

3-30-82

HB 794

HB 795

(HB 642)

(TELE-  
CONFERENCE)

HOUSE LABOR & COMMERCE  
STANDING COMMITTEE  
March 30, 1982  
1:09 p.m.

Members Present: Rep. Martin, Chairman  
Rep. Bylsma, Vice Chairman  
Rep. Gardiner  
Rep. Randolph  
Rep. Rogers

Members Absent: No members absent.

COMMITTEE CALENDAR

TELECONFERENCE HEARING

HB 794 An Act relating to sales practices of motor  
vehicle dealers.

HB 795 An Act relating to motor vehicle  
rustproofing services; and providing for an  
effective date.

HB 642 An Act establishing the Alaska natural  
resource trust; and providing for an  
effective date.

WITNESS REGISTER

Phil Blackstone  
Endrust of Alaska  
Anchorage, Alaska  
Position Statement: Opposed both HB 794 and HB 795.

George Cada  
Arctic Service Rustproofing  
Anchorage, Alaska  
Position Statement: Supported HB 795.

Max Hodel  
Alaska Sales & Service  
Anchorage, Alaska  
Position Statement: Felt both HB 794 and HB 795 unnecessary.

Jack Mann  
Kodiak Auto Center  
Box 788  
Kodiak, Alaska  
486-5703  
Position Statement: Felt HB 795 imposed a hardship on small  
communities.

Richard Sims  
Berg Motors  
Box 9  
Kodiak, Alaska  
486-4751

Position Statement: Opposed both HB 794 and HB 795.

R.L. Silberer  
Universal Motors  
Anchorage, Alaska

Position Statement: Opposed both HB 794 and HB 795.

Fred Morino  
Eero Volkswagen  
Anchorage, Alaska

Position Statement: Opposed both HB 794 and HB 795.

Bahne Martensen  
Continental Motor Company  
Anchorage, Alaska

Position Statement: Opposed both HB 794 and HB 795.

Connie Bibe  
Consumer Protection Office  
Office of the Attorney General  
Anchorage, Alaska

Position Statement: Defended need for HB 795.

Bob Evans  
Consumer Protection Office  
Office of the Attorney General  
Anchorage, Alaska

Position Statement: Defended need for HB 794.

John Beard  
counsel for Continental Motors  
Anchorage, Alaska

Position Statement: Felt both HB 794 and HB 795 superfluous.

#### PREVIOUS ACTION

HB 794

See minutes of 3/11/82.

Statutory Reference: AS 45.45; AS 45.50.

Action Taken: Committee considered workdraft committee substitute. Testimony was heard; no formal action taken.

HB 795

See minutes of 3/11/82.

Statutory Reference: AS 45.45; AS 45.50.

Action Taken: Committee considered workdraft committee substitute. Testimony was heard; no formal action taken.

HB 642

See minutes of 2/24/82 and 3/25/82.

Statutory Reference: AS 37.

Action Taken: Rep. Randolph moved to pass HB 642 out of committee with individual recommendations. On the question: for--Rogers, Randolph, Gardiner; opposed--Bylsma, Martin. Motion passed.

ACTION NARRATIVE

Tape #48  
Recording  
Number 000

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Martin at 1:09 p.m. Members present were: Representatives Martin, Bylsma, Gardiner, Randolph, and Rogers. There were no members absent. This meeting was a teleconference hearing which included the sites of Anchorage, Fairbanks, Kodiak, Ketchikan, and Juneau. Testimony was taken on HB 794 and HB 795, and the workdraft committee substitutes.

Number 015

Phil Blackstone, Endrust of Alaska, Anchorage, opposed both bills. He saw no need for the legislation. He said there have been no complaints about rustproofers. He said the bill does not limit competition, if that is the aim. He questioned how the law would actually work in various situations. He had problems with terminology and definitions. He forewar problems with warranties, accidents, inspections, and conflicts with national warranties. He thought HB 794 would end up costing consumers more. He said it is cheaper to install accessories on a mass production basis at the factory. He said it will cost the consumer more if the dealer has to specify options or install them himself. He said the industry can balance itself and take care of itself.

Number 117

George Cada, Arctic Service Rustproofing, Anchorage, said he is a dealer with Ziebart International. In order to protect the Alaska corporation, he supported HB 795. He

said it would protect the auto dealer, as well as the general public.

