

S

B

7

4

1

COMMITTEE REPORT
SENATE

211/82

FURTHER: ~~None~~

Date: March 17, 1982

Mr. President:

The Committee on JUDICIARY has had SB 741
child support enforcement

under consideration and (a majority of the committee) (the committee) reports it back with the following recommendations:

- do pass do not pass
- do pass with attached amendments(s)
- replace with CS for SB 741 same title
 new title
- and recommends AS INDIVIDUALS RECOMMENDATIONS
- AND attaches a "Letter of Intent" New Fiscal Note
- reports it back without recommendation
- referred to the _____ Committee

MEMBERS SIGNING
DO PASS

MEMBERS HAVING
OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS:

D. B. H. (unclear) H. H. H.

CHAIRMAN

Original sponsor: Eliason

1 IN THE SENATE BY THE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE

2 CS FOR SENATE BILL NO. 741 (Judiciary)

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 TWELFTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to child support enforcement."

7 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

8 * Section 1. AS 09.65.132(b) is amended to read:

9 (b) An income assignment order shall direct the obligor, the

10 obligor's [HIS] employer, future employer, and any person, political

11 subdivision, or department of the state to assign money due or to be due

12 the obligor to the obligee or to the child support enforcement agency

13 (AS 47.23) in an amount sufficient to meet the support payments imposed

14 by the court or by the child support enforcement agency under AS 47.-

15 23.140.

16 * Sec. 2. AS 09.65.132(h) is amended to read:

17 (h) The court may order ~~an obligor to pay~~ ^{THE PAYMENT OF} all courts costs and

18 reasonable attorney fees involved in an income assignment proceeding

19 under this section.

ADOPTED
M.D.

20 * Sec. 3. AS 25.25.010(6) is amended to read:

21 * ~~Sec. 1~~ (6) "duty of support" includes a duty of support imposed or

22 imposable by law, or by a court order, decree or judgment, whether

23 interlocutory or final, whether incidental to a proceeding for divorce,

24 legal separation, separate maintenance or otherwise, and includes the

25 duty to pay arrearages of support past due and unpaid together with

26 penalties and interest on arrearages;

27 * Sec. 4. AS 47.23.020(2)(C) is amended to read:

28 * - 2 (C) a uniform schedule of penalties and interest on

29 arrearages of support that shall [FEES WHICH MAY] be charged to the

1 obligor upon notice if [THE] child support payments are 10 or more
2 days overdue or if payment is made by a check backed by insuffi-
3 cient funds; [.]

4 * Sec. 5. AS 47.23.020 is amended by adding a new paragraph to read:

5 (6) disburse child support payments collected by the agency
6 to the obligee together with interest charged under (2)(C) of this
7 section.

8 * Sec. 6. AS 47.23 is amended by adding a new section to read:

9 Sec. 47.23.035. PENALTIES RETAINED. The agency may retain penal-
10 ties charged under AS 47.23.020(2)(C).

11 * Sec. 7. AS 47.23 is amended by adding a new section to read:

12 Sec. 47.23.105. AUDIT OF COLLECTIONS. Within 10 working days
13 after receipt of a written request from an obligor or the obligor's
14 legal representative, the agency shall provide a certified audit of all
15 child support payments made by the obligor and received by the agency.
16 The audit shall include the date and amount of each payment, the name of
17 the obligee, and the total amount of arrearages of support past due and
18 amount of unpaid penalties and interest imposed under AS 47.23.020(c).
19 The agency is required to provide an audit for an obligor under this
20 section only once each year.

21 * Sec. 8. AS 47.23.110(3) is amended to read:

22 ~~Sec 8~~ *Sec 3* (3) "duty of support" includes a duty of child support imposed
23 or imposable by law, by a court order, decree or judgment, or by a
24 finding or decision rendered under this chapter whether interlocutory or
25 final, whether incidental to a proceeding for divorce, legal separation,
26 separate maintenance, or otherwise, and includes the duty to pay arrear-
27 ages of support past due and unpaid together with penalties and interest
28 on arrearages imposed under AS 47.23.020(2)(C);

29 * Sec. 9. AS 47.23.070 is repealed.

