

HB

B

9

4

STATE OF ALASKA  
THE LEGISLATURE

LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS AGENCY

LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE LIBRARY

POUCH Y - STATE CAPITOL  
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99811  
907-465-3800

May, 1986

Copies of minutes listed below were originally included in this file. The minutes are available on the STAIRS date base CM 14. In order to save space copies of minutes have not been left in the files.

Jeanie Henry

*House Judiciary 2/7/85*

*1:30 pm*

COMMITTEE REPORT  
HOUSE

( 7 )

FURTHER: FINANCE

1/23/85

Date: 2-7-85

The Committee on JUDICIARY has had HB 94

"An Act relating to participation by magistrates in the judicial retirement system; and providing for an effective date."

under consideration and recommends:

- do pass  do not pass
- do pass with attached amendments(s)
- replace with CS for \_\_\_\_\_  same title  
 new title
- and recommends \_\_\_\_\_
- AND attaches a "Letter of Intent"  New Fiscal Note
- reports it back without recommendation  Zero Fiscal Note Attached
- referred to the \_\_\_\_\_ Committee

MEMBERS SIGNING  
DO PASS

[Signature]

[Signature]

[Signature]

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

MEMBERS HAVING  
OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:

[Signature]

[Signature]

[Signature]

[Signature]

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

[Signature]  
CHAIRMAN

STATE OF ALASKA 1985 LEGISLATIVE SESSION  
FISCAL NOTE

25

Revision Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Page 1 of 2

**REQUEST**

Bill/Resolution No.: HB 94  
 Title: "...Participation of Magistrates in the Judicial Retirement..."  
 Sponsor: Taylor  
 Requestor: Rodev  
 Date of Request: 1/30/85

**FISCAL DETAIL**

Agency Affected: Alaska Court System  
 Program Category Affected: PERS, JRS  
 BRU, Program or Subprogram(s) Affected: \_\_\_\_\_

**EXPENDITURES/REVENUES: (Thousands of Dollars)**

Operating	FY 85	FY 86	FY 87	FY 88	FY 89	FY 90
100 Personal Svcs						
100 Ptmnt & Bnfts	-0-	536.6	579.5	625.9	676.0	730.0
200 Travel						
300 Contractual						
400 Supplies						
500 Equipment						
600 Land & Struct						
700 Grants, Claims						
700 TRS Match						
<b>TOTAL OPERATING</b>	-0-	536.6	579.5	625.9	676.0	730.0
<b>CAPITAL</b>						
<b>REVENUE</b>						

**FUNDING: (Thousands of Dollars)**

GENERAL FUND	-0-	536.6	579.5	625.9	676.0	730.0
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER						
<b>TOTAL</b>		536.6	579.5	625.9	676.0	730.0

**POSITIONS:**

	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
FULL-TIME						
PART-TIME						
TEMPORARY						

**ANALYSIS:** (Attach a separate page if necessary)

Prepared By: J.K. Humphreys, Director *J.K. Humphreys* Phone: 465-4470  
 Division: Retirement & Benefits Date: 2/1/85

Approved by Commissioner: Lisa Rudd *LRR* Date: 2/6/85  
 Agency: Department of Administration

Distribution (by Agency preparing fiscal note):

- Legislative Finance
- Legislative Sponsor
- Requestor
- Office of Management and Budget
- Impacted Agency(ies)

House Bill 94  
Fiscal Note Analysis  
Prepared by Division of Retirement & Benefits  
Department of Administration

February 1, 1985

IV Analysis: This bill would transfer the magistrates from the Public Employees' Retirement System (PERS) to the Judicial Retirement System (JRS) effective July 1, 1985. It would give them the opportunity to withdraw all contributions and service from the PERS or leave their contributions and retain their service in PERS to July 1, 1985. Those who withdraw their service and contributions could purchase the equivalent retroactive credit in the JRS.

