). While these statutes do address the critical problem of the serious or repeat offender who does not have to serve any time in jail or prison, they do raise two issues that will need to be

addressed. Both issues concern the unique nature of cases of incest or intrafamily sexual abuse. If the child who is a victim of these particular intrafamily crimes learns that his or her father or stepfather faces an automatic prison sentence, it often makes the child reluctant to report the crime or to continue to tell the truth throughout the investigation and court procedures. In addition, family members and friends may put significant pressure upon the child to recant a truthful account of the crime so that the defendant does not have to serve any period of imprisonment.

What this means is that any state law that includes mandatory imprisonment should also include provisions that allow the judge, at his or her discretion, to impose a probated (no incarceration) or suspended sentence if there are specific findings, which follow:

1. The defendant is a natural parent, stepparent, adoptive parent, relative, other legal guardian, or a member of the victim's household who has lived in the household.
2. It is in the victim's best interest that the defendant not be incarcerated.
3. There is no continuing threat of physical harm to the child if the defendant is not incarcerated.
4. The defendant has been accepted for mental health treatment in a recognized center that deals with therapy for the kind of offense committed.

These provisions are an attempt to deal with the conflicting interests of the required incarceration of a child molester and the needs of the child who is a victim of intrafamily sexual abuse. Also, the judge is still free to impose a mandatory jail sentence if it is in the best interest of the child.

Registering Sexual Offenders

In order to know the whereabouts of those convicted of sex offenses, the State of Ohio (§2950.02) and the State of Utah (1984, H.B. 209) have mandated that sex offenders register with local or state officials in that state. The Utah provisions are comprehensive and also require that notice be given to the victim before the offender is released from prison. Both provisions are significant.

Paroling Sexual Offenders

Because many sexual offenders repeat their crimes against children, some states have legislated specific provisions to guarantee more protection for children. Legislation in Utah (1984, H.B. 209) is an excellent answer to the problem of repeat offenders. The Utah legislation includes the following:

1. A requirement that the prosecutor inform the parole board of the circumstances surrounding a conviction or plea of guilty, plea bargaining, and other background information.
2. Provisions for notifying the local prosecutor and the victim concerning a parole hearing on an individual convicted of certain specified offenses against children.
3. An examination before parole by a disinterested third party to determine whether or not the individual is a continuing danger to children.
4. Requirement for three years of outpatient treatment for paroled individuals convicted of certain crimes against children.

The above recently enacted state laws regarding parole are innovative steps to protect children that all states should consider.

4. The Child in the Courtroom

The child victim or witness faces a particularly difficult time in the courtroom. Various criminal justice system procedures subject the child to repeated interrogation and a traumatic ordeal that some experts refer to as a second victimization. Some procedures and laws make it difficult or impossible for the child victim to have his or her story heard in the courtroom by a judge or jury. In addition, the formal procedures in the criminal courtroom force the child to relive the episode of exploitation or abuse in a public setting. State legislation addressing these difficult issues is described in this chapter.

Courtroom Procedures Protecting the Child Victim or Witness

Some states have adopted certain courtroom procedures that make it less traumatic for the child victim or witness to testify, such as allowing the child to testify, permitting leading questions, allowing additional evidence, using videotapes and closed-circuit television to record testimony, and removing corroboration rules.

Allowing the Child to Testify Many state laws require that the child pass a specific set of threshold inquiries before he or she is allowed to testify. The child may have to show an understanding of the difference between a true statement and an untrue statement and an appreciation of the nature of the oath to tell the truth. Also included are requirements that the child have an ability to sufficiently recall and relate the details of the incident of abuse or exploitation. Some scholars of law have characterized this kind of prejudice against a child's testimony as "archaic." It is important to note, however, that fewer than half the states in the United States have these provisions, and the Federal Rules of Evidence, applicable in federal courtrooms, presume that every person is competent to be a witness.

If the child is allowed to testify, it is still up to the trier of fact (the judge or the jury) to determine if the child's ability to recall and relate the incidents and his or her understanding of the oath to tell the truth is sufficient to make the testimony convincing. This is a guarantee that the child's testimony will be appropriately evaluated in the trial.

The State of Utah (1984, H.B. 209) recently attempted to address the problem of prejudice against the child's testimony by enacting the following provisions:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law or rule of evidence, a child victim of sexual abuse, under the age of ten, shall be considered a competent witness and shall be allowed to testify without prior qualification in any judicial proceeding. The trier of fact shall be permitted to determine the weight and credibility to be given to the testimony.

The age of ten is included in the Utah statute because of particular provisions of that state's laws. Actually, any child victim of sexual assault should be accorded this protection.

Permitting Leading Questions In most courtroom situations, *leading questions* (those simply requiring a yes or no answer) are prohibited. A child, however, obviously

has difficulty in articulating complete and detailed sentences. Therefore, several states, including California (1984, S.B. 1899) allow that, in certain kinds of crimes, "the court may in the interests of justice permit a leading question to be asked of a child under ten years of age."

Allowing Additional Evidence Traditionally, the criminal justice system excludes as evidence any statements made outside the courtroom. To make it easier for the child, however, Colorado (§18-3-411, §19-1-107) recently enacted provisions that would allow as evidence any out-of-court statements made by a child describing any act of sexual contact, intrusion, or penetration. Most states that have enacted this kind of legislation have also included provisions such as those required by Minnesota (Chapter 588), which ensure the reliability of out-of-court statements. This is a determination made by the judge in the case. Such a specific evaluation of the reliability of the statement is critical.

Similar legislation has been enacted in Indiana (1984, H. 1205) and Utah (1984, H.B. 209). The Utah legislation contains the following provisions:

(1.) Notwithstanding any other provision of law or rule of evidence, a child victim's out of court statement regarding sexual abuse of the child is admissible into evidence although it does not qualify under an existing hearsay exception so long as; (1) the child testifies; or (2) in the event that the child does not testify, there is other corroborative evidence of the abuse. Before admitting such a statement into evidence, the judge shall determine whether the general purposes of the evidence are such that the interest of justice will best be served by admission of the statement into evidence. In addition, the court shall consider the age and maturity of the child, the nature and duration of the abuse, the relationship of the child to the offender, the reliability of the assertion, and the reliability of the child witness in deciding whether to admit such a statement.

Some states have required that out-of-court statements by children will be admissible if the judge finds, among other conclusions, that "the emotional or psychological well-being of the child would be impaired" by testifying. Maine (§1205) has such a law. CAUTION: These innovative attempts to address the needs of the child victim are being tested by the courts.

Videotaping the Child's Testimony Some state legislatures have attempted to lessen the child victim's ordeal of testifying in the courtroom about a sexual assault. The State of New Mexico (§30-9-17) mandated that *videotaping* may be used to record the child's testimony:

A. In any prosecution for criminal sexual penetration or criminal sexual contact of a minor, upon motion of the district attorney and after notice to the opposing counsel, the district court may, for a good cause shown, order the taking of a videotaped deposition of any alleged victim under the age of sixteen years. The videotaped deposition shall be taken before the judge in chambers in the presence of the district attorney, the defendant and his attorneys. Examination and cross-examination of the alleged victim shall proceed at the taking of the videotaped deposition in the same manner as permitted in a trial under the provisions of Rule 611 of the New Mexico Rules of Evidence. Any videotaped deposition taken under the provisions of this act (this section) shall be viewed and heard at the trial and entered into the record in lieu of the direct testimony of the alleged victim.

B. For the purposes of this section, "videotaped deposition" means the visual recording on a magnetic tape, together with the associated sound, of a witness testifying under oath in the course of a judicial proceeding, upon oral examination and where an opportunity is given for cross-examination in the presence of the defendant and intended to be played back upon the trial of the action in court.

C. The supreme court may adopt rules of procedure and evidence to govern and implement the provisions of this act.

D. The cost of such videotaping shall be paid for by the state.

E. Videotapes which are a part of the court record are subject to a protective order of the court for the purpose of protecting the privacy of the victim.

For examples of similar legislation, see the statutes enacted in Arkansas (§43-2036), Colorado (§18-3-411), Iowa (§232.96), Kentucky (Chapter 382), Ohio (1964, H.B. 555), Florida (1984, S.B. 140), and Wisconsin (Act 197). CAUTION: These procedures are currently being tested in the state courts. Their constitutionality has not yet been determined. Videotaping may not provide a comprehensive answer to the plight of the child victim.

Closed-Circuit Television Another alternative considered by some state legislatures is the use of *closed-circuit television* to record the child's testimony. The following excerpt from Texas law (§38.071) is an example:

Section 3. The court may, on the motion of the attorney for any party, order that the testimony of the child be taken in a room other than the courtroom and be televised by the closed circuit equipment in the courtroom to be viewed by the court and the finder of fact in the proceeding. Only the attorneys for the defendant and for the state, persons necessary to operate the equipment, and any person whose presence would contribute to the welfare and well-being of the child may be present in the room with the child during his testimony. Only the attorneys may question the child. The persons operating the equipment shall be confined to an adjacent room or behind a screen or mirror that permits them to see and hear the child during his testimony, but does not permit the defendant to observe and hear the testimony of the child in person, but shall ensure that the child cannot hear or see the defendant.

Note: The Sixth Amendment to the United States Constitution guarantees that the accused person shall enjoy the right to be confronted with the witnesses against him. The use of videotaping or closed-circuit television will be a subject of state court decisions in the months ahead. The validity of a provision that prevents the child from hearing or seeing the defendant has not yet been ruled upon by the courts.

Removing Corroboration Rules Several states have requirements that make it extremely difficult for the child victim or witness to testify. These are called *corroboration rules*, which require that 1) the child cannot simply testify as to the sexual assault without other evidence to substantiate the child's story, or 2) if the child is allowed to testify, as soon as that testimony is questioned, attacked, or impeached, then it is required that additional evidence be introduced to substantiate the child's story. Obviously, these kinds of procedures interfere with the child's ability to seek justice in the courtroom. If these corroboration rules exist by statute or court decision, state legislators should carefully consider removing or eliminating them.

Anatomically Correct Dolls

The child victim of a sexual assault usually finds it very difficult to tell the story in adult language. Therefore, some states have tried to make this procedure easier for the child. Pennsylvania (1984, S.B. 1361) recently passed a provision that allows for the use of *anatomically correct dolls* to assist a child victim in testifying in the courtroom. An excerpt from the Pennsylvania statute follows:

§ 5986. Use of anatomically correct dolls.

