

SCOMM

#48:18

**STATE OF ALASKA
THE LEGISLATURE**

LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS AGENCY

LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE LIBRARY

POUCH Y - STATE COUNCIL
JANUARY, ALASKA 99511
907-465-3888

May, 1986

Copies of minutes listed below were originally included in this file. The minutes are available on the STAIRS date base CM 14. In order to save space copies of minutes have not been left in the files.

Jeanie Henry

House Special Committee on Fisheries, 4/16/85, 8:30 am


```

*****
*
* DELIVER TO: TCJNU
*
* ORIGINAL
* SENT: 04/17/85 TIME: 14:19
* FROM: LIOSOL
* SUBJECT: FINAL STATS
* PRINT DATE: 04/18/85 TIME: 09:00
*
*****

```

FINAL T/C STATS

DATE: 4/16/85
 SITE: SOLDOTNA
 SPONSOR: HOUSE SPECIAL COMM. ON FISHERIES
 SUBJECT: HB 235/HB 331/HJR 33
 LOCAL MODERATOR: BECKY

TESTIFIED:

NAME/REPRESENTING	ADDRESS	PHONE
1. CHERYL SUTTON	BOX 546/SOLDOTNA, 99669	262-2442
2. IVAN EVERY	RT. 1, BOX 970/KENAI, 99611	776-8603
3. CHUCK ROBINSON	BOX 3519/SOLDOTNA, 99669	262-5014

TESTIFIED: __3__
 OBSERVED: __0__
 TOTAL: __3__

TIME STARTED: _____
 TIME ENDED: _____

STATE OF ALASKA
THE LEGISLATURE

POUCH Y - STATE CAPITOL
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99811
907 465 3800

LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS AGENCY

MEMORANDUM

April 12, 1985

SUBJECT: Sectional analysis of HB 331
TO: Representative David Thompson
FROM: Edward H. Hein *EHA*
Legislative Counsel

Section 1 makes the theft of commercial fishing gear, regardless of the value of the gear, a form of theft in the second degree, which is classified as a class C felony.

Section 2 establishes a presumptive sentence of one year imprisonment for conviction of theft of commercial fishing gear if it is the defendant's first felony conviction of any kind.

Section 3 provides that the sentence imposed for theft of commercial fishing gear that is the defendant's first felony conviction of any kind may not be suspended, imposition may not be suspended, and the sentence may not be reduced except as provided in AS 12.55.155 - 12.55.175.

Section 4 allows the court to reduce to zero years or increase to five years the presumptive one-year sentence for theft of commercial fishing gear if factors in mitigation or aggravation are established.

Section 5 requires the court, in sentencing a person convicted of theft of commercial fishing gear, to take away the person's commercial fishing license and all limited entry permits. Upon a first or second conviction of theft of a commercial fishing gear, the person becomes ineligible for issuance or transfer of a commercial fishing license or limited entry permits for three years. A third conviction of the offense makes the person permanently ineligible for issuance or transfer of a commercial fishing license or limited entry permits.

EHH:csh
c3/099

Bills aim to take politics out of fish board decisions

By JOHN GUNLEY, Managing Editor

Two bills are being offered in the Legislature that supporters say will take much of the political haggling out of the regulation of salmon fishing by the state Board of Fisheries.

Opponents say the proposals are inflexible and could restrict fishing for the June sockeye salmon at the Russian River and early run Kenai River king salmon, two of the most popular sport fisheries in southcentral Alaska.

Two identical bills — one in the House and one in the Senate — would provide that allocation decisions limiting a user group's access to fish could be made only when restrictions are needed to preserve the health of the run.

The Cook Inlet Salmon Management Plan,

which since 1977 has given sportfishermen a nearly exclusive right to early run Kenai River kings, early Kenai sockeyes, late Kenai silvers and Susitna River system kings, would need substantial revision, according to Soldotna attorney Chuck Robinson.