STATE OF ALASKA
THE LEGISLATURE

POUCH Y - STATE CAPITOL
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99811
907-465-3800

LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS AGENCY

MEMORANDUM

March 16, 1982

SUBJECT: Child support enforcement
(CSSB 741)

TO: Senator Patrick M. Rodey
Chairman, Senate Judiciary
Committee

FROM: Tamara Brandt Cook
Legislative Counsel

TBC

Here is the committee substitute you requested in final. In addition, you asked me to review whether AS 47.23.070 should be repealed, and, in particular, whether AS 09.65.132 allows for a court to order a wage assignment for child support by both parents as does AS 47.23.070.

AS 09.65.132(a) requires any judgment, court order or order of the child support enforcement agency providing for child support to contain an income assignment order. Since, both parents have a legal responsibility to furnish support for a child, the order could require wage assignments from both parents when the child is not living with one parent and being directly supported by that parent. Although the rest of the section refers to the "obligor" this in no way implies that a court may order only one person to furnish support. AS 01.10.050(b) provides with regard to all statutes that words in the singular include the plural.

AS 47.23.070 appears to conflict with AS 09.65.132 in several respects. AS 47.23.070(a) authorizes but does not require a court to order a wage assignment in a judgment for child support. It does not require an order of the child support enforcement agency to contain a wage assignment order at all. In addition, it authorizes an assignment of "salary or wages" only. AS 09.65.132(g) is broader in that it authorizes the court to assign any money owed to the obligor that is not exempt by law. It also provides an

Senator Patrick M. Rodey

Page 2

March 16, 1982

exemption of 50 percent of gross wages or \$100 a week. AS 09.65.132(c), (d), and (e) set out procedures under which a wage assignment order will take effect, including notice, hearing and other requirements. The order does not automatically take effect, but may take effect on request of a person or agency designated to receive support payments. On the other hand, an order issued under AS 47.23.070 takes effect immediately, although an employer is not required to comply until served with a copy of the order. AS 47.23.070 authorizes an employer to deduct \$1 from wages owed to an employee who has had wages assigned for child support, while AS 09.65.132 does not contain a similar provision.

Other provisions of AS 47.23.070 and 09.65.132, such as (d) of the former and (f) of the latter, are simply redundant.

For the foregoing reasons, either AS 47.23.070 or AS 09.-65.132 should be repealed.

TBC:ljb

Dear Janna:

Child Support Enforcement;

Suggested amendments:

Eliason's amend: write out in bill that parent with child gets interest collected, dept. gets penalties. SUPPORT

Parr's amend: paying parent may get print-out of wages garnished or assigned upon request. SUPPORT (oppose the "only once a year" concept - s/he should be able to get it when needed).

Other suggested amendments:

1. AS 09.65.132 should be included in the bill, also:

" amended to read:

(b) An income assignment order shall direct the obligor, his employer, future employer, and any person, political subdivision, or department of the state to assign money due or to be due the obligor to the custodian or the child enforcement agency (AS...) in an amount sufficient to meet the support payments and arrearages and penalties and interest imposed by the court or by the child support enforcement agency under AS...."

2. AS 09.65.132 could also be amended to include the cost to the mother or custodial parent of attorneys' fees when forced to go to court to collect child support.

"amended to read:

(h) The court may order an obligor to pay all courts costs and actual attorney fees involved in an income assignment proceeding under this section."

Talk to Nancy G. in Fishber's office on details.

Susan Clark



Official Business

Alaska State Legislature

Senate

Committee on Judiciary

Pouch V
State Capitol
Juneau, Alaska 99811

MINUTES OF THE SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE

OF

MARCH 17, 1982

Butrovich Committee Room, State Capitol Juneau, Alaska

Legislation Before Committee:

- HB 573 - "An Act relating to the crime of tampering with a witness."
- SB 741 - "An Act relating to child support enforcement."
- SB 633 - "An Act relating to work programs for prisoners in state institutions."
- SB 473 - "An Act relating to urban renewal and development projects of municipalities; and providing for an effective date."

The meeting of the Senate Judiciary Committee was called to order by Chairman Rodey at 1:30 P.M. Committee members present were: Senators Rodey, Parr, Ray, and Anderson. Senator Bennett was absent.