In any criminal proceeding charging unlawful sexual contact or penetration with or on a child, the court shall permit the use of anatomically correct dolls or mannequins to assist an alleged victim in testifying on direct and cross-examination.

Prompt Disposition

Because the trial process is extremely stressful for a child, the State of Pennsylvania (1984, S.B. 1361) recently passed legislation that would require the court and the prosecuting attorney to ensure a *prompt disposition* of a case of a child victim. This also assists the young child who may not remember the details of the offense itself for an extended period of time. An excerpt from the Pennsylvania statute follows:

§ 598.3. Duty to expedite proceedings.

In all criminal cases and juvenile proceedings involving a child victim or witness, the court and the district attorney shall take appropriate action to ensure a speedy trial in order to minimize the length of time the child must endure the stress of involvement in the proceedings. In ruling on any motion or other request for a delay or continuance of proceedings, the court shall consider and give weight to any adverse impact the delay or continuance may have on the well-being of a child victim or witness.

The Rights of Child Victims or Witnesses

At least two states have adopted a specific listing of victims' rights—in particular, child victims. This "bill of rights" assures that children will be given certain assistance during the course of a criminal proceeding. An excellent example of this kind of legislation is the Wisconsin Bill of Rights for Children (§950.055), which is reproduced below:

950.055 Child victims and witnesses; rights and services

(1) Legislative intent. The legislature finds that it is necessary to provide child victims and witnesses with additional consideration and different treatment than that usually afforded to adults. The legislature intends, in this section, to provide these children with additional rights and protections during their involvement with the criminal justice system. The legislature urges the news media to use restraint in revealing the identity of child victims or witnesses, especially in sensitive cases.

(2) Additional services. In addition to all rights afforded to victims and witnesses under s. 950.04 and services provided under s. 950.05, counties are encouraged to provide the following additional services on behalf of children who are involved in criminal proceedings as victims or witnesses:

(a) Explanations, in language understood by the child, of all legal proceedings in which the child will be involved.

(b) Advice to the judge, when appropriate and as a friend of the court, regarding the child's ability to understand proceedings and questions. The services may include providing assistance in determinations concerning the taking of videotaped depositions under s. 967.04 (7) and the duty to expedite proceedings under s. 971.105.

(c) Advice to the district attorney concerning the ability of a child witness to cooperate with the prosecution and the potential effects of the proceedings on the child.

(d) Information about and referrals to appropriate social services programs to assist the child and the child's family in coping with the emotional impact of the crime and the subsequent proceedings in which the child is involved.

(3) Program responsibility. In each county, the county board is responsible for the enforcement of rights and the provision of services under this section. A county may seek reimbursement for services provided under this section as part of its program plan submitted to the department under s. 950.06. To the extent possible, counties shall utilize volunteers and existing public resources for the provision of these services.

5. Protecting the Privacy of the Child Victim

The child victim often faces the additional ordeal of the release and publication of highly personal information, including his or her name and the nature of the acts committed. Public release of the victim's name, address, picture, and the details of the assault violates the child's privacy. It is not only embarrassing and traumatic for the child and the family, but it can result in severe psychological and emotional harm.

The issue of the victim's privacy is not a simple one, however. Freedom of the press is guaranteed by the First Amendment and is applied to the states through the Fourteenth Amendment. In order to protect the child victim from undergoing the stress and stigma associated with publicity, it is critical that states enact legislation to protect the privacy of the child.

Protecting the Child's Identity

Traditionally, all fifty states have protected the identity of children *accused of* crimes for the reason that publicity interferes with the child's rehabilitation. Publicizing the names of juvenile defendants may hinder their adjustment in society and acceptance by the public. Protecting the child's identity also guards against embarrassment to the child's family. Unfortunately, though, while the privacy of juvenile offenders is protected in our court system, the privacy of child victims is not.

Several states have enacted legislation to attempt to protect the privacy of the sexual assault victim. The State of Minnesota (Chapter 573) has recently enacted legislation that keeps the name of the victim confidential in the court records and reports related to complaints or indictments of sexual abusers of children. The State of Connecticut (§54-46) exempts from public access official records containing the name and address of the victim of a sexual assault. In addition, the Connecticut statute provides for the use of a fictitious name for the child or no name at all in the indictment. Further, the defendant cannot learn the victim's name if it has been omitted from the documents.

Oregon's statute (§192.500) prevents disclosure of biographical information concerning both the complaining party and the victim. Nevada (§48.071) provides that the district attorney may exclude the victim's address and telephone number in any prosecution for sexual assault.

Criminal Penalties for Disclosing Information In the past, Florida (§794.03), South Carolina (§16-3-730), and Georgia (§26-9901) ruled it a misdemeanor for the news media or private person to publish the name of a sexual assault victim. Following is an excerpt from the Florida statute:

794.03 Unlawful to publish or broadcast information identifying sexual offense victim.— No person shall print, publish, or broadcast, or cause or allow to be printed, published, or broadcast, in any instrument of mass communication the name, address, or other identifying fact or information of the victim of any sexual offense within this chapter. An offense under this section shall constitute a misdemeanor of the second degree.

In 1975, in *Cox Broadcasting Corp. v. Cohn* 420 U.S. 469 (1975), the Supreme Court of the United States ruled that states could prohibit, by criminal sanction, the disclosure of victims' names before they become part of the public record in a hearing or trial. After the name is part of the public record, however, the penalty cannot apply. Thus, the child's identity would be protected only before the record was made public.

In the *Cox v. Cohn* decision, however, the Supreme Court did authorize states to further protect sexual assault victims by keeping the victim's identity from becoming part of the public record in the first place. The Court stated the following:

The First and Fourteenth Amendments will not allow exposing the press to liability for truthfully publishing information released to the public in official court records. If there are privacy interests to be protected in judicial proceedings, the States must respond by means which avoid public documentation or other exposure of private information

Model State Legislation for Protecting the Privacy of Child Victims of Sexual Assault

Because of the severe emotional and psychological trauma associated with sexual assaults, child victims need even stronger privacy protections. Because we could find no comprehensive protection for the child sexual assault victim, however, we have included an example of provisions that can be enacted by state legislatures. The following example was proposed by attorney Jacqueline Parker for an article appearing in the *Albany Law Review*, 1983 (Vol. 47).

a) In order to protect the child from possible trauma resulting from publicity, the name of the child victim and identifying biographical information shall not appear on the indictment or any other public record. Instead, a Jane Doe or John Doe designation shall appear in all public records. Sealed non-public records containing the child's name and necessary biographical information shall be kept in order to insure that no defendant is indicted twice.

b) In order to protect the child from stigma and trauma, no person shall disseminate via the print or broadcast media, the name, address, or other identifying information concerning the victim of any sexual offense. With the trial judge's approval, the victim's guardian, parent, or attorney may consent to release some or all of the identifying biographical information, unless the parent or guardian is involved in the alleged offense. This section does not apply to truthful information disclosed in court documents open to public inspection.

c) Upon the request of the victim of a sexual offense, a judge may order that the name of the victim, and the details of the offense obtained by government agencies, be under protective order unless there is a demonstration of a need for disclosure. If the defendant demonstrates a need for disclosure in order to prepare his defense, dissemination of the identity of the child, or other biographical information, by the defendant or his agents, other than for the purpose of preparing his defense, will constitute contempt.

Note: If this model is used, the judge must consider the best interests of the child before releasing identifying information, even if the victim's guardian, parent or attorney consents. An alternative solution providing the same protection would be a two-part state legislative approach consisting of the following:

1. The prohibitions against disclosure identified in the Florida statute (page 23).
2. A state law providing that the court records concerning the identity of a child in a criminal or juvenile proceeding wherein the child is the victim of specified crimes will not be public. (This is the same kind of protection now accorded in all states to children who are accused of committing a crime.)

6. Education and Prevention

Educating children, families, and professionals about abduction, sexual exploitation, and child abuse is the best way to reduce crime against children. The schools are a good place to begin. The National Center for Missing and Exploited Children in late 1985 will provide information on effective training and education programs for the schools.

State legislation may be used to mandate that education and prevention programs for children be available throughout the state. In addition, state legislation may be used to set up community programs to educate professionals about child abuse and exploitation. It is important to note that these programs should be directed toward sexual abuse, exploitation, and abduction as well as child abuse.

State Programs

California (1984, A.B. 2443) recently passed a comprehensive education and training proposal to establish two state education centers and local prevention programs to address the issues of child abuse, sexual assault and, in general, the vulnerability of children. In enacting this important law, the legislature issued findings that included the following assessments of the school's unique ability to be the appropriate setting for the prevention program:

1. Child abuse and neglect is a severe and increasing problem in California.
2. School districts and preschools are able to provide an environment for training of children, parents, and all school district staff.
3. Primary prevention programs in the school districts are an effective and cost-efficient method of reducing the incidence of child abuse and neglect and for promoting a healthy family environment.
4. To ensure comprehensive and effective primary prevention education to all of California's public school children, it is the intent of the legislature to provide adequate funding for training for children four times in their school career, including once in preschool, elementary school, junior high school, and senior high school.

The legislature was careful to define the goal of the new initiative as one that included preventing physical abuse, sexual assault, neglect, and reducing the general vulnerability of children.

California established two distinct kinds of programs. The first is a primary prevention program that provides workshops for parents, teachers, and children. These workshops are designed to counteract common stereotypes about victims and offenders, provide parents and school staff personnel the proper training on child safety, how to detect abuse victims, what to do in a crisis, and how to report the information to the proper agencies.

In addition, the legislature had the foresight to provide for a period of counseling and reporting for the children after each children's workshop.

The second program provides for two Prevention Training Centers, which will act as state clearinghouses to provide information on prevention curriculums and technical assistance to local programs.

Kentucky (1984, H.B. 486) has established a child victims' trust fund that allows residents of that state to make a tax refund donation election of two dollars for individuals or four dollars for joint returns. One of the primary purposes of the trust fund is to help organizations in developing or operating prevention programs.

Regional or Community Programs

Illinois (1984, S.B. 1655), as part of its comprehensive missing children legislation, included education and prevention programs to be directed by its regional I-SEARCH units. These programs will do the following:

1. Establish and conduct programs to educate parents, children, and communities in ways to prevent the abduction of children.
2. Conduct training programs and distribute materials providing guidelines for children when dealing with strangers, casual acquaintances, or non-custodial parents, in order to avoid abduction or kidnapping situations.