The plan "is not related to conservation issues. It's based on demand from user groups," he said.

Cook Inlet commercial fishermen had a June 25 opening day for several years. The past two years have seen the opening day for peninsula setnetters pushed into early July. The decisions were preceded by considerable political pressure placed on the board by sport and commercial groups.

If the legislation — designated HB-235 and

See PENINSULA, back page

Continued from Page 1

SB-37 — becomes law as proposed, setnetters and drifters could "start commercial fishing when there are sufficient numbers of fish so as to not jeopardize the stocks," Robinson said.

But the change is likely to upset sportfishermen who have become accustomed to the idea of having "their fish," primarily early kings and sockeyes in the Kenai and Russian rivers and kings in Susitna tributaries such as Montana Creek, the Dezhka River and Sheep Creek.

The Senate bill, sponsored by Paul Fischer of Soldotna, is in the Resources Committee. A hearing will be held April 10 at 1:30 p.m., with the teleconference stations throughout the state participating, Fischer said.

"I feel if they had guidelines it would at least keep the allocations out of court," Fischer said. Opponents may fear a neutralization of their political influence on the board, said Fischer.

One member of the Board of Fisheries, Bix Bonney of Anchorage, said the legislation "is prejudicial" in favor of commercial fishing because the numbers of people involved in a fishery are not considered. A few commercial fishermen benefit while a far larger number of sport anglers suffer, he said. Bonney indicated some Anchorage-based sportfishing groups are gearing up to oppose the legislation.

"I'm also categorically against legislation on allocative matters," he said. Bonney sees Alaska continuing in a pattern established elsewhere: commercial fisheries giving way to urban sportfishing pressure. He said legislation is too rigid and can't adjust to changing social pressure for the use of resources.

State Fish and Game biologists say the bill would have little effect on how they do their job. "A dead fish is a dead fish" is their slogan, and it makes little difference how the fish are caught. Getting enough fish to the spawning grounds is the goal, biologists said.

...Peninsula fishing affected by bill

Any early commercial catch of Kenai River-bound kings "would come out of the fish sought by sport fishermen. There's a one-to-one relationship," said Paul Ruesch, commercial fisheries biologist for the Alaska Department of Fish and Game in Seldotna.

Commercial fishing on early sockeye stocks would have more impact on the Russian River sport fishery than any other sport effort on the Kenai Peninsula, Ruesch said.

"Sports fishermen are harvesting every surplus fish there already. Any early commercial fishing would come directly off the sport harvest," he said.

Sockeyes come to the Russian in early June and peak in late June. The average catch is 19,000 fish, with 30,000 man-days of effort. In 1984, 36,000 fish were caught with about 30,000 man-days of effort, state biologists said. A man-day is one fisherman fishing one day.

The early run of kings is attracting more interest every year. The average harvest is 2,950 fish, with 20,000 man-days of effort. Last summer, 4,950 kings were caught with 50,500 man-days of effort.

Ruesch said king salmon stocks on the Kenai and the Susitna system "are in excellent shape" and could handle commercial harvest.

The Susitna king run consists of returns from several tributaries. Some streams, such as the Deshka and Montana Creek, are heavily fished. Others are under-utilized, Ruesch said. When the kings head up the inlet, there is no way to pick out which fish are ultimately headed for a particular stream. The mixed-stock nature of the run could pose some problems for management, Ruesch said, but limiting the commercial effort would allow for the margin of safety needed to see where the fish are headed.

Bonney agrees that the Susitna stocks can handle extra fishing, but he's concerned about small king salmon returns on the southern peninsula, such as those to the Anchor River and Deep Creek.

On the Susitna runs, Bonney said if openings were spread out "the chance of impacting any one small stream is very little. The

escapement is generally way over what we need and I don't see where it would hurt."

Cheryl Sutton, coordinator for the political and legal action committee of the Kenai Peninsula Fishermen's Cooperative Association, noted the legislation would do more than allow earlier openings.