- 010 - Call to order by Chairman Rodey.
- 012 - Chairman Rodey brings SB 741 before the committee.
- 014 - Mr. Bruce gave explanation of the committee substitute.
- 037 - Senator Ray moves to adopt the committee substitute and pass the bill with individual recommendations. There was no objection.
- 085 - Next Chairman Rodey brought HB 573 before the committee.
- 100 - Mr. Bruce explains the changes that the committee substitute would make.
- 126 - Senator Ray moves to adopt the committee substitute and move the bill from committee with individual recommendations. There was no objection.
- 144 - SB 473 was the next item on the agenda.

152 - Mr. Lee Shark, City Attorney for Juneau, testified in favor of SB 473, stating it would clear up some confusion that exists in present law.

367 - Palmer McCarter, representing the Department of Community and Regional Affairs, testified in favor of the bill.

401 - Pat Anderson, representing the Municipality of Anchorage, testified in favor of SB 473.

526 - After brief discussion, Senator Parr asked that the bill be held in committee until Monday's meeting to enable time to review the bill further. There was no objection.

534 - Chairman Rodey next brought SB 633 before the committee.

540 - Senator Parr gave an overview of the bill.

581 - Mr. Walt Jones, Division of Corrections, testified in favor of SB 633.

The Committee spent considerable time discussing the merits of work programs and gratuity payments.

SIDE TWO

177 - Chairman Rodey suggests adopting amendments to SB 633 made by the Department of Health, Education, and Social Services. See attached amendments.

185 - Senator Ray objects to amendment on Page 1, Line 17. Chairman Rodey requested the Division of Corrections to review its fiscal note to insure that no fiscal impact is possible.

287 - SB 633 is laid on the table.

293 - Chairman Rodey adjourned at 2:35 P.M.

1. Page 1, line 17. Delete the last line in this paragraph and replace with:
The amount of the gratuity payment may not exceed \$3.00 a day except
in institutions where the work programs require prisoners to pay
their daily expenses.

2. Page 2 Insert after line 22:

AS 33.30.250(c) is amended to read:

(c) Whenever the prisoner is not employed and between the hours of
periods of employment, he shall be confined in jail or furlough facility
as directed by the commissioner. ((UNLESS THE COURT DIRECTS OTHERWISE))

3. Page 1 After line 27. Insert:

AS 33.30.250 is amended by adding a new sub section (d)

(d) Notwithstanding provisions of this section,, prisoners may not be
required to participate in Correctional Industries Programs except on a
voluntary basis.



Official Business

Alaska State Legislature

Senate

Committee on Judiciary

Pouch V
State Capitol
Juneau, Alaska 99811

MINUTES OF THE SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE

OF

March 12, 1982

Butrovich Committee Room, State Capitol Juneau, Alaska

Legislation Before Committee:

SB 741 - "An Act relating to child support enforcement."

SB 603 - "An Act relating to regional resource centers."

The meeting of the Senate Judiciary Committee was called to order by Chairman Rodey at 1:35 P.M. Committee members present were: Senators Rodey, Anderson, Parr, and Ray. Senator Bennett was absent.

002 - Chairman Rodey calls to order.

012 - Chairman Rodey brought SB 741 before the committee.

380 - Robin Foster, representing herself, testified against SB 741, stating she was against the whole Child Enforcement Agency.

529 - Chairman Rodey moves that the committee adopt an amendment to SB 741 on Page 1, Line 17, to delete "an obligor to pay" and insert "the payment of". There was no objection.

720 - Chairman Rodey laid SB 741 on the table and directed staff to prepare a memorandum explaining the section of the bill which repeals AS 47.23.070.

724 - Chairman Rodey next brought SB 603 before the committee.

789 - After brief discussion, Senator Anderson moves to pass the bill from committee with a do pass recommendation. There was no objection and the bill was passed.

802 - Adjourn.



Alaska State Legislature

Senate

Judiciary Committee

Pouch V
State Capitol
Juneau, Alaska 99811

Official Business

MINUTES OF THE SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE

OF

MARCH 3, 1982

Butrovich Committee Room, State Capitol Juneau, Alaska

Legislation Before Committee:

SJR 6 - Proposing an amendment to the Constitution of the State of Alaska relating to sessions of the legislature.

SB 811 - "An Act relating to compilation of the jury list."

SB 626 - "An Act relating to smoking in public places."