The State of Utah (1984, H.B. 58) has specifically provided for community-based education and prevention efforts that include programs to prevent sexual molestation and exploitation. This is an important step because these kinds of programs are often most effective at the community level. The Utah legislation provides that the duties of the Director of the Division of Family Services include the following:

- (1) Contract with public or private nonprofit organizations, agencies, schools, or with qualified individuals to establish community-based educational and service programs designed to reduce the occurrence or recurrence of child abuse and neglect.

For more information on school-based programs, see "Schools," pages 27-29.

7. Schools

Schools provide a unique setting for child protection efforts. The school is an appropriate environment in which children can be calmly taught about child abuse, exploitation, and abduction. Lists of missing children may be circulated and compared with lists of enrolled students to identify victims of abduction or kidnapping. The school is an excellent center for the distribution of critical information and descriptive data on abducted children. Finally, the school should be made aware of the arrest of any school employees who have been charged with sexual offenses.

Protection and Other Programs in the Schools

One of the best ways to prevent the victimization of children is to set up in schools effective training procedures for child safety. These self-protection programs can be designed for the child from kindergarten all the way through high school.

State legislators should consider a mandate that education and prevention programs become a regular part of the school curriculum. Otherwise, there are no guarantees that all children will learn how to protect themselves. The State of California (1984, A.B. 2443) has designed a two-part prevention program that is described in "Education and Prevention," pages 25-26. The State of California (1984, S. Con. Res. 83) has also proposed legislation that would require yearly education programs and establish procedures for new students who cannot provide previous school records when enrolling. Following is an excerpt from the California legislation:

(a) Any information regarding missing children submitted to the Superintendent of Public Instruction by their parents shall be distributed to the schools by the superintendent on a monthly basis.

(b) Each school shall post the information distributed pursuant to subdivision (a), and other flyers and information concerning missing children provided by parents, law enforcement agencies, or volunteer groups, in an area accessible to both faculty and students, and shall update the posted information regularly.

(c) Crisis information, including, but not limited to, phone numbers for local counseling, shelters, and runaway hotlines, shall be openly posted at schools.

(d) Schools shall work with parent groups and law enforcement personnel to implement a voluntary child fingerprinting program to provide participating parents with a set of their child's fingerprints.

(e) *Schools shall provide at least one annual presentation focusing on consequences leading to, and prevention of, abduction and runaways to parents in kindergarten and grades 1 to 12, inclusive.*

(f) *The Superintendent of Public Instruction shall develop procedures to be followed by school personnel in cases where new students are unable to provide complete school records when enrolling for classes. The procedures shall include, but not be limited to, notification of agencies or groups involved with the recovery of missing children. All school personnel responsible for registering students shall receive information regarding these procedures.* [italics ours]

Some states have mandated by law or regulation that any new student should have appropriate records from parents or previous schools. Many states require such evidence as birth certificates or other permanent records. In practice, however, these rules are not strictly followed, and many children are registered in school without proper documentation. State legislation can be used to step up enforcement of these laws.

Lists of Missing Children

Many missing children end up enrolled under their proper names in schools far from where they may have been kidnapped. A comparison of lists is an effective way to determine the location of missing children. Florida and Kentucky have enacted legislation that would require that *lists of missing children* at the state clearinghouse be circulated to all school districts and individual schools within the state. These lists of missing children would be compared with the rolls of students currently in school. Florida (1983, Com. Sub., H.B. 452) and Kentucky (1984, H.B. 486) require that lists of missing children be compared with lists of children currently in school. Following is an excerpt from the Florida statute:

Section 1. Department of Education to compile list of missing Florida school children; forms; notification.—The Department of Education shall provide, by rule, for a program to identify and locate missing Florida school children who are enrolled in Florida public school districts in kindergarten through grade 12. Pursuant to such program, the department shall:

(1) Collect each month a list of missing Florida school children as provided by the Florida Crime Information Center. A missing Florida school child shall be defined for the purposes of this section as a child 18 years or younger whose whereabouts are unknown. The list shall be designed to include such information as the department deems necessary for the identification of the missing school child.

(2) Compile from the information collected pursuant to subsection (1) a list of missing Florida school children, to be distributed monthly to all public school districts admitting children to kindergarten through grade 12. The list shall include the names of all such missing children, together with such other information as the department deems necessary. The school districts shall distribute this information to the public schools in the district by whatever manner each district deems appropriate.

Section 2. Duty of public school districts.—Every public school district in this state shall notify the Department of Education at its earliest known contact with any child whose name appears on the department's list of missing Florida school children.

The State of New Jersey (1984, A.B. 2024) provides that, in addition to comparison of lists, the withdrawal of children from school be noted in particular. A reproduction of the New Jersey legislation follows:

2. A board of education shall furnish to the Missing Persons Unit of the Division of State Police within the Department of Law and Public Safety and to the Department of Education the name of, and other pertinent information about, any child enrolled in a school administered by that board if either:

a. The child's parent or guardian withdraws the child from school and the school does not receive an official request for the child's records from another school within 15 school days if the withdrawal occurs during the school term or within 60 calendar days if the withdrawal occurs at the end of the school term; or

b. The child does not attend school for 5 consecutive school days and school officials are unable to locate or otherwise account for the student.

Report of Arrest of School Employee

The State of Utah (1984, H.B. 209) has recently enacted legislation that requires a sheriff or chief of police to notify the school district, the department of public safety, and the superintendent of schools if a public school teacher is arrested for offenses involving sexual conduct. In addition, if the school employee is a non-teacher, the sheriff or police chief shall notify the superintendent of schools concerning information about the arrest.

In late 1985, the National Center for Missing and Exploited Children will be distributing information on the most effective programs for safety, training, and education in the schools. Any request for this kind of information should be sent to the National Center for Missing and Exploited Children. For additional information about education and prevention programs, see "Education and Prevention," pages 25-26.

8. Licensing and Criminal History Information

Unfortunately, many child sexual assault victims are molested by those in a position of trust and authority over them. And, it is a sad fact that many dedicated and sincere professionals who are deeply concerned about the welfare of children are working in organizations and institutions with those who would seek to harm or exploit children. The vast majority of those who work with children, however, are dedicated people who would *never* seek to harm a child in any way.

It is important to note that criminal history checks will *not* be a complete or thorough solution to the problem of child exploitation in specific institutions and child activities. It is one important step that many states have undertaken to protect children from criminal and sexual exploitation.

Criminal History Information

One step that many states have undertaken to protect children from criminal and sexual exploitation is a check on an individual's criminal record. While some states have focused their attention on particular professions, such as school employees or day care personnel, it is more appropriate to consider all individuals who work with or volunteer to assist children in a variety of occupations and activities. The scope of the problem goes far beyond one or two institutions and activities.

A *criminal history check* is a two-part process:

1. A check through the state law-enforcement system to determine if there have been any particular kinds of offenses committed by that individual in the state.
2. A check through the federal law-enforcement information system to determine if other states have records of the criminal history of the individual. A record check through both systems will cost between \$20 and \$25.

Some of the state statutes providing for a criminal history authorize access by prospective employers; other statutes allow access to the information by authorizing or licensing an agency of the state government. Also, some states authorize a check by the individual's name and Social Security number, while others use fingerprints as well.

Federal Law Requiring Background Information and Criminal Histories In 1984 a federal law was passed that requires that any state wishing to receive certain specific funds under Title XX of the Social Security Act to enact, by September 1985, the following:

A state law or regulation to provide for employment history, background checks, and nationwide criminal record checks for all "existing and prospective operators, staff, or employees of child care facilities (including any facility or program having primary custody of children for 20 hours or more per week), juvenile detention, correction, or treatment facilities." (P.L. 98-473)

State Laws Requiring a Criminal History Inquiry Checking criminal histories is not an unusual procedure in some states. For example, one state allows such checks for a total of over 65 occupations or professional licenses, including licenses for acupuncture, automobile dealerships, barber shops, bingo operators, funeral directors, engineers, nurses, plumbers, public accountants, school bus drivers, and many others. Another source for background information is the state child abuse and neglect registry, which identifies abusers. Care must be taken to exclude those individuals who were referred to the registry but who were never proved to be abusers.

Criminal History Information on Those Supervising Children At least three states have enacted legislation to allow an employer to request information about convictions of sex crimes for *any person* who would have supervisory or disciplinary power over a minor. Kentucky (1984, H.B. 486) recently enacted such a law, an excerpt of which is reproduced below:

SECTION 10.

(1) Notwithstanding any other provisions of law, an employer may request from justice cabinet records of all available convictions involving any sex crimes of a person who applies for employment or volunteers for a position in which he or she would have supervisory or disciplinary power over a minor. The cabinet shall furnish the information to the requesting employer and shall also send a copy of the information to the applicant.

(2) Any request for records under subsection (1) of this section shall be on a form approved by the cabinet, and the cabinet may charge a fee to be paid by the employer for the actual cost of processing the request.

(3) The cabinet shall adopt regulations to implement the provisions of this section.

(4) As used in this section "employer" means any organization specified by the attorney general which employs or uses the services of volunteers or paid employes in positions in which the volunteer or employe has supervisory or disciplinary power over a child or children.

(5) As used in this section "sex crimes" means a conviction for a violation or attempted violation of KRS 510.040 to 510.150, 529.020 to 529.050, 529.070, 539.020, 530.020, 531.310, 531.320, 531.340, to 531.370, and the criminal offense of unlawful transaction with a minor. Conviction for a violation or attempted violation of an offense committed outside the Commonwealth of Kentucky is a sex crime if such offense would have been a crime in Kentucky under one (1) of the above sections if committed in Kentucky.

Alaska (§ 12.62.035) and California (Penal Code, §11105.2) have enacted legislation similar to Kentucky's. An excerpt from the California statute follows:

§11105.2. Record of conviction involving sex crime; availability to employer for applicant for position with supervisory or disciplinary power over minor.

(a) Notwithstanding any other provisions of law, an employer may request from the Department of Justice records of all convictions involving any sex crimes of a person who applies for employment or volunteers for a position in which he or she would have supervisory or disciplinary power over a minor. The department shall furnish the information to the requesting employer and shall also send a copy of the information to the applicant.

New York law (§ 378-a) also provides an authorized agency access to records in the Criminal Justice Division to determine the qualifications of persons who will care for and supervise children. An excerpt from the New York statute follows:

§378-a. Access to conviction records by authorized agencies.

Subject to rules and regulations of the division of criminal justice services, an authorized agency shall have access to conviction records maintained by state law enforcement agencies pertaining to persons who have applied for and are under active consideration for employment by such authorized

agency in positions where such persons will be engaged directly in the care and supervision of children.