The bill requires the board to make decisions based on achieving the maximum sustainable yield of fish, base the decisions on the best scientific information available and minimize administration costs.

If restrictions must be made, the effect on the user group and the community must be considered, along with the availability of alternative fish resources. To limit access to fish by any user group, the board must find that the restrictions are needed for maintaining the fish stock.

"It's not designed to roll back the time for commercial fishing. There are benefits for all user groups," Sutton said. "If there's early commercial fishing, it means the resource is strong and it means a good sport fishery."

Sutton said there are times when an opening earlier than June 25 would be beneficial for commercial fishermen. "Sometimes in the beginning of June, the Kaslof or Kenai run is strong and we watch those fish go by... They're gone by the time we open on the 25th of June."

The law would also allow commercial fishermen on the east side beaches to continue setnetting after Aug. 15, the closing date established by the management plan. Drifters are allowed to fish after Aug. 15, although there is generally little effort due to small numbers of fish and closing processors.

Robinson noted that if salmon returns are low, there could be instances when commercial fishing would be closed, but sport fishing remained open. Sport fishing can target a specific stream and species, and is

far more inefficient than gill nets. "I think commercial fishermen can live with that. They understand their method is far more efficient."

Robinson predicted that if the bill passes, this summer's fishing patterns would not change. Amendments to the management plan would come in December when the Board of Fisheries meets, and would take effect in the 1986 season.

"I don't think it's a bad piece of legislation. It would make allocation more objective," Robinson said. "When allocation is left completely to the Board of Fisheries, it's clouded with emotion and political pressure," he said.

Harry Gaines, a Kenai fishing guide and a member of the state's Kenai River advisory board, said he's satisfied with the way the Board of Fisheries currently operates, but sees no threat from the legislation.

"I don't think it would make that much difference in the number of fish being caught," he said. The peak of the early king run occurs after the commercial fishery has started, he said. He doubted whether there would be any substantial commercial effort earlier than June 25.

Rep. Andre Marrou has introduced the House bill. He said the Fisheries Subcommittee will hold a hearing next week, although no date has been set.

"I think the bill would put more rationality in the process," Marrou said. He said the Sheffield administration has attached a fiscal note or cost estimate of more than \$500,000 to the bill. Marrou said the legislation does not require any more money to be spent. Fischer concurred that the fiscal note was made in an attempt to kill the bill.

Fischer, however, sits on the Senate Finance Committee which can amend the bill so it moves to the floor with no fiscal note. If the bill is pushed along with public support, he said, the Senate and House are likely to pass the bill.

Alaska State Legislature

COMMITTEES:

Committee on Community and Regional Affairs
Committee on Transportation
Special Committee on Oil and Gas
Special Committee on Fisheries
Finance Sub-committee on Fish and Game



Districts:

Kenai	Sterling
Soldotna	Anchor Point
Homer	Point Graham
Seldovia	English Bay
Kachemak	Nikolaevsk
Kasilof	Halibut Cove
Ninichik	Clam Gulch

Representative Andre Marrou

March 28, 1983

Commissioner Don Collinsworth
Department of Fish and Game
M/S 1100
Juneau, Alaska

Dear Don:

Your bill analysis of HB 235 dated March 14th perplexes me. Especially confusing is the fiscal note you authorized.

You indicated on the analysis that you are familiar with Representative Malone's HE 526 introduced during the last legislative session which is identical to HB 235.

Are you also aware of the large discrepancy that exists between the two fiscal notes prepared for these bills? Malone's bill would purportedly only cost the state \$80,000 per year yet my bill, which is identical to Malone's, would cost over \$600,000 per year. Did you know that both fiscal notes were authorized by you and prepared by Beverly Reaume with the Department of Administration? One has to wonder why my bill costs 7.5 times more than Malone's.