Number 134

Rep. Martin explained that the Consumer Protection Agency had initiated the request for legislation.

Number 145

Max Hodel, Alaska Sales & Service, Anchorage, said HB 794 is unnecessary. He said it asks for dealer restriction, and then eliminates the restriction in section (b). He felt it was an impractical and unneeded bill. He said for a dealer to maintain inventory in Alaska and offer a choice of product, he must commit to the supplier as much as six months ahead. He said the consumer is given a wide choice of options, and the bill won't help the consumer.

Regarding HB 795, Hodel said he has never had a consumer problem dealing with rust on autos. He said rust inhibitors have been very effective. He did not feel legislation should be passed that gives the idea that rustproofing is necessary in Alaska. Hodel said, compared to other areas of the United States, we have a much less serious problem. He wanted an interpretation of warranty on rustproofing to be equated to the proper use of undercoating. He said they have all vehicles undercoated when they come to Alaska. He said there is a high degree of consumer acceptance, and some benefit as rustproofing.

Number 253

Rep. Rogers said he appreciated Hodel's comments on HB 794. He felt there may be a legal problem with the dealer misrepresenting the actual cost of transportation. Hodel said the laws covered this.

Number 271

Rep. Rogers said the committee had been given copies of rustproofing warranties which appeared not to cover the product. He asked if a standard should be established. Hodel said this goes against his philosophy. He said it is not necessary for the government to impose details. He would be surprised if the auto industry would be willing to accept such language. He said, as a dealer, he would not want to be injected into this chain of supply. Rep.

Rogers thought his concerns were good, but he assumed there must be a problem in Anchorage, or the legislation would not have been introduced. Rep. Martin commented on materials before the committee about rustproofing problems in other areas of the country.

Number 371

Jack Mann, franchise Toyota and Chrysler products dealer in Kodiak, testified regarding HB 795. He was concerned about the effect on several suppliers outside the state providing services to Alaska dealers. He wondered about the effect on those companies and what good bonding would do. He asked how it would apply to auto manufacturers. He said there is a problem with rust in Kodiak and other coastal areas. He felt passing legislation would raise the cost to Alaska consumers, and would be a particular hardship on dealers in small communities. He said the Consumer Protection Agency should confine itself to areas where there are consumer complaints. Mann said small communities are being legislated against. He said the high bond will either raise the cost to consumers, or be affordable only to those dealers in larger communities. Rep. Martin commented on Mann's testimony.

Number 443

Richard Sims, Berg Motors, Kodiak, said that HB 794 would be a detriment to the consumer because they do require people to buy certain features; he used as examples winterizing and positraction. He said the dealer, knowing the area, can do a better job of ordering than the consumer. Regarding HB 795, Sims said this would be a problem, especially in rural areas. He explained situations where rustproofing cannot work, such as when a vehicle is being immersed in salt water daily to launch boats. Rep. Bylsma asked if cars come from the manufacturer with the degree of antifreeze needed for protection. Sims said they have a small degree of protection, but not enough to withstand minus-forty-degree weather such as is encountered on the shipping vessels.

Number 538

Rep. Randolph moved to report HB 642 out of committee with individual recommendations. On the question: for--Rogers, Randolph,

Gardiner; opposed--Bylsma, Martin. Motion passed.

Number 560

Dick Silberer, Universal Motors, Anchorage, supported Hodel's statements and those of others opposed to HB 795. He said the real cause of rust was brought about by the state's and municipalities' treatment of roads. He said the legislation should inhibit the use of salt and calcium on streets. He could not support the bill as drafted.

Regarding HB 794, Silberer asked if an individual could request a dealer to remove rustproofing or accessories the individual did not want on a car. He went on to ask if there was a list of complaints signed by people requesting the bills. Rep. Martin said they did have some testimony regarding problems, and that Connie Sipe of CPA had more. He said there was not much, but that the feeling is that prevention is cheaper than cure. Rep. Martin agreed with Silberer's statement regarding governmental entities evaluating the materials being put on roads.

Number 683

Fred Morino, Eero Volkswagen, Anchorage, said the other witnesses had expressed his concerns. He said auto dealers do the best job they can. He considered rustproofing a form of insurance, similar to the tiles on the exterior of the space shuttle. He was opposed to either bill, as dealers are doing a good job and being responsive to needs.