SB 741 - "An Act relating to child support enforcement."

The meeting of the Senate Judiciary Committee was called to order by Chairman Rodey at 1:30 P.M. Committee members present were: Senators Rodey, Ray, Bennett, Parr, and Anderson.

Chairman Rodey brought the first order of business before the committee, SB 811.

After a brief discussion, Senator Ray motioned to move SB 811 from committee with individual recommendations. There was no objection. Senators Rodey, Ray, and Bennett signed do pass. Senators Parr and Anderson signed no recommendation.

Chairman Rodey next brought SB 741 before the committee.

Senator Eliason testified in favor of SB 741 and distributed an amendment to the committee which would direct interest on delinquent payments to the obligee, and directs the penalty to the Child Enforcement Agency.

Dan Copeland, Director, Child Support Enforcement, Division of Department of Revenue, testified in opposition of SB 741, stating that it would place an extra administrative burden on the Agency for handling the cost of the enforcement. He did not think that the interest and penalty fee would add any incentive to the obligor and that it may actually decrease the amount of payments since it will add a financial cost to people who make the payments, some of whom don't want to make them anyway. The Federal Government has a law that allows them to charge a fee (10%), however, it is not enforced for the same reason. It

will require more administrative help, and they are concerned it may actually reduce the payments they currently receive.

Senator Rodey discussed the fiscal note. How much federally funded? What about non-ADFC?

Senator Parr brought to the committee's attention an incident where a man who had requested a certified copy of his check was not given one. He hired an attorney to obtain the copies and the attorney also had problems in getting the copy. Senator Parr was not aware of whether the agency ignored the request, lost it, or was giving the man the run-around, but that was how it was presented to him. Senator Parr expressed that copies should be made available of the payment upon written request.

Senator Ray stated that the number of copies should be limited so the agency isn't inundated with request. Limit it to one copy per year.

Senator Eliason stated that child support is a legal debt and we need to be able to enforce payments.

Mr. Copeland discussed the collection of fees and how it would offset the expenses of administering. He thinks it would cost considerable man hours and would not be cost effective. He compared it to the Court System that used to charge 3% to obtain a copy of the payment. They have discontinued it because it was not worth their time and administrative expense of providing the service.

Senator RoJey suggested that they lay the bill on the table and do more work with Senator Eliason. Senator Ray said that he will help work up a compromise.

Fred Jenkins, Men's Support Network and Alaska Domestic Violence Network, testified, stating that he agrees that the obligor should recognize payment. State will need more clerical work to administer. Should address penalty and interest and assign a specific amount. Look at the \$182,000 figure for clerical help and make sure it is reasonable.

The next item on the agenda was SB 62G.

Senator Parr discussed the law passed in 1975/76 dealing with smoking in public places. He stated that the Department of Environmental Conservation should change their definition regarding public places.

Karla Forsythe, General Counsel for the Alaska Court System, testified stating that the Court System does not have an official position on the bill. As the statute presently exists, smoking can occur in jury rooms. If rooms are adequately ventilated, smoking is allowed so needs of smokers and non-smokers can both be accomplished. It is a balancing situation to serve everyone's interest. Doesn't seem to interfere with allowing it in jury rooms.

Senator Parr raised the question as to whether or not there is adequate ventilation in jury rooms? All jury rooms?

Ms. Forsythe stated that again, it is a matter of balancing. Our Court Administrator believes the jury rooms are adequately ventilated.

Dr. E.S. Rabeau, stood up and indicated he had left a brief message expressing his thoughts. He asked Senator Rodey to read it or relay it to the other members of the Committee.

Senator Rodey said that basically the message is that non-smokers can risk lung cancer by being exposed to smokers.

Walt McPherson testified stating that his wife is allergic to smoking. She served on a jury and tried to get out of jury duty, but was not allowed. She was informed there would not be smoking; however, smoking did occur. She was sick for a week. He supports amendment and discussed problems in public places such as airports, restaurants, waiting areas, ferries, etc.

Senator Parr commented he also feels the problems occur in other public areas i.e. supermarkets, airports, etc., but feels jury rooms should be addressed since people don't have a choice of serving. It is unfair to expose them to the problem when they aren't there as a matter of choice.

The committee decided to hold the bill for further review. Senator Rodey adjourned the meeting at 2:40 P.M.

Since the prime sponsor of SJR 6, Senator Kelly, did not attend the meeting, that bill was not taken up.

ALASKA STATE LEGISLATURE - SENATE



SENATOR RICHARD I. ELIASON
P.O. BOX 143
SITKA, ALASKA 99835
POUCH V
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99801

COMMITTEES
FINANCE
RESOURCES
STATE AFFAIRS

February 18, 1982

M E M O R A N D U M

To: Senator Pat Rodey, Chairman
Senate Judiciary Committee

From: Senator Dick Eliason

A handwritten signature in dark ink that reads "Dick E".

Re: Senate Bill 741

S.B. 741 regarding child support enforcement has been referred to the Senate Judiciary Committee. It is a simple straight forward bill which states that when child support payments are past due there will be penalty and interest charges added to the amount due.

There is currently very little incentive to make child support payments on time since an obligor who fails to make the required payments will only be required to pay the original amount if-and-when eventually forced to pay. This amounts to an "interest free loan" to the parent who is obligated to make child support payments and fails to do so on time.

The State Division of Child Support Enforcement has a difficult time tracking obligors and extracting delinquent payments. It seems to me that S.B. 741 would provide a possible extra incentive to obligors to make timely payments. We are not talking simply about improving bureaucratic effectiveness, we are talking about the needs of children and ways of insuring that the duty of parents to care for those needs are fulfilled.

I hope that you will schedule a hearing on S.B. 741 soon. Besides the Division of Child Support Enforcement there are a number of interested persons who would like to be notified of any scheduled hearings on the bill. If you will let me know of its scheduling, I will be happy to notify these people or provide you with a list of their names and phone numbers. I believe the bill would require only a brief hearing as it is not complicated and its benefits are direct and obvious.

A M E N D M E N T

TO: SB 741

By Eliason

Page 1, after line 20 insert:

- * Sec. 3. AS 47.23.020 is amended by adding a new paragraph to read:
 - (6) disburse child support payments collected by the agency to the obligee together with interest charged under (2)(C) of this section.
- * Sec. 4. AS 47.23.020 is amended by adding a new subsection to read:
 - (b) The agency may retain penalties charged under (a)(2)(C) of this section.

Renumber following sections accordingly.

STATE OF ALASKA

DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER

JAY S. HAMMOND, GOVERNOR

POUCH 5
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99811
PHONE: (907) 465-2300

February 24, 1982

The Honorable Patrick M. Rodey:
Chairman
Senate Judiciary Committee
Room 125 - Capitol Building
Juneau, Alaska

Dear Senator Rodey:

Re: Senate Bill No. 741

Senate Bill No. 741, an Act relating to child support enforcement, was introduced in the Senate on February 11, 1982 and was referred to the Senate Judiciary Committee.

For the consideration of the Senate Judiciary Committee, I am enclosing a copy of a Fiscal Note prepared by Mr. Dan R. Copeland, Director, Child Support Enforcement, Department of Revenue, Anchorage.

Sincerely,



R. D. Stevenson
Special Assistant

Enclosure

cc: Joseph K. Donohue
Deputy Commissioner
Department of Revenue

Dan R. Copeland, Director
Child Support Enforcement
Department of Revenue

THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA
TWELFTH LEGISLATURE

FISCAL NOTE

I. REQUEST

Bill/Resolution No. Senate Bill 741
 Title "An Act Relating to Child Support Enforcement"
 Requested by Senator Eliason Date 2/18/82

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Agency Affected Department of Revenue
 Program Category Affected Revenue Collection and Management
 BRU, Program, Or Subprogram(s) Affected Child Support Enforcement Division
 (Note: If more than one budget component is affected, separate line-item amounts and funding for each component in the analysis section.)

