

S

B

/

50

COMMITTEE REPORT

HOUSE

FINANCE

FURTHER:

(7)

4/11/83

Date: _____

Mr. Speaker:

The Committee on ~~COMMUNITY & REGIONAL AFFAIRS~~ has had CSSB 150 (Fin) an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Environmental Conservation for water and sewer grants; special appropriations to an unincorporated community and municipalities for water, sewer or solid waste grants; to the Department of Education for a water grant; and to the Department of Environmental conservation for water and sewer studies.

under consideration and reports it back as follows:

- do pass do not pass
- do pass with attached amendments(s)
- replace with CS for _____ same title
 new title
- and recommends _____
- AND attaches a "Letter of Intent" New Fiscal Note
- reports it back without recommendation Zero Fiscal Note Attached
- referred to the _____ Committee

MEMBERS SIGNING
DO PASS

[Handwritten signature]

MEMBERS HAVING
OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]

CHAIRMAN

I. REQUEST

Bill/Resolution No.: HCSCSSB 151
 Title: "An Act relating to RRDA's"
 Sponsor: Fahrerkamp, Kerttula, Ferguson,
 Requestor: Fischer House C. & R.A.

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Agency Affected: Office of the Governor
 Program Category Affected: Exec. Operations
 BRU, Program of Subprogram(s), Affected:
Division of Elections

EXPENDITURES/REVENUES: (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 83	FY 84	FY 85	FY 86	FY 87	FY 88
OPERATING						
100 PERSONAL SERVICES						
200 TRAVEL		.4				
300 CONTRACTUAL		19.6	10.4	11.0		
400 COMMODITIES		.6				
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC						
TOTAL OPERATING	-0-	20.6	10.4	11.0		
CAPITAL						
REVENUE						

FUNDING: (Thousands of Dollars)


GENERAL FUND	-0-	20.6	10.4	11.0		
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER (Specify Source)						

POSITIONS:

FULL-TIME						
PART-TIME						
TEMPORARY						

III. SOURCE OF FUNDS TO OFFSET FISCAL IMPACT OF BILL:

IV. ANALYSIS: Attach a separate page for any Analysis

Prepared By: Linda Dupere, Administrative Assistant
 Division: Division of Elections
 Approved by Commissioner: 
 Department: Office of the Lieutenant Governor

Phone: 586-6181
 Date: 5/25/83
 Date: 5/25/83

Distribution:

- Original to Legislative Finance
- Copy to Office of Management and Budget (for Legislature introduced bills)
- Copy to Department (for Governor introduced bills)
- Copy to Sponsor
- Copy to Requestor (if different from Sponsor)

STATE OF ALASKA
FISCAL NOTE

Revision Date 4/22, 1983

I. REQUEST

Bill/Resolution No.: HCS CSSB 150 (C&RA)
Title: An Act making an appropriation to
Sponsor: Finance Committee
Requestor: House C&RA Committee

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Agency Affected: Environmental Conservation
Program Category Affected: NRMEC
BRU, Program of Subprogram(s) Affected:
Facility Construction and Operation

DEC, Uninc. communities & municipalities for water, sewer, or solid waste grants

EXPENDITURES/REVENUES: (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 83	FY 84	FY 85	FY 86	FY 87	FY 88
OPERATING						
100 PERSONAL SERVICES						
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL						
400 COMMODITIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC						
TOTAL OPERATING						

CAPITAL		103,283.65				
---------	--	------------	--	--	--	--

REVENUE						
---------	--	--	--	--	--	--

FUNDING: (Thousands of Dollars)

GENERAL FUND		103,283.65				
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER (Specify Source)						

POSITIONS:

FULL-TIME		-0-				
PART-TIME		-0-				
TEMPORARY		-0-				

III. SOURCE OF FUNDS TO OFFSET FISCAL IMPACT OF BILL:

Funds appropriated from the General Fund, which are passed to the Department of Environmental Conservation, unincorporated communities, municipalities, and the Department of Education, are the only fiscal impact of this bill. (See attached page)

IV. ANALYSIS: Attach a separate page for any Analysis

Prepared By: Gary Hayden, Director Phone: 465-2610
Division: Facility Construction & Operation Date: April 22, 1983

Approved by Commissioner: Richard A. Nene Date: 4/22/83
Department: Environmental Conservation

Distribution:

Original to Legislative Finance
Copy to Office of Management and Budget (for Legislature introduced bills)
Copy to Department (for Governor introduced bills)
Copy to Sponsor
Copy to Requestor (if different from Sponsor)

3/8/83

HCS CSSB 150 (C&RA)
Page 2
April 22, 1983

- 1) \$1,050,000 appropriation to the Department of Education;
- 2) \$25,498,200 appropriation for grants to incorporated municipalities through the Department of Environmental Conservation;
- 3) \$75,912,450 direct appropriation to specific municipalities;
- 4) \$623,000 in grants to the unincorporated communities of Kenny Lake (\$148,000), Igiugig (\$250,000), and Dot Lake (\$225,000);
- 5) \$200,000 to the Department of Environmental Conservation for water and sewer feasibility studies.

7-18-83

POSITION STATEMENT ON SENATE BILL 150
by the
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION
Before the
HOUSE COMMUNITY AND REGIONAL AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

SENATE BILL 150 PROVIDING FOR \$67,175,300 FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF WATER, SEWAGE, AND SOLID WASTE FACILITIES BEGAN AS A \$28 MILLION SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION. THE DEPARTMENT INITIATED THE REQUEST AFTER COMMUNITY OFFICIALS EXPRESSED A NEED FOR CONSTRUCTION GRANT MONIES FOR PROJECTS READY FOR CONSTRUCTION IN THE SUMMER OF 1983. AS PREVIOUSLY MENTIONED TO THIS COMMITTEE IN TESTIMONY ON SB 21, THE CONSTRUCTION GRANTS PROGRAM DID NOT RECEIVE AN APPROPRIATION LAST YEAR BECAUSE GOVERNOR HAMMOND VETOED THE BOND PROPOSITION.

THE APPROPRIATION AND PROJECTS TO BE FUNDED IN THIS BILL HAS CHANGED FROM A SUPPLEMENTAL IN FISCAL YEAR 83 TO AN APPROPRIATION FOR FISCAL YEAR 84. THE DEPARTMENT HAS PERFORMED A TECHNICAL ANALYSIS OF THE PROJECTS IN THIS BILL AND CAN IDENTIFY MANY PROJECTS THAT WILL MEET THE PRESSING COMMUNITY SANITATION NEEDS, AND WE SUPPORT THESE PROJECTS. BECAUSE OF DECREASING REVENUES, THE TOTAL REVENUES AVAILABLE WEIGHED WITH THE STATE'S NEEDS WILL HAVE TO BE CONSIDERED BEFORE THE ADMINISTRATION FORMS A POSITION ON TOTAL FUNDING FOR THIS BILL. I AM PREPARED TO DISCUSS FROM A TECHNICAL STANDPOINT THESE PROJECTS AND THE FUNDING MECHANISMS.

THIS BILL APPROPRIATES \$25.7 MILLION TO THE DEPARTMENT. THESE FUNDS WILL BE ADMINISTERED THROUGH THE CONSTRUCTION GRANTS PROGRAM, WHICH REQUIRES 50% MATCH BY INCORPORATED MUNICIPALITIES. THE DEPARTMENT REQUESTED A SINGLE APPROPRIATION VERSUS PROJECT SPECIFIC APPROPRIATION. THIS METHOD WILL ALLOW UNUSED FUNDS TO BE MOVED TO OTHER PROJECTS. IN ADDITION, IT HAS BEEN OUR

EXPERIENCE THAT AFTER A GRANT HAS BEEN AWARDED, 8 TO 10% MAY BE NEEDED AS A CONTINGENCY FOR UNANTICIPATED COST INCREASES. WE HAVE AN ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OPINION WHICH INDICATES THAT MUNIES CAN BE MOVED BETWEEN PROJECTS USING THE "ALLOCATIONS" METHOD USED IN SB 150.

THE PROJECTS THAT ARE LISTED FOR FUNDING BY THE DEPARTMENT WERE COMPILED FROM 213 RESPONSES FROM 52 COMMUNITIES. THESE WERE SCORED AND RANKED USING A CRITERIA SYSTEM DEVELOPED BY THE DEPARTMENT. THE CRITERIA SYSTEM IS ATTACHED FOR YOUR USE. WE WILL BE WORKING THROUGH THE MUNICIPAL LEAGUE TO REFINE THE CRITERIA SYSTEM IN THE FUTURE.

THIS BILL ALSO PROVIDED FUNDING FOR PROJECTS BY APPROPRIATIONS TO THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, TO UNINCORPORATED COMMUNITIES, AND TO MUNICIPALITIES. THESE PROJECTS WERE IDENTIFIED BY THE SENATE. I HAVE GIVEN EACH OF YOU AN ANALYSIS OF SB 150 AND SB 162. ONLY FOUR PROJECTS APPEAR TO RECEIVE FUNDING THROUGH BOTH BILLS. THESE ARE:

DELTA JUNCTION LANDFILL	\$ 500,000
KOTZEBUE WATER/SEWER EXPANSION	1,000,000
SAINT MICHAEL WATER AND SEWER	\$1,900,000 OR \$600,000
WASILLA SEPTIC TREATMENT	186,000

FROM THE INFORMATION I HAVE, THE DELTA JUNCTION AND WASILLA PROJECTS APPEAR TO BE RECEIVING 200 PERCENT FUNDING. I DO NOT HAVE BACK UP DATA ON THE KOTZEBUE PROJECT. OUR INFORMATION INDICATES THE \$600,000 FOR ST. MICHAEL IS FOR A SOLID WASTE FACILITY, AND WE ARE UNCERTAIN OF THE INTENT OF THE \$1.9 MILLION REQUEST.