Side B, Number 013

Rep. Martin asked if it is possible for an individual to buy a stripped down version of a car, without undercoating, and other options. Morino said a consumer can be provided with any vehicle he requests, with or without options, by ordering from the factory.

Number 035

Bahne Martensen, Continental Motor Company, Anchorage, referred to the letter he had sent to the committee. He said he does not require a consumer to purchase anything. He said the average retail price he pays for undercoating is \$75-100, and that it is a good option. He said rustproofers are trying to get a share of the automobile business through referral commissions. He

said he does not make referrals because he cannot vouch for the quality of the work. Martensen said rustproofing retails for an average of \$295 for an economy car. He said HB 795 would take away the consumer's option to buy undercoating. He felt it should be left up to the customer to read and evaluate warranties and to choose the product he wants to buy.

Number 095

Connie Sipe, Consumer Protection Office, Anchorage, testified about HB 795. She said the CPA has been given the power and duty to respond to general complaints brought by people without a specific complaint. She said CPA conducts independent investigations, which they have done in the areas covered by both bills. She said the workdraft committee substitute covers situations the witnesses spoke to. She said the bill would not apply to a dealer selling a car with a manufacturer warranty, but would apply to a dealer selling a service.

Number 131

Sipe said her concern with undercoating is that their staff had been told by dealers that undercoating is rustproofing. She said the bill is to eliminate such misrepresentation. Sipe said the CPA is interested in preventive legislation. She said CPA would support the bill even without the bond provision. She said they want to do away with potentially deceptive warranty terms. Sipe said the law would apply to Seattle suppliers. She said dealers should not be injected into a chain unless they provide a rustproofing service or represent undercoating as rustproofing.

Number 208

Rep. Martin said the bonding provision might be deleted from the bill.

Number 220

Bob Evans, Consumer Protection Office, Anchorage, testified regarding HB 794. He gave examples of situations illustrating the need for the law. He said dealerships wield substantial power in stating the conditions under which consumers will purchase vehicles. He said the interest of consumers, of secondary competitors, and of dealers will be served by the bills. He said that the result of "shopping" the Anchorage area is that the shoppers were told that vehicles were not available

without options.

Number 325

John Beard, counsel for Continental Motors, Anchorage, said he was a lawyer in private practice, and that he had experience representing auto sales dealers. Beard said he was testifying at the request of Martensen. Beard said both bills are superfluous. He said what is prohibited in the bills is unfair practices. Beard said the definition of unfair practices is too broad; that even the Supreme Court could not define it. Beard said this is determined by a variety of items, and that people trying to comply with the law have no way to determine what is lawful under the statute.

Number 386

Regarding EB 794, Beard said a person must order a new vehicle for delivery. He said if this is intended to mean "when a purchaser offers to buy", it could lead to problems. He gave an example. Beard said the state is managing a dealer's inventory if it disallows him the option of ordering accessories he feels the public wants to buy. Beard attempted to discern the bill sponsor's intent.

Regarding HB 795, Beard said the effect of the bill would be, by the definition of rustproofing, to make the same standards applicable to products not intended as rustproofing, but that do inhibit rust.

Number 545

Rep. Martin asked Beard to work with the Consumer Protection Office on legislation. Beard said he would.

Number 580

The Anchorage LTN moderator said she had been asked to convey that Steppe and Yaeger wished to go on record in opposition to both bills.

Number 598

Jack Mann, Kodiak, responded to Sipe's testimony regarding rustproofing provided by secondary companies by asking about rustproofing provided by manufacturers. He said, regarding options and secondary suppliers, that in rural communities there are no secondary suppliers. Rep. Martin replied.

Number 640

Rep. Martin said no further action would be taken at this time. He said if any action

was considered, the participants in the teleconference would be notified.

Number 653

There being no further business to come before the committee, the meeting was adjourned at 2:37 p.m.