Criminal History Information on Foster and Adoptive Parents Connecticut legislation (§ 54-142K) provides for criminal conviction checks on prospective foster or adoptive parents. This statute, a portion of which is reproduced below, also provides for the Department of Children and Youth Services to obtain criminal conviction records for those working with children:

(f) Notwithstanding any other provisions of law to the contrary, upon request to a criminal justice agency by the department of children and youth services or by any other youth service agency approved by the department such criminal justice agency shall provide information to the department or youth service agency concerning the criminal conviction record of an applicant for a paid or voluntary position, including one established by contract, whose primary duty is the care or treatment of children, including applicants for adoption or foster parents. All information, including any criminal conviction record, procured by the department of children and youth services or any other youth service agency shall be confidential and shall not be further disclosed by such agencies or their representatives. Any violation of the provisions of this subsection relative to the confidentiality of information received by the department of children and youth services or other youth service agencies shall be punishable by a fine of not more than one thousand dollars.

Criminal History Information on School Employees Three states have enacted legislation that would require criminal history inquiries for anyone connected with the school system. California (Education Code, 45123) has mandated that no person who has been convicted of a sex offense may be employed by a school district. Following is an excerpt from the California statute:

45123. Employment after conviction of sex offense or narcotics offense.
No person shall be employed or retained in employment by a school district who has been convicted of any sex offense.

Florida (1984, H.B. 969) has also recently mandated that applicants for teacher certification be subject to both state and federal criminal history checks to determine if the applicant had been convicted of a misdemeanor, felony, or other criminal charge.

The State of Nevada (391.020) requires that an applicant for teacher certification submit fingerprints and written permission authorizing a criminal history check:

391.020 Certificates granted by superintendent of public instruction; fingerprinting of applicants.

1. All certificates for teachers and other educational personnel are granted by the superintendent of public instruction. He may issue certificates to all qualified persons under the regulations of the state board of education.

2. Every applicant for a certificate shall submit with his application a complete set of his fingerprints and written permission authorizing the superintendent to forward such fingerprints to the Federal Bureau of Investigation for its report. The superintendent may issue a provisional certificate pending receipt of such report if he determines that the applicant is otherwise qualified.

3. Upon receipt of the report referred to in subsection 2 and a determination by the superintendent that the applicant is qualified, a certificate must be issued to the applicant.

Licensing Child Care Institutions

Several states have enacted legislation requiring criminal record information on those connected specifically with *child care institutions*. New Hampshire (§170-E:4) requires investigations of all those dealing with children at particular child care facilities. An excerpt from the New Hampshire statute is reproduced below:

170-E:4 Applications.

I. Any person who intends to receive children, or arranges for care or placement of one or more children unrelated to the operator, shall apply for a license to operate one or more of the types of facilities for child care. Application for a license to operate a child care facility shall be made to the department in the manner and on forms prescribed by rule by the commissioner under RSA 541-A. In cooperation with the operator, there shall be an examination of the facility, an investigation of the program and person responsible for the care of children.

II. Upon receipt of any application, the department shall in every case examine the child abuse records of the division of welfare and the criminal conviction records of the state police to determine whether the applicant is of proper character. If the applicant is found to have any record in either the child abuse or the state police files, the department shall indicate that the record exists in its files on the applicant. If the applicant is found to have been convicted of child abuse, he shall not be issued a license.

Colorado (§26-6-104) has enacted legislation for screening the administrator, the applicant, an employee, or applicant for a license of a child care facility. The Colorado legislation, which follows, includes the stipulation that no license to operate a family care home or child care center will be issued to anyone convicted of child abuse or an unlawful sexual offense:

26-6-104. Licenses, out-of-state notices, and consent.

No license or certificate to operate a family care home or child care center shall be issued by the department, a county department, or a child placement agency licensed under the provisions of this article if:

(a) The person applying for such a license or certificate has been convicted of an unlawful sexual offense, as defined in section 18-3-411 (1), C.R.S. 1973, according to the records of the Colorado bureau of investigation; or

(b) The person applying for a license or certificate has not consulted with the Colorado bureau of investigation, as defined in section 13-21-115 (1) (c), C.R.S. 1973, to determine whether any employee of the family care home or child care center has, according to the bureau's records, been convicted of an unlawful sexual offense, as defined in section 18-3-411 (1), C.R.S. 1973.

9. Training for Youth System, Social Services, and Criminal Justice Professionals

While many states specifically mandate training and instructional courses for social services professionals or criminal justice system investigators, few states mandate sufficient training and education in the critical area of child victimization and sexual assault. Professionals who regularly address cases of child victimization need to be prepared for the unique demands that this responsibility places upon them. The basic understanding of the child abuse, child sexual assault, and incest victim is critical to the successful processing of these cases through the social services and criminal justice systems. Sophisticated and effective techniques for understanding the particular needs of the child victim, interviewing the child victim or witness, and investigating these difficult cases is critically needed. Investigators need to understand the use of the National Crime Information Center and Federal Parent Locator systems. State requirements should be examined to ensure that this type of training is specifically included in educational and training programs for these professionals and that a sufficient number of hours are devoted to these types of cases.

The State of New Jersey addressed one part of this need for education and training in its recently created Commission on Missing Persons. New Jersey (1983, Chapter 467) directed its training specifically to cases of missing persons and unidentified bodies. The New Jersey Commission on Missing Persons will do the following:

- e. Provide specialized training to law enforcement officers and medical examiners in this State, in conjunction with the Police Training Commission, which would enable them to more efficiently handle the tracing of missing persons and unidentified bodies on the local level.

It is essential that each state consider mandating *pre-service and in-service training* for law enforcement and social services professionals. In addition, each state should require an additional one-week in-service training program for those investigators who deal specifically with cases of child victimization.

Each state may also want to consider legislative provisions that include in-service educational programs for prosecutors and judges. The State of Colorado (1984, Chapter 157) recently set up a teacher education and training program about laws concerning child abuse and exploitation and how to report such cases. Following is an excerpt from the Colorado statute:

22-32-109. Board of education- specific duties. (1) (z) To provide for a periodic in-service program for all district teachers which shall provide information about the "Child Protection Act of 1975," article 10 of title 19, C.R.S., instruction designed to assist teachers in recognizing child abuse or neglect, and instruction designed to provide teachers with information on how to report suspected incidents of child abuse or neglect and how to assist the child victim and his family.

10. Treatment and Rehabilitation of the Child Victim

It is a harsh reality that the person convicted of child victimization or child molestation is often not required to pay for the treatment and rehabilitation of the child. And, unfortunately, most of the social services and state and local programs do not automatically provide these services to the child victim. As a result, many children who are the victims of sexual assault, incest, child molestation, and other crimes are never effectively treated, counseled, or rehabilitated to give them the opportunity for a normal childhood.

Some states do provide that the person convicted of the assault pay for treatment and rehabilitation of the victim. For example, Colorado (§18-3-414) allows the judge to require the defendant to pay for rehabilitation and counseling of the child victim. Following is an excerpt from the Colorado statute:

18-3-414. Payment of treatment costs for the victim or victims of a sexual offense against a child. (1) In addition to any other penalty provided by law, the court may order any person who is convicted of an unlawful sexual offense, as defined in section 18-3-411 (1), to meet all or any portion of the financial obligations of treatment prescribed for the victim or victims of his offense.

(2) At the time of sentencing, the court may order that an offender described in subsection (1) of this section be put on a period of probation for the purpose of paying the treatment costs of the victim or victims, which, when added to any time served, does not exceed the maximum sentence imposed for the offense.

In South Dakota (1984, H.B. 1097), a similar bill provides that the cost of treatment be paid for by the defendant. An excerpt from the South Dakota statute is reproduced below:

Anyone convicted under §§ 26-10-1, 22-22-7, 22-22-19 or 22-22-19.1, or subdivision (4) or (5) of § 22-22-1, may be required as part of the sentence imposed by the court to pay the cost of any necessary medical, psychological or psychiatric treatment of the minor resulting from the act or acts for which the defendant is convicted.

11. Court-Appointed Advocates

In many states, abandoned, abused, or neglected children in juvenile family court proceedings receive special treatment by the court. In order to ensure that the specific needs of the child are met and his or her rights fully protected, some states appoint a special attorney to represent the child. This special attorney may be called a *guardian ad litem*.

The guardian ad litem represents only the child and is independent of the state prosecutor and the attorneys representing the parents. The guardian ad litem has full access to all evidence and reports; and he or she may interview witnesses, make recommendations to the court, and request additional examinations by doctors, psychologists, and psychiatrists. The appointment of the guardian ad litem ensures that the child will be effectively represented and his or her best interests protected.

In some states, a guardian ad litem can be a trained volunteer lay person, often called a *court-appointed special advocate*. Nevertheless, this representative of the child has the same privileges to receive notices and pleadings similar to others involved in the juvenile proceedings, such as parents, counselors, and attorneys.

The Guardian Ad Litem in Criminal Proceedings

Traditionally, the guardian ad litem has been appointed to represent abandoned, neglected, or abused children in the special juvenile or family court proceedings. Children who are the victims of crimes, such as physical or sexual assault, rarely have the support and protection of a guardian ad litem. A constructive addition to any state legislation would be to provide for the services of a guardian ad litem—who may or may not be an attorney—for the child who is simply the victim of a crime.

Two states have passed legislation that would expand the protection of the child victim in two ways:

1. Provide for a person to act on behalf of the child victim in criminal cases.
2. Permit a supporting person to assist the child.

Pennsylvania (1984, S.B. 1361) has recently enacted legislation that would provide for a person to act in the best interests of the child involved in juvenile proceedings or criminal proceedings. Following is an excerpt from the Pennsylvania legislation:

§5982. Rights and services.

(a) Designation of persons to act on behalf of children.—Courts of common pleas are directed to designate one or more persons to act in the best interest of the child and provide the following services on behalf of children who are involved in criminal proceedings or juvenile proceedings as victims or witnesses:

(1) To explain, in language understood by the child, all legal proceedings in which the child will be involved.

(2) To act, as a friend of the court, to advise the judge, whenever appropriate, of the child's ability to understand and cooperate with any court proceedings.

(3) To assist the child and the child's family in coping with the emotional impact of the crime and subsequent criminal proceedings in which the child is involved.

The State of California (Penal Code §868.5) provides that victim witnesses under 16 years of age be accompanied by a parent, guardian, or sibling at hearings and during the trial. The child can choose who will attend and, of course, the person attending need not be an attorney. Part of the California statute is reproduced below:

§868.5 Sex offense cases; attendance of supporting person at testimony of prosecuting witness 16 years of age or under.