IN THE SECTION OF THE BILL DEALING WITH GRANTS TO UNINCORPORATED COMMUNITIES, THE DEPARTMENT RECOMMENDS IGIUGIG BE DELETED AND INSTEAD BE INCLUDED FOR AN ADDITIONAL \$50,000 IN SECTION 3 FOR A WATER & SEWER FEASIBILITY STUDY. THE DEPARTMENT RECOMMENDS THIS CHANGE BECAUSE THE SCOPE OF THE PROPOSED \$838,000 PROJECT NEEDS TO BE BETTER DEFINED AND TECHNICAL QUESTIONS REGARDING WATER DEVELOPMENT NEED TO BE ANSWERED.

THE BILL ALSO PROVIDES \$200,000 TO THE DEPARTMENT FOR PERFORMING FEASIBILITY STUDIES. THESE STUDIES WILL:

- 1) PRECISELY DEFINE THE PROBLEM AND NEED;
- 2) ANALYZE ALTERNATIVES AND THE ASSOCIATED CAPITAL, AS WELL AS OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE COSTS; AND
- 3) THE COMMUNITY WILL THEN SELECT THE SOLUTION IT WANTS AND BELIEVES IT CAN AFFORD.

THESE STUDIES WILL PROVIDE A BASIS FOR REQUEST FOR APPROPRIATIONS THROUGH THE 85 CAPITAL BUDGET.

THANK YOU FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO COMMENT ON THIS BILL. I WOULD BE HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS YOU MAY HAVE.

I. REQUEST

Bill/Resolution No.: SB 150
 Title: An Act making an appropriation to
 Sponsor: Finance Committee
 Requestor: House C&RA Committee

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Agency Affected: Dept. of Environ. Conserva.
 Program Category Affected: NRMEC
 BRU, Program of Subprogram(s) Affected: Facility Construction & Operation

DEC, uninc. communities and municipalities for water, sewer, or solid waste grants.
 EXPENDITURES/REVENUES: (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 83	FY 84	FY 85	FY 86	FY 87	FY 88
OPERATING						
100 PERSONAL SERVICES						
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL						
400 COMMODITIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC						
TOTAL OPERATING						
CAPITAL		67,175.31				
REVENUE						

FUNDING: (Thousands of Dollars)

GENERAL FUND	57,175.3					
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER (Specify Source)						

POSITIONS:

FULL-TIME		-0-				
PART-TIME		-0-				
TEMPORARY		-0-				

III. SOURCE OF FUNDS TO OFFSET FISCAL IMPACT OF BILL:

Funds appropriated from the General Fund, which are passed to the Department of Environmental Conservation, an unincorporated community, municipalities, and the Department of Education, are the only fiscal impact of this bill. (see attached page)

IV. ANALYSIS: Attach a separate page for any Analysis

Prepared By: Gary Hayden, Director Phone: 465-2610
 Division: Facility Construction & Operation Date: April 18, 1983
 Approved by Commissioner: Richard A. Nave' by [Signature] Date: 4/18/83
 Department: ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION

Distribution:

- Original to Legislative Finance
- Copy to Office of Management and Budget (for Legislature introduced bills)
- Copy to Department (for Governor introduced bills)
- Copy to Sponsor
- Copy to Requestor (if different from Sponsor)

3/5/83

SB 150
page 2
April 18, 1983

- 1) \$1,050,000 appropriation to the Department of Education;
- 2) \$25,659,800 appropriation for grants to incorporated municipalities through the Department of Environmental Conservation;
- 3) \$39,279,500 direct appropriation to specific municipalities;
- 4) \$986,000 in grants to the unincorporated communities of Kenny Lake (148,000) and Igiugig (\$838,000);
- 5) \$200,000 to the Department of Environmental Conservation for water and sewer feasibility studies.

Construction grants are for water, sewer, and solid waste facilities. The Construction Grants Program administered by the Department of Environmental Conservation was not funded for SFY 83 due to the veto of the proposed bond proposition by Governor Hammond. At the present time, there are a significant number of projects ready for construction for which no funding is available. This bill would enable construction to begin on these projects, avoiding additional cost increases due to inflation. The Department of Environmental Conservation cannot make a statement on whether the projects receiving funding through direct appropriations are ready for construction this year.

Alaska State Legislature

Barbara Lacher, Chairman
Mae Tischer, Vice-Chairman
Randy Phillips
Milo Fritz
Don Clocksin
Jack McBride
Mike Szymanski



Room 104
State Capitol
Juneau, Alaska 99811

Pouch V
Juneau, Alaska 99811

House of Representatives Committee on Community & Regional Affairs

TO: Committee on Community and Regional Affairs
FROM: Staff
DATE: April 18, 1983
RE: CSSB 150 proposed committee substitute

CSSB 150 is the legislative appropriation for FY '84 for statewide sewer and water projects. Legislation introduced earlier that provided for various projects will not be acted upon. Included in the earlier bills (HB 102, HB 146, and SB 15) was the intent to provide the Department of Environmental Conservation (DEC) with matching funds for the Wasilla Sewer system and, to provide the necessary "up front" or non-grant eligible funding in the amount of \$1,178,500.

CSSB 150 includes the \$1,178,500 for non-grant eligible costs, but the DEC matching funds have not been included. Representative Lacher's intent is to combine the former DEC matching funds with the direct non-grant eligible funding appropriation for a total grant of \$3,121,500.

DEC officials have stated that they will continue to process the grant applications and assist with the Federal Environmental Protection agency grant, estimated to be approximately \$4 million. The City of Wasilla will issue bonding in the amount of about \$2 million.

Alaska State Legislature

Barbara Lacher, Chairman
Mae Tischer, Vice-Chairman
Randy Phillips
Milo Fritz
Don Clocksin
Jack McBride
Mike Szymanski



Room 104
State Capitol
Juneau, Alaska 99811

Pouch V
Juneau, Alaska 99811

House of Representatives Committee on Community & Regional Affairs

TO: House C & R A Committee
FROM: Representative Barbara Lacher
SUBJECT: HB 146
DATE: March 7, 1983

The City of Wasilla has been working with the Department of Environmental Conservation and federal environmental protection agencies since 1978 in planning a city wastewater treatment facilities system. The preliminary planning is completed and public hearings have been conducted. Final design is to be completed by July 1983, and the project ready for bid by October, 1983.

Total project costs which are eligible for grant participation are \$8,000,115. Funding sources are as follows:

	FEDERAL E.P.A.	STATE D.E.C.	CITY ASSESSMENTS	TOTALS
Laterals	<u>0</u> (0%)	<u>1,338,975</u> (50%)	<u>1,338,975</u> (50%)	<u>2,677,950</u> (100%)
Collector	<u>3,078,080</u> (75%)	<u>513,180</u> (12.5%)	<u>513,180</u> (12.5%)	<u>4,105,440</u> (100%)
Small Diam.	<u>719,950</u> (85%)	<u>63,525</u> (7.5%)	<u>63,525</u> (7.5%)	<u>847,000</u> (100%)
Sewage Treatment Plant	<u>314,265</u> (85%)	<u>27,730</u> (7.5%)	<u>27,730</u> (7.5%)	<u>369,725</u> (100%)
TOTALS	<u>4,113,295</u> (51.6%)	<u>1,943,410</u> (24.2%)	<u>1,943,410</u> (24.2%)	<u>8,000,115</u> (100%)

The cost to owners of property adjacent to the sewer system will be assessed, on the average, about \$1,153,100 per 50 feet of property frontage and monthly service charges will be less than \$20 per month.

In addition to project costs which are eligible for grant participation, the City of Wasilla is confronted with a requirement for \$1,178,500 of non-grant-eligible cost, funding for which must be firmly identified prior to state and federal commitment of grant funds. These non-grant eligible costs are as follows:

Purchase of treatment plant site	
Right-of-way for trunk and collector construction	
Construction across Parks Highway	
Engineering and Administration	
Topography mapping	\$ 200.000
	<u>\$ 1,178,500</u>

For the past four years, the City of Wasilla has committed a considerable amount of scarce resources to this project and public hearings have indicated strong public support; however, the requirement for \$1,178,500 "up front" funding is beyond the capability of the City. For this reason, the City of Wasilla respectfully requests the assistance of the Alaska State Legislature.

Alaska State Legislature



Barbara Lacher, Chairman
Mae Tischer, Vice-Chairman
Randy Phillips
Milo Fritz
Don Clocksin
Jack McBride
Mike Szymanski

Room 104
State Capitol
Juneau, Alaska 99811

Pouch V
Juneau, Alaska 99811

House of Representatives Committee on Community & Regional Affairs

TO: Committee on Community and Regional Affairs
FROM: Staff
DATE: April 18th, 1983
RE: Committee Substitute Senate Bill 150

In January 1983, SB 15 and HB 102 were introduced as companion bills, each appropriating \$28,000,000 as supplemental appropriations to the Department of Environmental Conservation (DEC) for sewer and water projects. The projects to be funded were requested in 1982 and included in a proposed bond issue which was vetoed by the Governor.

House Bill 102 was passed out of State Affairs Committee and referred to House Finance on February 25, 1983. Senate Bill 15 was revised to CSSB 15 in the Senate Finance Committee, referred to Senate Rules Committee, and returned to Senate Finance where it remains.

In its place, CSSB 150 was introduced by the Senate Finance Committee. The supplemental appropriations originally in SB 15 were deleted; grants to DEC for matching funds for sewer and water projects were included as well as funds to the Department of Education for school water projects and grants to municipalities/unincorporated communities for sewer and water projects.

Amendment to CSB 150 (Fin) am
by Cato

Page 7, Line 20

Insert "Seward - Lowell Creek Tunnel renovation
\$1,800,000 (ED 6) "

NO. 3 LOWELL CREEK DIVERSION TUNNEL REHABILITATION - \$1,770,000

HISTORY: Lowell Creek Diversion Tunnel is located in Lowell Creek, within the City limits of Seward. The tunnel diverts the streamflow from the old channel that ran through town, through Bear Mountain, and into Resurrection Bay at the end of Town. The diversion dam and tunnel, including surrounding land is owned by the City. In 1945, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers designed the project and administered the construction contract. The tunnel was repaired by the Corps of Engineers in 1969 under a special congressional authorization and appropriation. These repairs have significantly deteriorated. The tunnel is a concrete lined horseshoe, with its invert and side walls armored with railroad rails and concrete fill between the rails.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Lowell Creek discharges large quantities of bedload, with the size approaching rock three feet in diameter. The abrasive action of the bedload has eroded away much of the armor rails. Major damage has occurred at the tunnel entrance, where the steep intake changes to a moderate slope, causing the stream bedload to bombard the armor rails grouted in the invert and side walls.