MSG 82-00017563 PRY 1 03/30/82 12:52:05 ORIG: LA08 IN= 0010 OUT= 0020  
FROM: MICKI IN ANCHORAGE TO: JUNEAU T/C  
TARGET: LJE5 SUBJ: H. LABOR & COMMERCE - CAR SALES/RUSTPRFN PAGE 0001

TO PARTICIPATE IN ANCHORAGE  
1. PHILIP BLACKSTONE, ENDRUST OF ALASKA  
2. GEORGE CADA, ARCTIC SERVICE RUSTPROOFING  
3. MAX HOEDEL, AK SALES & SERVICE  
4. JERRY CHADWICK, UNIVERSAL MOTORS  
PLUS 2 OBSERVERS

NXT MSG U/R/S \_ PREV MSG U/R/S \_ RESEND \_ CANCEL \_

MSG 82-00017576 PRY 1 03/30/82 12:55:51 ORIG: LA08 IN= 0011 OUT= 0021  
FROM: MICKI IN ANCHORAGE TO: JUNEAU T/C  
TARGET: LJE5 SUBJ: H. LABOR & COMM. T/C CAR SALES/RUSTPRFN PAGE 0001

MORE TO SPEAK IN ANCHORAGE  
5. R.L. SILBERER, UNIVERSAL MOTORS  
WILL SEND MORE AS THEY COME IN, EXPECT ABOUT 15-20 PARTICIPANTS

NXT MSG U/R/S \_ PREV MSG U/R/S \_ RESEND \_ CANCEL \_

MSG 82-00017577 PRY 1 03/30/82 13:06:41 ORIG: LA08 IN= 0012 OUT= 0022  
FROM: MICKI IN ANCHORAGE TO: JUNEAU T/C  
TARGET: LJE5 SUBJ: H. LABOR & COMMERCE/CAR SALES/RUSTPRFN PAGE 0001

TO SPEAK IN ANCHORAGE:  
6. FRED MORINO, BERO VW  
7. BAHNE MARTENSEN, CONTINENTAL MOTORS  
8. CONNIE STEE, ATTORNEY GENERAL SPEAKING ON HB 795  
9. BOB EVANS, ATTORNEY GENERAL SPEAKING ON HB 794  
10. JOHN BEARD, CONTINENTAL MOTORS  
\*\*\*\*\*JERRY CHADWICK PREVIOUSLY LISTED AS SPEAKER NUMBER 4 DOES NOT  
WISH TO SPEAK\*\*\*\*\*

NXT MSG U/R/S \_ PREV MSG U/R/S \_ RESEND \_ CANCEL \_

MSG 82-00017585 PRY 1 03/30/82 13:11:53 ORIG: L000 IN= 0004 OUT= 0023  
FROM: KODIAK TO: JUNEAU  
TARGET: LJE5 SUBJ: 11A.H. T/C H.L&C PAGE 0001

HERE TO PARTICIPATE IS: JACK MANN...KODIAK AUTO CENTER  
BOX 788, KODIAK PH.#486-5703  
RICHARD SIMS...BERG MOTORS  
BOX 9, KODIAK PH.#486-4751

NXT MSG U/R/S \_ PREV MSG U/R/S \_ RESEND \_ CANCEL \_

MSG 82-00017634 PRY 1 03/30/82 14:21:18 ORIG: L000 IN= 0007 OUT= 0024  
FROM: KODIAK TO: JUNEAU  
TARGET: LJE5 SUBJ: HB 794 PAGE 0001

IS THERE ANY WAY WE COULD GET THAT CS FOR HB 794 TELECOPIED TO US.  
OUR PARTICIPATES WOULD LIKE TO SEE IT DURING THE TELECONFERENCE.

NXT MSG U/R/S \_ PREV MSG U/R/S \_ RESEND \_ CANCEL \_





Absent:

3/30/82

TELECONFERENCE

Tape # \_\_\_\_\_

HB 794

HB 795

Make copy of tape for  
Mat. Su

1: 09

000

Call to order; intro of members; referred to bills.

both bills

Anchorage Cuda Holden

015

Phil Blackstone, - opposed both bills. Sees no need for legislation:

~~But~~ there have been no complaints abt rustproofers; bill does not limit competition, if that is the aim. ~~Some~~ ~~the~~

questioned ~~at~~ how law wd actually work in various situs. Problems with terminology & definitions.

Foresaw probs w/warranties, accidents, inspections, or ~~other actions~~ conflicts w/national warranty. Thought

HB 794 wd end up costing consumers more. Cheaper to install accessories on mass production basis at factory; will cost customer more if dealer has to specify options, or install them himself. Industry can balance itself & take care of itself.