This huge proposed cost is notwithstanding the fact that I never envisaged a fiscal note to be attached to this bill in the first place. The statutory requirements of this legislation could be met by the Board simply turning in their notes or transcripts of their meetings. If they don't take notes or if they don't transcribe testimony, perhaps they should start.

Instead of increasing the bureaucracy which I see as part of the problem, this bill simply establishes some general guidelines for the Board of Fisheries. This bill should not require additional staff, and the fiscal note should be zero.

Cordially yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Andre Marrou".
Andre Marrou
Representative

c.c. Representative Peter Goll
Attachments(1)

AM/js

SB 526 Bill file
 STATE OF ALASKA 1984 LEGISLATIVE SESSION
 FISCAL NOTE

Revision Date: _____

REQUEST

Bill/Resolution No.: HB 526
 Title: ...management plans and regulations
 Sponsor: Malone
 Requestor: House Fisheries Committee
 Date of Request: _____

FISCAL DETAIL

Agency Affected: Fish and Game
 Program Category Affected: NRMEC
 BRU, Program or Subprogram(s) Affected: Boards of Fisheries and Game

EXPENDITURES/REVENUES: (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 84	FY 85	FY 86	FY 87	FY 88	FY 89
OPERATING						
100 PERSONAL SERVICES		76.0	79.8	83.8	88.0	92.4
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL						
400 SUPPLIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS						
800 MISCELLANEOUS						
TOTAL OPERATING		76.0	79.8	83.8	88.0	92.4
CAPITAL						
REVENUE						

FUNDING: (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 84	FY 85	FY 86	FY 87	FY 88	FY 89
GENERAL FUND		76.0	79.8	83.8	88.0	92.4
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER						
TOTAL		76.0	79.8	83.8	88.0	92.4

POSITIONS:

	FY 84	FY 85	FY 86	FY 87	FY 88	FY 89
FULL-TIME		1	1	1	1	1
PART-TIME		1	1	1	1	1
TEMPORARY						

SOURCE OF FUNDS TO OFFSET FISCAL IMPACT OF BILL:

Not specified by sponsor of bill.

ANALYSIS: Attach a separate page for analysis

Prepared By: Beverly Reaume Phone: 465-4210
 Division: Administration Date: 3/7/84

Approved by Commissioner: Oliver Kallenberg Date: 3-13-84
 Agency: _____

Distribution (by Agency preparing fiscal note):

- Legislative Finance
- Legislative Sponsor
- Requestor
- Office of Management and Budget

Fiscal Impact Statement For Implementing
House Bill No. 526: Management Plans and Regulations

Board Staff

Assume:

- an additional Economist III, Range 21A
- an additional part-time Clerk Typist III, Range 8A

Calculation:

- Salary and Benefits of
Economist III = \$53,000
- Salary and Benefits of
Clerk Typist III = 23,000

TOTAL

\$76,000

STATE OF ALASKA

BILL SHEFFIELD, GOVERNOR

DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER

P.O. BOX 3-2000
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99802
PHONE: 907 / 465-4100

APR 12 RECO

April 12, 1985

The Honorable Andre Marrou
Alaska State House
Pouch V
Juneau, Alaska 99811

Dear Representative Marrou:

Thank you for your recent inquiry about the fiscal note for HB 235. The fiscal note for this bill (submitted March 7, 1985) was completed after Beth Stewart, Director for the Division of Boards, conferred with the North Pacific Fishery Management Council staff. Ms. Stewart conferred with Council staff because the Council must meet similar requirements under the Magnuson Fishery Conservation and Management Act. Unfortunately, time did not allow this to be done before last year's fiscal note was completed.

While it may not have been intended that additional staff would be needed, the wording of the bill appears to us to require over 500 economic impact analyses annually. Additionally, written findings of fact supporting the economic conclusions on each regulatory change would have to be completed. The Division of Boards is not staffed or qualified to perform such additional work and analyses. If something other was intended, we certainly are willing to assist you in developing a course of action that would have less fiscal impact.

Finally, the Division of Boards does not transcribe the meetings. Transcription is cost prohibitive since the Board of Fisheries and Board of Game meet a total of nine to twelve weeks a year, seven days a week, eight to twelve hours a day. However, the meetings are taped and copies of the tapes are available. The Division of Boards estimates that it would cost \$30,000 to \$50,000 to transcribe all of the tapes produced during the boards' regulatory cycle.


The Honorable Andre Marrou

-2-

April 12, 1985

I hope that this explains the difference between last year's fiscal note and the current one. I also hope that you now appreciate why such a fiscal note was required. If I can provide further information, please do not hesitate to contact me again.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Don W. Collinsworth".

Don W. Collinsworth
Commissioner



STATE OF ALASKA
OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR
BILL ANALYSIS

DEPARTMENT Fish and Game	DIVISION Boards	BILL NUMBER HB235/SB37	SPONSOR Marrou-House/P.Fischer-Sen
DEPARTMENT POSITION Neutral			
PREPARED BY <i>Elizabeth News</i>	DATE 5/13/85	COMMISSIONER'S SIGNATURE <i>Conrad Belmeurth</i>	DATE 3-14-85

SUMMARY

OTHER AGENCIES AFFECTED BY BILL None known	CONSTITUENT GROUP(S) AFFECTED BY BILL Commercial and Sport Fishermen
ORGANIZATIONAL SUPPORT FOR BILL Unknown	ORGANIZATIONAL OPPOSITION TO BILL Unknown

FISCAL IMPACT: NONE FISCAL NOTE ATTACHED

BACKGROUND/LEGISLATIVE INTENT
Introduced in 1984 as HB526 by Malone

ANALYSIS OF BILL/PROGRAM EFFECTS

Currently the Board of Fisheries meets at least five times annually to hear extensive public testimony and make decisions on proposed changes to its regulations. During FY85, the board considered 816 proposals and 25 petitions to change its regulations. By far, the greatest majority of these changes were allocative in nature. In order to conduct its business during FY85, if the proposed legislation had been in effect, the Board would have undertaken over 500 economic impact analyses on user groups and communities, and made written findings of fact supporting its economic conclusions on every regulatory change it adopted. This undertaking would not only require increased staff (est. 10 permanent, full-time positions), but would vastly extend the length of time the Board meets. During FY85, the Board met a total of 75 days.

Under the bill, the Board would determine the economic effect a regulation would have on users and communities. Such analyses would necessarily have to occur before the Board would decide on a regulation. It is estimated that a delay of 6 months to a year for a regulation to become effective would result

AMENDMENTS PROPOSED (see attached sheet)

Analysis of bill/program effects continued

from introducing economic procedural requirements to the existing administrative process.

In the absence of the funding necessary to implement this legislation, the Department and Board staff would be unable to provide the information necessary for the Board to allocate fishery resources among competing demands.

**STATE OF ALASKA 1985 LEGISLATIVE SESSION
FISCAL NOTE**

Revision Date: _____

REQUEST

Bill/Resolution No.: HB235/SB37
 Title: An Act Relating to Mgt. Plans and Regs. Adopted by ..
 Sponsor: Marrou-Hs/P. Fischer-Sn
 Requestor: _____
 Date of Request: _____

FISCAL DETAIL

Agency Affected: Fish and Game
 Program Category Affected: Fish and Game Support
 BRU, Program or Subprogram(s) Affected: Boards of Fisheries and Game

EXPENDITURES/REVENUES: (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 85	FY 86	FY 87	FY 88	FY 89	FY 90
OPERATING						
100 PERSONAL SERVICES		477.1	524.8	577.3	635.0	698.5
200 TRAVEL		54.7	60.2	66.2	72.8	80.1
300 CONTRACTUAL		19.0	20.9	23.0	25.3	27.8
400 SUPPLIES		5.0	5.5	6.1	6.7	7.3
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS						
800 MISCELLANEOUS						
TOTAL OPERATING		578.0	611.4	672.6	739.8	813.7

CAPITAL						
----------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

REVENUE						
----------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

FUNDING: (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 85	FY 86	FY 87	FY 88	FY 89	FY 90
GENERAL FUND		578.0	611.4	672.6	739.8	813.7
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER						
TOTAL		578.0	611.4	672.6	739.8	813.7

POSITIONS:

	FY 85	FY 86	FY 87	FY 88	FY 89	FY 90
FULL-TIME		10	10	10	10	10
PART-TIME						
TEMPORARY						

ANALYSIS: Attach a separate page if necessary

This funding would allow two teams to conduct economic surveys and analyze fisheries economic data. One team would be based in Juneau, the other in Anchorage. Each team would consist of an Economist III, Economist I, Systems Analyst II, Systems Programmer I, and a Clerk Typist III. The teams would undertake economic research regarding the affect of proposed fishing regulations on sport and commercial fisher-

Prepared By: Beverly Reaume Phone: 465-4120
 Division: Administration Date: 3/13/85

Approved by Commissioner: *Orin Pellmar* Date: 3-15-85
 Agency: Fish and Game

Distribution (by Agency preparing fiscal note):

- Legislative Finance
- Legislative Sponsor
- Requestor
- Office of Management and Budget
- Impacted Agency(ies)

7/1/84

Fiscal Note continued

men, as well as on communities affected by the regulation. Information would be gathered from all available sources, processed and synthesized by computer, and provided to the Board of Fisheries. Thereafter, the board would be able to make allocative decisions on competing demands for finite fishery resources consistent with the proposed legislation.

1.	POSITION TITLE Economist III (two positions)			RANGE/STEP 21 A	BARG. UNIT GGU	PAGE/LINE	COV.	APPROV.	DISAPP.
2.	TYPE OF POSITION PET	STAFF MONTHS 12(x2)	RP NUMBER	PCN NUMBER	BRU PRIORITY	LOCATION JNO/ANCH	ELECTION DISTRICT	LEC.	
3.	CONTINUATION LEVEL			ADDITION	JUSTIFICATION				
4.	TYPE OF EXPENDITURE			AMOUNT	Staffing necessary to implement HB235/SB37 See Bill Analysis and Fiscal Note				
	1	2	3						
	PERSONAL SERVICES per month								
5.	Salary		3812.0						
6.	Benefits		650.3						
7.	Supplemental Benefits		233.7						
8.	Fixed Benefits		227.2						
9.	TOTAL PERSONAL SERVICES	01 59.1x2		118.2					
10.	Travel	02 4.0x2		8.0					
11.	Contractual	03 9.5x2		19.0					
12.	Commodities	04 1.0x2		2.0					
13.	Equipment	05 1.2x2		2.4					
14.	Other								
15.	TOTAL COST			149.6					
	RECEIPT CODE	FUNDING SOURCE							
16.		Federal Receipts 1002							
17.		C.F. Match 1003							
18.		General Funds 1004		149.6					
19.		I-A Receipts 1005							
20.		Program Receipts 1028							
21.		Other							
FOR B&M USE ONLY KEY NUMBER _____									

**REQUEST FOR
NEW POSITION**

AGENCY Fish and Game
PROGRAM Fish and Game Support
BRU Boards of Fisheries and Game
COMPONENT Administration and Support

Page 1 of 1
Revised Date _____

FY 86

1.	POSITION TITLE Economist I (two positions)			RANGE/STEP 18 A	BARG. UNIT GGU	PAGE/LINE	COV.	APPROV.	DISAPP.
2.	TYPE OF POSITION PFT	STAFF MONTHS 12 (x2)	RP NUMBER	PCN NUMBER	BRU PRIORITY	LOCATION JNO/ANCH	ELECTION DISTRICT	LEG.	
3.	CONTINUATION LEVEL			ADDITION	JUSTIFICATION				
4.	TYPE OF EXPENDITURE			AMOUNT	<p>Staffing necessary to implement HB235/SB237 See attached Bill Analysis and Fiscal Note</p>				
	PERSONAL SERVICES per month								
5.	Salary		3313.0						
6.	Benefits		565.2						
7.	Supplemental Benefits		203.1						
8.	Fixed Benefits		227.2						
9.	TOTAL PERSONAL SERVICES	01 51.7x2		103.4					
10.	Travel	02 4.0x2		8.0					
11.	Contractual	03							
12.	Commodities	04 0.5x2		1.0					
13.	Equipment	05 1.6x2		3.2					
14.	Other								
15.	TOTAL COST			115.6					
16.	RECEIPT CODE	FUNDING SOURCE							
17.		Federal Receipts 1002							
18.		G.F. Match 1003							
19.		General Funds 1004		115.6					
20.		I-A Receipts 1005							
21.		Program Receipts 1028							
		Other							
FOR B&M USE ONLY KEY NUMBER _____									

**REQUEST FOR
NEW POSITION**

AGENCY Fish and Game
 PROGRAM Fish and Game Support
 BRU Boards of Fisheries and Game
 COMPONENT Administration and Support

Page 1 of 1
 Revised Date _____

FY 86

1.	POSITION TITLE Clerk Typist III (two positions)				RANGE/STEP 8A	BARG. UNIT GGU	PAGE/LINE	COV.	APPROV.	DISAPP.					
2.	TYPE OF POSITION PFT	STAFF MONTHS 12 (x2)	RP NUMBER	PCN NUMBER	BRU PRIORITY	LOCATION JNO/ANCH	ELECTION DISTRICT	LEC.							
3.	CONTINUATION LEVEL				JUSTIFICATION										
4.	ADDITION				<p>Staffing necessary to implement HB235/SB37 See Bill Analysis and Fiscal Note</p>										
5.	TYPE OF EXPENDITURE			AMOUNT											
	1			2											
	PERSONAL SERVICES per month														
	Salary			1631.0											
	Benefits			278.3											
	Supplemental Benefits			100.0											
	Fixed Benefits			227.2											
	TOTAL PERSONAL SERVICES			01 26.8x2							53.6				
	Travel			02											
	Contractual			03											
	Commodities			04 0.5x2							1.0				
	Equipment			05 0.5x2							1.0				
	Other														
	TOTAL COST										55.6				
16.	RECEIPT CODE	FUNDING SOURCE													
17.		Federal Receipts 1002													
18.		C.F. Match 1003													
19.		General Funds 1004		55.6											
20.		I-A Receipts 1005													
21.		Program Receipts 1028													
22.		Other													
FOR B&M USE ONLY															
KEY NUMBER - - - - -															

REQUEST FOR
NEW POSITION

AGENCY Fish and Game
PROGRAM Fish and Game Support
BRU Boards of Fisheries and Game
COMPONENT Administration and Support

Page 1 of 1
Revised Date _____

FY 86

1.	POSITION TITLE Systems Analyst II (two positions)			RANGE/STEP 20A	BARG. UNIT GGU	PAGE/LINE	COV.	APPROV.	DISAPP.
2.	TYPE OF POSITION PET	STAFF MONTHS 12(x2)	RP NUMBER	PCN NUMBER	BRU PRIORITY	LOCATION JNO/ANCH	ELECTION DISTRICT	LEC.	
3.	CONTINUATION LEVEL			ADDITION	JUSTIFICATION				
4.	TYPE OF EXPENDITURE			AMOUNT	<p>Staffing necessary to implement HB235/SB37 See Bill Analysis and Fiscal Note</p>				
	PERSONAL SERVICES per month								
5.	Salary		3564.0						
6.	Benefits		608.0						
7.	Supplemental Benefits		218.5						
8.	Fixed Benefits		227.2						
9.	TOTAL PERSONAL SERVICES	01	55.4x2	110.8					
10.	Travel		02						
11.	Contractual		03						
12.	Commodities	04	0.5x2	1.0					
13.	Equipment	05	7.4x2	14.8					
14.	Other								
15.	TOTAL COST			126.6					
16.	RECEIPT CODE	FUNDING SOURCE							
17.		Federal Receipts	1002						
18.		G.F. Match	1003						
19.		General Funds	1004	126.6					
20.		I-A Receipts	1005						
21.		Program Receipts	1028						
		Other							

FOR B&M USE ONLY
KEY NUMBER - - - - -

**REQUEST FOR
NEW POSITION**

AGENCY Fish and Game
PROGRAM Fish and Game Support
BRU Boards of Fisheries and Game
COMPONENT Administration and Support

FY 86

Page 1 of 1
Revised Date _____

1.	POSITION TITLE Systems Programmer I (two positions)			RAISE/STEP 17A	BARG. UNIT GGU	PAGE/LINE	COV.	APPROV.	DISAPP.
2.	TYPE OF POSITION PFT	STAFF MONTHS 12 (x2)	RP NUMBER	PCN NUMBER	BRU PRIORITY	LOCATION JNO/ANCH	ELECTION DISTRICT	LEG.	
3.	CONTINUATION LEVEL			JUSTIFICATION					
4.	TYPE OF EXPENDITURE			AMOUNT					
	PERSONAL SERVICES per month								
5.	Salary		2895.0						
6.	Benefits		493.9						
7.	Supplemental Benefits		177.5						
8.	Fixed Benefits		227.2						
9.	TOTAL PERSONAL SERVICES	01	45.5x2	91.0					
10.	Travel		02						
11.	Contractual		03						
12.	Commodities		04						
13.	Equipment		05	.9x2	1.8				
14.	Other								
15.	TOTAL COST			92.8					
16.	RECEIPT CODE	FUNDING SOURCE							
17.		Federal Receipts 1002							
18.		G.F. Match 1003							
19.		General Funds 1004		92.8					
20.		I-A Receipts 1005							
21.		Program Receipts 1028							
		Other							
FOR B&M USE ONLY KEY NUMBER -----									

Staffing necessary to implement HB235/SB37
See Bill Analysis and Fiscal Note

**REQUEST FOR
NEW POSITION**

AGENCY Fish and Game
PROGRAM Fish and Game Support
BRU Boards of Fisheries and Game
COMPONENT Administration and Support

FY 86

Page 1 of 1
Revised Date _____

P.O.M.

TO: ALL SENATORS AND REPRESENTATIVES

FROM:
MIKE SUTTON
BOX 214
NINILCHIK
567-3345

MESSAGE: REGARDING: HB 235 & SB 37 - BD. OF FISHERIES PLANS AND REGULATIONS
PLEASE SUPPORT THESE BILLS. THE POLITICS AT THE BOARD OF FISHERIES IS BECOMING UNBEARABLE AND OUR COURT CASES ARE BECOMING TERRIBLY EXPENSIVE. (SPEAKING OF WHICH, THE COMMERCIAL FISHERMEN HAVE BEEN QUITE SUCCESSFUL.) HOWEVER, THE BILLS SHOULD BENEFIT ALL FISHING INTERESTS. THANK YOU.

E.O.M.

FROM:
CHERYL SUTTON
BOX 39214
NINILCHIK
567-3345

MESSAGE: REGARDING: SB 37 & HB 235 - BD. OF FISHERIES PLANS AND REGULATIONS
I SUPPORT PASSAGE OF SB 37 AND HB 235. THIS IS NOT A SPECIAL INTEREST BILL. IT IS DESIGNED TO PROVIDE FOR OPTIMUM HARVEST OF FISH RESOURCES FOR ALL USER GROUPS. FISH REVENUES ARE A VITAL PART OF OUR ECONOMY. PLEASE SUPPORT PASSAGE.

E.O.M.