Annual inspection by the City and Corps of Engineers reveal very serious tunnel armor deterioration, with many rails completely dislodged. To prevent the rails from catching debris and creating a blockage in the tunnel, City crews must enter the tunnel several times a year with cutting torches and remove the loose rail sections. The most recent Corps inspections have shown that tunnel deterioration is very serious and could lead to the tunnel becoming blocked. The result would be Lowell Creek running through the center of Seward causing severe property loss and very likely loss of life. (See attached copy of the most recent Corp of Engineers Inspection Report)

In an effort to secure funds to repair and rehabilitate the tunnel, the City since 1977 has approached the Corps of Engineers and U.S. Congress. These efforts have not been successful to date; however, since the Federal Act authorizing the tunnel placed all responsibility for operation and maintenance with the City.

Utilizing State of Alaska per capita grant money, the City on August 8, 1982, entered into a contract with CH2M Hill Engineers to prepare a study of the available tunnel rehabilitation alternatives, and once an alternative is selected by the City, prepare design specifications and contract documents to perform the rehabilitation. Phase I (Study of Alternatives) will be complete by April 1983. Phase II (Design Engineering) will be complete by August 1983. If sufficient funds are available, construction can commence in the fall of 1983 and be complete by the spring of 1984.

NO. 3 LOWELL CREEK DIVERSION TUNNEL REHABILITATION (Continued)

BUDGETARY NEEDS: At this time, we have estimated the rehabilitation cost at \$1,770,000. A more refined cost estimate should be available by April 1983.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE: The design alternative selected is intended to be the one that will solve the problems occurring within the tunnel, and once this design is effected, it is anticipated that operation and maintenance will be well within the means and capabilities of the City.

COMMUNITY IMPACT: The Seward City Council has placed a very high priority on this project as it poses a serious threat to life and property. Failure of the Diversion Tunnel would cause a major disaster to Seward.

N 1/2 of SEC 3 to go in

SP-67
~~HB-244~~

LS SB 150

1. ~~the~~ page 2 Line 19 Delete [Fairview - Jackson Water] *
20 Delete [(ED 1) \$161,600]
2. page 7, After Line 1 ^{Add:} Ketchikan - Jackson Utility / Fairview - Jackson Water (ED-1) \$354,950
3. page 7 ~~the~~ After line 23 Add.
Anchorage - Ekolutna water project (ED 7-15) \$35,000,000
4. page 7 Line 25 Delete [Wasilla - Septic Treatment (ED-14) \$185,000]
Line 26 delete [1,178,500] insert \$3,121,500
- ~~4. page 8~~
5. page 7 Line 29 Delete [Delta Junction - City Landfill]
page 8 Line 1 Delete [Improvements (ED 17) \$480,000]
6. page 9, after line 24 ADD. DOT LAKE water system (ED 17) \$25,000
7. page 9, Line 28: delete [838,000] [838,000], insert 250,000 , 250,000.

POSITION PAPERCSSB15(FIN)

Fiscal propriety demands that the State maintain project monitoring capability to ensure that the grant funds are spent for the project, and are managed according to Borough or Municipal fiscal procedures. Accordingly, a minimal State operating budget impact will be felt with the passage of each special appropriation under AS 37.05.315-37.05.319, such as this specific bill proposes. I should point out at this time that local governments have recognized their similar responsibilities when awarding grants to local social service providers, etc. Municipalities charge an administrative overhead fee of one to three point eight percent per grant. The Department of Administration requests that the specific grant appropriation language acknowledge a State agency overhead factor of up to .5% (one half of one percent) as Department of Administration operating costs for all special appropriations awarded under AS 37.05.315.

The Department questions the historical practice promoted under AS 37.05.315 and wonders if the Department of Community and Regional Affairs might be of better assistance to local governments through its Local Government Assistance program. Whereas the Department of Administration functions as a centralized control agency for all other Executive Branch agencies, the Department of Community and Regional Affairs is specifically charged with the responsibility of assisting local government in maximizing services to citizens.

Kenneth R Ryals 3/1/83
Kenneth R. Ryals

Lisa Rudd 3/4/83
Lisa Rudd, Commissioner of Administration

GALENA - Phase I

RECOMMENDED SYSTEM IMPLEMENTATION APPROACH AND COST SUMMARY

The installation of a complete high level-of-service water and sewer utility should be a multi-step process and it is likely that all of the phases would not occur at the same time. It is recommended that a systematic approach be taken to the implementation of water and sewer system improvements. The steps are listed below in the order that they should be undertaken.

1. Perform an evaluation, modify, and repair the existing water treatment plant to increase production capacity, improve water quality, and determine the maximum production capacity of the plant. Evaluate the existing sewage treatment lagoon.
2. Design and construct a portion of the proposed water and sewer improvements in an area having the highest development density and greatest number of potential customers. This would allow a more accurate evaluation of actual equipment performance and O&M costs. This "pilot system" approach would also allow Galena to expand the system as customer demand increased and to eliminate underwriting the O&M cost of an entire system without adequate customer support. This approach might also promote development at the Alexander Lake site. People would see the advantage of having a high level-of-service water and wastewater facility.
3. Evaluate the performance of the pilot system and expand the system into other areas having an adequate number of potential customers. Water production, water storage, or sewage treatment facilities would probably have to be expanded at this time.

Table 5 is a summary of estimated construction costs for all aspects of a completely developed water and sewage collection system for all proposed distribution service areas at the Alexander Lake site. The future development density, potential customers, available funding, and other economic factors would determine which service areas would receive the water and sewer improvements first. The timing of construction for the areawide facility expansion (water plant, storage tank, waste treatment lagoon) would depend on the results of the evaluation of existing facility capacity. This evaluation could occur during the initial stages of Phase 1 water distribution and sewage collection system design. One or more service areas could be served if minor improvements were made to the existing facilities. An estimated cost for the evaluation and improvement stage of design has been included in Table 5.

This table should assist the City to plan and determine how to best utilize available funding. We believe that the phased approach would ensure that the people of Galena would receive the highest level-of-service at the lowest possible costs. However, funding opportunities might dictate a more vigorous development.

TABLE 5

ALEXANDER LAKE
WATER AND SEWER UTILITY IMPROVEMENTS

SUGGESTED IMPLEMENTATION

Pre-Design Evaluation (S x 1000)

Pre-Phase 1

Evaluation, Modification and Repair of existing
water treatment plant and sewage lagoon 165

Phase 1

Service Area 1	1,762
Service Area 2	1,356
Service Area 3	1,252
Subtotal	4,535

} 4,700,000

Phase 2A

*Water Treatment Plant	990
*Water Storage Tank	480
*Sewerage Treatment Plant	540
Subtotal	2,010

Phase 2B

Service Area 1A	950
Service Area 1B	522
Service Area 4	1,912
Service Area 5	1,363
Subtotal	4,747

TOTAL

11,292

*Results of the preliminary evaluation may require that these improvements be completed prior to complete development of Phase 1 improvements.

SOURCE: CITY OF DELTA JUNCTION 1983 Capital Projects Request

NARRATIVE

The City of Delta Junction, owns 40 acres, where the existing sanitary landfill is utilized by the region of Delta Junction. Currently the City leases the property to a contractor who operates the facility on a part-time basis.

Open dumping is permitted, together with burning, which does not appear to affect the local residents, as the area around the landfill is sparsely populated. The facility now provides two functions which are, (1) one, the disposal of solid waste, and, (2) two, the disposal of sludge — from septic tanks, which is dumped in an open pit. An anaerobic lining has built up over the pond area which restricts the percolation of fluids into the ground. No fencing has been erected to keep out children and animals. Without monies to buy equipment for the operation of this landfill, it is not expected that conditions will not be improved.

It should be pointed out that the closest landfill to Delta Junction is near Fairbanks, 100 miles away, which, without improvements will have serious problems which may have long range implications.

A request of \$500,000.00 is needed to implement the operation plan from concept to completion.

Following is a list of improvements that are planned for this project:

(A) One 32' x 72' metal shop containing but not limited to:

1. Electrical supply to the building for power tools.
2. Space heater for emergency heating.

(B) Equipment for maintenance of landfill:

1. Bulldozer
2. Backhoe or dragline
3. Truck dumpster

(C) Dumping platform

(D) Clearing of 10 acres

(E) Fencing 10 acres — 2640 L.F. + (2) gates

(F) Pilot project for sludge disposal

CITY OF DELTA JUNCTION

Capital Improvements

COST BREAKDOWN

1.	Landfill Facilities	
	a. Access Road	\$ 10,000.00
	b. Well and Pump House	25,000.00
	c. Electrical Supply	25,000.00
2.	Sludge Disposal	
	a. Rid-Waste Tanks	40,000.00
	b. Paving	5,000.00
	c. Disposal Site Improvements	5,000.00
3.	Equipment for Landfill Operation:	
	a. Bulldozer	50,000.00
	b. Backhoe	35,000.00
	c. Dumpster on site	65,000.00
4.	Dumping Platform	
	a. Steel Frame	15,000.00
	b. Road Paving	5,000.00
	c. Water Pipe and Bib	5,000.00
5.	Clearing	
	a. Five Acres	25,000.00
	b. Fencing	25,000.00
6.	Miscellaneous	
	a. Lighting	10,000.00
	b. Automatic Gates	15,000.00
	c. Small Shed Operations	25,000.00
	SUBTOTAL	385,000.00
	Engineering 10%	38,500.00
	Contingencies 10%	38,500.00
	Contract Management	19,750.00
	PROJECT TOTAL	\$481,750.00

3

* SEC. 2 THE FOLLOWING APPROPRIATION ITEMS ARE FOR CAPITAL PROJECTS FROM THE GENERAL FUND TO THE AGENCIES NAMED AND FOR THE PURPOSES EXPRESSED. (ED#_) MEANS THAT THE CAPITAL PROJECT IS LOCATED SOMEWHERE WITHIN THAT HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES ELECTION DISTRICT. THIS GEOGRAPHIC REFERENCE HAS BEEN INSERTED BY STAFF AND IS FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY.