HB 795

117

George Cuda, Arctic Soc Rustproofing - dealer w/ Ziebart Int'l - in order to protect Ak corp, support HB 795. Wd protect auto dealer as well as gen'l public.

134

HB 794

145

Martin explained that CPA originated request for legislation.

Max Holden (Hodel?), Ak Sales & Soc - HB 794 - bill

unnecessary - asks for dealer restriction & then eliminates restriction in section (b). Impractical & unneeded bill.

For dealer to maintain inventory <sup>in Alaska</sup> & offer choice of product, must commit to suppliers as much as 6 mos. ahead.

Consumer is given wide choice of options. Bill won't help consumer.

HB 795

Re HB 795 - he has never had consumer prob dealing w/rust on autos. Rust inhibitors have been very effective. Doesn't feel we shd pass legisn that gives idea rustproofing is necessary.

Anc  
Hodel  
Hodel, cont'd - compared to other areas of U.S., we have much less serious prob. Wants interpretation of warranty on rustproofing to be equated to proper use of undercoating. They undercoat all vehicles when they come to Alaska; high degree of consumer acceptance & some benefit in rustproofing.

253 Rogers - appreciated comments on 794; may be legit prob with dealer misrepresenting actual cost of xptn. Hodel felt other laws covered this. ~~By~~

271 Rogers said comm. had been given copy of rustproofing warranties which appeared not to cover product; asked if standard shd be established. Hodel said this goes against his philosophy; not necessary for govt to impose details. Wd be surprised that auto industry wd be willing to accept such language. <sup>at a</sup> Dealer, wd not want to be injected into this chain of ~~process~~ supply.

331 Rogers thought his concerns were good. Assumed there must be a prob in Anc or they legisn wd not have been int'd.

343 Martin commented on mat'ls before committee about rustproofing probs in other areas of the country.

2 Kodiak

371 Jack Mann, franchisee Toyota & Chrysler products dealer - re HB 795 - concerned that sev'l suppliers outside state provide svcs to AK dealers; curious abt affect on these companies & what good bonding will do; how it will apply to auto mfgs. Prob w/rust <sup>Kodiak & other</sup> coastal areas - passing legis will raise cost to AK consumers; particular hardship on dealers in small communities. CPA shd confine itself to areas where there are consumer complaints.

Thann, cont'd - Small comm. being legislated against. High bond will either raise cost to consumers or be affordable only to those dealers in larger communities.

Martin commented on Thann's testimony.

443 <sup>Sims</sup> Richard Simms, Berg Motors, Kodiak - re both bills -

HB 794 wd be detriment to consumer because they do require people to buy certain features - gave examples: winterizing, protraction. Dealer, knowing area, can do better job of ordering than consumer.

480 HB 795 - prob, esp in rural areas - sits where rustproofing can't work, such as when vehicle is <sup>being</sup> immersed in salt water daily to launch boats.

508 Byloma - ~~can~~ re winterizing - don't cars come fr. mfr w/ degree of antifreeze needed for protection? Simms said they have small degree of protection, but not enough to w/stand -40° weather such as is encountered on the shipping vessels.

HB 642

538 Randolph moved to pass HB 642 out of comm w/ und'l recommend.

Question: for - Roy Rand, Gard opp's - Byloma, Martin. passed.

~~540~~

Anchorage Silberer

560

Dick Spielberg (Silberer?), Universal Motors - supported

Hidel's stmts & others opp'd to HB 795. Real cause of rust brought abt by state & municipality's treatment of rds. Shd inhibit use of salt & calcium in street. ~~Bier~~ as Cd not support bill as drafted.

Re HB 794 - asked if und'l cd request dealer to remove rustproofing or accessories und'l did not ~~only~~ apply to purchase car.

~~Feedback~~ Ane, cont'd -

Asked if there was a list of complaints signed by people requesting bills.

642 Martin said they did have some testimony re proba, & sizes, CPA, has more. Not much, but feeling is prevention is cheaper than cure. Agreed w/ govt's evaluating materials being put on roads.

683 Fred Morino - ~~the~~ Euro VW - other witnesses expressed his concerns. ~~Also concerned~~ they do best job they can. He considers rustproofing a form of insurance, similar to tiles on exterior of space shuttle. Opposed to either bill as dealers are doing good job & being responsive to needs.