(a) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a prosecuting witness 16 years of age or under in a case involving a violation of Section 243.4, 261, 285, 286, 288, 288a, 289 or 647a, or a violation of subdivision (1) of Section 314, shall be entitled for support to the attendance of a parent, guardian or sibling of his or her own choosing, whether or not a witness, at the preliminary hearing and at the trial, during the testimony of the prosecuting witness. The person so chosen shall not be a person described in Section 1070 of the Evidence Code unless the person *** is related to the prosecuting witness as a parent, guardian or sibling and does not make notes during the hearing.

(b) If the person so chosen is also a prosecuting witness, the prosecution shall present, on noticed motion, evidence that the person's attendance is both desired by the prosecuting witness for support and will be helpful to the prosecuting witness. Upon that showing, the court must grant the request unless information presented by the defendant or noticed by the court establishes that the support person's attendance during the testimony of the prosecuting witness would pose a substantial risk of influencing or affecting the content of that testimony.

(c) The testimony of the person so chosen who is also a prosecuting witness shall be presented before the testimony of the prosecuting witness. The prosecuting witness shall be excluded from the courtroom during the person's testimony. Whenever the evidence given by the person would be subject to exclusion because given before the corpus delicti has been established, the evidence shall be admitted subject to the court's or the defendant's motion to strike that evidence from the record if the corpus delicti is not later established by the testimony of the prosecuting witness.

In the area of court-appointed advocates for the child, state legislation can accomplish two crucial goals:

1. Appointing a representative for children who are simply the victims of crimes.
2. Permitting the representative to be a trained lay person, not necessarily an attorney.

Those states interested in more information about the court-appointed special advocate program should contact the following:

The National Council of Juvenile and Family Court Judges
P.O. Box 8970
Reno, Nevada 89507
(702) 784-6012

12. Parental Kidnapping

A difficult problem encountered by the criminal and civil justice systems is *parental kidnapping*, in which a non-custodial spouse abducts a child. These abducted children are definitely at risk and often are the victims of physical abuse and emotional trauma. Estimates of the annual incidence of parental kidnapping range between 25,000 and 500,000 cases a year. No one knows the exact dimensions of the problem, but they are significant. In recent years, many states have sought to close the traditional loopholes in statutes dealing with parental kidnapping.

Traditional Problems in Enforcement

States differ on the question of whether the taking of a child is in fact a felony crime. Most states consider this offense a felony because of the grave risk and danger to the child. In addition, parental kidnapping is generally considered an interstate crime, which requires extradition (the return of the defendant to the state where the crime was committed). Normally, there is no extradition for minor offenses or misdemeanors. It is important to mandate that this crime will be a felony offense. A federal warrant for unlawful flight can only be issued if the crime is a felony for which extradition is assured.

There are several problems in enforcing the laws against parental kidnapping. Many states restrict enforcement by limiting the children protected to those below a certain age, instead of the traditional limitation being the age of emancipation (18 years in most states).

Many state statutes make parental kidnapping a felony crime only if the child is taken out of the state. In many cases, there is simply no proof of the child's whereabouts, and so it is impossible to establish the fact that the child was actually taken across state lines.

To address this problem, some states do allow enforcement of the law if the child is taken or simply concealed. The concealment provision is effective and should be included in every state statute. It also allows the crime to be regarded as a continuing offense. Therefore, state laws should be strengthened to make it a felony crime not only for taking a child but also for concealment of that child at any later date.

In many cases of parental kidnapping, the offending spouse uses other people to help kidnap the child. States may want to consider legislation that would make the crime applicable to any individual who assists or aids in the kidnapping of the child.

State Legislation for Protecting the Child Against Parental Kidnapping

There are many complex issues that must be considered when enacting legislation that concerns the crime of parental kidnapping. California (Penal Code §§ 277, 278, 278.5, 279, and 784.5) has recently made an attempt to deal with these difficult issues within the framework of a criminal statute. While no law effectively addresses all the issues concerned, the California statute below is a step in the right direction:

277. In the absence of a court order determining rights of custody or visitation to a minor child, every person having a right of custody of the child

who maliciously takes, detains, conceals, or entices away that child within or without the state, without good cause, and with the intent to deprive the custody right of another person or a public agency also having a custody right to that child, shall be punished by imprisonment in the county jail for a period of not more than one year, a fine of one thousand dollars (\$1,000), or both, or by imprisonment in the state prison for a period of one year and one day, a fine of five thousand dollars (\$5,000), or both.

A subsequently obtained court order for custody or visitation shall not affect the application of this section.

For the purposes of this section, "a person having a right of custody" means the legal guardian of the child or a person who has a parent and child relationship with the child pursuant to Part 7 (commencing with Section 7000) of Division 4 of the Civil Code.

278. Every person, not having a right of custody, who maliciously takes, detains, conceals, or entices away, any minor child with intent to detain or conceal that child from a person, guardian, or public agency having the lawful charge of the child shall be punished by imprisonment in the state prison for two, three or four years, a fine of not more than ten thousand dollars (\$10,000), or both, or imprisonment in a county jail for a period of not more than one year, a fine of not more than one thousand dollars (\$1,000), or both.

278.5. (a) Every person who in violation of the physical custody or visitation provisions of a custody order, judgment, or decree takes, detains, conceals, or retains the child with the intent to deprive another person of his or her rights to physical custody or visitation shall be punished by imprisonment in the state prison for 16 months, or two or three years, a fine of not more than ten thousand dollars (\$10,000), or both; or by imprisonment in a county jail for a period of not more than one year, a fine of not more than one thousand dollars (\$1,000), or both.

(b) Every person who has a right to physical custody of or visitation with a child pursuant to an order, judgment or decree of any court which grants another person, guardian or public agency right to physical custody of or visitation with that child, and who within or without the state detains, conceals, takes, or entices away that child with the intent to deprive the other person of that right to custody or visitation shall be punished by imprisonment in the state prison for 16 months, or two or three years, a fine of not more than ten thousand dollars (\$10,000), or both; or by imprisonment in a county jail for a period of not more than one year, a fine of not more than one thousand dollars (\$1,000), or both.

279. (a) A peace officer investigating a report of a violation of Section 277, 278, or 278.5 may take a minor child into protective custody if it reasonably appears to the officer that any person unlawfully will flee the jurisdictional territory with the minor child.

(b) A child who has been detained or concealed shall be returned to the person, guardian, or public agency having lawful charge of the child, or to the court in which a custody proceeding is pending, or to the probation department of the juvenile court in the county in which the victim resides.

(c) The offenses enumerated in Sections 277, 278, and 278.5 are continuous in nature, and continue for so long as the minor child is concealed or detained.

(d) Any expenses incurred in returning the child shall be reimbursed as provided in Section 4605 of the Civil Code. Those expenses, and costs reasonably incurred by the victim, shall be assessed against any defendant convicted of a violation of Section 277, 278, or 278.5.

(e) Pursuant to Sections 277 and 278, violation of Section 277, 278, or 278.5 is punishable in California, whether the intent to commit the offense is formed within or without the state, if the child was a resident of California or present in California at the time of the taking, or if the child thereafter is found in California.

784.5. The jurisdiction of a criminal action for a violation of Section 277, 278, or 278.5 shall be in any one of the following jurisdictional territories:

(a) Any jurisdictional territory in which the victimized person resides, or where the agency deprived of custody is located, at the time of taking or deprivation.

(b) The jurisdictional territory in which the minor child was taken, detained, or concealed.

(c) The jurisdictional territory in which the minor child is found.

When the jurisdiction lies in more than one jurisdictional territory, the district attorneys concerned may agree which of them will prosecute the case.

The enactment of these laws is significant because they address these issues:

1. The cases where no court order regarding custody or visitation has been issued.
2. Concealment of the child.
3. The individual who is outside the state but who entices or takes a child away from the lawful custodian.
4. The ability of a police officer to take a child into protective custody.
5. The continuing nature of the crime.
6. Reimbursement of the costs of returning the child.

Civil Provisions

Following is an important provision of civil law that may help to deter the incidence of parental kidnapping: Any parent who unlawfully takes or conceals a minor child, or any other person who knowingly aids the parent in the unlawful abduction or concealment of such a child, from the parent or legal guardian with the right to custody shall be liable for civil damages.

Finally, an important provision of state legislation would be to require that the state enter into an agreement with the Federal Parent Locator Service, a federal and state search system to locate the offending spouse. States should, by mandate of state law or by executive order, enter into an agreement with the federal government to use its service. Illinois (§10.3.2) has such a provision in its state law, which follows:

Sec. 10-3.2. Parent Locator Service. The Illinois Department through its Child and Spouse Support Unit shall enter into agreements with the Secretary of Health and Human Services or his designee under which the services of the Federal Parent Locator Service established by the Social Security Act are made available to this State and the Illinois Department for the purpose of locating an absent parent or child when the child has been abducted or otherwise improperly removed or retained from the physical custody of a parent or other person entitled to custody of the child, or in connection with the making or enforcing of a child custody determination in custody proceedings instituted under the Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction Act, or otherwise in accordance with law. The Illinois Department shall provide general information to the public about the availability and use of the Parent Locator Service in relation to child abduction and custody determination proceedings, shall promptly respond to inquiries made by those parties specified by federal regulations upon receipt of information as to the location of an absent parent or child from the Federal Parent Locator Service and shall maintain accurate records as to the number of such inquiries received and processed by the Department.

13. Child Pornography and Child Prostitution

Recent Congressional inquiries have indicated that both the exploitation of children in pornography and the issue of child prostitution are critical problems that can be addressed at the state level. Traditionally, there have been significant obstacles to the effective investigation and prosecution of these cases because of the difficulties in enforcing particular state statutes.

The issue of child pornography is complex, involving both the issue of obscenity as well as the power of the First Amendment. Child prostitution has in some cases been a difficult crime to prevent because of relevant conduct that is not proscribed in the particular state law and because of the fact that many of these offenses are treated as misdemeanors or lesser crimes.

Because of the complexity of each issue and the wide variety of state laws impacting upon these crimes, the text of this section contains specific recommended principles for state legislation.