	ALLOCATIONS	APPROPRIATION ITEMS	APPROPRIATION GENERAL FUND	FUND SOURCES OTHER FUNDS
	X X X X X X	X X X X X X		
	X X X X X DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION	X X X X X		
	X X X X X X	X X X X X X		
EDUCATION				
NORTHWEST ARCTIC SCHOOL DISTRICT				
WATER MAIN HOOKUP (ED 22)		50,000	50,000	
BERING STRAIGHTS SCHOOL DISTRICT				
WATER PROJECTS - TELLER, BREVIG MISSION, GOLOVIN AND SHISHMAREF (ED 23)		1,000,000	1,000,000	
	X X X X X X	X X X X X X		
	X X X X X DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION	X X X X X		
	X X X X X X	X X X X X X		
NATURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT				
WATER & SEWER FACILITIES CONSTRUCTION GRANTS		22,731,800	22,731,800	
KETCHIKAN - MENTAL HEALTH WATER & SEWER (ED 1)	34,600			
KETCHIKAN - HAWKINS - CARLANNA (ED 1)	90,600			
KETCHIKAN - HEATH ADDITION (ED 1)	196,700			

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION (CONT.)

	ALLOCATIONS	APPROPRIATION	APPROPRIATION FUND SOURCES	
		ITEMS	GENERAL FUND	OTHER FUNDS
KETCHIKAN - WASHINGTON PARK ADDITION (ED 1)	303,500			
KETCHIKAN PUBLIC UTILITY/FAIRVIEW-JACKSON WATER (ED 1)	161,600			
PETERSBURG - WATER STORAGE FACILITY (ED 1)	338,500			
CORDOVA - MORPAC PARALLEL WATER LINE (ED 2)	60,000			
CORDOVA - POWER CREEK BOOSTER STATION (ED 2)	400,000			
CORDOVA - SMALL BOAT HARBOR WATER LINE (ED 2)	221,300			
KAKE - WATER SUPPLY TANK (ED 2)	125,000			
SITKA - SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL SYSTEM (ED 3)	1,750,000			
HOMER - COOPER SUBDIVISION WATER & SEWER (ED 5)	285,000			
HOMER - MATTOX WATER & SEWER (ED 5)	62,500			
KENAI - CANDIELIGHT/LINWOOD/AURORA (ED 5)	535,000			
KENAI - EVERGREEN/HALLER WATER & SEWER (ED 5)	700,000			
KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH - HINILCHIK LANDFILL (ED 5)	100,000			
SOLDOTNA - REDOUDT AVENUE WATER & SEWER (ED 5)	187,600			
SOLDOTNA - WATER RESERVIOR AND TRANSMISSION (ED 5)	750,000			
SOLDOTNA - WILSON LAKE WATER & SEWER (ED 5)	11,000			
SOLDOTNA - BINKLEY STREET WATER & SEWER (ED 5)	74,000			
SEWARD - TERMINAL ADDITIONAL WATER & SEWER (ED 6)	1,562,700			
VALDEZ-N HARBOR/KENNICOT/S HARBOR DR WATER/SEWER (ED 6)	650,000			
VALDEZ - LANDFILL IMPROVEMENTS (ED 6)	100,000			
VALDEZ - OLD LANDFILL RECLAMATION (ED 6)	51,000			

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION (CONT.)

	ALLOCATIONS	APPROPRIATION ITEMS	APPROPRIATION GENERAL FUND	FUND SOURCES OTHER FUNDS	
ANCHORAGE - BROWN LID (ED 7-15)	103,000				1
ANCHORAGE - STELLA LID (ED 7-15)	107,900				2
ANCHORAGE - LIBRARY LID (ED 7-15)	301,200				3
ANCHORAGE - ABBOTT LOOP MANOR LID (ED 7-15)	292,700				4
ANCHORAGE - SHACKELTON LID (ED 7-15)	70,500				5
ANCHORAGE - ALPINE VILLAGE LID (ED 7-15)	238,200				6
ANCHORAGE - ZODIAK LID (ED 7-15)	60,300				7
ANCHORAGE - WINDERMERE UPGRADE (ED 7-15)	28,700				8
ANCHORAGE - WEST 44TH SEWER EXTENSION (ED 7-15)	34,600				9
ANCHORAGE - C-3 TRUNK-NORTH OF SAND LAKE (ED 7-15)	150,900				10
ANCHORAGE - KHIK INTERCEPTOR CMP UPGRADE (ED 7-15)	589,600				11
ANCHORAGE - ZURICK LID (ED 7-15)	117,900				12
ANCHORAGE - ROSEWOOD LID (ED 7-15)	140,200				13
ANCHORAGE - JUANITA LOOP/SANTA MARIA DRIVE (ED 7-15)	369,500				14
ANCHORAGE - A/B STREET EAST 56TH EXTENSION (ED 7-15)	8,200				15
ANCHORAGE-DOWLING ROAD/NEW SEWARD TO PETERSBURG (ED 7-15)	101,000				16
ANCHORAGE - BROADMOOR ESTATES UPGRADE (ED 7-15)	14,000				17
ANCHORAGE - BARBARA STREET EXTENSION (ED 7-15)	55,200				18
ANCHORAGE - 10-11 ALLEY NORTH TO P STREET UPGRADE (ED 7-15)	22,000				19
ANCHORAGE - MANOR STREET UPGRADE (ED 7-15)	28,400				20

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION (CONT.)

	ALLOCATIONS	APPROPRIATION ITEMS.	APPROPRIATION FUND SOURCES GENERAL FUND	OTHER FUNDS	
ANCHORAGE - WEST 44TH PLACE EXTENSION (ED 7-15)	25,700				1
ANCHORAGE - ABBOTT LOOP 30 TUDOR TO 68TH (ED 7-15)	537,300				2
ANCHORAGE - JUANITA LOOP/SANTA MARIA DR (ED 7-15)	300,800				3
ANCHORAGE - ATKINS WID (ED 7-15)	19,400				4
ANCHORAGE - 10 MG RESERVIOR GRANT INCREASE (ED 7-15)	360,000				5
ANCHORAGE - EAGLE RIVER SYSTEM INTER-TIES (ED 7-15)	220,000				6
ANCHORAGE - C-5-2 TRUNK (ED 7-15)	264,000				7
ANCHORAGE-SOUTHEAST INTERCEPTOR/ROY TO HUFFMAN (ED 7-15)	1,250,000				8
ANCHORAGE - WEST INTERCEPTOR PHASE II (ED 7-15)	2,125,000				9
ANCHORAGE - DISTRIBUTION RESERVIOR EAGLE RIVER (ED 7-15)	180,000				10
ANCHORAGE - PRODUCTION WELL NUMBER 14 (ED 7-15)	180,000				11
ANCHORAGE - CHUGACH WAY/ARCTIC TO SPENARD ROAD (ED 7-15)	136,000				12
ANCHORAGE - 8TH AVENUE DIVERSION UPGRADE (ED 7-15)	37,500				13
ANCHORAGE-SPRUCE STREET/LORE ROAD TO 68TH AVENUE (ED 7-15)	128,000				14
ANCHORAGE - 80TH AVENUE EAST OF LAKE OTIS (ED 7-15)	40,000				15
ANCHORAGE - E-4A TRUNK (ED 7-15)	240,000				16
ANCHORAGE - E-4C TRUNK (ED 7-15)	216,000				17
ANCHORAGE - SE INTERCEPTOR/HUFFMAN TO DEARMOUN (ED 7-15)	600,000				18
HOUSTON - SANITARY LANDFILL (ED 16)	70,000				19

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION (CONT.)

	ALLOCATIONS	APPROPRIATION ITEMS	APPROPRIATION GENERAL FUND	FUND SOURCES OTHER FUNDS	
FAIRBANKS - SLUDGE DISPOSAL FACILITY PHASE II (ED 18-21)	187,500				1 4
FAIRBANKS - NORTHEAST WATER TRANSMISSION (ED 18-21)	1,980,000				5
NORTH POLE - WATER TREATMENT PLANT EXPANSION (ED 18-21)	1,500,000				6
KODIAK - ISMAILOV STREET & 9TH AVENUE WATER (ED 27)	300,000				7
KODIAK - 11TH AVENUE WATER LINE (ED 27)	250,000				8
*****		*****			9
***** GRANTS TO MUNICIPALITIES (AS 37.05.315)		*****			10
*****		*****			11
NATURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT					12
ED 2 INSIDE PASSAGE - CORDOVA					13
CRAIG - WATER & SEWER PHASE I COMPLETION (ED 2)		1,000,000	1,000,000		14
HAINES - ALLEN ROAD TO SAWMILL ROAD WATERLINE (ED 2)		33,500	33,500		15
KAKE-4TH AVE LOOP WATER & PORTAGE COVE EXTENSION (ED 2)		860,000	860,000		16
KLAWOK - WATER & SEWER IMPROVEMENTS (ED 2)		500,000	500,000		17
ED 3 BARANOF - CHICHAGOF					18
SITKA - BLUE LAKE WATER SOURCE (ED 3)		3,000,000	3,000,000		19
ED 4 JUNEAU					20
JUNEAU - NEW RESERVIOR-WEST JUNEAU (ED 4)		525,000	525,000		21
JUNEAU - WELL NUMBER 3 CONNECTION (ED 4)		37,500	37,500		22
JUNEAU - DOUGLAS/LEMON CREEK DISTRIBUTION		250,000	250,000		23
IMPROVEMENT (ED 4)					24

GRANTS TO MUNICIPALITIES (AS 37.05.315) (CONT.)

	ALLOCATIONS	APPROPRIATION	APPROPRIATION FUND SOURCES
		ITEMS	GENERAL FUND OTHER FUNDS
ED 7-15 ANCHORAGE DISTRICT			
ANCHORAGE - EAGLE RIVER/CHUGIAK WATER (ED 7-15)		3,700,000	3,700,000
ANCHORAGE - SOLID WASTE FACILITY (ED 7-15)		2,315,000	2,315,000
ED 16 MATANUSKA - SUSITHA			
WASILLA - SEPTIC TREATMENT (ED 16)		185,000	185,000
WASILLA - WASTEWATER FACILITY (ED 16)		1,178,500	1,178,500
PALMER - SEWAGE PLANT EXPANSION (ED 16)		2,370,000	2,370,000
ED 17 INTERIOR HIGHWAYS			
DELTA JUNCTION - CITY LANDFILL IMPROVEMENTS (ED 17)		480,000	480,000
ED 18-21 FAIRBANKS DISTRICT			
FAIRBANKS - SEWER/DRAINAGE UPGRADE (ED 18-21)		960,000	960,000
FAIRBANKS - SLUDGE DISPOSAL FACILITY (ED 18-21)		850,000	850,000
FAIRBANKS - VAN HORN INTERCEPTOR (ED 18-21)		360,000	360,000
ED 22 NORTH SLOPE - KOTZEDUE			
KAKTOVIK - GREY WATER FACILITY (ED 22)		450,000	450,000
HOORVIK - WATER & SEWER EXPANSION (ED 22)		600,000	600,000
ED 23 NORTON SOUND			
CHEVAK - WATER & SEWER (ED 23)		798,000	798,000
GAMBELL - WATER & SEWER (ED 23)		15,000	15,000
KOTLIK - WATER & SEWER (ED 23)		22,000	22,000
NOME - WATER & SEWER SYSTEM (ED 23)		1,800,000	1,800,000
SAYVOONGA/PUNIK ISLAND - WATER & SEWER (ED 23)		452,000	452,000

GRANTS TO MUNICIPALITIES (AS 37.05.315) (CONT.)

	ALLOCATIONS	APPROPRIATION	APPROPRIATION FUND SOURCES
		ITEMS	GENERAL FUND OTHER FUNDS
SCAMMON BAY - WATER & SEWER (ED 23)		350,000	350,000
UNALAKLEET - WATER MAIN EXTENSION (ED 23)		500,000	500,000
ED 24 INTERIOR RIVERS			
ANIAK - COMMUNITY SEWER SYSTEM (ED 24)		500,000	500,000
FORT YUKON - WATER & SEWER SYSTEM (ED 24)		3,700,000	3,700,000
GALENA - WATER & SEWER (ED 24)		2,500,000	2,500,000
MCGRATH - WATER DELIVERY PHASE I (ED 24)		1,150,000	1,150,000
MINTO - WATER & SEWER PHASE I (ED 24)		1,000,000	1,000,000
NIKOLAI - WATER & SEWER ON SITE (ED 24)		400,000	400,000
NULATO - WATER & SEWER (ED 24)		1,000,000	1,000,000
ED 26 BRISTOL BAY - ALEUTIAN ISLANDS			
DILLINGHAM - AIRPORT HEIGHTS SUBDIVISION WATER (ED 26)		300,000	300,000
NEWHALEN-ILIAMNA WATER DEVELOPMENT (ED 26)		340,000	340,000
ED 27 KODIAK - EAST ALASKA PENINSULA			
AKHIOK - SANITARY LANDFILL DEVELOPMENT (ED 27)		460,000	460,000
LARSEN BAY - WATER & SEWER DEVELOPMENT (ED 27)		370,000	370,000
KODIAK - NEAR ISLAND WATER & SEWER DEVELOPMENT (ED 27)		350,000	350,000
OLD HARBOR - SEWER RENOVATION (ED 27)		1,160,000	1,160,000
PORT LIONS - BAYVIEW DRIVE SEWER PHASE I (ED 27)		132,000	132,000
BRISTOL BAY BOROUGH			
SOUTH NAKNEK - VILLAGE WELL (ED 26)		87,000	87,000

* SEC. 3 THE FOLLOWING SETS OUT THE FUNDING OF THE
PROPRIATIONS MADE IN THE PRECEDING SECTION OF THIS
T.

CAPITAL PROJECTS

GENERAL FUND

62,508,300

*** TOTAL FUNDING ***

62,508,300

* * * * * TOTAL BUDGET * * * * *

62,508,300

* SEC. 4 THIS ACT TAKES EFFECT IMMEDIATELY IN
CORDANCE WITH AS 01.10.070(C).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9

THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENT(S) MAY NOT FILM
LEGIBLY BECAUSE OF POOR QUALITY OF THE
ORIGINAL.

October 1, 1982

Dear

On June 18, 1982, we sent a letter advising you that as a result of the 1982 legislative session, we must change our method of awarding sewer, water, or solid waste grants. We enclosed a questionnaire for you to complete for each project for which you wished construction grant assistance next fiscal year. We appreciate the fact that you took time to complete a questionnaire for each of your projects. In order to keep you informed of our progress in this effort, I am presenting the following information.

We have received a total of 213 valid questionnaires from 52 communities. After receiving the questionnaires, the Department evaluated each project (using the criteria system marked as enclosure 1). The resulting evaluation was placed on word processing equipment, scores were computed, and a numeral ranking established for each project. We are submitting to the Governor, for inclusion in his capital budget, a request for \$231,400,000; \$109,000,000 for expenditure in FY 84 and \$122,400,000 for expenditure in FY 85.

The projects are being submitted in two formats. The first is a list of projects ranked using our criteria (enclosures 2 & 3). The second is a list of projects placed alphabetically by applicant, with each project listed in the priority designated by the applicant, when a priority was specified (enclosures 4 & 5). Two lists are presented in each format, one for each fiscal year.

Sufficient time was unavailable for us to solicit public comment on our criteria system this first year. We anticipate that in future years, priority lists will be developed using criteria similar to this. To refine our system and make it as responsive as possible to your needs, we would appreciate your comments concerning the criteria we used this year.

We realize that this priority-based system for ranking projects is a significant departure from the method used in the past. It has the potential to enable the Legislature to tailor our program's resources to specifically address your demand for grant assistance. Your efforts in advance planning

and projecting funding needs is very much appreciated. In order to retain maximum flexibility and to enable the grants program to respond to your needs most effectively, we have requested that the Legislature include certain language in our appropriation. These include contingency funds, (additional unencumbered funds beyond those specifically designated to individual projects), the ability to reallocate funds between projects, and to reprogram funds slated for projects which do not proceed within a year's time.

Your continued endorsement of our program and our funding request will be an important part of our success in obtaining sufficient resources from the Legislature. Thank you for your time and effort.

Sincerely,

Keith Kelton, Director
Facility Construction
and Operation

Enclosure

KK:DM:sk

THE PRECEDING DOCUMENT(S) MAY NOT FILM
LEGIBLY BECAUSE OF POOR QUALITY OF THE
ORIGINAL.

CRITERIA SYSTEM

1. Public Health Benefit Received (Points will be scored from no more than one of the items listed under this criteria (1.A.1. - 1.D.)

A. Health hazards alleviated (problem must be sufficiently reduced by this project to remove it from this category).

POINTS

- 1. Existing hazard, documented by Department as capable of causing injury, serious illness, or death to humans. 200
- 2. Potential hazard which could result in injury, serious illness, or death to humans in the near future. 100

B. Water quality problems alleviated.

- 1. Existing problem documented by Department as causing serious water quality violations adversely affecting health or welfare of humans or animals. 100
- 2. Existing problem, documented by Department as causing water quality violations not directly affecting health of humans or animals. 50

C. Water supply problems alleviated.

- 1. Community water provided to supply good quality water, replace poor quality water, or to prevent interruption of supply. 100

D. Other environmental problems alleviated (wind blown litter, obnoxious odors, etc.) 30

2. Local funding source

<u>Percent Local Funding</u>	<u>Points</u>
50% or greater	100
At least 25% but less than 50%	70
At least 10% but less than 25%	40
Less than 10%	10

3. Grant will be used to match federal funds 50

4. Population benefitted

Points for projects benefitted

	<u>Existing Population</u>	<u>New Development</u>
More than 10,000	150	50
3000-9999	120	40
1000-2999	90	30
Less than 1000	60	20

	<u>Points</u>
5. This project involves both water supply and sewerage constructions.	50
6. <u>Grant funds requested</u>	
0--\$500,000	70
\$500,000--\$2,000,000	50
More than \$2,000,000	30
7. This project is part of an overall project which is composed of more than one phase. At least one other phase has been completed, and the current project phase is necessary to complete the overall project.	50
8. <u>Part of system affected</u>	
Project will add an integral part which improves performance of system rather than extending a system to increase capacity.	50
9. <u>Segment of population benefitting</u>	
More than 90% of project directly benefits residential or commercial users (not industrial or fire flow).	100
More than 60% (but less than 90%) of project directly benefits residential or commercial users.	50
More than 30% (but less than 60%) of project directly benefits residential or commercial users.	30
10. Project needs to be built in conjunction with other projects, such as road paving.	100
11. Project is part of a comprehensive development plan.	30

Local priority will be used to differentiate between local projects having an equal number of total points. If relative rankings of local projects, based upon total points, differs from local priority ranking, the differences will be negotiated with the responsible local authorities.

Readiness to proceed will be used as a criteria for separating projects into two groups.

- 1) If the grantee will be ready to proceed with construction on the project by July 1, 1983, it will be placed on list 1.
- 2) If the grantee will be ready to proceed with construction on the project by July 1, 1984, it will be placed on list 2.

- 3) Otherwise, it will not be considered for inclusion in the FY-84 capital budget.

Each list of projects will be ranked separately by the criteria above and submitted with our capital budget request.

ALASKA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION
PROJECT CAPITAL BUDGET QUESTIONNAIRE

Please complete a copy of this questionnaire for each capital project for which you anticipate requesting water, sewerage, or solid waste grant assistance under AS 46.03.030. A questionnaire should be completed for all unfunded projects for which you are requesting assistance, even if you have previously submitted a grant application. Please answer all questions as completely as possible, since this will be the only source of data used in preparing the Department's capital budget request.

- 1) Your Name _____ Telephone _____ Date _____
- 2) Municipality Represented: _____
- 3) Name of Project: _____
- 4) Local priority of this project compared to other questionnaires submitted by the municipality # _____
- 5) Type of Project: Water _____ Sewage _____ Solid Waste _____

Detailed Description of Project (Include location, if known; scope of project; existence and/or condition of present water, sewerage, or solid waste services, as appropriate; or adequacy of existing facilities to handle increased demand as a result of this project):

- 6) Describe Need for Project _____

7) List specific health benefits resulting from construction of this project.

8) Existing population directly benefiting from this project:

9) Describe any improvements to the environment due to construction of this project:

- a) Eliminate or Reduce Ground Water Contamination: _____
- b) Improve Receiving Water Quality: _____
- c) Reduce Wind Blown Litter: _____
- d) Other: _____

10) Category of Beneficial Use: Percentage of Users Benefitting

- a) Residential/Commercial _____ %
- b) Industrial _____ %
- c) Fire Protection _____ %

11) Project Schedule:

- a) Date Design to be Initiated: _____
- b) Date Design to be Completed: _____
- c) Anticipated Date of Construction Start: _____
- d) Anticipated Date of Construction Completion: _____

12) List proposed sources and amounts of funding: assume 50% state grants.

- a) Local Contribution/Source: _____
- b) Federal Grant: _____
- c) State Revenues: (List) _____

d) ADEC Grant: _____

e) Other: _____

13) Total Estimated Grant Request: _____

14) Total Estimated Project Cost: _____

15) List other projects, such as paving or other utility relocations, and their scheduled construction that impact on the scheduling for this project.

16) List any comprehensive planning document recommending this project.

17) Is this project necessary to complete an overall project for which earlier phases have already been constucted? ____ Yes. ____ No.

18) If yes, list earlier phases and explain their relationship to this project.

State Priority List
Data Entry Form

- 1. Applicant: _____
- 2. Official: _____
- 3. Title: _____
- 4. Telephone: _____
- 5. Address: _____
- 6. City: _____
- 7. State: _____
- 8. Zip Code: _____
- 9. Project: _____
- 10. Date Received: _____
- 11. Project Type: _____
- 12. Total Cost: _____
- 13. Grant Request: _____
- 14. Notice to Proceed Date: _____

	Score
Public Health Benefit Received	
Health Hazard Alleviated	
15. Existing	_____
16. Potential	_____

Water Quality Problems Alleviated	
17. Serious	_____
18. Not so Serious	_____
19. Water Supply Problems Alleviated	_____
20. Other Problems Alleviated	_____

Local Funding Source	
21. > 50	_____
22. 25-49	_____
23. 10-24	_____
24. < 10	_____

25. Grant Used to Match Federal Funds _____

Population Benefitting

Existing Population	
26. > 10,000	_____
27. 3,000-9,999	_____
28. 1,000-2,999	_____
29. < 1,000	_____

New Development	
30. > 10,000	_____
31. 3,000-9,999	_____
32. 1,000-2,999	_____
33. < 1,000	_____

34. Combined Project _____

	Grant Funds Requested	<u>Score</u>
35.	< 500,000	_____
36.	500,000-2,000,000	_____
37.	> 2,000,000	_____
38.	Part of Overall Project	_____
39.	Improve System Performance	_____
	Benefit Residential/Commercial Users	
40.	> 90%	_____
41.	60-90%	_____
42.	30-60%	_____
43.	Conjunction With Other Project	_____
44.	Part of Comprehensive Development	_____
45.	Local Priority -	_____
46.	Out of Total Projects	_____
47.	Total Score	_____

COMPARISON SB 150 AND SB 162
 FY 83 SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATION
 April 11, 1983

<u>City</u>	<u>Project</u>	<u>SB 15/150</u>	<u>Source</u>	<u>SB 162</u>	<u>Source</u>
Akhiok	Sanitary Landfill Development	460,000	Direct		
Akolmiut	Sanitation Bunkers			22,000	Direct
Alakanuk	Water and Sewer			840,000	Direct
Ambler	Water and Sewer			150,000	Direct
Anchorage	A/B Street East 56th Ext.	8,200	ADEC		
	Abbott Loop Manor LID 132	292,700	ADEC		
	Abbott Loop 30" Tudor to 68th	537,300	ADEC		
	Alpine Village LID	238,200	ADEC		
	Atkins LID	19,400	ADEC		
	Barbara Street Extension	55,200	ADEC		
	Broadmoor Estates Upgrade	14,000	ADEC		
	Brown LID	103,000	ADEC		
	Chugach Way/Arctic to Spenard Road	136,000	ADEC		
	Eagle River Chugiak Water	3,700,000	ADEC		
	C-3 Trunk - North of Sand Lake	150,900	ADEC		
	C-5-2 Trunk	264,000	ADEC		
	Dowling Road/New Seward to Petersburg	101,000	ADEC		
	Distribution Reservoir Eagle River	180,000	ADEC		
	Eagle River System Inter-ties	220,000	ADEC		
	E-4A Trunk	240,000	ADEC		
	E-4C Trunk	216,000	ADEC		
	Juanita Loop/Santa Maria Drive	300,800	ADEC		
	Juanita Loop/Santa Maria Drive	369,500	ADEC		
	Knik Interceptor CMP Upgrade	589,600	ADEC		
	Lake Otis/Abbott Rd to O'Malley	375,000	ADEC		
	Library LID	301,200	ADEC		
	Manor Street Upgrade	28,400	ADEC		
	Point Woronzof Wastewater Plant			3,300,000	ADEC
	Production Well #14	180,000	ADEC		
	Rabbit Creek Force Main -E-7 Trunk	2,400,000	ADEC		
	Rosewood LID	140,200	ADEC		
	S.E. Interceptor, Huffman to DeArmoun	600,000	ADEC		
	Shackleton LID	70,500	ADEC		
	Solid Waste Facility	629,000	ADEC		
	S.E. Interceptor/Roy to Huffman	1,250,000	ADEC		

<u>City</u>	<u>Project</u>	<u>SB 15/150</u>	<u>Source</u>	<u>SB 162</u>	<u>Source</u>
Anchorage	Spruce Street/Lore Road to 68th Avenue	128,000	ADEC		
	Stella LID	107,900	ADEC		
	West Interceptor, Phase II	2,125,000	ADEC		
	W. 44th Place Extension	25,700	ADEC		
	W. 44th Sewer Extension	34,600	ADEC		
	Windemere Upgrade	28,700	ADEC		
	Woodstave Line Replacement	153,000	ADEC		
	Zodiak LID	60,300	ADEC		
	Zurick LID	117,900	ADEC		
	8th Avenue Diversion Upgrade	37,500	ADEC		
	10 MG Reservoir Grant Increase	360,000	ADEC		
	10-11 Alley North to "P" Street Upgrade	22,000	ADEC		
80th Avenue East of Lake Otis	40,000	ADEC			
Aniak	Community Sewer System	500,000	Direct		
Bethel	Sewer Line Extension			400,000	ADEC
Bristol Bay Borough	Naknek Sewage Facility			675,000	ADEC
	South Naknek Village Well	87,000	Direct		
Buckland	Water & Sewer System			250,000	Direct
Chevak	Water & Sewer	798,000	Direct		
Cordova	Morpac Parallel Water Line	60,000	ADEC		
	Power Creek Project	400,000	ADEC		
	Small Boat Harbor Water Line	221,300	ADEC		
Craig	Water & Sewer Phase I Completion	1,000,000	Direct		
Delta Junction	Landfill	480,000	Direct	500,000	Direct
Dillingham	Water Improvements	300,000	Direct		
Emmonak	Water & Sewer			1,820,000	Direct

<u>City</u>	<u>Project</u>	<u>SB 15/150</u>	<u>Source</u>	<u>SB 162</u>	<u>Source</u>
Fairbanks, City of	NE Water Transmission	1,980,000	ADEC		
	Sewer/Drainage Upgrade	960,000	Direct		
	Sewer Lift Station, Phase II	425,000	Direct		
	Sludge Disposal Facility	850,000	Direct		
	Sludge Disposal Facility, Phase II	187,500	ADEC		
	Van Horn Interceptor	360,000	Direct		
Fairbanks, North Star Borough	Ballaine Lake Sewer Service	700,000	Direct		
Fort Yukon	Water & Sewer System	5,400,000	Direct		
Galena	Water & Sewer	2,500,000	Direct		
Gambell	Water & Sewer	15,000	Direct		
Haines	Allen Rd to Sawmill Rd Waterline	33,500	Direct		
	Mud Bay Road Water Line			35,000	ADEC
	Water & Sewer Line Replacement			125,000	Direct
	4th Avenue Water Line Improvements			40,000	ADEC
Homer	Cooper Subdivision Water and Sewer	285,000	ADEC		
	Mattox Water and Sewer	62,500	ADEC		
	Water & Sewer	200,000	Direct		
Hoonah	Landfill Capping and Access Road			200,000	Direct
Houston	Houston Sanitary Landfill	70,000	ADEC		
Hydaburg	Solid Waste Disposal Relocation			15,000	Direct
	Water and Sewer Upgrade	1,720,000	Direct		
	Water System Pumphouse Improvements			6,000	Direct
Igiugig	Water/Sewer/Solid Waste	838,000	Direct		
Juneau	Gold Creek Flume			600,000	ADEC
	Lemon Creek Distribution Improvements	250,000	Direct		
	Well #3 Connection	37,500	Direct		
	Salmon Creek Water Connection			1,050,000	ADEC
	Water Improvements			1,269,000	Direct
	12th St. to Douglas Bridge 12" Connect.	525,000	Direct		