- o This bill would effect PERS by reducing PERS cost to the court systems by 14.16% of magistrates estimated FY 86 covered payroll of \$1,044,200. (22,700 average X 46 magistrates) for FY 86.
- o This bill would effect JRS by increasing JRS cost to the court systems by 65.55% of the magistrates estimated FY 86 covered payroll of \$1,044,200.
- o The net effect of this bill would be an increase in cost to the state of 51.39% (65.55% - 14.16%) of the magistrates covered payroll or \$536,600 (1,044,200 X 51.39%) for FY 86.

This bill would increase the JRS net unfunded liability by \$3,146,400.00.

STATE OF ALASKA 1985 LEGISLATIVE SESSION  
FISCAL NOTE

Revision Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**REQUEST**

Bill/Resolution No.: HB 94  
 Title: An Act Relating to  
           Magistrate Retirement  
 Sponsor: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Requestor: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Date of Request: \_\_\_\_\_

**FISCAL DETAIL**

Agency Affected: Alaska Court System  
 Program Category Affected: \_\_\_\_\_  
                                   Administration of Justice  
 BRU, Program or Subprogram(s) Affected: \_\_\_\_\_  
                                   Trial Courts

**EXPENDITURES/REVENUES: (Thousands of Dollars)**

	FY 85	FY 86	FY 87	FY 88	FY 89	FY 90
<b>OPERATING</b>						
100 PERSONAL SERVICES		536.6	579.5	625.9	676.0	730.1
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL						
400 SUPPLIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS						
800 MISCELLANEOUS						
<b>TOTAL OPERATING</b>		<b>536.6</b>	<b>579.5</b>	<b>625.9</b>	<b>676.0</b>	<b>730.1</b>
<b>CAPITAL</b>						
<b>REVENUE</b>						

**FUNDING: (Thousands of Dollars)**

GENERAL FUND		536.6	579.5	625.9	676.0	730.1
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER						
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>536.6</b>	<b>579.5</b>	<b>625.9</b>	<b>676.0</b>	<b>730.1</b>


**POSITIONS:**

FULL-TIME						
PART-TIME						
TEMPORARY						

**SOURCE OF FUNDS TO OFFSET FISCAL IMPACT OF BILL:**

**ANALYSIS:** Attach a separate page for analysis

Prepared By: Robert G. Fisher, Fiscal Officer Phone: 264-0561  
 Division: Alaska Court System Date: 2/4/85

Approved by Commissioner:  Date: 2/4/85  
 Agency: Alaska Court System

Distribution (by Agency preparing fiscal note):

- Legislative Finance
- Legislative Sponsor
- Requestor
- Office of Management and Budget
- Impacted Agency(ies)

12/1/83

ALASKA COURT SYSTEM

HB 94 - MAGISTRATE RETIREMENT  
FISCAL IMPACT

The estimated fiscal impact of this bill was developed by the Division of Retirement & Benefits. While the Court System does not have the actuarial expertise to closely estimate the cost of this bill, the estimated costs appear reasonable based upon our experience with similar retirement programs.

STATE OF ALASKA  
THE LEGISLATURE

LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS AGENCY

POUCH Y STATE CAPITOL  
JUNEAU ALASKA 99811  
907 465 3800

M E M O R A N D U M

January 15, 1985

SUBJECT: Participation by magistrates in the  
judicial retirement system  
(Work Order No. 14-0325)

TO: Representative Robin Taylor

FROM: Teresa B. Cramer *Jerry Cramer*  
Legislative Counsel

You have asked for a sectional analysis of Work Order  
14-0325.

Section 1 amends the subsection in AS 22.25.010 that defines  
which judges are covered by the judicial retirement system  
to include magistrates within the definition.

Section 2 amends the definition of "'member' or 'employee'"  
in the Public Employees' Retirement Act to exclude  
magistrates of the district courts.

Section 3 enacts temporary law to permit magistrates  
employed on July 1, 1985, to withdraw from the public  
employees' retirement system. They may then receive credit  
in the judicial retirement system for up to 10 years of  
employment if they make retroactive contributions to the  
system.