Child Pornography

New York v. Ferber In the summer of 1982, the United States Supreme Court decided a case, *New York v. Ferber*, 458 U.S. 747 (1982), that allowed the individual states to constitutionally regulate the production and distribution of material that depicts children engaged in sexual activity even when the material is not legally obscene. This opened the door for the federal government (the Child Protection Act of 1984, P.L. 98-292), as well as the states, to expand coverage of the proscribed conduct under the topic of child pornography. As a result, many states adopted legislation similar to Georgia's 1983 Sexual Exploitation of Children legislation (§16-12-100), which follows:

- 16-12-100. Sexual exploitation of children.
- (a) As used in the Code section, the term:
 - (1) "Minor" means any person under the age of 18 years.
 - (2) "Performance" means any play, dance, or exhibit to be shown to or viewed by an audience.
 - (3) "Producing" means producing, directing, manufacturing, issuing, publishing, or advertising.
 - (4) "Sexually explicit conduct" means actual or simulated:
 - (A) Sexual intercourse, including genital-genital, oral-genital, anal-genital, or oral-anal, whether between persons of the same or opposite sex;
 - (B) Bestiality;
 - (C) Masturbation;
 - (D) Sadomasochistic abuse for the purpose of sexual stimulation; or
 - (E) Lewd exhibition of the genitals or pubic area of any person.
 - (5) "Visual or print medium" means any film, photograph, negative, slide, book, magazine, or other visual or print medium.
 - b) (1) It is unlawful for any person knowingly to employ, use, persuade, induce, entice, or coerce any minor to engage in or assist any other person to

engage in any sexually explicit conduct for the purpose of producing any visual or print medium depicting such conduct.

(2) It is unlawful for any parent, legal guardian, or person having custody or control of a minor knowingly to permit the minor to engage in or to assist any other person to engage in sexually explicit conduct for the purpose of producing any visual or print medium depicting such conduct.

(3) It is unlawful for any person knowingly to employ, use, persuade, induce, entice, or coerce any minor to engage in or assist any other person to engage in any sexually explicit conduct for the purpose of any performance.

(4) It is unlawful for any parent, legal guardian, or person having custody or control of a minor knowingly to permit the minor to engage in or to assist any other person to engage in sexually explicit conduct for the purpose of any performance.

(c) Any person who violates a provision of this Code section shall be guilty of a felony and, upon conviction thereof, shall be punished by imprisonment for not less than three years nor more than 20 years or by a fine not more than \$20,000.00, or both.

Enactment of this kind of statute is significant for the following reasons:

1. It protects children by allowing sexually explicit conduct to be defined as, among other things, "masturbation," or the "lewd exhibition of the genitals or pubic area" of any person. This is significant because much trading and exchange in child pornography is done with "mere nudus," which may involve an exhibition of the genital area.
2. A child or minor is defined to be any person under the age of 18 years. This is significant because in many statutes the protection for children only extends to age 14 or 16.
3. The statute penalizes individuals who use or entice children to engage in sexually explicit conduct as well as parents or individuals having custody or control of a minor who knowingly permit the child to engage in this kind of activity.

The Georgia statute could be improved by what California (Penal Code, § 11166) did when it defined "sexual conduct" to include "exhibition of the genitals, pubic or rectal areas of any person for the purpose of sexual stimulation of the viewer." This definition would assist in covering many of the materials that are traded or exchanged in child pornography.

Of course, the additional provisions of the Penal Code in Georgia prohibit the *sale, loan, and exhibition* of this kind of child pornography:

Commercial Purpose A different kind of legislative improvement to restrict pornography was adopted by Colorado (1984, H.B. 1018). Colorado removed the requirement of a commercial purpose from the offense of sexual exploitation. This is critical because many of the transactions that occur in the world of child pornography are not done for commercial purposes or profit but rather as a straight exchange or trade.

Report by Processors A critical provision enacted by the State of California (Penal Code, § 11166) requires commercial film and photographic processors to report items that they observe in their professional capacity depicting a child under the age of 14 years engaged in the act of sexual conduct.

RICO It will be important for states to consider the use of the RICO (Racketeering Influenced Corrupt Organizations) provisions, which some states currently have and which allow for a judge or jury to be shown evidence of additional acts of a child pornography scheme. The RICO provisions are often used for such offenses as drug dealing, burglary, and car theft. The unique nature of child pornography means that the RICO provisions would give an added advantage to the investigation and prosecution

of these cases. Also, the provisions of these statutes often provide for seizure and forfeiture of the sources used to further the criminal activity.

Basic Principles It is recommended that any child pornography statute include provisions that will accomplish the following:

1. Cover the production, distribution, financing, and reproduction of such pornography, as well as pornographic modeling and performances in shows.
2. Provide for criminal penalties, regardless of whether the material is considered legally obscene.
3. Provide for criminal penalties regardless of whether or not there is any anticipation of profit or other commercial gain. Any distribution of child pornography should be prohibited.
4. Apply to all children through their eighteenth birthday.
5. Provide for the age of the child portrayed in the material to be established by expert testimony.
6. Include penalties for parents or custodians who knowingly allow their children to be used in child pornography.

Proof of Age of the Victim The State of New York has a law that allows the age of the child to be proved by an expert—for example, a physician or sociologist. This is critical because many times investigators have no knowledge of the identity of the child portrayed. That statute is as follows:

§263.25 Proof of age of child—When it becomes necessary for the purposes of this article to determine whether a child who participated in a sexual performance was under the age of sixteen years the court or jury may make such determination by any of the following: personal inspection of the child; inspection of a photograph or motion picture which constituted the sexual performance; oral testimony by a witness to the sexual performance as to the age of the child based upon the child's appearance; expert medical testimony based upon the appearance of the child in the sexual performance; and any other method authorized by any applicable provision of law or by the rules of evidence at common law.

Child Prostitution

Because of the extremely diverse nature and variety of state laws affecting child prostitution, this section will include principles that address some of the typical problems. Each state should consider legislation that accomplishes the following:

1. Creates a separate offense for aiding, assisting, or promoting the prostitution of children, which has criminal penalties greater than those for promoting prostitution generally.
2. Provides for specific penalties for parents, guardians, or custodians who knowingly permit their children to engage in prostitution.
3. Defines a child as anyone under the age of 18.
4. Eliminates any existing statutory language that may require the children involved in prostitution to be of "previously chaste character."
5. Makes the act of patronizing a child prostitute a criminal offense and provides greater penalties where younger children are involved.

Finally, runaway and homeless youth programs like New York's (§ 532) have provided alternatives to the children on the street who often turn to prostitution.

Additional Sources

Because this legislation package is not comprehensive, additional sources of information are listed below. These agencies and organizations are working diligently in the areas of child abuse, victims' assistance, education, restitution, and parental kidnapping.

American Humane Association
9725 East Hampden Avenue
Denver, Colorado 80231
(303) 695-0811

American Humane offers expertise, technical assistance, training, advocacy, and information on child welfare, child protection, and related areas. While American Humane has published legislative analyses and has been involved in legislative advocacy, its efforts are now directed more toward continuing the compilation of national statistics on intrafamily child abuse and neglect and toward training of child welfare personnel and technical assistance to involved agencies.

Council of State Governments
P.O. Box 11910
Iron Works Pike
Lexington, Kentucky 40578
(606) 252-2291

The Council of State Governments is a non-profit, state-supported service organization of all fifty states and the U.S. territories. The Council collects and distributes information, promotes interstate cooperation, and works to improve state administration and management on both a national and regional basis.

Juvenile Justice Clearinghouse
National Criminal Justice Reference Service
P.O. Box 6000
Rockville, Maryland 20850
(301) 251-5500
(800) 638-8736

The Clearinghouse, as part of the NCJRS, maintains and will access on request a data base containing information and research on all juvenile justice issues, including missing children and child exploitation. The data base includes, but is not a comprehensive source of, state and federal legislation and related materials. The Clearinghouse also provides information on current programs, policy issues, and other areas, and can refer callers to other sources.

National Association of Counsel for Children
1205 Oneida Street
Denver, Colorado 80220
(303) 321-3963

The Association, which serves attorneys representing children, guardians ad litem, juvenile court judges, and other advocates of children, has expertise in legislative developments in the states and litigation related to such areas as child abuse, child protection, children's rights, child prostitution and pornography, and child custody disputes. The Association publishes a newsletter with a section on state legislation, has assisted in the development of relevant state laws, and can make referrals to members throughout the country with expertise on specific legal issues.

National Clearinghouse on Child Abuse and Neglect Information

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

P.O. Box 1182

Washington, D.C. 20013

(301) 251-5157

The Clearinghouse is a national resource for information on child abuse and child neglect, including medical neglect of handicapped infants and abuse in out-of-home day care facilities. The Clearinghouse disseminates model child protection legislation developed by the National Center on Child Abuse and Neglect and maintains a searchable data base available through DIALOG Information Services that contains, among other materials, portions of state laws relevant to child protection, child exploitation, and related issues. The Clearinghouse distributes several analyses based upon its collection.

National Committee for the Prevention of Child Abuse

332 South Michigan Avenue

Suite 1250

Chicago, Illinois 60604-4357

(312) 663-3520

The National Committee works for the prevention of child abuse and child neglect through state and national public awareness programs, a network of state chapters, and through advocacy and information dissemination. The National Committee supports, with the efforts of the National Child Abuse Coalition, an advocate in Washington, D.C., who tracks state child abuse legislation and lobbies for and monitors federal child abuse legislation. The National Committee publishes a newsletter and a variety of informational materials on child abuse, child neglect, and related issues.

National Conference of State Legislatures

1125 17th Street

Suite 1500

Denver, Colorado 80202

(303) 292-6600

The National Conference of State Legislatures is a nonpartisan organization that provides a wide range of services to the nation's 7,500 state legislators and their staffs. Its Children and Youth Program produces publications, responds to requests for information, conducts research, and provides technical assistance and seminars on child support and child welfare reform.

National Council of Juvenile and Family Court Judges

P.O. Box 8970

Reno, Nevada 89507

(702) 784-6012

The National Council, through its training arm, the National College of Juvenile Justice, provides membership services and training for judges and others involved in juvenile and family courts. Areas of interest include child support enforcement, permanency planning, and child advocacy. The Council's research arm, the National Center for Juvenile Justice, collects and analyzes juvenile court data and conducts statutory analyses in such areas as confidentiality, fingerprinting of juvenile offenders, waiver, and transfer. The Council publishes a newsletter, a quarterly journal, and a monthly digest of juvenile court decisions.