<u>City</u>	<u>Project</u>	<u>SB 15/150</u>	<u>Source</u>	<u>SB 162</u>	<u>Source</u>
Kake	Water Supply Tank	125,000	ADEC		
Kaktovik	Grey Water Facility	450,000	Direct		
Kenai	Candlelight/Linwood/Aurora	535,000	ADEC		
	Evergreen/Haller Water and Sewer	700,000	ADEC		
Kenai Peninsula Borough	Ninilchik Landfill	100,000	ADEC		
Kenny Lake	Water, Sewer & Public Building	148,000	Direct		
Ketchikan	Hawkins - Carlanna	90,600	ADEC		
	Heath Addition	196,700	ADEC		
	Mental Health Water and Sewer	34,600	ADEC		
	Washington Park Addition	303,500	ADEC		
Ketchikan Pub Util.	Fairview-Jackson Water	161,600	ADEC		
Klawock	Half-Mile Water Line			385,250	ADEC
	Water & Sewer Improvements	500,000	Direct		
Kodiak	Ismailov Street and Ninth Avenue Water	300,000	ADEC		
	Near Island Water & Sewer Development	350,000	Direct		
	11th Avenue Water Line	250,000	ADEC		
Kodiak Island Borough	Kodiak Water & Sewer Phase II			2,125,000	Direct
Kotlik	Water & Sewer	22,000	Direct		
Kotzebue	Water/Sewer Expansion	1,100,000	Direct	1,000,000	Direct
Larsen Bay	Water & Sewer Development	370,000	Direct		
Metlakatla	Water & Sewer			222,000	ADEC
McGrath	Water Delivery Phase I	1,150,000	Direct		

<u>City</u>	<u>Project</u>	<u>SB 15/150</u>	<u>Source</u>	<u>SB 162</u>	<u>Source</u>
Minto	Water & Sewer Phase I	1,000,000	Direct		
Newhalen	Iliamna Water Development	340,000	Direct		
Nikolai	Water and Sewer on Site	400,000	Direct		
Nome	Belmont Point Water/ Sewer Phase II			308,000	Direct
	Water & Sewer System Water Reservoir, Phase II	1,000,000	Direct	500,000	Direct
Noorvik	Water & Sewer Expansion	600,000	Direct		
North Pole	Water Treatment Plant Expansion	1,500,000	ADEC	235,000	Direct
Nulato	Water & Sewer Phase I	1,000,000	Direct		
Nunapitchik	Water Improvements			72,000	Direct
Old Harbor	Sewer Renovation	1,160,000	Direct		
Palmer	Sewage Plant Expansion	2,370,000	Direct		
Petersburg	Water Storage Facility	338,500	ADEC		
Port Alexander	Waterline Purchase & Installation			57,000	ADEC
Port Lions	Bayview Drive Sewer Phase I	132,000	Direct		
Saint Michael	Water & Sewer	600,000	Direct	1,900,000	Direct
Sand Point	Meadows Subdivision, Phase I W&S			1,868,000	ADEC
Savoonga/ Island	Water & Sewer	452,000	Direct		
Scammon Bay	Water & Sewer	350,000	Direct		
Seldovia	Water Sewer Extension			560,000	Direct

<u>City</u>	<u>Project</u>	<u>SB 15/150</u>	<u>Source</u>	<u>SB 162</u>	<u>Source</u>
Seward	Terminal Addition Water & Sewer	1,562,700	ADEC		
Shaktoolik	Water and Sewer			1,000,000	Direct
Shishmaref	Water and Sewer			1,000,000	Direct
Shungnak	Water and Sewer System			400,000	Direct
Sitka	Blue Lake Water Source	2,140,000	Direct		
	Blue Lake Water Source/Solid Waste			1,028,700	Direct
	Solid Waste Disposal System	1,750,000	ADEC		
Skagway	Water & Sewer Completion			761,000	Direct
Soldotna	Binkley Street Water and Sewer	74,500	ADEC		
	Redoubt Avenue Water and Sewer	187,600	ADEC		
	Water Reservoir and Transmission	750,000	ADEC		
	Wilson Lane Water and Sewer	11,000	ADEC		
Tatitlek	Water/Sewer/Electrical Extension			41,000	Direct
Tuntutuliak	Sanitary Waste Disposal Site			50,000	Direct
Unalakleet	Water Main Extension	500,000	Direct		
Valdez	Landfill Improvements	100,000	ADEC		
	N Harbor/Kennicott/S Harbor Dr W & S	650,000	ADEC		
	Old Landfill Reclamation	51,000	ADEC		
Wasilla	Septic Treatment	185,000	Direct	186,000	Direct
	Wastewater Facility	1,178,500	Direct		
	Water/Sewer Extension			121,200	ADEC
Wrangell	Evergreen Water and Sewer Extension			1,046,000	ADEC
Yakutat	Ocean Cape Rd Spur Water Extension			21,000	Direct
	Studies (Anvik, Eek, Goodnews Bay, Telida, Platinum)	200,000	ADEC		

Article 2. Department of Environmental Conservation.

Section

- 20. Powers of the department
- 30. Grants for water supply, sewerage and solid waste facilities
- 40. Alaska environmental plan

Collateral references. — 61A Am. Jur. 2d, Pollution Control, § 6.
 39A C.J.S., Health and Environment, §§ 5, 9-15, 125-145.
 Power of state to prohibit or restrict exportation of natural resources. 32 ALR 331.
 Preservation or protection of animals or

birds as subject of charitable trust. 66 ALR 465.
 Constitutionality of reforestation or forest conservation legislation. 13 ALR2d 1095.
 Right to maintain action to enjoin public nuisance as affected by existence of pollution control agency. 60 ALR3d 665.

Sec. 46.03.020. Powers of the department. The department may

- (1) enter into contracts necessary or convenient to carry out the functions, powers and duties of the department;
- (2) review and appraise programs and activities of state departments and agencies in light of the policy set out in AS 46.03.010 for the purpose of determining the extent to which the programs and activities are contributing to the achievement of that policy and to make recommendations to the departments and agencies, including but not limited to, environmental guidelines;
- (3) consult with and cooperate with
 - (A) officials and representatives of any nonprofit corporation or organization in the state;
 - (B) persons, organizations and groups, public and private, using, served by, interested in or concerned with the environment of the state;
- (4) appear and participate in proceedings before any state or federal regulatory agency involving or affecting the purposes of the department;
- (5) undertake studies, inquiries, surveys or analyses it may consider essential to the accomplishment of the purposes of the department; these activities may be carried out by the personnel of the department or in cooperation with public or private agencies, including educational, civic and research organizations, colleges, universities, institutes and foundations;
- (6) at reasonable times enter and inspect with the consent of the owner or occupier any property or premises to investigate either actual or suspected sources of pollution or contamination or to ascertain compliance or noncompliance with a regulation which may be promulgated under AS 46.03.020 — 46.03.040; information relating to secret processes or methods of manufacture discovered during investigation is confidential;

(7) conduct investigations and hold hearings and compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of accounts, books and documents by the issuance of a subpoena;

(8) advise and cooperate with municipal, regional and other local agencies and officials in the state, to carry out the purposes of this chapter;

(9) act as the official agency of the state in all matters affecting the purposes of the department under federal laws now or hereafter enacted;

(10) adopt regulations necessary to effectuate the purposes of this chapter, including, by way of example and not limitation, regulations providing for

(A) control, prevention and abatement of air, water, or land or subsurface land pollution;

(B) safeguard standards for petroleum and natural gas pipeline construction, operation, modification or alteration;

(C) protection of public water supplies by establishing minimum drinking water standards, and standards for the construction, improvement, and maintenance of public water supply systems;

(D) collection and disposal of sewage and industrial waste;

(E) collection and disposal of garbage, refuse, and other discarded solid materials from industrial, commercial, agricultural and community activities or operations;

(F) Repealed by § 12 ch 172 SLA 1978.

(G) control of pesticides;

(H) such other purposes as may be required for the implementation of the policy declared in AS 46.03.010;

(I) handling, transportation, treatment, storage, and disposal of hazardous wastes;

(11) after consultation with other state agencies and local government officials, identify and propose for additional or deletion, by regulation, other licenses, permits or authorizations for which the provisions of AS 46.35 are applicable, and report annually to the legislature the permits which have been included or deleted. (§ 3 ch 120 SLA 1971; am § 1 ch 220 SLA 1976; am § 2 ch 60 SLA 1977; am § 12 ch 172 SLA 1978; am § 8 ch 93 SLA 1981)

Revisor's notes. — In ch. 120, SLA 1971, paragraph (6) of this section contained a reference to AS 46.03.030 — 46.03.040. The engrossed version of the bill (SB 75 am H) referred to "this article" which includes AS 46.03.020; therefore the provision has been corrected here to a reference to AS 46.03.020 — 46.03.040. In paragraph (11), a reference to AS 46.35 was substituted for a reference to 46.30 by the revisor of statutes under the authority of AS 01.05.031.

Cross references. — For status of enforcement and inspection employees of the Department of Environmental Conservation as peace officers, see AS 46.04.090(b). For provisions relating to environmental procedures coordination, see AS 46.35.010 et seq.

Effect of amendments. — The 1977 amendment added paragraph (11).

The 1978 amendment, in paragraph (10), repealed subparagraph (F), which read "control of radiation sources to pro-

hibit and prevent

The 1981 amendment, paragraph (I) in paragraph (11)

Editor's note: SLA 1978, providing authority

Sec. 46.03.030
waste facilities

(b) The department shall, by regulation, establish, up to 5 percent of the total cost of the project, the terms and conditions for which construction projects are eligible for financing and maintenance. The eligible projects shall be determined by the department. On projects of the department, the department shall, if available, eliminate the need for financing and maintenance with the department.

(c) There shall be no charge for the creation of the project.

(d) The department shall, if available, eliminate the need for financing and maintenance of the costs established for the construction, financing and maintenance of the project.

(e) The department shall, if available, eliminate the need for financing and maintenance of the costs established for the construction, financing and maintenance of the project. The department shall, if available, eliminate the need for financing and maintenance of the costs established for the construction, financing and maintenance of the project. The department shall, if available, eliminate the need for financing and maintenance of the costs established for the construction, financing and maintenance of the project.

(§ 3 ch 168 SLA 1978)

hibit and prevent unnecessary radiation."

The 1961 amendment added subparagraph (I) in paragraph (10).

Editor's notes. — Section 10, ch. 172, SLA 1978, provides: "Regulations adopted under authority of statutes repealed or

amended by this Act shall remain in effect until repealed by the Department of Environmental Conservation in consultation with the Department of Health and Social Services."

Sec. 46.03.030. Grants for water supply, sewerage and solid waste facilities. (a) Repealed by § 19 ch 220 SLA 1976.

(b) The department may grant to a municipality, as funds are available, up to 50 percent of eligible costs not financed by the federal government, for public water supply, treatment and distribution systems and public sewage collection, treatment and discharge facilities for which construction has not commenced on or before June 21, 1976. The eligible cost of a project or portions of a project will be as determined by the federal agency granting the most monetary assistance. On projects or portions of projects, for which federal participation is not available, eligible costs will be determined by the department in accordance with (d) of this section. Projects shall be constructed in accordance with plans and specifications approved by the department.

(c) There is a water supply, sewerage and solid waste facilities fund created in the department to carry out the purposes of this section.

(d) The department shall, by regulation, identify those costs which are eligible costs for the purposes of this section. Eligible costs include the costs established in a construction contract which are necessary for construction of a project, but do not include the cost of interest and financing and right-of-way acquisition, or costs related to operation, maintenance, repair or replacement of a project.

(e) The department may grant to a municipality not more than 50 percent of the eligible costs, including costs of obtaining federal waivers from the requirement for secondary treatment plants, which are not paid for by the federal government for solid waste processing or disposal facilities constructed after July 1, 1980. However, the department may grant a municipality up to 60 percent of the eligible costs not paid for by the federal government for a solid waste processing or disposal facility constructed after July 1, 1980, if the facility is used for resource recovery. The eligible costs of a solid waste processing or disposal facility are determined by the federal agency granting the most monetary assistance for construction of the facility. For a solid waste processing or disposal facility for which federal money is not available, the department shall determine the eligible costs in accordance with (d) of this section. A municipality shall construct solid waste processing or disposal facilities financed by grants under this section according to plans and specifications approved by the department. (§ 3 ch 120 SLA 1971; am §§ 2, 19 ch 220 SLA 1976; am §§ 30, 31 ch 168 SLA 1978; am §§ 1-4 ch 163 SLA 1980)

Effect of amendments. — The 1978 amendment added "in accordance with (d) of this section" to the end of the third sentence of subsection (b) and added subsection (d).

The 1980 amendment deleted "the lesser of" preceding "50 percent of" and "the" preceding "eligible costs", and substituted

"costs" for "cost or 50 percent of the eligible cost," near the beginning of subsection (b), substituted a comma for "and" following "water supply," and "and solid waste facilities" for "systems" in subsection (c), rewrote subsection (d), and added subsection (e).

Sec. 46.03.040. Alaska environmental plan. (a) The department shall formulate and annually review and revise a statewide environmental plan for the management and protection of the quality of the environment and the natural resources of the state, in furtherance of the legislative policy and purposes expressed in this chapter.

(b) The department shall submit the first plan to the governor on or before January 1, 1972, and thereafter submit periodic revisions of the plan to the governor. The plan is effective upon approval by the governor and shall serve thereafter as a guide to the public, the state government and the political subdivisions of the state in the development of the environment and natural resources of the state.

(c) In formulating the plan and any revisions, the department may consult with persons, organizations and groups, public or private, interested in or concerned with the environment of the state, and with a department, division, board, commission or other agency of the state, with a political subdivision, or with any public authority as may be necessary to enable the department to carry out its responsibilities under this section. (§ 3 ch 120 SLA 1971)

Article 3. Water Pollution Control.

Section

- 50. Authority
- 60. Water pollution control plan
- 70. Pollution standards
- 80. Quality and purity standards
- 90. Plans for pollution disposal

Section

- 100. Waste disposal permit
- 110. Waste disposal permit procedure
- 120. Termination or modification of waste disposal permit
- 130. [Repealed]

Collateral references. — 61A Am. Jur. 2d, Pollution Control, §§ 134, 135, 211.

39A C.J.S., Health and Environment, §§ 125-128, 131; 93 C.J.S., Waters, §§ 43-57.

Pollution of stream by mining operations. 39 ALR 891.

Injunction against pollution of stream by private persons or corporations. 46 ALR 8.

Wrongful pollution of stream by munic-

ipality as creating single cause of action or successive causes of action. 75 ALR 529.

When statute of limitations commences to run as to action against municipality for damages to riparian premises by pollution of stream by discharge of sewage. 122 ALR 1509.

Tenant's remedy against stranger for wrongful pollution of waters. 12 ALR2d 1234.

Measure and elements of damages for

pollution of ALR2d 769.

Liability for water, or the ALR2d 1033

Liability for waters. 38 AL

Validity, co

ute, ordinance

chemical treat

43 ALR2d 45;

Measure ar

pollution of st

Validity of

Sec. 46. prevent an SLA 1971)

Sec. 46. shall devel state and c for the disc

Collateral board's powe keeping wat ALR 228.

Constitutio statutes for p supply, 72 AL

Sec. 46. departmen what qual actually or the public their grow commercia purposes. (

Sec. 46. public hea standards state into departmer best usage modify cla

Collateral prescribing :

Department of Transportation and Public Facilities. All bids with the names of the bidders and the amounts of the bids, together with all documents pertaining to the award of a contract, shall be made a part of a file or record and retained by the department for three years, unless reproduced by microfilming and these files or records are open to public inspection at all reasonable times. An aggrieved bidder may within five days after an award of contract appeal to the department for hearing, with notice to interested parties, for redetermination and final award in accordance with law.

(b) A contract for professional services shall be awarded in accordance with AS 24.23 or AS 36.98. (§ 4 art IV ch 82 SLA 1955; am § 1 ch 64 SLA 1976; am Executive Order No. 39, § 11 (1977); am § 9 ch 144 SLA 1982)

Effect of amendments. — The 1982 amendment, effective July 22, 1982, designated the former provisions of this section as subsection (a), and in that subsection, added "Except as otherwise provided in AS 37.05.230" to the beginning, deleted "taking into consideration conformity with

the specifications, terms of delivery, and other conditions imposed in the call for bids" from the end of the first sentence, and substituted the present second, third, and fourth sentences for those set out in the main pamphlet. The amendment also added subsection (b).

Article 5. General Provisions.

Section

- 315. Grants to municipalities
- 316. Grants to named recipients
- 317. Grants to unincorporated communities

Section

- 318. Exemption from regulations
- 319. Definitions

Sec. 37.05.300. Interpretation of AS 37.05.010 — 37.05.330.

Opinions of attorney general. — As to applicability of the chapter to the University of Alaska, see notes under this catchline following chapter heading.

Sec. 37.05.315. Grants to municipalities. (a) When an amount is appropriated or allocated as a grant to a municipality, the Department of Administration shall promptly notify the municipality of the availability of the grant. When the Department of Administration receives an agreement executed by the municipality which provides that the municipality (1) will spend the grant for the purposes specified in the appropriation or allocation; (2) will allow, on request, an audit by the state of the uses made of the grant; and (3) assures that, to the extent consistent with the purpose of the appropriation or allocation, the facilities and services provided with the grant will be available for the use of the general public, the Department of Administration shall pay the grant directly to the municipality. The agreement executed by a municipality under this section shall be on a form furnished by the Department of Administration and shall be executed within 60 days after the effective date of the appropriation or allocation.

(b) An appropriation or allocation for a grant to a municipality for construction of a public facility lapses if substantial, ongoing work on the project has not begun within five years after the effective date of the appropriation or allocation.

(c) In accepting a grant of money for construction of a public facility, a municipality covenants with the state that it will operate and maintain the facility for the practical life of the facility and that the municipality will not look to the state to operate or maintain the facility or pay for its operation or maintenance. This requirement does not apply to a grant of money for repair or improvement of an existing facility operated or maintained by the state at the time the grant is accepted if the repair or improvement for which the grant is made will not substantially increase the operating or maintenance costs to the state.

(d) Not less than 20 percent of a grant shall be paid to a municipality within 10 days of the effective date of the agreement under (a) of this section. The remainder of the grant shall be paid either in monthly installments equal to the amount of grant money the municipality expended in the previous month or in a lump sum as determined by the Department of Administration. (§ 1 ch 156 SLA 1980; am § 1 ch 4 SLA 1982)

Effect of amendments. — The 1982 amendment, effective January 16, 1982, in subsection (a), substituted "amount is appropriated or allocated" for "appropriation is made" in the first sentence, inserted "or allocation" in items (1) and (3) in the second sentence, and added "and shall be executed within 60 days after the effective date of the appropriation or allocation" to the end of the third sentence; redesignated the former fourth and fifth sentences of subsection (a) as subsection (d); inserted "or allocation" in two places in subsection (b); substituted "a" for "each" preceding

"municipality covenants" and "the practical life of the facility and that the municipality" for "its practical life and that it" in subsection (c); added the second sentence of subsection (c); deleted the provisions of former subsections (d), (f), and (g), which may now be found in AS 37.05.316, 37.05.317, and 37.05.318, respectively; and in present subsection (d), added "under (a) of this section" to the end of the first sentence. The substance of the provisions of former subsection (e) may now be found at the end of the third sentence of subsection (a).

Sec. 37.05.316. Grants to named recipients. When an amount is appropriated or allocated to a department as a grant for a named recipient which is not a municipality, the department to which the appropriation or allocation is made shall promptly notify the named recipient of the availability of the grant and request the named recipient to submit a proposal to provide the goods or services specified in the appropriation act, or both, for which the appropriation or allocation is made. At the same time, the department may issue a request for proposals from other qualified persons to provide the same goods or services, or both, in the same area. The department shall contract with the named recipient unless the Office of the Governor, with due regard for any local expertise or experience among those making proposals, determines that an award of the contract to a different party would