

H J R

4 9



Title Relating to exclusion of state unemployment insurance trust funds from the federal unified budget.

Contact: Judy Knight *J Knight*  
465-2700

Funds used for unemployment compensation are generated by payroll taxes enacted by State Legislatures. These funds can be used only to pay unemployment compensation in the state in which they were collected and are held in trust by the federal government and drawn as needed to pay benefits. Since 1969, these funds have been included in the unified budget. The "bottom line" impact of inclusion of state trust funds in the unified budget is that all unemployment compensation--including benefits paid completely from dedicated state funds--appear as federal outlays. This makes unemployment insurance programs the target for budget-balancing proposals such as taxation of benefits, pension offsets, removal of extended benefit claimants from the computation of unemployment rates, and other cost-cutting proposals like those included in the Omnibus Reconciliation Act of December 5, 1980.

In addition to those set out in the Resolution itself, there are a number of other reasons unemployment compensation trust funds should not be included in the unified budget, as follows:

1. The majority of the federal payroll tax is not collected from employers, but is credited if employers participate in an approved state program and are subject to contribution rates set by the state.
2. The operations of the U.S. Treasury do not require that the accounts be included in the unified budget. The Treasury currently handles accounts for several "off-budget" agencies.
3. Basic program decisions--level and duration of benefits, contribution mechanisms, eligibility, and many administrative functions--are almost entirely in the hands of state legislators.

Policy calling for removal of funds has been adopted by the National Governors' Association, the Interstate Conference of Employment Security Agencies, the Federal Advisory Council on Unemployment Insurance, and the National Commission on Unemployment Compensation. These policy positions were adopted recently and the issue is not yet well-known in Congress. One way to speed consideration of this important issue is to ensure that states' Congressional delegations recognize the impact of inclusion of state unemployment trust funds in the unified budget and are aware of the states' strong desire to remove the funds from the budget. The proposed resolution could be used to convey Alaska's sentiment on this issue to national policy-makers.

Position: The Department of Labor supports the proposed resolution and recommends immediate approval.

Title Relating to exclusion of state unemployment insurance trust funds from the federal unified budget.

Contact: Judy Knight J Knight  
465-2700

Funds used for unemployment compensation are generated by payroll taxes enacted by State Legislatures. These funds can be used only to pay unemployment compensation in the state in which they were collected and are held in trust by the federal government and drawn as needed to pay benefits. Since 1969, these funds have been included in the unified budget. The "bottom line" impact of inclusion of state trust funds in the unified budget is that all unemployment compensation--including benefits paid completely from dedicated state funds--appear as federal outlays. This makes unemployment insurance programs the target for budget-balancing proposals such as taxation of benefits, pension offsets, removal of extended benefit claimants from the computation of unemployment rates, and other cost-cutting proposals like those included in the Omnibus Reconciliation Act of December 5, 1980.

In addition to those set out in the Resolution itself, there are a number of other reasons unemployment compensation trust funds should not be included in the unified budget, as follows:

1. The majority of the federal payroll tax is not collected from employers, but is credited if employers participate in an approved state program and are subject to contribution rates set by the state.
2. The operations of the U.S. Treasury do not require that the accounts be included in the unified budget. The Treasury currently handles accounts for several "off-budget" agencies.
3. Basic program decisions--level and duration of benefits, contribution mechanisms, eligibility, and many administrative functions--are almost entirely in the hands of state legislators.

Policy calling for removal of funds has been adopted by the National Governors' Association, the Interstate Conference of Employment Security Agencies, the Federal Advisory Council on Unemployment Insurance, and the National Commission on Unemployment Compensation. These policy positions were adopted recently and the issue is not yet well-known in Congress. One way to speed consideration of this important issue is to ensure that states' Congressional delegations recognize the impact of inclusion of state unemployment trust funds in the unified budget and are aware of the states' strong desire to remove the funds from the budget. The proposed resolution could be used to convey Alaska's sentiment on this issue to national policy-makers.

Position: The Department of Labor supports the proposed resolution and recommends immediate approval.

Bill No. House Joint Resolution No. 49

Date April 24, 1981

Title Relating to exclusion of state unemployment insurance trust funds from the federal unified budget.

Contact: Judy Knight *J Knight*  
465-2700

Funds used for unemployment compensation are generated by payroll taxes enacted by State Legislatures. These funds can be used only to pay unemployment compensation in the state in which they were collected and are held in trust by the federal government and drawn as needed to pay benefits. Since 1969, these funds have been included in the unified budget. The "bottom line" impact of inclusion of state trust funds in the unified budget is that all unemployment compensation--including benefits paid completely from dedicated state funds--appear as federal outlays. This makes unemployment insurance programs the target for budget-balancing proposals such as taxation of benefits, pension offsets, removal of extended benefit claimants from the computation of unemployment rates, and other cost-cutting proposals like those included in the Omnibus Reconciliation Act of December 5, 1980.

In addition to those set out in the Resolution itself, there are a number of other reasons unemployment compensation trust funds should not be included in the unified budget, as follows:

1. The majority of the federal payroll tax is not collected from employers, but is credited if employers participate in an approved state program and are subject to contribution rates set by the state.
2. The operations of the U.S. Treasury do not require that the accounts be included in the unified budget. The Treasury currently handles accounts for several "off-budget" agencies.
3. Basic program decisions--level and duration of benefits, contribution mechanisms, eligibility, and many administrative functions--are almost entirely in the hands of state legislators.

Policy calling for removal of funds has been adopted by the National Governors' Association, the Interstate Conference of Employment Security Agencies, the Federal Advisory Council on Unemployment Insurance, and the National Commission on Unemployment Compensation. These policy positions were adopted recently and the issue is not yet well-known in Congress. One way to speed consideration of this important issue is to ensure that states' Congressional delegations recognize the impact of inclusion of state unemployment trust funds in the unified budget and are aware of the states' strong desire to remove the funds from the budget. The proposed resolution could be used to convey Alaska's sentiment on this issue to national policy-makers.

Position: The Department of Labor supports the proposed resolution and recommends immediate approval.

**POSITION PAPER/Department of Labor**