National District Attorneys Association

1033 North Fairfax Street

Suite 200

Alexandria, Virginia 22314

(703) 549-9222

The Association serves the nation's prosecuting attorneys and works to improve the ad-

ministration of justice through educational and informational programs for its members. The Association prepares amicus briefs to assist the court, conducts surveys of prosecuting attorneys, awards scholarships to prosecuting attorneys, and publishes a variety of educational and resource materials, including a national directory of prosecuting attorneys. The Association has information and expertise on juvenile justice, juvenile delinquency, child welfare, and the prosecution of child sexual offenders, and can make referrals through its committees and its membership.

National Governors Association
444 North Capitol Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20001
(202) 624-5300

The National Governors Association, founded in 1908, represents the governors of the fifty states, the Commonwealths of Puerto Rico and the Northern Mariana Islands, and the territories of the Virgin Islands, Guam, and American Samoa. Its missions are to influence the shaping and implementation of national policy and to apply creative leadership to the solution of state problems. The Association's operations are supported by member jurisdictions, and its policies and programs are formulated by the governors.

National Legal Resources Center for Child Advocacy and Protection
American Bar Association
1800 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036
(202) 331-2250

The Legal Resource Center provides technical assistance, consulting, and training on legal issues related to child welfare and child protection. The Center, through these activities and through dissemination of publications and analyses, promotes the reform of child welfare laws and administrative and judicial

procedures. The Center produces publications and has expertise in the areas of parental kidnapping, missing children, and child sexual and criminal exploitation.

National Organization for Victim Assistance (NOVA)
1757 Park Road, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20010
(202) 232-8560

NOVA, which recently established a child victimization committee, tracks victim-related state legislation and publishes a directory of legislation that reviews and gives citations for state laws related to victim rights and services. The directory includes, in an appendix, some model pieces of legislation. The 1985 edition, which will be available in January 1985, will include new legislative developments relevant to child sexual assault and exploitation. NOVA also publishes a victim service program directory.

National Victim Resource Center
Suite 1342
633 Indiana Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20531
(202) 724-6134

The Center is a national clearinghouse of information on victim assistance and compensation and relevant legislation, programs, and organizations. A computerized data base of state laws concerning victimization includes some legislation on child victims of sexual assault and sexual exploitation, as well as videotaping of child victims for use in legal proceedings. The file tracks pending as well as enacted legislation, and includes citations and summaries. The Center also maintains a computerized file of descriptions of national victim assistance programs.

Index

- Adoptive parents, information on, 33
- Background information. *See* Criminal history.
- Child care institutions, licensing of, 34
- "Child in the Courtroom, The," 19-22
- "Child Pornography and Child Prostitution," 45-47
- Child pornography, determining age of the child, 47
- Child prostitution, suggested legislation to prevent, 47
- Child protection teams, 12-13
- Clearinghouses, 1-2
- Closed-circuit television, 21
- Confidentiality of those reporting child abuse and victimization, 11
- "Consent" of the child victim, 17
- Corroboration rules, 21
- "Court-Appointed Advocates," 39-40
- Courtroom procedures, 19-21
- "Criminal Code Provisions," 17-18
- Criminal code provisions, parental kidnapping, 41-43
- Criminal history, federal law requiring, 31
- Criminal history, those supervising children, 32-33
- Criminal justice professionals, training for, 35
- Disposition of child victim case, 22
- Dolls, use of in courtroom, 21
- "Education and Prevention," 25-26
- Emergency protection for the child, 10-11, 14
- Evidence, allowing out-of-court statements, 20
- Examination of sexual offender, 18
- Examinations, payment for, 14
- Exploitation, 45-47
- Federal Parent Locator Service, 43
- Ferber, New York v.*, 485 U.S. 747 (1982), 45
- Foster parents, information on, 33
- Guardian ad litem, 39
- Hearsay. *See* Evidence.
- Identity, confidentiality of, 23-24
- Immunity for those who report child abuse and victimization, 11
- Jail sentences for sexual offenders, 17-18
- Judges, education program for, 35
- Kentucky legislation to protect children, 4-8
- Kidnapping, parental, 41-43
- Law enforcement, pre-service and in-service training for, 35
- Leading questions, 19-20
- "Licensing and Criminal History Information," 31-34
- Licensing child care institutions, 34
- Lists of missing children, 28
- Mandatory prison sentences for sexual offenders, 17-18
- "Missing Children," 1-8
- Missing children, lists of, 28
- Model state legislation for protecting the privacy of child victims of sexual assault, 24
- Name, confidentiality of, 11, 23-24
- National Crime Information Center (NCIC), 3
- National Crime Information Center (NCIC) computer, 3, 4
- NCIC. *See* National Crime Information Center.
- Notification of child sexual assault to agencies, 10


- "Parental Kidnapping," 41-43
- Parental kidnapping, age of child protected, 41
- Parental kidnapping, civil provisions for, 43
- Parental kidnapping, concealment, 41
- Parental kidnapping, felony, 41
- Parental kidnapping, problems in enforcement, 41
- Parole board, 18
- Parole hearing, 18
- Paroling sexual offenders, 18
- Physical examinations, payment for, 14
- Prevention programs, 25-26
- Prison sentences for sexual offenders, 17-18
- Privacy of child victim, 23-24
- Procedures, courtroom, 19-21
- Prosecutors, education programs for, 35
- "Protecting the Privacy of the Child Victim," 23-24
- Protection, emergency, for child, 10-11, 14
- Protection programs, 27-28
- Protection teams, 12-13
- Protective measures for those who report child abuse and victimization, 11
- Racketeer Influenced Corrupt Organizations (RICO), 46-47
- Recording testimony, 20-21
- Registering sexual offenders, 18
- Reporting cases of child sexual exploitation, 9-11
- Reporting by film and photographic processors, 46
- Restraining orders, 14
- RICO. *See* Racketeer Influenced Corrupt Organizations.
- Rights of child victims, 22
- Rights of child witnesses, 22
- School, withdrawal of children from, 28
- School employees, criminal history information on, 33
- School employees, report of arrest of, 29
- School programs, 27-28
- "Schools," 27-29
- Search warrant, 10
- "Sexual Abuse and Exploitation," 9-15
- Sexual assault and exploitation, 10
- Sexual assault, protecting privacy of victim, 23-24
- Sexual exploitation of children, 45-47
- Sexual exploitation, commercial purpose, 46
- Sharing information among agencies, 10
- Social service professionals, pre-service and in-service training for, 35
- State child protection boards, 1-2
- Statute of limitations, 17
- Temporary protection for the child, 10-11
- Testimony, allowing the child to testify in court, 19
- Testimony, recording, 20-21
- "Training for Youth System, Social Services, and Criminal Justice Professionals," 35
- Treatment costs, payment of, 37
- "Treatment and Rehabilitation of the Child Victim," 37
- Treatment for sexual offender, 18
- Unidentified deceased persons, 3
- Victim interviews, 14-15
- Videotaping, 20-21
- Waiting periods, 3
- Youth system: professionals, training for, 35

The National Center for Missing and Exploited Children

- provides training assistance to law-enforcement and child protection agencies to develop effective procedures to investigate and prosecute cases of missing and exploited children
- assists individuals, groups, agencies, and state and local governments involved in investigating and prosecuting cases of criminally or sexually exploited children
- provides information and advice on effective state legislation to assure the safety and protection of children
- provides prevention and education programs for parents, schools, action groups, agencies, communities, volunteer organizations, law enforcement, and local, state, and federal institutions
- distributes comprehensive instruction packages to aid communities in protecting children
- organizes networks of information among school systems, school boards, parent-teacher organizations, and community organizations about proven techniques for implementing educational programs
- conducts an outreach program to alert families, communities, the criminal justice system, and concerned organizations about the nature and extent of child victimization and exploitation
- ensures coordination among parents, missing children groups, and the media to distribute photos and descriptions of missing children
- coordinates the exchange of information regarding child exploitation

. . . Is at the Center of the Problem

The Center is a primary resource for assistance and expertise in all these areas:



Information Please

The National Center for Missing and Exploited Children offers a national clearinghouse that collects, compiles, exchanges, and disseminates information. Anyone who is seeking information or who wishes to contribute information about the problem should write to the following address:

The National Center for Missing and Exploited Children
1835 K Street, N.W.
Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20006

Contents

Foreword

A Message to the Citizen

- 1. Missing Children**
- 2. Sexual Abuse and Exploitation**
- 3. Criminal Code Provisions**
- 4. The Child in the Courtroom**
- 5. Protecting the Privacy of the Child Victim**
- 6. Education and Prevention**
- 7. Schools**
- 8. Licensing and Criminal History Information**
- 9. Training for Youth System, Social Services, and Criminal Justice Professionals**
- 10. Treatment and Rehabilitation of the Child Victim**
- 11. Court-Appointed Advocates**
- 12. Parental Kidnapping**
- 13. Child Pornography and Child Prostitution**

Additional Sources

Index

Alaska Juvenile Crime Commission



What is our
responsibility
as community
members
in the effort
to prevent
child exploitation
and juvenile
delinquency?

The Problem- Child Exploitation

General Summary

The Alaska Juvenile Crime Commission is committed to the goal of measurably decreasing the growing problem of child exploitation and resultant delinquency in order to create a safer state and community for children and families.

One half of all of the serious crimes committed in Alaska are committed by juveniles. The key to the problem, the Alaska Juvenile Crime Commission believes, lies in the exploitation of children by adults. It is our hope to better understand and guard against the growing phenomenon of runaway, exploited, and murdered children.

Of the approximately 1.8 million children who are reported missing each year 90 percent are likely to be runaways. Included in this group are 50,000 missing children presumed to be victims of 'strangers.' These crimes of predatory cruelty are usually committed by pedophiles, pornographers, black-market baby peddlers or childless psychotics bidding desperately for parenthood. Only a few cases are solved. Few stranger-abducted children are recovered alive.

The past five years have

seen increased public and professional concern about an insidious form of child abuse - the exploitation of children for sexual stimulation and criminal purposes. Media attention to the problem by the "Chicago Tribune" and "Time Magazine" and the CBS television program "60 Minutes," among others, have produced graphic and alarming reports about a situation too disturbing to fully comprehend. Additionally, Congressional hearings on the subject, culminating in new federal legislation, have given the problem national attention.

Children are being sexually exploited throughout the country in a variety of ways. Most commonly, they are used as prostitutes or models for the production of pornographic photographs and films.

Larger numbers of juveniles run away from home each year; they are becoming more mobile and are increasingly forced to survive on the streets. This "child liberation" which is a product of societal change generally, has a devastating by-product, the increased vulnerability of young people to various kinds of exploitation and made them more likely targets for adult exploiters and violent criminals.

Organized crime has discovered these children and

youth to be a highly marketable commodity. Hardcore adult criminals have also found juveniles to be saleable for a variety of criminal activities and sexual abuses limited only by the imagination and resources of the criminal minds involved.

Tremendous damage is done to the children. They come to view themselves as objects to be sold, rather than people. The bitter attitude towards adults who required them to sell their bodies causes a lifelong rebellion against authority; they become cynical and exploitive in their relationships. Society must address the question, "do exploited children graduate to more sophisticated criminality?" Deputy Director of the Center for missing and Exploited Children, John Rabun, said "When a child has been coerced or seduced into giving his only true possession - his body, he loses his self-respect and his morality." If he doesn't care about himself, how can he care about somebody else? Such a child could be destroyed psychologically and may never be a productive member of our society. Most victims of child exploitation will not only become a drain on society but will have a good chance of acting out in a violent manner.

Progress Report

by
the Alaska
Juvenile Crime
Commission

Goals will be achieved by providing ongoing community education and avenues of involvement for individuals and businesses interested in achieving a safer environment for children and the rehabilitation of exploited and emotionally damaged children and delinquent juveniles.

The Commission is currently giving direction and aid for families involved in exploited or juvenile problems. Identifying and soliciting help from appropriate organizations is often bewildering to emotionally distraught parents or youth. The Commission, by its existence and media visibility, has inherited quite a number of complicated cases. Trained volunteers and investigators aid where time and funds permit.

In 1983 the Commission unveiled findings that rings of juveniles, controlled by adults, were engaged in burglaries and prostitution in Anchorage.

Problem: Dramatic increase in sexual assault arrests of individuals in child care

services.

Action: AJCC actively supported bill permitting youth service businesses and organizations to check employees for sexual molestation records.

■ Records check passed into law, 1983.

■ Petitioned school districts and private child care to utilize the FBI record check.

Fairbanks and Anchorage School Districts initiated finger print checks June 1983.

Problem: Parents and community lacked information on the specific problems of child exploitation and methods of prevention.

Action: AJCC developed the 'Family Protection Packet' for parents. Packets include a brochure on International Marketing of Children, specific prevention methodology, Parenting Checklists, How to Report Suspected Child Abuse, and How Child Service Organizations Can Protect against employing child exploiters.

The Commission has appeared regularly in the news and media. The Commission also created informational public service messages on child exploitation which have played in major cities nationwide and have won national recognition.

Problem: Commission identified need for law enforcement task force unit with special training and intensive investigation techniques to impact crime involving child exploitation.

Action: The Commission created the special Unit for the Investigation of Criminally Exploited Children through the law enforcement community and the state.

■ The law enforcement unit plan adopted 1984. Funded July 1, 1984.

■ The Commission joined in a co-effort with the FBI to provide intensive training seminar on child exploitation to law enforcement and community members statewide. The FBI hosted Agent Ken Lanning. The Commission hosted John Rabun, Deputy Director of the Center for Missing and Exploited Children, Washington, D.C. and William Spaulding, Exploited Child Unit, Louisville, July 23-27, 1984, Anchorage, Alaska.

Problem: State laws did not adequately address the phenomenon of child exploitation.

Action: Commission actively informed community and state about the problem and the need for legislative action.

■ Child prostitution law passed 1983.

- Child pornography law passed 1983.
- Runaway law enabling law enforcement to place juvenile runaways in protective custody passed by legislature — Vetoed by Governor Sheffield.

Problem:Lack of shelters for runaway and exploited children.

Action:AJCC currently consulting with community groups for privately sponsored shelters. Shelters will be a 1985 priority of the Commission.

Problem:School Suspensions: Practice of suspension to the streets for discipline brought over 1600 suspensions in the Anchorage School District in one semester.

Action:Commission joined other groups in petitioning for in-district suspension. Anchorage School District instituted in-district suspension June 26, 1984.

Problem:Alaska did not have consistent information on problems and solutions developing nationally on child exploitation.

Action:Commission formed informational links with state and national organizations creating exchanges of information and have projected our efforts in Alaska into national visibility.

Why should you become involved?

Every two days as many children disappear as lives were lost when Korean Airlines Flight #007 was shot down.

50,000 children disappear each year and their cases remain unsolved at the end of the year.

About 150,000 children each year, victims of custody battles, are abducted by the non-custodial parent.

Each year more than 1,000,000 children are reported as 'runaways' or 'throwaways.' Some become victims of crime, others become criminals.

Every year hundreds of children are found dead and can't be identified. They are buried in John and Jane Doe graves.

The above statistics were provided by Action, Washington, D.C., in cooperation with the Department of Justice.

The Alaska Juvenile Crime Commission has generated concern about the socially destructive and financially costly aspects of escalating juvenile crime. Nationally, communities and law enforcement agencies are

gearing up to cope with a newly identified phenomenon, that of the exploited child in juvenile crime patterns.

If this new and cruel crime against children is allowed to continue unabated, the end result will be shattering.

Based on newly unfolding statistical information, the emotionally scarred and damaged young people of today will become the trained burglars, murderers, drug pushers, and prostitutes of tomorrow. We are facing a potential for ever-increasing numbers of exploited and troubled youths, each with a life span of 40 to 50 years in and out of prison. Add to this cost the high-risk emotional problems and statistical second generation sex abusers, further burdening Alaska's already over-crowded health, social, and correction systems.

This mounting problem is not without a solution. Crime Commission members are donating thousands of hours and professional expertise to this tremendous problem. As a non-profit organization, we rely solely on funding from private citizens and businesses. Your financial support of this commission will make Alaska a healthier and safer environment for all children and families. With your help we can make a difference.

Excerpts of a Letter from a juvenile offender to his former counselor

For some reason I have been feeling good about myself lately. I've been thinking about how it is out there and what's been happening.



I've spent a lot of time here in McLaughlin and not much of it was joyful. It's time now for me to spread my wings and survive on my own, to know what the real world is about. I've learned quite a bit of stuff here in this place and will never forget some of the stuff that has happened to me. I've learned how to step back and look at my problems from all directions, learned how to be a better parent to my kids, instead of how my parents treated me when I was small. I know what to expect out there now more than I did before. To look at myself in a positive way instead of not counting on myself to do things, I've learned to worry about myself instead of my parents or other relations in the family. I like myself better now than I did when I was taking drugs and getting into trouble. I watch the world go by while I'm in here and then call myself a dummy.

How I miss walking through the bike trails, smelling the flowers, hearing the birds sing, I miss it. Golden leaves remind me of being with a girl, walking through the trails, feeling the last of the sun's warmth upon my skin. Sometimes I wish I could be carried away on a cloud soaring over the earth looking on the land. For some reason I've been feeling good about myself lately.

Thank You

THE ALASKA JUVENILE
CRIME COMMISSION IS A
NON-PROFIT
AUTONOMOUS BODY OF
PRIVATE CITIZENS
POSSESSING A SPECIAL
INTEREST OR EXPERTISE
IN THE FIELD OF JUVENILE
CRIME AND CHILD
EXPLOITATION.

HISTORY

The need for a statewide
Juvenile Crime Commission
was identified by individuals
appointed by the Mayor to
serve on the Juvenile Crime
and Narcotics Committee of
the Anchorage Crime
Commission. The Anchorage
Crime Commission was
chartered to address only
those crime problems
impacting the Municipality.
The Alaska Juvenile Crime
Commission was formed on
March 19, 1983.

Board Members

Marroyce Hall,
Director/Chairman
Alaska Juvenile Crime
Commission
Board of Directors
Child Exploitation/Organized
Crime
Chairman, Anchorage Crime
Commission, Juvenile Crime
& Narcotics

Levi Gudde, Vice Chairman
Alaska Juvenile Crime
Commission
Legislative Committee
Private Investigator, Security
Management

Mark Ernst, Treasurer
Alaska Juvenile Crime
Commission
Anchorage Crime Commission
Juvenile Committee
Anchorage Crime Commission
Executive Board

Nancy Sullivan, Secretary
Alaska Juvenile Crime
Commission
Anchorage Crime Commission
Juvenile Committee
Study Guide Committee

**Denise Gnagy, Recording
Secretary**
Alaska Juvenile Crime
Commission
Research and Interview
Committee, Court Watch

Dave Duncan
Alaska Juvenile Crime
Commission
Print and Design Committee

**Alyce Hanley, Anchorage
School Board**
Alaska Juvenile Crime
Commission
Anchorage Crime Commission
Juvenile Committee
Informational Resource and
Study Guide Committee

Paul Edgar,
Alaska Juvenile Crime
Commission
Anchorage Crime Commission
Legislative Committee
Projects Identification

Margret Behrend,
Alaska Juvenile Crime
Commission
Anchorage Crime Commission
Juvenile Committee
Interview and Material
Research

Ermalee Hickel, Former First Lady of Alaska
Alaska Juvenile Crime Commission
Anchorage Crime Commission Juvenile Committee
Committee Consultant and Project Liaison

Joe Hoffbeck
Alaska Juvenile Crime Commission
Anchorage Crime Commission Juvenile Committee
Law Enforcement
Law Enforcement Expertise Liaison for the Committee

Barbara Gudde
Alaska Juvenile Crime Commission
Anchorage Crime Commission Study Guide Committee

Myrna Klingel
Alaska Juvenile Crime Commission
Anchorage Crime Commission Juvenile Committee
Research and Report and Study Guide Committee

Marlene Poulson
Alaska Juvenile Crime Commission
Study Guide Committee

Gail Dail,
Alaska Juvenile Crime Commission
Volunteer Coordinator
Anchorage Crime Commission Juvenile Committee

Cheri Kersbergen
Alaska Juvenile Crime Commission
Interview, Court Watch and Research Committee

Sue Dolman
Alaska Juvenile Crime Commission
Community Resource and Research

William Sharrow, Brig. Gen., Retired
Alaska Juvenile Crime Commission
Media and Research Committee
Special Assistant to Don Young

Special Consultants to the Alaska Juvenile Crime Commission:

John Rabin
Deputy Director, National Center for Missing and Exploited Children
Washington, D.C.
Head of the Louisville Exploited Child Unit
Expert for the Gacy trial, the Atlanta murder trial, and also the Rodriguez trials.

Dr. Vincent J. Fontana, National Consultant
Medical Director, New York Foundling Hospital
Professor of Clinical Pediatrics, New York University, College of Medicine
Author of "Somewhere a Child Is Crying"



President Ronald Reagan speaking at the opening of the National Center for Missing and Exploited Children. Commission Director, Marroyce Hall attended the ceremonies at the invitation of the president.