Section 4 permits a magistrate to remain a member of the  
public employees' retirement system for employment before  
July 1, 1985, if the magistrate has not chosen to withdraw  
under section 3.

Section 5 limits the application of the bill to magistrates  
who retire after June 30, 1985.

Section 6 contains an immediate effective date.

If I can be of further assistance, please let me know.

TC:ojb  
J11/001



# Telegram

04016

'85 FEB 4 PM 5 15

NL ANCHORAGE ALASKA 51 02-04 1642 AST  
PMS 465-4990  
REPRESENTATIVE MIKE MILLER, CHAIRMAN  
HOUSE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE, POUCH V, MS 3100  
JUNEAU AK 99811

0073

ATTN ALL HOUSE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE MEMBERS  
RE: HEARING, 2-7-85  
YOUR SUPPORT OF HB9<sup>4</sup> WOULD BE GREATLY APPRECIATED BY OUR  
STATEWIDE MAGISTRATES SYSTEM, MAGISTRATES DUTIES ARE MUCH  
LIKE THOSE OF OUR HIGHER ECHELON JUDGES IN OUR STATE,  
AND OUR RETIREMENT SHOULD PARALLEL THOSE OF OUR ESTEEMED  
SUPERIORS. PLEASE SUPPORT THIS BILL, THANKS  
LOWELL ANAGICK, MAGISTRATE

FEB 5 1985



# Telegram

R  
02132

NL TDA CRAIG ALASKA 50 02-04 305P AST, 35 FEB 4 PM 19 35  
PMS

REP MIKE MILLER (JUN) 465-4990

POUCH V (MS3100) C-07

JUNEAU AK 99811

RE: HB94, PARTICIPATION BY MAGISTRATES IN JUDICIAL RETIREMENT SYSTEM.

I WOULD DEEPLY APPRECIATE YOUR SERIOUS CONSIDERATION AND SUPPORT.  
PASSAGE IS NEEDED TO CORRECT INJUSTICE AND DISCRIMINATION AGAINST  
ALASKA MAGISTRATES AND PROVIDE FAIR RETIREMENT PENSION.

THANKS FOR YOUR HELP.

ELIZABETH DENNIS

FEB 5 1985

7 1985  
February 1, 1985

TO: House Judiciary Committee Members  
Representative Miller, Chairman  
Representative Sund, Vice-Chairman  
Representative Gruenberg  
Representative Taylor  
Representative Clocksin  
Representative Pettyjohn  
Representative Phillips

FROM: James Jackson  
District Court Magistrate  
P.O. Box 158  
Galena, AK. 99741

RE: HB 94--Dealing with  
Magistrate Retirement

I am writing to support House Bill 94 since I will be unable to attend the committee's public meeting on February 7th. I strongly support the bill's intention to allow Magistrates to participate in the Judicial Retirement Plan.

I would just like to take a few moments to list the major reasons that I support this legislation, beyond the obvious self-interests.

1. The duties of magistrates equate exactly to those of District Court Judges in all criminal matters and all civil matters except the civil monetary amounts
2. In addition many magistrates have Superior court duties as Standing or Special Masters. Something District Court Judges do not do.
3. Magistrates also do coroner work, vital statistic work and other public services not done by either District or Superior Court Judges.

4. The Alaska Supreme Court in STATE vs HOLLOWAY said that magistrates are not merely assistants to a district court judge but preside with full authority over a court of limited jurisdiction, exercising judicial power vested by the Constitution.
5. Magistrates make up the majority of judges in the state and are the primary contact the public will have with the system.
6. Based on the above there seems to be an inequity in salary and benefits. While the salaries are anywhere from 1/2 to 1/5 of other judges, I realize with the current budget restraints that this can not be changed. However, the retirement could be without such a large financial impact and would go a long way to correct this inequity.

