

1982 LAND

DISPOSAL



Alaska State Legislature

House of Representatives

Committee on Resources

Terry Gardiner, Co-Chairman
Fred F. Zharoff, Co-Chairman
465-3715

Pouch V
State Capitol
Juneau, Alaska 99811

M E M O R A N D U M

To: Representative Sam Cotton, Chairman
House Finance Committee

From: Representative Fred Zharoff, Co-Chairman
Representative Terry Gardiner, Co-Chairman
House Resources Committee

Date: May 27, 1981

Re: Land Disposal for FY '82

It is the opinion of the House Resources Committee that the FY '82 land disposal program can offer substantially more to the public than the previous land disposal could with the quota of 100,000 acres. These land disposals under the programs available through HB31 can be offered with less conflicts than in previous years as well.

Subdivision disposals should be targeted to equal or exceed the past disposals of suitable subdivided land when combined with proposed municipal disposals. Municipal conflicts should be minimized by including engineering to demonstrate the feasibility of constructing capital improvements and by providing roads to and through subdivisions where this access will be desired in the near future. In providing roads, priority should be given to areas where past, present and future disposals can all gain from improved access. In subdivisions with water or aircraft access only, larger lots in set back areas should eliminate the need for interior roads.

It is not the intent of the committee to designate particular disposals but rather to indicate our support for the general package proposed by the Division of Forest, Land and Water Management. This support is subject to those specific objections noted by committee members in land disposed of in their home areas.

Doug Browning

Memorandum
5/27/81
Page 2

The funding requirement to implement this disposal package; which provides all necessary pre-engineering and roads to all accessible subdivisions, and provides for cost-effective roads through many accessible subdivisions, totals approximately \$15 million. Reductions would reduce funding for roads through those subdivisions which have access roads planned. The next items to go would be roads to subdivisions. I doubt that we could reduce the engineering costs required by municipal ordinance without departing substantially from the intent of HB31 so the next stage of reduction would cut down subdivision disposals available. Increase above the \$15 million figure would provide more interior roads or more disposal.

going on
with