EXPENDITURES (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 82	FY 83	FY 84	FY 85	FY 86	FY 87
100 PERSONAL SERVICES	128.0	128.0	140.8	140.8	154.9	154.9
200 TRAVEL	Ø					
300 CONTRACTUAL	19.4	29.4	32.3	32.3	35.6	35.6
400 COMMODITIES	1.6	1.6	1.8	1.8	1.9	1.9
500 EQUIPMENT	3.4	3.4	3.8	3.8	4.2	4.2
600 LAND & STRUCTURES	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø		
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø		
TOTAL	182.4	162.4	178.7	178.7	196.6	196.6

FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

GENERAL FUND	50.0	40.0	45.0	45.0	46.0	46.0
FEDERAL FUNDS	130.0	120.0	130.0	130.0	145.0	145.0
OTHER (Specify Source)	<u>2.4</u>	2.4	3.7	3.7	5.6	5.6
Program Receipts						

POSITIONS

FULL TIME	5	5	5	5	5	5
PART TIME						
TEMPORARY						

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instruction, Section III)

The additional accounting clerk III for each of the five teams will be responsible for handling the additional penalty and interest information. Currently, each team uses one of their six staff members to certify payment records for use in enforcement action. These payment records are a comparison of the fixed monthly obligation and the payments. The addition of a mandatory late penalty and interest will require a comparison of the monthly obligation, payments, dates of the payment, and a computation of the penalty and interest as completed each month. It is anticipated that the introduction of these variables will substantially increase the number of payment record certifications and the time required to complete each certification.

The most significant aspect of this change will come in the form of a substantial increase in the number of disputes the agency will have to settle. While settling these disputes, collections will drop on far more cases than on the number of cases where the obligor pays timely to avoid the late penalty and interest.

IV. DATE 2/18/82 PREPARED BY Dan R Copeland
 AGENCY Child Support Enforcement - Dept. of Revenue
 Original: Legislative Finance PHONE 276-3441
 cc: Budget and Management
 Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)
 33 001 (Rev. 12/81)

STATE OF ALASKA
THE LEGISLATURE

POUCH Y - STATE CAPITOL
JUNEAU, ALASKA 99811
907.465-3800

LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS AGENCY

MEMORANDUM

February 25, 1982

SUBJECT: Adoption and other issues
(HCS CSSB 399)

TO: Representative Michael F. Beirne
Chairman, House Health, Education
and Social Services Committee

FROM: Richard A. Bradley *B*
Legislative Counsel

I have combined the bill as requested.

The revisor has suggested that the bill has no single subject and that the single subject is not expressed in the title. Article II, Sec. 13, Alaska Constitution.

The suggestion may be valid. The Supreme Court has been relatively generous on the question of the disparity of topics that may be included in a bill without violating the rule. In my view, the bill is clearly on the outer edges of the rule.

RAB:ljb

Enclosure

SB741

Senator ERIKSON - Explains Problems w/ Child Support
INCENTIVE TO PAY ON TIME

Long - Primary should be discretized in Assessment.
DAN COPELAND 1.) TOO DIFFICULT OF AN ADMINISTRATIVE
TASK.

- 2.) FEDERAL GOVERNMENT HAS SIMILAR REQUIREMENTS BUT IS NOT ENFORCING
- 3.) PRIMARY OBLIGATION IS TO COLLECT PAYMENT - COLLECTING ADDITIONAL FEE WILL NOT ADD TO COLLECTIONS OF PRIMARY PAYMENTS.
- 4.) COLLECTION IN MOST DIFFICULT CASES WILL NOT BE EFFECTED.
- 5.) EXPLAINS FISCAL NOTE TO BILL FEDERAL CUTS MAY AFFECT F.N. - WOULD PROBABLY ONLY BE COLLECTING FOR AFDC CHARGELOAD - NOT PRIVATE COLLECTIONS.

Senator PARR: INTRODUCES AMENDMENT TO COMMITTEE #2

Senator PARR: WANTS TO HOLD ALL AMENDMENTS UNTIL ALL WITNESSES TESTIFY

Senator PARR: HOW MUCH MORE WOULD YOU COLLECT IN OFFSETS
IN ~~10~~ 4 years? - ANSWER \$140K PER YEAR.

FRED JENKINS SUPPORTIVE OF BILL - OBLIGOR SHOULD BE RESPONSIBLE

LAYS THE BILL ON THE TABLE

COURT - CAN ORDER ATTORNEY FEES ALLOW

- 1.) ~~MEMO~~ MEMO EXPLAINING REFERENCE FOR SENATOR ANDERSEN.
- 2.) EXPLAIN ADDRESS THIRD PARTY CUSTODIAL STATUS.