Besides correcting a discrepancy between the various judges in the state system I feel it would have other benefits directly realized by the court system and the general public.

1. By upgrading the retirement plan to be consistent with other judges, you will be providing a needed incentive to retain magistrates. As it is now a magistrate could transfer over to another state job without jeopardizing his/her retirement.
2. Because of the investment in the new retirement plan a magistrate would be more inclined to get continuing education and do a better job for the public, especially considering that he sits at the pleasure of the Presiding Judge, and is not protected by any inherent job security.
3. The decrease in turn-over, the improved skills, and the higher moral will lead directly to better service to the public.

In asking you to support this legislation, I am asking you to recognize the importance of magistrates to the effectiveness of the judicial system, notice the broad jurisdictional

responsibilities they bear; to be aware of the current inequities of benefits that exist; and while not being able to correct them all atleast support a cost-effective measure that will close the gap.

The legislature in the past created a retirement system for judges in an effort to retain them. This is what a retirement system is meant to do. You need to create the same incentives if you want to keep good magistrates. This bill would go a very long way in doing this.

Magistrates are apolitical for obvious reasons, and consequently are poor lobbyists. Most are rural and distant from peer groups and seats of government. Being the Vice-President of the Statewide Magistrates Association, I feel I can speak for the vast majority of magistrates when I say that we do not depend on our political power or voting block to get this legislation passed, but rather simply on your sense of fairness. Thank-you for your consideration.

cc: Senator Sackett

# Memorandum

TO:  All members of the Finance, Judiciary,  
and State Affairs Committees.


DATE : 01 February 1985

FROM: Magistrate Paul Verhagen

SUBJECT: SR-58 and HB-94 .KS

Please consider the copy of the letter I sent to the Chairmen  
of the above mentioned committees and help us to change the current  
inequity which excludes Magistrates from the Judicial Retirement  
System.

Thank You.



Paul Verhagen - Magistrate  
Tanana, Alaska 99777



## District Court

State of Alaska

at TANANA, ALASKA

Honorable Chairmen:  
Mitchell Abood  
Patrick m. Rodey  
John C. Sackett  
Jan Faiks  
Katie Hurley  
M. Mike Miller  
Albert P. Adams,

01 February 1985

I am writing at this time in regards to a bill that is presently before the House and Senate (SB-58 and HB-94). This bill seeks the inclusion of Magistrates in the Judicial Retirement System.

The current exclusion of Magistrates from the Judicial Retirement System is unfortunate for many reasons - the most important being that Magistrates render to the state essentially the same services that are rendered by District Court Judges, who are included under the Judicial Retirement System and yet Magistrates are excluded therefrom. Indeed there are differences in the positions of Magistrate and District Court Judge - but where the one may be limited in jurisdiction as compared to the other, he is often called upon to assume responsibilities which the first is not. For example: although their criminal jurisdiction is the same, a Magistrate is limited in civil jurisdiction to \$1000.00 whereas a District Court Judge hears cases up to \$10,000.00. However, although neither jurisdiction includes hearing Superior court matters, Magistrates are often appointed as Special Masters and do hear certain Superior court matters, Whereas District Court Judges seldom ( if ever ) do.



**District Court**  
**State of Alaska**

at TANANA, ALASKA

Magistrates are also called upon to serve as coronors and hold inquests in their communities and to perform the function of keeping Vital Statistics. Neither of these duties devolves upon the District Court Judge. In addition it is often the duty of the Magistrate to perform all clerical functions as many posts do not have clerks.

It is for these reasons, as well as others not included, that I feel that Magistrates do perform a service equal with District Court Judges to the State.

I realize that not all inequities can be rectified at once, but please keep in mind that Magistrates already receive lesser pay than District Court Judges, must they also receive lesser benefits in spite of rendering equal service?

Please help us correct this inequity by passing this bill. It will be invaluable in that Magistrates will have a greater incentive to continue with the court system when they receive those inevitable calls in the middle of the night ( be it Holiday or not ) for unlike District Court Judges they are allways on call.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Paul Verhagen".