Side B

013 Martin asked if it's possible for ind's to buy ~~an~~ stripped down version of car - w/o undercoating & other options. Morino said consumer can be provided w/ any vehicle he requests, with or w/o options, by ordering from factory.

035 Bahne <sup>Martensen</sup> ~~Martensen~~, Continental Motor Co - both bills - referred to let he sent to comm. ~~then~~ He doesn't require consumer to purchase anything. Average retail price they pay for undercoating is \$75-100 - good option. Rustproofers are trying to get share of automotive business, through referral commissions. They don't make referrals because they can't vouch for quality. Rustproofing retails at of \$275 for an economy car. HB 795 wd take away consumer's option to buy undercoating. <sup>It</sup> This shd be left up to customer to read & evaluate warranties & choose product he wants to buy.

Anc. cont'd

095 Connie Sipes, CPA <sup>office</sup> - re HB 795 - CPA has been given  
power & duty to respond to complaints given by people  
w/o specific complaint. They conduct independent  
investigations, which they have done in areas covered  
by both bills. CS covers ~~most~~ situations <sup>witnesses</sup> ~~dealers~~  
spoke to. ~~Therefore~~ Bill wd not apply to dealer  
selling car w/ mfr warranty, wd apply to dealer  
selling svc.

131

Concern w/ undercoating is - their staff had been told  
by dealers that undercoating is rustproofing.  
Bill is to ~~also~~ eliminate such misrepresentation.  
~~CPA~~ CPA is interested in preventive legislation. CPA wd  
support bill even w/o bond provision. Want to do away  
w/ potentially deceptive warranty terms. Wd apply  
to Seattle suppliers.  
Dealers shd not be injected into a chain unless they  
provide rustproofing svc or represent undercoating  
as rustproofing.

208 Martin said bonding might be deleted fm bill.

Anc -

- 220 Bob Evans, CPA - re HB 794 - re options; gave examples of sites illustrating need for law. Dealerships wield substantial part in stating conditions under which consumers will purchase vehicles. Interests of consumers, secondary competitors, & dealers will be served by bills. Result of "shopping" Anc areas is that shoppers were told vehicles were not available w/o options.
- 325 John Beard, Cont'l Motors - lawyer in private practice - has had experience with auto sales dealers -- there at request of Martinson - bills are superfluous. What is prohibited is unfair practice. - definition is too broad, Supreme Ct can't define it. Determined by variety of items. People trying to comply w/ law have no way to determine what is lawful under the statute.
- 386 HB 794 - person must order new vehicle for delivery; if this is intended to mean "when purchaser offers to buy", could lead to problems. Give example. ~~But dealer be obliged to remove options consumer doesn't want? And must consumer asked if dealer violates law if he refuses to remove~~ State is managing dealer's inventory if it disallows him the option of ordering accessories he feels public wants to buy. Beard attempted to discern bill sponsor's intention.
- HB 795 - effect of bill would be, by def'n of rustproofing, to make same standards applicable to products not intended as rustproofing, but that do inhibit rust.

545 Martin asked him to work w/CPA on legislation.  
Beard said he would.

580 Stepp & Gaeger went on record in opposition to both bills, per  
Aner LTN.

598 Kodiak - Jack Mann - re sipes testimony re rustproofing  
provided by ddry companies - what abt r/ping provided  
by mfrs. Also, re options & secondary suppliers -  
in rural communities, there are no secondary  
suppliers. Martin replied.

640 Martin said no further action wd be taken at this time;  
said if any action was considered, participants wd  
be notified.

653 1:37 Mtg adjourned.

LABOR & COMMERCE COMMITTEE  
DAILY COMMITTEE HEARING

Date: 3/30/82

Place: \_\_\_\_\_

<u>Members</u>	<u>Present</u>	<u>Absent</u>	<u>Time Arrived</u>	<u>Time Left</u>
Rep. B. Bylsma, V. Chair	_____ ✓	_____	1:04	1:50
Rep. D. Randolph	_____ ✓	_____	1:12	1:54
Rep. B. Rogers	_____ ✓	_____	1:07	1:58
Rep. T. Gardiner	_____ ✓	_____	1:07	1:58
Rep. T. Martin, Chair	_____ ✓	_____	12:55	

Subject Matter:

House Bill No. 794 795 \_\_\_\_\_

Senate Bill No. \_\_\_\_\_

Special Orders: