

H

B

5

3

8

INSERT #1

Grants may not exceed \$25,000 for project or programs in any single village or community for a calendar year. However, the commissioner may award a block grant for a project or program servicing a number of villages or communities.

Page 1

TO House Resources Mary Hakala

From Jim Farmer

Reference NB 539 (or 538)

Sec. 03. 08. 040 ELIGIBILITY AND CONDITIONS

(a) The recipient of a grant may be a municipality, village, or nonprofit corporation.

(I deleted school district and regional educational attendance area due to the fact that our school districts are having a hard time in providing quality educational programs with the resources and personnel they have. By giving them more programs you dilute the quality further. The villages and/or nonprofit corporations are currently working with the schools and/or reaa's where feasible).

(b) (2) Most areas of the State HAVE NOT been served by the Soil Conservation Service, USDA. To require this as a condition of receiving a grant would restrict needed areas. The SCS DOES NOT have the resources at this time to serve all of the areas that would have to request it if this is left in. A better requirement would be for the village, nonprofit corporation, or municipality to request SCS services and to file a letter showing when the service may be performed, based upon their funding and manning.

(c) This section creates a problem unless there is tied to it a requirement in law or a penalty reduction in funding for failure to perform by CES people. Currently there is a competing proposal submitted by CES to do some of the work being done by some of the nonprofit corporations. By requiring the villages, nonprofits, and municipalities to consult with CES you then give CES an unfair advantage in writing proposals to be funded under this section. A restriction on CES of not being able to submit a proposal to cover an area where they consulted with a local entity would take care of this.

Sec. 03. 08. 050 APPLICATION Please use current program operators to assist in developing the forms to be used.

Sec. 03. 08. 060 LIMITATIONS ON GRANTS

(a) A single grant may not exceed \$25,000 for a calendar year. However, a proposal by a nonprofit corporation, to serve a number of villages may not exceed the aggregate total of individual villages involved, times the maximum allowable (\$25,000) per village (or project).

(Number of villages Times \$25,000 = Total Allowable)

Sec. 03. 08. 070 USE OF GRANT MONEY The recipient of a grant may use the grant for supplies, equipment, (directly related to the project), education, technical training, and operating and administrative costs associated with developing and implementing the small scale agriculture project or program.

Page 2

RE: HB 539 (or 538)

(Our experience is that the village-city government will give FREE use of the land for the agriculture project. Any buildings needed are already in the village Community halls, schools, school kitchens, city offices, ect. and are available for FREE use for the projects, as long as they are wanted by the local people. Any additional buildings would probaly require funding year after year for operating costs. The villages, with no tax base, could very well not be able to operate them).

Sec. 03. 08. 100 DEFINITIONS .

(3) delete School district, regional educational attendance area

Reference Sec. 03. 08. 070 USE OF GRANT MONEY

Any buildings needed can be funded under current RDA (Dept. C&RA) programs. There is no need for another duplicative program and possibly conflicting regulations.

One aspect of consideration for funding could be use of other available resources, CETA, RDA, ENERGY GRANTS, ect., to accomplish the goals of the program. The programs that ONLY rely upon money from this bill should be phased out.

Reference Sec. 03. 08. 066

(b) "GREAT" good section hold tight on this.

THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA
TWELFTH LEGISLATURE

FISCAL NOTE

I. REQUEST

Bill/Resolution No. _____
 Title Small Village Ag
 Requested by Mary Hakala Date 4/28/81

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Agency Affected Department of Natural Resources
 Program Category Affected Econ Development
 BRU, Program, or Subprogram(s) Affected Ag Management
 (Note: If more than one budget component is affected, separate line-item amounts and funding for each component in the analysis section.)

EXPENDITURES (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 81	FY 82	FY 83	FY 84	FY 85	FY 86
100 PERSONAL SERVICES		37.8	37.8			
200 TRAVEL		10.0	10.0			
300 CONTRACTUAL		4.2	4.2			
400 COMMODITIES		.3	.3			
500 EQUIPMENT		1.7	1.7			
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.		500	1000.0			

TOTAL

FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

GENERAL FUND		564.0	1064.0			
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER (Specify Fund Source)						

POSITIONS

FULL TIME		1	1			
PART TIME						
TEMPORARY						

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

Would finance grant for only known, presently received application for FY 82

IV. DATE _____ PREPARED BY _____
 AGENCY _____
 Original: Legislative Finance PHONE _____
 cc: Budget and Management
 Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)