Paul Verhagen - Magistrate  
P.O. Box 231 Tanana, Alaska  
99777

HB 94

2-7-85

Dear <sup>Mike</sup>~~Representative Miller~~:

Enclosed is the copy of "CS for HB 279 (finance)  
which you requested. Also enclosed is the related  
fiscal note from last session. We will  
work up current figures.

Ken A.

PERS  
IRS

Offered: 4/18/84  
Referred: Rules

Original sponsors: Bussell, Barnes,  
Clocksin, et al

1 IN THE HOUSE BY THE FINANCE COMMITTEE

2 CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 279 (Finance)

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 THIRTEENTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act authorizing participation by magistrates in  
7 the judicial retirement system; and providing for an  
8 effective date."

9 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

10 \* Section 1. AS 22.25.010 is amended by adding a new subsection to  
11 read:

12 (h) A magistrate may voluntarily retire at any time and has a  
13 vested right to accrued retirement pay if the magistrate has served  
14 five or more years as a magistrate. Retirement pay may not begin  
15 until the magistrate has reached the age of 55, except that an  
16 actuarially equivalent retirement pay may begin after the magistrate  
17 has reached the age of 50. In order to retire under this subsection a  
18 magistrate shall file an application for retirement with the  
19 commissioner of administration. If a magistrate is eligible to  
20 receive retirement pay at the time of retirement, the retirement pay  
21 begins on the first day of the month following the date the  
22 application for retirement is received by the commissioner of  
23 administration.

24 \* Sec. 2. AS 22.25.011 is amended to read:

25 Sec. 22.25.011. CONTRIBUTIONS. Each justice and judge appointed  
26 after July 1, 1978, shall contribute seven percent of the base annual  
27 salary received by the justice or judge to the judicial retirement  
28 system. Contributions shall be made for all creditable service under  
29 this chapter up to a maximum of 15 years, except that a magistrate

1 shall make contributions for all creditable service under this chapter  
2 up to a maximum of 25 years. This contribution is made in the form of  
3 a deduction from compensation, and is made even if the compensation  
4 paid in cash to the justice or judge is reduced below the minimum  
5 prescribed by law. Each justice and judge is considered to consent to  
6 the deduction from compensation. Payment of compensation less the  
7 deduction constitutes a full discharge of all claims and demands for  
8 the services rendered by the justice or judge during the period  
9 covered by the payment, except as to the benefits provided for under  
10 this chapter. The contributions shall be credited to the judicial  
11 retirement fund established in accordance with AS 22.25.048.

12 \* Sec. 3. AS 22.25.020 is amended by adding a new subsection to read:

13 (b) A retired magistrate eligible for retirement pay shall  
14 receive from the date of appointment to retirement, a benefit equal to  
15 three percent of the average monthly compensation for each year of  
16 credited service, to a maximum of 75 percent of the average monthly  
17 compensation.

18 \* Sec. 4. AS 22.25 is amended by adding a new section to read:

19 Sec. 22.25.021. POST RETIREMENT PENSION ADJUSTMENT. When a post  
20 retirement pension adjustment is granted under AS 39.35.475, a post  
21 retirement pension adjustment in the same amount is payable under this  
22 chapter to retired magistrates in accordance with AS 39.35.475 and  
23 Public Employees' Retirement System regulations.

24 \* Sec. 5. AS 22.25.030(a) is amended to read:

25 (a) Upon the death of a justice or judge who has served for at  
26 least two years, the surviving spouse is entitled to receive monthly  
27 compensation equal to one-half of the monthly retirement pay the  
28 justice or judge would thereafter have been entitled to receive if  
29 retired at the time of death. If at death the justice or judge was

1 not yet entitled to retirement pay, or was or would have been entitled  
2 to less than 60 percent of the monthly salary authorized for the  
3 office, or, in the case of a magistrate, was or would have been en-  
4 titled to less than 60 percent of the magistrate's average monthly  
5 compensation, the surviving spouse is entitled to monthly compensation  
6 equal to 30 percent of the salary authorized for justices or judges,  
7 respectively, at the time each monthly payment is made, or, in the  
8 case of a magistrate, monthly compensation equal to 30 percent of the  
9 magistrate's average monthly compensation. The surviving spouse of a  
10 magistrate is entitled to benefits under AS 22.25.021.

11 \* Sec. 6. AS 22.25 is amended by adding a new section to read:

12 Sec. 22.25.100. DEFINITIONS. In this chapter, unless the con-  
13 text otherwise requires,

14 (1) "average monthly compensation" means the result ob-  
15 tained by dividing the compensation earned by a magistrate during a  
16 considered period by the number of months, including fractional  
17 months, for which compensation was earned; the considered period  
18 consists of the three consecutive calendar years during the period of  
19 credited service that yield the highest average compensation or, if  
20 the magistrate does not have three consecutive calendar years, the  
21 period of credited service; a magistrate must have at least 115 days  
22 of credited service in the last calendar year in order to have that  
23 year be used as one of the three consecutive years;

24 (2) "judge" means a judge of the court of appeals, a  
25 superior court judge, a district court judge or a magistrate;

26 (3) "justice" means a supreme court justice.

27 \* Sec. 7. AS 39.35.680(21) is amended to read:

28 (21) "member" or "employee"

29 (A) means a person eligible to participate in the

1 system and who is covered by the system;

2 (B) includes

3 (i) active member;

4 (ii) inactive member;

5 (iii) vested member;

6 (iv) deferred vested member;

7 (v) non-vested member;

8 (vi) disabled member;

9 (vii) retired member;

10 (C) does not include

11 (i) former members;

12 (ii) persons compensated on a contractual or fee  
13 basis;

14 (iii) casual or emergency workers or nonpermanent  
15 employees as defined in AS 39.25.200;

16 (iv) persons covered by the Alaska Teachers'  
17 Retirement System;

18 (v) employees of the division of marine trans-  
19 portation engaged in operating the state ferry system who  
20 are covered by a union or group retirement system to which  
21 the state makes contributions;

22 (vi) justices of the supreme court or judges of  
23 the court of appeals or of the superior or district courts  
24 or magistrates of the district courts of Alaska;

25 (vii) the administrative director of courts ap-  
26 pointed under art. IV, sec. 16 of the state constitution  
27 unless the director [HE] becomes a member under AS 39.35.-  
28 158; and

29 (viii) members of the elected public officers'

1 retirement system (former AS 39.37);

2 \* Sec. 8. Notwithstanding AS 39.35.240, a person serving as a magis-  
3 trate on July 1, 1984, may withdraw from the public employees' retirement  
4 system (AS 39.35) and receive a refund of the balance of the employee  
5 contribution account and employee savings account.

6 \* Sec. 9. A person who is serving as a magistrate on July 1, 1984, may  
7 receive prior service credit under AS 22.25 for service rendered as a  
8 magistrate of the Alaska court system before the effective date of this Act  
9 if the magistrate (1) withdraws from the public employees' retirement  
10 system (AS 39.35); (2) receives a refund of all contributions made under  
11 AS 39.35; (3) elects to receive service credit under AS 22.25 for prior  
12 service as a magistrate; and (4) makes retroactive contributions for ser-  
13 vice as a magistrate after January 3, 1959, including service before  
14 July 1, 1978. Retroactive contributions under this section shall be at the  
15 rate of seven percent of the salary the magistrate received during the  
16 period for which the contributions are made. To be effective, an election  
17 under (3) of this section must be made on or before June 30, 1985.

18 \* Sec. 10. Notwithstanding the amendment to AS 39.35.680(21) made in  
19 sec. 7 of this Act, a person serving as a magistrate on July 1, 1984, may  
20 receive retirement benefits from the public employees' retirement system  
21 for covered service before July 1, 1984, if a refund of public employees'  
22 retirement system contributions is not made to the magistrate.

23 \* Sec. 11. The amendments to AS 22.25 and AS 39.35.680(21) made in this  
24 Act apply only to a magistrate who retires from state service after  
25 June 30, 1984.

26 \* Sec. 12. AS 22.25.010(g) is repealed.

27 \* Sec. 13. This Act takes effect July 1, 1984.

28

STATE OF ALASKA 1984 LEGISLATIVE SESSION  
FISCAL NOTE

Revision Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
(Page 1 of 2)

<p>REQUEST</p> <p>Bill/Resolution No.: <u>CSHB 279</u></p> <p>Title: <u>"An Act amending JRS &amp; PERS"</u></p> <p>Sponsor: <u>Busell</u></p> <p>Requestor: _____</p> <p>Date of Request: _____</p>	<p>FISCAL DETAIL</p> <p>Agency Affected: <u>Alaska Court System</u></p> <p>Program Category Affected: <u>JRS &amp; PERS</u></p> <p>BRU, Program or Subprogram(s) Affected: _____</p>
--	--

EXPENDITURES/REVENUES: (Thousands of Dollars)

Operating	FY 84	FY 85	FY 86	FY 87	FY 88	FY 89
100 Personal Svcs	-0-	62.4	67.4	72.8	78.6	84.9
100 Rtmnt & Bnfts						
200 Travel						
300 Contractual						
400 Supplies						
500 Equipment						
600 Land & Struct						
700 Grants, Claims						
700 TRS Match						
<b>TOTAL OPERATING</b>	-0-	62.4	67.4	72.8	78.6	84.9
<b>CAPITAL</b>						
<b>REVENUE</b>						

FUNDING: (Thousands of Dollars)

General Fund	-0-	62.4	67.4	72.8	78.6	84.9
Federal Funds						
Other						
Total						

POSITIONS:

Full-Time						
Part-Time						
Temporary						

SOURCE OF FUNDS TO OFFSET IMPACT OF BILL:

ANALYSIS: Attach a separate page for analysis

Prepared By: J.K. Humphreys, Director Phone: 465-4460  
 Division: Retirement & Benefits Date: 4-10-84

Approved by Commissioner: Lisa Rudd Date: 4-11-84  
 Agency: Department of Administration

Distribution (by Agency preparing fiscal note):

- Legislative Finance
- Legislative Sponsor
- Requestor
- Office of Management and Budget
- Impacted Agency(ies)

(Page 20 of 2)

CSHB 279  
Fiscal Note Analysis  
Prepared by the Division of Retirement & Benefits  
Department of Administration

April 10, 1984

RECEIVED

APR 11 1984

DIV. OF RETIREMENT

IV Analysis: This is a fiscal note for CSHB 279

- o This bill would effect PERS by reducing PERS cost to the court systems by 14.15% of magistrates covered payroll of \$966,690 (\$21,015 average x 46 magistrates) for FY 85.
- o This bill would effect JRS by increasing JRS cost to the court system by 20.60% of the magistrates covered payroll of \$966,690 for FY 85.
- o The net effect of this bill would be an increase in cost to the state of 6.45% (20.60% - 14.15%) of the magistrates covered payroll or \$62,351 (\$966,690 x 6.45%) for FY 85.



Telegram

A  
08002

T  
TDA SAVOONGA ALASKA 36 02-07 1102A AST

PMS

HOUSE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE ATTN MIKE MILLER AND COMMITTEE MEMBERS

0294

JUNEAU AK

WE ARE URGING AND IN SUPPORT THE COMMITTEE ON THIS HOUSE BILL  
NBR. 95 STATE WIDE MAJISTRATE AND THE JUDICIAL RETIREMENT SYSTEM  
TO SUPPORT AND IN PASSING THIS BILL TO BECOME REALITY.

ABNER GOLDBERGEN

BOX 111 SAVOONGA ALASKA 99769